7.3 **RECOMMENDED IDEPS AND PROJECTS**

To facilitate the Region's development as envisaged in the Plan, the Team has adopted the IDEP (Integrated Development Program) approach to supplement the conventional sectoral approach. Thus, the proposed Plan is, on the one hand, sectorally organized with ten sectors (agriculture, fisheries, industry, mining/energy, tourism, water resources, transportation, telecommunication, urban and rural development, and land use/forestry/ environment) and, on the other, spatially focusing on six selected priority areas for which an IDEP has been prepared each. An IDEP is a multisectoral program designed for a priority kabupaten/kotamadya with heavy regional or strategic importance. The six IDEPs thus represent the core of the Plan which exemplifies sectoral integration over space as well as the effort to combine the national and the local development initiatives. The six IDEPs and their strategic themes are as follows:

Site	Province	Strategic Theme
Tanjung Jabung	Jambi	How to achieve growth in harmony with the nature while taking advantage of the Growth Triangle
Palembang	South Sumatra	How to advance industrialization while improving the less privileged communities
Musi Rawas/Lahat	South Sumatra	How to promote horticulture and agroindustry while strengthening the urban centers
Bengkulu Selatan	Bengkulu	How to lay the solid ground for development while breaking up isolation
Lampung Utara	Lampung	How to best utilize the potential land while assisting farmers and new settlers
Bandar Lampung/ Lampung Selatan	Lampung	How to fully develop high-potential economic sectors while better organizing itself as the Gateway to Sumatra

In total, 351 projects have been identified in this Plan (high priority: 77; medium priority: 108; low priority: 144; on-going: 22). Of them, 175 (slightly less than half) are included in one or more IDEPs (high: 55; medium: 50; low: 57; on-going: 13). As is seen, high priority is generally closely associated with the IDEP: 70% of the high priority projects belong to some IDEP while only 40% of the low priority ones do. A total of 23 prefeasibility studies have been conducted for 29 high priority projects, 25 of which are IDEP components.

7.4 IMPLEMENTING THE PLAN: RECOMMENDED ARRANGEMENT

In financial terms, the Plan is well within the feasible range. Investment required to fund the very core of the Plan (that is, the six IDEPs and high- and medium-priority projects not counted in the IDEPs) is tentatively estimated at US\$ 10 billion or Rp 21 trillion. These figures, however, include only part of investment costs (because many projects are costed only for pre-investment study) and therefore significantly underrate the real total costs required. As indicated in 6.5 above, total investment would be around Rp 135 trillion (US\$ 67 billion) for 20 years, of which roughly 60% (Rp 80 trillion or US\$ 40 billion) is estimated to be covered under this Plan.

The Team strongly recommend to implement those IDEPs as they are as the key to the efficient and successful development of the Region. Their strategic implications are profound and expected repercussions enormous. To support their implementation, the Team has proposed institutional arrangements which emphasize strengthening existing organizational setup. At the center of the proposal are the Steering Committee for IDEP (at the national, the provincial and the kabupaten level) and the IDEP Management Unit to be created in BAPPEDA Tk. I and BAPPEDA Tk. II as the functional unit in charge of day-to-day operations related to IDEP planning and coordination.

It is preferable to implement a whole IDEP under one directive, but its financial size may prove prohibitive. In such a case, a second best way is separately to carry out IDEP subprograms, which are much smaller in terms of cost and number of agencies concerned. As long as a subprogram follows the framework described in the IDEP, its implementation will have much the same effects as intended originally. It should also be noted that the Team's advocacy of the IDEPs does not imply that those sectoral projects outside the IDEPs are of minor importance. Basically, all the projects identified in this Study and listed in the long lists have some regional/strategic significance of their own and deserve serious consideration and promotion.

7.5 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion, the Team wants to highlight a few general policy recommendations on the Region's long-term development:

1) More systematic urban and industrial development deserves policy attention. Sumatra still is a predominantly rural society and also a major destination of migrating farmers. An agricultural frontier is thus a common perception of Sumatra deep-seated in the public mind. This perception, however, needs to be modified. If Indonesia should achieve more balanced population distribution over its land, and if it has to avoid at any cost a massive reversal of migratory flow heading so far for the outer islands, there must be a number of larger and better equipped cities outside Java which are capable of providing enough jobs. It is recommended to adopt policy in more favor of the urban and industrial sector in the Region.

2) The interior requires an urgent policy support. In the long run Sumatra's economic trunk line will shift from the present trans-Sumatra highway to the east coast, along which dynamic but orderly urban and industrial development will take place. Nonetheless it is equally important that the interior zone along the trans-Sumatra highway, connecting high potential horticultural land to the Sumatra Gateway Triangle, will keep its economic vitality so that the dual axes spatial strategy can be successfully implemented. The interior zone has therefore to make the most of the infrastructure, translating its advantages into tangible benefits before the whole structure changes in favor of the eastern coast. Policy support is urgently needed to the zone.

3) <u>Natural and cultural heritage is an invaluable asset</u>. Land with the rich natural environment is what the people in the Region have inherited from their ancestors. It is the current generation's obligation to pass this asset to the coming generations, and the recent concern over the environment is highly justified and commendable. Particular caution should be used in the development of swampland spread along the east coast. Cultural heritage is also rich and well alive in the Region. Due respect is necessary to the mores, among which *adat* is the most fundamental and influential mechanism to be integrated in the development process.

4) <u>Initiatives and self-reliance are the key in the long run</u>. A 20-year plan for the southern part of Sumatra is here ready for implementation. Even though the Japanese government has assisted in its formulation, it basically depends on the Indonesian government's initiatives whether the Plan, if partially, will come into reality. In the final analysis, it is not development assistance that promotes development; initiatives and self-reliance do. In this respect, decentralization is a right direction to take, and implementation of the IDEPs, for instance, will be the most effective way to raise the capability level of local government personnel, providing ample opportunities of on-the-job training on every aspect of project implementation and development management.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX 1 COMMUNITIES: RESULTS OF SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RESEARCH

In the Southern Part of Sumatra, social and cultural factors have significant influence in shaping the patterns of development. For example, the many cultural forms, both of original inhabitants and of newer settlers in the Region, help to shape patterns of land use, environmental management, the implementation of local level planning and the organisation of the workforce. These patterns are diverse and complex, reflecting the many rich cultural traditions of the Region. Yet some common themes are clearly visible, due partly to the impacts of rapid social change as development gathers momentum. These impacts have both positive and negative social efffects. Recognising these factors is important. It will help to screen out the negative effects of rapid development and give maximum opportunity to the people of the Region to shape their own future. Local traditions, aspirations and preferences can contribute significantly when taken into account in planning and managing development strategies, programs and projects.

1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH

The JICA Team's framework for co-operative research with four major Provincial Universities in the Southern Part of Sumatra had three aims: to extend the socio-cultural data base, allowing detailed micro-studies; to draw upon the Universities expertise in preparing the Plan; and to enhance their capacity to conduct relevant research.

Two broad topics were identified for the research program: (1) people, land use and environment; and (2) social institutions and the planning process. A total of ten sociocultural research projects were funded at the Universities of Jambi, Sriwijaya (South Sumatra), Bengkulu and Lampung (Section 6 below). A detailed report containing the results of each research project can be found in Part 2: Communities, Vol. 3.

This Section highlights some key issues which were identified in the research and in follow-up fieldwork by Team members, with specific examples drawn from the case studies. Themes include, first, the response to the 1979 Village Law as a mechanism for local level planning; second, the effects on people and the environment of the rapid changes in forms of rural production; and third, issues in human resource development. The research has implications for planning, design and management of projects and programs. Practical recommendations are set out to implement the research findings in development.

2. SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND THE PLANNING PROCESS

2.1 The New Village Law

Through the Village Government Law of 1979 (UU/5/79) the Government of Indonesia introduced uniform local government structures and processes for desa and kelurahan, replacing a variety of regional-based local government structures, including the Marga in the Southern Part of Sumatra. UU/5/79 aimed to introduce one pattern of village level administration throughout Indonesia, in order to streamline interaction between the centre and the local level, and to facilitate management and monitoring of development funds. The new structure has two types of village: desa (mainly rural) and kelurahan (mainly urban). The desa has a Kepala Desa (Village Head) reporting directly to the Camat, a Secretary, and an LKMD (Lembaga Ketahanan Masyarakat Desa, or Village Self-reliance Organisation) and related LMD whose members ideally plan, manage and monitor development at local level How well has this aim been achieved? Here, rural case studies from all four provinces are assessed.

2.2 Lampung

Lampung is an interesting case study, because of its 20th century history, with very high levels of migration due to Kolonisatie (beginning in 1905) under the colonial era; the

Transmigration era (1950 to 1980); and to large numbers of spontaneous migrants, attracted to Lampung. The population grew from 160,000 in 1905 to 6 million (1990 Census), and is still predominantly rural (over 80 per cent) (Orstom/Transmigrasi 1989). This pattern of migration is reflected in the structure of the province's 1941 villages (1990 census).

The UNILA Team identifies three kinds of village in rural Lampung: (1) Original Villages, comprised of the ethnically homogenous Lampunger groups; (2) Artificial Villages, created specifically for transmigrants as new territorial units; and (3) Fragmented Villages, created from expansions of older category (1) villages (see Lampung IDEPs for a description of cultural groups).

Two approaches to development are compared. First, development is planned through models which originate at the centre, so that they promote uniformity. Second, communities have their own aspirations for development channelled through their own institutions, which reflect the special characteristics of each society. On this basis two different patterns are contrasted in Lampung: an adat pattern, in which leadership is consultative but based on adat lines; focussing on adat aims; directed through adat social groupings; and, second, a territorial pattern (the post 1979 desa), in which there is a more open election process for desa leaders; a focus on concrete, physical development aims; and, in theory, no adat ties or constraints on planning.

The research showed that, in all 10 villages surveyed, the new village structure proposed under UU/5/79 has been implemented. Yet "implementation" can mean very different things, depending on the awareness of the desa officials, and on the social structure of the community, which influences the form and nature of the new institutions and processes.

In one village out of 10 UU/5/79 is fully operational. The Kepala Desa is elected, the LKMD and LMD members play a role in planning and managing village development, including expenditure of Government funds such as Bandes. A village meeting ratifies plans made. This village, Bagelen, representing Category 2 of Artificial Villages, was the first Kolonisatie village created for transmigrants in 1905 as a Javanese village, with elected head, secretary and hamlet (dusun) heads, all supported by tanah bengkok (rice lands which fund incumbent village officials during their term of office). The Kepala Desa reported directly to the Camat, rather than to a Pasirah (Marga head). After the introduction of UU/5/79, which is itself based on a Javanese model, Bagelen could easily adapt to the new structure. With the tanah bengkok income supplement village officials can devote more time to village work.

In most other cases the new village structure operates independently of village social life. The Kepala Desa, who is the key representative for all Government programs, tends to carry the burden of planning and managing activities, especially where Government funds are used, and is under pressure from levels of Government above to show tangible development results. LKMD and LMD exist, but simply approve the plans already prepared, and sometimes provide resources. In the Original Villages (Category 1) the traditional adat figures and institutions are more important when it comes to setting broader village priorities, which tend to focus on adat ceremonies and social exchanges, rather than on planning physical works. The two Fragmented Villages (Category 3) maintain close adat ties with the parent community, although the administrative structures are now formally separated.

Adat also influences the remaining village of Balinese transmigrants (Category 2) dating from 1962. Here, the real power in development planning comes from an adat leader, the pedanda (Hindu priest), whose influence with the villagers is paramount, whilst the Kepala Desa is powerful in name only.

2.3 Jambi

Research in other provinces supports these findings. Two Jambi Malay villages on the Batanghari River were formerly in the same Marga, one as Marga centre, the other as dependent hamlet. Since UU/5/79 both are on the same level (village). In both cases the village community does not actively participate in rural development planning and management. The village officials depend on the funding provided by province, kabupaten and kecamatan, which are allocated to specific activities determined at higher levels of government, so village officials tend to react to orders from above rather than to village initiatives. Whilst LKMD and LMD exist, they only endorse decisions made by the Kepala Desa and his secretary.

2.4 Bengkulu

In Bengkulu province research in Kabupaten Bengkulu Selatan found that, in an original village of mainly Serawai inhabitants, the village officials, including LKMD and LMD members, were ineffective in generating development activities. Rather, they reacted to orders from above, and had little time or incentive for village work. Serawai adat was still a viable force, providing mechanisms for co-operative working together between kin members (ngeresayo and dikeresayoko); and for informal resolution of disputes.

2.5 South Sumatra

Broad-ranging research in six kabupaten, including Musi Rawas and Lahat, found differing perceptions among individuals on the effects of UU/5/79. Kepala Desa and other village officials tended to favour the new structure, due to the direct relationship between the Kepala Desa and the Camat, and the new sources of Government funding which are available for village development.

Former Pasirah of the pre-1983 Marga in South Sumatra, adat leaders and informal leaders, however, generally saw negative social effects arising from the legislation. With the separation of adat from the village administration, the traditional adat sanctions become weaker. Working together (gotong-royong) is harder to implement, because the **Kepala Desa** has less personal authority than the Pasirah had. Family obligations between members of the same kin group are weakening. Following the implementation of UU/5/79 in 1983, there was a rise in petty thieving and lawbreaking, which formerly would have been dealt with in the adat courts. Although adat institutions (Lembaga Pemangku Adat) were created at Kecamatan level (1988) they have only a consultative role. Lahat's adat institution deals largely with the complex and influential Pasemah adat.

2.6 Conclusions

The implementation of UU/5/79 has been uneven in the Southern Part of Sumatra, with transmigrant villages set up originally on the Javanese model clearly at an advantage. In other villages the new structures often sit uneasily with traditional adat, and have little real meaning in planning at the community level. In many areas adat patterns are still strong, and should be taken into account in designing projects which work at local level. There is a potential role in development planning for informal leaders, such as adat and religious leaders; and adat social institutions.

Various solutions to this problem are currently being discussed in Indonesia generally, including better training of village officials and LKMD staff in the processes of community development; increased flexibility in government funding such as Bandes to allow more **desa** level responsibility in planning and managing funding; and a review UU/5/79 structures to allow greater regional variation in local government.

3. **PEOPLE, LAND USE AND THE ENVIRONMENT**

Rural areas in the Region are being transformed as traditional smallholdings are replaced by new forms of production such as transmigration, large estates (PBN, PTP, PIR), logging concessions (HPH) and plantations (HTI). Here, some social effects are assessed.

3.1

Marga and Village: Who Decides the Use of the Land?

Before 1979 the Marga drew revenue from the use of the environmental resources within its territory (land rents; fishing rights; taxes on forest products such as wood, rattan, damar and honey; mining and oil rights) as well as from taxes, services and fines. So the Pasirah (Marga head) played a key role as regulator of land use within the Marga territory. His authority was boosted significantly by his role as symbol of Marga power; guardian of the adat traditions of the ancestors; and guarantor of continued prosperity of the community's resource base for the welfare of future generations.

Research from South Sumatra provides examples of the Pasirah's decisionmaking on environmental matters. Pasirah often gave Marga outsiders permission to cultivate ladang (dry rice and other crops) in Marga forest if they paid tax, but restricted the places and seasons to prevent overuse of the forest resource. When the Marga was abolished it was easier for new migrants to the Region to obtain land to cultivate. This has had positive benefits when cultivation has been intensified within safe ecological limits, but has had negative impact when safe limits have been ignored, for example in the fragile watersheds of Lampung Province which experienced a dramatic rise in population pressure.

The Pasirah could control tree-felling by strict regulation of the quantity and size of trees to be felled. In Kabupaten Musi Rawas tree-felling by outsiders increased significantly shortly after the Marga was abolished in 1983. Faced with dwindling forest resources local people began taking forest products. Under the Marga system local people had a stake in following environmentally sound practices because, as Marga members, they benefitted from the Marga revenue raised. Now, however, the use and revenue from forests is managed at kabupaten level, so local people feel it is outside their control. Similarly, in rivers and in swampy areas such as in Kabupaten OKI (Ogan Komering Ilir), the Pasirah controlled the auction of fishing rights on rivers or lebak lebung (swamp). Anyone could bid for such rights, but there were strict controls on the size of the fish to be taken in order to guarantee future catches. With the redirection of these rights to Kabupaten level there has been less access for local people, and also less regulation on the size of the fish taken.

3.2 Value of Land

Research from Bengkulu has traced recent changes in the value of land resulting from new developments of large estates. In Bengkulu Selatan the traditional Serawai land use system followed a pattern common throughout the Region. With permission from the **Pasirah, Marga** members could open new land for cultivation from **Marga** forest. They generally cut and burned the forest, cultivated dry rice and other crops for two years, left the land fallow for eight years to recover its fertility, and then returned for another cycle. The first cultivator had use rights (**hak ulayat**) under **adat** law. Anyone else wishing to use the land had to gain permission from the first cultivator, and usually, in acknowledgement, offered a gift from the first harvest. If the first cultivator planted tree crops his rights were confirmed and recorded in **Marga** files. Most smallholders have **adat** rights but not formal certificates.

With the introduction of an oil palm PIR nucleus estate the value of land has changed in the surrounding area. Positive effects from this estate include good, fixed incomes for the farmers who were given a shareholding (around Rp 200,000 per month after loan repayments); labouring opportunities as harvesters for others at Rp 50,000 per month; and new village developments resulting from increased incomes in the area. Negative effects of discord and disharmony in the village communities are chiefly due to the unequal re-distribution of land by the estate, which has resulted in some people loosing their land entirely. In two affected villages a total of 306 households gave up land to the estate but only 136 (44 per cent) received shareholdings. Almost all the local people got less land than they gave. Outsiders to the village community were given the remainder. No one was compensated for loss of land or treecrops. Through experience, which has been bitter for some, people now realise that traditional **adat** rights are insufficient to guarantee land security. Research in Kabupaten Rejang Lebong showed, similarly, that most people do not have formal land ownership cerificates, but rather, adat recognition of use rights. As with the Serawai, whoever opened land had use rights. Adat land claims, ratified by the Marga, were often recognised as collateral for loans. Originally wet rice land was valued more than dry fields, but with the growing role of tree crops in generating cash income, people sought Marga endorsement for their kebun (tree crop gardens) as well. Buying and selling of land outside the wider kin group was much discouraged, as land was a symbol of prestige and prosperity, to be passed down through the generations.

A 7,000 hectare private cacao estate has recently changed the value of land in the area, absorbing smallholders' land. Some seasonal employment is available to local farmers as labourers. However, the work opportunities are not as great as expected, and the smallholders in the 12 surrounding villages are experiencing reduced incomes from the lost land, such that many are now unable to pay their PBB tax. Local people now realise that land has commercial value. Most are trying to strengthen their claims to their remaining land.

3.3 Why Go to the Cities? Causes of Rural-Urban Migration

Research amongst informal sector migrants in poor urban kampungs of Palembang revealed some perspectives on why the Region's rural poor so often move to the cities. The two largest migrant groups to the informal sector from the Region (as opposed to Java and elsewhere) are from Kabupatens OKI and MUBA (Musi Banyuasin), in South Sumatra. In both cases migration to Palembang resulted from declining rural living standards.

Migrants from OKI cited dimishing returns from agriculture, resulting from reduced land available for smallholder farming with the spread of large estates, and the lack of intensification or diversification in traditional tidal and swamp agriculture. Farmers rarely possessed formal title, so were not compensated for land held under adat rights which was absorbed into large estates. Some labouring opportunities are available in these estates, but positions are limited and wages low (Rp 1,500-2,000 per day). Small sawmills used to provide rural work opportunities whilst HPH logging companies operated, but the forest stocks have now been depleted. Many people supplemented their income by catching fish, but with the abolition of the Marga these opportunities have gone to higher levels. Because of their close proximity to Palembang it is natural for rural poor from OKI to drift there.

Similarly, migrants from MUBA cited declining landholdings, due to population pressure and the spread of large estates. Again, labouring opportunities are limited and low paid. Moreover, people feel ashamed to become wage labourers in their own villages, and prefer the relative anonymity of the city. Again, proximity to Palembang motivated migrants.

3.4 Suku Anak Dalam: The Kubu Come Out of the Forests

Suku Anak Dalam (Kubu) traditionally were hunter gatherers living on primary rain forest products, hunting forest animals for food, and bartering forest products like rattan, damar, native rubber, sago and honey through an unequal exchange system known as silent barter. Their traditional medicines were culled from special forest plants. Other forest products gave shelter, tools and clothing. The degradation of the forests by logging, plantations and smallholders since the mid-1970s has ended their traditional lifestyle in South Sumatra, and is rapidly ending it in Jambi. About 15,000 Kubu remain in the Region. With the loss of their traditional habitat, they are attempting to survive through sedentarisation and integration into the mainstream.

Department of Social Affairs resettled Anak Dalam in sites along the Jambi/South Sumatra border area, in Kabupatens Musi Rawas and Musi Banyuasin. Some groups have moved away from these sites, due to insufficient fertile land; the traditional practice of **melangun** (moving to another site after the death of a member of the community); and also the unequal terms under which they must adapt to their Malay neighbours. Traditionally, they were subject to exploitative trading practices in their exchange of forest products with outsiders; they continue to be looked down upon, and find difficulty in gaining access to health, education and agricultural services.

To survive, small groups of Anak Dalam (10 to 20 households) are learning new ways, ranging from begging on the highways, wage labour, sale of craft items, and practising the cultivation methods of the Malay: slash and burn degraded forest; cultivate dry rice, cassava, vegetables (ladang) and plant rubber trees, moving the ladang to another site after two to three years. Land availability is a major problem for most of the groups. They have no formal title, insufficient cash to intensify land cultivation, and are being squeezed by the expansion of the cultivated area of their Malay neighbours.

3.5 Spontaneous Migration and Land Use

Three case studies in South Sumatra have traced the impact of spontaneous transmigrants from Java to villages in Muara Enim (Semendo area), MUBA (Babat Toman), and Ogan Komering Ulu (Komering area). In all three cases, the Javanese migrants have generally been well received, and have played an important role in the local agricultural systems, graduating from labourers and sharecroppers on land owned by the indigenous inhabitants to renters and land owners in their own right. In all cases they have contributed to intensification of the agricultural systems, by allowing larger landholders to become absentee landlords, pursuing urban-based careers; or by utilising land that was formerly underutilised due to capital or labour constraints. However, some adverse environmental effects of land intensification are beginning to appear.

In the Semendo village in Muara Enim, landless Javanese first appeared in 1976, and were eagerly sought by larger Semendo landholders to help cultivate harta tubang, the rice lands inherited by the oldest girl in each family under the Semendo matrilineal adat. These lands cannot be sold. Javanese now constitute 9 per cent of the village population, and are beginning to acquire their own lands, usually kebun, which can be opened up, bought and sold under Semendo adat. Some adverse environmental effects are beginning to appear as the land under kebun extends: drying up of water sources in the dry season, and landslips along steep banks, including roadsides.

In Babat Toman Javanese now form 25 per cent of the population, and their landholdings are expanding rapidly, as they often purchase small land parcels which are no longer viable to support local families. The locals who cannot or do not want to farm drift to urban areas in search of work whilst the Javanese grow vegetables in addition to the traditional crops of rubber and rice. As the population density is a low 1.5 persons per hectare there are few signs of environmental decline, apart from sedimentation and caving along the river banks, which used to be the main transport route for the village.

Javanese migrants to the Komering village are from older, densely populated, land hungry transmigration sites in OKU and Lampung, the first migrants arriving in the 1950s to seek seasonal work, with some later buying land. A recent group consists of 60 households from a protected watershed in Lampung Utara, to whom local government have given a parcel of unutilised ex-Marga land. Population density in the village is still a relatively low 1.8 persons per hectare with Javanese at nearly 25 per cent of the population, and there is seasonal labour scarcity in the larger farms. However, shifting cultivation is declining as forest and fallow reserves shrink. Where it is still practiced the fallow period is shortening with overuse.

Komering people are organised into patrilineal clans, the head of each clan being an advisor, negotiator and dispute settler. Land is mainly held under adat law, collectively, by the clan, which now presents problems with population growth, as one local farmer may sell without consulting the wider clan group. Efforts to provide formal title are complex in this situation, unless clan agreement is reached on land to be allocated individually. Individual ownership is increasing as some parcels of clan land become too small to be shared collectively. If such parcels are too small to support a family the owner may sell up, often to Javanese, and drift to the city. Javanese may also buy land which locals consider to be no longer fertile. Whilst they have generally adopted local rice and kebun agricultural practices, the Javanese, through extensive tilling and application of fertilisers, can produce a second crop of vegetables on rice fields, which they sell for cash. Some locals now emulate this practice.

3.6 Conclusions

The Marga structure linked adat to local administration, so that adat provisions to secure the land for future generations could be implemented more readily than today. With the abolition of the Marga, there is a need for environment management systems which work at all levels of government and at the community level. If communities are given a stake in environmental management through decentralisation of responsibility for and control of selected resources to the village level, then they have a stronger incentive to safeguard the environment for future generations.

Spontaneous migration to the Region increases the intensity and complexity of smallholder farming patterns in the Region, depending on the prevailing adat. Javanese migrants have generally been well received by local people, due partly to their relatively small numbers (not more than 25 per cent of the total village population). However, some adverse environmental effects of land intensification are beginning to appear, especially as environmentally fragile land is brought into cultivation. Regional planning generally needs to take account of these new realities, particularly in improving environmental management and in land registration procedures.

Few farmers in the Region have land title. Land values are changing rapidly as the country side is transformed by new forms of intensive production. The formal procedures for registration of adat land are very complex for smallholders; and compensation levels for adat land are very low. Wage labour rates on estates and plantations are also low and cannot compensate smallholders for lost land. Unequal distribution of PIR shareholdings which forces some smallholders off their land with no compensation causes major social problems and economic hardship, particularly when wage labour rates are very low. There is a need to monitor these distributions carefully to ensure that no inequities occur; to streamline land registration procedures and to raise significantly compensation levels for compulsory acquisitions.

Suku Anak Dalam in Musi Rawas have made significant efforts to adat their lives in response to the loss of the forest. They need maximum encouragement and support in this process. Better provision of basic services (agricultural extension, credit, input assistance, health and education) will support them in making the difficult transition to sedentary agriculture. They also need security of land tenure. Programs should be based on a good understanding of their cultural systems. The maintenance of buffer zone forest reserves gives scope to the Anak Dalam to adapt to the modern economy on their own terms.

The flow of unskilled rural migrants to the cities may be stemmed by a combination of factors contributing to rural development, including better provision of services, especially education; improved productivity on agricultural lands together with increased security of tenure to smallholders, better wage levels in rural estates and plantations, and family planning.

4. HUMAN RESOURCES: LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

4.1 Recent Trends

Some national labour force trends in Indonesia generally are also reflected in the Region. Agriculture's share of the labour force is falling, but many employees in this sector were part-time or underemployed workers (1990 Census). Policies are needed which raise the capacity of agriculture to absorb labour. The most rapid employment growth in the 1980s was experienced in the manufacturing, transport and construction sectors, whilst growth in personal and community services, including the government sector, slowed. This supports evidence of

both a growing informal sector, and the declining role of the public sector in employment compared with the oil-boom 1970s (Manning 1992).

Wages in most sectors grew during the early part of the decade, but stagnated in the second half of the 1980s. Rice sector wages show little growth since 1985. Estate wages fell significantly in parts of Sumatra. Similarly, wages in manufacturing have remained almost constant, although in general manufacturing wages seem to be very roughly double those received in agriculture. Whilst Indonesia's relatively low wages have contributed to employment creation in new industries over the past decade, there is increasing concern over substandard wages and working conditions.

These trends are reflected in some of the Regional research results. First, relatively low wages in the agricultural sector, especially in estates, are common, for example as a factor contributing to rural-urban migration. Many people are clearly reluctant to work at such low rates, some of them also preferring to move into marginal, environmentally fragile areas to continue independent smallholder farming. Second, the stagnation of employment generation in the government sector, for example as noted in Jambi, contrasts with educated people's clear preference for employment in government. Many will continue to be disappointed unless government revenues expand again. This highlights a need for employment creation in skilled labour or low to middle management positions in industry and elsewhere. Third, the relative buoyancy of the informal sector has been noted in Palembang, where many poor rural have been able to survive tough conditions to earn a modest living through networks of kin and friends.

Another related feature of the Region's labour force is the high rate of unemployment amongst senior high school graduates. An analysis of 1990 Sakernas (Labour Force Survey - see BPS 1991) data show that well over half of all senior high school graduates are registered as looking for work in Jambi, South Sumatra and Bengkulu.

The informal sector is part of the shadow, or unofficial, economy in which small units produce for the market. It features low levels of specialization, traditional technology recruitment of labour via kin networks, and minimal access to formal financial institutions, with significant dependence on high interest loans from money lenders. The recent buoyancy of the informal sector in Indonesia is, in part, a result of a capital intensive industrialization strategy which centres on modern, high technology and generally recruits limited numbers of skilled labourers. There is a large, unskilled labour force with low levels of education (primary level schooling) which cannot, at present be absorbed into the formal sector economy.

Policies which assist the informal sector are difficult to frame, because of the complex relationships between the formal and informal sectors. Informal sector workers are, by definition, relatively poor, politically weak, and lacking in access to government services.

4.2 The Rural Labour Force: A Jambi Case

In Jambi, 80 per cent of the labour force is rural-based. Kabupaten Batang Hari, affected by urban growth in Kotamadya Jambi, is experiencing rural labour force changes. From 1984 to 1991 the labour force of this kabupaten grew at 3.2 per cent per annum, whilst job opportunities grew at only 2.67 per cent. Unemployment is highest amongst high school graduates (77 per cent of job seekers), as graduates flock to a very limited number of government and private sector jobs in preference to agriculture or labouring work. The rural labour force is characterised by seasonal labour surplus and shortages. New trends include the growth in importance of services, trade and industry although these sectors together employ less than 10 per cent of rural workers.

4.3 The Urban Labour Force: Palembang Informal Sector

Palembang grew at 3.8 per cent per annum (1989-1990). Population density is a high 3,200 people per square kilometer, which makes living conditions difficult when about

half of the city land is subject to regular tides or floods. Poor groups in Palembang live in floating houses on the two rivers (Ogan and Musi); in urban fringe settlements; in large, run down barracks provided by industries; and in sheds, huts and spaces underneath houses in the tidal areas where environmental sanitation is poor. There has been little change in the quality of life in these poor kampungs over time. When unskilled rural people without capital drift to the cities (see Section 3.3 above) they have little choice but to enter the urban informal sector; and usually survive only through networks of kin-related support groups who are already established in the city and can assist them to find housing and work.

This case study focussed on migrants from OKI, MUBA, West Java and Central Java. The OKI and MUBA migrants were mostly aged between 20 and 45 years, and mostly primary school graduates. They tended to work first as becak drivers and labourers, graduating later to petty trading, boat driving on the rivers, second hand goods trading and meat market workers. Few aim to return to settle in their village of origin, although they often maintain close ties and visit frequently. In comparison, the Javanese tend to come to Palembang specifically to work as small scale traders for a period of years, and to save enough money to return to Java to open a small business. This is more profitable than remaining in their own villages as wage labourers or farming tiny parcels of land.

For all groups, income fluctuates significantly, with 65 per cent of those interviewed obtaining Rp.2,600 to 5,000 per day, and 19 per cent gaining less than Rp 2,500 per day. Expenditure is high, especially on accommodation. People often have to buy drinking water. Most of those interviewed are in debt at interest rates of 25-30 per cent. Water-related health and nutrition problems are generally high, and people lack clean washing and toilet facilities, some taking drinking water from the polluted rivers. Yet for most, this life is better than the village.

4.4 Measuring Socio-Economic Welfare

Analysing socio-economic welfare and development at kabupaten level can be problematic because of the scarcity of data. Most of the Central Bureau of Statistic intercensal surveys are oriented to the national level, with only small samples at the kabupaten and kotamadya levels, which can sometimes be used with care. The data sources for this study were mainly, therefore, the National Population Census, conducted every 10 years; and the Potensi Desa (Desa Potential) surveys carried out by the Kepala Desa; supplemented by secondary data from the local departments at kabupaten and kotamadya levels. The 1990 Census Surveys will be available soon and should contain much useful data at kabupaten and kotamadya levels. For this study, a range of demographic, health, education, economic and other indicators were selected and assessed for reliability. The full results compare all kabupaten in South Sumatra and kotamadya Palembang.

4.5 Conclusions

The problems raised by the research on urban Palembang are complex, with implications for rural development, and for macro-economic, industrial and urban development strategies. As such, the research results are not amenable to simple solutions. However, informal sector workers may be assisted by provision of small scale investment credit, Inpres funding if it is spent on effective low-cost services, labour intensive employment programs, small scale industry development, and urban development which is sensitive to the work patterns and needs of the informal sector. Because informal sector activities are, by definition, part of the unrecorded shadow economy, special studies are needed as part of city development programs to determine how the informal sector operates; to ensure that plans disrupt existing patterns as little as possible; and to provide compensatory income generation, training or facilities to informal sector workers if displacement and disruption is unavoidable.

In rural areas productive job creation strategies, educational services to help raise levels of basic education and skill, and efforts to raise returns to the agricultural sector should

be continued. The problem of high unemployment rates for senior high school graduates needs close attention.

Selection of suitable indicators of development and welfare at kabupaten level is difficult as the sources of reliable data are limited. For many indicators, in depth follow-up research is needed to ascertain the causes of trends. Despite these reservations, however, it seems that a clear pattern emerges of better service provision in the rural kabupaten, despite some poverty pockets in Palembang. Musi Rawas has special health and illiteracy problems, especially amongst women, which may be linked to service distributional problems in the low population density. It also has low levels of development amongst village institutions, indicating relatively low planning and management capacity. OKI also has special health problems, whilst MUBA has educational problems, and both of these swampy kabupaten have lower rice production figures and access problems. Lahat has high rice production figures, but relatively low levels of market and co-operative services.

5. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Many of the issues raised above are complex and far-reaching, and not amenable to simple project and program solutions. They need a broad range of policy and program measures which work at many levels. Nonetheless, some recommendations derived from the research conclusions have been developed. These recommendations attempt to address specific issues in program formulation and project design which are applicable to different kinds of donor funded projects.

5.1 Key Regional Themes and Special Issues

Some key themes are set out below which apply generally across the Region of the Southern Part of Sumatra. They are followed by some special Region-wide social problems.

- 5.1.1 Key Regional Themes
 - 1) Socio-cultural factors have significant influence in shaping patterns of development in the Region.
 - 2) Diverse cultural forms, adat variations and patterns of social organisation come from both local inhabitants and migrant groups.
 - 3) These patterns affect many things, including village planning and management, land use, environmental management at community level and the organisation of the workforce.
 - 4) Rapid population growth and changing production forms have had major effects in intensifying resource use.
 - 5) As a result, the rural areas are rapidly being transformed with both positive and negative effects for the local people.

5.1.2 Special Issues

- 1) Most villages in the Region use adat mechanisms for consultation, resolution of disputes and co-operative working together, but these mechanisms are seldom drawn on to contribute to the development process.
- 2) In many villages LKMD and LMD are relatively weak.
- 3) Traditional community level mechanisms for environmental management are weakening or lost.

- 4) Procedures to protect smallholders are needed, to reduce displacement in order to stem the flow of landless; unskilled farmers to the cities or to environmentally fragile land.
- 5) Resource use intensification has negative effects on many rural people, including some smallholders and traditional forest users.
- 6) Poor rural families increasingly migrate to the cities, where they join the urban poor and the informal sector. Special measures are needed in urban planning and service provision to accommodate them.
- 7) Although increasing numbers of young people in the Region are completing Senior High School, large numbers of them cannot find jobs.

5.2 **Recommendations for Development**

Three broad planning principles are set out below. They are followed by detailed recommendations addressing specific issues.

5.2.1 Broad Planning Principles

- 1) Cultural and population patterns should be taken into account in the detailed planning and design of development projects in the Southern Part of Sumatra.
- Community consultation in planning will enhance people's ability and willingness to participate in, contribute to and benefit from development. This helps them to become the "subjects" not the "objects" of development.
- 3) Social analysis is needed for Feasibility and Design Studies which impact directly on people's lives. This is especially so for projects which will displace people from their work or homes. Wher this is likely to occur, social analysis is needed as part of Feasibility and Design to minimise likely disruption.

5.2.2 Recommendations For Projects and Programs Working at Village Level

- 1) Many projects and programs work at village level or through village institutions or involve co-operative working together by community members. It is recommended that, for these projects, specific design and implementation measures be introduced to mobilise the people. In many cases, provision must be made within project design to strengthen the village-based planning, revenue raising and management capacity, possibly through work by LSM and LPSM with extensive experience in community development work.
- 2) It is recommended that such projects examine adat-based mechanisms for consultation, planning and co-operative working together, so that, where feasible, these mechanisms can be drawn into project design to enhance and strengthen management capability at community level. The adat patterns are likely to vary between villages. This means working through informal leaders according to those patterns.
- 3) It is recommended that the villages be given increased flexibility in financial planning and budgeting. For example, where counterpart Inpres funds are allocated to the project, all or part of the funds can be directed to

development activities to be determined independently by the village community through a defined planning process.

5.2.3 Recommendations for Land Use and Environment

- 1) The intensity and complexity of smallholder agricultural patterns is increased significantly by spontaneous migration to the Region. We support recommendations by the World Bank and others to improve the provincial capacity to plan and manage resource utilisation taking into account these new realities. This applies especially to monitoring and managing the environment to achieve sustainability, and to improving smallholder land registration procedures.
- 2) Diverse adat cultural traditions influence the organisation of local groups and the utilisation of land and other resources. It is recommended that these patterns, and their variations, be taken into account in planning and implementing projects which work at village level, especially in projects which affect land use, the environment, or which require local people to work co-operatively together.
- 3) Javanese migrants can be well received within Sumatran villages within the Region, if they can fill a specific economic niche within the agricultural system, which does not involve displacement of local people. However, it is important that they are introduced gradually and their numbers are kept at relatively low levels, at not more than 25 per cent of the village inhabitants. This has implications for Trans Bandep Projects.
- 4) Agricultural and rural development projects which aim to increase the productivity of small holdings are desirable, providing that the intensification and extensification of agriculture is conducted in harmony with the prevailing adat and within safe ecological limits. This will help to minimise the likelihood of unskilled rural people who can no longer support their families on small landholdings from drifting to the cities or to environmentally fragile areas.
- 5) Projects which aim to increase environmental knowledge, awareness and safe land use practices at village level should be encouraged. These projects require detailed social and environmental assessment as an integral part of project design to ensure that local issues and features are addressed.
- 6) With the abolition of the Marga, there is a need for environment management systems which work at all levels of government and at the community level. It is recommended that, in selected activities, village communities are given a stake in environmental management through decentralisation of responsibility for and control of selected resources. Then they have a stronger incentive to safeguard the environment for themselves and for future generations.
- 7) The World Bank has recommended streamlined, low cost and accessible land registration procedures for smallholders to provide security of tenure to local people. This will help minimise their displacement and facilitate the buying and selling of land in rural areas. Special attention is required to resolve the issue of formal land title for clan land. If compensation payments are raised to reasonable levels, including for land held under adat title, those smallholders who are displaced will be equipped better to start a new life.

- 8) It is recommended that provincial and kabupaten government monitor carefully the allocation of shareholdings in PIR smallholder estates to ensure that no inequities occur, especially for local people who have given up their land to the estate. Preference should be given to local people in allocating shareholdings.
- 9) For Suku Anak Dalam (Kubu), better provision of basic services, including specially prepared agricultural extension, credit, input assistance, health and education will support them in making the difficult transition to sedentary agriculture. It is recommended they be provided with security of land tenure. Their land holdings should be sufficiently large to allow a reasonable level of subsistence. Lessons can be learnt from the adaptation of the Musi Rawas Suku Anak Dalam, which may be applicable to those in Jambi who are still attempting to come to terms with the loss of the forest. It is recommended that buffer zone forest reserves in Jambi be supported to allow more scope to the Anak Dalam there to adapt to the modern economy on their own terms.

5.2.4 Recommendations For Human Resource Development

- 1) It is recommended that, in project feasibility and design, special attention be given to improving the data bases of information at kabupaten and kotamadya level.
- 2) It is recommended that increased resources be made available via program and project development to improve data collection and analysis at Level II as a basis for planning, management and monitoring of development. Case studies should be funded to follow up specific issues such as the underlying causes of identified statistical trends.
- 3) Human resource development, for example in health, education, marketing and village development, needs attention in many parts of the Region especially in rural areas. Access to services is difficult in swampy areas (for example MUBA and OKI) and a more equitable distribution of services is recommended.
- 4) In urban areas, measures are recommended to assist the informal sector. These can include skills development, credit provision, small scale industry development, retraining and lending schemes designed to provide compensation to informal sector workers who are displaced by development.
- 5) Urban services are recommended to improve the level of environmental sanitation for poor urban kampungs. This includes low-cost, appropriate water supply, sanitation and drainage facilities; rubbish collection services and environmental health care. The services should be planned and designed in consultation with communities; and, where possible, managed by them on completion. This means projects should include measures to train and strengthen local formal or informal community organisations to undertake this work.
- 6) Urban planning strategies should take into account the working and living patterns of people in the informal sector, so that they are not disadvantaged by city planning efforts. Because informal sector activities are, by definition, part of the unrecorded shadow economy, special studies are recommended to assess the informal sector working and living patterns. In the light of these data, specific plans can be prepared to accommodate the needs of the informal sector, and to ensure they benefit from development.

It is recommended that mechanisms for consultation with informal sector workers be established as an important part of the urban planning process.

- 7) Special efforts are recommended to create productive jobs in rural areas; and also to continue educational services to help raise levels of basic education and skill of the rural labour force. It is further recommended that efforts be made to achieve fair and reasonable wage levels for unskilled, labouring work in the estate and plantation sector, where these are amenable to action in project and program design.
- 8) It is recommended that special efforts be made to reduce the problem of high unemployment rates for senior high school graduates. Employment creation strategies emphasising skilled labour and low to middle level management positions should be developed. Programs which emphasise vocational training and skills development are recommended.

RESEARCH TOPICS AND PARTICIPANTS

The participants in the socio-cultural research program were as follows.

Balai Penelitian, Universitas Jambi (Research Institute, University of Jambi) Head: Ir. Rosyid

- The Role of Social Institutions in Supporting Rural Development Field Researchers: Armen Mara; Yanuar Fitri; M. Rachmad; Charles; I Made Sukada; Mahdi Thaib
- 2) Factors Influencing the Change in Labour Market Patterns in Rural Jambi Field Researchers: Arnoldy Arby; Jamaluddin; Saidin Nainggolan

Pusat Penelitian, Universitas Sriwijaya (UNSRI) (Research Centre, Sriwijaya University) Head: Dr. Siti Zainab Bakir

 The Impact of Spontaneous Transmigration on Rural Labour Supply and Land Use in Three Areas in South Sumatra: Babat Toman, Semendo and Martapura
 Sind Day Angel Angel Angel Angel Time

Field Researchers: Aisyah AR; Yusnaini; Tina.

- The Environmental Condition of the Kubu People (Anak Dalam) at Musi Rawas and Their Adaptation Following Resettlement Field Researchers: Achmad Romsan; Usmawadi; Tri Agus Susanto; Didi Tahyuddin; Joni Emirzon.
- A Socio-Economic Study of the Adaptation of Seasonal Migrants in Slum Areas of Urban Palembang: Case Studies of Three Neighbourhoods Field Researchers: Didi Tahyuddin; Ansori Amin; Astina Syarkowi; Hayatuddin
- A Comparative Study of the Social and Economic Welfare Indicators for All Kabupaten of South Sumatra Field Researcher: Jousairi H
- 5) An Assessment of the Change in Village Law on Adat, Land Use and the Environment Field Researchers: Hayatuddin, Simon Simonse

6.3

6.

6.1

6.2

Balai Penelitian, Universitas Bengkulu (Research Institute, University of Bengkulu) Secretary: Dr. Dwinardi Apriyanto

- The Change in Customary Land Value with the Introduction of Large Plantations in Rural Bengkulu Field Researchers: Mirza Yasben; Rezi Erdiansyah
- Contribution of Local Level Social Cohesion to Village Development: A Case Study from South Bengkulu Field Researcher: Sudarwan

Balai Penelitian, Universitas Lampung (Research Institute, University of Lampung) Head: Dr. Muhajir Utomo

6.4

 Issues in Rural Development in Lampung Province: An analysis of the Role of Village Institutions Field Researchers: Bambang Sumitro; Sudjarwo; Budiono; Buchori Asyik; Kadir

(6361-5261)
Product
Domestic
Gross
۲ml

at de l

ANALYTICAL DETAILS OF MACROECONOMIC FRAMEWORK APPENDIX 2 11.6 11.6 11.4 11.4 10.0 Mayoma 10000 4000 800 8000000 ļ m

·		5851	38.7	0.2	15.7	45.3	100.0	: 72	-	2 01	49.0	100.0	46.4	5.0	2.5	50.8	100.6	8°7†	2.0	11.2	43. S	0.161	32.3	80 1 1	5	с С	100.0	25.1	3 -1	17.4	55.9	100-0
		1988	39.3	0.2	14.6	\$5.2	100.0	3 7 8	1	13.9	9.5	100.0	42.3	1 2	2 7	50.3	100.0	45.5	9.2	11.2	£3. 1	196.0	33.1	1.4	11.3	41.5	100.0	26.3	1.5	16.9	55.4	100.0
pr <u>i</u> ces)	e (1)	1961	41.3	0.3	13.4	45.0	100.0	25.8	5 F	18.1	49.7	100.0	45.8	0 6	2.6	18 5	100.0	46.4	6.2	10.0	43.4	9 JOI	34.3	5.5	14.4	4.14	100.0	25.9	4	16.1	55	100.0
constant pri-	ŝ	1986	40.1	0.4	12.8	46.8	100,0	26.7	9	17.4	49.5	100.0	45.5	ч т	2.5	10 V	100.0	45.5	0.2	10.1	44 1	100.0	34.3	3.6	14.0	48,1	100.0	Z7.9	1.5	15.3	55.3	100.0
(1983 cons	Percentage	1985	40.8	0,4	12.9	45.5	100.0	77.3	่ เก	16.7	1.02	100.0	43.7	0	2.2	53. 4	100.0	45.1	0.3	10.2	44.4	100.0	37 5	רט רז	1	48.4	100.0	28.9	4.1	14.6	55 1	100.0
1)		1984	42.5	0.5	30.0	47.0	100.0	2.12	4	16.7	\$ 9 .8	100.0	46.4	0,6	18	51.7	100-0	45.6	с. Э	Г. Б	45.0	100.0	34.8	80 17	1 1	18°.3	100.0	29.2	1.5	13.7	55.7	100.0
		5361	\$2.6	0.5	В, Б	48.3	100.0	26.4	nd 6	17.3	48.9	100.0	47.3	0, 6 0	1.1	50.4	100.0	44.7	0.3	5, 0 8, 0	46.2	100.6	3.9	4.5	្តែ	48.3	160.0	29.3	1:1	12.7	56.3	100.0
		83-89	5.2	r f	18.3	1.5	5.0	4.6	+	1 22	6.3	6.3	5.5	58.9	18.5	8.5 2	8.4	9.0	1.4	11.2	6.9	8.9	6.3	3.3	<u>ۍ</u>	6.8		3.7	6.0	17-1	6.2	6.4
		\$ 58-98	4.1	3.0	12.5	4.2	5.01		24.4	80 60	7.0	8.7	2.4	35.8	15.0	က ဆိ	5.4	5.8	-1.2	67 67	5	2.7	57	24.8	5	7.3	7.6	3.7	18.8	11.6	0	С. 4 Т
	Rate (3)	57-33	5.4	6. Y	년.0	ត. ខ	11.8	3.9	ព	12.9	8,0	8.7	1.7	70.2	14.9	14.0	10.1	. 1	3.5	21.2	7.6	8	5.0	. 15.8	15.4	8. 8	8.7	4.9	сэ 7	12.8	7-7	7 4
	Growth 1	86-87	8.0	-16.2	10.1	1.0	4.9	1.5	14.1	11.4	6.3	6.8	8.3	100_0	13 2	8	7.6	12.6	2.1	5	8.7	10.5	7.5	16.0	10.8	 9	1.5	2.1	7.0	11.4	1.3	5.8 8
	ਸਾਸ਼ੀ	85-86	4.8		6.2	8.8	6.8	4.4	8.6	10.7	6.1	5,6	15.2	172.9	26.2	4.4	10.7	6,6	2.7	. 7.6	8.2	و.ع د	7.2	10.2	г. Б	8. 9	7:5	2.6	10.7	11.1	.6.6	6.1
		84-85	2.7	-11.2	37.8	4.5	1.0	4.7	-2.5	5.4	5-2	4.4	1.6	21.1	28.1	12.3		5.4	1.8	19.3	5.1 	6.5	4.4	-2.3	10.1	S.6	5.5	4.3	3.7	12.5	4.4	5.4
		83-84	5.3	-3.4	1	2.5	5.4	6.5	-10.8	2 - 7	5.2	3.4	4 . 6	4.5	14.2	8 . 5	6.7	14.2	С, ²	15.6	1.6	11.9	6.8	-10.3	1	6.0	5.9	4.6	-7.1	0.E	0.1	5
		5361	274	2	Ξ	320	707	885	284	706	1,803	3,678	170	ĸ	11	234	427	<u>ب</u>	ر .	198	EF.	E.	2,125	315	1,027	3,116	6,584	21,996	1,427	15,181	48, 873	87,477
		1588	593	2	38	101	670	842	52	55	1,685	3,398	165	51	ដ	138	66 2.	754	न् य	185	711	1,656	2,025	252	i Ser Ser Ser Ser Ser Ser Ser Ser Ser Ser	2,904	£, 117	21,214	1,201	13,607	44,693	80, 714
	(1987	247	ы	8	2,70	599	810	202	595	1,560	3,141	3	13	m	174	327	709	5	121	ញ្ញ រ	1,528	836° T	218	ដ	2,657	5,626	20,224	1,146	12,064	41,694	75,128
	(Rp billion)	1386	522	•	۳ ۲	ЪБ.	572.	786	E	511	1,468	2,942	151	ഗ	80	167	755	629	ė	140	610	1,383	1,795	138	EF .	2,513	5,228	15, 799	1,072	10,828	39,295	70, 994
	GDP (R	3381	575	14	66	242	97 17	73	ផ	1 27		2,769	Ħ	2	9	20	300	573	m	ក្ម	SE SE	1,270	7291	170	199	2,353	238, I	19,300	88 88	3,745	36,871	66,384
		1584	213	14	50	ដ	997 97	718	168	412	1,315	2,643	521	~	ŝ	143	278	543	ന	109	5	1, 192	1,603	175	80	2,230	4,614			8 563		
		। छत	202	~	Ę.	ស្ រ	¢14	674	188	27	1,250	2,555	8	7	•4	131	261	476	<u>م</u>	5	G G	1,055	1,475	<u>8</u>		50I 7	۲. عدر ۲	1 ·		7,666		
	.	F1													¢.h			•		en.					сл.			• • •				w.
1/Gas 20P	Sector		Agriculture	Entres .	Nanutacturing	Services		Agriculture	erinik	Handrecturing	Services	666	Agriculture	printh	Nanufacturing	Services	EDP	Agriculture	Sucuci	hanufacturing	Services	1	Agriculture	hining	frantracturing	Services	60	Agriculture	hining	Nanufacturing	Services	GDP
(A) Kon-Oil/Gas EDP	Province		Jambi					South	Sumatra				Bengkulu					Lanoring			,ť		fegra		s.	5		Ka ti cu				

: 2PS, Provincial Income of aach Province 1923-1989 : 2PS, Mational Income of Indonesia 1923-1988 : BPS, Mational Income of Indonesia 1984-1989

Source

Remarks': (1) Services sector includes all sectors other than Agriculture, Mining and Manufacturing sectors.

(8) 011/Ees CDP	5. UP																(1383	constant prices)	prices	<u> </u>		
Decision of the second	Cart or	н (с. 1 1		G2P (R	(cop (the billion)					Ъ.	anual Growth	th Rate (2	(?)				Pe	Percentage	Share	(E)		
		1 2361	1984	1385	1985	1361	1588	1339 1	83-84 3	34-85 85	85-86 86-87	-87 87-88	53-53 58	6 83-89	1983	1384		ស អូព អូព	5 1987		1 2363	1383
<u>रिक्तो</u>	Agricel ture Mining Nawifacturing Services 60P	52 55 14 82 55	22 23 23 23 23 24 24 25 25	ឡ ៤ ន ង ខ្ល	527 52 53 52 53	12 28 28 12	263 307 343 843	772 781 182 888	5.4 5.4 2.5 5.5	37.8	8 4 5 8 9 9 8 4 5 8 9 8 7 5 1	8.0 6 57.1 59 10.1 22 4.7 9 12.2 17	6.4 4.1 59.5 4.6 22.0 13.5 9.8 4.2 9.8 4.2 17:6 5.3	1 5.2 5 20.8 5 18.3 2 8.9	2 38.1 8 11.0 3 7.7 7 43.2 9 100.0	1 38.0 0 11.0 7 8.9 2 42.0	.0 36.4 .0 36.4 .0 11.1 .0 10.0	.4 35.5 .1 10.9 .5 11.4 .5 11.4 .0 10.0		34.5 3 15.2 2 11.2 1 39.1 3 39.1 3	31.2 20.5 11.6 36.5 120.0 1	30.5 20.5 36.1 36.1
South Suxatra	Agriculture Mining hamfacturing Services Services	674 829 685 1,250 3,438	718 1,067 756 1,315 3,257	752 1,119 796 1,383 4,051	736 1,147 848 1,468 4,245	810 934 883 1,560 4,248	842 1,006 577 1,685 4,510	825 1058 1047 1,803 4,833	6.5 28.8 5.2 12.2 12.2	5.25 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2	4 10 10 17 4 10 10 17 11	-13.1 4.1 6.3 0.0 0.0	3.9 1.2 1.2 9.2 1.2 9.2 7.2 8.6 7.2 6.2 7.2 6.2 7.2	2 2 4.5	6 19.6 8 24.1 3 19.9 3 36.4 8 100.0	.1 27.7 .1 27.7 .9 19.6 .4 34.1 .0 100.0		18.6 18.5 27.6 27.0 19.6 20.0 34.1 34.5 30.0 100.0		19.1 23.4 20.8 26.7 36.7 30.0 100.0	18.7 22.3 21.7 37.4 100.0	18.3 21.7 21.7 37.3 37.3
Bengkulu	Agriculture Kining Nawfazturing Services SGP	122 4 131 261	129 2 143 278	131 166 300	151 5 2 (31 232	3 H 6 2 5	99 ET 1 86 55	5 17 17 17 5 17 17 5 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	4.5 4.5 8.5 6.7	1.6 21.1 22.1 12.3 7.7	15.2 15.2 26.2 10.7	8.3 100.0 13.2 14 7.6 14 7.6	1.7 2.4 70.2 36.8 14.9 15.0 14.0 8.5 10.1 7.3	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	5 47.3 5 47.3 5 50.4 100.0	.5 46.4 .6 0.6 .4 51.2 .0 100.0		43.7 45 0.7 1 2.2 2 53.4 50 100.0 100	45.5 4 1.6 2.5 59.4 4 100.0 10	45.8 4 3.0 2.6 48.6 5 100.0 10	42.3 4.7 2.7 50.3 100.0 1	40.4 6.0 50.8 50.8
5 cardare 1	Agricul ture Mining Kanuf acturing Services GCP	476 3 94 492 1,065	543 3 103 537 1,192	55 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	1,363 L,363	82 ° 51 53 57 1	754 4 135 714 1,656	796 3 198 177 177	14.2 5.7 5.1 9.1 9.1	5.1 5.3 5.5	01141-00 01-10-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 01-10 00000000	6.1.12 8.1 7.12 7.12 7.12 7.12 7.12 7.12 7.12 7.	6.4 5.6 3.5 -7.2 21.2 7.3 7.3 8.4 7.3 8.4 7.3		6 44 7 44 7 2 8 8 8 9 100 0 9 100 0		45.6 45 0.3 0.3 9.1 10 46.0 44 100.0 100	45.1 45 0.3 0 10.2 16 44.4 44 100.0 100	45.5 46 0.2 1 18.1 10 44.1 45 100.0 100	46.4 4 9.2 10.0 10.0 10 43.4 4 43.4 4 100.0 10	45.5 0.2 11.2 43.1 43.1	44.8 0.2 43.8 43.8
Region	Agriculture Mining Manufacturing Services 60°	1,475 872 824 2,103 2,294 5,294	1,603 1,134 920 5,887	1,674 1,191 1,001 2,353 6,221	1,795 1,795 1,069 2,513 6,603	1,128 1,118 1,128 1,128 2,671 6,850	2,025 1,202 1,202 2,994 7,461	2,125 1,309 1,369 3,136 7,920	8.7 27.1 11.7 5.0 5.0	5.1 5.8 5.7 6 7 6	2.2.2	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	8.5.0 8.5 8.5 8.5 7.3 7.5 8.5 7.3 7.5 8.5 7.3 7.5 8.5 7.5 8.5 7.5 8.5 7.5 8.5 7.5 8.5 7.5 7.5 8.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7	4.5 8.9 7.8 8.8 7.0 5.8 7.0 5.9 7.0 5.9	27.9 6 15.8 8 15.8 7.95 8 3.21 9 100.00 9 100.00		27,2 26 15.5 15 15.6 16 37.9 31 90.0 190	26.9 27.2 15.2 18.6 16.1 16.2 37.8 23.1 27.8 23.1 100.0 100.0		28.2 2 16.3 1 16.4 1 39.1 3 39.1 3 200.0 10	27.4 16.2 17.2 39.2 100.0 M	26.8 16.5 17.3 39.3 39.3
NETION	Agriculture Mining Manufacturing Services Services	11, 19 2 101, 11 1, 107 1, 1, 107 1, 1, 107 1, 107 107 10, 17	18,513 17,120 12,079 35,326 83,337	15, 21 15, 21 15, 21 15, 21 175, 23 173, 23 175, 23 175, 23 175, 23 175, 23 175, 23 175, 23 175, 24 175, 24 17	19, 799 16, 309 14, 678 39, 255 90, 081	20,224 16,366 16,366 41,634 94,518	21,214 15,893 18,182 18,593 99,581	21,996 16,818 19,836 48,673 107,523	4.6 6.3 22.1 4.0 6.9	4,3 19,6 4,4 2,5 2,5	1.1.0.9.1 1.1.0.0 1.1.0.0	2.1 0.3 4.9 4.9	-2.9 12.0 5.8 7 5.8 7	3.7 3.7 5.8 0.7 9.1 12.3 9.4 6.2 7.5 5.5	7 22.5 7 20.7 3 12.7 2 42.7 5 100.0		22.3 22 20.6 14 14.5 14 42.5 45 100.0 10	22.7 22 18.2 18 15.8 16 43.3 41 100.0 100	00123 0016 0016 0016 0016 0016 0016 0016 001	21.4 17.3 17.2 17.2 19.0 100.0	21.2 15.9 18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2 18.2	20.5 15.6 18.4 18.4 18.4

Scurce : 8PS, Provincial Income of each Province 1983-1989 : 8PS, Mational Income of Indonesia 1983-1988 : 8PS, Mational Income of Indonesia 1984-1989

l

Rewarks : (1) Services sector includes all sectors other than Agriculture, Mining and Manufacturing sectors.

Table 2 Population, Annual Growth Rate and Density by Kabupaten

				Population	ti œ		Arnual E	Growth Rate (1)	ate (1)	e L	Percentage	e Share			hop	Population Density	Density	
				(1,600)	(0)	•			1.50		*			Land	<u> </u>	(Pop./sq.km)	, ka)	
PT OV TACE	- 24	Kapupaten 1	1951	1571	ន្លដ	1990	-1961	-1/52 8861		1961	1471	1980) 0651	area (sq.ks)	1967	1 1.61	1 0861	0661
Jarbi		Krinci	156	181	241	082	12	5.1	1.52	Z0.9	18.6	16.7	13.9	4,200	37	4	5	61
	7	S.Banko	117	14.3	117	ž		3	8	р.ч	14.3	15-0	11.3	14, 200	00	2	2	ង
	(~}	B.Hari	N.	160	112	326		3.01	4 19	18.0	15.9	15.0	16.1	11, 30	11	7	ខ្នា	肉
	4	T.Jaburg	117	215	302	362		3.45	8	I5.7	21.4	20.9	18.0	10,200	1	ដ	30	36
	ŝ	8.Teho	81 11	142	5	ज़ि		5 27	1	15.2	14.1	16.4	17.9	13 , 500	80	Ħ	18	ы
	ம்	Kogya Jambi	ព្ន	158	230	240		3.80	3.8	2. 되	15.7	15.9	15.8	387 768	543	369	1, 117	,649
		lotal	746	1,006	1,444	2,018	3. Qf	3.99	3.40	100.0	100.0		100.0	53 ,436	14	51	13	ĸ
South	r ~	0.K.UIU	382	685	751	₹¥ K	3.51	3,38	7.53	13.8	15.7	16.2	15.4	10,408	3	23	72	ន
Sumatra	60	- O.K.ILL	378	446	33	11	1.66	897 -7	3.18	13.6	13.0	12.2	12.3	Z1,658	11	21	3 2	36
	cn	M.Enis	Ē	Ŕ	431	586	80	11.1	ы. Н	12.0	10.6	5	5'3	9,575	35	ន	45	61
	91	Lahat	310	213	485	565	1.86	2.66	2.14	11.2	10.8	10.5	9.5	4,034	F	5	21	145
	Ħ	h. Ravas	186	222	366	512	ដក	3.79	3.41	6.7	7.3	1.9	8 2	21,513	B)	더	17	24
	1	ft.Banyuzsin	296	375	591	234	2.38	4 65	4.10	10.7	10.9	12.8	14.1	25,664	Ы	ង	13	ঙ্গ
	ព	Bangka	252	702	90 1	514	1.30	2.73 52	2.54	1,9	8.8 8	8°.6	8.2	11,614	12	R	34	ą4
	21	Belitung	102	671	3	193	2.31	2.43	1. 88	3.1	3.1	3.5	1.1	1,522	ព			\$₽
	13	Kodya Palembang	5	583	187	1,140	3.28	3.05	22 11	17.1	36.9	17.0		224	2,120	2,601		5,089
	9	Kodya P.Pinang	69	75	S	113	2.17	1.88	2.31	2.2	2.2	1.3		<pre>{3</pre>	1,854			3,536
		Jotal	2,113	3,438	4,628	6,276	2.17	3.02	5. 25	100.0	100.0	100.0		109,254	25	H	42	2
Benckulu	1	B. Selatan	1		122	38	1	, ,	2.33		· •	30.8	25.3	5,949	1	•	40	ន
		R.Lebong	1		288	368	1	ı	2.47	ł	•	37.5	31.2	4,110	1 '	ŀ	70	8
	<u>۳</u>	B.Utara	ı	. 1	178	55	ı	ı	6, 75	۰ï	٩.	23.2	29.1	585,5	ł		<u>۾</u>	R
	8	Kodya Bengkulu		•	ង	170	ı	ı	10.15	ť	!	8.8 4	14.4	145	ı	ı	148	1,178
		Total	•	3	768	1,179	ł	•	4°.3	1		100.0	100.0	19,789	1		69	63
Laspung	21	L.Selatan	•	1, 115	1,583	1,824	1	3.57	1.43	1	40.2	34.2	30.3	6 645	ł	168	ŝ	274
	ង	L.Tensah	' #	L65	1,691	1,912	ı	5.42	1.18	1	35.9	36.6	31.6	1901	ı	5 <u>6</u>	184	207
	នា	L'Utara	ŧ	465	882	1,346		6.62	1.31	. I	16.7		22.4	14 A 18	ı	R	61	5 1
	2	L.Barat	•	ı	L	502 203	•	٠	ł	•		ı	1.5	4,951	1	ı	4	(J
•	Ŋ	Kodya B.Lazpung	ı	651	469	5	•	8.94	3.11	ł	7.2	30.1	10.6	163	¥	1.17	2,772	3,767
:		Total	i	2,776	¢,525	6,015	3	5.24	1.51	1	100.0	100-0	100.0	Er X	ı	<u>8</u> 2	ТЩ Г	170
South Sumatra	inatria		•		11,465	15,491		L	3.5					217,856		1	ទ	TL
Source	503	: 205. Population Census of	1	each Province 1990	0661													
fearks	The second	Remarks : Including persons with no		tience in L	residence in Lapung and not including persons	d net in	c inding p	er sons										
	1	W IN AVIANTER OIL U		T OILS DOL	אמוזה הברכ	א וה בכח		- נודדד ש										

Table 3 Gross Domestic Product without Oil/Gas

15.0 5.5 26.2 53.3 100.0 34.3 53.7 38.7 54.8 100.0 20.4 54.4 100.0 21.9 26.1 6.5 5.0 61.3 61.3 25.9 26.7 100.0 26.4 0.1 1.1 2010 100 0 51.9 100.0 16.9 6.1 25.2 51.8 100.0 5.2 58.8 100.0 29.7 0.1 53.0 100. D 21.0 52.6 18.0 1.2 23.8 57.0 100.0 25.4 29.1 6.9 22.8 3.5 22.5 Ê Percentage Share 0.1 2005 (1983. constant prices) 100.0 18.8 6.7 50.6 50.6 20.6 50.0 25.5 4.0 50.9 100.0 11.4 21.8 57.0 29.3 32.0 4.4 56.5 100.0 34.2 15.4 50.3 100.0 0.1 2000 . 21.8 1.5 20.0 56.8 100.0 33.6 0.2 47.5 100.0 20.9 7.2 7.2 49.5 100.0 35.3 6.7 3.7 54.3 100.0 28.5 4.4 49.0 100.0 13.1 47.4 100.0 2.0 2.0 3951 18.2 56.2 100.0 100.0 39.6 5.1 3.1 51.2 100.0 44.8 0.2 43.8 43.8 100.0 24.0 1.7 31.5 4.7 16.7 38.1 0.2 4.7 1990 6.9 5.0 3.0 7 9.G 4.8 10.5 8.0 7.1 5.2 4.1 9.2 8.0 7.6 6.8 2010 6.5 6.0 -0251 3,1 4.8.1. 4 4.5 8.3 3.8 Average Growth Rate (3) 6.0 10.0 7.8 9.8 2.3 3.0 6.8 5.0 2005-5.6.6.7 8.4.6.7 8.4.6.7 7.8,9,4,5,1 40.4 7.73 3.5 3.1 4.8 6.5 7.6 6.8 5.2 4.5 9.3 8.2 8.2 8.3 7.6 5.0 4.5 3.0 2000-2005 5.9 3.5 7.5 2.4 3.7 4.4 5.2 4.0 9.5 8.2 -7661 3.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 000Z 6.5 2.50 2.50 0.50 0.50 4 4 9 6 8.7 7.7 6.9 0.5 3.5 9 4.5 5.5 3.0 5.1 9.4 8.8 7.5 5.3 11.5 8.1 8.1 5.6 7.8 1995 7.6 6.5 6.2 8.5 -0661 ج 8 8 r. 8 v. 5 40,628 2,811 64,830 5,487 840 5,938 14,605 26,870 142,044 463 118 106 1,088 1,775 1,694 4,955 9,050 1,936 711 3,365 6,856 12,868 2,392 695 1,706 3,177 3,177 2010 370 88 66 748 1,271 2,425 46,657 111,827 196,126 4,447 690 4,034 10,257 19,488 35,217 1,081 3,325 6,279 1,866 566 3 502 1,156 2,226 1,646 593 2,445 5,027 9,711 2005 GDP (Rp billion) 30,526 2,092 33,422 87,619 153,659 3,569 555 7,117 7,117 13,991 650 2,132 4,236 293 64 40 518 916 1,372 487 1,735 3,587 7,281 7,281 1,448 456 2 320 779 1,558 2000 26,205 1,804 24,053 68,326 68,326 376 1,254 2,858 1,812 4,903 10,003 1,128 391 1,209 2,578 5,406 1,124 2,846 441 231 24 42 23 203 516 1,086 36 395 218 849 1,938 918 302 838 838 1,546 4,004 22,605 1,564 17,150 53,028 94,346 2,254 325 3,365 3,365 7,153 88 **-**1 (A) Framework 1 : 53 National Growth 190 Nanuf acturing Manufacturing Mining Nanufacturing Manuf acturing Hanufacturing tanufacturing Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture Services GDP ariculture Sector Services GDP Agriculture Services GDP Servíces GDP Services GBP Services EDP Puining Aining tining Hining Soluting Bengkulu Lampung Nation Province Region South Sugatra Jami

Aemarks : Services sector includes all sectors other than agriculture, Mining and Hanufacturing sectors.

(B) Frasework 2 : 3% Kational Growth

(1983 constant prices)

				au.						14) ate							
Drowford	Sartar		(R)	Rp billion	~	•	-	İ	<u> </u>	91				(:)	Stare		
	2	0651	5651	2000	2005	2010	ន៍	2000	2002	2010	2010	1590	1995	2000	5002	010Z	
Jambi	Agriculture.	857	385	514	85 85	520	6.0	6.2	6.0	5.0	6.0	38.1	32.5	26.3	21.0	16.5	
	eninih	4	2	m	'n	~7	4.0	4 .5	0'' 0''	5.5	4.7	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	
	Henufacturing	821	E	624	828	1,570	12.6	9.ਈ	13.5	13.7	11.3	16.9	19.5	ц,	25-2	- 82	
	Services cnp	е К	585 19	1,001	1,75	3,094	6.01 V	0.21 1	11.9	0 11	11.7	44.7	51.8 6 051	51.2	53.6	មនុទ្	
	CUT	ari	1,161	1,530	-174	סטרי <u>ר</u>	r n		10.0		с -от	9.ĽŊ	0.001	n-ont	n	P. 001	
South	kgriculture	918	1,172	1.517	1,32	2,533	5.0	5.3	5.5	6.0	5.4	2 B	19.7	16.5	14.0	82	
Susatra	tining	302	្ត ភ្ន	605	869	1.253	1.7	7.3	7.5	5.	7.4	7.5	7.1	6.6	6.1	5.5	
	Nanufacturing	353	1,337	2 274	123,5	6,603	9 8	11.2	11.0	11.5	10.9	20.9	5	24.8	27.1	2013	
	Services	1,946	128,5	4,778	7,453	12,003	9,2	9.6	ชา เ	10.0	ທີ່ ຄຳ	8° 6	50.7	52.1	55.7	22	
	605	4,004	5,356	3,174	14,136	23, 513	8.3	9.0	0'5	63 01	0.5	100.0	100-0	130.0	190°0	0.001	
Bençkulu	Agriculture	180	ន្ត	349	484	676	6.8	6.9	6.8 .0	6.9	5.8	39.52	34.7	30.3	26.1	21.7	
	tining	28	4	μ.	511	1961	10.0	10.2	10.3	10.5	10.2	6.1	6.2	£.3	÷ ; ;	5	
	Kanuf acturing	14	} 9	6 3	ដ	176	13 2	ដ	I3.5	14.0	13.5	3.1	ся (-)	4.2	5	5.7	
	Services	E1	56 F	691	1,158	2,069	11.4	E 11	11.2	12.3	11.5	51.2	55.4	2°6	62.5	56.4	
	903	454	720	1.151	ដ្ឋ	3,117	9.6	9.9	10.0	11-0	10.1	100.0	100.0	100.9	100.0	100.0	
Larpung	Agriculture	£53	1,135	1,652	2,2%	3, 190	6.6	6.7	6_8	6.8	6.3	4, 18	38.9	31.1	25.1	20.1	
	tining.	শ্ব	. .	0	са	11	¢.5	5.0	6.0	0.1	5,6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0-1	
	Hanut ac turing	218	87 7	613	1,893	3,924	0.51	15.8	15.7	15.7	15.5	11.2	I3.9	2712	20.7	24.8	
	Services	843	1,509	2,743	4,945	8, 712	12.2	12 7	12.5	17.9	12.3	57-82 8-72-8	6.15	51.6	1.55	55.0	
	669	1,538	3,147	5,315	9,140	15, 53	10.2	1 11	5.11	11.6	11.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Region	Agriculture	2,24	3,002	4,012	5,451	1,440	6	5.1	6.2	4	6.2	25	27.3	22.5	ł	15.8	
	eniniti	SET.	1 <u>7</u>	221	566	1,465	7.3	9	2.6	8.0	1.7	L'-1 *	()	·	មាំ កា	5	
-	Manufacturing	1,198	2,034	3,574	5,642	12,273	11-2	12.6	12:6		12.3	16.7	18.5			Z6.1	
	Services	3,265	5,457	9,203	15,210	25,878	10.3	5 UL -	10.7	Ц	10.7	5.13	49.9		÷.,	55.0	
· ·	6D ⁶	7, 153	11,010	17,596	28,402	47,056	0 0	20	0	10.6	6-6-	100.0	100.0	-		100.0	•
Kation	Agriculture	Z2, 605	28,169	31,272	44,167	55,834	4.5	4.5	4.6	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	4.6	24.0	21.3	JE.3	15.4	12.1	
	prining	1,564	1.58	2.572	3,330	1352	0.2	5.2		ς Γ	5-2	1	1-5			1.0	
• • •	Hanuf acturing	17,150	28,253	47,394	78,782	134,567	10.5	20.9	10.7	13	10.8	2.81	21.3	÷.,.	:	30.6	
	Services	53,028	14,028	167.768	159,818	244,774	5.9	7.8	8.2	5.3	. 1.9	56.7	55.9				
	606	94,346	122,447	300, <u>191</u>	286,103	125,523	0.1	60 F~	8.2	3 6	5 3	100.0	100.0	-	- · ·	100.0	

Remarks : Services sector includes all sectors other than agriculture, Mining and Sanufacturing sectors.

(C) Framework 3 : 6% National Growth

(1963 constant prices)

Item) (1) 2005 1990-1990-1990-1990-1990-1990-1990-1990					đg			ALE.	Anuual Growth Rate (2)	wth Rai	(s)			Perc	Fercentage	Share	
1956 1955 2000 2053 2010 1995 2010 2011 <th< th=""><th>Brochine</th><th>75400</th><th></th><th>~</th><th>R billio</th><th>(u)</th><th></th><th></th><th>00- 11</th><th></th><th>· · ·</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>(3)</th><th></th><th></th></th<>	Brochine	75400		~	R billio	(u)			00- 11		· · ·				(3)		
Agriculture 288 379 495 644 833 5.6 5.5 5.4 5.3 5.1 2.0 1.27 1.25 1.27 1.26 2.23 3 </th <th>יו טי אוונג</th> <th>571.20</th> <th>1998</th> <th>5651</th> <th>2000</th> <th>2002</th> <th>010Z</th> <th>5</th> <th>2000</th> <th>2005</th> <th>10182</th> <th>. ല</th> <th>0661</th> <th>5651</th> <th>2000</th> <th>2005</th> <th>2010</th>	יו טי אוונג	571.20	1998	5651	2000	2002	010Z	5	2000	2005	10182	. ല	0661	5651	2000	2005	2010
thing 2 2 2 2 3 3 2.5 3.0 3.5 3.1 0.2	Janti	Agriculture	288	379	495	644	833	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.4	8.1	32.7	23.6	25.7	21-9
Reunfacturing 128 129 340 560 922 10.3 10.4 16.9 16.4 75 16.7 75 94 44.7 47.5 55 5.5 5.4 7.5 7.1 6.6 5.0 35 5.3 5.4 17.2 7.1 6.7 7.5 7.5 7.1 6.7 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.1 6.6 5.5 5.5 5.5 7.5 7.1 6.6 9.4 4.7 7.5 7.5 7.1 6.7 7.0 9.4 4.7 4.5 7.1 6.7 7.0 9.4 4.7 4.5 7.1 6.7 7.0 9.4 4.7 7.5 7.0 9.4 7.1 6.7 7.0 9.4 7.0 9.4 7.1 8.7 7.0 9.4 7.1 8.7 7.0 9.4 7.1 8.7 7.0 9.4 7.1 8.7 7.2 7.1 6.7 7.1 6.7 7.1 6.7 7.1		thing	14	7	12	, m	m	2.5	0	3,5	بہ س	3.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0
Services 338 533 53	•	Hanufacturing	128	50 2	340	<u> </u>	225	10.3	10.2	10.5	10.5	10.4	16.9	12.5	20.3	22_3	24.2
G2P T56 1,122 1,672 1,672 2,569 3,22 5,97 5,13 4,1 4,5 4,1 22,9 21,00.0 100.0 100.0 Apriteduture 918 1,127 1,611 5,55 5,11 4,8 4,7 4,5 4,17 22,9 21,0 100.0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Services</td><td>ឌ</td><td>R</td><td>ដែ</td><td>1,302</td><td>2,050</td><td>5</td><td>9.4</td><td>ທ່ ທ່</td><td>ν Ω</td><td>te G</td><td>1</td><td>47.5</td><td>£9°-9</td><td>51.5</td><td>е СН</td></t<>		Services	ឌ	R	ដែ	1,302	2,050	5	9.4	ທ່ ທ່	ν Ω	te G	1	47.5	£9°-9	51.5	е СН
Apriculture Hinling State Left Left <thleft< th=""> Left Left<!--</td--><td></td><td>905</td><td>756</td><td>1,12</td><td>1,672</td><td>2,508</td><td>3,809</td><td>3.2</td><td>8.3</td><td>8.5</td><td>8.7</td><td>£.5</td><td>100.0</td><td>100.0</td><td>100.0</td><td>100.0</td><td>100.0</td></thleft<>		905	756	1,12	1,672	2,508	3,809	3.2	8.3	8.5	8.7	£.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hining 302 397 518 665 840 5.6 5.5 5.1 4.8 5.2 7.3 7.11 6.6 Reinfacturing 1388 1,237 1,874 2,757 1,874 2,757 7,83 1,237 7,901 9.512 7.3 7.0 7.5 7.5 7.1 7.5 7.0 7.0 7.0 9.2 2.2 5.5 7.5 7.1 7.5 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 9.0 <t< td=""><td>South</td><td>Agriculture</td><td>316</td><td>1,172</td><td>1,481</td><td>1,864</td><td>2,323</td><td>5.0</td><td>4,8</td><td>£.7</td><td>4.5</td><td>4.7</td><td>2.5</td><td>21.0</td><td>9. EL</td><td>17.0</td><td>15.0</td></t<>	South	Agriculture	316	1,172	1,481	1,864	2,323	5.0	4,8	£.7	4.5	4.7	2.5	21.0	9. EL	17.0	15.0
Manufacturing ESB 1,271 1,873 1,873 1,513 7,3 7,5 7,5 7,7 7,5 48.2 70.1 70.2 22.2 23.5 GDP 4,004 5,573 7,877 10,955 15,518 6.8 7,0 7,2 7.0 100.0 <	Sugatra	Hining	302	357	513	593	940 97	5.6	5.5	5.1	8.4	5.2	7.5	1.1	6.6	5. 17	ч, г
Services 1,546 2,761 3,773 5,714 8,755 7,5 7,7 7,5 48.6 59.7 50.9 Aprituiture 130 2,757 7,801 10,955 15,518 6.8 7,0 70.0 100.0 <td></td> <td>Hanufacturing</td> <td>853</td> <td>1,237</td> <td>1,234</td> <td>2,735</td> <td>4,090</td> <td>e.1</td> <td>8.2</td> <td>ຕ ຜູ</td> <td>8.4</td> <td>8.2</td> <td>Z0.9</td> <td>22.2</td> <td>2.5 Z</td> <td>24.3.</td> <td>26.4</td>		Hanufacturing	853	1,237	1,234	2,735	4,090	e.1	8.2	ຕ ຜູ	8.4	8.2	Z0.9	22.2	2.5 Z	24.3.	26.4
out 4,044 2,515 1,801 10,921 12,518 5,5 5,7 5,6 5,5 5,7 35,5 37,2 10,0 Marriacuring 28 45 69 103 1113 2,1 2,7 10 10,0		Services	1,546	2,767	512,5	5,764	8,265	7.3	5° - 5	2.5		- i	9.97	13.7	5.02	52.0	53.3
Pyriculture 180 239 116 415 542 5,9 5,7 5,5 5,7 39,6 35,5 37,2 Mining 28 45 69 103 148 10,2 8,8 7,1 67 7,0 Rantfacturing 14 25 69 103 11,5 1,2,5 11,0 10,6 10,3 11,1 3,7 4,3 Services 233 843 1,531 1,531 2,138 8,2 5,3 5,4 8,1 13,7 4,3 GoP 45 5 6 1,31 1,518 1,531 2,55 5,3 5,5 6,4 2,1 3,1 Services 64 5 8 1,1 4,5 5,0 6,0 7,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,0 10,		50 7	4,004	מכיב	7,807	10,955	15.518	5.8	0.7	7.6	1.2	7.0	106-0	190.0	100. V	100 ⁻ 0	100.0
Mining 28 45 63 103 114 10.2 8.8 8.4 7.5 6.1 6.1 7.1 Maurifacurting 74 57 43 70 115 12.5 11.0 10.6 10.3 11.1 3.1 3.7 4.3 GOP 454 573 843 1,534 2,545 5.8 5.7 5.5 5.3 5.4 8.1 3.7 4.3 Maurifacturung 566 1,511 1,513 1,584 2,565 5.8 5.7 5.5 5.1 10.0 100.0 <td>Bengkuin</td> <td>Agricul ture</td> <td>180</td> <td>55</td> <td>316</td> <td>51.5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5.7</td> <td>5,6</td> <td>5.5</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>35. 5</td> <td>32.2</td> <td>29.0</td> <td>25.4</td>	Bengkuin	Agricul ture	180	55	316	51.5			5.7	5,6	5.5	5.7	3.6	35 . 5	32.2	29.0	25.4
Manufacturing 14 25 43 70 115 12.5 11.0 10.6 10.3 11.1 3.1 3.7 4.3 Services ZZ2 354 533 843 1,733 2,138 8.2 7.8 7.8 9.6 9.1 51.2 54.0 55.4 Gervices ZZ2 354 571 2,13 7,38 2,55 5.8 5.6 0.0 100.0 <td></td> <td>entrity</td> <td>28</td> <td>45</td> <td>63</td> <td>103</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8.8</td> <td>8.4</td> <td>7 . S</td> <td>6 20</td> <td>9</td> <td>6.1</td> <td>7.0</td> <td>7.2</td> <td>6.9</td>		entrity	28	45	63	103			8.8	8.4	7 . S	6 20	9	6.1	7.0	7.2	6.9
Services ZZ2 364 553 843 1,733 9,4 8,7 8,8 9,6 9,1 51,2 5,4.0 56,4.3 GDP G34 673 7,31 1,333 9,4 8,7 7,8 7,5 5,5 5,3 5,4.8 2,1.1 1,33,4 1,334 2,138 2,545 5,8 5,7 5,5 5,3 5,4.8 2,1.1 2,33,4 Kinhe 21 4 5,5 5,3 5,5 5,5 5,1 1,3,4 3,1,1 Kinhe 200 1,933 2,314 3,911 6,126 1,2,5 5,2 1,2,3 1,1,2 1,3,2 1,3,3 1,3,3 2,3,1 1,3,3 1,2,3 1,3,3 <th1,3,3< th=""> <th1,3,3< th=""> <th1,3,3<< td=""><td></td><td>Hanufacturing</td><td>14</td><td>ដ</td><td>43</td><td>ŭ</td><td></td><td></td><td>11.0</td><td>10.6</td><td>10.3</td><td>11.1</td><td>н. С</td><td>н. Н</td><td>5</td><td>4.9</td><td>5</td></th1,3,3<<></th1,3,3<></th1,3,3<>		Hanufacturing	14	ដ	43	ŭ			11.0	10.6	10.3	11.1	н. С	н. Н	5	4.9	5
G6P 654 674 930 1,431 2,138 8.2 7.8 7.9 8.4 8.1 106.0		Services	752	305	553	843			8.7	8.8 8	9.6	9.1	51.2	54.3	5°.	58.3	53''3
Agriculture 668 1,151 1,518 1,924 2,569 5,8 5,7 5,5 5,3 5,6 6,2 0,2 0,1 0,1 1,1 1,51 1,518 1,536 5,18 5,17 5,15 5,17 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 1,12 1,12 1,12 1,12 1,12 1,12 1,2 <		666	454	574	380	1,431			7.8	с, С	47 60	6	100.0	100.0	169.0	100.0	100.0
Mining 4 5 6 8 11 4.5 5.0 6.0 7.0 5.6 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.2 <th0.2< th=""> <th0.2< th=""> 0.2 0.2</th0.2<></th0.2<>	fargung		353	1,151	1,518	1,384	2,569	5.8	5.7	5.5	5.2	ы И	83. 17	39.1	₹.55	28.1	ង 2.2
Kanufacturing 218 389 702 1,247 2,208 12.3 12.5 12.1 12.3 11.2 13.2 15.5 Services 849 1,338 2,314 3,211 6,128 10.5 10.6 10.4 43.8 47.5 51.0 Services 849 1,338 2,314 3,211 6,125 16,5 10.5 10.6 10.4 43.8 47.5 51.0 Services 849 1,338 2,314 3,211 6,125 16,527 5.5 5.5 5.5 51.6 4.7 51.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 151.2 31.2 31.2 31.5 51.7 51.2 51.0			-4	S	4	80	Π	4.5	5.0	6,0	7,0	5.6	0.2	0.2	6.1	0.3	с. Т
Services 849 1,338 2,314 3,811 6,128 10.5 10.6 10.4 43.8 47.5 51.0 6DP 1,933 2,943 4,533 7,051 16,927 8.7 9.1 9.2 2.2 9.0 150.0 10.4 43.8 47.5 51.0 Aşriculture 2,254 2,940 3,810 4,906 6,227 5.5 5.3 5.2 21.5 2.3 2.5 2.5.4 4.0 3.0 4.000.0 100.0		Kanufacturing	218	389	20%	1,247	2,208	12.3	12.5	12.2	12.1	12.3	11.2	13.2	57 2	17.7	20.7
G0P 1,936 2,943 4,539 7,051 16,927 8.7 9.1 9.2 9.2 9.0 150.0 100.0		Services	843	1,398	2,314	3,81	6, 138	10.5	10.6	10.5	10.0	10.4	5.5	47.5	51.0	54 1	292
Aşriculture 2,254 2,940 3,810 4,906 6,727 5.5 5.5 5.2 5.0 5.2 31.5 7.3 4.4 7.3 4.6 7.79 1,003 6.0 5.8 5.5 5.2 5.6 4.7 4.3 4.0 7.3 6.0 5.8 5.5 5.2 5.6 4.7 4.3 4.0 9.5 6.7 1.5 19.5 15.7 18.0 19.5 5.7 17.0 4.7 4.3 4.0 19.5 5.7 17.0 4.7 4.3 4.0 10.5 5.7 7.6 18.2 5.7 5.6 4.7 4.3 4.0 10.5 5.7		605	1,930	5,943	4,539	1.051	16,927	۲. م	9.1	ອ ເງ	5-2	0	100.0	160.0	0.0	100.0	160.0
Hining 335 448 596 779 1,003 6.0 5.8 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 6.7 4.0 Rantfacturing 1,153 16,51 7,736 9.2 9.4 9.6 9.7 9.5 16.7 18.0 19.5 Services 3,365 7,674 11,666 7,336 9.2 9.4 9.6 9.7 9.5 16.7 18.0 19.5 GDP 7,153 16,312 14,998 21,956 32,375 7.6 7.8 7.9 8.1 7.7 9.5 16.7 13.1 12.1 12.2 GDP 7,153 16,312 14,998 21,956 32,375 7.6 7.8 7.9 8.1 7.9 8.1 7.1 18.9 190.0 100.0	Kegion	Agriculture	2,254	2,946	1	4,906	6,257	5.5	5.3	5.2	5.0	5.2	31.5	28.5	25.4	22.3	19.3
farufacturing 1,198 1,861 2,918 4,610 7,336 9,2 9,4 9,6 9,7 9,5 16,7 19,5 19,5 Services 3,3265 5,067 7,674 11,666 17,786 8,5 8,7 5,7 9,5 16,71 0,43,1 31,2 GDP 7,153 16,312 14,998 21,956 37,372 7,6 7.8 7,9 8,1 7.10 43,1 31,2 GDP 7,153 16,312 14,998 21,956 37,375 7,6 7.8 7,9 8,1 7.8 100.0 100.0 100.0 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Hining</td><td>Ħ</td><td>844</td><td></td><td>617</td><td>1,003</td><td>£.0</td><td>5.8 2</td><td>5.5</td><td>5.2</td><td>5, 6</td><td>-r :</td><td>(1) +†</td><td>0 •</td><td> </td><td></td></t<>		Hining	Ħ	844		617	1,003	£.0	5.8 2	5.5	5.2	5, 6	-r :	(1) +†	0 •	 	
Servaces 3,365 5,062 7,574 11,665 17,786 8.5 8.7 5.7 8.6 8.1 4.10 45.1 5.1.0 1.10 100.0 </td <td></td> <td>tianet acturing</td> <td>1, 198</td> <td>1,861</td> <td></td> <td>4,610</td> <td>7,336</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>5.5</td> <td>9.0</td> <td>6 1 01</td> <td>ים הי</td> <td></td> <td>18.0</td> <td>2.51 2.5</td> <td>0.12</td> <td></td>		tianet acturing	1, 198	1,861		4,610	7,336	3.2	5.5	9.0	6 1 01	ים הי		18.0	2.51 2.5	0.12	
Agriculture 22,605 25,460 31,124 36,757 43,692 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.3 24.0 21.4 18.9 Mining 1,564 1,903 2,206 2,495 2,224 4.0 3.0 2.5 2.5 3.0 1.7 1.5 1.3 Marufacturing 17,150 25,906 38,775 56,710 82,555 8.5 8.4 7.9 7.8 8.2 18.2 20.5 23.6 Services 53,028 89,635 92,311 125,292 174,092 5.6 5.8 6.3 6.8 6.1 55.2 56.2 56.1 GDP 94,346 123,904 164,416 221,284 303,164 5.6 5.8 6.1 6.5 6.0 100.0 100.0 100.0		Services	3,365	5,052 16 317		11,663 71 956	11, 186	ສີ ເ~ ນີ້ ແ	2.0	 		- 80 51 -	100.0	100-001	7-15	1.2c 100_0	18.0
Agriculture 22,605 26,7460 31,124 36,737 43,652 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.3 24.0 21.4 18.9 Mining 1,564 1,903 2,206 2,455 2,824 4.0 3.0 2.5 3.0 1.7 1.5 1.3 Mining 17,150 25,906 38,775 56,710 82,555 8.6 8.4 7.9 7.8 8.2 18.2 1.3 Manufacturing 17,150 25,906 38,775 56,710 82,555 8.6 8.4 7.9 7.8 8.2 18.2 1.3 Services 53,028 69,635 92,311 125,252 1/4,092 5.6 5.8 6.1 5.2 56.2 56.1 GOP 94,346 123,904 164,416 221,284 203,154 5.6 5.8 6.1 6.5 50.0 100.6 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0		į				1	2	2			.	2					
1,564 1,903 2,206 2,495 2,824 4.0 3.0 2.5 2.5 3.0 1.7 1.5 1.3 ring 17,150 25,906 38,775 56,710 82,556 8.6 8.4 7.9 7.8 8.2 18.2 20.9 23.6 53,028 69,635 92,311 125,257 174,092 5.6 5.8 6.3 6.8 6.1 55.2 56.2 56.1 94,346 123,904 164,416 221,264 303,164 5.6 5.8 6.1 6.5 6.0 100.6 100.0 100.0	Kation	Agriculture	22,605	Į	i	ļ	43,692		3 3	3.4	3.5	<u></u> 3	24.0	21.4	18.9	16.6	14.4 1
rring 17,150 25,906 38,775 36,710 82,556 8.6 8.4 7.9 7.8 8.2 18.2 20.9 23.6 53,028 69,635 92,311 125,252 174,092 5.6 5.8 6.3 6.8 6.1 55.2 56.2 56.1 94,346 123,904 164,416 221,264 303,164 5.6 5.8 6.1 6.5 6.0 100.0 100.0 100.0		guinit	1,564				2,824		0 6	2-5	2.5	3,0	11	-1 -1	m ' ⊷†		6.0
53,028 69,635 92,311 125,237 174,092 5.6 5.8 6.3 6.8 6.1 55.2 56.2 56.1 94,346 123,904 164,416 221,264 303,164 5.6 5.8 6.1 6.5 6.0 100.0 100.0 100.0		Harufacturing	11, 150				82,555		5.¢	6.7	3.8	8-2	82	20.9	នាំ នៅ	22.6	
94,346 123,504 164,416 221,284 303,164 5.6 5.8 6.1 6.5 6.0 100.0 180.0 100.0		Services	53,028				174,092	÷	5° 8°	6.3	6.8	5-1	28.28	56.2	. S6.1	56.6	4.15
		605	94,346				303, 164		50 17	8.1	υ Έ	6.0	36,6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
													ļ				
		Kining and Kan	ufactering	sectors.			1										
Maning and Manufacturing sectors		•															

Table 4 Gross Domestic Product with 011/Gas

(A) Framework 1 : 5% National Growth

18.5 190.0 190.0 13.3 26.8 26.8 100.0 26.5 18.7 54.8 18.7 26.1 5.6 1.3 100.0 100.0 1 H H H H H H 6162 100.0 100.0 21.9 21.9 100.0 0.1 17.7 53.0 20.5 15.2 11.8 24.7 48.3 5.2 56.8 100.0 100.0 100.0 23.1 1.62 Percantage Share (1) 22.5 11.6 20.0 16.0 26.7 43.2 14.1 2005 100.0 100.0 50.3 1.4 50.3 100.0 7.9 56.6 100.0 34.2 (1983 constant prices) 100.0 4.51 25.25 4.64 4.64 100.0 2.0 Z5.2 13.9 13.1 2000 24.5 13.9 13.9 19.2 100.0 18.0 14.0 21.0 47.0 100.0 0.2 47.4 100.0 6.7 3.7 54.3 100.0 39.3 100.0 75.7 23.9 39.6 100.0 35.3 28.0 16.7 15.6 33.7 1995 19.5 19.5 19.9 19.9 25.5 8.1 19.5 19.0 11.2 43.8 100.0 11.9 21.8 37.8 100.0 39.5 6.1 51.2 100.0 5, S 0, 1 100.0 35.9 35.9 35.9 1990 10.8 9.2 8.0 о о т о 0.00 2 4 5 4.8 10.6 3.0 2005- 1990-5 2010 2010 2.2 6.5 5.6 4. Î 8.4 6.8 4.5 3.0 2.9 n n 3 5 90 7 7 2 2 2 2 Å. Annual Growth Rate (1) 2 3.0 8.1 6.9 0.5 2:0 4.8 5.2 9.3 8.2 8.2 5 3.0 5.5 5 6.8 6.8 6.8 5.5 2005 6.8 ы. 1 1 1 1 1 8.2 ₽.Ę 4 1995- Z000-3.0 5 1 5.2 9.5 9.5 8.2 8.2 9 C 9 C 7 9 2000 4 8 0 r r 9 9 9 0 r r 5.5.9 × 0 7.5.9 × 0 8. 5 8.8 0 3.0 4 9 3.5 0 0 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5 17 15 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 00 F 61 60 47 4 M F F 60 5.2 1935 1 4 5 1 8 C 80 60 4.8 5 6.7 -9651 78,433 142,044 292,767 5,437 5,786 5,786 1,605 1,605 1,505 23,531 40,628 31,661 1,936 2,188 4,214 5,856 15,193 118 105 1,775 2,332 9, 050 9, 050 9, 050 9, 050 695 772 1,706 3,513 2010 370 88 748 748 1,271 111,827 231,671 57,316 4,447 2,254 4,758 10,257 21,716 25,211 21,311 1,681 3,526 6,279 1,856 566 292 502 1,156 2,516 1,646 1,851 011,5 170,2 17,02,11 2005 ctP (Rp billion) 87,619 182,478 3,569 1,908 3,267 7,117 15,861 825,05 822,52 877,11 ខ្លួដ្ឋ រ 233 54 518 518 916 1,448 1,372 2,256 3,687 3,687 8,901 2000 25,265 25,255 25,555 25,555 25,555 25,555 25,555 2,846 1,605 4,903 4,903 376 1,254 2,858 1,128 1,124 12 × 2 2 2 3 ខ្លួន 22,605 77,238 77,238 72,238 72,238 74,231 4 218 869 1,938 2,234 89 59 57 59 59 57 59 59 59 副出世的社 868 1990 Manufacturing Hanufacturing flanufacturing Manufacturing **หลาม**โละ<u>เ</u>น่าด Hanuf acturing Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture Sector Agriculture Services aricuture Services Services GDP ß ĝ ġ Services Services Services i gainin hining fining **Pining** dining. prinit Bengkultu Province SADUNG Nation Region Sueatra South Jarbi

Resarks : Services sector includes all sectors other than Agriculture, Mining and Hanufacturing sectors.

(8) Frasework 2 : St Mational Growth

												(1983 constant prices)	nstant	prices)		
- 				60P (Pa hillion)	(e		Б. Г.	Annel Gr	Growth Rate (\$	te (\$)			Perc	Percentage	Share	
Province	Certar				· · ·		28	1045- 7	7000- 2	2005- 1	1001					
	5	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	· · · ·			۹.	2010	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010
Jarbi	Agriculture	288	386	514	688	920	6.0	5.9	0	6.0	6.0	8.6	27.5	2.3	19.3	15.5
	tining	187	112	222	82	340	3.0	л.0 	3.0	3.0	3.0	19.9	15.5	11.4	8.2	5-1
	Hanufacturing	877	17	439	825	1,570	12.6	13.6	13.5	13.7	13.3	3.U	16.5	9-01 9-01	2.52	2:52
,	Services	ên s	558	1, 19	1,756	3,094	10-9	12.0	11.9	21	11.7	5.9	40.5	45.4	2.63	2.22
	609	942	1,403	2,205	3,562	5, 524	8.3	5-5	101	10 7	3-5	100 C	100:0	100.6	180 C	100-0
South	Agricul ture.	918	1,172	1,517	1,98	2,653	5.0	с, м,	5.5	6.0	5,4 4,5	17.9	16.0	14.1	12.3	0
Suzatra	fining	1, 120	1,374	1.704	2,143	2,730	4.2	<u>م</u> . م	1.7	5.0	4.6	21.8	5.5	15.2	11.3	11.0
	Manufacturing	1, 158	1,745	2, 795	4,455	7,451	8,6	6.5	10.0	10.6	9.8	Z.5	13.9	ۍ ور	28.0	30.0
	Services	1,946	3,021	4, 178	7,453	12,003	2.6	3.6	5.3	10.0	9.5	37.8	41.3	E.13	46.4	48,3
	602	5,141	7,312	10,794	16,075	24,838	7.3	8.1	8.3	9.1	8.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	190.0
Bengkulu	Agriculture	180	250	345	787	676		5,3	6.8	<u>6</u> .9	6.8	19 19 19	34.7	50 20	26.1	21.7
	Mining	28	5 7	57	51	196	10.0	10.2	10.3	10.5	10.2	6.1	6.2	6,3	6.4	6.3
	Hanufacturing	* ?	ĸ	£}	5	176		13.3	13.5	14.0	13.5	3.1	3.6	4.2	4_9	5.7
	Services	262	66E	8	1,158	2,069		11.3	11.2	12.3	11.5	51.2	55.4	59.2	62.5	£E.4
	GDP	454	720	1,151	1,853	3,117		9.9	10.0	11.0	10.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
្រែងជាភាព	Agriculture	358	1,195	1,652	962.2	3,190	6.6	5.7	6.8	5.8	6.7	8. H	38.0	1-12	25.1	1.02
	Mining	4	ŝ	vo ,	ŝ	1	τ.5 .5	5.0	6.0	7.0	5.6	0.2	0.2	0,1	0.1	0.1
	Nanuf acturing	218	82 1	513	1,853	3, 524	15.0	15.8	15.7	15.7	15.5	11.2	13.9	11.2	Z0.7	24.8
	Services	849	1,509	2 743	4,944	8,712	12.2	12.7	12.5	12.0	12.3	£3.8	61.9	5	54.1	55.5
	CDP	1,938	3,147	5,315	9,140	15, 838	10.2	11.1	11.5	11.6	17.1	100.0	190.0	100,0	100.0	100.0
Region	Agr icul ture	2,254	3,002	4,032	5,451	7,440.	5.9	6.1	6.2	5.4	6.2	2.5	23.9	20.7	17.8	10,12
	tining	1,339	1,641	2,036	2,563	3,278	4.1	£.4	4	5.0	4.6	15.8	13.0	10.5	4 80	5
	handecturing	1,518	2,442	4,195	7,307	13, 122	10.0	11.4	11.7	12	11.4	11.9	19.4	21.5	23.9	195 19
	Servaces 200	3,365	157,0	9,203	នុត្ត អ ខ	215,878	0 0 0	10.9		1	10.7 7	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	43.7	с, 13 С 13	30.02	1.23
• •		0/4/0	100 71	794, 67	154,05	011 . 64	7-9	4 . F	, ,	7-01	7.7	n. 001	0-001	n. 101	7.001	1. U. V.
Kation	Agriculture	22, 605	1	35.272	1			4.6	4.6	4.8	4.5	19.6	17.9	15.8	13.7	11.6
	Hining	17,538						3.2	67 67	33	3.2	15.2	13.0	10.8	8.8	ю. 9
	Manufacturing	772,277	34,797		89,447	148, 170	9.3	ຄື	ۍ ۹	10 5	9.9	15.3	22.1	25.0	27.8	5
	Services	53,028						7.8	8.2	8°3	7.9	45.9	47.0	4. Cł	5	20 20 20
	CDP	115,447						1.7	9.7	** 00	, t	100.0	100.0	190.0	100,0	100.0

Resorks	Rewarks : Services sector includes all sectors other than Agriculture.	includes	all secto	rs other t	than Agrit	culture,										
;	runing and nanutacturing sectors.	Tacturing .	sectors.													

(C) Framework 3 : 5: Kational Groath

(1553 constant prices)

د بر بالله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل																,
			-	CDP Pro hillion)	,-		Ann A	Annual Grouth	uth Pate	= (\$)			Percentage		Stare	
Province	Sector				(m)		1990- 19		0 0- 209	<u>95-</u> 19	ې ا			2		
		0661	5661	2000	2005		1995	2000	2005	2010	2010	1 0651		2000	2605	2010
Jázbi	Agriculture	288	33	495	544	633	5.6			5.3	!	1 I	28.3	25.7	23.0	8.1
	tining .	187	217	22	292	ង	3.0			3.0				13.1	10.4	8.2
:	Hanuf acturing	125	627	340	2 <u>5</u> 2	-522	10.3	10.2		10.5				11.7	20.0	77.3
	Services	318	អ្ន	825	1,302	2,050	5.6			5			_ · `	43.5	46.6	5°-55
	eDb	942	1,33	1,521	2,758	4,145	7.3		7.8	8-2	1 1	100.0		100.0	100.0	187.0
South	Agriculure	815	1,172	1,481	1,854	2,323	5.0	ده ۲۳	£.7	4.5	4.7	E.13	16.9 1	15.Ì	14.4	9.E
Susatra	Hining	1,120	50° [1,517	1,939	2,317	3.7	3.8	2.1	9'P	3.7	21.8	19.4	13.2	15.0	0.11
	hanufacturing	1,158	1,645	2,355	3,358	4,939	5-1	1.4	7.6	7.8	7,5	27.5	23.7	25:0	26.3	1.12
	Services	1,945	2,767	3,973	5,704	8.265	1.3	7.5	1°5	1.1	7.5	33.8	35.9	42.1	2-22	46.3
	4 19	5,141	5,92	127'S	12,504	17,844	6.1	£.4	5.5	5.7	د .	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.00
Bengkulu	Agriculture	150) [1]	316	517 517	542	5.5	5.7	5.6	5.5	5:7	39.5	35.5	32.2	39.0	25.4
4 	tining	28	S	69	103	348	10.2	8°.8	4.0	3.5	°.3	5.1	\$	7.0	2-1 1	6.9
	Manufacturing]4	2	5 3	R	115	12.5	11.0	10.6	10.3	11.1	3.1		4.3	¢.9	5.4
	Services	222	364	2 <u>5</u> 2	843	1,333	9.4	8 7	8.9 8.9	9.6 9	·9.1	51.2	54.0	56.4	58.9	£2.3
	erp	454	674	380	1,431	2,138	2	00 []	7.9	14 00	8.3	100.0	100 0	100.0	100.0	100_0
Sender	Agrizciul ture	363	1,151	1,518	1,984	2,569	89.5 8	5.7	5.5	5.3 E.3	5.6	54°8	39.1	ំ។	23.1	2.5
	guinth	- 2	S	ιΰ.	609	11	ζ , ξ,	5.0	6.0	7.0	5.6	0.2	0.2	0	0.1	. 0.1.
	Nanutae uura ng	218	38	20 <u>7</u>	1.247	2,208	12.3	12.5	12.2	1	12.3	11.2	13.2	15.5	17.7	2122
	Services	849	1,398	2,314	3,311	6.138	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.0	10, 4	43.8	47.5	51.0	54.1	56.2
	GGP	1,538	2,943	655. F	7,051	10.927	6.7	9.1	9 2	3.2	9.0	300.0	0 001	100 6	100. ð	100.0
Region	Agricul ture	2,254	2,340	3,816	4,506	6,267	5.5	5.3	5.2	0	5.2	26.6	24.7	22.6	20.3	17.5
:	Burnth	1,339	1,612	E.	2,342	2,815	60 60	3.8	Э, В	3.8	3.8	15.8	13.6	11.5	9.1	8.0
	Hanufacturing	1,518	2,269	3,435	5,275	8, 184	2	8.7	8.9	5.2	α. 8	17.9	1.61	20.4	21.8	12.3
	Services	3,365	5,062	7,574	21,660	17,785	8°.5	8.7	۲- ۵	60 61 5	8.7	39.7	42.6	35 5	48.2	50.7
	302	8, :76	11, 384	16,358	24 184	35,053	0.1	(1) (1)	-1 5	r	4	100.0	100.001	160.0	100.0	100.0
														-	1	
Ho Li Ba	Agriculture	23,665	Z6,450	31, 124	12. JU	12,592		en en	3.4	ц, С	ຕິ ຕິ	15.6	11.8	2	5.5	9 2 2
	Rining	17,538	12, 22	23,673	21, 382	31,674		0.0	3,0	3.0	0.U	15.2	13.7	12.2	1	71
	Hanutacturing	117,12	H 15	47,126	51,388	96,160	8		7.4	. 4.1	7.5	с. Ы	21-8	24 3		8-17
	Services	53,028	69,635	112,22	125,232	174,092	2.6	89 57	6.3	6.8	- - -	45.9	46.7	47.5		3
	60 5	115,447.	148,956	154,Z5	256,830	345,617	5.2	5.5	5.7	6.1	5.6	100.0	100.0	100-0	-	100.0

Asmarks : Services sector includes all sectors other than the Agriculture, Mining and Manufacturing sectors. lable S Exployment by Province and Sector

,												•		i		
			9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Eaployment				Annual 5	Growth Ra	Rate (1)			Perc	centage S	Share	
Draw nee	Sartar			(1, uuu)		-	1001-	1995- 7	. e	2005-	1090-			(6). 		
1111111	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1990	5561	2000	2005	2010	- 10		2005		2010	1990	3995	2000	2005	2010
Jashi	Agriculture	18 5.	714	857	1,608	1,157	4.1	3.7	3.3		3.5	75.4	73.4	71.4	70.4	69.2
	Hining	9	ò	~	ŝ	01	2.3	2.5	2.8		2-6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	5.0
	Narufacturing	12	38	21	.0L	94	6.7	6.5	6.3	6.1	6.4	м.5 .5	3.5	4.3	4.9	5.6
	Services	158	215	284	345	412	5.9	5.7	4.0		5 1	20.3	22.1	23.7	24.2	Z4.6
	Total	775	¥15	1,200	1,432	1,573	4.7	4.3	3.5		3.9	100.0	100-0	01001	100.0	100.0
South	Agricul ture	1,559	1,852	2,179	2,489	2,789	3.5	3.3	2.7	2.3	2.9	·56. 0	62.7	60.4	58. 5	56.4
Sugatra	Mining	β	34	011	521	621 -	3.6	3.1	2.6	2.2	2.9	3.3	3.2	3.0	2.9	2.8
	Manufacturing	52	176	242	314	405	7.0	6.5	5,4	5.2	5.0	ы. Э	6,0	5.7	4.	61 19
	Services	599	832	1,07	1, 235	1,610	6.8	5.3	4.4	3.8	5.1	25.4	28.2	29.9	31.3	32.5
	Total	2,364	2,954	3,606	4,253	4,942	4.5	4.1	3.4	3.0	3.8	100.0	100_0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Bengkulu	Agriculture	353	442	527	617	21.2		ы.б Ц		2.9	3.6	78.3	76.4	34.6	73.2	72.6
		ч	• 1	2	2	m		4.2		4.1	4.2	0.2	0.2	0-2	0.2	0.3
	Hanufacturing	5	ព	역	54 2	Ħ	7.5	6.5 2	6.0	5.4	£.3	2.0	2.2	2.5	2.8	(-) (-)
	Services	83	121	150	200	235		5.5		3.3	5.0	19.6	21.1	22.7	23.7	24.0
	Total	4S0	578	L0L	643	186		4,1		3.1	4.0	100.1	100.0	0.001	103.0	100.0
larpung	Agriculture	1,798		2,294	2,577	2,913	2.8	2.1		2.5	-2.4	77,0	73.9	69.2	66.0	54.7
	hining	60		티	14	11	2.2	4.5 .5		3.4	3.6	0.4	0.3	0.3	0,4	0.4
	itanuf acturing	£	113	176	252	321	9.2	а., С	7.5	4.9	1.1	3.2	4.1	с. . ч	5. 2	7.1
	Services	55F 7		¥£8	1,061	1,252	7.0	6.6 0		3.4	5.5	18.7	21.7	25:2	27-2	27.8
	Intal	2,312		3,315,5	3,505	4 ,502	3.9	3.4		2.9	** **	100.0	100.6	160.0	100.0	100.0
Regi on	Agriculture	4,295	5,076		6,631	7,570	3.4	2.9		2.5	2.9	72.8	69.5	66.3	64. I	52.6
	tining (76			149	168	3.4	3.2		2.4	7.9 6,4	1.6	- 2	1.5		÷.′
	Manufacturing	257			660	851	7.7	7.4		5.2	6,6	4.0	4.7	ທ. ເ	6.3	
	Services	1,278		2,356	2,942	3,509	6.8	5.'3 8	4.5	3.6	5.2	21.7	24.3	26.7	28.2	29.0
	Total	105'5			10,442	и, 19	4.4	1.9		3.0	3.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Nation	Agriculture	41,284		1	53,948	56,841		1.8		11	1.6	56.5	54.4	52.2	50. I	48.0
		435			484	515		0.8		1.1	0.8	0.E	0.5	ο Ο	0.5	0.4
	Hanufacturing	7,014			11,388	010, 51		3.2		2.7	3.1	9.6	6.5	10.1	10.6	11.0
	Services	24,347	702,23,702	1ZL'SE 1	41,782	47,975	4°.1	3.8	3.2	2.8	З.4	33.3	35.2	37.2	38.8 8	40.5
	Total	180, ET			107,507	118,342		2.6		1-3	7.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Hote : Excludes empolyment by oil/gas related industries (minung, manufacturing).

Table 6 Sectoral Labor Productivity

					:						1.1
94yyyyyyyy				r Product				Annual	Growth	Rate (%)	. :
			R	p. millio	n) -		1990-	1995-	2000-		1990-
Province	Sector	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	1990-	2000	2000-	2003-	2010
Janbi	Agriculture	0.49	0.53	0.58	0.64	0.72	1.4	1.7		2.4	1.9
	Kining.	0.29	0.29	0.30	0.31	0.32	0.2	0.5		0.5	0.5
;	Kanufacturing	4.72	5.57	6.50	8.02	9.82	3.4	3.5	4.0	4.1	3.7
	Services	2.15	2.47	2.94	3.77	4.98	2.9	3.5	5.1	5.7	4.3
	Total	0.98	1.15	1.39	1.75	2.28	3.4	3.9	4.3	5.4	4.3
South	Agriculture	0.59	0.63	0.68	0.75	0,83	1.4	1.5	1.9	2.2	1.7
Sumatra	Nining	3.83	4.22	4.73	5.34	6.05	1.9	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.3
Demakra	Nanufacturing	6.67	7.02	7.59	8.70	10.10	1.0	1.6	2.8	3.0	2.1
	Services	3.25	3.33	3.69	4.27	5.13	0.5	2.1	3.0	3.7	2.3
•	Total	1.89	1.89	2.16	2.57	3.14	2.2	2.8	3.5	4.1	3.1
Bengkulu	Agriculture	0.51	0,54	0.60	0.67	0.76	1.2	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.0
	Kining	24.89	34.06	42.27	50.77	57.93	6.5	4 4	3.7	2.7	4.3
	Kanufacturing	1.55	1.94	2.39	2.95	3.71	4.7	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.5
	Services	2.64	2.98	3.45	4.21	5.66	2.5	3.0	4.1	5.1	3.9
	Total	1.01	1.17	1,39	1,70	2,18	2.9	3.5	4,1	5.1	3.9
Lappung	Agriculture	0.48	0.56	0.66	0.77	0.88	2.9	3.5	3.1	2.8	3.1
	Kining	0.47	0.52	0.53	0.57	0.68	2.2	0.5	1.5	3.5	1.9
	Nanufacturing	2.99	3.43	4.00	4.95	6.88	2.8	3.1	4.4	6.8	4.3
	Services	1.96	2.30	2.17	3.59	4.90	3.3	3.8	5.3	δ.4	4.7
	Total	0.84	1.05	1.37	1.81	2.43	4.6	5.4	5.7	6.1	515
Region	Agriculture	0.52	0.58	0.65	0.73	0.83	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.3
,	Kining	3,57	4.04	4.58	5.22	5,97	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8
	Kanufacturing	5.10	5.47	6.00	6.98	8.62	1.4	1.9	3.1	4.3	2.7
	Services	2.63	2.85	3.26	3.96	5.07	1.6	2.7	4.0	5.0	3.3
:	Total	1.21	1.41	1.70	2.10	2,58	3.1	3.8	4.4	5.0	4.0
Nation	Agriculture	0,55	0.58	0.62	0.68	0.77	1.0	1.5	1.9	2.4	1.7
	Xining	3,59	4.25	4,75	5,11	5.48	3.5	2.2	1.4	1.4	2,1
	Manufacturing	2,44	3.11	3.98	4.98	6.35	4.9	5.0	4.6	5.0	4.9
	Services	2.18	2.34	2.58	3.00	3.63	1,5	2.0	3.0	3.9	2.6
	Total	1.29	1.47	1.71	2.06	2.56	2.6	3.1	3.1	4,5	3.9

(1983 constant prices)

Remarks : Sectoral labor productivity is calculated by dividing non-oil/gas GDP by sectoral employment.

				Pcp	Population			4	na Leunas	Srouth Rate	e (3)			Ferce		Share				Populari	on vens	ž	
					(1000)		1 '				1.		: :	() ••• •			<u>و</u> ا ا	jan j		(Pop./kz2)	./ks2)		
Prof. DCB		ret n	0561	1995	2000	2005	2010	1995	20002	2002 - 7	2010 2010	2010	1 0661	1995 2	Z000 Z0	2005 20		(Kr2) 1 (74 X)	- 665	1995 20	2000 3(2005 20	2010
Jami j		Krincî	622	301	33	il il	E	1.50	1.47	1.44	1.42	1.46	1		1.		ļ	,200	1		[52
	~	S. Sanko	350	12	505	<u>S</u>	558	4.06	3.40	2.57	2.48	3.20				•		1,209					46
• :	د ،	B. Hari	725	390	422 4	ទា	57	3.76	3.17	2.64	2.15	2.33						051,1					2
	–ar	1.J a burg	361	35 2	Ř	458	- 6 05	1.78	1.73	I.70	1.63	1.72						. 202, 0					33
	ю	B.Tebo	36]	430	(£)	ន្ត	អូ	3.54	2.94	2.54	2.34	2.84		÷			•	3,500					G
	G	Kodya Jazhi Total		427 2,359	516 2,727	85 ° °	586 3,435	4.65	3.85 2.85	3.06 2.46	2.21	3.57 2.70	16.9 100.0	18.0 100.0 1	18.9 1 100.0 10	19.5 2	20.0	206	33	2,072 2	2,502 2	2,910 3 58	, 330 2, 2
Scuth	5	0.K.Ulu	364	1,083	1,203	1,321	1,437	2.35	2.12	1.89	1.70	2.01	15.4			Ì							821
Sueatra	60	D.K.Ilir	111	878	S.	1,104	1,218	2.52	2.43	2.21	1,98	2.31	12.3										ß
	αı	n-Enia	582	656	727	842	23	17.5	2.48	12-2	2.08	2.38	9.J										15
	10	上本泊在	502	576	757	540	326	2.41	2.24	2.03	1.97	2.18	9 ° 5	5-5 7-5	9.1	9.0	3.6	¥E0, A	149	368	183	208	23
	H	A. Rauze	512	680	52	785	183	3.24	2.87	2.56	2.34	2.75	8.2			2							1
22	1	M.Banyuzsin	324	1,069	1.257	1,445	1,628	3.88	3.30	2.83	2.41	3.10	14.1										53
	ជ	Bangka	514	er in	ŝ	707	027	2.41	2.15	1.90	1.71	2.04	8.2										30
		Selitung	ម្ព	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	737	53	274	1.92	1.82	1.73	1.64	1.78	1.1										G.,
	ង	Kodya Palestang	1,141	1,401	1,637	1,823	2,009	4.19	3.17	2.24	1.90	2.87	15.2						τD		0.3	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	025
	91	Kodya P.Pinang	ឮ	128	4	ន្ល	176	2.54	2,34	2.14	1.94	2.24	1.8							- 1	~		R
		Total	6,277	7,294	g, 309	9,237	10,254	3.05	7-64	2.25	2-00	2.43	300,0								- 1	i	35
Sengkulu	1 17	8.Selatan	298	32	555	416	457	2.27	2.25	2.22	1.87	2.15	23.3 23.3	13.7	1								E
	18	P.Lebong	368	<u> </u>	3 <u>5</u>	521	STB	2.41	2.35	2.27	¥5-1	2.24	31.2	29.4									140
	អា		17 17 17	52	202	566	634	4.61	3.20	2.42	2.30	3.13	29.1	30.4		1							ង
	20	kodya	2	<u>អ្</u> រ ;	117	314	5	5.49	3.50	2-53	2.42	3.72	34,4	2 9 2 9	17.1	11.3	17.5	145	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	े छुत् स		2.16 3 [5	2,448
		IOLEI	1,1/3	2 , 411	1,418	1,417	210,2	3.65	2.78	cr -7	71.2	2-17	100.0	n. Wi	· I	- 1				j	. 1	i	707
gmgast			1,525	1,972			2,470	1.56	1.52	1.48	1.54	1.52	30.4	5 82		21.2	26.7	6,649	Z74	797	20		212
	5	L. Tențah	106,1	- 2,034		5.2	2,446	1.37	1.25	1.18	1.25	1.26	31.6	8. E2							-	_	799
	23	-	រដ្ឋ ភូមិ 1	1,73			LL'7	5.35	3.82	2.08	2.68	3.73	2772	75.4									<u>R</u> :
	24		308	340	21 21 21		452	1.97	1.3]	2.06	1.85	1.94	5.1	Υ, Ω,							_ `		ទារ
	22	Koóyz	E3	746			1,110	3.22	2,84	2.68	2.54	2.82	10.6	10.9	11.3			_	-				22
		Total	£ ,056	5,825	7,613	8,410	9 ,253	2.59	2.21	2.01	1.93	2.18	100.0	100.0		Í	1			. 1			747
Southern Sugatra	n Susa	tra	15,478	17,899	20,267	22,559	24,960	2.95	2.52	2.20	2.01	2.42				:	12	217,856	11	더	B	104	211

Table 7 Population, Annual Growth Rate, Percentage Share and Density by Kabupaten

Table 8 Gross Desertic Pruduct without Oil/Gas by Kabupaten

	1, 122 1, 1, 1, 122 1, 1, 122 1, 1, 122 1, 1, 122 1, 1, 122 1, 122 1, 1, 122 1, 122 1, 1, 122 1, 1	2600 2005 2600 2005 102 137 102 137 234 440 2794 440 2794 440 252 881 552 2,508 404 535 404 535 404 535 405 554 405 554 405 554 665 361 1,457 2,097	05 2010 137 192 327 484 440 656 416 612 301 440 658 448 651 448 881 1,417 305 3,809 6687 3,809 553 3,809 553 773 554 773 554 773 507 3,024	- 560 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005	2010 20 2010 20 8.2 8 8.2 8 7.9 8 7.9 8 10.0 10 8.1 10 8.1 10 10.1 10 8.1 10 10.1 10 10.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	1990- 2010. 7.3 8.3 8.3 8.1 7.1 7.1 10.3		N7. 5661	20007	2005 2	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		Į			
Jambie I Krinci 61 2 S. Sarko 119 3 B. Hari 123 4 T. Jabung 128 5 B. Tebo 114 5 B. Tebo 114 6 K coys Jackh 201 7 G.K.Ulu 204 8 M.K.ILI 204 9 M.Enix 244 10 Lahat 276 11 M.Rewizs 216 11 M.Rewizs 216 11 M.Rewizs 248 11 M.Rewizs 248 11 M.Rewizs 248 12 M.Saryussin 276 13 Bargka 228 13 Bargka 228 14 Scliturg 124 15 Kodya P.Pinang 124 16 Kodya P.Pinang 66 155 124 1345 16 Kodya P.Pinang 67 17 S.Selatan 102 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0.0.00.00 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.000000</th><th>ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ</th><th>ら て 80 8 5 0 80 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</th><th></th><th>5.9 8.3 8.1 10.3</th><th>Į</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>51 9861</th><th>1395 20(</th><th>2000 2005</th><th>15 2010</th><th></th></t<>				0.0.00.00 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.0.00 0.000000	ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ ດ.ດ	ら て 80 8 5 0 80 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		5.9 8.3 8.1 10.3	Į					51 9861	1395 20(2000 2005	15 2010	
2 S.Barke 119 3 8.Hari 133 4 1.Jabung 128 5 8.Febo 114 5 8.Febo 114 5 8.Febo 114 5 8.Febo 114 6 Kodya Jaskii 201 10 10 Lahat 224 11 A.Kullu 224 9 M.Film 224 9 M.Film 224 10 Lahat 234 11 A.Katas 216 12 M.Saryuastin 729 13 Bencka 428 14 Sclitung 124 15 Kodya Peleshang 124 16 Rotya Peleshang 124 174 Benckal 124				0.00 0 11 00 4 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		~ ∞ ∞ ~ ~ ~ ∞ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		8.3 8.1 10.3		1		5.5	5.0	1	1 .		1 .	15
3 8. Hari 1:30009 5 8. Febo 114 5 8. Febo 114 5 8. Febo 114 5 8. Kodya Jazkii 201 7 0. K. Ulu 224 8 0. K. Ulu 224 9 M.Enim 244 10 Lahat 248 11 M.Katas 248 11 M.Katas 248 11 M.Katas 216 12 M.Saryuastin 729 13 Bencka 428 14 Sclitung 124 15 K.Saryuastin 726 16 K.Saryuastin 726 13 Bencka 124 15 K.Sarka Sclittang 124 15 K.Sarka P.Pinang 124 15 K.Sarka P.Pinang 124 15 F.Sarka M. 100 15 Bencka 124 16 Kodya Pelekbang 124 173 Bencka 102				8 8 9 11 8 7 0 7 1 7 7 1 7 7 7 7	* 8 9 9 9 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	8 8 7 9 8 10 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		8.3 8.1 7.1 10.3				13.0	12.7					11
4 I.Jäckung 128 5 B.Tebo 114 5 B.Tebo 114 6 Kooya Jaskii 201 8 K.Ulu 224 9 M.Enim 224 9 M.Enim 244 10 Lahat 248 11 M.Römas 244 10 Lahat 248 11 M.Römas 248 11 M.Römas 248 12 M.Sanyuasin 275 13 Bangka 428 13 Bangka 428 14 Sclitung 124 15 Kodya Pelembang 124 15 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 15 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 15 Butar 100 16 Rutar 102 17 S.Selatan 102 18 R.Utar 102 10				0 11 11 1 4 4 55 6 1 0 11 12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8.0 1.0 1.0 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3	87 - 07 89 - 107 - 10 12 - 47 - 80 - 10 10 - 10 10 - 10 10 - 10 10 - 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		8.1 7.1 10.3		•		17.6	17.2					11
5 B. Tebo 114 6 Kcoya Jachi 201 10tal 7 G.K.Ulu 204 South 7 G.K.Ulu 224 9 M.Enim 276 9 M.Enim 276 10 Lahat 248 11 M.Rawas 244 10 Lahat 248 11 M.Rawas 216 12 M.Sanyuastin 729 13 Bangka 428 13 Bangka 428 14 Sclitung 124 15 Kodya Pelembang 124 15 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 15 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 15 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 17 S.Selatan 1,004 13 R.Utara 100 13 R.Utara 100 13				118 44556 117 118 117 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118	6.6 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 7 4.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 6.6 6.6 6.6	8.0 8.5 5.5 5.5 7.5		7.1				16.5	16.1					12
6 Kodya Jashi 201 Total 7.6.K.Ulu 224 Sumatra 8 0.K.Ulu 224 Sumatra 8 0.K.Ulu 224 Sumatra 8 0.K.Ulu 224 Sumatra 8 0.K.Ulu 275 Sumatra 8 0.K.Ulu 274 10 Lahat 248 248 11 M.Remass 216 248 12 M.Samyuastin 729 235 13 Bangka 428 124 13 Bangka 1.345 124 15 Kodya Pelembang 1.345 15 Kodya Pelembang 1.345 15 Kodya Pelembang 1.345 15 Kodya Pelembang 1.345 15 N.Selatan 1.02 1.345 13 R.Utara 1.02 1.02 13 R.Utara 1.02 1.02				11.18 1.18 1.14 1.18	8.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 7 4 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8	8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		10_3				12.2	11.3	•				55
Routh 7 6.K.Ulu 224 Summatra 8 0.K.Ilir 276 10 Lahat 248 248 11 H.Remass 248 248 12 K.Sanyuassin 279 278 13 Bangka 428 228 13 Bangka 228 226 15 Kodya Pelexbang 1.345 15 Kodya Pelexbang 1.345 16 Kodya Pelexbang 1.345 17 S.Selatan 1002 152 18 R.Utara 103 103 19 R.Utara 103 103 19 R.Utara 103				x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	2 2 4 5 5 5 9 2 4 4 5 5 5 9 2 6 9 5 5 5 9	5.5 5.5 6.7			26.6 	30.3	33.0	35.1	37.2	0.59	0.80	107	2	2.28
South 7 0.K.Ulu 224 Sueatra 8 0.K.Ilir 275 9 M.Enim 244 276 10 Lahat 248 244 11 M.Rawas 248 248 11 M.Rawas 248 248 11 M.Rawas 248 248 12 M.Sanyuasin 228 248 12 M.Sanyuasin 729 248 13 Bangka 228 228 14 Schitung 124 124 15 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 15 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 15 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 15 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelembang 1,345 173 13 R.Utarg 1,345 18 R.Utarg 1,005 152 13 R.Utarg 102 103 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>איני מיט איני איני מיט איני</td> <td>4 4 4 4 4 9 4 4 4 4 6 9</td> <td>5.5 2.7 0</td> <td></td> <td>4.8</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>100.0</td> <td>100.0</td> <td> J</td> <td>}</td> <td>· .</td> <td>- 1</td> <td>a 1</td>				איני מיט איני איני מיט איני	4 4 4 4 4 9 4 4 4 4 6 9	5.5 2.7 0		4.8		_		100.0	100.0	J	}	· .	- 1	a 1
Summatra 8 0.K.Ilir 276 9 N.Enim 244 10 Lahat 248 11 M.Rewass 248 12 M.Senyuasin 226 13 Banyuasin 729 13 Banyuasin 729 13 Banyuasin 729 14 Sclitung 124 15 Kodya P.Pinang 124 15 Kodya P.Pinang 1345 16 Kodya P.Pinang 66 151.1 3.8.Selatan 102 5engkulu 17 S.Selatan 102 13 R.Lebong 152 109 13 R.Utara 103 152 19 R.Utara 103 152			ан 1	א מא נא לא וא ר' סי נא לא יא ני	ម្មាល់ មេ ល មាល់ មេ ល	5.7	5 1	נא יזר	8.1	7.3	6.7	6,3	6.Q					.64
9 N.Enix 244 10 Lahat 248 11 M.Rawas 215 12 N.Banyuasin 224 12 N.Banyussin 226 13 Banyuasin 729 13 Banyuasin 729 13 Banyussin 729 14 Selitung 124 15 Kodya Pelekbang 124 16 Kodya Pelekbang 1,345 15 Kodya Pinang 66 16 Kodya Pinang 1,04 66 Fotal 1,04 17 S.Selatan 102 18 R.Labong 152 19 B.Utara 102 19 Kodya Bengkulu 91				א מא ניז אסי סליניז אלי	2 12 12 2 12 12 2 12 12	0 u	*	5.5 5.5	6.9	6.2	5.5	te Cu	5.2		·	· •		53
10 Lahat 248 11 N.Fawas 216 12 N.Banyuasin 226 13 Bangka 428 14 Selitung 124 15 Kodya Pelekbang 124 16 Kodya Pelekbang 1,345 15 Kodya Pelekbang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelekbang 1,345 17 S.Selatan 102 13 R.Lebeng 152 13 R.Lebeng 102 13 R.Lebeng 152 13 R.Lebeng 102 13 R.Utara 102 13 R.Utara 102				יים אירי יים ארצי	5.5 6.6	0.0	6.2	5.6	1-9	ני גי	5.2	5 7	4.7	0.42	0,46 (0_540	0.64 0	0.75
11 M.Römas 216 12 M.Sanyuasin 729 13 Bangka 428 14 Selitung 124 15 Kodya Pelesbang 124 15 Kodya Pelesbang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelesbang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelesbang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelesbang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelesbang 1,345 17 S.Selatan 102 18 R.Lebeng 102 19 B.Utara 109 20 Kodya Bengkulu 91				19 F.	6.6	ריי יין	5.9	5	6.2	5.8 8.7	сл. тғ	5.1	÷.9					80
12 N.Sænyuasin 729 13 Bangka 428 14 Selitung 124 15 Kodya Pelekbang 124 15 Kodya Pelekbang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelekbang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelekbang 1,345 16 Kodya Pelekbang 1,345 17 S.Selatan 102 102 13 R.Lebong 152 109 20 Kodya Bengkulu 91				ب م		6.8	0.1-	6.7	4. 1	5.3	5.2	5.1	1. 1. 1. 1.			÷		36
13 Bangka 428 14 Selitung 124 15 Kodya Palexbang 1,345 16 Kodya Palexbang 1,345 16 Kodya Palexbang 1,345 16 Kodya Palexbang 1,345 16 Kodya Palexbang 1,345 17 S.Selatan 4,004 13 R.Lebong 102 13 R.Lebong 152 19 B.Utara 109 20 Kodya Bengkulu 91				1.1	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.4	18.2	18.5	8 2	19.1	19.5					12
14 Selitung 124 15 Kodys Pelexbang 1345 16 Kodys Pelexbang 66 73451 4,004 67 17 S.Seletan 102 13 R.Lebong 152 13 R.Lebong 152 19 B.Utara 109 20 Ködys Bengkulu 91	12.1			4.2	4.8	5.3.	9 10	5,0	10.7	5, đ	60	с. -	67. 10					4
15 Kodys Pelexbang 1,345 16 Kodys P.Pinang 68 7912 7912 7313 7,004 17 S.Selatan 18 R.Lebong 102 18 R.Lebong 122 19 B.Utara 109 20 Ködys Bengkulu 91				**	8 4	5.4	4, 2 4	2-5	м М	00 C 1	5.5	2.3	2.2					.24
16 Kodys P.Pinang 68 73tal 4,004 17 S.Selatan 102 18 R.Lebong 152 19 B.Utara 109 20 Kodya Bengkulu 91		-7	ڤ	5	רי מי	 20	<u>د،</u>	5	31.5	37.4	40.1	42.1	43.6					9
Total 4,004 17 S.Selatan 102 18 R.Lebong 152 19 B.Utara 109 20 Ködya Bengkulu 91					7.2	7.1	6.X	2.7		83 	ο. ⊷1	∞ 				1		
17 S.Selatan 13 R.Labong 19 B.Utara 20 Kodya Bengkulu	5,573 7.	7,507 10,965	65 15,518	5.8	1.0	7.0	7.2	7.0	100-0	100.0	100.0	100.0	106.0	·	· •		1	
R.Lebong B.Utara Kodya Bengkulu	148	219 3	326 490	7.7	8.1	8.3	8.5	50 11	22.5	22.0	22.3	22.7	22.9	0.34	0. <u>14</u>		00 1	1.07
8.Utara Kodya Bengkulu	218		461 674	1.5	1.7	- 3	وب د-	[33.5	32.4	32.3	32.2	31.5	0.41	0.53	л. А.	: '	13
Kodya Bengkulu	149			6.5	8.8 8	7.3	9	7.0	24.0	22.1	21.2	20.6	ំត្	0.32	0.35	0,41		0.51
	158			11.8	50 1	8 8	Ч 6	4. 9	20.0	23.5	24 3	24.5	25.7	9.53 53	9,68		n n Jaho	
10tal 454	674	980 I,431	31 2,138	8.2	-8-	ç.5	8 80		100.0	100.0	160.0	300-0	100.0	8. 0	C. 48		i i	1.05
L.Selatan				8-2	9.8	9.3	9.4	8.9	26.5	25.9	25.6	25.7	26.0	0.25	0.33	0.55	0.73	1.15
L.Tengah		1,318 1,9		8.3	3	8.5 5	8.4	8.4	30.5	29.9	29.0	28.1	27.2	0.31	0.43		0.25	1.21
•	595 2		1,386 2,075	5	ຊີ ເ	ເ . ຈ	8,4 1	61 87	18.5	19.2	19.6	19.7	19.0	9.27	ខ្ព		6. 5J	e.75
24 L.Earat 68			522 151	4.9	5.8	6.6	7.4	6.2		2.9	2.5	2-2	2.1	21 0	<mark>ំ</mark> ដ		8	5°.
Kodya	:	1	1,714 2,821	8	10.2	10.2	10.5	2-01	21.0	22-1	23.3	24.3	25.8	0.54	9.81	÷ 2 .	172	2.54
Total 1,538	2,943 4	, i	7,051 10,927	8.7	L-9	3.2	9.2	9. 0.	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	109-0	9.37	0.43		0.84	1.18

APPENDIX 3 BASIC INDICATORS BY KABUPATEN/KOTAMADYA

Kabupaten/Kotamadya	by Kabupate Land Area (sq. km)	(%)	Population 1971	(%)	Population 1980	(%)	Population 1990	(%)
(Kodya=Kotamadya)			BPS data		BPS data		BPS data	11 A.
/1/	2.	/3/	14/	151	/6/	171	/8/	/9/
1 Kerinci	4,200	7.9			241,081	16.7	279,146	13.8
2 Sarolangun Bangko	14,200	26.6			217,653	15.1	350,284	17.4
3 Batang Hari	11,130	20.8			216,897	15.0	324,017	16.1
4 Tanjung Jabung	10,200	19.1			302,386	20.9	361,403	17.9
5 Bungo Tebo	13,500	25.3		÷ .	237,604	16.4	361,243	17.9
6 Kodya Jambi	206	0.4			230,373	. 15.9	340,066	16.9
Jambi	53,436	100.0	1,006,084	100.0	1,445,994	100.0	2,016,159	100.0
7 Ogan Komering Ulu	10,408	9.5			750,799	16.2	964,460	15.4
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	21,658	19.8			564,080	12.2	771,463	12.3
9 Muara Enim	9,575	8.8	·		430,834	9.3	582,396	9.1
10 Lahat	4,034	3.7	· · ·		484,893	10.5	601,843	9.0
11 Musi Rawas	21,513	19.7			367,037	7.9	511,949	8.2
12 Musi Banyuasin	25,664	23.5			591,074	12.8	883,719	14.
13 Bangka	11,614	10.6			399,986	8.6	513,946	8.
14 Belitung	4,532	4.1			163,815	3.5	192,972	3.
15 Kodya Palembang	224	0.2			787,187	17.0	1,141,036	18.2
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang	32	0.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		90,096	1.9	113,163	1.5
South Sumatra	109,254	100.0	3,440,573	100.0	4,629,801	100.0	6,276,947	100.0
17 Bengkulu Selatan	5,949	30.1			236,775	30.8	298,214	25.
18 Rejang Lebong	4,110	20.8			288,256	37.5	367,980	31.
19 Bengkulu Utara	9,585	48.4		· .	178,250	23.2	342,601	29.
20 Kodya Bengkulu	145	0.7			64,783	8.4	170,327	14.
Bengkulu	19,789	100.0	519,316	100.0	768,064	100.0	1,179,122	100.
21 Lampung Selatan	6,649	18.8	·		1,767,084	38.2	1,825,040	30.4
22 Lampung Tengah	9,190	26.0			1,690,947	36.6	1,900,648	31.
23 Lampung Utara	14,418	40.8			882,479	19.1	1,335,435	22.
24 Lampung Barat	4,951	14.0	1 - A - A		· · ·		308,050	5.
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung	169	0.5			284,275	6.1	636,706	10.
Lampung	35,377	100.0	2,777,008	100.0	4,624,785	100.0	6,005,879	100.
Southern Sumatra	217,856	11.4	7,742,981	6.5	11,468,644	7.8	15,478,107	8.
Northern Sumatra	263,929	13.8	13,065,167	11.0	16,547,516	11.2	20,977,237	11.
Sumatra	481,785	25.1	20,808,148	17.5	28,016,160	19.0	36,455,344	20.
Java	132,186	6.9	76,086,327	63.8	91,269,528	61.9	107,573,749	60.
INDONESIA	1,919,317	100.0	119,208,229	100.0	147,490,298	100.0	179,321,641	100.

Sources:

/2/ Dalam Angka 1989 of each province; BPS, Statistik Indonesia 1991, Table 1.1.

/4/ BPS, Statistik Indonesia 1982, Table II.1.2.

/6/ BPS, Penduduk Indonesia: Hasil Sensus Penduduk 1990 (January 1991), Table 2.4.

/8/ BPS, Penduduk Indonesia: Hasil Sensus Penduduk 1990 (January 1991), Table 2.4.

Note: 1990 Population of Lampung Barat is taken from /14/.

Table D.1 (Continued) Kabupaten/Kotamadya	Population	Dopulation	D L	Sheet 2
(Kodya=Kotamadya)	Density 1980	Population Density 1990	Population Growth Rate 1971-1980	Population Growth Rate 1980-1990
/1/	BPS data /10/	BPS data /11/	BPS data /12/	BPS data /13/
1 Kerinci	57	66	2.85	1.48
2 Sarolangun Bangko	15	25	4.70	4.87
3 Batang Hari	19	29	3.39	4.10
4 Tanjung Jabung	30	35	3.79	1.80
5 Bungo Tebo	18	27	5.82	4.28
6 Kodya Jambi	1,118	1,651	4.19	3.97
Jambi	27	38	4.07	3.38
7 Ogan Komering Ulu	72	93	3.72	2.54
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	26	. 36	2.62	3.18
9 Muara Enim	45	. 61	1.88	3.06
10 Lahat	120	149	2.93	2.18
11 Musi Rawas	17	24	4.20	3.38
12 Musi Banyuasin	23	34	5.07	4.10
13 Bangka	34	44	3.07	2.54
14 Belitung	36	43	2.66	1.65
15 Kodya Palembang	3,514	5,094	3.36	3.78
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang	2,816	3,536	2.08	2.31
South Sumatra	42	57	3.32	3.09
17 Bengkulu Selatan	40	50	3.09	2.33
18 Rejang Lebong	70	90	3.81	2.47
19 Bengkulu Utara	19	36	6.23	6.75
20 Kodya Bengkulu	447	1,175	8.11	10.15
Bengkulu	39	60	4.39	4.38
21 Lampung Selatan	266	274	5.19	1.43*
22 Lampung Tengah	184	207	5.96	1.18
23 Lampung Utara	46	93	7.30	6.42
24 Lampung Barat		62		
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung	1,682	3,767	4.00	3.11*
Lampung	131	170	5.77	2.65
Southern Sumatra	53	. 71	4.46	3.04
Northern Sumatra	63	79	2.66	2.40
Sumatra	58	76	3.32	2.67
Java	690	814	2.02	1.66
INDONESIA	77	93	2.32	1.97

Sources:

/12/ BPS, Penduduk Indonesia: Hasil Sensus Penduduk 1990 (January 1991), Table 2.5./13/ BPS, Penduduk Indonesia: Hasil Sensus Penduduk 1990 (January 1991), Table 2.5.

Note: Adjusted reflecting the 1982 annexation.

Table D.1 (Continued)		:		Sheet 3
Kabupaten/Kotamadya	Population		Population	Population
	1990	(%)	Density	Growth Rate 1980-1990
(Kodya=Kotamadya)	Provincial data		1990 Provincial data	Provincial data
/1/	/14/	/15/	/16/	/17/
1 Kerinci	280,012	13.9	67	1.51
2 Sarolangun Bangko	350,095	17.3	25	4.87
3 Batang Hari	325,783	16.1	29	4.15
4 Tanjung Jabung	362,380	18.0	36	1.83
5 Bungo Tebo	360,402	17.9	27	4.25
6 Kodya Jambi	339,786	16.8	1,649	3.96
Jambi	2,018,458	100.0	38	3.39
7 Ogan Komering Ulu	963,765	15.4	93	2.53
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	771,269	12.3	36	3,18
9 Muara Enim	585,776	9.3	61	3.12
10 Lahat	599,327	9.6	149	2.14
11 Musi Rawas	511,907	8.2	24	3.38
12 Musi Banyuasin	883,719	14.1	34	4.10
13 Bangka	513,826	8.2	44	2.54
14 Belitung	192,927	3.1	43	1.65
15 Kodya Palembang	1,139,694	18.2	5,088	3.77
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang	113,129	1.8	3,535	2.30
South Sumatra	6,275,339	100.0	57	3.09
17 Bengkulu Selatan	298,202	25.3	50	2.33
18 Rejang Lebong	367,965	31.2	90	2.47
19 Bengkulu Utara	342,601	29.1	36	6.75
20 Kodya Bengkulu	170,183	14.4	1,174	10.14
Bengkulu	1,178,951	100.0	60	4.38
21 Lampung Selatan	1,833,987	30.4	276	0.37
22 Lampung Tengah	1,900,380	31.5	207	1.17
23 Lampung Utara	1,345,968	22.3	93	6.48
24 Lampung Barat	308,050	5.1	62	
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung	636,418	10.6	3,766	8.39
	6,024,803	100.0	170	2.68
Southern Sumatra	15,497,551		71	3.06
Northern Sumatra				
Sumatra				
Java		•		
INDONESIA				

Sources:

/14/ Kantor Statistik, Penduduk Propinsi 1990 of each province

Kabupaten/Kotamadya (Kodya=Kotamadya)	Wetland (1,000ha, 1989)	Ratio of Irrigated Area (%, 1989)	Arca Harvested in Wetland (1,000ha, 1989)	Wetland Paddy Cropping Intensity (%, 1989)	Wetland Paddy Yield (ton/ha, 1989)
/1/	2	/3/	/4/	/5/	/6/
1 Kerinci	16.1	71.3	19.9	123.2	3.59
2 Sarolangun Bangko	22.4	41.9	8.9	39.9	3.18
3 Batang Hari	24.5	6.0	18.1	73.7	2.74
4 Tanjung Jabung	109.9	11.8	77.3	70.4	3.30
5 Bungo Tebo	39.8	13.7	12.0	30.3	2.93
6 Kodya Jambi	**	**	**	**	**
Jambi	212.8	19.2	136.2	64.0	3.29
7 Ogan Komering Ulu	69.0	31.4	59.5	86.3	3.81
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	101.3	3.2	96.6	95.4	3.14
9 Muara Enim	24.6	23.8	22.4	91.2	3.17
10 Lahat	31.0	67.5	24.5	78.8	4.24
11 Musi Rawas	25.7	25.4	24.5	95.1	3.63
12 Musi Banyuasin	203.6	0.2	113.9	56.0	3.11
13 Bangka	2.3	16.7	0.2	7.6	2.65
14 Belitung	0.3	24.0	0.1	42.0	2.14
15 Kodya Palembang	**	**	**	**	**
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang	**	**	**	**	**
South Sumatra	457.9	12.9	341.8	74.6	3.35
17 Bengkulu Selatan	29.1	51.4	31.8	109.1	3.61
18 Rejang Lebong	21.1	90.2	19.8	93.9	3.37
19 Bengkulu Utara	21.2	48.4	16.4	77.4	3.27
20 Kodya Bengkulu	**	**	**	**	**
Bengkulu	71.5	62.0	68.1	95.2	3.46
21 Lampung Selatan	68.6	39.2	89.3	130.2	4.38
22 Lampung Tengah	109.1	76.2	114.6	105.1	4.06
23 Lampung Utara	38.0	48.1	44.3	116.6	4.00
24 Lampung Barat	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung	**	**	**	**	**
Lampung	215.7	59.5	248.3	115.1	4.16
Southern Sumatra	957.8	28.5	794.3	82.9	
Northern Sumatra	1,299.5	46.0	1,329.7	102.3	
Sumatra	2,257.4	38.6	2,124.0	94.1	
Java	3,445.6	73.6	5,098.9	148.0	
INDONESIA					

Table D.2 Basic Indicators by Kabupaten/Kotamadya (2: Agriculture)

Sourse: BPS

Notes: (1) Kotamadya is included in surrounding kabupaten. Jambi in Batang Hari; Palembang in Musi Banyuasin Pangkal Pinang in Bangka; Bengkulu in Rejang Lebong; Bandar Lampung in Lampung Selatan.

Kabupaten/Kotamadya	Marinc Fishcry	Inland Fishery	Aquaculture Production	Total /2/+/3/+/4/
(Kodya=Kotamadya)	Production	Production	(ton, 1989)	
111	(ton, 1989)	(ton, 1989)	/4/	/5/
/1/	/2/	<u>/3/</u> 361	250	611
1 Kerinci	**	548	135	683
2 Sarolangun Bangko		2,188	103	2,291
3 Batang Hari4 Tanjung Jabung	16,235	544	105	16,798
5 Bungo Tebo	10,233	495	45	54(
- · · ·		371	90	461
6 Kodya Jambi Jambi	16,235	4,508	642	21,385
7 Ogan Komering Ulu	1(1)2.13	3,857	1,169	5,026
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	3,746	15,748	30	19,524
9 Muara Enim		6,132	222	6,354
10 Lahat		0,152	1,140	1,14(
11 Musi Rawas		526	561	1,087
12 Musi Banyuasin	22,676	11,940	11	34,62
13 Bangka	30,438		107	30,54
14 Belitung	28,867		61	28,928
15 Kodya Palembang		458	170	628
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang	3,045			3,04
South Sumatra	88,767	38,661	3,470	130,898
17 Bengkulu Selatan	1,261	177	327	1,76
18 Rejang Lebong		1,288	1,851	3,139
19 Bengkulu Utara	2,869	139	238	3,240
20 Kodya Bengkulu	6,070	21		6,091
Bengkulu	10,200	1,625	2,449	14,274
21 Lampung Selatan	30,935	802	2,880	34,61
22 Lampung Tengah	27,804	3,399	3,642	34,84
23 Lampung Utara	6,065	5,459	1,171	12,695
24 Lampung Barat	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung	7,304		75	7,37
Lampung	72,108	9,661	7,769	89,538
Southern Sumatra	187,310	54,455	14,330	256,09
Northern Sumatra				· · · ·
Sumatra			:	
Java	-			
INDONESIA				

Table D.3 Basic Indicators by Kabupaten/Kotamadya (3: Fisheries)

Sourse: /2/, /3/, /4/ Dinas Perikanan of each province, Buku Statistik 1990. Note: Lampung Utara includes Lampung Barat.

Kabupaten/Kotamadya (Kodya=Kotamadya)	311-312 proces			everage acturing	321 T manufa		322 Cle except fo	
	Establish- ments	Employ- ces	Establish- ments	Employ- ces	Establish-	Employ-	Establish- ments	Employ- ces
1 Kerinci							·····	
2 Sarolangun Bangko	1	124						
3 Batang Hari	1	20						
4 Tanjung Jabung	7	180	1	21				
5 Bungo Tebo	1	166						
6 Kodya Jambi	2	.121	····					
Jambi	12	611	1	21	0	0	0	0
7 Ogan Komering Ulu								
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	2	1,538						
9 Muara Enim								
10 Lahat								
11 Musi Rawas								
12 Musi Banyuasin	15	2,118	3	103				
13 Bangka	1	29						
14 Belitung				·				
15 Kodya Palembang	23	2,796	1	20	6	1,779	1	22
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	41	<u> </u>			
South Sumatra	41	6,481	: 5	164	6	1,779	1	22
17 Bengkulu Selatan	1	41						
18 Rejang Lebong	2	86			1	26		
19 Bengkulu Utara					· _			
20 Kodya Bengkulu	2	45						
Bengkulu	5	172	. 0	0	1	26	0	(
21 Lampung Selatan	9	497	3	208	2	200		
22 Lampung Tengah	38	11,292						
23 Lampung Utara	3	1,626						
24 Lampung Barat								
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung	41	2,549	1	25			1	10
Lampung	91	15,964	4	233	2	200		10
Southern Sumatra	149	23,228	10	418	9	2,005	2.	32
Northern Sumatra								
Sumatra								
Java								
INDONESIA	· · · ·		·					

Table D.4 Basic Indicators by Kabupaten/Kotamadya (4: Industry)

Sheet 1

Source: Statistik Industri Pengolahan, BPS

Kabupaten/Kotamadya	323 L	eather	331 W	ood and	332 Fu	rniture	342 Prin	ar: 1989) ting and
(Kodya=Kotamadya)	except I and cl	ootwear	wood p	roducts, bamboo	and fi	xtures	publis	
	Establish- ments	Employ- ces	Establish ments	Employ- ccs	Establish- ments	Employ- ccs	Establish- ments	Employ- ces
1 Kerinci						. :		
2 Sarolangun Bangko			11	441	1997 - A.			
3 Batang Hari			31	6,315	1			÷
4 Tanjung Jabung			7	6,514				
5 Bungo Tebo			6	217				
6 Kodya Jambi	<u> </u>	<u></u>	16	2,208				
Jambi	0	0	71	15,695	0	0	0	<u> </u>
7 Ogan Komering Ulu								
8 Ogan Komering Ilir			6	223				
9 Muara Enim								
0 Lahat								
1 Musi Rawas			7	260				
2 Musi Banyuasin			32	9,956	9	966		
3 Bangka			4	128				
4 Belitung								
5 Kodya Palembang			27	4,815	· 1	25	6	179
6 Kodya Pangkal Pinang			2	73				
South Sumatra	0	0	78	15,455	10	991	6	179
7 Bengkulu Selatan								
8 Rejang Lebong								
9 Bengkulu Utara			1	197				
0 Kodya Bengkulu			3	298				
Bengkulu	0	0	4	495	0	0	0	0
I Lampung Selatan			6	705	1	21		
2 Lampung Tengah						·		
3 Lampung Utara			1	21				
4 Lampung Barat					· .		1. L	
5 Kodya Bandar Lampung	2	106	6	1,127			1	37
Lampung	2			1,853	1	21	:1	37
Southern Sumatra	2	106	166	33,498	11	1,012		216
Northern Sumatra								
Sumatra					·			:
Java								

Source: Statistik Industri Pengolahan, BPS

Note: Lampung Utara includes Lampung Barat.

Table D.4 (Continued)

Kabupaten/Kotamadya (Kodya≃Kotamadya)	351 C	hemicals	352 (chemica	Dther I product:	353 Petr s refine		(ye: 355 R produ	
(
	Establis ments	h- Employ ees			- Establish-			
1 Kerinci			ments	ces	ments	ees	ments	ees
2 Sarolangun Bangko								
3 Batang Hari								
4 Tanjung Jabung			1	138	,			
5 Bungo Tebo				1.50)			
6 Kodya Jambi			1	20)		6	1,695
Jambi		0 () 2		*	0		1,695
7 Ogan Komering Ulu							0	342
8 Ogan Komering Ilir							•	0.12
9 Muara Enim								
10 Lahat								
11 Musi Rawas								
12 Musi Banyuasin							5	4,050
13 Bangka								,
14 Belitung								
15 Kodya Palembang		2 7,22	4 4	363	•		10	3,036
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang		1 30						
South Sumatra		3 7,25	4 4	363	6 0	0	16	7,428
17 Bengkulu Selatan								
18 Rejang Lebong								
19 Bengkulu Utara							1	109
20 Kodya Bengkulu							1	92
Bengkulu		0 () 0	(0	0	2	201
21 Lampung Selatan			1	26	;		6	4,862
22 Lampung Tengah		1 8	1					
23 Lampung Utara							4	1,453
24 Lampung Barat								
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung		3 264	4 5	342	!		4	4,290
Lampung		4 34	56	368	0	0	14	10,605
Southern Sumatra		7 7,59	3 12	889	0	0	38	19,929
Northern Sumatra								
Sumatra								
Java								
INDONESIA								

Source: Statistik Industri Pengolahan, BPS

Table D.4 (Continued)								Sheet 4 ar: 1989)	
Kabupaten/Kotamadya (Kodya=Kotamadya)	356 Plastic products		china a	361 Pottery, china and clay products		363 Cement, lime and cement products		364 Structural clay products	
	Establish- Er				Establish- ments	Employ-	Establish- ments	Employ- ees	
1 Kerinci	ments	ces	ments	ccs	ments		monta		
2 Sarolangun Bangko				· ·	•	÷ .			
3 Batang Hari					•		i	33	
4 Tanjung Jabung									
5 Bungo Tebo			·						
6 Kodya Jambi	1	78							
Jambi	1	78	0	0	0		1	33	
7 Ogan Komering Ulu	4					938			
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	1	200				250			
9 Muara Enim		200							
10 Lahat									
							•		
11 Musi Rawas	5	230			I	22	13	379	
12 Musi Banyuasin	5	230			1	22	4	16	
13 Bangka			1	774			4	729	
14 Belitung	n	158	1	14	1	257		12	
15 Kodya Palembang	3	100				231	2	52	
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang	9	588	1	774	3	1,217		1,32	
South Sumatra	<u> </u>		·····	//4		1,217			
17 Bengkulu Selatan								•	
18 Rejang Lebong									
19 Bengkulu Utara					2	70		· .	
20 Kodya Bengkulu		~			2	76			
Bengkulu	0	0	0	0				. (
21 Lampung Selatan	1	196	1	25	3	231	. 3	. 91	
22 Lampung Tengah									
23 Lampung Utara									
24 Lampung Barat									
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung		88	····	·	2				
Lampung	3	284	1	25		······································		9	
Southern Sumatra	13	950	2	799	10	1,928	27	1,45	
Northern Sumatra					-	•		1 - 1	
Sumatra		1 - E	.*		11				
Java								. *	
INDONESIA							······	<u> </u>	

Source: Statistik Industri Pengolahan, BPS

(year: 1989) Kabupaten/Kotamadya 369 Other non-381 Fabricated 384 Transport 382 Machinery metallic mineral metal products except electrical equipment (Kodya=Kotamadya) products except machinery Establish- Employ- Establish- Employ- Establish- Employments ees ments ees ments ees ments ees 1 Kerinci 2 Sarolangun Bangko 3 Batang Hari 3 85 4 Tanjung Jabung 5 Bungo Tebo 6 Kodya Jambi 0 Jambi 0 0 0 0 0 3 85 7 Ogan Komering Ulu 8 Ogan Komering Ilir 9 Muara Enim 10 Lahat 42 1 11 Musi Rawas 12 Musi Banyuasin 2 166 20 1 13 Bangka 14 Belitung 9 1,263 2 150 15 Kodya Palembang 8 442 16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang 9 4 316 9 South Sumatra 1,263 1 42 462 17 Bengkulu Selatan 18 Rejang Lebong 19 Bengkulu Utara 20 Kodya Bengkulu 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Bengkulu 39 1 581 1 25 1 21 Lampung Selatan 22 Lampung Tengah 23 Lampung Utara 24 Lampung Barat 2 217 82 95 3 1 25 Kodya Bandar Lampung 4 256 1 25 2 82 2 676 Lampung 572 2 67 14 629 8 11 1,939 Southern Sumatra Northern Sumatra Sumatra Java

Sheet 5

INDONESIA Source: Statistik Industri Pengolahan, BPS

Table D.4 (Continued)

		<u></u>		ar: 1989)
Kabupaten/Kotamadya	39() (manufa	Juner	Tota	
(Kodya=Kotamadya)	indus	-		
	Establish- ments	Employ- ees	Establish- ments	Employ- ccs
1 Kerinci			0	0
2 Sarolangun Bangko			12	565
3 Batang Hari	1	368	37	6,821
4 Tanjung Jabung	1	37	17	6,890
5 Bungo Tebo			7	383
6 Kodya Jambi	2	451	28	4,573
Jambi	4	856	101	19,232
7 Ogan Komering Ulu			2	1,280
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	:		. 9	1,961
9 Muara Enim			0	0
10 Lahat			1	42
11 Musi Rawas			. 7	260
12 Musi Banyuasin			86	18,010
13 Bangka			9	324
14 Belitung			14	2,766
15 Kodya Palembang	. 1	192	96	21,458
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang	• •		6	196
South Sumatra	1	192	230	46,297
17 Bengkulu Selatan			1	41
18 Rejang Lebong			3	112
19 Bengkulu Utara		· .	2	306
20 Kodya Bengkulu			8	511
Bengkulu	0	0	14	<u>970</u>
21 Lampung Selatan			39	7,706
22 Lampung Tengah			39	11,373
23 Lampung Utara			. 8	3,100
24 Lampung Barat			.0	0
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung			74	9,636
Lampung	0	0	160	31,815
Southern Sumatra	5	1,048	505	98,314
Northern Sumatra		-		
Sumatra				÷.
Java				
INDONESIA				

Source: Statistik Industri Pengolahan, BPS

Kabupaten/Kotamadya	Electrification Rate	Electrification Rate	Electricity Sold (million kWh,
(Kodya=Kotamadya)	by Village	by Household	1987-89)
	(%, 1990/91)	(%, 1990/91)	
/1/ 1 Kerinci	/2/	13/	
	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2 Sarolangun Bangko	14.4	6.9	-3
3 Batang Hari	11.2	6.9	2
4 Tanjung Jabung	18.0	7.1	5
5 Bungo Tebo	6.7	9.0	- 4
6 Kodya Jambi			75
Jambi	13.2	7.5	89
7 Ogan Komering Ulu	14.0	5.3	4
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	24.2	12.1	0
9 Muara Enim	13.6	19.5	0
10 Lahat	44.4	24.1	0
11 Musi Rawas	15.6	12.2	. 7
12 Musi Banyuasin	12.5	4.7	1
13 Bangka	10.8	8.3	6
14 Belitung	14.8	17.3	- 4
15 Kodya Palembang			101
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang			n.a.
South Sumatra	22.8	11.8	123
17 Bengkulu Selatan	24.3	16.7	0
18 Rejang Lebong	60.0	34.3	1
19 Bengkulu Utara	23.2	15.5	0
20 Kodya Bengkulu	*100.0	*32.8	3
Bengkulu	34.3	22.8	4
21 Lampung Selatan	27.3	8.4	n,a.
22 Lampung Tengah	15.3	3.2	12
23 Lampung Utara	17.2	3.8	9
24 Lampung Barat			
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung			117
	20.8	5.1	138
Southern Sumatra	20.2	8.3	
Northern Sumatra	28.7	16.3	
Sumatra	26.6	14.3	
Java	52.3	26.7	
INDONESIA	34.5	21.7	

Table D.5 Basic Indicators by Kabupaten/Kotamadya (5: Energy)

Sources: /2/, /3/ Ministry of Mining and Energy for kabupaten and provincial figures;

PLN for regional and national figures.

/4/ Compiled from Dalam Angka of each province (Jambi 1989, South Sumatra 1988,

Bengkulu 1989, Lampung 1987)

Notes: (1) Lampung Utara includes Lampung Barat.

(2) n.a. denotes not available; 0 negligible; * for reference.

Kabupaten/Kotamadya	Irrigation	Irrigation	Rainfed	Water Supply
	Area	Area	Area	Capacity
(Kodya=Kotamadya)	(ha, 1989)	(ha, 1989)	(ha, 1989)	(liter/head/day,
	PU Data	BPS Data		1990)
/1/	[2]	/3/		
1 Kerinci	9,881	11,493	1,914	10.79
2 Sarolangun Bangko	1,652	9,405	6,035	9.25
3 Batang Hari	105	1,476	5,105	5.97
4 Tanjung Jabung	30	13,003	9,876	11.33
5 Bungo Tebo	2,620	5,469	8,294	9.59
6 Kodya Jambi	<u></u>		······	78.83
Jambi	14,288	40,846	31,224	21.08
7 Ogan Komering Ulu	23,449	21,651	24,026	8.96
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	· .	3,206	8,706	4.76
9 Muara Enim	704	5,846	2,342	13.27
10 Lahat	10,673	20,964	995	
11 Musi Rawas	12,557	6,524	10,857	13.50
12 Musi Banyuasin		500	1,072	4.89
13 Bangka	330	387	15	10.51
14 Belitung	150	75	39	20.15
15 Kodya Palembang	1,240	• •		117.48
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang		· · ·		57.26
South Sumatra	49,103	59,153	48,052	30.00
17 Bengkulu Selatan	17,826	14,987	6,879	11.59
18 Rejang Lebong	18,635	19,030	1,461	22.31
19 Bengkulu Utara	9,856	10,283	4,968	13.87
20 Kodya Bengkulu				50.73
Bengkulu	46,317	44,300	13,308	21.25
21 Lampung Selatan	15,727	26,908	39,256	3.32
22 Lampung Tengah	64,684	83,060	9,988	2.45
23 Lampung Utara	5,842	18,286	12,558	3.13
24 Lampung Barat	· · ·	1		
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung			: :	40.71
Lampung	86,253	128,254	61,802	6.95
Southern Sumatra	195,961	272,553	154,386	19.22
Northern Sumatra	ъ.	597,794	453,351	·. ·
Sumatra		870,347	607,737	
Java		2,534,504	888,412	•.
INDONESIA			5, Y Y 4, 1 7	en de la companya de La companya de la comp

 Table D.6
 Basic Indicators by Kabupaten/Kotamadya (6: Water Resources)

Sources: /2/ Directorate of Irrigation, Ministry of Public Works, Rekapitulasi Inventarisasi Daerah. /3/, /4/ BPS.

/5/ Directorate General of Human Settlements, Ministry of Public Works.

Notes: (1) Lampung Utara includes Lampung Barat.

(2) In /3/ and /4/, kotamadya is included in surrounding kabupaten: Jambi in Batang Hari; Palembang in Musi Banyuasin; Pangkal Pinang in Bangka; Bengkulu in Rejang Lebong; Bandar Lampung in Lampung Selatan.

Kabupaten/Kotamadya	Land A	Arca	Fores	st (a)	Agricul	ture (b)	Estate	(c)
(Kodya=Kotamadya)	(sq. km)	(%)	(sq. km)	(%)	(sq. km)	(%)	(sq. km)	(%)
/1/	/2/	/3/	- [4]	/5/	/6/	[7]	/8/	/9/
1 Kerinci	4,200	100.0	2,591	61.7	188	4.5	<u></u>	20.7
2 Sarolangun Bangko	14,200	100.0	10,958	77.2	298	2.1	2,415	17.0
3 Batang Hari	11,130	100.0	6,634	59.6	230	2.1	2,754	24.7
4 Tanjung Jabung	10,200	100.0	5,646	55.4	1,661	16.3	1,262	12.4
5 Bungo Tebo	13,500	100.0	7,240	53.6	580	4.3	2,880	21.3
6 Kodya Jambi	206	100.0	0	0.0	45	21.8	111	53.9
Jambi	53,436	100.0	33,069	61.9	3,002	5.6	10,293	19.3
7 Ogan Komering Ulu	10,408	100.0	4,724	45.4			972	9.3
8 Ogan Komering Ilir	21,658	100.0	16,880	77.9			913	4.2
9 Muara Enim	9,575	100.0	3,350	35.0			1,797	18.8
10 Lahat	4,034	100.0	2,906	72.0			1,616	40.1
11 Musi Rawas	21,513	100.0	9,350	43.5			1,337	6.2
12 Musi Banyuasin	25,664	100.0	15,064	58.7			1,860	7.2
13 Bangka	11,614	100.0	4,340	37.4			847	7.3
14 Belitung	4,532	100.0	1,780	39.3			145	3.2
15 Kodya Palembang	224	100.0	0	0.0			0	0.0
16 Kodya Pangkal Pinang	32	100.0	· · · 0	.0.0			0	0.0
South Sumatra	109,254	100.0	58,394	53.4	8,883	.8.1	9,487	8.7
17 Bengkulu Selatan	5,949	100.0	5,138	86,4	297	5.0	313	5.3
18 Rejang Lebong	4,110	100.0	3,016	73.4	182	4.4	713	17.3
19 Bengkulu Utara	9,585	100.0	7,405	77.3	281	2.9	1,555	16.2
20 Kodya Bengkulu	145	100.0	44	30.3	18	12.4	8	5.5
Bengkulu	19,789	100.0	15,603	78.8	778	3.9	2,589	13.1
21 Lampung Selatan	6,649	100.0	1,085	16.3	1,257	18.9	2,984	44.9
22 Lampung Tengah	9,190	100.0	466	5.1	3,013	32.8	739	8.0
23 Lampung Utara (d)	19,369	100.0	5,740	29.6	1,040	5.4	2,647	13.7
24 Lampung Barat (d)	÷ .			:	:			
25 Kodya Bandar Lampung		100.0	0	0.0	124	73.4	19	11.2
Lampung	35,377	100.0	7,291	20.6	5,434	15.4	6,389	18.1
Southern Sumatra	217,856	100.0	114,357	52.5	18,097	8.3	28,758	13.2
Northern Sumatra	263,929	100.0	145,874	55.3	23,251	8.8	22,566	8.6
Sumatra	481,785	100.0	260,231	54.0	41,348	8.6	51,324	10.7
Java	130,398							
INDONESIA	1,948,732							

Table D.7 Basic Indicators by Kabupaten/Kotamadya (7: Land Use)

Notes: (a) Excludes bush/scrub.

(b) Sum of dryland and wetland.

(c) Includes both large-scale estates and smallholder tree crops.

(d) Lampung Utara includes Lampung Barat.

Sources: Jambi /4/, /6/, /8/ (except for Kodya Jambi) from Jambi Province, Repelita V, Buku III; for Kodya Jambi, Jambi Province, Neraca Kependudukan dan Lingkungan Hidup Daerah Lampiran 1989.

South Sumatra 14/, 18/ from South Sumatra Province, Repelita V: Kehutanan.

Bengkulu /4/, /6/, /8/ from Bengkulu Province, Penggunaan Tanah, 1991.

Lampung /4/, /6/, /8/ from Lampung Province, Repelita V, Buku III.

Northern Sumatra /4/, /6/, /8/ from Ministry of Public Works/JICA, The Study on the Integrated Regional Development Plan for the Northern Part of Sumatra (LTA-78), Final Report, Vol. III, p. 258.

	1			
	Tanjung Jabung	Palembang	Musi Rawas/Lahat	Bengkulu Selatan
	 A-13: Development of Smallholder Estate Crops A-17: Large Scale Estate Development A-20: NES-type Beef Cattle Farming Development 	A-12: Development of Cold Storage Facilities in Urban Markets A-22: Improvement of Slaughter Facilities and Cold Storage in Urban Centers	 A-2: On-Farm Land Development A-3: High Altitude Horticulture Development A-4: Upland Cropping Systems Development in Hilly Areas 	 A-2: On-Farm Land Development A-13: Development of Smallholder Estate Crops A-17: Large Scale Estate Development
Agriculture			 A-14: Smallholder Tree Crop Marketing Development A-17: Large Scale Estate Development A-21: Development of Commercial Poultry Farms 	
	B-3/4/5: Coastal Fisheries Management Project B-8: Brackish water Shrimp Culture Industrialization		B-9: Freshwater Giant Prawn Culture Development Project	B-11/12: Fishing Village Community Development Projec B-13/16: Offshore Fisheries
Fisheries	Project B-18: Swamp Fishery Development Project			Development Project in Western Waters B-21/22: Fisherics Education and Training Project
	C-14: Coconut Oil	C-4: Development of	C-13: Starch (or Modified	C-16: Canning of Fruits,
	Processing C-20: Mushroom Fed on Saw-Dust C-32: Fiber Board C-33: Particle Board	Industrial Estates C-5: Upgrading of Institutes of R & D in Industry C-6: Improvement of	Starch) from Cassava C-16: Canning of Fruits, Vegetables and Fishes C-17: Dehydration of	Vegetables and Fishes C-17: Dehydration of Vegetables (onion, carrot, ginger, peas, etc.)
	C-34: Furniture or Modules of Furniture	Vocational Training Centers C-10: Investment Promotion C-13: Starch (or Modified Starch) from Cassava	Vegetables C-18: Frozen Vegetables and Fruits C-22: Pickles of Cucumber, Ginger, etc. C-31: Wood Wool Cement	C-18: Frozen Vegetables and Fruits (ex. "edamame") C-19: Instant Coffee/Coco C-24: Iodine from Sea-
Industry		C-14: Coconut Oil Processing C-16: Canning of Fruits, Vegetables and Fishes C-17: Dehydration of	Board C-32: Fiber Board C-33: Particle Board C-34: Furniture or Modules of Furniture C-36: Rubber Processing	Weed C-25: Dried Sca-Weed
		Vegetables C-18: Frozen Vegetables and Fruits C-19: Instant Coffee/Cocoa C-20: Mushroom Fed on	C-48: Poultry/Fish Feeds	
		Saw-Dust C-21: Banana Chips C-22: Pickles of Cucumber, Ginger, etc. C-23: Sumatra Tea Bag C-31: Wood Wool Cement		
		Board		

APPENDIX 4 MATRIX OF IDEP AND SECTORAL PROJECTS

	ALTOW THE BUILDING CONTINUES (2-1000) (2-1000) (2-1000)		and a star of specific to the star of the
	Lampung Utara	Bandar Lampung/Lampung Selatan	Prefeasibility Project Outside IDEP
Agriculture	 A-4: Upland Cropping Systems Development in Hilly Areas A-13: Development of Smallholder Estate Crops A-17: Large Scale Estate Development A-20: NES-type Beef Cattle Farming Development 	 A-2: On-Farm Land Development A-4: Upland Cropping Systems Development in Hilly Areas A-12: Development of Cold Storage Facilities in Urban Markets A-22: Improvement of Slaughter Facilities and Cold Storage in Urban Centers 	
	B-18: Swamp Fishery Development Project B-19/20: Aquaculture Water Supply Stabilization	B-8: Brackishwater Shrimp Culture Industrialization Project B-6/7: KUD-Based Fish	
Fisheries		Processing and Marketing Promotion Project B-17: Mariculture Development	
-		B-21/22: Fisheries Education and Training Project	
	C-12: Meat Processing C-13: Starch (or Modified Starch) from Cassava C-16: Canning of Fruits, Vegetables and	C-4: Development of Industrial Estates C-5: Upgrading of Institutes of R & D in Industry	
	Fishes	C-6: Improvement of Vocational Training Centers C-10: Investment	
		Promotion C-12: Meat Processing C-13: Starch (or Modified Starch) from Cassava	
Industry		C-17: Dehydration of Vegetables C-18: Frozen Vegetables and Fruits	
		C-21: Banana Chips C-24: Iodine from Sea- Weed C-36: Rubber Processing	
		C-37: Rubber Processing (Molds, Die- Cuttings, Balls, etc.) C-43: Glutamie	
		Acid/Monosodium Glutamate C-44: Wooven Bags for	4
		Sugar, etc.	and with some the company and a second s

and a second	Tanjung Jabung	Palembang	Musi Rawas/Lahat	Bengkulu Selatan
	ranjung sabung			
	·	C-32: Fiber Board C-33: Particle Board C-34: Furniture or Modules		
, ,		of Furniture C-35: Pulp and Paper		
· .		Manufacturing C-36: Rubber Processing		
Industry		C-37: Rubber Processing (Molds, Die-Cutting,		
maasay		Balls, etc.) C-38: Urea Fertilizer		
		C-39: Purified Telephtalic Acid		
		C-40: Polypropylene C-41: Formaldehyde Resin		
		C-42: Acrilontrile Butadiene Styrene		
	a yang di sing tang galan da an ay na galan mang menang melandan dan sing melang kenya pana dan dalam da bahar	D-3: Gas-Fired Thermal Power Plant	D-11: Boring Exploration in Musi Rawas Coal	D-16: Briquettes Promotion Project
· · ·		D-4: City Gas Delivery System	Field	D-23: Power System Interconnection
Mining/		D-5: Integrated Energy Center		
Energy				
· · · · ·	E-4: Berbak National Park Tourism	E-6: Old Quarter Rehabilitation and		
	Development	Conservation E-7: Sriwijaya Archaeological Park-		and a state of the second s Second second
Fourism		Museum Development		n an
r ourism .		E-11: Sriwijaya Festival Promotion		

	Lampung Utara	Bandar Lampung/Lampung Selatan	Prefeasibility Project Outside IDEP
Industry			
			· · · · ·
	D-22: Coal-Fired Thermal	D-19: Geothermal Survey	
	Power Plant	around Kalianda D-20: Geothermal Power Development around	
Mining/ Energy		Ulubelu D-21: Way Semangka Hydro-Power	
67		Development (=F- 37)	
		D-23: Power System Interconnection	
		E-16: Krakatau Tourism Development E-17: Merak Belantung	E-18: Way Kamuas National Park Tourism
		Beach Resort Development	Development
Tourism		E-21: Bandar Lampung Waterfront and Tourism Facility	
		Development E-22: Krakatau Festival Promotion	
		E-23: Tourism/Tourism Related Industry Training Program	

	ੑੑਗ਼੶ਫ਼ਫ਼ਜ਼ਫ਼ਫ਼ਗ਼ੑਗ਼ਗ਼੶ੑਗ਼ਗ਼ੑਗ਼ਫ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼੶ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਫ਼ਫ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼ਗ਼	and the second		real and the second
	Tanjung Jabung	Palembang	Musi Rawas/Lahat	Bengkulu Selatan
	F-1: Batang Hari Integrated Basin Development Plan F-5: Tungkał River Irrigation Development Project F-8: Integrated Swamp Development Project F-40: Rural Water Supply	F-9: Master Plan for Palembang Urban Drainage and Sewerage Treatment System (=1-6) F-10: Feasibility Study for Palembang Water Supply F-18: Feasibility Study for	F-14: Upper Musi River Basin Water Resources Development Projects F-15: Rehabilitation and Expansion of Irrigation Schemes in Kabupaten Lahat	F-28: Manna-1 Hydropower Development Project F-29: Peninjauan Swamp Land Development Project F-39: Integrated Small Rivers Development Project
	for the Eastern Coastal Swamp Area	Banyuasin Floodway	F-16: Feasibility Study for Lematang-4	
Water Resources			Hydropower Development Project	
	 G-25: Deep Sea Port Construction (Batang Hari River in Jambi) G-27: Seaport Improvements (Jambi) G-45: Sungai Bengkal- Merlung-Pematang Lumut Road G-46: Tanjung Jabung Kabupaten Local Roads G-47: Swampland Road to Muara Sabak Port 	 G-3: Sultan Badarudin II Airport Upgrade G-19: Commuter Docks Improvement G-30: Seaport Improvements (South Sumatra) G-55: Outer Ring Road for Palembang (northerm section) 	 G-6: Lubuklinggau Air- field Improvement G-50: Safety Improvement Program for Mountain and Riverside Routes, Railroad Crossings G-52: Sarolangun-Sekayu- Betung East-West Trunk Road G-53: Mangun Jaya-Muara Beliti Road 	G-59: West Coast Road Improvement Program G-63: Local Roads Network Improvement in Pino, Manna and Three Kaur Kecamatans G-64: Cross Mountain Road Tanjung Iman- Muara Saung-Ujan Mas (South Sumatra)
Trans- portation	Town G-48: Direct Road Jambi City-Muara Sabak Port Town			
portunen				

	Lampung Utara	Bandar Lampung/Lampung Selatan	Prefeasibility Project Outside IDEP
	F-33: Implementation of Way Rarem	F-30: Water Allocation	F-12: Lower Komering
	Irrigation Project	Study for Way	Integrated Agricul-
	F-34: Tulang Bawang	Sekampung River	ture Development
	River Basin	Basin	
	Irrigation	F-31: Master Plan for	
	Development Project	Bandar Lampung	
	F-35: Way Mesuji and Way	Sewerage Treatment	
	Agung Irrigation	System	
	Development Project	F-32: Implementation of	
	Development Hoject	Batutegi Multi- Purpose Dam and	
Water		Reservoir Project	
Resources		F-36: Lampung Selatan	
	2	Flood Control and	
		Sabo Project	
		F-37: Way Semangka	
		Hydropower	
		Development Project	
		F-41: Institutional	•
		Management Study	
. I		for PDAM in	
		Provincial Capital	
		Cities	
	G-71: Pakuan Ratu-Sp.	G-9: Branti Airport	G-29: Deep Sea Port
	Tulang Bawang Road	Upgrade	Construction (Sout
	G-72: Pakuan Ratu-Negara	G-13: Bakauheni Rail	Sumatra)
	Ratu Road	Access Line	
	G-73: Simpang Sinar	G-14: Bandar Lampung	
	Asahan-Rawajitu	City Rail Bypass	
	East-West	Line	
	Swampland Road	G-24: Ferry Terminal	
	G-74: Selected	Expansion (B-temboni)	
	Transmigration and	(Bakauheni)	
	Private Roads	G-36: Port Improvement (Panjang)	
[Improvement Program for Neuwork	(Panjang) G-37: Facilities for	
	Program for Network	G-37: Pacifices for Nontraditional Sea-	
1 1	Development C. 75: Pawaiitu/Taladas to	craft	
	G-75: Rawajitu/Teladas to Seputihsurabaya	G-38: Completion of the	
		Eastern Sumatra	
Trans-	Swampland Road	Highway	
	· · · ·	G-67: Krui-Biha-	
portation		Bengkunat-Sanggi	
		Road (West Coast	
1		Road)	
		G-68: Sanggi-Suwoh-	
	· .	Kotabesi Valley	
	·	Road	
		G-76: Outer Bypass around	
		Bandar Lampung	
	÷	G-77: Twin Bay Coastal	
		Road Padang	
la state		Cermin-Kiluan-	
		Putihdoh-Kotaagung	
		G-78: Rajabasa Regional	
		Bus Terminal	
	particular and the second second	Expansion(Tanjung	
1		Karang)	

	H-8: Rural Telecommunication		1	
		H-1: Expansion of Exchange System		H-8: Rural Telecommunication System
	System	H-2: Expansion of Outside Plant		Jysterit
		H-12: Diffusion of OPMC		
		H-13: Maintenance Center		
Telecom-		H-15: Software Center	· · ·	
munication		Development H-16: CAI System		
		Development	· · ·	
		H-17: Establishment of		
		Demand Forecast System		
	·	5930011		
			and the second	
	I-4: Secondary Cities	1-6: Master Plan for Palambana Urban	I-11: Integrated Urban Infrastructure	I-16: Spatial Plan I-17: Secondary Cities
	Urban Development Project	Palembang Urban Drainage and	Development	Urban Development
	1-25: Rural Development	Sewerage Treatment	Program (IUIDP)	Project (SCUDP)
	(Support for Trans	(=F-9)	I-12: Spatial Plan	
	Bandep)	I-8: Kampung Development	I-13: Secondary Cities Urban Development	
		Program	Project (SCUDP)	
	н. - С	Ŭ	I-26: Rural Development	
			Project	
Urban and Rural				
Development	· ·			
-				
				. ·
·				
	T C DU A D	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	J-7: Industrial Forest	J-8: Rehabilitation of
[J-4: Pilot Demonstration on Integrated and		Plantation	Critical Forest Land
	Sustainable		J-23: Integrated Social	J-20: Rural Rattan
	Mangrove Forest		Forestry for the	Development for Smallholders
	Management		Production of Fuelwood, Food and	J-21: Resin-Based Rural
Land Use/			Cash Crops	Industries
Forestry/			J-24: Rehabilitation of	J-23: Integrated Social
Environment			Critical Watersheds	Forestry for the Production of
				Fuelwood, Food and
			the second second	Cash Crops
				J-26: Management of Protection Forests
				riolection rolests
	K-1: Establishment of	K-1: Establishment of	K-1: Establishment of	K-1: Establishment of
Institutional Support	IDEP Management Unit (IMU)	IDEP Management Unit (IMU)	IDEP Management Unit (IMU)	IDEP Management Unit (IMU)

ang sa ang s	Lampung Utara	Bandar Lampung/Lampung Selatan	Prefeasibility Project Outside IDEP
Telecom- munication		 H-1: Expansion of Exchange System H-2: Expansion of Outside Plant H-5: Terrestrial Transmission System Extending from Trans-and Cross- Sumatra Transmission Systems 	H-6: New Backbone Transmission Fiber Optic System
· .		H-8: Rural Telecommunication System	
Urban and Rural Development	 1-18: Secondary Cities Urban Development Project (SCUDP) 1-27: Participatory Rural Development Program 	 I-19: Master Plan for Bandar Lampung City Development I-20: Water Supply for Bandar Lampung I-21: Master Plan for Bandar Lampung Sewerage Treatment (=F-31) I-22: Kampung Improvement Program (KIP) I-23: Spatial Plan I-24: Integrated Urban Infrastructure Development Program (IUIDP) I-28: Rural Development Program for Conservation and Agricultural Diversification 	
Land Use/	J-15: Integrated and Sustainable Development of Swamp Areas	J-13: Comprehensive Environmental Management of the Lampung Bay	
Forestry/ Environment			
Institutional Support	K-1: Establishment of IDEP Management Unit (IMU)	K-1: Establishment of IDEP Management Unit (IMU)	

APPENDIX 5 SCOPE OF WORK

SCOPE OF WORK

FOR

THE STUDY

0N

THE INTEGRATED REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

FOR

THE SOUTHERN PART OF SUMATRA

IN

THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA

AGREED UPON BETWEEN

THE MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS AND THE JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

JAKARTA, NOVEMBER 7, 1990

SALMON KODIJAT ACTING DIRECTOR GENERAL OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA

och Bal

PROF. KOICHI BABA LEADER OF THE PRELIMINARY STUDY TEAM THE JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

I. INTRODUCTION

In response to the request of the Government of the Republic of Indonesia(hereinafter referred to as"the Government of Indonesia"), the Government of Japan, in accordance with the relevant laws and regulations in force in Japan, decided to conduct the study on the Integrated Regional Development Plan for the Southern Part of Sumatra in the Republic of Indonesia(hereinafter referred to as"the Study").

Accordingly, the Japan International Gooperation Agency(hereinafter referred to as "JICA"), the official agency responsible for the implementation of the technical cooperation programmes of the Government of Japan, will undertake the Study, in close cooperation with the authorities concerned of the Government of Indonesia.

The Directorate General of Human Settlements (hereinafter referred to as"DGCK"), The Ministry of Public Works shall act as counterpart agency to the Japanese study team. A Steering Committee will be set up consisting of agencies concerned and chaired by the Deputy Chairman for Regional Affairs of BAPPENAS and will act as coordinating body to other governmental and non-governmental organizations concerned for the smooth implementation of the Study.

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objectives of the study are :

- to formulate the Integrated Regional Development Plan as a part of National Development Plan for the purpose of achieving harmonious socio-economic and physical development within the study region taking into due consideration the importance of coordination among past and on-going studies.
- 2. to identify priority projects and areas within the context of the above plan,
- 3. to strengthen the planning capability of Indonesian counterpart personnel in the course of the Study.

M. STUDY AREA

The study area will cover the four provinces that comprises the Southern Sumatra Region, namely Jambi, South Sumatra, Benkulu and Lampung.

IV. SCOPE OF WORK

- 1. General,
 - (1) The Integrated Regional Development Plan will encompass the time span to 2010.
 - (2) The Study aims at formulating concrete and specific long-term development strategies for the region.
 - (3) The Study will consider the importance of spatial and inter-sectoral linkages in the development strategy.
 - (4) As main sources of the Study, existing study reports and data will be appropriately utilized.
 - 2. Major Items of the Study.

Step 1. Analysis of the Present Situation for Development.

The present situation of basic natural-physical condition, socio-economic situation and sectoral development will be analyzed.

Past and on-going studies will be reviewed. Then, current obstacles for development will be identified.

Step 2. Identification of Development Potentials.

Development potentials in each sector will be assessed on the basis of the data collected in Step 1 from the viewpoint of utilizing local natural resources by keeping considerations on environmental conditions, and establishing stronger integration and linkages between the economy of the region and that of the rest of the country.

Major obstacles will be examined and specific measures to overcome them will be identified.

Step 3. Establishment of Development Objectives, and Formulation of Overall Development Framework.

Development objectives will be established , keeping their consistency with the national policies, which cover central, provincial and local government policies.

Three basic requirements, namely narrowing of inter and intra provincial disparities in terms of level of welfare, increasing the non-oil production sectors and expansion of the Region's employment opportunity, will be reflected for that framework.

Step 4. Formulation of Regional Development Strategies.

Regional development strategies will be formulated according to the development framework set up in Step 3.

In preparing the strategies, the following items will be taken into consideration as well as the prospects of the international economic environment and national development:

- 1. the direction for improving the Region's industrial structure,
- 2. policy alternatives to increase outputs of the production sectors,
- 3. policy to minimize inter-and intra-regional income disparities,
- 4. measures to expand the Region's employment opportunity and to alleviate poverty,
- 5. alternative land use,
- 6. spspialization and integration among the areas ,both urban and rural in the Region,
- 7. appropriate scales and mix of basic infrastructures such as transportation network to serve development of the production sectors, and
- 8. environmental aspects.

Step 5. Selection of Priority Area and Priority Projects.

Subject to the Regional Development Strategies prepared in Step 4, priority areas and priority projects in production and infrastractual sectors will be selected.

Step 6. Identification of Priority Projects or Project Package.

In view of the result in Step 5, priority projects or project packages for the selected areas will be carefully identified.

Step 7. Preliminary Study of High-priority Projects.

Preliminary studies of the high-priority projects selected in Step 6 will be conducted and in the conduct of these studies, the nature of obstacles which might affect the feasibility and implementation of the projects will be examined in preliminary level. Financial capabilities, such as arrangements between the public and the private sector should be taken into account.

V. STUDY SCHEDULE.

The Study will be executed in accordance with the attached tentative schedule.

VI. REPORTS.

The JICA shall prepare and submit the following reports in English to the Government of Indonesia.

- Inception Report Fifty (50) copies within 2 months from the commencement of the Study.
- Progress Report(I) Fifty(50) copies within 7 months from the commencement of the Study.
- Progress Report(II) Fifty(50) copies within 12 months from the commencement of the Study.
- Interim Report Fifty (50) copies within 15 months from the commencement of the Study.
- Progress Report(III) Fifty(50) copies within 20 months from the commencement of the Study.

6. Draft Final Report

Eighty(80) copies within 23 months from the commencement of the Study.

The Indonesian authorities concerned shall submit their comments within two(2) months after the receipt of the Draft Final Report.

7. Final Report

One hundred and fifty(150) copies within one and a half(1.5) months after receipt of the comments from the authorities concerned on the Draft Final Report.

VII. UNDERTAKING OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDONESIA.

The Government of Indonesia, in accordance with the relevant laws and regulations in force in Indonesia, shall accord privileges and other benefits to the Japanese study team, and through the authorities concerned, take necessary measures to facilitate the smooth implementation of the Study.

- 1. The DGCK shall make necessary arrangement with the cooperation of other relevant organizations for the followings:
 - (1) to secure the safety of the Japanese study team,
 - (2) to permit the member of the Japanese study team enter, leave and sojourn in Indonesia for the duration of their assignment therein, and exempts them from alien registration requirements and consular fees,
 - (3) to exempt the members of the Japanese study team from taxes, duties and other charges on equipment, machinery and other materials brought into Indonesia necessary for the implementation of the Study,
 - (4) to exempt the members of the Japanese study team from income tax and other charges imposed on, or in connection with, any emoluments or allowances paid to the members of the Japanese study team for their service in connection with the implementation of the Study,
 - (5) to provide necessary facilities to the Japanese study team for remittance as well as utilization of funds introduced into Indonesia from Japan in connection with the implementation of the Study,
 - (6) to provide medical services as needed. Its expenses will be chargeable on the members of the Japanese study team,

- (7) to secure permission for the Japanese study team to take all data, documents and necessary materials related to the Study out of Indonesia to Japan,
- (8) to secure permission for The Japanese study team to enter private properties or public areas, and
- (9) to facilitate prompt clearence through customs and inland transportation of equipment materials and supplies required for the Study and of the personal effects of members of the Japanese study team.
- 2. The DGCK shall, at its own expense, provide the Japanese study team with the followings, in cooperation with other relevant organizations:
 - (1) available data and information related to the Study.
 - (2) counterpart personnel from central and provincial governments.
 - (3) suitable office space with necessary equipment both in Jakarta and in respective province of the study area.
 - (4) credential or identification cards.
- 3. The government of Indonesia shall bear claims, if any arises against the member of the Japanese study team resulting from, occuring in the course of, or otherwise connected with the discharge of their duties in the implementation of the Study, except when such claims arise from gross negligence or wilful misconduct on the part of the members of the Japanese study team.

VM. UNDERTAKING OF THE JICA

For the implementation of the Study. the JICA shall take the following measures:

- 1. to dispatch at its own expense, study team to Indonesia.
- 2. to provide equipment necessary for the Japanese study team, and
- 3. to perform technology transfer to the Indonesian counterpart personnel in the course of the Study.

IX. CONSULTATION.

The JICA and the Steering Committee as well as the DGCK will consult with each other in respect of any matter that is not mentioned in this document, and may arise from, or in connection with the Study.

	8. DWAFT FINAL REPORT AND FINAL REPORT	7.INEWTHFICATION OF PRIORITY PROJECTS OR PROJECT PACKAGES & PRELIMINARY STUDY OF HIGH-PRIORITY PROJECTS	S. SELECTOPN OF PRIORITY AREAR AND PRIORITY PROJECTS	4. ESTABLISHMENT OF OBJECTIVES AND FORMULATION OF FRAMEWORK 5. FORMULATION OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES	2. ANALYSIS OF PRESERT SITUATION FOR DEVELOPMENT 3. INEMTIFICATION OF DEVELOPMENT POTENTIALS	1. COLLECTION OF BASIC INFORMATION	TENTATIVE SCHEDULE
► IC/R		RIORITY		TECIES			2 3
► P/R(1)							5 5 7 2 8 5
→ → P/R(∐) IT/R						·	110 111 12 13 14
► ► ► ► ►							15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2
▲ ▲ DF/R F/R			 -				22 23 24 25 26 27

MINUTES OF MEETING BETWEEN DGCK AND JICA PRELIMINARY STUDY TEAM

0 N

THE SCOPE OF WORK FOR THE STUDY

0 N

THE INTEGRATED REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

FOR

THE SOUTHERN PART OF SUMATRA

The Japanese Preliminary Study Team for the Integrated Regional Development Plan for the Southern Part of Sumatra (the Team) visited Indonesia with the purpose of working out and concluding the Scope of Work on the Study of the Integrated Regional Development Plan for the Southern Part of Sumatra (the Study).

During their stay in Indonesia the Team had a series of discussions with the Directorate General of Human Settlements (the DGCK) and other relevant authorities as shown in the Attachment.

In the course of discussions, some remarks were made by the Indonesian side with regard to the Scope of Work. The main points confirmed are summarized as follows :

1. The Steering Committee for the Study, which shall be constituted of representatives of the DGCK, the BAPPENAS and the Ministry of Home Affairs, shall be set up. Under the direction of the Steering Comittee, workshops which watch and discuss progress of the Study shall be held at appropriate stages at both national and provincial levels. The workshops shall be constituted of personnel from agencies concerned.

2. The Integrated Development Program (IDEP), which was developed and elaborated in the Study on the Integrated Regional Development Plan for the Northern Part of Sumatra, will be applied to the Study as development planning approach.

- 3. The Indonesian side was of the opinion that the Study should include social sector as well as production sector. The Team stated that the Study would emphasize production sector, while social factors would be taken into due consideration. Therefore the final output of the Study would not explicitly cover social sector planning.
- 4. The transmigration program in Indonesia will be regarded as a given condition in the Study.
- 5. It is understood that preparing terms of reference for feasibility studies of recommended projects is out of the Scope of Work.
- 6. In compliance with strong request by the Indonesian side, careful attention will be given to the environmental aspects of development process.
- 7. In order to facilitate technology transfer of regional planning and other related fields, the following efforts shall be made on both sides : in particular the Indonesian side would provide counterparts who could manage English to work jointly, and the Japanese side together with the counterparts would conduct the Study including the analyses in Indonesia as much as possible.
- 8. Provision of adequate office space with necessary equipments both in Jakarta and in each province in the study area shall be secured.
- 9. For smooth implementation of the Study, the DGCK would designate contact-point personnel to respective agencies in the central and provincial governments.
- 10. The Team is not in a position to meet directly the demand for overseas training as part of the Study. However, the request shall be conveyed to the authorities concerned in Japan.

NDR

11. In reference to IV.1. (4) in the Scope of Work, existing study reports and data such as LREP (Land Resources Evaluation and Planning) and RePPProT (Regional Physical Planning and Programme for Transmigration) will be appropriately utilized in the Study.

Jakarta, November 7 , 1990

Gue

SALMON KODIJAT Acting Director General of Human Settlements Ministry of Public Works The Republic of Indonesia

PROF. KOICHI BABA L e a d e r of The Preliminary Study Team The Japan International Cooperation Agency

APPENDIX 6 MEMBERS OF THE INDONESIAN COMMITTEES

1. STEERING COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. Sugijanto Soegijoko Ir. Rachmadi Bambang Soemadijo

Drs. Parawansa

Ir. Hendropranoto Suselo MPW

Dr. Ir. Budhy Tjahjati S.

Dr. Manuwoto Drs. Kunarjo MA

Drs. Darsa

Ir. Tubagus Haedar Ali

Drs. S.K. Mangiri

Ir. P. Sidabutar

Ir. Soenardi Hadisoepadmo

Drs. H. Alamsyah Braksan Drs. H.T. Simanjuntak Drs. H. Yahya Kemaja Ir. Bambang Irawan

2. TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Ir. Tubagus Haedar Ali

Roslan Zaris SE.MSc

Drs. Herman Siregar MA

Drs. Putu Yarsa Ir. Herry Darwanto Drs. H. Siagian SH

Drs. Abd. Aziz Drs. Machfudz Rahim

Drs. Muchsin A.S.

Drs. Musiardanis MSc

Drs. Roeskan E.Oeman

Drs. Hoeban Namploh

Ir. Siti Nurbaya Rusli MSc.

Ir. Adi Utomo

Deputi V Bidang Regional dan Daerah. Bappenas Direktur Jenderal Cipta Karya, Departemen Pekerjaan Umum Direktur Jenderal Pembangunan Daerah, Dept. Dalam Negeri

Staf Ahli Menteri Pek. Umum Bidang Tata Keterpaduan Kawasan dan Planologi Kota Kepala Biro Sosial, Ekonomi dan Tata Ruang Bappenas

Kepala Biro Regional I Bappenas

Kepala Biro Pembiayaan Proyek-proyek Pembangunan Bappenas

Direktur Pembinaan Anggaran Pembangunan Departemen Kuangan

Direktur Tata Kota dan Tata Daerah, Direktorat Jenderal Cipta Karya Dep.PU

Direktur Bina Program Dit Jend Pembangunan Daerah Dep. Dalam Negeri

Direktur Bina Program, Ditjend Cipta Karya, Dep. Pekerjaan Umum

Kepala Biro Perencanaan, Departemen Pekerjaan Umum

Ketua Bappeda Tk.I Propinsi Jambi

Ketua Bappeda Tk.I Propinsi Sumatera Selatan Ketua Bappeda Tk.I Propinsi Bengkulu Ketua Bappeda Tk.I Propinsi Lampung

Direktur Tata Kota dan Tata Daerah Dit Jend Cipta Karya

Kepala Sub.Direktorat Perencanaan Umum

Wilayah.Dit Tata Kota dan Tata Daerah.

Kepala Sub Direktorat Perencanaan Pembangunan Dit Bina Program, Dep. Dalam Negeri

Staf Biro Regional I, I Deputy V. Bappenas

Staf Biro SETR Deputy V. Bappenas

Kepala Bidang Fisik dan Prasarana Bappeda Tk.I Jambi

Kepala Bidang Penelitian Bappeda Tk.I Jambi Kepala Bidang Fisik dan Prasarana Bappeda Tk.I Sumatera Selatan

Kepala Bidang Penelitian Bappeda Tk.I Sumatera Selatan

Kepala Bidang Fisik dan Prasarana Bappeda Tk.I Bengkulu

Kepala Bidang Penelitian Bappeda Tk.I Prop. Bengkulu

Kepala Bidang Fisik dan Prasarana Bappeda Tk.I Lampung

Kepala Bidang Penelitian, Bappeda Tk.I Prop. Lapmpung

Kepala Dinas Pekerjaan Umum Propinsi Jambi

Ir. H. Hasan Nuh

Ir. Moestadjab Kol. CZI. Karyotomo

3. COUNTERPART TEAM

Ir. Mauritz Pasaribu MRegSc

Ir. Feryanto Diais

Dra. Dyah Herawaty Ir. Hamzah Ir. Budi Situmorang Ir. Agus Muharram MSP Drs. Husni Taufik Melanthon S.BE. Drs. Bambang Widodo

Ir. Syaiful M. Yusuf BMuE

Idransono BE Ir. Heru Prihandono Kepala Dinas Pekerjaan Umum Propinsi Sumatera Selatan

Kepala Dinas Pekerjaan Umum Propinsi Bengkulu Kepala Dinas Pekerjaan Umum Propinsi Lampung

Kepala Seksi Analisa Wilayah Sub Dit PUW Dit.TKTD

Kepala Seksi Perencanaan Pengembangan Wilayah Subdit PUW Dit Tata Kota dan Tata Daerah Staf Subdit PUW.Dit.Tata Kota dan Tata Daerah Staf Dit.Binaprogram. Ditjend Bangda Dep.Dalam Negeri

Pemimpin Proyek P2R Kanwil PU.Prop.Jambi Pemimpin Proyek P2R Kanwil PU.Prop.Sumatera Selatan

Staf Proyek P2R Kanwil PU.Prop.Bengkulu Pemimpin Proyek P2R Kanwil PU Prop.Lampung

APPENDIX 7. SUMMARY PROCEEDINGS OF THE WORKSHOPS

7.1 SUMMARY PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST WORKSHOP

1. Invitation Letter



REPUBLIK INDONESIA BADAN PERENCANAAN PEMBANGUNAN NASIONAL JAKARTA

No. :^{2-/2}/D.V/6/91 Lamp. : 2 (dua) helai

11 Juni 1991

Kepada Yth. (Daftar Terlampir)

Perihal : Pembahasan Laporan Pendahuluan Studi Pengembangan Wilayah Terpadu di Sumatera Bagian Selatan

Saat ini Pemerintah Indonesia bekerjasama dengan Pemerintah Jepang sedang melaksanakan kegiatan Perencanaan Pembangunan Terpadu Wilayah Sumatera Bagian Selatan. Laporan Pendahuluan yang membahas kerangka pelaksanaan studi telah diselesaikan.

Sehubungan dengan itu, kami mengharapkan kehadiran Saudara untuk mengikuti Pembahasan Laporan Pendahuluan tersebut yang akan diselenggarakan pada :

> Hari/Tanggal : Jumat, 21 Juni 1991 Waktu : 09.00 - selesai Tempat : R. Rapat 204 - 206, Lt. II, Bappenas Jl. Madiun No. 4-6, Jakarta Pusat A cara : Terlampir

Kami sangat mengharapkan masukan dan saran-saran Saudara untuk penyempurnaan konsep kerangka studi tersebut. Terlampir disampaikan daftar acara Lokakarya dan konsep Laporan Pendahuluan.

Atas perhatian Saudara, kami ucapkan terima kasih.

g Regional dan Daerah คอ janto Soegijoko

Tembusan :

1. Yth. Dirjen Cipta Karya, DPU 2. Yth. Dirjen Bangda, DEPDAGRI 3. Yth. Asmen Perencanaan Pembangunan Nasional

Agenda 2.

Date : Place:	21 June 1991 (Friday) Room 204 - 206, BAPPENAS	
9:00-9:45	Opening Remark Progress Report Remarks	Deputy V, BAPPENAS Director, DTKTD Chairman, JICA Advisory Committee BAPPENAS
9:45-11:00	Presentation and Discussion - based on the Inception Report	JICA Team/DGCK Team
11:00-12:00	Presentation and Discussion	Chairmen, BAPPEDA
12:00-13:00	Friday Prayer and Lunch	
13:00-14:45	Discussion	
14:45-15:00	Conclusion and Recommendations	

Participants 3.

Indonesia (33)

Dr.Sugijanto Soegijoko

Dr.Budhy Tjahjati

Dr.Manuwoto

Mr.Herry Darwanto

Ms.Rosediana

Mr.Haryo Raharjo

Mr.Hanan

Mr.M.Sayid Subehan

Mr.F.Sugiono

Mr.T.A.Sam

Mr.Herman S.

Mr.B.Widodo

Mr.Roslan Zaris

Deputy V, BAPPENAS

Head, Bureau of Socio-Economic and Social Planning, BAPPENAS

Head, Bureau of Region I, BAPPENAS

Bureau of Socio-Economic and Social Planning, **BAPPENAS**

Bureau of Region I, BAPPENAS

11

Bureau of Region II, BAPPENAS

Bureau of Region III, BAPPENAS ••

Bureau of Development Aid to the Regions, BAPPENAS

BANGDA, Ministry of Home Affairs

Head, PUW, Ministry of Public Works

Mr.Maurits Pasaribu

Mr.Agus Muharram

Mr.Husni Taufik Mr.Hamzah

Mr.Budi Situmorang

Mr.Arryanto Sagala Mr.Bangun Harahap

Dr.Togar Napitupulu

Mr.Imam Hambali

Mr.Krisno Wardoyo

Dr.Silver Hutabarat

Mr.Bambang S.

Mr.S.Riswan

Mr.Suryo Sediono

Mr.H.Alamsyah Braksan Mr.H.Siagian Mr.H.T.Simanjuntak

Mr.Syarifuddin Kholik

Mr.Bambang Irawan

Ms.Siti Nurbaya

Japan (21)

Prof.Koichi Baba Mr.Mitsuhiko Okada Mr.Kazuhiro Asano Mr.Akira Takahashi Mr.Noboru Taneda Mr.Masaru Takimoto

PUW, Ministry of Public Works

Bureau of Planning, Ministry of Industry

Bureau of Palnning, Ministry of Mining and Energy

Bureau of Planning, Ministry of Agriculture

Bureau of Planning, Ministry of Communication

Bureau of Planning, Ministry of Tourism, Post and Telecommunication

Bureau of Planning, Ministry of Forestry

Ministry of Population and Environment

Indonesian Institute of Science (LIPI)

Indonesian Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KADIN)

Chairman, BAPPEDA Jambi

BAPPEDA Jambi

Chairman, BAPPEDA South Sumatra

BAPPEDA Bengkulu

Chairman, BAPPEDA Lampung

BAPPEDA Lampung

Chairman, JICA Advisory Committee

...

JICA Advisory Committee

Representative, JICA Indonesia JICA Indonesia JICA Expert, DGCK Dr.Kunio Takase Dr.Norimichi Toyomane Mr.Masakazu Watanuki Ms.Hiroko Sato Mr.Yojiro Sekiguchi Mr.Hiroshi Fukao Mr.Masanobu Sakakura Dr.Atsushi Fukushima Mr.Ken Nishino Mr.Manuel Knight Mr.Tomoo Amano Mr.Wataru Kurashima Ms.Tomoko Kobayashi Mr.Hiroshi Hasegawa Mr.Mitsuhiro Hayashi Leader, JICA Team Depupty Leader, JICA Team

JICA Team

н

11

11

...

...

£1

. .

Minutes of Discussion 4.

1.

MINUTES OF DISCUSSION OF THE FIRST WORKSHOP

ON

THE STUDY ON THE INTEGRATED REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE SOUTHERN PART OF SUMATERA

The JICA Team for the Study on the Integrated Regional Development Plan for the Southern Part of Sumatera (the Study) prepared the Inception Report (the Report) and submitted it to the Directorate General of Human Settlements (DGCK), the Ministry of Public Works, on 4 June 1991.

DGCK distributed copies of the Report to the Steering Committee members (BAPPENAS, Ministry of Home Affairs, and Ministry of Public Works), other ministries and agencies concerned (Ministry of Industry, Ministry of Mining and Energy, Ministry of Tourism, Post and Telecommunications, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Communication, Ministry of Forestry, Ministry of Population and Environment, Indonesian Institute of Science, Investment Coordinating Board, Indonesian Chamber of Commerce and Industry) and BAPPEDA of the four provinces concerned. BAPPENAS subsequently convened the first Workshop, in cooperation with DGCK and JICA, on 21 June 1991 at BAPPENAS in Jakarta.

During the course of discussion at the Workshop, several remarks were made by Indonesian and Japanese participants on the implementation of the Study as well as on the content of the Report. Main points are summarized as follows :

Professor Baba, Chairman of the JICA Advisory Committee, appreciated DGCK's effort to fulfil most of the 11 points agreed on between DGCK and the JICA Preliminary Study Team on 7 November 1990. He expressed hope that the remaining two points listed below be implemented as soon as possible to expedite the Study work :

The JICA team's office space in Jakarta; and i.

Designation of contact-point personnel in respective sectoral agencies ii. of the central and provincial governments.

- 2. The JICA Team proposed the following overall approach to the Study in addition to those which are already indicated in the Report :
 - i. "Local Development Initiatives" should be respected in consonant with "National Development Initiatives" based on the "National Development Trilogy";
 - ii. While the "IDEP approach" is maintained, sub-programs with appropriate and manageable package may be suggested for easier implementation;
 - iii. More attention should be given to encourage private participation with due consideration of equity ("growth-cum-equity" approach);
 - iv. "Environment and Development" are not trade-offs, but are compatible and mutually supportive.
 - v. Closer cooperation among the JICA Team, the Counterpart Team and the contact-point personnel from local government and sectoral agencies is essential both in the central government and in the four provinces;
 - vi. The Study should be of international standard and exchange of information (including the Study report) with donors should be encouraged.
- 3. BAPPEDA Chairman of the four provinces unanimously expressed welcome and strong support of the Study. They suggested that their provinces' development strategy should be taken by the JICA Team as a basic input to the Study. They also expressed their eagerness to have stronger involvement of provincial staff in the course of the Study. The JICA Team appreciated this willingness and whenever relevant will invite their participation.
- 4. Most participants agreed that the Study should also consider the following aspects in formulating the development strategy for the southern part of Sumatera :
 - i. Sumatera as a whole and its linkage to Java and other parts of Indonesia;
 - ii. Global economic conditions;
 - iii. Rapidly changing land use pattern particularly in Lampung;
 - iv. Existing and latent development constraints;

- v. The role and utilization of local experts and universities; and
- vi. To take into consideration (as inputs) the sectoral departments' plans which locates in the region under study.
- 5. The Indonesian participants pointed out the particular importance of the following aspects in formulating the regional development plan :
 - Agro-industry to provide employment opportunities especially to rural labor force;
 - ii. Roles of traditional social institutions in the development of local economies;
 - iii. Human resources development, particularly education, as a precondition for the long-term development of the Region.
 - The JICA Team agreed with this.

i.

Repelita V.

- 6. The Indonesian participants suggested that the past and on-going studies and plans should be fully utilized in the Study. They also expected that the Study outcome should be able to provide inputs to the 2nd Long-Term National Development Plan and be compatible with and facilitate the preparation of the Provincial Spatial Structural Development Plan (RSTRP), by explicitly formulating development strategy for such components as (a) urban system, (b) transportation system and (c) priority areas. The JICA Team also agreed with this.
- 7. Based on analysis completed, the JICA Team will provide inputs to the provincial governments for the mid-term review of their respective Provincial
- 8. As much as possible, the JICA Team will provide inputs and suggestions for the preparation of the upcoming annual development projects of the provinces under study.

- In order to increase private participation, the Indonesian participants suggested that the JICA Team should have close consultation with KADINDA (Provincial Chamber of Commerce and Industry) as well as KADIN (Indonesian Chamber of Commerce and Industry).
- 10. The Workshop agreed on holding the second Workshop, tentatively, on 22 August 1991 in Jakarta. The draft Progress Report I will be distributed to all participants in advance of the Workshop.

Jakarta, 21 June 1991

Chairman of Steering Committee,

Prof. DR. Sugijanto Soegijoko

Deputy Chairman for Regional Development BAPPENAS

9,

Team Leader,

DR. Kunio Takase

JICA Study Team for the Integrated Regional Development Plan for the Southern Part of Sumatera.

7.2SUMMARY PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECOND WORKSHOP

1. Invitation Letter



UMUM DEPARTEMEN PEKERJAAN KARYA DIREKTORAT JENDERAL CIPTA

JL R. Patsh I No. 1 THP. 717240-7397727-7397767-7397789 Telex : 47330 DJCK. IA P.O. Box No. 413 KBY . Jakarta 12110

: UM. 02 05-Dc/1091' Nomor Lampiran :

Jakarta, 10-Agustus-1991

Kepada Yth: (Daftar Terlampir) di Jakarta.

Perihal : Pembahasan Laporan Kemajuan I Studi Perencanaan Pengembangan Wilayah Terpadu di Sumatera Bagian Selatan (LTA-129)

Studi Perencanaan Pengembangan Terpadu di Sumatera Bagian Selatan (LTA-129) yang merupakan kegiatan kerjasama Pemerintah Indonesia dengan Pemerintah Jepang (JICA) saat ini telah menyelesaikan Laporan Kemajuan I

Sehubungan dengan hal tersebut diatas dengan hormat kami mohon kehadiran saudara untuk mengikuti pembahasan Laporan Kemajuan I yang akan diselenggarakan pada :

Hari		Jum'at
Tanggal		23 Agustus 1991
Jam	;	09.00 -s/d Selesai
Tempat	:	Ruang Rapat A dan B Bappenas Jl.Taman Surapati no 2 A Jakarta.
Acara	:	Terlampir

Masukan dan saran saudara sangat diharapkan bagi penyempurnaan hasil maupun penyiapan langkah berikutnya. Diharapkan juga agar masukan dan saran dapat dibuatkan secara tertulis.

Terlampir disampaikan daftar acara pembahasan dan Konsep Laporan Kemajuah I

Atas perhatian saudara diucapkan terima kasih.

DIREKTUR JENDERAL CIPTA KARYA Selaku Wakil Ketua Tim Pengarah Proyek Studi Perencanaan Pengembangan Wilayah Terpadu dr Sumatera Bagian Selatan (LTA-129)
A MANTON
CIPTA KARYA R A. C-H. M. A. D. I. B. S NIP. 110008693

workshop LTA/JICA/91/HEL

2. Agenda

Date : Place :					
9:00 - 9:30	Opening Remark	Director, DTKTD			
9:30 - 10:30	Presentation - based on the Progress	JICA Team/DGCK			
Team	Report I				

10:30 - 12:00 Discussion

3. Participants

Indonesia (47)

Mr. Rachmadi BS

Mr. Hendropranoto Suselo

Mr. Haedar Ali

Mr. Renyansih Mr. N. Kusumaatmadja Mr. Banul Edison Mr. Mustawan Mr. Kris Sobian Dr. Manuwoto Mr. Adhi Putra Mr. Oktorialdi Mr. Rosianur Anwar

Ms. Widartanti Ms. Yohana Musu Ms. Suharty Mr. Putu B. Arsana Mr. Hardi Daryanto Mr. Eko Wardojo Mr. Kasman Hutabarat Mr. Lunuk Sumiarso Mr. Heru Wahyudi Mr. Baksi Sitepu Mr. Haryadi S. Mr. Yulvi Arwin Ms. Koesmarihati S.

Mr. Siarifuddin A.

Mr. Sambudjo

Mr. Risaldi Kasri

Mr. Bambang S. Mr. Arie DJ. Djoekardi

Director General of Human Settlements, Ministry of Public Works Assistant to Minister, Ministry of Public Works Director of City and Regional Planning, Ministry of Public Works Head, Bureau of Region I, BAPPENAS Bureau IV, BAPPENAS Bureau of Region I, BAPPENAS Bureau of Planning, Ministry of Industry Ministry of Industry Ministry of Industry Ministry of Agriculture Ministry of Agriculture Ministry of Forestry Ministry of Forestry Ministry of Mining and Energy Ministry of Mining and Energy Ministry of Mining and Energy Ministry of Communication Ministry of Communication Ministry of Communication Ministry of Tourism, Post and Telecommunication Ministry of Tourism, Post and Telecommunication Ministry of Tourism, Post and Telecommunication National Investment Coordination Board (BKPM) Ministry of Population and Environment Ministry of Population and Environment Mr. Suryo Sediono

Mr. Laurence A. Manullang

Mr. M.T. Gultom

Mr. Adit Karim

Mr. Maurits Mr. Agus Muharram Mr. Husni Taufik Mr. Hamzah Mr. Budi S. Mr. Satnadi Mr. Melanthon S. Mr. H. Siagian Mr. H.T. Simanjuntak Mr. Mahfudz Rahmi Mr. Muchsin A.S Ms. Siti Nurbaya Rusli Mr. Agus Sudjito

Japan (18)

Mr. Masaru TAKIMOTO Dr. Kunio TAKASE Dr. Norimichi TOYOMANE Mr. Masakazu WATANUKI Ms. Hiroko SATO Mr. Yojiro SEKIGUCHI Mr. Hiroshi FUKAO Mr. Masanobu SAKAKURA Dr. Atsushi FUKUSHIMA Mr. Jun TANIMIZU Mr. Ken NISHINO Mr. Manuel KNIGHT Ms. Tomoo AMANO Mr. Wataru KURASHIMA Ms. Tomoko KOBAYASHI Mr. Hiroshi HASEGAWA Mr. Mitsuhiro HAYASHI Ms. Susanna PRICE

Indonesian Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KADIN) Indonesian Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KADIN) Indonesian Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KADIN) Indonesian Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KADIN) PUW, Ministry of Public Works **BAPPEDA** Jambi Chairman, BAPPEDA South Sumatra **BAPPEDA South Sumatra BAPPEDA South Sumatra BAPPEDA** Lampung **BAPPEDA** Lampung

JICA Expert, DGCK JICA Team Leader JICA Deputy Team Leader JICA Team JICA Team **HCA** Team JICA Team **JICA** Team JICA Team JICA Team

4. Minutes of Discussion

MINUTES OF DISCUSSION OF THE SECOND WORKSHOP

ON

THE STUDY ON THE INTEGRATED REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE SOUTHERN PART OF SUMATRA

The JICA Team for the Study on the Integrated Regional Development Plan for the Southern Part of Sumatra (the Study) prepared draft Progress Report I (the Report) and submitted it to the Directorate General of Human Settlements (DGCK), the Ministry of Public Works, on 12 August 1991.

DGCK distributed copies of the Report to the Steering Committee members (BAPPENAS, Ministry of Home Affairs and Ministry of Public Works), other ministries and agencies concerned 6Ministry of Industry, Ministry of Mining and Energy, Ministry of Tourism, Post and Telecommunications, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Communication, Ministry of Forestry, Ministry of Population and Environment, Investment Coordinating Board, Indonesian Chamber of Commerce and Industry) and BAPPEDA of the four provinces concerned. DGCK subsequently convened the second Workshop, in cooperation with BAPPENAS and JICA, on 23 August 1991 at BAPPENAS in Jakarta.

During the course of discussion at the Workshop, several remarks were made by Indonesian and Japanese participants and JICA Team members on the implementation of the Study as well as on the content of the Report. Main points are summarized as follows :

- 1. The Workshop confirmed that most items included in the Minutes of Discussion of the First Workshop were duly carried out by both sides.
- 2. The Steering Committee at the Workshop formally requested the ministries and agencies concerned to designate a contact person in order to facilitate the systematic implementation of the

ksdpuw/A14/230891/minutes/EP

Study. DGCK provided a list of prospective contact persons, whom DGCK had informally requested to act as a contact person, and with whom the JTCA Team already had intensive discussions.

- 3. To strengthen the involvement of sectoral ministries and agencies concerned in the study process, Indonesian participants suggested that a sectoral workshop separate from the national workshop should be held in each ministry/agency concerned. The JICA Team agreed, in priciple, with this suggestion.
- 4. Indonesian participants suggested that the JICA Team should prepare a separate report before the Final Report on the appropriate institutional and administrative setup to further implement the projects identified in the Study. The JICA Team agreed to consider this possibility, subject, to positive cooperation from the Indonesian side.
- 5. In order to avoid duplication and to coordinate efforts among donors, it was recognized desirable to send a copy of the Report (after finalization) to at least major donor agencies, such as the World Bank, ADB, OECF and UNDP, and to exchange views from time to time on the substance of the Report.
- 6. Indonesian participants provided a number of written comments on the Report. The JICA Team would utilize the comments appropriately to finalize the Report.
- 7. Responding to the JICA Team's request, Indonesian participants suggested several viewpoints to be considered in strategy formulation in stages 3 and 4 :
 - (i) During the coming 20 years, Sumatra and Java must greatly contribute to the national development by utilizing their resources optimally and channeling the benefit into the eastern part of Indonesia.

ksdpuw/A14/230891/minutes/KP

279

- (ii) One could view not only Lampung and Jakarta, but also Sumatra and Java will form an integrated economy as one island. Strong linkage and influence between the Region and Java, Northern Sumatra as well as the "growth triangle", south-east and east Asia including Japan should underlie the strategy, based on market economy and with more incentives given to private sectors.
- (iii) Within the Region, the east-west perspective should be properly addressed in the strategy.
- (iv) Human resources development is a key instrument of any long-term development progrmas particularly to encourage entrepreneurship.
- (v) An integrated view of the Region is highly needed to develop its natural and human resources as well as to tackle interprovincial problems such as conservation of Bukit Barisan.
- 8. Indonesian participants pointed out that in the Report, the provinces and the sectors were dealt with rather separately even though their integration into one planning framework was the ultimate goal. The JICA Team responded that it was so because the stage 2 was mainly fact-finding, but agreed to pursue the integration in the coming stages.
- 9. The JICA Team disclosed that social-cultural issues be studied in contract with a university in each province from November 1991 to May 1992, the result of which may be used for the IDEP formulation in stage 5.
- 10. The JICA Team indicated that Progress Report II, containing the tentative macroeconomic and spatial framework, will be circulated to participants in December 1991 for their information.

ksdpuw/A14/230891/minutes/KP

11. The Workshop agreed on holding the third Workshop, tentatively, on 27 Jnauary 1992 in Jakarta. The draft Interim Report will be distributed to all participants in advance of the Workshop.

Jakarta, 23 August 1991

Vice Chairman of Steering Committee

famly ...-

Rachmadi B. S.

Director General of Human Settlements Ministry of Public Works

Team Leader

~ _!

Dr. Kunio Takase

JICA Study Team for the Integrated Regional Development Plan for the Southern Part of Sumatra

ksdpuw/A14/230891/minutes/KP

1 A. 1

7.3 SUMMARY PROCEEDINGS OF THE THIRD WORKSHOP

1. Invitation Letter



REPUBLIK INDONESIA BADAN PERENCANAAN PEMBANGUNAN NASIONAL JALAN TAMAN SUROPATI 2, JAKARTA 10310 <u>TELEPON : 336207</u>

No. : $\frac{\mathcal{ABB}}{\mathcal{AB}}$ /D.V/1/1992 Lamp. : 3 (tiga) helai Hal : Pembahasan Laporan Interim LTA-129 Jakarta, 22 Januari 1992

Kepada Yth. (daftar terlampir)

Studi Perencanaan Pengembangan Wilayah Terpadu di Sumatera Bagian Selatan (LTA-129) yang merupakan kegiatan kerjasama Pemerintah Indonesia dengan Pemerintah Jepang (JICA) saat ini telah menyelesaikan Laporan Interim. Laporan ini memuat konsepsi dan arah pengembangan wilayah Sumatera Bagian Selatan.

Sehubungan dengan hal tersebut di atas, dengan hormat kami mohon kehadiran Saudara untuk mengikuti Pembahasan Laporan Interim yang akan diselenggarakan pada :

Hari	: Senin
Tanggal	: 27 Januari 1992
Waktu	: Pukul 09.00
Tempat	: Ball Room Hotel Sahid Jaya
	Jalan Jenderal Sudirman
	Jakarta
Pimpinan	: Kepala Biro Regional I - Bappenas

Masukan dan saran Saudara sangat diharapkan bagi penyempurnaan hasil maupun penyiapan langkah berikutnya.

Diharapkan pula agar masukan dan saran dapat dibuatkan secara tertulis.

Terlampir disampaikan daftar acara pembahasan.

Atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya, kami ucapkan terima kasih.

Deputi Bidang Regional dan Daerah Bappenas Selaku Ketua Tim Pengarah Studi Perencanaan Pengembangan Wilayah Terpadu di Sumatera Bagian Selatan (LTA-129)

fanto/Soegijoko

282

DAFTAR UNDANGAN

BAPPENAS

1.	Asisten Menteri Neg Deputi Bidang Ekono	ara PPN	Bidang	Prasarana
3.	Deputi Bidang Regio	mal dan	Daerah	

- Kepala BIro Pertanian dan Pengairan 4.
- Kepala Biro Perhubungan dan Pariwisata 5.
- Kepala Biro Perdagangan dan Industri 6.
- Kepala Biro Kerjasama Ekonomi Luar Negeri Kepala Biro Regional I 7.
- 8.
- Kepala Biro Sosial Ekonomi dan Tata Ruang 9
- Kepala Biro Bantuan Pembangunan Daerah 10.

DEPARTEMEN DALAM NEGERI

11.	Direktur	Jenderal Pembangunan Daerah	1
12	Direktur	Bina Program, Ditjen. Bangda	. 4
13.	Direktur	Pembinaan Pengembangan Wilayah, Ditjen.	Banqda
14.	Direktur	Pengembangan Desa, Ditjen. Bangdes	·····

DEPARTEMEN PEKERJAAN UMUM

15.	Staf Ahli Menteri Bidang Pengembangan Tata Keterpaduan Kawasan
	dan Planologi Kota
16.	Direktur Jenderal Cipta Karya
17.	Kepala Biro Perencanaan
18.	Direktur Tata Kota dan Tata Daerah, Dirjen. Cipta Karya
	Direktur Bina Program Pengairan, Ditjen. Pengairan
	Direktur Bina Program Jalan, Ditjen. Bina Marga
21.	Direktur Bina Program Cipta Karya, Ditjen. Cipta Karya
	Kasubdit. PUW DTKTD
23.	Kasubdit. Tata Daerah DTKTD
24.	Kasubdit. Perencanaan Kota I DTKTD
25.	Kasubdit, Perencanaan Kota II DTKTD
26.	Kasubdit. Turbin'DTKTD
27.	Pemimpin Proyek Penataan Ruang Wilayah Nasional dan Daerah
1	

DEPARTEMEN PERHUBUNGAN

29.	Kepala	Bagian	Perencanaan	Ditjen.	Perhubungan Perhubungan Perhubungan	Laut .
31.	Kepala	Bagian	Perencanaan	Ditjen.	Pernubungan	Juara

DEPARTEMEN PERINDUSTRIAN

33. 34.	Kepala Biro P Direktur Bina Direktur Bina Direktur Bina Direktur Bina	Program, Program,	Ditjen.	Industri K	ecil	dar
	Elektronika			1		

DEPARTEMEN PERTAMBANGAN DAN ENERGI

- Kepala Biro Perencanaan 37.
- Direktur Pembinaan Program Kelistrikan, Ditjen Listrik dan 38. Energi Baru
- Direktur Geologi Tata Lingkungan, Ditjen. Geologi dan Sumber 39. Daya Mineral

DEPARTEMEN PARIWISATA POS DAN TELEKOMUNIKASI

Kepala Biro Perencanaan 40.

DEPARTEMEN PERTANIAN

- Kepala Biro Perencanaan 41.
- Direktur Bina Program, Ditjen Peternakan 42.
- Direktur Bina Program, Ditjen. Perkebunan 43.
- Kepala Bagian Perencanaan, Ditjen Perikanan 44.

DEPARTEMEN KEHUTANAN

- Kepala Biro Perencanaan 45.
- Sekretaris Ditjen Reboisasi dan Rehabilitasi Lahan 46.
- Direktur Rencana Pengusahaan Hutan, Ditjen. Pengusahaan Hutan 47.
- Sekretaris Ditjen Perlindungan Hutan dan Pelestarian Alam 48.

KEPENDUDUKAN DAN LINGKUNGAN HIDUP

Asisten II Bidang Sumber Alam 49.

BADAN KOORDINASI PENANAMAN MODAL (BKPM)

Deputi Bidang Perencanaan dan Promosi 50. Kepala Biro Perencanaan Investasi Non Industri 51.

KAMAR DAGANG DAN INDUSTRI

Ketua Kamar Dagang dan Industri 52.

KEPALA DINAS PEKERJAAN UMUM

53.	Kepala	Dinas	PU	Propinsi	Sumatera	Selatan
54.	Kepala	Dinas	рU	Propinsi	Jambi	· · · · ·
55.	Kepala	Dinas	PU	Propinsi	Bengkulu	
56.	Kepala	Dinas	PU	Propinsi	Lampung	

BAPPEDA

Ketua Bappeda Propinsi Sumatera Selatan 57. 58. Ketua Bappeda Propinsi Jambi Ketua Bappeda Propinsi Bengkulu 59. Ketua Bappeda Propinsi Lampung 60.

OECF Jakarta Office, Mr. Shunto Kageyama, Chief Representative 61. UNDP Jakarta Office, Ms. Akiko Yuge, Deputy Representative 62. Team JICA 63.-

- Perwakilan JICA Indonesia 64.
- 65. Mr. Takimoto, JICA Expert
- 66.
- Japanese Embassy, Mr. Yutaka Ishikawa Mr. Ali Sabeti, Chief of Operations The World Bank 67.
- Mr. Eiji Kobayashi, Chief Indonesia Resident Office, ADB 68.

2. Agénda

Date Time Place

Date Time Place	•	27 January 1992 9:00 - 17:00 Sahid Jaya Hote	
Agenda	:	9:00 - 9:35	Opening Remarks - BAPPENAS
		9:35 - 9:50	- JICA Advisory Committee Coffee Break
•		9:50 - 10:10	Introductory Note and Progress Report - DGCK Team
•	·	10:10 - 11:00	Presentation 1 : Overall Framework and Strategy
		11:00 - 12:00	- JICA Team Presentation II : Major Sectors' Concept, Strategy, and Project Ideas
		12:00 - 12:40	- JICA Team Discussion
		12:40 - 13:30	Lunch
		13:30 - 15:10	Discussion
		15:10 - 15:30	Presentation III : IDEP Site Proposal - JICA Team
		15:30 - 16:40	Discussion
		16:40 - 17:00	Conclusion

3. **Participants**

Indonesia (49)

Manuwoto Hariri Hady Putu Riasa Anwar Wardha Herry Darwanto M. Heri Santoso Rusdy J. O. SK Mangiri Eddy Anwar Didi Rasidi Risyanu

Azis Budiman Harjono Soediarto Satnadi Herry A. Nugraha Sudjan Sjarifuddin Warihsunu **Roslan** Zaris Maurits Pasaribu Agus Muharram Husni Taufik Hamzah **Budi Situmorang** Melanthon S. Gayarri K. Rana

Head, Bureau of Region I, BAPPENAS Assistant to Minister, BAPPENAS **Bureau of Region I, BAPPENAS** BAPPENAS BAPPENAS **BAPPENAS** Bangda, Ministry of Home Affairs Bangda, Ministry of Home Affairs Bangda, Ministry of Home Affairs Bina Marga, Ministry of Public Works Bina Program, Cipta KaryaMinistry of Public Works Bina Program, Ministry of Public Works DTKTD, Ministry of Public Works Head, PUW, Ministry of Public Works Ministry of Communication