ANNEX I-8-3 Poultry/Livestock Raised in Municipalities Related to the Marikina Watershed

		No Answer	WAR			4 4 4 6	50	-	Q		D x 0.4 x 2.4	-	C	t a d	Other Poultry	7.		78.0	Carabao		2,2	Cattle
						1	100	-	3	FOULLY	100014	اد	•				_					
Municipality No. of T.O. No.	No. of	F. T. O.		aised	raised No. of T.O. No. raise	т.о.	No. ra	70	No. of	F. 0.	No. ra	raised N	No. of T.O.	T.0	No.	No. raised No.		of I.O. No.	•	raised	No. of	T. 0.
•	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
ANTIPOLO	331	15.72	=	0.00	515	24.47	8, 269	25. 11	*	0.13	154	9.14	7	0.10	17	0.04	200	3.50	330	1.00	30	1.43
BARAS	H	0.67	=	0.00	50	2.38	758	2.30		<u> </u>	 		ļ -		:		10	0.48	16	0.05		0.14
JALA-JALA	6	0.14	5	0.00	63	2.85	1, 070 !	3.25	2	0.10	22	0.03					**	1 2.09	78	0.24	(3)	2.04
RODORIGUES	8	4.42	-	9.00	357	17.43-	17.43- 6,145	18.56		0.05	12	0.04	 			 	16	0.75	23	0.07	18	0.85
SAN MATEO	E	0.86	-	0.00	79	3.6	1, 928	5. 85	91	0.76	171	0.82		0.10	- 12	1 0.04	34	1.62	55	0.17	1	0.05
TANAY	38	1.71	0	0.00	335	15.91	9, 386	25, 46	~	0.38	171	0, 82	22	0.24	13	1 0.04	621	i 6.13	243	9.74	25	2.35
TERESA	24.1	1.14	0	8.3	59	2.80	923	2.50		-							18	i 0.86	38	0.03	C.S	0.14
Total	. 519	24.55	0	0.00	1,450	68.88 27,380		83. 13	31	1.47	623	1.89	en.	0.43	37	0.11	157	j 21.43	776	2.35	189	7.50
			4			1				1	_	1								1		

	٥
	Ξ
	Ç
•	-
	ċ

5. % No. of T.O.No. No. % N. % No. %		. Cattle	t16		Pigs	25			Goats	ts		Liv	Livestock	k Prod.		Oth	E Live	Other Livestock Prod.	rod.		Total	al.	
No. % No. % No. % No. % No. % No. % No. No. <t< th=""><th>Hunicipality</th><th>!</th><th>aised</th><th>No. of</th><th>9</th><th>No. F</th><th>used</th><th>No. of</th><th></th><th>1</th><th>raised No.</th><th>1</th><th>H. 0.</th><th>of T.O.No. raised</th><th>past</th><th>No. of</th><th>of T.O.No.</th><th></th><th>raised</th><th>No. of</th><th>of T.O.No.</th><th></th><th>raised</th></t<>	Hunicipality	!	aised	No. of	9	No. F	used	No. of		1	raised No.	1	H. 0.	of T.O.No. raised	past	No. of	of T.O.No.		raised	No. of	of T.O.No.		raised
73 6.72 289 13.73 646 1.96 35 1.66 4 6.01 11 6.52 20 0.06 3 1.66 165 9.32 18 0.76 36 0.11 14 0.87 1,037 3.15 183 8.5 348 1.06 22 1.05 18 0.06 36 1.71 111 0.34 11 0.52 186 0.56 158 7.55 326 0.99 114 5.42 4 0.01 10 0.48 15 0.05 4 0.18			%	No.	%:	N.ö.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
4 6.01 11 9.52 20 0.06 3 0.14 1,037 3.15 183 .9.65 348 1.06 22 1.05 1 0.00 36 1.71 111 0.34 11 0.52 186 0.56 159 7.55 326 0.99 114 5.42 4 0.01 10 0.48 15 0.05 4 0.15	ANTIPOLÒ	73.1	0.22	1	13.73	949	1.96	35	1.66	143	0.43	40	8.29	7.	9.22	9	9, 29	62	9.13	328	43.94	9, 554	29.31
155 9.32 15 0.76 36 0.11 14 0.87 1,037 3.15 183 9.53 348 1.05 22 1.05 1 0.00 36 1.71 111 0.34 11 0.52 186 0.56 153 7.55 326 0.99 114 5.42 4 0.01 10 0.48 15 0.05 4 0.15	BARAS	-	0.01	Ξ	9.52	20]	0.35	3	9.14	==	0.03					Ī	0.05		0.01	53	3.28	811.1	2, 45
1,017 3.15 183 .9.65 348 1.05 22 1.05 1 0.00 36 1.71 111 0.34 11 0.52 186 0.56 159 7.55 326 0.99 114 5.42 4 0.01 10 0.48 15 0.05 4 0.15	JALA-JALA	195	9.32	151	0.76	36	0.11	=	0.87	36	0.11	-:								72	3.42	1,348	4.03
1 0.00 36 1.71 111 0.34 11 0.52 186 0.56 153 7.55 326 0.99 114 5.42 4 0.01 10 0.48 15 0.05 4 0.18	PODÓRIGUES	1	3.15	183	B. 88	348	1.08	22	1.05	88	9.30	s	6,29	32	0.13	=	0.19	38	11.70	179	22.76	7, 733	23.48
186 0.56 158 7.55 326 0.99 114 5.42 4 0.01 10 0.48 15 9.05 4 0.13	SAN MATEU	1	0.00	36	1.71	Ħ	0.34	11	0.52	32	0. 10	1	0.05	=	0.01	(3)	0.23	15	0, 05	98	4.03	2,428	7.37
4 0.01 10 0.48 15 0.05 4 0.1	TANAY	186	0.56	153	7.55	328	0.99	╂	5.42	810	1.85		9.14	50	0.02	5	0.43	31	0.03	383	18.48	10,072	30.58
	TERESA	-	0.01	101	0.48	15	9.05	+		18	0.05								1 2	85	4.04	830	2.70
Total 1,410 4.28 704 33.44 1,502 4.55 203 5.54 8	Total	1,410		704		1,502	4.58	283	9.84	94B	2.88	18	0,76	115	0.35	18	1.24	145	0.44	2, 105	2,105 100.00 32,936	32, 336	109.00

Remark: multiple choice by the householders

ANNEX I-8-4 Types of Government Services in Municipalities Related to the Marikina Watershed

Municipality		Technology/Application Agrefarery Trab.	Distribution of Seed		Livertock /	Dieperat	Marketing.	ting	Crodit Loan	Loan	Others.	3 r S.	Mousehelds	olds. niled	Sourabelds when	de vbe	Tetal Bousaholds	appoyds.
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	.%	No.	%	No.	%.	No.	%
ANTIPOLO	583	32.21	230 1	15, 47	8.6	4.75	21	1.16	17	0.39	365	20.17	384	38.01	234	9.04	1,218	47.05
BARAS	43	2.11	10	0.55							11	1.17	84	3.24	31	1.20	511	157
JALA-JALA	80	0.44	2	0.11							. 2	0.11	101	0.39	61	2.35	71	2.74
RODORIGUES	2.9	3.43	119	6.57		0.08		, t			11	0.84	1.35	7.53	293	11.55	1 181	19.08
SAN MATEO		0.17	1	0.06			-				23	1.27	25	0.97	53	2.24	83	3.21
TANAY	Ξ	0.77	1.4	0.77	12	0.65	[=	0.08			24	1.33	4.8	1.85	478	18.48	528	20.32
TERESA	S	1 2.93	80	0.44			. 2	0.11			11	13.8	9.9	2.55	16 1	0.82	82	3.17
Total	763	763 42.15	134	23,98	88	5.47	24	1.33	7	0.39	483	25.89	1,412	54.54	1,177	45.46	2,583 1	100.00

Remark:ratio is related to the households who availed

ANNEX I-8-5 Issues Identified in Municipalities Related to the Marikina Watershed

													.												ľ
	Fina	Financial	Lack of	of C. 3.		Water	Land	P, L	Peaca.	19 19 19	Low Prod.	rod.	Marketing		Transportation	tation }	Employment	ment	Others		Total	No P	Problem	Total	3,1
Humicipelity	No.	><	No.	><	×		No.	25	Š	24	No.	56	No	ک ج	No.	-×	0 2.	5-K	No.	χ No.	٥. ٪	No.	عد	No.	3 K
ANTIPOLO	503	7.15	22	0,38	492	2.00	503	85.58	8	1.28	=	0.23	162	8.33	375	5.34	415	5.92	588 3.	3.73 1,	1, 201 45, 39	.3 I	0.56	1, 218	17.05
BARAS	53	0.78		0, 01	35	3.53	48	0.68	-	10.0	7	0.03	15	0.21	33	0.47	81	0.28	.33 I B.	9. 56	38 1 3.79	17	0.55	5 115	÷.
JACA-JACA	33	0.47		3.01	3	0.53	=	0.24	=	0.01			LO	0.03	8	0.47	12	0.13	5 1 0.	0.07	56 1.16	6 15	0.58	71	2.74
RODORIGUES	348	4.97	37	0.53	8	==	32	1.07	=	0.18	ğ	1.48	156	2.12	387	5.58	132	1.88	102 1.	1.45	482 18.62	2 12	9.48	18	13.08
SAN MATEO	15	0.21	5	0,09	7.	0.48	39	0,56	-			9,04	=	0.19	1.1	0.67	15	0.21	68 8 0.	0.97	83 3.21		1 0.00	20	3,21
TANAY	220	3,13	8	1, 18	142	2.07	212	3.02	17	0.30	=	0, 15	285	4.06	432	6.15	35	0.78	37 1 6.	0.53	524 20,24		0.08	8 525	20.32
TERESA	55	0.73			12	0.38	31	10.	-	0.08	=	0, 19	=	0.24	53	0.75			18 0.	0.25	75 2.94		5 i 9.23	3 82	3.17
Total	1, 232	1, 232 17.54	153	2,18	850	850 12.10	1,025 114.59	14.59	128	1.82	150	2.14	\$15	7.33	1, 355	19, 43	849	9.24	957 13.	13.82 2,	2,520 97.33	13 53	2.67		2, 583 400.00
	-											1													

Remark: multiple choice by the householders

ANNEX I-8-6 Basis of Forest Occupancy in Municipalities Related to the Marikina Watershed

1

		ш	64	F	-		<u></u>		5
a j	Area	385 2825.88	138.92	188.87	1348 94	332.37	1448.27	200.21	2130 6458.25
Total	No.of	338	63	73	482	8]	338	88	2130
9 T.S	Area	593 1798, 46	108.55	95.78	803,50	284.38	1089.98	134.81	1330 4122.08
Others	No.of Parcel	593	21	1.7	229	71.	182	53	1330
		4.00			5.00			11.83	10 21.83
080	No.of Area Percel	3 [-	ļ			9	10
SA	Area							2.83	2.89
CFSA	No.of Percel			·		- 6	•	3.	3
tance	Area	34.54	8.82	11 30.23	58.38	1.39	151.01	1.44	146 344. 42
Inheritance of Rights	No.of Percel	52	*	11	2.8		161	1.1	148
se of	Area	384 785.50	14.19	33.81	574.08	26.38	109,91	24.80	545 1578.58
Purchase of	No.of Percel	384	er.	121	169	10	*		545
f Sale	Area	3.03			2.98		7.98	2.95	12.91
Deed o	No.of Percel	7			. 2	 -	2 {	-	7
xation	Area		2.45				4.95		7.40
Declaration	No.of Percel		-				-		2
Iitle	Area			9.93	5.36		17.84		10 34.83
Land Iitle	No.of Percel		-	F7	7	1	153		101
No Answer	Area	125.35	4.91		93.94	19.00	56. 52	21.49	137 331. 21
No A	No, of Percel	7.5	7		51	S	21	1	137
	Housesparicy	ANTIPOLO.	BARAS	JALA-JALA	RODORIGUES	SAN MATEO	TANAY	TERESA	Total

ANNEX I-9 Educational Institutions within the Marikina Watershed

Barangay/Citio:	Name of School
Pintong Bocaue	Pintong Bocaue Elementary School
Boso-Boso	Boso-Boso Elementary Schoool
n	Boso-Boso High School
Kilingan	Kilingan Elementary Schoool
San Isidro	San Isidro Elementary Schoool (Public)
<i>y</i>	San Isidro High School (Private)
San Jose	San Jose Elementary Schoool

ANNEX III-1 Criteria for Interpreting Land Use and Vegetation in Aerial Photographs

Categ	ories of Land Use and Vegetation	Mark	Criteria
:	Dipterocarp Forest Height: 35 m or more, Crown Density 71% or mor 41 - 70% 40 or less	DIB	Dominated by crowns of tree height, 35 m or higher. Slightly bright ash gray.
•	Height: 30 - 34 m, Crown Density 71% or more 41 - 70% 40 or less		Dominated by crowns of tree height, 30 to 34 m high. Slightly bright ash gray.
Forest	Height: 20 - 29 m, Crown Density 71% or more 41 - 70% 40 or less		Dominated by crowns of tree height, 20 to 29 m high. Slightly bright ash gray.
	Height: 10 m or less, Crown Density 71% or mor 41 - 70 % 40 or less	D4B	Dominated by crowns of tree height, 19 m or lower. Slightly bright ash gray.
	Mossy forest	M	Low and thick at an altitude of about 1,000 m or more. Dark gray
	Shrub, thick, crown density: 51% or more Thin, crown density: 50% or less	\$1 \$2	Tree height, about 6 m or lower. Gra
	Plantation	A	Trees with almost the same height planted in lines or seemingly almost in lines. Bright to dark colors. Mixed planting in many cases.
	Grassland	G	White to gray. Much on slopes.
	Landslide	L	Gray. Distributed on steep slopes.
-	Rocky area	R	Confirmed by field survey and on reference to topographical maps.
Non-	Orchard	0	Clearly lined. Distributed near houses and huts. Dark gray.
non- forest	Paddy	F1	Divided clearly and horizontally. Bright dark gray.
:	Dry field	F2	Clearly divided. More whitish than paddy. Distributed in flats and on gentle slopes.
	Yillage	71	Confirmed by field survey and on reference to topographical maps.
	Facilities	¥2	
	Road	Р	
	Lake/swamp	W	
	River system	St	

III-2 Area according to Criteria for Interpreting Land Use and Vegetation

LAND	א דיז רין אי
	AREA
CLASSIFICATION	(ha)
DlA	0.0
D1B	2,434.2
D1C	0.0
D2A	3,272.5
D2B	369.1
D2C	0.0
D3A	132.6
D3B	466.1
D3C	125.9
RD2B	127.0
RD2C	45.9
RD3A	17.6
RD3B	344.7
RD3C	713.9
М	239.5
Sl	3,320.0
S1 (O)	360.4
S2	1,392.7
A	2,016.2
G	6,567.8
Ļ	11.9
R	38.3
0	595.2
F1	655.8
F2	236.3
Vl	38.0
V2	14.8
P	0.0
W	1.3
st.	26.3
SUB TOTAL	23,564.0
<u> </u>	
Private Land	4,845.7
TOTAL	28,409.7

PART II

ANNEX IV-1 Yield Prediction Table

(1) Gmelina arborea

AGE	AVE.	V	ANNUAL	MEAN	AGE	AVE.	V	ANNUAL	MEAN
	нетсна	n/ha	INCRE.	INCRE.		HEIGHT	n/ha	INCRE.	INCRE.
2	2.49				14	17.82	83.61	3.80	5.97
3	7.18	8.13	·	2.71	15	18.00	87.10	3.49	5.80
4	10.23	19.15	11.02	4.79	16	18.16	90.33	3.23	5.64
. 5	12.20	29.64	10.49	5.92	17	18.30	93.33	3.00	5.49
6	13.57	38.94	9.30	6.49	18	18.43	96.13	2.80	5.34
7	14.56	47.06	8.12	6.72	19	18:54	98.75	2.62	5.20
8	15.91	54.19	7.13	6.77	20	18.65	101.21	2.46	5.06
9	16.38	60.48	6.29	6.72	21	18.74	103.53	2.32	4.93
.10	16.77	66.00	5.52	6.60	22	18.83	105.72	2.21	4.80
11	17.10	71.12	5.12	6.47	23	18.91	107.79	2.07	4.69
12	17.37	75.67	4.55	6.31	24	18.98	109.75	1.96	4.57
13	17.61	79.81	4.14	6.14	25	19.05	111.62	1.87	4.46

Source "STUDY REPORT" of GERARDO CABREROS

Site Index 18m Spacing 2×3

(2) Swietenia macrophylla

AGE	AVE.	Y	ANNUAL	MEAN	AGE	AVE.	V	ANNUAL	MEAN
	HEIGHT	ď∕ha	INCRE.	INCRE.		HEIGHT	ո/ha	INCRE.	INCRE.
15	11.8	21.3		1.42	36		223.9	10.5	6.22
16		27.4	6.1	1.71	37		234.3	10.4	6.33
17		34.2	6.8	2.01	38		244.6	10.3	6.44
18	İ	41.7	7.5	2.32	39		254.7	10.1	6.53
19		49.8	8.1	2.62	40	25.0	264.5	10.1	6.61
20	14.7	58.4	8.6	2.92	41	20.0	274.5	10.0	6.70
21	''''	67.4	9.0	3.20	42		284.6	9.8	6.78
22	·	76.8	9.4	3.49	43		294.3	9.7	6.84
23		86.6	9.8	3.76	44		303.8	9.5	6.90
24	i i	96.6	10.0	4.03	45	27.4	313.3	9.5	6.96
	,, ,					61.4		9.2	7.01
25	17.4	106.9	10.3	4.28	46		322.5		
26	1.	117.3	10.4	4.51	47		331.7	9.2	7.06
27		127.8	10.5	4.73	48		340.7	9.0	7.10
28		138.5	10.7	4.95	49		349.6	8.9	7.13
29]	149.2	10.7	5.14	50	29.7	358.3	8.7	7.17
30	20.1	160.0	10.8	5.33	51		366.9	8.6	7.19
31		170.7	10.7	5.51	52		375.4	8.5	7.22
32		181.4	10.7	5.67	53		383.7	8.3	7.24
33		192.1	10.7	5.82	54		391.9	8.2	7.26
34		202.8	10.7	5.96	55	31.9	400.0	8.1	7.27
35	22.6	213.4	10.6	6.10					

"A YIELD PREDICTION MODEL FOR Swietenia macrophylla King Source PLANTATION" of

> ADOLFO V. REVILLA JR. . MARCELO BONITA and LEONIDA L. DIMAPILIS Site Index 25m

(3) Gmelina arborea

(Stand V. and Saw Timber V.)

AGE	V	Saw T.	%	AGE	γ	Saw T.	%
	n/ha	nd∕ha			nd∕ha.	nd∕h a	
2	1.02			14	80.66	24.22	30.0
3	7.52			15	84.09	26.82	31.9
4	16.49	,		16	87.20	29.35	33.7
5	25.93	1.15	4.4	17	90.04	31.82	35.3
6	34.90	3.09	8.9	18	92.65	34.23	36.9
7	43.09	5.41	12.6	19	95.04	36.53	38.4
8	50.04	7.96	15.9	20	97.24	38.86	40.0
9	56.98	10.64	18.7	21	99.28	41.00	41.3
10	62.82	13.33	21.2	22	101.17	43.25	42.7
11	68.03	16.16	23.8	23	102.93	45.35	44.0
12	72.69	18.37	25.3	24	104.57	47.42	45.3
13	76.88	21.57	28.1	25	106.10	49.42	46.6

From "STUDY REPORT" of GERARDO CABREROS

Site Index 18m,

ANNEX IV-2 Transition Table of Planting and Harvesting

1 +000	Cub itone		, acou	Voor		, Voor	2007. 3	S Voes	7	o Vosa	o Vosa	10 1000	13 Voor
1 65.83	ישמי זיים	•	. 3001	1001 7	3 3 6 6 1	י זבמו	3003	o tear	, 3cat	: 1221 0	1021 0	100001	100111
(Afforestation)	(Afforestation) (Plannting Sp. Area:ha)	Area:ha)	••••	•••	•••	••••		•••					
R.D. I. F.A.	M/S G.Wild.	63	••••	••••	••••					••••			7
S. &Sh. (Shrub 1)		661	33	33	33	ဗ္ဗ	33	es es	33	33	33	33	33
S.H.S.A.	Mixed	1,553	35	တ္ထ	පි	92	95	88	95	ន	ဗ္ဗ	45	88
Sh. H. S. A.	F.G. +M/S G.	992	64	64	64	64	32	64		54	94	32	91
	M/S G.	1,985	128	128	128	128	64	128	128	128	128	63	120
	SUB TOTAL	5,254	320	320	320	320	224	320	320	320	320	173	310
(Reforestation)						•••				•••			
Sh. H. S. A.	F. G. +M/S G.	Exiting			•	•	32	÷				49	
	M/S G.	Plant.			•••		64			•••		98	
	SUB TOTAL	778	••••		•••	•••	96		****	•••		147	
Total		6,032	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	310
	Stocked Plantation	ation	1,098	1,418	1,738	2,058	2, 282	2,602	2,922	3,242	3,562	3,735	4,045
Harvesting	Harvesting Area	ea	•••		•••	96	•••					147	
	Harvesting Volume(d	lume(d)				8,716			•••	**		: 15,878	

Items	Sub items	12 year	13 Year	14 year	15 Year	16 year	17 Year	18 year	19 Year	20 Year	21 year	22 Year
(Afforestation)	Afforestation) (Plannting Sp. Area:ha)			•••	•••				•••			:
D.R. I.F. A.	M/S G.Wild.	7	<i>C</i>	φ	တ	W	φ	9	9	G	••••	48 + GA
S. &Sh. (Shrub 1)	Fast G	33		33	15	33	20	33	57	-		
S. H. S. A.	Mixed	88	 හ	86		83	70	62	85			
Sh. H. S. A.	F.G.+M/S G.	61	,	200	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	52	44	47	24	7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	L	9
	M/S G.	120	114	116		105	08	92	48		7	12
	Sub total	310	300	300	21	280	260	240	220	11	7	18
(Reforestation)							•••					
S. &Sh. (Shrub 1) Fast G.		- 44	:			•••	****	:			33	င္လ
S.H.S.A.	Planting)				**************************************		******				5	91
Sh. H. S. A.					22	22.00	4 2 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		55	82	61
	M/S G.				172					148		
	Sub total				259					203	208	185
Total		310	300	300	280	280	260	240	220	260	210	203
	Stocked Plantation	4,355	4,655	4,955	4,976	5,256	5,516	5,756	5,876	5,993	5,997	6,015
Harvesting	Harvesting Area			••••	259					203	206	185
	Harvesting Volume(d)				27,734			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		22, 242	20,849	18,724

(continued)	Items	(Afforestation) (Plannting Sp. Area:ha)	Sh. H. S. A.		S	(Reforestation)	S. &Sh. (Shrub 1) Fast G.	S.H.S.A. W			S	Total	S	Harvesting	На
	Sub items	annting Sp. Area:ha)	F. G. +M/S G.	M/S G.	Sub total			63	F. G. +M/S G.	M/S G.	Sub total		Stocked Plantation	Harvesting Area	Harvesting Volume(点)
	23 year		'n	12	17		33	81	. 29		191	208	6,032	191	19,331
	24 Year		-:				33	91	98			220	6,032	220	22,266
	· 25 year						33	91	116		***	240	6,032	240	24,863
	26 Year		•••				33	91	134			258	6,032	258	26,664
	27 year						88	91	136			260	6,032	260	26,315
	28 Year						33	91	135			260	6,032	260	26,315
	29 year	.,					33	91	138			262	6,032	262	26,517
	30 Year					•••	99	43	154			253	6,032	263	26,618
	31 Year					***	33	86	119		•	238	8,032	238	24,088
	32 year						33	86	115			235	6,032	235	23,784
	33 Year	:					33	9g 8	116			235	6,032	235	23,784

40 year		?	91	82	6.1	318	6,032	318	26,517
		7 7					Ì		
39 Year		***		226		237	6,032	237	23,98
38 year		10	82	79		218	6,032	218	22,064
37 Year		22	80	88		211	6,032	211	21,355
36 year		. 00	67	100		217	6,032	217	21,963
35 Year		22	09	130	••••	223	6,032	223	22,569
34 year		. cT	83	138		236	6,032	236	23,886
Sub items	(Plannting Sp. Area:ha)	rast o.	Wild (Under Planting)	F. G. +M/S G.	M/S G.		Stocked Plantation	Harvesting Area	Harvesting Volume(重)
Items	(Reforestation)	S. &Sn. (Snrub 1)	S.H.S.A.	Sh. H. S. A.		Total		Harvesting	

D.R.I.F.A. :Dipterocarp Residual Forest Area S.H.S.A. :Selective Harvest System Area Sh.H.S.A. :Shifting Haervest System Area S. &Sh. (Shrub 1) :Shrub 1 in area of S.H.S.A. & Sh.H.S.A. M/S G.& Wild. :Medium & Slow Growth species ,Wildlings Fast G.or F.G. :Fast Growth Species Middings Mixed ::M/S & F.G.

Harvesting Volume is derived from "Yield prediction table"

ANNEX IV-3 Partial List of Proposed Planting Tree Species

		ਹਿੱ	Growth]	Rate	S	Shade Conditions	dition	SI	 		Planting	g Method	ođ	
Scientific Name	Common Name								Deep	Pot-	Bare	Wild-	Direct	
		Fast	Med.	Slow	Open	Light	Med.	Heavy	Rooted	d ted	root	ling	seeding	Cutting
				_										n mangania mentin
Acacia auriculiformis	Auri	0			0	0			0	0	0	÷		
A. mangium	Mangium	0	-		0	0	· ·		0	0				
Anisoptera thurifera	Palosapis			0	_	0	0	0	0	0		0		
Anthocephalus chinensis	Kaatoan Bangkal	0			0	0	0			0				
Artocarpus spp.	Antipolo		0		0	0	0			0	0	0	0	
Cannarium ovatum	Pili			0	0	0	0	0		0				
Calliandra confusa	Calliandra	0			0	0			0				0	0
Cassia spectabilis	Anchoan Dilaw		0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Casuarina spps.	Agono		0		0	0			0	0		-		 -
Dipterocarpus grandiflorus	Apitong			0			0	0		0		0	0	
Gliricidia sepium	Kakawate	0			0	0			0		0			0
Gmelina arborea	Gemelina	0			0	0			0		0		0	****
Intsia bijuga	Ipil			0		0	0			0		0	0	
Leucaena spp.	Ipil-ipil	0			0	0			0		0	0	0	
Paraserianthes falcataria	Falcata	0			0	0	0		0	0	· 			
Parashorea plicata	Bagtikan		0			0	0	0	0	0		0		
Pentacme contorta	White Lauan		0				0	*:		Ö	•			-6 \$ 4-14
Pterocarpus indicus	Narra		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Samanea saman	Acacia		0		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Swietenia macrophylla	Mahogany		0			0	0	0		0	0	0	O -	
								_	_	_				

PART III

ANNEX II-1 Unit Costs of Plantation Establishment
(1) Mix Planting of Fast Growth Species(2x4) and Medium Growth Species(2x4)
at SELECTIVE HARVEST SYSTEM AREA

(Unit per ha)	Kemarks	stimates		DENR RA Patimateskings hike rate					.d 2,500Xdlog/20=27,8 m.d			·	2,500tree/150=16,7 m·d	5	240 tree/a.d Z,500tree/240=10,4 m.d	ויי עריוות מ	imates			ting	imates	0.112p/tree/qtrx2500x1qtr			stimates		stimates				
	cost		360 DENR-R4	192 DFN9 R4 Fer				·	2,836 80 holes/m·d	-		1,703 Ring Weed, c			2,122 240 tree/m·d		700 DENR R4 Estimates	875	4/8	225 26% of Planting			560 280×2qtr	560 280×2qtr	<u>.</u>	- 120	DENR NFDO Estimates	1,166	42	10, 1	S 224
	200 e			.0					102			102 1,		·	102					102				-		er	-			1	
	Periormance: man-day				••••		89	တ ရ	27.8			16.7		10.4	••••	••••	•••	••••		2.2		••••	····			••••		••••			
	Unit		150p	021	1.18				:			<u>-</u> -					•									:					
	Unit of		 89 .	Kg Almo	Sding		*****	Stake	Hole		i E	D		••••		••••	••*••	••••		Tree		••••	••••			•••••		*****			
ores outo	Quantity		M.G 2.4	M.C. 1.5	F.G 1650		•••••	2500	2500				••••	••••	****	••••		*****		200	},		****	•••		•••••					
	Activities	SURVEY, MAPPING & PLANNING	Seed Procurement	Sociling	Production			Staking	Holing Planting	0	Maintenance	lst Y. lst		lst Y. 2nd	2nd Y. Ztimes	Fertilizer	lst Y.	2nd Y.	Srd Y.	2nd Y.	Patrol work	1st Y.	2nd Y.	3rd Y.		7 1SI NO	1		2nd Y.	ord I.	
•	Itemes	SURVEY, MAPE	NURSARY	OFERALIUN		PLANTATION ECTABLISH	MENT														PROTECTION				COMMUNITY	UKGANIZALIUN	ADMINIST-	RATIVE COST			

Figures are based on N F P CONTRACT REFORESTATION COST ESTIMATES and DENR staffs' experience. Daily wage: 102 pesos(91X1.12=102) = Minimum wage

(2) Under Planting after harvest of Fast Growth Species at SELECTIVE HARVEST SYSTEM AREA

Itemes	Activities	Quantity	Unit of measure	Unit price	Pperformance: man.day	wage /m·d	Cost	Renarks
SURVEY, MAP.	SURVEY, MAPPING & PLANNING						675	DENR-NFD0 Estimates
NURSARY	Seedling	-P11M		,				
OPERATION	Production	ling 1650	Sdlng	2.8			4,620	DENR R4 Estimates
PLANTATION FSTABL I SH-	Site Prparation Strip Brushing		••••		8	102	847	DENR R4 Estimates
MENT	Holing	1250	Hole			102	1.418	90 holes/m.d 1,250holes/90=13,9 m.d
	Planting	1250	Sdlng		5.6	102	571	
	Maintenance	i i	(••••		•	
	Weeding	0621	iree		•			
	Znd Y.				 	707	140	ت
	3rd V.		•••••		2,	102	230	150 tree/mrd 1, 250tree/150=5.5 mrd Ring Weed/circular brushing
	;				·····		•	240 tree/m·d 1,250tree/240=5,2 m·d
	Fertilizer		**-*		••••			
	2nd Y.		••••				700	DENR R4 Estimates
	3rd Y.		***				875	
	Replanting	· ·					:	
	znd i.	. 002	lree		1.1	707	711	ZV& OI Flanting
PROTECTION	Patrol work							DENR R4 Estimates
	lst V.		••••				140	0.112p/tree/qtrx1250xiqtr
	2nd Y.	<u> </u>			****		280	280x2qtr
	3rd Y.				•••		280	
COMMONITY	i				•••			DENR NFDO Estimates
ORGANIZAT JON				-			750	
	2nd Y.		••••				3,100	
ADMINIST-								DENR NFDO Estimates
RATIVE COST	I lst Y.				••••		1,166	
	2nd Y.		••••		••••		42	
	3rd Y.			`			167	
	lst Y.		••••	.:	••••		10,187	-
Total	2nd Y.				••••		5,061	
	3rd Y.						1,352	

(3) Mix Planting of Fast Growth Species (2x4) and Medium Growth Species (4x4) at SHIFING HARVESI SYSTEM AREAI&I

					•			(Unit per ha)	er ha)
Itemes	Activities	Quantity	Unit of	Unit	Performance man-day	Wage	Cost	Remarks	
URVEY, MAPP	SURVEY, MAPPING & PLANNING				,		675	DENR-NFDO COST Estimates	
NURSARY	Seed Procurement	M.G 1.2	88	150			180	DENR-R4	
OPERATION	Specification	F.G.1.6	74 S	120			192	DRNR RA Retimates visade hike rate	, marca-12.
	Production	F. G 1650	Sdlng	1 18			1,848		
PLANTATION									
ESTABLISH-	Site Prparation		****						
MENT	Strip Brushing				80	102	847		***
, 1	Staking	1875	Stake		6.3	102	643		
	Holing	1875	Hole		20.8	102	2,122		-
	Planting	1875	SdIng		8.3	102	847	225 Sdlng/m·d 1,875Sdlng/225=8.3 m·d	e la
	Maintenance						:		
	Weeding	1875	Tree				•		
•	1st Y.1st		••••	:	12.5	102	1,275		-
								150 tree/m·d 1,875tree/150=12,5 m·d	
-	lst Y. 2nd		.,		7.8	102	196		
	2nd Y.2times					102	1.592	240 tree/a.d 1.875tree/240=7.8 m.d	
7.7.	3rd Y.2times					102	1,582	*	
-	Fertilizer								
, en en e _e ,	1st Y.						525	DENR R4 Estimates	
-	2nd V.						929		****
	3rd Y,						656		
	Replannting								
	2nd Y.	375	Tree		1.7	102	173	20% of Planting	
PROTECTION	Patrol work							DENR R4 Estimates	
	1st Y.						210	0.112p/tree/qtrx1875x1qtr	
	2nd V.	. •••					420	210x2qtr	
	3rd Y.						420	210x2qtr	
COMMONITY								DENR NFDO Estimates	
ORGANIZATI (PA	N 1st Y.						750		
	2nd Y.		••••				3,100		-
ADMINIST-								DENR NFDO Estimates	
RATIVE COST	1st Y.						1,166		
	2nd Y.						42		
	3rd Y.	-1					167		
	lst Y.						13, 371		
Total	2nd Y.						5,983		
	3rd Y.						2,832		

(4) Fast Growth Species(2x3) at SHIFTING HARVEST SYSTEM AREA MAI

Quantity
measure ; price
Kg 150 Sding 1.18
na
Stake
Sdlnz
9 41
9 4 1
-
••••

(5) Fast Growth Species(1X1)at FIRE TREE BELT

			1		***************************************	-		(5); 10d 2100)
Itemes	Activities	Quantity	Unit of ;	Unit	Performance	Mage	Cost	Reparks
			measure	price	man-day			
SURVEY, MAPPI	SURVEY, MAPPING & PLANNING						675	DENR-NFDO COST Estimates
NURSARY	Seed Procurement	12.8	Жg	120			1,536	DENR-R4 EstimatesxWage hike rate
OPERATION	Seedling	10,000	Sdlng	1.18			11,800	
	Production	•••		_	~-• ·			
ESTABLISH-	Site Prparation		••••					
MENT	Clear Brushing		ha		16.4	102	1,680	DENR EstimatesxWage hike rate
	Holing	10,000	Sdlng		111,1	102	11,332	90 Sdlng/m·d 10,000Sdlng/90=111.1 m·d
	Planting	10,000	Sdlng		44.4	102	4,528	225 Sdlng/m.d 10,000Sdlng/225=44.4 m.d
	Replannting							
	2nd Y.	2,000	Tree		8.0	102	808	20% of Planting
COMMUNITY			••••					DENR NFDO Estimates
ORGANIZATION			•••				750	
	2nd Y.			•			3,100	
ADMINIST-								DENR NFDO Estimates
RATIVE COST	lst V.						1,166	
	2nd Y.		•••				42	
	3rd Y.						167	
	lst Y.		•••				33,468	
Total	2nd Y.				:		4,050	
	3rd Y.				- 1-		167	

(6) Enrichment Planting(2x2) at DIPTEROCARP RESIDUAL FOREST IMPROVEMENT AREA

ı	<u> </u>		Γ	1	T							e-re-a	ļ				<u> </u>	· · · · ·	-0-
(Unit per ha)														-					
	Remarks		DENR-NFDO Estimates	DENR-R4 Estimates		DENR EstimatesXwage hike rate	225 Sdlng/m·d 2,500Sdlng/225=11,1 m·d		20% of Planting	DENR NFDO Estimates			DENR NFDO Estimates						
	Cost		675	9,240		847	1,132	į	224		750	3,100		1,166	42	167	13,810	3,366	167
	: Wage					102	102		102			•••		•••		•••			
	Performance	man-day				8,3	11.1		2.2							٠			
	Unit .	price		2,8											.,				
	Unit of	теаѕиге		Sdlng		ha	Sdlrg		Tree										
	Quantity			3,300			2,500		200:					•••	••••	••••		•••	
	Activities		SURVEY, MAPPING & PLANNING	Wildling	Site Prparation	Strip Brushing	Planting	Replannting	Znd Y.			2nd Y.		Ist V.	2nd Y	3rd Y.	lst Y.	2nd Y.	3rd Y.
	Itens		SURVEY, MAPPI	NURSARY OPERATION	ENRICHMENT			:	Ì	COMMUNITY	ORGANIZATION		ADMINIST-	RATIVE COST				TOTAL	

276	(7) Forest Stand Improvement at DIPTEROCARP RESIDUA	ent at DIPTEROCA.	RP RESIDU.	AL FOREST	IMPROVEMENT AREA			(Unit per ha)
Itens	Activities	Quantity	Unit of	Unit	Performance	Wage	Cost	Remarks
			measure	price	man day	-		
LIBERATION	BERATION & REFINING				5.0	102	510	

ANNEX II-2 Unit Costs of Felling and Hauling

(1) Sawlog

(Pesos per m)

Operation	Performance	Unit	Wage	Cost	Remarks
Felling	0.66	man•day	102	67	
Topping &					
De-limbing	0.2	ditto	102	20	
Handsawing into boards & Flitches	5.0	ditto	102	510	Conversion rate 250bd.ft./d 250bd.ft.recovery per d /50bd.ft.per man·day
Skidding to roadside	2.5	man•an- imalday	160	400	100bd.ft.(=0.4d)/trip 1trip/man·animal day
Total				997	

(2) Fuelwood

(Pesos per 🖒

Operation	Performance	Unit	Wage	Cost	Remarks
Bucking, Spilitting & bundling	1.5	man•day	102	153	60bundles/m² 40bundles/m·d
Houling to	1.22	man•an-	160	195	25 bundles (=0.41 d)/trip
roadside		imalday			2trip/man·animal day
Tying				15	0.25p/bundlex60bundle/d
materials					
Total				363	

ANNEX II-3 Total Costs of Forest Road Improvement Work

Amount in Pesos

Item	Quantity	Unit Cost	Amount	Ist Year	2nd Year	3rd year
Subgrade improvement Surfacing Side. ditch Cross ditch Overhead	11 km 5 km	156,270 405,600 9,630 37	156,270 4,461,600 48,150 11,840 1,403,360	1,487,200 48,150 11,840	1,487,200	1,487,200 446,160
Total			6,081,220	2,214,500	1,933,360	1,933,360

Note: Cross ditch 4 km: 1 ditch/25 m; 8 km: 1 ditch/50 m

(1) Unit Costs of Subgrade Improvement Work

per km

Item	Dimension	Quantity	Unit Cost	Amount	Remarks
Cutting Banking Grading Compaction	1m x 3m x 1000m 3.1m x 1000m 4m x 1000m	3000 m ³ 3000 m ³ 3100 m ³ 4000 m ³	19.4 5.7	58,200 17,670	2.0 men/day/10m ³ 102p/man/day 1.9 men/day/10m ³ 5.6 men/day/100m ³ Bulldozer 294m ³ /h Charter 1400p/h
Total				156,270	

Note: Bulldozer's work per hour: A = V x W x E x 1/N = 294 m³/h

where A: m³/h, V:compaction speed 3500 m/h,

W: compaction 0.7 m once, E:work efficiency 0.6,

N: frequency of compaction 5 times

(2) Unit Costs of Surfacing Work

per km

Item	Dimension	Quantity	Unit Cost	Amount	Remarks
Surfacing Gravel	4m x 1000m m ³ /m x1000m	4000 m ³			Grader 990m²/h Charter 1400p/h
Total				405,600	

Note: V: surfacing speed 1800 m/h, W:blade width 3 m, E: work efficiency 0.55, N: frequency of surfacing 3 times

(3) Unit Costs of Side Ditch Construction Work

per km

Item	Dimension	Quantity	Unit Cost	Amount	Remarks
Side ditch construction	1m x 0.5m x 0.3m x 1000m	225 m ³	42.8	9,630	4.2 men/day/10m ³
Total				9,630	

Unit Costs of Cross Ditch Construction Work

per site

Item	Dimension	Quantity	Unit Cost	Amount	Remarks
Digging	0.3m x 0.2m x 4m	0.10 man	102	10	4.2 men/day/10m ³
Wood fitting	0.05m x 4m	6 pieces	4.5	27	incl. material & labor costs
Total				37	

ANNEX II-4 Unit Costs of Foot Paths Construction Work and Maintenance

per km

Item	Quantity (men)		Amount	Remarks
Trail construction	54.95	102	673	18.2 m/man/day
Forest road Maintenance	6.6	102		3km/man/day, 20 times/y
Trail maintenance	2.4	102		5km/man/day, 12 times/y

ANNEX II-5 Unit Costs of Small Water Impoundment Construction Work

per site

Item	Feature	Quantity	Unit Cost	Amount	
Excavation	10 x 10 x 0.5	50 m ³	51p	2,550p	2m³/man/day,102p/man/day
Removal & compaction		50	51p	2,550p	
Sowing	80 m3	0.5 kg	80p	40p	incl. Centrosema seed & labor costs
Water gate construc- tion	7 sandbags x 3 lines Exit/entrance on both sides	42 bags	4.9p	206p	packing, laying, 21 bags/man/day
	Material	42 bags	6р	252p	sandbag cost
Total	-			5,598p	

ANNEX II-6 Costs of Other Facilities and Materials

Amount in Pesos

Item	Feature	Q'ty	Unit Cost	Amount	Remarks
Nursery	Potting house 25m ²	11	12,500	137,500	Other facility costs are included in seedling cost
Lookout tower	6 m high	6	10,152	60,912	Timber cost 1,350p/m Other material costs 990p/m Wages 252p/m Total 1,692p/m 10,152p per tower
Fire- fighting equipment	bolos, etc.	16 sets	4,250	68,000	15 bolos, 5 spades, 5 pick mattocks 4,250p per set
Radio system	Key station Transceiver		13,000 9,200		
Total				334,612	

ANNEX II-7 Costs of Forest Watcher Scheme

Amount in Pesos

Item	Person	Unit Cost	Amount	Remarks
Watcher	6	5,000/y	30,000	Scope of work: Unscheduled watch on forests in specified districts. (Report to PENR about growth, diseases, damage by insects, and illegal cutting.) Annual renewal of contract.

(1) Performance

per ha

Items	Unit	Units per ha	Base of computation
Delination			
Surveyor	m.d.	1	Tha accomplishment per team per day with one
Labor	н	6	6 men team: 1 ha accomplishment per day
Materials	pc.	20	Average of 20 hardatakes or others
Issuance			
A/S	m.d.	1	Administrative Staff
T/S	61	1	Technical staff

(2) Unit cost per hectare

Items	Unit	Units	Daily Rate	Cost per ha
		per ha	(P)	(P)
Delination				
Surveyor	m.d.	1	250	250
Labor	11	6	102	612
Materials	pc.	20	10	200
Issuance				
A/S	m.d.	1	200	200
T/S	u .	1	200	200
Total		·		1,462

Cost share: Gov't P 1,462 Comm. 0

ANNEX II-9 Unit Costs of Infrastructure -- SOCIAL FORESTRY PROGRAMME-

COMPUTATION		Community Forest	forestry Farm					: 600sq.mx1/100sq.m/MD=6MD	2. Canal construction (500m): WidthO. 5mxDepthO. 5mx500=125cu.m	125×1/2. 5cu. m/MD (Excavation) = 50MD	onstruction 50MD, Material P5,000	000=P15,812					;X3X4m)			
	mension: Width-1.0m,	st: P 5,600/km for 39km of Commun	st: P63,000/km for 80km of Agroforestry Farm	Average: P44,188/km	.mension: 100sq.m(10x10m)	ost: 1008g.mXP560/sq.m= <u>P56,000</u>	mension: 600sq.m(20mx30m)	Cost: 1. Land preparation(600sq.m): 600sq.mX1/100sq.m/MD=6MD	2. Canal construction (500m): 1		3. Storage (20sq. m-5mx4m): Construction 50MD,	al Cost: (6+50+50)MDXP102+P5,000=P15,812		t: 1. Labor cost: P8, 400	: 2. Material: P19,600	Total Cost: P28,000	<pre>imension of Water Tank: 24cu.m(2x3x4m)</pre>	Cost: 1. Labor cost: P19, 890	2. Material: P30, 564	3. Contingency: P5, 045(1, +2.) x10%
COST	44,188 Din	Cos	Cos	Ave	56,000 Din	 	15,812 Din	S S S S				Total		28,000 Cost:		Tot	55,499 Din	ပိ 		
TIND	Km.				No.		No.							No.			No.			
ITEM	Trail				Multi-purpose Building		Small-scale Nursery					2	Potable Water Facility	- Artesian Well			- SMIS			

ITEM	TIND	COST	COMPUTATION
5. Drainage	E	113	Dimension: Width-1m, Depth-0.5m, Length-991m
			Cost: 1. Excavation: Volume-1mx0. 5mx991mx1/3=165cu. m
			165cu. mX1/2cu. m/MD=82.5MD
•			82. 5MD×1/990m=0.08MD/m
			2. Preparation of cogon band: Collection:10sheaf/MD(1sheaf=10bands)
			10sheafX10bands=100bands
			100bandsx1/15bands/m=6.6m
			6. 6mx1/2rows=3. 3m/MD=0. 3MD/m
			: Binding:50bands/MD
			50bandsx1/15bands/m=3.3m/MD
			3. $3m/MD = 0.3MD/m$
			3. Installment of cogon band: 10m/3MD=3.3m/MD=0.3MD/m
			4. Collection of pile: Dimension: Diameter-5-7cm, length-80cm
			990m×1/0.3m×2rows=6,600piles
			6, 600×1/50piles/MD=132MD, 132MD×1/990m=0.13MD/m
			Total cost: (0.08+0.3+0.3+0.3+0.13) MDXP102=1:11MDXP102=P113.22=P113
6. Demonstration Farm	No.	4,776	Dimension: 1,000sq.m (50mx20m)
			Cost: 1. Land preparation:10mx5m=50sq.m/MD
			1,000×1/50=20MD
		:	2. Drainage: 20m×8rows=160m, 160m×1/20m/MD=8MD
			3. Soil conservation measurement: 20mx8rowsxP12/Li. m=P1, 920
			(Hedgerow plantation)
			Total cost: (20+8) MDxP102+P1, 920=P4, 776

ANNEX II-10 Unit Costs of Agroforestry

(1) Derived from Basic Assumptions and Performance Standards

₹	plans (i.e. farm development plans) will not be standardized because each farmer will have his/her own preferences and also because each farmer will have his/her own preferences and also because terrain and soil conditions will vary from farm to farm. However, for computing estimated costs and benefits, also because terrain article five percent (35%) of the land area of each farm will be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to food crops and that the general land has also feed to be planted to feed the planted to feed	scause each farmer will I rm. However, for comp each farm will be planter	uting estimated of to food crops a	costs and benefits, and that the general	
	INIT OS PIGUISOS CALTURAÇÃOS OS AGROCOASTOS JAIN WILLDE INOTE	Number of plants/trees	Area (sq.m.)	Percent of land area (%)	<u> </u>
	Food Crops: (a) Grain crops such as com and/or upland rice (b) Root crops such as camotes and cassava (c) Vegetables such as beans, tomato, squash, pechay, etc.	(variable) (variable) (variable)	2,000 1,000 5,000	20 10 8 % % 8 %	
	sub-total Others (i.e. Permanent Crops): (d) Mango trees at 10 m. x 20 m. spacing [approximately 200 square meters per tree]	10	2,000	\$ \$ 50 ?	
	(e) Jackfruit trees at 5 m. X / m. spacing [approximately 35 square meters per tree]	. 50	200	8 ^	
	(f) idmarma (sampaloc) trees at 10 m. X 10 m. spacing [approximately 100 square meters per tree]	10	1,000	10 %	
	(g) Little at 4 m. A.5 m. spacing [approximately 20 square meters per tree] (h) Evelund frees at 2 m. X.2 m. smains	. 20	1,000	% O1	
	[approximately 4 square meters per tree]	200	900	%	
	(i) barmood cuming pranted on the boundary lines (perimeter) [approximately 50 square meters per clump] sub-total	20	1,000	10 %	
÷	TOTAL		10,000	% 001	
A2	In the areas planted to grain, root crops and vegetables (about 3,500 sq. m.), erosion control hedgerows will be planted on the comours for soil and water conservation using the Sloping Agricultural Land Technology (SALT) system. The hedgerows will consist of five (5) double lines (i.e. 2 lines) of permanent plants such as Kakawate and Ipi-lipi planted at a distance of fifty centimeters (50 cm.) between the lines and twenty centimeters (20 cm.) in the line (i.e. distance between plants). Thus each hedgerow will contain about 1,000 plants and the five (5) hedgerows will comprise about 5,000 plants.	3,500 sq. m.), erosion of a factural Land Techno clarts such as Kakawate veters (20 cm.) in the lin e (5) hedgerows will con e (5)	control hedgerow slogy (SALT) sys and Ipil-ipil plant he (i.e. distance b mprise about 5,0	rs will be planted on tem. The redgerows ted at a distance of retween plants).	
	(100 meters long X 5 plants per meter at 20 cm. spacing X 2 lines) (1,000 plants per hedgerow X 5 hedgerows)	lines)	= 1,000 plants = 5,000 plants	ស	:
A3	Covercrop species will be planted on the areas devoted to fruit trees in order to prevent erosion, conserve moisture and improve fertility. These covercrop species shall consist of perennial legumes such as Centro (Centrosema pubescens), Siratro (Macroptilium Atropurpureum), Kudzu (Pueraria javanica), Calopo (Calopogonium spp.), Desmodium spp., and others. (Average approximate number of covercrop planting spots)	trees in order to prevent berennial legumes such a ca), Calopo (Calopogonia rtring spots)	as Centro (Centros un spp.), Desmodi	ve moisture osema pubescens), xdium spp. ha	
100	DAILY WAGE FOR FARM LABOR		* 102 pesos	8	

(2) Summary of Cost Estimates 1 - Agroforestry Farm Development.
(One Hectara)
(Costs in Pesos)

			Year i			Year 2			Year 3	-	¥r.4	Yr.5	77.6	Yr.7	Yr.8	۲۲.9) <u> </u>	TOTAL	
			Amt.			Amt.			Amt		Amt.	Amt.	Amt	Amt.	Amt.	Amt.	COST SHARE	HARE	
	ttem	(Com.)	Com.) (Gov.)	_	٦	(?^05)		10	(Gov.)	_	(Com.)			(Com.)	(Com.)	(Com.)	_	Gov.	Totai
		<u>@</u>	(P)	9	Œ	(P)	(P)	(<u>6</u>	((P)	()	(P)	3	<u>(</u>	(<u>a</u>)	3	(a)	<u>@</u>	<u>(a)</u>
1.0 SEEDLI	1.0 SEEDLING PRODUCTION AND																		
PROCU	PROCUREMENT OF SEEDS	961	867	1,828	1,828 1,276	510	1,786	273	50	323					1			1,427	1,427
2.0 SITE PREPARATION	REPARATION				3,857	63	3,920										3,857	63	3,920
3.0 PLANTING	SNL				1,964	80	2,044						:				1,964	8	2,044
4.0 REPLANTING	NTING							332		332							332		332
5.0 MAINTENANCE	ENANCE				9,445		9,445	8,486		8,486	8,129	8,129 7,997	2,966	7,966	966, 7,966, 7,905, 7,905, 8,505	7,905	65,799	Ę	65,799
	Yearly total	961	86	1.878	7 1.828 16.542		653 17.195 9.091	160.6	95	9.141	8.129	50 9.141 8.129 7.997	7.966	7.966	7.905	7.905	7.966 7.966 7.905 7.462 1.570 76.032	1.570 7	6.032

(3) Summary of Cost Estimates 2 - Agroforestry Farm Development (Base Costs - One Hectare) (Costs in Pesos)

			Yr.1			Year 2			Year 3		¥.	Yr.5	<u>۷۲</u> و	<u>/</u> ''	۲. 8	Yr.9	1-	TO TAL	
			Amt			Amt			Amt,		Amt.	Arrt	Amt	Amt	Amt	Amt	S TSOO	SHARE	
	ftem	(Com.)	(Gov.)	Total	(Com.)	(604.)	Total	(Eg)	(Gov.)	Total (9)	(Com.)	(Com.)	(Com.)	(E) 6	(Eg)	(Com.)	8	<u>ફ</u> 6	Total
9	SEEDLING PRODUCTION	TION AND	15	EMENTO	OF SEEDS (a)	9			3	1	- - -	= = =	5		9			3	
ı	Mango	4	82	126			61	7	_	7			-	-		_	92	102	194
	Jackfruit	-			30			9	12}	18				ļ	-		36	72	108
6.	Tamarind	22	25	62			98	4	F 3	4	-						46	73	119
l	Citrus	73	152	225		30		10	-	01							146	182	328
1.5	Bamboo	88	333	124	69		110	7		7							164	374	538
	Fuelwood				86	157	255	19	38	52				Ţ		-	117	195	312
1	Hedgerow	734	243	226	955		1,021	220		220				_			1,909	309	2,218
	Covercrop			_	ŀ							-		-				4	4
o;	Foodcrops			1		80	8											8	80
	sub-tota	196	867	1,828	1,276	510	1,786	273	So	323							2,510	1,427	3,937
5 5 7	SITE PREPARATION	1																	
ŀ	Staking				699		869								-		869		698
ı	Holing				587		587				-			-	-	-	287	-	587
ı	Cultivation				2,572		2,572						_	-			2,572	-	2,572
ı	Took										-	_		_			1	63	63
ı	sub-total				3.857	63	8									-	3,857	63	3,920
30	PLANTING						1												
3.7	Food crops				714		714		-	_	-	-	-				714		714
3.5	Hedgerows				510		510				_					-	510		510
1	Covercrop				ğ		202							_		-	204		204
	Fruit Trees				230		230										230		230
3.5	Fuelwood Trees				204		204			-	-			_			204		204
	Bamboo				102		102							-			102		102
3.7	Fertilizer					80	80											8	2
	sub-total	1			1,964		2,044							~			1,964	8	2,0,2
0	REPLANTING													Ì					
£.	Hedgerows							192		192				~			192		192
4.2	Fruit Trees							61		19							19		61
4.3	Fuelwood Trees							51	-	51	7		1				21		21
4.4	Bamboo		,					28		28		-				7 .	28		- 28
	sub-tota							332		332		-				_	332		332
5.0	MAINTENANCE											ĺ							
5.1	Permanent Crops							-	_	-									
5.1.	5.1.1 Ringweeding				1,540		1,540	836		836	479	347	-	-		_	3,202		3,202
5.1.2	5.1.2 Brushing							-		_			316	316	255	255	1,142		1,142
5.2	Hedgerows				765		765	510		510	510	510	510	510	510	510	4,335		4,335
5.3	FoodCrops				7,140	_	7,140	7,140		7,145	7,140	7,140	7,140	7,140	7,140	4.1	57,120		57,120
	sub-tota				9,445		9,445	8,486		3,486	8,129	7,997	7,966	7,966	7,905	7,905	65,799		65,799
	sub-tot: (Com.)	1961		961	16,542		9	160'6	- †	160'6	6,129	7,997	7,966	7,966	7,905	7,905	74,462		74,462
	sub-tot: (Gov.)		967	_		653		:	20	S		. 5				1		1,570	1,570
	TOTAL			1,828			17,195			9,141	8,129	7,997	7,966	7.966	7,905	7,905			76,032
- CC-011	ć							i		i	i.								

LEGEND:
Amt. (Gov.) - Government share of costs
Amt. (Gom.)-- Community's share of costs (i.e. value of labor input by local residents)

		Planting Target	Target			Allowance	Gross total	Gross total of seeds, propagules, kgs. required	gules, kgs. n	squired	Cost per		Seed, propagule	gule	
	.:	<u>e</u>	Ê		Total	for failure to	(i.e. Plantin	(i.e. Planting Target + Allowance)	wance)		seed, kg.	procu	procurement cost (in pesos)	t (in pesc	(\$
tem	: Linit	¥r. 1	Yr. 2	Yr. 3		germinate in	Yr. 1	Yr. 2	Yr.3	Total	ر و	Yr. 1	Yr. 2	Yr.3	Total
						the nursery					propagule				P# 70.00
			(No.)	(No.)							<u>@</u>				
Mango	sdlng.	12	3		15	30 %	16 seeds	4 seeds		20 seeds	0.25	4.00	1.00	_	2.00
Jackfruit			24	15	53	20 %		67	spaas 9	35 "	0.15		4.35	0.90	5.25
Tamarind		121	60		15	20 %	14 "	4	:	18	0.10	1.40	0.40		1.80
Citues		9	121		72	30 %	78 "	16 "		94	0.05	3.90	0.80		4.70
Bamboo	prop.	24	m		27	15 %	28 prop.	3 prop.		31 prop.	2.00	56.00	6.00		62.00
Fuelwood	sdlng.		240	48	288	% 02		0.25 kg.	0.1 kg.	0.35 kg.	120		30.00	12.00	42.00
Hedgerow	=	6,000	1,800		7800	% 20 %	- Kg	0.25		1.25	120	120.00	30,00		150.00
Covercrop*	D.S.		400		400	none		0.5		0.50	8		40.00	-	40.00
Foodcrops	E S		3,500		3500	none		2 "		. 2	40		80.00		80.00
		-									Total	185.3	192.55	12.9	2.9 390.75

"Planting Target" refers to the number of seedlings to be propagated within the year for planting in Year 2 or replanting in Year 3. Planting will begin in Year 2 to allow time for community organization, trail construction and seedling propagation.

Propagation of Mango, Tamarind, Citrus, Bamboo and Hedgerows will begin in Year 1 to be ready for planting in Year 2. Mango, Tamarind and Citrus will be grafted/budded; Bamboo will be propagated by marcotting; Hedgerows will be bare root sdings. Jackfruit and Fuelwood seedlings will be grown in Year 2 for planting in the same year.

Propagation of Mango, Tamarind, Cltrus, Bamboo and Hedgerows for replanting will begin in Year 2 to be ready for replanting in Year 3. Jackfruit and Fuelwood seedlings for replanting in Year 3 will be grown in Year 3.

Covercrop and Foodcrops will be direct seeded.

(b) Seedling production and seed/propagule targets by year are estimated as follows;

	YEAR	R 7			ΥĒ	EAR 2		_		YEAR3	ર૩	
	Planting	Allowance for	Total	Total Replanting		Mortality & Total Replanting	<u>ှင</u> နှ	tal Reg	planting		Mortality &	Total
	Target	Mortality and		Target	슖	cril in		or Tar	get	-dus	E III	ģ
Species	(In Year 2)	cull in nursery	Yr.1	(in Year atotal	otal	nursery		.2 E	Yr.2 (in Year 3)	total	nursery	Yr.3
Mango	+ 01	20 % =	12	2 20 % = [2 +	50.02	-	3				
Jackfruit	20.				+	20%	9	24	20 % =	4	- % 02	
amanind	÷	20 %	12	12 20 % =	~	20 %	1	ო			-	
Trus	\$ \$0	20 %	9	60 20 % =	0	20 %	1	12	1			
3amboo	2 0 +	20.%.	24	24 10 % =	2	20 %	K	m				
-uelwood	200			:	+	20 %	þ		20 % 11	40	20 %	48
Hedgerow	2000 +	20 %	0009	e000 30 % ·-	1500 +	20 %	1	1800				
Covercrop*	84			None		None	4	400				
oodcrops**	•			None		None	35	8		:		

target in planting spots
 target in sq.m.
 Note: Totals by year rounded off to the nearest complete number

1.B Plastic Bags

		No. of plastic bags required	igs require	ס		Sost	Š	Cost by Year	•	
	Plan	Planting Target		Net	Size of	jā De				
E E	(fron	(from Table 2. A)	₹	Total	plastic bag	Bag	¥1	¥r.2	Yr.3	Total
		Yr.2	Yr.3	ġ			3	E	6	<u>@</u>
Mango	12	æ		15	15 25 X 40 cm.	0.30	3.60	0.30		4.50
Jackfruit		24	5	29	29 20 X 30 cm.	0.20		4.80	1.00	5.80
Tamanind	12	က		15	5 20 X 30 cm.	0.20	2.40	09.0		3,00
Citrus	9	12		72	72 15 X 20 cm.	0.15	9.00	1.80		10.80
Bamboo	24	က		27	27 30 X 40 cm.	080	19.20	2.40		21.60
Fuelwood		240	48		288 10 X 15 cm.	0.10		24.00	4.80	28.80
						Total	34.20	34.50	5.80	74.50

No Plastic Bags required for hedgerow species. These will be propagated as bare root seedlings or planted as cuttings.

1.C Potting Soil (Collection, screening, mixing, sterilization, etc.)

				Amount of potting soil required	potting	soil red	uired				Perfor-	No. II	No. m.d. by year			S	Cost by Year		
Species	Size of bag	Net	Allow	Gross	No. of Sdings.	dings		S	Soil Required	4.7	mance	(I, J. & K	(i, J & K divided by L.)	ٽ _	Rate	(P×M,	(P×M, N, &O)	_	
	•	Volume	τō	Volume				೮	(cmm)	•	ğ				ķ				701
		per sdlng.		per sdlng.				(E×F	(ExF,G&H)	:	E G				m.d.	Yr.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	•
		(cn.m.)	8	(cmm)	Yr.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	۲۳.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	(cu.m.)	<u>-</u>	Yr.2	۲,3	E	(6)	(<u>a</u>)	<u>(</u>	3
4	B	C	۵	ш	<u>u</u>	G	I	-	-	¥	_	∑.	z	0	a.	o	М	S	<u> </u>
Mango	25 X 40	0.0196	25	L	12	8	-	0.29	0.07		00.	0.29	0.07		102	29.58	7.14		36.
Jackfruit	20 X 30	0.0094	25	0.0118		24	5		0.28	90.0	1.00		0.28	90.0	102		28.56	6.12	34.
Tamarind	20 X 30	0.0094	25	0.0118	121	ES.		0.14	0.04	}	1.00	0.14	0.04		102	14.28 4.08	4.08		18.
Ctrus	15 X 20	0.0035	25		09	12		0.26	0.05		1.00	0.26	0.05		102	26.52	5.10		31.
Bamboo	30 X 40	0.0283	25		24	က		0.85	0.11		1.00	0.85	0.11		102	86.70	86.70 11.22		97.
Fueiwood	10 X 15	5 0.0012	25	0.0015		240	48		92'0	0.07	1,00	-	0.36	0.07	102		36.72	7.14	43.
						sub-total	70	1.54	0.91	0.13	-	1.54	0.91	0.13		157.08 92.82 13.26	92.82	13.26	263.

Note: No potting soil required for hedgerow species which will be propagated as bare root seedlings.

1.D Filling plastic bags with potting soil & arranging in plots

Species	Ž	No. of bags		Performance	ž	No. of m.d.		Rate per	8	Cost per ha.		
				per m.d.		-		a.d				Total
7	Υ.:	Yr.2	Υ.3		7.	Yr.2	۲.3	-	۲۲.1	Yr.2	Yr,3	
lango	12	E		100	0.12	0.03		102	12.24	3.06		15.30
ackfruit		24	5	150		0.16	0.03	102		16.32	3,06	19.38
amarind	12	ιΩ		150	0.08	0.02		102	8.16	2.04		10.20
forus	9	12		200	0.30	90.0		102	30.60	6.12	,	36.72
amboo	24	8		50	0.48	90.0		102	48.96	6.12	-	55.08
poowjan		240	48	400		09.0	0.12	102		61.20	61.20 12.24	73.44
				Total	0.98	0.93	0.15		99.96		94.86 15,30	210.12

1.E Sowing Seeds and Marcotting Bamboo

Species	Š	No. of seeds or	sor	Performance	ž	No. of m.d.	Ü	Rate per	ŏ	Cost per ha.	ā,	
	:	marcots		per m.d.				m.d.		-	-	Total
	Yr.1	Yr,1 Yr.2	Yr.3		۲.	¥r.2	Υ.3		Υ.	Yr.2	Yr.3	
Mando	12	(1)		3008	9.0	10.0		102	4.08	1.02	1	5.10
Jackfruit		-24	Ś	400		0.06	0.0	102		3	1,02	7.14
amarind	12	3		2001	20.0			102				3.06
Crous	69	12		000':	0.06	0.01		102		1.02		7.14
Bamboo (a)	24	_		02	1.20	•		102	122.40	15.30		137.70
Fuelwood		240	48	2,000		0.05	0.01	102		5.10	1,02	6.12
Hedgerow	6,000	800		5,000	1.20	0.36		102	122.40	36.72		159.12
				lotal		0.65	0.02		257.04	66.30	2,04	325.38

(a) No. refers to the number of bamboo nodes to be marcotted.

1.F Grafting and Budding

Species	of salngs.	3		Performance	ž	No. of m.d.	ť	Rate per		Cost per ha.	ej.	
				per m.d.				m.d.				Total
;	¥.	Yr.2	Ϋ́,3		Ϋ́	Yr.1 Yr.2	Yr.3		Yr.?	Yr.2	Yr.3	
LABOR												
Mango	12	3		100	0.12	0.03		102	12.24	3.06		15.30
Tamarind	12	3		1001	0.12	0.03		102	12.24	3.06		15.30
Cors	99	12		200	0,30	90'0		201	30,60	6.12		36.72
			Total	Total Labor	0.54	0.12			55,08	12.24		67.32
SCION MATE	RALC	e. Budw	00 00	SCION MATERIAL (i.e. Budwood, Graftwood, budding tape, etc.	g tape	etc.)						
Species	2	No. of sdings.	8	No. of buds/	Allowance	ance	Total No. of	0.0	3,5	Cost per ha.	rha.	
-	3	to bud or graft	raft	scions	for mortality	rtality	/spnq	buds/scions	Cost			Total
	>	, 7:2	χr.3		£		, ,	Yr.2	3	Yr. 1	Yr.2	
Mango	12	m		15	ç		16.80	4.20	Į	16.80	420	21.00
Tamarind	12	3		15	စ္က		15.60	3,90	1	15.60	3.90	19.50
Other	09	12		122	ķ		90.00	18.00	0.5	45.00	3.00	54.00
TOTAL: LABOR AND SCION MATERIA	SKAN!	SOON	MATER	AL								
		Pode		Scion Material	atenal		abc	abor & Material	-			
	۲۳.1	Yr.2	Total	Yr.1	Yr.2 Total	Total	Yr.1	Yr.2	Total			
Mando	12.24		3.06 15.30	16.80		4.20 21.00	29.04	7.26	36.30			
Tamarird	12.24	3.06	3.06 15.30	15.60	3.90	3.90 19.50	27.84	96'9	34.80			
Ottus	30.60	l	6.12 36.72	45.00	ı ı	9.00 54.00	75.60	15.12	90.72			
TOTAL	55.08	55.08 12.24 67.32	28'29		17.10	94.50	77,40 17.10 94.50 132.48	29.34	161.82			

1.G Seedling Maintenance (Watering, weeding, etc.)

	No.	No. of seedlings	st	No.	No. of maintenance	nce	Average	Z.	No. of m.d.		Rate	0	Cost per ha.		:
pecies	ន	to maintain		:	days (a)		Performance				per				Total
	۲. ۱.	Yr.2	Yr.3	Yr.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	per m.d.	χ.	Yr.2	¥.3	n.d.	Υ.	Yr.2	Y-3	(A)
ango	12	15	က	216	198	36	200	0,43	0.40	0.07	102	43.86	40,80	7.14	91.30
ackfruit		24	S		288	09	1,000		0.29	90.0	102		29.58	6.12	35.70
amarind	12	15	3	216	198	36	1,000	0.22	0.20	0.04	102	22.44	20.40	4.08	46.92
trus	9	72	12	1,080	936	144	1,500	0.72	0.62	0.10	102	73.44	63.24	10.20	146.88
amboo	24	27	ო	432	342	36	200	0,86	0.68	0.07	102	87.72	98.69	7.14	164.22
remood		240	48		1,920	384	2,000		96.0	0.19	102		97.92	19.38	117.30
edgerow	6,000	7,800	1,800	36,000	46,800	10,800	5,000	7.20	9.36	2.16	102	734.40	954.72	220.32	1,909,44
							Total	9.43	12.51	2.69		961.86	1,276.02	274.38	2,512.26

(a) Computation of maintenance days

No. of sdlings.

								 3	1	{							
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	ACK-KUI		Year 2	Year 3			GTRUS		Year 1	Year 2			Year 3	:		HEDGE	ROW
														:			
	:	. •		٠.												•	
10 10	:		216	144	54	198	36	Total				216	144	54	198	38	
NO. 01	ġ O		6	9	6	r2	9	No. of	ė			6	9	6	r2	9	
ō :	days	month	2	2	7	Total Year 2	2	No. of	days	뙲	month	2	2	2	Total Year 2	2	
ğ Ç	sdings.		12	12	e		က	No. of	sdiings.			151	12	8		3	
	MANGO		Year 1	Year 2	-		Year 3		AMARIND			Year	Year 2			Year 3	

	4.												٠.					į.			•						
288	09	Total			***	080	720	216	936	144	.:	Totai				36,000	36,000	10,800	46,800	10,800		Total	· 			1,920	384
ď	9	No. of	Ę		ľ			6	2	9		No. of	ő.			9	9	9	r2 ·	9		No. of	œ.			4	4
mo.	2	No. of	days	ğ	E E	2	2	2	Total Year	2	•	No. of	days	Se.	month	1	1	11	Total Year 2	1		ö O O	days	<u>8</u>	щo.	7	2
24	5	No. of	sdlings.		**	3	09	12		12		No. of	sdlings.			000'9	000'9	1,800		1,800		80.0g	sdlings.	_		240	448
Vegr 7	Year 3		CIRUS			Year	Year 2			Year 3			HEDGE	ROW		Year 1	Year 2			Year 3			PEL-	000M		Year 2	Year 3

No. of

No. of sdlings.

BAMBOO

Year 1 Year 2 Year 3

No. of days per month

1.H Summary of Seedling Production Costs (Details by Year)

Cost per	sding p.s.	8	12.98	3.72	7.88	4.56	19.95	80.1	0.28	0.10	0.02	50.57
So. ps.	solngs.		15	ୟ	15	72	27	288	7,800	400	3,500	12,146
		Tota	61.18 7.14 194.72	107,95	118.14	63.24 10.20 225.18 93.20 10.20 328.58	420.98 110.40 7.14 538.52	254.94 56.58 311.52	2218.56	40.00	80.00	9.96 94.86 15.30 257.04 66.30 2.04 132.48 29.34 961.86 1276.02 274.38 1827.92 1786.39 323.68 3937.99 12,146
ar		₹.3	7.14	18.22	4.08	10.20	7.34	56.58	220.32		-	323.68
Cost by Year		Yr.2		89.73	35,50	93.20	110.40	254.94	1021.44	40.00	80.00	1786.39
U		,	126.40		78.55	225.18	420.98		976.80			1827.92
اا		Yr.3	40.80 7.14	6.12	4.08	10.20	7.14	97.92 19.38	220.32			274.38
Maintenance		Yr.2	40.80	29.58	20.40	63.24	96.69	97.92	734,40 954.72 220.32 976.80 1021.44 220.32 2218.56			1276.02
×	;	1 .L	43.86		6.96 22.44	75.60 15.12 73.44	87.72		734.40	-		961.86
Grafting &	Budding	Yr.2	29.04 7.26 43.86		96'9	15.12						29.34
Graft	Sud.	Yr.1	29.04	!	27.84	75.60				ļ		132,48
seeds/	oatme	Yr.2 Yr.3	1.02	6.12 1.02	1.02	1,02	5.30	5.10 1.02	6.72	-	_	5.30 2.04
Sowing seeds/	marcot bamboo	Yr.1 Y	4.08 1.02		2.04	6.12	122.40 15.30		122.40 36.72	_		57.04 6
	ñ	Yr.3	1	3.06			-	12.24	1			15.30 2
Fill bags &	arrange in plots	r.1 Yr.2 Yr.3	3.06	16.32	2.04	6.12	6.12	61.20 12.24		-	_	94.86
ď.	ата	Yr.1	12.24		8.16	30.60	48.96					တ
_		Yr.3		6,12				7.14				13.26
Pottang Soil		Yr.2	7.14	28.56	4.08	5.10	86.70 11.22	36.72				92.82
ŏ.		1.17	29.58		14.28	26.52	36.70	_				30.721
sB	:	: Yr.3	0	1.00	٥	0	ő	24.00 4.80				5.80
Plastic bags		Yr.2 Yr.3 Yr.1 Yr.2 Yr.3	3.60 0.90	4.80	2,40 0,60	9.00 1.80	19.20 2.40	24.0	_	_	_	20 34.S
<u>a</u>	_	3 Yr	3.6	90	2.	9.	9,	 	L	_	_	90 34.2
sps		.2 Yr.	00.1	4.35 0.90	0.40	08.0	8	30.00 12.00	00	40.00	80.00	55 12.
Seeds		Y. 1 Y.	4.00	4	1.40 0.	3.90 0.	S6.00 6.00	30.	120.00 30.00	40.	80.	85.30 192.
Species			Mango	Jackfruit	Tamarind	Citrus	Bamboo	Fuelwood	Hedgerow [1]	Covercrop	Foodcrops	Total by Yr. [185.30[192.55] 12.90[34.20[34.50] 5.80[157.08[92.82 13.26]

1.1 Summary of Seedling Production Costs (by Item of Expenditure)

Species	Seeds	Plastic bags	Porting Soil	Fill bags &	/spaes buwos	Graffing &	Maintenance	-	No. of salnas.	Cost per soling.
		1		arrange in plots	marcot bamboo	Budding		Total	p.s. or sq.m.	p.s. or sq.m.
Mango	2.00	4.50	36.72	15.30	5.10	36.30	91.80	194.72	151	12.98
Jackfruit	5.25	08'5	34.68	19.38	7.14		35.70	107.95	29	3.72
Tamarind	8.	3.00	18.36	10.20	30.6	34.80	46.92	11814	151	7.88
Cons	4.70	10.80	31.62	36.72	7.14	90.72	146.88	328.58	72	4.56
Bamboo	62.00	21.60	97.92	55.08	137.70		164.22	538.52	27	19.95
Fuelwood	42.00	28.80	43.86	73.44	6.12		117.30	311.52	[882	1.08
Hedgerow	150.00				159.12		1909.44	2218.56	008'/	0.28
Covercrop	46.00					-		40.00	400	0.10
Foodcrops	80.00							80.00	3,500	0.02
TOTAL	390.75	74.50	263.16	210.12	325.38	161.82	2,512.26	3,937,99	12,146	50.57

Note: No. of planting spots (p.s.), seedlings (sdhg) or square meters (sq.m.) is equivalent to the seedling production target or requirement not counting the allowance for seeds that fail to germinate. Germination failures are part of the total cost that is incurred to attain the production target/ requirement.

(4) - 2 Site Preparation

Cut/gather stakes & carry to planting site: (a) For Hedgerows - [500 linear meters with one stake every 5 meters] (b) For Fruit trees: (c) For Plankood species (d) For Bankood species (d) For Bankood species (d) For Bankood stakes at respective planting spots (p.a.) for trees and on contour line (d.1) for the genows contour line (d.1) for trees and on contour line (d.1) for the genows c.1. (d) For Fruit trees: p.s. (d) For Fruit trees: p.s.		ğ.	2 5 5 6 6 5 E	per m.d. (no. of units)	8 2	S (a)	ў. Б
Cut/gather stakes & carry to planting site: (a) For Hedgerours - [500 linear meters with one stake every 5 meters] (b) For Fruit trees (c) For Relevond species (d) For Bamboo aub-total Align and place stakes at respective planting spots (p.s.) for trees and on controut line (c.l.) for trees and on controut line (c.l.) for trees and on for the call provide control for the contr		Sign and a	88882	(no. of units)	Ę	£	Œ.
Cut/gather stakes & carry to planting site: (a) For Hedgerows - [SOO linear meters with one stake every 5 meters] (b) For Full trees (c) For Fuelwood species (c) For Bamboo sub-total author and place stakes at respective planting spots (p.s.) for trees and on comparalite (c.l.) for trees and on comparalite (c.l.) for trees and on (3) For Hedgerows (a) For Hedgerows (b) For Full trees.	3-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	Š.	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	:			
(a) For Hedgerows - [500 linear meters with one stake every 5 meters] (b) For Furit trees (c) For Fuelwood species (c) For Bamboo aub-total Align and place stakes at respective planting spots (p.s.) for trees and on comb	reis)	e constant	200 200	_			FE.
(b) For Furth thess (c) For Furth thess (c) For Fuethwood species (d) For Barnhoo 200-hotal Align and place strakes at respective planting spots (p.s.) for thess and on controur line (c.l.) for hedgerows (a) For Hedgerows (b) For Furth theses	[Sian	Stake Stake	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				
(b) For Fluit trees (c) For Plethwood species (d) For Bamboo 2ub-botal Align and place stakes at respective planting spots (p.s.) for trees and on comtout file (cl.) for Predgerows (a) For Pledgerows (b) For Fluit trees	e So		2 2 2 8		:		So.
(c) For Fuehwood species (d) For Bamboo aub-tone Align and place stakes at respective plariting spots (p.s.) for trees and on combut file (b.l.) for hedgerows (a) For Hedgerows (b) For Full trees	9 K		200			_	
(d) For Bamboo 200-botal Algin and place states at respective planting spots (p.s.) for trees and on combout fine (c.l.) for hedgerows (a) For hedgerows (b) For finit prees	9 6 6 2 2		20 8				
sub-total Align and place stakes at respective planting spots (p.s.) for trees and on contour line (c.l.) for hedgerows (a) For hedgerows (b) For finit trees:	ctive	_	810				
···	ctive			250	3.24	102	330,48
gerows	500						
gerows							
	 •						
		귱	20	S	1,00		
		y.	8	100			
(c) For Fuelwood species			200	200	1.00	:	10 120 120
(d) For Bamboo			20	82	070		
sub-total					3.10	102	316.20
.1.3 Restaking for hedgerows (a) c.l.		j	'n	0	0,50	102	51.00
Tota 2.1.1 + 2.1.2 + 2.1.3:					6.84	1021	697,68

The hedgerows will be staked two times. The first time, contain lines will be marked to indicate where to cutbrate prior to planting of hedgerows. During cutbration, these stakes will be removed. The second time ("Restalding for hedgerows" - item 2.1.3) the stakes will be put back in place to provide an accurate guide for planting on the contours.

2.2 Holing: (Year 2 Cost.)

rem	345 1	SIES.	Performance	3,0	Oaily Oaily	Š
		¥	per m.d.	Ze ta	Wage	
	-	2	(no. of hotes)		3	<u>(</u>
2.2.1 For Fruit trees	30g	90	04	2.25	102	
(medium size hole - 30 X 45 cm.)	:					
2.2.2 For Fuelwood species	1	200	8	2.5	102	255.00
(small hole - 15 X 20 cm.)				:		
2.2.3 For Bamboo	•	20	22	-	102	102.00
(large hole - 40 X 50 cm.)	_			٠		
	Yotal			5.75	102	586.50

Note: No holing needed for planting of cuttings and bare root seedlings in hedgerows because the contour lines will be cuttivated.

2.3 Cuttivation (Year 2 Cost.)

	item	캶	กลีเร		Ę,	Š	ğ
:			Ž	pu mo	Det had		per ha
			2	_	(e)		6
2	For planting of food crops	ж н.	3,500	250	14.00	160	2,240,00
23.2	2.3.2 For planting of hedgerows						. '
	(500 Lm. X 0.5 m width)		250	200	1.25		102 127.50
2.3.3	For covercrop planting	p.s.	400	200	2.00	102	204.00
	Total				17.25	-	2,571.50
Notes	(a) Rate for Item 2 3.1 is for one managinal day @ P160 to plow and harrow	day @ P16	a wold of	nd harrow.			

(a) Rate for item 2.3.1 is for one man-animal day & P160 to plow and harrow.
(b) Item 1.3.2 to be implemented by manual digging since contour lines may be on terraln that is too steep for animal-powered plowing.

T00LS (Year 2 Cost)

2.4

Ē		Š	ğ	Supressi Charge	5	₹
			Ð	9	Ĉ.	3
2.4.1	Shove	2	200	005	10	40
2.4.2	Pick mattock	1	150	150	10	15
2.4.3	Digging bar	-	80	80	10	8
	Total			630		63

* It is estimated that there will be one set of tools for every ten (10) hectares.
Thus, only 10% of costs are charged per hectare.

(4) - 3 Planting (Year 2 Cost)

3.1 Labor

	item	Unit	Chit	Performance	md.	Daily	Sat
			ž	per m.d.	per ha	Rate	per ha
			ha	(a)		(£)	(
3.1	Food crops	sq.m.	005'E	005	7.00	102	714.0
3.2	Hedgerows	.s.q	000'S	1,000	2.00	102	510.0
3.3	Covercrop	r	400	200	2.00	102	204.0
3.4	Fruit Trees	=	06	40	2.25	102	229.5
w ri	Fuelwood	r	002	100	2.00	102	204.0
3.6	Bamboo	ĸ	02	20	1.00	102	102.0
	Total	de la			19.25	102	1,963.5
(a) II	(a) Includes hauling from nursery to planting site.		٠.	-		1	

3.2 Fertilizer (Year 2 Cost - for application at planting)

Species	kgs.	No. of	Quantity	Cost per	Amount
	per c	planting	.⊑ §	₽	6
2.1 Mango	0.150	10	1.50	4.00	
3.2.2 Jackfruit	0.100	20	2.00	4.00	8.00
2.3 Tamarind	0.100	10	1.00		
ı	0.050	20	2.50	4.00	10.00
3.2.5 Bamboo	0.150	20	3.00	4.00	
~	0.050	200		4.00	
			20.00	Total	80.00

(4) - 4 Replanting (Year 3 Cost)

L	ltem	Chit	Units	Allowanc	e for	Performance	m.d.	v Daily	Cost
			ja B	mortality	ilty.	per m.d.	per ha	Rate	per ha
			ŭ	(%)	(No)	(a)		(P)	(
4	Hedgerows	p.s.	5,000	30	1500	800	1.88	102	191.76
4	Fruit Trees	*	06	20	18	30	09.0	102	61.20
4.3	Fuelwood		200	20	40	80	0.50	102	51.00
4.4	Вапро		20	20	4	15	0.27	102	27.54
L						Total	3.25	102	331.50

(1) includes hauling from nursery to planting site. Performance per man day is estimated at only 80% of performance during original planting (Table 3.0) because of greater distance between planting spots.

5.1 Permanent Crops 5.1.1 Ringweeding of Pem

1 Ringweeding of Permanent Crops (Years 2-5)

Jackfruit Tamarind Citrus Bamboo Fuelwood Total Performance No. of Rate	No. X s.t. RW perm.d. m.d. perm.d.	20 4 80 200 3 600 1,130 75 15.1 102	20 4 80 10 4 40 50 4 200 20 3 60 200 2 400 820 100 8.2 100	20 3 60 10 3 30 50 3 150 20 2 40 200 2 400 710 150 4.7 102 479.40
ooquit	×	4	3	2
Bar	No.	20	20	20
	s.t.	250	200	150
itrus	×	5	4	e
U	No.	50		
	s.t.	50	40	30
arind	×	5	4	3
Tam	No.	10	10	10
	s.t.	100	80	9
fruit	×	2	4	3
Jack	Š.	20	20	20
	s.t.	20	40	30
ango	×	22	4	έñ
ž	Ŀ	<u>_</u>		

No. of trees planted and to be maintained by ringweeding

Legend:

No. of ringweeding passes per year

Sub-total; No. of trees X No. of ringweeding passes

- Total ringweedings for the year

Total RW

When the trees are four (4) years old, most of the weeds will be shaded out and ringweeding will no longer be necessary. Trees will be planted in Year 2 and ringweeding will be implemented until the trees are four (4) years old. Note:

5.1.2 Brushing of Permanent Crops (Years 6-9)

St		ဝွ	Ó	Ö	Ö
Total Cost	per ha		!	255.00	
Rate	per m.d.	102	102	102	102
No. of	m.d.	3.1	3.1	2.5	2.5
Performance No. of	per m.d.	200	200	250	250
Total	Brush	620	620	620	620
	s.t.	400	400	400	400
Fuelwood	×	2	2	2	2
P.	No.	200	200	200	200
-	s.t.	40	40	040	40
Bamboo	×	2	2	2	2
82	No.	20	20	20	50.
	S.t.	100	100	9	100
Citrus	×	2	2	2	2
)	No.	20	20	20	20
	s.t.	20	20	20	20
amarind) X	2	2	2	2
Ta	No	10	10	10	10
	S.t.	40	4	40	40
kfruit	×	2	2	2	2
Jac	Ñ.	20	8	2	20
	S.t.	202	20	20	2
ango	×	2	2	2	2
X	Š.	10	2	2	2
Year		9	_	8	6

. No. of trees planted and to be maintained by brushing

Legend:

- No. of brushing passes per year

- Sub-total; No. of trees X No. of brushing passes

Total brushings for the year

Total Brush

Brushing will start in the 6th year, when the trees are five (5) years old. After trees are eight (8) years old, brushing maintenance Note:

will be considered as part of production costs.

5.2 Maintenance of Hedgerows (Weeding in Yr.2; periodic trimming from Years 3-10)

Ser 13.
nete

5.3 Planting, maintenance and harvesting of food crops

Year	N	No. of square meters	ers		No. of times	Total	Performance	No. of	Rate	Total
·,	Grain crops	Root crops	Veget	Sub-total	per year	sq.m.	per m.d.	m.c.	per m.d.	(6)
2	2,000	1,000	500	3,500	10	35,000	200	02	102	7,140
က	2,000	1,000,	200	3,500	10	35,000	200	70	102	7,140
4	2,000	1,000	200	3,500	10	35,000	200	70	102	7,140
S	2,000	1,000	200	3,500	10	35,000	200	70	102	7,140
9	2,000	1,000	200	3,500	10	35,000	200	20	1	7,140
7	2,000	1,000	200		10	35,000	200	20	102	7,140
æ	2,000	1,000	200	3,500	101	35,000	200	20	102	7,140
6	2,000	1,000	500	3,500	10	35,000	200	70	102	7,140

Note: "No. of times per year" indicates the average number of planting, maintenance and harvesting cycles in one year.

ANNEX II-11 Unit Costs of Community Forest

(1) ANR Treatments

1st year				per ha
Items	Unit	No.	Rate	Cost
			(P)	per ha (P)
1. Labor			9	
Ringweeding of pioneers				
500 ploneers per ha/100 per man day	m.d.	5	102	510
Lodging cogon, talahib, etc.				
10,000 sq.meters/1,000 sq.m. per manday		10	102	1,020
Cultivate covercrop planting spots				
400 planting spots per ha/80 spots per manday	12	5	102	510
Plant covercrop				
400 planting spots per ha/200 planting spots per manday	11	2	102	204
Sub-total				2,244
2. Material		:		
Covercrop seeds	kg	2	80	160
Total				2,404

Cost share: Gov't P 160 Comm. P 2,244

2nd year			·.	1 1	per ha
Items		Unit	No.	Rate	Cost
				(P)	per ha (P)
Labor					
Ringweeding of pioneers					
500 pioneers per ha/100 per manday		m.d,	5	102	510
Lodging cogon, talahib, etc.					
10,000 sq.meters/1,000 sq.m. per manday		"	10	102	1,020
Cultivate covercrop planting spots					
400 planting spots x3 cycles/200 per manday		"	6	102	612
	Total				2,142

Cost share : Gov't P 0
Comm. P 2,142

(2) Firebreak

				per km
ltems	Unit	No.	Rate (P)	Cost per ha (P)
1. Firebreak Establishment				
10 ha planting block with 1,400 meter perimeter x 10 meter width = 14,000sq.m.				
1,000m/1,400m x 14,000 sq.m.=10,000sq.m. per km/100 sq.m. accomplishment per manday	m.d.	100	102	10,200
2. Firebreak Maintenance				
10,000 sq.m./250 sq.m. accomplishment per manday	"	40	102	4,080
Total				14,280

Cost share : Gov't P 0
Comm. P 14,280

(3) Seedling Production

Species	Seedlings per ha	Proposed Area (ha)	Total No. of seedlings	Unit cost (P)	Total cost (P)
Bamboo spp.	50	348	17,400	15	261,000
Timber spp.	120	348	41,760	2	83,520
Fuel spp.	250	348	87,000	1	87,000
Total	420		146,160		431,520

Average unit cost: P 2.95/sdling (431,520/146,160)

(4) Afforestation / Reforestation

A. Afforestation (New Plantation)				per ha
ltems	Unit	No.	Rate (P)	Cost per ha (P)
Labor (Planting including application of fertilizer):			<u>\'</u> /	per na (r)
Staking: 340 planting spots per ha/100 spots per manday	m.d.	3.4		
Holing: 40 large size holes (for bamboo)/20 holes per manday	n	2.0		
100 medium size holes (for timber species)/50 holes per mandy	7	2.0		
200 small size holes (for fuelwood & misc, species)/80 holes per manday	"	2.5		~~~~
Haul seedlings: 340 seedlings per ha/20 sdlings, per trip/8trips per manday	н н	2.1		
(i.e. one trip per hour, equivalent to 160 sdlings, per manday)		• }		
Plant 340 seedlings per ha/80 seedlings per manday	9	4.2		
Labor (replanting-approx. 20% of original 340, i.e. 80)				
Haul seedlings: 80 seedlings per ha/20 sdlings, per trip/8 trips per manday	*	0.5		
Plant 80 seedlings per ha/80 seedlings per manday	н	1.0		
Sub-total		17.7	102	1,805
2. Materials :				
Stakes: 340 planting spots x 1 stake per planting spot	stake	340	1	340
Fertilizer: 100 timber species + 40 bamboo sdlings. x 0.25 kilograms per sdling	kg	80	6	480
100 timber species + 40 bamboo sdlings. x 0.25 kilograms per sdling = 60 kg		ļ		
200 fuelwood & miscellaneous sollings x 0.1 kilogarams per solling = 20kg				
Sub-total				820
Total				2,625

Cost share : Gov't P 0
Comm. P 2,625

8. Reforestation				per ha
items	Unit	No.	Rate	Cost
			(P)	per ha (P)
1. Labor				
Staking: 150 planting spots per ha/200 spots per manday	m.d.	0.8		
Holing: 150 hotes/80 hotes per manday	h	1.9		
Haui seedlings: 150 seedlings per ha/20 sdlings, per trip/8trlps per manday	n	0.9		
Plant 150 seedlings per ha/80 seedlings per manday	В	1.9		
Sub-total	н	5.5	102	561
2. Materials :				,
Seedlings: 150	Ħ,	150.0	2	300
Stakes: 150	R.	150.0	1	150
Fertilizer: 150 sdlings x 0.25kg = 38kg	H	38.0	6	228
Sub-total Sub-total	н			678
Total		349.0	102	1,239

Cost share : Gov't P

Comm. P1,239

(5) Weeding

Total

A. Afforestation (New Plantation)					per ha
ltems		Unit	No.	Rate	Cost
				(P)	per ha (P)
Ringweeding (1st-3rd year)					
340 planted seedlings x 2 cycles / 100 per manday		m.d.	6.8	102	693
500 pioneers x 2 cycles / 100 per manday		11	10.0	102	1,020
	Total	"	16.8		1,713

Cost share: Gov't P 0

Comm. P 1,713

B. Reforestation				per ha
ltems	Unit	No.	Rate	Cost
and the state of t			(P)	per ha (P)
Ringweeding (1st-3rd year)				
150 planted seedlings x 2 cycles / 80 per manday	m.d.	3.8	102	388

Cost share: Gov't P

Comm. P 388

(6) Kelining and Liberation				per na
ltems	Unit	No.	Rate	Cost
			(P)	per ha (P)
5th year				
500 pioneers + 100 timber spp. + 200 misc. spp./200 per manday	m.d.	4.0	102	408

200 pioneers + 100 timber spp./100 per manday 306 714

Cost share: Gov't P

Comm. P 714

(7) Logging and Hauling

1. Fuelwood

Computation	l	No. of	Rate	Amount	Unit
		m.d,	(P)		
(Based on Estimated Harvest Volume for Year 5)					
Felling: Pollard cutting of 100 trees x 50 trees per manday (m,d.)		2	102	204	
Bucking, Splitting and bundling: 100 trees x 2 bundles per tree/40 bundles per m.d.	L	5	102	510	
Hauling to roadside: 200 bundles/25 bundles per trip/8 trips per man-carabao day	L	1	160	160	
ing, Splitting and bundling: 100 trees x 2 bundles per tree/40 bundles per m.d. ing to roadside: 200 bundles/25 bundles per trip/8 trips per man-carabao day g materials: P 0.25 per bundle x 200 bundles				50	'
Sul	o-total	8		924	
Production cost per cubic meter: P 924/3.25 cu.m.				284.30	cu.m.
Round	led off			284.00	н

2. Bamboo

Computation	No. of	Rate	Amount	Unit
<u></u>	m.d.	(P)	L	
(Based on Estimated Harvest Volume for Year 10-20)				
Felling and trimming: 40 clumps x 3 poles per clump (i.e. 120 poles)/20 poles per manday	6	102	612	
Skidding to roadside: 120 poles/10 poles per trip/4 trips per man-animal day	3	160	480	
Sub-total	9		1,092	
Production cost per bamboo pole: P 1,092/120 poles			9.10	pole
Rounded-off		7.1	9.00	

3. Poles

Computation	No. of	Rate	Amount	Unit
	m.d.	(P)		·
(Based on Estimated Harvest Volume for Year 14)				
Tree marking: 10 trees/40 trees per manday	0.25	102	25.50	
Felling: 10 trees/5 trees per manday	2.00	102	204.00	
Topping and de-limbing: 10 trees/20 trees per manday	0.50	102	51.00	
Skidding to roadside: 10 trees x 1 tree per trip/5 trips per man-animal day	2.00	160	320.00	
Sub-total	4.75		600.50	· .
Production cost per pole: 10 trees x 0.15 cubic meters per tree = 1.5 cubic meters		·		
P 600.50/1.5 cubic meters		· · · _	400.33	cu.m.
Rounded-off			400.00	н

4. Sawlogs (Year 23)

Computation	No. of m.d.	Rate (P)	Amount	Unit
Tree marking: 30 trees/30 trees per manday	1	102	102	
Felling: 30 trees/3 trees per manday	10	102	1,020	
Topping and de-limbing: 30 trees/10 trees per manday	3	102	306	
Handsawing into boards and flitches:				
30 trees x 0.5 cubic meters per tree x 250 bd.ft. recovery per cu.m./50 bd.ft. per m.d.	75	102	7,650	
(conversion rate of 250 bd.ft. per cubic meter) Skidding to roadside:			<u>-</u>	
30 trees x 0.5 cubic meters per tree x 250 bd.ft. recovery per cu.m.=3,750 bd.ft.				
3,750 bd.ft./100 bd.ft. per trip/4 trips per man-animal day	9.375	160	1,500	
Sub-total	98		10,578	
Production cost per cubic meter: P 10,578/15 cubic meters			705.2	cu.n
Rounded off			705.0	и

(4) Units of Performance and Cost Estimates - Agroforestry Farm Development (Base Costs - One Hectare) (Costs in Pesos)

Table March Marc	Total No. (Com.) (Gov.) Tr.	Amt	-	Tear of lear	200	Year 9	TOTAL	
17 18 18 (Com.) (Com	(P) Total No. (Com.) (Gov.) Tr (P)		_	1	يد	رد No.	COST SHARE	
1156 - 1	2 126 - 41 20 7 79 - 20 16 2 225 - 63 30 3 421 - 69 41 3 421 - 69 41 6.50 - 40 1.00 - 50 1.00 - 20 2.00 - 20 2.0	No. (Com.) (Sov.)	(Com.) No.	No. (Com.) No.	(Com.) No.	MAN-	(P) (P)	Total (P)
1.156 -	126							
1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	7 -						194
1	16 225 - 63 30 225 - 63 30 241 252	21 9 ~			1			108
132 255 - 663 30 30 - 6 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	152 225 - 63 30 333 421 - 69 41 243 977 - 955 66 17 243 977 - 955 40 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.04 2.00 2.04 2.00 2.04 2.00 2.04 2.00 2.04 2.00 2.04 2.00 2.04 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 7.00 7.00 7.00	- 4 4	:					119
1	421	01					* -	328
1.00 1.00	243 977 — 98 157 66 1, 1	2 ~						538
1,000 1,00	243 977 — 955 66 1 2.00 80 2.00 80 6.84 698 6.84 698 1,72 587 1,725 2,572 2,00 510 2,00 204 1,00 102 2,00 204 1,00 102 2,00 204 1,00 102	86 61	.:					312
0.50 0.40	6.84 688 60 40 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	- 220	-					2,218
1	806 698 587 2,572 63 210 510 204 102 80	40			7		04	8
1,225 2,572 2,57	698 587 2,572 63 714 510 204 204 102 80	80	4				80	30
6.84 6.89 6.98	2.572 63 2.572 63 2.572 63 2.572 63 2.504 2.304 2.004 1.02 8.0							
1,225 2,572 2,57	2,572 63 2,714 510 204 230 204 102 80	869				6.8	869	698
1,200	2,572 63 2 63 214 714 204 204 102 80	587	-			5.8	587	587
100 100	204 204 102 80	,572						2,572
1,500 514 514 515 516 51	204 204 204 102 80	. 63			:		83	63
1, 200 714 714 714 715 714 715 7	714 510 204 230 204 102 80							
1.00 1.00 1.00 2.04	204 204 204 102 80	714	-			7.0	714	714
2.00 204 204 204 204 204 204 204 205 204 205	204 230 204 102 80	510				5.0	510	510
2.25 230	230 204 102 80	204			7	2.0	204	204
1.00 102	102 80	230				2.3	230	230
1.00 102 102 103 104 105	102	204			- 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7	2.0	204	202
		102	-			10	102	102
1.88 192		08					80	8
1,88 192 193								
1,828 1,526 1,52		. 192				1.9	192	192
1,828 1,240 1,54		61				0.6	61	61
15.10 1,540 1,540 1,540 2.20 836 4.70 4.79 3.40 347 3.10		51				0.5	2.1	S
1,540 1,54		28				0.3	28	28
1,540 1,54						•		
1,5,40 1								
1,828 1,2 1,145	1,540	8.20 836 836	479 3.40		-		3,202	3,202
7.50 765 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 510 5.00 51/40 510 51/40				316 3.10	2.50 255	11.2	1,142	1,142
16,542 140 7,140	765	5.00 510 510	\$10 5.00	5.00 510 5.00	5.00 510	42.5	4,335	4,335
867 16,542 9,031 9,031 7,997 7,997 7,966 7,966 7,965	7,140	7,140	7,140,70.00	7,140/70.00	7,140 70.00	560.0		57,120
867 653 653 653 50 76 <th< td=""><td>16.542</td><td>160.6</td><td></td><td>996'2</td><td>7,905</td><td></td><td></td><td>74,462</td></th<>	16.542	160.6		996'2	7,905			74,462
142 86 80 76 76 76 78 78 698 1,828 17,1828 17,185 9,141 8,129 7,997 7,966 7,966 7,905 7,905	867 653	os					L t	1,570
17,195	142	36				698		
			8,129	7,966	2,905	7,905		76,032

(a) Seedling production costs are spread over Yrs. 1 and 3 to allow time for slow-growing seedlings and for species that will be propagated by grafting, budding or marcotting.

LEGEND:

Amt. (Gov.) - Government share of costs
Amt. (Com.) - Community's share of costs (i.e. value of labor input by local residents)

ANNEX III-1 Derivation of Sawlog Market Prices

Assumptions:			
Species	Gmelina arborea		
Harvesting method	After felling and bucking, the logs will be into boards and/or flitches by local residuwo-man handsaws (i.e. pit-saws). The last the flitches will be cut into English dimension because this is the normal practice in the	dents using poards and ns (i.e. boa	; I ard feet)
Conversion ratio	One cubic meter of round logs contains on the Brereton scale. After cutting into each cubic meter will yield approximatel (i.e. conversion ratio of 59%) 250 bd.ft.divided by 424 bd.ft. = 59%	boards or y 250 boa	flitches
Market price	The market price for Gmelina arborea lu equivalent to the average price for high Philippine mahogany) and low grade lum lumber).	grade lum	ber (i.e.
Computation			
Current price of Phili	ppine mahogany lumber (e.g. lauan)	Р	20 per bd.ft.
Current price of coc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Р	8 per bd.ft.
		P T	28 per bd.ft.
Average price : P28.	.00 divided by 2	P	14 per bd.ft.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	cubic meter×P14 per board foot ersion to boards and flitches	р	3,500 per cu.m.
	250 b.d.ft.per cubic meter×4.00	p.	1,000 per cu.m.
	Net farmgate value	. n	2,500 per cu.m.

ANNEX III-2-1 Production and Sales by Year (Agroforestry)

Year (2) Jackfruit (Langlar) (in Thousand Peece) [Probo]

8,500 No. of in income per he income (000) No. of he income (000)

(3) Ternarind (in Thousand Pesos) [PODO]

each year, the same as year 23 No.of ha knoone (1000) No.of ha hours per ha hours (1000) No.of ha hours (1000) No.of ha hours per ha hours (1000) No.of ha hours per ha hours (1000)

		20.40										and year,	the tame as year 19														
		19	150	7,500	1,125	200	7,500	1,500	300	7,500	2,250	350		2,625	8	7,500	8,000	8	7,500	3,000	430	7,500	3,000	472	7,500	3,540	20,040
		16	150	7,500	1,125	200	7,500	1,500	88	7,500	2,250	350	7,500	2,625	400	7,500	3,000	400	2.500	3,000	400	7,500	3,000	472	6.750	3,186	19,686
		17	150	7,500	1,725[2003	1,500	1,500	300	7,500	2,250	350	7,500	2,625	400	1,500	000's	400	7,500	3,000	400	6,750	2,700	472	6,000	2,832	19,032
		16	150	7,500	1,125	2002	7,500	005,	300	7,500	2,250	350	7,500	2,625	400	7,500	000's	00+	6,750	2,700	400	6,000	2,400	472	5,250	2,478	18,078
		1.5	150	7,500	1,125	200	7,500	1,500	300	2,500	2,250	350	2,500	2,625	400	6,750	2,700	904	6,000	2,400	400	5,250	2,100	472	4,500	2,124	16,824
		14	150	7,500	1.125	200	7,500	1,500	300	7,500	2,250	350	6,750	2,363	400	000'9	2,400	400	5,250	2,100	400	4,500	1,800	472	3,750	1,770	15,308
		13	150	7,500	1,125	200	7,500	1,500	300	6,750	2,025	350	6,000	2,100	00*	5,250	2,100	004	4,500	1,800	400	3,750	2000	472	3,000	1,416	13,566
200		12	150	7,500	1,125	200	6,750	1,350	300	000'9	008,1	350	5,250	1,538	400	4,500	008'1	400	3,750	1,500	004	3,000	1,200	472	2,250	1,062	11,675
in Incurand Peace) (PCOO		11	150	6,750	1,018	200	6,000	1,200	300	5,250	1,575	\$50	4,500	1,575	84	3,750	005,1	8	3,000	1,200	400	2,250	806	472	1,500	708	1,29,6
Though I		10	150	9 000	006	200	5,250	1,050	300	4.500	1,350	350	3,750	1,313	004	3,000	1,200	9	2,250	006	400	1,500	009				7,313
		6	150	5,250	788	200	4,500	900	300	3,750	1,125	\$50	3,000	1,050	100	2,250	8	8	1,500	9	-		-	-	1		5,363
		9	150	4,500	675	200	3,750	750	300	3,000	006	350	2,250	788	8	200	009						-				3,713
		7	150	3,750	563	200	3,000	009	200	2,250	675	350	1,500	525	-	-		-	-				_				2,363
		9	150	3,000	450	2002	2,250	450	300	1,500	450		-				-	-					-				1.350
		ş	150	2,250	338	200	1,500	300	-					-			-	 	-				-	-	-		638
	fears Herveeted	4	150	1,500	225								-			-	-		-								225
	Å		No.of ha	ncome per ha	hcom (1000)	No.of he	ncome per he	(000,) emocu	No.of he	rccme per ha	ncome ('000)	No.of he	Income per ne	(000,) succure	No.of he	ncome per ha	ncome (1000)	No.of ha	ncome per he	(1000) hcame	No.of he	ncome per he	Income (1000)	No.of he	Prooms per ha	(000,) amoon	Yearly Total
	Year	Planted	1	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	8	1.5	-				3	<u>=</u>	<u>.</u>	9	<u>.</u>	-	_	<u>ٿ</u>		8	<u></u>	_	a a	1.4		

Thousand Pages | [P000]

Years Harvastadi Years Harvastadi 10 11 12 13 See Coo 150										(In Thou	(In Thousand Pages) [P000]	000]	
Nearthean 5 6 7 6 9 10 11 12 13 13 13 13 13 13	Year		Years Harvesta	2									
Neartife 150	Partied		\$	9	7	8	6	10	11	21	13	14	15-40
Income pair ha hours get ha hours	2	No.of the	150	3		150	150	150	150	150	051	150	
No.coff teat		frooms per he	009	1,200		1.850	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	
No.of his Co. 1,200 1,500 1,	:	Income (1000)	90	160		270	270	270	270	270	270	270	
New Comme part hat Section 1,800	es	No.of ha		200	200	200	82	200	200	200	2002	200	•
Process (1902) 120 240 360		Income par ha		009	1,200	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,900	
No. of the part No. of the		Prooms (1000)		120	240	360	360	360	360	360	360	360	
become par ha 600 1,200 1,800	4	No.of he			300	300	300	300	300	300	200	906	
No.off he come (1000) 160 360 540		Prooms par he			009	1,200	1,900	1,800	1,800	1,800	009.1	1,800	
No.of he SSC	,	Income (1000)			160	360	540	540	540	540	540	540	
No.	2	No.of ha				350	320	350	350	350	350	350	each year, the tame as year 14
No.		Income per he				08	0021	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,500	08,	
1		Income (1000)				210	420	630	630	630	630	630	•
1,200 1,200 1,60	9	No.of he					8	400	400	400	400	8	
1		Prooms per he					89	1,200	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800	
Max		(000) success				Η	240	480	720	720	720	720	
1		No.of ha						84	904	904	400	8	
Second S		Proome per ha				-		9	1,200	1,800	1,500	1,600	
Column C		Proome (1000)				H		240	480	720	720	720	
December Color C	20	No.of he							400	400	400	400	
240 480		Income per he							909	1,200	1,800	1,800	
122 124		(1000) eucous							240	480	720	720	
(2) Sept. 1, 200 1, 200 2, 250 3, 240 4, 023 (2)	ð	No.of he			-					472	572	472	
882 055 088 1 000 1 000 000 1		Income per he								009	1,200	1,800	
1 200 800 1 200 3 240 4 003		(2000) success								263	266	BSO	
Social lands (Social Inches) for the land (Social Inches)		Yearly Total	06	300	069	1,200	1,830	2,520	3,240	4,003	4,526	4,810	

(/) Recitators
Thousand Peace ([P:000]

(b) Vegetables
(h) Thousand Peece [P000]

Name Harrower and S										-	In Thousand Pasos) [P'000]	oc) [P000]			
Holosof has been by the commerce pair has been been been been been been been bee	Year		fears herveste	Q											
No.cof has 150	Planted		2	£	*	s	9	1 2	•	6	10	11	12	6;	14-40
Income per Pta 2,500 6,250 7,500	2	No.of ha	150	150		150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	
Prooring (VOOD) \$755 \$69 \$750 \$1125 \$1,125		Income per ha	2,500	3,750		6,250	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	2,500	7,500	7,500	
No.of has 200		(1000) amoons	.375	563		986	1,125	1,125	1,125	1,125	1,125	1,125	1,125	1,125	
Income pair hat pair hat pair hat pair hat pair hat hat pair hat	6	No.of has		200		200	500	2002	200	200	200	202	200	200	
No.of has Viscoria (1000) 750 1,250 1,550 1,500 1,500 Incornal (2001) No.of has 2,500 3,500 6,250 7,500 1,500		Income per ha		2,500		5,000	6,250	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	
No.of he 2500 350		Income (1000)		200		1,000	1,250	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	
Process part	*	No.of has				300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	
No.of hear No.		Income per he	-		2,500	3,750	5,000	6,250	7,500	7,500	2,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	
No.of here 25.00 55.00		(1000) mooms	-		750	1,125	005'1	1,875	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250	
Process per tea 2,500 5,750 5,500 6,250 7,500 1,	s	No.of ha				350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	each year, the same as year 13
No.orine (1000) No.orine (2000) No.orine (income per he			7	2,500	3,750	5,000	6,250	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	
No.of has 400		(1000) emocra			}	875	1,313	1,750	2,188	2,625	2,625	2,625	2,625	2,625	
Necores par ha Neco	9	No.of he					400	400	400	00\$	400	001	400	400	
No.of has No.o		income per ha				-	2,500	3,750	2,000	6,250	7,500	7,500	2,500	2,500	
No.of has No.o		(000) aucous					000'1	1,500	2,000	2,500	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	
Process per ha Page Page	_	No.of Pa				-		1004	004	84	400	400	400	400	
No.of hat No.o		Income per ha						2,500	3,750	2,000	6,250	7,500	7,500	7,500	
No.of he Accordance Accor		(1000,) amoout		100		-		000'1	1,500	2,000	2,500	3,000	3,000	3,000	
hoome per ha hoome (1000) 1,500 1,500 1,500 2,500 1,500 2,500	8	No.of ha						-	004	400	400	9		400	
No.of Pa 1,000 1,500 2,500 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		income per ha				_			2,500	3,750	5,000	6,250	7,500	7,500	
No.of he 472		(1000) suppu							1 000	1,500	2,000	2,500	3,000	3,000	
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	٥	No.of he						4		472	472	472	472	472	
0811 033 11 032 3 300 0 036 0 037 1 340 (0		Income per ha			1					2,500	3,750	2,000	6,250	7,500	
1000 11 1030 11 1030 11 1030 11 1030 11 1030 11 1030 11 1030 11		Income ('000)				-				1,180	1,770	2,360	2,950	3,540	
3/3 1,003 2,230 0,600 0,600 0,730 (1,363 (1,900)		Yearly Total	375	1,063	2,250	3,938	6,188	8,750	11,569	14,680	16,770	18,360	19,450	20,040	

(9) Grain Crops

					:				<u> </u>	(In Thousand Peecs) [POO0]	(SOO)				
ž.		Years Hervested													
Planted		2		4	2	9	7		- 6	10	1.1	12	13	14	15-40
2	No.of has	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	52	150	150	150	150	150	
	Income per ha	263	750	886	1,125	1,313	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	
	(1000) hocame	Ť	113	141	169	197	225	225	222	225	225	225	225	225	
, ,	No.of he		2002	200	200	500	200	200	200	500	200	82	2002	200	
	Income per he		563	750	386	1,125	1,313	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	
	ncome ('000)		113	150	188	225	263	300	300	300	300	200	300	300	
4	No.of ha			300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	800	
	Income per ha			263	750	938	1,125	1,313	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	
	(000) success		-	691	225	281	338	394	450	450	[CS+	450	450	450	
25	No.of he		-		350	350	350	350	350	\$50	350	350.	350	350	each year, the same as year 14
	income per ha		-		563	750	938	1,125	1,513	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	
	Income (1000)		-		. 761	263	328	894	99*	525	525	525	\$25	\$25	
9	No.of ha		-		_	400	400	400	400	100	1004	400	9	004	
_	Income per he				-	563	750	938	1,125	1,313	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	
-	hccms ('000)		-		- 	222	300	375	954	525	009	009	009	909	
_	No.of he		-	-		_	400	100	00+	9	400	400	90%	400	
	Income per ha						563	750	938	1,125	1,313	1,500	1,500	1,500	
	Income (1000)					- -	225	300	375	980	525	009	909	600	
-	No.of ha				-	-	_	400	400	400	400	400	8	\$	
	ncome per he						-	863	750	938	1,125	1,513	1,500	1,500	
	Income ('000)						_	225	38	375	450	525	900	9	
6	No.of ha								472	472	472	472	472	472	
	income per his								563	750	936	1,125	1,313	1,500	
	Income (1000)								266	354	649	531	620	708	
	Yearly Total	* 8	225	450	778	1,191	1,679	2,213	2,825	3,204	3,518	3,756	3,920	1,008	

ANNEX III-2-2 Production and Sales per Hectar (Agroforestry)

(1) Mango (One Hectare) (Prices at Farmgate)

	No.of	No. of	No. of	Total	No.of	Total	Price	Total
Year	trees	bearing	fruits		fruits		per kg.	
		trees (a)	per tree	(fruits)	per kg.	(kgs.)	(P)	(P)
8	10	10	50	500	5	100	10	1,000
9	10	5	100	500	5	100	10	1,000
10	10	10	200	2,000	5	400	10	4,000
11	10	5	300	1,500	5	300	10	3,000
12	10	10	400	4,000	5	800	10	8,000
13	10	5	500	2,500	5	500	10	5,000
14	10	10	600	6,000	5	1,200	10	12,000
15	10	5	700	3,500	5	700	10	7,000
16	10	10	800	8,000	.5	1,600	10	16,000
17	10	5	900	4,500	5	900	10	9,000
18	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000
19	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	10	10,000
20	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000
21	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	10	10,000
22	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000
23	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	10	10,000
24	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000
25	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	10	10,000
26	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000
27	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	10	10,000
28	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	:10	20,000
29	10	5	1,000	5,000	.5	1,000	10	10,000
30	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000
31	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	10	10,000
32	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000
33	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	- 10	10,000
34	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	-10	20,000
35	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	10	10,000
36	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000
37	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	10	10,000
38	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000
39	10	5	1,000	5,000	5	1,000	10	10,000
40	10	10	1,000	10,000	5	2,000	10	20,000

⁽a) Assume one year of normal harvest followed by one year of poor harvest

(2) Jackfruit (Langka) (One Hectare) (Prices at Farmgate)

Year	No.of trees	No. of fruits	Total (fruits)	No.of kgs. per fruit	Total	Price per kg. (P)	Total
		per tree		hei ituit	(kgs.)	(۲)	(P)
8	20	5	100	5	500	5	2,500
9	20	10	200	5	1,000	5	5,000
10	20	15	300	5	1,500	5	7,500
11	20	20	400	5	2,000	5	10,000
12 : :		each year,	the same a	s year 11			
40				·			

(3) Tamarind (Sampaloc) (One Hectare) (Prices at Farmgate)

	No.of	No. of 20	Total	No.of	Total	Price	Total
Year	trees	liter cans	20 liter	kgs.		per kg.	
		per tree	cans	per can:	(kgs.)	(P)	(P)
8	20	2	40	10	400	4	1,600
9	20	3	60	10	600	4	2,400
10	20	4	80	10	800	4	3,200
11	20	5	100	10	1,000	4	4,000
12	20	6	120	10	1,200	4	4,800
13	20	7	140	10	1,400	4	5,600
14	20	8	160	10	1,600	4	6,400
15	20	9	180	10	1,800	4	7,200
16	20	10	200	10	2,000	4	8,000
17			:				
:		each year,	the same a	s year 16			٠
:							
40							

(4) Citrus (One Hectare) (Prices at Farmgate)

	No.of	No.of	Total	Price	Total
Year	trees	kg.	1	per kg	
		per tree	kg.	(P)	(P)
4	50	2	100	15	1,500
5	50	3	150	15	2,250
6	50	4	200	15	3,000
7	50	5	250	15	3,750
- 8	50	6	300	15	4,500
9	50	7	350	15	5,250
10	50	8	400	15	6,000
11	50	9	450	15	6,750
12	50	- 10	500	15	7,500
13					
;	٠	each year,	the same a	s year 12	
40					

(5) Fuelwood (One Hectare) (Prices at Farmgate)

	No.of	No.of	No.of	Total	No.of	Total	Price	Total
Year	trees	trees	bundles		bundles	: .	per cu.m.	bundles
	planted	harvested	per tree	bundles	per cu,m.	cu.m.	(P)	(P)
4	200	100	2	200	60	3.3	300	1,000
5	200	100	2	200	60	3.3	300	1,000
6	200	100	3	300	60	5.0	300	1,500
7	200	100	3	300	60	5.0	300	1,500
8	200	100	4	400	60	6.7	300	2,000
9	:							:
:		each year,	the same a	s year 8				
:							•	
40								

(6) Bmboo (One Hectare) (Prices at Farmgate)

	No.of	No.of	Total	Price	Total
Year	clumps	poles		per pole	
	planted	per clump	poles	(P)	(P)
5	20	1	20	30	600
6	20	2	40	30	1,200
7	20	3	60	30	1,800
8					
		each year,	the same a	s year 7	
40					·

(7) Rootcrops (One Hectare) (Prices at Farmgate)

pierrina arma arma					
	No.of	No.of kgs.	Total	Average	Total
Year	sq. meters	harvested		price per k	g.
	planted	per sq.m.	kgs.	(P)	(P)
2	1,000	1	1,000	3	3,000
3	1,000	1	1,000	3	3,000
4	1,000	2	1,500	3	4,500
5	1,000	2	1,500	3	4,500
6	1,000	2	2,000	3	6,000
7					
		each year,	the same a	ıs year 6	·.
40			111		

(8) Vegetables (One Hectare) (Prices at Farmgate)

	No.of	No.of kgs.	Total	Average	Total
Year	sq. meters	harvested	Ī	orice per kg	.
	planted	per sq.m.	kgs.	(P)	(P)
	 	(a)			
2	250	2	500	5	2,500
3	250	3	750	5	3,750
4	250	4	1,000	5	5,000
5	250	5	1,250	5	6,250
6	250	6	1,500	5	7,500
7					
8		each year,	the same a	s year 6	
9					
10					

(a) 3 harvests per year

(9) Grain Crops (One Hectare) (Prices at Farmgate)

	No.of	No.of kgs.	Total	Average	Total
Year	sq. meters	harvested		price kg.	
<u></u>	planted	per sq.m.	kgs.	(P)	(P)
2	1,250	0.15	188	3	563
3	1,250	0.2	250	3	750
4	1,250	0.25	313	3	938
5	1,250	0.3	375	3	1,125
6	1,250	0.35	438	3	1,313
7	1,250	0.4	500	3	1,500
8					
9	1	each year,	the same a	s year 7	
10			100		
11					

ANNEX III-3 Harvest Standard and Computation of Harvest Volume by Year (Community Forest)

(1) Standard of Harvesting

I New Plantation

Havesting volume per ha is as followings.

I. FUELWOOD

Tree age	Computation	Volume by Year
5	100 trees per ha 2 bundles per tree=200 bundles/60 bundles	1
į	per cu.meter	3.3 ml
9 1	100 trees per ha 3 bundles per tree=300 bundles/60 bundles	5.0 m
 	per cu.meter	! !
. 13	50 trees per ha 4 bundles per tree=200 bundles/60 bundles	3.3 п
į	per cu.neter	İ
17	50 trees per ha 5 bundles per tree=250 bundles/60 bundles	4.2 m
	per cu. mweter	
2. BANBOO		
8 ¦	40 clumps X1 bamboo pole per clump	40 poles
9	40 clumps X2 bamboo poles per clump	80 poles
10 -	40 clumps X3 bamboo poles per clump	120 poles
3. POLES		
15	10 trees X 0.15 cu.meters per tree	1.5 m
20	10 trees X 0.20 cu.meters per tree	2.0 m
25	10 trees X 0.25 cm. meters per tree	2.5 m²
4. SAVLOGS		•
25	10 trees X 0.45 cu.meters per tree	4.5 m²
32	10 trees X 0.50 cu.meters per tree	5.0 m
40	10 trees X 0.55 cu. meters per tree	5.5 m

N Old Plantation

Harves volum per ha is as followings. The computation is based on 500 of tree number per ha.

I. FURLWOOD

Computation	Volume by Year
25 trees per ha 2 bundles per tree=50 bundles /60 bundles	0.8 🖟
per cu.neter (5 yrs plantation)	
50 trees per ha 3 bundles per tree=150 bundles /60 bundles	2.5 n/
per cu.meter (5 yrs plantation)	•
50 trees per ha 4 bundles per tree=200 bundles /60 bundles	3.3 ₫
per cu.meter (5 yrs plantation)	
100 trees per ha 4 bundles per tree=400 bundles /60 bundles	6.7 at
per cu.meter (10 yrs plantation)	•
100 trees per ha 5 bundles per tree=500 bundles /60 bundles	8.3 #
per cu.meter (15 yrs plantation)	-
50 trees per ha 5 bundles per tree=250 bundles /60 bundles	4.2 <u>s</u> i
per cu.meter (5 yrs plantation)	
75 trees per ha 5 bundles per tree=375 bundles /60 bundles	6.2 d
per cu.meter(10 yrs plantation)	
75 trees per ha 5 bundles per tre= 375 bundles /60 bundles	6.2 1
per cu.meter (15 yrs plantation)	
75 trees 0.15 cu.meter per ha (Harvesting ratio: 15 %)	11.2 🕯
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15.0 ₫
	12.5 d
	•
25 trees 0.45 cu.meter per ha (/ : 5%)	11.2
	25.0
50 trees 0.55 cu.meter per ha (' : 10 %)	27.5
	25 trees per ha 2 bundles per tree=50 bundles /60 bundles per cu.meter (5 yrs plantation) 50 trees per ha 3 bundles per tree=150 bundles /60 bundles per cu.meter (5 yrs plantation) 50 trees per ha 4 bundles per tree=200 bundles /60 bundles per cu.meter (5 yrs plantation) 100 trees per ha 4 bundles per tree=400 bundles /60 bundles per cu.meter (10 yrs plantation) 100 trees per ha 5 bundles per tree=500 bundles /60 bundles per cu.meter (15 yrs plantation) 50 trees per ha 5 bundles per tree=250 bundles /60 bundles per cu.meter (5 yrs plantation) 75 trees per ha 5 bundles per tree=375 bundles /60 bundles per cu.meter (10 yrs plantation) 75 trees per ha 5 bundles per tree=375 bundles /60 bundles per cu.meter (10 yrs plantation) 75 trees per ha 5 bundles per tre= 375 bundles /60 bundles per cu.meter (15 yrs plantation) 75 trees 0.15 cu.meter per ha (Harvesting ratio: 15 %) 50 trees 0.25 cu.meter per ha (

W Reforested Plantation

Inter/underplanting will be conducted immediately after harvesting. Accordingly, the stand structure will be multi-storied gradually. Selective cutting system should be applied in the future. Therefore, harvesting from the reforested plantation is not counted. Only harvesting in the first cyclo is computed in the study.

(2) Harvest Volume by Year (Community Forest)

Year	Fuelwood	Bamboo	Pole	Sawlog	Selective
1601				i	
	(m ₃)	(pieces)	(m ₃)	(m ₃)	Cutting Area
1			0.040		
2	1,681		2,240		229
3	1,627		2,195		196
4	1,340				200
5	1,445				235
- 6	1,240		5,240		400
7	1,545		5,247		502
8	1,636				320
9	1,785				363
10		4,000	<u> </u>		
<u> 11 </u>	500	12,800	5,031	2,240	529
12	600	26,720	4,757	2,195	522
13	749	36,640			157
14		41,760			
15	330	41,760			100
16	396	41,760	2,630	2,240	349
17	422	41,760	2,457	2,307	434
18		41,760	180	5,000_	320
19	420	41,760	192	4,900	424
20	504	41,760			120
21	538	41,760	325	291	157
22		41,760	200		100
23		41,760	240	5,000	320
24		41,760	256	5,150	334
25		41,760			
26		41,760		5,500	200
27		41,760	250	5,580	296
28		41,760	300	1,190	149
29		41,760	320	576	128
30	-	41,760			
31	: 1	41,760		5,500	200
32		41,760		5,665	206
33		41,760			
34		41,760		500	100
35		41,760		600	120
36_		41,760		1,355_	157
37		41,760			
38_		41,760			
39		41,760			
40		41,760			
_ 		11,100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total	7,928

Note: The cutting area will be covered by under-tree planting after cutting. Under-tree planting will be carried out in a period of 36 years after cutting at a rate of 220 ha per year (7,928/36).

(3) Annual Harvest Volume (Fuelwood)

	-	Now I	New Plantation	8								Old Plantstion	stion	-				
_		Plant	Planted in Yr.4	Yx.4		Planted in Yr.5	Xr.5	_	5 уеагв		_	Ì	. 621			15 уеага		TOTAL
ha cu.m/ha Volume 1		<u>е</u>	ъа сп. m/ba Vol	Volume	ਸ਼ਬ	പ.ന.	cu.m/bs Volume	ਬਧ	ਦ੍ਹ/ਘਾਨ	No.lume	ध्य ७	cu.m/na	ha Volume	- eum	pa c	ದು.ಗು/ಶಿತ	Volume	Volume
													1.0		7			
_								26	0.8		21			-	200	8 3	1,660	1,681
		_			:										196	8 3	1,627	
							_				2	. :	6.7	1,340				1,340
-								26	2.5		65 2	206 6	6.7	1,380				1,445
H															200	6.2	1,240	
330		-								3	 				196	6.2	1,215	1,545
		120	3.3	396	2	-			: 1			200 6	6.2 1	1,240				1,636
<u> </u>					128	3.3	3 422	26	3.3		86 2	205 6		1,277				1,785
-	1																	
500			-											_				500
_		120	5.0	909)	1												009
\vdash	1	-			128	8 5.0	0 640	26	4.2		109							749
┝		_												_			·	
330	ı									-								330
_		120	3.3	396	125						_			_				396
П		-			128	8 3.3	3 422							Ч				422
П																		
420											-		-					420
		120	4.2	504					,				-					504
		-			128	4.2	2 538									1		538
											-							
											_	-						3,1
1							-		1				-					-
					_					_			_	-				
1		-																
. !											-	_	_					
											-	-	-					
											-		_					
											_		_	-				
																	1	
		_		-											_			
Г	ľ	_		1							-			-	-		-	
										-								
							·											
1		_				- 1								_				
		_																
1		L			_						_			-				
1																		
Γ		_											-	_				

(4)...Annual Harvest Volume (Bamboo)

Year Planted in y 1 Cut.m/ha 2 A 3 Cut.m/ha 4 A 5 A 6 A 11 100 40 12 100 120 13 100 120 14 100 120 15 100 120 17 100 120 18 100 120 20 100 120 21 100 120 22 100 120 24 100 120 25 100 120 26 100 120 27 100 120 29 100 120 29 100 120 30 100 120 31 100 120 32 100 120 33 100 120 <th></th> <th>4,000 8,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000</th> <th>120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120</th> <th>a cu.m/ba vol. a cu.m/ba vol. b</th> <th>Yolume Volume</th> <th>Planted ha cu.</th> <th>되출</th> <th>Xr.5 a Volume</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th></th>		4,000 8,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000	120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	a cu.m/ba vol. a cu.m/ba vol. b	Yolume Volume	Planted ha cu.	되출	Xr.5 a Volume	TOTAL	
100 100	 	4,000 4,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000 12,000		ed.m/ba	Volume	ha	cu.m/ba	Volume	Volume	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120 120 120 120	04 000						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120 120 120 120 120	8008						1
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120	04						
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		•	1200 120	04.00						
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00						
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120	04						
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120	04						
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120	40						
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		i ialubalalalalala	120 120 120 120 120 120	07	1.					
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		عادات امامانا اما	120	04						
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		عامات اماما ما	120	08					4,000	٠.
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120	08	4,800				12,800	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120		009'6	128	40	5, 120	26,720	:
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120	120	14,400	128	80	10,240	36,640	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000		120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120		120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	į	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	1
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000	130	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	1
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000	130	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	-41,760	
100 100 100 100 100 100 100	22	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100 100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100 100	22	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100 100 100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	:
100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,350	41,760	
	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
34 100 1	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
35 100 1	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
36 100 1	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
37 100 1	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
38 100 1	22	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	
40 100	120	12,000	120	120	14,400	128	120	15,360	41,760	

. (5) Annual Harvest Volume(Pole)

				Nex	New Diantation	1100				L			010	Old Plantation	ü				
Year	Plant	Planted in Ya	Yr.3	Pla	Planted in	Yr.4	14	Planted in	Yr.5	_	5 years			10 years			15 years	8	TOINE
	ha	cu.m/ha Volume	Volume	Ъå	ст.ш/ра	Volume		cu.m/ba	a Volume	Ъà	cu.m/ba	Volume	Ъа		Volume	ha		Volume	Volume
η τ																			0
2									-							200	11.2	2,240	2,340
3					. !											196	11.2		2,195
7								 											0
S								-		-									0
9							_	; ;					200	11.2	2,240	200	35.0	3,000	5,240
4													206				15.0		
8	-	1					L	_	:										
6	1					_	_	_	_	_									°
e,						_	_												0
7								-		26	11.2	291	200	11.2	2,240	200	12.5	2,500	5,03
2							-								l	196	12.5	} :	L
7							<u> </u>		-									}	
*	-							-	 -	L		-							ľ
15										_	 								°
3,6		,					_	L		26	15.0	390	200	11.2	2.240				2.630
2	100	1.5	150				-		-		1		Ŀ		l				2,457
18				120	1.5	180	ó			_									180
19							128		1.5 192										192
50		: : ::					_												0
21										26	12.5	325							325
22	100	2.0	200								 								200
23				120	2.0	0 240	o												240
24					:		128	C\$.0 256	S									256
25																			C
26					1	- 1													٥
27	700	2.5	250								-								250
28				120	2.5	300	0												300
29							128		.5 320	-									320
30						_	-	_											
31																		_	
32								_				_							
33								~-				i				-			
34																			
35																			
36					1.11				. :			_							
37																			
38								*											
39							-												
40																			1

(6) Annual Harvest Volume (Sawlog)

			ON	Now Plantation	cyco					:		ő	Old Plantation	ioi doi				
Kear	Plante	Planted in Yr.3	rta	inted in	Yr. 4	Planted	1	Yr.5		5 years			10 years			15 years		TOTAL
Luga	ha	cu.m/ha Volume	-	ha cu.m/ha Vol	Volume), ad	m/na	Volume	Ъа	_	Volume	pa	cu.m/ha	Volume	ha	cu.m/ba	Volume	<u>, </u>
7	-					÷												
~													12.6					
m																		
.,																-		
เก														. 1				
₆																		
-	-																	
	_		111									_						_
6	-						-					L						
ន	_											_					:	_
11	-							:					*	:	200	11.2	2,240	2,240
12															196	11.2	2,195	2,195
្ន												L						
*	-																	0
15			L															
35												200	11.2	2,240				2,240
11												206	5 11.2	2,307				2,307
18					-	-									200	25.0	5,000	5,000
1.9				- !				-		:					196	25.0	4,900	4,900
20								-							-			
21								-	26	11.2	291							291
23.													1					
23												200	25.0	5,000	_			5,000
24							-					206	5 25.0	5,150				5,150
25																		
26							:	7							200	27.5	1	
27	100	4.5 450						***							196	27.5	5,390	5,840
28			120	6.5	540				26	25.0	650							1,190
29						126	6.5	57.6										576
20																		0
31	-											200	_	-				5,500
32												206	27.5	5,665				5,665
33																		
34	100	5.0 500				-												200
35			120	5.0	900													600
36						128	5.0	640	26	27.5	715							1,355
37																		٥
38							-											0
33																		o
04				_	_							:						-

ANNEX IV-1-1 Calculation of IRR on Forest Management Program (Unit:P1,000)

- ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		1	-	oon allow			0.000	
			D.R. (3%)	Cost	Benefit	D.R.(4%)	Cost	Senenc
2 2 2 4 4 3 3 2 4 4 4 9 8 8 9 9 9	7,405	0	-	7,405	jo	1	7,405	0
3 6 6 8 8	8,642	0	0.9709	068'8	0	0.9615	8,310	0
5 7 7 8	9,535	0	0.9426	200'6	ō	0.9246	8,834	°
2 9 2 8 6	7,625	0	0.9151	6,978	0	0.8890	6,779	0
2 8 6	13,619	11,464	0,8885	12,100	10,186	0.8548	11,642	9,799
5 8	7,633	0	0.8626	6,584	o	0.8219	6,274	0
80 6	7,637	0	0.8375	968'9	0	0.7903	960'9	0
ø	7,640	0	0.8131	6,212	0	0.7599	5,806	0
	7,644	0	0.7894	6,034	0	0.7307	5,585	0
10	17,650	20,294	0.7664	13,527	15,554	0.7026	-	14,258
11	7,441	0	0,7441	5,537	0	0.6756	5,027	
12	7,371	0	0.7224	5,325	0			0
13	7,251	0	41010	980'S	0	0.6246	4,529	°
14	7,184	0	0.6810	4,892	0	9009'0		٥
.51	24,323	35,093	0.6611	16,080	23,201	0.5775	14,046	20,265
16	6,662	0	0.6419	4,276	0			0
17	6,273	0	0.6232	3,909	0			0
18	5,953	0	0.6050	3,602	0	0.5134		
13	5,560	0	0.5874	3,266	0	0.4936		0
20	19,530	30,587	0.5703	11,138	17,443	0.4746		14,518
21	17,206	24,603	0.5537	9,527	13,622	0.4564		11,228
22	15,629	22,095	0.5375	8,401	11,877	0.4388	6,859	969'6
23	15,951	22,811	0.5219	8,325	11,905	0.4220	6,731	9,625
24	17,269	25,745	0.5067	8,750	13,045	0.4057	900'2	10,445
25	16,818	22,733	0.4919	8,273	11,183	0.3901	195'9	8,869
26	17,653	33,197	0.4776	8,431	15,855	0.3751	6,622	12,453
27	21,262	31,052	0.4637	9,859	14,399	0.3607	7,669	11,200
28	21,318	31,052	0.4502	9,597	13,979	0.3468	7,393	10,769
29	21,472	42,427		9,385	18,544			14,148
30	21,647	31,409		9,186	13,328		6,941	10,071
, 31	19,744	28,423	0.4120	8,134	11,710			8,763
32	19,390	28,066	.	7,756	- 11,226			8,320
33	19,279	28,066		7,487	10,899		5,496	8,000
34	19,353	28,185		7,297	10,626	0.2741	5,305	7,725
35	18,434	26,632	0.3660	6,748	9,749		4,858	7,019
36	17,922	25,915		6,369	9,210			6,567
37	17,391	25,199	2	6,000	8,694	0.2437	4,238	6,140
38	17,866	26,050		5,985	8,726			6,103
39	19,433	28,306	0.3252	6,320	9,206			6,377
40	32,948	58,839		10,403	18,579	0.2166		12,746
Total	580,583	688,243		307,977	312,745		256,663	245,109
					4,768			-11,554

ANNEX IV-1-2 Calculation of IRR on Social Forestry Program

Year	Cost	Benefit		Present Value		a.	Present Value	
			D.R. (40%)	Cost	Benefit	D.R. (41%)	Cost	Benefit
	2,308	0	ı	2,308	0	1	2,308	
2	8,186	4,773	0.7143	5,849	3,409	-0.7092	5,807	3,385
3	11,537	6,119	0.5102	5,886	3,122	0.5030	5,803	3,078
4	14,202	5,661	0.3644	5,176	2,063	0.3567	5,066	2,019
5	17,428	9,753	0,2603	4,537	2,539	0.2530	4 409	2,467
9	20,847	23,386	0,1859	3,876	4,348	0.1794	3,741	4,196
7	23,527	30,415	0.1328	3,125	4,039	0.1273	2,994	3,87
8	24,607	31,420	0.0949	2,334	2,981	0:0903	2,221	2,836
6	27,989	41,989	0.0678	1,897	2,845	0.0640	1,792	2,688
10	23,231	51,767	0.0484	1,124	2,506	0.0454	1,055	2,350
-	26,457	76,817	0.0346	915	2,656	0.0322	852	2,473
12	26,377	164,491	0.0247	651	2,161	0.0228	602	1,998
13	23,023	87,303	0.0176	406	1,540	0.0162	373	1,414
14	22,793	98,541	0.0126	287	1,242	0.0115	262	1,132
15	22,358	109,663	0.0000	506	186	0.0081	186	893
16	25,507	130,040	0.0064	164	836	0,0058	147	751
17	25,493	138,663	0.0046	117	769	0.0041	104	568
18	25,985	149,913	0.0033	88	492	0.0029	92	436
19	26,415	155,479	0.0023	62	364	0.0021	54	320
20	22,907	147,327	0.0017	38	247	0.0015	33	.21
21	23,252	153,385	0.0012	28	183	0.0010	24	159
22.	22,844	154,845	0.0009	20	132	0.0007	17	114
23	26,385	170,631	0.0006	16	104	0.0005	4.	
24	26,497	171,126	0.0004	12	75	0.0004	10	
- 52	22,764	160,059	0.0003	7	20	0.0003	9	
26	26,642	172,089	0,0002	9	38	0.0002	2	
27	26,931	175,034	0.0002	4	28	0.0001	4	
28	23,723	161,764	0.0001	3	18	0.0001	. 2	
29	23,298	161,979	0.0001	2	13	10000	2	
30	22,764	158,339	0.0001	1	6	0.0000	1	
31	26,642	173,809	0.0000	1	7	0.0000		
32	26,758	172,501	0.0000	-	5	0.0000	•••	
33	22,764	160,059	0.0000	0	3	0.0000	O	
34 "	23,117	159,589	0.0000	0	2	0.0000	O	
35	23,187	161,559	0.0000	0	2	0.0000	O	
36	23,719	161,726	0,0000	0	1	0.0000	0	
37	22,764	160,059	0.0000	o	1	0,0000	o	
38	22,764	158,339	0.0000	o	-	0.0000	0	
39	22,406	160,059	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	O	
40	22,321	158,339	0,000	0	٥	0.0000	0	
Total	903,271	4,651,805		39,144	39,685		37,972	37,66
			ı					

ANNEX IV-2 Derivation of Accounting Price for Sawlog

(Unit : Pesos)

ltems	Unit p.	Remarks		
CIF Value/d	3,397	Amount of Imported Sawlogs:	487,856d	
		Amount of CIF Value : \$4	9,678,885	
•		(From "Forestry Stastics"1,992)		
		Unit Price of CIF Value : \$	101.83/d	
		Price hike rate (DEC '93DEC/'	92DEC=235/	195=1.20 FOB SARAWAK MERANTI)
		\$ 101.83x1.20=\$	122.2/d	
		Exchange Rate(as of DEC.1993):	27.8P/\$	
Transportation		Assumption : Average size importat	ion 2,000 d	
& Marketing costs	355	Transportation:	P200	Trucking from Manila South
			; * * · · .	Harbor to sawmill in the
		and the second of the second of the second		Metro Manila area.
		Stevedoring Charges:	42.82	77.75P/M Bd.f. ÷ 2.36d/M Bd.f
				30%equipment standby&labor
	' · .	VAT(10%)	4.28	
		Arrastre Services :	37.00	41.9P/t×0.883t/d
		VAT(10%)	3.70	(2,000d=1,766t)
		Equipment Standby Charges:	3.83	7,667.10P/day/2,000d size
		Wharfage Fee :	20.75	0.883t/d×23.5P/t
		Sales Commission	43.08	2,872P/d×1.5%
			355.46	47
Total	3,752			

ANNEX IV-3-1 Agricultural Products Exportation Profile

Agricultural Crops	Quantity	FOB Value(\$)	FOR/Unit	Destination Country
Mango	79,843	108,357		Canada
mango.	64,403	146,079		UK&North Ireland
	450			Netherlands
	13,680			Saudi Arabia
	1,035,880	965,430		Singapore
	164,204	111,139	0.68	China
	13,494,057	9,934,982		Hongkong
	7,339,138			Japan
	224,830	420,1681	1.87	Australia
	9,280	16,000		New Zealand&Western Samoa
Total		24,377,165	1,09	Tron Louisinger Coston Common
Jackfruit	50	2451		Arabia Peninsula State
Sackitale	7 200	1,080		Talwan
Tamarind	5,564	9,393		Canada
i dilidilid	27,324	47,409	1.03	
	85	140		lceland
٠	1,967	3,351	1.00	U.K.&North Ireland
	681		<u>1.70</u>	Netherland
<u>'</u>		1,266		
100	591 190	9751		France
		352		Germany
÷	170	308		Austria
	75	1491		Switzerland
	2,188	2,925		Saudi Arabia
	475	569		Oman
	1,158	2,391		Arabia Peninsula State
	148	245	1.66	Qatar
	37	61	1.65	Brunei
	118	1981		Hongkong
	4	27	6.75	Japan
	1,002	1,759		Australia
	<u> </u>	1651		Guam
	344	567	1.65	Trust Territory, Pac Island
	6,674	12,712		Hawaii
Total		84,9621	1.74	
Calamansi	1,000	4,273		Hongkong
Bamboo	184	1,362		Canada
÷.	[882	1,946	2.21	
	[184	676		U.K.&North Ireland
•	[231	1,525	6.60	Germany
	<u> </u>	1,860i	7.15	Switzerland
	2,664	13,488	5.06	Portugal
	135	1,092	8.09	italy
•	12,350	19,700	1.60	Saudi Arabia
	1,463	4,2381	2.90	Japan
:	2,346	1,525	0.65	Australia
	1,688	1,134	Ö.67	Hawaii
:	182	532		Canary Islands (Spain)
Total		49,078	2.17	
Camote	24,330			Japan
Cassava	1,792	2,7251	1,52	
: :	57,828	63,254		Japan(Okinawa)
	180	290		Australia
		28,679		Hongkong
Asparagus 1	16.183			
Asparagus	16,183 917,074		1.70	Japan
	917,074	1,555,167	1.70	
Asparagus Rice	917,074 10,090,183	1,555,167 2,342,143	0.23	General
Rice	917,074 10,090,183 10,000,000	1,555,167 2,342,143 2,340,000	0.23 0.23	General Indonesia, marshall Islands
	917,074 10,090,183 10,000,000 21,440	1,555,167 2,342,143 2,340,000 828,368	0.23 0.23 38.64	General Indonesia, marshall Islands Thailand
Rice	917,074 10,090,183 10,000,000 21,440 1,806,527	1,555,167 ¹ 2,342,143 2,340,000 828,368 ¹ 252,150	0.23 0.23 38.64 0.14	General Indonesia, marshali Islands Thalland Malaya
Rice	917,074 10,090,183 10,000,000 21,440 1,806,527 5,585	1,555,167 2,342,143 2,340,000 828,368 252,150 113,191	0.23 0.23 38.64 0.14 20.27	General Indonesia, marshali Islands Thalland Malaya Indonesia
Rice	917,074 10,090,183 10,000,000 21,440 1,806,527 5,585 2,500	1,555,167 2,342,143 2,340,000 828,368 252,150 113,191 9,306	0.23 0.23 38.64 0.14 20.27 3.72	General Indonesia, marshali Islands Thalland Malaya Indonesia Japan
Rice	917,074 10,090,183 10,000,000 21,440 1,806,527 5,585 2,500	1,555,167 2,342,143 2,340,000 828,368 252,150 113,191 9,306 120,000	0.23 0.23 38.64 0.14 20.27 3.72 60.00	General Indonesia, marshali Islands Thalland Malaya Indonesia Japan Egypt
Rice	917,074 10,090,183 10,000,000 21,440 1,806,527 5,585 2,500 2,000	1,555,167 2,342,143 2,340,000 828,368 252,150 113,191 9,306 120,000	0.23 - 0.23 - 38.64 - 0.14 - 20.27 - 3.72 - 60.00 - 60.00	General Indonesia, marshall Islands Thalland Malaya Indonesia Japan Egypt Ivory Coast
Rice	917,074 10,090,183 10,000,000 21,440 1,806,527 5,585 2,500	1,555,167 2,342,143 2,340,000 828,368 252,150 113,191 9,306 120,000	0.23 - 0.23 - 38.64 - 0.14 - 20.27 - 3.72 - 60.00 - 60.00	General Indonesia, marshali Islands Thalland Malaya Indonesia Japan Egypt

Source: Foreign Trade Statistics 1991-1992

ANNEX IV-3-2 Transportation & Other Costs for the Exportation of Fresh Fruit

l t ems	Pesos /Containe	T.	Remarks
Transportation			Container size
Charges	San Mateo 29km	4,400 P	40-Footer
(Farm gate to Port)	Rodorigues 29km	5.140	Carrying Capacity of
	Antipolo 29km	5,140	40-footer Container
	Baras 52km	7,965	: 26.6t
	Tanay 55km	8,330	
	(VAT included)		
Arrastre Services	(VAT included)	2,227.5	
Wharfage Fee	(VAT included)	300.8	• •
Documentary Stamps		53.0	

ANNEX IV-4 Local Wage in the Study Area

								(Our: Pesos)
ITEMS	FARMHOUSE 1	FARMHOUSE 2	FARMHOUSE 3	FARMHOUSE 4	FARMHOUSE 5	FARMHOUSE 6	FARMHOUSE 7	FARMHOUSE 8
Labor			80/m. day, w/lunch	80/m. day, w/lunch 90/m. day, w/o lunch		75/day, w/ lunch	i i	
						100/day, w/o lunch		
Preparation for rice planting		150/day, w/ carabao					60/hour	
Weeding	100/day, w/o lunch	100/day, w/e funch 100/day, w/o funch						
Spraying insecticides		110/day, w/o lunch						
Kaingin/brushing	100/day, w/o lunch		:				· ·	110/m. day, w/o kunch
								75/m. day, w/lunch
Planting	100/day, w/o lunch	100/day, w/o lunch 100/day, w/o lunch	÷				75/day, w/ lunch	75/day, w/ lunch 110/m. day, w/o lunch
								75/m. day, w/ lunch
Using handtractor								600/day (8 hrs.)
Construction works					150/m. day, w/o lunch			
Skilled worker					300/m. day, w/o lunch			

Note: The average local wage (without lunch) for agriculture and forestry is approximately 100 pesos.

ANNEX IV-5 Calculation of EIRR by Accounting Price

Year	Cost	Benefit	ď	Present Value	·	ď	Present Value	
			D.R. (36%)	Cost	Benefit	D.R. (37%)	Cost	Benefit
	7,413	0	1	7,413	0		7,413	
2	12,457	4,296	0.7353	9,159	3,159	0.7299	9,092	3,136
3	14,979	5,507	0.5407	860'8	2,977	0.5328	7,981	2,934
4	14,764	5'095	0.3975	5,869	2,025		5.742	1,981
5	21,325	29,187	0.2923	6,234	8,532	0.2839	6,054	8,28
9	18,964	21,047	0.2149	4,076	4,524	0.2072	3,929	4,361
7	20,516	27,374	0.1580	3,242	4,326	0.1512	3,103	4,140
8	20,704	28,278	0.1162	2,406	3,286	0.1104	2,286	3,12
6	22,730	37,790	0.0854	1,942	3,229	90800	1,832	3,045
10	27,111	81,894	0.0628	1,703	5,145		1,595	4,81
=	21,728	72,500	0.0462	1,004	3,349	0.0429	933	3,113
12	21,623	82,039	0.0340	734	2,787	0.0313	678	2,57
13	19,075	78,573	0.0250	476	1,962	0.0229	436	1,797
14	18,872	88,687	0.0184	347	1,629		315	1,481
15	32,142	159,920		434	2,160	0.0122	392	1,949
16	20,417	120,400	6600'0	203	1,195	6800.0	182	1,07
17	20,116	128,262		147	936	5900'0	131	833
18	20,284	142,432	950054	601	765	0.0047	96	675
19	50,023	147,291	6500.0	62	581	0.0035	69	510
20	28,495	182,623	0.0029	83	530	0,0025	72	461
2.1	27,063	182,285	0.0021	58	988	8100.0	20	336
22	25,595	178,696		40	280			240
23	28,149	201,690	0.0012	32	233	0.0010	28	198
24	29,208	206,763	0.0008	25	175	0.0007	21	148
25	26,472	185,515	0.0006	17	116	0.0005	14	97
26	29,587	206,728	0.0005	14	95	0.0004	111	79
27	32,609	221,585	0.0003	1.1	75	£000'0	6	62
28	30,545	202,658	0.0002	8	50	0.0002	9	41
29	30,389	202,353	0.0002	9	37	0.0001	5	30
30	30,123	198,423		4	27	0.0001	3	22
31	31,207	215,293		E	21		2	
32	30,994	213,727	0.0001	2	15		2	12
33	28,340	194,020	0.0001	2	10	0.0000	1	
34	28,622	194,560	0.0000	7	80			1
35	27,953	193,718	0.0000	1	~ 8	0.0000	1	
36	27,899	193,728	0.0000	ī	4	0,000	jo	
37.	26,885	188,916	0.0000	0	E	0.0000	O	
38	27,249	188,903		0	2	00000	О	
39	28,133	194,445	0.0000	0	2	0.0000	o	
40	38,291	239,356		Ö	1.	00000		
				53,983	54,647		52,518	51,593
			•					

ANNEX IV-6 Water Supply Price of MWSS

I t ems	Price
Residential	
Household w/no-added establish	ıment
First 10 d	28.00 P
Next	3.40P/m
Household w/added establishmen	ıt
First 10 d	33.50 P
Next	4.10P/m
Commercial	
Malls,Office,Hardwear etc	
First 25 n	226,25 P
Next	9.05P/d
Industry	
First 25 m	246.25 P
Next	9.85P/m
Calculation of Unit Cost (9.05	+9.85)/2 = 9.45P/m

ANNEX IV-7 Soil Erosion Rate under the Different Plant Species
Planted in Streambank

Treatment	Erosio	n rate	Remarks
(Species)	nd/h a	ton/ha	
lpil-lpil	49.0	60.9	All plots have more or less
Bagtas	203.0	250.19	the same % of understory grass
Natra	208.0	256.35	vegitation cover.
Teak	46.0	56.7	
Control	271.0	333.99	

Source: "Evaluation of Leucaena leucocephala, Tectona grandis,

Pterocarpus indics and Eucalyptus deglupta for streambank stabilization in the Agusan River Basin" by

SANTIAGO R. BACONGUS

ANNEX IV-8 Trail Calculation of EIRR (including Effects of Water Conservation and Prevention of Soil Erosion)

Y027	- 500	Ranafit .		Drocont Value			Procont Volvo	
3	}	2010	(1070) 00	A COCINE A GILD	П	(/610/	rieschit value	- 1
			U.R. (84%)	Cost	Benefit	D.R. (85%)	Cost	Senefit
-	7,413	o	-	7,413	. 0	1	7413	
2	12,457	4,296	0.5435	6,770	2,335	0.5405	6733	2322
က	14,979	5,507	0.2954	4,424	1,627	0.2922	4377	1609
4	14,764	24,615	0.1605	2,370	3,951	0.1579	2332	3888
S	21,325	68,227	0.0872	1,860	5,952	0.0854	1821	5825
9	18,964	79,607	0.0474	899	3,775	0.0461	875	3574
7	20,516	105,454	0.0258	529	2,717	0.0249	512	2630
8	20,704	120,022	0.0140	290	1,681	0.0135	279	1618
6	22,730	149,054	92000	173	1,134	0.0073	166	1085
01	27,111	212,678	0.0041	112	380	0,0039	101	838
1.	21,728	222,804	0,0022	49	501	0.0021	46	47
12	21,623	251,863	0.0012	56	308	0.0012	25	290
13	19,075	258,950	20000	13	172	90000	12	191
14	18,872	287,974	0.0004	2	104	0.0003	9	٥
15	32,142	378,117	0.0002	9	74	0,0002	9	69
16	20,417	356,897	0.0001	2	38	1000.0	2	35
17	20,116	383,059	10000	1	22	10000	н	20
18	20,284	397,290	0.0000	1	13	0.0000	1	II
19	20,023	420,449	00000	0	7	0.0000	0	
20	28,495	471,641	0.0000	0	4	0,0000	0	
21	27,063	485,943	0.0000	0	2	0,0000	0	
22	25,595	495,774	0,0000	0		0.0000	0	
23	28,149	\$19,805	0.0000	0	1	0.0000	0	
24	802'62	525,122	0.0000	Ö	٥	0.0000	0	
25	26,472	504,972	0,0000	0	0	_	0	
26	29,587	527,222	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	0	
27	32,609	542,079	0.0000	0	0	00000	0	
28	30,545	523,152	0.0000	Ö	О		0	
29	30,389	522,847	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	0	
30	30,123	518,917	0.0000	0	0		0	
31	31,207	535,787	0.0000	0	٥		0	0
32	30,994	534,221	0.000	0	0		0	0
33	28,340	514,514	0.0000	0	٥		0	0
34	28,622	515,054	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	0	0
35	27,953	514,212	00000	0	0	0.0000	0	0
36	27,899	514,222	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	0	0
37	26,885	509,410	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	0	0
38	27,249	209,397	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	0	0
39	28,133	514,939	00000	0	0	0.0000	0	0
40	38,291	559,850	0.0000	٥	0	0.0000	0	0
				24,946	25,301		24,713	24,663

RECORD OF MEETING

On May 17 to May 18, 1994, the DENR, the JICA Advisory Team and the members of the Study Team held a meeting to discuss the Draft: Final Report of the Study on the Marikina Watershed Development Project. The following paragraphs summarize the major points that were discussed.

- 1. DENR Is the social forestry (SF) component of the proposed MWDP plan consistent with SF plans contained in the Region IV Master Plan?
 - ST The MWDP plan was prepared with the active collaboration of Region IV personnel who provided key inputs to the plan. Consequently, it seems safe to assume that it is consistent. However, the proper parties to assess consistency would be Region IV management, rather than the ST.
- 2. <u>DENR</u> How current and accurate are the demographic statistics contained in the plan?
 - ST Demographic and socio-economic data were derived from a census and survey conducted in mid-1993 by Regional, PENRO and CENRO staff in collaboration with the ST. Thus, the current estimate of about 9,500 permanent residents is considered to be quite accurate. However, some allowance should be made for a "floating" population of part-time and transient residents whom it was not practical to include in the census.
- 3. <u>DENR</u> One of the urgent mandates of DENR is establishment of water impoundment structures in the Marikina Watershed. Is this matter addressed in the plan and if so, to what extent?
 - ST The plan identifies fourteen(14) key locations for construction of water impoundments. These proposed locations are based on the need to reduce the risk of floods and siltation and to help recharge underground acquifers. Proposed water impoundment structures are strategically placed to mitigate flooding and related damage. Furthermore, soil and water conservation activities proposed in the social forestry component of the plan include extensive application of water impoundment principles such as (i) the construction of interceptor canals (referred to as "drains" in the plan), (ii) establishment of hedgerows and (iii) contour farming.

- 4. <u>DENR</u> Implementation of the project would probably attract new in-migrants anxious to share in project benefits. This could lead to loss of forest cover and conflicts with the present occupants. Were these potential problems considered during formulation of the plan?
 - ST These issues were given serious consideration and are addressed by two (2) measures included in the plan. First, no new roads would be constructed since this could induce inmigration and complicate forest protection problems. Proposed investments to improve access are limited to upgrading of existing roads and construction of foot trails. This limitation would help reduce the risk of large-scale inmigration.

Second, following the recommendation of DENR counterparts, the plan calls for expeditious issuance of tenure documents via Community Certificates of Stewardship Contract (CCFS) rather than the time-consuming award of individual Certificates of Stewardship Contract (CSC). The plan assumes that tenure security would provide incentives to resist entry of outsiders. It furthermore assumes that community protection of the site is the most effective deterrent against intrusion.

Admittedly, the two (2) aforementioned measures could be categorized as technical approaches to what is essentially a social issue. Resolution of this issue will rely primarily on institutional measures. The ST did not consider it appropriate to focus on institutional issues since this would have exceeded the bounds of the study. But the ST agrees with the DENR position that the threat of potential in-migration has to be faced and appropriately addressed.

- 5. <u>DENR</u> Presidential Proclamation (PP) No. 585 sets aside 1,430 hectares (ha) for Integrated Social Forestry (ISF). However, the plan envisions over 5,000 ha for social forestry. How can these figures be reconciled? Moreover, the map does not indicate the location of areas covered by PP 585.
 - ST It would be technically feasible to indicate the boundaries of PP 585 on the existing maps, if DENR will provide an accurate technical description of the area and the location of the appropriate reference points. In respect of the total proposed social forestry area, these are lands considered appropriate for social forestry interventions based on (i) their technical features, (ii) the reality that these additional areas are already occupied, and (iii) recommendations by DENR field staff that said additional areas should be included in ISF coverage.

While recognizing that certain policy issues are involved, and that such issues are beyond the bounds of the study, the ST fully concurs with the aforementioned recommendations of DENR field staff. Individual and communal implementation of agroforestry, treefarm development and soil/water conservation on these proposed additional areas are essential requirements for enhancing the hydrologic functions of the watershed in the context of existing social realities.

DENR - Approximately 4,000 ha in the eastern portion of the watershed have been targetted by the MWSS as a resettlement site. Given its technical features, the proposed site is not appropriate for resettlement.

The Pantabangan experience demonstrates that ill-advised resettlement can create more problems than those it intends to solve. Recently, it has been reported that current residents of the proposed resettlement site will actively resist MWSS intentions. Does the plan address this issue?

ST - Since this is an institutional issue, it is not directly addressed in the plan. However, the study clearly points out that there are already numerous settlers in the proposed MWSS resettlement site. Introduction of additional settlers could be very problematic and the probability of conflict should not be ignored. Furthermore, the site is characterized by low fertility and harsh terrain which is far from ideal for resettlement. Moreover, the site is designated as an important watershed for conservation of the natural environment and the headwaters of rivers. To achieve the purposes of conservation, it is preferable that management of the Marikina Watershed should be entrusted to only one agency.

<u>DENR</u> - Part of the proposed resettlement site is titled in the name of MWSS but the plan recommends social forestry interventions. Normally, titled land is not included in social forestry programs. Why was this titled land recommended for social forestry?

The legal status of the proposed MWSS resettlement site may need to be clarified. The titled area is reportedly 1,507 ha. Subsequently, Presidential Decree No. 2480 issued in 1986 provided that the titled land would be used for resettlement. The same decree also designated an additional 2,917 ha for resettlement, thus increasing the total area for resettlement to 4,424 ha (i.e. 1,507 ha + 2,917 ha).

Can a Presidential Decree dictate how land titled to a government agency such as MWSS will be utilized? If so, this implies that such land is to be administered similar to public domain (i.e. for public benefit). Social forestry is a land use that pertains to public benefit.

On the other hand, a title implies that the owner should be the proper party to decide how land will be used. Which document has legal precedence: the title or the PD?

From a technical perspective, social forestry development would help create a buffer zone to protect nearby virgin forest areas. This would be consistent with the watershed management objectives of the project and the concept that public land should be used for public benefit.

- 7. <u>DENR</u> Inclusion of Marikina Watershed in the National Integrated Protected Areas System (NIPAS) could be an impediment to expeditious rehabilitation of the area. NIPAS procedures are time-consuming, while the need for rehabilitation is urgent. Has this constraint been considered in plan formulation?
 - One assumption of the study was that Marikina Watershed was already part of NIPAS. If this assumption is not valid, the language in the Report can be revised accordingly. This would not affect the technical recommendations however, since these are based on bio-physical and environmental parameters.

One positive feature of NIPAS is the implicit recognition that previous laws, decrees (etc.) 'imposing strict prohibitions against utilization can be a constraint to community collaboration in sustainable management.

The multiple-use zone concept contained in the NIPAS provides a socially-equitable mechanism for addressing this constraint. But there may be other mechanisms available. When formulating alternatives to NIPAS coverage, inclusion of the multiple-use zone concept would be consistent with the intention to reconcile social and environmental objectives in wateshed management.

- 8. <u>DENR</u> PD 324 sets aside 1,728 ha for exploitation. This corresponds to the areas identified with Milestone Farms, Baras Development Corporation and Mountain Resort. Is this reflected in the plan?
 - <u>ST</u> The area covered by PD 324 is excluded from the plan and considered part of the private lands inside the watershed. This is indicated on the map.
- 9. <u>DENR</u> Did the Environmental Impact Analysis (EIA) examine the negative impacts of waste water discharge from Foremost Farms?

The study clearly points out that waste water from Foremost Farms is contaminating the Boso-boso River. This may not be a serious problem at present since Boso-boso River is not being utilized as a source of drinking water. But if there is any intention to draw on the river for household use, contamination would be a problem and mitigating measures would be required. Undoubtedly, technical approaches to mitigation will be important, but they are not included in the Report which only deals with public land.

Meanwhile, some watershed residents have complained about pollution from the Foremost Farms. Therefore, it may be timely to consider administrative measures such as those prescribed for issuance of Environmental Clearance Certificates (ECC).

- 10. <u>DENR</u> The ETA in the plan identifies certain activities that might have an adverse environmental impact. But appropriate mitigating measures are not clearly described.
 - ST The EIA mentions several mitigating measures, but the Study Team recognizes that these should be presented in a more orderly manner. This will be attended to in the revised Final Report, perhaps through a table showing the direct relationship between activities with possible adverse effects and the proposed mitigating measures.
- 11. <u>DENR</u> The plan includes a small-scale, shifting harvest system in plantation forests. This may be in conflict with existing policies prohibiting utilization of forest products on proclaimed watersheds. Furthermore, clear-cutting as envisioned in the system might have negative environmental impacts.
 - <u>ST</u> Pursuant to the minutes of a meeting held on 6 September 1993 (page 237, item "e" of the Report), small and medium-scale commercial timber production by local residents was identified as a component of plantation management. The Study Team responded to this issue by formulating the proposed small-scale, shifting harvest system.

Undoubtedly, other harvesting options could be considered as alternatives to the proposed system such as:

- selective cutting by parcel or block;
- thinning and pruning, also on a selective basis;
 and
- shelterbelt cutting.

The Study Team feels that the shifting harvest system would be the most feasible option to follow in terms of management, monitoring and evaluation. In this system, the area subject to harvest would be small and clearly defined. This would simplify supervision, monitoring and evaluation.

By contrast, monitoring of a selective harvest system would be more labor intensive and subject to personal discretion of the evaluator who would be required to consider diameter limits and other variables.

Environmental impacts of the small-scale, shifting harvest system would not be significant from the perspective of watershed management. At any single point in time, more than 90% of the crown cover would remain intact and not disturbed. Furthermore, the disturbed areas (<10%) would not be contiguous. They would be widely dispersed.

- 12. DENR The rotation schedules proposed in the small-scale shifting harvest system establish a twenty (20) year harvest cycle for fast-growing species. However, many fast-growing species mature earlier than 20 years. Furthermore, if interplanted with medium and slow-growing trees, many fast-growing species would be shaded out prior to the 20th year.
 - ST Harvesting age of short rotation schedule usually viewed from the point of economic benefits. However, the study area is a critical watershed. So, from a conservation perspective, longer rotation are considered preferable to shorter rotation schedule. Although the proposed twenty (20) year harvest cycle is used for the purpose of facilitating financial and economic analysis, it contributes significantly to its conservation. Clearly, this cycle would not be applicable in all cases and for all species. During actual operations, it is assumed that the cycles would be modified and adjusted to respond to conditions prevailing in the future. DENR's comments on this matter are well-taken and will be reflected in the Final Report.
- Report, and state therein the basic assumptions of the study as well as the historical background of the site. Among others, the past and present status of the Wawa Dam should be discussed so that readers will appreciate its potential importance in relation to the proposed plan. It would also be useful to include the Terms of Reference as an annex to the report.
 - ST The Study Team will revise the first paragraph of Chapter I (General Plan) to further explain the background of the study. This should help clarify the premise for technical recommendations included in the plan and the basic assumptions that were used.

Regarding the Wawa Dam, the Study Team commented that prior to World War II, this dam provided water to Metro Manila. However, the aqueducts were damaged at the end of the War and never repaired. In the 1960's, the Government announced a plan to build a much larger dam at the site, but this plan was abandoned for technical reasons. Since that time, nothing has been done to rehabilitate the dam. This is unfortunate because even if it is not as large as the dam envisioned in the 1960's, the Wawa Dam still has the potential to provide a significant amount of water. This is indicated on tables contained in the report and was verified by ocular inspection during the study. Rehabilitation of the Marikina Watershed would increase this potential.

- <u>DENR</u> Some limits should be placed on social forestry which is often being used as an excuse to occupy lands that should be reserved for environmental reasons. Law enforcement is an essential requirement for effective watershed management.
 - <u>ST</u> This is a policy issue and is therefore not addressed in the proposed plan which focuses on technical issues.
- 15. <u>DENR</u> Only one species (*Leucaena luecocephala*) is mentioned for inclusion in firebreaks. It would be adviseable to include other species such as *Leucaena diversifolia*, *L. esculenta*, bananas and other agricultural crops.
 - $\underline{\mathtt{ST}}$ This suggestion is well-taken and will be reflected in the revised Final Report.
- 16. <u>DENR</u> The Report does not discuss proposed organizational and institutional arrangements for project implementation. The DENR requested the Study Team to draw up recommendations on institutional arrangements.
 - As mentioned earlier, the Study Team focused on technical matters. However, some suggestions are included for consideration when setting up appropriate organizational and institutional arrangements. These suggestions underscore the need for integration of activities which cut across the sectoral mandates of various central and local government agencies, and the sectoral concerns of other stakeholders such as present occupants, private land owners and others.

In addition to the discussions summarized in paragraphs 1 to 16 above, the DENR also brought up the matter of future collaboration on implementation of the plan. The DENR mentioned that it intends to favorably endorse the plan to the National Economic Development Authority (NEDA) for inclusion in the programs to be taken up in bilateral consultations with the Government of Japan.

DENR will also communicate with the Government of Japan through appropriate channels and request assistance in implementation of the project.

Finally, it was agreed that (i) any additional comments from the DENR will be submitted to JICA within one (1) month after the meeting and (ii) the Final Report will be submitted to the DENR within two (2) months after receiving the comments.

The meeting adjourned at 3:15 PM on May 18, 1994.

