CHILD SPACING REPORT 1991
TABLE 4-9:

## NEW ACCEPTORS

|  | Orals | IUCD | Injectable | Condoms Registered | Condoms Non-reg | Spermicides | Diaphragm | Surgical | Other | All Methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern | 1,122 | 125 | 436 | 1,724 | 3,118 | 273 | 0 | 109 | 15 | 6,922 |
| Central | 4,481 | 453 | 2,108 | 3,632 | 6,493 | 759 | 31 | 310 | 30 | 18,207 |
| Southern | 4,691 | 446 | 2,255 | 4,015 | 21,993 | 2,490 | 3 | 523 | 11 | 36,427 |
| Total | 10,244 | 1,024 | 4,799 | 9,371 | 31,604 | 3,522 | 34 | 942 | 56 | 61,646 |
| Adjustment for $85 \%$ reporting rate for facilities | 15,837 | 1,575 | 7,383 | 14,417 | 48,622 | 5,418 | 52 | 1,448 | 86 | 94,840 |

REVISITS

|  | Orals | IUCD | Injectable | Condoms Registered | Condoms Non-reg | Spermicides | Diaphragm | Surgical | Other | All Methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern | 2,612 | 209 | 1,488 | 1,277 | 3,954 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,591 |
| Central | 10,520 | 1,112 | 10,732 | 1,438 | 11,125 | 366 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 35,304 |
| Southern | 12,501 | 1,309 | 15,005 | 1,080 | 2,931 | 708 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 33,548 |
| Total | 25,633 | 2,630 | 27,225 | 3,795 | 18,010 | 1,125 | 7 | 17 | 1 | 78,443 |
| Users (1) | 17,964 | 3,216 | 9,806 | 10,320 | 36,107 | 3,803 |  | 942 |  | 82,156 |
| reporting <br> rate for facilities | 27,636 | 4,947 | 15,086 | 15,877 | 55,548 | 5,851 |  | 1,449 |  | 126,394, |

[^0]TABLE 4-10: AWARENESS, PERCEPTION AND PRACTICE OF SOCIAL CUSTOMS FOR SEXUAL ABSTINENCE (1988)

| TYPE OF SOCIAL CUSTOHS FOR SEXUAL ABSTINENCE | ANARE OF CUSTOMS <br> (\%) |  | PERCEPTION OF PRACTICE BY PEOPLE IN SOCIETY AROUND RESPONDENTS (\%) |  | PRACTICE BY RESPONDENTS <br> (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALE | FEMALE | MALE | FEMALE | MALE | FEMALE |
| Death in the Family | 78.4 | 76.0 | 68.4 | 67.5 | 21.1 | 21.3 |
| Family Member 111 | 68.2 | 70.6 | 54.8 | 58.5 | 37.9 | 39.8 |
| Postpar tum Amenorrhea | 66.2 | 55.7 | 52.2 | 42.3 | 35.2 | 26.2 |
| Breast Feeding | 54.1 | 49.5 | 49.1 | 35.1 | 34.1 | 21.5 |
| Wife Pregnant | 48.1 | 37.5 | 34.3 | 26.2 | 11.7 | 6.7 |
| Death in the Village | 43.1 | 44.3 | 33.1 | 34.5 | 17.5 | 18.9 |
| Epidemic in the Village | 33.3 | 29.5 | 24.6 | 22.3 | 6.8 | 8.5 |
| Family Member Away | 28.0 | 31.2 | 19.4 | 23.9 | 6.8 | 7.8 |
| Grand Mother Status | 20.7 | 20.4 | 14.1 | 13.0 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| Famine Period | 6.4 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| Drought Period | 6.2 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 |

Source : Srivastava, M.L. and M'manga, W.R., Traditional and Modern Hethods of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, 0ctober 1991.

TABLE 4-11: AWARENESS AND PRACTICE OF TRADITIONAL METHODS (OTHER THAN ABSTINENCE) FOR CHILD SPACING(1988)

| Type of Traditional | \% Aware of |  |  |  |  |  | \% Ever Practiced |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method of Child | HEN |  |  | WOMEN |  |  | MEN |  |  | HOMEN |  |  |
| Spacing | URBAN | Runal | TOTAL | URBAN | rural | T0TAL | URBAN | RURAL | TOTAL | URBAN | RURAL | TOTAL |
| Drinking Medicine | 37.8 | 45.4 | 44.5 | 39.0 | 35.3 | 35.7 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| String | 67.7 | 63.6 | 64.0 | 68.5 | 57.0 | 58.3 | 7.9 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 8.4 | 8.7 |
| Traditional Abortion | 38.3 | 45.7 | 44.9 | 38.1 | 35.3 | 35.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhythm Hethod | 48.5 | 39.3 | 40.3 | 39.0 | 23.0 | 24.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Srivastava, M.L. and M'ranga, W.R., Traditional and Modem Methods of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, October 1991.

TABLE 4-12: ATTITUDES TOWARDS TRADITIONAL VERSUS MODERN METHODS OF CHILD SPACING (1988)

| TYPE OF CHILD SPACING | MEN |  |  | HOMEN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| METHODS APPROVED | URBAN | RURAL | roTAL | URBAN | RURAL | YOTAL |
| Traditional Methods | 11.3 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 7.6 |
| Modern Methods | 64.5 | 65.6 | 65.5 | 72.8 | 72.4 | 72.3 |
| Both | 24.2 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 20.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Srivastava, M.L. and M'manga, W.R., Traditional and Modern Methods of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, October 1991.

TABLE 4-13: MEAN DURATION OF BREASTPEEDING OF LAST BORN CHILD SINCE 1979

| Characteristics | mean duration |
| :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | 17.5 |
| AGE OF MOTHER |  |
| 15-19 | 13.5 |
| 20-24 | 17.1 |
| 25-29 | 16.9 |
| 30-34 | 17.6 |
| 35-39 | 18.3 |
| 40-44 | 20.1 |
| 45-49 | 17.7 |
| REGION |  |
| Northern | 20.5 |
| Central | 18.0 |
| Southern | 16.4 |
| RESIDENCE |  |
| Rural | 17.8 |
| Urban | 15.6 |
| level of education |  |
| No Education | 17.6 |
| Primary 1-4 | 18.3 |
| Primary 5-8 | 17.2 |
| Secondary or more | 13.9 |

Source : Malawi Family Formation Survey 1984.

TABLE 4-14: PERCENT OF ALL WOHEN AND CURRENTLY MARRIED HOMEN AGED 15-49 AND MEN $20-54$ WHO KNOW AT LEAST ONE CHILD SPACING METHOD (1984)

| Characteristics | WOMEN 15-49 |  | MEN 20-54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ALL WOMEN | CURRENTLY MARRIED |  |
| REGION |  |  |  |
| Nor thern | 20.9 | 25.4 | 36.4 |
| Central | 24.6 | 26.9 | 29.5 |
| Southern | 29.3 | 32.5 | 39.8 |
| RESIDENCE |  |  |  |
| Rural | 25.6 | 28.4 | 35.1 |
| Urban | 34.0 | 37.9 | 36.0 |
| LEVEL Of education |  |  |  |
| No Education | 26.2 | 27.6 | 30.7 |
| Primary 1-4 | 26.4 | 30.2 | 33.7 |
| Primary 5-8 | 24.2 | 30.6 | 38.3 |
| Secondary or More | 59.2 | 69.9 | 40.7 |
| MODERN METHODS |  |  |  |
| Pill | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.8 |
| IUD | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Injection | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 * |
| Condoms | 0.2 * | 0.2 * | 0.0 * |
| TRADITIONAL HETHODS |  |  |  |
| Abstinence | 11.1 | 12.4 | 18.6 |
| Rhythm | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| String | 12.3 | 14.1 | 13.1 |
| Herbs | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| 0ther | 1.6 | 1.8 | 14.8 |
| PERCENT KNOWING ANY METHOD | 26.6 | 29.6 | 35.2 |

Note : Based on 20 or fewer unweighted cases.
Source : Malawi Family Formation Survey 1984.

TABLE 4-15: AWARENESS OF AT LEAST ONE MODERN METHOD OF CHILD SPACIMG (1988)

|  | WOMEN | MEN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENCE |  |  |
| Urban | 86.7 | 86.6 |
| Rural | 75.9 | 75.5 |
| LEVEL OF EDUCATION |  |  |
| No Education | 65.8 |  |
| Lower Primary | 77.4 | 73.0 |
| Senior Primary | 86.4 | 81.9 |
| Secondary and Above | 30.7 | 94.3 |

Source: Srivastava, M.L. and M'manga, W.R., Traditional and Modern Method of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, October 1991.

TABLE 4-16: AWARENESS OF MODERN METHODS OF CHLLD SPACING BY TYPE OF METHOD (1988)

| TYPE OF MODERN METHOD OF CHILD Spacing amare of | MEN |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPONTANEOUS KNOHLEDGE |  |  | SPONTANEOUS <br> thided reply | SPONTANEOUS KNOWLEdGE |  |  | SPONTANEOUS <br> +AIDED REPLY |
|  | urban | RURAL | TOTAL |  | urban | RURAL | TOTAL |  |
| Tubal Ligation | 13.9 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 39.1 | 25.4 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 37.7 |
| Injectables | 21.4 | 16.5 | 17.1 | 43.7 | 41.8 | 30.9 | 31.7 | 56.8 |
| Pills | 46.6 | 34.6 | 38.0 | 65.8 | 65.2 | 48.4 | 49.7 | 70.9 |
| IUD | 16.8 | 6.4 | 7.6 | 18.0 | 40.3 | 13.6 | 15.7 | 28.5 |
| Condom | 38.6 | 25.8 | 27.3 | 57.0 | 38.2 | 20.1 | 21.5 | 38.2 |
| Diaphragm | 20.6 | 15.6 | 16.2 | 40.9 | 29.4 | 17.4 | 18.3 | 34.3 |
| Foam, Cream, Jellies \& Vaginal Suppositories | 5.9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 10.1 | 17.5 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 14.2 |
| 0 ther | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.2 |

Note : Spontaneous Knowledge refers to spontaneous answers when asked by the enumerators with open question on the awareness by specifying methods. "Spontanesus and Aided Reply" includes the answers aided by enumerators with specifying methods.
Source: Srivastava, M.L. and M'manga, W.R., Traditional and Modem Methods of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, October 1991.
TABLE 4-17: MAJOR SOIRCE OF INFORMATION ON MODERN METHODS OF CHLLD SPACING (1588)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Unit: \%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tubal Ligation |  | Injectables |  | Pills |  | IUS |  | Condom |  | Diaphragm |  | Fcam, Cream, Jellies and Veginal Suppositories |  |
|  | FEMALE | MALE | FEMALE | MALE | FEMALE | MALE | FEMALE | MALE | FEMALE | MALE | FEMALE | MALE | FEMALE | MALE |
| HOSPITAL | 60.1 | 38.8 | 65.9 | 48.4 | 64.6 | 41.9 | 72.1 | 43.6 | 06.3 | 38.5 | 67.1 | 39.4 | 73.4 | 55.3 |
| FRIENDS | 30.6 | 47.8 | 25.0 | 41.3 | 26.9 | 46.8 | 18.3 | 35.6 | 23.8 | 47.1 | 22.2 | 43.2 | 15.0 | 13.7 |
| RADIO | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| NEWSPAPER | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 3.1 |
| SCHOOL | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2.4 |
| OTHER | 6.4 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 9.2 |
| NOT GIVEN | 2.4 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 6.8 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 11.3 |

Note : Figures refer to the percentage distribution of male/female respondents who are aware of specified modern methods of child spacing by major source of information.
Source : Srivastava. M.L. and M'manga, H.R., Traditional and Modem Methods of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, October 1991.

TABLE 4-18: MEAN DESIRED NUMBER OF CHILDREN (1984)

| CHARACTERISTICS | WOMEN | MEN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| REGION |  |  |
| Nor thern | 6.0 | 6.6 |
| Central | 6.1 | 6.5 |
| Southern | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| RESIDENCE |  |  |
| Rural | 6.1 | 6.4 |
| Urban | 5.4 | 5.8 |
| LEVEL Of EDUCATION |  |  |
| No Education | 6.3 | 6.6 |
| Primary 1-4 | 5.8 | 6.5 |
| Prinary 5-8 | 5.6 | 6.1 |
| Secondary + | 4.6 | 5.5 |
| Note : Women : aged 15-49 years <br> Men : aged $20-54$ years |  |  |

Source: Malawi Family Formation Survey 1984.

TABLE 4-19: IDEAL FAMILY SIZE FOR A MALAWIAN PGMALE (1988)

| IDEAL FAMILY SIZE * | FEMALE |  |  | MALE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | URBAN | RURAL | TOTAL | URBAN | RURAI, | rotal |
| 1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 2 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 3 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 11.5 | 5.5 | 6.2 |
| 4 | 26.5 | 21.5 | 22.1 | 31.9 | 28.6 | 27.2 |
| 5 | 19.0 | 21.4 | 21.1 | 15.3 | 16.6 | 18.3 |
| 6 | 19.8 | 17.7 | 17.9 | 15.5 | 16.4 | 16.3 |
| 7 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 6.0 | 5.7 |
| 8 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 6.6 |
| 9 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 10 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
| $11+$ | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| Non-Numeric Response | 10.8 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 10.1 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

* Number of living children a female should ideally have in her life time.

Source: Srivastava, M.L. and M'manga, W.R., Traditional and Modern Methods of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Altitude and Practice, 0ctober 1991.

TABLE 4-20: MEAN DESIRED LENGTH OF BIRTH INTERVAL (1984)
(Unit : months)

| CHARACTERISIICS | WOMEN $15-49$ | HEN 20-54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | 27.6 | 25.2 |
| REGION |  |  |
| Northern | 26.1 | 25.8 |
| Central | 26.8 | 25.4 |
| Southern | 28.4 | 24.8 |
|  |  |  |
| RESIDENCE |  | 24.8 |
| Rural | 27.3 | 27.4 |
| Urban |  |  |

Source : Malavi Family Formation Survey 1984.

TABLE 4-21: DESIRED BIRTH INTERVAL (1988)
(Unit: \%).

| DESIRED BIRTH INTERUAL | MEN |  |  |  | WOMEN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (MONTHS) | URBAN | RURAL | TOTAL | URBAN | RURAL | TOTAL |  |
| 24 or Less | 56.6 | 60.3 | 59.9 | 46.2 | 54.0 | 53.4 |  |
| $25 \sim 36$ | 31.7 | 29.4 | 29.6 | 37.1 | 34.4 | 34.6 |  |
| $37 \sim 48$ | 8.1 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 11.3 | 7.9 | 8.1 |  |
| $48+$ | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 3.8 |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source : Srivastava, M.L. and M'manga, W.R., Traditional and Modern Methods of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, October 1991.

TABLE 4-22: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NON-PREGNANT WOHEN AGED 15-49 ACCORDING TO THEIR DESIRE FOR MORE CHILDREN BY BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS (1984)

| CHARACTERISTICS | WANTS <br> MORE NOW | WANTS <br> MORE LATER | WANTS <br> NO MORE | UNCERTAIN | TOTAL <br> PERCENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL AGES | 31.3 | 43.4 | 17.2 | 8.2 | 100.0 |
| $15-19$ | 26.9 | 63.7 | 2.6 | 6.9 | 100.0 |
| $20-24$ | 33.2 | 56.1 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 100.0 |
| $25-29$ | 35.2 | 49.4 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 100.0 |
| $30-34$ | 33.7 | 36.6 | 19.9 | 9.7 | 100.0 |
| $35-39$ | 33.6 | 30.5 | 24.6 | 11.4 | 100.0 |
| $40-44$ | 27.4 | 17.5 | 45.8 | 9.3 | 100.0 |
| $45-49$ | 25.6 | 9.7 | 55.7 | 9.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESIDENCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 32.4 | 42.4 | 16.8 | 8.5 | 100.0 |
| Urban | 23.8 | 49.7 | 20.5 | 6.1 | 100.0 |

Source: Malawi Family Formation Survey 1984.

TABLE 4-23: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF MEN AGED 20-54 YEARS BY AGE AND ATTITUDES REGARDING THE USE OF CHILD SPACING METHODS (1984)

| AGE GROUP | WOULD LIKE 1O USE METHOD |  |  | WOULD LIKE WIFE TO USE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { PERCENT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOH | IN FUTURE | NEVER | YES | NO |  |
| ALL MEN | 31.2 | 38.2 | 30.6 | 67.3 | 32.7 | 100.0 |
| LEVEL Of EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | 29.7 | 33.8 | 36.5 | 63.0 | 37.0 | 100.0 |
| Primary 1-4 | 29.3 | 36.8 | 33.9 | 64.5 | 35.5 | 100.0 |
| Primary 5-8 | 32.6 | 40.1 | 27.3 | 69.4 | 30.6 | 100.0 |
| Secondary or more | 34.8 | 46.4 | 18.8 | 78.5 | 21.5 | 100.0 |
| RESIDENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 30.7 | 38.2 | 31.0 | 66.9 | 33.1 | 100.0 |
| Urban | 33.2 | 38.0 | 28.8 | 69.1 | 30.9 | 100.0 |
| marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 35.9 | 33.3 | 30.8 | 67.2 | 32.8 | 100.0 |
| Married in past | 15.2 | 47.6 | 37.2 | 53.6 | 46.4 | 100.0 |
| Never married | 5.3 | 67.6 | 27.0 | 72.7 | 27.3 | 100.0 |

Source: Malawi Family Fonmation Survey 1984.

TABLE 4-24: ATTITUDE TOWARDS CHILD SPACING (1988)

|  | MALE |  |  |  | FEMALE |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | URBAN | RURAL | TOTAL | URBAN | RURAL | T0TAL |  |
| Approve | 90.1 | 88.4 | 88.6 | 88.2 | 83.7 | 84.1 |  |
| Disapprove | 6.9 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 9.4 | 9.2 |  |
| Indifferent | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 6.7 |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source : Srivastava, M.L. and M'manga, W.R., Traditional and Modern Methods of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, October 1991.

TABLE 4-25: VARIOUS REASONS WHY WOMEN APPROVE / DISAPPROVE THE IDEA OF DOING SOHETHING TO SPACE PREGNANCIES: 1988
(Unit: \%)

| Reasons for Approval |  | Reasons for Disapproval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FEMALE |  | Fehale |  |
| 1. Care to each child | 76.3 | 1. Large family desired | 20.8 |
| 2. Mother's heal th | 55.4 | 2. Harmful to health | 19.3 |
| 3. Family economic situation | 37.7 | 3. Spouse disapprove | 12.6 |
| 4. Provisions for child | 28.7 | 4. Against religion | 12.0 |
| 5. Time for mother to work | 12.0 | 5. Moral grounds | 11.7 |
| MALE |  | MALE |  |
| 1. Care to each child | 79.5 | 1. Large family desired | 22.6 |
| 2. Family economic situation | 49.9 | 2. Harmful to health | 12.4 |
| 3. Provisions for child | 43.0 | 3. Moral grounds | 11.4 |
| 4. Mother's health | 40.5 | 4. Against religion | 10.5 |
| 5. Family happiness | 11.1 | 5. Spouse disapprove | 6.8 |

Note : Multiple Answers
Source: Srivastava, M.L. and M'manga, H.R., Traditional and Modern Methods of Child Spacing in Malawi: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice, October 1991.

FIG. 4-A : STRUCTURE OF ImPLEMENTATION FOR CHILD SPACING PROGRAMME


Supervision


| Heal th Center Level | ................ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | * Major CS Service |
| Providers |  |

Clinical officer Medical Assistant Registered Nurse/Midwife * Enrolled Nurse/Midwife * Heal th Inspector Heal th Assistant Health Surveillance Assistant

Community Level
Possible CS Motivators $\Rightarrow$ with training

Traditional Birth Attendants (TBAs) *
Farm Home Assistants (MOA)
Community Development Assistants
Home Craft Workers (MOCS) (MOCS)
CCAM Members
Malawi Young Pioneers

* TBA as service provider now under consideration

Source: Ministry of Health
Note : Due to the shortage of health personnel, the above positions are not fully staffed.

Fig. 4-B : ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CO-ORDINATION OF CIILD SPACING SERVICES


Notes:(1) Administrative/Policy Coordination.
(2) Technical/Professional Coordination.

* This figure depicts the expected coordination of child spacing activities once the council is in operation.

Source: Ministry of Community Services, A Proposal for Donor Support for the National Fomily Helfare Council of Malawi, 1991.

```
FIG. 4-C : DURATION OR POSTPARTUM INTERVALS
```



Source: Malawi Family Fonmation Survey 1984.
Table 5-1: Foreign Assistance to the Population and Heal th Sectors

| Project Name | Implementor | Donor Agency | Project type | Project fund | Project areas | Duration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Population Heal th Nutrition Sector Credit | NOH | World Bank | $a, c, f$ | \$74.3 mill ${ }^{17}$ | All districts | 1991-1996 |
| 2 Third UNFPA Country Program | MOH, PHRDU, Chancelior College, NFYC, etc. | UNFPA | $a, b, c, d, e, f$ | \$10.5 mill | All districts | 1992-1996 |
| 3 Promoting Heal th Interventions for Child Survival (PHICS) | MOH, Ministry of Works | USAID | c | \$26.7 mill | All districts | 1989-1997 |
| 4 Human Resources and Institutional Development Project (HRID) | MHH, Kamuzu College of Nursing | USAID | c, f | \$18 mill | All districts | 1987-1995 |
| 5 Services for Health, Agriculture, Rual and Enterprise Develooment (SHARED) | MOH, PVOS | USAID | c, e | \$15 mill | All districts | 1991-2000 |
| 6 Child spacing comodities and logistical support | HOH | USAID | c | \$450,000 | All districts | annually |
| 7 Demographic and Health Survey | NOH | USAID | b, d | \$445,000 | All districts | 1992 |
| 8 Family Planning Service Expansion and Technical Support Project (SEATS) | MOH, PHAM, NFYC | USAID | c | \$500,000 ${ }^{2}$ | Selected <br> Institutions | 1990-1994 |
| 9 Health Social Marketing Project (SOMARC) | Lever Brothers | USAID | c | \$662,000 | All districts | 1990-1993 |
| 10 Resources for Awareness of Population Impacts on Development (RAPID) | EPRD, MOH, NSO, University of Malawi | USAID | a | \$100,000 | All districts | 1989-1991 |
| 11 Support to PVOs/NGOs providing CS services | 5 International NGOs | USAID | c | \$353,000 | Project distcts. | 1989-1992 |
| 12 Support to AVSC ${ }^{3}$ project | AVSC, PHAM | USAID | c | \$50,000 | Selected PHAM facilities | 1990-1992 |
| 13 AIDS Prevention Projects | MOH, PhAM, Malawian NGOS | USAID | c, d, f | \$2,422,000 | All districts | 1989-1993 |

[^1]| Project Name | Implenentor | Donor Agency | Project type | Project fund | Project areas | Duration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 Condoms for AIDS/STD prevention | HOH | USAID | c | \$483,000 | All districts | annually |
| 15 WHo/Global Program on AIDS | NOH | USAID | c | \$400,000 | All districts | annually |
| 16 Family Heal th and AIDS Control Support Project (PHACS) | MOH | USAID | c, d, f | \$45,000,000 | Ail districts | 1992-2000 |
| 17 Support of Heal th Personnel Cost | NOH | ODA | $c$ | $\begin{array}{r} £ 910,000 \\ \text { (for } 1991 / 92 \text { ) } \end{array}$ | All districts (30 posts) | annually |
| 18 Technical Co-operation Training Program | MOH | ODA | f | $\begin{array}{r} £ 312,000 \\ \text { (for 1991/92) } \end{array}$ | All districts | 1989-1992 |
| 19 Support of the AIOS medium term plan (through WHO) | MOH | OPA | c | £750,000 | All districts | 1989-1992 |
| 20 Medical undergraduate training | HOH | ODA | f | £5,600,000. | All districts (up to 100 people) |  |
| 21 College of Medicine Institutional Development | University of Malawi | ODA | f | £647,535 | Lilongwe | 1991-1994 |
| 22 Support for the NFWC | NFWC | OBA | a, c, d | £110,311 | All districts | 1991-1994 |
| 23 Provision of Contraceptive Comodities | HOH | ODA | c | £160,000 | All districts | 1991/1992 |
| $24 \mathrm{MCH} / \mathrm{CS}$ services wi th NGO | Banja la Mtsogolo | ODA | $a, c$ | £458,531 | Blantyre, Lilongwe, Zomba, etc. | 1991-1994 |
| 25 Second Family Heal th Program for development/dissemination of CS message | mocs | EC | a | \$600,000 | All districts | 1989-1994 |
| 25. UNICEF Country Program | All related ministries | UNICEF | $a, c, d, e, f$ | \$56, 850,000 | All districts | 1991-1996 |
| 27 UNDP 5th Country Program | All related ministries | UNDP | c, d, e, f | \$107, 400, 000 | 8 districts | 1992-1996 |
| 28 Who Project | MOH | WHO | c, f | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,230,000 \\ \text { (actual figure } \end{gathered}$ | for 1990) |  |
| a. Population Education/IEC <br> c. Provision of Heal th Services <br> e. Related Activities |  | b. Demographic Data Collection and Analysis <br> d. Population Research/Study <br> f. Human Resource Development |  |  |  |  |




[^0]:    Orals: 3 months supply for new acceptors, 6 months for revisits: New acceptors + ( (Total Revisits - New Acceptor Revisits) $/ 2$ ) =Users (CYP) IUCD: Two thirds of Revisits are for check-ups, one third to get replacement IUCD: Users $=$ New acceptors $+(1 / 3$ revisits/0.4). Since on average an IUCD is kept for $21 / 2$ years, 40 percent come for replacement each year.
    Injectable: 4 per year Users = New Acceptors + ((Total Revisits - New Acceptor Revisits) $/ 4$ ).

    Condoms: (reg. and non-ree), each revisit for 3 nonth supply: Users $=$ New Acceptors + (revisits/4).
    Source: Data from the Population and Human Resources Development Unit, EPRXD, based on Child Spacing Service Statistics, Ministry of Heal th.

[^1]:    1) Cofunding with EC , the Netherlands/4H0 and the Government.
    (Horld Bank $\$ 55.5$ million, EC $\$ 11.1$ million, Netherlands/who $\$ 1.4$ million, Government $\$ 6.3$ million.) ${ }^{\text {2) }}$ 3) Grant Support to NFHC amounts to $\$ 350,000$ for 1991-1992.
