

APPENDIX - L

ECONOMIC EVALUATION ON WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PLAN

1. COMPARISON OF UNIT COST FOR WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT

Based on the detailed construction cost estimate for the selected three (3) dam projects which are described in Appendix-K, the unit construction cost for water resources development is derived for each of the selected dam projects as follows:

No.	Description	Name of Dam Project		
		Development Scenario-1	Development Scenario-2	
		Kidunda	Mgeta	Ngerengere
(1)	Dam height (m)	26	45	36.0
(2)	Effective storage volume (10^6m^3)	158.5	10.5	30.0
(3)	Yield of dependable discharge for water supply to DES/irrigation development (m^3/sec)	28.2	7.1	1.8
(4)	Hydropower development (kw)	3,900	2,300	400
(5)	Total present-day construction cost (Mill. US\$)	101.1	110.6	90.8
Construction cost per dependable discharge (Mill. US\$/ m^3/sec): (5)/(3)		3.59	15.58	50.4

As seen in the above table, the Kidunda dam project exhibits the distinguished economic efficiency of the water resources development.

Table L.1 shows the unit construction costs of dam projects for municipal water supply at current prices in Kenya and Indonesia. As seen in the Table, the unit construction costs range mostly between 20 and 100 million US\$/ m^3/sec . It is obvious that the Kidunda dam project should be given the high priority. Besides, the Ngerengere dam project whose unit construction cost becomes the highest among the selected three dam projects in the Ruvu River Basin falls within the normal range of the unit construction cost as far as the Table shows.

From the above comparison, needless to say, the post-Study action should be taken towards the realization of the Kidunda dam project. This Study recommends to carry out the prefeasibility study on the Kidunda dam project forecasting on the environmental impact assessment in relation to the ecosystem of the Selous Game Reserve and the geological investigation at the Kidunda dam site.

In the following Chapter 2, the economic evaluation on the water resources development related with the Kidunda dam project (Development Scenario-1) is described.

2. ECONOMIC EVALUATION ON DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO-1: KIDUNDA DAM PROJECT

2.1 General

The economic evaluation was made on the water resource development plan in the Development Scenario-1 which comprise the following;

- i) The Kidunda dam project including hydropower development
- ii) The dam - related 5 irrigation projects including the flood control works therefor : Kidunda irrigation, Bagamoyo irrigation development, low-lift pump irrigation, Ruvu national youth and Makurunge Irrigation projects

On the other hand, the cost and benefit for the three water conveyance projects were not included in those for the water resources development.

As explained in the other Appendices of this Supporting Report, the following benefits would be derived for the project life of the Kidunda dam through implementation of the Development Scenario-1;

- i) Increase of water supply to Dar Es Salaam in dry season,
- ii) Increase of water for irrigation in dry season,
- iii) Electricity generation making use of water to be released for regular water use in the lower reach, and
- iv) Flood control.

Out of the above benefits, the benefit accrued from the flood control which is primarily planned for the new irrigation projects are not taken into consideration, although it is expected that the flood control plan combined by the flood control effect of the Kidunda reservoir would create the intangible benefit, and estimable benefit in remote future.

The cash flow for benefit and cost was prepared for each of the following cases of water resources development to assess the economic viability;

- i) Kidunda dam project
- ii) Dam related 5 irrigation project
- iii) The whole of the water resources development (i + ii)

To carry out the above sectoral economic analysis, the following procedures were taken;

(1) Separation of benefit accrued from municipal water supply to Dar Es Salaam: Since the benefit accrued from the municipal water supply can be achieved by construction of the Kidunda dam and 3 water conveyance projects, it was divided into these two portions.

(2) Allocation of cost of the Kidunda dam project: Since the Kidunda dam project is to accrue the benefit of municipal water supply inclusive of hydropower benefit and benefit of irrigation development, the total cost for the Kidunda dam project was allocated to these two portions.

2.2 Basic Assumption Adopted for Economic Analysis

The basic assumption adopted in carrying out the economic analysis are as follows;

(1) General prices and useful lives.

- The financial value is at a level as of November 1993. The exchange rate is set at TShs. 460 per US dollar.
- The project life is set at 50 years after the completion of the Kidunda dam. Useful lives of electric and mechanical equipment are set at 25 years. Therefore their replacement would take place in the 25th year after the initial installation.
- A discount rate is set at 8%.

(2) Economic benefits

As aforesaid, the benefits accrued from the Kidunda dam project comprise those of municipal water supply, hydropower generation and irrigation development as explained as follows:

(i) Benefit of municipal water supply

In order to determine the unit price of drinking water corresponding to the willingness to pay, the water is divided into two categories, domestic and the rest. The domestic use is further divided into two ones, minimum requirement for survival (5 lpcd) and the rest.

Willingness to pay could only be proved at the survival level. Even now, the citizens living outside the distribution system have to pay between TShs. 50 and 100 per 20 litre tin container to water vendors. (TShs. 2,500 - 5,000/m³). Therefore, it is assumed that people would pay TShs. 3,750/m³ to get water for 5 lpcd on the condition without the water resources development.

Whereas, the ordinary domestic users pay the bill at a rate of TShs. 25/m³ in average, a little more than one third of the unit operation cost at present efficiency level. While, foreign residents pay the bill at a rate of TShs. 450/m³.

If a minimum wage earner who brings home TShs. 15,000 at the end of a month, he may give consent to pay its three percent for water rate, and if his family of four uses 15 cubic meter per month (125 lpcd), the unit rate per cubic meter would be TShs. 30. Hence, the level of willingness to pay hovers between TShs. 30 and 450. As a result, it is assumed that it is equivalent to the unit cost per cubic meter, estimated at TShs. 88.70, which comprise O&M and capital cost.

For the rest of the sectors, mainly industry and commerce, can always transfer the cost of water to the prices of commodity they deal with. The unit price is fixed at TShs. 105, at the rate which industrial users pay now.

(ii) Benefit of hydropower generation

The benefit of hydropower generation is set at the value equivalent to the cost of the most competitive alternative thermal. The cost is divided into two categories, i.e., construction and O&M costs.

(iii) Benefit of Irrigation Development

Net incremental benefit of the project is defined as the difference between the net production value under "with project" condition and the production value under "without project" condition. Net production value is further defined as the difference between the gross production value and the crop production costs in both "with project" and "without project" conditions as explained in Appendix-G of this Supporting Report.

(3) Economic costs

(i) The total financial cost for the water resources development consists of costs for construction of the Kidunda dam project and irrigation projects including the flood control works. To convert the financial cost into the economic cost, the transfer of payment was taken into account by kind of the construction works. In particular, a ratio of transfer of payment to the financial cost in the flood control works is considered to be considerably high, because the construction works are planned to be undertaken by the local contractor. In the present study stage, 90%, 80%, and 70% of the financial cost are assumed to be equivalent to the economic cost for the dam project, irrigation project and flood control works, respectively.

(ii) The annual O&M costs of the component structures are set at 0.5 percent of their construction costs.

2.3 Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) for the Water Resources Development in the Development Scenario-1

(1) Separation of municipal water supply benefit

In order to estimate an economic internal rate of return for the water resources development, the benefit to be accrued from the municipal water supply to Dar Es Salaam was divided into two portions, namely benefit for the water resources development by the Kidunda dam and benefit for the three (3) water conveyance projects, in proportion to the present worth of their economic costs. Table L.2 shows the cash flow for each of the Kidunda dam project and the three water conveyance projects. As shown in Table L.3, a ratio of the present worth of economic cost for the Kidunda dam project to that for the whole of the three water conveyance projects is derived to be 24% to 76%. Therefore, the benefit of the municipal water supply for the Kidunda dam is calculated multiplying the total benefit estimated through the above assumption by 0.24 as shown in Table L.4.

(2) Separation of Kidunda dam cost

To allocate the cost of the Kidunda dam project to costs for the municipal water supply and the irrigation development, the financial cost for hydropower development was excluded from the total cost for the Kidunda dam project as shown in Table L.5. In succession, the financial cost of the Kidunda dam project excluding cost of hydropower is allocated to the municipal water supply and irrigation development in proportion to their uses of water to be exploited by the Kidunda dam.

(3) Estimated EIRR

Table L.6 shows the cash flow of economic cost and benefit for the municipal water supply which include those for hydropower development. Consequently, an economic internal rate return for the municipal water supply is derived to be 14.3% and a ratio of benefit to cost (B/C) at about 2.3. While, an economic internal rate of return for the whole irrigation development is estimated to be as low as 4.2% as shown in Table L.7. On the other hand, an EIRR for the whole water resources development comprising the municipal water supply, hydropower and irrigation development comes to about 10.2% as shown in Table L.8. Therefore, the water resources development by the Kidunda dam project (Development Scenario-1) is judged to be economically sound.

3. FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Table L.9 shows the financial statements of the project for the duration of the master plan period. In the Table, prices are fixed at 1993 price level, since there are no enough information about the future inflation rates in different economic sectors. Annual depreciation rate is set at 2%. A long-term loan allocated for building up of a social infra-structure would suit the fund for investment to the project. The likely loan provided is assumed as follows:

- Interest rate at 2.7 per cent,
- Period: 30 years with 10 year grace period.

O&M costs consist of two portions, one for the dam and power house and the other for the total water supply system.

In case the average water and electricity rates are set at Tsh. 161 per cubic meter and TSh. 16 per kwh respectively at the commencement of operation of the Kidunda dam, its current assets would reach about 65 per cent of the amount of government subsidy paid long time ago and about seven per cent of the accumulated depreciation at the end of the period. From this time onward, reserved fund would keep increasing, and first replacement of a part of the assets by its own fund in 2027 would become possible.

APPENDIX-L

TABLES

Table L.1 COMPARISON OF CONSTRUCTION COST PER DEPENDABLE DISCHARGE OF DAM PROJECT FOR WATER SUPPLY

Project Name	Country	Dam Construction Cost (Mill. US\$)	Dependable Discharge (m ³ /sec)	Construction cost par Dependable discharge (Mill. US\$/cms)
Tsavo	Kenya**	91.6 *	1.2	76.3
Rare	"	103.0 *	2.5	41.2
Bojongmanik	Indonesia***	52.1	2.0	26.1
Pasirkopo	"	95.5	4.0	23.9
Karian	"	207.2	12.0	17.3
Cilawang	"	87.4	4.0	21.9
Tanjung	"	320.3	7.0	45.8
Sodong	"	843.0	12.0	70.3
Parungbadak	"	1,144.2	29.0	39.5
Genteng	"	465.7	4.0	116.4
Naragong	"	139.6	5.0	27.9
Pasiranji	"	463.3	10.0	46.3
Nameng	"	379.8	4.0	95.0
Pangkalan	"	905.8	12.0	75.5
Kidunda	Tanzania	101.1	28.2	3.6

Note : * ; The construction cost is revised at current price.

Source: **; Final Report, Feasibility Study on Water Supply Augmentation Project of Mombasa-Coastal Area-Hinterland, Sep. 1981.

***; Draft Final Report, Jabotabek Water Resources Managemnet Study, Sep. 1993.

Table L.2 CASH FLOW FINANCIAL COST FOR KIDUNDA DAM PROJECT AND THREE WATER CONVEYANCE PROJECTS

(Unit : 1000 x US\$)

Year	(1) Kidunda Dam Project		(2) Water Conveyance Projects						Subtotal-(2)	
	F.C	L.C	New Lower Ruvu-1		New Lower Ruvu-2		New Upper Ruvu		F.C	L.C
			F.C	L.C	F.C	L.C	F.C	L.C		
-6 -2 1997	1,870	330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-5 -1 1998	1,870	2,768	1,646	349	0	0	0	0	1,646	349
-4 1 1999	21,676	6,902	3,294	720	0	0	0	0	3,294	720
-3 2 2000	21,045	6,987	10,576	2,390	0	0	0	0	10,576	2,390
-2 3 2001	14,427	3,110	46,341	11,443	0	0	0	0	46,341	11,443
-1 4 2002	16,978	3,134	57,685	12,873	0	0	0	0	57,685	12,873
1 5 2003			49,312	11,916	0	0	0	0	49,312	11,916
2 6 2004				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 7 2005				0	1,733	306	0	0	1,733	306
4 8 2006				0	1,733	306	0	0	1,733	306
5 9 2007				0	9,289	2,423	0	0	9,289	2,423
6 10 2008				0	31,152	5,277	1,516	267	32,668	5,544
7 11 2009				0	31,984	7,205	3,032	558	35,016	7,763
8 12 2010				0	0	0	8,288	1,792	8,288	1,792
9 13 2011				0	0	0	34,537	8,318	34,537	8,318
10 14 2012				0	0	0	44,810	9,354	44,810	9,354
11 15 2013				0	0	0	38,511	47,494	38,511	47,494
12 16 2014				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13 17 2015				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 18 2016				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15 19 2017				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16 20 2018				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17 21 2019				0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18 2020									0	0
19 2021									0	0
20 2022									0	0
21 2023									0	0
22 2024									0	0
23 2025									0	0
24 2026									0	0
25 2027			40,835	4,537					40,835	4,537
26 2028									0	0
27 2029									0	0
28 2030									0	0
29 2031									0	0
30 2032					40,835	4,537			40,835	4,537
31 2033									0	0
32 2034									0	0
33 2035									0	0
34 2036							44,128	4,903	44,128	4,903
35 2037									0	0
36 2038									0	0
37 2039									0	0
38 2040									0	0
39 2041									0	0
40 2042									0	0
41 2043									0	0
42 2044									0	0
43 2045									0	0
44 2046									0	0
45 2047									0	0
46 2048									0	0
47 2049									0	0
48 2050									0	0
49 2051									0	0
50 2052									0	0

Table L.3 CASH FLOW OF ECONOMIC COST FOR KIDUNDA DAM PROJECT AND THREE WATER CONVEYANCE PROJECTS

(Unit : 1000 x US\$)

Year	Kidunda Dam Project : Cost				Water Conveyance project : Cost						
	Construction Cost		O & M	Total	Construction Cost		O & M	Total			
	F.C.	L.C.			F.C.	L.C.					
-6	-2	1997	1,683	297			1,980	0	0	0	0
-5	-1	1998	1,683	2,491			4,174	1,481	314		1,796
-4	1	1999	19,508	6,212			25,720	2,965	648		3,613
-3	2	2000	18,941	6,288			25,229	9,518	2,151		11,669
-2	3	2001	12,984	2,799			15,783	41,707	10,299		52,006
-1	4	2002	15,280	2,821			18,101	51,917	11,586		63,502
1	5	2003	0	0	494		494	44,381	10,724	179	55,284
2	6	2004	0	0	494		494	0	0	179	179
3	7	2005	0	0	494		494	1,560	275	179	2,014
4	8	2006	0	0	494		494	1,560	275	179	2,014
5	9	2007	0	0	494		494	8,360	2,181	179	10,720
6	10	2008	0	0	494		494	29,401	4,990	628	35,019
7	11	2009	0	0	494		494	31,514	6,987	628	39,129
8	12	2010	0	0	494		494	7,459	1,613	628	9,700
9	13	2011	0	0	494		494	31,083	7,486	628	39,198
10	14	2012	0	0	494		494	40,329	8,419	628	49,376
11	15	2013	0	0	494		494	34,660	42,745	628	78,033
12	16	2014	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
13	17	2015	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
14	18	2016	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
15	19	2017	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
16	20	2018	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
17	21	2019	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
18		2020	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
19		2021	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
20		2022	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
21		2023	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
22		2024	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
23		2025	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
24		2026	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
25		2027	0	0	494		494	36,752	4,084	1,586	42,422
26		2028	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
27		2029	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
28		2030	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
29		2031	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
30		2032	0	0	494		494	36,752	4,084	1,586	42,422
31		2033	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
32		2034	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
33		2035	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
34		2036	0	0	494		494	39,715	4,413	1,586	45,714
35		2037	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
36		2038	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
37		2039	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
38		2040	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
39		2041	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
40		2042	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
41		2043	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
42		2044	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
43		2045	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
44		2046	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
45		2047	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
46		2048	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
47		2049	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
48		2050	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
49		2051	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586
50		2052	0	0	494		494	0	0	1,586	1,586

IRR=

NPV at Rd=8 %

70,329

220,183

Annual Net Value at Rd=8 %

1,021

3,195

(Ratio of Annual net cosvalue)

(24.2%)

(75.8%)

Rd : Discount Rate

Table L.4 SEPARATION OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT ACCRUED FROM MUNICIPAL WATER SUPPLY TO DAR ES SALAAM

(Unit : 1000 x US\$)

Year	Total Economic Benefit Accrued from Municipal Water Supply to DSM				Allocated Economic Benefit	
	5 lpcd	Domestic	Industry	Total	Water Resource Development (24 %)	Water Conveyance & Purification (76 %)
-6 -2 1997						
-5 -1 1998						
-4 1 1999						
-3 2 2000						
-2 3 2001						
-1 4 2002						
1 5 2003	11,349	5,853	1,396	18,598	4,464	14,134
2 6 2004	13,227	6,879	1,571	21,677	5,202	16,475
3 7 2005	15,141	7,941	1,738	24,820	5,957	18,863
4 8 2006	17,123	8,982	1,903	28,008	6,722	21,286
5 9 2007	19,150	10,045	2,061	31,256	7,501	23,755
6 10 2008	21,229	11,134	2,211	34,574	8,298	26,276
7 11 2009	23,370	12,251	2,355	37,976	9,114	28,862
8 12 2010	25,578	13,402	2,493	41,473	9,954	31,519
9 13 2011	28,105	14,741	2,654	45,500	10,920	34,580
10 14 2012	30,690	16,113	2,808	49,611	11,907	37,704
11 15 2013	33,344	17,522	2,955	53,821	12,917	40,904
12 16 2014	36,076	18,975	3,096	58,147	13,955	44,192
13 17 2015	38,895	20,476	3,232	62,603	15,025	47,578
14 18 2016	42,012	22,173	3,388	67,573	16,218	51,355
15 19 2017	45,203	23,919	3,538	72,660	17,438	55,222
16 20 2018	48,478	25,718	3,681	77,877	18,690	59,187
17 21 2019	51,849	27,577	3,820	83,246	19,979	63,267
18 2020	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
19 2021	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
20 2022	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
21 2023	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
22 2024	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
23 2025	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
24 2026	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
25 2027	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
26 2028	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
27 2029	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
28 2030	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
29 2031	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
30 2032	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
31 2033	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
32 2034	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
33 2035	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
34 2036	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
35 2037	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
36 2038	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
37 2039	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
38 2040	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
39 2041	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
40 2042	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
41 2043	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
42 2044	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
43 2045	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
44 2046	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
45 2047	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
46 2048	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
47 2049	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
48 2050	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
49 2051	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474
50 2052	55,326	29,501	3,954	88,781	21,307	67,474

NPV at Rd=8 % 155,056

Table L.5 FINANCIAL COST OF KIDUNDA DAM PROJECT EXCLUDING HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT

(Unit : 1000 x US\$)

Year	Total Financial Cost of Kidunda Dam Project : (1)				Financial Cost of Hydropower : (2)				Financial Cost of Kidunda Dam Project Excluding Cost of Hydropower (3)=(1) - (2)			
	F.C	L.C	O & M	Total	F.C	L.C	O & M	Total	F.C	L.C	O & M	Total
	-6 -2 1997	1,870	330		2,200				0	1,870	330	0
-5 -1 1998	1,870	2,768		4,638				0	1,870	2,768	0	4,638
-4 1 1999	21,676	6,902		28,578				0	21,676	6,902	0	28,578
-3 2 2000	21,045	6,987		28,032				0	21,045	6,987	0	28,032
-2 3 2001	14,427	3,110		17,537	5,963	847		6,810	8,464	2,263	0	10,727
-1 4 2002	16,978	3,134		20,112	8,945	1,270		10,215	8,033	1,864	0	9,897
1 5 2003			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
2 6 2004			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
3 7 2005			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
4 8 2006			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
5 9 2007			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
6 10 2008			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
7 11 2009			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
8 12 2010			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
9 13 2011			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
10 14 2012			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
11 15 2013			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
12 16 2014			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
13 17 2015			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
14 18 2016			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
15 19 2017			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
16 20 2018			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
17 21 2019			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
18 2020			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
19 2021			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
20 2022			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
21 2023			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
22 2024			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
23 2025			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
24 2026			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
25 2027	6,830	1,822	504	9,156	5,963	847	85	6,895	867	975	419	2,261
26 2028	10,246	2,732	504	13,482	8,945	1,270	85	10,300	1,301	1,462	419	3,182
27 2029			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
28 2030			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
29 2031			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
30 2032			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
31 2033			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
32 2034			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
33 2035			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
34 2036			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
35 2037			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
36 2038			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
37 2039			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
38 2040			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
39 2041			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
40 2042			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
41 2043			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
42 2044			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
43 2045			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
44 2046			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
45 2047			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
46 2048			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
47 2049			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
48 2050			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
49 2051			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419
50 2052			504	504			85	85	0	0	419	419

Initial Investment Cost (Thousand US\$)	77,866	22,901
---	--------	--------

14,908	2,117
--------	-------

62,958	20,784
--------	--------

Table L.6 CASH FLOW OF ECONOMIC COST AND BENEFIT OF WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT FOR MUNICIPAL WATER SUPPLY TO DAR ES SALAAM

(Unit : 1000 x US\$)

Year			Cash Flow of Economic Cost and Benefit for Water Supply Development						Net Benefit (B-C)
			Economic Cost (C)			Economic Benefit (B)			
			Dam	Hydro.	Total	Water Supply	Hydro.	Total	
-6	-2	1997	1,116	0	1,116	0	0	0	-1,116
-5	-1	1998	2,354	0	2,354	0	0	0	-2,354
-4	1	1999	14,502	0	14,502	0	0	0	-14,502
-3	2	2000	14,225	0	14,225	0	0	0	-14,225
-2	3	2001	5,443	6,129	11,572	0	1,658	1,658	-9,914
-1	4	2002	5,022	9,194	14,216	0	2,486	2,486	-11,730
1	5	2003	212	77	289	4,464	355	4,819	4,529
2	6	2004	212	77	289	5,202	355	5,557	5,268
3	7	2005	212	77	289	5,957	355	6,312	6,023
4	8	2006	212	77	289	6,722	355	7,077	6,788
5	9	2007	212	77	289	7,501	355	7,856	7,567
6	10	2008	212	77	289	8,298	355	8,653	8,364
7	11	2009	212	77	289	9,114	355	9,469	9,180
8	12	2010	212	77	289	9,954	355	10,309	10,019
9	13	2011	212	77	289	10,920	355	11,275	10,986
10	14	2012	212	77	289	11,907	355	12,262	11,973
11	15	2013	212	77	289	12,917	355	13,272	12,983
12	16	2014	212	77	289	13,955	355	14,310	14,021
13	17	2015	212	77	289	15,025	355	15,380	15,091
14	18	2016	212	77	289	16,218	355	16,573	16,283
15	19	2017	212	77	289	17,438	355	17,793	17,504
16	20	2018	212	77	289	18,690	355	19,045	18,756
17	21	2019	212	77	289	19,979	355	20,334	20,045
18		2020	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
19		2021	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
20		2022	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
21		2023	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
22		2024	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
23		2025	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
24		2026	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
25		2027	1,147	6,206	7,353	21,307	4,499	25,806	18,454
26		2028	1,614	9,270	10,885	21,307	355	21,662	10,778
27		2029	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
28		2030	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
29		2031	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
30		2032	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
31		2033	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
32		2034	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
33		2035	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
34		2036	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
35		2037	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
36		2038	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
37		2039	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
38		2040	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
39		2041	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
40		2042	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
41		2043	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
42		2044	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
43		2045	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
44		2046	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
45		2047	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
46		2048	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
47		2049	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
48		2050	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
49		2051	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373
50		2052	212	77	289	21,307	355	21,662	21,373

IRR=		14.30%
NPV at Rd=8 %	45,635	103,525
Annual Value at Rd=8 %	662	1,502
B/C		2.27

Rd : Discount Rate

Table L.7 CASH FLOW OF ECONOMIC COST AND BENEFIT FOR WHOLE IRRIGATION PROJECTS IN DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO-1

(Unit : 1000 x US\$)

Year			Cash Flow of Economic Cost and Benefit for the Whole Irrigation Projects					Net Benefit (B-C)
			Economic Cost (C)			Economic Benefit (B)		
			Dam	Irrigation	Total	Irrigation	Total	
-6	-2	1997	864	0	864	0	0	-864
-5	-1	1998	1,821	134	1,955	0	0	-1,955
-4	1	1999	11,218	457	11,675	0	0	-11,675
-3	2	2000	11,004	1,089	12,093	0	0	-12,093
-2	3	2001	4,211	2,458	6,669	0	0	-6,669
-1	4	2002	3,885	4,399	8,284	0	0	-8,284
1	5	2003	164	2,953	3,118	539	539	-2,579
2	6	2004	164	3,102	3,266	539	539	-2,727
3	7	2005	164	4,497	4,662	539	539	-4,123
4	8	2006	164	3,469	3,634	1,039	1,039	-2,595
5	9	2007	164	1,222	1,386	1,514	1,514	128
6	10	2008	164	4,366	4,530	2,004	2,004	-2,526
7	11	2009	164	3,055	3,219	2,004	2,004	-1,215
8	12	2010	164	3,727	3,892	2,480	2,480	-1,412
9	13	2011	164	3,520	3,684	2,955	2,955	-729
10	14	2012	164	6,729	6,894	3,738	3,738	-3,156
11	15	2013	164	5,429	5,594	4,055	4,055	-1,539
12	16	2014	164	3,158	3,323	4,857	4,857	1,534
13	17	2015	164	246	411	5,332	5,332	4,921
14	18	2016	164	246	411	5,866	5,866	5,455
15	19	2017	164	307	472	5,866	5,866	5,394
16	20	2018	164	445	610	5,866	5,866	5,256
17	21	2019	164	2,915	3,080	5,866	5,866	2,786
18		2020	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
19		2021	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
20		2022	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
21		2023	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
22		2024	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
23		2025	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
24		2026	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
25		2027	888	261	1,149	6,029	6,029	4,880
26		2028	1,249	261	1,510	6,029	6,029	4,519
27		2029	164	84	248	6,029	6,029	5,781
28		2030	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
29		2031	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
30		2032	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
31		2033	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
32		2034	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
33		2035	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
34		2036	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
35		2037	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
36		2038	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
37		2039	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
38		2040	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
39		2041	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
40		2042	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
41		2043	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
42		2044	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
43		2045	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
44		2046	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
45		2047	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
46		2048	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
47		2049	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
48		2050	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
49		2051	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603
50		2052	164	261	426	6,029	6,029	5,603

IRR=			4.16%
NPV at Rd=8 %	50,303	26,277	-24,026
Annual Value at Rd=8 %	730	381	-349
B/C			0.52

Rd : Discount Rate

Table L.8 CASH FLOW OF ECONOMIC COST AND BENEFIT FOR WHOLE WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT IN DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO-1 (DEVELOPMENT OF KIDUNDA DAM PROJECT AND IRRIGATION PROJECTS)

(Unit : 1000 x US\$)

Year	Cash Flow of Economic Cost and Benefit for Water Resources Development							Net Benefit (B-C)	
	Total Economic Cost (C)			Total Economic Benefit (B)					
	W. S.	Irrigation	Total	W. S.	Irrigation	Total			
-6	-2	1997	1,116	864	1,980	0	0	0	-1,980
-5	-1	1998	2,354	1,955	4,309	0	0	0	-4,309
-4	1	1999	14,502	11,675	26,177	0	0	0	-26,177
-3	2	2000	14,225	12,093	26,318	0	0	0	-26,318
-2	3	2001	11,572	6,669	18,241	1,658	0	1,658	-16,583
-1	4	2002	14,216	8,284	22,500	2,486	0	2,486	-20,014
1	5	2003	289	3,118	3,407	4,819	539	5,358	1,951
2	6	2004	289	3,266	3,555	5,557	539	6,096	2,541
3	7	2005	289	4,662	4,951	6,312	539	6,851	1,900
4	8	2006	289	3,634	3,923	7,077	1,039	8,116	4,193
5	9	2007	289	1,386	1,675	7,856	1,514	9,370	7,695
6	10	2008	289	4,530	4,820	8,653	2,004	10,657	5,837
7	11	2009	289	3,219	3,508	9,469	2,004	11,473	7,965
8	12	2010	289	3,892	4,181	10,309	2,480	12,789	8,608
9	13	2011	289	3,684	3,973	11,275	2,955	14,230	10,257
10	14	2012	289	6,894	7,183	12,262	3,738	16,000	8,817
11	15	2013	289	5,594	5,883	13,272	4,055	17,327	11,444
12	16	2014	289	3,323	3,612	14,310	4,857	19,167	15,555
13	17	2015	289	411	700	15,380	5,332	20,712	20,012
14	18	2016	289	411	700	16,573	5,866	22,439	21,739
15	19	2017	289	472	761	17,793	5,866	23,659	22,899
16	20	2018	289	610	899	19,045	5,866	24,911	24,013
17	21	2019	289	3,080	3,369	20,334	5,866	26,200	22,831
18		2020	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
19		2021	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
20		2022	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
21		2023	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
22		2024	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
23		2025	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
24		2026	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
25		2027	7,353	1,149	8,502	25,806	6,029	31,835	23,334
26		2028	10,885	1,510	12,395	21,662	6,029	27,691	15,297
27		2029	289	248	537	21,662	6,029	27,691	27,154
28		2030	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
29		2031	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
30		2032	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
31		2033	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
32		2034	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
33		2035	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
34		2036	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
35		2037	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
36		2038	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
37		2039	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
38		2040	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
39		2041	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
40		2042	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
41		2043	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
42		2044	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
43		2045	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
44		2046	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
45		2047	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
46		2048	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
47		2049	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
48		2050	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
49		2051	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977
50		2052	289	426	715	21,662	6,029	27,691	26,977

IRR=			10.16%
NPV at Rd=8 %	95,938	129,802	33,864
Annual Value at Rd=8 %	1,392	1,884	491
B/C			1.35

Rd : Discount Rate

11