Economic and Financial Evaluation

The details on project components and its cost, and the asumptions considered in the economic and financial evaluation are listed the following pages.

Table A-9.1 Physical Life of Building and Equipment of HFMA Project (Model zones 1 & 2)

| | SI\$ |
|--|------|
| | |

| • | . | _ | | Unit: SI\$ |
|--------------------|----------------|---|---|------------|
| | Financial Cost | Physical Year | Depreciation | Main. |
| (A) Satelite | \$1,116,700 | | \$72,147 | \$18,544 |
| Building-1 | \$450,000 | 25 | \$18,000 | \$9,000 |
| Building-2 | \$110,000 | -25 | \$4,400 | \$2,200 |
| Ice storage-1 | \$129,500 | 15 | \$8,633 | \$2,590 |
| Ice storage-2 | \$48,200 | 15 | \$3,213 | \$964 |
| Water tank | | | | , |
| 600 gal. | \$14,400 | 10 | \$1,440 | \$144 |
| Radio | \$324,600 | 10 | \$32,460 | \$3,246 |
| Esky | \$40,000 | 10 | \$4,000 | \$400 |
| (B) Tulagi Base | \$2,205,800 | | \$122,887 | \$36,821 |
| Shore work/jetty | \$990,000 | 25 | \$39,600 | \$9,900 |
| Building | \$630,000 | 25 | \$25,200 | \$12,600 |
| Cold/Ice storage | \$328,300 | 15 | \$21,887 | \$6,566 |
| Truck crane | \$87,500 | 5 | \$17,500 | \$4,375 |
| Carrier boat | 73.,233 | | , , | • |
| Hull | \$75,000 | 15 | \$5,000 | \$750 |
| Engine | \$42,000 | 5 | \$8,400 | \$2,100 |
| Water tank | + ·- , | - | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | • • |
| 2000 gal. | \$6,000 | 10 | \$600 | \$60 |
| Radio | \$27,000 | 10 | \$2,700 | \$270 |
| Esky | \$20,000 | 10 | \$2,000 | \$200 |
| (C) Honiara Base | \$3,718,600 | *************************************** | \$198,913 | \$64,568 |
| Fish market | \$618,000 | 25 | \$24,720 | \$6,180 |
| Building (service) | \$1,785,250 | 25 | \$71,410 | \$17,853 |
| Cold storage | \$304,000 | 15 | \$20,267 | \$15,200 |
| Ice making/storage | \$282,000 | 15 | \$18,800 | \$14,100 |
| Hand lifter | \$10,800 | 10 | \$1,080 | \$540 |
| Pellet | \$3,000 | 3 | \$1,000 | \$300 |
| Truck | \$81,000 | 5 | \$16,200 | \$4,050 |
| Esky | \$40,000 | . 10 | \$4,000 | \$400 |
| Radio | \$54,000 | 10 | \$5,400 | \$540 |
| Transport boat | \$540,550 | 15 | \$36,037 | \$5,406 |
| Total (A+B+C) | \$7,041,100 | · | \$393,947 | \$119,933 |

Remarks: HCM leases building/facilities to HFMA.

Table A-9.2 Financial and Economic Cost of HFMA Project (Model Zones 1 & 2)

| | Financial Cost | Unit: S |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | Financial Cost | Economic Cost |
| A) Satelite | \$1,116,700 | \$949,195 |
| Building-1 | \$450,000 | \$382,500 |
| Building-2 | \$110,000 | \$93,500 |
| Ice storage-1 | \$129,500 | \$110,075 |
| Ice storage-2 | \$48,200 | \$40,970 |
| Water tank | • | |
| 600 gal. | \$14,400 | \$12,240 |
| Radio | \$324,600 | \$275,910 |
| Esky | \$40,000 | \$34,000 |
| B) Tulagi Base | \$2,205,800 | \$1,874,930 |
| Shore work/jetty | \$990,000 | \$841,500 |
| Building | \$630,000 | \$535,500 |
| Cold/Ice storage | \$328,300 | \$279,055 |
| Truck crane | \$87,500 | \$74,375 |
| Carrier boat | Ψ67,500 | Ψ/π,373 |
| Hull | \$75,000 | \$63,750 |
| Engine | \$42,000 | \$35,700 |
| Water tank | Ψ 1 2,000 | \$33,700 j |
| 2000 gal. | \$6,000 | \$5,100 |
| Radio | \$27,000 | \$22,950 |
| Esky | \$20,000 | |
| Loky | φευ,υυυ | \$17,000 |
| C) Honiara Base | \$3,718,600 | \$3,160,810 |
| Fish market | \$618,000 | \$525,300 |
| Building (service) | \$1,785,250 | \$1,517,463 |
| Cold storage | \$304,000 | \$258,400 |
| Ice making/storage | \$282,000 | \$239,700 |
| Hand lifter | \$10,800 | \$9,180 |
| Pellet | \$3,000 | \$2,550 |
| Truck | \$81,000 | \$68,850 |
| Esky | \$40,000 | \$34,000 |
| Radio | \$54,000 | \$45,900 |
| Transport boat | \$540,550 | \$459,468 |
| Total (A+B+C) | \$7,041,100 | \$5,984,935 |
| Contingency (20%) | \$1,408,220 | \$1,196,987 |
| Wharf (1/3) | \$2,000,000 | \$1,700,000 |
| Total | \$10,449,320 | \$8,881,922 |

Remarks: 1) One third of wharf construction cost (SI\$6 million) included.

Conversion factor of 0.85 is applied for economic cost, and this factor this factor is generally used by international agencies for the South Pacific Countries.

Table A-9.3 Re-investment cost & O/M cost of HFMA (Model Zones 1 & 2)

| | | ı | | 4 | | | Unit: SI\$ |
|------|---------------|--------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| | Re-investment | Salary/wages | Utilities | Fuel | Main | Others | Total (O/M) |
| 1995 | | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 1996 | | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 1997 | \$3,000 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 1998 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 1999 | \$210,500 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2000 | \$3,000 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2001 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2002 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2003 | \$3,000 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2004 | \$747,300 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2005 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2006 | \$3,000 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2007 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2008 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2009 | \$1,921,050 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2010 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2011 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2012 | \$3,000 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2013 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2014 | \$747,300 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2015 | \$3,000 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2016 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2017 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2018 | \$3,000 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |
| 2019 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$71,380 | \$48,238 | \$119,933 | \$36,576 | \$459,007 |

Salvage value of SI\$837,583 included.

Table A-9.4 Economic Evaluation of HFMA Project (Model Zones 1 & 2)

Unit: SI\$ Year Investment Cost O/M Cost Benefit Net Benefit 1994 8,881,922 0 (8,881,922)1,047,893 1995 441,000 606,893 441,000 1996 1,085,904 644,904 441,000 680,365 1997 2,550 1,123,915 441,000 1998 1,161,927 720,927 1999 178,925 441,000 1,199,938 580,013 2000 2,550 441,000 1,237,949 794,399 441,000 2001 1,328,179 887,179 2002 441,000 1,418,408 977,408 2003 441,000 1,508,638 1,065,088 2,550 2004 635,205 441,000 1,598,867 522,662 441,000 1,689,097 1,248,097 2005 441,000 2006 2,550 1,779,327 1,335,777 441,000 2007 1.869,556 1,428,556 2008 441,000 1,959,786 1,518,786 441,000 2009 1,632,893 2,050,015 (23,878)2010 441,000 2,140,245 1,699,245 2011 441,000 2,140,245 1,699,245 2012 2,550 441,000 2,140,245 1,696,695 441,000 2,140,245 1,699,245 2013 2014 635,205 441,000 2,140,245 1,064,040 441,000 2,140,245 1,696,695 2015 2,550 2,140,245 1,699,245 441,000 2016 2017 441,000 2,140,245 1,699,245 2018 2,550 441,000 2,140,245 1,696,695 441,000 2,852,191 2,411,191

Remarks: 1) Approximately one third of the wharf construction cost is included.

2) Salvage value is included in the benefit at the end of year 25.

EIRR= 9.67%

Table A-9.5 Physical Life of Building and Equipment of HCM (Model Zone 1 Unit: SI\$

| | | Unit: SI\$ |
|--|--|---|
| Financial Cost | Physical Year | Depreciation |
| | | |
| \$4,750,000 | 25 | \$190,000 |
| \$1,785,250 | 25 | \$71,410 |
| \$1,890,000 | 25 | \$75,600 |
| \$2,300,000 | 25 | \$92,000 |
| | | 0000 |
| \$100,000 | | \$6,667 |
| | | \$10,000 |
| and the second s | | \$5,000 |
| | | \$4,667 |
| | 5 | \$2,000 |
| \$11,130,250 | * . | \$457,343 |
| FMA) | 4 | * . |
| \$618,000 | 25 | \$24,720 |
| \$1,785,250 | 25 | \$71,410 |
| \$304,000 | . 15 | \$20,267 |
| \$282,000 | 15 | \$18,800 |
| \$10,800 | 10 . | \$1,080 |
| \$3,000 | 3 | \$1,000 |
| \$81,000 | · . 5 | \$16,200 |
| \$40,000 | 10 | \$4,000 |
| \$54,000 | 10 | \$5,400 |
| \$540,550 | 15 | \$36,037 |
| \$3,178,050 | - | \$162,877 |
| \$14,308,300 | | \$620,220 |
| | \$4,750,000 \$1,785,250 \$1,890,000 \$2,300,000 \$150,000 \$75,000 \$70,000 \$10,000 \$11,130,250 FMA) \$618,000 \$1,785,250 \$304,000 \$282,000 \$10,800 \$3,000 \$40,000 \$54,000 \$540,550 \$3,178,050 | \$4,750,000 25 \$1,785,250 25 \$1,890,000 25 \$2,300,000 25 \$100,000 15 \$150,000 15 \$75,000 15 \$70,000 15 \$11,130,250 FMA) \$618,000 25 \$1,785,250 25 \$304,000 15 \$282,000 15 \$10,800 10 \$3,000 3 \$81,000 5 \$40,000 10 \$540,000 10 \$540,050 15 \$3,178,050 |

Remarks: 1) Total cost excludes the cost of transportvessel.

2) Transport vessel will be owned and operated by HFMA.

| Table | A-9.6 | Financiai | and | Economic | Cost | OI | HUM | (Model | Zone | 1) | |
|-------|-------|-----------|-----|----------|------|----|-----|--------|------|----|--|
|-------|-------|-----------|-----|----------|------|----|-----|--------|------|----|--|

| | * | Unit: SI\$ |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Financial Cost | Economic Cost |
| Market hall | | |
| Building | \$4,750,000 | \$4,037,500 |
| Service facilities | \$1,785,250 | \$1,517,463 |
| Market service facilities | \$1,890,000 | \$1,606,500 |
| External work | \$2,300,000 | \$1,955,000 |
| M & E work | | |
| Freshwater supply | \$100,000 | \$85,000 |
| Rainwater discharge | \$150,000 | \$127,500 |
| Waste water treat. | \$75,000 | \$63,750 |
| Seawater intake | \$70,000 | \$59,500 |
| Fire Exinguishers | \$10,000 | \$8,500 |
| Sub-total | \$11,130,250 | \$9,460,713 |
| Honiara Base (Leased to HI | FMA) | 4. |
| Fish market | \$618,000 | \$525,300 |
| Building (service) | \$1,785,250 | \$1,517,463 |
| Cold storage | \$304,000 | \$258,400 |
| Ice making/storage | \$282,000 | \$239,700 |
| Hand lifter | \$10,800 | \$9,180 |
| Pellet | \$3,000 | \$2,550 |
| Truck | \$81,000 | \$68,850 |
| Esky | \$40,000 | \$34,000 |
| Radio | \$54,000 | \$45,900 |
| Transport boat | \$540,550 | \$459,468 |
| Sub-total | \$3,178,050 | \$2,701,343 |
| Total | \$14,308,300 | \$12,162,055 |
| Contingency (20%) | \$2,861,660 | \$2,432,411 |
| Wharf | \$6,000,000 | \$5,100,000 |
| Grand Total | \$23,169,960 | \$19,694,466 |

Remarks: 1) Total cost excludes the cost of transport boat.

2) Conversion factor of 0.85 is applied for economic cost, and this fac this factor is generally used by international agencies for the Sour Pacific Countries.

Source: Economic factor provided by MOF.

Table A-9.7 Reinvestment cost & O/M cost of HCM

Unit: SI\$ Maintenance Total (O/M) Re-investment Salary/wages Utilities Year \$25,000 \$239,502 1995 \$49,500 \$314,002 1996 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 1997 \$2,550 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 \$25,000 1998 \$0 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 \$314,002 1999 \$77,350 \$25,000 \$239,502 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$314,002 2000 \$2,550 \$239,502 \$314,002 2001 \$0 \$25,000 \$49,500 2002 \$0 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 2003 \$2,550 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 2004 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 \$166,430 \$25,000 \$314,002 2005 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$0 2006 \$2,550 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 \$25,000 \$314,002 2007 \$0 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$239,502 \$49,500 \$314,002 2008 \$0 \$25,000 \$239,502 \$314,002 2009 \$913,750 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 2010 \$0 \$314,002 2011 \$0 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$239,502 \$314,002 2012 \$2,550 \$25,000 \$49,500 2013 \$0 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 \$239,502 \$49,500 2014 \$166,430 \$25,000 \$314,002 \$2,550 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 2015 \$314,002 2016 \$0 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 2017 \$0 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 2018 \$2,550 \$25,000 \$49,500 \$239,502 \$314,002 \$49,500 \$314,002 \$0 \$25,000 \$239,502

Salvage value S1\$2,872,490 is included.

Table A-9.8 Economic Evaluation of HCM Project

| **** | ···· | | · | Unit: SI\$ |
|-------|-------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|
| Yea | r Investment Cost | O/M Cost | Benefit | Net Benefit |
| 199 | 19,694,466 | | 0 | (19,694,466) |
| 199 | 5 | 314,000 | 1,218,616 | 904,616 |
| 199 | 5 | 314,000 | 1,272,695 | 958,695 |
| 199 | 7 2,550 | 314,000 | 1,326,774 | 1,010,224 |
| . 199 | 3 | 314,000 | 1,380,854 | 1,066,854 |
| 1999 | 77,350 | 314,000 | 1,434,933 | 1,043,583 |
| 2000 | 2,550 | 314,000 | 1,489,012 | 1,172,462 |
| 200 | Į , | 314,000 | 1,586,781 | 1,272,781 |
| 2002 |) . | 314,000 | 1,684,365 | 1,370,365 |
| 2003 | 2,550 | 314,000 | 1,781,950 | 1,465,400 |
| 2004 | 166,430 | 314,000 | 1,879,534 | 1,399,104 |
| 2005 | 5 | 314,000 | 1,977,118 | 1,663,118 |
| 2006 | 2,550 | 314,000 | 2,074,703 | 1,758,153 |
| 2007 | | 314,000 | 2,172,287 | 1,858,287 |
| 2008 | 3 , | 314,000 | 2,269,871 | 1,955,871 |
| 2009 | 913,750 | 314,000 | 2,367,456 | 1,139,706 |
| 2010 | | 314,000 | 2,464,855 | 2,150,855 |
| 2011 | | 314,000 | 2,464,855 | 2,150,855 |
| 2012 | 2,550 | 314,000 | 2,464,855 | 2,148,305 |
| 2013 | | 314,000 | 2,464,855 | 2,150,855 |
| 2014 | | 314,000 | 2,464,855 | 1,984,425 |
| 2015 | • | 314,000 | 2,464,855 | 2,148,305 |
| 2016 | | 314,000 | 2,464,855 | 2,150,855 |
| 2017 | | 314,000 | 2,464,855 | 2,150,855 |
| 2018 | • | 314,000 | 2,464,855 | 2,148,305 |
| 2019 |) | 314,000 | 5,337,345 | 5,023,345 |

Remarks: 1) The wharf construction cost is included.

2) Salvage value of SI\$2,872,490 is included.

Table A-9.9 Physical Life of Building and Equipment of WPFMA Project (Model Zone 3)

| | Financial Cost | | Dhamical | | Unit: SI\$ |
|---|---|-------------|------------------|--------------|------------|
| | Unit cost | Total | Physical Year | Depreciation | Main. |
| (A) Satelites | Oin cost | TOIRI | 1 6411 | | |
| Buildings | \$83,200 | \$499,200 | 25 | \$19,968 | \$9,984 |
| Ice storage | \$18,100 | \$108,600 | 15 | \$7,240 | \$2,172 |
| Water tank | 410,100 | 4.001000 | | Ψ7,210 | Ψ2,172 |
| 600 gal. | \$2,400 | \$14,400 | 10 | \$1,440 | \$144 |
| Radio | \$27,000 | \$108,000 | 10 | \$10,800 | \$1,080 |
| Esky | \$1,100 | \$110,000 | 10 | \$11,000 | \$1,100 |
| Sub-total | | \$840,200 | | \$50,448 | \$14,480 |
| (B) Noro Base | *************************************** | | | | |
| Building | \$377,055 | \$377,055 | 25 | \$15,082 | \$7,541 |
| Cold/ice storage | \$350,000 | \$350,000 | 15 | \$23,333 | \$7,000 |
| Truck crane | \$87,500 | \$87,500 | 5 | \$17,500 | \$4,375 |
| Truck | \$85,000 | \$85,000 | 5 | \$17,000 | \$4,250 |
| Water tank | ٠., | | | | |
| 2000 gal. | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | 10 | \$600 | \$60 |
| Radio | \$27,000 | \$27,000 | 10 | \$2,700 | \$270 |
| Esky | \$1,050 | \$157,500 | 10 | \$15,750 | \$1,575 |
| Sub-total | | \$1,090,055 | - * | \$91,966 | \$25,071 |
| Contingecy (10%) | | \$193,026 | | | |
| Total | | \$2,123,281 | | \$142,414 | \$39,551 |
| Transport boat | \$540,550 | \$1,081,100 | 15 | \$72,073 | \$10,811 |
| TOTAL | | \$3,204,381 | | \$214,487 | \$50,362 |
| D 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | ~ | | |

Remarks: 1) Transport boats will be introduced in the year 2000.

Table A-9.10 Reinvestment cost & O/M cost of WPFMA (Model Zone 3)

| | Re-investment | Salary/wages | Utilities | Maintenance | Others | Unit: SI\$ |
|------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|------------------------|
| 1995 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$15,000 | | Total (O/M) |
| 1996 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | | \$19,578 | \$121,890 |
| 1997 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$15,000 | \$19,578 | \$121,890 |
| 1998 | \$1,804,788 | - | | \$15,000 | \$19,578 | \$121,890 |
| 1999 | \$1,004,700 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$33,618 | \$19,578 | \$140,508 |
| 2000 | \$918,935 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$33,618 | \$19,578 | \$140,508 |
| 2000 | \$910,933 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$19,578 | \$149,698 |
| 2001 | \$146.625 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$20,600 | \$150,720 |
| 2002 | \$146,625 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$21,622 | \$151,742 |
| | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$22,644 | \$152,764 |
| 2004 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$23,666 | \$153,786 |
| 2005 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$24,688 | \$154,808 |
| 2006 | 0.50.5.00.0 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$25,710 | \$155,830 |
| 2007 | \$506,090 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$26,732 | \$156,852 |
| 2008 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$27,754 | \$157,874 |
| 2009 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$28,776 | \$158,896 |
| 2010 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159,918 |
| 2011 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159,918 |
| 2012 | \$1,363,060 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159,918 |
| 2013 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159,918 |
| 2014 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159.918 |
| 2015 | \$918,935 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159,918 |
| 2016 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159,918 |
| 2017 | \$506,090 | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159,918 |
| 2018 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159,918 |
| 2019 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | \$159,918 |
| 2020 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29,798 | |
| | | | | | \$29,798 | \$159,918 \$159,918 |
| 2021 | | \$66,000 | \$21,312 | \$42,808 | \$29 | ,798 |

²⁾ Buildings and equipment will be introduced in 1998.3) Initial operation will commence with existing transport vessel (Kurao).

Table A-9.11 Economic Evaluation of WPFMA Project (Model Zone 3)

| | | | • | Unit: SI\$ |
|------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| Year | Investment Cost | O/M Cost | Benefit | Net Benefit |
| 1994 | | | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | | \$128,490 | \$121,900 | (\$6,590) |
| 1996 | | \$135,741 | \$141,584 | \$5,843 |
| 1997 | | \$142,992 | \$161,268 | \$18,276 |
| 1998 | \$1,640,717 | \$150,243 | \$180,952 | (\$1,610,008) |
| 1999 | | \$157,494 | \$200,636 | \$43,142 |
| 2000 | | \$164,745 | \$220,320 | \$55,575 |
| 2001 | \$918,935 | \$166,364 | \$239,505 | (\$845,794) |
| 2002 | *** , | \$167,983 | \$258,690 | \$90,707 |
| 2003 | \$172,500 | \$169,602 | \$277,875 | (\$64,227) |
| 2004 | 4.7-1000 | \$171,221 | \$297,060 | \$125,839 |
| 2005 | | \$172,840 | \$316,245 | \$143,405 |
| 2006 | | \$174,459 | \$335,430 | \$160,971 |
| 2007 | | \$176,078 | \$354,615 | \$178,537 |
| 2008 | \$595,400 | \$177,697 | \$373,800 | (\$399,297) |
| 2009 | 43751100 | \$179,316 | \$392,985 | \$213,669 |
| 2010 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2011 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2012 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2013 | \$172,500 | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$58,735 |
| 2014 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2015 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2016 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2017 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2018 | \$595,400 | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | (\$364,165) |
| 2019 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2020 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2021 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |
| 2022 | | \$180,935 | \$412,170 | \$231,235 |

Salvage value of SI\$1,014,047 is included.

EIRR= 0.95%

Table A-9.12 Maintainence Cost of Facilities in Rennell Development Project

| • | | | Unit: Sl\$ | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---|------------|--|
| | Financial Cost | % | Main. | |
| Fisheries Development Plan | | | | |
| Road improvement | \$1,215,000 | 1 | \$12,150 | |
| Unloading barge station | \$981,837 | 1 | \$9,818 | |
| Plumbing work | \$48,600 | 3 | \$1,458 | |
| Equipment | \$334,120 | 5 | \$16,706 | |
| Freshwater intake system | \$270,000 | 5 | \$13,500 | |
| Training equipment | \$89,000 | 5 | \$4,450 | |
| Solar powered equipment | \$162,000 | 10 | \$16,200 | |
| Community Assistance Plan | | *************************************** | | |
| MCAC Building | \$1,347,600 | 1 | \$13,476 | |
| MCAC Solar Powered facilities | \$649,540 | 10 | \$64,954 | |
| Water intake system & tank | \$438,150 | 5 | \$21,908 | |
| Equipment | \$297,300 | 5 | \$14,865 | |
| Trailer tractor | \$415,200 | . 5 | \$20,760 | |
| Total | \$6,248,347 | | \$210,245 | |

Table A-9.13 Summary of Estimated Economic Benefits

HFMA project (Model Zones 1 & 2)

| | : | | Unit: SI\$ |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Time cost saving | - | | |
| - Increase catch | \$780,000 | \$904,000 | \$1,560,000 |
| - Consumer | \$56,908 | \$83,688 | \$108,203 |
| Fuel saving | | | |
| - Collection/transport | \$73,022 | \$90,411 | \$195,774 |
| - Storage/transport | \$2,189 | \$2,530 | \$4,378 |
| Value added | \$135,774 | \$157,320 | \$271,890 |
| | \$1,047,893 | \$1,237,949 | \$2,140,245 |

HCM project (Model Zone 1)

| | * | * * | Unit: SI\$ |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Time cost saving | | | |
| - Increase catch | \$780,000 | \$904,000 | \$1,560,000 |
| - Consumer (Fish) | \$56,908 | \$83,688 | \$108,203 |
| - Consumer (General) | \$170,723 | \$251,063 | \$324,610 |
| Fuel saving | • | | |
| - Collection/transport | \$73,022 | \$90,411 | \$195,774 |
| - Storage/transport | \$2,189 | \$2,530 | \$4,378 |
| Value added | \$135,774 | \$157,320 | \$271,890 |
| | \$1,218,616 | \$1,489,012 | \$2,464,855 |

Table A-9.14 Increase of Catch And Benefits Through Time Saving

| A New York Commence of the Paris | Number of boat trips per week | Time saved by 2 persons per boat trip in a week (man-days) | Increase fis | sh catch (MT) | Total benefit (SI\$) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | tipo por most | (<i>,</i> , | Week | Annual | |
| 1995 | 25 | 200 | 3 | 156 | \$780,000 |
| 2000 | 29 | 232 | 3 | 181 | \$904,800 |
| 2010 | 50 | 400 | 6 | 312 | \$1,560,000 |

Remarks: 1) Time saving of two persons per boat trip refers to 4 days of saving per week with the fish collection and transport by HFMA to Honiara.

- 2) Normally two persons a boat travel to Honiara to sell fish.
- 3) Average fish catch per trip/person is 15 kg.

Table A-9.15 Time Saving by Fresh Fish Purchasers at HCM

| | Number of Households in Honiara | Weekly Visits to HCM for General Purchase (2 times a week) | | Time saved (man-days) | Benefit (SI\$) |
|------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 1995 | 5,253 | 10,506 | 546,312 | 5,691 | \$56,908 |
| 2000 | 7.725 | 15,450 | 803,400 | 8,369 | \$83,688 |
| 2010 | 9,988 | 19,976 | 1,038,752 | 10,820 | \$108,203 |

Remarks: 1) Number of household estimated based on estimated Honiara population of 1992, 2000 and 2010 and household size of 6.5 person/house.

- It is assumed that a member of the household visits at least two times a week for general purchase.
- 3) A consumer visiting market to purchase fresh fish experience time loss in terms of moving, sorting and selecting from one esky to another due to congestion of access ways caused by haphazard and unsystematic. manner of arrangement of eskies.
- 4) With project, the consumer is assumed to save at least 5 minutes per visit to purchase fresh fish.
- 5) Salary/wages of SI\$10/man-day was applied.

Table A-9.16 Time Saving by General Consumers of HCM

| | Number of Households in Honiara | Weekly Visits to HCM for General Purchase (3 times a week) | | Time saved (man-days) | Benefit (SI\$) | |
|------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 1995 | 5,253 | 15,759 | 819,468 | 17,072 | \$170,723 | |
| 2000 | 7.725 | 23,175 | 1,205,100 | 25,106 | \$251,063 | |
| 2010 | 9,988 | 29,964 | 1,558,128 | 32,461 | \$324,610 | |

Remarks: 1) Number of household estimated based on estimated Honiara population of 1992, 2000 and 2010 and household size of 6.5 person/house.

- 2) It is assumed that a member of the household visits at least three times a week for general purchase.
- 3) A consumer spends at least 30 minutes in the market and experience time loss in terms of moving haphazardly and unsystematic manner due to congestion of access ways.
- 4) With project, the consumer is assumed to save at least 10 minutes per visit by using the planned access ways for vehicles and people.
- 5) Salary/wages of SI\$10/man-day was applied.

Table A-9.17 Estimated Fuel Consumption (Model Zones 1 & 2)

a) Without Proj Fuel consumed on a round trip from Village to Honiara

| | Round Trip (miles) | Fuel consumption Per Round Trip (liters) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Oleu> Hon> Tul ->Olue. | 75 . | 57 |
| Soso -> Hon> Tul -> Soso | 84 | 63 |
| Vura -> Hon, -> Tul -> Vura | 102 | 77 |
| Humba -> Hon> Tul -> Humba | 66 | 50 |
| Peula -> Hon> Tul -> Peula | 80 | 60 |
| Tul -> Hon -> Tul | 52 | 40 |

Remarks: 1) Boat engine; 25 HP with speed of 12 knots.
2) Fuel consumption (diesel); 9 liters/hour

b) With Project Fuel consumed using carrier boats by HFMA

| | Round Trip (miles) | Fuel consumption Per Round Trip (liters) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Oleu, <-> Tul | 34 | 36 |
| Soso <-> Tul | 40 | 21 |
| Vura <-> Tul | 52 | 28 |
| Humba <-> Tul | 24 | 13 |
| Peula <-> Tul | 40 | 21 |
| Tul <-> Hon (Transport vessel) | 52 | 68 |

Remarks: 1) Boat engine; 42 HP with speed of 10 knots.

1) Transport vessel (Tul <-> Hon): Boat engine; 42 HP & speed 10 knots.

Fuel consumption (diesel); 13 liters/hour

2) Carrier boats: Boat engine; 25 HP & speed 12 knots.

Fuel consumption; 9 liters/hour

c) Estimated Number of Trips in 1995, 2000 & 2010

| | Number of Boat Trips/Week | | Number of | ar | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|------|-----------|-------|------|------|
| | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Oleu> Hon> Tul ->Olue. | 18 | 20 | 38 | 936 | 1040 | 1976 |
| Soso -> Hon> Tul -> Soso | 4 | 5 | 9 | 208 | 260 | 468 |
| Vura -> Hon> Tul -> Vura | 2 | 3 | 5 | 104 | 156 | 260 |
| Humba -> Hon> Tul -> Humba | 3 | 4 | 7 | 156 | 208 | 364 |
| Peula -> Hon> Tul -> Peula | 2 | 2 | 3 | 104 | 104 | 156 |
| Tul -> Hon -> Tul | 5 | 5 | 10 | , 260 | 260 | 520 |

| | Number | Number of Boat Trips/Week | | | Number of Boat Trips/Year | | |
|---------------|--------|---------------------------|------|------|---------------------------|------|--|
| | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 | 1995 | 2000 ° | 2010 | |
| Oleu. <-> Tul | 2 | 2 | 2 | 104 | 104 | 104 | |
| Soso <-> Tul | 2 | 2 | 2 | 104 | 104 | 104 | |
| Vura <-> Tul | 2 | 2 | 2 | 104 | 104 | 104 | |
| Humba <-> Tul | 2 | 2 | 2 | 104 | 104 | 104 | |
| Peula <-> Tul | 2 - | 2 | 2 | 104 | 104 | 104 | |

d) Estimated Fuel Consumption in 1995, 2000 & 2010

| | Without Project Annual Fuel Consumption (liters) | | | With Pro | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---------|---------|-------------|---------------|-----------|
| _ | | | | Annual Fuel | Consumption (| (liters) |
| · | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Oleu> Hon> Tul ->Olue. | 53,352 | 59,280 | 112,632 | 3,744 | 3,744 | 3,744 |
| Soso -> Hon> Tul -> Soso | 13,104 | 16,380 | 29,484 | 1,248 | 1,248 | 1,248 |
| Vura -> Hon> Tul -> Vura | 8,008 | 12,012 | 20,020 | 2,912 | 2,912 | 2,912 |
| Humba -> Hon> Tul -> Humba | 7,800 | 10,400 | 18,200 | 1,352 | 1,352 | 1,352 |
| Peula -> Hon> Tul -> Peula | 6,240 | 6,240 | 9,360 | 2,184 | 2,184 | 2,184 |
| Tul -> Hon -> Tul | 10,400 | 10,400 | 20,800 | 21,080 | 21,080 | 21,080 |
| Total | 98,904 | 114,712 | 210,496 | 32,520 | 32,520 | 32,520 |
| Fuel saved with project (liters) | | | | 66,384 | 82,192 | 177,976 |
| Fuel cost (SI\$) at SI\$1.10/liter | | | | \$73,022 | \$90,411 | \$195,774 |

Table A-9.18 Estimated Total Flow of Fresh Fish to Honiara (1995, 2000 & 2010)

| | Choiseul | Western | Central | Isabel | Malaita | Guadacanal | Total |
|------|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|------------|-------|
| 1995 | 38 | 2 | 258 | 82 | 12 | 43 | 435 |
| 2000 | . 44 | 4 | 299 | 95 | 14 | 48 | 504 |
| 2010 | 66 | 4 | 560 | 144 | 23 | 64 | 861 |

Table A-9.19 Fuel Saving Through Use of Storage at HCM

| : | | Handling | Storage | | | |
|------|----------------|---------------|------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Fresh Fish | Volume of | Volume of | | | |
| | Volume Flow to | Fresh Fish at | Fresh Fish | No. of Eskie | es Fuel Saved | Total benefit |
| | Honiara (mt) | HCM (mt) | (mt) | Stored | (liters) | (SI\$) |
| 1995 | 435 | 397 | 199 | 1990 | 1990 | \$2,189 |
| 2000 | 504 | 460 | 230 | 2300 | 2300 | \$2,530 |
| 2010 | 861 | 795 | 398 | 3980 | 3980 | \$4,378 |

Remarks: 1) Handling volume at HCM excludes fresh fish from Choiseul as VDA does the marketing.

- 2) Approximately 50 percent of eskies with unsold fresh fish are transported on an average of 3 km away from HCM for storage at friend's or relative's house. A distance of 6 km is covered per esky/trip using taxi or van.
- 3) Fuel consumption for a distance of 6 km is about one liter.
- 4) With project, eskies with unsold fresh fish can be stored in the storage provided at HCM.
- 5) Price of gasoline is SI\$1.10/liter.

Table A-9.20 Value Added From Export of Fresh Fish

| | Fresh Fish | Handling Volume of | Product | | Increase | |
|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Volume Flow to Honiara (mt) | Fresh Fish at HCM (mt) | Weight (mt) | Exportable Volume (mt) | benefit (SI\$/kg) | Total benefit (SI\$) |
| 1995 | .435 | 397 | 357 | 36 | \$3.80 | \$135,774 |
| 2000 | 504 | 460 | 414 | 41 | \$3.80 | \$157,320 |
| 2010 | 861 | 795 | 716 | 72 | \$3.80 | \$271,890 |

- Remarks: 1) Handling volume excludes fresh fish from Choiseul as VDA does the marketing.
 - 2) Product weight (90 percent of whole weight) excludes guts and gill.
 - 3) Exportable quantity of fresh fish is estimated at 10 percent of product weight.
 - 4) Increase benefit is cif price minus sales price to exporter (SI\$9.80-SI\$6.00 = SI\$3.80)
 - 5) Calculation of Cif price (SI\$/kg):

Market price (Brisbane) SI\$13.20/kg Deduct Freight SI\$2.40/kg SI\$1.00/kg Deduct processing/packing

SI\$9.80/kg Cif price

6) Sales price to exporters is SI\$6.00/kg. 7) Market price of whole fresh fish in Brisbane is A\$6.00/kg (SI13.20/g).

Table A-9.21 Revenue and Expenditure of HFMA Project by Cases (1/4)

| Case-1 | U | a | se | - | ł |
|--------|---|---|----|---|---|
|--------|---|---|----|---|---|

| Case x | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | | | | | Unit: SI\$ |
| | | | | 2000 | 2010 |
| Fish purchase (mt) | | | | 414 | 716 |
| Florida Is. | | | | 227 | 423 |
| Other source | | | | 187 | 293 |
| Ice sales (mt) | | | | 64 | 64 |
| Revenue | | | | \$3,127,745 | \$5,400,245 |
| 1) Fish Marketing | | | | \$3,118,500 | \$5,391,000 |
| Local sales | | | | | |
| Grade-A | | | | \$637,500 | \$1,096,500 |
| Grade-B | • | | | \$2,235,000 | \$3,862,500 |
| Exporters | | | | \$246,000 | \$432,000 |
| 2) Ice | | · | | \$9,245 | \$9,245 |
| | | m.1: | Satalita | | |
| | Honiara | Tulagi | Satelite | PA 107 (12 | \$3,796,643 |
| Expenditure | \$216,643 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,286,643 | \$216,643 |
| Fixed | \$216,643 | . \$0 | \$0 | \$216,643 | |
| 1) Salary/wages | \$89,280 | \$0 #0 | \$0 \$0 | \$89,280 | \$89,280 \$50,880 |
| 2) Utility | \$50,880 | \$ 0 | \$0 *** | \$50,880 | |
| 3) Maintenance | \$58,623 | \$0 | \$0 | \$58,623 | \$58,623 |
| 4) General expense | \$17,860 | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,860 \$0 | \$17,860 \$0 |
| | 60 | \$ 0 | ው ስ | \$2,070,000 | \$3,580,000 |
| Variable | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | • • • | |
| 1) Fish purchase | 40 | 40 | ΦΦ. | \$2,070,000 | \$3,580,000 |
| 2) Fuel | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | . \$0 | \$0 |
| Profit/Loss Bef. Dep | reciation | | | \$841,102 | \$1,603,602 |
| Depreciation | \$157,477 | \$0 | \$0 | \$157,477 | \$157,477 |
| Profit/Loss Aft. Depi | reciation | . : | | \$683,625 | \$1,446,125 |
| | • | | | | |

Remarks: 1) Fishermen transport fish to Honiara using own FRP boats (Case-1)

²⁾ HFMA purchase the fish from fishermen at SI\$5.00/kg at Honiara.

³⁾ Transport vessel not introduced yet.

⁴⁾ Tulagi base not introduced.

⁵⁾ Ice sales at \$6.50 per tray (45kg).

Table A-9.21 Revenue and Expenditure of HFMA Project by Cases (2/4)

Case-2

| C436-M | | | | | Unit: SI\$ |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | | 2000 | 2010 |
| Fish purchase (mt) | | | | 414 | 716 |
| Florida Is. | | | | 227 | 423 |
| Other source | | | | 187 | 293 |
| Ice sales (mt) | | | | 64 | 64 |
| Revenue | | | | \$3,127,745 | \$5,400,245 |
| 1) Fish Marketing | | | | \$3,118,500 | \$5,391,000 |
| Local sales | | | | | |
| Grade-A | | | | \$637,500 | \$1,096,500 |
| Grade-B | | | | \$2,235,000 | \$3,862,500 |
| Exporters | | | | \$246,000 | \$432,000 |
| 2) Ice | | | | \$9,245 | \$9,245 |
| | | | | | |
| | Honiara | Tulagi | Satelite | | |
| Expenditure | \$216,643 | \$0 | \$3,570 | \$2,290,213 | \$3,800,213 |
| Fixed | \$216,643 | \$0 | \$3,570 | \$220,213 | \$220,213 |
| 1) Salary/wages | \$89,280 | \$0 | \$0 | \$89,280 | \$89,280 |
| 2) Utility | \$50,880 | \$0 | \$0 | \$50,880 | \$50,880 |
| 3) Maintenance | \$58,623 | \$0 | \$3,570 | \$62,193 | \$62,193 |
| 4) General expense | \$17,860 | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,860 | \$17,860 |
| | | | | \$0 | \$0 |
| Variable | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,070,000 | \$3,580,000 |
| 1) Fish purchase | | | | \$2,070,000 | \$3,580,000 |
| 2) Fuel | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Profit/Loss Bef. Depr | reciation | | | \$837,532 | \$1,600,032 |
| 5) Depreciation | \$157,477 | \$0 | \$16,040 | \$173,517 | \$173,517 |
| Profit/Loss Aft. Depr | eciation | | | \$664,015 | \$1,426,515 |

Remarks: 1) Fishermen transport fish to Honiara using own Agency's leased boats (Case-2).

- 2) HFMA purchase the fish from fishermen at SI\$5.00/kg at Honiara.
- 3) Transport vessel not introduced yet.
- 4) Tulagi base not introduced.
- 5) Ice sales at \$6.50 per tray (45kg).

Table A-9.21 Revenue and Expenditure of HFMA Project by Cases (3/4)

Case-3

| | | | | | Unit: SI\$ |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | | 2000 | 2010 |
| Fish purchase (mt) | | | | 414 | 716 |
| Florida Is. | | | | 227 | 423 |
| Other source | | | | 187 | 293 |
| Ice sales (mt) | | | | 64 | 64 |
| Passengers | | | | 313 | 313 |
| Cargo (mt) | | | | 157 | 157 |
| Revenue | | | | \$3,171,565 | \$5,444,065 |
| 1) Fish Marketing | | | | \$3,118,500 | \$5,391,000 |
| Local sales | | | | | 7.1 |
| Grade-A | | | | \$637,500 | \$1,096,500 |
| Grade-B | | | | \$2,235,000 | \$3,862,500 |
| Exporters | | | | \$246,000 | \$432,000 |
| 2) Ice | | | | \$9,245 | \$9,245 |
| 3) Passengers | | | | \$31,300 | \$31,300 |
| 4) Cargo | | | | \$12,520 | \$12,520 |
| | Honiara | Tulagi | Satelite | | |
| Expenditure | \$270,188 | \$124,677 | \$3,570 | \$2,218,735 | \$3,513,135 |
| Fixed | \$241,988 | \$124,677 | \$3,570 | \$370,235 | \$370,235 |
| 1) Salary/wages | \$103,680 | \$57,600 | \$0 | \$161,280 | \$161,280 |
| 2) Utility | \$50,880 | \$20,500 | \$0: | \$71,380 | \$71,380 |
| 3) Maintenance | \$66,728 | \$35,057 | \$3,570 | \$105,355 | \$105,355 |
| 4) General expense | \$20,700 | \$11,520 | \$0 | \$32,220 | \$32,220 |
| Variable | \$28,200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,848,500 | \$3,142,900 |
| 1) Fish purchase | | , | • | \$1,820,300 | \$3,114,700 |
| 2) Fuel | \$28,200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$28,200 | \$28,200 |
| Profit/Loss Bef. Depr | reciation | | | \$952,830 | \$1,930,930 |
| 5) Depreciation | \$198,913 | \$109,487 | \$16,040 | \$324,440 | \$324,440 |
| Profit/Loss Aft. Depr | eciation | | | \$628,390 | \$1,606,490 |
| | | • | | 100 | |

Remarks: 1) Fishermen transport fish to Tulagi Base using own Agency's leased boats (Case-3).

²⁾ HFMA purchase the fish from fishermen at SI\$3.90/kg at Tulagi Base and SI5.00/kg at Honiara.

³⁾ Transport vessel transports esky from Tulagi to Honiara.

⁴⁾ Ice sales at SI\$6.50 per tray (45kg).

⁵⁾ Five passengers one way trip at SI\$10 a person.

⁶⁾ About 0.5 mt of cargo from Honiara to Tulagi at SI\$80/mt.

Table A-9.21 Revenue and Expenditure of HFMA Project by Cases (4/4)

| Case- | .4 |
|-------|----|
| | |

5) Depreciation

Profit/Loss Aft. Depreciation

| · · | | | | | Unit: SIS |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--|----------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | 2000 | 2010 |
| Fish purchase (mt) | | | | 414 | 716 |
| Florida Is. | | | | 227 | 423 |
| Other source | | | | 187 | 293 |
| ice sales (mt) | | | • | 64 | 64 |
| Passengers | | | | 313 | 313 |
| Cargo (mt) | | | | 157 | 157 |
| Revenue | | | | \$3,171,565 | \$5,444,065 |
| 1) Fish Marketing | | ······································ | | \$3,118,500 | \$5,391,000 |
| Local sales | | | | | *1 |
| Grade-A | | | | \$637,500 | \$1,096,500 |
| Grade-B | | | | \$2,235,000 | \$3,862,500 |
| Exporters | | | | \$246,000 | \$432,000 |
| 2) Ice | | | | \$9,245 | \$9,245 |
| 2) Passengers | | | | \$31,300 | \$31,300 |
| 3) Cargo | · | | | \$12,520 | \$12,520 |
| | | | | | |
| | Honiara | Tulagi | Satelite | | |
| Expenditure | \$270,188 | \$195,541 | \$31,528 | \$1,987,357 | \$3,007,357 |
| Fixed | \$241,988 | \$153,441 | \$31,528 | \$426,957 | \$426,957 |
| Salary/wages | \$103,680 | \$79,200 | \$0 | \$182,880 | \$182,880 |
| 2) Utility | \$50,880 | \$20,500 | \$0 | \$71,380 | \$71,380 |
| 3) Maintenance | \$66,728 | \$37,901 | \$31,528 | \$136,157 | \$136,157 |
| 4) General expense | \$20,700 | \$15,840 | \$0 | \$36,540 | \$36,540 |
| Variable | \$28,200 | \$42,100 | \$0 | \$1,560,400 | \$2,580,400 |
| 1) Fish purchase | Ψωοιμού | Ψ12,100 | φυ . | \$1,502,500 | \$2,522,500 |
| 2) Fuel | \$28,200 | \$29,700 | \$0 | \$1,302,300 \$57,900 | \$2,322,300 |
| Profit/Loss Bef. Depr | eciation | | | \$1,184,208 | \$2,436,708 |

Remarks: 1) Agency purchase fish at satelites using carrier vessels and stores at Tulagi base (Case-4).

\$72,147

\$393,947

\$790,261

\$393,947

\$2,042,761

\$122,887

\$198,913

²⁾ HFMA purchase the fish from fishermen at SI\$2.50/kg at Satellite and SI5.00/kg at Honiara.

³⁾ Transport vessel transportsthe collected fish from Tulagi to Honiara.

⁴⁾ Ice sales at SI\$6.50 per tray (45kg).

⁵⁾ Five passengers one way trip at SI\$10 a person.

⁶⁾ About 0.5 mt of cargo from Honiara to Tulagi at SI\$80/mt.

Table A-9.22 Revenue and Expenditure Under Full and Partial Purchase (2000 & 2010)

| | | | | Unit: SI\$ |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | 2000 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2010 | |
| | Full Purchase | 80% Purchase | Full Purchase | 80% Purchase |
| Revenue | \$3,171,565 | \$2,547,865 | \$5,444,065 | \$4,020,265 |
| 1) Fish Marketing | \$3,118,500 | \$2,494,800 | \$5,391,000 | \$3,967,200 |
| Local sales | | | | |
| Grade-A | \$637,500 | | \$1,096,500 | \$877,200 |
| Grade-B | \$2,235,000 | \$1,788,000 | \$3,862,500 | \$3,090,000 |
| Exporters | \$246,000 | \$196,800 | \$432,000 | \$345,600 |
| 2) Ice | \$9,245 | \$9,245 | \$9,245 | |
| 3) Passengers | \$31,300 | \$31,300 | \$31,300 | |
| 4) Cargo | \$12,520 | | \$12,520 | |
| Expenditure | \$1,987,357 | \$1,686,857 | \$3,007,357 | \$2,502,857 |
| Fixed | \$426,957 | \$426,957 | \$426,957 | \$426,957 |
| 1) Salary/wages | \$182,880 | \$182,880 | \$182,880 | \$182,880 |
| Tulagi Base | \$79,200 | | \$79,200 | |
| Honiara Base | \$103,680 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | \$103,680 | |
| 2) Utility | \$71,380 | \$71,380 | \$71,380 | \$71,380 |
| Tulagi Base | \$20,500 | | \$20,500 | 4.14 |
| Honiara Base | \$50,880 | · · | \$50,880 | |
| 3) Maintenance | \$136,157 | \$136,157 | \$136,157 | \$136,157 |
| Tulagi Base | \$37,901 | | \$37,901 | |
| Honiara Base | \$66,728 | | \$66,728 | |
| Satellite Base | \$31,528 | | \$31,528 | |
| 4) General expense | \$36,540 | \$36,540 | \$36,540 | \$36,540 |
| Tulagi Base | \$15,840 | | \$15,840 | |
| Honiara Base | \$20,700 | | \$20,700 | · |
| Variable | \$1,560,400 | \$1,259,900 | \$2,580,400 | \$2,075,900 |
| 1) Fuel | \$57,900 | \$57,900 | \$57,900 | \$57,900 |
| Tulagi Base | \$29,700 | \$29,700 | \$29,700 | The second secon |
| Honiara Base | \$28,200 | \$28,200 | \$28,200 | |
| 2) Fish Purchase | \$1,502,500 | \$1,202,000 | \$2,522,500 | \$2,018,000 |
| Profit/Loss Bef. Depreciation | \$1,184,208 | \$861,008 | \$2,436,708 | \$1,517,408 |
| 5) Depreciation | \$393,947 | \$393,947 | \$202.047 | ¢202.047 |
| Tulagi Base | \$122,887 | \$122,887 | \$393,947 \$122,887 | \$393,947 |
| Honiara Base | \$198,913 | i i | | • |
| Satellite Base | \$72,147 | \$198,913 | \$198,913 | \$198,913 |
| | Φ/ ∠ ,14/ | \$72,147 | \$72,147 | \$72,147 |
| Profit/Loss Aft. Depreciation | \$790,261 | \$467,061 | \$2,042,761 | \$1,123,461 |

Remarks: 1) Full purchase indicates purchase of planned volume of fish of 414 mt in 2000 and 716 mt in 2010 2) 80% purchase refers HFMA purchases 80 percent of the planned volume and the rest marketed by the fishermen

Table A-9.23 Revenue and Expenditure of WPFMA Project (model Zone 3)

| | | | Unit: SI\$ |
|-------------------------------|--|------------|------------|
| | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Revenue | \$196,446 | \$442,266 | \$833,106 |
| 1) Fish Marketing | 71,111,1111111111111111111111111111111 | | |
| Local | \$192,000 | \$348,000 | \$660,000 |
| Export | \$0 | \$89,820 | \$164,670 |
| 2) Passengers | \$2,496 | \$2,496 | \$4,736 |
| 3) Cargo | \$1,950 | \$1,950 | \$3,700 |
| Expenditure | \$193,770 | \$292,922 | \$414,502 |
| Fixed | \$108,912 | \$155,024 | \$160,304 |
| 1) Salary/wages | \$66,000 | \$66,000 | \$70,800 |
| 2) Utility | \$21,312 | \$21,312 | \$21,312 |
| 3) Maintenance | \$15,000 | \$61,112 | \$61,112 |
| 4) General expense | \$6,600 | \$6,600 | \$7,080 |
| Variable | \$84,858 | \$137,898 | \$254,198 |
| 1) Fuel | \$19,578 | \$19,578 | \$29,798 |
| 2) Fish Purchase | \$65,280 | \$118,320 | \$224,400 |
| Profit/Loss Bef. Depreciation | \$2,676 | \$149,344 | \$418,604 |
| Depreciation | \$0 | \$214,487 | \$214,487 |
| Profit/Loss Aft. Depreciation | \$2,676 | (\$65,143) | \$204,117 |

Remarks: 1) In 1995, there will no new facilities and Kualao will be used.

²⁾ In 2000, two new boats will be introduced and new facilities will be constructed.

³⁾ In 2000, WPFMA will export grade A fish.

Table A-9.24 Estimated Revenue From Fresh Fish Sales by HFMA (Model Zones 1 & 2)

| | 1995 | | 2000 |) | 2010 |) |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| • | Q'ty (mt) | Value (SI\$) | Q'ty (mt) | Value (SI\$) | Q'ty (mt) | Value (SI\$) |
| Purchase | | | | | | |
| - Florida Islands | 195 | \$487,500 | 227 | \$567,500 | 423 | \$1,057,500 |
| - Other sources | 162 | \$810,000 | 187 | \$935,000 | 293 | \$1,465,000 |
| sub-total | 357 | \$1,297,500 | 414 | \$1,502,500 | 716 | \$2,522,500 |
| Sales | | | | | | |
| - Local sales | 321 | | 373 | | 644 | |
| Grade-A | 64 | \$545,700 | 75 | \$634,100 | 129 | \$1,094,800 |
| Grade-B | 257 | \$1,926,000 | 298 | \$2,238,000 | 515 | \$3,864,000 |
| - Exporters | 36 | \$216,000 | 41 | \$246,000 | 72 | \$432,000 |
| sub-total | 357 | \$2,687,700 | 414 | \$3,118,100 | 716 | \$5,390,800 |
| Revenue | | \$1,390,200 | | \$1,615,600 | | \$2,868,300 |

Remarks: 1) Quantity refer to product weight.

- 2) Sales of exportable quantity to exporters is estimated at 10 percent of fish handled by HFMA.
- 3) Purchase price of SI\$2,50/kg was applied for fish purchased purchased at the satellites.
- 4) Purchase price of SI\$5.00/kg was applied for fish from other sources in Honiara.
- 5) Sale prices of SI\$8.50/kg for Grade-A and SI\$7.50/kg for Grade-B were applied for local sale
- 6) Sale prices of SI\$6.00/kg for exporters was applied.

Table A-9.25 Total Revenue Earning by HFMA (Model Zones 1 & 2)

| • | • | | Unit: SI\$ |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1995 | 2000 | 2010 |
| Fish sales | \$1,390,200 | \$1,615,600 | \$2,868,300 |
| Passengers | \$31,300 | \$31,300 | \$31,300 |
| Cargo | \$12,520 | \$12,520 | \$12,520 |
| Ice sales | \$9,245 | \$9,245 | \$9,245 |
| | \$1,443,265 | \$1,668,665 | \$2,921,365 |

Remarks: 1) Revenue from passengers based on 10 persons/round trip on transport boat between Honiara and Tulagi, for 313 trips a year at SI\$10.00/person/one way.

- 2) Revenue from cargo based on allowable cargo of 0.5 MT per return trip from Honiara to Tulagi for 313 trips a year at SI\$80/MT.
- 3) Revenue from ice based on loca sales of about 64 MT (1422 trays at 45kg/tray) of ice at SI\$6.50 per tray.

Table A-9.26 Income Statement and Cash Flow of the HFMA Project (1/2)

| | | - | | 2 | | ~ | , | r | ٥ | 0 | Ç | | Unit: SI\$ |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| T Transfer Office 1 | 2001 | , 20, | 1000 | 0001 | 1000 | 0000 | | , 000 | 0 | | 27 | 11 | 77 |
| i. income Statement | C661 | 1990 | 1661 | 2881 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| A. Revenue | | 2,740,765 | 2,826,845 | 2,912,925 | 2,999,005 | 3,171,165 | 3,398,435 | 3,625,705 | 3,852,975 | 4,080,245 | 4,307,515 | 4,534,785 | 4,762,055 |
| Basic Facilities | | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Fish sales | | 2,687,700 | 2,773,780 | 2,859,860 | 2,945,940 | 3,118,100 | 3,345,370 | 3,572,640 | 3,799,910 | 4,027,180 | 4.254,450 | 4,481,720 | 4,708,990 |
| 2) Ice | | 9,245 | 9,245 | 9,245 | 9,245 | 9,245 | 9,245 | 9.245 | 9,245 | 9,245 | 9,245 | 9.245 | 9.245 |
| 3) Passengers | | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 |
| 4) Cargo | | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12.520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 |
| 5) Salvage value | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B. Expense | | 2,150,447 | 2,191,447 | 2,232,447 | 2,273,447 | 2,355,447 | 2,457,447 | 2,559,447 | 2,661,447 | 2,763,447 | 2,865,447 | 2,967,447 | 3.069.447 |
| 1) O/M | | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 |
| 2) Fish purchase | | 1,297,500 | 1,338,500 | 1,379,500 | 1,420,500 | 1,502,500 | 1,604,500 | 1,706,500 | 1,808,500 | 1,910,500 | 2,012,500 | 2,114,500 | 2,216,500 |
| 3) Depreciation | | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C. Income before Depre. | 0 | 984,265 | 1,029,345 | 1,074,425 | 1,119,505 | 1,209,665 | 1,334,935 | 1,460,205 | 1,585,475 | 1,710,745 | 1,836,015 | 1,961,285 | 2,086,555 |
| D. Net Income | 0 | 590,318 | 635,398 | 680,478 | 725,558 | 815,718 | 940,988 | 1,066,258 | 1,191,528 | 1,316,798 | 1,442,068 | 1,567,338 | 1,692,608 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Sources of Funds | 10,449,320 | 984,265 | 1,029,345 | 1,074,425 | 1,119,505 | 1,209,665 | 1,334,935 | 1,460,205 | 1,585,475 | 1,710,745 | 1,836,015 | 1,961,285 | 2,086,555 |
| 1) Govt. | 10,449,320 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Depreciation | | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 |
| 3) Net income | 0 | 590,318 | 635,398 | 680,478 | 725,558 | 815,718 | 940,988 | 1,066,258 | 1,191,528 | 1,316,798 | 1 442,068 | 1.567,338 | 1.692,608 |
| B. Uses of Funds | 10,449,320 | 0 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 210,500 | 3,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000 | 747,300 | 0 | 3,000 |
| 1) Construction | 10,449,320 | | | | | 77777770000000000000000000000000000000 | | | | | | | |
| Wharf | 2,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Market/others | 8,449,320 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Reinvestment | ٠ | | | 3,000 | | 210,500 | 3,000 | | | 3,000 | 747,300 | | 3.000 |
| C. Net cash flow | 0 | 984,265 | 1,029,345 | 1,071,425 | 1,119,505 | 999,165 | 1,331,935 | 1,460,205 | 1,585,475 | 1,707,745 | 1,088,715 | 1,961,285 | 2,083,555 |

Remarks: Assumption HFMA owns the fisheries related facilities in the Honiara Central Market.

Table A-9.26 Income Statement and Cash Flow of the HFMA Project (2/2)

| Native Properties | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| A. Revenue 4,989,325 5,216,595 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,5462,365 5,5462 | 13 | | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| A. Revenue 4,989,325 5,216,595 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,543,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 5,443,865 1,520 12,520< | | | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Basic Facilities 1) Fish sales 4,936,266 5,163,530 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,390,800 5,245 5,245 5,245 5,245 5,246,2365 12,520 12, | | | 1 | 5,443,865 | 5,443,865 | 5,443,865 | 5,443,865 | 5,443,865 | 5,443,865 | 5,443,865 | 5,443,865 | 5,443,865 | 5,443,865 | 6,281,448 |
| Fish sales | | 1: | l | | | | | - | | | | | 1- | |
| 9,245 9,245 9,245 9,245 9,245 9,245 9,245 31,300 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 2,3375,447 3,375 | | | 1163.530 | 5.390.800 | 5.390.800 | 5.390,800 | 5.390.800 | 5.390,800 | 5,390,800 | 5,390,800 | 5,390,800 | 5,390,800 | 5,390,800 | 5,390,800 |
| 9) Passengers 31,300 31,300 31,300 31,300 31,300 31,300 31,300 4) Cargo 5) Salvage value 12,520,500 12,520,500 12 | | | 0.745 | 0.245 | 0 245 | 9245 | 9.245 | 9.245 | 9.245 | 9.245 | 9,245 | 9,245 | 9,245 | 9,245 |
| 9) Passengers 31,300 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 2) Fish purchase 2,318,500 2,402,500 2,522,500 2,462,365 2,462,3 | | 7,74 | 7,4 | 44.6 | C+4.4 | 000 | | 1000 | 000.00 | 200.10 | 21.200 | 21 200 | 21 200 | 21 200 |
| 4) Cargo 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 15,820 5) Salvage value 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,520 12,530 12,547 12,5 | | 1,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 31,300 | 51,300 | 000,10 | 000,10 | 30,10 | 00,10 | 000 | 200,10 |
| 5) Salvage value B. Expense | | 2,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 12,520 | 022,20 |
| B. Expense 3,171,447 3,273,447 3,375,400 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 2,222,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 2,522,500 | lvage value | | : | | | | | | | | ٠ | | • | LOC / CO |
| 1) O/M 2) Fish purchase 2,318,500 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 459,000 2) Fish purchase 2,318,500 2,420,500 2,522,5 | | | 1273.447 | 3.375,447 | 3,375,417 | 3,375,447 | 3,375,447 | 3,375,447 | 3,375,447 | 3,375,447 | 3,375,447 | 3,375,447 | 3,375,447 | 3,375,447 |
| 2) Fish purchase 2,318,500 2,420,500 2,522,500 | | | 459 MM | 459 000 | 459 000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 | 459,000 |
| 2) Fish purchase 2,119,00 2,720,00 2,72 | | | 420.500 | 2 522 500 | 2 522 500 | 2 522 500 | 2 522 500 | 2 522 500 | 2 522 500 | 2.522.500 | 2.522.500 | 2.522.500 | 2.522.500 | 2,522,500 |
| 2) Depreciation 393,947 393,94 | | | 0000000 | 200000 | 0000000 | 500,000 | 203,047 | 202 047 | 202 047 | 303 047 | 203 047 | 202 047 | 303 047 | 202 047 |
| C. Income before Depre. 2,211,825 2,337,095 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,2462,365 2,2462,365 2,2462,365 2,2462,365 2,2462,365 2,2462,365 2,2462,365 2,2462,365 2,2462,365 2,211,825 2,337,095 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,211,825 2,337,095 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,211,825 2,337,095 2,462,365 2,4 | | 3,947 | 393,947 | 393,941 | 145,686 | 146,080 | 144,646 | 146,060 | 144,040 | 373,74 | 17.07.41. | 110000 | 1 | ***** |
| D. Net Income 1,817,878 1,943,148 2,068,418 </td <td></td> <td>1.825 2</td> <td>7,337.095</td> <td>2,462,365</td> <td>3,299,948</td> | | 1.825 2 | 7,337.095 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 3,299,948 |
| A. Sources of Funds 2,211,825 2,337,095 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2, 1) Govt. 2) Depreciation 393,947 3 | | | .943,148 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,906,001 |
| A. Sources of Funds 2,211,825 2,337,095 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,462,365 2, 1) Govt. 2) Depreciation 393,947 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| 1) Govt. 2) Depreciation 393,947 393,9 | | | 337,095 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 3,299,948 |
| 393,947 393,94 | | ١. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,817,878 1,943,148 2,068,418 2,068,418 2,068,418 2,068,418 2,068,418 2,068,418 2,041,050 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 3.947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 | 393,947 |
| Wharf ct/others 1,921,050 0 3,000 0 0 3,000 0 1,921,050 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | í | - | 1,943,148 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,068,418 | 2,906,001 |
| Wharf et/others 1,921,050 3,000 | | 0 | 0 | 1,921,050 | 0 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 747,300 | 3,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,000 | |
| et/others 3,000 | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,921,050 3,000 | Market/others | ٠ | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | investment | ٠. | | 1,921,050 | - | : | 3,000 | | 747,300 | 3,000 | | | 3,000 | |
| 541,315 2,462,365 2,462,365 2,459,365 2,462,365 | | 1 | 2,337,095 | 541,315 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,459,365 | 2,462,365 | 1,715,065 | 2,459,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 | 2,459,365 | 3,299,948 |

Remarks: Assumption HFMA

Table A-9.27 Financial Evaluation of the HFMA Project

| • | | | | Unit: SI\$ |
|------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|
| | Investment | Re-invest | Income before | Net Benefit |
| | | | Depreation | |
| 1995 | 10,449,320 | 0 | 0 | -10,449,320 |
| 1996 | | 0 | 984,265 | 984,265 |
| 1997 | | 0 | 1,029,345 | 1,029,345 |
| 1998 | | 3,000 | 1,074,425 | 1,071,425 |
| 1999 | | 0 | 1,119,505 | 1,119,505 |
| 2000 | | 210,500 | 1,209,665 | 999,165 |
| 2001 | | 3,000 | 1,334,935 | 1,331,935 |
| 2002 | | 0 | 1,460,205 | 1,460,205 |
| 2003 | | 0 | 1,585,475 | 1,585,475 |
| 2004 | | 3,000 | 1,710,745 | 1,707,745 |
| 2005 | | 747,300 | 1,836,015 | 1,088,715 |
| 2006 | | 0 | 1,961,285 | 1,961,285 |
| 2007 | | 3,000 | 2,086,555 | 2,083,555 |
| 2008 | | 0 | 2,211,825 | 2,211,825 |
| 2009 | | 0 | 2,337,095 | 2,337,095 |
| 2010 | | 1,921,050 | 2,462,365 | 541,315 |
| 2011 | | 0 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 |
| 2012 | • | 0 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 |
| 2013 | | 3,000 | 2,462,365 | 2,459,365 |
| 2014 | | . 0 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 |
| 2015 | | 747,300 | 2,462,365 | 1,715,065 |
| 2016 | | 3,000 | 2,462,365 | 2,459,365 |
| 2017 | | 0 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 |
| 2018 | | 0 | 2,462,365 | 2,462,365 |
| 2019 | | 3,000 | 2,462,365 | 2,459,365 |
| 2020 | | 0 | 3,299,948 | 3,299,948 |

FIRR= 13.01%

Annex - 10 **Environmental Impact Assessment**

Table A-10.1 Model Zone 1 - Honiara Environmental Considerations

PROJECT COMPONENT:

Rehabilitation of Honiara Central Market.

AREA DESCRIPTION:

Coastal flat land with sea front. Existing market and jetty on site.

DEVELOPMENT TYPE:

Infrastructure, marketing and distribution

system

TYPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT:

| | | | No | Signif | icant Eff | fect |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect Of Development | Recommended Protection Measures | Significant Effect | Small | Moder ate | Major |
| | | | | | | |
| Only fishermen are using the beach at the market to unload their eskies. The rocky beach makes unloading difficult especially with a full esky. | The provision of a sloping ramp will help in the unloading process. | Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen | | | • | |
| Adequate | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Inadequate standpipe | Provision for more standpipes | Nil | · | • | | |
| Poor management of traffic flow resulting in congestion in the market area. Car park and petty trader are mingled in the same area. Inadequate space & shelter for petty sellers to display goods. Inadequate storage for eskies | New access route and paved parking area will rationalise traffic flow & reduce dust pollution. Separate area for parking and trading. Shaded area and storage facilities to be incorporated in the market design to maintain the quality of the | Proper planning & coordination with the planners of the new highway required to improve flow & access. Careful design for case of use Careful design & consideration of future requirements and use | | | | • |
| | Only fishermen are using the beach at the market to unload their eskies. The rocky beach makes unloading difficult especially with a full esky. Adequate Inadequate standpipe • Poor management of traffic flow resulting in congestion in the market area. • Car park and petty trader are mingled in the same area. • Inadequate space & shelter for petty sellers to display goods. | Only fishermen are using the beach at the market to unload their eskies. The rocky beach makes unloading difficult especially with a full esky. Adequate None Inadequate standpipe Provision for more standpipes None Provision for more standpipes New access route and paved parking area will rationalise traffic flow & reduce dust pollution. Car park and petty trader are mingled in the same area. Inadequate space & shelter for petty sellers to display goods. Inadequate Of Development The provision of a sloping ramp will help in the unloading process. None Provision for more standpipes New access route and paved parking area will rationalise traffic flow & reduce dust pollution. Separate area for parking and trading. Shaded area and storage facilities to be incorporated in the market design to maintain the | Only fishermen are using the beach at the market to unload their eskies. The rocky beach makes unloading difficult especially with a full esky. Adequate Provision for more standpipe None Nil Nil Inadequate standpipe Provision for more standpipes None Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil New access route and paved parking area will rationalise traffic flow resulting in congestion in the market area. Car park and petty trader are mingled in the same area. Inadequate space & shelter for petty sellers to otisplay goods. Inadequate Inadequate in the market design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen | Existing Conditions Environmental Effect Of Development Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil None Nil Provision for more standpipes Provision for more standpipes Provision for more standpipes None Nil Proper planning & coordination with the planners of the new highway required to improve flow & access. Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen | Existing Conditions Environmental Effect Of Development The provision of a sloping ramp will help in the unloading process. The rocky beach makes unloading difficult especially with a full esky. Adequate Provision for more standpipe Provision for more standpipe Provision for more standpipes Provision for more standpipes None Nil None Nil Proper planning & coordination with the planners of the new highway required to improve flow & access. Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Provision for more standpipes Proper planning & coordination with the planners of the new highway required to improve flow & access. Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Provision for more standpipes Proper planning & coordination with the planners of the new highway required to improve flow & access. Careful design for case of use Careful design for case of use Careful design & coordination of future requirements and use | Existing Conditions Conly fishermen are using the beach at the market to unload their eskies. The rocky beach makes unloading difficult especially with a full esky. Adequate Provision for more standpipe Proper planning & coordination with the planners of the new highway required to improve flow & access. Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Proper planning & coordination with the planners of the new highway required to improve flow & access. Careful design for ease of use Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen Nil Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen |

Table A-10.1 Model Zone 1 - Honiara Environmental Considerations (cont')

| | | | la de la companya de | No | | icant Ef | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect Of Development | Recommended Protection Measures | Significant Effect | Small | Moder ate | Major |
| Site filling hazards /erosion | Land is flat and shows no sign of erosion. Sand bottom beach with possible sand migration depending on seasonal sea / wind conditions. | Beach development will need to consider the possible change of existing coastal sedimentary process. | Natural condition survey of the beach area to be conducted and monitoring of the beach areas to detect shoreline changes if any. | - 14 mg | | • | |
| Waste water treatment | No treatment of the waste water of the market. | Provision of treatment facilities will ensure acceptable level of market waste discharge. | Treatment to be provided with longer outfall to increase dispersion rate and removal of waste water from beach area. | | | • | : |
| Community Consideration: | | | | | | | |
| Labour supply, including skilled labour | Sufficient | The operation and maintenance of the market will not create many more job opportunities. | Present market management setup of HMA & market operation may be modified in the medium term. | | •: | | |
| Need for resettlement | Not applicable. Land is leased to HMA. | None | | • | | | 1: |
| Land uses | Present zoning is for market. | No change in land use or zoning. | | • | | | |
| Traditional fishing rights | Not applicable | None | | • | | | |
| Economic and social structure | Typical market with cash transaction | No change | | • | | | |
| Tourism | Market is near centre of town and does attract a few tourist | New market facilities will create a favorable impression on tourist visiting. | | | • | | |

Table .A-10.1 Model Zone 1 - Honiara Environmental Considerations (cont')

| | | | | No | Signif | icant Ef | fect |
|--|--|---|---|-----------------------|--------|--------------|-------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect Of Development | Recommended Protection Measures | Significant Effect | Small | Moder ate | Major |
| Marketing /Economic Considera- tion: Remoteness from marketing / needs for freezer storage | Vegetables and fruits are mainly from Guadalcanal with fish mainly from Nggela. No storage facilities for vegetables & fruits, fish stored with ice in eskies. | Provision of storage facilities for these commodities will maintain the quality for sale the next day. | Careful planning & consideration of use | | • | | |
| Factories / Industries Other | Butcher shop & shops selling food and drinks | New facilities will improve the hygiene | Careful design & consideration of requirements | | 8 | : | |
| Considera- tion: Availability of fishery resources | Fish sold in market are mainly from outside Guadalcanal | Volume and fishing method will not adversely affect fish resources in those areas. | Monitoring of fish resources at fishing areas. | | • | | |
| Related Projects effects | New highway Honiara Town Development Plans SIPA Development Plans EEC's RFEP | Access, drainage, other roadside services will be affected. Future zoning & land use classification. Jetty usage and sea traffic control. Marketing / distribution of fish | Careful planning / coordination to offset / minimise problems Careful planning Proper coordination Proper coordination | | • | : | • |
| Pollution | Waste water discharged directly to sea without treatment. Rubbish piled up in exposed area Dust from unpayed areas | Treatment of waste water to be incoporated in the design of the land facilities. Proper rubbish bins in designated areas to be provided. Area to be shaded and paved. | Careful designProper planningProper planning | | • . | 6 | |

Table A-10.2 Model Zone 2 - Florida Islands Environmental Considerations

PROJECT COMPONENT:

Provision of fish collection vessel, intermediate collection point at Tulaghi,

satellites in villages

AREA DESCRIPTION:

Tulaghi: Limited coastal flat land fronting the sea. Existing facilities on site

may need to be demolished/

relocated.

DEVELOPMENT TYPE:

Improvement of marketing and distribution

system

TYPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT:

a) Proposed Collection Point At Tulaghi

| | | | ! | No | Signifi | cant Eff | fect |
|--|---|---|---|-----------------------|---------|--------------|-------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect Of Development | Recommended Protection Measures | Significant Effect | Small | Moder ate | Major |
| Infra- structure Considera- tion: | | | | | | | : |
| Water front users | Proposed site presently unused. | Provide a collection point for the fishermen that is easily accessible in all sea conditions. | Careful design to facilitate use by fishermen. | | | • | |
| Power supply / transmission | Adequate | None | Nil | • | • | | - |
| Water quality & quantity | Inadequate mains supply | Independent water supply to be found/ provided for the cleaning & sorting operations. | Water supply should be safeguarded against possible contamination. | | • | | |
| Infra- structure | Existing road & facilities to be improved | Increased amenities and usage of the site | Proper planning & coordination with Provincial Planners | | | • | |
| Site filling nazards erosion | None | Not Applicable | Nil | ⊕ : ; | | | |
| Waste water reatment | None | Septic tank and proper discharge of waste water to be provided | Careful design | | • | | |

Table A-10.2 Model Zone 2 - Florida Islands Environmental Considerations (cont')

| | | | | No | | cant Eff | |
|---|---|--|--|-----------------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect Of Development | Recommended Protection Measures | Significant Effect | Small | Moder ate | Major |
| Community Consideration: Labour supply, including skilled labour | Sufficient | None | Nil | ⊕ | | | |
| Need for resettlement | Not applicable. | None | Nil | 9 | | | |
| Land uses | Previously part of STL base | No change in land use | Nil | • | | | |
| Traditional fishing rights | Not applicable | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Economic and social structure | Fish marketing and distribution already being practised | Encourage the fishermen to use Tulaghi as collection centre to market & distribute fish | Proper management setup of the collection centre, and careful planning & consideration of the needs of the fishermen & community | | | | |
| Tourism | Not applicable | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Marketing /Economic Considera- tion: Remoteness | No facilities for | Target is fresh fish to Honiara & local | Regular shipping schedule to ensure | | | • | |
| from marketing / needs for freezer storage | marketing or storage of fish | market. Ice to be used instead of freezing. | prompt & reliable service. | | | | |
| Factories / Industries | No fresh fish related industries | None in Tulaghi in the short term. Fresh fish related industries may develop in the medium/long term | Nil | | • | | |
| Other Considera- tion: Availability of fishery resources | Not applicable | None | Nil | • | | | |

Table A-10.2 Model Zone 2 - Florida Islands Environmental Considerations (cont')

| 3 | | | | No | Signif | icant Eff | fect |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--------|-----------|-------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect | Recommended | Significant | Small | Moder | Major |
| | | Of Development | Protection Measures | Effect | | ate | |
| Related | • NFD | Ice supply balance | Proper coodination | | | 3 | |
| Projects | Prov. Govnm | Future zoning & | Proper coodination | | | • | : |
| effects | Development Plan | land use | | | | | |
| Pollution | Not a problem | Increase waste water discharge | Careful design to minimise problems | | • | | |

| Infra- | Satellites At Humba | , I cam, vara, Oterug | na et 5030 villages | - | | · · · · · · | Γ |
|---|--|--|---|----------|---|-------------|---|
| structure Considera- tion: | | | | ı | | | |
| Waterfront users | Mostly used by the community with occasional provincial ship calling | Area with easy access to sea to be set aside for the Satellite. | Nil | • | | | |
| Power supply / transinission | Not available | Solar powered facilities | Proper instructions on the operation and maintenance to be given to community | | • | | |
| Water quality & quantity | Generally available and adequate | Rain water tank to be provided as backup source | Nil | | • | | |
| Infra- structure | None | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Site filling hazards /erosion | None | None | Nil | • . | | | |
| Waste water treatment | None | None | Nil | ⊕ | | | |
| Commu- nity Considera- tion: | | | ·. | | | | |
| Labour supply, including skilled | Adequate | None | Nil | • | | | |
| labour Need for resettlement | Not applicable | None | Community consensus for the site | • | | | |
| resement | | | of the satellite to be obtained | | | | |

Table A-10.2 Model Zone 2 - Florida Islands Environmental Considerations (cont')

| | | | : | No . | | icant Ef | |
|--|---|---|--|-----------------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect Of Development | Protection Measures | Significant Effect | Small | Moder ate | Major |
| Land uses | Not applicable | None | Community consensus for the site of the satellite to be obtained | • | | | |
| Traditional fishing rights | Extents to the reef edge. | None. | Nil | • | | | |
| Economic and social structure | Self subsistence village lifestyle | Increase opportunity for cash income by development of fishery sector | Community consensus to be obtained | | | 6 | |
| Tourism | Not applicable | None. | Nil [*] | • | | | |
| Marketing /Economic Considera- tion: Remoteness from marketing | Honiara being the main market for the fish is typically about 2 hrs by outboard | Eskies to be provided to the villages. Ice from Tulaghi or Honiara. Carrier vessels to be introduced. | Community consensus to be obtained | | | • | |
| Factories / Industries | None | None. | Nil | • | ٠ | . | |
| Other Considera- tion: | | | | | | | |
| Availability of fishery resources | Present resources does not seem to be over exploited | Increase demand on fishery resources | Proper monitoring and management of resources | | | 9 | |
| Related Projects effects | None | None. | Nil | • | | | |
| Pollution | Not a problem | Increase waste water discharge | Careful design to minimise problems | | • | | |

Table A-10.3 Model Zone 3 - Western Province Environmental Considerations

PROJECT COMPONENT:

Provision of regular fish collection service, satellites in villages, collection centre at Noro

AREA DESCRIPTION:

Noro: Established STL base with good

facilities

DEVELOPMENT TYPE:

Improvement of marketing and distribution

system

TYPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT:

a) Noro Collection Centre

| | | | | No : | Signif | icant Eff | fect |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|--------------|-------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect Of Development | Recommended Protection Measures | Significant Effect | Small | Moder ate | Major |
| Infra- structure Considera- tion: Waterfront users | Used by STL fishing vessels. Step wharf used by small canoes. | Collection vessel will berth at relatively unused step wharf. | Nil | • | | | |
| Power supply / transmission | Adequate | None | Nil | • ' | | | |
| Water quality & quantity | Adequate | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Infra- structure | Adequate | Modification of existing buildings for intended purpose | Nil | | • | . 1 | |
| Site filling hazards /erosion | Not Applicable | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Waste water treatment | Adequate | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Community Consideration: Labour supply, including skilled labour | Sufficient | None | Nil | • | | | |

Table A-10.3 Model Zone 3 - Western Province Environmental Considerations (cont')

| | | | | No | Signif | icant Ef | faat |
|---|---|---|--|-------------------|--|-----------|--|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effec | Recommended | No Significant | | Moder | |
| | | Of Development | Protection Measures | Effect | Sillati | ate | iviajoi |
| Need for resettlement | Not Applicable | None | Nil | • | | ac | |
| Land uses | Demarcated for fishery purpose | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Traditional fishing rights | Not Applicable | None | Nii | • | | | |
| Economic and social structure | Well developed fishery processing base with large work force. | Regular and adequate fresh fish supply for local consumption and possible export | Proper management setup of the collection centre, and careful planning & consideration of the needs of the fishermen & community | | | \$ | |
| Tourism | Not Applicable | None | Nil | | | į | ļ |
| Marketing /Economic Considera- tion: Remoteness from marketing / needs for freezer storage | Good transport network - port, road & airport. Freezer storage available | None | Nil | • | The state of the s | · | The state of the s |
| Factories / Industries | Well established fish processing and export industry | Potential for fresh fish, fish fillet export | Proper management to ensure regular supply | | | • | |
| Other Considera- tion: | | | | | | | |
| Availability of fishery resources | Not Applicable | None | Nil | • . | | | |
| Related Projects effects | | Demand for fresh fish by work force Future development plans | Proper coodination Proper coodination | | | • | |
| Pollution | Existing waste treatment plant at STL adequate | Increase waste water discharge | Careful design to minimise problems | | • | | |

Table A-10.3 Model Zone 3 - Western Province Environmental Considerations (cont')

b) Proposed Satellites At Mbuli, Surato, Vatoro, Moro, Simbilando, Lambulambu and Arumana Villages

| | | | | No | Signif | icant Ef | fect |
|---|--|---|--|-----------------------|--------|--------------|---------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect Of Development | Recommended Protection Measures | Significant Effect | Small | Moder ate | Major |
| Infra- structure Considera- tion: | | | | | . • | | |
| Waterfront users | Mostly used by the community with occasional provincial ship calling | Area with easy access to sea to be set aside for the Satellite. | Nil | | | | |
| Power supply / transmission | Not available | Solar powered facilities | Proper instructions on the operation and maintenance to be given to community | | • | | |
| Water quality & quantity | Generally available and adequate | Rain water tank to be provided as backup source | Nil | | • | | |
| Infra- structure | None | None | Nil | • | | | 2 t 2 t |
| Site filling hazards /erosion | None | None | Nil | • | | | . · |
| Waste water treatment | None | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Commu- nity Considera- tion: Labour | Adequate | None | Nil | • | | | |
| supply, including skilled labour | | | | | | | |
| Need for resettlement | Not applicable | None | Community consensus for the site of the satellite to be obtained | • | | | |
| Land uses | Not applicable | None | Community consensus for the site of the satellite to be obtained | | | | |
| Traditional fishing rights | Extents to the reef edge. | None. | Nil | • | | | |

Table A-10.3 Model Zone 3 - Western Province Environmental Considerations (cont')

| | | | | No | | icant Ef | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect | the state of the s | Significant | Small | Moder | Major |
| | | Of Development | Protection Measures | Effect | | ate | |
| Economic and social structure | Self subsistence village lifestyle | Increase opportunity for cash income by development of fishery sector | Community consensus to be obtained | | | | |
| Tourism | Not applicable | None. | Nil | • | | | |
| Marketing /Economic Considera- tion: | | | | | . ! | | |
| Remoteness from marketing | Gizo being the intermediate collection point for the fish is typically about 4 hrs by outboard | Eskies to be provided to the villages. Ice to be made available from Gizo. Collection service to be introduced. | Community consensus to be obtained | | | S . | |
| Factories / Industries | None | None. | Nil | • | | | |
| Other Considera- tion: | | | | | | | |
| Availability of fishery resources | Present resources does not seem to be over exploited | Increase demand on fishery resources | Proper monitoring and management of resources | | | • | |
| Related Projects effects | None | None. | Nil | • | | | : |
| Pollution | Not a problem | Increase waste water discharge | Careful design to minimise problems | | • | | |

Table A-10.4 Model Zone 4 - Rennell Island Environmental Considerations

PROJECT COMPONENT:

Provision of self-propelled barge, multi-purpose halls, trawler-tractor & truck

AREA DESCRIPTION:

Raised coral atoll, one main unpaved road, population evenly distributed between east

and west.

DEVELOPMENT TYPE:

Infrastructure and development of marketing and distribution within island.

TYPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT:

| 1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | No | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| Existing Conditions | | | Significant | Small | Moder | Major |
| | Of Development | Protection Measures | Effect | | ate | ļ |
| | · | | | | | |
| Mostly used by the community with occasional provincial ship calling | Barge will greatly assist with the loading / unloading in the sea | Operation should be during calm sea or in sheltered location. When barge not in use, it should be stored away on shore | | | • | |
| Not Available | Solar powered light, chest freezer and wireless communication set | Proper instructions on the operation and maintenance to be given to community | | | • | |
| Generally available and adequate | Rain water tank to be provided in Multi- purpose halls as backup source. Hand pump for well as additional supply source | Water supply should be safeguarded against possible contamination | | • | | |
| Undulating sometimes steep unpaved road | Trawler-tractor and 4 wheel drive truck will improve / facilitate the movement of goods and people | Increase traffic movement will necessitate passing lanes as the existing one lane road is too narrow for trucks to pass each other. | | | • | |
| Not Applicable | None | Nil | • | | | |
| None | Septic tank and proper discharge of waste water of the multi-purpose halls to be provided | Careful design | | • | | |
| | Mostly used by the community with occasional provincial ship calling Not Available Generally available and adequate Undulating sometimes steep unpaved road Not Applicable | Mostly used by the community with occasional provincial ship calling Not Available Solar powered light, chest freezer and wireless communication set Generally available and adequate Generally available and adequate Rain water tank to be provided in Multipurpose halls as backup source. Hand pump for well as additional supply source Undulating sometimes steep unpaved road Trawler-tractor and 4 wheel drive truck will improve / facilitate the movement of goods and people Not Applicable None Septic tank and proper discharge of waste water of the multi-purpose halls | Mostly used by the community with occasional provincial ship calling Not Available Solar powered light, chest freezer and wireless communication set Generally available and adequate Generally available and adequate Undulating sometimes steep unpaved road Trawler-tractor and 4 wheel drive truck will improve / facilitate the movement of goods and people Not Applicable None Barge will greatly assist with the loading / unloading in the sea Operation should be during calm sea or in sheltered location. When barge not in use, it should be stored away on shore Proper instructions on the operation and maintenance to be given to community Water supply should be safeguarded against possible contamination Increase traffic movement will necessitate passing lanes as the existing one lane road is too narrow for trucks to pass each other. Not Applicable None Septic tank and proper discharge of waste water of the multi-purpose halls | Mostly used by the community with occasional provincial ship calling Solar powered light, chest freezer and wireless communication set Solar powered light, chest freezer and wireless communication set Solar powered light, chest freezer and wireless communication set Proper instructions on the operation and maintenance to be given to community | Mostly used by the community with occasional provincial ship calling Solar powered light, chest freezer and wireless communication set additional supply source | Mostly used by the community with occasional provincial ship calling |

Table A-10.4 Model Zone 4 - Rennell Islands Environmental Considerations (cont')

| | | | | No | | cant Ef | |
|--|--|---|--|-----------------------|----------|--------------|------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect Of Development | Recommended Protection Measures | Significant Effect | Small | Moder ate | Majo |
| Commu- nity Considera- tion: | | | | | | | |
| Labour supply, including skilled labour | Adequate | Require some persons to run & maintain the multi- purpose halls, vehicles & barge | Proper training & instructions on the operation & maintenance to be given. | | | \$ | |
| Need for resettlement | Not Applicable | None | Community consensus for the site of the multi-purpose halls & barge storage to be obtained | | | | |
| Land uses | Not Applicable | None | Community consensus for the site of the multi-purpose halls & barge storage to be obtained | • | | | |
| Traditional fishing rights | Extents to reef edge but not enforced | None | Nil | • | | | |
| Economic and social structure | Self subsistence village lifestyle | Increase opportunity for cash income by development of fishery sector | Community consensus to be obtained | | | 6 | |
| Tourism | Not developed | Improved road transport will make it easier for tourist to visit TeNggano Lake | Tourism policy & facilities need to be decided by community | | | • | - |
| Marketing /Economic Considera- tion: | | | | | | | |
| Remoteness from marketing / needs for freezer storage | No facilities for marketing or storage of fish | Target is fresh fish to Honiara & local market. Ice to be used instead of freezing. | Regular shipping schedule to ensure prompt & reliable service. | | | ⊕ | |
| Factories / Industries | No fresh fish related industries | None in the short term. Fresh fish related industries may develop in the medium/long term | Nil | • | n year o | | |

Table A-10.4 Model Zone 4 - Rennell Islands Environmental Considerations (cont')

| | | | | No | Signif | icant Ef | fect |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------|--------|----------|-------|
| Items | Existing Conditions | Environmental Effect | Recommended | Significant | Small | Moder | Major |
| | | Of Development | Protection Measures | Effect | | ate | |
| Other Considera- tion: | | | | | | . : | |
| Availability of fishery resources | Resources not a problem | Increase demand on fishery resources | Proper monitoring and management of resources to ensure | | | - | |
| | | | sustainable exploitation | | | ` | |
| Related Projects effects | World Heritage Listing | Rennell Island future development policy | Proper coodination | , | ē | • | |
| | • Prov. Govnm Development Plan | Future plans, zoning & land use | Proper coodination | · | | 9 | |
| Pollution | Not a problem | Increase waste water discharge from Multipurpose halls | Careful design to minimise problems | | • | | |

Annex - 11 List of References

| No. | Title | Source | Year |
|------|--|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | OFCF Report Prepared by Mr. Ohishi | OFCF | 1993 |
| 2 | Malaita Development Authority (Annual Report and Accounts, 1991/92) | MDA | 1991/92 |
| 3 | Solomon Taiyo Ltd. Production Statistics 1973 to Date | STL | 1993 |
| 4 | Summary of Domestic Frozen Fish Sales, 1992 | STL | 1993 |
| | Establishment of Rural Fishing Groups Project May 88-July 91 Final Report | ICOD | 1991 |
| 6 | By-laws of the Western Fishermen Cooperative Ltd. | Manager (WPFC) | 1992 |
| 7 | The Malaita Development Authority Ordinance 1997 | MDA | 1988 |
| 8 | Fish Marketing and Distribution: A Case Study in Western Province, Solomon Islands | ICOD | 1991 |
| 9 | Land and Titles Act. Chapter 93 | Authority of the Minister of Law | 1981 |
| 10 | Honaira Retail Price Index - March 1992 Statistical Bulletin (No. 8/92) | Statistics Office | 1992 |
| | Copra Productin Ist and 2nd Quarter 1992 Statistical Bulletin (No. 18/92) | Statistics Office | 1992 |
| 12 | The Revision of the Legislation in Solomon Islands. Draft Provincial Fisheries Ordinance Temotu Province | FAO | 1987 |
| | Fish Purchasing Journal April 1992 | Prov. Fishery Center, Seghe | 1992 |
| 14 | Fish Buying/Selling Volume of MDA May-1989 - | MDA | 1992 |
| - 15 | Sept 1992 Member List of Seven Fishermen's Coop. in North Malaita | OFCF | 1993 |
| | Divisional Establishment Post Fisheries of Western Province | FD, Gizo, Western Prov. | 1993 1993 |
| | Number & Name of Coop. in Makira-Ulawa Province Fisheries Department Annual Reports 1984, 1985, 1987-88 | Commerce Division, Makira- FD | 1 9 9 2 |
| | | FD | 1986,1989 |
| 19 | Excerpts from Unpublished FD Annual Reports | | -91 |
| 20 | Review of SI Fisheries Statistics Program | FD | 1988 |
| | ICOD Project Summaries by Region | FD | 1991 |
| 22 | Project Proposal: Development of Rural Fishing Enterprises | Michael Batty | 1987 |
| .23 | EEC Fisheries Centers Financial Reports, Jan-April | Michael Batty | 1993 |
| 24 | Registry of Solomon Island Ships | Marine Division | 1991 |
| | Ships Issued Safety Certificates | Marine Division | 199 |
| | Govt.Ships Passengers Capacities, Min. of Transport | Marine Division | Undate |
| | Miscellaneous Ship Schedules | Marine Division | 199: |
| | The Rural Fishing Enterprises Project in SI | FD | 1993 |
| | World Bank Solomon Islands Transport Sector | World Bank | 199 |

| No | . Title | Source | Year |
|------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------|
| 30 | Inter-Island Shipping Industry in the Pacific Area | Marine Division | 1991 |
| | Review of Provincial Fisheries Division Management of | Michael Batty | 1992 |
| 32 | 2 Revision of the Fisheries Legislation in SI | FD Library | 1987 |
| 33 | 3 Western Pacific + Solomon Islands Airlines Schedule | Airlines office | 1993 |
| 34 | 4 Summary of Domestic Fish Sales 1988-92 | FD | |
| 3.5 | 5 STL Production Statistics 1973-92 | FD | |
| 36 | 5 Draft Project Proposal: Florida Fishing Co. | Tulagi Fishery | 1993 |
| 37 | Infofish Trade News | Officer FD Library | 3.1993 |
| 38 | Marine Resource Profiles: Solomon Islands | FD Library | 1990 |
| 39 | Design Study on Noro Fisheries Infrastructure Project | FD Library | 1989 |
| 4(| Evaluation of EECs Fisheries Development Projects | FD Library | 1987 |
| 41 | Review of Management of Fisheries Centers | FD Library | No date |
| 42 | 2 Fisheries Sector Development Programmes 1985-1990 | FD Library | No date |
| 43 | 3 Crick carlton Consultant Report on Fisheries Development | FD Library | 1981 |
| 44 | Fisheries Mgmt in the Pacific, UNRISD Report | FD Library | 1992 |
| 45 | FAO Technical Report on Promotion of Fish Exports | FD Library | 1989 |
| 46 | Policy Paper on Proposed Development of SI Tuna Industry | FD Library | 1985 |
| 47 | FAO Report on Fisheries Dev. Assistance in the South Pacific | FFA Library | No date |
| 48 | Rural Fisheries Development Project | JICA Team | 1981 ? |
| 49 | Fish Marketing and Distribution, John Sasabule | FD Library | 1991 |
| 50 | Strategy for Fisheries Development Assistance in South | Personal Copy | 1988 |
| 51 | Pacific Region Statistical Bulletin No. 15/87 - Provincial Statistics | Statistics Office | Jul-87 |
| 52 | Manual and Guidelines for the Formulation and Appraisal of | Min. of Finance & | May-89 |
| 53 | Development Projects Rural Fisheries Development Project | Economic Planning Solomon Islands | ? |
| | | Government | D 04 |
| 54 | Development Planning Procedures in Solomon Islands | Ministry of Economic Planning | Dec-86 |
| 55 | National Development Plan 1985-1989 | Ministry of Economic Planning | Dec-85 |
| - 56 | Solomon Islands National Nutrition Survey 1989 | Statistics Office | Jul-90 |
| 57 | Household Sample Survey of Smallholders in the Area of Influence of Each Rural Development Centre - Field Work | Min. of Agriculture & Lands and | Nov-89 |
| 5 Ω | Carried Out Feb May 1988 Report 2 Honiara Household Income and Expenditure | Statistics Office | A 02 |
| ەر | Survey 1990/91 | Statistics Office | Aug-92 |

| No | . Title | Source | Year |
|----|---|--|--------|
| 59 | Development Estimates 1993- A Draft | Solomon Islands | 1992 |
| 60 | Recurrent Estimate 1993 _ A Draft | Solomon Islands | 1992 |
| | Strategies For Provincial Governments Funding System 1989-1993 Report 3 A: Provincial Summary Western Province - | Ministry of Provincial Government Statistics Office | Nov-89 |
| | Solomon Islands 1986 Population Census Report 3 E: Provincial Summary: Honiara Town Council Solomon Islands 1986 Population Census | Statistics Office | |
| 64 | New Policy for the Structure of the Public Service | Office of the Prime Minister | Dec-92 |
| 65 | S Provinces of Solomon Islands | Govt. Information Service | Jun-88 |
| | Acquisition and Operation of Two 57 Meter Purse Seine Fishing Vessels | Solomon Islands Govt. | ? |
| | The First 10 Years of Solomon Islands Independence | Govt. Printing Press | 1992 |
| 68 | Survey on Honaira Markets | Honaira Town Council | ? |
| 69 | Project Submission For Japan's Grant Aid Funding (Honaira Markets Development) | | ? |
| | Estimates of Revenue and Expenditures 1993/94 | Temotu Provincial Assembly | 1993 |
| | Report on the Census of Population 1986 Report 2. A: Basic Information | Statistics Office | 1986 |
| | 2 Trade Report 1987 | Statistics Office | 1988 |
| | Trade Report 1988 | Statistics Office | 1989 |
| | Exports of Principle Commodities Monthly 1989 - 1992 | Statistics Office | |
| | World Population Projections, 1989-90 Edition: Short- and Long-Term Estimates | World Bank | 1990 |
| | Tropical Cyclones that Affect Solomon Islands | S.I. Meteorological | 1993 |
| | | MTWU Water Units | 1989 |
| | | MTWU Water Units | 1989 |
| 79 | Hydrological Investigations for Gizo Water Supply | MTWU Water Units | 1988 |
| 80 | Hydrological Investigations for Munda Water Supply | MTWU Water Units | 1989 |
| 81 | Hydrological Investigations for Tulaghi Water Supply | MTWU Water Units | 1987 |
| 82 | Assessment of the Water Supply System in Kirakira | MTWU Water Units | 1987 |
| 83 | Design of a Water Supply System for Atori | MTWU Water Units | 1988 |
| 84 | Slolomon Islands - Leakage Control Situation | MTWU Water Units | 1988 |
| | Assessment of the White River Supply System, Honiara | MTWU Water Units | 1987 |
| | | SIEA | 1975 |

Annex - 11 List of References

(Cont')

| No. | Title | Source | Year |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------|
| 88 Solomon Isla | nds Electricity Authority | | |
| 89 Electricity Sa | ales and Costs - Kirakira | SIEA | 1993 |
| 90 Electricity Sa | ales and Costs - Maluu | SIEA | 1993 |
| 91 Water Rate, | Honiara | MTWU Water Units | 1993 |
| 92 Fixed - Term | Estate Register with Map, Honiara | Honiara Land Regis | 1993 |
| 93 Market Land | | | |
| 94 Water Supply | y Pipe Line Map, Honiara | MTWU Water Units | 1993 |
| 95 Power Suppl | y Line Map, Honiara | SIEA | 1993 |
| 96 Telephone L | ne Map, Honiara | TELEKOM | 1993 |
| 97 Second Honi | ara Port Project Survey Report | SIPA | 1988 |
| 98 Malaita Rura | l Development Study, Commission of EC | M. of Provincial Go | 1992 |

