A-9-3 Economic Analysis for Site 3

In this chapter, the economic analysis is conducted to evaluate the economic feasibility of the Grain Terminal located at site 3.

A-9-3-1 Method

The various factors and conditions used in the economic analysis for the Grain Terminal located at site 3 are the same as mentioned in chapter 7 in part II except construction cost.

A-9-3-2 Construction Cost

Construction costs at economic Prices of the Grain Terminal located at site 3 are shown in Table A-9-3-1.

Table A-9-3-1 Construction costs at Economic Prices

	Market Price	Economic	
Item		Price	
	(US\$ '000)	(US\$ '000)	
Civil Work			
Dredging			
Transfer Station	10,697	7,105	
Foreport	825	548	
Ancap Channel	1,749	1,162	
Approach Chanel	16,092	10,689	
Reclamation			
Silo Area	4,897	4,367	
Access Road Area	1,457	1,397	
Slope Protection	3,346	2,227	
Mooring Facilities			
Bresting Dolphin	2,792	' ' 11	
Mooring Dolphin A	915	629	
Unloading Pier	4,013		
Approach Jetty	556		
Mooring Dolphin B	698	553	
Pavement		1	
Silo Area	186	124	
Access Road Area	538		
Break Water	15,348	10,616	
Mechanical Work			
Load/Unloading Equip.	17,194	16,197	
Silo	25,584	20,041	
Engineering Services	5,415	4,595	
Physical Contingency	3,654	2,628	
Total	115,956	89,053	

A-9-3-3 Result of Cost Benefit Analysis

Table A-9-3-2 shows the calculated results of the cost-benefit analysis of the Grain Terminal located at site 3.

EIRR of this project is calculated at 7.7%.

The sensitivity analysis for EIRR yealds 6.1% for case A, 6.0% for case B, and 4.4% for case C.

Case A:The costs increase by 10%.

Case B: The benefits decrease by 10%.

Case C:The costs increase by 10% and the benefits decrease by 10%.

Table A-9-3-2 Cost-Benefit Analysis

		Benefit	Net Present Value (NPV)			
No	Year	_	Benefit	Cost	Benefit	
	144, 1	Cost	- "		- Cost	
1	1994	(14,247)	0	14,247	(14,247)	
2	1995	(12,504)	0	11,609	(11,609)	
3	1996	(31,380)	0	27,050	(27,050)	
4	1997	(30,922)	0	24,748	(24,748)	
5	1998	47,470	37,673	2,399	35,274	
6	1999	2,470	3,932	2,228	1,704	
7	2000	2,470	3,650	2,068	1,582	
8	2001	2,470	3,389	1,920	1,469	
9	2002	2,470	3,147	1,783	1,364	
10	2003	2,470	2,922	1,655	1,266	
11	2004	2,470	2,713	1,537	1,176	
12	2005	2,470	2,519	1,427	1,092	
13	2006	2,470	2,338	1,325	1,014	
14	2007	2,470	2,171	1,230	941	
15	2008	47,470	17,932	1,142	16,790	
16	2009	2,470	1,871	1,060	811	
17	2010	2,470	1,738	984	753	
18	2011	2,470	1,613	914	699	
19	2012	2,470	1,498	849	649	
20	2013	2,470	1,391	788	603	
21	2014	2,470	1,291	731	560	
22	2015	2,470	1,199	679	520	
23	2016	2,470	1,113	631	482	
24	2017	(13,726)	1,033	3,522	(2,489)	
25	2018	47,470	8,535	544	7,992	
26	2019	2,470	891	505	386	
27	2020	2,470	827	469	358	
28	2021	2,470	768	435	333	
29	2022	2,470	713	404	309	
30	2023	17,359	(1,429)	(3,445)	2,016	
	Total	108,866	105,437	105,437	0	

EIRR = 7.7%

A-9-4 Financial Analysis for the Grain Terminal Located at Site 3

In this chapter, the financial analysis is conducted to evaluate the financial feasibility of the grain terminal located at site 3.

A-9-4-1 Methodology

The viability of the project is analyzed using the Discount Cash Flow Method and appraised by the FIRR (financial internal rate of return), which is the same method used in chapter 8 Financial Analysis of part II.

A-9-4-2 Prerequisites

The various factors and conditions used in this analysis are the same as mentioned in chapter 8 except investment costs and maintenance and repair costs including maintenance dredging costs. These costs are estimated in A-9-2-4. The initial investment costs and annual administration costs of the grain terminal located at site 3 are shown in Table A-9-4-1 and A-9-4-2.

Table A-9-4-1 Investment Costs of Grain Terminal Located at Site 3

			Land to the second of the seco	(Unit	1000US\$)
:	1994	1995	1996	1997	Total
Dredging	5,349		4,588	19,426	29,363
Reclamation	10.00	2,926	3,428	eg i sa s	6,354
Slope Protection			3,346		3,346
Mooring Facilities	6,237	2,737			8,974
Pavement			4 T	724	724
Breakwater	6,139	9,209			15,348
Loading/Unloading Equipment		e jakan	13,755	3,439	17,194
Silo		1 75	10,234	15,350	25,584
Sub-Total	17,725	14,872	35,351	38,939	106,887
Engineerig Services	887	743	1,930	1,855	5,415
Physical Contingency	1,198	881	568	1,007	3,654
Tax	2,810	3,317	3,398	2,490	12,015
Grand Total	22,620	19,813	41,247	44,291	127,971

Table A-9-4-2 Administration Costs of Grain Terminal Located at Site 3

(Unit \$) Kinds of Costs Remarks Amount 4,097,410 Maintenance, Repair Costs Mooring Facilities, etc. 597,450 Original Construction Cost x 1% Original Construction Cost x 2% Handling Facilities 399,960 3,100,000 Dredging 807,240 Personnel Costs 55,800 lperson x \$3000/m x 12 x 1.55 Manager 1person x \$1800/m x 12 x 1.55 Superintendent 33,480 Shift Superintendent 44,640 2persons x \$1200/m x 12 x 1.55 167,400 | 12persons x \$750/m x 12 x 1.55 Operator 29,760 2persons x \$800/m x 12 x 1.55 Programmer 27.900 2persons x \$750/m x 12 x 1.55 Clerk 2persons x \$800/m x 12 x 1.55 29,760 Inspector 4persons x \$750/m x 12 x 1.55 55,800 Tallyman 32persons x \$500/m x 12 x 1.55 Laborer 297,600 Administration Clerk 65,100 Spersons x \$700/m x 12 x 1.55 366,000 \$0.061/KW x 6,000,000KW Electricity Bill 403,620 Personnel Costs x 50% Other Administration Costs

A-9-4-2 Appraisal

(1) Scenarios

Total

To examine the impact on the FIRR, the following conditions are established;

1) Case A: The grain terminal shares the total maintenance dredging cost.

5,674,270

- 2) Case B: The grain terminal shares two-third of it.
- 3) Case C: The grain terminal shares half of it.

(2) Appraisal

The results are shown in Table A-9-4-3. The FIRR does not exceed the interest rate of the funds of 8% in every case.

Judging from the above analysis, the grain terminal project located at site 3 cannot be regarded as financially feasible.

Table A-9-4-3 FIRR of the Grain Terminal Located at Site 3

	FIRR
5.55	
Case A	5.5%
Case B:	6.4%
Case C	6.8%

ŭ,