Metering and billing are contracted to a company, which in turn charges the costs to the Government. The charge is not a fixed rate, and it is not subject to the number of accounts, but to the total amount of the bills collected. For this reason, it may be appropriate to classify metering and billing costs as energy costs rather than as customer costs.

It must be clearly noted that the prices of the Project's outputs will cover the investment costs for generation/production and transmission facilities as well as the costs for the operation of generation/production facilities.<sup>4</sup> This conversely means that the prices are those at the end of "the transmission lines" that the Project plans to build, and are not prices at the consumer-end.

## 16.3.2 Marginal Capacity and Energy Costs for Electricity

The Project (Alternative 2) envisions the installments of twelve 96 MW gas turbine units and six 100 MW steam turbine units in the form of a combined cycle, and one 96 MW gas turbine in the form of an open cycle, in four stages. During the thirteen years between 1998 and 2010, a total capacity of 1,848 MW will be installed by the Project.

## (a) Marginal capacity costs for generation

The LRMC of generating capacity (LRMC<sub>G,C.</sub>) is defined as the additional cost required (to the long-run total cost), when one more kilowatt power is generated. It, to be more specific, is the investment cost required to meet a kilowatt increment in peak load demand at the busbars. The cost must also cover reserve margin and loss at the power station. LRMC<sub>G,C.</sub> is calculated by the following formula:

 $LRMC_{G,C}$  = (annuitized cost per kilowatt) (1+RM%)/(1-Lsu%)

where

RM: Reserve margin

Lsu: Loss due to station use

Chiefly because data and information concerning the operating costs of transmission facilities are not sufficient or complete, those costs are not included in the Project inputs' costs or outputs' prices.

Annuitized cost is defined as the annual payment by installments over the life of the property invested. In computing the annuitized cost, an annuity factor is applied which is designed to convert a present lump-sum amount into a series of future cash flows (installments). The computation of the factor is made by the following formula:

$$\frac{i}{1-\frac{1}{(1+i)^n}}$$

where

i:: annual discount rate

n: year (life expectancy)

Investments for generation capacity include gas turbines, steam turbines, and civil-work improvements, for each of which the annuitized cost needs to be computed. We assume the life expectancies of both gas turbines and steam turbines at 20 years. The investment costs of each gas turbine unit (96 MW) and steam turbine unit (100 MW) are estimated at 19,656 thousand R.O., 32,637 thousand R.O., respectively.<sup>5</sup> With an assumed opportunity cost of capital (or discount rate) of 8%, the annuitized costs are calculated at:<sup>6</sup>

Gas turbine: 20.4 million R.O. x 0.101852

= 2.08 million R.O. per year (for 20 years)

Steam turbine: 36.65 million R.O. x 0.101852

= 3.73 million R.O. per year (for 20 years)

The costs for civil works need to be apportioned to the power and water divisions as their additional generation/production capacity costs. We allocate the costs based firstly on the purposes of the inputs (i.e., whether they are for power generation or for water production) and secondly on the comparative size of the total capacity costs of the two divisions. As shown in Chapter 15 in this report, it is determined that the power division takes 20.15 million R.O. (including 6.13 million R.O. for foreign currency costs and 13.92 million R.O. for local currency costs), and the water division 19.94 million R.O. (including 6.35 million R.O. for foreign and 13.59 million for local currency costs).<sup>7</sup>

The costs for foundations and power houses are distributed evenly over the nineteen generators and are included in the unit costs.

<sup>6</sup> Since local currency costs are not significant, all the costs are treated as foreign currency costs.

The share of the water division does not cover foundations of desalination equipment, which will be included in the desalination unit.

We assume that the average life expectancy of the improvements of civil works is 30 years. The annuitized costs allocated to the power and water divisions are thus as follows:

#### Power division;

Foreign currency costs (FC)

6.13 million R.O. x 0.0888

= 0.54 million R.O. per year (for 30 years)

13.92 million R.O. x 0.0888

= 1.24 million R.O. per year (for 30 years)

#### Water division:

FC 6.35 million R.O. x 0.0888

= 0.56 million R.O. per year (for 30 years)

LC 13.59 million R.O. x 0.0888

= 1.21 million R.O. per year (for 30 years)

Since two type of generators with different annuitized costs are involved, we compute the average annuitized cost per installed capacity as shown below (the annuitized cost of the civil works components is also included):

## Not shadow priced:

(2.08 million R.O. x 13 units + 3.73 million R.O. x 6 units + 1.78 million R.O.)/1,848 MW = 27.70 R.O./KW (per year)

#### Shadow priced:

(2.08 million R.O. x 13 units + 3.73 million R.O. x 6 units + 1.66 million R.O.)/1,848 MW = 27.63 R.O./KW (per year)

For the calculation of LRMC<sub>G,C,</sub>, we make the following two assumptions.

1. The reserve margin needs to be discussed from the viewpoint of the system as a whole. While at the present time the system has no reserve margin, we assume that at least 150 MW of reserve margin will be required for the entire system by 2010. The figure is equivalent to approximately 8 % of the total capacity scheduled at the Barka Station in 2010, and thus this ratio is applied for the calculation of LRMC<sub>G,C</sub>.

 The station use is basically proportional to the total power generated at the station. For the computation of LRMC<sub>G.C.</sub>, we assume that 2 % of the power generated will be consumed at the station. (Consumption by the desalination plant is not included.)

With these assumptions and the annuitized cost per kilowatt calculated, LRMC<sub>G.C.</sub> is computed as shown below.

LRMC<sub>G,C.</sub> (not shadow-priced) =  $27.70 \text{ R.O./KW} \times (1 + 8\%)/(1 - 2\%)$ 

30.53 R.O./KW per year

LRMC<sub>G.C.</sub> (shadow-priced) =  $27.63 \text{ R.O./KW} \times (1 + 8\%)/(1 - 2\%)$ 

= 30.45 R.O./KW per year

(b) Marginal capacity costs for transmission

The Project covers the transmission lines (and related facilities) which will interconnect the proposed Barka Station with the substation in Madinat Qaboos. Usually, in calculating the marginal costs for transmission capacity, all the investment costs in the transmission facilities are allocated to incremental capacity, as the designs of those facilities are determined by the peak kilowatts they carry, rather than the kilowatt-hours. In the case of the Project, investments in transmission facilities are limited only to those scheduled to be built at the end of 1997. Therefore, we simply annuitize the total investment cost for the facilities over an estimated life expectancy of 40 years at an assumed discount rate of 8%, and then divide the resulting figure by the peak kilowatts that the facilities are expected to carry in the year 2010. The average capacity cost for transmission obtained by this method is thus:

FC: 45.79 million R.O. x 0.0839 ÷1,559 MW

= 2.46 R.O./KW per year (for 40 years)

LC:  $12.95 \text{ million R.O. } \times 0.0839 \div 1,559 \text{ MW}$ 

= 0.70 R.O./KW per year (for 40 years)

Note: 1,559 MW = 1,640 MW (peak load at generation) x [1 - 2% (station loss)] x [1 - 3% (transmission loss)]

The capacity-related price of the power generated and transmitted (at the high voltage level to the substation in Madinat Qaboos) by the Project will reflect the investment cost required to meet a kilowatt increment in peak demand at the end of the HV transmission line concerned. In other words, the price will be the

LRMC for capacity at the high voltage level (LRMC<sub>HVC</sub>.), which is expressed by the following function:

LRMCHVC. = LRMCG.C./(1 - LHV%) + ALRMCHV

where,

LHV%:

HV transmission loss factor (i.e., power loss during the transmission

from the Barka Station to the Madinat Qaboos substation)

ΔLRMCHV:

Incremental (or average annual) HV capacity costs (i.e., annuitized cost of

the transmission facilities covered by the Project)

With the assumption of the transmission loss at 3%, the LRMC<sub>HVC</sub> is computed at:

LRMCHVC (not shadow-priced)

= 30.53 R.O./(1 - 3%) + 3.16 R.O.

34.63 R.O./KW per year

LRMCHVC (shadow-priced)

= 30.45 R.O./(1 - 3%) + 3.09 R.O.

= 34.48 R.O./KW per year

Note: 3.18 = 2.48 + 0.70

 $3.11 = 2.48 + 0.70 \times 0.9$  (conversion factor)

#### (c) Marginal energy costs

The marginal cost of energy is defined as the operating or running cost to provide additional energy. The marginal cost of energy, in general, differs as to whether it is during the peak hours or off-peak hours. The reason for this is that a utility system usually consists of a number of generators with different fuel inputs and different heat rates, and that the operation of the various generators of the system is programmed in such a way that the cost of the operation can be minimized. In order to provide additional energy during the peak period, machines that are the least cost efficient will be used to meet the incremental peak kilowatt-hours. The marginal cost of peak energy, thus, is the operating cost of those machines. Similarly, in order to provide additional energy during off-peak hours, base-load machines that are last in terms of operating cost efficiency for the use of base load will be employed. The marginal cost of off-peak energy is the running cost of those machines.

The proposed Barka Station is expected to be more efficient than any of the existing plants. Because all the existing plants of the Muscat and Wadi Jizzi Systems are equipped prodominantly with gas turbines, their per-KWH energy costs are basically the same. We, therefore, simply apply for the marginal energy cost, the average cost of operating the plants of the Muscat and Wadi Jizzi Systems, which is higher than the estimated cost at the Barka station. The cost was 10.88 bz/KWH (including 0.67 bz for foreign currency costs and 11.20 bz for local ones) in 1993.

The marginal cost of energy at the sending-end must include the loss at the station, and similarly that at the end of the transmission line must reflect the transmission loss of energy. The marginal cost of energy is thus calculated as follows:

		(BZ/KWH)
	Not shadow priced	Shadow priced
Marginal energy cost	10.88	9.85
At sending-end (loss factor at 2%)	11.10	10.05
At transmission-end (loss factor at 3%)	11.45	10.36

# (d) Summary of marginal costs

The marginal costs for generation capacity and transmission capacity, and the marginal energy costs are summarized as follows:

		(R.O.)
I DMC for a service and the WW and the	Not shadow priced	Shadow priced
LRMC for generation capacity (per KW, yearly)	30.53	30.48
LRMC for capacity at high voltage level	34.63	34.48
(per KW, yearly)		
(Project's capacity cost)		:
Marginal cost of energy		•
At generation (per KWH)	$10.88 \times 10^{-3}$	9.85 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
At sending-end	11.10 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	10.05 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
At transmission-end	11.45 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	10.36 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>

# 16.3.3 Marginal capacity and production costs for water

The marginal capacity costs and the marginal energy costs required to supply an additional unit of water can be estimated in a similar manner.

# (a) Marginal capacity costs for production

The Project contemplates the installation of eight desalination units with a capacity of 31,820 m<sup>3</sup>/d each. The implementation will be made in four stages with two units installed at each stage.

Capital investments will consist of civil-work improvements, desalination units, and transmission facilities. The annuitized total cost of the civil improvements has already been calculated at 1.77 million R.O. (per year) including 0.56 million R.O. for foreign currency costs and 1.21 million R.O. for local ones. The annuitized cost per cubic meter a day of installed capacity is calculated at 6.95 R.O. [1.77 million R.O. ÷ (31,820 m³/d x 8)], including 2.20 R.O. for foreign currency costs and 4.75 R.O. for local currency costs.

The estimated cost of the desalination unit including its foundation is 47.66 million R.O., a total of 38.18 million R.O. for foreign components and 9.48 million R.O. for local components. At a life expectancy of 20 years and at a discount rate at 8%, the annuitized cost of each unit is calculated at:

```
FC: 38.18 \text{ million R.O. x } 0.10185 = 3.89 \text{ million R.O. (annually)}
```

LC: 9.48 million R.O. x 0.10185 = 0.97 million R.O. (annually)

Each desalination unit has a capacity of 31,820 m<sup>3</sup>/d. Therefore, the annuitized cost per unit (m<sup>3</sup>/d) of installed capacity is calculated at:

FC: 
$$3.89 \text{ million R.O.} + 31,820 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} = 122.25 \text{ R.O./m}^3/\text{d} \text{ (annually)}$$

LC: 
$$0.97 \text{ million R.O.} \div 31,820 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} = 30.48 \text{ R.O./m}^3/\text{d} \text{ (annually)}$$

To these figures, we add the corresponding figures for civil improvements as shown below, to obtained the total annuitized capacity costs for the production of a unit of water.

FC: 2.20 R.O. + 122.25 R.O. = 124.45 R.O.

LC: 4.75 R.O. + 30.48 R.O. = 35.23 R.O.

Although no reserve margin is taken, the installed capacity will be set at 120% for the peak demand. The station use is expected to be at 2%. Therefore, the not-shadow-priced LRMC for production capacity (LRMC<sub>P.C.</sub>) is calculated at 195.53 R.O./m³ [=  $(124.45 + 35.23) \times 120\% \div (1 - 2\%)$ ], and the shadow-priced LRMC<sub>P.</sub> at 191.21 R.O./m³ [=  $(124.45 + 35.23 \times 0.09) \times 120\% \div (1 - 2\%)$ ] a year. These costs are the capacity costs at the sending-end.

## (b) Marginal capacity costs for transmission

Transmission facilities include pipes connecting existing reservoirs of the Muscat Water System, and related facilities, as well as pipes extending to six walayats in South Batinah and related facilities including reservoirs and pump stations. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that all the planned transmission facilities will be built by 1999, when the Project is scheduled to begin producing water.

The total cost of the transmission facilities is 51.45 million R.O. including 36.55 million R.O. for foreign components and \$14.90 million R.O. for local ones. With an assumption of the discount factor at 8% and the average life span of the facilities at 50 years, the annuitized cost is computed at:

FC: 36.55 million R.O. x 0.0817 = 2,987,000 R.O.(per year)

LC:  $14.90 \text{ million R.O. } \times 0.0817 = 1,217,000 \text{ R.O. (per year)}$ 

To estimate the marginal capacity cost for transmission, we divide the annuitized cost by the volume of water the transmission facilities are expected to carry in 2010. With assumed station loss and transmission loss factors at 2 % and 0 % respectively, the marginal capacity cost for transmission we can estimate at:

FC:  $2,987,000 \text{ R.O.} + 176,520 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} = 16.92 \text{ R.O.}$  per year (for 50 years)

LC:  $1,217,000 \text{ R.O.} \div 176,520 \text{ m}^3/\text{d} = 6.89 \text{ R.O.}$  per year (for 50 years)

Note: 176,520 m3/d = 180,122 m3/d (demand at production) x [1 - 2% (station

loss)] x [1 - 0% (transmission loss)]

The marginal capacity cost for both production and transmission at the transmission-end (LRMCT.C.) is thus estimated as follows:

LRMCr.c. = LRMCp.c./(1-transmission loss factor) +
average capacity cost for transmission per m³/d of demand
= 195.53 R.O./m³/d /(1 - 0%) + (16.92 R.O. + 6.89 R.O.) = 219.34
R.O./m³/d

When the local currency inputs are shadow-priced, the corresponding cost will decrease to 214.33 R.O./m<sup>3</sup>/d.

## (c) Marginal production costs

For the marginal production cost, we simply assume the unit production cost at the Ghubrah desalination plant, the operation of which is less cost efficient than the proposed Barka plant, and which is the only plant that can produce an additional supply of water in the Muscat-South Batinah area. The production cost (excluding the depreciation cost) in 1993 was 0.434 R.O./m³, including 0.026 R.O./m³ for foreign components and 0.408 R.O./m³ for local ones. When local currency costs are shadow-priced, the product cost will decrease to 0.393 R.O./m³.

With the expected station use of water at 2% of the total production, the marginal production cost is computed as follows: (no transmission loss expected)

		$(R.O./m^3)$
	Not shadow priced	Shadow priced
Marginal production cost	0.434	0.393
At sending-end (loss factor at 2%)	0.443	0.401
At transmission-end (loss factor at 0%)	0.443	0.401

#### (d) Summary of marginal costs

The marginal cost for the production and transmission capacities, and the marginal production cost are summarized as follows:

LRMC for production capacity (per m <sup>3</sup> /d, yearly)	Not shadow priced 195.53	(R.O.) Shadow priced 191.21
LRMC for capacity at transmission-end (per m <sup>3</sup> /d, yearly)	219.34	214.33
Marginal of production (per m <sup>3</sup> ) At sending-end At transmission-end	0.443 0.443	0.401 0.401

#### 16.4 Financial Analysis

The primary objective of financial analysis is to measure the financial viability of the project concerned by projecting the cash in- and out-flows of the project. In the analysis we first calculate the financial rate of return of the Project. In this procedure, in order to evaluate the project's fundamental soundness, specific financing considerations will not be made (i.e., no interest charges assumed), and prices will be expressed in constant or real terms (i.e., no inflationary impacts assumed). Then, we calculate the rate of return on equity invested, for the first stage of the Project. In this step, cash flows will be prepared in nominal prices, taking also into account the debt financing elements, so that the financial performance of the Project can be evaluated from the lenders' view point as well.

## 16.4.1 Financial Rate of Return (FRR)

In previous sections, we estimated the costs of all the project inputs including capital costs and operating costs, and also determined, based on the concept of LRMC, the cost and therefore the prices of the project outputs. By using those study results, we now analyze the financial rate of return of the Project<sup>8</sup>. Not-shadow-priced costs are used, as it is not necessary to shadow price the costs of the project inputs for financial analysis, which are concerned with actual costs the Project will pay.

Table 16.8 exhibits annual cost and benefit streams expressed in constant 1994

Technically speaking, the application of the LRMC for the financial analysis may not be appropriate. The existing tariffs (which do not specifically impose capacity costs) do not cover the full cost to supply electricity/water. The government has no immediate plan to raise them. However, when the current electricity/water services are privatized in the near future, the charges for those services set by the newly established entity will fully reflect the cost and sufficient return, and will be higher than the current tariffs (regardless of whether any part of the charges is subsidized by the government or not). This study assumes that those charges will be determined based on the LRMC concept. And thus the LRMC-based charges will be used for the financial analysis (as well as for the economic analysis). As far as the electricity is concerned, the average tariff level in 1993 was estimated at 16.67 x 10<sup>-3</sup> R.O. per KWH consumed (the total revenue of 65.42 million R.O. divided by the total billed consumption of 3,924,159 KWH). The charge determined based on the LRMC concept consists of the capacity charge of 34.65 R.O./KW and the energy charge of 11.45 x 10<sup>-3</sup> R.O./KWH. At the current load factor of 52%, the capacity charge is equivalent to a KWH charge of 7.61 x 10<sup>-3</sup> R.O.; therefore making a total KWH charge of 19.06 x 10<sup>-3</sup> R.O. Assuming that the capacity charge for distribution facilities and consumer costs jointly account for 12% of the total charge to supply electricity, the overall per-KWH charge will be 21.66 x 10<sup>3</sup> R.O. This charge, which is expressed in 1994 price, is 30% higher than the average tariff level of 1993. As for the water, the average tariff in 1993 was estimated at somewhere around 2.3 x 10 R. O. per gallon. The capacity charge and the production charge determined by the LRMC concept are 219.34 R.O./m³/day (or 0.6326 R.O./m³ at the load factor of 95%) and 0.443 R.O./m³, respectively. With an assumption that the capacity cost for distribution facilities and consumer costs jointly represent 12% of the total charge, the overall charge for a cubic meter of water supplied will be 1.222 R.O (i.e., 2.688 x 10<sup>3</sup> R.O. per gallon). This charge is 17% higher than the average tariff level of 1993. The disparities between the average tariffs and the assumed new charges for electricity and water are similar to the government's current subsidy level for these utilities services, and therefore the assumed charges are considered to be appropriate.

prices. Benefits are derived from the generation of electricity and the production of water. In the capital costs, no price contingencies, or interest during the implementation periods are included. Also, no considerations were made regarding financing costs, working capital requirements, or taxes during the project life, which, similar to inflation, could distort the underlying viability of the Project and make it difficult to compare the attractiveness of the Project with that of other urgent projects.

Key assumptions used in our financial rate of return analysis include:

- 1. No replacement is made when the equipment (generators and desalination units) finishes its life expectancy. The project life extends until 2029 when the useful life of the last generator is expected to be finished.
- 2. Demand forecasting has been made up to the year 2010. After 2010, the power demand increases by an average annual increment expected during 1993 and 2010, until it leaves only 150 MW for the reserve margin. The energy demand increases at the load factor of 52%, which is the current level. The water capacity demand (m³/d) also increases at the same rate that is expected during the period between 1993 and 2010, until it leaves 20% of the installed capacity for the peak demand. Similarly, the total water production demand (m³) rises until it reaches 95% of the plant's available capacity. The same rate that is expected during the peak demand. Similarly, the total water production demand (m³) rises until it reaches 95% of the plant's available capacity.

The financial rate of return is computed at 13% for the entire project (10% for the power division and 17% for the water division). This rate of return exceeds the discount rate (opportunity cost of capital) of 8%. The Project's net present value (at the discount factor of 8%) is also calculated for net present value analysis. The value is approximately 339 million R.O.

For the projection of power demand after 2010, the following formula was used, which is based on the changes in the demand during the period between 1993 and 2010:

Power demand (MW) =  $-263635.89 + 131.945 \times X$  (Year),  $r^2 = 0.996$ . The water capacity demand (m<sup>3</sup>/d) and the production demand (m<sup>3</sup>) after 2010 were estimated by the following formulae:

Water capacity demand (m<sup>3</sup>/d) = -31,374,686.711 + 15,705.752 x X (Year),  $r^2$  = 0.999 Water production demand (m<sup>3</sup>) = -11,451,782,763.348 + 5,732,610.455 x X (Year),  $r^2$  = 0.999

Discount factor is synonymous with the opportunity cost of capital. An appropriate discount rate for a project can be estimated by looking into rates of returns on investments in comparable projects with similar levels of risk involved. In some cases, if not most, however, the discount rates are estimated, referring to rates of return available in international capital markets.

Table 16.8 Financial rate of return analysis

Year	- 861 - 861	1997	1938	6661	2002	2001	2002	2003	2002	3005	3006	7007	300	382	0101	100	200	2116
:une)										200	3	i i	880	SAN:	202	100	7102	2103
(a) Capacity  1 Power requirement a generation (AVW)  2 Power requirement a renofing-end (24% loss)  3 Power requirement a transmission-end (34, loss)  4 Total benefit at transmission-end @3463, R.O.K.W		•	85 83 84. 84.	202 108 192 643	205 288 288 298	413 405 392 13,589	529 518 503 17,404	652 639 620 21.458	783 767 744 757,52	921 903 876 30,325	1,050 1,029 938 34,553	1.185 1.162 1.127 39,019	1,329	1,480 1,451 1,407 48,724	1,540 1,559 53,993	1,700 1,666 1,616 55,968	1,700 1,616 55,968	1.700
10) Darky, requirement at generation (A/WH) 2. Energy requirement as reaching-end (2% loss) 3. Energy requirement at treatmission-end (3% loss) 4. Total benefit at treatmission-end @0.01145 R.O.fKWH			475,898 466,380 452,388 5,130	913,345 895,078 868,226 9,941	1,376,776 1,349,240 1,308,763 14,985	1,872,470 1,835,021 1,779,970 20,381	2,399,234 2,351,249 2,280,712 26,114	2,959,055 2,899,873 2,812,877 32,207	3,554,049 3,482,968 3,378,479 38,684	4,183,412 4,099,744 3,976,752 45,534	4,767,198 4,671,854 4,531,699 51,888	5,383,898 5,276,220 5,117,933 58,600	6,035,450 5,914,741 5,737,299 65,692	6,723,916 6,589,438 6,391,755 73,186		7,744,569 7,589,677 7,361,987 84,295	7,744,569 7,589,677 7,361,987 84,295	7,744,569 7,589,677 7,361,987 84,295
Sub-total B. Cost			8.654	16,584	24,984	33,970	43,518	53,666	64,451	75,859	86,441	97,620	109,430	121.910	135,098	140,263	140,263	140,263
(a) Captital cost Portigo Local Total	25,160 2,950 18,110	114,110 12,890 127,000	19,540 13,800 33,340	23,650 1,650 35,300	42,350 300 42,650	12,570 360 12,930	44,460 470 44,930	27.190 1.890 29.080	23,230 340 23,570	44,870 1,780 46,650	30,310 640 30,950	48.570 2.000 50.570	51,950 2,080 54,030	24,660 410 25,070	000	000	000	000
Fortign Local			138 3,493 3,631	265 6.704 6.969	399 10,106 10,505	543 13,744 14,287	696 17.610 18.306	858 21,719 22,578	26,087 27,117	30,706	1,382 34,991 36,374	1.561 39.518 41.079	1.750 44.300 46.050	1,950 49,354 51,303	2.161 54,694 56.855	2,246 56,845 59,091	2,246 56,845 59,091	2,246 56,845 59,091
Sub-total	18,110	127,000	36.971	32,269	53,155	27,217	63.236	91,658	50,687	78,569	67.324	91,649	100,080	76.373	56,855	160'65	59.091	59,091
C. Net benefit (A - B)	-18,110	127,000	-28,317	-15,685	-28.171	6,753	-19,718	2,008	13,763	2,710	19,117	5,971	9,350	45,536	78.243	81,172	81.172	81.172
D. Net present value 8% discount rate	-18,110	117,593	-24,277	12,451	-20,706	4.596	-12,426	0.58	7,436	-1,356	8,855	2,561	3,713	16,744	26,639	25.589 0.32	23,693	21,938
E. Cumptaive NPV	-18,110	135,703	-159,980	-172,431	-193,137	-188,541	-200.967	-199,796	-192,360	-193,715	.184,860	-182,300	-178,537	-161.843	-135,204	919'001-	-85,922	63.984
P. FRR. 10%	,			-														
Froject year Year	ar 18 2014	19 2015	20 2016	2017	22 2018	23 2019	24 2070	2021	26 2012	2023	28 2024	3033 3033	30 2036	31 2027	32 2038	2029		Total
A. Benefit (Revenue) (a) Camelly																		
Power requirement as generation (MW)  2 Power requirement as exchinge-end (2% foss)  3 Power requirement at transmission-end (3% loss)  4 Total bynefit at transmission-end (934.63 R.O.K.W.	1,700 1,666 1,616 55,968	1,700 1,666 1,616 55,968	1,700 1,666 5,516 5,963	1.700 1.666 1.616 55.968	1,524 1,493 1,448 50,153	1.343 1.316 1.277 44.217	1,255 1,230 1,193 41,310	1,167	1,075 1,053 1,021 35,374	986 967 938 32,466	806 790 766 36,530	718 703 682 23,623	629 617 598 20,715	84 4 4 4 7 4 1 2 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4	361 353 343 343 11,872	180 171 171 2,936		32,985 32,325 31,356 1,085,843
1 Energy requirement at generation (AVVI) 2 Energy requirement as recting, each (2% loss) 3 Energy requirement at treatmission-end (% loss) 4 Total benefit at treatmission-end @0.01145 R.O.K.W.H.	7,744,569 7,589,677 7,361,987 1 84,295	7,744.569 7,589,677 7,361.987 84,295	7,744,569 7,589,677 7,361,987 84,295		6,939,938 6 6,801,140 5 6,597,105 5 75,537	6.118.545 5.996.174 5.816.289 66.597	5,716,229 5,601,905 5,433,848 62,218	5.313.914 5.207.636 5.051.407 57.839	4.894.836 4.796.939 4.653.031 53.277	4,492,520 4,402,670 4,270,590 48,898	3.671.127 3.597.704 3.489.773 39.958	3.268.812 3.203.435 3.107.332 35.579	2.866.496 2.809.166 2.724.891 31.200	2,045,103 2,004,201 1,944,075 22,260	1.642,787 1.609,932 1.561,634 17,881	888		150,099,866 147,097,869 142,684,933 1,633,742
Sub-total	140,263	140,263	140,263	140,263	125,690	110,814	103,527	96,241	88,651	81.365	66,488	59,202	51,915	37,039	29,753	14.876		2.719.585
B. Coff (a) Capital cost Foreign Local (A) Operating cost	000	000	900	000		000	000	000	000		000		000	000	000	000		522,620 41,560 564,180
Poteign Local Total	2.246 56.845 55.091	2,246 56,845 59,091	2,246 36,845 59,091	2,246 56,845 59,091	2,013 50,939 52,952	1,774 44,910 46,684	1.658 41.957 43.615	1,541 39,004 40,545	1,420 35,928 37,348	32,975 34,278	1,065 26,946 28,011	948 23,993 24,941	831 21,040 21,871	593 15.011 15.604	476 12,058 12,534	85 66 8 86 66 66		43.529 1.101.733 1.145,262
Sub-total	160'65	160,65	160'69	59,091	52,952	46,684	43.615	40,545	37,348	34,278	28,011	24,941	21,871	15,604	12.534	6,267		1,709,442
C. Not beaufit (A - B)	81,172	81.172	81,172	81,172	72,738	64.129	59.912	55,696	51,303	47,087	38,478	34,261	36,044	21,435	17,218	8 600		1,010,143
D. Not present value 8% discount rate	20,313	18,808	17,415	16,125	0.13	10,922	9.448	8.133	6,926	5.895	4.460	3.677	2,986	0.09	1,467	679		78,633
E. Cumulative NPV	-43.671	-24,863	-7,447	8.678	720,027	32.980	8CF CF	60.560	707 75	100 27	77.041	21.600	71276	10 401	1			

Year	1996	1997	8661	1999	2000	500	300	700.	2014	cons	3000	1001	2000					
Water A. Benefit (Revenue) (a) Capacity 1. Production requirement (m.3/d) 2. Capacity requirement (20% for peak demand) 3. Capacity requirement as sending-end (2% loss) 4. Capacity requirement as unamanison-end (4% loss) 5. Total benefit at transmission-end (40.219.3, RO, Imsd.) 5. Total benefit at transmission-end (40.219.3, RO, Imsd.)				10,131 12,157 11,914 11,914 2,613	20224 24269 23,784 52,174	34,999 41,999 41,159 41,159 9,028	50,500 50,500 50,388 13,036	66.764 80,117 78.515 78,515 17,221	83.829 100.595 98.583 98.583 21.623	120,630 120,630 118,217 118,217 25,930	115,089 138,107 135,345 135,345 29,687	130,298 156,357 153,230 153,230 33,610	146.183 175,420 171,912 171,912 37.707	162,779 195,335 191,429 41,988	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 46,461	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 46,461	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 46,461	180.122 216.147 211.824 211.824 46.461
(b) Production 1. Production coquirement (m3) 2. Production requirement at sanding-cad (-2-6) 3. Production requirement at manassion-end (-0-6) 4. Total benefit at transmission-end 60-443 RO (m3)			ec, ec, ec,	3.697,646 3,623,693 3,623,693 1,605		12,774,673 18, 12,519,179 18, 12,519,179 18, 5,546	3,432,633 24 3,063,981 23 3,063,981 23 8,002	368.973 881.593 881.593 10.580	30,597,635 29,985,682 29,985,682 13,284	36,691,625 35,957,793 35,957,793 15,929	42,007,505 4 41,167,355 4 41,167,355 4 18,237	47.558.693 5 46.607.519 5 46.607.519 5 20.647	53.356.893.55 52.289.755 56 52.289.755 56 23.164	59,414,511 65 58,226,221 64 58,226,221 64 25,794	65,744,704 7: 64,429,809 7: 64,429,809 7: 28,542	74,948,972 74, 73,449,993 73, 73,449,993 73, 32,538	948,972 449,993 449,993 32,538	74.948.972 73.449.993 73.449.993 32.538
Sub-total				4,218	8,422	14.574	21,029	27.801	34,907	41.859	47.924	\$4.257	12.00	67,782	75,004	000'64	.79,000	79,000
B. Cost (a) Opital cost Foreign Toosi Toosi	930 1,140 2,070	12.750 8.630 21,380	65.980 23.750 89.730	000	5.560 1.1.10 6.670	33.030 9.920 42,950		000	5.560 1.110 6.670	33,030 9,920 42,950	000	5.560 1,110 6,670	33,030 9,920 42,950	000	000	000	000	000
(b) Operating cost Foreign Local Total				25. 27. 28.	843 742 1,585	1,285	2,105 1,854 3,959	2,783 2,451 5,234	3,494 3,078 6,571	4,190 3,690 7,880	4,797 4,225 9,022	5,431 4,783 10,214	6,093 5,367 11,459	6.785 5.976 12.760	7.507 6.613 14,120	8.558 8.57 7.538	8,558 7,538 16,097	8.558 7.538 16.091
Sub-total	2,070	21,380	89,730	794	8,255	45.694	3.959	5,234	13,241	50,830	9,022	16.884	54,409	12,760	14.520	16.097	16,097	16.097
C. Net benefit (A - B)	-2,070	-21.380	-89,730	3,424	991	-31.120	070,71	12,567	21,665	8,971	38.902	37,372	6,462	55,022	60,384	62,903	62,903	62,903
D. Net present value 8% discount rate	-2.070	-19.796 0.93	-76,929 0.86	2,718	0.74	.21,180 0,68	10,757	13.168	11.705 12.705	4,488	18.019	16.028	2,566 0.40	20231	20,729	19.830	18,361	17.001 0.27
E. Cumulative NPV	-2.070	-21,866	-98.795	-96,077	-95,955	-117,135	-106,378	-93.210	-81,505	-85.993	-67.974	-51.945	49.379	39,148	-8.419	11,411	177.62	46,772
Tr. FPR. Tris. Project year Year	1 year 18 2014	19 2013	2016	2017	2018	23 .	24	25 2021	26	27,	2024	29	30 2026	31 2027	372 2028	3029		fotal
2. Water A. Benefit (Revenue) (a) Capocity (b) Capocity													8	ğ	8			7 000 20
1. Production requirement (20% for pask demand) 2. Capacity requirement (20% for pask demand) 3. Capacity requirement at sending-end (2% loss) 4. Capacity requirement at reaming-end (2% loss) 5. Total benefit at transmission-end (% 219.3, & CA-DA) 5. Total benefit at transmission-end % 219.3, & CA-DA)	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 74 46,461	211,824 211,824 211,824 46,461	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 46,461	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 46,461	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 46,461	183,283 152,736 149,681 149,681 32,831	183.283 152.736 149,681 149,681 32,831	149,681 149,681 149,681 32,831	997.878 997.878 997.878 997.878	997,878 997,878 997,878	1,018,243 1,018,243 997,878 997,878 218,875	50.912 50.912 49.894 49.894 10.944	50.912 49.894 49.894 10.944	50.91 49.894 10.94 10.94	50.912 49.894 49.894 10.944	0000		6,767,495 6,632,145 6,632,145 1,454,695
(b) Production  2. Production requirement (m2)  3. Production requirement a sending-and (-2%)  5. Production requirement at manussion-and (-10%)  4. Total benefit at transmission-end (0.0.4%) R.O.M.	74,948,972 73,449,993 73,449,993 32,538	74,948,972 73,449,993 73,449,993 32,538	74,948,972 7 73,449,993 7 73,449,993 7 32,538	74,948,972 73,449,993 73,449,993 32,538	74,948,972 (73,449,993 (74,449,993 (74,449,993 (74,449	63,553,450 c 62,282,381 c 52,282,381 c 27,591	63,553,450 62,282,381 62,282,381 27,591	63.253,450 62.282,381 62.282,381 27.591	423,690,912 415,217,094 415,217,094 183,941	423.690,912 415.217.094 415.217.094 183.941	423,690,912 415,217,094 415,217,094 183,941	20,760,794 20,760,794 20,760,794 9,197	21,184,483 20,760,794 20,760,794 9,197	21,184,483 20,760,794 20,760,794 9,197	21,184,483 20,760,794 20,760,794 9,197	0000	uáe	2,497,128,379 2,497,128,379 2,497,128,379 1,106,228
	79,000	000'64	000,07	79,000	000'62	60,422	60,422	60,422	402,816	402,816	402.816	20,141	20.141	20,141	20,141	0		2,560.92
B. Cost (a) Captal cost Tocal Tocal	<b>0</b> 00	000	900	000	900	600	900	000	000		000	000	000		. 000	000		195,430 66,610 262,040
(b) Operating cost Foreign Local Total	8.558 7.538 16.097	8,558 7,538 16,097	8.558 7.538 16.097	8.558 7.538 16.097	8,558 7,538 16,097	7,257 6,392 13,649	7,257 6,392 13,649	7.257 6.392 13,649	48,381 42,615 90,996	48,381 42,615 90,996	48.381 42,615 90,996	2,419 2,131 4,550	2,419 2,131 4,550	2,419 2,131 4,550	2.419 2.131 4,550	000		250.386 256.287 247.253
Sub-total	16,097	16.097	16,097	16,097	16,097	13,649	13,649	13,649	966'06	90,996	966'06	4,550	4.550	4.550	4,550	0		809.293
C. Net benefit (A - B)	62,903	62,903	62,903	62,903	62,903	46,773	46,773	46,773	311,820	311.820	311.820	15,591	18,591	15,591	15,591	Ó		1,751,629
D. Net present value 8% discount rate	15,741 0.25	14,575	13,496	12.496	0.18	7,966	7,376	6.830	42,159 0.14	39,036 0.13	36,144	1,673	0.10	1,435	0.09	0.08		260,147
Vernalistics NBV	212 69	77 080	900 000									200 200	1000	4 - 4 - 4				

	Project year					7	5	٥	,	82	٥	0	=	1.5	13		ςI	91	1
	ı	86	766	8661	1999	l	3001	2002	2003	2004	2005	3006	3007	2008	3009	2010	2011	2012	2013
A. Total benefit		0	0	8.654	20,802	33,406	48,543	£.27	81.467	99,358	117,718	134,365	151.876	170,302	189.692	210,102	219,263	219.263	219.263
B. Total cost (a) Capital cost Fecusion				85.520	23,650	47,910	45,600	4,460	27,190	28,790	77,900	30,310	\$4.130	84,980	24,660		0	0	0
Local Totalis cost		20,180	148,380	37,550 123,070	25,300	1,410	10,280 55,880	44 930	29.080	30,240	89,600	30,950	3,110	12.000 96.980	410 25.070		00	00	00
Foreign Local Total		000	000	138 3,493 3,631	687 7.076 7.763	1,242 10,848 12,090	2,002 15,029 17,031	2,801 19,464 22,265	3,641 24,170 27,811	29.164 33.689	5,403 34,397 39,800	6.179 39.216 45.396	6.992 44.301 51.293	7,843 49,667 57,510	8,734 55,329 64,064	9,668 61,306 70,975	10,804 64,384 75,188	10,804 64,354 75,188	10.804 64.384 75.188
Sub-rotal		20,180	148,380	136,701	33,063	61,410	116,27	67.195	56.891	63,929	129,400	76,346	108,533	154,490	89,134	70.975	75,188	75.188	75,188
C. Net benefit (A - B)		-20,180	-148,380	-118,047	-12,261	28.004	-24,367	-2.648	24.575	35,429	-11,682	\$8,019	43,343	15.812	100,558	139,127	144,075	144,075	144,075
D. Net present value: 8% discount rate		-20,180	-137,389 -1	-101.206	-9.733 0.79	20.584	-16.584 0.68	1.669	0.58	19,141	5,844	26,874 0.46	18.589	6.279	36,975	47,367	45,418	42,054	38,939
E. Cumulative NPV		-20,180	-157.569 -:	. 278.775	.268.508	289,092	305,676	-307,345	-293,005	-273,864	-279,708	-252,834	234,245	-227,966	190,991	-143,623	-98,205	.56,151	-17,212
F. FRR 23%								٠.											
	Project year	18	19 2013	20 2016 2	2! 2017 2	2018	23 2019	24	25 2021	<u>16</u> 2022	2023	2024	<u>29</u> 2025	30 2026	31	3028	37		Total
<ul> <li>Joba (Exerticity and Water)</li> <li>A. Total benefit</li> </ul>		219,263	219,263	219,263	219,263	204,690	171,236	163.949	156,663	491,467	484,180	469,304	79,343	72.056	57,180	49,893	14,876		5,280,508
B. Total cost (a) Capital cost Local Total (b) Companies cost		000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000		999	000	000	000		718,050 108,170 826,220
Foreign Local Total		10,804 64,384 75,188	10.804 64.384 75.188	10.804 64.384 75.188	10.804 64,384 75,188	10,571 58,478 69,049	9,012 51,302 60,334	8.915 48.349 57.264	8,798 45,396 54,195	49.801 78.543 128.344	49.684 75.590 125.274	49,446 69,561 119,007	3,367 26,124 29,491	3,230 23,171 26,421	3.012 17.142 20,154	2,895 14,189 17,084	238 6,029 6,267		334,495 1,358,020 1,692,515
Sub-total		75,188	75,188	75,188	75,188	65'0'69	60,334	57,264	\$4.195	128,344	125,274	119,007	29.491	26.421	20,154	17,084	6,267		2.518,735
C. Net benefit (A - B)		144.075	144,075	144,075	144,075	35,641	110,902	106,685	102,469	363,123	358,906	350,297	49.852	45,635	37.026	32,809	8,609		2.761.772
D. Net present value 8% discount rate		36,055	33,384 0.23	30,911 0.21	28.621 0.20	24,950	18,888	16,824 0.16	14,962	49,095	0.13	40,604	5.350	4.535	3,407	2,795	679 80.0		338,780
E. Cumulative NPV		18,843	52.226	83,137	111,759	36.709	155 507	. 2	187 181	125.478	007 194	200 012	131 141	22: 908	225 205	9.0			

Noe: The salvage value at the end of the economic life is minimum, and therefore is disregarded.

# 16.4.2 Sensitivity to the Financial Rate of Return

A

The primary objective of sensitivity analysis is to test how the profitability of an investment is affected by modifications in the assumptions used on key variables. This analysis essentially allows a judgment as to the riskiness of the project under alternative assumptions. We test the sensitivity to the financial rate of return of the Project obtained in the preceding section.

Usually, in the sensitivity analysis, modifications are made for such variables that may significantly change the projected costs or benefits of the Project and that involve high levels of uncertainty. Because of an underlying attribute of the concept of LRMC, the prices of the Project's outputs are completely linked with the costs of the Project's inputs and hence, sensitivity analysis will not be required for those variables concerning outputs' prices and inputs' costs. In determining the outputs' prices, we assumed a discount factor at 8%. This discount factor is a critical variable that needs to be tested for sensitivity.

Table 16.9 shows how variations in the discount rate affect the rate of return for the Project. A project is acceptable, if its FRR equals or exceeds the opportunity cost of capital, which is a common criterion for assessing a project. The Project evidently fulfills this criterion.

Table 16.9 Sensitivity Analysis for FRR

		Discount rate	
	7%	9%	10%
Power (R.O.)			
LRMC at generation	28.27	32.74	35.25
LRMC at transmission-end	31.97	37.26	40.19
Water (R.O.)			
LRMC at production	180.86	210.62	205.98
LRMC at transmission-end	201.99	237.2	255.35
Not benefit (Thousand R.O.)			
Electricity	926,737	1,092,608	1,184,480
Water	1,636,561	1,870,079	1,990,453
Total	2,563,299	2,962,688	3,174,933
FRR	a e		
Electricity	10%	11%	11%
Water	16%	17%	18%
Total	13%	13%	14%

## 16.4.3 Rate of Return on the Equity Invested

There has recently been a strong drive in government policy towards the privatization of public corporations. An announce was made for example in June 1994 of the plan to offer public shares in the Oman Cement Company, which is one of the largest state-owned corporations. In line with this privatization movement of key public enterprises, discussions have been going on concerning a plan to create a private power and water industry by privatizing a part or all of MEW. Regardless of the future procedure and development of this plan, it has become a policy of MEW to finance the Project through a method commonly called BOOT (Build, Own, Operate, and Transfer), or BOO (Build, Own, and Operate).

The proposed Manah Station is also financed by a BOOT package. A general agreement for this BOOT project has been signed between the Government and investors, while its details have not yet been made public.

For the Project's BOO(T) financing, no details have been fixed in such critical areas as project structure, and government concessions and supports. With reference to recent BOO(T) packages for comparable projects, we make the following key assumptions for the financial analysis of the first phase of the Project.

## Assumptions:

1. Equity-loan ratio:

1:1

(Some portion of the equity capital may be raised by the sale of the shares of the project company.)

2. Loan (non-recourse commercial bank loan):

Interest rate:

-8%

Amortization:

Approximately 10 years

(Assume a fixed rate, while the rate actually adopted will be a floating rate.)

#### 3. Concessions

(1) Tax: Exempted from all the applicable taxes including income tax during the entire project period.

- (2) Power (and water) purchase on a "Take or Pay" basis.
  - (Operation at 70% available capacity of the power plant, and at 95% available capacity of the desalination plant)
- (3) Concession period: Life expectancies (20 years) of all the generation/
- (4) Guaranteed supply of natural gas during the entire concession period, at the price of US\$0.0283 per cubic meter.

(There will be no problem concerning the availability of natural gas. See relevant discussion in Appendix 3.)

Prices are comparatively stable in Oman, and the foreign exchange of the Rial Omani is relatively constant. However, in the long run, there are small elements of uncertainty in these, as the same speculation can be said of most countries. Our financial projections, therefore, are expressed in a foreign currency, namely US dollars. And we adopt an estimated international rate of inflation of 3%, and assume that the exchange rate of the Omani Rial will adjust itself to the difference between the international inflation level and domestic price increases.

Based on the assumptions discussed above, tables were prepared, as shown below, concerning the total initial costs, the cash flow during the operation period, financing, and the rate of return on equity.

Table 16.10 indicates the construction costs for Stage 1, and Tables 16.11 and 16.12 exhibit the disbursement of the initial investment costs and the flow of the financial resources (consisting of equity capital and commercial bank loans), for the power plant and for the desalination plant respectively. The financial requirement totals 505 million US dollars, or 194 million R.O., which includes price contingencies and interest on loans during the implementation period.

Table 16.10 Construction costs (Stage 1)

		<b>1</b>	1 4015 10.10	Collect	nonon	Construction costs (Stage 1)	(T )				X	Million R.O.
Item					Year							
		1996			1997			1998			Total	
	FC	rc	TC	꾼	3	TC	FC	27	TC	FC	ដ	TC
A Power Plant												
1. Civil WorkStage 1 share	0.21	0.29	0.51	0.85	1,46	2.31	0.24	1.18	1.42	1.30	2.94	4.23
(excluding foundations and power	(0.56)	(0.76)	(1.32)	(2.21)	(3.79)	5.99	(0.61)	(3.08)	(3.69)	(3.37)	(7.63)	(11.01)
2. Foundation and power house	0.15	0.17	0.32	0.58	1.47	2.05				0.73	1.64	2.37
	(0.39)	(0.44)	0.83	(1.51)	(3.82)	(5.33)				(1.90)	(4.27)	(6.16)
3. Equipment & erection	9.40	0.08	9.48	77.52	0.52	78.04	7.07	0.30	7.37	93.99	0670	68.46
	(24.45)	(0.20)	(24.64)	(201.61)	(1.36)	(202.98)	(18.39)	(0.78)	(19.17)	(244.45)	(2.34)	(246.79)
4. Transmission facilitiesStage 1 sh	96.0	0.27	1.24	6.71	0.83	7.55	1.94	1.62	3.55	9.61	2.72	12.34
	(2.50)	(0.71)	(3.21)	(17.46)	(2.17)	(19.63)	(5.04)	(4.20)	(9.24)	(25.00)	(7.08)	(32.08)
5. Total cost for Stage 1	10.73	0.81	11.54	85.66	4.29	89.95	9.24	3.10	12.34	105.63	8.20	113.83
	(27.90)	(2.11)	(30.01)	(222.79)	(11.14)	(233.93)	(24.04)	(8.06)	(32.10)	(274.72)	(21.32)	(296.04)
B Desalination Plant												
1. Civil work stage1 share	0.23	0.28	0.51	0.93	1.67	2.60	0.39	1.50	1.89	1.55	3.45	5.00
(excluding foundations)	(0.60)	(0.73)	(1.33)	(2.42)	(4.34)	(6.76)	(1.01)	(3.90)	(4.92)	(4.03)	(8.97)	(13.00)
2. Foundation				0.20	0.44	0.64	1.79	4.02	5.81	1.99	4.46	6.45
				(0.52)	(1.14)	(1.66)	(4.66)	(10.46)	(15.11)	(5.18)	(11.60)	(16.78)
3. Equipment and erection				5.33	0.51	5.84	30.87	4.50	35.37	36.20	5.01	41.21
				(13.86)	(1.33)	(15.19)	(80.29)	(11.70)	(61.99)	(94.15)	(13.03)	(107.18)
4. Transmission facilitiesStage 1 share	are			0.92	0.37	1.29	8.23	3.35	11.58	9.15	3.72	12.87
				(2.39)	(0.96)	(3.36)	(21.40)	(8.71)	(30.12)	(23.80)	(6.67)	(33.47)
5. Total cost for Stage 1	0.23	0.28	0.51	7.38	2.99	10.37	41.28	13.37	54.65	48.89	16.64	65.53
	(09:0)	(0.73)	(1.33)	(19.19)	(7.78)	(26.97)	(107.36)	(34.77)	(142.13)	(127.15)	(43.28)	(170.43)
C Total construction cost	10.96	1.09	12.05	93.04	7.28	100.32	50.52	16.47	66.99	154.52	24.84	179.36
	(28.49)	(2.84)	(31.33)	(241.98)	(18.92)	260.90	(131.40)	(42.83)	(174.23)	(401.88)	(64.59)	(466.47)

Note: 1 ( ) Million US\$
US\$= 0.3845 O.R.

2 Costs include physical contingencies, engineering fees and administration expenses.

Table 16.11 Investment costs disbursement and flow of financial resources--power plant

Project year	year		0			1			61			Total	
X	Year		1996			1997			1998				
		FC	27	TC	FC	27	rc	FC	27	TC	FC	2	ည
A Fixed assets			÷			٠							
(1) Construction costs (including physical contingencies,		27.90	2.11	30.01	222.79	11.14	233.93	24.04	8.06	32.10	274.72	21.32	296.04
engineering fees and administration costs) (2) Interest on loan accrued 8%		0.59	0.04	0.63	5.88	0.32	6.21	11.32	0.74	12.06	17.79	1.11	18.90
Sub-total		28.48	2.16	30.64	228.67	11.47	240.14	35.36	8.80	44.16	292.51	22.43	314.94
B Net working capital		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C Total initial investment (A + B)		28.48	2.16	30.64	228.67	11.47	240.14	35.36	8.80	44.16	292.51	22.43	314.94
D Total price contingencies		0.85	90:0	0.92	98.9	0.34	7.20	1.06	0.26	1.32	8.78	0.67	9.45
E Total finance required (C + D)		29.34	2.22	31.56	235.53	11.81	247.34	36.42	70.6	45.48	301.28	23.10	324.39
		;		1	, , ,		!	•					
F Equity capital  Cumulative		14.67	1.11	15.78	117.76	5.91 7.02	123.67	18.21 150.64	4.53 11.55	22.74 162.19	20.051 20.052	51.55	162.19
G Commercial bank loan Interest 8%		14.67	1.11	15.78	117.76	5.91	123.67	18.21	4.53	22.74	150.64	11.55	162.19
Cumulative		14.67	1.11	15.78	132.43	7.02	139.45	150.64	11.55	162.19			
H Total finance		29.34	2.22	31.56	235.53	11.81	247.34	36.42	9.07	45.48	301.28	23.10	324.39
Cumulative		29.34	2.22	31.56	264.87	14.03	278.90	301.28	23.10	324,39			

Note: 1 Equity-loan ratio

Equity: 50% Loan: 50%

Loan.
2 Computation of interest

Ou mariest

Cumulative debt x 8% + new debt x 4%

3 No working capital nor pre-operation expenditures assumed.

Table 16.12 Investment costs disbursement and flow of financial resources--desalination plant

			The Circles						Transcan .	deron pra	A1t.		Million US\$
Proj	Project year					_			C1			Total	
	Year		1996			1997			1998				
		D.	27	TC	FC	27	TC	FC	27	77	FC	2	ည
A Fixed assets													
(1) Construction costs		0.60	0.73	1.33	19.19	7.78	26.97	107.36	34.77	142.13	127.15	43.28	170.43
(including physical contingencies, engineering feet and administration costs)	(Special)												
	(5150)		0	0	(		•	,		•	,		,
(2) Interest on loan accrued 8%		0.0	0.02	0:03	0.43	0.19	0.62	3.11	1.10	4.21	3.55	1.31	4.86
Sub-total		0.61	0.74	1.35	19.62	7.97	27.59	110.47	35.87	146.34	130.70	44.58	175.29
B Net working capital		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C Total initial investment (A + B)		0.61	0.74	1.35	19.62	7.97	27.59	110.47	35.87	146.34	130.70	44.58	175.29
D Total price contingencies 3%		0.02	0.05	0.04	0.59	0.24	0.83	3.31	1.08	4.39	3.92	1.34	5.26
E Total finance required (C + D)		0.63	0.77	1,39	20.21	8.21	28.42	113.78	36.95	150.73	134.62	45.92	180.55
(Financial resources)													
F Equity capital Cumulative		0.31	0.38	0.70	10.11	4.11	14.21	56.89 67.31	18.47	75.36 90.27	67.31	22.96	90.27
G Commercial bank loan		0.31	0.38	0.70	10.11	4.11	14.21	56.89	18.47	75.36	67.31	22.96	20.27
Interest 8%													:
Cumulative		0.31	0.38	0.70	10.42	4.49	14.91	67.31	22.96	90.27			
H Total finance		0.63	0.77	1.39	20.21	8.21	28.42	113.78	36.95	150.73	134.62	45.92	180.55
Cumulative		0.63	0.77	1.39	20.84	8.98	29.82	134.62	45.92	180.55			

Note: 1 Equity-loan ratio

20% 20% Equity: Loan:

2 Computation of interest

Cumulative debt x 8% + new debt x 4%

3 No working capital nor pre-operation expenditures assumed.

Table 16.13 exhibits projected cash flow during the operation period which extends from 1998 to 2018. Because of the higher cost efficiency of its operation, the Project is expected to have priority over the other plants. We assume that the proposed Barka phase-one power plant will be operated at an average load factor of 70%, as it will be serving for the year-round baseload. The plant will have a reserve margin of 8%, as planned for the entire system. Similarly, the proposed desalination plant will be operated at 95% of its available capacity. We expect that the Project will generate a total net operating income of approximately 2,206 million US dollars or 848 thousand R.O. by 2018, when the Project is expected to complete its economic life. (The residual of the Project will be minimal.)

Table 16.14 shows the projected cash flow including debt service. The commercial loan amounting to approximately \$252 million is expected to be amortized in 10 years. The debt service coverage is expected to be at 1.12 for the first year of the loan repayment. The ratio will be improved steadily and quickly, to 1.51 for the fifth year. Table 16.15 summarizes the cash in- and out-flows. The net income over the project's life years reaches more than \$1,800 million in nominal terms. The internal rate of return on the equity invested is calculated at 22%.

A sensitivity test regarding the power load factor suggests that the rate of return will be little affected at lower load factors, as indicated below.

Load factor	55%	60%	65%	(70%)
Net operating income (Thousand R.O.)	976,756	1,001,668	1,026,580	(1,051,492)
FRR on equity	21%	22%	22%	(22%)

Table 16.13 Projected cash flow before debt service (operation period) 1998-2018

Project year	o	1			ç	٩	,	×	•	• 01		. 2	7	<u>Ş1</u>	16	1.1	80	61	30	51	Ę. Fl	Thousand USS
1. Electricity	955 555 755	3	\$	3000	55	.00	2003	3004	3005	3000	3007 20	2008	0100	301	2015	2013	2014	3015	2016	2017	80.8	100
Installed capacity (MW) Available capacity (92%)			<u>35</u>	269	269 269	88	88	56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 5	55.8	£,35	ä	369	2,89	71 A	292 292	28	88	292	269 269	56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 5	88	
A. Operating income (s) Capacity 1 Power requirement at generation (AVV) 2 Power requirement at sending-red (2% loss) 3 Power requirement at entansiston-red (3% loss) 4 Unit change (SKW) 2000 2 1000	0.07 92.77 95.55	98.42 10	771 173 168 101.37	269 269 263 263 255 255 255 255 257 257	59 269 53 263 54 255 54 110.77	88 88 84 84 88 84	\$28.87 <u>1</u>	269 263 251.04	269 263 255 255 124,67	269 - 263 - 255 - 128.41	8888 23888	269 263 255 136.23	269 263 255 140,33	269 269 263 263 255 255 144,53 148,86	269 253 253 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	255 257 257.93	\$ 55.55 55.5	269 263 252 252 25.50	88 88 88 72.571	¥	92 87 83,08	
5 Total charge at transmission-end		13	17,021 26	26,663 27,463	53 28,287	29,135	30,010	30,910	31,837	32,792	33,776 3	34,789 33	35,833 36,	36,908 38,015	39,156	5 40,330	41,540	42.786	24,076	45.392	16.012	707.727
(v) ming)  1. Energy requirement at semention (AVWH)  2. Energy requirement at seminary end (2% loss)  3. Energy requirement at manualson-end (3% loss)  4. Unit charge (AVWH)	жы) 0.03 0.03 0.03	1,08 1,06 1,02 0,03	1,083,156 1,647,300 1,061,493 1,614,354 1,029,649 1,565,924 0,03 0,03	1,647,300 1,647,300 1,614,354 1,614,354 1,565,924 1,565,924 0,03 0,04	20 1,647,300 54 1,614,354 24 1,565,924 54 0,04	1,647,300 1,614,354 1,565,924 0.04	1,647,300 1,614,354 1,565,924 0.04	1,547,300 1 1,614,354 1 1,565,924 1 0,04	.647,300 1,0 1,614,354 1,0 1,565,924 1,0 0,04	547,300 1,6 514,354 1,6 565,924 1,5 0,04	647,300 1,64 614,354 1,61 565,924 1,56	,647,300 1,647 ,614,354 1,614 ,565,924 1,367 0,05	547.300 1.647.300 514.354 1.614.354 565.924 1.565.924 0.05 0.03	900 1,647,300 554 1,614,354 524 1,555,924 05 0.05	0 1.647.30 24 1.614.34 34 1.565.924 35 0.03	1,567,300	1,647,300	1,647,300 1,614,354 1,565,924 0.06	1,647,300 1,614,354 1,565,924 0,06	1,647,300 1,614,354 1,565,924 0.06	564,744 552,861 536,275 0.06	32.946.010 32.287.089 31.318,477
5 Total charge at transmission-end		д	34.510 St	54,059 55,680	80 57,351	59,071	60,844	65,669	64.549	66,485	68.480 7	70.534 77	72.650 74.	74.830 77.075	79,387	81.769	84,222	86,748	69,351	92,031	37,463	1,424,759
Total income		¥.	51,531 80	80,722 83,144	44 85,638	88,207	90,853	93,579	96.386	1 872.84	102,256 10	105,324 100	08,483 111.	11,738 115,090	0 118,543	122.099	125,762	129.535	133,421	137,424	48,475	2,127,486
Fortign Local Total expenditure		-88	1.807 2 24.255 37 26.062 40	2,831 2,916 37,995 39,135 40,826 42,051	16 3,003 35 40,309 51, 43,312	3,093	3.186 42,763 45,950	3,282	3,380 45,368 48,748	3,482 46,729 50,213	3,586 48,131 51,717 5	3,694 49,575 53,268	3.803 3. 51.062 53. 54.866 56.	3,919 4,036 \$1,594 \$4,171 \$6,512 \$8,208	36 4,157 71 55,797 38 59,954	57,471 61.753	59.195 63.605	66,970 66,970 65,513	4,679 62,800 67,479	4. 20 4. 20 4. 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	1,700 22,816 24,517	74,613 1,001,382 1,075,995
C. Net operating income (A · B)		21	25,469 39	39.8% 41.093	93 42.326	43,596	44,903	44,250	47.638	49,067	\$ 665,08	52,055 5:	53,617 55,	55,725 56,582	58,589	60.34	62.157	64.021	65.942	67.920	23,958	1.051.492
	3651 5661 1661	8661 (66)	8	2000	3001	7,007	2003	2004	2002	2006	202	58 20	3010	100	2012	2013	2014	2013	2016	2013	2018	Tro:
Invaled capacity (MW)  Londilled capacity (MW)  Capacity requirement for swenge demand  (kng XO% for peak demand)			ଓର				63,640 50,912	50,912	63,640 50,912	63,640 50,912	50,540 6	50,912 50	63,640 63, 50,912 50,	53,540 63,640 50,912 50,912	50,912 50,912	50,912	50,912	50,912	63,640	50.91.2	63,640 50,912	1.018,240
Available capacity (95%)			84	48,366 48,366	98,366	48,366	48,366	48,366	48,366	48,366	48,366 4	48,366 +1	18,366 48,	996'8+ 996'8+	998'38'9	996'34	48,366	48,366	48,366	48,366	*8.366	967,333
A. Operating income (a) Capacity requirement unald) (b) Capacity requirement an admission (1% loss) (c) Capacity requirement as a sending-ond (1% loss) (d) Capacity requirement as transmission-ond (1% loss) (d) Capacity requirement at transmission-ond (1% loss) (e) Unit charge (\$Vm3/6) (f) To State (1% 1904) (f) To Stat	(2008) 570.46 587.57 605.20 6		£1.08 2.4.4.4.8	48,366 48,366 47,399 47,399 47,399 47,399 661,32 681,16	56 48,366 39 47,399 39 47,399 16 701_59	48.366 47.399 47.399 721.64	48,366 47,399 47,399 744,32	48,366 47,399 47,399 766.65	48,366 47,399 47,399 789,65	48,366 47,399 47,399 813,34	48.366 4 47.399 4 47.399 4	48.366 41 47,399 47 862,87 81	48,366 48. 47,399 47. 47,399 47. 888.76 91!	48.366 48.366 47.399 47.399 47.399 47.399 915.42 942.88	56 48,366 59 47,399 59 47,399 88 971,17	48,366 47,399 47,399 7 1,000,31	48,366 47,399 47,399 1,030,31	48,386 47,399 47,399 1,061,23	48,366 47,399 47,399 1,093,06	48,386 47,399 47,399 1,125,85	48.266 47.399 47.399 159.63	967.33 847.981
5. Total charge at transmission-end			31	31,346 32,286	33,255	34,253	35,280	36,339	37,429	38,552	39,708 4	40,899	12,126 43.	13,390 44,692	2 46,033	1 47,414	48.836	\$0.30	51.810	53,364	\$6,36	842,27
(b) Production  1. Production requirement (m3)  2. Production requirement a sending-end (C% loss)  3. Production requirement at ransmission-end (0% loss)  4. Unit charge (8/m3)  1.15 in 1994	s) % loss) 1.15 1.18 5.22	52.1	17,653,736 (7,300,661 17,300,661 1.29	736 17,653,7 (661 17,300,6 (681 17,300,6 1,33	522	27.7	17,653,736 1 17,300,661 17,300,651 17,300,651 1.50	7,653,736 17 7,300,661 17 7,300,661 17	555	5.5.	8,112 6,71 6,71	8,71 5,71	1,736 17,653,736 1,661 17,300,661 1,661 17,300,661 1,79	736 17,653,736 561 17,300,660 561 17,300,661 35	56 17,653,73 50,005,71 11,300,66 90 11,300,64 10,000	5 17,653,736 1 17,300,663 1 17,300,663 2 20,5	17,653,736 1 17,300,661 1 17,300,661 1 2,300,661	17,653,736 17,300,561 17,300,661 2,14	17,653,726 17 17,300,661 17,300,661 17,300,661 17,20	7,653,736 17. 7,300,661 17. 7,300,661 17. 2,27	653,736 300,661 300,661 2,34	353,074,720 345,013,226 346,013,226
5. Total charge at transmission-end			ន	23,065 23,757		X 203	25,959	26,738	27.56	28,367	39,218	30.094	30,997 31,	31,927 32,885	33,871	34,887	35,934	37,012	38,122	39,266	40,44	619,755
Total income			Ħ.	54,411 56,043	13 57,724	59,456	61,240	63,077	£ 38	816'99	68.926 7	70,993 7;	13,123 75,31	317 77.576	10,904	82,30	077.48	87,313	89,932	92,630	60-36	1.462,033
B. Openning expenditure Foreign Local Total expenditure			8 11	6,078 6,260 5,354 5,514 11,431 11,774	50 6,418 14 5,680 74 12,128	6,641 5,850 12,491	6,841 6,025 12,866	7,046 6,306 13,251	7,257 6,392 13,650	7,475 6,58 <del>4</del> 14,059	7,699 6,782 14,481 1	7,930 6,985 (4,915	8,168 8,7,195 7,195 15,363 15,	8,415 8,666 7,410 7,633 5,824 16,298	56 8,926 35 7,862 36 16,787	2 9,193 2 8,098 7 17,291	9,469 8,341 17,810	9,753 8,591 18,344	10,046 8,849 18,894	10.347 9.114 19.461	10.658 9.387 20,045	163,316 143,851 307,166
C. Net operating incomet (A - B)			Ġ	42.979 44.269	45,597	46,964	48,373	49.825	51,319	52.859	54,445 5	56,078	57,760 59,	59,493 61.278	917'89 83	65,010	66,960	68,969	71,038	73,169	75,364	1.154366
3. Total (Electricity and Water)	9661 5661 1461	(66)	2006	2000	3001	2002	2003	3004	5005	2009	30	80	3010	301	2002	2013	2014	2015	3036	2617	2018	Total
A. Operating income		5	51.531 135	135,132 139,186	36 143,362	147,663	152,093	156,656	161,355	166.1%	171.182 17	176.317 18	181,607 187,055	192,667	744,861. TS	7 204,400	210.532	216.848	223,353	230,054	143,884	3,589,519
B. Operating expenditure Foreign Local Local Tobi		- 44 k	1,807 8 24,255 43 26,062 52	8,909 9,,76 43,348 44,649 52,257 53,825	76 9,451 49 45,988 15 55,440	9,735 47,368 57,103	10,027 48,789 58,816	10,328 50,253 50,580	10,638 51,760 62,398	10,957 53,313 64,270	11.286 1 54.912 5 66.198 6	11,624 1. 56,560 50 68,184 70	11.973 17. 58,256 60. 70,239 72.	12,332 12,702 60,004 61,804 72,336 74,506	02 13,083 04 63,658 06 76,741	13,476 8 65,568 1 79,044	5 13,880 5 67,535 1 81,415	14.296 69.561 83,857	14,725 71,648 86,373	15,167 73,798 88,964	12,358 32,304 44,562	52,925 1,145,235 1,385,161
C. Net operating income (A - B)		×	25,469 82	82.875 05.361	52 87,922	90.560	93,277	96,075	98.957	101.926 1	04,984 10	08,133 11	11.377 114.	14.719 118,160	\$0 121,705	s 125.356	1129.117	132,990	136,980	141.090	£ 35	2,206,35
Note: Annual price ingrease (in charses and excenditures)	[20]																					

Note: Annual price increase (in charges and expenditures)

			Tab	Table 16.14	٠.	Cash flow table for financial planning (operation period) Stage 1	low ta	able to	r tına	ıncıal	piann	ලි දිග	perati(	on per	10d)	Stage	۲				ļ	Thousand USS
Project year	6	6	4	\sqr	٩	7	∞	6	01	=	13	1.3	1.	51	. 91	17		1			밁	
1.1	8661	1999	3000	3001	3002	2003	2004	3005	3006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011 2	2012	2013	2014	2015	3016	2017	3018	Total
1. Net cash flow from operation	13.468	82,875	85,361	87,922 90,560		772.59	500'96	1 28,957	101.926 1	104,984	108,133	11.377	114,719 118,160 121,705 125,356 129,117	18.160 1.	1.705 1:	25,356 1	.1 29,117	132,990 13	136,980 14	141.090	99,322	2,206,358
2. Interest carned	382	1,243	1.280	1,319	1,319 1,358	1.399	1.44	1,484	1,529	1.575	1,622	1.671	1.721	1.77.2	1.826	1.880	1.937	1.995	2.055	2,116	1.490	33,095
3% 3. Working capital (net increase	4.344	4.366	261	169	277	386	294	303	312	321	331	341	351	362	373	384	395	407	419	432	-14,827	٥
4. Interest paid on debt	347	20,197	18,803	17,297	15.671	13,915	12,018	0.970	TST.T	5,368	2,787	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	٥	0	0	124.130
8% S. Net income, before tax	21,160	59.555		67,578 71,675	75,970	80.476	85.204	90,169	95,386	1 00.870	106,637 112,707 116,088 119,571	112,707 1	16,088		123.158 1	126,853 1	130,658	134.578 1	138,616	142,774	115.640	2,115,322
6. Income tax paid	0	0	0	٥	0	٥	٥	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0
7. After tax each flow	21.160	59,555	67.578	71.675	75.970	80,476	85,204	90,169	98236	100,870 - 106,637		112,707 116,088		119,571	123,158	126.853	130,658	134,578 1	138,616 142,774	142.774	115,640	2,115,322
8. Loan repayments Outstanding principal	252,465	17,427	18,822	20,327	21,954	23,710	25.607	27,655 96,964	29,868 67.096	32,257 34,839	34.839											252,465
9. After-debt service cash flow. Cummulative	21,160	42.128	48,756	51.347	54,017 217,408	36.766 274.173	59.598 333.771	62.514	65.518	68,613	71,798	112,707	830,911	119.571 950.580 1.0	123,158 .072,739	126.853	331,250 1.4	134.578 1	119.571 123.158 126.859 130,658 134.578 138.616 142.174 115.640 950.580 1.073.759 1.200.591 1.331.250 1.465.828 1.604.444 1.747.218 1.862.857	142,774	115.640	1.862,857
10. Debt. service coverage Item 9 / (items 4 & 8)		1.12	130	1.36	1.44	1.51	1.58	%. %	1.74	1.82	1.91							•	•			

loan	252.47 million US dollars	838	10 years	0.149029	70%
1. Assumptions on loan	1 Principal	2 Interest	3 Duration	4 PRF	5 Loan ratio
Note:					

Interest earned: Interest on a half of the net operating income of the year
 Working capital: 2-month operating expenditure
 Beginning of year (BOY) basis
 Interest paid in 1996 is on the working capital of that year.
 Interest on the loan in 1996 is included in the initial investment.

ģ
nvest
luity i
n on equity invested
return
Discounted
47
5.
Table 16.15

													•											Thousand USS	۵ د د
	Project year 0	0	-	2	۳,	4	s.	9	7	8	6	01	=		13	14 15	91 9	21 9	8: 1	<u>6</u>	22		Ħ		
	Year	9661	1997	8661	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006 2	2007 20	2008 20	2000 20	2010 2011	11 2012	12 2013	13 2014	4 2015	5 2016	6 2017	7 2018		Total
A. Cash inflow				51.913	51,913 136,376 140,467	140,467	144,681	149.021	153,492	158.097 10	162,840 10	167,725	72,756 17	181 056,771	183,277 188	188,776 194	194,439 200	200,272, 206	206,280 212,469	469 218.843		225,408 232	232,170 145,	145,374 3,6	3,622,614
a. Operation				51.531	51.531 135,132 139,186	139,186	143.362	147,663	152,093	156,656 10	161,355 16	1961,981	71.182 17	181 715,317	181,607 187	187,055 192.	192,667 198	198,447 204	204,400 210.5	210,532 276,848		225,353 230,	230,054 143,	143,884 3.5	3.589.519
b. Interest eathed				383	1.243	1,280	1.319	1,358	1,399	<del>1</del>	1,484	1,529	1,575	1.622	1.671		1 277.1	1.826 1.	1,880	1,937	1,995 2,	2,055 2	2.116 1.	1,490	33,095
B. Cash outflow		16,476	16,476 137,883	128,860	94.248	91,711	93,333	95,005	96,726	)1 66+86	100,325 10	102,206	124.141	106,141 70	7 072.01	72,687 74	74,868 77	77,114 79	79,427 81.8	81.810 84.	84,265 86.	86,792 89,	89,396 29,	29,734 2.0	2,012,222
a. Equity capital paid-in		16.476	137,883	98,106																				c1	252.465
<ol> <li>Operation</li> </ol>				26.062	52,257	53,825	55,440	57.103	58,816	60,580	62,398	64,270	9 861.99	68.184 70	70,229 72	72,336 74	74.506 76	76,741 79	79,044 81.	81,415 83,857	_	86,373 88	88,964 44,	44.562 1.3	1,383,161
c. Net working capital				4.34	38	361	569	775	286	204	303	312	351	331	341	351	362	373	384	395	404	419	432 -14	-14,827	_
d. Interest paid on debt				347	20,197	18.803	17,297	15,671	13.915	12.018	0.970	7,757	5,368	2,787	0	0	0		0	0	٥	•	٥	0	124,130
e. Income (corporate) (ax paid				•	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	٥	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	φ	0	
f. Loan repayments				0	17,427	18,822	20,327	21,954	23,710	25.607	27,655	29,868	32,257 3	34,839	0	0	o	٥	0	0	6	0	0	در د	252.465
C. Net each flow (A - B)	٠	-16,676	-137,883	-76,947	-16,676 -137,883 -76,947 42,128 48,756	48.756	51.347	54,017	56,766	865.65	62,514	65,518	68,613 7	31 864,17	112,707 116	116,088 119	21 172,911	123,158 126	126,859 130,	130,658 134,578		138,616 142	142,774 115.	115,640 1.6	1,610,392
D. Cumulative net CF		-16,476	-154,359	-231,305	-16,476 -154,359 -231,305 -189,178 -140,422	-140,422	-89,074	-35,058	21.708	81,306	143,820 20	209,338 27	277,951 34	349,749 46	462,456 578	578.544 698	698,115 821	821.273 948	948,126 1,078,785 1,213,363 1,351,978 1,494,752 1,610,392	,785-1.213.	363 1,351.	.978 1,494	.752 1.610.	392	
E. Net present value 8% discount rate	Jate	16,476	-142,925	198,307	-16,476 -142,925 -198,307 -150,175 -103,214 1.00 0.93 0.85 0.79 0.74	-103.214	-60.622	-22,092	12.667	43,927	0.50	0.46	0.43	0.40	0.37	0.34	0.32	239,722 2 <b>56</b> 0.29	256,249 269. 0,27 C	269.965 281.151 0.25 0.23		290,065 296	296.941 296	296.216 2.3	2,307,189
F. Cumulative NPV		-16.476	-159,401	-357.708	-16.476 -159.401 -357.708 -507.884 -611.098	- 1	671 170	-593,812 -6	-681,146 -6	37.219 - 5	-637,219 -565,273 -468,309	68.309 -3	-349.101 -21	-210,210 -4	-40,166 150	156,805 376	376,880 616	616,602 872	872.851 1,142.816 1,423.967 1,714.032 2.010.973 2.307.189	.816 1,423.	967 1.714	.032 2.010	973 2.307	189	

G. Internal rate of return on equity (IRR)

# 16.5 Economic Analysis

The economic analysis is concerned primarily with whether or not the Project will generate adequate economic benefits to the country to justify its costs. We make this analysis by two approaches: a revenue-based approach and an approach (or a method) where the cost of an alternative to the Project is compared with the cost of the Project.

# 16.5.1 Revenue Approach

The revenue-based economic analysis is straightforward. We simply compute the economic rate of return (ERR) of the Project, by using the shadow-priced cost and benefit of the Project we estimated earlier.

Table 16.16 exhibits projected streams of the economic benefit and cost of the Project. Because the local currency costs are shadow-priced, the Project's economic cost is lower than its financial cost. The economic benefit is also lower than the financial benefit, because the benefit is determined so as to reflect fully the cost on the principle upon which the concept of the long-run marginal cost is based. Consequentially, the economic rates of return (ERR) indicated in the table are almost the same as the financial internal rates of return computed earlier. The ERRs are higher than the assumed discount rate of 8%.

Table 16.17 shows the results of the sensitivity analysis for the ERR. The analysis employed the same modified assumptions that were used earlier for the sensitivity test for the FRR. Because the prices or the values of the Project's outputs are linked with the discount rate assumed, the selection of a higher discount rate results in a higher level of income and thus a higher ERR.

Table 16.16 Economic rate of return analysis--revenue-based approach

A SAN				,					,		7.7				:	,		Thousand R.O.
Year	9661	1997	R661	6661	3000	2001	2002	2003	3004	2005	3006	2007	2008	3005	2010	3011	2012	2013
Becritiiy     Benefi     Benefi     Benefi     Benefi     Power requirement at generation (MW)     Power requirement at generation (MW)			8	302	ž	413	\$29	652	783	126	1,050	1,185	627.1	1,480	0,640	1,698	1.698	1.698
<ol> <li>Power requirement at sending-end (2% loss)</li> <li>Power requirement at transmission-end (3% loss)</li> <li>Total benefit at transmission-end @34,48 R.O./KW</li> </ol>			103	198 192 6.614	285 289 9,956	405 392 13,530	518 503 17,328	639 620 21,365	767 744 25.656	903 876 30.194	. 25 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	1,162	1,302	1,451 1,407 48,513	1.559	1,664 1,614 55,655	1,664 1,614 55,655	1.664
1 The Targy requirement at generation (AWT)  2 Therzy requirement at sending-end (2% loss)  3 Energy requirement at renamission-end (3% loss)  4 Total benefit at transmission-end @0.01036 R.O.f.WH			475,898 466,380 452,388 4,687	913,345 895,078 868,226 8,995	1,376,776	1,872,470 1,835,021 1,779,970 18,440	2,399,234 2 2,351,249 2 2,280,712 2 23,628	2,959,056 2,899,873 2,812,877 29,141	3,554,049 3,482,968 3,378,479 35,001	4,183,412 4,099,744 3,976,752 41,199	4,767,198 5 4,671,854 5 4,531,699 5 46,948	5.383.898 6 5.276.220 5 5.117.933 5 53.022	6,035,450 6 5.914,741 6 5,737,299 6 59,438	6,723,916 7 6,589,438 7 6,391,755 7 66,219	7,451,482 7,302,452 7,083,379 73,384	7.744.569 7.589.677 7.361.987 7.5270	7.744.569 7.589.677 7.361.987 76.270	7,744,569 7,589,677 7,361,987 76,270
B. Cost			8,146	15.609	23,514	31,970	40.957	50,507	60,657	71,393	81,352	91,872	102,987	114,732	127,143	131,925	131,925	131.925
(a) kapital cost Foreign Local Total (b) Operation cost	15,160 2,655 17,815	114,110 11,601 125,711	19,540 12,420 31,960	23,650 1,485 25,135	42,350 270 42,620	12,570 324 12.894	44,460 423 44,883	27,190 1,701 28,891	23,230 306 23,536	44.870 1.602 46,472	30,310 576 30,886	48.576 1.800 50.370	51.950 1.872 53.822	24.660 369 25.029	000	000	000	000
Portign Local Total			33.44 3.279	260 6.034 6.294	392 9,095 9,487	534 12,370 12,903	684 15,849 16,533	843 19,548 20,391	1,013 23,478 24,491	1,192 27,636 28,828	1,359	1.534 35,566 37,100	1,720 39,870 41,590	1.916 44,418 46,335	2124 49,224 51,348	2207 51,16i 53,368	2207 51.161 53.368	2.207 51.161 55.368
Sub-total	17.815	125,711	35,239	31,429	52,107	25,797	61,416	49.282	48,027	75,300	63,737	87,470	95,412	71.364	51,348	53,368	53,368	53,368
C. Net benefit (A - B)	-17,815	-125,711	-27,093	-15,820	-28.593	6.173	-20,460	1.225	12,630	-3,907	17.615	4.402	7.575	43,368	75,795	78,557	78,557	78,557
D. Net present value 84 discount rate	-17,815	-116,399	-23,228 0.86	0.79	-21,017	4,201 88.0	12.893	715	6,823 0,54	-1.954	8,159	1,888	3,008	15.946	25,805	24,764	22.930	21,232
E. Cumulative NPV	-17,815	-134,214	-157,442	-170.001	-191.017	-186,816	199.709	-198,994	-192,171	-194,125	-185,966	-184,078	181,070	-165,134	.139,318	-114554	-91.624	-70.392
F. FRR.: 1966 Project year	88	6)	20	21	22	23	24	28	8 8	27	R S	23	0.	31	32	33		
	1	CIO	9107	707	2002	7019	0507	2021	7707	202	2024	CZ02	2026	2027	2028	3029		Total
(a) Capacity  1 Power requirement at generation (MW)  2 Power requirement at seeding-evel (2% loss)  3 Power requirement at treasmission-end (3% loss)  4 Total benefit at transmission-end (9.54.48 R.O.IKW)  (b) Everov	1,698 1,664 1,614 55,655	1.698 1.664 1.614 55.655	1,698 1,664 1,614 55,655	1,698 1,664 1,614 55,655	1,522 1,491 1,446 49,872	1,430 1,401 1,359 46,861	1,341° 1,315 1,275 43,970	1,161 1,138 1,104 38,067	1,073 1,052 1,020 35,176	985 965 936 32,285	897 879 852 29,393	717 702 681 23,491	628 616 597 20,600	537 526 510 17,588	360 353 342 11,806	268 263 255 2794		33,398 32,730 31,748 1,094,663
Energy requirement at generation (MWH)     Energy requirement at sending-end (19th loss)     Energy requirement at manmission-end (19th loss)     Energy requirement at transmission-end (19th loss)     Tocal benefit at transmission-end @0.01036 R.O.fKWH	7,744,569 7,589,677 7,361,987 76,270	7,744,569 7,589,677 7,361,987 7,6,270	7,744,569 7,580,677 7,361,987 76,270	7,744,569 6 7,589,677 6 7,361,987 6 76,270	6,939,938 6,801,140 6,597,105 68,346	6.118,545 5,996,174 5,816,289 60,257	5,716,229 5 5,601,905 5 5,433,848 5 56,295	5,313,914 5,207,636 5,051,407 52,333	4.894,836 4.796,939 4,653,031 48.205	4,492,520 4,402,670 4,270,590 44,243	3,671,127 3,597,704 3,489,773 36,154	3.268.812 2 3.203.435 2 3.107.332 2 32.192	2,866,496 2 2,809,166 2 2,724,891 1 28,230	2,045,103 i 2,004,201 i 1,944,075 i 20,141	1,642,787 1,609,932 1,561,634 16,179	821,394 804,966 780,817 8,089	4 A A	150.099.866 147,097.869 142,684,933 1,478,216
Sub-total	131,925	131,925	131,925	131,925	118,219	107,118	100.264	90.400	83,381	76.528	65.548	55,683	48.829	37,728	27,984	16,883		2,572,879
c. Cook (a) Capital cost Foreign Local Total (b) Operation cost	000	000	000	000	000	000	6000	000	000	000	. 000		000		000	000		522.620 37.404 560.024
Foreign Local Total	2,207 51,161 53,368	2,207 51,161 53,368	2207 51,161 53,368	2,207 51,161 53,368	1,978 45,845 47,823	1,744 40,419 42,163	1,629 37,761 39,391	1,514 35,104 36,618	1,395 32,335 33,730	1,280 29,678 30,958	24,251	932 21,594 22,525	817 18,936 19,753	583 13,510 14,093	468 10,852 11,320	234 5,426 5,660		42,778 991,560 1,034,338
Sub-total	53.368	53,368	53,368	53,368	47.823	42.163	39,391	36,618	33,730	30,958	25,298	22.525	19,753	14,093	11,320	5,660		1,594,362
C. Net benefit (A - B)	78,557	78.557	78,557	78.557	70,395	64.955	60.874	53.781	49,651	45,570	40,250	33,157	29,076	23,636	16,664	11,223		918.516
D. Net present value 8% discount rate	19,659 0,25	18,203	16,854	0.20	0.18	11,063	91.0	7.853	6,713 0.14	5,705	4,666	3,559	2,890	2,175	1,420	88.5 0.08		89,405
E. Cumulative NPV	-50,733	32.531	-15.676	70	12,878	23,941	33,541	41,394	48,107	53.811	58,477	62,035	64.925	67.100	68.520	69,405		

2	
γ.	
3	

1

<b>&amp;</b>	Deposity  1. Production requirement (m3/d)  2. Caposity requirement (20% for posk demand)  3. Caposity requirement at smelling-end (2% loss)  4. Caposity requirement at smelling-end (2% loss)  5. Total benefit at transmission-end (%% loss)  5. Total benefit at transmission-end (@214.33 &Co./m3/d  6. Deposity requirement at transmission-end (@214.33 &Co./m3/d  6. Total benefit at transmission-end (@214.33 &Co./m3/d	Deduction requirement (m3)  Production requirement at sending-end (-2%)  Production requirement at transmission-end (-0%)  Total benefit at transmission-end @8,401 R.O./m3	Sub-total	(4) Laptiza cost   Foreign   Local   L	Foreign Local Total	Sub-total	C. Net benefit (A - B)	D. Net present value 8% discount rate	E. Cumulative MPV		A. Benefit.  (a) Capacity or requirement (m3/d)  2. Capacity requirement (20% for prask demand)  3. Capacity requirement as asobatic and (2% loss)  4. Capacity requirement at anomassion-end (2% loss)  5. Total breefit at transmission-end @214.33 R.O./m3/d.	Production requirement (m3)  2. Production requirement at sending-end (+2-%)  3. Production requirement at transmission-end (+0-%)  4. Total benefit at transmission-end @0.401 R.O./m3	Sub-total	(a) Capital cost Foreign Local Local Total (b) Operating cost	Foreign Local Total	Sub-total	C. Net benefit (A - B)	D. Net present value 8% discount rate
Project wear 0 Year 1996	Pr£w,	£.				- 1	·r	•	***	Project year 18 Year 2014			7.		~ ~ 4	.1	ক	i
- 66				930 E 1,026 2,070 Zl	,	2.070 2	-2.070 -2	-2,070 -19 1.00	2.070 -2	19. 14. 2015	180,122 180 216,147 210 211,824 211,824 211,824 211,824 211	3,2,2	74,854 74	000	8.558 6.785 15.345	15,343 15	59.511 59	14,893 12
97 1998				12,750 65 7,767 21 21,380 89		21.380 89	-21,380 -89	26.0 0.93	21.866 98	9 20	180,122 180 216,147 216 211,834 211 211,834 211 45,400 45	14 15 15	74,854 74	000	8.558 8 6,785 6 15,343 15	15,343 15	59,511 59	13,789 12
3061 8	01 11 12 10 11 12 12 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	3,697,646 3,623,693 3,623,693 1,453	ਚੰ	65,980 21,375 89,730		057.68	89,730 3.	.76,929 2. 0.86 C	-98,795 -946,	21 2017	180,122 180, 216,147 216, 211,824 211, 211,824 211, 45,400 45,	948,972 74,948,972 449,993 73,449,993 449,993 73,449,993 29,453 29,453	74.854 74.	000	8,558 8, 6,785 6, 15,343 15,	15,343 15,	99,511 59,	0.21 0.21
2000	10.131 20 12.157 24 11.914 23 11.914 23 2,553 5	100	4,007	000	422 335 757	8 757	3.250	2.580 0.79	-96,216 -96	7 2018	180,122 180 216,147 216 211,824 211 211,824 211 45,400 45	48.972 74.948.972 49.993 73.449.993 49.993 73.449.993 29.453 29.453	74,854 74	000	8,558 8 6,785 6 15,343 15	15,343 15	59,511 59	0.20
200	20,224 24,269 23,784 4,23,784 5,098	7,381,897 12,77 7,234,259 12,51 7,234,259 12,51 2,901	1.999	5,560 3 999 6,670 4	843 668 1.511	8,181	-183	134 - 2	-96.350 -11	23 8 2019	180.122 18 216.147 15 211.824 14 211.834 14 45,400 3	8972 63.55 1993 62.28 1993 62.28 1453 2	74,854 5	000	8,558 6,785 15,343	15.343	4 115.65	. 946,01
	34,999 41,999 41,159 41,159 8,822	12,774,673 18,4 12,519,179 18,00 12,519,179 18,00 5,020	13,842	33.030 8.928 42,950	1,459 - 1,156 - 2,615 -	\$365	-31.723	21,590	-117,940 -1		183,283 11 152,736 11 149,681 1- 70,081 1-	63.553.450 63.55 62.282.381 62.25 62.282.381 62.25 24.975	57,056	000	7,257 5,753 13,010	13,010	540.44	0.17
2002	50,500 60,600 59,388 59,388	18,432,633 24, 18,063,981 23, 18,063,981 23, 7,244	19.972	000	2,105 1,669 3,773	3,773	16,199	10,208	-107,732	24 2020 2	183.283 152.736 149.681 149.681 32.081	.553,450 63, 282,381 62, 282,381 62, 24,975	57,056	000	7,257 5,753 13,010	13,010	44,046	6,946 0.16
7 2003	66.764 80.117 78.515 78.515 16.828	24,368,973 3 23,881,593 2 23,881,593 2 9,577	26,405	000	2.733 2.206 4.989	4,989	21,416	12.496	-95.236 -	25	183,283 152,736 149,681 149,681 32,081	1553,450   423 1282,381   415 1282,381   415 24,975	57.056	000	7,257 5,753 13,010	13,010	44,046	6,432
3004	83,829 100,595 98,583 21,129	30,597,635 29,985,682 29,985,682 12,024	33,154	5,560 999 6,670	3.494 2.770 6.264	12,934	20.220	10,924	-84.312	26 2022	1,221,892 1,018,243 997,878 997,878 213,875	690,912 217,094 217,094 166,502	380.377	000	48.381 38,353 86,735	86,735	293,643	39,701
2005	100,525 120,630 118,217 118,217 25,338	36,691,625 35,957,793 35,957,793 14,419	39,757	33.030 8.928 42.950	4,190 3,321 7,511	50,461	-10,705	-5.355	-89,667	2023	1,221,892 1,018,243 997,878 997,878	423,690,912 415,217,094 415,217,094 166,502	380,377	000	48,381 38,353 86,735	86.735	293,643	36,760 0.13
2006	115.089 128.107 135.345 135.345 29.008	42.007.505 41.167.355 41.167.355 16.508	45,517		4,797 3,803 8,599	8.599	36,917	17,100 0.46	-72.567	28 2024	1,018,243 997,878 997,878 997,878	423,690,912 415,217,094 415,217,094 166,502	380,377	900	48,381 38,353 86,735	86.735	293.643	34,037
2007	130,298 156,357 153,230 153,230 32,842	45,607,519 46,607,519 46,607,519 18,690	51.531	5.560 999 6,670	5,431 4,305 9,736	16,406	35.126	15,065	-57,502	2025	61.094 50.912 49.894 49.894 10.694	20,784,483 20,760,794 20,760,794 8,325	19,019	000	2.419 1.918 4.337	4,337	14,682	0.11
2008	146.183 175.420 171.912 171.912 36.846	53,356,893 52,289,755 52,289,755 20,968	57,814	33,030 8,928 42,950	6,003 4,830 10,923	53.873	3.941	1.565	15,937	30 2026		21.184.483 20.760.794 20.760.794 8.325	19,019		2,419 1,918 4,337	4,337	14,682	1,459
13 2009	162.779 195.335 191.429 191.429	59,414,511 58,226,221 58,236,221 23,349	84.378	900	6.785 5.378 12,163	12.163	52.215	19,199	-36.738	31	61.094 50.912 50.912 49.894 10.694	20.760,794 20.760,794 20.760,794 8,325	610.61	000	2,419 1,918 4,337	4337	14,682	1350
2010	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 211,824	65.744,704 64,429,809 64,429,809 25,836	71.237		7.507 5.951 13,459	13,459	57,778	19.671 0.34	-17,067	32	61_094 50,912 49,894 49,894 10,694	កខ្ល	19.019		2,419 1,918 4,337	4,337	14,682	125
3011	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 45,400	74,948,972 73,449,993 73,449,993 29,453	74.854		8.558 6.785 15.343	15.343	59.511	18,760	1,693									
2012	180,122 216,147 211,824 45,400	74,948,972 73,449,993 73,449,993 29,453	74.854	000	8.558 6.785 15.343	15,343	59,511	17.371	19.064									
2013	180,122 216,147 211,824 211,824 45,400	74,948,972 73,449,993 73,449,993 29,453	74.854		8,558 6,785 15,343	15,343	59.511	16.084	35,148	Total	7,002,327 6,767,495 6,632,145 6,632,145	2.548.090.183 2.497.128.379 2.497.128.379 1,001.348	2,422,816	195,430 59,949 262,040	290.966 230,658 521,625	783,665	1,639.15	256,381

	Project year	0	-	2		4	\$	8	7	8	6	10	=		13	14	15	16	17
3. Total (Electricity and Water)	Year	1996	2661	1 808	33	3000	2001	eı	2003	2004	3005	3006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
A. Total benefit		0	0	9,1,46	\$19,61	31.513	45,812	60,929	76,911	93,810	111,150	126.868	143,404	160.801	601.671	198.380	206.779	206,779	206,779
B. Total cost (a) Capital cost Foreign Local		16,090 3,681 19,771	126,860 19,368 146,228	85,520 33,795 119,315	23,650 1,485 25,135	47.910 1.269 49.179	45,600 9,252 54,852	44,460 423 44,883	27,190 1,701 28,891	28,790 1,305 30,095	77,900 10,530 88,430	30,310 576 30,886	74,130 2,799 56,929	84,980 10,800 95,780	24.660 369 25.039	000	000	000	000
(b) Operating cost Foreign Local Total		000	000	136 3.144 3.279	683 6,368 7,051	1,235 9,763 10,999	1,992 13,526 15,518	2,789 17,518 20,307	3,626 21,753 25,379	4,507 26,248 30,755	5,382 30,957 36,339	6.155 35.295 41,450	6,965 39,871 46,836	7.813 44.700 52.513	8,701 49,797 58,497	9,631 55,176 64,807	10.766 57.945 68.711	10.766 57.945 68.711	10,766 57,945 68,711
Sub-total		19,771	146,228	122,594	32,186	80.178	70.370	65,190	54,270	60.850	124,769	72,336	103.765	148,293	83.526	64.807	111.89	111,000	68,711
C. Net benefit (A - B)		-19,77]146,228		-114,448	-12,571	-28,665	-24,558	1977	22,641	32,961	-13,619	54,532	39,638	12,508	95.583	133.573	138,068	138,068	138,068
D. Net present value 8% discount rate		-19,771 1.00	-135,396	-98,121 0.86	-9.979 0.79	-21,069 -	-16,714	-2,685	13,211	17.808	6,813	25.259 0.45	17,000	4,967	35,146	45,476	43,525	40,301	37,315
E. Cumulative NPV		. 177,91-	. 155,167	-253,288 -2	-263,267 -2	284,336 -3	-301,050	-303,735	290,524	-272,717	-279,530	-254,271	172,782-	-232,304	197.158	-151,682	-108,157	-67,856	-30,541
F FRR	<b>*</b>																		
3. Total (Electricity and Water)	Project year Year	2014	2015	20 20 2	21 2017 3	3018 30	23 2019 2	24 2020 2	25 2021	26 2022	2023	2024	2025	30	31 2027	32 2028	33		Total
A. Total benefit		206,779	206.779	206,779	106,779	193,072	164,174	157.321	147,456	463,758	456,905	445.925	74,709	67,848	56.747	47,003	16,883		4,995,695
B. Total cost (a) Capital cost Foreign Local Total		000	000	. 000		000	000	000	000		000	000	000	000	000	000	004		718.050
(b) Operating cost Foreign Local Total		10,766 57,945 68,711	10.766 57.945 68.711		10.766 57.945 68.711	10.536 52.630 63.166	9,001 46,172 55,173	8.886 43.514 52.401	8.772 40.857 49,628	49,776 70,689 120,465	49.662 68.031 117,693	49.428 62.605 112.032	3,351 23,511 26,862	3,236 20,854 24,090	3,002 15,438 18,430	2,887 12,770 15,657	5,426 5,660		333,745 1,222,218 1,555,963
Sub-total		68,711	68,711	68,711	117.89	63,166	55,173	52,401	49.628	120,465	117,693	112.032	26,862	24.090	18,430	15,657	5,660		2,371,366
C. Net benefit (A - B)		138,068	138,068	138,068	138,068	129,906	100,001	104,920	97,828	343,294	339,213	333,892	47,839	43.758	38.318	31,346	11,223		2,624,329
D. Net present value 8% discount rate		34,551	31,992	29,622	27,428	23,895	18.565	16.546 0.16	14,285	46,414 0.14	42,465	38,703	5,134	4,349	3.526	0.09	885 9.08		310,489
E. Cumulative NPV		4.011	36.003	65,625	93,053	116,948	135,513	152.058	166.343	212,757	255.222	293,925	299,059	303.408	306,933	309.604	310,489		

Table 16.17 Sensitivity Analysis for ERR

		Discount rate	Live Andrewson Substitution COS MARS
·	7%	9%	10%
Power (R.O.)			
LRMC at generation	28.21	32.74	35.13
LRMC at transmission-end	31.84	37.18	39.99
Water (R.O.)			
LRMC at generation	176.88	205.98	220.96
LRMC at transmission-end	197.40	231.79	249.51
Net benefit (Thousand R.O.)			
Electricity	988,874	1,158,407	1,247,619
Water	1,526,869	1,754,949	1,872,470
Total	2,515,744	2,913,356	3,120,089
ERR			
Electricity	10%	11%	12%
Water	15%	17%	17%
Total	13%	13%	14%

## 16.5.2 Comparative Method

The net benefit resulting form a project can be identical to the cost saved by not adopting an alternative to the project. The so-called "comparative method" is based on that conception.

## 16.5.2.1 Alternative to the Project--Electricity

There are 16 diesel engine stations for rural electrification in the Northern Sector, whereas about 90% of the power generation in the sector is on natural gas. When the proposed Manah Power Station, which will run on natural gas, is completed, some of the diesel plants will retire. A shift of power energy source from diesel gas to natural gas is already a course of action in this country.

Nevertheless, the Barka project will contribute to the nation, by allowing the Muscat and Wadi Jizzi Systems to expand into the inner regions, and as a result, supplying electricity generated on natural gas at a lower cost than on diesel gas to areas presently served with power generated on diesel gas, and to towns developed in the future which otherwise would have to have diesel plants. Thus, the economic benefit of the Project can be approximated by calculating the cost which would be saved by not building and not operating oil-fired thermal plants. For the scheme with this alternative type of power plant, and for the cost comparison with the Project, we

make the following assumptions and computations. The capital costs and the operating costs of the alternative scheme can be translated as, respectively, the KW capacity value and the KWH energy value of the benefit that the Project will generate.

#### (1) Alternative scheme:

Plant type : oil-fired thermal plant

Capital cost (shadow-priced) : 346.05 R.O./KW (900 US\$/KW)

Life expectancy : 20 years

Discount factor : 8%

(opportunity cost of capital)

(2) KW capacity value:<sup>12</sup>

Annuitized value : 346.05 R.O./KW x 0.101852

= 35.246 R.O./KW (annually)

(3) KWH energy value:

Price of fuel (oil) : 0.2 \$/1

Heat value : 10,000 kcal/l

Thermal efficiency : 31%

Heat rate<sup>13</sup> : 2,774 kcal/KWH

Fuel  $cost^{14}$  : 5.55 x  $10^{-2}$  \$/KWH

 $(2.13 \times 10^{-2} \text{ R.O./KWH})$ 

Operating costs excluding fuel : 8.77 x 10<sup>-3</sup> R.O./KWH

Total operating costs : 3.01 x 10<sup>-2</sup> R.O./KWH

The annuitized capacity value has to be adjusted in the same manner as the LRMC for capacity cost for the Project was computed. Assuming the station use at the diesel plant concerned at 6%, the transmission-end KWH value is calculated as follows:

Transmission-end KW value =

 $35.246 \text{ R.O./KW} \times (1 + 8\%) \div (1 - 6\%) \div (1 - 3\%) = 41.75 \text{ R.O./KW}$ 

1 kcal = 4,185.5 joul

Heat rate =  $860 \div 31\% = 2,774$  (kcal/KWH)

In this report, operation and maintenance costs are not included in the capacity cost, but in the energy (or operating) cost.

<sup>13 1</sup> KW = 1,000 joul/sec.

 $<sup>1 \</sup>text{ KWH} = 1,000 \times 3,600 + 4,185.5 = 860 \text{ (kcal/hr)}$ 

 $<sup>14 5.55 \</sup>times 10^{-2} \text{ } \text{/KWH} = 0.2 \text{ } \text{/I} \times 2,774 \text{ } \text{kcal/KWH} \div 10,000 \text{ } \text{kcal/I}$ 

The generation cost of rural stations in the MEW's Northern Sector, excluding fuel and equipment depreciation, was 8.77 bz/KWH in 1993 (5.7 bz for foreign currency costs, and 3.07 bz for shadow-priced, local currency costs). We assume the same cost for the subject diesel plant. As indicated earlier, the operating costs including fuel total to 10.90 bz/KWH.

With an assumption of the station loss at 6% and the transmission loss at 3%, the transmission-end KWH value is computed as follows:

Transmission-end KWH value =  $3.01 \times 10^{-2} \text{ R.O./KWH} \div (1 - 6\%) \div (1 - 3\%) = 3.30 \times 10^{-2} \text{ R.O./KWH}$ 

Table 16.18 shows the projected cost streams of the alternative to the Project. Those projected costs are entered in the column labeled "operating benefit" in Table 16.19, whereas the capital cost and the operating cost appearing in the table are those of the Project. The net benefit in the table is the cost saved by the Project, by not taking the alternative scheme. The economic internal rate of return obtained by this method is 29%.

The ERR obtained by the comparative method and that by the revenue-based approach are significantly different, while both of them exceed the assumed discount factor of 8%. One may question whether or not the alternative scheme discussed is a realistic or appropriate one. The scheme, however, may represent best the society's willingness-to-pay for power, as, in some areas of the country, the power is still supplied through that scheme.

#### 16.5.2.2 Alternative to the Project--water

Alternatives to the Project to meet the future water demand include (1) exploitation of natural spring water or purchase of water from neighboring countries, and (2) water production by small-scale desalination plants at different locations. There is a rockhill dam in Muscat, called Muscat Dam, which was built in November 1993. The dam is intended to hold water and, at the same time, to prevent flood, while it has not yet served for those purposes. There is another dam behind it, in the reservoir of which people reportedly used to swim. It is said that the area does not have much rain in recent years, and that dams are not a possible answer to the water needs.

Table 16.18 Economic cost of alternative scheme--electricity

	300.	200	0000	000	0000												Ì	
	266	1861	1758	(4/4/-)	2000	300	2002	2003	3004	3005	2006	2007	3008	3009	2010	2011	2012	2013
<ul><li>(a) Capacity</li><li>(b) Power requirement at seneration (MW)</li></ul>			<u> </u>	ርሀኒ C	Ş	413	9	653	783	8	0501	984	-	6	9	900		
Power requirement at continuous of 10% loss)			200	2	Ş	, ,	1	9 6	3 5	1 60	200	7.10	7	004.	2	000	0,00	
			3	2	9	Ç.	0	Avo	10	200	(to:	1.0	30	1.45	1.607	26.	8.	
2. Power requirement at transmission-end (3% loss)			8	192	289	395	503	630	44	876	806	1.127	1,263	1,407	1,559	1.614	1,614	
4 Cost at transmission-end @41.75 R.O./KW			4.189	8,008	12,055	16,383	20,982	25,870	31,065	36,560	41.657	47.042	52,731	58,742	65.095	67,389	67.389	
(b) Energy							٠											
<ol> <li>Energy requirement at generation (MWF4)</li> </ol>			475,898	913,345	1,376,776	1,872,470	2,399,234	2,959,055	3,554,049	4,183,412	4,767,198	5,383,898	6,035,450	6.723.916	7,451,482	7,753,591	8,353,461	85
2 Energy requirement at sending-end (2% loss)			466,380	895,078	1,349,240		2,351,249	2.899,873	3,482,968	4,099,744	4,671,854	5276,220	5,914,741	6.589,438	7,302,452	7.598,519	8,186,392	8.774.264
3 Energy requirement at transmission-end (3% loss)			452,388	888.226	1.308,763		2.280.712	2.812.877	3.378.479	3,976,752	4.531.699	5,117,933	5,737,299	6,391,755	7.083.379	7,370,564	7.940.800	8.51
+ Cost at transmission-end at 0.0000 K.C.R.W.			676.41	100'07	45,189	28,739	75.263	92,825	111,490	131,233	149,546	168.892	189,331	210.928	233,751	243,229	362,046	ล
Total cost			19,118	36,660	55,244	75,122	96,246	118,695	142,555	167.793	191,203	215,934	242,062	269,670	298.846	310.618	329,436	348,254
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	3028	3039		Total
(a) Capacity  Power regimement at semeration (MW)	1,698	. 89	1 608	809 1	1 402	027	1 241	17.1	. 070	300	600		97		,			
Dougest requirement of conding and (20% love)	777	664	777	77	1			5 .	0,00	000	140	-	0,0	750	8	200		-•
בי ב	5	5	ŝ	8	16. T	0	CIST	3	1.032	600	618	707	919	238	353	163		•••
	1,614	1.614	1.614	1,614	1.446	1359	1,275	Š	1.020	936	852	681	297	510	347	255		ויי
4 Cost at transmission-end (941.75 K.OJKW	67,389	67.389	67.389	67.389	60.388	56.741	53.241	46,093	42,592	39.092	35.591	28,444	24,943	21.2%	14.295	10,648		1,325,469
(b) Energy																		
1 Energy requirement at generation (MWH)	9,553,201	10,412,136	9,553,201 10,412,136 10,412,136 10,412,136	10.412.136	9,330,356	8,766,928	8,226,038	7,121,721	6,580,831	6.039,940	5,499,050	4,394,733		3,290,415	2,208,635	1.645,208		180,903,872
2 Energy requirement at sending-end (2% loss)	9,362,137	10,203,893		10,203,893	9,143,749			6.979,286	6.449,214	5,919.142	5.389,069	4,306,838	3,776,766	3,224,607	2,164,462	1.612,303		177,285,771
<ol> <li>chergy requirement at transmission-end (3% loss).</li> </ol>	9.081.272	9,897,776	o,	9.897,776	8,869,436	8,333,842		6,769,908	6,255,738	5.741.567	5,227,397	4,177,633		3.127.869	2,099,528	1.563.934		171 967 220
4 Cost at transmission-end @0.0330 R.O./KWH	299,682	326.627	326.627	326,627	169'565	275,017	258,049	223.407	206.439	189.472	172,504	137,862		103,220	69.284	51,610		5.674.918
Total cost	367.071	394,016	394,016	394,016	353.079	331,758	311.290	005.695	749.037	2% \$43	200 800	\$02.99	145 927	313 161	02 630	63.65		ŧ
								202120		2000			200	מות לייו	675	000		1000

Table 16.19 Economic rate of return analysis (electricity)--compartive method

Year	Project year	Capital cost	Operating cost	Operating benefit	Net benefit	Discount factor 8%	Net present value	Cumulative NPV
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)=(3)-(1)-(2)	(5)	(6)=(4)x(5)	(7)
1996	0	17,815			-17,815	1.00	-17,815	-15,856
1997	. 1	125,711			-125,711	0.93	-116,399	-132,255
1998	2	31,960	3,279	19,118	-16,122	0.86	-13,822	-146,077
1999	3	25,135	6,294	36,660	5,231	0.79	4,153	-141,924
2000	. 4	42,620	9,487	55,244	3,137	0.74	2,305	-139,619
2001	5	12,894	12,903	75,122	49,325	0.68	33,569	-106,049
2002	6	44,883	16,533	96,246	34,829	0.63	21,948	-84,101
2003	7	28,891	20,391	118,695	69,413	0.58	40,502	-43,599
2004	8	23,536	24,491		94,528	0.54	51,070	7.471
2005	. 9	46,472	28,828	167,793	92,493	0.50	46,270	53,741
2006	10	30,886	32,851	191,203	127,467	0.46	59,042	112,783
2007	. 11	50,370			128,463	0.43	55,096	167,879
2008	12	53,822	41,590	242,062	146,649	0.40	58,236	226,115
2009	13	25,029			198,306	0.37	72,917	299,032
2010	14	0	51,348	298.846	247,498	0.34	84,263	383,295
2011	15	0	53,368	310,618	257,250	0.32	81,096	464,391
2012	16	0	53,368	329,436	276,068	0,29	80,582	544,973
2013	17	0	53,368	348,254	294,886	0.27	79,698	624,671
2014	18	0	53,368		313,704	0.25	78,504	703,175
2015	19	0	53,368	394,016	340,648	0.23	78,932	782,108
2016	20	0	53,368	394,016	340,648	0.21	73,085	855,193
2017	21	0	53,368	394,016	340,648	0.20	67,672	922,865
2018	22	0	47,823	353,079	305,256	0.18	56,149	979,014
2019	23	0	42,163	331,758	289,595	0.17	49,322	1,028,336
2020	24	. 0	39,391	311,290	271,899	0.16	42,878	1,071,215
2021	25	0	36,618	269,500	232,882	0.15	34,005	1,105,220
2022	26	0	33,730		215,301	0.14	29,109	1,134,329
2023	27	0	30,958	228.563	197,605	0.13	24,738	1,159,066
2024	28	0	25,298		182,797	0.12	21,189	1,180,255
2025	29	0	22,525	166,305	143,780	0.11	15,432	1,195,687
2026	30	0	19,753	145,837	126,084	0.10	12,530	1,208,217
2027	31	0	14,093	124,516	110,423	0.09	10,161	1,218,377
2028	32	0	11,320	83,579	72,259	0.09	6,156	1,224,534
2029	33	0	5,660		56,598	0.08	4,465	1,228,999
<b>F</b> otal		560,024	1,034,338	7,000,387	5,406,025		1,227,040	

Economic rate of return (ERR):

29%

Bottled spring water is available at 1 R.O. for 4 gallons. This price is the lowest at the retail level, and its wholesale price is reported to go down to 400 bz. Bottled water can be imported as well, and therefore, presumably any level of demand can be satisfied. However, this alternative, because of the high cost, can not be a realistic solution. (The MEW water is sold at 2 bz a gallon to households, and its production cost is estimated at 2.7 bz.)

In November 1993, a small desalination plant with an installed capacity of 4,550 m<sup>3</sup>/d was built in Sur. Water is pumped up from wells at the coast and thus no intake facility is required. The capital cost of this alternative plant was approximately \$12,000,000 in 1993. This type of small desalination plants can be a realistic alternative to the Project, while many plants would have to be built along the coast by the year 2010.

The operation and maintenance of the Sur plant is contracted to a private company at an annual fee of approximately 300,000 R.O. The plant requires other operating costs, of which data is not available. For simplicity, we assume that the operating costs to produce one unit of water at the alternative plant are the same as those at the proposed Barka desalination plant. Hence, the economic rate of return of the Project can be estimated by comparing only the initial investment costs for the Project and those for this alternative scheme.

The estimated capital costs of the alternative scheme appear in the "Benefit" column in Table 16.20. To meet the demand, 48 plants would be required by the year 2010. The economic rate of return is calculated at 46.01%. The Project, owing to the economies of scale, is economically superior to its alternative, while it requires a substantial investment in early years.

Table 16.20 Economic rate of return analysis (water)--compartive method

(2)=(1)x120% for peak		Capacity Number of		new plants Cumulative total installed	Benefit	Š	Net benefit	Discount factor	Net present	Cumulative
(1) (2)=(1)x120% for peak (2)=(1)x120% for peak (2)=(2)=(2)=(2)=(2)=(2)=(2)=(2)=(2)=(2)=		Ð		capacity (m3/d)	Capital cost	•		8%	value	NPV
10,131 20,224 34,999 50,500 66,764 83,829 10,525 115,089 130,298 146,183 162,779		ලි	<b>4</b>	(S)	(6)=(3)x@12 million R.O.	6	(8)=(9)-(1)	(6)	(10)=(8)x(9)	(11)
10,131 20,224 34,999 50,500 66,764 83,829 100,525 115,089 146,183 162,779 180,122						1.86		-2 1.00	¢,	C
10,131 20,224 34,999 50,500 66,764 83,829 100,525 115,089 146,183 162,779						19.2	4 -19	_	-18	-18
10,131 20,224 34,999 50,500 66,764 83,829 100,525 115,089 146,183 162,779 180,122			-		36	80.7	6 -45	5 0.86	-38	-56
20,224 34,999 50,500 66,764 83,829 100,525 115,089 146,183 162,779 180,122	12,157	13,650	66	13,650	36	00:0	36	-	29	-28
34,999 50,500 66,764 83,829 100,525 115,088 146,183 162,779 180,122	24,269	13,650	en en	27,300	48	9.9	0 42		31	т.
50,500 66,764 83,829 100,525 115,089 130,298 146,183 162,779	41,999	18,200	4	45,500	48	38.6		89.0	9	01
66,764 83,829 100,525 115,089 130,298 146,183 162,779	009'09	18,200	₹	63,700	48	0.0	δ.	8 0.63	30	4
83,829 100,525 115,089 130,298 146,183 162,779 180,122	80,117	18,200	4	81,900	09	0.0	9	0 0.58	35	75
100,525 115,089 130,298 146,183 162,779 180,122	100,595	22,750	'n	104,650	48	9.9	8		23	8
115,089 130,298 146,183 162,779 180,122	120,630	18,200	4	122,850	48	38.6	χ.	05:0	5	102
130,298 146,183 162,779 180,122	138,107	18,200	4	141,050	48	00		48 0.46	SI.	124
146,183. 162,779 180,122.	156,357	18,200	4	159,250	48	9.9	8	2 0.43	18	142
180,122	175,420	18,200	4	177,450	48	38.66	92	9 0.40	4	146
180,122	195,335	18,200	ব	195,650	. 09	0.0		60 0.37	S	168
101 448	216,147	22,750	'n	218,400	0	0.00		0 0.34	0	168
1,101,445	1,321,734	218,400	44 88	1,351,350	576	;;	236 34	340 9	166	\$76
Economic internal rate of return (EIRR):										
7	46.01%									

Note: Assume that the disbursement of the capital cost is made one year earlier, as the construction of plants is completed one year earlier.

#### 16.5 Conclusion

We assessed the viability of the Project from both financial and economic points of view. For the financial assessment, the FRR of the Project was computed, to find that the rate is 13%, which is sufficiently higher than the assumed discount factor of 8%. The Project's first stage, which requires a total initial investment of approximately US\$505 million (or 194 million R.O.), is expected to generate a total net profit of US\$1,863 million over 21 years from 1998 to 2018, if it is given priority over other plants regarding operating hours (i.e. high load factor). The rate of return on the equity of approximately US\$129 million is expected at 22%.

The revenue-based ERR of the Project was also computed at 13%. The ERRs calculated by the so-called comparative method, which measured the cost saved by not adopting an alternative scheme, were 29% for power and 41% for water. All the rates of return estimated by our assessment suggest that the Project is feasible.