Appendix - 6

Source: Staff Appraisal Report, Brazil, Espirito Santo Water and Coastal Pollution Management Project, the World Bank, June 6, 1994) Estimate of Economic Benefit in the Espitito Santo Water and Coastal Pollution Management Project

1. Target population of the water supply component is composed of the following six groups.

Group 1: those currently receiving rationed service and will receive a 24-hour supply

Group 2: those currently receiving rationed service and will receive more hours of service

Group 3: those currently not connected to service, but will be connected for a 24-hour

service (low income group)

Group 4: those currently not connected to service, but will receive rationed service

Group 5: new connections as a result of population growth

Group 6: regular visitors and seasonal tourists

3. Weighted averages of benefit are derived for 1999 and final stage for Group 1 to 5 as follows.

2. The number of beneficiaries and estimated benefits are as follows.

Benefit Number of Benefeciaries

1.11 USS/cubic meter 1.43 USS/cubic meter Final Stage: 0.50 USS/cubic meter

222%

286%

4. These are compared with the present tariff level. Present average tariff level Final stage: 1999: 1999: 0.160.38 0.25 0.47 0.28 1.69 household cubic meter . CSS/ US\$/month 6.66 8.82 3.64 8.94 5.91 39.61 81.6% 3.9% 1.4% 0.1% 100.0% 13.0% 8 182.6 6.0 55.4 19.7 1,406.9 1,412.9 1.147.7 Stage Final 59.0% 100.0% 28.9% 8.8% 3.1% 0.5% 8 632.9 638.9 6.0 182.6 55.4 19.7 1.5 373.7 1999 sub-total Group Total 4 W ဖ

Note: The following factors are assumed to convert monthly household-wise

benefit to water volume basis benefit.

consumption rate:

number of household member

0.156 cubic meter/day/person

4. These are compared with the future tariff level based on marginal cost pricing. 1.15 USS/cubic meter 896 124% Future tariff based on marginal cost Final stage: 1999:

Appendix 7 (1/25)

Economic Evaluation for Curitiba Metropolitan Area Water Supply Project

Assumptions:

í)

a) Investment cost: OM cost b)

760 million US\$

68.4 million USS

9.0% of investment cost

Conversion factor:

85 %

c) d) Water supply volume:

total domestic 100.0% 56.9% 43.1%

7.234 cubic meter per second 228.125 million cubic meter per year

129.8 million cubic meter per year 98.3 million cubic meter per year

industrial e) Unit benefit:

domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus Water loss

50.0% of unit benefit domestic 25.0%

EIRR 10.29% 1.02

industrial 10.0%

B/C = $B \cdot C =$ 14.9 million US\$ (Discount rate of 10% applied)

Cost and Benefit Flow-1

(Unit: million US\$) No. Cost Benefit Balance Total **OM Cost** Total Domestric Industrial Investment Cost 0.0 161.5 $\overline{0.0}$ 0.0 -161.5 1 161.5 0.0 -161.5 2 0.0161.5 0.050.0 0.0 161.5 3 161.5 0.0 161.5 0.00.0 0.0 -161.50.0 4 161.5 161.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 161.5 5 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 6 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 7 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 81.8 0.0140.0 8 58.1 90.2 49.7 0.058.1 140.0 81.8 9 0.058.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 81.8 140.0 10 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 11 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 81.8 12 0.0 140.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 13 0.00.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 14 15 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 16 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 17 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 18 0.0140.0 81.8 58.1 90.2 49.7 19 0.0 58.1 140.0 81.8 90.2 20 0.0 58.1 58.1 49.7 140.0 81.8 21 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 22 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 81.8 0.0 140.0 140.0 23 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 81.8 0.0 24 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 0.0 81.8 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 25 0.0 140.0 81.8 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 26 0.0 140.0 81.8 90.2 27 0.0 58.1 58.1 49.7 140.0 81.8 28 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 29 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 90.2 49.7 30 0.0 58.1 58.1 81.8 140.0 31 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 0.0 32 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 81.8 33 81.8 0.0 58.1 58.1 90.2 49.7 140.0 58.1 49.7 140.0 81.8 34 0.0 58.1 90.2 1.744.2 2,390.2 2,707.3 4,198.7 1,808.5 Total 646.0 1,491,4

Appendix - 7 (2/25)

Economic Evaluation for Cascavel Water Supply Project

Assumptions:

d)

Investment cost: a) OM cost b) Conversion factor: c)

38.9 million US\$ 3.5 million US\$

85 %

9.0% of investment cost

Water supply volume:

domestic industrial

total

100.0% 87.6%

12.4%

0.602 cubic meter per second 19.0 million cubic meter per year

16.6 million cubic meter per year 2.4 million cubic meter per year

e) Unit benefit:

domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus:

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter 50.0% of domestic benefit

f) Water loss domestic

25.0%

EIRR =

21.49%

industrial

10.0%

B/C =

1.81 36.7

Cost and Benefit Flow

 $B \cdot C =$

(Unit: million US\$)

Γ	No.		C			Benefit	tonic. minion	Balance
l	NO.		Cost	T-4-1	D		Total	Datance
1		Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
1		Cost						
ı	1	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-8.3
İ	2	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3
ı	3	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-8.3
ı	4	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3
ı	5	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
ı	6	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
ı	7	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
L	8	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	9	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	10	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	11	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	12	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	13	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	14	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	15	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
ı	16	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
ı	17	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
ı	18	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
ı	19	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
ı	20	0.0	. 3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
l	21	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	22	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
ı	23	0.0	3.0		11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	24	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	25	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	26	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	27	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	28	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	29	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	30	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	31	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	32	0.0	3.0	3.0	11.6	1.2	12.7	9.8
	33	0.0		3.0		1.2		9.8
	34	0.0			11.6	1.2		9.8
1	Total	33.1	89.3	122.3	346.8	35.7	382.5	260.1
L	. orai	Note : Dissess			darina B/C and B			L

Appendix - 7 (3/25)

Economic Evaluation for Foz do Iguacu Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a) OM cost

11.1 million US\$ 1.0 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

b) c)

d)

Conversion factor: Water supply volume:

total

domestic

100.0% 87.6%

12.4%

85 % 1.042 cubic meter per second

32.9 million cubic meter per year 28.8 million cubic meter per year

industrial Unit benefit:

domestic

4.1 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial Rate of consumer surplus:

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter 50.0% of domestic benefit

f) Water loss domestic industrial EIRR =

77.81% 10.98

25.0% 10.0%

B/C =B-C =

129.1 million US\$

Cost and Ranofit Flour

(Unit : million HSS)

*	st and Benefit Flow			(Unit:million US\$)			
No.		Cost		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Benefit	~~	Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost						
1	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4
2	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.4
3	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.4
4	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.4
5	0.0	8.0	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
6	0.0	. 0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
7	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
8	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
9	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
10	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
11	0.0	8.0	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
12	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
13	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
- 14	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
15	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
16	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
17	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
18	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
19	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
20	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
21	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
22	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
23	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
24	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
25	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
26	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
27	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
28	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
29	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
30	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
31	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
32	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
33	0.0	0.8	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
34	0.0	8.0	0.8	20.0	2.1	22.1	21.2
Total	9.4	25.5	34.9	600.2	61.8	662.0	627.1
			L				

Appendix 7 (4/25)

Economic Evaluation for Guarapuava Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

e)

f)

Investment cost: a)

b) OM cost

c) Conversion factor: d) Water supply volume:

Unit benefit:

total 100.0% domestic industrial

69.0% 31.0% domestic

industrial Rate of consumer surplus: Water loss

domestic industrial 9.1 million US\$

0.8 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

85 %

0.289 cubic meter per second

9.1 million cubic meter per year 6.3 million cubic meter per year

2.8 million cubic meter per year

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

50.0% of domestic benefit

25.0% BIRR = 10.0%

38.16% B/C =3.52 $B \cdot C =$

Cost and Benefit Flow

26.8 million US\$ (Unit: million US\$)

No.		Cost		Benefit			Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost	·	:				
ī	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.9
2	1.9	: 0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
3	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.9
4	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.9
5	[0.0]	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
6	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
7	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
8	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
9	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
10	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
11	0.0	0.7	0.7	1 4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
12	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
13	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
14	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	- 1.4	5.8	5.1
15	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
16	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
17	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
18	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
19	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
20	. 0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
21	: 0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
22	: 0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
23	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
24	0.0	: 0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
25	0.0	; 0. 7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
26	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
27	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
28	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
29		0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
30		0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
31	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
32		0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
33	5	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
34	0.0	0.7	0.7	4.4	1.4	5.8	5.1
Total	7.7	20.9	28.6	131.3	42.9	174.2	145.6

Appendix - 7 (5/25)

Economic Evaluation for Mediancira Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

d)

f)

Investment cost: a) b) OM cost -

4.3 million US\$ 0.4 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

c) Conversion factor:

85 % 0.127 cubic meter per second

Water supply volume: 100.0% total 86.1% domestic 13.9% industrial

4.0 million cubic meter per year 3.5 million cubic meter per year 0.6 million cubic meter per year

Unit benefit: domestic e) industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus: Water loss domestic 50.0% of domestic benefit

25.0% EIRR = industrial 10.0%

37.54% 3.45

Cost and Benefit Flow

B/C =B-C =

12.3 million US\$

(Unit: million US\$)

No.	ſ	Cost			Benefit	<u>`</u>	Balance
]	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost						
1	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
2	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
3	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
. 4	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
5	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
6	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
7	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
8	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
9	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
10	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
11	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
12	0.0	. 0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
13	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
14	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
15	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
16	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
17	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
18	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
19	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
20	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
21	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
22	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
23	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
24	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
25	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
26	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
27	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
28	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
29	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
30	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
31	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
32	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
33	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
34	0.0	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.7	2.4
Total	3.7	9.9	13.5	72.1	8.5	80.6	67.0

Appendix - 7 (6/25)

Economic Evaluation for Dois Vizinhos Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a)

9.1 million US\$ 0.8 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

b) OM cost

f)

85 %

Conversion factor: c) d) Water supply volume:

100.0%

0.139 cubic meter per second 4.4 million cubic meter per year

total domestic industrial

43.7% 56.3%

1.9 million cubic meter per year 2.5 million cubic meter per year

e) Unit benefit:

Water loss

domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus:

50.0% of domestic benefit

domestic

25.0% 10.0% 18.42%

Cost and Benefit Flow

industrial

EIRR = B/C = $B \cdot C =$

1.56 6.0 million US\$

(Unit: million US\$)

No.		Cost			Benefit		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost						
1	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	·1.9
2	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
3	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
4	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
5	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
6	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
7	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
8	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
- 9	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
10	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
11	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
12	0.0	0.7	0.7	1,3	1.2	2.6	1.9
13	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1,9
14	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
15	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
16	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
17	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
18	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
19	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
20	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1,9
21	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
22	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1,9
23	0.0	0.7	: 0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
24	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
25	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
26	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
27	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
28	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
29	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
30	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
31	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
32	0.0	0.7	0.7	:" 1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
33	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
34	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.3	1.2	2.6	1.9
Total	7.7	20.9	28.6	39.9	37.4	77.3	:48.7
Note: I	Discount rate o	f 10 % is appli	ed to derive P	/C and B-C			

Appendix - 7 (7/25)

Economic Evaluation for Francisco Beltrao Water Supply Project

Assumptions:

e)

a) Investment cost:
4.7 million US\$
b) OM cost
0.4 million US\$
9.0% of investment cost
c) Conversion factor:
85 %
d) Water supply volume:
0.231 cubic meter per second

total 100.0% 7.3 million cubic meter per year domestic 64.2% 4.7 million cubic meter per year industrial 35.8% 2.6 million cubic meter per year

Unit benefit: domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter industrial 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter Rate of consumer surplus: 50.0% of domestic benefit

f) Water loss domestic 25.0% EIRR = 51.19% industrial 10.0% B/C = 5.38

Cost and Benefit Flow

B-C = 24.0 million US\$

(Unit : million US\$)

No.		Cost			Benefit	(Unit: million	
110.	Investment	OM Cost	Total.	Domestric	Industrial	Total	Balance
	Cost	OM Cost	rotai,	Domestric	moustriai	i otat	٠
1	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0
2	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0
3	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0
4	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0
5	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
6	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
7	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
8	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
9	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
10	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
11	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
12	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
13	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
14	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
15	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
16	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
17	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
18	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
19	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
20	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
21	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
22	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
23	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
. 24	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
25	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
26	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
27	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
28	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
29	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
30	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
31	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
32	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
33	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
34	0.0	0.4	0.4	3.3	1.3	4.6	4.2
Total	4.0	10.8	14.8	97.7	39.6	137.4	122.6

Appendix - 7 (8/25)

Economic Evaluation for Pato Branco Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a)

OM cost b) c)

d)

e)

f)

Conversion factor:

Water supply volume: total domestic industrial

Unit benefit:

Water loss

100.0% 80.6% 19.4%

domestic

industrial

85 % 0.116 cubic meter per second

3.7 million cubic meter per year 2.9 million cubic meter per year 0.7 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter 50.0% of domestic benefit

9.1 million US\$

0.8 million US\$

Rate of consumer surplus: 25.0% domestic 10.0% industrial

EIRR = B/C = $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C} =$

17.02% 1.46 4.9 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow,

(Unit: million US\$)

9.0% of investment cost

No.		Cost		: '	Benefit	(Onit : million	Balance
````	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	,
	Cost					1 .	
1	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	·1.9
2	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.9
3	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	·1.9
4	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
5	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
6	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
7	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
8		0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
9		0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
10	I	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
11	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
12	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
13	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
14	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
15	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
16	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
17		0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
18	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
19	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1,7
20	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
21	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
22	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
23	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0		2.4	1.7
24	0.0	0.7	0.7	2.0		2.4	1.7
25			0.7	2.0		2.4	1.7
26			0.7	2.0		2.4	1.7
27			0.7	2.0		2.4	1.7
28			0.7	2.0	0.4	2.4	1.7
29			0.7	2.0		2.4	1.7
30			0.7	2.0		2.4	1.7
31			± 0.7	2.0		2.4	1:7
32			0.7	2.0		2.4	1.7
33				2.0		2.4	1.7
34				2.0		2.4	1.7
Total	7.7	20.9	28.6	61.4	10.7	72.1	43.5

# Appendix - 7 (9/25)

### Economic Evaluation for Palmas Water Supply Project

Assumptions; Investment cost: a)

b) OM cost

4.9 million US\$ 0.4 million US\$ 85 %

9.0% of investment cost

Conversion factor: c) Water supply volume:

total domestic industrial 100.0% 73.1% 26.9%

0.069 cubic meter per second 2.2 million cubic meter per year

1.6 million cubic meter per year 0.6 million cubic meter per year

e) Unit benefit: domestic industrial

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus: domestic 50.0% of domestic benefit 25.0%

EIRR =

18.76% 1.59

ŋ Water loss

industrial

10.0%

B/C = $B \cdot C =$ 

3.4 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow (Unit: million US\$)

No.		Cost				Balance		
1		Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	·
		Cost						
	1	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0
	2	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0
	3	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1,0
1	4	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0
1. 1	5	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1,4	1.0
1	6	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
+ 1	7	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	8	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1,4	1.0
	9	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	. 1.4	1.0
	10	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	11	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	12	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	13	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	14	0.0	0.4	0.4	. 1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
:	15	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	16	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	17	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	18	0.0	0.4	: 0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
1	19	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	. 1.4	1.0
1	20	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	21	0.0	0.4	0.4	1,1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	22	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	23	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
:	24	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
1	25	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	26	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	27	. 0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	28	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
1 12	29	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	: 1.4	1.0
1	30	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
.* :	31	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	32	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
	33			0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
1	34	0.0		0.4	1.1	0.3	1.4	1.0
То		4.2	11.2	15.4	33.4	8.9	42.3	26.9
		· ·	f 10 gr in anni	liad to darive I	R/C and B.C			

#### Appendix - 7 (10/25)

### Economic Evaluation for Union da Vitoria Water Supply Project

Assumptions:

a)

e)

f)

Investment cost: OM cost b) c) Conversion factor: 3.7 million US\$ 0.3 million US\$ 85 %

9.0% of investment cost

d) Water supply volume:

100.0% total domestic 61.0% industrial

0.035 cubic meter per second 1.1 million cubic meter per year 0.7 million cubic meter per year

39.0% Unit benefit: domestic industrial

0.4 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus: Water loss

50.0% of domestic benefit 25.0% domestic 10.0% industrial

EIRR = 10.27% B/C =1.02

Cost and Benefit Flow

 $B \cdot C =$ 

0.07 million US\$

				(Unit : millio			US\$)
No.		Cost			Benefit		Balance
•	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost				·	1	
1	0.79	0.00	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.79
2	0.79	0.00	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.79
. 3	0.79	0.00	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.79
4	0.79	0.00	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.79
5	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
6	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
7	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
8	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
9	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
10	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
11	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
12	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
13	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
14	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
15	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
16	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
17	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
- 18	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
19	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
20	0.00	0.28	. 0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
21	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
22	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
23	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
24	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
25	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
26	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
27	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
28	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
29	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
30	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
31	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
32	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
33	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
34	0.00	0.28	0.28	0.46	0.22	0.68	0.40
Total	3.15	8.49	11.64	13.93	6.48	20.41	8.77

### Appendix - 7 (11/25)

Economic Evaluation for All the Water Supply Projects for Type A Cities excluding Curitiba MA (Cascavel, Foz do Iguacu and Guarapuava)

Accumptions	٠	
Assumptions	٠	

a)	Investment cost:
b)	OM cost
	Canadan fastan

59.1 million US\$ 5.3 million US\$

85 %

9.0% of invetment cost

Conversion factor: d) Water supply volume:

total 100.0% domestic 90.8% industrial 9.2% 1.933 cubic meter per second 61.0 million cubic meter per year 55.3 million cubic meter per year 5.6 million cubic meter per year

e) Unit benefit:

domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus: f) Water loss

50.0% of domestic benefit domestic 25.0% industrial

EIRR = B/C =

40.79% 3.86

Cost and Benefit Flow

10.0%

B - C =

197.1 million US\$

(Unit: million US\$)

No. 1 2 3 4 5 6	Cost 12.6 12.6 12.6 12.6	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Benefit Industrial	Total	Balance
2 3 4 5 6	Cost 12.6 12.6	0.0		_ 0.,			
2 3 4 5 6	12.6 12.6						-
3 4 5 6		•	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-12.6
4 5 6	126	0.0	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-12.6
5 6		0.0	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-12.6
6	12.6	0.0	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-12.6
	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36,8
7	[0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
8	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
9	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	. 36.8
10	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
11	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
12	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
13	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
. 14	0.0	4.5	4.5	38,5	2.8	41.3	36.8
15	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
16	[0.0]	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
17	0.0	. 4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
18	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
19	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	. 36.8
20	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
21	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
. 22	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
23	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
24	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
25	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
26	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
27	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
28	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
29	.0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
30	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
- 31	0.0 : :	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
32	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
33	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
34	0.0	4.5	4.5	38.5	2.8	41.3	36.8
Total	50.2	135.6	185.9	1,154.4	85.1	1,239.4	1,053.6

### Appendix - 7 (12/25)

Economic Evaluation for All the Water Supply Projects for Type B Cities (Medianeira, Dois Vizinhos, Francisco Beltrao, Pato Branco, Palmas, Unio da Vitoria)

Assumptions;

a)	Investment cost:	35.8 million US\$	
b)	OM cost	3.2 million USS	9.0% of investment cost
c)	Conversion factor:	85 %	
ذاد	Water ounds volume t	0.718 cubic mater per second	

Water supply volume: 100.0% total 22.6 million cubic meter per year 65.8% 14.9 million cubic meter per year domestic 7.7 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter industrial 34.2% e) Unit benefit: domestic 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter industrial

50.0% of domestic benefit Rate of consumer surplus: 25.92% 25.0% EIRR = f) Water loss domestic

B/C =2.20 10.0% industrial  $B \cdot C =$ 50.1 million US\$ Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

No.	I	Cost			Benefit	(Ome : minion	Balance
ļ	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	:
	Cost					* 1	
1	7.6	0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-7.6
2	7.6	0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-7.6
3		0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-7.6
- 4	7.6	0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6
5		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
6		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
7		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
8		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
9		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
10		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
11		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
12		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
13		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
14		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
15		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
16	0.0	2.7	2,7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
17		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
18		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
19		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
20		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
21		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
22		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
23		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
24		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
25		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
26 27		2.7 2.7	2.7 2.7	10.4	3.9 3.9	14.3 14.3	11.5
28		2.7	2.7	10.4 10.4	3.9 3.9		11.5
29		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3 14.3	11.5
30		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5 11.5
31		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
32		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
33		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
34		2.7	2.7	10.4	3.9	14.3	11.5
"	3.0	2.1	2.1	10.4	3.9	14.5	11.0
Total	30.4	82.2	112.6	310.6	117.4	428.0	315.4
		23.0					
Note:	Discount rate o	f 10% is appli	ed to derive B	/C and B-C	<b></b>		

#### Appendix - 7 (13/25)

Unit benefit:

### Economic Evaluation for Water Supply Projects for Type C Cities in the Iguacu River basin

Assu	mnti	กกร	٠

e)

102.9 million US\$ a) Investment cost: OM cost 9.3 million USS b) 85 % c) Conversion factor: 0.833 cubic meter per second Water supply volume: total 100.0% 26.3 million cubic meter per year domestic

18.6 million cubic meter per year 70.8% 7.7 million cubic meter per year industrial 29.2% 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter domestic

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter industrial 50.0% of domestic benefit Rate of consumer surplus:

25.0% EIRR = 8.20% Water loss domestic ſ) B/C =0.90 industrial 10.0%

-11.7 million US\$  $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C} =$ Cost and Benefit Flow

9.0% of investment cost

No.		Cost	I		Benefit		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost		-, 4,	t *			
1	21.9	0.0	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-21.9
2	21.9	0.0	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	·21.9
3	21.9	0.0	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-21.9
4	21.9	0.0	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.9
5	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
6	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
7	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
8	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.8
9	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
10	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
11	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
12	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
13	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
14	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.8
15	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.8
16	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
17	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
18	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.8
19	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.8
20	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.8
21	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
22	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
23	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.8
24	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
25	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.8
26	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	. 16.8	8.9
27	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
28	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
29	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
30	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
31	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
32	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
33	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8,9
34	0.0	7.9	7.9	12.9	3.9	16.8	8.9
Total	87.5	236.2	323.6	388.3	116.2	504.5	180.9

### Appendix - 7(14/25)

### Economic Evaluation for Ponta Grossa Water Supply Project

Assumptions:

e)

a) Investment cost:b) OM cost

13.5 million US\$

OM cost 1.2 million US\$

85 %

c) Conversion factor:d) Water supply volume:

100.0% 63.0% 0.428 cubic meter per second 13.5 million cubic meter per year 8.5 million cubic meter per year

domestic 63.0% industrial 37.0% Unit benefit: domestic

5.0 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial Rate of consumer surplus:

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter 50.0% of domestic benefit

f) Water loss don

total

domestic 25.0% industrial 10.0%

EIRR = B/C = 37.58% 3.45

9.0% of investment cost

Cost and Benefit Flow

B·C =

38.6 million US\$

Cost an	ia Benefit r low	· -				(Unit: million	US\$)
No.		Cost			Benefit		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost						1.
1	2.9	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.9
2	2.9	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9
3	2.9	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9
4	2.9	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9
5	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
6	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
7	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
8	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
9	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
10	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
11	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
12	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
13	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
14	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
15	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
16	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
17	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
18	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
19	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
20	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
21	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
22	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
.23	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
24	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
25	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
26	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
27	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
28	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
29	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
30	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
31	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
32	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
33	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
34	0.0	1.0	1.0	5.9	2.5	8.4	7.4
Total	11.5	31.0	42.5	177.5	75.8	253.2	210.8

### Appendix - 7 (15/25)

### Economic Evaluation for Londrina Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

e)

a) Investment cost:

46.5 million US\$

b) OM cost

4.2 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

c) Conversion factor:

85 %

d) Water supply bolume: total

Unit benefit:

100.0%

1.227 cubic meter per second 38.7 million cubic meter per year

domestic industrial

83.0% 17.0% 32.1 million cubic meter per year 6.6 million cubic meter per year

domestic

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter 50.0% of domestic benefit

Rate of consumer surplus:
Water loss dome

50.0% of don 25.0%

34.12%

f) Water loss EIRR = domestic industrial 25.0% 10.0% EIRR = B/C =  $B \cdot C =$ 

3.05 110.9 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

No.		Cost			Benefit		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost			·			
i	9.9	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	·9.9
2	9.9	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	.9.9
3	9.9	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-9.9
4	9.9	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-9.9
5	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
6	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
7	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
8	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
9	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
10	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
111	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
12	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
13	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
14	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
15	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
16	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
17	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
18	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
19	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
20	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
21	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
22	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
23	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
24	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
25	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
26	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
27	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
28	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
29	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
30	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
31	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
32	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
33	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
34	0.0	3.6	3.6	22.3	3.3	25.7	22.1
Total	39.5	106.7	146.2	669.8	99.8	769.5	623.3

### Appendix - 7 (16/25)

Investment cost:

Unit benefit:

Water loss

#### Economic Evaluation for Apucarana Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

a)

e)

f)

OM cost b) Conversion factor: c) d)

Water supply bolume: 100.0% total domestic 68.8% industrial

31.2% domestic

industrial

domestic

industrial

14.9 million US\$ 1.3 million US\$ 85 %

9.0% of investment cost

0.521 cubic meter per second 16.4 million cubic meter per year 11.3 million cubic meter per year

5.1 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

50.0% of domestic benefit 25.0%

10.0%

EIRR = B/C =  $B \cdot C =$ 

3.87 49.9 million US\$

#### Cost and Benefit Flow

Rate of consumer surplus:

(Unit: million US\$)

40.88%

No.		Cost		1	Benefit	(Onte : minor	Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost						:
1	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	·3.2
2	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2
3	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2
4	3.2	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2
5	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
6	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
7	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
8	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
9	0.0	• • 1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
10	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
11	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
12	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
13	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
14	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
15	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
16	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9,3
17	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
18	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
19	0.0	1.1	: 1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
20	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
21	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
22	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
23	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
24	0.0	. 1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9,3 9.3
25	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
26	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	26	10.4	9.3
27	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
28	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
29	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
30	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
31	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
32	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
33	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
34	0.0	1.1	1.1	7.9	2.6	10.4	9.3
Total	12.7	34.2	46.9	235.7	77.7	313.4	266,6

### Appendix - 7 (17/25)

#### Economic Evaluation for Castro Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

d)

Investment cost: a) b) OM cost

5.5 million US\$

0.5 million US\$ 85 %

9.0% of investment cost

c) Conversion factor:

100.0% 39.6% 0.255 cubic meter per second 8.0 million cubic meter per year 3.2 million cubic meter per year 4.9 million cubic meter per year

industrial e) Unit benefit:

60.4% domestic

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial Rate of consumer surplus:

Water supply volume:

domestic

total

50.0% of domestic benefit

f) Water loss

domestic industrial EIRR = B/C = 1

46.69% 4.68

25.0% 10.0%

 $B \cdot C =$ 

23.6 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

No.	1	Cost			Benefit		Balance
1	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
1	Cost						
1	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.2
2	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
3	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
4	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	9.0	0.0	-1.2
5	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
6	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
7	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4,2
- 8	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	1.2
9	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
10	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
11	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
12	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
13	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
14 15	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2 2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
16	0.0 0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2 2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
17	0.0	0.4	0.4 0.4	2.2 2.2	2.5 2.5	4.7 4.7	4.2 4.2
18	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5 2.5	4.7	4.2
19	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
20	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
21	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
22	ŏ.ŏ	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
23	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
24	l ő.ől	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
25	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
26	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
27	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
28	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
29	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
- 30	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4,2
31	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
32	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
33	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
34	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	4.7	4.2
Total	4.7	12.6	17.3	66.3	73.6	139.9	122.6

#### Appendix - 7 (18/25)

#### Economic Evaluation for Telemaco Borba Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

e)

f)

a) Investment cost:b) OM cost

6.8 million US\$ 0.6 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

c) Conversion factor:d) Water supply bolume:

Unit benefit:

Water loss

85 %

0.208 cubic meter per second

total domestic industrial 100.0% 51.0% 49.0%

domestic

6.6 million cubic meter per year 3.4 million cubic meter per year

3.2 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus:

industrial 0.56

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter 50.0% of domestic benefit

mer surplus : domestic

25.0%

EIRR =

35.57% - 3.21

industrial 10.0%

 $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C} =$ 

17.5 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				** **	(Unit: million	
No.		Cost	·		Benefit		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
<b>I</b>	Cost						
1	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
2	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
3	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	-1.4
4	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.4
5	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
6	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	. 4.0	3.4
7	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
8	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
9	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
10	. 0.0	0,5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
11	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
12	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
13	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	. 1.6	4.0	3.4
14	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
15	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
16	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
17	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
18	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
19	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
20	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
21	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
22	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
23	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
24	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
25	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
26	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3,4
27	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3,4
28	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
29	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
30	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
31	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3,4 3,4
32	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
33	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
34	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	1.6	4.0	3.4
Total	5.8	15.6	21.4	69.9	48.8	118.7	97.3

#### Appendix - 7 (19/25)

### Economic Evaluation for Irati Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

a) Investment cost:b) OM cost

9.0 million US\$ 0.8 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

c) Conversion factor:d) Water supply bolum

85 %

Water supply bolume: total

100.0% 65.6% 0.069 cubic meter per second
2.2 million cubic meter per year

domestic industrial Unit benefit :

65.6% 34.4% 1.4 million cubic meter per year 0.8 million cubic meter per year

domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus:

50.0% of domestic benefit

f) Water loss

e)

domestic industrial 25.0% 10.0% EIRR = B/C = 7.09% 0.85

 $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C} =$ 

-1.6 million US\$

#### Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

No.		Cost			Benefit		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost				·	. 11	
1	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.9
2	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0		0.0	1.9
3	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.9
4	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	·1.9
5	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
6	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
7	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
8	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
9	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
10	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
11	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
12	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
13	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
14	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
15	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
16	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
17	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
18	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
19	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
20	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
21	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
. 22	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
23	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
24	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
25	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
26	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
27	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
28	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
29	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
30	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
31	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
32	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
33	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
34	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.7
Total	7.7	20.7	28.3	30.0	11.4	41.4	13.1

#### Appendix - 7 (20/25)

### Economic Evaluation for Corneiro Procopio Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

a) Investment cost:
b) OM cost
c) Conversion factor:
d) Water supply bolume:

7.4 million US\$
9.0% of investment cost
85 %
0.069 cubic meter per second

Water supply bolume:

total

domestic

70.8%

0.069 cubic meter per second
2.2 million cubic meter per year
1.6 million cubic meter per year

industrial 29.2% 0.6 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter eter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter eter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus: 50.0% of domestic benefit

f) Water loss domestic 25.0% BIRR = 10.79% industrial 10.0% B/C = 1.05
B · C = 0.4 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

Г	No.			Cost	<del></del>						Ben	efit	(Unit : I	1111011		alance	`
l	110.	lavast		OM (	Coct	<b>一</b>	otal		ontes	T	Indus		Tot		i Da	aranı <b>c</b> C	•
l		Investm		OM I	Cost	' '	лаı		ones	uic	mous	Hillia	100	al		:	
-	<del></del>	Cost	1.6		0.0		1.6			0.0		0.0		0.0		1	1.6
l	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		1.6		0.0		1.6			0.0	· .	0.0		0.0			1.6
l	3		1.6		0.0		1.6			0.0	1	0.0		0.0	-		1.6
	4		1.6		0.0		1.6		4 1	0.0		0.0		0.0			l.6
l	5		0.0		0.6		0.6	İ		1.1		0.3		1.4	4		o ).8
I	6		0.0		0.6		0.6	1		1.1		0.3		1.4	:		).8
ı	7		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4			).8
	8	:	0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4			).8
l	9		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4			).8
1	10	-	0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4			).8
ļ	11		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3	1	1.4			).8
I	12	:	0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1	100	0.3		1.4			).8
1	13		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4	:		).8
1	14		0.0		0.6		0.6		1.1	1.1		0.3		1.4	*	Ò	).8
1	15		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4			).8
1	16	` .	0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4	:		).8
	17		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1	÷ .	0.3		1.4			8.0
1	18		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4			8.6
	19		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4			0.8
ı	20		0.0	1	0.6		0.6		4	1.1	· .	0.3	1 . 1	1.4			0.8
	21		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3	100	1.4			).8
1	22		0.0	:	0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3	:	1.4		C	).8
Ì	23		0.0		0.6	1	0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4		Ċ	8.0
Ì	24		0.0	•	0.6		0.6			1.1	5.35	0.3	. *	1.4	. ,	(	0.8
١	25		0.0		0.6		0.6		100	1.1	1 .	0.3		1.4	:	•	).8
1	26	-	0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4			9.8
1	27	:	0.0		0.6		0.6		1	1.1		0.3		1.4	;		8.(
ł	28	; ;	0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4	;		).8
1	29	1.0	0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1	. :	0.3	10.7	1.4			9.8
1	30	٠.	0.0	: .	0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3	7.00	1.4			8.0
į	31		0.0	1	0.6		0.6			1.1		0.3		1.4			9.8
1	32		0.0	1:	0.6		0.6			1.1	6 1 3 1	0.3		1.4	:		9.8
1	33		0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1	<u> </u>	0.3	194	1.4	:		8.(
1	34	:	0.0		0.6		0.6			1.1	1 2	0.3	1 1	1.4	:		8.0
L	Total		6.3		17.0		23.3			32.3	<u> </u>	9.7		42.0	;	18	3.8

# Appendix · 7 (21/25)

#### Economic Evaluation for Arapongas Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

a) Investment cost:b) OM cost

15.9 million US\$ 1.4 million US\$ 90 %

9.0% of investment cost

c) Conversion factor:d) Water supply bolume:

total domestic industrial 0.231 cubic meter per second 100.0% 7.3 million cubic meter per year

4.6 million cubic meter per year 4.6 million cubic meter per year 2.7 million cubic meter per year

e) Unit benefit:

domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus:

50.0% of domestic benefit 25.0% EIR

17.55%

f) Water loss domestic industria

domestic 25.0% industrial 10.0%

63.3%

36.7%

B/C =

EIRR =

1.50 9.8 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

No		Cost :	<del></del> 1		(Unit: million	Balance	
INO		OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Benefit Industrial	Total	Datance
	Investment	OM Cost	iotai	Domestric	moostiiat	iotai	
<u></u>	Cost 3.6	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-3.6
1	3.6	0.0	3.6 3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6
2	3.6	0.0	3.6 3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6
3 4	3.6	0.0	3.6 3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-3.6
5	0.0	1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
6	0.0	1.3	1.3	3.2 3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
7	0.0	1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
8	0.0	1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
	0.0	1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.0 3.3
10		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3 3.3
11		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
12		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
13		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
14	0.0	1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
18	0.0	1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
16		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
17		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
18		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
19		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
20		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
21		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
22		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
23		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
24		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
25		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
26	0.0	1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
27		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
28		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
29		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3 3.3 3.3
30		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
31		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
32		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
33		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
34		1.3	1.3	3.2	1.4	4.6	3.3
Tot	al 14.3	38.6	52.9	96.4	40.6	137.0	84.1

### Appendix - 7 (22/25)

### Economic Evaluation for Ibipora Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a) OM cost

7.4 million US\$

0.7 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

b) c) Conversion factor:

85 %

Water supply bolume: total

100.0%

0.104 cubic meter per second 3.3 million cubic meter per year

80.7% domestic industrial 19.3%

2.7 million cubic meter per year 0.6 million cubic meter per year

e) Unit benefit: domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus:

50.0% of domestic benefit domestic 25.0%

EIRR = B/C = .

19.08% 1.61

f) Water loss

industrial

10.0%

 $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C} =$ 

5.3 million US\$

· Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	stment Cost 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6	OM Cost 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		Domestric	Benefit Industrial	Total	Balance
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6	0.0	1.6 1.6			1 Otal	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6	0.0	1.6	0.0			
2 3 4 5 6 7	1.6 1.6 1.6	0.0	1.6	0.0	ח ח	0.0	1.6
3 4 5 6 7	1.6 1.6			0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	1.6
4 5 6 7	1.6	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5 6 7		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.6 -1.6
6 7	$\alpha \alpha$	0.0		1.8	0.0	2.2	1.6
7	0.0 0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
8   -	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
10	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
11	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
12	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
13	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6 1.6
14	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
15	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
16	0.0	:. 0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
17	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
18	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
19	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
20	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
21	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
22	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
23	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
24	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
25	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
26	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
27	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
28	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
29	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
30	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
31	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
32	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
33	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
34	0.0	0.6		1.8	0.3	2.2	1.6
Total	6.3	17.0		55.3	9.6	64.9	41.6

### Appendix - 7 (23/25)

Economic Evaluation for All the Water Supply Projects in Type A Cities in the Tibagi River Basin (Ponta Grossa, Londrina Cambe, Apucarana) Assumptions:

a)	Investment cost:		74.9	million US\$		•			
b)	OM cost	•	6.7	million US\$ 9.0% of investment cost					
c)	Conversion factor:		85	%					
d)	Water supply bolus	ne:	2,176	cubic meter per sec	ond				
	total	100.0%		million cubic meter					
	domestic	tic 73.3% 50.3 million cubic meter per year							
	industrial	26.7%	18.3 million cubic meter per year						
e)	Unit benefit:	domestic	0.62	US\$ per cubic mete	r	•			
		industrial		US\$ per cubic mete					
	Rate of consumer se	irplus:		of domestic benefit					
f)	Water loss	domestic	25.0%	El	RR =	35.98%			
-		industrial	10.0%	В/	C =	3.26			
				В	- C =	197.5 million US\$			

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

No.		Cost	***************************************		(Unit: million	Balance	
1 ***	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Benefit Industrial	Total	Datance
	Cost	Om Cost	. Otal	Domestric	moognial	LVIAL	
1	15.9	0.0	15.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	·15.9
2	15.9	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	-15.9
3	15.9	0.0	15.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-15.9
4	15.9	0.0	15.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-15.9
5	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
6	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
7	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
. 8	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
9	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
10	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
- 11	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
12	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
13	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
14	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
15	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
16	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
17	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
18	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
19	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
20	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
21	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
22	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
23	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
24	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
25	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
26	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
27	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
28	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
29	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
30	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
31	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
32	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
33	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
34	0.0	5.7	5.7	35.0	9.3	44.2	38.5
Total	63.7	171.9	235.6	1,049.1	277.9	1,327.0	1,091.4

### Appendix - 7 (24/25)

Beconomic Evaluation for All the Water Supply Projects in Type B Cities in the Tibagi River Basin (Castro, Telemaco Borba, Corneiro Procopio, Irati,

### Arapongas, Ibipora)

Assumptions; a) Investment cost: b) OM cost 52.0 million US\$ 4.7 million US\$ 9.0% of inves	
4.00 1411 1400 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	tment cost
c) Conversion factor: 85 %	
d) Water supply bolume: 0.938 cubic meter per second	
total 100.0% 29.6 million cubic meter per year	
domestic 56.3% 16.6 million cubic meter per year	
industrial 43.7% 12.9 million cubic meter per year	
e) Unit benefit: domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter	+
industrial 0.56 US\$ per cubic meter	
Rate of consumer surplus: 50.0% of domestic benefit	
f) Water loss domestic 25.0% EIRR = 22	2.82%
industrial 10.0% B/C =	1.92

Cost and Benefit Flow

 $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C} =$ 

55.9 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow (Unit: million US)											
No.		Cost			Benefit		Balance				
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestrie	Industrial	Total					
	Cost						1				
1	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-11.1				
2	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0]	0.0	-11.1				
3	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-11.1				
4	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	·11.1				
- 5	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
6	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
7	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
8	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
9	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
10	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
11	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
12	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
13	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
14	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
15	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
16	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
17	0.0	' 4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
18	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
19	0.0			11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
20	0.0	4.0		11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
21	0.0			11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
22	0.0	4.0		11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
23	0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
24	0.0		4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
25	0.0			11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
26	0.0		4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
27	0.0		4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
28	0.0		4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
29	0.0		4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
30	1 0.0	4.0	4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
31	0.0		4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
32	0.0		4.0	11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
33	0.0			11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
34	0.0			11.6	6.5	18.1	14.1				
Total	44.2			347.2	196.0	543.1	379.6				
20001	L	1	100.0	L	100.0	<u> </u>	010.0				

# Appendix · 7 (25/25)

## Economic Evaluation for Water Supply Projects for Type C Cities in the Tibagi River basin

Assumptions;

a) Investment cost: 32.9 million US\$

OM cost b)

3.0 million US\$ 85 %

9.0% of investment cost

c) Conversion factor: d) Water supply volume:

total 100.0% 0.347 cubic meter per second 11.0 million cubic meter per year

domestic industrial

70.6% 29.4% 7.7 million cubic meter per year 3.2 million cubic meter per year

Unit benefit: e)

domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

Rate of consumer surplus:

0.56 US\$ per cubic meter

f) Water loss domestic

50.0% of domestic benefit 25.0% EIRR =

B/C =

12.90%

Cost and Benefit Flow

industrial 10.0%

 $B \cdot C =$ 

Banafit

1.18 6.7 million US\$

(Unit: million USS)

No.		Cost				Balance	
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost		1				
1	7.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0
2	7.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-7.0 -7.0
3	7.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-7.0
4	7.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0
- 5	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
6	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
7	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
8	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
9	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
10	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
11	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
12	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
13	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
14	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
15	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
16	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
17	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
18	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
19	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
20	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
21	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
22	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
23	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
24	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
25	0.0		2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
26	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
27	0.0	- 2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
28	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
29	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
30	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
31	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
32	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
33	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
34	0.0	2.5	2.5	5.4	1.6	7.0	4.5
Total	28.0	75.5	103.5	161.3	48.8	210.1	106.6
Noto : I	Discounte rate	of 10% is appl	ied to derive I				·

### Appendix - 8 (1/4)

#### Economic Evaluation for Curitiba Sewerage Project

Assumptions:

a) Investment cost:

293.6 million US\$ 3.6 million US\$

b) OM cost

c) Conversion factor:

85 %

d) Treatment volume:

420,000 cubic meter per day

153.3 million cubic meter per year

e) Unit benefit:

domestic

0.58 US\$ per cubic meter

EIRR =

24.27%

B/C =

2.65

 $B \cdot C =$ 

359.2 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

				(Unit: million US\$)			
No.		Cost		Benefit	Balance		
	Investment	OM Cost	Total				
<u> </u>	Cost						
1	62.4	0.0	62.4	0.0	·62.4		
2	62.4	0.0	62.4	0.0	62.4		
3	62.4	0.0	62.4	0.0	·62.4		
4	62.4	0.0	62.4	0.0	·62.4		
5	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
6	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
7 8 9	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
3	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
10	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
1:1	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
12	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
13	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
14	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
15	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
16	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
17	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
18	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
19	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
20	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
21	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
22	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
23	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
24	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
25	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
26	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
27	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
28	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
29	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
30	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
31	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
32	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
33	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
34	0.0	3.1	3.1	89.6	86.5		
Total	249.6	91.8	341.4	2,687.1	2,345.7		
<del></del>	*L		<del></del>				

Appendix - 8 (2/4)

Economic Evaluation for Cascavel Sewerage Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a)

49.5 million US\$

b) OM cost 0.71 million US\$

Conversion factor: c)

85 %

Treatment volume: d)

Unit benefit:

45,000 cubic meter per day

domestic

16.4 million cubic meter per year 0.58 US\$ per cubic meter

EIRR =

16.57%

B/C =

1.66

B : C =

24.6 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

<u> </u>			(Unit: million US\$)				
No.		Cost		Benefit	Balance		
,	Investment	OM Cost	Total				
	Cost				<u> </u>		
1	10.5	0.0	10.5	0.0	-10.5		
2	10.5	0.0	10.5	. 0.0	-10.5		
2 3 4	10.5	0.0	10.5	0.0	-10.5		
	10.5	0.0	10.5	0.0	-10.5		
5	0.0	0.6	0.6		9.0		
. 6	0.0	0.6	0.6		9.0		
7	0.0	0.6	0.6				
8 :	0.0	0.6	0.6		9.0		
9	0.0	0.6	0.6	9.6	9.0		
10	0.0	0.6	0.6		9.0		
11	0.0	0.6	0.6		9.0		
12	0.0	0.6	0.6				
13	0.0	0.6	0.6		9.0		
14	0.0	0.6	0.6		9.0		
15	0.0	0.6	0.6	9.6	9.0		
16	0.0	0.6	0.6		9.0		
17	0.0	0.6	0.6				
18	0.0	0.6	0.6				
19	0.0	0.6	0.6				
20	0.0	0.6	0.6				
21	0.0	0.6	0.6				
22	0.0	0.6	0.6				
23	0.0	0.6	0.6				
24	0.0	0.6	0.6				
25	0.0	0.6	0.6				
26	0.0	0.6	0.6				
. 27	0.0	0.6	0.6				
28	0.0	0.6	0.6				
. 29	0.0	0.6	0.6				
30	0.0	0.6	0.6				
31	0.0	0.6	0.6	B .			
32	0.0	0.6	0.6				
33	0.0	0.6	0.6				
34	0.0	0.6	1. 0.6	9.6	9.0		
Total	42.1	18.1	60.2	287.9	227.7		

### Appendix - 8 (3/4)

# Economic Evaluation for Ponta Grossa Sewerage Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a)

29.2 million US\$

OM cost

0.36 million US\$

b)

e)

c) Conversion factor: 85 %

Treatment volume: d)

30,000 cubic meter per day

11.0 million cubic meter per year

Unit benefit: domestic

Application of the second

0.58 US\$ per cubic meter

EIRR =

18.56%

B/C =

1.90

B - C =

19.6 million US\$

#### Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

ſ	<del></del>		<del></del>					(Onit: minion US\$)			
Į	No.			Cost				Be	nefit	Bala	nce
ļ		Investr		OM C	Cost	Tot	al	-	100		
l		Cos									<u>;</u>
I	1		6.2		0.0		6.2		0.0		6.2
	2		6.2		0.0	: 1	6.2		0.0		6.2
	. 3		6.2		0.0	11.	6.2		0.0	·	-6.2
	4		6.2		0.0		6.2		0.0		6.2
	5	feren	0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4	,	6.1
	6		0.0	:	0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	7		0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4	·	6.1
	8		0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	9		0.0		0.3		0.3	-	6.4		6.1
	10		0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	11		0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	12		0.0	4.4	0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	13	1.	0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	14		0.0		0.3		0.3	:	6.4		6.1
	15		0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	16		0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	17		0.0		0.3		0.3	·	6.4		6.1
	18	-	0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4	1	6.1
	19	200	0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	20	1	0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	21		0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	22		0.0	1.7	0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	23		0.0		0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	24	1	0.0		0.3	1 .	0.3	٠.	6.4	;	6.1
	25		0.0		0.3		0.3	:	6.4	ı	6.1
	26		0.0		0.3		0.3	٠.	6.4		6.1
	27		0.0	1	0.3		0.3		6.4		6.1
	28	100	0.0		0.3	•	0.3	,	6.4	,	6.1
	29		0.0		0.3	. ".	0.3		6.4		6.1
	30	٠.	0.0		0.3	100	0.3		6.4		6.1
	31		0.0		0.3	18.	0.3	1 .	6.4		6.1
	32	* . }	0.0		0.3	· ·	0.3		6.4	: '	6.1
	33	16.	0.0	jan.	0.3	* . <i>i</i>	0.3	٠.	6.4	1 - 1	6.1
	34		0.0	<b>.</b> .	0.3		0.3		6.4	:	6.1
	Total	1	24.8		9.2		34.0	,	191.9		157.9
١		3			4	la de la composição		:		1.39	
ı										<del></del>	

Appendix - 8 (4/4)

Economic Evaluation for Londrina Sewerage Project

Assumptions;

a) Investment cost:

59.4 million US\$
0.98 million US\$

b) OM cost

e)

c) Conversion factor:

85 %

d) Treatment volume:

70,000 cubic meter per day 25.6 million cubic meter per year

Unit benefit: domestic

0.58 US\$ per cubic meter

EIRR =

20.56%

B/C =

2.12

 $B \cdot C = 50.7 \text{ million US}$ 

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

No.	<del></del>	-	Cost			·	Ben	efit	Balance	
	Investme		OM C	ost	Tota	1			;	
	Cost		:							
i		12.6		0.0		12.6		0.0		12.6
2		12.6		0.0		12.6		0.0	**	12.6
3		12.6		0.0		12.6		0.0		≥ 12.6
4		12.6		0.0		12.6		0.0	17	12.6
5	1 1 1 1	0.0	1.0	0.8		0.8		14.9		: 14.1
6		0.0		0.8		0.8	•	14.9		14.1
7	200	0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9		14.1
8		0.0		0.8		0.8	1 - 1	14.9		14.1
9		0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9	1.	14.1
10		0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9	100	14.1
11	·	0.0	•	0.8	\$ 1	8.0		14.9		: 14.1
12		0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9	- 3	14.1
13		0.0	•	0.8		0.8		14.9	e.	14.1
14		0.0	4	0.8		0.8	100	14.9	114	14.1
15		0.0		0.8		0.8	1: "	14.9		14.1
16		0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9		14.1
17	*	0.0		0.8	i i i	0.8	}	14.9		14.1
18		0.0	*	0.8		0.8		14.9	. :	14.1
19		0.0		0.8		0.8	•	14.9		14.1
20	1	0.0		0.8		0.8	4.3	14.9	-	14.1
21		0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9		14.1
22		0.0		0.8		0.8	:	14.9		14.1
23		0.0		0.8	."	0.8		14.9		14.1
24		0.0		0.8		0.8	:	14.9		14.1
25		0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9		14.1
26		0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9	1.5	14.1
27		0.0	*	0.8		0.8		14.9		14.1
28		0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9	1	14.1
29		0.0		0.8		0.8	: '	14.9		14.1
30		0.0		0.8	,	0.8		14.9		14.1
31		0.0		0.8		0.8		14.9		14.1
32		0.0	: -	0.8		8.0	1.0	14.9	+ ;	14.1
33		0.0		0.8		8.0	15.1	14.9	: '	14.1
34		0.0		0.8	1	8.0		14.9	٠.	14.1
m		ا ۾ ا		A A		,, ,		1455	1 1 1	0.55
Total		50.5	.*	25.0		75.5		447.8		372.4
	L									<u> </u>

Appendix · 9 Economic Evaluation for the Serra da Baitaca Ecological Preservation Area Project

							-	:	
Assemblication .			Year		Cost			Benefit	Balance
1. Cost				Invest-	MO	Opportunity	Total		
Invstment cost:		1,170,000 US\$		ment	•	cost			
	(5% of investment cost)	58,500 US\$/year	1996	390.0	ı	1,000.0		8	-971.2
			1997	390.0		1.000.0	1	76	-552.3
			1998	390.0	20		00	15	-133.5
2. Benefit			1999	0.0	(n		1.058	S	256.5
Population in Curitiba	ec	1,315,000	2000	0.0	58.5		1,05	1,315.0	256.5
Number of visitors	%0r	131,500 persons	2001	0.0	58		1.058.	-	256.5
frequency of visits			2002	0.0	58		1.058		256.5
_	US\$10/round trip/person	1.315,000 USS/year	2003	0.0	တ်		1,058.	-	256.5
-			2004	0.0	200		1,058.		256.5
3. Opportunity cost of preservation	reservation		2002	0.0	S)	1,000	1,058.	1,315.0	256.5
			2006	0.0	ည်		1.058		256.5
ecological ICMS in 1996	9		2007	0.0	9	1,000.0	1,058.		256.5
	Total for 30 areas (15		2008	0.0	58	1,000.0	1.058		256.5
	for green area and 15 for		2009	0.0	8		1,058		256.5
•	water source area)	30,000,000 USS	2010	0.0	58	1,000.0	1,058.	1.315.0	256.5
			2011	0.0	58	1,000.0	1.058.5	-	256.5
	amount per area	1,000,000 US\$	2012	0.0	58	1,000.0	1,058.5	-	256.5
			2013	0.0	58	1,000.0	1,058.5	끕	256.5
EIRR **	12.4%		2014	0.0	58	1.000.0	1.058.5		256.5
			2015	0.0	99		1.058	监	256.5
			2016	0.0	က		1.058.	-	256.5
			2017	0.0	58	1,000.0	1,058.	1,315.0	256.5
			2018	0.0	58	1,000.0	58		256.5
			2019	0.0	93	1,000.0	28		256.5
			2020	0.0	33	1,000.0	1.058.		256.5
-			2021	0.0	58	1,000.0	58.		256.5
			2022	0.0	58	1,000.0	83		256.5
			2023	0.0	58		10		256.5
			2024	0.0	တ် လ	1,000.0	1.058.	1.315.0	56
			2025	0.0		1,000.0	1,058.	13.	256.5
			Total	1,170.0		30,000.0	32.866.5	38,135.0	68

# Economic Evaluation for Unio da Vitoria Flood Control Project

Ass	sumptions;		
a}	Investment cost:		85.9 million US\$
b)	OM cost	•	0.4 million US\$ (0.5% annually of Investment cost)
c)	Conversion Factor	* +	85 %
ď)	Benefit		9.8 million US\$/year
	Rate of annual increase	1 1	5.0 %/year
	EIRR		14.65%

 $B \cdot C =$ 1.91 52.2 million US\$

Appendix 10 (2/2)
Cost and Benefit Flow of the Unio da Vitoria Flood Control Project
(Unit: million US\$)

	<del>,</del>			Cont, menton	039)
No.		Cost		Benefit	Balance
l	Investment	OM Cost	Total		1
	Cost				
<del>  1</del>	14.6	0.0	14.6	0.0	-14.6
	14.6	0.0	14.6	0.0	
2 3 4		0.0		0.0	
3	14.6			0.0	
	14.6	0.0		0.0	
5	14.6	0.0	14.6		
6	0.0	0.4	0.4	9.8	9.4
7	0.0	0.4	0.4	10.3	9.9
5 6 7 8 9	0.0	0.4	0.4	10.8	10.4
	0.0	0.4	0.4	11.3	11.0
10	0.0	0.4	0.4	11.9	11.5
11	0.0	0.4	0.4	12.5	12.1
12	0.0	0.4	0.4	13.1	12.8
13	0.0	0.4	0.4	13.8	13.4
14	0.0	0.4	0.4	14.5	14.1
15	0.0	0.4	0.4	15.2	14.8
16	0.0	0.4	0.4	16.0	15.6
17	0.0	0.4	0.4	16.8	16.4
18	0.0	0.4	0.4	17.6	
19	0.0	0.4	0.4	18.5	18.1
20	0.0		0.4	19.4	19.0
21	0.0		0.4	20.4	20.0
22	0.0	0.4	0.4	21.4	
23	0.0	0.4	0.4	22.5	
24	0.0	0.4	0.4	23.6	
25	0.0	0.4	0.4		
26	0.0	0.4	0.4		
27	0.0	0.4	0.4	27.3	
28	0.0	0.4	0.4	28.7	
			0.4	30.1	29.7
29	0.0		0.4	31.6	31.2
30	0.0				
31	0.0	0.4			
32	0.0	0.4	0.4		
33	0.0		0.4		
34	0.0		0.4		
35	0.0	0.4	0.4		
36	0.0				
37	0.0				·
38	0.0				
39	0.0		0.4	49.0	
40	0.0				
41	0.0				
42	0.0				
43	0.0				
44	0.0				
45	0.0				
46	0.0				
47	0.0			72.4	72.1
48	0.0	0.4			
49	0.0	0.4			
50	0.0		0.4		
51	0.0				87.7
52	0.0				
53	0.0				
54	0.0				
55	0.0				
Total					
Local	1	1	1	1	

## Appendix - 11 (1/2) Economic Evaluation for Soil Erosion Prevention Project (Iguacu River Basin)

Assumed conversion factor:

85.0%

EIRR:

8.63%

B/C =

0.93

 $B \cdot C =$ 

-7.7 million US\$

#### Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

•	·····					tonit: min	
ı	No.		Cost	·		Benefit	Balance
I		Investment	OM Cost	Machinery	Total	i	1
ļ		Cost		purchase and			
				replacement			
Í	1	76.01	0.00	11.90	87.91	10.28	77.63
1	2	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	3	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	4	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	5	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	6	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	7	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
١	8	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	9	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	10	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
1	. 11	0.00	1.92	18.28	20.20	10.28	9.92
	12	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	13	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	14	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	15	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	16	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	17	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	18	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	19	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	20	0.00	1.92	18.28	20.20	10.28	9.92
	21	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	22	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	- 10.28	8.36
1	23	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	24	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	25	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	26	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	27	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	28	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	29	0.00		0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	30	0.00			1.92	10.28	: 8.36
	31	0.00		0.00	1.92	10.28	8.36
	32	0.00	ŀ		1.92	10.28	8.36
:		100			100		
	Total	76.01	59.55	48.45	135.56	328.96	144.95
			d d				
	<del></del>	<del></del>					

Note: A discount rate of 10% is applied to derive B/C and B-C.

Appendix - 11 (2/2)

Economic Evaluation for Soil Erosion Prevention Project

(Tibagi River Basin)

Assumed conversion factor:

85.0%

EIRR:

8.36%

B/C

0.92

B-C =

2.0 million US\$

Cost and Benefit Flow

(Unit: million US\$)

<u></u>		<u> </u>	Cont : mini			
No.		Cost	3.51	70 1	Benefit	Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Machinery	Total		
	Cost	1	purchase and	:	·	
			replacement	1000	0.00	1000
1	11.37	0.00	6.89	18.26	0.00	18.26
2.	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
3	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
4	0.00		0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
5	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
6	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
- 7	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
- 8	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
9	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
10	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
11	0.00	0.60	9.01	9.61	2.78	6.83
12	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
13	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
14	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
15	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
16	0.00	0.60	0.00	,0.60	2.78	2.19
17	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
18	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
19	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
20	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
21	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
22	0.00	0.60	9.01	9.61	3 · 5 · 2.78	-6.83
-23	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
24	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	1 '	2.19
25	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
26	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
27	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2,19
28	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
29	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
30	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
31	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
32	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.60	2.78	2.19
Total	11.37	18.45	24.91	54.72	86.18	31.46

Note: A discount rate of 10% is applied to derive B/C and B-C.

and the first of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of the said of t

Appendix - 12 (1/7)

Appendix - 12 (1/7)
Cost Benefit Flow for Cebolao Hydropower Project

ssumptions:		Year	Invest-	OM		Benefit		Balance
vestment (Million US			ment		Consump	Other	Total	
M (% to investment c	ost 0.59		29.7	0.0	tion 0.0	0.0	0.0	-29
emand (GWh)	75°		$\begin{bmatrix} 29.7 \\ 29.7 \end{bmatrix}$	0.0		0.0	0.0	·29
enefit (US\$/MWh)		3	29.7	0.0		0.0	0.0	-29
vestment cost disbut			29.7	0.0		0.0	0.0	-29
1st year	209 209		29.7	0.0		0.0	0.0	.29
2 3	209		0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
	209		0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	. 63
5	209		0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
onversion factor :	859		0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
onversion factor.	007	10	0.0	0.7		9.3	63.8	63
R=	25.69		0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
/C =	3.35	12	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
- C =	275.4	13	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
	(million US\$)	14	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
	(million OS4)	15	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		16	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		17	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		18	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		19	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		20	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		21	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		22	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
	1 .	23	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		24	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		25	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		26	0.0	0.7	∍54.5	9.3	63.8	63
	4.4.	27	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		28	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
A property of the		29	[ 0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		30	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		31	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
	1.1	32	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
100		33	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		34	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		35	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
15 to 15 to 15		36	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	37	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		38	0.0	0.7		9.3 9.3	63.8 63.8	63 63
		39	0.0	0.7	54.5			
		40	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3 9.3	63.8 63.8	63 63
		41	0.0	0.7 0.7		9.3	63.8	63
		42 43	0.0 0.0	0.7		9.3	63.8	63
		43	0.0	0.7		9.3	63.8	63
		45	0.0	0.7	54.5 54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		46	0.0	0.7	54.5 54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		47	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
		48	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
	•	49	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63.
		50	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63.
		51	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
	e de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de l	52	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63
1		53	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63.
		54	0.0	0.7	54.5	9.3	63.8	63.
	4	55	0.0	0.7		9.3	63.8	63.
	17	Total	148.6		2,725.2	463.3	3,188.5	
	(x,y,y) = (x,y)	Note:	A discount	rate of 1	0 % is appl	ied to dei	rive B/C an	d B-C.
								-
		-						•
	:					•		
			100	A12 · 1				
		•	•					

Appendix - 12 (2/7)

Cost Benefit Flow for Jataizinho Hydropower Project

Cost Bei	refit Flow	for,					roject		14 24	
			1		million US	55)   OM		Benefit		Balance
Assumption			100	Year	Invest-	OW	C		Total	Datance
	(Million US\$)		177		ment		Consump	Other	Lotai	
	vestment cos	t	0.5%				tion			
demand (GV	Vh)		758	1	30.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.2
benefit (US:	\$/MWh)		72	2	30,2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Investment	cost disburse	ment in	%	3	30.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1st	year		20%	4	30.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2		20%	5	30.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.2
	3		20%	6	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
	4 :		20%	7	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
	5		20%	8	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
Conversion	-		85%	9	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
Conversion	iactor.		007/	10	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
TDD			25.3%	11	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
IRR≠		•						9.3	63.9	63.1
B/C =			.30	12	0.0					
$B \cdot C =$			4.1	13	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
	• .	(millio	n US\$)	14	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
	4			15	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			16	0.0			9.3	63.9	63.1
	•			17	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
1		•		18	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
* 4	ř			19	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
				20	0.0	0.8	54.6	9.3	63.9	63.1
18.5				21	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
1	•	•		22	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
		1		23	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
				24	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
	•			25	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
	-	•		26	0.0			9.3	63.9	63.1
				27	0.0			9.3	63.9	63.1
								9.3	63.9	63.1
				28	0.0	0.8				63.1
	*			29	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	
				30	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
				31	0.0				63.9	
				32	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
•				33	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
				34	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
			I	35	0.0	8,0		9.3	63.9	63.1
				36	0.0		54.6	9.3	63.9	
			•	37	0.0		54.6	9.3	63.9	63.1
			•	38	0.0	0.8		9.3	63.9	63.1
				39	0.0				63.9	63.1
			•	40	0.0				63.9	63.1
				41	0.0				63.9	
				42	0.0				63.9	
	•	•		43	0.0				63.9	63.1
				44	0.0			9.3	63.9	63.1
•				45	0.0				63.9	63.1
				46	0.0					
				47.	0.0				63.9	
				47.						
÷				48	0.0		54.6			
•	**			49	0.0			9.3	63.9	
				50	0.0				63.9	
				51	0.0				63.9	
				52	0.0				63.9	
				53	0.0				63.9	
				54	0.0				63.9	
				55	0.0					
•				Total			2,728.8	463.9	3,192.7	3,004.2
			* -	Note:	A discoun	t rate of	10 % is app	lied to deri	ve B/C and	JBC.
								*		

# Appendix - 12 (3/7) Cost Benefit Flow for Sao Jeronimo Hydropower Project

Cost Benefit Flow for S				Project			
Assumptions:	Year	: million US\$) Invest-	OM	<u> </u>	Benefit		Balance
Investment (Million US\$)	282	ment	OM	Consump	Other	Total	Dalance
	0.5%	I mene		tion	Other	Lotai	ĺ
	,386 1	47.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-47.9
benefit (US\$/MWh)	72 2	47.9			0.0		
Investment cost disbursement in 9		47.9			0.0		-47.9
1st year	20% 4	47.9			0.0		47.9
	20% 5	47.9					-47.9
2 3	20% 6	0.0					115.6
4	20% 7	0.0			17.0		115.6
5	20% 8	0.0			17.0		115.6
Conversion factor:	85% 9	0.0			17.0		115.6
	10	0.0			17.0		115.6
IRR= 2	7.8% 11	0.0		99.8	17.0		115.6
B/C = 3.8		0.0		99.8	17.0		115.6
$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C} = 530$		0.0		99.8	17.0		115.6
, (Million		0.0		99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	15	0.0			17.0		115.6
	16	0.0			17.0		115.6
:	17	0.0		99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	18	0.0		99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	19	0.0		99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	20	0.0		99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	21	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	22	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	23	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	24	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	25	0.0		99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	26	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
: 1	27	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	28	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0		115.6
	29	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	30	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	31	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	32	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	33	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	34	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	35	0.0		99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	36	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	38	0.0	1.2 1.2	99.8 99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	39	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0 17.0	116.8	115.6
	40	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8 116.8	115.6 115.6
	41	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	42	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	43	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	44	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	45	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	46	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	47	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	48	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	49	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	50	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	. 51	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	52	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	53	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	54	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	55	0.0	1.2	99.8	17.0	116.8	115.6
	Total	239.4	59.8	4,989.6	848.2	5,837.8	5,538.6
	Note:	A discount ra	te of 10 9	6 is applied	to derive i	3/C and B-0	3.

## Appendix - 12 (4/7)

Cost Benefit Flow for Mana Hydropower Project

Cost Benefit Flow for Ma				iect		5151	
		: million L					
Assumptions:	Year		OM		Benefit		Balance
	186	ment		Consump	Other	Total	
OM (% to investment cost 0.	5%	4		tion	:	5 4 54	
demand (GWh) 1,6	317 1	65.6	• 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.6
benefit (USS/MWh)	72 2	65.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	65.6
Investment cost disbursement in %	3	65.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.6
	0% 4	65.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.6
	0% 5	65.6	0.0			0.0	-65.6
	0% 6	0.0	1.6				134.6
	0% 7	0.0	1.6			136.2	134.6
	0% 8	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	5% 9	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
Conversion factor.	10	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
IRR= 25.	0% 11	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
B/C = 3,24		0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
$B \cdot C = 580.0$		0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
•		0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
(million U	15	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	16	0.0	1.6		19.8		134.6
	17	0.0	1.6		19.8		134.6
	18	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	19	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	20	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	20	0.0			19.8		134.6
	22	0.0			19.8		134.6
	23	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	23	0.0	1.6		19.8		134.6
	25	0.0			19.8	136.2	
	26	0.0	1.6 1.6				134.6
	27				19.8 19.8		134.6
	28	0.0	1.6				134.6
	29	0.0	1.6		19.8 19.8	136.2	134.6
	30	0.0	1.6 1.6			136.2 136.2	134.6
	31	0.0	1.6		19.8 19.8	136.2	134.6 134.6
	32	0.0	1.6		19.8		134.6
	33	0.0	1.6			136.2	134.6
	34	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	35	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	36	0.0				136.2	
	37	0.0			19.8 19.8		134.6 134.6
	38	0.0				136.2	134.6
	39	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	40	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	41	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	41 42	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	
	43	0.0	1.6			136.2	
	44	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	45	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
	46	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
:	47	0.0	1.6				134.6
	48	0.0	1.6				134.6
	49	0.0	1.6		19.8		134.6
	50	0.0	1.6		19.8	136.2	134.6
,	51	0.0	1.6				134.6
	52	0.0	1.6				134.6
	53	0.0	1.6				134.6
	54	0.0	1.6				134.6
	55	0.0	1.6				134.6
	Tota		81.9				
	Note		nt rate of	10 % is ap	nlied to d	erive R/C	and R.C
	HOLE	. 11 013000	at rute VI	To wie ah	paca to u	CHIE DIO	and D C.

## Appendix - 12 (5/7)

Cost Benefit Flow for Telemaco Borba Hydropower Project

Cost B	enclit F	low to	r Telen	iaco i	B <b>orba</b> I million U	Hydrop cev	ower P	roject		
Assumpti	one •		ſ	Year	Invest-	OM		Benefit	<del></del>	Balance
	ous . at (Million	1156)	128	1641	ment	OM	Consump	Other	Total	Dataile
	investment		0.5%		ment		tion	Other	iviai	
demand (		t cost	541	· <del>·</del>	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	·21.7
	SS/MWh)		72	1 2	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7
	rt cost disb			3	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7
		orsemen	20%	3. 4	$\frac{21.7}{21.7}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7
1	st year		20%	5.			0.0		0.0	21.7
	2				21.7	0.0		0.0	45.6	45.0
	. 3 - 4		20%	6 7	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6		
-	5		20%		0.0	0.5 0.5	39.0	6.6 6.6	45.6 45.6	45.0
		·	20%	8	0.0		39.0		45.6 45.6	45.0
Conversion	on factor:		85%	9	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6		45.0 45.0
Inn-	+ 1 +		05.00	10	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	
IRR=		+ 1	25.2%	11	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
B/C =		.*	3.27	12	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
$B \cdot C =$	•		194.8	13	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
i .		, (m	illion US\$)	14 15	0.0	0.5	39.0 39.0	6.6	45.6 45.6	45.0 45.0
1				16	0.0	0.5 0.5	39.0 39.0	6.6	45.6 45.6	45.0 45.0
	. :			17	0.0	0.5 0.5	39.0 39.0	6.6 6.6	45.6 45.6	45.0 45.0
•				18	0.0	0.5		6.6	45.6 45.6	45.0 45.0
				18	0.0 0.0	0.5	39.0 39.0		45.6 45.6	45.0 45.0
* *				20	0.0	0.5	39.0 39.0		45.6 45.6	45.0
1 .		:		20 21	0.0	0.5 0.5	39.0 39.0	6.6	45.6 45.6	45.0
				22	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6 45.6	45.0
				23	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
	100		1	24	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				25	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
	100			26	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				27	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
	-		. 1	28	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
		.*		29	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				30	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
	: .			31	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				32	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				33	0,0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				34	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				35	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
•				36	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
			1	37	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
	1			38	0,0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				39	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
1 1				40	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				41	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
1				42	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
	r 			43	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
,		1.5		44	0.0	0.5		6.6	45.6	45.0
100		1.		45	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
				46	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
	**************************************			47	0.0	0.5		6.6	45.6	45.0
1	£: .	1.0		48	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
14:11		1.5		49	0,0	0.5		6.6	45.6	45.0
	11.			50	0.0	0.5		6.6	45.6	45.0
; ·	•			51	0.0	0.5		6.6	45.6	45.0
1 1.	: 3		2	52	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
100		*. *	* , .	53	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
		1		54	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
			I	55	0.0	0.5	39.0	6.6	45.6	45.0
1 1 1	\$	484	Ļ	Total	108.7	27.2	1,947.6 10 % is app	331.1		2,142.8
٠	To the table			NOTE: P	ı aiscouni	rate of 1	to zo is app	neu to de	TIVE D/C a	nu D'Ç.

Appendix - 12 (6/7)
Cost Benefit Flow for Fundao Hydropower Project

(Unit: million US\$)										
Assumptions:		Year	Invest-	OM		Benefit		Balance		
Investment (Million US\$)	214		ment	~	Consump-	Other	Total			
OM (% to investment cost	0.5%	1			tion					
demand (GWh)	640	1	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-36.4		
benefit (US\$/MWh)	72	2	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4		
Investment cost disbursement		3	36.4	0.0		0.0	0.0	36.4		
1st year	20%	4	36.4	0.0		0.0	0.0	-36.4		
2 3	20% 20%	5	36.4 0.0	0.0 0.9	0.0 46.1	0.0 7.8	0.0 53.9	-36.4 53.0		
ა 4	20%	7	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8 7.8	53.9 53.9	53.0		
5	20%	8	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
Conversion factor:	85%	9	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
		10	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
IRR=	19.7%	11	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
B/C =	2.31	12	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
$B \cdot C =$	188.4	13	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
(mi	llion US\$)	14	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		15	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		16	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
	}	17 18	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.9	46.1 46.1	7.8 7.8	53.9 53.9	53.0 53.0		
	. [	19	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		20	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
	ŀ	21	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
	'	22	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
•		23	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		24	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		25	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		26	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		27	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8 7.8	53.9	53.0 53.0		
		28 29	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.9	46.1 46.1	7.8 7.8	53.9 53.9	53.0 53.0		
·		30	0.0		46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		31	0.0		46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		32	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
•	• •	33	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
	}	34	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
	)	35	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
	· i	36	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
	. ]	37	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
		38	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8 7.8	53.9	53.0 53.0		
		39 40	0.0 0.0	0.9 0.9	46.1 46.1	7.8 7.8	53.9 53.9	53.0		
		41	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		42	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		43	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
	. }	44	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		45	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
		46	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
	· [	47	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
		48	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9 53.9	53.0 53.0		
	-	49 50	0.0 0.0			7.8 7.8	53.9 53.9	53.0 53.0		
	ļ	51	0.0			7.8	53.9	53.0		
		52	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
	1	53	0.0	0.9		7.8	53.9	53.0		
		54	0.0	0.9	46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		55	0.0		46.1	7.8	53.9	53.0		
		Total	181.9				2,695.7			
•		Note: A	A discount ra	ite of 10	% is applied	to derive	B/C and B	C.		

#### Appendix - 12 (7/7)

Cost Benefit Flow for All the Hydropower Projects in the Tibagi River Basin

Cost Benefit Flow fo	r All th		iropowe million US		ects in t	he Tiba	gi River	Basin
Assumptions:	İ	Year	Invest-	OM		Benefit		Balance
Investment (Million US\$)	1,148		ment	011	Consump-	Other	Total	Datanet
OM (% to investment cost	0.5%				tion			
demand (GWh)	5,059	1	195.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		-195.2
benefit (US\$/MWh)	72	2	195.2	0.0		0.0		-195.2
Investment cost disbursement		3	195.2	0.0		0.0		-195.2
1st year	20% 20%	4 5	195.2 195.2	0.0		0.0		·195.2
2 3	20%	6	0.0	0,0 4.9		0.0 61.9		.195.2
4	20%	7	0.0	4.9		61.9		421.3 421.3
5	20%	8	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9		421.3
Conversion factor:	85%	9	0.0	4.9		61.9		421.3
	30,0	10	0.0	4.9		61.9		421.3
IRR=	25.9%	11	0.0	4.9		61.9		421.3
B/C =	3.41	. 12	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9		421.3
B · C = 1	1,853.8	13	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9		421.3
(mi	illion US\$)	14	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		15	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9		421.3
		16	0.0	4.9		61.9		421.3
		17	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9		421.3
		18	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		19 20	0.0 0.0	4.9 4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		20	0.0	4.9	364.2 364.2	61.9 61.9	426.2 426.2	421.3 421.3
		22	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		23	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		24	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		25	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		26	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
·		27	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
	j	28	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		29	0.0	4.9	364,2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		30	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		31	0.0	4,9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		32	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9		421.3
		33	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		34 35	0.0 0.0	4.9 4.9	364.2 364.2	61.9 61.9	426.2 426.2	421.3 421.3
		36	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		37	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9		421.3
· ·		38	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		39	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
	ł	40	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		41	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
	•	42	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
	. 1	43	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		44	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		45	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		46	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		47 48	0.0	4.9 4.9	364.2 364.2	61.9 61.9	426.2 426.2	421.3
		48	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3 421.3
		50	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		51	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		52	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		53	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		54	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
		55	0.0	4.9	364.2	61.9	426.2	421.3
	Į	Total	975.8	243.9	18,212.4	3,096.1	21,308.5	20,088.8
		Note: A	discount r	rate of 10	% is applie	ed to deriv	e B/C and E	B·C.
		•						

## Appendix - 13 (1/25) Financial Evaluation for Curitiba Metropolitan Area Water Supply Project

Ass	umptions;						
a)	Investment cost:			760	million US\$		•
b)	OM cost			68.4	million US\$	9.0% of in	vestment cost
c)	Water supply volum	ie:	100	7.234	cubic meter per s	econd	
•	total		100.0%	228.125	million cubic met	er per year	
	domestic		56.9%	129.8	million cubic met	er per year	
	industrial		43.1%	98.3	million cubic met	er per year	
d)	Unit revenue:	domestic		0.62	US\$ per cubic me	eter	
		industrial		1.10	US\$ per cubic me	eter	
e)	Water loss	domestic		25.0%	-		
-,		industrial	12111	10.0%	FIR	R	9.51%

No.	r				(Unit: millio	n voy)	
1	11	Cost			Revenue		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost				í	;	
1	190.0	0.0	190.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	190.0
2	190.0	0.0	190.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	·190.0
· 3	190.0	0.0	190.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-190.0
- 4	190.0	0.0	190.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-190.0
5	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
6	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
7	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
- 8	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
-9	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
10	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
- 11	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
-12	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
13	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
14	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
15	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
16	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
17	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
18	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
19	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
20	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
21	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
22	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
23	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
24	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
25	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
26	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
27	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
28	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
29	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
30	0.0		68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
31	0.0	N .	68.4	60.2		157.5	
- 32	0.0		68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
: 33	0.0		68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	89.1
: 34	0.0	68.4	68.4	60.2	97.3	157.5	.89.1
Total	760.0	2,052.0	2,812.0	1,804.8	2,920.2	4,725.0	1,913.0

## Appendix - 13 (2/25)

#### Financial Evaluation for Cascavel Water Supply Project

**Assumptions:** 

Investment cost: a)

38.9 million US\$

OM cost **b**)

3.5 million US\$*

9.0% of investment cost

Water supply volume: c) total

100.0% 87.6%

0.602 cubic meter per second 19.0 million cubic meter per year

domestic industrial

12.4%

16.6 million cubic meter per year 2,4 million cubic meter per year

Unit revenue:

domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

domestic 25.0%

FIRR =

13.50%

e) Water loss

Cash Flow

d)

industrial

10.0%

					on US\$)		
No.		Cost			Revenue		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	1 .
	Cost						· · ·
1	9.7	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7
2	9.7	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-9.7
3	9.7	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7
4	9.7	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-9.7
5	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.6
6	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.0
7	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.6
8	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.6
9	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.6
10	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.6
11	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.6
12	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.6
13	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.6
14	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.0
15	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.0
16	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
17	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
18	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.0
19	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
20	0.0	3.5	3.5	. 7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
21	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
22	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.4
23	0.0	3.5	3.5	: 7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
24	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
25	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
26	0.0	3.5	3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
27	0.0		3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
28	0.0	·	3.5	7:7	2.3	10.1	6.
29	0.0		3.5	7.7	2.3	10.1	6.
30	0.0		3.5		2.3	10.1	6.
31	0.0		3.5			10.1	6.
32	0.0		3.5	and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s	2.3	10.1	6.
33	0.0		3.5			10.1	6.
34	0.0		3.5			10.1	6.
Total	38.9	105.0	143.9	231.9	69.9	301.8	157.

## Appendix - 13 (3/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Foz do Iguacu Water Supply Project

**Assumptions:** 

a) b) Investment cost: OM cost

11.1 million US\$ 1.0 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

d)

Water supply volume: 100.0% total

1.042 cubic meter per second

32.9 million cubic meter per year

domestic industrial 87.6% 12.4% 28.8 million cubic meter per year

4.1 million cubic meter per year

e) Water tariff domestic

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial

1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

f) Water loss domestic industrial 25.0% 10.0%

EIRR =

62.16%

Cash Flow

(Unit · million USS)

·				(Unit: million US\$)			
No.		Cost			Revenue		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost						
1	2.8	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.8
2	2.8	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8
3	2.8	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.8
4	2.8	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.8
5	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
6	0.0	1,0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
7	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
8	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
9	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
10	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
11	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
12	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
13	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
14	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
15	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
16	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
17	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
18	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
19	0.0		1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
20	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
21	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
22	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
23	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
24	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
25	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
26	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
27	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
28	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
29	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
30	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
31	0.0	1.0	1.0	13,4	4.0	17.4	16.4
32	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
33	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
34	0.0	1.0	1.0	13.4	4.0	17.4	16.4
Total	11.1	30.0	41.1	401.4	121.0	522.4	481.3

## Appendix - 13 (4/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Guarapuava Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a) OM cost

9.1 million US\$

0.8 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

**b**) d) Water supply volume:

total domestic industrial100.0% 69.0% 31.0% 0.289 cubic meter per second 9.1 million cubic meter per year

6.3 million cubic meter per year 2.8 million cubic meter per year

Unit benefit: e)

domestic

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial

25.0%

33.30%

ŋ Water loss domestic industrial

10.0%

FIRR =

Cas Flow

(Unit · million HSS)

		(Unit: million US\$)					
No.		Cost			Revenue		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost						
1	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
2	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
3	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
4	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	.2.3
5	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
6	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
7	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
8	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
9	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
10	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
11	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
12	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
13	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
14	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
15	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
16	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
17	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
18	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
19	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
20	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
21	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
22	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
23	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
24	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
25	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
26	0.0	0,8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
27	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
28	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
29	0,0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
30	0.0	0.8	0.8	2:9	2.8	5.7	4.9
31	0.0	0.8		2.9	2.8		4.9
32	0.0	0.8		2.9		5.7	4.9
33	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
34	0.0	0.8	0.8	2.9	2.8	5.7	4.9
·			: _1				
Total	9.1	24.6	33.7	87.8	84.0	171.8	138.2

## Appendix - 13 (5/25)

### Financial Evaluation for Medianeira Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost:

4.3 million US\$

a) b) OM cost

0.4 million US\$

9.0% investment cost

ď) Water supply volume:

f)

100.0% 86.1% 0.127 cubic meter per second 4.0 million cubic meter per year

domestic industrial

total

13.9%

3.5 million cubic meter per year 0.6 million cubic meter per year

Water tariff e)

domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

domestic

25.0% industrial 10.0%

FIRR =

27.57%

Cash Flow

Water loss

(Pair : million 1194)

					(Unit: million US\$)			
	No.		Cost			Revenue		Balance
	:	Investment Cost	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	÷
	1	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
	2	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
	3	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0		0.0	: 1.1
	4	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0		0.0	1.1
	5	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	6	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	7	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	8	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	9	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	10	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6		2.2	1.8
	11	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6		2.2	1.8
	12	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	13	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	14	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	15	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
,	16	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	17	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6		2.2	1.8
	18	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	19	0.0	0.4	0.4	. 1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	20	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	21	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	22	0.0	.0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	23	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	24	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	25	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	26	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
•	27	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	28	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
:	29	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	30	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	31	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6			1.8
	32	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	33	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.8
	34	0.0	0.4	0.4	1.6	0.6	2.2	; 1.8
V.	Total	4.3	11.6	15.9	48.2	16.6	64.8	48.9
						<u> </u>		

#### Appendix - 13 (6/25)

### Financial Evaluation for Dois Vizinhos Water Supply Project

Assumptions:

Investment cost: a)

9.1 million US\$

0.8 million US\$

b) OM cost

0.139 cubic meter per second

9.0% of investment cost

d) Water supply volume: total

100.0%

4.4 million cubic meter per year

domestic

43.7% 56.3%

1.9 million cubic meter per year 2.5 million cubic meter per year

industrial Water tariff domestic

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial

Ð Water loss

e)

domestic

1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial

25.0% 10.0%

FIRR =

20.38%

Cash Flow

No.	Cost				n US\$)		
110,	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Damestella	Revenue	Takal	Balance
	Cost	OM Cost	Totat	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
1	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 0
2	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.
3	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.
4	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-2.: -2.:
5	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.0	2.4	3.3	2.
6	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
7	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2. 2.
8	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
9	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2. 2.
10	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
11	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
12	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
13	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
14	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
15	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
16	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
17	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
18	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
19	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
20	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
21	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
22	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
23	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
24	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
25	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
26	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
27	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
28	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
29	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
30	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
31	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
32	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.
33	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.9
34	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	2.4	3.3	2.9
Total	9.1	24.6	33.7	26.7	73.2	99.9	66.

## Appendix - 13 (7/25)

### Financial Evaluation for the Francisco Beltrao Water Supply Project

Λ		n. t	
Ass	1111		
1100	****	~ • • •	,

a) Investment cost:b) OM cost

domestic

4.7 million US\$

0.4 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

d) Water supply volume: total

100.0% 64.2% 0.231 cubic meter per second 7.3 million cubic meter per year

4.7 million cubic meter per year 2.6 million cubic meter per year

industrial e) Water tariff

35.8% domestic

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

f) Water loss

industrial domestic 1.10 USS per cubic meter

domestic 25.0% industrial 10.0%

FIRR =

47.21%

Cash Flow

No.	<u> </u>	Cost	***************************************		Revenue		
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	Balance
	Cost						
1	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.2
2	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.2
3	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.2
4	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.2
5	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
6	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
7	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
8	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
9	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
10	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
11	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
12	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
13	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
14	0.0		0.4	2.2	2.6		4.3
15	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6		4.3
16	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
17	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
18	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
19	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
20	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
21	0.0		0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
22	0.0		0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
23	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
24	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
25	0.0		0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
26	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
27	0.0		0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
28	0.0		0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
29	0.0		0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
30	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
31	0.0		0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
32	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
33	0.0		0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
34	0.0	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.6	4.8	4.3
Total	4.7	12.7	17.4	65.4	77.6	143.0	125.6

## Appendix 13 (8/25)

#### Financial Evaluation for Pato Branco Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

a) Investment cost:
b) OM cost
9.1 million US\$
9.0% of in

b) OM cost 0.8 million US\$ 9.0% of investment cost c) Water supply volume: 0.116 cubic meter per second

total 100.0% 3.7 million cubic meter per year domestic 80.6% 2.9 million cubic meter per year

industrial 19.4% 0.7 million cubic meter per year
d) Water tariff domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

d) Water tariff domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter industrial 1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

Cost

e) Water loss domestic 25.0%

) Water loss domestic 25.0% industrial 10.0% FIRR = 11.15%

(Unit: million US\$)

Balance

Revenue

0.7

0.7

0.7

21.0

2.1

2.1

2.1

62.1

1.3

1.3

1.3

28.4

Cash Flow

No.

32

33

34

Total

0.0

0.0

0.0

9.1

Investment OM Cost Total Domestric Industrial Total Cost 1 2.3 0.0 2.3 0.0 0.00.0 -2.32.30.0 2 0.0 2.30.00.0 -2.3 3 2.3 0.0 2.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 -2.3 4 2.3 0.0 2.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 -2.3 5 0.0 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 6 0.0 8.0 0.7 0.8 1.4 2.1 1.3 7 0.0 8.0 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 8 0.0 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 9 0.00.8 0.8 0.7 1.4 2.1 1.3 10 0.0 8.0 0.8 0.7 1.4 2.1 1.3 11 0.0 8.0 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 12 0.0 8.0 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 13 0.0 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 14 0.0 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 15 0.0 0.8 0.8 0.7 1.4 2.1 1.3 16 0.0 0.8 0.8 0.7 1.4 2.1 1.3 17 0.08.0 8.0 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 18 0.0 0.8 0.8 0.7 1.4 2.1 1.3 19 0.0 0.8 0.8 0.7 1.4 2.1 1.3 20 0.0 0.8 0.8 0.7 1.4 2.1 1.3 21 0.0 8.0 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 22 0.08.0 8.0 0.7 1.4 2.1 1.3 23 0.0 8.0 8.0 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 24 0.0 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 25 0.0 8.0 0.8 0.7 2.1 1.4 1.3 26 0.0 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 27 0.00.8 0.8 1.4 2.1 0.7 1.3 28 0.0 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 29 0.0 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 30 0.08.0 8.0 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3 31 0.0 0.8 0.8 1.4 0.7 2.1 1.3

0.8

0.8

8.0

33.7

1.4

1,4

1.4

41.0

8.0

0.8

0.8

24.6

## Appendix - 13 (9/25)

#### Financial Evaluation for Palmas Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

a) Investment cost: 4.9 million USS

b) OM cost 0.4 million US\$ 9.0% of investment cost

c) Water supply volume: 0.069 cubic meter per second

total 100.0% 2.2 million cubic meter per year domestic 73.1% 1.6 million cubic meter per year industrial 26.9% 0.6 million cubic meter per year

d) Water tariff domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial 1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

e) Water loss domestic 25.0% industrial 10.0% FIRR = 14.37%

Cash Flow
(Unit: million US\$)

							(Unit: milli	<del></del>
	No.		Cost			Revenue	·	Balance
		Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
		Cost	· :					
	1	1.3		· ·	0.0	0.0		
	2	1.5	t .		0.0	0.0		
	3	1.5			0.0	0.0		
	4	1.5	l l		0.0	0.0		
	5	0.0			0.7	0.6		•
	6	0.0			0.7	0.6	4	
	7	0.0			0.7	0.6		
	8	0.0		0.4	0.7	0.6		
	9	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6		
	10	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	11	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6		
	12	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6		
	13	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6		
	14	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6		
	15	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	16	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6		
	17	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	18	0.0	0.4	0.4	. 0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	19	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	20	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	21	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	
	22	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	23	<i>→</i> 0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	24	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	25	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	26	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	27	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	-28	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	√29	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	30	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	31	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	32	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
	33	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
Į	34	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9
					1			. [
	Total	4.9	13.2	18.1	22.3	17.5	39.8	21.7

### Appendix · 13 (10/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Union da Vitoria Water Supply Project

Assumptions:

a) Investment cost: 3.7 million US\$

b) OM cost 0.3 million USS 9.0% of investment cost

c) Water supply volume: 0.035 cubic meter per second total 100.0% 1.1 million cubic meter per year

domestic 61.0% 0.7 million cubic meter per year industrial 39.0% 0.4 million cubic meter per year d) Water tariff domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial 1.10 USS per cubic meter

f) Water loss domestic 25.0% industrial 10.0% FIRR = 8.73%

Cash Flow

					on US\$)		
No.		Cost			Benefit		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost				:		,
1	0.93	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.93
2	0.93	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	.0.93
3	0.93	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.93
4	0.93	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.93
5	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
6	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
7	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
8	0.00	0.33	0.33		0.42	0.73	0.40
9	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
10	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
11	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
12	0.00	0.33	0.33		0.42	0.73	0.40
13	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
14	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
15	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
16	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
17	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
18	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
19	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
20	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
21	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
22	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
23	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
24	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
25	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
26	0.00	0.33	0.33	•	0.42	0.73	0.40
27	0.00	0.33	0.33		0.42	0.73	0.40
28	0.00	0.33	0.33		0.42	0.73	0,40
29	0.00	0.33	0.33		0.42	0.73	0.40
30	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
31	0.00	0.33	0.33		0.42	0.73	0.40
32	0.00	0.33	0.33		0.42	0.73	0.40
33	0.00	0.33	0.33		0.42	0.73	0.40
34	0.00	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.42	0.73	0.40
Total	3.70	9.99	13.69	9.32	12.68	22.00	8.31
L	L	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			L

## Appendix - 13 (11/25)

### Financial Evaluation for All the Water Supply Projects for Type A Cities in the Iguacu River Basin (excluding Curitiba MA)

**Assumptions:** 

a) Investment cost: 59.1 million US\$

**b**) OM cost 5.3 million US\$

c) Water supply volume:

Unit revenue:

1.933 cubic meter per second 100.0%

9.0% of investment cost

total domestic

90.8%

61.0 million cubic meter per year

industrial

9.2%

55.3 million cubic meter per year 5.6 million cubic meter per year

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

domestic industrial

1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

Water loss e)

d)

domestic

25.0%

industrial

10.0%

EIRR = 28.85%

Cash Flow

1	No.		Cost		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Revenue	tour . munc	Balance
-		Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	i.
-[	1	14.8	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	-14.8
	2	14.8	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	-14.8
	3	14.8	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	-14.8
	4	14.8	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	-14.8
-	5	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
.	6	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
-	7	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
-	8	. 0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	9	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
-	10	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
-	11	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
-	12	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
-	13	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
۱	14	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	15	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
Į	16	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	17	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	18	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
1	19	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
ı	20	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	21	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	22	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
١	23	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
Ì	24	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
Į	25	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	26	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
ı	27	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
1	28	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	29	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	30	0.0	5.3	5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
I	31	0.0	5.3	5.3 5.3	25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
	32	0.0	5.3 5.3	5.3 5.3	25.7	5.6 5.6	31.3 31.3	26.0 26.0
	33 34	0.0	5.3 5.3	5.3	25.7 25.7	5.6	31.3	26.0
		0.0 59.1	159.6	218.7	772.1	166.6	938.6	720.0
	Total	99.1	199.0	610.1	114.1	100.0	230.0	720.0
Į		<u> </u>	· :					

## Appendix - 13 (12/25) Financial Evaluation for All the Water Supply Projects for Type B Cities in the Iguacu River Basin at the Additional Additional

Assumptions:

Investment cost: a)

35.8 million US\$

OM cost b)

3.2 million US\$

Water supply volume: c)

0.718 cubic meter per second

9.0% of investment cost

total domestic

industrial

100.0% 65.8% 22.6 million cubic meter per year

14.9 million cubic meter per year 7.7 million cubic meter per year

Unit revenue: d)

34.2% domestic

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial

25.0%

1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

22.71%

Water loss e)

domestic

industrial 10.0%

FIRR =

Cash Flow

ſ	No.		Cost			Revenue	(Ont. man	Balance
	-	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	1	9.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0
:	2	9.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0
ŀ	3	9.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0
Ì	4	9.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.9.0
ı		0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
-	5 6	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	
١	7	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
١	8	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	9	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
١	10	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
١	11	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	12	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	13	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	1.4	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7,7	14.6	11.4
	15	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
١	16	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
1	17	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	18	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
ł	19	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7,7	14.6	11.4
ı	20	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	21	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	22	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	23	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	24	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7,7	14.6	11.4
	25	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
١	26	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
١	27	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
١	28	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
١	29	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
١	30	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
-	31	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
1	32	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7.7	14.6	11.4
	33	0.0	3.2	3.2	6.9	7,7	14.6	11.4
	34	0.0	3.2	3.2	6,9	7,7	14.6	11.4
	Total	35.8	96.7	132.5	207.7	229.9	437.6	305.1
Į			<u> </u>	L <u> </u>				

## Appendix 13 (13/25) Financial Evaluation for Water Supply Projects for Type C Cities in the Iguacu River basin

Assumptions:

d)

f)

a) Investment cost:

102.9 million US\$

9.3 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

b) OM costc) Water supply volume :

total domestic 100.0% 70.8% 29.2% 26.3 million cubic meter per year 18.6 million cubic meter per year

7.7 million cubic meter per year

FIRR =

industrial 29.
Water tariff domestic

industrial domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

0.833 cubic meter per second

25.0%

industrial 10.0%

4.75%

Cash Flow

Water loss

ĺ	No.	<u> </u>	Cost			Benefit	(Unit: mille	Balance
		Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
		Cost				•	:	
	1	25.7	0.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-25.7
	2	25.7	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	-25.7
	3	25.7	0.0	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-25.7
	4	25.7	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	25.7
. 1	5	0.0			8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	6	0.0	9.3		8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
1	7 :	0.0	9.3		8.7	7.6		7.0
1	8 :	0.0	9.3		8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	9	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
i	10	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
1	11	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6		7.0
. ]	12	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	:	7.0
	13	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	14	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	15	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	16	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	17	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	18	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	19	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	20	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	21	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	22	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	23	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	24	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	25	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	26	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	27	0.0	9.3	9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	28 29	0.0	9.3 9.3	9.3 9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	30	0.0 0.0	9.3	9.3 9.3	8.7 8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	31	0.0		9.3 9.3	8.7 8.7	7.6	16.2 16.2	7.0
J	32	0.0	9.3 9.3	9.3	8.7 8.7	7,6 7.6	16.2 16.2	7.0 7.0
	33	0.0	9.3	9.3 9.3	8.7 8.7	7.6 7.6	16.2	7.0 7.0
	34	0.0	9.3	9.3 9.3	8.7	7.6	16.2	7.0
	Total	102,9	277.8	380.7	259.7	227.6	487.3	106.6
	I VI al	102.5	411.0	000.7	209.7	221,0	407.0	100.0
į		L	احسبب السابا			1		

## Appendix - 13 (14/25)

### Financial Evaluation for Ponta Grossa Water Supply Project

#### Assumptions:

a) Investment cost:
b) OM cost
c) Water supply volume:

13.5 million US\$
1.2 million US\$
9.0% of investment cost
0.428 cubic meter per second

34.55%

total 100.0% 13.5 million cubic meter per year domestic 63.0% 8.5 million cubic meter per year industrial 37.0% 5.0 million cubic meter per year Water tariff domestic 0.62 USS per cubic meter

d) Water tariff domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter industrial 1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

e) Water loss domestic 25.0%

industrial 10.0% FIRR =

Cash Flow

Total

13.5

Casii i	TON	:		·		(Unit : millio		
No.		Cost			Revenue		Balai	nce
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	$x^{-1} = x$	
	Cost							
1	3.4	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		-3.
2	3.4	0.0	3.4	0.0		0.0		-3.
3	3.4	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		-3.
4	3.4	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		-3.
5	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	4.9	8.9		7.
6	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	4.9	8.9		7.
7	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	4.9	8.9		7.
8	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9	٠.	7.
9	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	4.9	8.9	, i	7.
10	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	4.9	8.9	:	7.
11	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	4.9	8.9	,	7.
12	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	4.9	8.9		7.
13	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
14	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
15	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
16	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
17	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
18	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
19	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
20	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
21	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
22	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	4.9	8.9	1 1	7.
23	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9	1	7.
24	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
25	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
26	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9	7	7.
27	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	1	8.9		7.
28	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
29	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9	. ;	7.
30	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0	4,9	8.9		7.
31	0.0	1.2	1,2	4.0	4.9	8.9	i ii i	7.
32	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9	1	7.
33	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
34	0.0	1.2	1.2	4.0		8.9		7.
- •	]		1,0	"	"	<b>J.J</b>		٠.
	1 '	7		,			100	

50.0

118.7

148.4

267.1

36.5

## Appendix - 13 (15/25) Financial Evaluation for Londrina Water Supply Project

#### Assumptions;

a) Investment cost: 46.5 million US\$ b) OM cost 4.2 million US\$ 9.0% of onvestment cost

1.227 cubic meter per second c) Water supply bolume:

total 100.0% 38.7 million cubic meter per year domestic 83.0% 32.1 million cubic meter per year. industrial 17.0% 6.6 million cubic meter per year

d) Water tariff domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

1.10 US\$ per cubic meter industrial

Water loss domestic 25.0% e) FIRR = industrial 10.0% 25.53%

Cash Flow

	<del></del>	<del>~</del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(Unit : million US\$)				
	No.			Cost			Revenue	·	Balance	
			tment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	) ;	
		C	ost						<u></u>	
	1		11.6	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	-11.6	
	2		11.6			0.0	0.0	0.0		
-	3	12	11.6			0.0	0.0	0.0		
	4	İ	11.6	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0		
	5		0.0		4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	6		0.0	4.2	1	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	<b>7</b> .		0.0	4.2	4.2	1,4.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	8		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
į	9	+1.	0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	10		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
Ì	11	1.5	0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	12	* 2	0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	13		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	14		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	15	1.	0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
-	16		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
1	17		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
١	18		0,0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
١	19		0.0	4,2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
١	20		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	21		0.0	± 4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	22	-	0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
١	23		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
١	24		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
۱	25		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	26	. *	0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	27		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
1	28		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	29	,	0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
1	30		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
1	31		0.0	4.2	4.2	14,9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
	32.		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
1	33		0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
١	34	*	0.0	4.2	4.2	14.9	6.5	21.4	17.3	
ľ	Total		46.5	125.6	172.1	448.0	195.3	643.3	471.3	
ĺ				·		l				

## Appendix - 13 (16/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Apucarana Water Supply Project

#### Assumptions;

a)	Investment cost:	•	14.9 million US\$	en de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de
b)	OM cost		1.3 million US\$	9.0% of investment cost
c)	Water supply bolume	•	0.521 cubic meter per	
-	total	100.0%	16.4 million cubic m	
	domestic	68.8%	11.3 million cubic m	
	industrial	31.2%	5.1 million cubic m	
đ١	Water tariff	domestic	0.62 USS per cubic	

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter-1.10 US\$ per cubic meter industrial

25.0% e) Water loss domestic

industrial 10.0%

FIRR = 35.91%

#### Cash Flow

No.			Cost			Revenue		Balance
2	Investme	nt	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	<b>1</b> :
	Cost	:			٠	\$		,
1		.7	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-3.
2	. 3	.7	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-3.
3	. 3	,7	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-3.
4	3	.7	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-3.
5	.0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
6	0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	. 9.
7	. 0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
8	0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
9	0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
10	. 0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
11	. 0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
12	. 0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
13	0	0,0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
14	0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
15	0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
16	0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
17		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
18	0	0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
19		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
20		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
21		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
22		1.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
23		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
24		1.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
25		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
26		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
27		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	· 9.
28		0.0	1.3	1.3	5:3	5.1	10.3	9.
29		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
30		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
31		0.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
32		1.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
33		.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
34		.0	1.3	1.3	5.3	5.1	10.3	9.
`otal	14	.91	40.2	55.1	157.6	152.2	309.8	254.

## Appendix - 13 (17/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Castro Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a)

5.5 million US\$

**b**) OM cost 0.5 million USS

9.0% of investment cost

c) Water supply volume: total

100.0% 39.6%

0.255 cubic meter per second 8.0 million cubic meter per year

domestic industrial

60.4%

3.2 million cubic meter per year 4.9 million cubic meter per year

FIRR =

Water tariff domestic

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

d) industrial

1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

Water loss e)

domestic

25.0%

industrial

10.0%

51.06%

Cash Flow

ī	Vo.		Cost			Revenue	(Unit: millio	Balance
1		Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
		Cost		:				. :
	1	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.4
	2	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
	3	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
	4	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.4
	5	0.0		0.5	1.5	4.8		5.8
	6	0.0		0.5	1.5	4.8		5.8
	7	0.0		0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	8	0.0		0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	9	0,0		0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
1	10	0.0		0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	11	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	12	0.0		0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	13	0.0		0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	14	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	15	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	16	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	17	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	18	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	19	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	20	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	21	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	22	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	23	0.0		0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	24 25	0.0		0.5	1.5	4.8 4.8	6.3 6.3	5.8
	26	0.0	0.5 0.5	0.5 0.5	1.5 1.5	4.8 4.8	6.3	5.8 5.8
	27	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5 1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	28	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5 1.5	4.8 4.8	6.3	5.8
	29	0.0	0.5	0.5 0.5	1.5	4.8 4.8	6.3	5.8
	30	0.0	0.5	0.5 0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	31	0.0	0.5	0.5 0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	32	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	33	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	34	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	4.8	6.3	5.8
	otal	5.5	14.8	20.4	44.4	144.0	188.4	168.1
						1	. = 00.1	:

## Appendix · 13 (18/25)

#### Financial Evaluation for Telemaco Borba Water Supply Project

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a)

6.8 million US\$

**b**) OM cost 0.6 million US\$ 0.208 cubic meter per second

9.0% of investment cost

Water supply bolume: c)

Water tariff

total 100.0% domestic 51.0% industrial 49.0% 6.6 million cubic meter per year 3.4 million cubic meter per year

FIRR =

domestic

3.2 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial.

1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

Water loss e)

d)

domestic industrial

25.0% 10.0%

36.10%

Cash Flow

۱.	No.		Cost			Revenue	(Unit: millio	Bala	
	ĺ	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric		Total		
		Cost						;	•
ſ	1	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		-1.7
	2	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-1.7
	3	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		-1.7
Į	4	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		-1.7
1	5	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7	:	4.1
I	6	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
1	7	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6		4.7		4.1
1	8	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
ı	9	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
١	10	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3,2	4.7	:	4.1
1	11	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
1	12	0.0	0.6	0.6		3,2	4.7	1	4.1
1	13	0.0	0.6	0.6		: 1	3 4.7		4.1
1	14	0.0	0.6	0.6			4.7		4.1
	15	0.0	0.6	0.6			4.7		4.1
١	16	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
١	17	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7	. :	4.1
-	18	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
-	19	0.0	0.6	0.6		3.2	4.7		4.1
	20	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6		4.7		4.1
ı	21	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7	\$ 1.	4.1
1	22	0.0	0.6	0.6		3.2	4.7	: * :	4.1
	23	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7	: :	4.1
1	24	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
1	25	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7	. :	4.1
٠	26	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	• 4.7	·	4.1
1	27	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
1	28	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6		4.7		4.1
٠	29	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
ļ	30	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7	1	4.1
	31	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
Į	32	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7	· .	4.1
١	33	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.6	3.2	4.7		4.1
	34	0.0	0.6	0.6			4.7		4.1
ı	Total	6.8	18.4	25.2	46.7	95.6	142.4	1	17.2
I			L		<u> </u>				

## Appendix - 13 (19/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Irati Water Supply Project

Assumptions:

Investment cost: a)

9 million US\$ 0.8 million US\$

b) OM cost

9.0% of investment cost

c) Water supply bolume:

total domestic industrial 100.0% 65.6% 0.069 cubic meter per second 2.2 million cubic meter per year

1.4 million cubic meter per year 0.8 million cubic meter per year

d) Water tariff

e)

34.4% domestic

industrial

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial Water loss domestic

1.10 USS per cubic meter

25.0%

10.0%

FIRR =

4.66%

Cash Flow

(Unit : million 1159)

		<del></del>			(Unit : million US\$)				
	No.		Cost			Benefit		Balance	
		Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total		
		Cost							
	1	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	
	2	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	
	3	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	
	4	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	
	5 6	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	6	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	7	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	8	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0,7	1.4	0.6	
	9	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	10	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	11	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	12	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	13	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	14	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
ı	15	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
1	16	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
1	17	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
1	18	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
1	19	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
1	20	0.0	0.8	8.0	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
1	21	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	22	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	23	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
-	24	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	25	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
:	26	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
ı	27	0.0	0.8	8.0	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
1	28	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
ı	29	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	30	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
ſ	31	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	32	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	33	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	34	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.4	0.6	
	Total	9.0	24.3	33.3	20.0	22.4	42.4	9.1	
į		·	L	L		l.	L		

## Appendix - 13 (20/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Corneiro Procopio Water Supply Project

### Assumptions;

a)	Investment cost:	+ +	7.4 million US\$		
b)	OM cost		0.7 million US\$	0.09 of investment cost	
c)	Water supply bolur	ne:	0.069 cubic meter pe	r second	
	total	100.0%	2.2 million cubic m	ieter per year	
	domestic	70.8%	1.6 million cubic m	ieter per year	
	industrial	29.2%	0.6 million cubic m	ieter per year	
d)	Water tariff	domestic	0.62 US\$ per cubic	meter	
		industrial	1.10 US\$ per cubic	meter	
e)	Water loss	domestic	25.0%		
•		industrial	10.0% FI	RR = 7.34%	

#### Cash Flow

ſ	No.		Cost	:	<del></del>	Revenue	(Unit: milite	Balance
ļ	110.	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	Julanee
1		Cost						,
	i	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
1	2	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.9
ı	3	1.9	0.0	1.9		0.0	0.0	1.9
١	4 .	1.9	0.0	1.9		0.0	0.0	1.9
ı	5	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	6	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
١	. 8	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
1	9	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	10	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	11	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	12	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
1	13	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	14	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	15	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	16	0,0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	17	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	18	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1,4	0.7
	19	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	20	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	21	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	22	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	23	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	24	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	25	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
1	26	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1:4	0.7
ı	27 28	0.0 0.0	0.7 0.7	0.7 0.7	0.7	0.6 0.6	1.4	0.7
l	29	0.0	0.7	0.7 0.7	0.7 0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
I	30	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6 0.6	1.4 1.4	0.7
Ì	31	0.0	0.7	0.7		0.6 0.6	1,4	0.7 0.7
	32	0.0	9.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	33	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	34	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	1.4	0.7
	Total	7.4	20.0	27.4	21.6	19.0	40.6	13.2
	Lytui	' ' '	20.0		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	10.0	40.0	10.6
ŧ		L		اـــــــا	لـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		L	L

## Appendix - 13 (21/25)

#### Financial Evaluation for Arapongas Water Supply Project

Assumptions:

a) Investment cost: 15.9 million US\$

1.4 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

**b**) OM cost Water supply bolume: c)

total

0.231 cubic meter per second 100.0%

7.3 million cubic meter per year

domestic industrial 63.3% 36.7% 4.6 million cubic meter per year 2.7 million cubic meter per year

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

Water tariff d)

domestic

Water loss

e)

industrial

1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

domestic

25.0% 10.0% industrial

FIRR =

16.45%

Cash Flow

F	No.		Cost			Revenue	(Onte: mine	Balance
	110.	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	Durance
	1	Cost	Om Cost	10001	Domestile	·	1 Cear	
ł	1	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
١	2	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
İ	3	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
ł	4	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-4.0
1	5	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	6	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	7	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	8	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	9	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
ı	10	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
ļ	11	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
Į	12	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
١	13	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
1	14	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
1	15	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2,7	4.8	3.4
	16	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	17	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	18	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	19	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	20	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
:	21	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
:	22	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	23	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	24	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	25	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	26	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	27	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	28	0.0	1.4	1.4	<b>2.</b> 1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	29	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	30	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	31	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	32	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	33	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	34	0.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.7	4.8	3.4
	Total	15.9	42.9	58.8	64.5	79.6	144.0	85.2
ı		<u> </u>					L	l

## Appendix - 13 (22/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Ibipora Water Supply Project

#### Assumptions;

a) Investment cost: 7.4 million US\$

b) OM cost 0.7 million US\$ 9.0% of investment cost c) Water supply bolume: 0.104 cubic meter per second

total 100.0% 3.3 million cubic meter per year domestic 80.7% 2.7 million cubic meter per year

industrial 19.3% 2.7 million cubic meter per year 0.6 million cubic meter per year

d) Water tariff domestic 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial 1.10 US\$ per cubic meter e) Water loss domestic 25.0%

industrial 10.0% FIRR = 12.97%

#### Cash Flow

r <del></del>	ı <del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	<del></del>	(Unit : milli	
No.	<u> </u>	Cost	J		Revenue	r	Balance
	Investment Cost	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
1	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.9
2	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
3	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9
4	1.9	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	: -1.9
5	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
6	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
7	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
8	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
9	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
10	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
11	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
12	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
13	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
14	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	
15	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
16	0.0	0.7]	0.7	1.2	0.6	. 1.9	1.2
17	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	
18	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
19	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	
20	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
21	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
22	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
23	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
24	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
25	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
26	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
27	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
28	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
29	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	sec. 1.9	1.2
30	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
31	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
32	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
33	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
34	0.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	0.6	1.9	1.2
Total	7.4	20.0	27.4	37.0	18.8	55.8	28.4
				•	÷ .		I

## Appendix · 13 (23/25) Financial Evaluation for Water Supply Projects for Type A Cities in the Tibagi River basin

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a)

74.9 million US\$

**b**) OM cost 6.7 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

c) Water supply volume:

100.0%

2.176 cubic meter per second 68.6 million cubic meter per year

total domestic

75.6% 24.4% 51.9 million cubic meter per year 16.7 million cubic meter per year

industrial Unit benefit:

domestic

0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial .

1.10 US\$ per cubic meter

e) Water loss

d)

domestic industrial 25.0%

10.0%

FIRR = 29.50%

Cash Flow

D.T							on US\$)		
No	).	ļ		Cost			Benefit		Balance
			stment ost	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	1		18.7	0.0	18.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	·18.7
ĺ	2		18.7	0.0	18.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-18.7
	3		18.7	0.0	18.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-18.7
	4		18.7	0.0	18.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	-18.7
	5	٠.	0,0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	6		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	7		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	8		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	9		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	10		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
1	11		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
Ì	12		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
l	13		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	14		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	15	."	0.0	6.7	6,7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	16	1	0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	17		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	18		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	19	·	0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	20		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
•	21		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	22		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	23		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	24	: :	0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	25		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	26 27		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
4	27 28	2.5	0.0	6.7 6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	28 29		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
	30		0.0	6.7	6.7 6.7	24.1 24.1	16.6 16.6	40.7	34.0
	31		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7 40.7	34.0
	32		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0 34.0
	33		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0 34.0
	34		0.0	6.7	6.7	24.1	16.6	40.7	34.0
To			74.9	202.2	277.1	723.7	497.3	1,221.0	943.8
L			1.01		21111	1 20.1	101.01	1,041.0	740.0

# Appendix - 13 (24/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Water Supply Projects for Type B Cities in the Tibagi River basin

Assumptions:

Investment cost: a) b)

52 million US\$

OM cost

4.7 million US\$

9.0% of investment cost

Water supply volume:

total domestic

0.938 cubic meter per second 100.0% 29.6 million cubic meter per year

56.3% 43.7% 16.6 million cubic meter per year

industrial

12.9 million cubic meter per year

d) Unit benefit: domestic industrial 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter 1.10 USS per cubic meter

e) Water loss domestic industrial 25.0% 10.0%

FIRR = :

22.01%

Cash Flow

No.		Cost	···-	(Unit : milli Benefit			Balance
-``	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	1
	Cost			:			
1	13.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-13.0
2	13,0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-13.0
3	13.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-13.0
4	13.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I .
5	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12,8	20.5	15.9
6	0.0			7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
7	0.0			7.7	The state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the s	20.5	15.9
8	0.0			7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
9	0.0			7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
10	0.0	4.7		7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
11	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	
12	0,0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
13	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
14	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
15	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	
16	0,0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	
17	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	
18	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
19	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
20	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
21	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
22 23	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
23 24	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
24 25	0.0 0.0	4.7	4.7 4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
25 26	0.0	4.7 4.7	4.7	7.7 7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
27	0.0	4.7	4.7 4.7	7.7	12.8 12.8	20.5 20.5	15.9
28	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8 12.8	20.5	15.9 15.9
29	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5 20.5	15.9
30	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5 20.5	15.9
31	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
32	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
33	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
34	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.7	12.8	20.5	15.9
Total	52.0	140.4	192.4	232.2	383.7	615.9	423.5
					2		

## Appendix · 13 (25/25)

## Financial Evaluation for Water Supply Projects for Type C Cities in the Tibagi River basin

Assumptions;

Investment cost: a)

32.9 million US\$

b) OM cost 3.0 million USS

9.0% of investment cost

c) Water supply volume: total

domestic

100.0%

0.347 cubic meter per second

11.0 million cubic meter per year 7.7 million cubic meter per year

industrial d) Unit benefit:

domestic

70.6%

29.4%

3.2 million cubic meter per year 0.62 US\$ per cubic meter

industrial

10.0%

1.10 USS per cubic meter

e) Water loss domestic industrial 25.0%

FIRR =

9.41%

Cash Flow

Blait million HCC)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(Unit: million US\$)			
No.		Cost			Benefit		Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total	Domestric	Industrial	Total	
	Cost						
1	8.2	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2
. 2	8.2	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-8.2
3	8.2	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-8.2
4	8.2	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
6	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
7	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
8	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
9	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
10	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
11	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
12	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
13	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
14	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
15	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
16	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	. 3.2	6.8	3.8
17	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
18	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
19	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
. 20	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
21	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
22	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
23	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
24	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
25	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
26	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
27	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
28	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
29	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
30	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
31	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
32	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
33	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
34	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.2	6.8	3.8
Total	32.9	88.8	121.7	107.9	95.5	203.4	81.7

## Appendix · 14 (1/4) Financial Evaluation for Curitiba Sewerage Project

#### Assumptions:

Investment cost:

293.6 million US\$ 3.6 million US\$

OM cost b)

c) Treatment volume:

153.3 million cubic meter per year

420,000 cubic meter per day

d) Sewage tariff (domestic+industry) 0.58 US\$ per cubic meter

FIRR =

21.34%

Cash Flow

	···	(Unit: million US\$)			
No.		Cost		Revenue	Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total		: :
	Cost				
1	73.4	0.0	73.4	0.0	-73.4
2	73.4	0.0	73.4	0.0	-73.4
3	73.4	0.0	73.4	0.0	-73.4
.4	73.4	0.0	73.4	0.0	-73.4
5	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
6	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
7	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
8	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
9	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
10	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
11	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
12	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
13	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
14	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
15	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
16	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
- 17	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
= 18	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
: 19	. 0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
20	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
21	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
22	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
23	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
24	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
25	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
26	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
27	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
28	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
29	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
30	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
31	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
32	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
33	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
34	0.0	3.6	3.6	89.6	86.0
Total	293.6	108.0	401.6	2,687.1	2,285.5
L	<u> </u>				

# Appendix · 14 (2/4) Financial Evaluation for Cascavel Sewerage Project

#### Assumptions;

a) Investment cost:

b) OM cost

c) Treatment volume:

49.5 million US\$

0.71 million US\$

45,000 cubic meter per day

16.4 million cubic meter per year

0.58 US\$ per cubic meter

d) Sewage tariff (domestic+industry)

FIRR =

14.27%

Cash Flow

-						(Unit : million US\$)					
1	No.			Cost				Rever	iue.	Bal	ance
		Investr	nent	OM (	Cost	Tot	al			1	
1		Cos	t								
Γ	1		12.4		0.0		12.4	÷	0.0		-12.4
ı	2		12.4		0.0		12.4	•	0.0		-12.4
	-3		12.4		0.0		12.4		0.0	:	12.4
١	4		12.4	\$	0.0		12.4		0.0	:	-12.4
ı	5	•	0.0	1.2	0.7		0.7	:	9.6	i	8.9
ı	6	1.1	0.0		0.7		0.7	11	9.6		8.9
ŀ	7		0.0		0.7		0.7	1.5	9.6		8.9
١	8		0.0		0.7		0.7	÷ ;	9.6		8.9
	9		0.0		0.7		0.7		9.6		8.9
	10		0.0		0.7		0.7	. '	9.6		8.9
	11		0.0		0.7		0.7		9.6	:	8.9
ı	12		0.0		0.7		0.7		9.6	:	8.9
ı	13	+ 1 *	0.0		0.7		0.7		9.6	:	8.9
ļ	14		0.0	٠.	0.7		0.7	*	9.6	:	8.9
Į	15		0.0	1 F	0.7	-	0.7		9.6	:	8.9
1	16	,	0.0		0.7		0.7		9.6		8.9
1	17	٠	0.0		0.7	. * *	0.7	11	9.6		8.9
ı	18		0.0		0.7		0.7	i i i	9.6		8.9
١	19		0.0		0.7		0.7	1.	9.6		8.9
1	20		0.0		0.7		0.7		9.6		8.9
1	21		0.0		0.7		0.7		9.6		8.9
1	22	. :	0.0		0.7	٠	0.7		9.6	į	8.9
1	23		0.0		0.7		0.7	1.0	9.6	1	8.9
1	24	* .	0.0	11.	0.7		0.7		9.6		8.9
	25		0.0		0.7	<i>2</i>	0.7	11/14	9.6	. :	8.9
١.	26		0.0		0.7		0.7	41 F 1 F	9.6	:	. 8.9
:	27		0.0		0.7	· .	0.7	100	9.6		8.9
	28		0.0		0.7		0.7	+ \$ ⁽¹⁾	9.6		8.9
	29		0.0	,	0.7	,	0.7	1100	9.6	:	8.9
	30		0.0		0.7		0.7	. i.	9.6		8.9
	31		0.0		0.7		0.7	<b>.</b>	9.6		8.9
1	32		0.0	V	0.7		0.7	: 1	9.6		8.9
1	33		0.0		0.7	•	0.7	\$( '	9.6		8.9
	34		0.0	* .	0.7		0.7		9.6	. !	8.9
	Total		49.5	. ,	21.3		70.8	2	87.9		217.1

## Appendix · 14 (3/4) Financial Evaluation for Ponta Grossa Sewerage Project

#### Assumptions;

a) Investment cost: 29.2 million US\$

OM cost b)

0.36 million US\$

Treatment volume: c)

30,000 cubic meter per day 11.0 million cubic meter per year

Sewage tariff (domestic+industrial) d)

0.58 USS per cubic meter

FIRR =

15.95%

Cash Flow

(Unit : million HSS)

		(Unit : milli			
No.	Cost			Revemue	Balance
•	Investment	OM Cost	Total		
	Cost				:
1	7.3	0.0	7.3	0.0	-7.3
2	7.3	0.0	7.3	0.0	7.3
3	7.3	0.0	7.3		-7.3
4.	7.3	0.0	7.3	0.0	·7.3
5	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	6.0
6	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	6.0
7	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	6.0
8	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	6.0
9	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	6.0
10	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
11	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
12	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	6.0
13	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
14	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
15	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
16	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
17	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
18	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
19	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
20	0.0	: 0.4	0.4	6.3	
21	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	
22	0.0	0.4	0.4		
23	0.0	0.4	0.4		
24	0.0	0.4	0.4		
25	0.0	0.4	0.4		
26	0.0	0.4			
27.	0.0	0.4	0.4		
28	0.0	0.4	0.4		
29	0.0	0.4	0.4		
30	0.0	0.4	0.4	6.3	6.0
31	0.0	0.4	0.4		
31 32	0.0	0.4	0.4		
33	0.0	0.4		6.3	
34	0.0			6.3	6.0
Total	29.2	10.8	40.0	189.8	149.8

# Appendix - 14 (4/4) Financial Evaluation for Londrina Sewerage Project

#### Assumptions:

a) Investment cost:

59.4 million US\$

b) OM cost

0.98 million US\$

c) Treatment volume:

70,000 cubic meter per day 25.6 million cubic meter per year

d) Sewage tariff (domestic + industrial)

0.58 US\$ per cubic meter

FIRR =

17.73%

#### Cash Flow

		Cook	CORE: mun		
No.		Cost		Revenue	Balance
	Investment	OM Cost	Total		
<u> </u>	Cost		110		110
1	14.9	0.0	14.9	0.0	14.9
2	14.9	0.0		0.0	14.9
3 4	14.9	0.0	14.9	0.0	14.9
	14.9	0.0	14.9	0.0	14.9
5	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
6	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
7	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
8.	0.0	1.0		14.8	13.8
9	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
10	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
11	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
12	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
13	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
14	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
15	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
16	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
17	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
18	0.0	1.0		14.8	13.8
19	0.0	1.0		14.8	13.8
20	0.0	1.0			13.8
21	0.0	1.0	1.0	14.8	13.8
22	0.0	1.0		2 '	13.8
23	0.0	1.0		4	13.8
24	0.0	1.0			13.8
25	0.0	1.0			
26	0.0	1.0			1
27	0.0				
28	0.0				
29	0.0	1.0			
30	0.0	1.0			
31	0.0		7	7	
32	0.0				
33	0.0	1.0	•		
34	0.0	F .			
Total	59.4	29.4	88.8	442.9	354.1

