MTB Sheet Balance Projected Totol Ⅲ-1-8

Investment	Current Prices)	
ب رز	(A t	
-	÷	

Unit: KS. Lakh Items	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/2000
Materials	1,178	1,386	1,641	2,113	2,430	2,636	3,050	3,701
Matl-in-Transit	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
Work-in-Progress	945	945	945	945	945	945	945	945
Stock-in-Trade	708	708	708	708	708	708	708	708
Total Inventories	3,231	3,439	3,694	4,186	4,483	4,689	5,103	5,754
Debtors	707	832	985	1,268	1,458	1,581	1,830	2,221
Loans & Advances	1,021	1,202	1,422	1,831	2,106	2,284	2,643	3,208
Other Current Assets	314	370	438	563	648	703	813	587
Cash & Bank Balance	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
Inter-Unit Accounts	1,021	1,202	1,422	1,831	2,106	2,284	2,643	3,208
Total Current Assets	• • 1	*1	8,035	9, 733	10,878	11,617	13, 107	15,452
Creditors	393	462	547	704	810	879	1,017	1,234
Advances Received	471	555	656	845	972	1,054	1,220	1,480
DOther Current Liab.	393	462	547	704	810	878	1,017	1,234
OProvisions	236	277	328	423	486	527	610	740
Inter-Unit Accounts	628	739	875	1, 127	1,296	1,406	1,626	1,974
Total Current Liabilities	۲,	2,496		3,803	4,375	4,744	5,489	
Working Capital	4,249	4,624	5,082	5,931	6,503	6,872	7,617	8,790
Fixed Asset (Net)	2,338	3,598	4,359	4,288	4,472	4,455	8,304	12, 186
Deferred Expenditures	ω	464	365	765	818	828	788	804
Deposit	0	0	0	0	263	1,407	1,657	3,424
Total Capital Employed	6,652	8,686	10,406	10,984	12,055	13,562	18,367	25,204
Sources								
H.O. Account	4,394	4,394	4,394	4,394	4,394	4,131	2,834	2,834
Profit	-1,108	-1,069	-1,048	108	1,304	2,804	4,775	
Sub./Cap. Res.	61	9	61	61	.19		61	61
Def./Buyers Cr.								
Cash Credit	1,603	1,647	1,600	734	P	P	9	0-
Term Loan (Foreign)	383	1,563	2,815	2,918	3,252	3,403	6,470	7,527
Term Loan (Domestic)	687	1,458	1,951	2, 138	2,413	2,532	3,595	6,704
Others-Pkg. Cr.	589	589	589	583	589	583	589	589
Veh. Loan Adv.	4 3	43	43	43	43		43	
Total	6,652	8,686	10,406	10,985	12,056	13,563	18,367	25,205

prices, respectively, when the strategic investment project and the total investment plan are implemented.

A summary of projected financial data is shown in Table III-1-9 and key financial ratios are as shown in Table III-1-10. Two cases, that the total investment plan would be implemented and that only the strategic investment project would be implemented, have been compared. The difference between the two cases would naturally emerge after 1998/99, when the Step 3 investment is to be started.

As a result of the strategic investment project, the operating profits (profits before interest and tax) would increase approximately 6 times from 1992/93 to 1999/2000. MTB, however, would continue to be very low until 1994/95 on an after-interest basis. The necessity to finance the existing borrowings is a considerable burden to the finances of MTB for several years after the start of the project.

The strategic investment project would significantly improve the profitability of operation at MTB. The ratio of operating profits to sales would be improved from 8% in 1992/93 to 17% in 1999/2000. Operating profits as a percentage of total assets would also rise from 5% in 1992/93 to 14% in 1999/2000.

The current ratio stays stable until 1995/96 and then turns to a increasing trend. It decreases from 2.53 in 1992/93 to 3.01 in 1996/97 and increases to 3.59 in 1999/2000.

The strategic investment project would bring about a tight debt burden to the unit during early operations. The debt service coverage ratio would be over 2.0 after 1995/96 for only long-term loans.

Table III-1-9 Summary of Projected Financial Data of MTB (at Current Price Basis)

Unit: Rs. Lakh

Total Investment Plan (Step 1 to Step 3)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Sales Revenue	7,875	9,263	10,960	14,104	16,223	17,592	20,351	24,695
Profit Before Interest and Tax	625	971	1,128	2,313	2,307	2,541	3,134	4,275
Profit Before Tax	-25	-38	22	1,156	1,196	1,499	1.972	2,672
Total Assets	12,004	 14,621	17,054	18,953	20,914	22,995	28,959	37,621

Strategic Investment Project (Step 1 to Step 2)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Sales Revenue	7,875	9,263	10,960	14,104	16,223	17,592	19,101	22,040
Profit Before Interest and Tax	625	971	1,128	2,313	2,307	2,541	2,770	3,852
Profit Before Tax	-25	38	22	1,156	1,196	1,499	1,971	3,359
Total Assets	12,004	14,621	17.054	18,953	20,914	22,995	23,738	27,642

Table III-1-10 Key Financial Ratios of MTB (At Current Price Basis)

Total Investment Plan (Step 1 to Step 3)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Operating Profit/ Sales	7.9%	10.5%	10.3%	16.4%	14.2%	14.4%	15.4%	17.3%
Operating Profit/ Total Assets Ratio	5.2%	6.6%	6.6%	12.2%	11.0%	11.1%	10.8%	11.4%
Current Ratio	2.53	2.58	2.55	2.57	3.01	3.49	3.57	3.43
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Long-term Loan)	2.98	1.85	1.83	3.00	2.35	2.26	2.31	2.19
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Total Borrowing)	0.37	0.50	0.59	1.04	1.38	1.80	1.32	2.19

Strategic Investment Project (Step 1 to Step 2)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Operating Profit/ Sales	7.9%	10.5%	10.3%	16.4%	14.2%	14.4%	14.5%	17.5%
Operating Profit/ Total Assets Ratio	5.2%	6.6%	6.6%	12.2%	11.0%	11.1%	11.7%	13.9%
Current Ratio	2.53	2.58	2.55	2.57	3.01	3.49	3.64	3.59
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Long-term Loan)	2.98	1.85	1.83	3.00	2.35	2.26	2.63	3.30
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Total Borrowing)	0.37	0.50	0.59	1.04	1.38	1.80	1.36	3.30

Financial Internal Rates of Return

The incremental pre-tax financial internal rates of return (FIRR), which result from accounting for the incremental investment, sales and operating costs on a constant price basis, have been calculated for the strategic investment project. Cost and benefit streams are presented in Table III-1-11. The FIRR of the project is 25.11%.

A sensitivity analysis has been undertaken to evaluate the effects of changes in basic assumptions. Results of the sensitivity analysis are shown in Table III-1-12.

Table III-1-12 Sensitivity Test on FIRR for the Strategic Investment Project

	, At 1992/	93 Constant Prices
		I R R
	Base Case	25.1%
2.	Sales Prices Up 5%	28.1% 21.3%
3.	Down 5% Production Costs	
	Up 5% Down 5%	22.5% 27.7%
4.	Capital Costs Up 10%	23.0%
	Down 10%	27.6%

The FIRR of the project, 25.11%, is considered a favorable rate as an industrial project from the viewpoint of the world standard.

The interest rates in nominal terms in India are currently around 20%. When the prevailing inflation rate is considered, the FIRR at constant prices is far above the cut-off rate in real terms. It may be said to be attractive.

For reference, the FIRR on a current price basis is 29.4% for the base case.

(At Constant Prices) Table M-1-11 Cash Flow Table of MTB
-Strategic Investment Project Unit: Rs. Lakh

Plant Capital Cost Forking Capital Increase Production Cost (Increase From Project)	1,054	2,088	1,884	978	720.1	710	77	302 0	00	a a	50	80	00	5 O	0
Borking Lapite, Increase Property	1, U54	1,683	1,630	8 4 K	2 68	3	7.	302	0	9	O	0	O	û	Ď
	5 6	344	970	1.977	3,019	3,187	3,389	4,128	4, 128	4 126	1,128	4, 128	4,128	4.128	\$21.
Without Project	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,030	7,036	7,030	7.030
	7,030	7,374	8,075	9,007	10.048	10, 197	10,399	11,158	11, 158	11.156	20.11	11,156	11,136	201 1	11, 130
ndrease from Project)	0	089	1.621	3,600	239	4, 781	5,085	1821 1821	3 2	0 100	0,100	2 20	20,100	7.0	1 0 1 0
	7,875	7,875	7,875	7,875	7,875	7,875	7, 875	14.080	14.080	14.080	14,080	14.060	14,080	14,080	14,080
With Project	2017	200	308	745	474	98	1.819	1,758	2,058	2,058	2,058	2,058	2,053	2,058	2,058
	100	18/17	200												
	FIRE	25.11%													
2009/10 2010/11	2011/12	S	Salvage Value		ļ								•		
	0	T SE	bullulns Machinery & Equ	. 2	27										
0 0	-1.897	Or	Torking Capital	1.8	읽										
4,128 4,128 4,128 4,128	4,126	Tota		1,8	2										
7,030 7,030 7,030	7,030														
8, 185 6, 185 8, 185	8,185														
7,875 7,875	7.875														
14,080 14,080 14,080	14,060	٠										٠			
2,058 2,058 2,058 2,058	2, 730														
Unit: 85. Lakh															
EIRR	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/98	1998/97	1997/98	1998/93 1	1999/2000	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	30/2003	2004/02	2002/08	2008/07
Project Capital Cost	ž	1,572	1,479	137	848	88	80	271	0	0	0	0	Đ	ø	ရ
Fight Capits! Cost	754	1,407	1.251	852	624	525	0 8	ם נ	= c		•	c	•	c	
Parties tapies increased	9		077	2	777	8 8	3 6	1 2 5	002	2 200	18	000	ع ا		2 5
ようしょくしょ とうさい こうかい しょうしゅ ようしゅう かんしょうしょ あんしょうしょ かくしゅうかん かくしゅうかん かんしょうかん かんかん かんかん かんかん かんかん かんかん かんかん かんかん	o eg u	2 00 U	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	0 0 V	2 00 m	80 0 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	7 7 00 7 7 05	7 L	, 700 F	2 E	2 2 L	7, 700 F. 70e	60 E	7, 00 7, 00 7, 00 7, 00 7, 00 8, 00
With Project	5,598	5, 782	8,201	8.779	7 478	7.575	7.811	38.	888	384	8.384	384	38.	38.38	888
noresse from Project	0	812	1 459	3,240	1.087	4,303	4,559	5,588	5,588	5,588	5,588	5, 583	5,588	5,588	5,588
Without Project	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,060	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7 060	7,080	7.080	7,080
olect	7,080	7.871	8.518	10,300	11, 127	11,382	11,818	12.826	12,628	12,825	12,628	12,828	12,628	12, 828	12,628
Not Benefit	-754	-1,144	-823	1,323	1 341	1,738	2, 277	2,530	2,801	2.801	2,801	, 2, 801	2,801	2,801	2,801
	188	45.90%													
2007/08 2008/09 2009/10 2010/11 2011	2011/12	Salva	Salvage Value												
0 0 0 0 0	828	Building	2u j		0										
		Mach	Machinery & Equipment	uipment	12										
0 188 9 788	9 786	Sork!	Forking Capital		1 799										
5,538 5,538 5,598	5,598	900			1,020										
8,364 8,384 8,384	8,384														
7,080 7,080 7,080 7,080 7,	7.080													S. Action of	
12,828 12,828 12,826	89						(g						4 . ·	eren (
2,801 2,801 2,801 2,801	[7])	`						}	

B. Economic Analysis and Economic Internal Rate of Return

Incremental economic cost and benefit streams for the strategic investment project shown in Table III-1-11.

The economic internal rate of return (EIRR) for the strategic investment project is 45.9%. This is significantly higher than the FIRR. This is largely because high import tariffs are levied on materials as well as on capital goods.

The sensitivity of the base-case EIRR to changes in base-case assumptions have been tested. The results of the sensitivity analysis are shown in Tale III-1-13. The EIRR ranges from 42% to 50%.

Table III-1-13 Sensitivity Test on EIRR

A t	1992/93	Constant	Prices
Λ.	1004/00	COUSCANC	111000

		I R R
	Base Case	45.8%
Z.	Sales Prices Up 5%	49.9%
3.	Down 5% Production Costs	41.5%
	Up 5% Down 5%	43.6% 47.9%
4.	Capital Costs	
	Up 10% Down 10%	42.1% 50.2%

C. Assessment of Technological Viability

The level of technologies both in product development and production which MTB presently possesses are rather outdated although their technologies concerning general purpose machines have reached the competitive level.

Two major problems which confront MTB in the area of technology are (1) the acquisition of product design technologies which enable the restructuring of the product-mix; and (2) the modernization of production technology.

As seen in the Action Program, CNC technology has brought innovation in machine tools throughout the world. The concept and function of machine tools today is quite different from those of general purpose machines. The market has been shifting to CNC machines from conventional machines.

For MTB, it is an urgent task to upgrade the product design technology in CNC machines and place updated machines on the market. This is considered essential for MTB's survival in the machine tool business.

At the same time, the upgrading of production systems, including the modernization of production facilities, is critical for the realization of competitiveness in the market.

Under this context, the investment plan has been proposed for MTB as a part of the Restructuring Plan of HMT.

In the Action Program the necessity of technical collaboration has been stressed and the necessary technologies have been identified. For these technologies, MTB's existing technology absorption capability can be said to be sufficient for technological collaboration when their experience in technical collaboration and the technological level of existing products are considered.

The product-mix would gradually shift to new products during the 1990s. The CNC ratio would gradually be lifted to the current level of advancing countries by 2000 by replacing outdated GPMs with proposed CNC machines.

For the production facility modernization, a phasing approach, starting from the reshuffle of plant layout and replacement of machinery and advancing to the higher production technology FMC, is proposed. It would allow engineers and operators of MTB sufficient time to adapt to the new production technology. The present technological level of production at other HMT machine tool factories exhibits the opportunity of MTB to acquire the proposed production technology.

D. Impact of the Project on Other Aspects

1. Impact on Foreign Exchange

Net foreign exchange savings have been calculated on a constant price basis and the results are shown in Table III-1-14. For import savings, the effects of the high-technology CNC machines and FMC to be newly developed through the strategic investment project are considered.

The net foreign exchange inflow is US\$35.91 million at constant 1992/93 prices over the life of the project.

The increase in material imports due to the production of high-technology machines would continue to have a reverse effect on foreign exchange flow. The increasing use of domestic parts and components should be a major goal.

2. Impact on Employment

The flow of the number of workers is shown in Table II-1-11.

The number of workers to be recruited is far less than the number of retiring workers. In total, the number of workers employed will decrease from 2,807 in 1992/93 to 2,090 in 1999/2000. A total of 786 jobs will be created against the retirement of 1,586 workers during the period from 1992/93 to 1999/2000.

It can be said that the strategic investment project would increase employment compared with the case of the project not being implemented. In that case, recruitment would be kept at a minimum level to maintain the present production although a large number of workers would leave at retirement age. Productivity would decline due to the aging of equipment in the "without" case.

In addition, the increase in domestic procurement due to the production expansion will have an effect on the employment creation among domestic suppliers.

Foreign Exchange Flow of MTB -Strategic Investment Project (At Constant Prices 1892/93) Table M-1-14

	Unit: Millions of US\$ at constant 1882/93 price	lons of U	S\$ at con	istant 189	2/93 pric	په						•			-			٠		
	1992/93	1993/54	1994/95	1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/98 1998/97		1997/38	188/861	899/2000 2	2000/012	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/08 2	2008/07 2	2007/08 20	2008/09 20	2009/10 20	2010/11 20	2011/12
Foreign Exchange Inflows Production Benefits																	·			
-Increase in Exports	2.28	4.02	1.80	. 88	3.15	4.11	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4,17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17
-Import Savings	3.00	00.00	0.0	1.23	4.31	. 85	5.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71	7.71
Sub-Total	2.28	4.02	4.60	6.11	7.48	8.38	3.87	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88
Project Finencing -Foreign Currency Loan	1.48	4.45	4.49	0.48	1.64	1.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Inflows	3.78	8.47	9.10	8.58	9.10	10.40	9.87	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.38	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88	11.88
 	3.24	3.75	6.80	5.97	7.31	7.52	7.03	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52
Debt Service "Loan Repayment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.88	1.18	1.21	1.38	1,55	1.55	1.55	1.55	1,39	0.83	0.39	0.34	0,16	0.00	0.00	0,0
-Interest Sub-Total	0.18	0.83	2, 2, 2, 0,	2.87	3.48	4.12	4.08 4.08	8.92	3.71	3.32	2.93	2. 5. 5. 5.	2.01	1,23	0.57	0.08	0.18	0.99	a. 60 a. 60 a. 60	9 6
Total Outflows	3.42	4.88	8.85	8.78	10.77	11.64	11.09	11.44	11.23	10.84	10.45	10.08	9.53	8.75	8.03	7.95	7.70	7.52	7.52	7.52
Met Foreign Exchange Flow	0.33	3.79	2.25	-2.20	-1.88	-1.24	-1.22	0.45	0.85	1.04	1.43	1.82	2.35	3.13	3.79	3.94	4.18	4.38	4.38	4.38
		_	Net Forei	Net Foreign Exchange Flow=	ge Flow	35.91		80.49 R	NPV(20%)	0.12										

Table III-15 Foreign Exchange Flow of MTB
-Strategic Investment Project
(At Current Prices)
Unit: Killions of US\$ at constant 1992/53 price

2.28 4,35 6.31 6.00 4.12 5.71 8.18 8.54 8.34 7.37 7.83 8.32 8.83 9.38 10.58 11.23 11.83 2.00 0.00 0.00 1.31 4.55 5.18 8.08 8.13 8.13 8.13 8.13 8.13 8.13 8.13 8.1	-11un	unit: Alliides of 65s at constant 1332/34 price 1992/93 1992/94 1994/95 1995/96 19	1993/94 1994/95	1984/95	1985/96 1998/97	28/96	1997/98 1	1988/99 19	1999/2000 2	2000/01 2	2001/02 2	2002/03 26	2003/04 2	2004/05 20	2005/08 20	2008/07 20	2007/08 20	2008/09 2	2008/10 2	2010/11	2011/12
1.48 4.55 6.31 6.00 4.12 5.71 8.18 6.54 6.94 7.37 7.83 8.32 8.83 9.38 9.88 10.28 11.23 11. 2.20 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.31 4.59 5.16 8.06 8.15 18.19 8.19 8.19 8.19 8.19 8.19 8.19 8.1	Foreign Exchange Inflows						}			ļ	1	1	1	1)	j .	!	1	ı	1	
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.31 4.59 5.16 8.04 8.13 8.19 8.19 8.19 8.19 8.19 8.19 8.19 8.19	Production Benefits -Increase in Exports	2.29	4. 88.	5,31	8	4.12	5.71	8.18	8.54	96.9	7.37	7.83	80 80	8.83	9. 38	3.88	10.58	11.23	11.93	12.87	
3.76 8.92 10.15 7.87 10.68 12.22 14.73 15.14 15.57 18.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	- Japort Savings Sub-lots	0,00	0.00	0.00 5.31	1.31	8.71	5.18	8.08 12.22	8.19	8.19 15.14	8.19	8.19 18.02	8.13	8.19 17.03	8.19 17.57	8.19 18.15	8.19 13.77	8, 19	8.18 20.12	3,13 20,88	
3.76 8.92 10.15 7.87 10.68 12.82 14.73 15.14 15.57 18.02 16.51 17.03 17.57 18.15 18.77 19.43 20. 3.24 3.75 4.80 5.87 7.31 7.52 7.03 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52	Project Financing Foreign Durrency Loan	1.48		4.84	0.58	1.98	1.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3.24 3.75 4.80 5.87 7.31 7.52 7.63 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52 7.52	Total Inflors	3.78		10.15	7.87	10.88	12,88	12.22	14.73	15, 14	15.57	18.02	18.91	17.03	17.57	18.15	18.77	19,43	20,12	20.88	
14 6.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.67 1.21 1.27 1.49 1.89 1.89 1.89 1.52 1.02 0.48 0.42 0.20 0.00 0.17 0.84 1.43 1.74 1.95 2.11 1.92 1.73 1.43 1.22 0.96 0.70 0.45 0.25 0.13 0.06 0.02 0.00 0.17 0.84 1.43 1.90 2.62 3.31 3.20 3.22 3.18 2.91 2.85 2.39 1.97 1.27 0.81 0.48 0.21 0.31 0.48 0.21 0.31 3.41 4.59 6.23 7.87 9.93 10.83 10.23 10.75 10.70 10.43 10.17 9.91 9.49 8.79 8.14 8.00 7.74 7. Thanke Flow 0.35 4.33 3.92 -0.01 0.75 1.83 1.59 3.99 4.44 5.13 5.85 8.80 7.53 6.78 10.02 10.77 11.88 12.	Fhreign Exchange Cutflow A Cabduction Costs	3.2		4.80	5.97	7.31	7.52	7.03	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7,52	1.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	7.52	
3.41 4.59 8.23 7.87 9.93 10.83 10.23 10.75 10.70 10.43 10.17 9.91 9.49 8.79 8.14 8.00 7.74 7. Thanke Flow 0.35 4.33 8.92 -0.01 0.75 1.83 1.59 8.89 4.44 5.13 5.85 8.80 7.53 8.78 10.02 10.77 11.88 12.	Debt Service -Loan Repagent -Interest Sub-Total	6.00 0.17 0.17		0.00 1.43 1.43	0.18 1.74 1.90	0.87 1.95 2.82	2.11	1.27 1.92 3.20	1.49	1.69	1.69	1.83 0.96 2.65	1.88 0.70 2.39	1.52 0.45 1.97	1.02 0.25 1.27	0.48	0.42 0.08 0.48	0.20	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.90 0.90 0.98	
0.35 4.33 3.92 -0.01 0.75 1.33 1.59 3.89 4.44 5.13 5.85 8.60 7.53 8.78 10.02 10.77 11.89 12.	Total Outilows	3.41		6.23	7.87	9.83	10,83	10.23	10.75	10.70	10.43	10.17	9.91	9.43	8.79	8.14	8.00	7.74	7.52	1.52	
	Net Foreign Exchange Flow	0.35		3,92	ì	0.75	1.83	1.99		4.44	5.13	5.85	8.80	7.53	5.78	10.02	10.77	11,83	12,80	13,34	

3. Impact on Production

With the strategic investment project, the production of MTB will increase 1.79 times in real terms from 1992/93 to 1999/2000 on an amount basis.

The present productivity level is quite low compared to that of advanced countries. The productivity per worker (added value per worker) will increase from Rs. 1.47 lakh in 1992/93 to Rs. 4.93 lakh in nominal terms or to Rs. 3.15 lakh in real terms in 1999/2000.

To achieve international competitiveness, the improvement in productivity is essential, which will result in the reduction in employment.

The productivity improvement to be achieved as a result of the project is moderate compared to the standard in advanced countries. This level, at least, should be achieved.

In addition, the project would have the following effects.

The capital investments include the procurement of machines within the company. This portion will create additional demand for other units. When MTB starts the sales of FMC and FMS, such module machines as machining centers and turning centers will be procured from other HMT units as the need arises.

The expansion of production will increase the procurement of domestic parts and components. The demand for domestic suppliers will increase from Rs. 2,303 lakh in 1992/93 to Rs. 6,490 lakh in 1999/2000 in nominal terms. This would have a far-reaching influence on the relevant industries.

4. Impact on the Environment

The existing MTB factories are located in HMT's industrial site. There exist no environmental problems regarding the production of machine tools. This project

would not change the nature of machine tool production from the environmental point of view.

Working conditions relative to safety and hygiene would be improved with the modernized production system.

E. Conclusions and Recommendations

The FIRR of 25.1% at constant prices is favorably high compared with other investment candidates in the Action Plan. However, the following problems are pointed out concerning the implementation of the project.

- (1) The present financial position and profit structure of MTB is rather weak in terms of bearing the large investment proposed as the Strategic Investment Project.
- (2) Technical collaboration is of importance in this project. It would decide the achievement of the Action Program. Because there exists a large gap between the technology level of MTB and that of the top manufacturers in the world, it may be quite difficult for MTB to catch up by themselves.
- (3) The production system to be introduced through the project is innovative for MTB workers. The work culture at MTB should be changed to meet this highly productive system.

At the same time the following are pointed out as the positive aspects of the project.

(1) From the strategic point of view, it is considered that this investment is of significant importance. The commercialization of high performance CNC machines and production systems, FMC and FMS, which are essential products in the future market, would be possible through the implementation of the project.

The result of the project would be diffused to other HMT factories and the competitiveness of HMT's machine tool

business as a whole would also be strengthened.

- (2) Under the liberalizing trend of the economy in India, it is considered of high importance to foster the competitiveness of the key industries such as the machine tool industry. As the EIRR shows, high economic benefits are expected from this project. It is considered that the current import tariff structure distorts the financial viability of the project.
- (3) Without this project, MTB, holding outdated technologies and superannuated facilities, would be on the decline in the competitive market. Except for some general purpose machines, MTB would have no product to sell in the market in the 21th century. In that case, job opportunities would be lost to a large extent.

In conclusion, the following are pointed out.

The necessity of this project is considered to be high. It would provide HMT with the foundation necessary to survive in the business.

It is recommended to examine the measures necessary to increase the project viability and implement this project. The following measures are considered possible to improve the viability.

(1) To reduce the capital cost for the project.

The appropriate capital-mix should be examined to reinforce the stability of HMT's financial position and reduce the average capital cost.

Equity participation by the Government will reduce the average capital cost and reduce the financial burden to HMT.

(2) The introduction of programs to support the implementation of the project

For the creation of a work culture which would accelerate the progress of the Action Program, it is recommended to introduce the Productivity Improvement Program

into the workplace. The proposed Mechatronics Center would support HMT's efforts to catch up with the forefront technologies in machine tools.

III-2. Press Factory

A. Financial Evaluation

1. Financial Projections

Based on the estimate of revenues and costs, which are discussed in Chapter II-2, an income and cost forecast was calculated for the strategic investment project (Phase 1 of the whole investment program). This forecast covers two cases; one is a base case which is based on constant prices of 1992/93 and the other is an escalation case which is based on escalated prices. Tables III-2-1 and III-2-2 give the basic case and the escalation case, respectively. One of the whole investment program including the future expansion investments (Phases 1 & 2) is shown in Table III-2-3 for comparison.

The flow of funds of the strategic investment based on the escalation case is projected in Table 111-2-4.

Summary of the financial projections of the strategic investment is given in Table III-2-5. Key financial ratios are shown in Table III-2-6.

Table III-2-5 Summary of Financial Projections
(100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Sales Revenue	2,376	3,161	3,746	4,616	5,982	7,605	8,074	8,578
Profit before Int.& Tax	326	690	658	702	1,127	1,725	1,883	2,096
Profit before Tax	337	652	488	255	548	1,288	1,734	2,301

Table III-2-6 Key Financial Ratios

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Operating Profit /Sales	15.2%	23.3%	19.1%	16.7%	20.3%	24.2%	24.8%	25.9%
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (L-T Loan)	12.8	11.2	5.1	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.7
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Total Borrowg)		-7.0	-28.6	2.5	2.6	22.9	-2.3	-0.8

As a result of the strategic investment, the sales revenue would increase approximately 3.6 times between 1992/93 and 1999/2000 as given in Table 111-2-5.

As shown in Table III-2-6, debt service coverage ratios on total borrowing would go down sharply along with the decline of the profit, but they would remain above 2.0 through 1999/2000. The negative numbers of total borrowing debt service coverage ratios in Table III-2-6 such as those between 1992/93 and 1994/95 mean that the interest inflows which are generated by a short term deposit exceed the interest outflows of long-term debt service.

Table III-2-1 Projected Income Statements (Strategic Investment)
(100 thousand Rupees at constant prices)

HMT-PRH PROJECT HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED INCOME STATEMENTS (100 thousand rupee at current prices through 1991 and constant 1992 prices thereafter)

OPERATING REVENUES Net Sales RAW MATERIALS Value Added To Value Added To Value Added OPERATING COSTS Reges & Salaries Bepreciation Other Nfg. Costs RAU Technical Fee Other Expenses Total Opc. Costs		20/10	85/33	93/84	94/95	95/38	26/95	81/88	98/88	98/2000
89 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	,751	1,015	2,378	2,819	3,244	3,754	4,588	5,488	5,488	5,488
iee sts ste	695	247	1,313	1,443	1,813	1.882	2,232	2,771	2,771	2.771
iee ofs ste	056	788	1,063	1,475	1,831	1,892	2,276	2,897	2,897	2,897
Sh bh Ba										
ph sa	328	340	388	386	707	420	429	437	437	437
מ מ	21	52	45	8	288	777	444	389	378	311
D2	107	72	108	119	133	154	189	228	228	228
98	0	0	0	35	30	20	85	100	100	001
Q2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		185	179	134	195	217	284	282	282	282
	817	203	701	797	1,028	1,305	1,411	4	1,424	1,358
Operating income	439	188	362	678	803	583	882	1,251	1,274	1,339
NON-OPERATING COSTS										
Interest Charges	9	4-	ę,	24	108	278	340	259	134	-21
Other Exp(+)/Inc(-)	37	ស	33	& &	53	 	74	හි	88	စ္တ
Total Non-Ope, Costs	2	E .	37	Cord Cord	158	340	414	348	223	88
Profit before Tax	382	155	325	607	444	248	451	803	1,051	1,271

Table III-2-2 Projected income Statements (Strategic Investment)
(100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

HMT-PRH PROJECT
HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED INCOME STATEMENTS
(100 thousand rupee at current prices)

	(actual) 90/91	(prelim) 91/92	85/83	76/28	94/95	95/98	98/97	97/98	00/40	\$000 BO
OPERATING REVENUES	1 22	100	000						02/02	0002 (20
מפר סשופט	1011	1,013	2,318	3, 151	3,746	4,618	288.4	7,805	8.074	8,578
RAW MATERIALS	895	247	1,313	1,583	1,863	2, 290	3,001	3,854	4, 031	4.347
Value Added	1,058	788	1,083	1,598	1,884	2,328	2,981	3,752	3,983	4,231
OPERATING COSTS							•			
Wages & Salaries	328	340	389	818	484	518	561	808	86	885
Depreciation	12	22	45	85	289	491	491	448	422	352
Other Mfg. Costs	107	72	108	128	153	188	246	318	335	358
RAD	0	0	0	62	38	හි	121	151	180	170
Technical Fee		0	0	0	0	0	0		0	6
	163	185	179	200	228	287	345	392	417	A. 53
2		802	701	880	1, 189	. 555	1,764	1,313	1,978	2,007
Operating Income	439	. 991	362	738	71.4	171	1.217	1,839	2,604	2,224
NON-OPERATING COSTS										
Interest Charges	0.7	ဇု	-10	33	170	447	579	437	148	-208
Other Exp(+)/Inc(-)	37	5	38	47	29	83	30	114	121	128
Total Non-Ope. Costs	11	7	52	88	228	518	888	551	270	-17
Profit before Tax	382	159	337	852	488	255	548	1.288	1,734	2,301

Table III-2-3 Projected Income Statements (Phases 1 & 2) (100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

HNT-PRH PROJECT HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED INCORE STATEMENTS (100 thousand rupes at current prices)

	(sctual) 90/91	(prelim) 91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	92/38	16/96	97/38	88/88	99/2000
OPERATING REVENUES Net Sales	1,751	1,015	2,378	3, 181	3,748	4,818	5,982	7,805	9,087	11,083
RAW MATERIALS		247	1,313	1,583	1,863	2,290	3,001	3,854	4.822	5,388
Value Added	1,058	768	1,083	1, 598	1,884	2,328	2,981	3, 752	4.455	5,897
OPERATING COSTS							-			
Pages & Salarics	328	340	369	418	484	518	581	808	853	712
Depreciation	23	52	5	82	289	491	751	1,038	1,188	1.187
Other Mfg. Costs	107	72	108	128	153	188	248	318	379	640
850	0 :	0	0	53	38	ස	121	121	160	170
Technical Fee	0	Đ	0	C	ဗ	0	0	0	6	0
Other Expenses	183	185	179	200	228	192	345	392	488	571
Total Ope. Costs	817	802	701	880	1,169	1,555	2,025	2,505	2,831	3,080
Operating Income	439	168	382	738	714	177	958	1,247	1.814	2,637
NON-OPERATING COSTS					•					
Interest Charges		g;	-10	38	170	447	734	1,213	1,582	1,574
Other Exp(+)/Inc(-)	r- co	15	88	47	58	63	96	114	138	188
Total Non-Ope. Costs		7	32	88	228	518	823	1,327	1,698	1,740
Profit before Tax	362	159	337	652	488	255	133	-80	-84	897

Table III-2-4 Projected Funds Flow Statements (Strategic Investment)

(100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED FUNDS FLOW STATEMENTS (100 thousand rupes at current prices)

· ·	(prelim)								
0 to	31/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/98	98/37	81/38	38/33	39/2000
8 2 3 × 3 0 8									
Operations									
Retained Income	159	337	852	200	255	X Y Y	1 288	1 726	ě
add: Depreciation	52	5 2	160	283	167	167	997	* 664	100,3
Foreign LT Interest	0	62	89	163	391	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	24.0	224	255
Nomest. IT Interest	0	0	0	24	S	88		e in	
ST interest	د	0}-	-31	118	0	-44	-184	370	-884
Cash Generated by Operations	175	372	775	947	1, 193	1,818	2,171	2,304	2,463
Long-Tera Sorrowing							V		
Foreign Currency Borrowing	C	256	EO3	198	1 900	c	·	c	c
Domestic Loans	0	0	,	338	115	.	.	> C	- C
Total Long-Term Borrowing	Û	258	108	1,534	2,016	0	0	0	0
Short-Term Loans	-258	-149	-204	6	0	-553	-1.572	-3, 223	100 mm
: (•	1		
Other Sources	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Sources	-123	479	879	2,481	3,208	1,085	683	-913	-2,920
APPLICATIONS									
Fixed Capital Investments	38	228	203	2,326	2,450	0	G	6	Đ
Increase in Working Capital	-350	582	287	188	282	445	505	70	*2
Foreign LT Debt Service Interest	0	23	60	183	- 68	o u	Ğ	200	117
Repayaents) C	0	3 0	0	28	. A.	174	2 ec	= 10 - 60 - 60
Total Foreign LT Debt Service	0	29	88	163	420	599	715	850	736
Doment, i.T Debt Service Interest	0	G	. 6	73	31	83	90	ŭ	. 47
Repayments	0	0	0	0	6	0	37	23	23
Total Domest LT Debt Service	0	0	0	24	22	භ	97	701	87
Short-Term Robt Service Interest	តុ	-40	-31	-18	0	-44	80	-370	1
Repayments	200	-298	-143	-204	0	Đ	-553	-1,572	-3,223
Total Short-Term Debt Service	191	-338	- 180	-220	0	-44	-717	-1,942	-3,887
Total Applications	-123	479	879	2,481	3,208	1,065	593	-919	-2,920

- ž ž -473-

B. Financial Internal Rate of Return

1. Method and the Result of FIRR

In order to appraise the profitability of the project, both the financial internal rate of return method and the economic internal rate of return method are used. The net cash flow of the strategic project ("with" project case) is compared with that of the non-project case ("without" project case). For calculation purposes, the period of the project is assumed to be 15 years after the last capital investment is made in 1995/96, and at the end of the project, invested fixed assets are assumed to be resold at the book values. Working capital, also, is assumed to be completely paid back.

Net cash flows of the strategic project and the resulting financial internal rate of return are shown in Table III-2-7. As shown in the table, the financial internal rate of return for the project is considered to be 10.16 percent.

Table III-2-8 provides the financial internal rate of return of the whole investment program (Phases 1 & 2). The rate, which is 13.29 percent, is much higher than that of the strategic investment. It is recommended that financial and economic feasibility analysis shall be conducted for the Phase 2 investment program when the strategic investment program is completed.

Table III-2-7 Cash Flow Analysis - FIRR (Strategic Investment)
(100 thousand Rupees)

HIT-PRH PROJECT

CASHFLOW ANALYSIS - FIRR

(100 thousand rupee)

	18/06	80/81 81/85		92/93 93/94	84/85	98/98	18/98	88/18	66/86	99/2000	2000/1	2001/2	2002/3	2003/4	2004/5 2	2005/6 2	2006/7 2007/8		2008/9 2009/10 2010/11	2 01/60	17010
Project Capital Costs																					
Plant Capital Costs	္	٥	228	469	2,067	2,078	0	0	0	0	0	O		0	0	0	0	0	0	·ø	-172
Change in Working Capital	.0	0	0	0	129	181	280	301	-31	-30	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	o	0	-850
Sub Total	0	0	226	469	2,196	2,268	290	301	-31	-30	0	ò	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	-1,022
✓ Total Costs w/o Interest & Depr.	Jepr.					•				•											
Gn Without Project	1,328	861	2,085	2,270	2, 271	2, 271	2, 271	2, 271	2, 271	2, 271	2, 271	2,271	2, 271	2, 271	2, 271	2, 271	2, 271	2,271	2, 271	2,271	2, 271
With Project	1,328	861	2,065	2, 270	2,502	2,875	3, 452	4,062	4,062	4,062	4,062	4,062	4,062	4,082	4, 082	4,062	4,062	4,062	4, 062	4,062	4,062
Increase in Total Costs	•	0	C	0	231	605	1,182	1, 791	1,792	1, 792	1, 782	1, 792	1, 782	1, 782	1, 782	1, 782	1, 792	1,782	1, 782	1,782	1,782
Sales Revenue Increase																					
Without Project	1, 751	1,015	2,376	2, 919	2,919	2,919	2,819	2,919	2,919	2, 918	2,918	2,919	2,919	2, 919	2,919	2, 919	2,919	2,919	2,919	2,819	2,919
With Project	1,751	1,015	2,376	2,919	3,244	3, 754	4, 568	5, 468	5, 468	5, 468	5, 468	5, 468	5, 468	5, 468	5, 468	5, 468	5, 468	5,468	5, 468	5,468	5, 468
Increase in Sales Revenue	0	0	0	Ó	328	835	1,649	2,550	2, 550	2, 550	2, 550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2, 550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2, 550
Net Benefit	c	0	-226	-469	-469 -2,102 -2,038	-2, 038	177	458	789	788	758	758	758	758	758	758	758	758	758	758	1,780
																					l

FIRE:

10, 16%

Table 111-2-8 Cash Flow Analysis - FIRR (Phases 1 & 2) (100 thousand Rupees)

HMT-PRH PROJECT

CASHFLOW ANALYSIS - FIRR

(100 thousand rupee)

0 0 228 488 2.198 2.268 1.748 4.185 1.340 709 203 271 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	:	30/83	91/82	92/93	93/94	94/95	90/91 91/92 92/93 93/84 94/85 95/96 96/97	26/84	86/18	86/86	39/20CC	1/0002	98/99 99/20002000/1 2001/2 2002/3 2003/4 2004/5 2005/6 2008/7 2007/8 2008/9 2008/102010/112011/122012/132013/14	062/3 2	003/4 2	004/5 2	3005/8 2	008/7 2	307/8 2	2 6/800	201/800;	2010/112	2011/12	3012/13	1/210
0 0 228 488 2.087 2.087 2.289 1.748 4.185 1.340 709 203 271 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Project Capital Costs																					<u> </u> 		 	
0 0 228 488 2.136 2.269 1,746 4,185 1,300 709 203 271 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Plant Capital Costs	O		228		2,067	2,078	1,458	3,884	1,099	443	0	. 0	c	O	0	0	0	0	D.	C	to	0	0	-253
8 681 2,085 2,270 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271 2,271	Change in Working Capita		0	0	٥				301	201	288	203	271	0		0		0	0	0	ငာ	6	(3	65	1,852
1,328 681 2,085 2,270 2,871 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,771 2,	Sub Total	a	a	228		2,198	2,289	1,748	4,185	1,300	709	203	27.1		0	0	0	0	6	G	0	. 63	6	6	2,105
1, 328 861 2,085 2,270 2,875 3,452 4,082 4,317 4,853 5,059 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5	Jotal Costs #/o Interest &	Depr.		.*									٠												
1,328 861 2,085 2,270 2,875 3,452 4,082 4,317 4,853 5,489 5,489 5,488 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,489 5,	Without Project	1,328		2,085	2,270	2,271	2,271	2,271	2,271	2,271	2,271										2.271	2,271	2,271	2,271	2,271
ta 0 0 0 0 231 604 1,181 1,781 2,048 2,788 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218 3,218	With Project	1,328		2,085	2,270	2,502	2,875	3,452	4,082		4,853	5,059	5,489		5,489		5,489				5,489	5,488	5,489	5,489	5, 489
1,751 1,015 2,376 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919	Increase in Total Costs	0	0	0	0	231		1, 181	1,791	2,048	2,382	2,788										:	3,218	3,218	3,218
roject 1,751 1,015 2,376 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919	Sales Rovenue Increase	:				:															,				
ect 1,751 1,015 2,376 2,919 3,244 3,754 4,588 5,468 6,141 7,053 7,880 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 0 0 0 0	Without Project	1,751	1,015	2,376	2,919	2,919	2,919	2.91	2,919	2,919	2,919	2,819	2,919	2,919	2,919	2,919	2,919						2,919	2,919	2,313
in Sales Revenue 0 0 0 326 835 1.649 2.550 3.222 4.184 4.741 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.5555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.555 5.55	With Project	1,751	1,015	2,376	2,919	3,244	3,754	4,588	5,468	6,141	7,053	7,860	8,474	8,474	8,474	8,474			8.474		3,474		8,474	8,474	8, 474
0 -228 -469-2,101-2,037-1,278-3,426 -124 1,042 1,751 2,086 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337	Increase in Sales Revenu		0	0				1.649	2,550	3,222	4, 134												5,555	5,555	5, 555
0 -228 -469 -2,101 -2,037 -1,278 -3,426 -124 1,042 1,751 2,088 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337 2,337																									
	Net Benefit	0	0	-228	-489	-2, 101	-2,037	-1,278	-3,426	-124		1,751					2,337						2,337	2,337	4,442

FIRE:

13.29%

13.

2. Sensitivity Analysis

The financial internal rate of return of the strategic project will depend upon such factors as quantity of sales, sales prices, input costs, investment amounts, and the like. Recognizing these causal relationships, financial internal rates of return of the project under alternative conditions are calculated. The result of the calculation is as follows:

<u>Table III-2-9 Sensitivity Analysis of FIRRs</u>
(Strategic Investment)

Factors	Conditions	FIRR
Base Case		10.16%
Sales Prices	increase by 5%	12.52%
Sales Prices	decrease by 5%	7.62%
Investments	increase by 10%	8.91%
Investments	decrease by 10%	11.59%
Input Costs	increase by 5%	8.34%
Input Costs	decrease by 5%	11.90%

For the whole investment program (Phases 1 & 2), Table III-2-10 shows the result of the sensitivity analysis.

<u>Table III-2-10 Sensitivity Analysis of FIRRs</u>
(Phase 1 & 2)

Factors	Conditions	FIRR
Base Case		13.29%
Sales Prices	increase by 5%	15.21%
Sales Prices	decrease by 5%	11.28%
Investments	increase by 10%	11.98%
Investments	decrease by 10%	14.79%
Input Costs	increase by 5%	12.09%
Input Costs	decrease by 5%	14.46%

C. Economic Internal Rate of Return

1. Method and the Result of EIRR

In order to appraise how much the strategic project would contribute to the Indian economy on the whole, an economic feasibility analysis using the economic internal rate of return method is done.

Financial cash flow is converted to economic cash flow as per the assumptions discussed before.

Net cash flow of the strategic project and the resulting economic internal rate of return are shown in Table III-2-11. The economic internal rate return for the project turned out to be 24.96 percent.

Table 111-2-12 gives the cash flow analysis of the whole investment program (Phases 1 & 2), where the economic internal rate of return rate reached 23.99 percent.

2. Sensitivity Analysis

Economic internal rates of return of the strategic project under alternative conditions are calculated. The result of the calculation is as follows:

Table III-2-13 Sensitive Analysis of EIRRs (Strategic Investment)

Factors	Condi	tions	EIRR
Base Case			24.96%
Sales Prices	increase	by 5%	27.07%
Sales Prices	decrease	by 5%	22.78%
Investments	increase	by 10%	22.97%
Investments	decrease	by 10%	27.29%
Input Costs	increase	by 5%	23.88%
Input Costs	decrease	by 5%	26.03%

The result of the sensitivity analysis of the whole investment program (Phases 1 & 2) is as follows:

Table III-2-14 Sensitive Analysis of EIRRs
(Phases 1 & 2)

Factors	Conditions	EIRR
Base Case		23.99%
Sales Prices	increase by 5%	26.11%
Sales Prices	decrease by 5%	21.81%
Investments	increase by 10%	21.97%
Investments	decrease by 10%	26.33%
Input Costs	increase by 5%	23.25%
Input Costs	decrease by 5%	24.73%

The economic internal rate of returns of both the Strategic investment and the whole investment are very close each other.

Table III-2-11 Cash Flow Analysis - EIRR (Strategic Investment)
(100 thousand Rupees)

HMT-PRH PROJECT

CASHFLOW ANALYSIS - EIRR

(100 thousand rupec)

,	10/00	72/10	30/31 31/35 35/30 35/34	te /ee	56/55	00/00	/ E / OC	06/16	20/00	22/ 6000	c000/1.	2/1002	¢0,000	2 4/500	30/31 31/30 36/33 33/20002000/1 2001/2 2002/3 2003/4 2004/5 2005/6 2006/1 2001/8 2008/3 2008/102010/11	2 9/000	2 //900	2 8//00	2 8/8002	201/600	11/010
Project Capital Costa																					
Plant Capital Costs	0	c	228	469	1,747	1,521	C	0	Φ.	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	. 6	0	æ	O	-710
Change in Working Capital	0	0	0	C	611	178	287	277	-58	-5.	6	0	0	0	0	0		D		0	-783
Sub Total	0	0	228	53 7	1,868	1.897	283	27.7	-28	-27	0	O,	Ø	0	0	6	ъ	0	D	C	0 -1,493
A Total Costs w/o Interest & Nepr.	Depr.								•												
Without Project	985	844	844 1,407 1,54	<u></u>	1,547	1,547	1,647	1,547	1,547	1,547	1.547	1,547	1,547	1,547 1,547	1,547	1,547 1,547		1,547	1.547 1.547		1,547
With Project	985	84.4	844 1,407 1,547	:	1,700	1,953	2,334	2,732	2,733	2,733	2,733	2,733	2,733	2,733 2,733		2,733 2	2,733	2,733	2,733 2,733	2,733	2,733
increase in Total Costs	0	0	Đ	Û	154	408	787	1, 186	1,188	1,186	1,186	1, 186	1.188	1,186	1,186	1, 186	1,196	1,186	1, 188	1,186 1,188	1.188
Sales Revenue Increase		÷		٠																	
Without Project	1,751	1,015	1,751 1,015 2,378 2,91	2,919	2,919	2,319	2,919	2,919	2,919 2,919		2,919	2,319	2,913	2,919	2,919 2	2.819 2	2,919	2,919	2,919	2,919	2.919
With Project	1,751	1,015	1,751 1,015 2,378 2,91	2,919	3,244	3,754	4,568	5,488	5,488	5,488	5,488	5.488	5,488	5,488	5,488 5	5,468 5	5, 488	5,488	5,488	5,468	5 488
Increase in Sales Revenue	Û	6	.0	6	328	835	1,849	2,550 2,550		2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550 2	2,560 2	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
Net Benefit	. 0	0	-228	-488	18 -1,894 -1,288	1,288	595	1,087	1,392	1,391	1.384	1,384	1,384	1.384	595 1.087 1.382 1.381 1.384 1.384 1.384 1.384 1.384 1.384 1.384 1.384	384	1,364	1,384	1.384		2,857
																					ľ

24.96%

-480-

Cash Flow Analysis - EIRR (Phases 1 & 2) (100 thousand Rupees)

HMT-PRH PROJECT

CASHFLOW ANALYSIS - EIRR

(100 thousand rupee)

0 0 228 469 1.747 1.521 1.288 2.528 923 443 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 228 489 1.747 1.521 1.288 2.528 923 443 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		16/08	90/91 91/92 92/93 93/94 94/95 95/98	92/93	93/94	34/95		88/87 87/98	86/28	88/86	98/99 99/20002000/1 2001/2 2002/3 2003/4 2004/5 2005/8 2008/7 2007/8 2008/9 2003/102010/112011/122012/132013/14	2000/1 2	2001/2 2	002/3 2	003/4 2	2 9/100	005/8 2	006/7 2	007/8 2	2 6/800	009/102	010/112	1011/122	012/13	513/1
0 0 226 469 1.747 1.521 1.286 2.526 923 443 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 228 469 1.747 1.521 1.288 2.528 923 443 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Project Capital Costs						•																		
14a1 0 0 0 0 0 119 176 287 277 185 245 187 250 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14a1 0 0 0 0 0 0 119 176 287 277 185 245 187 250 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Plant Capital Costs	.	0	228	469	1.747	1,521	1,288	2,528	923	443	0	· ' 👝 .	0	0	0	0	co	0	6	0	6	င	c	-253
0 0 226 469 1.888 1.697 1.555 2.803 1.108 889 187 250 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 226 469 1,888 1,697 1,555 2,803 1,108 889 187 250 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Change in Working Capita	9	c	ထ	G		178	287	277	185	245	187		O	6	0	0	0	co	0	Φ.	0	5	c	1,707
885 844 1,407 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,54	885 844 1,407 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,54	Sub Total	0	0	226		1,888	1,697	1,555	2,803	1,108	883	187	i	0	D	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	ဝ	0	1,959
Without Project 885 844 1,407 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547	985 644 1,407 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,547 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,477 1,47	Total Costs */o Interest							•			:	•													
With Project(incl. dep.) 985 844 1.407 1.547 1.700 1.553 2,334 2.732 3.014 3,248 3.525 3.820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,8	op.) 985 644 1.407 1,547 1,700 1,853 2,334 2,732 3,014 3,248 3,525 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820 3,820	Without Project	88 88		1,407	1,547	1.547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	1,547	.547	1,547	1.547	1,547	1,547
0 0 0 0 154 408 707 1,188 1,467 1,639 1,976 2,273 2,273 2,273 2,273 2,273 2,273 2,273	ots 0 0 0 154 408 787 1,188 1,487 1,699 1,978 2,273 2,273 2,273 2,273 2,273 2,273 2,273 2,273	With Project(incl. dep.)	- 385	8 44	1,407	1,547	1, 700	1,953	2,334	2,732	3,014	3,248	3,525	3,820	3,820	3,820	3, 820	3,820	3,820	3, 820	3,820 3	3,820	3,820	3,820	3,820	3,820
		Increase in Total Costs	6	۵	0	0	154	406		1,186	1 467	1,899	1,978	2.273	2,273	2, 273	2,273	2,273	2,273	273	3,273 2	2,273	2,273	2,273	2, 273	2,273

1,751 1,015 2,376 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919 2,919

1,751 1,015 2,376 2,919 3,244 3,754 4,588 5,488 8,141 7,053 7,880 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474 8,474

835 1.849 2,550 3,222 4,134 4,741 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555 5,555

847 1,748 2,577 3,032 3,282 3,282 3,282 3,282 3,282 3,282 3,282 3,282 3,282 3,282 3,282 5,241

-488 -1,884 -1,288 -884 -1,439

-228 0

0

Net Benefit

Increase in Sales Revenue

Without Project

With Project

23.99%

EIRR:

D. Technology Assessment

Major machinery and equipment to be introduced in the strategic investment program (Phase 1) include four E.O.T. cranes, one cutting machine, one planomiller, one vertical lathe, three horizontal boring machines, and so on. Through the introduction of these modern facilities, high productivity and quality of welding jobs would be achieved, while reducing the production costs. Not only the modern facilities but also the latest production technology such as group technology would be introduced in the investment for productivity improvement.

Along with the investment, education and training of workers would be conducted in order to acquire the latest press technologies as well as various production skills and knowhow. Since the quality of workers at PRH is principally good, the invested modern machinery may be fully utilized with a minimum of training of the workers.

In Phase 2 of the whole investment program, introduction of such machinery as one bending roller, one press brake, one vertical boring machine, and one horizontal boring machine are proposed. As a result of the investment, diversification of products, which would have international quality, would be achieve.

E. Impact Analysis

The project would have various effects on HMT, from financial as well as management viewpoints.

1. Impact on Foreign Exchange

Table 111-2-15 shows the flow of foreign exchange until 1999/2000 at current prices. Table 111-2-16 summarizes those impacts in foreign exchange until the end of the project period at constant prices. As shown in Table 111-2-16, the amount of accumulated foreign exchange makes a total of negative 2,455 Lakh Rupees. Net present value of the accumulated foreign exchange discounted at the real interest rate of foreign long term

loan turns out to be negative 1.340 lakh Rupees. As a result, it may be said that the project would not necessarily improve the foreign exchange position of the company. One reason is that the products require substantial amount of imported materials. High ratio of imported machinery in the strategic investment may be another reason.

2. Impact on Employment

Table III-2-17 shows the flow of the total man power of PRH by job description by the year 2000. As shown in the table, the project is assumed to create 80 job opportunities between 1992/93 and 1999/2000.

Table 111-2-17 Flow of Manpower

	1992/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
PS	219	234	256	276	281	286	286	286
₩G	274	274	274	274	281	287	287	287
Total	493	512	530	550	562	573	573	573

3. Impact on Production

Between 1992/93 and 1999/2000, the production value is expected to increase five times as much at current prices, while the number of workers would increase relatively small. As a result, per worker productivity would increase substantially.

4. Impact on Environment

The project would not have any harmful effect on environment.

Table III-2-15 Flow of Foreign Exchange (Strategic Investment) (100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

HMI-PRH PROJECT FOREIGN EXCHANGE FLOW ANALYSIS (100 thousand of rupee at current prices)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	92/36	76/98	94/28	98/99	99/2000
Foreign Exch. Rec.	c	2.0	275	000	1 198	 52	712	170
L-T Borrowing	256	108	1.198	1.900	00	1,061	0,1	01/1
Total F.E. Receipt	256	424	1,573	2,823	1, 196	1,521	1,615	1,716
Foreign Exch. Pay't								
Capital Invest.	198	84	657	984	0	0	0	0
Parts & Equip.	446	533	619	774	1,035	1,320	1,401	1,489
Foreign L-T Debt Svc.	29	69	163	420	599	715	850	796
Total F. E. Pay't	674	989	1,439	2,178	1,634	2,035	2,251	2,285
Net Foreign Exch. Flow	-417	-262	134	645	-438	-514	-636	-569

Table III-2-16 Flow of Foreign Exchange (Strategic Investment) (100 thousand Rupees at constant prices)

HMT-PRH PROJECT Foreign Exchange Flow analysis

(180 thousand Rubees at constant prices)

	92793	93784	94795	95796	92793 93794 94795 95796 96797	91/88	66/86	98/2888	97.98 96/99 99/2008 2008/1 2001/2 2002/3 2003/4 2004/5	2001/2	2882/3	288374	288475	288578	2888/7	208778	2885/8 2888/7 2887/8 2888/9 2889/18 2818/11	889/18 2	818/11
Foreign Exch. Rec.																			
Export	89	292	324	751	914	1.094	1.894	1,894	1.094	1,694	1,694	1.694	1.894	1.894	1,894	1.884	1.894	1.894	. 89
L-T Borrowing	231	96	231 96 724	1,033	89			. 69	89	69	69	150	60	89	69	ь	60	ts	F2)
S Total F.E. Receipt	231	888	1.648	1,784	914	1.094	:	1,894 1.894	1.894 1.894 1.894 1.894	1.894	1.894	1.894		1.894	1.094	1.894	1.894 1.894 1.894 1.894 1.894	1.894	1.894
5			-																
Foreign Exch. Pay't											-								
Capital Invest.	861	60	628	888	63	a	64	60		60	59	S	æ	50	60	89	60	භ	600
Parts & Equip.	448	492	536	638	798	948	878	949	949	949	948	949	949	943	949	949	949	646	9.43
Foreign L-T Debt Svc.	1.0	69	ୀଟି 4ମି ୨୫	247	327	387	487	397 487 454		388	355				123		83	60	tsə
Total F. E. Pay't	681	614	614 1,255	1.763		1.348	1.436	1.483	1.378	1,337	.337 1.384	1,273	41214	:	1.174 1.072	•	949 949	943	943
Net Foreign Exch. Flow	- 438	-226	-287	22	-264	-252	-342	-308	-278	-243	-218	-177	-120	-81	22	145	145	145	145

Accumulated Foreign Exchange: .. -2,455

F. Conclusions and Recommendations

The FIRR of the strategic investment project for PRH is 10.2%, and that of the total project including the future expansion investments is 13.3%. These figures are not necessarily high, taking into consideration the current high interest rates for external borrowings in India. These relatively low financial internal rates of return is partly because of the high import duties on both capital goods and raw materials.

The EIRRs excluding the effects of high import duties shows relatively high rates of 25.0% and 24.0%, respectively. Another factor which included relatively low financial internal rates of return is the fact that the future financial projections are mainly made based on the present low level of sales and the current cost structures of the unit. By identifying good collaborators which would support PRH both in technology and in sales capabilities to the international markets, it would become possible for the unit to improve the financial returns than the level projected.

III-3. Tractor Factory

A. Financial Evaluation

1. Financial Projections

Based on the estimate of revenues and costs, which are discussed in Chapter 11-3, an income and cost forecast was calculated. This forecast covers two cases; one is a base case which is based on constant prices of 1992/93 and the other is an escalation case which is based on escalated prices. Tables 111-3-1 and 111-3-2 give the basic case and the escalation case, respectively.

The flow of funds based on the escalation case is projected in Table III-3-3. Summary of the financial projections is given in Table III-3-4. Key financial ratios are shown in Table III-3-5.

Based on the debt service coverage ratios shown in Table 11-3-5, it can be asserted that the project will generate revenue which is sufficient to cover payments of interest and current maturities of principal on outstanding debt. The negative numbers of total borrowing debt service coverage ratios in Table 111-3-5 suggest that the interest inflows which are generated by a short term deposit exceed interest outflows of the long-term debt service.

Table III-3-4 Summary of Financial Projections
(million Rupees at current prices)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Net Sales	2,564	3,143	3,765	4,546	5,384	6,511	7,839	9,412
Profit before Int. & Tax	272	328	362	435	534	684	889	1,154
Profit before Tax	282	350	362	414	523	715	1,016	1,452

Table III-3-5 Key Financial Ratios

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Operating Profit /Net Sales	11.4%	11.2%		10.2%	10.5%	11.1%	12.0%	13.0%
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (L-T Loan)	n.a.	33.3	10.8	7.2	5.5	5.5	6.2	7.6
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Total Borrowg)	n.a.	-2.5	-1.8	-2.2	-1.6	-1.2	-0:9	-0.6

Table III-3-1 Projected Income Statements (Basic Case) (100 thousand Rupees at constant prices)

HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED INCOME STATEMENTS (188 thousand rupee at current prices through 1991 and constant

	(actual) 1998/91	(actual) (prelim) 1990/91 1991/92	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1998/99 1999/2888
VerHilme KEVENDES Net Sales Revenues	18,864	22,558	25,638	29,816	32,628	36,964	41,126	46,881	53, 189	68,817
RAW MATERIALS Uslue Added	13.64817.25g 5,216 5,308	17,25g. 5,388	19.267	7,181	8,633	9.856	31,242.	35.311	40,055	45,237
OPERATING COSTS								•		
Wages & Salaries	1.617	1,787	1,823	1,967	2,125	880	9.349	800	0 000	9
4 Depreciation	152	240	216	402	818	1.146	1.355		- 0	0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 0
O Other Mig. Costs	211	267	598	338	381	433	481	5.47		20 6
CO Technical Fee	ස හ	80	\$	4	អា	6	7.0	, cs	0	
Other Expenses	766	6.26	1,856	1,195	1.344	1.00	769.1	200	0	240
Total Ope. Costs	2,769	3,262	3,438	3,952	4,722	5,397	5,958	6,533	6,998	7.379
Operating Income	2.447	2,846	2,933	3,229	3,311	3,658	4.134	4,957	6.858	7.402
NON-OPERATING COSTS									٠	
Interest Charges	132	228	146	- 187	66	271	2	7	3 6 1	0
Other Exp(+)/Inc(-)	8.	197	210	222	22.2	03.6	 	200	ม วัง จั	
Total Non-Ope. Costs	199	417	164	115	296	584	446	311	6.	1.557
Profit before Tax	2.248	1.636	2,769	3,114	3.83	3.	8	¥ .	0 0	0 0 0 0

Table III-3-2 Projected Income Statements (Escalation Case) (100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

HMT-TRP PROJECT HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED INCOME STATEMENTS (108 thousand rupee at current prices)

	1998/91	1991/92	1992/93	1993794	1994/95	1995/96	1998/97	1997/99	000,000	1000
OPERATING REUENUES Not Salos Rovenuos	18,864	22,558	25,538	31,427	37,653	45,462	53,836	65,186	78,392	78,392 94.115
RAW MATERIALS Uslue Added	13.64817.25g	17,259	19.267	23.647	28.383	34.327	40,634.	49.118	59.121.	78,932
	0 10 10	000010	0.471	98) .	8 2 2	11,135	13,202	15,989	19,271	23,134
OPERATING COSTS										
Wages & Salaries	1,617	1,787	1.823	2,138	2,452	2,747	3,875	800 8	000	0
Vebreciation	152	240	216	414	878	65.0		000	0 10	7 L
O Other Mfg. Costs	211	267	000	387	677	9 0 9 1 1 1 1		000	#C0 12	200
	60	œ			1 6	1 b	900	9	90 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	. 899
Other Fraguese	78.5		t c		0 4	- :		104 (-4	133	89
10+00 Oxec 10+01	0.00		000	7	3.55.	1.873	2,218	2.682	3,238	3,878
0.000	80) ' 7	3,252	3,438	4,259	5,386	6,588	7,556	8,735	9,857	18,975
Operating Income	2,447	2,846	2,933	3,521	3,884	4,635	5,646	7,253	9.414	12,288
NON-OPERATING COSTS						e**				
Interest Charges	132	228	69-	-215	-	919	-	0 0 0	1	t c
Other Exp(+)/Inc(-)		197	218	240	262	282	0.00	0 (V	200	9 0 0
Total Non-Ope. Costs	199	41.7	117	25	263	86 p	428	188	-742	-2,312
Profit before Tax	2.248	1.638	2.816	3,436	3,621	4.136	5,225	7.145	19,156	14, 528

Table III-3-3 Projected Funds Flow Statements (Escalation Case)

(100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED FUNDS FLOW STATEMENTS (180 thousand rupes at current prices)

4 1 0 0 2	(prelim) 91/92	92793	93794	94795	95/36	76/96	04.0	000	0000
3.9.4.5.K.E.S.						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-1	2	237 5000
Operations									
•		-	3,496	• Δ		0	7 145		L
add: Depreciation	24B	Š	₹			î П	- 0	ີ (20.00 20.00 20.00
			. 624 644		- α - α	2 6	00.	ະ ອີດ	ο Ο (
Domest, il interest	63	60			•		•	25 0	2) ()
ST interest	220	66-	-326	-41	ιÇ	O.	*	0	
cash benefated by Operations	2,698	2,839	3,695	4,581	61	6,878	8,727	18,944	13,689
Borrowing									
Foreign Currency Borrowing	69	80	995	2,929	2,159	746	828	27.5	, ,
	62		6	·) (S)) (S	5	2
i cotal Long-lera sorrowing	ଷ	6 9	995	0	2,159	746	978	375	153
Co Short-Term Loans	194	-1,237	-2,480	-2,745	-4,518	-7,377	-11,386	-19.145	20, 799
Other Sources	60	8	හ	6	89		· ·) !
Total Sources	0	4				1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2	31	2
	6227	1,782	2.218	4.685	3.259	247	-1,681	-7.826	-19,837
A.P.P.L.L.C.B.I.L.D.N.S						•			
Fixed Capital investments	1,853	1,340	3,132	6,624	5,129	3,945	4.765	3,256	1,328
Increase in Working Capital	351 351	260	538	548	663	80 80 80	න න හ		60
Foreign LT Debt Service				-					
Interest	60	63	111	415	784	1.048	1,144	 	1.836
TODAY BOLLON TO DOTA OF THE	G (8	20	8				676	
מנמי ימי פואי בי הפסר		83		415	784	1,158	1.580	1,769	7
Domest. LT Debt Service									
Interest	60	60	8	89	Ø	60	53	62	65
Repayments Hotel bolist H batt Carrier	83	8	6	G	8	6	(5)	62	62
CLAT DOMEST LI DEDI SETVICE	39	80	8	B	6	8	60	625	69
Short-Term Debt Service									
Interest	228	Φ,	-326	-414	-572	1937	-1,449	-2,359	62
Kepausants	869	194	-1.237	-2,489	-2.745	4.518	7.78.7.	-11,386	0
lotal short-lera Debt Service	886	181	-1,563	-2.894	ω,	ഗ	-8.826	-13,745	-23,158
Total Applications	2.284	1.782	2.218	4.685	3,259	247	-1,681	-7,826	-19,837

B. Financial Internal Rate of Return

1. Method and the Result of FIRR

In order to appraise the profitability of the project, both the financial internal rate of return method and the economic internal rate of return method are used. The net cash flow of the project case (with project case) is compared with that of the non-project case (without project case). For calculation purposes, the period of the project is assumed to be 15 years after the last capital investment is made in 1996/97, and at the end of the project, invested fixed assets are assumed to be resold at the book values. Working capital, also, is assumed to be completely paid back.

Net cash flows of the project and the resulting financial internal rate of return are shown in Table III-3-6. As shown in the table, the financial internal rate of return for the project is considered to be 21.62 percent.

Table 111-3-6 Cash Flow Analysis (FIRR) (100 thousand Rupees)

HMT-TRP PROJECT

CASHFLOW ANALYSIS

(100 thousand rupee)

		16/06	90/91 91/92 92/93 93/94 94/95 95/96 96/97 97/	87/8	3 93/4	34 94/5	15 95/9	8/88	7 97/3	6/88 88/	19 99/20	88/89 99/20002000/1 2001/2 2002/3 2003/4 2004/5 2005/8 2008/7 2007/8 2008/9 2009/102010/112011/122012/132013/142014/15	1 2001/3	2002/	\$ 2003/	4 2004,	/5 2005	/8 2008	1/7 2007	7/8 2008	3/9 2008	9/102010	1/11201	1/12201.	2/13201	3/142014
ó.	Project Capital Costs) .																		
	Plant Capital Costs	0	0		2.83	2,937 5,929 4,328 3,077 3,520	39 4,32	3 3,07	7 3,52	0 2,244	14 858	, 80) (6		0	9	0	0	, (C)	0	D	0	0 -238
***	Change in Working Capital	0	0		0 2,	274 279	19 331		233 343	3 380	363		٦	-		. 50	0.	. 0	0	0			c	ငာ	0	0 -2,189
- 4 :	Sub Total	0	0 1		0 3.2	0 8,211 6,208 4,860 3,310 3,863	8 4,88	3,31	3,88	3 2,604	1,227	, t	,			0	e	0	o	a	0	c	0		0	0 -2,427
9 3	CO Total Costs w/o Interest & Depr.	Bepr.				٠						-													٠.	
_	Without Project	18,832	18,332 20,469 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,639 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839 22,839	22.68	9 22,89	39 22,68	9 22,89	9 22,69	9.22,89	9 22, 89	9 22,89	9 22,896	3 22, 699	3 22, 89	1 22,699	9 22,89	19 22,8	99 22.6	99 22, 8	199 22,8	189 22.6	339 22,8	193 22	888 22.	833 22.6	333 22.6
	With Project	16,332	16,332 20,489 22,899 25,807 28,728 32,398 35,873 40,581 45,896 51,348 51,343 51,343 51,343 51,343 51,343 51,343 51,343 51,343 51,343 51,343 51,343 51,343	22, 89	9 25,8(17 28,72	8 32,38	3 35,87	3 40,53	1 45,69	16 51.34	3 51,342	\$ 51.345	3 51,340	51,34	3 51,34	63 51.3	(3 51.3	43 51,3	143 51,3	343 51,2	343 51,3	143 51	343 51,	343 51,	343 51,
	Increase in Total Costs	C	0		0 2,90	18 6,02	9,89	5 13,17	4 17,83	2 22,99	8 28,84	0 2,908 6,029 9,885 13,174 17,832 22,998 28,644 28,644 28,644 28,644 28,644 28,844 28,844 28,844 28,844 28,844 28,844 28,844 28,844 28,844 28,844 28,844	1 28 84	1 28 64	28,84	4 28.84	14 28.8	4 28 8	4. 28. B	44 28.8	144 28.E	344 28.8	344 28,	844 28.	844 28,8	144 28,6
ű	Sales Revenue Increase		• • .			. •					•															
	Without Project	18,864	18,864 22,558 25,838 25,638 25,838 25,838 25,838 25,638	25, 83	8 25,68	18 25,83	8 25,83	8 25, 83	3 25, 63	8 25,63	8 25,83	25,638 25,638 25,638 25,638 25,638 25,838 25,838 25,638 25,638 25,638 25,838 25,838 25,838 25,638 25,838 25,633 25,838	3 25, 638	35,63	25,83	3 25,83	38 25,8	38 25.8	38 25,8	38 25,8	138 25,8	338 25,8	38: 25. (338 25.	338 25.6	33 25,6
	With Project	18,884	18,884 22,558 25,638 29,018 32,828 38,984 41,128 48,801 53,109 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017 80,017	25, 63	8 29,0	18 32,82	8 38,98	4 41,12	8 46,80	1 53, 10	19 60,01	7 80.017	7 80,017	7 80,017	. 80,017	7 80.01	17 80.0	17 80,0	17 60.0	117 60,0	117 80,0	117 60,0	117 60,(017 80.	017 80.0	117 80,0
	Increase in Sales Revenue	P	0		3,37	0 3,378 6,990 11,328 15,488 21,1	11,32	8 15,48	8 21.18	3 27,47	1 34,37	183 27,471 34,379 34,379 34,379 34,379 34,379 34,379 34,379 34,379 34,379 34,379 34,378 34,379 34,379 34,379 36,379	3 34, 375	34,37	34,37	3 34,37	19 34.3	79 34,3	79 34,3	179 34,3	179 34 3	379 34,3	78 34.	379 34,	379 34,3	73 36.3
												1									1		. }			
Ź	Net Benefit	우.	۵		0 -2,7,	0 -2,741 -5,247 -3,028	17 -3.02	-336	6 -533	3 1,889	19 4,508	8 5,735	5 5 735	5 5,735	5 5,735	5 5,735	35 5,735	35 5,735		5,735 5,7	5,735 5,735		5,735 5,735	(35	5, 735 5,7	5,735 8,163
											٠															

FIRR:

21.82%

2. Sensitivity Analysis

The financial internal rate of return of the project will depend upon such factors as quantity of sales, sales prices, input costs, investment amounts, and the like. Recognizing these causal relationships, financial internal rates of return of the project under alternative conditions are calculated. The result of the calculation is as follows:

Table III-3-7 Sensitivity Analysis of FIRRs

Factors	Condit	tio	ns	FIRR
Base Case			rik Salamini A. A. V. (1984) Saraman (d.) Karaman kepil	21.62%
Sales Prices	increase	bу	5 %	28.93%
Sales Prices	decrease	bу	5%	14.18%
Investments	increase	bу	10%	19.63%
Investments	decrease	bу	10%	23.98%
Input Costs	increase	bу	5 %	16.03%
Input Costs	decrease	bу	5%	27.15%

C. Economic Internal Rate of Return

1. Method and the Result of EIRR

In order to appraise how much the project would contribute to the Indian economy on the whole, an economic feasibility analysis using the economic internal rate of return method is done.

Financial cash flow is converted to economic cash flow as per the assumptions discussed before. In addition, a conversion factor of 0.9 is applied for the export sales of tractors considering the competitiveness of HMT tractors in the international market.

Net cash flow of the project and the resulting economic internal rate of return are shown in Table III-3-8. The economic internal rate return for the project turned out to be 45.30 percent.

Table III-3-8 Cash Flow Analysis (EIRR) (100 thousand Rupees)

HMT-TRP PROJECT

CASHFLOW ANALYSIS - ELIR

(100 thousand rupee)

93/94 94/95 95/96 98/97 97/98 98/99 99/20002000/1 2001/2 2002/8 2003/4 2004/5 2008/7 2007/8 2008/1 2001/1/22012/132013/142013/142014/15 0 -2,189 15,352 15,491 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 17,419 0 2,218 4,687 7,358 9,993 13,508 17,397 21,655 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,855 21,85 18,378 20,302 23,074 28,117 29,385 33,285 37,015 42,125 47,800 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 54,020 0 3,043 6,291 10,191 13,940 19,051 24,726 30,946 30,946 30,946 30,948 30,948 30,948 30,948 30,948 30,948 30,948 30,948 30,948 30,948 30,948 890 1,877 4,934 8,140 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 9,291 1,719 With Project(incl. dep.) 15,352 15,481 17,419 19,885 22,008 24,775 27,412 36,827 34,818 38,074 38,074 38,074 38,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 39,074 16,978 20,302 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 23,074 82 0 -2,428 0 78] 0 2,859 4,801 3,857 3,057 3,865 2,395 1,151 360 0 2,585 4,323 3,528 2,824 3,322 2,035 233 331 0 -2,032 -2,898 -1,022 278 90/91 91/92 92/93 Change in Working Capital Increase in Sales Revenue Increase in Total Costs CO Total Costs w/o Interest Sales Revenue increase Project Capital Costs Plant Capital Coats Without Project Without Project With Project Sub Total 4

O

¢

Net Benefit

45 30%

Eler:

2. Sensitivity Analysis

Economic internal rates of return of the project under alternative conditions are calculated. The result of the calculation is as follows:

Table III-3-9 Sensitive Analysis of EIRRs

Factors	Conditions	EIRR
Base Case		45.30%
Sales Prices	increase by 5	\$ 54.74%
Sales Prices	decrease by 5	% 36.62%
Investments	increase by 10	% 41.03%
Investments	decrease by 10	% 50.64%
Input Costs	increase by 5	% 39.72%
Input Costs	decrease by 5	% 51.19%

D. Technology Assessment

To maintain the reputation of the present HMT tractors, and to increase sales in accordance with the market expansion, it is essential to keep the core product technology inhouse, generating constant improvement activity so that there is a clear distinction from the competitors' products.

The specific technology for the components is not especially new, nor extremely high-tech. Technology is more important in the field of production engineering and production technology.

To guarantee quality with a minimum of disruption to the continuous mass production is the prime target of the project.

Major considerations are to be paid to the following.

- i) Foundry Renovation

 All the important casting components, engine blocks, cylinder blocks, gear boxes, and transmission boxes are to be produced in-house. Targeted ratio of the in-house production is 40% in 1999/2000.
- ii)Diesel engine machining is to be renovated in the automatic transfer process, with CNC machines.
- iii) Sheet press work is handled outside at present, but in the new factory, hood and fender pressing line is to be included in-house.
 - iv) The painting and test/trial line is to be automated to achieve uniformity of quality.
 - v) Assembly lines for diesel engines and tractors are to be totally renovated with an automatic continuous transfer system.

The desired equipment is available in the current international and domestic market, however, it is expensive. Fortunately, HMT has its own technology and in-house production for candidate machines, which is advantageous for the modernization.

Software renovation is indivisible from hardware investment. R&D reinforcement especially, is an urgent requirement and a considerable amount of investment is to be directed to this field, including long term technical assistance from leading world enterprises.

Plans for production control, productivity increases and raising employee motivation are also included in the renovation implementation.

E. Impact Analysis

The project would have various effects on HMT, from financial as well as management viewpoints.

1. Impact on Foreign Exchange

Exports of tractors are planned to begin in 1995/96 with 500 units, and the number is expected to reach 4,000 units in 1999/2000. Projected export sales of the tractors is given in Table 11-3-10. On the other hand, increase in production would require more imported parts which presently account for approximately 2 percent of the total material costs excluding import tariffs. Table III-3-10 shows the flow of foreign exchange until 1999/2000 at current prices, while Table III-3-11 summarizes those impacts in foreign exchange through the project period. As shown in Table III-3-11, the amount of accumulated foreign exchange makes a total of 75,205 Lakh Rupees through the period. Net present value of the accumulated foreign exchange discounted at the real interest rate of foreign long term loan turns out to be 7,810 Lakh Rupees. From these figures, the project is considered to improve the foreign exchange position of the company. TRP, however, is requested to make continuous efforts to increase the exportation of its tractors.

2. Impact on Employment

Table III-3-12 shows the flow of the total man power of TRP by job description by the year 2000. As shown in the table, the project is assumed to create approximately 1,040 job opportunities between 1992/93 and 1999/2000.

3. Impact on Production

The biggest impact of the project on production is drastic improvement of productivity. The total number of workers would increase by 32%, while the total production of tractors would increase approximately 2.6 times. This means that the new investments would in-

crease per worker productivity from 5.8 units in 1991/92 to 10.4 units in 1999/2000.

4. Impact on Environment

Tractor production is relatively clean being free from the emission of harmful materials or noise pollution. In addition, TRP is located in an isolated industrial area, far from major cities. In the investment program, much attention is paid to improvement of the work environment than to the neighborhood. Various automated machinery proposed in the investment program would surely mitigate the physical labor.

Table III-3-10 Flow of Foreign Exchange (100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

HMT-TRP PROJECT FOREIGN EXCHANGE FLOW ANALYSIS (100 thousand of rupee at current prices)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	92/36	26/96	86/16	66/86	99/2000
Foreign Exch. Rec.								
Export	0	0	0	862	1,720	3,088	5,541	9,816
L-T Borrowing	0	995	2,929	2, 159	746	878	375	153
Total F.E. Receipt	0	995	2,929	3, 121	2, 466	4,066	5,915	9, 970
Foreign Exch. Pay't					-		•	
Capital Invest.		773	2, 435	1,821	624	818	317	130
Parts & Equip.		426	534	701	776	884	1,008	1,154
Foreign L-T Debt Svc	0	111	415	784	1,158	1,580	1,769	1,795
Total F. E. Pay't	1	1,310	3, 383	3,306	2,557	3, 282	3,094	3,078
Net Foreign Exch. Flow	-332	-1,310	-3, 383	-2,344	-837	-194	2,446	6, 738

Table III-3-11 Flow of Foreign Exchange (100 thousand Rupees at constant prices)

HHT-TRP PROJECT

FOREIGN EXCHANGE FLOW AMPLYSTS

(188 thousand of Rupees at constant prices)

:	92/93	43/84	94/95	92/93 43/94 94/95 95/98 96/97 97/98 98/99	10/96	97/89		80/5088	208071	2561/2	2682/3	\$9×2056 2068/1 2561/2 2082/3 2083/4 2864/5 2505/6 2886/7 2887/8 2866/9 2859/16 2518/11 2811/12 2812/13 2813/14 2014/15	2004/5	2005/6	2-9862	208778	286878 2	283,18 2	1118101	811/12 2	8:2/13 2	113/14 20	114/15
Foreign Exch. Rec.																							
ar oax	63	6			1.314	2.228	3,754	6.268	5.258	5,258	6,260	Tê2 1,314 2,228 3,754 6,268 6,268 6,268 6,268 6,268 6,268 6,268 6,268 6,268 6,268 6,268 8,268 5,258 5,258 6,268	8.266	5.256	6.268	6.250	5,268	6.268	6,268	6.259	6,258	5.25B	6.268
L-T Borrowing	GQ :	693	2.489	693 2,489 1,527 508	83 89 89	535	285	115	80	62	63	8 693 2,489 1,527 568 539 295 115 B R G B R C B B B B	œ.	69	80	69	60	69	69	æ	6	67	ъ
Total F.E. Receipt	5	693	2,469	2,389	1,815	2, 759	4,849	8,376	6.25E	6.268	6,258	693 2.469 2.335 1.815 2.759 4.844 8.376 6.258 6.263 5.268 8.258 8.258 6.268 6.268 6.258 5.268 5.268 6.268 6.268 6.268 6.268	8,238	8,268	6.258	8,258	6,258	6.258	a,258	8,268	6,260	8.258	6.268
- 5	-																						
Foreign Exch. Pay't																							
Capital Invest.	:	204	2.134	1.365		420 462	253	G G	9	69	a	61	63	63	180	2	69	6	63	5	es	to	10
Parts & Equip.	332	en 00 00	68 40 4	578		582 638	683	136	738	736	48	136	736	735	736	136	136	735	736	138	738	736	136
Foreign L-T Debt Sve		OF F	278	563	770	779 1,092 1,266	1,266	1,272 1,253	1,253	1.193	1.193 1,189 1,811	8 49 278 563 776 1,286 1,272 1,253 1,189 1,811 913 744 481 198 121 61 14 B 8 2 2	213	746	1887	1.00	121	53	¥.	6	63	æ	150
Total F. E. Pay't	332	1.036	2,873	332 1.036 2.073 2.442 1.881 2.157 2.282	1.881	2.197	2,282	2,107	. 188	1.928	1.844	2,107 1.899 1.928 1.844 1,747 1,648 1,488 1,136	1,669	1,488	1.138	932	932 857 786	786	749	738	135	136	136
Net Fortign Exch. Flow -332 -1,036 -2,073 -1,660 -466 23 1,552 4,154 4,271 4,332 4,416 4,514 4,780 5,124 5,329 5,403 5,474 5,511 5,525 5,525 5,525 5,525	1 333	-1,838	-2.873	-1.668	-486	53	1.552	4.154	4.271	4.332	4,418	4.514	4.611	4,780	5.124	5,329	5,483	5.474	8,813	5.525	5.525	5.525	5,525

Accumulated Foreion Exchange: 75,285

Table III-3-12 Flow of Manpower (Number of workers)

HMT-TRP PROJECT

HANPOWER SCHEDULE & PROJECTED HANPOWER COST

	(sotual)									
	90/01	91782	92783	93784	84785	96/96	98/97	96716	88788	98/2808
Tennen	184	185	188	198	194	195	187	188	193	188
Design/Rab Engineer	23	29	95	10	72	17	85	S S	68	85
Prod. Engineer & Staff	151	123	126	129	133	135	137	138	1 48	£.
Prod. Control Engineer # Steff	134	136	138	1.44	149	153	157	159	182	164
Shop Workers	1,497	1.522	1,647	1.738	1.847	2.085	2.247	2,264	2,288	2.297
Shop Foresan/Supervisors	116	118	118	128	123	130	138	148	143	1.45
OVC Englatery B Staff	132	134	137	142	147	162	167	159	168	182
Procurement Englineer & Staff	79	6 0	69	9	69	78	12	4.	13	7.
Squip, Maint, Engrisherrimorker	129	131	134	141	149	161	154	156	187	159
System & Training	. 53	24	25	58	28	88	28	138	28	58
Civil Engr & Power Dist.	¥.	42	₩	44	48	P P	9	4	46	48
Harketing	184	184	284	214	224	234	244	244	544	244
Meteriet Plenning	53	24	25	8	58	28	58	138	32	58
Stores	g g	181	183	187	111	113	115	116	118	119
Finance	19	10	95	89	. 04	64	S.	9.0	88	\$
Security	63	58	8	33	33	83	a to	ty (P)	34	3.4
Others	77.	145	1 48	147	149	156	152	153	163	154
Honelt	98	181	183	121	128	126	133	134	135	138
TOTAL MANPOWER	3,121	3,184	3.249	3,584	3.788	3,966	4,196	4,228	4.254	4.288

F. Conclusions and Recommendations

From the financial and economic analysis of the project discussed in this chapter, followings are pointed out.

- (a) The FIRR of 21.62% is around the hurdle rate that justifies the investment. On the other hand, the EIRR, that is 45.30% for a base case, is considerably high.
- (b) The present financial position of TRP is considered to be strong to implement the large investment proposed in the action program.

From the strategic point of view followings are pointed out.

- (a) In order to rebound from its reduced market share of tractors, implementation of the investment is significantly important. Without expansion of the existing production capacity, TRP would not only loose the market share but also make the present profitability worse.
- (b) Tractor production is one of the most promising fields among HMT's diversified business. For HMT to continue to lead the industry, high priority should be put on the strengthening of TRP factory.

At the implementation of the projects, the following points should be carefully examined.

- i) Market demand and the governmental policy
 - ii) Introduction of new technology
- iii) An optimum ratio of borrowing

III-4. Kalamassery Printing Machinery Factory (PMK)

A. Financial Analysis

1. Financial Projections

Tables III-4-2 to 4 show projected income statement, cash budget, and balance sheet for PMK (the Printing Machinery, Kalamassery) at current prices, respectively, when the investment plan is implemented.

A summary of projected financial data is shown in Table III-4-5. Key financial ratios are as shown in Table III-4-6.

The investment would have a considerable impact on the profitability of PMK's operation as well as on the increase in sales revenue. At the latter stage of the project life, the financial position of PMK would be quite sound and stable. However, at the early stage of the project, PMK's financial position would become worse judging from the debt coverage ratio. The ratio would remain below 2.0 until 1997/98.

The operating profits would increase sharply with the investment plan, from Rs. 584 lakh in 1994/95 to Rs. 3,689 lakh in 1999/2000 in nominal terms. PMK would start to produce profits after the sixth year of the investment plan, 1997/98, on an after-interest basis.

The ratio of operating profits to sales would decrease to 16% in 1994/95, but it would be considerably improved to 30% in 1999/00. Operating profits as a percentage of total assets would continue to rise up to 1999/2000 and then start to decline as the retained earnings grow at a rapid pace.

	1999/2000	7,865	6,829	1,038	3,382		4,345	2	758		. 1				2, 191		228	1,904
	1998/99	6,475	5,640	835	2,784	73	3.618	2,041	688	88	265	247	580	173	1,577	577	148	1,149
PMK	1997/98	5,377	4,823	554	2,313	46	3,018	1,893	627	80	220	216	588	161	1,125	605	102	622
nt of 793)	1996/97	4,507	4,148	360	1,938	14	2,555	1,729	578	73	185	191	553	149	827	614	17	290
Statemen:es 1992/	1995/96	3,864	3,640	225	1,662	0	2,203	1,539	532	52	158	173	487	138	663	587	57	133
0	1994/95 1	3,154	3, 154	0	1,355	0	1,798	1,338	503	37	129	149	393	127	460	495	37	2
Income nt Pri Lakh	1993/94 19	2,518	2,518	0	1,083	0	1,435	989	442	58	103	125	176	117	446	294	18	171
Projected In (At Constan Unit: Rs. La	1992/93	2,145	2,145	0	923	0	1,221	765	392	18	88	112	46	109	457	81	.	380
Table M-4-1 P		Sales	-Inland Sales	-Export	Materials	Sub Contract	Value Added	Expenses	Personnel	Power	CMarketing Commission	Charges Paid	Depreciation	Other Expenses	Operating Profit	Interest -Payment	Interest -Receipt	Before-Tax Profit/Loss

Table II-4-2 Projected Income Statement of PMK (at Current Prices)

	Unit: Rs. Lakh	ıkh				J.		
	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/98	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/2000
Sales	2,145	2,727	3,641	4,751	5, 902	7,478	3,562	12,336
-Domestic Sales	2,145	2,727	3,641	4,475	5,431	6,708	8,330	10,711
-Export	0	Ò	0	276	471	770	1,232	1,625
Materials	923	1,173	1,565	2,043	2,537	3,217	4,112	5,304
Sub Contract	0	.0	0	O	18	64	107	217
Value Added	1,221	1,554	2,076	6	3,346	4,197	5,343	6,815
Expenses	765		1,489	,1	2,114	2,434	2,783	3,134
Personnel	392	7	581			٠.	1,016	
Power	. 18	28	43	64	95		130	
Marketing Commission	88	112	149			307	392	
Charges Paid	112	135	172	212	250	300	365	431
Depreciation	46	176	398	200	575	620	625	564
Other Expenses	109	127	147	169	195	223	255	
Operating Profit	457	498	587	914	1,232	1,763	2,560	3,680
Interest -Payment	150	528	739	864	947	958	915	839
Interest -Receipt	2	ထ	တ	17	31	28	126	
Before-Tax Profit/Loss	310	-25	-144	68	317	863	1,770	3,102

able II-4-3 Projected Funds Flow of PMK (at Current Prices)

Unit: Rs. Lahk

OHIC NO. I	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1996/97	1997/98	1998/39	1999/2000
Cash Balance at the Beginning	0	75	75	Ę	75	75	75	75
Revenue	2.145	1 .	3.641		5,902	1.40	9 582	12 336
Expenses	1.588	2,229	3,054	3 837	4.670	- r.	7,002	8,000
Operating Profit	457	498	587	914	1.232	1, 763	2,560	3,680
Corporate Tax Payment	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Working Capital at the Beginning	401	481	808	802	1,040	1,288	•	2,082
Working Captial at the End	481	909	805	1,040	1,288	1,630		2,688
Net Change in Working Capital	80	125	196	238	248	342		607
Capital Investment	1,462	2,691	1,282	984	533	911		192
Depreciation Expense	8	176	398	200	575	820		564
Net Fixed Investment	1,416	2,515	884	484	-42	291	:	-373
Cash Surplus (Deficit)	-1,039	-2,067	L17-	267	1,101	1,205		3,522
Foreign Currency Borrowing Borrowing	571	1,444	419	409	0	198		C
Repayment				63	224	270		316
25.00% Interest Payment	65	288	375	408	437	412		313
Balance at the End	571	2,015	2, 434	2, 780	2,556	2,483		1,851
Net Receipt	506	1,156	44	- 9 3	199-	-485		-629
Domestic Currency Borrowing Borrowing	891	1,247	862	575	533	713		192
(Long-term) Repayment				66 6	238	333		456
19.50% Interest Payment	72	240	364	456	510	545		526
Balance at the End	891	2,138	3,001	3,476	3,772	4, 151	3,952	3,687
(Short-term) Borrowing								
Repayment	148	0	0	0	ဌ	0	0	0
21.25% Interest Payment	13	O	0	0	0	0	0	0
Balance at the End	Ω.	٥	0	0	0	O	0	0
Net Rec	658	1,007	498	8 8	-215	- 166	-757	-791
ng Cashilow	1,164	2, 163	542	-43	-875	-651	-1,431	-1,420
	52	29	ည်	167	182	537	1,208	2,288
(Interest	0	32		0	0	0		
10.00% Interest Receipt	7	ထ	တ	21	31	58	126	261
Balance at t	52	79	137	304	486	1,023	2,231	4,519
Deposit Cashflow	-20	-23	-20	-150	-151	-479	-1,082	-2,027
Cashflow from Financing	1,114	2, 142	492	-192	-1,026	-1,130	-2,513	-3,447
Cash Balance at the End	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75

PMK a ~ J 0 ഗ മ O a .--ં ધ <u>ح</u> ۵., ದ ⊏ മ്മാ മ ن بن o ه ن ρ, 4 ļ 4 i Tab

Unit: Rs. Lakh						(Unit: 1	Rs Lakhs.	
I tems	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1936/97	1997/98	ರಾ	1999/2000
Material	231	293	391	511	639	820	1,055	1,380
Material in Transit	23	28	37	48	9	76	99	130
Work in Progress	119	151	202	264	328	415	531	685
Stock in Trade	179	227	303	396	492	623	797	1,028
Debtors	179	227	303	396	492	623	797	1,028
Advances Paid	89	88 88	117	153	192	246	316	414
Other Current Assets	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Inter Unit Account	50	50	50	50	50	50	20	20
Total Current Assets	888	1,085	1,425	1,838	2,272	2,874	3,665	4,736
, Sundry Creditors	231	293	391	511	628	820	1,055	1,380
	107	136	182	238	295	374	478	617
O Other Current Liabilities	20	50	50	20	50	50	50	50
∞ Total Current Liabilities	388	480	623	798	984	1,244	1,583	2,047
Working Capital	481	909	802	1,040	1,288	1,630	2,082	2,888
Fixed Asset (Net)	1,786	4,301	5,184	5,669	5,627	5,917	5,513	5,140
Deposit	127	154	212	379	561	1,098	2,306	4,594
Total Capital Employed	2,394	5,060	6,198	7,088	7,476	8,646	9,901	12,422
Sources								
H.O. Account (Equity)	501	501	501	501	501	501	501	501
H.O. Account (Loan)	0	0	0	O	G	C	Φ.	0
Profit	432	407	263	331	647	1,510	3,281	6,383
Cash Credit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Term Loan (Domestic)	891	2,138	3,001	3,478	3,772	4,151	3,952	က်
Term Loan (Foreign)	571	2,015	2,434	2,780	2,556	2,483	2,167	1,851
Total	2,394	5,060	6,198	7,088	7,47	8,646	9,901	12,422

Table III-4-5 Summary of Projected Financial Data of PMK (at Current Price Basis)

Unit: Rs. Lakh

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Sales Revenue	2,145	2,727	3,641	4,751	5,902	7,478	9,562	12,336
Profit Before Interest and Tax	457	498	587	914	1,232	1,763	2,560	3,680
Profit Before Tax	310	-25	-144	68	317	863	1,770	3,102
Total Assets	2,782	5,540	6,822	7,866	8,460	9,890	11,484	14,469

Table III-4-6 Key Financial Ratios of PMK (At Current Price Basis)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Operating Profit/ Sales	21.3%	18.3%	16.1%	19.2%	20.9%	23.6%	26.8%	29.8%
Operating Profit/ Total Assets Ratio	16.4%	9.0%	8.6%	11.6%	14.6%	17.8%	22.3%	25.4%
Current Ratio	2.57	2.58	2.63	2.78	2.88	3.19	3.77	4.56
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Long-term Loan)	3.60	1.29	1.34	1.39	1.31	1.56	2.03	2.80
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Total Borrowing)	1.70	1.29	1.34	1.39	1.31	1.56	2.03	2.80

2. Financial Internal Rates of Return

The incremental pre-tax financial internal rates of return (FIRR), which result from accounting for the incremental investment, sales and operating costs on a constant price basis, have been calculated for the investment plan. Cost and benefit streams are presented in Table 111-4-7.

A sensitivity analysis has been undertaken to evaluate the effects of changes in basic assumptions. Results of the sensitivity analysis are shown in Table III-4-8.

Table III-4-8 Sensitivity Test on FIRR

	At 1992	2/93 Constant Prices
		I R R
1. Base		18.7%
Up	s Prices 5% wn 5%	21.0% 16.3%
3. Prod Up	uction Costs 5% wn 5%	17.2% 20.2%
4. Capi Up	tal Costs 10% wn 10%	17.2% 20.4%

The FIRR of the project in real terms is 18.69%. The interest rates in nominal terms in India are currently around 20%. This FIRR at constant prices can be considered is at around the cut-off rate in real terms, or above it when the current high inflation rate is considered. The FIRR at current prices is 25.7% for the base case.

Table m-4-7 Cash Flow Table of PMK (At Constant Prices)

Unit: Rs. Lakh

FIRE	1992/93	1983/84	1994/95	1995/98	1996/97	1997/38	1998/39	1999/2000	2000/01	20/1002	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/08 2	70/800
Project Capitel Cost	1,482	2,848	1,278	388	548	387	388	423	0	0	o	ъ	0	6	o
Plent Capital Cost	1.482	2,569	1,143	836	407	878	150	122	0	0	င	0	0	0	6
Forking Capital Increase		8	136	152	139	130	238	302	0	0	9	0	0	6	හ
Production Cost (Increase from Proje	0	254	828	1,073	1,488	27.072	2,877	3,518	3,518	3,518	3,518	3,518	3,513	3,513	3,518
Without Project	1.841	1,841	1.841	1.841	1,641	1.84	1,641	1.841	1.841	1,641	1,841	1,841	1,8,1	1.641	1,841
Tith Project	1.641	1,886	2,301	2,714	3,127	3,864	4,318	5, 159	5, 160	5,180	5, 180	5, 160	5, 180	5,180	5, 180
Sales Bevenue (Increase from Project	a	373	1,009	1,720	2.383	3.233	4,330	5,721	5,721	5, 721	5,721	5,721	5,721	5,721	5,721
Without Project	2, 145	2,145	2.145	2,145	2,145	2,165	2,145	2,145	2,145	2.145	2,145	2,145	2,145	2, 145	2, 145
With Project	2,145	2,518	3, 154	3,884	4,507	5,377	8,475	7,865	7,885	7,885	7,885	7,885	7,885	7,885	7,885
Wet Benefit	-1,482	-2,529	-929	-341	331	343	1,288	1,778	2,202	2,203	2,203	2,203	2,203	2,203	2,203

18.69% Import Duty

2007/03 2008/09 2009/10 2010/11 2011/12 0 0 0 0 -1.557

Salvage Value
Building
Machinery & Equ
Working Capital
Total

EIRE	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1935/98	1998/97	1997/98	1958/99	1999/2000 2	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/64	2007/02	200K/08	2008/07
Project Capital Cost	1.075	1.803	980	888	405	594	98	1	9-	0					
Plant Capital Cost	1.075	1,739	881	547	293	4.62	Ξ	102	-40						
Forking Capital Increase	0	8	109	122	111	152	181	244	C	O	0	60	0	0	0
Production Cost (Increase from Project)	0	134	489	789	1,105	1,500	1,978	2,592	2,593	2.593	2.593	2.593	2.593	2, 593	2,583
Without Project	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285	1,285	1.285	1,285	1.285	1,285
With Project	1,285	1,480	1,784	2,084	2,391	2,785	3,283	3,878	3,978	3,878	3,878	3,878	3,878	3,878	3,878
Sales Revenue (Increase from Project)	0	373	1,009	1,720	2,383	3,233	4,330	5,721	5,721	5,721	5,721	5, 721	5,721	5,721	5,721
Without Project	2,145	2,145	2, 145	2,145	2,145	2, 145	2,145	2,145	2,145	2.145	2,145	2,145	2,165	2,145	2,145
With Project	2,145	2,518	3, 154	3,884	4, 507	5.377	8,475	7,885	7,865	7,385	7,865	7,885	7,885	7,885	7,865
Wet Benefit	-1.075	-1.824	-479	253	853	1,139	2,051	2,782	3, 188	3,128	3, 128	3,128	3,128	3, 128	3, 128

33 85% EIRE

/12	308	308	2,593	285	878	5,721	145	7,885	138
2011	7	ij	2.	 i	65	Ş	~	۳.	7
2010/11	0	0	2, 593	1, 285	3.878	5, 721	2,145	7.865	3 128
2009/10	0	0	2, 593	1, 285		5,721	:	7,865	861 8
2008/03	0 0 0 0	ø	2,593	1.285	3.878	5,721	2,145	7.865	2 128
2007/08	0		2,593	1.285	3,878	5, 723	2, 145	7,885	\$ 158

228 90 1,308 Salvage Value
Building
Machinery & Equipment
Morking Capita)
Total

B. Economic Analysis and Economic Internal Rate of Return

Incremental economic cost and benefit streams for the project is shown in Table III-4-7.

The economic internal rate of return (EIRR) for the investment plan is 33.65%. This is significantly higher than the FIRR. This is largely because high import tariffs are levied on materials as well as on capital goods.

The sensitivity of the base-case EIRR to changes in base-case assumptions has been tested. The results of the sensitivity analysis are shown in Tale III-4-9. The EIRR ranges from 29% to 38%.

Table III-4-9 Sensitivity Test on EIRR

	I R R
1. Base Case	30.6%
2. Sales Prices	
Up 5%	35.0%
Down 5%	26.2%
3. Production Costs	
Up 5%	29.5%
Down 5%	31.7%
4. Capital Costs	
Up 10%	28.6%
Down 10%	32.9%

At 1992/93 Constant Prices

C. Assessment of Technological Viability

PMK's two major thrust areas concerning technologies are (1) the acquisition of product design technologies which enable the commercialization of multi-color machines; and (2) the modernization of production technology.

According to the market forecast, the following are recommended relative to product development.

- Enlargement of 31-inch machines for the domestic market
- Development of the perfecter
- Development of 41-inch machines for the overseas market
- Development of multi-color machines for each size group

For PMK, it is an urgent task to upgrade the product design technology, especially in multi-color machines. This is considered essential for PMK's survival in the printing machinery business. In the Action Program the necessity of technical collaboration has been stressed and the necessary technologies have been identified.

For these technologies, PMK's existing capability of absorbing technologies through collaboration can be said to be sufficient when their experience in technical collaboration and the technological level of existing products are considered. However, there exist limitations due to the amount of personnel in R&D activities. The reinforcement of R&D personnel is proposed in the Action Program to solve this problem.

As for the modernization of production facilities, the plan has been formulated modeled on the modernization used by top makers in the world.

The facility improvement plan for PMK consists of four steps. The plant expansion and installation of production facilities would be carried out step by step. A phasing approach to modernization would enable PMK to respond flexibly to changes in the market. It would also allow engineers and operators of PMK sufficient time to adapt themselves to the new production technology.

It is planned to attain the level of production technologies at which top makers in the world currently stand.

The 1st step is dedicated to the installation of NC machines, the 2nd step for the establishment of FMC operation by attaching automatic control mechanisms to CNC machines. Under this conception, the building-up of FMS production would be possible by connecting FMCs by transfer line after the 3rd step.

D. Impact of the Project on Other Aspects

1. Impact on Foreign Exchange

Net foreign exchange savings have been calculated on a constant price basis and the results are shown in Table III-4-10. The four-color printing machinery to be newly developed through the project is considered to contribute to import savings because they are presently not manufactured in India.

The net foreign exchange inflow would be US\$ 117 million on constant prices over the life of the project. When import savings are not considered it would be minus US\$ 0.1 million. The net present value of foreign exchange flows discounted by 20% would be US\$ 10.6 million.

The efforts to reduce the use of imported material would ease the foreign exchange balance to a large extent.

2. Impact on Employment

The flow of the number of workers is as shown in Table 11-4-8.

The number of workers to be recruited is more than the number of retiring workers. In total, the number of workers employed will increase from 179 in 1992/93 to 280 in 1999/2000.

The domestic procurement will be considerably increased due to the production expansion and the increasing use of domestic materials instead of imported materials. In addition, the use of sub-contractors will be positively promoted as a part of the productivity improvement. These would create more job opportunities at the related industries.

3. Impact on Production

With the project, the production of PMK will increase 5.8 times from 1992/93 to 1999/2000 in nominal terms.

9	hit: Will	ions of (Unit: Millions of US\$ at constant 1892/93 price	stant 199	12/93 pric	ı	. [!											
	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95 1985/98 1998/97	1985/88		1997/98	1998/99 1	1999/2000 2000/01		2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/08 2	2008/07 2	9007700g	9008/09	9004/10	2010/11 9	2111119
Foreign Exchange Inflows											1	l .			1			1	.1	2
-Increase in Exports	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	1.33	2.14	3.23	4.00	00.7	4.00	9	U 7	E 7	5	7 00	8	00 7	6	8	٤
"Import Savings	0.00	0.42	1.52	2.34	2.77	3.47	4.34	7.97	7.97	7.97	7.87	7.37	7.97	. 6	7.97	36.	; ;	9 6	9 6	
Sub-Total	0.00	0.42	1.52	3.21	4.18	5.81	7.57	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.87	11.97	11.97	11.97
Project Financing Foreign Currency Loan	2.21	5.48	1.53	1.42	0.00	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total inflows	2.21	5.89	3.05	78.7	4.18	6.25	7.57	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97	11.97
Foreign Exchange Outflow Production Costs																				
-Imported Materials	0.58	0.70	0.88	1.10	1.30	1.58	1.92	2.38	2.38	2.38	2.38	2.38	2,38	2,38	2.38	233	2,38	2.38	238	28
Capital Investment Bebt Service	2.21	5.48	1.53	1.42	0.00	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	8 8
-Loan Repayment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.85	1.02	1.18	1.18	1.25	1.25	1 25	1,25	101	07.40	23	0.07	0.07	0.00	2	9
i-Interest	0.18	0.71	1.21	1.40	1.42	1.33	1.22	1.05	0.88	0.70	0 52	0.34	- C	80	0.04	0 0	0	00	8 8	8 6
Gub-Total	0.18	0.71	1.21	1.64	2.28	2.36	2.40	2.24	2.13	1.85	1.77	1.80	1.19	0,48	0.27	0.03	0.08	0.00	0,00	0.00
Cretal Dutflows	2.95	88. 88	3.81	4.17	3.58	4.55	4.32	4.80	4.48	4.31	4.14	3.38	3.55	2.84	2.83	2.45	2.44	2.38	2.38	2,38
Net Foreign Exchange Flow	-6.74	-0.89	-0.57	0.47	0.59	1.70	3.25	7.38	7.48	7.88	8	8.01	8.42	9.13	9.34	9. 52	65 65	8 6	8	8
Net foreign exhange flow during the projectill 8554	luring the	project.	118.8554	ľ	-1.57998 h	-1.57998 NPV20% 10	10.62330													

Foreign Exchange Flow of PMK (At Current Prices) Unit: Millions of US\$ at current 1992/83 price Table m-4-11

	1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1995/98	1893/94	1994/95	1895/98	1998/97	1997/98 1	398/99 19	1998/99 1999/2000 2000/01	١.	2001/02 20	2002/03 20	2003/04 20	2004/05 20	2005/08 200	2008/07 20	2007/08 201	2008/03 20	2009/10 2	2010/11 20	2011/12
Foreign Exchange Inflows																	į			
Production Benefits	-						-													
-increase in Exports -import Sevines	0.0	0.00	0.00	1.07	1.82 8.83	2.58 .83	8.78	6.28 12.50	8.87 13.27	7.08	7.52	7.99	8.48 13.27	9.01 13.27	9.57 13.27	10.18	10, 79 13, 27	11.48	12.17	12.83
Sub-Total	0.00	0.45	1.75	. 38	5,45	7.81	11.18	18,78	19.94	20.35	20.79	21.28					24.08	24.73	25.44	28.20
Project Financing -Foreign Currency Loan	2.21		1.82	1.58	0.00	0.76	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.0
Total Inflors	2.21	8.03	6.37	5.53	5.45	8.57	11.18	18.78	19.94	20.35	20. 79	21.28	21.75	22.28			24.08	24.73	25.44	28.20
Foreign Exchange Outflow								-	٠											
Production Costs								•						. :						
-Imported Materials Capital Anestaent	0.58	55. 53 58.	1.07	1.50 1.58	1.97	2.59	0.00	0.00		5.38 0.00	0.00	0.00	3.12 0.00	3.01 0.00	9.38 0.00	0.00	0.00	13.51	15.03	18.73 0.00
-Loss Bergysent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.87	1.05	1.22	1.22	1.31	1.31	1.31	1.31	1.08	0.44	0.28	9.08	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00
-Interest	0.25	1.11	1,45	1.58	1.69	1.53	1.38	1.21	1.01	0.81	0.61	0.40	0.22	0, 10	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	8 8
Sub-1otel	C. 23	1.11	1.65	1.82	ç. 32	7.04	7, 60	2.43	2.32	2.12	1.31	7.	1.28	, 54 0.0	U.31	0	3	g. 6	n - n	3.5
Total Outflows	3.04	7.48	4. 14	4.91	4.53	8.00	8.15	7.28	7.39	8.07	8.52	3.03	3.40	3.55	10.29	11.18	12.37	13.81	15.09	18.73
Mat Foreign Exchange Flow	-0.83	-1.43	-0.77	0.82	0.93	2.57	5.03	11.50	12.25	12.28	12.27	12.22	12.35	12.73	12.55	12.28	11.70	11.12	10.35	3.47
Net foreign exhange flow during the project period(92	e project p	eriod(92	159.18													•				

The present productivity level is quite low compared to that of advanced countries. The productivity per worker (added value per worker) will increase from Rs. 6.8 lakh in 1992/93 to Rs. 15.5 lakh in constant prices or Rs. 24.3 lakh in current prices in 1999/2000.

To achieve international competitiveness, the improvement in productivity is essential. The productivity improvement to be achieved is the minimum level to gain competitiveness in the domestic and overseas markets.

In addition, the project will have some side effects. The capital investments include the procurement of machines within the company. This portion will generate additional demand for other units.

The expansion of production will increase the procurement of domestic parts and components. The demand for domestic suppliers will increase from Rs. 672.2 lakh in 1992/93 to Rs. 3,708.9 lakh in nominal terms in 1999/2000 for direct materials. The sub-contracting work of Rs. 217.4 lakh would be ordered from sub-contractors.

4. Impact on the Environment

There exist no specific environmental problems regarding the production of printing machinery. The factory is to be expanded within HMT's industrial site. This project would not change the nature of production from the environmental point of view.

E. Conclusions and Recommendations

The following problems are pointed out concerning the implementation of the project.

- (1) Considering the risks concerning R&D and the market competition, the FIRR of 18.7% is not sufficiently high to make the investment attractive under the situation of high interest rates while the EIRR is favorably high, 33.7% for the base case.
- (2) Technical collaboration is of importance in this project. To catch up with the technologies concerning four-color printing machinery, technical collaboration is essential considering the existing expertise in R&D at PMK.
- (3) The investments in facilities should be accompanied by the improvement in the production control and management for the achievement of the targets in the Action Program. The work culture at PMK should also be changed to meet a highly productive system. There is plenty of room for improvement in the management system and work culture.

At the same time the following are pointed out as the positive aspects of the project.

(1) From the strategic point of view, it is considered that this investment is of significant importance.

The market shows a fair opportunity for growth. The market is shifting to multi-color machinery. HMT should take this opportunity of growing by commercializing four-color printing machinery. Without four-color printing machinery, PMK would be far behind other competitors in the future market.

- (2) Without this project, PMK will not be able to expand production to meet the market demand because it is in full operation.
- (3) Under the liberalizing trend of the economy in India, it is considered of high importance to foster the competi-

tiveness of key industries. As the EIRR shows, high economic benefits are expected from this project. It is considered that the current high import tariff distorts the financial viability of the project.

In conclusion, the following are pointed out.

The necessity of this project is considered to be high. It would provide HMT with the foundation for growth.

It is recommended to examine the measures necessary to increase the project viability and implement this project. The following measures are considered possible to improve the viability.

(1) To reduce the capital cost for the project.

Equity participation by the Government will reduce the average capital cost and reduce the financial burden to HMT.

(2) To support PMK's financial position for financing the project.

At the early stage of the project, PMK's long-term debts would be around 80% of total assets. The debt coverage ratio would also be worsened. This situation would continue up to the end of the 1990s. The weak financial stability should be supported by the company.

(3) The introduction of programs to support the implementation of the project

For the creation of a work culture which would accelerate the progress of the Action Program, it is recommended to introduce the Productivity Improvement Program into the workplace.

III-5. Foundries

III-5-1. Bangalore Foundry

A. Financial Analysis

1. Financial Projections

Tables III-5-1-1 to 4 show projected income statement, cash budget, and balance sheet for the Bangalore found-ry, respectively, when the investment plan is implemented.

A summary of projected financial data is shown in Table III-5-1-5. Key financial ratios are as shown in Table III-5-1-6.

The sales revenue would increase approximately 7 times in nominal terms from 1992/93 to 1999/2000 as a result of the investment plan. The Bangalore foundry would produce losses on a before-tax basis for only two years after the start of the operation of the new foundry.

The ratio of operating profits to sales would be significantly improved with the investment. The ratio would sharply increase from minus at present to 20% in 1995/96 and reach 33% in 1999/2000. Operating profits as a percentage of total assets would also rise sharply after the start of operation and reach to 36% in 1999/2000 partly because sales and costs (excluding depreciation) would be influenced by inflation while the book value of fixed assets is not.

Debt service coverage ratios would be bad in early operations. Debt service coverage ratio for long-term loans would turn to be over 1.0 at the end of the 1990s.

The current ratio is at a high level. The reason may be that the incremental working capital is assumed to be financed by long-term loans for three years after the start of production and that the increase in accounts payable due to the expansion of outside sales is not considered in the assumptions.

Statement Income Projected Table M-5-1-1

	of Bangal	ore Fr	puno,	r y		٠		
	Unit: Rs. Lakh	(A t (onst	ant	Price	S	992/93	<u> </u>
	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/2000
Sales	846	846	846	2,308	2,664	3,020	3,376	4,088
Inhouse Sales	742	742	742	1,240	1,240	1,240	1,240	1,240
Outside Sales	104	104	104	1,068	1,424	1,780	2,136	2,848
Machining	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Direct Materials	256	256	256	587	671	755	839	888
Indirect Materials	172	172	172	295	333	370	407	479
Offload Labour Cost	7	C	7	28	88	37	41	20
Value Added	412	412	412	1,398	1,628	1,858	2,089	2,560
Kypenses	438	422	472	958	1,029	1,100	1,166	1,285
Personnel	229	213	195	177	182	188	193	199
Electricity & Water	86	တ္တ	88	194	222	249	277	332
Fuel	12	12	12	 -	 4	p1	 4	,,,,,
Repair & Maintenance	30	30	89	Ξ	16	22	25	27
Machine Shop Rejection	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Production Cost	8	တ	က	7	∞ .	_. හ	10	12
Depreciation	0	_	92	273	273	273	271	268
Administration Expenses	69	63	67	126	139	152	165	189
Selling Expenses	0	0	0		188	206	223	256
Operating Profit	97-	-10	09-	440	599	759	922	1,274
Interest -Payment	re	67	295	464	459	431	382	330
Interest -Receipt	0	0	0	9	27	45	64	110
Before-Tax Profit/Loss	-30	LL-	-355	-18	166	373	604	1,055

jected Income Statement the Bangalore Foundry Current Prices) Ofo pt t m-5-1-2 Table

	Unit: Rs. La	Lakh						
	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1895/96	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1998/99 1999/2000
Sales	846	916	977	2,838	3,488	4,200	4,986	6,412
Direct Materials	256	277	295	721	878	1,050	1,239	1,56
Indirect Materials	172	186	198	363	435	515	602	751
Offload Labour Cost	L	2	∞	35	43	51	19	1
Value Added	412	446	476	1,719	2,131	2,584	3,084	4,01
Expenses	438		540	1,139	1,282	1,438	1,604	1,87
Personnel	229		226	218	239	261	286	
Electricity & Water	66	108	115	238	290	347	409	
Tue.	12	13	13				i,emed	
Repair & Maintenance	30	33	35	13	21	30	37	43
Machine Shop Rejection	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Production Cost	ణ	ග	***	တ	T.	13	15	20
Depreciation	0		70	302	302	302	301	83
Administration Expenses	65	89	17	152	178	206	236	
Selling Expenses	0	0	0	205	240	278	319	
Operating Profit	-26	-11	79-	580	848	1,146	1,480	2,
Interest -Payment	6	157	577	881	896	832	729	633
Interest -Receipt	0	0	90	12	15	16	25	٠
Profit/Loss Before Tax	-35	-167	-636	-288	-32	330	LLL	1,586

Statement Projected Funds Flow (at Current Prices) Table M-5-1-3

Unit: Rs. Lahk

75 75 75 1993/95 1 76 916 977 927 1, 041 1 166 181 197 14 16 1 1, 562 3, 445 1 1, 562 3, 445 1 1, 561 3, 375 1 1, 561 3, 375 1 1, 561 3, 375 1 1, 561 3, 375 1 1, 561 3, 375 1 1, 562 3, 448 1 1, 736 2, 842 1 1, 736 2, 842 1 1, 736 2, 842 1 1, 736 2, 842 1 1, 736 2, 842 1 1, 736 2, 842 1 1, 736 3, 590 1 1, 574 2, 295 1 1, 586 3, 590 1 1, 586 3, 590 1 1, 586 3, 590 1 1, 586 3, 590 1 1, 586 3, 590 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 455 1 1, 586 3, 456 1 1, 586 3, 456 1 1, 586 3, 456 1 1, 586 3, 456 1 1, 586 3, 456 1 1, 586 3, 456 1 1, 586		4						1	
Revenue	8	392/93	1993/94	1994/95	1995/36	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/2000
Expenses 846 916 977 1,041	nning	0	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
Expenses		846	916	977	2,838	3,488		4,986	6,412
Poperating Profit		872	927	1,041	2,258	2,639	3,054	3,505	4,267
Morking Capital at the Beginning		-26		-64	580	848	1,146	1,480	2,144
Working Capital at the Beginning		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
#orking Captial at the End Net Change in Morking Capital Capital Investment Capital Investment Capital Investment Capital Investment Capital Investment Cabital Investment Cash Surplus (Deficit) Cash Surplus	Beginning	179	166	181	197	366	461	545	862
Net Change in Working Capital	End	166	181	197	366	461	545	862	852
Capital Investment	pital	-12	14	9	170	94	84	118	189
Net Fixed Investment		<u></u>	1,562	3,445	0	0	0	0	0
Net Fixed Investment		0	v 4	70	302	302	302	301	297
Cash Surplus (Deficit) -20 -1,511 -3, Foreign Currency Borrowing Borrowing 0 13 1, Balance at the End 0 13 1, 0 11 1, Net Receipt 0 11 1, 0 11 1, Net Receipt 0 1 1 1, 1,736 2, Net Receipt 0 1 1 1, 1,736 2, Short-term) Repayment 1 139 81 1,736 2, 1,743 4, Balance at the End 7 1,743 4, 97 91 8 Repayment 0 0 97 97 91 81 16 81 1,574 2, 80 1,574 2, Balance at the End 97 91 16 81 1,586 3, 1,574 2, Balance at the End 97 91 1,586 3, 1,574 2, Deposit (Interest Rate) Drawing 0 0 0 81 1,586 3, 10.00% Interest Receipt 0 0 0 81 1,586 1,586 3, Balance at the End 0 0 0 81 1,586 3, 1,586 3, Balance at the End 0 0 0 81 1,586 3, 1,586 3,		7	1,561	3,375	-305	-302	-305	-301	-297
Poreign Currency Borrowing		-20	-1,511	-3,380	788	1,132	1,439	1,739	2,327
Repayment		0	13	1,416	0	0	O	0	0
25.00% Interest Payment 0 13 1, Balance at the End 0 13 1, Net Receipt 0 11 1, Domestic Currency Borrowing Borrowing Repayment 1 139 19.50% Interest Payment 1 139 Balance at the End 7 1,743 4, Repayment 0 97 91 Repayment 9 16 21.25% Interest Payment 9 16 Balance at the End 97 91 Net Receipt 95 1,574 2, Deposit (Interest Rate) Drawing 0 0 10.00% Interest Receipt 0 0 Deposit Cashflow Balance at the End 97 91 Cashflow From Financing 95 1,586 3,					0		159	159	159
Balance at the End 0 13 1,		0	1	122	224	234	221	183	160
Net Receipt	: 🕉	0	13	1,429	1,429	1,428	1,269	1,110	951
Currency Borrowing Borrowing A. (Long-term) Repayment 19.50% Interest Payment 19.50% Interest Payment 21.25% Interest Payment Balance at the End 37 31 Repayment 21.25% Interest Payment Balance at the End 35 1,574 36 1,574 Closhflow Deposit Cashflow Cashflow Cashflow Cashflow Balance at the End 36 1,586 3, Cashflow Balance at the End 37 91 38 1,574 39 1,586 3, Cashflow Cashflow Balance at the End 36 1,586 37 91 37 91 38 1,574 39 1,586 3, Cashflow Cashflow Cashflow Afrom Financing	Net Receipt	0		1,295	-224	-235	-379	-342	-319
(Long-term) Repayment 1 139 19.50% Interest Payment 1 139 143 4, Balance at the End 7 1,743 4, Chort-term) Borrowing 97 91 16 16 16 16 16 16 16		_	1,736	2,842	170	7 6	8	0	0
19.50% Interest Payment 1 139 139 Balance at the End 7 1,743 4,						194	503	528	539
Balance at the End 7 1,743 4, (Short-term) Borrowing 97 91 Repayment 0 97 91 Balance at the End 97 91 Net Receipt 95 1,574 2, Sashflow Deposit 0 0 (Interest Rate) Drawing 0 0 Balance at the End 0 0 Cashflow Balance at the End 0 0 Afrom Financing 95 1,586 3, From Financing 95 1,586 3,	50%		139	448	657	862	612	546	473
(Short-term) Borrowing 87 81 Repayment 0 87 21.25% Interest Payment 9 16 Balance at the End 97 91 Net Receipt 95 1,574 2, Receipt 95 1,586 3, Deposit 0 0 0 10.00% Interest Receipt 0 0 Cashflow Balance at the End 0 0 Cashflow 95 1,586 3, from Financing 95 1,586 3,	Balance at the End	_	i, 743	4,585	4,754	4,654	4,229	3,701	3,162
Repayment 0 87 21.25% Interest Payment 9 16 Balance at the End 97 91 Standard 97 91 91 Standard 97 91 91 Standard 97 91 91 Standard 97 91 91 Standard 97 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91	:	97	91						
21.25% Interest Payment 9 16 Balance at the End 97 91 Net Receipt 95 1,574 2, Beposit 0 0 0 Cashflow Balance at the End 0 0 Cashflow 6 1,586 3, from Financing 95 1,586 3,		0	97	91	0	0	0	0	C
Balance at the End 97 91 Net Receipt 85 1,574 2,	Interest Pay	တ	16	7	0	0	0	0	0
Net Receipt 95 1,574 2, is Cashflow Deposit 0 0 0 (Interest Rate) Drawing 0 0 0 Balance at the End 0 0 0 Cashflow 95 1,586 3, # from Financing 95 1,586 3,	Balance at the End	97	91	0	0	0	0	0	O
1,586 3, Deposit 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 - - - 0 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Net Receipt	တိ	1,574	2, 295	-488	-761	-1,037	-1,074	-1,011
Deposit (Interest Rate) Drawing 10.00% Interest Receipt Balance at the End 0 0 Cashflow from Financing		95	1,586	: .	-712	966-	-1,416	Ξ,	-1,331
(Interest Rate) Drawing 0 0 0 1 10.00% Interest Receipt 0 0 0 Cashflow 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Deposit			: :	12	76	0	274	986
10.00% Interest Receipt 0 0 Balance at the End 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td< td=""><td>:</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>36</td><td></td><td>0</td></td<>	:	0	0	0	0	0	36		0
Balance at the End 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9-6	O	0	ထ	12	រីភ	16	25	75
0 0 95 1,586 3		0	0	141	153	229	193	466	1,462
95 1,586 3		0	0	-135		-61	52	-248	-921
		က္သ	1,586	3,455	-713	-1,057	-1,364	-1,664	-2,252
1 75 75		75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75

۳ ۱ ۷ о о o E r p. o o ∽ <u>ь</u> ю O) ø ပေၿပ ជមា 4 O 20 *t* $\alpha \otimes \gamma$ പ എ ത с t с т п OP® Pro of At į ₩ ŀ Ŋ Ì Ħ Φ ----Ω ø [-

Items	1992/93	993/94	1994/95	1995/96	1896/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/2000
Material	66	107	116	220	274	325	398	510
Material in Transit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Work in Progress	33	36	39	73	92	109	132	169
Stock in Trade	838	36	88	79	98	118	141	182
Debtors	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Advances Paid	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Current Assets	30	35	70	57	61	64	70	96
Inter Unit Account	о	0	0	0	0	0		0
Total Current Assets	194	214	264	428	525	615	739	951
Sundry Creditors	0	0	ĭ	.	0	0	0	0
Advances Received	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
Other Current Liabilities	28	33	19	61	65	70	77	66
Total Current Liabilities	28	33	89	62	65	70	77	හි රි
Working Capital	166	181	197	388	461	545	662	852
Fixed Asset (Net)	7	1,568	4,942	4,640	4,338	4,035	3,734	Α,
Deposit	75	75	216	228	304	268	541	1,537
Total Capital Empl.	248	1,823	5,355	5,234	5,102	4,848	4,938	5,826
Sources								٠
H.O. Account (Equity)	134	134	134	134	134	134	134	134
H.O. Account (Loan)	0	0		0	Ö	0		0
Profit	10	-157	-793	-1,082	-1,114	-784	-	1,579
Cash Credit	97	တ	0	0	0	0		0
Term Loan (Domestic)	7	1,743	4,585	4,754	4,654	4,229	3,701	3,162
Term Loan (Foreign)	0	13	1,429		1,428	1,269	Ė	
Total	248	824	R. R.	5 937	5 109	XXX Y	× 033	л 2007

Table III-5-1-5 Summary of Projected Financial Data of Bangalore Foundry (at Current Price Basis)

Unit: Rs. Lakh

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Sales Revenue	846	916	977	2,838	3,488	4,200	4,986	6,412
Profit Before Interest and Tax	-26	-11	-64	580	849	1,146	1,480	2,144
Profit Before Tax	-35	-167	-636	-289	-32	330	777	1,586
Total Assets	276	1,856	5,423	 5,296	 5,167	4,918	•	5,925

Table III-5-1-6 Key Financial Ratios
of Bangalore Foundry
(At Current Price Basis)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Operating Profit/ Sales	-3.1%	-1.2%	-6.6%	20.4%	24.3%	27.3%	29.8%	33.4%
Operating Profit/ Total Assets Ratio		-0.6%	-1.2%	11.0%	16.4%	23.3%	29.5%	36.2%
Current Ratio	2.16	2.33	7.07	10.56	12.77	12.55	16.63	25.12
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Long-term Loan)	-58.53	-0.18	0.01	1.01	1.07	0.98	1.28	1.89
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Total Borrowing)	-2.80	-0.04	0.02	1.01	1.07	0.98	1.28	1.89

Table II-5-1-7 Cash Flow Table of Bangalore Foundry Unit: Rs. Lakh

FIRE	1992/93	1993/84	1994/95	1995/98	1958/97	1997/98	1958/98	1988/99 1989/2000 2000/01	10/0002	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	90/3006	107000
Project Capital Cost	r~	1,443	3,081	127	Š	64	57	8		C	3	2000	00/4000	00/2009	70/0007
Plant Capital Cost		1,443	3,081	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	· c	o c	- c	5 C
Forking Capital Increase				127	54	C\$	57	88	· c				· c	o c	,
freduction Cost (Incresse from Project)	0	0	0	755	951	1.148	1.341	1.705	1.70%	1.704	1.704	1 704	197	202	1 30
Without Project	872	855	25	941	843	861	843	*	841	8			2	200	70.4
With Project	872	822	841	582	1,793	1.989	2, 182	2.548	2,545	2.545	2,545	2 545	- u	100	150
case from Project)	0	0	0		1,818	2,174	2.530	3.242	3.242	3.242	3 2 2 2	3 2.5	2000	6,040	0.00
eat	848	848	848	848	848	346	848	848	848	986	6 0	60	2 6	2,000	25.0
With Project	848	848	848	``]	2,884	3,020	3,378	4, 088	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,088	¥. B88	2,082	280
Net Debell!		-1,443	-3 081		813	386	1, 132	1,442	1,538	1,538	1,538	1.538	1.538	1,53	1 538

		475	0	373	848						
FIRE 22.14%	Salvage Value	Building	Machinery	Working C	Total						
	2009/10	848	ပ	-848	1,703	841	2.544	3,242	846	4,088	2,387
	1007/08 2008/08	0	0	0	1,704	. T 760	2,545	3,242	848	4, 088	1,538
	2007/08	0	o	0	1,704		2,545	3,242	æ 6	4,088	1,638

EIBB	1892/33	1893/94	1894/95	1935/88	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99 1999/2000	999/2000	2000/01	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2002/08	2008/07
Project Capital Cost	7	1.051	1,874	102	£3	32	45	78	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Plant Capital Cost		1,051	1,874	0	0	0	۵	0	0	0	c	0	6	0	0
Forking Capital Increase				102	43		45	78		0	0	6	0	0	0
Production Cost (Increase from Project,	0	i :		515	653	791	928	1,182	1,182	1, 182	1,182	1,182	1,182	1, 182	1, 182
Without Project	880	:			627		627	827	827	827	823	627	827	827	827
With Project	999		į		1,280		1,555	1,809	1,809	1,809	1,803	1,809	1,809	1,808	1,803
evenue (increase fi	0	0	0		1,818		2,530	3,242	3,242	3,242	3,242	3,242	3,242	3,242	3,242
Fithout Project	•	:			848		848	848	946	848	34.5	848	846	348	848
With Project	846				2,864		3,378	4.088	4,088	4,088	4.088	4,088	4.088	4,088	4,088
Wet Benefit	0	١,	T		1, 121		1.557	1,984	2,080	2,080	2,080	2,060	2,080	2,080	2,080

	ge Value	1 ding	nery & Equipment	ng Capitel	
40. Z47	Salvage	Build	Machinery	Working	Total
<u>u</u>	٠				

									<		
27 /2002	-776	0	~77.4	1,181	827	1,808	3,242	848	4.088	2,834	
20/00/02	0	0	0	1, 182	627	1,809	3,242	846	4,088	2,080	
00//000	0	0	C	1,182	627	1,809	3,242	89.48 84.88	4,088	2,080	

2. Financial Internal Rates of Return

The incremental pre-tax financial internal rates of return (FIRR), which result from accounting for the incremental investment, sales and operating costs on a constant price basis, have been calculated for the investment plan of the Bangalore foundry. Cost and benefit streams are presented in Table 111-5-1-7.

A sensitivity analysis has been undertaken to evaluate the effects of changes in basic assumptions. Results of the sensitivity analysis are shown in Table III-5-1-8.

Table III-5-1-8 Sensitivity Test on FIRR

	At 1992/93	Constant Prices
		I R R
1.	Base Case Sales Prices Up 5%	22.1%
<i>.</i>	Up 5% Down 5%	24.2% 19.9%
3.	Production Costs Up 5%	
4	Down 5% Capital Costs	$\begin{array}{c} 21 & 0\% \\ 23 & 3\% \end{array}$
- •	Up 10% Down 10%	20.3%

The FIRR of the project is 22.14% in constant prices for the base case. Under current high interest rates in India, this ratio seems to be at a favorable, being much above the interest rates in real terms.

According to the plan, the Bangalore foundry would sell two thirds of its products to outside concerns. The possibility of the Bangalore foundry attaining that target of outside sales will have a considerable influence on the viability of the project. An additional sensitivity test has been done regarding changes in outside sales. The results are as follows.

the state of	FIRR	EIRR
Outside Sales Down 10% Down 20% Down 30% Down 40%	20.5% 18.7% 16.9% 14.9%	37.7% 35.1% 32.3% 29.5%

Although the break-even point of sales (around 34% in 1999/2000) would suggest a fair allowance for lower sales, it can be said a failure in the market would have a serious financial impact on the project.

B. Economic Analysis and Economic Internal Rate of Return

Incremental economic cost and benefit streams for this investment project are shown in Table 111-4-7.

The economic internal rate of return (EIRR) for the strategic investment project is 40.24% (at constant prices). This is significantly higher than the FIRR. This is largely because of high import tariffs on capital goods.

The sensitivity of the base-case EIRR to changes in base-case assumptions have been tested. The results of the sensitivity analysis are shown in Table III-5-1-9. The EIRR ranges from 38% to 44%.

Table III-5-1-9 Sensitivity Test on EIRR

	At 1992/93	Constant Prices
		I R R
1.	Bașe Case	40.2%
2.	Base Case Sales Prices Up 5% Down 5% Production Costs Up 5%	42.7% 37.8%
	11117811 11.6	39.3% 41.2%
4.	Capital Costs Up 10% Down 10%	37.5% 43.5%

C. Assessment of Technological Viability

The production facilities of the Bangalore foundry have become superannuated and require total replacement. The factory building is also too old to use.

For a new foundry, two alternatives, the renovation of the existing plant and the construction of a new plant, were examined, which resulted in the proposal of the construction

of new foundry plant at the site adjoining the present plant in the Action Program. This is due to the following.

- The building structure should be extended and reinforced for the installation of high-capacity cranes. The factory building also requires thorough repair. The construction cost of a new building would not be high compared with the cost required for the renovation of the existing plant.
- In the case of renovation, almost all the facilities would have to be removed for the installation of machinery and equipment. During the construction period, the operation would have to be stopped.
- The renovation of the complete process of the operation from sand preparation to fettling is required to establish an efficient production system. Therefore, limited or gradual investment is not recommended.

The existing plant is to be used for such processes as machining and fettling.

The machinery and equipment have been selected to secure the production capacity of 1000 tons per month, which will meet the sales plan in the Action Program.

The technologies to be introduced also aim at improvement in the quality level of castings. Castings of higher quality are demanded by machine tool units in HMT as the market of machine tools shifts to high-quality machines. The requirements for high quality casting will increase for outside customers, especially overseas customers.

As a result, it is proposed to introduce the Furan sand molding system into the Bangalore foundry. The facilities to improve the efficiency of production are to be installed to realize the targeted expansion of production.

The technologies to be introduced are considered adequate for the level of the Bangalore foundry. There would be no problem in acquiring the necessary expertise to utilize the new facilities while the training of engineers and workers would be necessary at the construction stage.

D. Impact of the Project on Other Aspects

1. Impact on Foreign Exchange

In the Action Program, the positive sales to overseas customers is envisaged considering the price competitiveness of Indian castings and their increasing demand for procurement from abroad.

Net foreign exchange savings have been calculated on a constant price basis assuming 10% of outside sales are directed to export. The results are shown in Table III-5-1-10. The net foreign exchange inflow at constant prices is US\$ 5.45 million over the life of the project. The net present value of foreign exchange inflow deducted by 20% is Rs. 1.43 million.

2. Impact on Employment

The flow of the number of workers is shown in Table II-5-1-8.

The number of workers to be recruited is far less than the number of retiring workers. In total, the number of workers employed will decrease from 381 in 1992/93 to 270 in 1999/2000.

It can be said that the project would increase employment compared with the case of the project not being implemented. In that case, new workers to be recruited would be kept at a minimum level to maintain the production and supply castings to MTB although a large number of workers would leave at retirement age.

3. Impact on Production

With the project, the production of the Bangalore found-ry will increase from the present 3,000 tons per year to 12,000 tons per year in 1999/2000.

The annual production per worker will increase from 8 tons in 1992/93 to 44 tons in 1999/2000. Value added

Table m-5-1-10 Foreign Exchange Flow of Bangalore Foundry

1	Unit: Mil	Unit: Millions of US\$ at constant 1992/93 price	US\$ at con	istent 199	2/93 pric			:	:									
	1992/93	1992/93 1993/94 1894/95 1995/98	1894/85		1898/97	1997/98	1998/89	1998/89 1989/2000 2000/01	Į.	20/1002	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2008/07	2007/08	2008/08	2009/10
Foreign Exchange Inflore Production Benefits											i						1	
-Increase in Exports	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.41	0.55	0.89	0.83	1.10	1,10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1,10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10
Seb-Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.55	0.83	0,83	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.16	1.10	1,10
Project Financing Foreign Currency Loan	0.00	0.05	4.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.93	0.00	0.0	00.0	0.00	0.00
Total inflows	0.00	0.05	4.83	0.41	0.55	0.83	0.83	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10
Foreign Exchange Outflow Production Costs -Imported Materials	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Debt Service -Losn Repayment -Interest Sub-Total	0 0 0 8 8 8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.55 0.88 1.21	0.55 0.58 1.13	0,55 0,51 1,05	0.55 0.43 0.97	0.35	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00	0.0 00.0 00.0	0.00
Total Cutflows	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.70	0.71	1.21	1.13	1.05	0.87	0.30	0.82	0.74	0.88	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Net Foreign Exchange Flow	0.00	0.04	4.51	-0.29	-0.18	-0.52	-0.30	0.02	0.13	0.21	0.28	0.38	0.44	0.52	1.10	1,10	1.10	1.10
Net foreign exhange flow during the project9.873438	during th	e project!	9.879438			NPY2U1 2	2.832539				•							

Table II-5-1-11 Foreign Exchange Flow of Bangalore Foundry (At Current Prices)

	1992/93	1992/93 1593/54	1994/95 1995/98	1985/98	1998/97	1997/98	1998/991	10/00/00 1000/2000 2000/8501		9071700	90/ 6006	- 1	ı	- 1				
Foreign Exchange inflows					2 /2021	20 (1001	1 00 /0001	7 0007 /000	1		- F	20/2002	2004/002	2002/00	2008/07	2007/08	2008/03	2008/10
Production Benefits		0		ŭ	ć	6		č č		;								
-laport Savings	3		5	9.9	0.76	i i	77.1	1.73	1.93	1.35	2.07	2.20	2.33	2.48	2.83	2.78	2.97	3, 15
Sub-Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.72	0.38	1,22	1.73	1.83	1.85	2.07	2.20	2.33	2,48	2.83	2.79	2.97	3.15
Project Financing -Foreign Currency Loan	0.00	0.05	5, 67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0	. 0		6	
Total inflows	0.00	0.05	5.47	0.51	0.72	0.38	1.22	1.73	1.83	1.95	2.07	2.20	2,33	2,48	8 8	200	6 6	. v.
Foreign Exchange Outflow						٠									}			;
Production Costs -Imported Materials	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0,00	0.00	. 00	90	Ş	5	. ·	. 6
Debt Service				-									:	3	3	3	3	5.00
-Loan Repayment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.61	0.81	0.61	0.81	0.81	0 61	0.61	0.81	0.81	0.88	0.0	Ü	6
Interest Sub-Total	6.9	0.01	0.47	0.87	0.9	0.85	0.71	0.62	0.52	0.43	0.33	0.24	0.14	0.05	6.9	888	888	888
Total Outflows	0.00	0.01	0.47	0.87	0.91	1.47	1.32	1.23	1.14	1.04	0.95	0.85	0.78	0.88	8 8	9, 9	0.00	9, 90 9, 90
Net Foreign Exchange Flow	0.00	0.04	5.00	-0.38	-0.19	-0.51	-0.10	67.0	0.70	08.0	1 12	78	- -	•	5	ć	į	,
Net foreign exhange flow during the project	during the	project												1.02	7.03	2 2	2.3	3.15

per worker will increase from Rs. 1.1 lakh in 1992/93 to Rs. 9.5 lakh in 1999/2000 at constant prices and Rs.14.9 lakh at current prices.

With this project the productivity of the Bangalore foundry would improve significantly and the Bangalore foundry could obtain a level of competitiveness high enough to enable outside sales.

4. Impact on the Environment

The introduction of the new system would significantly reduce the existing pollution level. The introduction of the sand reclamation/reconditioning system would reduce dust in the workshop. Air pollution control would be improved through the project.

E. Conclusions and Recommendations

The following problems are pointed out concerning the implementation of the project.

(1) The Bangalore foundry will largely depend on outside customers for sales.

In the action plan, outside sales are estimated to increase from 400 tons per year in 1992/93 to 7,200 tons in 1999/2000.

To achieve this target, improvement in quality and active sales promotion activities are required.

At this moment there exists an uncertainty regarding market prospects.

- (2) The debt burden would be big at the earlier years of the project.
- (3) It will be necessary to take more stringent countermeasures against pollution.

This project will improve the pollution situation but

more consideration should be made as production volume increases.

On the other hand, the following are pointed out as the positive aspects of the project.

- (1) Existing facilities are too old. Productivity will continue to decline and more and more repairs will be necessary every year just to maintain the current production level.
- (2) On the other hand, it is not advantageous that the machine tool production fully depends on outside suppliers for castings because it would lose bargaining power without in-house production capability.

Once HMT stops casting production, the prices of outsourced castings would naturally go up.

(3) There exists a fairly high potential of casting exports.

Although there are problems concerning export promotion such as strict requirements as to quality, transportation to the ports, and expensive Indian scrap steel, HMT has a high possibility of gaining international competitiveness and, thus, orders from abroad.

- (4) The project would improve working conditions at the workplace and increase workers' morale. The present working conditions, which are dangerous, dusty and dark, are not favorable for workers. This should be improved.
- (5) Technologies acquired through this investment will be diffused to other foundries in HMT, which will contribute largely to raising the technology level of all of the foundries.

In conclusion, the following are pointed out.

The necessity of this project is considered to be high considering the fact that all the foundries in HMT should be updated and become one of the business groups which constitute the future HMT and that this project is positioned as

the establishment of a model foundry.

It is recommended to examine the measures necessary to increase the project viability and implement this project. The following measures are considered possible to improve the viability.

(1) To reduce the capital cost for the project

Equity participation by the Government will reduce the average capital cost and reduce the financial burden to HMT.

- (2) To reinforce the marketing force and develop active market promotion activities
- (3) To take measures for technology improvement to satisfy outside customers
- (4) To conduct a further study on the influence on the environment and take proper measures for pollution control.

III-5-2. Pinjore Foundry

A. Financial Evaluation

1. Financial Projections

Based on the estimate of revenues and costs, which are discussed in Chapter 11-5, an income and cost forecast was calculated. This forecast covers two cases; one is a base case which is based on constant prices of 1992/93 and the other is an escalation case which is based on escalated prices. Tables 111-5-2-1 and 111-5-2-2 give the basic case and the escalation case, respectively. The flow of funds of the strategic investment based on the escalation case is projected in Table 111-5-2-3.

Summary of the financial projections is given in Table III-5-2-4. Key financial ratios are shown in Table III-5-2-5.

Table III-5-2-4 Summary of Financial Projections
(100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Sales Revenue	1,367	1,481	1,735	3,023	4,069	4,777	5,559	6,431
Profit before Int. & Tax	213	96	-88	208	543	764	1,003	1,293
Profit before Tax	213	-67	-681	-694	-435	-218	87	464

Table III-5-2-5 Key Financial Ratios

	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/00
Operating Profit /Sales	15.6%	6.5%	-5.1%	6.9%	13.4%	16.0%	18.0%	20.1%
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (L-T Loan)	n.a.	1.4	0.2	0.7	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.3
Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Total Borrowg)	n.a.	4.9	0.2	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6

As a result of the investment, the sales revenue would increase approximately 4.7 times between 1992/93 and 1999/2000 as given in Table 111-5-2-4. Although Pinjore foundry would operate at a deficit through 1997/98, it would run in to the black in 1998/99, and continue to increase its profit.

Based on the debt service coverage ratios shown in Table III-5-2-5, it can be asserted that the project will not generate revenue which is sufficient to cover payments of interest and current maturities of principal on outstanding debt.

Table III-5-2-1 Projected Income Statements (Basic Case) (100 thousand Rupees at constant prices)

HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED INCOME STATEMENTS
- #ITM PROJECT CASE (100 thousand rupec at constant prices)

		(actual) 1990/81	(prelim)	1892/93	1983/94	1994/95	1995/98	1998/97	1997/08	00/0001	0006/0001
O	OPERATING REVENUES Net Sales Revenues	910		1,367	1,367	1,504	2,458	3, 109	3,434	3,788	4,101
385	MATERIALS										
	Direct Material	542	570	818	818	888	1,250	1,581	1.747	915	2,088
5	Indirect Material	52	29	28	58	23	83		100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	9	22
3	Total Materials Cost	594	822	875	675	743	1,317	1,635	1,800	S. 63.	2,140
8 -	Value Added	318	498	269	882	780	1,140	1,473	1,834	1,730	1,362
.	OPERATING COSTS										
	Utilities	159	181	198	198	215	370	475	825	580	834
	Repairs		.	ന	с э	es	80	62	65	89	63
	Depreciation	. 21	32	88	147	374	371	381	379	377	378
	Other Exp.	12	14	15	<u>10</u>	18	23	23	38	40	77
	Personnel Exp.	172	202	225	237	240	231	219	204	188	173
	Selling Exp.	D1	10	=	=	=	2	15	17	90	21
	Total Operating Cost	877	442	479	603	859	1,015	1,121	1,188	1.208	1,250
22	NON-OPERATING COSTS Interest Charges	30	40	Ģ	8 2	380	189 189	288	571	529	473
p.	Profit before Tax	16-	18	213	ស្	-479	-455	-235	-102	53	233

Table III-5-2-2 Projected Income Statements (Escalation Case)
(100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

NMT-MTP FOUNDRY PROJECT
HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED INCOME STATEMENTS
- WITH PROJECT CASE (100 thousand rupee at current prices)

	(actual) 1990/91	(prelim) 1991/92	1992/93	1993/94	1394/95	1995/96	1998/97	1997/98	1398/99	1399/2000
OPERATING REVENUES Net Sales Revenues	810	1,120	1,387	1,481	1,735	3,023	4,089	4,777	5,558	5, 431
MATERIALS Birect Material	542	570	618	870	791	1,537	2,089	2, 430	2,828	3,272
Indirect Material Total Materials Cost	52 594	52 822	58 875	731	858	83 1,620	71 2,140	74, 2, 504	88 2,918	3,355
Value Added	318	88	892	750	878	1,403	1,928	2,273	2.842	3,078
OPERATING COSTS	e e	Ö	20	9.50	876	76 76 76	822	785	557	. 480 80
Utilities Periire	201 801	101) (1)	7	4	7	***	ro.	rt3	10
Northeria tion	23.	32	68	153	408	403	417	415	£13	117
Cathor Eve	21	: :	i iz	16	19	33	38	47	55 53	70
Described Fra	172	202	225	258	277	284	287	284	279	271
Selling Exp.	200	10	11	12	13	15	20	24	12	32
Total Operating Cost	377	242	478	853	988	1, 195	1,385	1,509	1,640	1, 783
NOM-OPERATING COSTS Interest Charges	30	D	다 다	163	592	208	973	982	918	828
Profit before Tax	-91	18	213	-67	-681	-894	-435	-218	87	484

Table III-5-2-3 Projected Funds Flow Statements (Escalation Case) (100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

HMT-MTP FOUNDRY PROJECT
HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED FUNDS FLOW STATEMENTS
(100 thousand rupee at ourrent prices)

	(prelim) 91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	92/38	16/86	81/38	88/83	29/2000
SOURCES									
Operations									
Retained Income	18	213	-87	-681	-834	-435	-218	87	484
add: Depreciation	32	82	153	408	403	417	415	413	411
Foreign LT Interest	Ð	Ω.	129	358	480	491	452	372	324
Domest. LT Interest	6	D	44	237	391	403	385	342	298
ST Interest	Q\$	9	-10	ဌ	31	85	145	201	202
Cash Generated by Operations	88	242	249	317	611	980	1,178	1,418	1,705
Long-Term Borrowing		•		•					
Foreign Currency Borrowing	0	0	1, 155	1,908		0	0	ය	D
Domestic leans	0	0	523	2,223	Đ	229	0	0	0
Total Long-Term Borrowing	o ;	0	1,714	4,129	0	228	ဗ		6
Short_Term Loans	110	-112	0	8	394	887	1,136	1,407	1,278
Other Sources	.0	0	0	0	0	O	0	6	ငာ
Total Sources	198	130	1,963	4,448	1,005	1.878	2,375	2,823	2,331
A P T L C A A L L L C A A A									
Fixed Capital Investments	0 4, 2 2, 2, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4,	0	1,903	3,834	0	523	6	0	Ö
Increase in Working Capital	17	02	တ	20	103	80	57	83	70
Foreign LT Debt Service			4						
Interest	o c	O 5	129	358	. 180 	1881	452	372	328
nepayments Total Foreign LT Debt Service	, 6	0 6	129	356	089	619	792	712	60
Domest, LT Debt Service		, ; ;	***	726	201	703	LI CO	949	806
Pedance Control of the Control of th		0		C	0	82	808	308	330
Total Domest LT Debt Service	0	0	44	237	391	587	894	651	632
April - Total Metal State Co.	 	:			,				
Interest	40	Or	-10	0	31	88	145	201	207
Repayments	*	110	-112	c	9	394	883	1,198	1,407
Total Short-Term Debt Service	181	110	-122	0	31	479	832	1,397	1,614
Total Applications	198	130	1,983	997	1,005	1,878	2,375	2,823	2,381
A STATE OF THE STA) 					

B. Financial Internal Rate of Return

1. Method and the Result of FIRR

In order to appraise the profitability of the project, both the financial internal rate of return method and the economic internal rate of return method are used. The net cash flow of the project case (with project case) is compared with that of the non-project case (without project case). For calculation purposes, the period of the project is assumed to be 15 years after the last capital investment is made in 1996/97, and at the end of the project, invested fixed assets are assumed to be resold at the book values. Working capital, also, is assumed to be completely paid back.

Net cash flows of the project and the resulting financial internal rate of return are shown in Table III-5-2-6. As shown in the table, the financial internal rate of return for the project is considered to be 9.44 percent.

Table III-5-2-6 Cash Flow Analysis (FIRR) (100 thousand Rupees)

HMT-NTP FOUNDRY PROJECT

CASHFLOW ANALYSIS - FIRR

(100 thousand rupee)

	80/81	28/16	88/28	30/31 31/32 32/33 33/34 34/32	34/95	82/38	98/97	31/38	98/99	99/2000	2000/1	2/1002	2002/3	7/2003	2004/5	2002/8	2008/7	8/1002	2008/9	38/39 99/20002000/1 2001/2 2002/3 2003/4 2004/5 2005/8 2008/7 2007/8 2088/9 2008/102010/112011/12	11/0102	2011/1
Project Capital Costs			!	i															!			
Plant Capital Costs		0	6	0 1,809 3,44	3,445	0	175	0	0	5	0	0	0	D	Ð	Ð	, 62	a	0	8	б	8
Change in Working Capital	Φ	0	0	0		28	25	28	23	27	0	C	0	0	0	0	6	.	6	0	Ð	-213
Sub Total	0	5	0	0 1,803 3,458	3,458	78	227	82	23	12	Q	O	۵	C	C	0	6	6	Đ	0	8	-218
Total Costs m/o laterest & Bepr.	lepr.	•					-				e ve								v			
Without Project	950	1,032	1,125	1,032 1,125 1,125 1,125	1,125	1, 125	1,125	1,125	1,125	1, 125	1,125	1,125	1,125	1, 125	1,125	1.125	1,125	1,125	1, 125	1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125 1,125	1,125	1,125
With Project	820	1,032	1,125	550 1,032 1,125 1,137 1,229	1,229	1,981	2,375	2,587	2,807	3.014	3,014	3,014	3,014		3.014	3,014 3,014 3,014 3,014	3,014	3,014	3,014	3,014	3,014	3,014
Increase in Total Costs	c	0	0	12 10	104	835	1,250	1,481	1,682	1,461 1,682 1,889 1,889	1.888	1.863	1,889	1,889 1,889	1,889	1,889 1,889 1,889	1,889	3883	1,389	1,883 1,853 1,583 1,883 1,883	1,883	7.883
Sales Revenue Increase											•							:	•			
Without Project	910	1,120	1,367	310 1,120 1,367 1,367 1,387		1,387	1.387	1,387	1,387 1,387 1,387		1,387	1,387	1,367	1,367 1,387	1,387	1,387	1,387	1,367	1,387	1,367 1,367 1,367	1,387 1,387	1,387
Fith Project	810	1, 120	1,367	1.387	810 1,120 1,367 1,367 1,504 2,458		3, 109	3, 434	3,788	4, 101	4, 101	4, 101	4, 101	4, 101	4, 101	4, 101	4 , 101	4, 101	4,101	3,434 3,768 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101	4, 101	101.
Increase in Sales Revenue	0	0	0	0	137	1,090	1,741	2,087	2,399	2, 734	2,734	2,734	2,734	2,734	2, 734	2,734		2,734 2,734		2,734 2,734	2,734	2,734
Net Benefit		0		0 -1,821 -3,423	-3,423	178	265	578	68	60 60	845	88.55	845	845	845	845	88	845	845	845	865	1.064
					-		-															

F188: 8.44%

2. Sensitivity Analysis

The financial internal rate of return of the project will depend upon such factors as quantity of sales, sales prices, input costs, investment amounts, and the like. Recognizing these causal relationships, financial internal rates of return of the project under alternative conditions are calculated. The result of the calculation is as follows:

Table III-5-2-7 Sensitivity Analysis of FIRRs

Factors	Conditions	FIRR
Base Case		9.44%
Sales Prices	increase by	5% 11.67%
Sales Prices	decrease by	5% 7.00%
Investments	increase by 10	0% 8.17%
Investments	decrease by 10	0% 10.91%
Input Costs	increase by	5% 7.75%
Input Costs	decrease by	5% 11.04%

C. Economic Internal Rate of Return

1. Method and the Result of EIRR

In order to appraise how much the project would contribute to the Indian economy on the whole, an economic feasibility analysis using the economic internal rate of return method is done.

Financial cash flow is converted to economic cash flow as per the assumptions discussed before.

Net cash flow of the project and the resulting economic internal rate of return are shown in Table III-5-2-8. The economic internal rate return for the project turned out to be 28.71 percent.

Table III-5-2-8 Cash Flow Analysis (EIRR) (100 thousand Rupees)

HAT-MTP FOUNDRY PROJECT CASHFLOW ANALYSIS - EIRR

(100 thousand rupec)

90/91 91/92 92/93 93/94 94/95 95/96 95/97 91/98 98/99 99/20002000/1 2001/2 2002/3 2003/4 2004/5 2005/8 2008/7 2001/8 2008/9 2009/102010/112011/12 -213 -219 137 1.030 1.741 2.087 2.339 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 2.734 1,467 1,761 1,909 2,062 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 2,208 891 1,039 1,139 1,337 1,337 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,337 1,337 1,337 1,337 1,337 1,337 1,337 310 1,120 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,387 1,504 2,458 3,108 3,434 3,788 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 4,101 678 1,002 1,180 1,371 1,397 1,397 1,397 1,397 1,397 1,397 1,397 1,397 1,397 1,397 1,387 1,397 1,818 883 883 883 883 889 883 883 863 869 883 883 869 23 863 53 23 883 28 28 172 120 25 863 92 78 883 598 418 948 0 1,035 2,014 0 1,035 2,025 863 8 0 -1,047 -1,986 910 1,120 1,387 1,387 2 883 381 883 883 0 796 798 0 728 728 Cotal Costs w/o Interest & Depr. Increase in Sales Revenue Change in Working Capital increase in Total Costs Sales Revenue Increase Project Capital Costs Pisat Capital Costs Without Project Without Project Sub Total With Project With Project Net Benefit

EIRR:

28.71%

2. Sensitivity Analysis

Economic internal rates of return of the project under alternative conditions are calculated. The result of the calculation is as follows:

Table III-5-2-9 Sensitive Analysis of EIRRs

Factors	Conditions	EIRR
Base Case		28.71%
Sales Prices	increase by 5%	31.06%
Sales Prices	decrease by 5%	26.25%
Investments	increase by 10%	26.54%
Investments	decrease by 10%	31.23%
Input Costs	increase by 5%	27.46%
Input Costs	decrease by 5%	29.93%

D. Technology Assessment

The production engineering technology in the field of found-ry has advanced tremendously in recent years in advanced countries, while at PRH, obsolete production facilities and less advanced engineering technology have been used since its inception in 1964. The quality of products as well as price competitiveness of Pinjore Foundry are relatively poor, and it may not survive without introduction of modern automated machinery as well as advanced technologies. Recognizing the present circumstance, the investment program of Pinjore Foundry has been formulated.

Some of the major machinery in the investment are one furan sand system and one impact molding system. In particular, is the most advanced molding the impact molding system system with very high productivity which is approximately 5.4 times as much as that of the present molding facility. In line with the investment, the renovation of the complete cycle of the operation from sand preparation to fettling is This investment also pays much attention to the proposed. o f introduction protection through environmental transfer system and the enclosed fettling enclosed sand mechanism with dust control system.

with the above investment, Pinjore Foundry could increase the output approximately 3.2 times from 3,720 tons/year in 1992/93 to 12,000 tons/year in 1999/2000. This would enable them to suffice about 39 percent of the in-house requirement for the production of tractors.

E. Impact Analysis

The project would have various effects on HMT, from financial as well as management viewpoints.

1. Impact on Foreign Exchange

Table III-5-2-10 shows the flow of foreign exchange until 1999/2000 at current prices, while table III-5-2-11 summarizes those impacts in foreign exchange through the project period. As shown in Table III-5-2-11, the amount of accumulated foreign exchange makes a total of negative 7,920 Lakh Rupees through the period. Net present value of the accumulated foreign exchange discounted at the real interest rate of foreign long term loan turns out to be negative 3,715 Lakh Rupees. From these figures, it is assumed that the project would not contribute improvement of the foreign exchange position of the company. However, supply of quality casting products would enable the final products to become internationally competitive, thus would earn the foreign exchange.

2. Impact on Employment

The investment project would reduce the number of workers from 459 in 1992/93 to 354 in 1999/2000 as a result of higher productivity to be achieved by the introduction of modern production facilities as well as modern technologies.

3. Impact on Production

Per worker output would be dramatically improved from 8.1 tons in 1992/93 to 33.9 tons in 1999/2000. This significant improvement of productivity may give price competitiveness to Pinjore Foundry over competitors.

4. Impact on Environment

This investment project considers environmental protection through introduction of the enclosed sand transfer system as well as the enclosed fettling mechanism with dust control system. -547-

Table III-5-2-10 Flow of Foreign Exchange (100 thousand Rupees at current prices)

HMT-MTP FOUNDRY PROJECT FOREIGN EXCHANGE FLOW ANALYSIS (100 thousand of rupee at current prices)

	92/93	93/94	94/95	92/36	36/97	84/78	98/99	99/2000
Foreign Exch. Rec.			-					
Export	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0
L-T Borrowing	0	1,155	1,906	0	0	0	0	0
Total F.E. Receipt	0	1,155	1,906	0	0	C	0	ය
Foreign Exch. Pay't						N.		
Capital Invest.	0	898	1,584	0	0	0	0	0
Parts & Equip.	2	0	0	0	0	٥		0
Foreign L-T Debt Svc.	0	129	356	480	619	792	712	999
Total F. E. Pay't	0	1,026		480	619	792		
Net Foreign Exch. Flow	0	-1,026	-1,940	-480	-619	-792	-712	-664

Table III-5-2-11 Flow of Foreign Exchange (100 thousand Rupees at constant prices)

MMT-MTP FOUNDRY PROJECT
FOREIGN EXCHANGE FLOW ANALYSIS
(188 thousand of rupoe at constant prices)

	92793	93794	84785	92/93 93/94 94/95 95/36 98/97 97/98 98/99	96791	86716	98/99	99/2908	2888/1	201012	2082/3	2003/4	2004/5	288578	2006/7	2887/8	99/2808 2808/1 2801/2 2002/3 2003/4 2004/5 2005/6 2006/7 2007/8 2008/9 2009/10 2010/11 2011/12	889/16 28	118/11 28	11/12
Foreign Exch. Rec.																				
Export	53	65	559		159	69	69	89	63	69	150	69	1 00	(9	ю	. 15 3	69	63	ts)	60
Cy L-T Borrowing	0	1.828	8 1.828 1.745	න	8	59	න	60	69	53	89	150	60	80		60	60	€	5	හ
Total F.E. Receipt	50	1.028	1,028 1,745	es	6	ε.	85	RS	15 0	69	20 20 20 20 20	69	150	63	:	19	65	rs	155	6 3
Foreign Exch. Pay't																				
Capital Invest.	69	881	1,496	:	60	GP.		60	53	89	59	Ø	69	60	150	89	159	60	63	60
Ports & Equip.	60	59	69	. 60	m	62	60	60	69	80	69	5	69	89	esa	60	69	69	60	5 3
Foreign L-T Debt Syc.	. сэ		73 271	396	582	582 665	622	578	534	498	446	482	8 9 9	888	8	8	60	ю	150	60
Total F. E. Pay't	60		954 1.767	9 6 8	502	ପ୍ରଥନ	8		80 80			482	358	208	S >	69	6 50	80	Ø.	60
Net Foreign Exch. Flor	:50	 8 8	-854 -1,767	398	-582	-685	-622	-578	-534	-498	-446	-482	-358	- 268	න 	19 '1	69 1	.09 1	න (E9 1

Accumulated Foreign Exchange: -7.928

F. Conclusions and Recommendations

The financial internal rate of return of the strategic investment project for the Pinjore Foundry is as low as 9.4%, and the economic internal rate of return is 28.7%. These figures are both the lowest among the proposed strategic investment projects. This is mainly because of the current availability of the casting parts for tractors from good external sources with reasonable prices. The viability of the project should thus be judged not from the financial viability of the project but from the view of the availability of casting parts of tractors from the external sources even after the increase of production volume of TRP.

IV. RECOMMENDATION

For the attainment of the long-term corporate mission and objectives of HMT, the implementation of the proposed physical and organizational restructuring is essential.

Specifically, the early implementation of the proposed strategic investment programs is highly desired, for which the support of domestic and international financing organizations is indispensable. The financial and economic evaluation results show that all of the proposed projects are viable both independently and collectively.

The modernization of production facilities of HMT should proceed not only with the purpose of increasing production capacities or efficiencies, but also with the clear purposes of both (1) making HMT factories "showrooms" of modern production technologies and (2) accumulating production technologies by developing major machinery and equipment needed for modernization within the company.

Together with the implementation of the modernization investments, the proposed organizational restructuring of HMT with the purpose of productivity improvements has to be conducted, for which the political support of the Indian government by giving higher autonomy to HMT management is required. The privatization of HMT would be one of the most practical solutions for this purpose.

For the attainment of the long-term growth targets, the total funds requirement of the HMT Group during the long-term plan period is much higher than that of the total of strategic investment projects. In order to enable smooth fund raising to cover these costs, the increase of paid-up capital of HMT by a considerable amount is needed. The gradual privatization of HMT through the sale of increased equity to the public through the capital stock exchange market would also achieve this goal.

