社会開発調查部報告書

[/8

61.11

REPORTAGE AND THESE PRODUCTIONS AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

Suppose one contraction and a supposed by the supposed of the

BURGAR FUELS

PROSELL'ENNE CRUCHEN A GERERAN E SEXAN

Dimensional a state of the second

A Market

MARCHA THAT THE MARCHART AND THE CONTRACT THE AND A THE AND A

ACIN(R)

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND HIGHWAYS

STUDY OF AGNO RIVER BASIN FLOOD CONTROL

FINAL REPORT

VOLUME IV

SUPPORTING REPORT PART I MASTER PLAN



DECEMBER, 1991

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

国際協力事業団 23334

SUPPORTING REPORT PART I MASTER PLAN

- 1. SE SOCIO-ECONOMY
- 2. HY HYDROLOGY
- 3. GL GEOLOGY
- 4. SV SURVEY
- 5. FD FLOOD DAMAGE
- 6 SD SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN
- 7 RV RIVER IMPROVEMENT PLAN
- 8 DM DAM AND RETARDING BASIN PLAN
- 9. FF FLOOD FORECASTING AND WARNING SYSTEM
- 10 DS DESIGN OF STRUCTURES
- 11. CP CONSTRUCTION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATES
- 12. EI ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

· .

•

•

L. SE



SOCIO-ECONOMY

SE: SOCIO-ECONOMY

SUMMARY

 Parts of the Study Area are located in Region I (Ilocos), Region II (Cagayan Valley) and Region III (Central Luzon). Among these regions the following nine provinces are included in the area:

Region Province		
I	:	Benguet, La Union, Pangasinan
II	· •	Ifugao, Nueva Viscaya
III	:	Nueva Ecija, Pampanga, Tarlac, Zambal

- (2) The population in the Study Area increased from 1.72 million persons in 1970 to 2.05 million persons in 1980 with the average growth rate of 1.757 per year during this 10 year period. This rate is similar to that of Region I (1.707) but significantly lower than those of Region II (2.737), Region III (2.887) and the whole country (2.75%). This lower growth rate in the Study Area (hereinafter called the Area) may indicate that net outmigration was high in the Area during 1970 to 1980. The present population in the Area is estimated at 2.407 million persons.
- (3) The population in the Area is projected to increase at an annual average of 1.03% from 2.41 million persons in 1989 to 3.66 million persons in 2030. Also, the population in the inundated area is projected to increase at a similar rate of 1.01% from 1.56 million persons in 1989 to 2.35 million persons in 2030. During this period, the average population density in the Area and inundated area will go up from 290 persons/km² and 630 persons/km² in 1989 to 440 persons/km² and 955 persons/km² in 2030, respectively.
- (4) In 1987, the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the Area amounted to 17,500 million pesos which was 2.5% of the country's GDP. In real terms, the local economy grew at an average of 2.95% per year during the period 1980

- SE.S1 -

to 1987 while the national economy grew at a slower rate of 0.44% per year during the same period. Per capita GDP of the Area in 1987 was estimated at 7,539 pesos, the same as the per capita GDP of Region I, which was lower than the average per capita GDP of the whole country of 12,300 pesos.

(5) The projected per capita GDP of Region I is then used to develop the GDP projections for the Area and its inundated area. The projections are summarized below and presented in detail in Table 2.7 to 2.10 for the Philippines, Region I, Area and inundated area, respectively.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · ·
Year	1989	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010
1. GDP at Constant 1989 Prices			<u> </u>	ور	· · · ·	- · · ·
(million pesos)	. •		2.2 1.1		an a	
Philippines	936,412	999,826	1,387,438	1,936,703	2,747,303	4,044,515
Region I	39,902	42,257	54,396	70,022	92,092	121,117
Study Area (SA)	22,802	24,121	30,825	39,431	51,547	67,350
Inundated Area (IA)	14,758	15,600	19,896	25,420	33,204	43,350
2. Per Capita GDP at Constant			• • • • ·			
1989 Prices (pesos)					Party and	
Philippines	15,582	16,263	20,277	25,746	33,672	46,379
Region I, SA, IA	9,473	9,846	11,598	13,803	16,985	21,172
		s	e e e e e		a te	

- SE.S2 -

SE : SOCIO-ECONOMY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SUMMARY	SE.S1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	SE.i
LIST OF TABLES	SE.ii
LIST OF FIGURES	SE.iv
ABBREVIATIONS	SE.v
1. THE STUDY AREA	SE.1
1.1 Definition of the Study Area	SE.1
1.2 Definition of the Inundation Area	SE.1
	1 - 1 A
2. SOCIO-ECONOMY	SE.2
2.1 Socio-Economic Conditions in the Study Area	SE 2
2.1.1 Administrative Regions in the Study Area	SE 2
2.1.2 Socio-Economic Profile	SE.3
2.2 Projected Population	SE.4
2.3 Projected Gross Domestic Product	SE.5
2.4 Projected Infrastructure Investment	SE.7
3. OPTIMIZATION OF THE LONG TERM PLAN	SE.8
3.1 Objectives	SE.8
3.2 Alternative Long Term Plans	SE.8
3.3 Criteria for the Benefit Cost Analysis	SE.9
3.4 Results of the Benefit Cost Analysis	SE.10

TABLES FIGURES

-SE.1-

LIST OF TABLES

<u>No.</u>		Page
2.1	SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILE OF THE STUDY AREA	SE.11
2.2	POPULATION GROWTH IN THE STUDY AREA BY MUNICIPALITY:	
	1970-1980	SE.12
2.3	POPULATION, DENSITY, HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND DWELLING	
	OCCUPANCY BY MUNICIPALITY: 1980	SE.14
2.4	PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE STUDY AREA BY MUNICIPALITY:	
	1985-2030	SE.19
2.5	PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE INUNDATED AREA BY MUNICIPARITY	
· ·	1989-2030	SE.21
2.6	GROWTH RATES OF GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT,	14
1.	PHILIPPINES AND REGION I: 1972-1982	SE.22
2.7	PROJECTED GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, PHILIPPINES:1989-2010	SE.23
2.8	PROJECTED GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, REGION I (ILOCOS):1989-2010	SE.23
2.9	PROJECTED GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, STUDY AREA: 1989-2010	SE.24
2.10	PROJECTED GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, INUNDATION AREA: 1989-2010	SE.24
2.11	EXPENDITURE ON GOVERNMENT INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM BY SECTOR,	
	PHILIPPINES: 1974-1987	SE.25
2.12	SHARE OF INFRASTRUCTURE IN GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT,	
	PHILIPPINES: 1974-1987	SE.26
2.13	PROJECTED INVESTMENT REQUIREMENT OF THE GOVERNMENT	· · ·
	INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, INUNDATED AREA: 1990-2010	SE.26
3.1	GROWTH RATES USED IN THE PROJECTION OF THE DAMAGEABLE	
	VALUE OF TOTAL ASSETS IN THE INUNDATED AREA: 1989-2044	SE.27
3.2	ECONOMIC COST, BENEFIT AND INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN OF THE	
. *	ALTERNATIVE LONG TERM PLANS	SE.28
3.3	BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO MAIN, TARLAC AND ALLIED RIVERS,	
	25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE.29
3.4	BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO MAIN, TARLAC AND ALLIED RIVERS,	
	25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE.30
3.5	BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO MAIN, TARLAC, TRIBUTARIES AND ALLIED	
	RIVERS, 25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE.31
3.6	BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO MAIN, TARLAC , TRIBUTARIES AND ALLIED	
	RIVERS, 25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE.32

-SE.ii-

• · · · · ·

<u>No.</u>

3.7	BENEFIT	COST ANALYSIS:	AGNO MAIN AND TARLAC RIVERS,	14
· .	25 YEAR	FLOOD, BENEFITS	AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE.33
3.8	BENEFIT	COST ANALYSIS:	AGNO MAIN AND TARLAC RIVERS,	
÷	25 YEAR	FLOOD, BENEFITS	AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE.34
3.9	BENEFIT	COST ANALYSIS:	AGNO RIVER TRIBUTARIES,	
	25 YEAR	FLOOD, BENEFITS	AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE.35
3.10	BENEFIT	COST ANALYSIS:	AGNO RIVER TRIBUTARIES,	
	25 YEAR	FLOOD, BENEFITS	AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE:36
3.11	BENEFIT	COST ANALYSIS:	ALLIED RIVERS,	
	10 YEAR	FLOOD, BENEFITS	AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE.37
3.12	BENEFIT	COST ANALYSIS:	ALLIED RIVERS,	
	10 YEAR	FLOOD, BENEFITS	AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL	SE.38

-SE.111-

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NO.</u>	na se en la companya de la companya A companya de la comp	<u>5-</u>
1.1 LOCA	ATION MAP OF THE STUDY AREA	.39
1.2 ESTI	MATED MAXIMUM INUNDATION AREA SE	.40
2.1 ADMI	NISTRATIVE DIVISION IN THE STUDY AREA	.41
3.1 OPTIN	MIZATION OF DEVELOPMENT SCALE FOR INTEGRATED	
LONG	TERM PLAN SE	.42
	(a) A set of the se	· · ·
		1
and the second		
· .		
·		
· · · ·		
· . ·		
		. *
		·
		н 1
· · · ·		
	-SE.iv-	

ABBREVIATIONS

1. NAME OF PHILIPPINE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

AFCS	Agno Flood Control System
ARIS	Agno River Irrigation System
DENR	Department of Environment and Natural Resources
DOTC	Department of Transportation and Communications
DPWH	Department of Public Works and Highways
GOP	Government of the Philippines
LATRIS	Lower Agno and Totonogen River Irrigation System
NAPOCOR	National Power Corporation
NAMRIA	National Mapping and Resource Information Authority
NIA	National Irrigation Administration
OCD	Office of Civil Defense
PENRO	Provincial Environment and Natural Resources Office
РМ	Project Manager
PMO	Project Management Office
PNR	Philippine National Railways
SMORIS	San Miguel - O'Donnell River Irrigation System

2. NAME OF JAPANESE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER OFFICIAL AGENCIES AND ORGANIZATION

GOJ	Government of Japan
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
MOC	Ministry of Construction, Japan
OECF	Overseas Economic Cooperation Fund, Japan
UN	United Nations

3. MEASUREMENT UNITS

(Length)		(Weight)	
mm	millimeter(s)	gr(grs)	gramme(s)
cm	centimeter(s)	kg(kgs)	kilogramme(s)
R	meter(s)	ton(s)	ton(s),eq'vt to
: * * *			1,000 kg
km	kilometer(s)		

-SE.v-

(Area)		(Time)	
mm ²	square millimeter(s)	sec	second(s)
cm ²	square centimeter(s)	min	minute(s)
m ²	square meter(s)	hr(hrs)	hour(s)
km ²	square kilometer(s)	dy(dys)	day(s)
ha(has)	hectare(s)	mth(mths)	month(s)
· · · · · · · · · · · ·		yr(yrs)	year(s)

.

(Volume) cm³ m³

ltr

cubic centimeter(s)
cubic meter(s)
liter(s)

-SE.vi-

. .

1. THE STUDY AREA

1.1 Definition of the Study Area

The area covered in the Study consists of the Agno River basin and the Allied River basins delineated in Fig. 1.1. The total drainage area of the Study Area (hereinafter called the Area) is about 7,640 km², broken down into 5,907 km² for the Agno River basin and 1,733 km² for the Allied river basins.

The Agno River basin is located in the western part of Central Luzon, and is ranked as the fifth largest river basin in the Philippines. The 275 km long river flows down the southern slopes of the Cordillera Central Mountains from its source north of Baguio City, passes through the Pangasinan Plain, and empties itself into Lingayen Gulf. Its five main tributaries include the following rivers: Tarlac, Ambayoan, Viray-Dipalo, Banila and Camiling.

In the northwestern part of the Pangasinan Plain, there is a group of medium size rivers called the Allied Rivers. The Allied Rivers consisting of the Cayanga River, Patalan River, Bued River, Pantal River and Sinocalan River also empties into the Lingayen Gulf.

1.2 Definition of the Inundation Area

The inundation area inside the Area is the maximum area that would be flooded as determined by the flood inundation analysis using available flood records and flood mark survey data. The inundation area is delineated as shown in Fig. 1.2, and is estimated to have an area of 2,465 km^2 . It is the reference area used in the flood damage analysis for estimating the probable damage caused by floods of different return periods, and in the benefit cost analysis for optimizing the scale of development of the integrated long term plan.

-SE.1-

2. SOCIO-ECONOMY

2.1 Socio-Economic Conditions in the Study Area

2.1.1 Administrative Regions in the Study Area

The regions, provinces and municipalities in the Area are shown in Fig. 2.1. Parts of the Area are located in Region I (Ilocos), Region II (Cagayan Valley) and Region III (Central Luzon). In these regions, the following provinces are included in the Area.

an san sa		
	Region	Province
	I	Benguet, La Union, Pangasinan
	II	Ifugao, Nueva Vizcaya
	III	Nueva Ecija, Pampanga, Tarlac, Zambales
** ** ** **		

There are 83 municipalities in the Area, distributed as follows:

Province	Number of Municipalities Number of M in the Province in the Stu	lunicipalities Idy Area
Benguet	14	9
La Union	20	3
Pangasinan	48 Participant de la partici	40
Ifugao	7 dia amin'ny fisiana amin'ny fis	3
Nueva Vizcaya	15 . The second s	2
Nueva Ecija	32	5
Pampanga	22	1
Tarlac	17	15
Zambales	14	5
0	180	83

-SE.2-

2.1.2 Socio-Economic Profile

The socio-economic profile of the Area is summarized in Table 2.1.

The population in the Area increased from 1.72 million persons in 1970 to 2.05 million persons in 1980 at an average growth rate of 1.75% per year during this 10-year period. This rate is similar to that of Region I (1.70%) but significantly lower than those of Region II (2.73%), Region III (2.88%) and the whole country (2.75%). This lower growth rate in the Area may indicate that net out-migration was high in the Area during 1970 to 1980. The population growth in the Area by municipality is detailed in Table 2.2. The present population in the Area is estimated at 2.41 million persons.

The population density in the Area was 246 persons/km² in 1980 which was higher than the average of 160 persons/km² for the whole country. As indicated also in Table 2.2, the most densely populated municipalities with cultivated agricultural land are located in the flood plain of the Agno River and the Allied Rivers in Pangasinan and Tarlac.

The average household size and dwelling occupancy in the Area in 1980 is estimated at 5.6 persons/household and 5.7 persons/dwelling unit, respectively. Table 2.3 shows the number of households and dwelling units by municipality in 1980.

The urban population in the Area, as defined by the National Statistics Office, was 529,000 in 1980 which accounted for 26% of the total population. This was lower than the country's urban population share of 37% indicating a shower urbanization rate in the area.

The population of working age belonging to the 15 years old and over group in the Area was 1.24 million persons or 61% of the total population in 1980 which was similar to the country's figure of 60%. Labor force in the Area totaled to 694,000 persons or 56% of the working age population. This was lower than the country's 60% indicating that on a per capita basis there were fewer economically active persons in the Area than the national average.

The number of employed persons in the Area increased from 670,000 in 1980 to 800,000 in 1987. In 1987, the agriculture sector absorbed 405,000 workers

or 51% of total employment compared to 55% in 1980. During the same period, the service sector increased its share of total employment from 30% in 1980 to 34% in 1987. This employment share of the industry sector remained at 15%. Although mainly agriculture based, the structure of the Area's economy is shifting gradually towards a service sector orientation. In 1987, the Area accounted for 4.1% of the country's agricultural workers, 4.0% of the country's industrial workers and 3.5% of the country's service sector workers.

In 1987, the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of the Area amounted to 17,500 million pesos which was 2.5% of the country's GDP. In real terms, the local economy grew at an average of 2.95% per year during the period 1980 to 1987 while the national economy grew at a slower rate of 0.44% per year during the same period. Per capita GDP of the Area in 1987 was estimated at 7,539 pesos, the same as the per capita GDP of Region I, which was lower than the average per capita GDP of the whole country of 12,300 pesos.

2.2 Projected Population

The population projection prepared by NEDA up to the year 2030 using the medium assumption of moderate fertility decline and moderate mortality decline is reasonable and appropriate for use in the Study.

The population in the Area is projected to increase at an annual average of 1.03% from 2.41 million persons in 1989 to 3.66 million persons in 2030. Also, the population in the inundated area is projected to increase at a similar rate of 1.01% from 1.56 million persons in 1989 to 2.35 million persons in 2030. During this period, the average population density in the Area and inundated area will go up from 290 persons/km² and 630 persons/km² in 1989 to 440 persons/km² and 955 persons/km² in 2030, respectively.

The projected population in the Area and inundated area is summarized below and presented in detail by municipality in Tables 2.4 and 2.5, respectively.

-SE.4-

	Study A	rea	Inundated Area					
	Population	Growth Rate	Population	Growth Rate				
Year (million persons)	(%/yr)	(million persons)	(Z/yr)					
1989	2.407	,	1.558	-				
1990	2.450	1.77	1.584	1.71				
1995	2.658	1.64	1.715	1.60				
2000	2.857	1.45	1.842	1.43				
2005	3.035	1.22	1.955	1.20				
2010	3.181	0.95	2.048	0,93				
2015	3.310	0.80	2.129	0.79				
2020	3.440	0.77	2.211	0.76				
2025	3.561	0,69	2.289	0.69				
2030	3.661	0.56	2.353	0.56				

2.3 Projected Gross Domestic Product

The per capita GDP of the Study Area, specifically its inundated area, can be appropriately represented by the per capita GDP of Region I. The inundated area, which will benefit from the flood control project, covers most of the provinces of Pangasinan (Region I) and Tarlac (Region III), and three municipalities in the province of Nueva Ecija (Region III). Although these provinces belong to two different regions, they have similar agriculture-based economy with the industry sector being limited mainly to cottage industries. This similarity is reflected in the average annual family income in the three provinces obtained from the 1985 Family Income and Expenditure Survey, as shown below:

· · · ·		Annual Family
Region/Province		Income in 1985 (pesos)
Region I		31,463
Pangasinan		29,243
Region III	vation (38,819
Tarlac		27,596
Nueva Ecija		28,264

-SE.5-

The average family income in Pangasinan, Tarlac and Nueva Ecija is closer to that of Region I than Region III.

Beyond the Medium Term Plan 1987-1992, the country's GDP is expected to grow at an annual average of 6.8% for the period 1992-2000 and 7.6% for the period 2000-2010. These growth rates will enable the Philippines to achieve its goal of attaining the status of a newly industrialized economy by the year 2010. On the basis of the 5.8% annual growth achieved by the country during the period 1972-1982 (See Table 2.6), these target growth rates are considered appropriate for a "high growth" scenario. This period, which includes the oil crises of 1973 and 1979 but excludes the political crises of 1983-1986 and the subsequent period of economic recovery, is considered to be a typical condition for the "high growth" scenario.

Also under the "high growth" scenario, the GDP of Region I is projected to grow at an annual average of 5.2% for the period 1992-2000 and 5.6% for the period 2000-2010. These growth rates can be realized considering that the economy of Region I grew at an annual average of 5.2% during the period 1975-1982 (see Table 2.6).

The projected per capita GDP of Region I is then used to develop the GDP projections for the Area and its inundated area. The projections are summarized below and presented in detail in Table 2.7 to 2.10 for the Philippines, Region I, Area and inundated area, respectively.

and the second second second

Year	1989	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010
1. GDP at Constant 1989 Prices	. 44	. · ·		'		e de la composición
(million pesos)		1.001				a ang tan
Philippines	936,412	999,826	1,387,438	1,936,703	2,747,303	4,044,515
Region I	39,902	42,257	54,396	70,022	92,092	121,117
Study Area (SA)	22,802	24,121	30,825	39,431	51,547	67,350
Inundated Area (IA)	14,758	15,600	19,896	25,420	33,204	43,350
2. Per Capita GDP at Constant						
1989 Prices (pesos)	· · ·					
Philippines	15,582	16,263	20,277	25,746	33,672	46,379
Region 1, SA, IA	9,473	9,846	11,598	13,803	16,985	21,172

-SE.6-

2.4 Projected Infrastructure Investment

The actual amount spent by the GOP on its infrastructure program during the period 1974-1987 is shown in Table 2.11. At current prices, the share of flood control to the total annual expenditure on infrastructure ranged from a low of 0.5% in 1984 to a high of 11.7% in 1974. Converted to constant prices, as shown in Table 2.12, the peak years of infrastructure spending were 1982 and 1983. This table also indicates that for the period 1975-1983, annual expenditure on infrastructure averaged about 5% of the GDP.

To obtain indicative levels of future investment on infrastructure in the inundated area, 5% of the projected GDP is assumed to be available each year for this purpose. The resulting amounts, annually and cumulatively, are shown in Table 2.13. In total, some 11,000 million pesos, at constant 1989 prices, is estimated to be available for investment on infrastructure in the inundated area during the period 1990-2000.

-SE.7-

3. OPTIMIZATION OF THE LONG TERM PLAN

3.1 Objectives

The long term plans are subjected to a benefit cost analysis to achieve the following objectives:

- (1) to rank the alternatives in terms of their economic rate of return (EIRR), and
- (2) to optimize the scale of development of each alternative.

3.2 Alternative Long Term Plans

The long term plans included in the benefit cost analysis are as follows:

(1) Agno Main Stream, Tarlac and Allied Rivers

(The optimum combination of river improvement, floodway and natural retarding basin)

LAA-10-10	10	year	flood	for	all	rivers
LAA-25-25	25	year	flood	for	all	rivers
LAA-50-50	50	year	flood	for	all	rivers

(2) Agno Main Stream, Tarlac, Tributaries and Allied Rivers

(The optimum combination of river improvement, floodway and natural retarding basin)

LAAT-10-10	10	year	flood	for	all	rivers
LAAT-25-25	25	year	flood	for	all	rivers
LAAT-50-50	50	year	flood	for	a11	rivers

(3) Agno Main Stream and Tarlac River

(Combination of river improvement and natural retarding basin)

-SE.8-

LAG-A2-10	10	year	f1.00d	for	all	rivers
LAG-A2-25	25	year	flood	for	a11	rivers
LAG-A2-50	50	year	f100d	for	all	rivers

(4) Agno River Tributaries

(River improvement only)

LAT-AT-10	10	year	flood	for	all	rivers
LAT-AT-25	25	year	flood	for	rive	ers
LAT-AT-50	50	year	flood	for	a11	rivers

(5) Allied Rivers

(The optimum combination of river improvement and floodway)

LAL-AT-10	1	÷	10	year	flood	for	al1	rivers
LAL-AT-25	-		25	year	flood	for	all	rivers
LAL-AT-50	:		50	year	flood	for	a11	rivers

3.3 Criteria for the Benefit Cost Analysis

The criteria used in the benefit cost analysis are as follows:

(1)	Base Year for Benefit	Beginning of 1990
	Cost Analysis	
(2)	Project Life	50 year (from 1990-2044)
(3)	Start of Construction	Beginning of 1995
(4)	Construction Period	15 years (from 1995-2009)
(5)	Disbursement Schedule	Uniform distribution of total
		project cost during the
		construction period
(6)	Annual Operation and	0.5% of main construction cost
	Maintenance Cost	and physical contingency of
		completed works
(7)	Price Escalation Rate	No escalation

-SE.9-

- (8) Timing of Benefits
- (9) Growth Factor (GF) of Future Benefits
- (a) River improvement and floodway: in proportion to the works already completed
- (b) Dam: the year the whole dam is completed
- (a) Current development level: constant (GF=1.0)
- (b) Future development level: low growth of GDP (See Table 3.1)

3.4 Results of the Benefit Cost Analysis

The results of the benefit cost analysis are summarized in Table 3.2 and illustrated in Fig. 3.1.

Under the Future development level which is assumed that future benefits will be increased annually at the 5.2% growth rate of the GDP in the inundated area, the optimum development scale of the flood control plan for the Allied Rivers is one corresponding to a design flood with a return period of 10 years or less. At the stated development scale, this alternative is estimated to yield an EIRR of at least 34%. At the low end, the EIRR for the Agno tributaries at the optimum development scale corresponding to a design flood with a return period of about 25 years is about 16%. For the same return period, the EIRR for the Agno main stream including the Tarlac River is estimated at about 17%.

The benefit cost calculations for each optimum long term plan under the Future development level and the Current development level are shown in Tables 3.3 to 3.12.



SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILE OF THE STUDY AREA Table 2.1

Share (I) 1 6 1 4.4 3.8 1 1 2 2 2 4.1 . . Study Area Region I Region II Region III Stud) Ilocos Cagayan Valloy Central Luzon Amount 1,429 61.5 892 62.4 17,521 2,477 7,539 1,066 800 50.6 2,324 405 121 274 3,519 61.5 2,189 62.2 1,909 38.4 87.2 5,726 62,638 7,665 10,939 1,339 7,373 4,649 2,696 2,696 1,569 1,569 13,174 21,917 24,917 39.8 24,547 24,547 39.2 733 358 818 17,973 69,601 30,009 1987 1,576 59.5 1,108 70.3 1,055 65.3 95.2 16,152 2,301 6,100 869 5,200 2,854 972 1,373 1,496 1,468 2,648 8,323 51.5 1,809 1,809 11.2 6,020 6,020 689 77 288 12,080 23,494 20,903 4,056 2,496 61.5 1,558 62.4 2:420 30,577 4,323 7,539 1,066 8,224 2,453 281 281 5,490 2,368 2,368 2,368 2,368 125 11,315 37.0 8,080 26.4 11,182 36.6 768 198 445 14, 733 40, 808 25, 128 Szudy Area 7 Amount Share (I) Philippines 705,467 95,434 12,300 12,664 9,940 3,045 7,810 95,516 24,028 11,551 59,937 59,937 28,028 36,319 10,907 57,356 34,840 60.7 20,795 47.8 90.5 170,770 24.2 229,683 32.6 305,014 43.2 17,180 75,430 39,054 22,984 65.7 4.3 4.4 1.5 . . . 4.0 2.6 4.1 2.8 . ī • . 6,181 2,021 3,021 988 2,046 74.1 74.1 1,242 60.7 694 55.9 2,482 369 101 200 678 5.1 8.5 8,305 2,029 96 159 198 Philippines Region I Region II Region III Ilocos Cagayan Valley Central Luzon 24,456 7,644 5,067 1,584 5,020 4,803 2,794 58.2 2,880 60.0 60.0 56.4 4,713 19.3 10,843 44.3 8,900 36.4 8,043 34,753 14,105 1,529 38.3 94.2 4,445 138 171 266 586 312 631 18,231 1980 6,390 5,508 25,600 13,872 4,157 380 956 897 36,403 3,244 42.9 2,048 27.1 27.1 30,0 2,216 1,872 84.5 84.5 59.4 65.9 65.9 539 80 164 7.567 2.606 3.397 1.170 833 70.7 96.1 4,309 10,706 3,500 3,021 988 1,169 60.4 97.3 3,541 2,699 76.2 2,158 2,158 60.9 1,202 1,202 706 3,255 30.4 3,515 3,515 3,936 3,936 36.8 4,610 22,532 12,821 3,086 200 504 459 21,568 264,652 92,568 5,477 1,916 28,967 60.2 17,308 59.8 8,453 2,554 5,427 16,434 51.4 95.0 61,761 23.3 96,723 36.6 106,168 106,168 97,251 32.4 7,488 7,306 37,871 19,563 43,652⁻ 34,890 5,300 13,409 36,332 9,080 3,481 23,771 300,000 48,098 30,155 62.7 Thousands Thousands Thousands Million P Million P Militon P Million P Thousands Thousands Million P Thousands MILLION P Hillion P Taousands Thousands uet. ġ 84 ijjj H. 風ょ Pr Pr rt. <u>64</u> 64 64 at 1972 Constant Frices 3. Contribution to GDP at Current Frices Percent of Pop. 15 yrs. old E over Agriculture as Percent of Total 5. Employed Persons -Agriculture, Rishery & Forestry Total Agri., Fishery & Forestry Percent of GDP 3. Population 15 yrs. old & over Percent of Total at 1972 Constant Prices 2. Por Capita at Current Prices Percent of Total Area 1. Total at Current Frices Parameter -Livestock & Poultry Percent of Total Labor Productivity -Agriculture 4. Total Labor Force Parcent of GDP Fercent of GDP III. Lend Use 1. Total Area 2. Agricultural Land 2. Rural Population -Тепрогагу сторз -Регедлен сторз Employment Rate Other crops -Agriculture I. Population 1. Total -Industry Falay -Industry -Forestry -Industry Corn -Service -Fishery -Service -Pasturo -Service -Othors Total Total II CDP ÷

SE. 11 -

Table 2.2 (1/2) POPULATION GROWTH IN THE STUDY AREA BY MUNICIPALITY:

1970-1980

		Administr	ativa Area			Study Area								
Province/		Population		Land	3 Inside	Scudy Area	Population		Aver	ege känüel	Growth	Laod	Density	
Municipality	1970	1975	1980	Áres (km2)	Population	Land Area	1970	1975	1980	1970-75	Rate (2 1975-80	} 1970-80	Ares (km2)	in 1950 (persons/km2)
													••••••	
Benguet						••						·		
Jagulo City	84038	97449	119009	48.9	. 20	UL 1	16908	19490	23802	2.85	4.08	J.49 3 TI	14.7	1622.3
Robert	10141	11259	14400	137.0	2		204	434	. 289	-2.55	4.23	3,34	111	103.0
Burniter	13103	19404	13500	103 1		,,, ,0	8933	19114	11004	4.67	2	1.5	- 116.0	120.9
Itoroa	19976	41041	47605	433.7	30 4#	98	10/11	40269	46451	0.62	7 40	1.50	415 2	117.4
Tabayan	2116	21031	4077	127.5	90 80	74 80	5603	6600	1158	1 79	4.37	7.66	142.0	51.1
le Trinided	14551	23142	14711	27.2	6V 6		3973	614	1144	3.10	1.04	4 41	2 4	247 4
Tuba	17919	75301	10113	114 4	. 50		8070	12452	15225	7 1 2	1 17	5 47	147.7	96.8
Tubley	7413	\$510	9686	84.9	20	20	1483	1722	1937	3.04	2.38	2.71	17.0	114.1
Sub Total	208169	240574	288405	1856.2			92611	103952	121623	2.34	3.19	2.76	1271.2	95.7
La Union											*********		• • • • • • • • • • •	
Pugo	6483	7140	7780	43.2	30	21	1945	2154	2334	2.06	1.62	1.84	9.1	257.3
Resario Sto. Tomas	72118	25356	29331	72.8	80 1	. 65	17694	20783	23465	2.77	2.96	2.86	48.0	488.4
Sub Total	48063	53875	59721	180.0	-		19834	22651	26025	2.69	2.82	2.75	57.8	450.6
Pagesland										•••••			******	
Degupan City	83582	90092	98344	37.2	100	100	#3582	90092	98344	1.51	1.77	1.64	37.2	2643.7
San Carlos City	\$4333	90842	101243	166.4	100	100	84333	90882	101243	1.51	2.18	1.84	166.4	608.4
Aguilar	22206	19853	22080	152.9	- 100	100	27208	19833	24993	2.33	2.15	2.24	35.5	684.7
Asingan	34148	36147	37301	65.6	100	100	34148	36267	37301	1.21	0.56	0.89	\$6.6	360.1
Salungao	14989	16745	17342	93.8	100	100	14989	16745	17342	2.24	0.70	1.47	93.8	184.9
Sautiers	14785	16769	17191	126.3	100	100	14204	15749	18072	7.25	1.53	1.89	126.3	143.1
Bayanbang	36415	62.008	64037	75.2	100	100	56415	62808	64037	2.17	0.39	1.28	15.2	851.6
Sinelonan	32441	34726	35574	77.6	100	100	32441	34726	35574	1.37	0.48	. 0.93	77.6	458.4
Bugallon	32657	75911	39072	269.3	100 99 .	99	J1340	35621	38591	2.59	1.66	2.23	247.6	233.8
Calasiao	38576	43640	48101	53.4	100	100	38576	43640	48101	2.50	1.97	2.23	53.4	\$00.8
Infanta	10469	11336	12323	247.4	1	12	105	113	123	1.60	1.68	1.64	29.7	4.2
Liuteyen	56996	59034	65187	67.7	100	100	55056	59034	65187	1.03	2.00	1.51	61.7	962.9
Habini	12769	15894	15979	236.3	1	2	126	159	160	4.48	0.11	2.27	4.7	33.8
Eslasiqui	61423	67489	70905	127.0	100	100	61423	67489	70905	1.90	0.99	1.45	127.0	558.3
Manaoag	31738	31699	36742	27.2	100	100	31738	31699	504.14	+0.02	. 3.00 i.76	1.55	2/.2	1125.8
Mangateren	35080	37604	40582	317.6	100	100	35080	37604	40582	1.40	1.54	1.47	317.5	127.8
Hapandan	16653	18143	20074	30.0	100	100	16553	18143	20094	1.73	2.06	1.90	30.0	669.8
Nativided	13592	14416	15246	76.8	100	100	13392	14416	15246	1.18	1.13	1.15	76.8	198.3
lottlet	29530	34355	36532	68.4	100	100	29530	34355	36582	3.07	1.26	2.15	68. ,	534.8
San Fabian	35914	33054	42018	92.4	70	46	24510	27338	29413	2.21	1.47	1.84	42.5	592.0
San Jacinco	16503	18722	20612	39.1	100	001	16593	18722	30612	2.46	1.94	2.20	39.1	527.2
San Micolas	29157	21335	29022	210.2	100	100	20337 21687	23377	23243	1.51	0.11	0.70	210.2	110.6
San Quintin	18842	20141	20835	115.9	100	100	18842	20381	20835	1.58	0.44	1.01	115.9	179.8
Sta. Barbara	30580	34418	37001	77.4	100	100	30580	34418	37001	2.39	1.46	1.92	77.4	478.0
Sta. Maria	16296	18766	19018	69.5	100	100	16296	18766	19018	2.85	0.27	1.55	69.5	273.6
Sison	20849	23642	25053	97.7	100	100	20849	23642	25053	2.35	1.17	1.85	97.7	256.4
Tarus	24143	26153	26273	51.3	100	100	24143	26153	26273	1.61	0.09	0.85	51.3	512-1
Uningan	36135	40889	41364	264.6	99	98	35774	40471	40950	2.50	0.24	1.36	259.3	157.9
Urdanata	58690	65392	71796	121.0	100	100	58690	45392	71796	2.19	1.89	2.04	121.0	533.4
Villasis Lucar	31676	36080	39126	75.8 40.5	· 100	100	31676	36080	39126	7.64	1.63	2.13	75.8	516.2
Sub Total	1223089	1338258	1436640	4076.6			1187818	1297641	1393999	1.78	1.44	1.61	1530.0	394.9
							••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••					
Ifugao														
Jacob Na	20265	20489	22900	326.4	1	2	203	205	229	0.22	2.25	1-23	6.5	35.1
Bangduan	8958	12301	5857	260.3	20	- 30	1792	2460	1971	6.55	-4.33	0.95	78.1	25.2
Klangen	15123	15935	17481	443.3	10	15	1512	1596	1748	1.05	1.87	1.46	\$6.5	26.3
Sub Toral	41349	48725	59238	1030.0			3507	4259	3949	3.96	-1.50	1.19	151.1	26.1
								•						
Estate	16970	20718	20491	487.9	40	30	5969	8287	8196	6.79	-0.72	3.22	146-Q	36 4
	4754	5961	A318	310.0		40	425	596	634	6.98	1.23	4.07	174.0	5.1
Sca. To	42.74			*****					,					

- SE. 12 -

Table 2.2 (2/2) POPULATION GROWTH IN THE STUDY AREA BY MUNICIPALITY:

1970-1980	

	Adulpistrative Area						Study Area								
Province/	•••••	Population	a	Land	X Inside Study Area		*********	Population			ege Annual	Land	Density		
Humicipality	1970	1975	975 1980	Ares (kn2)	Population	Land Area	1970	1975	1980	1970-75	Raca (1 1975-80) 1970-80	Area in 1 (km2) (perso	in 1980 (persons/km	
Voeve Feile								•••••••							
Cerrenelan	15536	16875	19891	751.2	10	1.5	1554	1688	1939	1.67	3.34	2.50	112.7	17.	
Cerano	34793	39109	39554	167.5	98	98	34097	38327	38861	2.37	0.28	1,32	164.2	236.	
Gairba	50261	55781	58847	94.5	20	40	10052	11135	. 11769	2.11	1.08	1.59	37.8	311.	
Lapso	20005	22105	23050	131.7	10	30	2001	2211	2305	2.02	0.84	1.43	45.5	50.6	
Magicusz	6639	2350	2597	52.6	100	100	4439	7350	7597	2.05	9.66	1.36	52.6	144.4	
Sub total	127234	141221	149039	1217.5			54342	60731	62.521	2.25	0.58	1.41	412.7	151.5	
		·						••••••							
Pampanga Mabalacat	55897	69374	80965	152.6	1	20	559	699	810	4.56	2.99	3.77	30.5	26.5	
Sub Total	55897	69874	80955	152.6	÷		559	699	810	4.56	2.99	3.77	30.5	26.5	
Tarlac															
Anao	6672	6084	6519	23.5	100	100	6672	6084	6519	-1.43	1.39	-0.23	23.9	272.8	
Senban	. 18474	22723	26012	, 133.1	10	60	1847	2272	2607	4.23	2.79	3.51	79.9	32.6	
Casiling	49156	52411	33860	140.5	100	100	49156	52411	57160	1.77	0.55	0.92	140.5	343.3	
Cagaz	35515	42561	46523	440.9	20	50	7103	8512	9303	3.69	1.00	2.74	352.0	26.4	
Geroca	41831	46513	50433	141.4	100	100	41831	46513	50433	2.14	1.63	1.89	141.4	356.7	
Estantoc	13358	16427	17(33	336-4	99	56	13422	16263	16964	3.91	62.0	2.3/	. 347.3	40.8	
Noticedan Read and	23133	\$1023	34431	105.1	100	100	29195	51031	34451	1./*	1.00	1.0/	1.105 1	402.0	
- rander	4//18	71100	3,000	103.1	100	109	4//18	33031	3,5901	4.12	0.73	1.43	103.2	322.7	
Putt.	14103	10626	11215	34.4	100	100	11103	10626	11315	2.44	1.00	1 47	31.0	140 (
San Clamanta	6013	7475	7117	19.9	100	100	4073	10010	2112	1.10	-0.74	1.60		146.1	
San Kennel	10583	12203	11491	42.1	100	100	10583	17803	13491	1.49	1.05	2.35	47.1	320.1	
Secta Imacia	20275	23157	25226	75.4	100	100	20775	23157	25226	2.19	1.72	1.96	75.4	334.5	
Tarlac	135128	160595	175691	936.0	70	50	94590	312417	122984	3.51	1.81	2.66	842.4	146.0	
Victoria	33141	34869	34942	111.5	10	30	3314	3489	3494	1.03	9.63	0.53	33.5	104.5	
Sub Total	470331	535460	572480	2693.4			354792	401218	427470	2.49	1.20	1.88	2373.4	189.)	
asbale .															
Botol an	23848	27307	2125	613.7	1	5	238	273	271	2.75	-0.13	1.30	30.7	\$.8	
Candelaria	12376	14630	15684	437.6	1	20	124	146	157	3,40	1.40	2.40	87.5	1.8	
Hasialoc	22736	24807	11735	256.0	1	10	227	248	· <i>m</i>	1.76	2.26	2.01	25.6	10.8	
Falsuig	14346	16359	17176	310.0	1	1	145	164	177	7.38	0.98	1.68	3.1	33.4	
Sta. Crus	18182	31307	C48C1	414.1	1	13	283	323	101	2.10	2.00	2.33	02.1	5.7	
Sub Total	101788	115410	123357	2031.4	:		1018	1154	1714	2.54	1.35	1.94	209.0	3.9	
Total	2,298,114	2,570,176	2,787,708	14040.6	73	59	1,720,873	1,901,187	2,046,461	2.01	1.48	1.75	8304.7	246.4	
<u> </u>				·.				•	va ti în				······		
			, i								te Annual	Growth	-	Density	
			1	2.1	· · ·					1970-75	Raze (X) 1975-80	1970-80		in 1980 (cersons/km2	
				· ·					÷						
Region I	2990561	3269391	3544493	21568.4	11 - A					1.80	1.61	1.70		164.2	
Region II	1692127	1933177	2215522	36403.0		i.				2.70	2.76	2.73	· .	60.9	
Region 111	361 5496	4210136	4802793	18230.8						3.09	2.67	2.68		263.4	
	75591196	42020560	62098460	100008-0						3 78	2 21	2 75		160.3	

Fopulation, Land Ares, and Dana Mational Statistics Office

- SE. 13 -

Table 2.3 (1/5) POPULATION, DENSITY, HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND DWELLING OCCUPANCY BY MUNICIPALITY: 1980

Administration		Population (persons)	Land Area (km2)	Households (number)	Dwollings (number)	Density (persons/ km2)	Household Size (persons/ household)	Dwalling Occupancy (persons/ dwalling)
			lin an an ing ya da wa an an					
Region 1								
Benguet		354,751	2,655.4	67,189	65,769	133.6	5.3	5.4
Atok		14,466	137.0	2,822	2,756	105.6	5.1	5.2
Baguio city		119,009	48.9	21,934	21,532	2433.7	5.4	5.5
Bakun		8,878	237.4	1,701	1,699	37.4	5.7	5.2
Bokod		11,899	425.3	2,100	2,100	28.0	5.7	5.7
Buguias		17,509	193.1	3,127	3,077	90.7	5.6	5.7
Itogon	·	47.605	423.7	9,813	9,201	112.4	4.9	5.2
Kabavan		9.072	177.5	1,639	1,638	51.1	5.5	5.5
Kapangan		13,381	136.4	2,615	2,604	98.1	5.1	5.1
Kibungan		10,500	192.1	1,986	1,986	54.7	5.3	5.3
La Trinidad		28,713	61.4	5,510	5,432	467.6	5.2	5.3
Mankavan		25.684	131.7	4,921	4,911	195.0	5.2	5.2
Sablan		7,900	91.6	1,381	1,378	86.2	5.7	5.7
Tuba		30,449	314.4	5,875	5,699	96.8	5.2	5.3
Tublay		9,686	84.9	1,765	1,765	114.1	5.5	5.5
La Union		452,578	1,493.1	80,129	78,650	303.1	5.6	5.8
Agoo		34,849	39.1	5,964	5,891	891.3	5.8	5.9
Aringay		27,524	109.5	4,783	4,750	251.4	5.8	5.8
Bacnotan		24,800	76.6	4,585	4,531	323.8	5.4	5.5
Bagulin		7,009	49.5	1,258	1,256	141.6	5.6	5.6
Balacan		25,197	60.4	4,497	4,472	417.2	5.6	5.6
Bangar		23,715	47.4	4,019	3,942	500.3	5.9	6.0
Bauang		41,859	72.9	7,092	6,958	574.2	5.9	6.0
Burgos		4,149	70.8	711	691	58,6	5.8	6.0
Caba		14,114	66.7	2,465	2,396	211.6	5.7	5.9
Luna		25,081	52.6	4,764	4,764	476.8	5.3	5.3
Naguilian		29.304	78.1	5,165	5,132	375.2	5.7	5.7
Pugo		7.780	43.2	1.442	1,403	180.1	5.4	5.5
Rosario		29.331	72.8	4,988	4,829	402.9	5.9	6.1
San Fernando		68,410	120.8	12.436	12.252	566.3	5.5	5.6
San Gabriel		10.248	178.1	1.881	1.862	57.5	5.4	5.5
San Juan		20.362	55.7	3.614	3.577	365.6	5.6	5.7
Sto. Tomas		22.610	64.0	4.058	3.788	353.3	5.6	6.0
Santol		7.836	93.7	1.481	1.341	83.6	5.3	5.8
Serdinen		10.796	84.4	2.026	2.025	127.9	5.3	5.3
Tubao		17.604	56.8	2,900	2,790	309.9	6.1	6.3
Tubao		17,604	56.8	2,900	2,790	309.9	6.1	

- SE. 14 -

Table 2.3 (2/5) POPULATION, DENSITY, HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND DWELLING OCCUPANCY BY MUNICIPALITY: 1980

Administration	Population	Land Area	Households	Dwellings	Density	Household Size	Dwelling Occupancy
-			н. 1		(persons/	(persons/	(persons/
	(persons)	(km2)	(number)	(numbar)	km2)	household)	dwelling)
Danco of non	1.636 057	5.369.3	 905 790	786 873	20 201. 9		
Documen City	1,030,037	37.2	17:323	16.968	2663.7	5.7	J./ 5.9
San Carlos City	101.243	166.4	17,144	16,811	608.6	5.0	-6.0
Amo	17.243	165.2	3,257	3,248	104.4	53	5.3
Aguillar	22 080	152 9	3,257	3,240	144 4	5.5	5,3
Aleminos	47:715	159.2	9,184	9.046	299.7	5 2	5.0
Aleala	74 993	36.5	4.550	4.468	684.7	5.5	5.5
Ande	20,454	82.0	3,886	3 763	249.4	53	5.0
Aniagon	37 301	66 6	6 964	6 917	560 1	5.4	5%
Balungao	17, 362	93.8	3,316	3,316	186.9	5.2	5.2
Bani	29 102	152 7	5 712	5,652	1001 6	5 1	5.2
Booleto	17 191	15.6	7 993	2,934	1102.0	5.7	5.9
Boutieto	18 072	175.3	3,032	2,940	163.1	5.0	- 61
Bawambang	64 037	75 9	11:100	10 861	851.6	5.0	5.0
Binaloang	35 574	77.6	6 923	6 732	651.0 450 A	5.0	
	33,374	61 2	0,023	7 03/	430+4	5.0	
Dilmarey	973332	222.2	· 7 274	7,734	160 6	J.0 5 (6,0
BOIINAO	20,072	160 2	6 671	6 523	107.4	J.4 6.0	J.0
Bugarron	12 017	110 0	2 442	3 401	230.0	5.7	0.0
furgos	12,017	59.4	0 396	2,401	10/1/	5.7	5.5
Carasteo De sal	40,101	920°0	2 214	3 140	79.4	5.7	
Jasol T. C N.	10,957	230.9	3,214	3,149	/3+4	5.3	5.4
Infanca	12,323	247.4	2,024	2,473	49.0	4.9	5.0
Labrador	12,120	8/.8	2,221	2,194	138.0	5.5	3.3
Lingayen	03,187	07.1	10,939	10,737 ¹⁰ ,737	902.9	5.0	0.1
Madini.	15,979	230.3	3,089	3,088	57.0	5.2	5.2
Malasiqui	70,905	127.0	12,807	12,191	338.3	5.5	3.6
nanaoag	30,742	21.2	0,101	6,119	8.0011	6.0	0.0
Mangaldan	50,434	44.8	8,724	8,538	1125+8	5.8	3.9
Mangatarem	40,582	317.6	7,292	7,123	127.8	5.6	5.7
Mapandan	20,094	30.0	3,343	3,200	669.8	6.0	6.3
Natividad	15,246	- /6.8	2,734	2,705	198.5	5.6	5.6
Pozzurubio	38,257	134.6	6,831	6,578	284.2	5.6	5.8
Rosales	36,582	.68.4	6,563	0,441	534.8	5.6	5.7
San Fabian	42,018	92.4	7,272	7,104	454.7	5.8	5.9
San Jacinto	20,612	39.1	6,5/6	3,413	527.2	3.1	6,0
San Manuel	29,622	133.7	5,448	5,349	221.6	5,4	- 5.5
San Nicolas	23,243	210.2	4,407	4,368	110.6	5.3	5.3
San Quintin	20,835	115,9	-3,806	3,599	179.8	5.5	5.8
Sta. Barbara	37,001	77.4	6,324	6,284	478.0	5.9	5.9
Sta. Maria	19,018	69.5	3,437	3,375	273.6	5.5	5.6
Sto. Tomas	8,946	8.3	1,636	1,585	1077.8	5.5	5.6
Sison	25,053	97.7	4,777	4,553	256.4	5.2	5.5
Sual	15,796	150.3	3,022	3,006	105.1	5.2	5.3
Tayug	26,273	51.3	4,977	4,972	512.1	5.3	5.3
Umingan	41,364	264.6	7,380	7,268	156.3	5.6	5.7
Urbiztondo	27,348	81.8	4,615	4,593	334.3	5.9	6.0
Urdaneta	71,796	121.0	13,014	12,823	593.4	5.5	· 5.6
Villasis	39,126	75.8	7,232	7,091	516.2	5,4	5.5
Laoac *	19,252	40.5	3,587	3,542	475.4	5.4	5.4

* Created municipality March 5, 1979 under Batas Pambansa Blg. 18;

taken from Manaoag.

- SE. 15 -

Table 2.3 (3/5) POPULATION, DENSITY, HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND DWELLING OCCUPANCY BY MUNICIPALITY: 1980

Administration	Population	Land Area	Households	Dwellings	Density	Household Size	Dwelling Occupancy	
	(persons)	(km2)	(number)	(number)	(persons/ km2)	(persons/ household)	(persons/ dwelling)	
Region II		- 4 - 4 4 4 4 7 * * * *						
Tfugao	111.368	2.517.8	23,016	22,971	44.2	4,8	4.8	
Ronava	22,900	326.4	5,221	5,185	70.2	4.4	4.4	
Runoduan	9.857	260.3	1,973	1,950	37.9	5.0	5.1	
Kiengen	17,481	443.3	3,407	3,598	39.4	5.1	4.9	
Lagawa	15,075	432.1	3,438	3,398	34.9	4.4	4.4	
Lagut	11,017	104.6	2,077	2,055	105.3	5.3	5.4	
Mavuvao	24,022	540.9	4,849	4,763	44.4	5.0	5.0	
Potia	11,016	410.2	2,051	2,022	26.9	5.4	5.4	
Nueva Vizcava	241,690	3,903.9	45,029	44,861	61.9	5.4	5.4	
Ambaguio	3,856	185.6	785	785	20.8	4.9	4.9	
Aritao	22,004	265.6	3,968	3,968	82.8	5.5	5.5	
Bagabag	20,855	183.9	3,786	3,786	113.4	5.5	5.5	
Bambang	26,204	345.0	4,778	4,778	76.0	5.5	5.5	
Bayombong	32,066	136.0	6,204	6,204	235.8	5.2	5.2	
Diadi	8,605	181.2	1,675	1,656	47.5	5.1	5.2	
Dupax del Norte	16,743	347.3	3,177	3,135	48.2	5.3	5.3	
Dupax del Sur	9,632	374.7	1,756	1,755	25.7	5.5	5.5	
Kasiba	15,029	318.8	3,020	3,001	47.1	5.0	5.0	
Кауара	20,491	482.9	3,727	3,640	42.4	5.5	5.6	
Quezon	9,716	176.2	1,786	1,786	55.1	5.4	5.4	
Sta. Fe	6,338	310.0	1,164	1,164	20.4	5.4	5.4	
Solana	36,710	139.8	6,759	6,759	262.6	5.4	5.4	
Villa Verde (Ibung)	10,644	81.5	1,904	1,904	130.6	5.6	5.6	
Alfonso Castaneda *	2,797	375.4	540	540	7.5	5.2	5.2	

Created municipality April 20, 1979 under Batas Pambansa Blg. 27;
 taken from Dupax del Sur.

- SE. 16 -
Table 2.3 (4/5) POPULATION, DENSITY, HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND DWELLING OCCUPANCY BY MUNICIPALITY: 1980

	•	1.444 1414	Hessenorus	DWOTTINGS	DOUDICY	HORSenord	Dwerring
Administration		Area				Size	Occupancy
					(persons/	(persons/	(persons/
· .	(persons)	(km2)	(number)	(number)	km2)	household)	dwelling)
Region III	an gan da fat fat fat fat fat an an gat an an gat fat gan gan gan ga	*********					, an an an an de 14 ag 14 an an an an an an
Nueva Ecija	1,069,409	5,284.3	186,489	185,444	202.4	5.7	5.8
Cabanatuan City	138,298	192.7	24,057	23,935	717.7	5,7	5.8
Palayan City	14,959	35.6	2,422	2,422	420.2	6.2	6.2
San Jose City	64,254	180.5	11,818	11,660	356.0	5.4	5.5
Aliaga	32,349	115.6	5,672	5,571	279.8	5.7	5.8
Bongabon	32,451	260.2	5,516	5,496	124.7	5.9	5.9
Cabiao	37,922	112.5	6,009	6,009	337.1	6.3	6.3
Carranglaan	19,891	751.2	3,652	3,645	26.5	5.4	5.5
Сцуаро	39,654	167.5	7,662	7,584	236.7	5.2	5.2
Gabaldon	17,169	228.2	3,001	2,998	75.2	5.7	5.7
Gapan	60,014	134.2	10,026	9,927	447.2	6.0	6.0
Gen.M. Natividad	17,388	95.1	2,979	2,969	182.8	5.8	5.9
Gen. Tinio	23,406	682.3	3,845	3,821	34.3	6.1	.6.1
Guimba	58,847	94.5	10,749	10,612	622.7	5.5	5.5
Jaen	39,064	95.6	6,607	6,604	408.6	5.9	5.9
Laur	17,729	57.5	3,140	3,132	308.3	5.6	5.7
Licab	14,543	56.3	2,566	2,561	258.3	5.7	5.7
Llanera	18,652	118.0	3,335	3,297	158.1	5.6	5.7
Lupao	23,050	151.7	4,154	4,133	151.9	5.5	5.6
Munoz	43,211	231.3	7,416	7,346	186.8	5.8	5.9
Nampicuan	7,597	52.6	1,361	1,337	144.4	5.6	5.7
Pantabangan	13,916	376.6	2,534	2,534	37.0	5.5	5.5
Penaranda	16,753	94.2	2,910	2,910	177.8	5.8	5.8
Quezon	20,846	75.1	3,621	3,616	277.6	5.8	5.8
Rizal	31,407	158.8	5,546	5,544	197.8	5.7	5.7
San Antonio	42,969	171.7	7,490	7,483	250.3	5.7	5.7
San Isidro	28,550	56.4	4,941	4,871	505.2	5.8	5.9
San Leonardo	34,706	60.1	5,727	5,742	577.5	6.1	6.0
Sta. Rosa	32,424	144.2	5,587	5,587	224.9	5.8	5.8
Sto. Domingo	29,013	74.9	5,069	5,066	387.4	5.7	5.7
Talavera	62,225	111.8	10,464	10,425	556.6	5.9	6.0
Talugtog	11,734	51.8	2,291	2,291	226.5	5.1	5.1
Zaragoza	24,418	95.6	. 4,322	4,316	255.4	5.6	5.7
	·	· ·· ·		.*			
Pampanga							
Mabalacar.	80,966	152.6	13,236	13,164	530.6	6.1	6.2
· · · · · ·		·					

- SE. 17 -

Table 2.3 (5/5) POPULATION, DENSITY, HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND DWELLING OCCUPANCY BY MUNICIPALITY: 1980

Administration	Population	Land Area	Households	Dwellings	Density	Household Size	Dwelling Occupancy
. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					(persons/	(persons/	(persons/
	(persons)	(kw2)	(number)	(number)	km2)	household)	dwelling)
Tarlac	688,457	3,053.4	122,474	119,483	225.5	5.6	5.8
Anao	6,519	23.9	1,192	1,184	272.8	5.5	5.5
Bamban	26,072	133.1	4,114	4,114	195.9	6.3	6.3
Caviling	53,860	140.5	10,497	10,364	383.3	5.1	5.2
Capas	46,523	440.0	7,513	7,442	105.7	6.2	6.3
Concepcion	80,647	245.7	12,936	12,627	328.2	6.2	6.4
Gerona	50,433	141.4	9,249	9,189	356.7	5.5	5.5
La Paz	35,330	114.3	5,997	5,902	309.1	5.9	6.0
Mayantoc	17,135	354.6	3,257	3,248	48.3	5.3	5.3
Moncada	34,451	85.7	6,058	5,971	402.0	5.7	5.8
Paniqui	55,006	105.2	9,990	9,910	522.9	5.5	5.6
Fura	14,801	31.0	2,598	2,590	477.5	5.7	5.7
Ramos	11,215	24.4	2,020	2,006	459.6	5.6	· 5.6
San Clemente	7,117	48.6	1,386	1,381	146.4	5.1	5.2
San Manuel	13,491	42.1	2,364	2,354	320.5	5.7	5.7
Santa Ignacia	25,224	75.4	4,726	4,696	334.5	5.3	5.4
Tarlac	175,691	936.0	29,931	29,873	187.7	5.9	5.9
Victoria	34,942	111.5	8,646	6,632	313.4	4.0	5.3
Zambales	444,037	3,714.4	87,034	85,323	119.5	5.1	5.2
Olongapo City	156,430	103.3	32,641	32,350	1514.3	4.8	4.8
Botolan	27,125	613.7	5,026	4,992	44.2	5.4	5.4
Cabangan	11,636	239.4	2,914	2,307	48.6	4.0	5.0
Candelaria	15,686	437.6	2,786	2,520	35.8	5.6	6.2
Castillejos	19,154	86.5	3,622	3,620	221.4	5.3	5.3
Iba	22,791	153.4	4,028	3,902	148.6	5.7	5.8
Masinloc	27,735	256.0	4,915	4,801	108.3	5.6	5.8
Palaing	17,176	310.0	2,933	2,903	55.4	5.9	5.9
San Antonio	22,382	205.0	4,516	4,461	109.2	5.0	. 5.0
San Felipe	13,834	103.7	2,706	2,610	133.4	5.1	5.3
San Marcelino	24,964	440.9	4,980	4,952	56.6	5.0	5.0
San Narciso	19,119	71.6	3,784	3,749	267.0	5.1	5.1
Sta. Cruz	35,665	414.1	6,482	6,454	86.1	5.5	5.5
Subic	30,340	279.2	5,701	5,702	108.7	5.3	5.3

Sources of Basic Data:

 "Special Report No. 2 - 1980 Census of Population by Municipality and Barangay." National Statistics Office

2. "Special Report No. 3 - Philippines 1980 : Population,Land Area and Density 1970,1975 and 1980." National Statistics Office

3. "Special Report No. 5 - 1980 Census of Population and Housing : Housing Characteristics of Occupied Dwelling Units by Region, Province, City and Municipality." National Statistics Office

Table 2.4 (1/2) PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE STUDY AREA BY MUNICIPALITY:

1985-2030

Ļ

Deseta est					Projected	ropilatios	• :				Land		Density	
Province) Numicipality	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	(km2)	2010 10	2020	2) 2030

Reguio City	27,652	31,673	35,688	39,447	42,856	45,851	48,634	51,379	53,872	55,900	14.7	3119	3495	380
étok	344	403	463	521	576	615	672	718	760	795	2.7	232	265	29
Bokod	12,/33	14,173	15,555	16,786	17,846	18,726	19,527	20,327	21,050	21,672	404.0	46	50	5
Suguias	16,184	18,444	20,685	22,766	24,639	26,270	27,781	29,272	30,625	31,721	115.9	227	253	274
Itogen	53,216	59,932	65,484	72,430	11,109	07,191	86,303	90,381	94,070	97,025	415.Z	198	218	234
Esbayan	8,365	9,337	10,713	11,798	2,000	13,603	14,394	15,167	15,868	16,435	142.0	56	107	
La ITIKLAN Taba	18,004	20.964	23,980	26.874	29.567	11.987	34.258	16.499	18 546	40 710	117 2	203	1070	1153
Tubley	2,238	2,551	2,861	3,149	3,408	3,653	3,842	4,048	4,235	4,387	17.0	214	238	258
Sub Total	140,109	159,266	178,223	195,788	211,556	225,314	237,941	250,482	261,863	271,073	1271.2	177	197	213
La Union														
hego	2,608	2,904	3,207	3,498	3,767	4,004	4,228	4,408	4,6/9	4,869	9.1	440	490	-53
Iosario Sto. Tomes	21,094	276	35,318	39,521	×3,352 349	368	30,820	406	424	60,899	9.6	905 614	676	73
Sub Tozal	29,952	34,285	38,826	43,345	47,668	51,633	55,435	59,300	62,989	66,208	57. ¥	895	1028	114
·····				·····										
Pergasinan						• • • • •		/			·	5. 		
Degupen City	107.037	116,211	125,465	134,369	147,317	148,752	154,392	166,099	2 165,484	169,983	31.2	3999	4304	456
San Carlos City	110,244	119,636	129,163	138,330	145,513	153,137	158,943	164,819	170,362	174,994	166.4	920	990	105
Agultar	24,226	26,476	28,770	30,594	33,003	34,639	36,125	37,396	38,950	40,140	131.9 131.9	22/	245	26
ALCALS.	21,213	41 543	C85.14	09140 09140	501,05	54.550	49.551	50.616	46.030 St 604	57.559	54.5	179	1113	516
asicgen	37,36/ 18.884	20.403	72.174	73.694	25.094	26.231	27.224	28.232	29.187	29.925	11.8	280	301	32
Jasirca	18.719	20.314	21.937	23,489	24,878	26.003	26.938	27,986	28,927	29,714	15.6	1467	1794	190
Lauriera	19,726	21,454	23,210	24,903	26,420	27,656	28,743	29,840	30,873	31,737	126.3	219	236	- 25
Baymbaog	69,730	75,671	81 697	87 495	92,670	96,850	100,533	104,249	107,755	110,645	75.2	1288	1386	147:
Rinalonan	37,849	40,201	42, 355	44,762	46,545	48,051	49,299	50,498	51,711	52,715	12.6	619	651	67
Rinmley	51,540	55,931	60, 385	64 671	68,496	71,593	74,307	77,054	79,646	81,811	61.2	1170	1239	133
Ingelion	42,480	46,464	50,528	54,472	38,040	60,968	63,599	66,218	68,680	70,744	167.6	354	395	42
Calasiao	53,582	59,383	65,365	71,250	76,679	81,297	\$5,467	89,574	93,440	95,100	50.4	1522	1677	181
Infasta	134	146	157	168	178	. 186	193	201	207	213	29.7	. 6	7	1
Labrador	. 11,347	12,617	13,494	14,327	15,057	15,629	15,172	16,629	17,112	17,513	47.4	330	351	365
Lingsyea	70,982	-77,030	63,164	89.065	54,235	98,600	102,338	106,121	269	112,073	4.7	1406	1368	160
Be of Mil	27 209	. 49 787	90.559	95.879	107.609	107.749	111.315	115.430	119.312	122.556	127.0	844	909	95
Kanang	41.448	46.475	51.708	55.919	51,801	66.044	67,902	73,722	77,297	80,327	27.2	2428	2710	295
Mangeldan	55,281	60,358	65, 531	10,541	75,050	78,775	82,064	85,366	88,472	91,074	4.8	1758	1905	203
Kanganaren	44,247	48,074	51,959	55,702	59,050	51,770	64,158	66,570	68,854	70,747	317.6	194	210	22
Zapenian	21,580	23,745	25,635	27,455	29,079	30,394	31,546	32,712	33,812	34,732	38.0	1013	1090	115
Bari vided	16,324	17,442	18,563	19,673	20,540	21,244	21,845	22,472	23,071	23,568	76.8	277	293	30
Forsurabio	41,577	45,037	48,542	51,909	54,903	57,315	59,424	61,563	63,584	65,270	134.6	426	457	. 48.
Resalas	19,834	43,228	44,670	49,983	52,939	22,333	27,431	47 482	61,337	50 839	47 5	1047	1177	119
Şan Feblen	37,928	34,735	32,324	40,187	10 127	11,510	32.767	47,002 16.015	15,197	16,176	39.1	206	870	97
San Jacinto	17 319	11 077	17 672	40,310	42.550	44.557	46.217	47.900	49.45R	50,815	133.7	333	358	38
San Nicolas	74.895	26.607	78.326	29.951	31.350	32.439	33,363	34,325	35,245	36,008	210.2	154	163	17
San Opintia	22.391	24.004	25.628	27,168	28,510	29,555	30,454	31,392	32,266	33,000	115.9	255	271	28
Sta. Barbara	40,268	43,617	47,132	50,456	53,419	35,815	57,914	60,039	62,044	63,720	77.4	721	776	82
Sta Maria	20,709	22,473	24,263	25,985	27,522	28,765	29,857	30,950	32,002	32,872	69.5	414	445	- 47
Sto. Tomas	9,575	10,227	10,882	11,500	12,034	12,444	12,796	13,159	13,507	13,797	8.3	1499	1585	165
Sizen	27,078	29,183	31,308	33, 337	35,124	36,542	37,771	39,029	40,220	41,213	97.7	374	399	. 42
Tayug	28,274	30,351	32,442	34,429	36,165	37,524	34,694	39,900	41,047	42,001	51.3	731	778	81
Galogan	44,591	48,390	57,243	55,951	59,280	61,940	64,289	65,666	68,907	70,781	259.3	239	257	27
Urbiscondo	30,594	34,049	37,618	41,145	44,417	47,222	49,753	52,265	54,618	56,606	81.6	579	641	69
Urdsneta	79,375	87,357	95,540	103,534	- 110,833	116,953	122,410	127,860	132,972	137,271	121.0	967	1057	113
Villasis Laoac	42,912 21,630	46,879 24,163	50,924 26,792	54,843 29,400	58,381 31,831	61,297 33,931	63,875 35,833	66,464 37,718	68,899 39,483	70,940 40,976	75.8 40.5	809 818	877 931	. 93 101
Sub Total	1,520,544	1,652,947	1,787,618	1,917,650	2,034,263	2,129,378	2,213,148	2,297,652	2,377,414	2,444,160	3530.0	603	631	- 69
			••••											
itugao Banawa	257	287	318	349	377	491	422	445	456	484	6.5	6Z	68	1
Fungdame	2,158	2,358	2,563	2,758	2,932	3,073	3,197	3,327	3,452	3,550	78.1	19	43	
Kinngan	1,942	2,152	2,367	2,578	2,769	2,978	3,072	3,220	3,361	3,442	66.5	44	48	:
Sab Tozal	4,356	4,797	5,248	3,684	6,078	6,402	6,692	6,991	7,278	7,526	151.1	42	46	
									,	•••••				
iveva Viscaya	-	•												
Kayana	8,776	9,328	9,838	10,270	19,609	10,851	11,072	11,346	11,636	11,911	144.9	75	78	1
Sta. Fe	725	819	913	1,003	· I,085	1,157	1,224	1,294	1,363	1,453	124.0	9	10	1
and share the second	100 A. 100 A.													

- SE. 19 -

Table 2.4 (2/2) PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE STUDY AREA BY MUNICIPALITY:

1985-2030

Province					ttolscred t	opuistion.	***********		********		- Ásta		pereous/kml	1)
Subicipality	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	1025	2050	(101)	3010	2020	2030
inera Zeila	*****													
Carrangian	2.216	2.451	2.690	2.918	3.125	3.298	3.454	3.607	3.750	3.872	112.7	29	- 32	:
Curtano	41.207	. 47.888	\$7.850	52.016	61.045	44.411	67. 471	70.475	71.769	15.640	164.2	192	429	- 41
())={	11 050	14 411	18 279	17 010	18 315	14 209	55 070	20 414	21 740	37.470	17.8	50.8	554	- 59
Calland	131003	141413	2.041	171010	10,233	17,200	201010	·· 10,333	411140	4 231	45.5		**	
Legao	31344	4,000		35474	3,309	3,050	3,044	1001	41140	41471	43.5		251	
HENDICOFF	81470	4,101	10,114	10,910	11,833	11,139	141193	13,318	13,610	747 241	36.4			
Sub Toral	69,541	76,813	84,178	91,225	97,566	102,181	107,644	112,350	116,733	120,451	612.8	249	272	25
	**********						********	*			********			
Kabalacat	944	1,091	1,248	1,405	1,557	1,693	1,819	1,943	2,059	2.158	30.5	55	64	. 1
Sub Total	944	1.091	1,248	1,405	1,557	1,693	1,819	1,943	2,039	2,158	31	55	64	1
				********					******					
atlac				· .				· · · ·						
Anso	7,145	7.782	8,411	9,002	9,520	9,935	19,292	10,638	10,953	11,211	23.9	616	445	40
Bauban	2,921	3,245	3,572	3,888	4,175	4,416	4,630	4,835	5,022	5,177	79.9	55	61	
Carillag	54,990	60,060	62,991	65,599	67,677	69,081	70,179	71,328	72,403	73,252	140.5	692	508	\$
Capas	10.108	11 334	12.360	13,338	14,210	14,928	15,554	16,158	15,708	17,159	352.0	42	46	· · ·
Garona	55.667	41.003	65.321	71.366	75.840	79.488	42.655	83.717	88.501	90,786	141.4	562	604	6
Neventor	12.565	19.999	21.402	22.702	23.813	24.570	25,351	26.100	26.747	27.271	347.5	71	75	
ayeuro.	37 417	. 41 153	44 754	42 110	31,110	13 513	33,447	\$2.702	39. 557	61.080	85.7	525	473	7
VOIC S OF	37,617	41,173		10,100	31,119	33,352	78 344	76 861	78 105	70 444	105.2	201	231	
wadar	36,733	67,420	63,773	09,192	11,040	13,740	13,479	101001	28,305		10514		750	1
	18,045	17,494	18,314	13,943	20,614	21,303	21,774	24,014	45,117	23,030	39.00		,,,,,	
lanos .	12,185	13,159	14,116	15,005	15,770	16,355	16,8/0	17,363	17,814	15,179	24.4	0/1	×12	
San Clements	7,821	8,515	9,244	9,913	10,501	10,975	11, 385	11,781	12,142	12,43/	48.0	220	242	. 1
San Hanuel	14,825	16,179	17 523	18,790	19,906	20,805	21,581	22 332	23,016	23,576	42.1	474	530	3
Santa Ignacia	27,718	30, 250	32,762	55,132	37,218	38,899	40,349	41,755	43,033	44,050	75.4	516	554	54
Terlat	137,521	152, 525	167,663	182,247	195,444	206,520	215,295	225,705	231,259	241,557	842.4	215	164	- 11
Victoria	3,749	4,002	4,247	4,470	4,657	4,795	4,90#	5,021	5,126	5,209	33.5	143	150	1
Sub Total	467,013	508,977	549,855	588,419	622,303	649,540	\$73,040	695,910	716,765	733,851	2373.5	274	293	- 30
												••••••		
adales										(23	10.1			1
erolan	296	320	343	363	318	390	004	407	413	422	39.1	. 33		
andelaria .	180	204	229	251	272	289	305	320	. 332	342	87.3			
asicloc	525	376	427	477	523	564	601	636	. 667	691	25.6	. 22	25	
alacis	194	216	238	258	276	291	303	315	336	333	3.1	94	101	1
ita. Grus	415	477	540	600	656	704	748	789	825	\$33	62.1	11	11	
Sub Toral	1,410	1,354	1,777	1,930	2,105	2,238	2,357	2,469	2,557	2,641	209.0	11	12	
TUDY Area										11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11				
Total	2244171	2449917	2637723	2856741	3034790	3181086	3310373	3439736	3350666	3661431	8304.7	383	414	- 44
Average Annual Growth Rate (1)	1.85	1.77	1.64	1.45	1.22	0.95	0.80	0.77	0.49	0,56				
agion I	······				<u>.</u>									<u> </u>
Pagulation	3902587	4291931	4689760	5072984	\$421838	5720543	5995381	6275399	6541712	6770355	· .			
MAGE (1)	1.96	1.92	1.79	1.58	4,34	1.08	0.94	0.92	0.83	0.69				
sion II									1.1	· .				
Population	2520974	2846695	3167116	3517945	3834664	#116473	4382957	4657053	4923946	5163457		÷.,		
AACR (X)	2.62	2.45	2.27	2.03	1.74	1.43	1.25	1.22	1.32	0.95				
gion III					1									
Population	5456140	8141618	6841231	752887.5	8162034	8713196	9214615	970077a	10143410	10309601				
AACR (E)	2.52	7.60	2.19	1.43	1.63	1.37	1.13	1.01	0,90	0.71				
tituninae	2.70	****	4.13							****				
Developters	6///*****	61/00180	(412/077	10003007	01 50/071		41124714	474814.91	107686339	107133435				
C 1120111.8.C 1.000	14569331	A1400160	00474011	266.0035	4133/0351	3/200445	744427119	21001031	*******	1011534113				
		a 44				• • • •			13.451	A 40				

Source of Easic Datas "Philippins Population Projections 1980-2030" Nedium Assumption: Hoderate Tertility Decline and Moderate Mortality Decline National Economics and Development Authority

- SE. 20 -

Table 2.5 PROJECTED POPULATION IN THE INUNDATED AREA BY MUNICIPARITY

.1	۵	A	۵		2	ត	3	۵	
	У	ο	У	-	4	υ	Э	U	

Province/					1	Projected Fo	pulation				Land	Populatio	n Danait
TRUE CEPARES	1989	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2013	2020	2025	2030	()ar2)	1989	2030
La Unica Rosario	30,258	31,105	35,318	39,521	43,552	47,260	50,820	54,436	57,886	60,899	10	3,026	6,090
Fangasioso													
Aguilar	13,979	14,218	15,449	16,644	17,723	18,612	19, 199	20,189	20,932	21,553	24	582	898
Alcals	29,055	29,534	31,685	34,148	36,168	37,804	39,237	40,687	42,055	43,199	35	807	1,200
Asingan	41,103	41,543	43,694	45,692	47,362	48,339	49,553	50,636	51,696	32,369	67	613	785
Balungso	10,189	10,349	11,173	11,966	12,673	13,247	13,749	14,257	14,737	15,137	30	268	398
Datisca Reublers	19,984	20.314	21,932	23,488	24 878	26,003	26,988	27,986	28,927	29,719	61 61	1,249	1,057
Reverbace	68.741	49.444	29.406	80.238	45 51	89.403	97 207	44,040	201912	102 162	70	597	1 1 20
Binalonan	34.643	35.055	37,108	39.032	40.674	41.900	42.959	44.034	45.092	45.967	61	568	754
Singeley	54,312	55,204	59,600	63,830	67.605	70.662	73.341	76.052	78.611	\$0.747	67	876	1.107
Sugallon	15,400	15,658	17,028	18,357	19.559	20,553	21,403	22,315	23,145	23.841	29	511	822
Calasiao	38,173	59,383	65,365	71,250	76,579	81,297	83,447	69,574	93,440	96.700	54	1.077	1.791
Labrador	131	139	144	130	166	172	177	183	145	193	2	66	97
Laone	23,634	24,163	26,792	29,400	31,831	33,931	35,833	37,718	39,483	40,976	5 41	576	999
Lingayon	15,264	76.491	82,583	88,443	93,675	97,910	101,622	105,378	108,923	111,684	. 58	1,298	1,929
Malesiqui	57,714	38,651	63,321	67,815	71,826	75,074	77,921	80,801	83,518	85,789	92	627	932
nanzoag	78,931	40,739	5,348	49,918	34,199	57,921	61,304	64.654	67.789	70,447	19	2,096	3,708
nengaldan	20,114	57 099	61,992	66,732	71,007	74,525	77,633	80,756	83,695	86,136	- 43	1,247	1,915
rungataran	11,510	11,082	12,020	13,236	14 349	12*010	13,390	16,177	16,732	17,192	58	198	296
Papandan Pa	23,300	23,743	23,033	17,433	29,079	30,394	31,346	32,712	33,812	34,732	30	119	1,158
ALLIVIGRO	10,001	10,013	11,301	12,009	12,570	13,001	13,359	11,751	14,119	14,424	15	702	962
rossorubio	19,820	33 100	21,078	23,203	24,542	25,520	26,563	27,519	28,422	29,176	32	620	912
Con Pobles	34,000	1 100	33,843	30,36/	40,007	42,495	44,107	45,/3/	47,276	48,551	: 48	681	1,012
San Indens	1 10 242	10 404	21 201	1,007	1,703	1,780	1,647	1,912	1,980	2,034	12	114	110
San Hanual	22.082	27.450	21,304	15 010	24 240	23,382	26,3//	27,362	20,330	29,122	10	692	1,040
San Ricolan	8.496	8,621	9,179	9 704	10 157	20,030	10 810	30,800	31,821	32,074	20	423	511
Sen Duintin	11,101	13.298	14,198	15 051	15 795	101010	10,010	11,121	11,417	18.252	24	446	747
Sta. Barbara	39.151	39,790	42.937	45.965	48,465	50 847	52.760	46 606	17,072	58.049	69	567	841
Sta. Maria	22.109	22.473	24.263	25.935	27.572	28.766	20 857	30 960	12 002	32.872	69	320	476
Sto. Tunas	10.093	10.227	10.882	11,500	12.034	12.444	12.704	11,150	13, 507	13,797	8	1.262	1.725
Sieon	6,909	7.004	3.514	8.001	8,430	8.770	9.065	9.367	9, 553	9,891	12	376	824
Tayug	29,924	30.351	32,442	34.429	36.166	37.524	38.694	19,900	61.067	42,001	51	587	824
Uningen	19,670	19,985	21,575	23,108	24 47	25,581	26.551	27,533	28.459	29,233	37	532	790
Urbizzondo	33,329	34,049	37,618	41,146	44,417	47,222	49,753	31,265	54.418	36,606	82	406	690
Urdaneta	79,200	80,718	43,279	95,665	102,410	108,085	113,107	118,143	122,865	126,838	111	714	1,143
Villasis	38,111	38,769	42,114	45,335	48,281	50,693	32,823	54,966	56,979	38,667	60	635	978
Dagupen City	111,196	113,073	122,077	130,741	138 474	144,736	150,223	155,776	161,016	165,393	38	2,926	4,352
San Carlos City	117,696	119,636	129,163	138,330	146,513	153,137	158,943	164,819	170,362	174,994	167	705	1,048
Tarlao										-	-		
Anao	7,630	7,782	8,411	9,002	9,520	5,935	10,292	10,638	10,953	11,211	24	319	. 467
Caniling	37,381	37,778	39,621	41,262	42,569	43,452	44,143	44,865	45,541	46,076	90	415	512
Gerone	42,641	43,434	47,221	50,813	53,998	56,595	38,850	61,031	63,013	64,640	76	561	851
Honcede	40,452	41,193	44,754	48,130	51,119	53,552	55,662	57,702	59,557	61,080	. 86	470	710
Paniqui	14,187	14,357	15,174	15,914	16 523	16,952	17,318	17,678	18,010	18,276	87	163	210
rura	14,424	14,054	15,700	16,659	17,481	18,116	18,651	19,177	19,655	20,045	23	628	877
REEOS	12,958	13,159	14,116	15,005	15,770	16,365	16,870	17,363	17,814	18,179	25	510	727
Sin Cleance	3,509	3,308	5,804	4,144	4,389	4,585	4,759	4,924	5,075	5,199	13	270	. 400
San nanuel	12,839	10,179	11,323	18,790	19,905	20,805	21,581	22,332	23,015	23,576	42	379	561
Towles	1,400	1,512	010,1		1,861	1,945	2,017	2,088	2,152	2,204		372	551
Victoria	119	120	13,988	26,246	30,294	. 32,011	33,526	J4,984 151	35,310	156	35	119	1,069
													/
nueva Ecija Ourono						· · · · ·		• • •				·	
Current	14,009	14,643	16,290	37,675	15,924	19,974	20,917	21,847	22,713	23,448	51	283	460
Nampicuan	9,035	9,261	10,114	10,926	1,276	12,256	1,405	1,466	1,522	1,309	21 53	171	265
Intel for the			-			• • •							•
Inundated Area	1,557,821	1,584,434	1,715,428	1,841,662	1,954,871	2,047,534	2,129,285	2,211,378	2,288,579	2,353,190	2,465	632	955
Average Annual	•		1990-95	1995-2000	2000-05	2005-10	2010-15	2015-20	2020-25	2025-30			
Growth Rate (1/yr)			1.60	1.43	1.20	0.93	0.79	0.76	0.69	0.56			

- SE. 21 -

Table	2.6	GROWTH	RATES	of	GROSS	DOMES	STIC	PRODUCT	,
		PHILIP	INES	AND	REGION	{ I:	1972	-1982	

•••••	Ph	ilippine	8			Regio	n 1 : I	Locos
Year	GDP at C 1972 P (million	onstant rices pesos)	Annual Growth Rate (%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GD (m	P at C 1972 P illion	onstant rices pesos)	Annual Growth Rate (%)
1972		56,464	, aa su <u>es</u> no de alt au 1,7 49 89				na	
1973		60,931	7.91			•	na	• *
1974		64,139	5.26	1.19			na	
1975		68,437	6.70			-	2795	
1976		73,922	8.01				2821	0.93
1977	· .	78,467	6.15	14			3009	6.66
1978	· · · ·	82,784	5.50				3076	2.23
1979	e de la companya de l	87,962	6.25		· .	÷	3371	9.59
1980	and the second	92,568	5.24		1		3500	3.83
1981	· .	96,208	3.93			1.1	3769	7.69
1982		98,999	2.90	1			3983	5.68
•								
			57 07					36.60
TOTAL	f Watal	1.1	57.07				1 A.	5.23
Average O	Compound	Pato	5 78			1. A.		
TAIS-TARS	Compound	Rate	5 20					5.19
1912-1985	Compound	Nale	5.46	·		· · ·		5.15

na - data not available

Source of Basic Data: National Statistical Coordination Board

Table 2.7 PROJECTED GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, PHILIPPINES: 1989-2010

	Average Growth	GDP at Constant	Implicit Price	GDP at Current	GDP at Constant	Population	Per Capit	a GDP (pesor	s/person)
Year	Rate	1972 Prices	Index	Pricas	1989 Prices	(thousand	at Current	at Constant	at Constant
	(X/yr)	(million pesos)	(1972-100)	(million pesos)	(million pases)	parsons)	Prices	1972 Prices	1989 Prices
1987	4.7	95,434	739.22	705,467	832,424	57,356	12,300	1,664	14,513
1988	6.6	101,759	807.64	821,840	887,587	58,721	13,996	1,733	15,115
1989	5.5	107,356	872.23	936,412	936,412	60,097	15,582	1,786	15,582
1990	6.8	114,626	928.95	1,064,815	999,826	61,480	17,320	1,864	16,263
1991	6.8	122,389	980.04	1,199,456	1,067,536	62,868	19,079	1,947	16,981
1992	6.8	130,677	1033.94	1,351,122	1,139,830	64,259	21,026	2,034	17,738
1993	6.8	139,526	1116.66	1,558,031	1,217,021	65,649	23,733	2,125	18,538
1994	6.8	148,975	1205.99	1,796,626	1,299,438	67,038	26,800	2,222	19,384
1995	6.6	159,064	1302.47	2,071,759	1,387,438	68,424	30,278	2,325	20,277
1996	6.9	170,036	1406.67	2,391,844	1,483,144	69,804	34,265	2,436	21,247
1997	6.9	181,766	1519.20	2,761,383	1,585,453	71,175	38,797	2,554	22,275
1998	6.9	194,304	1640.74	3,188,014	1,694,819	72,536	43,951	2,679	23,365
1999	6.9	207,707	1771-99	3,680,560	1,811,728	73,886	49,814	2,811	24,521
2000	6.9	222,033	1913.75	4,249,204	1,936,703	75,224	56,487	2,952	25,746
2001	72	238,117	2066,85	4,921,529	2,076,977	76,456	64,371	3,114	27,166
2002	7.2	255,364	2232.20	5,700,232	2,227,412	77,709	73,354	3,286	28,663
2003	7.2	273,859	2410,78	6,602,144	2,388,742	78,892	83,686	3,471	30,279
2004	7.2	293,695	2603.64	7,646,761	2,561,757	80,276	95,256	3,659	31,912
2005	7.2	314,967	2811.93	8,856,660	2,747,303	81,591	108,550	3,860	33,672
2006	8.0	340,296	3036.89	10,334,410	2,968,237	82,684	124,987	4,116	35,899
2007	8.0	367, 562	3279.84	12,058,726	3,206,938	83,792	143,913	4,388	38,273
2008	8.0	397,229	3542.23	14,070,747	3,464,835	84,915	165,704	4,678	40,804
2009	8.0	429,174	3825.60	16,418,478	3,743,471	86,053	190,795	4,987	43,502
2010	8.0	463,687	4131.65	19,157,932	4,044,515	87,206	219,685	5,317	46,379

Note : GDP projections are for the "high growth" scenario.

Table 2.8 PROJECTED GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, REGION I (ILOCOS):1989-2010

1. T.	Avarage Growth	COP at	Implicit . Price	COP at	GDP at Constant	Population	Per Capi	ta GDP (peso:	/person)
Year	Rate (1/yr)	1972 Prices (million pesos)	Index (1972-100)	Prices (million pesos)(1989 Prices million pesos)	(Thousand parsons)	at Current Prices	at Constant 1972 Prices	at Constant 1989 Prices
1987	1.4	4,323	707.25	30,577	36,143	4,056	7,539	1,066	8,91
1988	4.3	4,507	774.06	34,888	37,679	4,133	8,441	1,090	9,117
1989	5.9	4,773	835.98	39,902	39,902	4,212	9,473	1,133	9,47:
1990	5.9	5,055	890.32	45,003	42,257	4,292	10,486	1,178	9,840
1991	5.2	5,317	939.29	49,938	44,446	4,371	11,425	1,216	10,16
1992	5.2	5,592	990.95	55,414	46,748	4,452	12,447	1,256	10,50
1993	5.2	5,882	1070.23	62,947	49,170	4,531	13,893	1,298	10,85
1994	5.2	6,186	1155.85	71,504	51,717	4,611	15,507	1,342	11,210
1995	5.2	6,507	1248.31	81,225	54,396	4,690	17,319	1,387	11,598
1996	5.2	6,844	1348.18	92,267	57,214	4,768	19,351	1,435	11,999
1997	5.2	7,198	1456.03	104,811	60,177	4,846	21,628	1,485	12,41
1998	5.2	7,571	1572.52	119,060	63,295	4,923	24,184	1,538	12,85
.1999	5,2	7,963	1698.32	135,245	66,573	4,998	27,060	1,593	13,32
2000	5.2	8,376	1834.18	153,631	70,022	5,073	30,284	1,651	13,803
2001	5.6	8,848	1980.92	175,267	73,966	5,141	34,092	1,721	14,38
2002	5.6	9,346	2139.39	199,950	78,132	5,210	38,378	1,794	14,99
2003	5.6	9,873	2310.54	228,109	82,533	5,280	43,202	1,870	15,63
2004	5,6	10,429	2495.39	260,234	87,181	5,350	48,642	1,949	16,29
2005	5,6	11,016	2695.02	296,882	92,092	5,422	54.757	2,032	16,98
2006	5.6	11,636	2910.62	338,692	97,279	5,481	61,794	2,123	17,74
2007	5.6	12,292	3143.47	386,390	102,758	5,540	69,746	2,219	18,54
2008	5.6	12,984	3394.95	440,806	108,546	5,599	78,729	2,319	19,38
2009	5.6	13,715	3666.54	502,884	114,659	5,660	88,849	2,423	20,25
2010	5.6	14,488	3959.87	573,705	121.117	5,721	100.287	2.533	21 17

Note : GDP projections are for the "high growth" scenario.

- SE. 23 -

Table 2	. 9	PROJECTED	GROSS	DOMESTIC	PRODUCT.	STUDY	AREA	:1989-	-20	10
---------	-----	-----------	-------	----------	----------	-------	------	--------	-----	----

	Average	GDP at	Implicit	GDP at	GDP at Constant	Population	Per Capit	ta GDP (peso:	/person)
Year	Rate	1972 Prices	Index	Prices	1989 Prices	(thousand	at Current	at Constant	at Constant
	(%/yr)	(million pesos)	(1972-100)	(million pasos)	(million pasos)	parsons)	Prices	1972 Prices	1989 Prices
1987	1.4	2,477	707.25	17,521	20,709	2,324	7,539	1,066	8,911
1988	4.3	2,579	774.06	19,963	21,561	2,365	8,441	1,090	9,117
1989	5.9	2,728	835.98	22,802	22,802	2,407	9,473	1,133	9,473
1990	5.9	2,885	890.32	25,690	24,121	2,450	10,486	1,178	9,846
1991	5.2	3,029	939.29	28,449	25,320	2,490	11,425	1,216	10,168
1992	5.2	3,179	990.95	31,503	26,576	2,531	12,447	1,256	10,500
1993	5.2	3,339	1070.23	35,739	27,916	2,572	13,893	1,298	10,852
1994	5.2	3,508	1155.85	40,545	29,326	2,615	15,507	1,342	11,216
1995	5.2	3,687	1248.31	46,029	30,825	2,658	17,319	-1,387	11,598
1996	5.2	3,870	1348.18	52,175	32,354	2,696	19,351	1,435	11,999
1997	5.2	4,063	1456.03	59,160	33,967	2,735	21,628	1,485	12,418
1998	5.2	4,268	1572.52	67,111	35,678	2,775	24,184	1,538	12,857
1999	5.2	4,486	1698.32	76,181	37,499	2,815	27,060	1,593	13,320
2000	5.2	4,717	1834.18	86,514	39,431	2,857	30,284	1,651	13,803
2001	5.6	4,976	1980.92	98,580	41,603	2,892	34,092	1,721	14,387
2002	5.6	5,250	2139.39	112,327	43,893	2,927	38,378	1,794	14,997
2003	5.6	5,539	2310.54	127,989	46,309	2,963	43,202	1,870	15,631
2004	5.5	5,845	2495.39	145,864	48,866	2,999	48,642	1,949	16,296
2005	5.6	6,166	2695.02	166,176	51,547	3,035	54,757	2,032	16,985
2005	5.6	6,504	2910,62	189,313	54,374	3,064	61,794	2,123	17,748
2007	5.6	5,862	3143.47	215,705	57,365	3,093	69,746	2,219	18,548
2008	5.6	7,240	3394.95	245,800	60,527	3,122	78,729	2,319	19,387
2009	5,6	7,637	3666.54	280,031	63,848	3,152	88,849	2,423	20,258
2010	5.6	8,056	3959.87	319,022	67,350	3,181	100,287	2,533	21,172

Note : GDP projections are for the "high growth" scenario. Per capita GDP of the Study Area is assumed to be the same as the per capits GDP of Region I.

Table 2.10 PROJECTED GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT, INUNDATION AREA: 1989-2010

. <u> </u>	Average	GDP at	Implicit	GDP AL	GDP at	Population	Per Capit	ca GDP (pesos	/person)
Year	Growth Rate (%/yr)	Constant 1972 Prices (million pasos)	Price Index (1972-100)	Current Prices (million pesos)	Constant 1989 Prices (million pesos)	(thousand paraons)	at Current Prices	st Constant 1972 Prices	at Constant 1989 Prices
1987	1.4	1,603	707.25	11,339	.13,403	1,504	7,539	1,066	8,911
1988	4.3	1,669	774.06	12,921	13,955	1,531	8,441	1,090	.9,117
1989	5.9	1,765	835.98	14,758	14,758	1,558	9,473	1,133	9,473
1990	5.9	1,866	890.32	16,614	15,600	1.584	10,486	1,178	9,846
1991	5.2	1,958	939.29	18,392	16,369	1,610	11,425	1,216	10,168
1992	5.2	2,054	990.95	20,358	17,174	1,636	12,447	1,256	10,500
1993	5.2	2,157	1070.23	23,086	18,033	1,662	13,893	1,298	10,852
1994	5.2	2,265	1155.85	26.182	18,937	1,688	15,507	1,342	11,216
1995	5.2	2,380	1248.31	29.709	19,896	1,715	17,319	1,387	11,598
1996	5.2	2,497	1348.18	33.671	20.879	1,740	19,351	1,435	11,999
1997	5.2	2,622	1455.03	38,171	21,916	1,765	21,628	1,485	12,418
1998	5.2	2,753	1572.52	43,292	23,015	1,790	24,184	1,538	12,857
1999	5.2	2,893	1698.32	49,132	24,185	1,816	27,060	, 1,593	13,320
2000	5.2	3,041	1834.18	55,773	25,420	1,842	30,284	1,651	13,803
2001	5.6	3,208	1980.92	63,540	26,815	1,864	34,092	1,721	14,387
2002	5.6	3,384	2139.39	72,386	28,286	1.886	38,378	1,794	14,997
2003	5.6	3,569	2310.54	82,464	29,836	1,909	43,202	1,870	15,63)
2004	- 5.6	3,765	2495.39	93,961	31,478	1,932	48,642	1,949	16,296
2005	5.6	3,972	2695,02	107,042	33,204	1,955	54,757	2,032	16,985
2006	5.6	4,189	2910.62	121,923	35,019	1,973	61,794	2,123	17,748
2007	5.6	4,418	3143,47	138,893	36,938	1,991	69,746	2,219	18,548
2008	5.6	4,661	3394.95	158,242	38,966	2,010	78,729	2,319	19,387
2009	5.6	4,916	3666.54	180,244	41,096	2,029	88,849	2,423	20,258
2010	5.6	5,186	3959.87	205,341	43,350	2,048	100,287	2,533	21,172

Note : GDP projections are for the "high growth" scenario. Per capita GDP of the Inundated Area is assumed to be the same as the per capita GDP of Region I.

- SE. 24 -

-									÷						
•										÷					
	Tabl	e 2.11	EXPEN	DITURE	ON GOV	ERNMEN	r infra	STRUCT	JRE PR(GRAM B	Y SECTO	В,	.*		
		·	THIH	PPINES:	1974-	1987	•			-			•		
(In million pesos at cu	rrent prio	tes)								·			· · ·	÷	
					2.1										
SectorTlear	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	
Ромат	250	1,038	2,064	3,681	3,389	5,702	6,332	7,111	7,977	11,946	7,271	7,811	5,126	4,313	
F				<u>.</u>	· .			•							
water Kesources Water supply	61	8	219	281	311	319	578	1,033	1.349	666	1.337	1.632	1.844	774	
Irrigation	118	801	583	846	935	1,659	1,701	-, 1,834	1,892	1,581	1,440	1,143	535	1,865	
Flood control	254	319	677	296	327	290	235	318	164	288	74	163	224	769	
Transportation	1,333	1,896	2,177	2,260	1,721	2,268	2,232	3,016	4,457	3,176	2,876	2,137	3,794	3,507	
Social Infrastructure	62	184	389	283	385	214	504	513	505	552	582	462	655	1,651	
Comunications	-	12	27	33	21	26	11	26	105	159	20	44	16	20	
Others	100	353	443	347	246	377	175	65	82	84	52	0	m	906	
Total	2,179	4,686	6,579	8,027	7,335	10,855	11,768	13,946	16,535	18,785	13,652	13, 392	12,197	13,855	
Source of Basic Dats :	idd Leunas	lippine D	evelopmen	c Report,	NEDA										
					· .										•

- SE. 25 -

Table 2.12 SHARE OF INFRASTRUCTURE IN GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT,

Year	Infrastructura Expenditure at Current Prices (million pesos)	GDP at Current Prices (million pesos)	Implicit Price Index of Gross Value of Gov't Construction (1972-100)	Implicit Price Index of CDP (1972-100)	Infrastructure Expenditure at Constant 1989 Prices (million pesos)	GDP at Constant 1989 Prices (million pesos)	Infrastructure Expenditure Share of GDP (%)
1974	2,179	99,638	189.3	155.3	12,294	559,654	2.20
1975	4,686	114,697	190.4	167.6	26,285	596,958	4.40
1976	6,579	135,272	205.5	183.0	34,192	644,797	5.30
1977	8,027	154,226	221.7	196.5	38,669	. 684,638	5.65
1978	7,335	177,669	241.3	214.6	32,465	722,184	4.50
1979	10,855	217,543	288.8	247.3	40,142	767,338	5.23
1980	11,768	264,650	335.9	285.9	37,417	807,465	4.63
1981	13,946	305,258	381.8	317.3	39,011	839,195	4.65
1982	16,535	340,597	410.4	344.0	43,030	863,671	4.98
1983	18,785	384,096	451.5	384.4	44,435	871,610	5.10
1984	13,652	540,466	673.6	575.4	21,645	819,340	2.64
1985	13,392	612,665	798.3	681.6	17,916	784,078	2.29
1986	12,197	624,430	839.8	684.9	15,511	795,284	1.95
1987	13,855	705,467	896.1	739.2	16,513	832,493	1.98
1988		821,840	988.9	807.5		887,681	۰. جن
1989			1068.0	872.3		· · · ·	:
		1	· · ·	1. A.		11. July 1997	•* •

PHILIPPINES: 1974-1987

Sources of Basic Data:

1. Infrastructure expenditure data, annual Philippine Development Report, NEDA.

2. 1974-1988 GDP data, annual Philippine Statistical Yearbook, National Statistical Coordination Board.

Tabke 2.13 PROJECTED INVESTMENT REQUIREMENT OF THE GOVERNMENT

INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM, INUNDATED AREA: 1990-2010

	Per Capita GDP at	Per Capita Infra Investment		Annuel Infra Investment	Cumulative Infra Investment
Year	Constant	Req'mont at	reputation	Kequirement	Constant 1989
	1989 Prices	e Constant 1989	-	AC CONMEME	Pricas
	(7)	Prices 1/	(thougands)	(million nasoa	(million pesos)
	(1,6208)	(resos7	(Enousanus)	Californi bases	
1990	9,846	492	1,584	780	780
1991	10,168	508	1,610	818	1,598
1992	10,500	525	1,636	859	2,457
1993	10,852	543	1,662	902	3,359
1994	11,216	561	1,688	947	4,306
1995	11,598		1,715	995	5,300
1996	11,999	600	1,740	1,044	6,344
1997	12,418	621	1,765	1,096	7,440
1998	12,857	643	1,790	1,151	8,591
1999	13,320	666	1,816	1,209	9,800
2000	13,803	690	1.842	1,271	11,071
2001	14,387	719	1,864	1,341	12,412
2002	2 14,997	750	1,886	1,414	13,826
2003	15,631	782	1,909	1,492	15,318
2004	16,296	815	1,932	1,574	16,892
2005	5 16,985	849	1,955	1,660	18,552
2006	17,748	887	1,973	1,751	20,303
2007	18,548	927	1,991	1,847	22,150
2008	19,387	969	2,010	1,948	24,098
2009	20,258	1,013	2.029	2,055	26,153
2010	21,172	1,059	2,048	2,168	28,321

1/ Estimated at 5% of per capita GDP.

- SE. 26 -

Table 3.1 GROWTH RATES USED IN THE PROJECTION OF THE DAMAGEABLE

VALUE OF TOTAL ASSETS IN THE INUNDATED AREA: 1989-2044

Scenario/Tear		1990 1990	1991	2000	2001	2010	2044
1. High Growth	GDP Growth Rate (2/yr)	5.9 5.9	5.2	5.2	5.6	5.6	5.6
1.1 The region's damageable assets	Growth Factor	1.000 1.059	1.114	1.850	1.953	3.368	22.678
will increase at the same rate			·				
as the region's TARGETTED GDP							
growth rate.		•					
1.2 Agricultural products whose price							
is no longer acceptable to the							
producers will be replaced with		•				· .	
crops having higher price.			. *				
1.3 Increased diversification of		: .					
crops will come about as a result							
of the irrigation of the remaining							-
potential irrigable land.	•						
2. Low Growth	GDP Growth Rate (Z/yr)	5.2 5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
2.1 The region's damageable assets	Growth Factor	1.000 1.052	1.107	1.837	I.933	3.209	18.920
will increase at the same rate	-	•	÷				
as the region's LIKELY GDP							·
growth rate. This rate is taken	· · ·	•					
from the actual performance of the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
region's economy during the period			÷.,		·		
1975-1982.		· · · ·					

- SE. 27 -

Table 3.2 ECONOMIC COST, BENEFIT AND INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN OF THE

901

20.0%

			ف ها خد ها خان ها ها ها ه				
~~~~~~~	100 Year Flood	50 Yea	r Flood	25 Year	Flood	10 Year	Fl.ood
	(Million Pesos)	(Millio	n Pesos)	(Million	Pasos)	(Million	Pesos)
		******					
AGNO RIVER MAINSTREAM	6 0FA		1 001		5 500		6 811
(1) Main Construction Cost	; 6,952		0,234		3,340		7 613
(2) Total Project Cost	10,340		9,400		0,374		346
(3) Benefit (Annual)			502		4,00		540
TARLAC RIVER							
(1) Main Construction Cost	: 897		792		713	·	612
(2) Total Project Cost	1,288		1,170		1,061		923
(3) Benefit (Annual)	45		42		37		26
AGNO TRIBITARIES							
(1) Main Construction Cost			1,293		1,012		893
(2) Total Project Cost	·		1,925		1,506		1,330
(3) Benefit (Annuel)			76		70	-	58
(4) BIRR		(2.1%)	14.07	(3.17)	15.5%	(2.7%)	14.9%
ACNO MAIN AND TADIAC BIVERS							
(1) Main Construction Cost	7.831		7.055		6,241		5,423
(2) Total Project Cost	11,628		10,570		9,455		8,336
(3) Benefit (Annual)	577		545		493		372
(4) EIRR		(3.8%)	16.5%	(3.9%)	16.6%	(2.87)	15.1%
ACNO MATH. TRIBUTARTES AND	•						
TARLAC RIVERS							
(1) Main Construction Cost	:		8,349		7,253		6,316
(2) Total Project Cost		• •	12,495		10,961		9,666
(3) Benefit (Annual)			621		563		430
OLVINGA DIDITAN DININ	1.1			the fla	odwast	with flo	odway
CAYANGA-PATALAN KIVER		WICH II	937	WICH IIO	777	WICH IIO	715
(1) Main Construction Cost	•		1.246		1.159		1.066
(2) Total Project Cost			100	:	97		90
(3) benefit (Annuki)			100			• [•]	
PANTOR-SINOCALAN RIVER		with fl	oodway	with flo	odway	with flo	odway
(1) Main Construction Cost			1,715		1,546		1,319
(2) Total Project Cost			2,553		2,303		1,965
(3) Benefit (Annual)			406		400		381
ATTTER DIVER		with fla	oodway	with flo	กกี่พลช	with flo	odway
(1) Main Construction Cost			2.552		2.323		2,027
(2) Total Project Cost	•		3,799		3,462		3,020
(3) Benefit (Annual)			506		497		471
(4) EIRR		(12.9%)	30.27	(13.9%)	31.8%	(15.2%)	33.87
1010 MATH TADT 10 110 1772	D DTURDS						
(1) Main Construction Cost	D NIVSKO		9.608		8.564		7,450
(2) Total Protect Cost	•	1	14,369		12,917	į.	11,356
(3) Banefit (Annual)			1.051		990	- 1	843
(4) EIRR		(6.4%)	20.4%	(6.97)	21.0%	(6.6%)	20.6%
	85				,		
AND ALLYND DYWDG	0.0	1.1					
(1) Main Construction Cost		· · · · ·	10.901		9,576	· · ·	8,343
(2) Total Project Cost	in the second		16,294	1	14,423		12,686
,_,			-				

#### ALTERNATIVE LONG TERM PLANS

Remarks

(4) EIIR

(3) Benefit (Annual)

a) Cost and benefit at constant 1989 prices.

b) Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) in parentheses are for the case of

current development level, i.e. constant benefit. EIRR values without parentheses are for the case of future development level, i.e. increasing c) at the growth rate of the GDP of the inundated area under the "low growth" scenario.

(6.0%)

1 127

19.77

1,060

(6.5%)

20.57

(6.27)

# Table 3.3BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS:AGNO MAIN, TARLAC AND ALLIED RIVERS,<br/>25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL

		MAIN	PHYSICAL	TOTAL		
CASE NO. LAA-25-25 Low Grouth		CONST.	CONT.	COST	UNIT	:mil.Pesos
RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK		8564	1284.6	12917	k	
DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK		0	. 0	0		
ANNUAL BENEFIT		990	mil. Pesc	08		
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.837			•	
	2010	3.209				
•	2044	18.920				

21.00%

#### CALCULATED EIRR

	· .	Co	ost Strea	10					
No.	Year	River	Dam	OM River	OM Dam	Total	Benefit	B-C	GROWTH FACTOR
0	1989					0.00	0.00	0.00	1.000
<ul> <li>7.5%</li> </ul>	1990					0.00	0.00	0.00	1.076
2	1991		1.			0.00	0.00	0.00	1.152
3	1992	•	· · ·			0.00	0.00	0.00	1.228
· 4	1993		1 A			0.00	0.00	0.00	1.304
5	1994	·				0.00	0.00	0.00	1.380
6	1995	861.13	0.00	0.00		861.13	0.00	-861.13	1.457
<b>7</b>	1996	861.13	0.00	3.28		864.42	101.15	-763.26	1.533
8	1997	861.13	0.00	6.57	1 - P	867.70	212.35	-655.35	1.609
9	1998	861.13	0.00	9.85	19 - Al	870.98	333,59	-537.39	1.685
10	1999	861.13	0.00	13.13		874.26	464.88	-409.38	1.761
11	2000	861.13		15.41	0.00	877.55	606.21	-271.34	1.83/
12	2001	861.13		19.70	0.00	880.83	/81./8	-99.05	1.9/4
13	2002	861.13		22.98	0.00	884.11	975.47	91.35	2.111
14	2003	861-13		26.20	0.00	887.40	1187.26	299.86	2.249
15	2004	861.13		29.55	0.00	890.68	1417.17	526.49	2.385
01	2005	801.13		32.83	0.00	893.90	1003.18	1/1.22	2.323
17	2005	861.13		30.11	0.00	897.24	1931-31	1034.06	2.000
18	2007	801.13		39.39	0.00	900.53	2213.34	1313.01	2.19/
19	2008	801-13		42.08	0.00	903+81	2011-09	1014.08	2.933
20	2009	601.13		43.90	0.00	207.02	2030.34	2127 67	2 200
21	2010			49.24	0.00	47.24	3170.71	3127.07	3.207
22	2011	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	49.24	0.00	49.24	4091.84	4047 60	6 193
23	2012			49.24	0.00	49.24	4051.04	4500.07	4.595
29	2013			49.24	0.00	49.24	5006.78	4957.54	5.057
25	2014			47.24	0.00	49.24	-5464.25	5415.00	5.519
	2015			49.24	0.00	49.24	5921.71	5872.47	5.982
28	2017	1.1.1. A.A.		49.24	0.00	49.24	6379.18	6329.94	6.444
29	2018			49.24	0.00	49.24	6836.65	6787.41	6.906
30	2019	÷		49.24	0.00	49.24	7294.12	7244.87	7.368
31	2020	in the second	÷.,	49.24	0.00	49.24	7751.58	7702.34	7.830
32	2021	e atta		49.24	0.00	49.24	8209.05	8159.81	8.292
33	2022	and the second	1. T	49.24	0.00	49.24	8666.52	8617.28	8.754
34	2023	1 A	:	49.24	0.00	49.24	9123.99	9074.74	9.216
35	2024			49.24	0.00	49.24	9581.45	9532.21	9.678
36	2025			49.24	0.00	49.24	10038.92	9989.68	10.140
37	2026	4		49.24	0.00	49.24	10496.39	10447.14	10.602
38	2027	1 - A.	1.1	49.24	0.00	49.24	10953.86	10904.61	11.065
39	2028	6,16 T	4.000	49.24	0.00	49.24	11411.32	11362.08	11.527
40	2029			49.24	0.00	49.24	11868.79	11819.55	11.989
41	2030			49.24	0.00	49.24	12326.26	12277.01	12.451
42	2031			49.24	0.00	49.24	12783.72	12734.48	12.913
43	2032	1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	49.24	0.00	49.24	13241.19	13191.95	13.375
44	2033	1.121		49.24	0.00	49.24	13698.66	13649.42	13.837
45	2034			49.24	0.00	49.24	14156.13	14106.88	14.299
46	2035			49.24	0.00	49.24	14613.59	14564.35	14.761
47	2036	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	1.1.1.1	49.24	0.00	49.24	15071.06	15021.82	15.223
48	2037		÷	49.24	0.00	49.24	15528.53	15479.29	15.685
49	2038			49.24	0.00	49.24	15986.00	15936.75	16.147
50	2039		1.11	49.24	0.00	49.24	16443,46	16394.22	16.610
51	2040			49.24	0.00	49.24	16900.93	15851.69	17.072
52	2041	1. A A A A	· .	49.24	0.00	49.24	1/358.40	17309.15	17.534
53	2042			49.24	0.00	49.24	1/815.8/	1//65.62	17.996
54	2043			49.24	0.00	49.24	182/3.33	18224.09	18,458
: 55	2044			49+24	0.00	49.Z4	18/30.80	19991.30	18.920

- SE. 29 -

BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO MAIN, TARLAC AND ALLIED RIVERS, 25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL Table 3.4

CASE NO. LAA-25-25 Constant RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK	Benefit	MAIN CONST. 8564	PHYSICAL CONT. 1284.6	TOTAL COST 12917	UNIT	imil.Pasos
DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK		0	0	. 0		
ANNUAL BENEFIT		990	mil. Pese	DS .	÷ +	
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.000		•	. :	18 D.
	2010	1.000				
	2044	1.000				
CALCULATED BIRR		6.85	z			

CALCULATED	BIRR	
------------	------	--

		C	ost Strea	.0				. "			
No.	Year	River	Dam	OM River	OM Dam	Total		Benefit	B-C		GROWTH FACTOR
0	1989			<u></u>		0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
° 1	1990	1	- 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 1		÷ .	0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
· 2	1991					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
3	1992					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
- 4	1993					0.00		0.00	0.00	1.5.2	1.000
5	1994					0.00		0.00	0.00	· · ·	1.000
6	1995	861.13	0.00	0.00		861.13		0.00	-861.13		1.000
7	1996	861.13	0.00	3.28		864.42	· · ·	66.00	-798.42		1.000
8	1997	861.13	0.00	6.57	·	867.70		132.00	-735.70	·. · ·	1.000
9	1998	861.13	0.00	9.85		870.98	5 E	198.00	-672.98		1.000
10	1999	861.13	0.00	13.13		874.26		264.00	-610.26		1,000
11	2000	861.13		16.41	0.00	877.55	· · ·	330.00	-547.55		1.000
12	2001	861.13		19.70	0.00	880.83	1 - A	396.00	-484.83	· · · ·	1.000
13	2002	861.13		22.98	0.00	884.11		462.00	~422.11		1,000
14	2003	861.13	:	26.26	0.00	887.40		528.00	-359.40		1.000
15	2004	861.13		29.55	0,00	890.68	< : :	594.00	-296.68	+ ···	1.000
16	2005	861.13	1.1	32.83	0.00	893.96	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	660.00	-233.96	1.00	1.000
17	2006	861.13	124 27	36.11	0.00	897.24		726.00	-171.24	1.1	1.000
- 18	2007	861.13		39.39	0.00	900.53		792.00	-108.53	100	1.000
19	2008	861.13	· .	42.68	0.00	903.81		858.00	-45.81		1.000
20	2009	861.13	1.1	45.96	0.00	907.09	. ·	924.00	16.91		1.000
21	2010			49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76		1.000
22	2011	1		49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76		1,000
23	2012	_ · ·		49.24	0.00	49.24	· .	990.00	940.76	- 	1.000
24	2013			49.24	0.00	49.24	• •	990.00	940.76		1.000
25	2014			49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76	· .	1.000
26	2015			49.74	0.00	49.24	· · ·	990.00	940.76		1.000
20	2015			49.74	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76		1.000
20	2010		-	49.24	0.00	69.24		990.00	940.76		1.000
20	2017			49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	3.000
20	2010			49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76		31000
31	2020			69 26	0,00	49.74	5	990.00	940.76		1.000
. 33	2020		1. H	84 26	0.00	49 74		990 00	940.76		1.000
32	2021			AQ 24	0.00	42124		990.00	940 76		1:000
- 33	2022			40 24	0.00	40 24		990 00	940 76		1 000
26	2023			49.24	0.00	10 26		990.00	940.76		1:000
22	2024			47.24	0.00	42.44	• •	990.00	940.76		1 000
20	2023			42.44	0.00	40.04		000.00	0/0 76		1 000
20	2020			47.24	0.00	47.44		990.00	940170		1 000
20	2027			47.44	0.00	10 76		000.00	940.76		1:000
. 39	2020			43.24	0.00	47+24		990.00	040.70		1,000
40	2027			43.24	0.00	47.64		000.00	040 76		1.000
41	2030	-	· · ·	47+24	0.00	49+24		990.00	940.70		1.000
42	2031			47.44	0.00	47.24		000 00	740.70		1.000
43	2032			49+24	0.00	47+24		330.00	940.70		1.000
44	2033			47.24	0.00	47.24		990.00	940.70	••	1.000
45	2034		· .	49.24	0.00	47+24		990.00	940.70	1.11	1.000
40	2035			47.24	0.00	47+24	1. A.	330.00	94V+/D 010 7/		1.000
- 4/	2036			49.24	0,00	47.Z4		990.00	940.70		1.000
48	2037			49.24	0.00	47.24	•	330.00	940.76		1.000
49	2038	-		49.24	0.00	49.24	1. J. J.	990.00	940.76	in the second	1.000
50	2039	•	· · · · ·	49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76	1	1,000
51	2040		a de la composición de	49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76		1.000
52	2041		4	49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76		1.000
53	2042	100 The P	i.	49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76	a tan Ali	1.000
54	2043	÷	1. A 1. A	49.24	0.00	49.24	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	990.00	940.76		1.000
55	2044	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		49.24	0.00	49.24		990.00	940.76	· · · ·	1.000

#### Table 3.5

BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO MAIN, TARLAC, TRIBUTARIES AND ALLIED RIVERS, 25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL

2

CASE NO. LAAT-25-25 Low Grouth RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK		MAIN CONST. 9576	PHYSICAL CONT. 1436.4	TOTAL COST 14423	UNIT	imil.Pesos
DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK		0	0	. 0		
ANNUAL BENEFIT		1060	mil. Pes	38		,
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.837				
	2010	3.209				
•	2044	18.920				

#### CALCULATED BIRR

20.46%

			Cost Stre	811 8							
No.	Year	River	Dam	OM	OM	Total	-	Benefit	B-C		GROWTH
1. L. 1.				River	Dam						FACTOR
0	1989				- <b></b>	0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
1	1990				1.1	0.00		0.00	0.00		1.076
2	1991					0.00		0.00	0.00	÷	1,152
. 3	1992		:			0.00		0.00	0.00		1,228
4	1993					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.304
. 5	1994	0(1) 59	<b>A</b> AA	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00		1,380
	1000	901.00	0.00	2 67		901.33		100.00	~901.33		1.43/
9	1990	961 53	0.00	7.34		96.3.20		100.31	-741.51		1 600
9	1998	961.53	0.00	11.01		972.55	1.1	357.18	~615.36		1.685
10	1999	961.53	0.00	14.68		976.22		497.75	-478.47	1.20	1.761
11	2000	961.53		18.35	0.00	979.89		649.07	-330.81	1.	1.837
12	2001	961.53		22.02	0.00	983.56	×	837.06	-146.50		1.974
13	2002	961.53	1.	25.70	0.00	987.23	11 N	1044.44	57.21	1 I.	2.111
14	2003	961.53		29.37	0.00	990.90		1271.21	280.31		2,249
15	2004	961.53	and the second sec	33.04	0.00	994.57	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	1517.37	522.80		2.386
16	2005	961.53	· .	36.71	0.00	998.24		1782.92	784.68		2.523
17	2006	961.53		40.38	0.00	1001.91		2067.86	1065.95		2.660
18	2007	961.53	En la compañía de la En la compañía de la c	44.05	0.00	1005.58		2372.20	1366.61		2.797
19	2008	961.53		47.72	0.00	1009.25		2695.92	1686.67		2.935
20	2009	961.53		51.39	0.00	1012.92		3039.03	2026.11		3.072
21	2010	a de la composición de		55.06	0.00	.55.06	÷ .	3401.54	3346.48		3.209
22	2011	·.• .		55.06	0.00	55.06		3891.35	3836.29	·	3.671
23	2012	1.1.1		55.06	0.00	55.05		4381.1/	4326.11		4.133
24	2013		•	55.08	0.00	55.06		48/0.98	4815.92	· .	4.595
25	2014	· .	an A	55.06	0.00	33.06	1	5360.79	5305-73	,	-2,057
20	2013	1. A.	1	55.06	0,00	55.00		2820.01	5793.33		5.019
21	2010	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1.5	55.06	0.00	55 06	1.1	6930 22	6775 17		704
20	2012	1		55:06	0.00	55 06	:	7320.05	7264.99		6 906
30	2010	· ·		55.06	0.00	55.06		7809.86	77.54.80		7.368
31	2020	1	-1	55.06	0.00	55.06		8299.68	8244.61	· ·	7.830
32	2021	· · ·	1 1 1 A	55.06	0.00	55.06		8789.49	8734.43		8.292
33	2022		· .	55.06	0.00	55.06		9279.30	9224.24		8.754
34	2023	· -		55.06	0.00	55.06	· · · ·	9769.12	9714.05		9.216
35	2024			55.06	0.00	55.06		10258.93	10203.87		9.678
36	2025			55.06	0.00	55.06		10748.74	10693.68	•	10.140
37	2026			55.06	0.00	55.06		11238.56	11183.49		10.602
38	2027			55.06	0.00	55.06		11728.37	11673.31		11.065
39	2028			55.06	0.00	55.06		12218.18	12163.12		11.527
40	2029	•	1. A.	55.06	0.00	55.06		12/08.00	12652.94		11,989
41	2030			55.06	0,00	55.06		12402 43	13142.73		12,401
44	2033			55.06	0.00	55.06	1 - F	13007.02	12022200		13 375
43	2032		1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	55.06	0.00	55 06		14667 25	14122,30		13 837
45	2033	· · · ·	1. A.	55.06	0.00	55.06		15157.06	15102.00		14 299
46	2034	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -		55.06	0.00	55.06		15646.88	15591.82		14.761
47	2036	1		55.06	0.00	55.06		16136.69	16081.63		15.223
48	2037			55.06	0.00	55.06		16626.51	16571.44		15.685
49	2038		e di territori	55.06	0.00	55.06		17116.32	17061.26	· ·	16.147
50	2039			55.06	0.00	55.06	1. A.	17606.13	17551.07		16,610
51	2040	1		55.06	0.00	55.06		18095.95	18040.88		17.072
52	2041	1 A.		55.06	0.00	55.06		18585.76	18530.70		17.534
53	2042			55.06	0.00	55.06		19075.57	19020.51		17.996
54	2043			55.06	0.00	55.06		19565.39	19510.32		18.458
55	2044			55.06	0.00	55.06		20055.20	20000.14		18.920

Table 3.6

ł

BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO MAIN, TARLAC, TRIBUTARIES AND ALLIED RIVERS, 25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL

CASE NO. LAAT-25-25 Constant RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK	M BenefitC	AIN ONST. 9576	PHYSIC CONT. 1436	AL	TOTAL COST 14423	UNIT	:mil.Pesoa
DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK		0		0	0		
ANNUAL BENEFIT		1060	mil. P	<b>'eso</b>	\$	:	
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.000					
	2010	1,000					
	2044	1,000					

#### CALCULATED EIRR

6.49%
-------

			Cost Strea	172						
No.	Year	River	Dam	OM River	OM Dam	Total	Benefit	B~C	e di	GROWTH FACTOR
0	1989					0.00	0.00	0.00		1.000
1	1990					0.00	0.00	0.00		1.000
2	1991					0.00	0.00	0.00		1.000
3	1992					0.00	0.00	0.00		1.000
• 4	1993					0.00	0.00	0.00		1.000
5	1994					0.00	0,00	0.00		1.000
6	1995	961.53	0.00	0.00		961.53	0,00	-961.53		1.000
7	1996	961.53	0.00	3.67		965.20	70.67	-894.54		1.000
8	1997	961.53	0.00	7.34		968.87	141.33	-827.54		1.000
9	1998	961-53	0.00	11.01		972.55	212.00	-760.55		1.000
10	1999	961.53	0.00	14.68		976.22	282.67	-693.55		1.000
11	2000	961.53		18,35	0.00	979.89	353.33	-626.55		1,000
12	2001	961.53		22.02	0.00	983.56	424.00	-559.56		1.000
13	2002	961.53		25.70	0.00	987.23	494.67	-492.56		1.000
14	2003	961.53		29.37	0.00	990.90	565.33	-425.57	· · ·	1.000
15	2004	961.53		33.04	0.00	994.57	636.00	-358.57		1.000
16	2005	961.53		36.71	0.00	998.24	706.67	-291.57		1.000
17	2006	961.53		40.38	0.00	1001.91	777.33	-224.58	·	1.000
18	2007	961.53		44.05	0.00	1005.58	848.00	-157.58		1.000
19	2008	961.53		47.72	0.00	1009.25	918.67	-90.59		1.000
20	2009	961.53		51.39	0.00	1012.92	989.33	-23.59	1	1.000
21	2010			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94	•	1.000
22	2011			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
23	2012			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
24	2013			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
25	2016		-	55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94	1.1	1.000
26	2015			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
20	2016	· · · ·		55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
20	2010			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
20	2019			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
30	2010		· · ·	55.06	0.00	55.06	1050.00	1004.94		1.000
21	2020		2	55.06	0,00	55 06	1060-00	1004.94	1.11	1,000
34	2020		· · · ·	55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94	1 A.	1,000
22	2022		al se tra	55.06	0.00	55.06	1060-00	1004.94	1.1	1.000
33	2022			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
25	2023			55 05	0.00	55 06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
35	2025			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
27	2025	-		55.06	0.00	55 06	1060.00	1004 94		1.000
30	2020			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
30	2027			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
23	2020			55.06	0.00	55 06	1060-00	1004.94		1,000
40	2027		:	55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004 94		1,000
41	2030			55.06	0.00	55 06	100.00	1004.94		1.000
42	2031	-		55.00	0.00	55.06	1050.00	1004.04	· .	1 000
43	2032		· ·	55.00	0.00	55 06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
44	2033		· · ·	55.00	0.00	55 06	1000.00	1004.94	1 - F	1.000
40	2034		÷.,	55 04	0.00	55 04	1000.00	1004.94	· · · .	1 000
40	2032			55 00	0.00	55 00	1000.00	1004.94		1 000
4/	2030	1.4		55.00	0.00	. JJ. UD 56 02	1000.00	1004.94		1 000
48	2037	1		-33:06	0.00		1000.00	100/ 0/	1.1	1.000
49	2038	1. E.		55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94	1.1	1.000
50	2039	1 ·		55.06	0.00	55.06	1090.00	1004.94		1,000
51	2040	the second		55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
52	2041			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
53	2042			55.06	0.00	55,06	1060.00	1004.94		1,000
54	2043			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94		1.000
55	2044			55.06	0.00	55.06	1060.00	1004.94	· ·	1.000

# Table 3.7BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS:AGNO MAIN AND TARLAC RIVERS,25YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL

		MAIN	PHYSICAL	TOTAL		
CASE NO. LAG-A2-25 Low Grouth		CONST.	CONT.	COST	UNIT	mil.Pesos
RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK		6241	936.15	9455		
DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK		0	0	. 0		
ANNUAL BENEFIT		493	mil. Pese	- 80		
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.837				
	2010	3,209				
	2044	18.920				

#### CALCULATED EIRR

	16.57%

		C	Cost Strea	in.			•			
No.	Year	River	Dam	OM River	OM Dam	Total	Benefit	BC		GROWTH FACTOR
0	1989					0.00	0.00	0.00		1,000
· 1	1990	1. ¹				0.00	0.00	0.00		1.076
2	1991					0.00	0.00	0.00		1.152
3	1992					0.00	0.00	0.00		1.228
4	1993		1. 1.			0.00	0,00	0.00		1.304
. 1 . 5	1994	<u></u>	111			0.00	0.00	0.00		1.380
. 6	1995	630.33	0.00	0.00		630.33	0.00	-630.33		1.457
7	1996	630.33	0.00	2,39		632.73	50.37	-582.35		1.533
. 8	1997	630.33	0.00	4.78		635.12	105.75	-529.37		1.609
10	1998	620.33	0.00	7.18		637+31	100,12	-4/1.39	•	1.000
10	2000	630.33	0.00	9.37	0.00	673.20	201 80	-400.40		1 927
12	2000	630.33		11.90	0.00	642,30	280 31	-340.41		1.074
13	2001	630.33		16 75	0.00	647 08	485 76	-255,56		2 111
16	2002	630.33		19.14	0.00	649 67	591.23	-101.52	· · · *	2.249
15	2005	630.33		21.53	0.00	651.86	705.72	-50,24		2.386
16	2005	630.33		23.92	0.00	654.26	829.23	174.97		2.523
. 17	2005	630.33		26.32	0.00	656.65	961.75	305.10		2.660
18	2007	630,33	· .	28.71	0.00	659.04	1103.29	444.25		2.797
19	2008	630.33		31.10	0.00	661.43	1253.86	592.42		2,935
20	2009	630.33		33.49	0.00	663.83	1413.44	749.61		3.072
21	2010			35.89	0.00	35.89	1582.04	1546.15		3.209
22	2011			35.89	0.00	35.89	1809.85	1773.96		3.671
23	2012			35.89	0.00	35.89	2037.66	2001.77		4.133
24	2013			35.89	0,00	35.89	2265.47	2229.58		4.595
25	2014		. •	35.89	0.00	35.89	2493.28	2457.39		5.057
26	2015	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	·. ·	35.89	0.00	35.89	2721.08	2685.20		5.519
27	2016	1.		35.89	0.00	35.89	2948.89	2913.01		5.982
28	2017		· · ·	35.89	0,00	35.89	3176.70	3140.82		6.444
29	2018		· .	35.89	0.00	35.89	3404.51	3368.63		6.905
- 30	2019	:	·	35.89	0.00	35.89	3032.32	3596.44		7.308
31	2020	· · · ·	· .	33.67	0.00	35.89	1007.04	3824.23		/ • 0 0 0
32	2021		÷ .	35.00	0.00	35.89	4007.54	4032.00		8 754
34	2022	2 1 2 3 4 1		35.89	0.00	35.89	4543.56	4507.67		9,216
35	2024	· ·		35.89	0.00	35.89	4771.37	4735.48	-	9.678
36	2025		and and a second second	35.89	0.00	35.89	4999.18	4963.29		10.140
37	2026		- 	35.89	0.00	35.89	5226.99	5191.10		10,602
38	2027			35.89	0.00	35.89	5454.80	5418.91		11.065
39	2028	in a su		35.89	0.00	35.89	5682.61	5646.72		11.527
40	2029			35.89	0.00	35.89	5910.42	5874.53		11.989
41	2030			35.89	0.00	35.89	6138.23	6102.34		12.451
42	2031		· .	35.89	0.00	35.89	6366.04	6330.15		12.913
43	2032			35.89	0.00	35.89	6593.85	6557.96		13.375
44	2033	•	e juden,	35.89	0.00	35.89	6821.66	6785.77		13.837
45	2034			35.89	0.00	35.89	7049.47	7013.58		14.299
46	2035	8 (1997) 1997		35.89	0.00	35.89	7277.27	7241.39		14.761
47	2036	t de la della		35.89	0.00	35.89	7505.08	7469.20		15.223
48	2037		. ji	35.89	0.00	35.89	7732.89	7697.01		15.685
49	2038	1. J.		35.89	0,00	35.89	7960.70	7924.82		16.147
50	2039	$(A_{ij})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$		35.89	0.00	32.89	8188.51	8152.63		16.610
51	2040		Sec. 1	32.89	0.00	35.89	8416.32	8380.44		17.072
52	2041		1.11	32.69	0.00	10.00	0094-13	8008.25		17.004
55 57	2042			35 90	0.00	25.90	00/1.34 0000 7¢	0063 05		10 180
	7045			35 89	0.00	35 89	9327 54	9703.00		18 970
				~~ • • • • •						

- SE. 33 -

#### Table 3.8

#### BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO MAIN AND TARLAC RIVERS, 25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL

CASE NO. LAG-A2-25 Constant RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK	Benefit	MAIN CONST. 6241 0	PHYSICAL TOT. CONT. COS 936.15 0	AL F UNIT imil.Pesos 9455 O
ANNUAL BENEFT		493	mil. Pesos	
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.000		and a strategy of the second
	2010	1.000		
	2044	1.000		

#### CALCULATED EIRR

Cost Stream

3.86%

No.	Year	River	Dam	OM River	OM Dam	Total		Benefit	B-C		GROWTH FACTOR
-0	1989			······		0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
ĩ	1990	•				0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
2	1991	· · · ·			·	0.00		0.00	0.00	1.11	1.000
	1007					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
. 5	1003	·				0.00		0.00	0.00	· · · ·	1.000
	100/				1.1	0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
6	1005	630.33	0.00	0.00		630.33	1.1	0.00	-630.33		1.000
. 7	1006	630.33	0.00	2.39		632.73		32.87	-599.86		1.000
6	1007	630 33	0.00	4.78		635.12		65.73	-569.38	2.5	1.000
	1000	630 33	0.00	7.18	-	637.51	1.1	98.60	-538.91	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1,000
10	1000	630 33	0.00	9.57	a se esta	639.90	5 A	131.47	-508.44		1.000
11	2000	630.33		11.96	0.00	642.30		164.33	-477.96	• •	1.000
12	2000	630.33		14.35	0.00	644.69		197.20	-447.49	1.11	1.000
13	2001	630.33		16.75	0.00	647.08		230.07	-417.01	÷ 11	1.000
14	2002	630.33		19.14	0.00	649.47		262.93	-386.54		1.000
15	2004	630.33		21.53	0.00	651.86		295.80	-356.06	11 A.	1.000
16	2005	630.33		23.92	0.00	654.26	1.1.1	328.67	-325.59		1.000
17	2006	630.33		26.32	0.00	656.65		361.53	-295.12	5 N.	1.000
18	2000	630.33	-	28.71	0.00	659.04		394.40	-264.64	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1.000
19	2008	630.33	1.11	31.10	0.00	661.43		427.27	-234.17	143	1.000
20	2009	630.33	-	33.49	0.00	663.83	- N.	460.13	-203.69	1.1	1.000
21	2010			35,89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	- 11-1	1.000
22	2011			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	1	1.000
23	2012		· .	35.89	0.00	35.89	· .	493.00	457.11	1	1.000
24	2013			35.89	0,00	35.89	*	493.00	457.11	1.1	1.000
25	2014	· · · ·		35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	28	1.000
26	2015			35.89	0.00	35.89	1.1.1	493.00	457.11		1.000
27	2016		1 .	35.89	0.00	35.89	:	493.00	457.11	5 C	1.000
28	2017			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	· .	1.000
29	2018			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	1.1.5	1.000
30	2019			35.89	0.00	35.89	1 - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -	493.00	457.11	111	1.000
31	2020			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11		1,000
- 32	2021	1.1.1		35.89	0.00	35.89	1.11	493.00	457.11	1 - 1 - 1	1.000
33	2022			35.89	0.00	35.89	1.1.1	493.00	457.11	1.1	1.000
- 34	2023			35.89	0.00	35.89	1. 1.	493.00	457.11		1.000
35	2024			35.89	0.00	35.89	:	493.00	457.11	1619	1,000
36	2025			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	1.1.1	1.000
37	2026			35.89	0.00	35.89	- 14	493.00	457.11	11	1.000
38	2020		.:	35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	1 - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E - E -	1.000
30	2027			35.89	0.00	35.89	· · · ·	493.00	457.11		1.000
40	2020			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	ta an	1.000
40	2030			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11		1.000
42	2031	· .		35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11		1.000
	2032	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -		35.89	0.00	35.89	· · · .	493.00	457.11	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1.000
44	2032		1.1.4	35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	1.0	1.000
45	2034	- T		35.89	0,00	35.89		493.00	457.11		1.000
46	2034	1. A.		35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	1.000
40	2036			35.89	0.00	35.89	1.1	493.00	457.11	1.11	1.000
42	2033		1.1	35.89	0.00	35.89	$1 \leq 1 \leq n \leq n$	493.00	457.11		1.000
40	2037			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457 11	1	1.000
50	2030			35.89	0.00	35.89	1997 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -	493.00	457.11	i ing	1.000
51	2040		1997 - 1999 1997 - 1997	35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11		1.000
52	2040			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	$\delta = - 1$	1.000
52	2041			35.89	0.00	35.89		493.00	457.11	$(x_{i}, y_{i}) \in \mathcal{A}_{i}$	1.000
55	2042			35.89	0.00	35.89	× .	493.00	457.11	19	1.000
55	2043		1.1	35.89	0.00	35.89	e de la p	493,00	457.11	Constant -	1,000
<i></i>	2044			33:07		20102			-T7.044		1.000

- SE, 34 -

# Table 3.9BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO RIVER TRIBUTARIES,25YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL

CASE NO. LAT-AT-25 Low Growth	.*	MAIN CONST.	PHYSICAL CONT.	TOTAL COST	UNIT	imil.Pasos
RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK		1012	151.8	1506	1.1	
DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK		0	0	. 0	•	
ANNUAL BENEFIT		70	mil. Pese	08		د. `
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.837				
	2010	3.209				
	2044	18.920	•			

CALCULATED EIRR

Cost Stream

15,46%

No.	Year	River	Dam	OM	OM	Total		Benefit	B-C		GROWTH
1				River	Dam						FACTOR
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						······					
0	1989	**				0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
5 <b>1</b>	1990				1.4	0.00		0.00	0.00		1.076
2	1991		a tra			0.00		0.00	0.00		1.152
- 3	1992	1. A.				0.00		0.00	0.00		1.228
- 4	1993					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.304
5	1994					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.380
- 6	1995	100.40	0.00	0.00		100.40		0,00	-100.40		1.457
7	1996	100.40	0.00	0.39		100.79		7,15	-93.64		1.533
- <b>- 8</b>	1997	100.40	0.00	0.78		101.18		15.01	-86.16		1.609
.9	1998	100.40	0.00	1.16		101.56		23,59	-77.98		1.685
10	1999	100.40	0.00	1.55	4 (1 ×	101.95		32.87	-69.08		1.761
11	2000	100,40		1.94	0.00	102.34		42.86	-59.48		1.837
12	2001	100.40		2.33	0.00	102.73		55,28	-47.45		1.974
13	2002	100,40		2.72	0.00	103.12		68,97	-34.14	1 A A	2.111
14	2003	100.40		3,10	0.00	103,50		83,95	-19.56		2.249
15	2004	100.40		3.49	0.00	103.89		100.20	-3.69	· .	2.386
16	2005	100.40	1.1.1	3.88	0.00	104.28	•	117.74	13.46	1.1	2.523
17	2006	100.40		4.27	· 0.00	104.67		136.56	31.89	1.1.1	2.660
18	2007	100.40	. · ·	4.66	0.00	105.06	•	156.65	51.60	· .	2.797
19	2008	100.40		5.04	0.00	105.44	• • 5	178,03	72.59		2.935
20	2009	100.40		5.43	0.00	105.83		200.69	94.86		3,072
21	2010			5.82	0.00	5.82	1.5	224.63	218.81		3.209
22	2011	÷.,		5.82	0.00	5.82		256.98	251.16		3.671
23	2012	1. A.	1.1	5.82	0.00	5.82	1.11	289.32	283.50	· · · .	4.133
24	2013	÷	÷.,	5.82	0.00	5.82	· · ·	321.67	315.85		4.595
25	2014			5.82	0.00	5.82	÷	354.01	348.20		5.057
26	2015			5.82	0.00	5.82		386.36	380.54	••	5.519
27	2016			5.82	0.00	5.82		418.71	412.89		5,982
28	2017	·		5.82	0.00	5.82		451.05	445.23		6.444
29	2018			5.82	0.00	5.87		483.40	477.58		6.905
30	2019			5.82	0.00	5.82	:	515.75	509.93		7.368
31	2020			5.87	0.00	5.82	· ·	548.09	542.27	· · ·	7.830
32	2021		·	5.82	0.00	5.82		580.44	574.62		8.292
33	2022	12111		5.82	0.00	5.82		612.78	606.97		8.754
34	2023			5.82	0.00	5.82		645.13	639.31	· · · ·	9.216
35	2024			5.82	0.00	5.82		677.48	671.65		9.678
36	2025			5.82	0.00	5.82		709.82	704.00	· ·	10.140
37	2026		1	5.82	0.00	5.82		742.17	736.35		10.602
38	2027		•	5.82	0.00	5.82		774.52	768.70		11.065
39	2028			5.82	0.00	5.82	· .	806.86	801.04		11.527
40	2029	:		5.82	0.00	5.82		839.21	833.39		11.989
41	2030			5.82	0.00	5.82		871.55	865.73		12.451
42	2030			5.82	0.00	5.82		903.90	898.08	• :	12.913
42	2032			5.82	0.00	5 82		936 25	930.43		13.375
	2032			5.82	0.00	5 87		968.59	962.77		13,817
44	2033	÷ .		5 02	0.00	5.02		1000.04	005 10		16 200
4.5	2034			5 07	0.00	5 97	· · · ·	1033 20	1077 17		14.237
40	2030	1.1.1		5 02	· A AA	5 97		1055.20	1050 01		15 272
47	2027	4		5 07	0.00	5 00		1007 09	1002 10		15 605
40	2037			5.02	0.00	5.02		1120 20	11072.10		12+063
47	2038			3.82	0.00	J,82		1150.32	1124.30		10.14/
50	2039			5.82	0.00	3.82		1102.0/	1130.65	. *	13.030
16	2040			5.82	0.00	3.82		1193.02	1189.20	1.1.1	17.072
22	2041			5.82	0.00	5.82	1. A.	1227.36	1221.34		17.034
53	2042		•	5.82	0.00	5.8Z	. •	1209,71	1253,89		17.996
54	2043			5.8Z	0.00	5.82		1292.05	1286.23		18.458
55	2044			5.82	0.00	5.82	: · · ·	1324,40	1318.58	1	18.920

.

# Table 3.10BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: AGNO RIVER TRIBUTARIES,<br/>25 YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL

and the second		MAIN	PHYSICAL	TOTAL		
CASE NO. LAT-AT-25 Constant	Benefit	CONST.	CONT.	COST	UNIT	mil.Pesos
RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK		1012	151.8	1506		
DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK		0	0	0		1 A. 1
ANNUAL BENEFIT		70	mil. Pese	58		
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.000				dia dia mandri di dia mandri dia mandri dia mandri dia mandri dia mandri dia mandri di dia mandri dia mandri dia mandri di di dia mandri di dia mandri di di dia mandri di di dia mandri di
	2010	1.000				
	2044	1.000				

3.08%

#### CALCULATED EIRR

		Cost Stream											
No.	Year	River	Dam	OM River	OM Dam	Total		Benefit	B-C		GROWTH FACTOR		
<u>^</u>	1989	······································				0.00	· · · · ·	0,00	0.00	- ² -	1.000		
ĩ	1990					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000		
2	1991					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000		
3	1992					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000		
- 4	1993		· .		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	0.00		0.00	0.00	1 - 1	1.000		
5	1994				· · · ·	0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000		
6	1995	100.40	0.00	0.00		100.40		0.00	-100.40		1.000		
7	1996	100,40	0.00	0.39		100.79		4.0/	- 90.12	3	1 000		
8	1997	100.40	0.00	0.78		101.10		14 00	-91.04		1.000		
9	1998	100.40	0.00	1.10		101.95		18.67	-83:29		1.000		
10	3000 7333	100.40	0.00	1.96	0.00	102.34		23.33	-79.01		1.000		
12	2000	100.40		2.33	0.00	102.73		28.00	-74.73		1.000		
13	2002	100.40		2.72	0.00	103.12		32.67	-70.45		1,000		
14	2003	100.40	1.5	3.10	0.00	103.50	· · · ·	37.33	-66.17		1,000		
15	2004	100.40		3.49	0.00	103.89		42.00	-61.89		1,000		
16	2005	100.40		3.88	0.00	104.28		46.67	-57.61		1.000		
17	2006	100.40	: *	4.27	0.00	104.67		51.33	-53.33		1,000		
18	2007	100.40		4.66	0.00	105.06	1	56.00	-49.06	1.1	1,000		
19	2008	100.40		5.04	0.00	105.44		60.67	-44.78		1.000		
20	2009	100.40	· ·	5.43	0.00	105.83		65.33	-40.50	· · · ·	1.000		
21	2010		s* +	5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	09.18		1.000		
22	2011			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
23	2012		· .	5.82	0.00	J⊾6∠ / 5 00		70.00	66 10		1 000		
24	2013			2.8Z	0.00	3.02		70.00	66 18		1.000		
25	2014	1.1.1		5.02	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
20	2015			5 2 2 2	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
21	2010			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18	a the second	1.000		
20	2017			5-82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18	· .	1.000		
30	2019			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
31	2020		·	5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18	2 ¹	1.000		
32	2021	· .		5.82	0.00	5.82	1.1	70.00	64.18		1.000		
33	2022			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18	1.1	1.000		
34	2023			5.82	0.00	5,82		70.00	64.18		1,000		
35	2024		:	5.82	0.00	5.82	· · ·	70.00	64.18	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	1.000		
36	2025			5.82	0.00	5.82	: *	70.00	64.18		1.000		
37	2026			5.82	0.00	5,82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
38	2027			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1 000		
39	2028			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	04.18		1.000		
40	2029			3.8Z 5.00	0.00	3.04		70.00	64.18		1 000		
41	2030			2.04	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
42	2033			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18	1. A.	1.000		
44	2032			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
45	2034	· · ·		5.82	0.00	5,82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
46	2035			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
47	2036			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
48	2037			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
49	2038			5.82	0.00	5.82	1.1	70.00	64.18	1. 1.	1.000		
50	2039	1 - A	1.1	5.82	0.00	5.82		70,00	64.18		1.000		
51	2040	1	· · · ·	5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18	(-,+,+)	1.000		
52	2041		1.1.1	5.82	0.00	5.82	1	70.00	64.18	12	1,000		
53	2042	$\mathcal{F}_{i} = \mathcal{F}_{i}$		5.82	0,00	5.82		70.00	64.18	• • •	1.000		
54	2043			5.82	0.00	5.82		70.00	64.18		1.000		
55	2044	1		5.82	0.00	5.82		0.00	64.18	· · ·	1.000		

- SE. 36 -

## Table 3.11BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: ALLIED RIVERS,10YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT LEVEL

CASE NO. LAL-AT-10 Low Grouth RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK		MAIN CONST. 2027	PHYSICAL CONT. 304.05	TOTAL COST 3020	UNIT	indl.Pesos
ANNUAL BENEFIT		471	mil. Pes	Da		
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.837				
	2010	3.209				
	2044	18,920				

CALCULATED EIRR

Cost Stream

33.77% 

No.	Year	River	Dam	OM	OM	Total	Benefit	B-C	GROWTH
				River	Dam				FACTOR
0	1989					0.00	0.00	0.00	1,000
ĩ	1990					0.00	0.00	0.00	1.076
2	1991		1. S. S.			0.00	0.00	0,00	1.152
3	1992					0.00	0.00	0.00	1.228
. 4	1993					0.00	0.00	0.00	1.304
5	1994	2 <u>1</u> 1 1 1 1 1				0.00	0.00	0.00	1.380
. 6	1995	201.33	0.00	0.00		201.33	0.00	-201.33	1.457
	1996	201.33	0.00	0.78		202.11	48.12	~153.99	1.533
	1997	201.33	0.00	1.55	N.	202.89	101.03	-101.86	1.609
. 10	1000	201.33	0.00	2.33		203.00	20.71	-44.90	1.083
· 10	2000	201.33	0.00	3.11	0.00	204.44	221.17	83 19	1 837
12	2000	201.33		4.66	0.00	206.00	371.94	165 94	1.974
13	2002	201.33	1.1	5.44	0.00	206.77	464.09	257.31	2,111
14	2003	201.33		6.22	0.00	207.55	564.85	357 30	2,249
15	2004	201.33		6.99	0.00	208.33	674.23	465.90	2.386
16	2005	201.33	1.	7.77	0.00	209.10	792.22	583.12	2.523
17	2006	201.33	1	8.55	0.00	209.88	918.83	708.95	2.660
18	2007	201.33		9.32	0.00	210.66	1054.06	843.40	2.797
19	2008	201.33	e e p	10.10	0.00	211.43	1197.90	986.47	2.935
20	2009	201.33		10.88	0.00	212.21	1350.36	1138.15	3.072
21	2010			11.66	0.00	11.66	1511.44	1499.78	3.209
22	2011	1		11.66	0.00	11.66	1729.08	1717.43	3.671
23	2012			11.66	0.00	11.66	1946.73	1935.07	4.133
24	2013			11.00	0.00	11.00	2104,37	2132.11	4.392
20	2014		1. 1.	11.66	0.00	11.00	2500 44	2500.30	5 510
20	2015			11.66	0:00	11.66	2337.30	2805.65	5,987
79	2010	. *	-	11.66	0.00	11.66	3034.94	3023.29	6.444
29	2018			11.66	0.00	11.66	3252.59	3240.93	6.906
30	2019			11.66	0.00	11.66	3470.23	3458.58	7.368
31	2020	2		11.66	0.00	11.66	3687.87	3676.22	7.830
32	2021	· · ·	2.4	11.66	0.00	11.66	3905.52	3893.86	8.292
33	2022			11.66	0.00	11.65	4123.16	4111.51	8.754
34	2023			11,66	0.00	11.66	4340,81	4329.15	9,216
35	2024			11.66	0.00	11.66	4558.45	4546.79	9.678
36	2025		1.1	11.66	0.00	11.66	4776.09	4764 44	10.140
37	2026		*	11.66	0.00	11.66	4993.74	4982.08	10.602
38	2027	- 11 A		11.66	0.00	11.00	5420,02	5199.72	11.060
39	2028		jat a a	11.66	0.00	11.66	56/6 67	5635 A1	11.52/
	2020			11 66	0.00	11.66	5864 31	5857 65	12 / 51
42	2030			11.66	0.00	11.66	6081.95	6070.30	12.913
43	2032			11.66	0.00	11.66	6299.60	6287.94	13,375
44	2033	1		11.66	0.00	11.66	6517.24	6505.59	13.837
45	2034		· ·	11.66	0.00	11.66	6734.88	6723.23	14.299
46	2035		· .	11.66	0.00	11.66	6952,53	6940.87	14.761
47	2036			11.66	0.00	11.66	7170.17	7158.52	15.223
48	2037			11.66	0.00	11,66	7387.82	7376.16	15.685
49	2038	s kije i		11,66	0.00	11.66	7605.46	7593.80	16.147
50	2039	1	1.1.1	11.66	0.00	11.66	7823.10	7811.45	16.610
51	2040	e e la composición de		11.66	0.00	11.66	8040.75	8029.09	17.072
52	2041			11.66	0.00	11.66	8258.39	8246.73	17.534
53	2042	e all des		11.66	0.00	11.55	8476.03	8464.38	1/.998
54	2043	11 a. 1	e para de	11 44	0.00	11.00	8011 20	6082.UZ	18,458
	2044	1.2	(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	11.00	0.00	11.00	6311.3Z	0077.00	10.920

- SE. 37 -

# Table 3.12BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS: ALLIED RIVERS,10YEAR FLOOD, BENEFITS AT CURRENT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL

CASE NO. LAL-AT-10 Constant RIVER IMPROVEMENT WORK	Benefit	MAIN CONST. 2027	PHYSJ CONT. 304	CAL	TOTA	11. F 3020	UNIT	imil.F	1880a
DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK		. 0		0		<u>́</u> 0			·
ANNUAL BENEFIT		471	mil.	Pesc	s		· · ·	· · ·	
GROWTH FACTORS	2000	1.000							
	2010	1.000							
	2044	1.000							
CALCULATED EIRR	· ·	15.18	z				۰.	* .	

.....

Cost	Stream
------	--------

No.	Year	River	Dam	OM River	OM Dam	Total		Benefit	B-C		GROWTH FACTOR
			·								
0	1989					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
- 1	1990					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
2	1991					0.00		0.00	0.00	· · · ·	1.000
3	1992				4	0,00		0.00	0.00		1.000
4	1993					0.00		0.00	0.00		1.000
5	1994					0.00	2.	0.00	201 32	•	1 000
6	1995	201.33	0.00	0.00		201.33		0.00	-201.33	.*	1 000
. 7	1996	201.33	0.00	0.78	-	202.11		51.40	-160.09	10	1,000
.8	1997	201.33	0.00	1.00		202.89		02.00	-140.09		1,000
9	1998	201.33	0.00	2.33		203,00		125 60	-109.40		1.000
10	-1999	201.33	0.00	3.11	0.00	204.44		157 00	-/8.22	· • • •	1.000
11	2000	201.33		3.07	0.00	203.22		188.40	-17.60		1,000
12	2001	201.33		4.08	0.00	206 77		210 80	13.03	1.1	1.000
13	2002	201.33		2.44	0.00	207 55	1.11	251.20	43.65	1.1.1	1.000
14	2003	201.33	1.1	6 00	0.00	208 33		282.60	74.27	10 M	1.000
15	2004	201.33		7 77	0.00	200,00	1 · · ·	314.00	104.90	1.111	1.000
10	2003	201.33		0 55	0.00	202120		345.40	135.52	1	1.000
17	2000	201.33		0.33	0.00	210.66	1.1	376-80	166.14		1.000
18	2007	201.33	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	10 10	0.00	210.00		408.20	196.77		1.000
19	2000	201.33		10.88	0.00	212.21	1 A	439.60	227.39		1.000
20	2007	201.33	. *	11 66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
41	2010		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	11 66	0.00	11.66	-	471.00	459.34		1.000
22	2011		÷	11.66	0,00	11.66		471.00	459.34	· · · ·	1.000
23	2012			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
24	2017	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -		11 66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34	tha d	1.000
2.2	2014			11.66	0.00	11.66	:	471.00	459.34		1,000
20	2015	· · · · ·	· · · · · ·	11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
21	2010	an th		11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34	÷	1.000
20	2017			11.66	0.00	11.66	• •	471.00	459.34		1.000
27	2010			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
- 31	2019		the second	11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
32	2020			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
32	2021			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
35	2022			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1,000
35	2025	÷ .	1	11.66	0.00	11.66	1. A. A. A.	471.00	459.34	1997 (A. 1997)	1.000
36	2025			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
37	2026			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34	1 A A	1.000
38	2027			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
39	2028		•	11.66	0.00	11,66		471.00	459.34	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1.000
40	2029			11.66	0.00	11.66	· · · ·	471.00	459.34	1.1.1	1.000
41	2030			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		.1.000
42	2031		· · ·	11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
43	2032			11.66	0.00	11.66	1	471.00	459.34		1.000
44	2033			11.66	0.00	11.66	1.1	471.00	459.34		1.000
45	2034		1	11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34	1.51	1.000
46	2035			11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
47	2036			11.66	0.00	11.66	*	471.00	459.34	1. A.	1.000
48	2037		1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
49	2038		•	11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34	1. J	1.000
50	2039			11.66	0.00	11.66	1.11	471.00	459.34		1.000
51	2040		•	11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
52	2041	· .	•	11.66	0.00	11.66	1 A A	471.00	459.34	, here	1.000
53	2042		·	11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
54	2043			11.66	0.00	11.66	· · ·	471,00	459.34		1.000
55	2044	5 - E		11.66	0.00	11.66		471.00	459.34		1.000
								-		10 A. A. A.	1

- SE. 38 -











- SE. 42 -

· ·




#### HY : HYDROLOGY

#### SUMMARY

- (1) The Agno River basin is surrounded by the Cordillera Central mountains on the north and the Zambales Mountains on the southwest and adjoining the Pampanga River basin on the southeast, which forms the northern part of the Central Plains of Luzon.
- (2) The Agno River is ranked as the 5th largest scale river in the Philippines with a drainage area of about 5,910 km² and its river length of 221 km. The Agno River originates in the Cordillera Central Mountains and flows southward in mountainous areas. Then it debauches into a vast alluvial plain and collects runoff from the left bank tributaries including the Tarlac River which is a major tributary of the Agno River. After the confluence with the Tarlac River, the Agno River takes northwestwards direction, flowing on the eastern side the Zambales Mountains and discharges into the Lingayen Gulf. The Allied Rivers consisting of the two main systems of the cayanga-Patalan and Pantol-Sinocalan river systems, are located on the northwestern part of the Pangasinan plain and also discharges into the Lingayan Gulf.
- (3) The climate of the Agno River basin is characterized by distinct dry and wet seasons. The dry season lasts usually from November to April and the wet season from May to October. The annual rainfall varies from 2,000 mm in the southeastern portion adjoining the Pampanga River basin to over 4,000 mm in northern mountainous part. More than 90% of the annual rainfall occurs in the 6 months of the wet season. The river basin is often hit by typhoons during the wet season. Baguio City located at the mountainous area was recorded the annual rainfall of 9,038 mm in 1911, and the heaviest daily rainfall was 979 mm at the maximum record when a typhoon hit the area in October 17, 1967. the average annual temperature of the basin is 28°C with a slight monthly fluctuation. The average relative humidity in the Pangasinan plain is about 80%.

-HY.S1-

- (4) From the hydrological viewpoint, the existing condition of the Agno River and Allied River basins during flood period is characterized as follows:
  - (a) A continuous diking system has been provided for almost the whole stretch of the right bank of the main Agno River. For this reason, the Allied River basins are protected from the flood of the Agno River.
  - (b) However, some breached river sections of the said diking system still remain in the downstream of San Roque caused by past floods and also gaps remain in the diking system. This implies that the flood runoff from the upstream basin will be partly diverted and/or overtopped into the Allied Rivers basin.
  - (c) Due to the absence of left dike in the main Agno River at the downstream from the confluence with the Tarlac River, there still remains an inundation area.
  - (d) In order to dissolve a hydraulic bottle-neck point at Bayambang in the Agno River, about 10 km upstream of the junction of the Tarlac River, the by-pass floodway channel to the Poponto swamp was constructed. The Poponto swamp serves as a natural retarding basin at present.
  - (e) The lowland areas of the Allied Rivers receive the habitual flooding in the rainy season due to inadequate low flow capacity of river channels. Moreover, in case of a flood associated with the one from the Agno River, a wide-spread flooding with a long time duration is readily expected.
- (5) The following flood studies are carried out:
  - (a) Estimation of probable flood runoff distribution under the existing river condition in order to clarify present flood phenomenon,
  - (b) Estimation of probable flood runoff distribution under the condition with conceivable alternative flood control schemes, and

-HY.S2-

- (c) Estimation of flood water level and duration time due to the probable flood flow in possible inundation area which is required to estimate the flood damage in the flood prove area.
- (6) Simulation models are developed to simulate the flood runoff mechanism and to assess the hydrological characteristics in the Agno and Allied Rivers. Then, the said model are calibrated based on the flood record of typhoon Maring in 1984.
- (7) The available rainfall data is analyzed for design of the rainfall duration, the probable basin mean rainfall and its hourly distribution. The design rainfall duration is determined to be 4 days and the basin mean rainfall is estimated by Thiessen method taking into account the adjustment for basin mean elevation. The probable 100-year basin mean rainfall with 4-day duration is estimated at 1,008 mm at the rivermouth of the Agno River.
- (8) The probable flood runoff at strategic base points are estimated under the confining dike condition together with the existing dams of the Binga and the Ambuklao, the San Roque dam in which the detail design has been completed, and the Balog-Balog dam under construction. The estimated probable 100-year flood peak runoff at the San Roque damsite and the rivermouth of the Agno River are 6,270 m³/sec and 17,310 m³/sec respectively. The probable flood peak distribution with recurrence intervals of 1.05, 2, 5, 10, 25, 50 and 100 years are presented for the Agno and the Allied Rivers.
- (9) The low flow study is carried out to obtain runoff data on a daily basis at selected locations for the sediment balance calculation in the Agno River. By this study, the runoff record at San Roque is examined and its long-term runoff for the period of 1960-1986 is estimated.

-HY.S3-

# STUDY OF AGNO RIVER BASIN FLOOD CONTROL

HY: HYDROLOGY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

n de la companya de l Esta de la companya d	Page
SUMMARY	HY.S1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	HY.i
LIST OF TABLES	HY.iii
LIST OF FIGURES	HY.iv
ABBREVIATIONS	HY.vi
1. INTRODUCTION	HY. 1
2. METEOHYDROLOGICAL DATA	HY. 2
2.1 Meterological Data	HY.2
2.2 Rainfall	HY. 2
2.3 Water Level and Discharge	HY. 2
2.4 Tidal Water Level	HY. 3
3. METEOHYDROLOGICAL CONDITION	HY.4
a ser an	
3.1 Rivers	HY. 4
3.2 Climate	HY. 5
4. FLOOD STUDIES	HY. 6
	•
4.1 General	HY. 6
4.2 Presentation of River Basin Model	HY.6
4.2.1 Hydrological characteristics during flood	HY. 6
4.2.2 River basin model	HY.7
4.2.3 Configuration of model	HY. 7
4.2.4 Basic concept simulation of model	HY. 9
4.3 Calibration of Model	HY.12
4.3.1 Selection of flood record for calibration	HY.12
4.3.2 Flood record of typhoon Maring	HY.13

	4.3.3 Basic conditions for simulation	HY.14
	4.3.4 Calibration results	HY.15
	4.4 Design Rainfall	HY.16
	4.5 Evaluation of Probable Flood under Present River Condition	HY.18
	4.6 Probable Flood Runoff under River Improvement Condition	HY.19
	4.6.1 Condition for calculation	HY.19
	4.6.2 Probable flood peak distribution	HY.20
	4.7 Flood Water Level and Duration in Inundation Area	HY.20
5.	LOW FLOW STUDY	HY.22
	5.1 General	HY.22
	5.2 Available Data	HY.22
	5.3 Procedure of Simulation	HY.23
	5.4 Simulation Model	HY.24
	5.5 Calibration of Model	HY.25
	5.5.1 Input data	HY.25
	5.5.2 Calibration results	HY.26
	5.6 Long-term Runfoff at San Roque	HY.28
5	5.7 Estimate of Runoff in Sub-basin	HY.28
6.	METEOHYDROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS	HY.30
	6.1 Establishment of Observation Stations	HY.30
	6.2 Observations	HY.32
	6.3 Observation Record	HY.32

## TABLES

FIGURES

## LIST OF TABLES

No.		Page
3.1	SUMMARY OF CLIMATIC CONDITIONS	HY.34
4.1	RECORDED ANNUAL MAXIMUM DISCHARGE	HY.35
4.2	MAJOR FLOOD RECORDS OBSERVED BY AFFWS	HY.36
4.3	DISCHARGE RECORD OF TYPHOON MARING IN 1984	HY.37
4.4	BASIN MEAN RAINFALL AT TYPHOON MARING	HY.38
4.5	STORAGE FUNCTION OF SUB-BASIN OF AGNO RIVER	HY.39
4.6	STORAGE FUNCTION OF RIVER CHANNEL UNDER PRESENT RIVER	÷
·	CONDITION OF AGNO RIVER	HY.40
4.7	THIESSEN WEIGHT BY BASE POINT FOR AGNO RIVER BASIN	HY.41
4.8	ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR BASIN MEAN ELEVATION	HY.42
4.9	ANNUAL MAXIMUM BASIN MEAN 4-DAY RAINFALL AT RIVERMOUTH	
1.	OF AGNO RIVER (BP-1)	HY.43
4.10	ANNUAL MAXIMUM BASIN MEAN 4-DAY RAINFALL AT RIVERMOUTH OF	
	CAYANGA-PATALAN RIVER (BP-8)	HY.45
4.11	ANNUAL MAXIMUM BASIN MEAN 4-DAY RAINFALL AT RIVERMOUTH OF	
	PANTOL-SINOCALAN RIVER (BP-9)	HY.47
4.12	PROBABLE BASIN MEAN RAINFALL BY BASE POINT	HY.49
4.13	STORAGE FUNCTION OF RIVER CHANNEL UNDER CONFINING DIKE	
	CONDITION OF AGNO RIVER	HY.50
4.14	STORAGE FUNCTION OF RIVER CHANNEL UNDER CONFINING DIKE	
	CONDITION OF ALLIED RIVERS	HY.51
4.15	STORAGE FUNCTION OF SUB-BASIN OF ALLIED RIVERS	HY.52
5.1	MONTHLY BASIN MEAN RAINFALL AT SAN ROQUE	HY.53
5.2	RECORDED MONTHLY RUNOFF AT SAN ROQUE	HY, 54
5.3	RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS OF OBSERVED AND SIMULATED RUNOFF	HY.55
5.4	ESTIMATED MONTHLY RUNOFF AT SAN ROQUE	HY.56

-HY.iii-

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>No.</u>		Page
2.1	LOCATION MAP OF RAINFALL GAUGING STATION	HY:57
2.2	DATA AVAILABILITY OF RAINFALL RECORD	HY.58
2.3	LOCATION MAP OF WATER LEVEL GAUGING STATIONS	HY.59
2.4	DATA AVAILABILITY OF DISCHARGE RECORD	HY.60
3.1	RIVER SYSTEMS OF AGNO RIVER BASINS	HY.61
3.2	ISOHYETAL MAP OF AGNO RIVER BASIN	HY.62
3.3	MONTHLY RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION	HY.63
4.1	ILLUSTRATION OF RIVER BASIN MODEL	HY.64
4.2	BASIN DIVISION OF AGNO RIVER BASIN	HY.65
4.3	BASIN DIVISION OF ALLIED RIVER BASIN	HY.66
4.4	RIVER SYSTEM MODEL OF AGNO AND ALLIED RIVERS FOR FLOOD	·
4 g. 1	RUNOFF ANALYSIS	HY.67
4.5	ILLUSTRATION OF HYDRAULIC MODEL FOR POPONTO SWAMP	HY.68
4.6	ILLUSTRATION OF SEQUENTIAL POND MODEL	HY.69
4.7	DIVIDED MESH BLOCK OF ALLIED RIVER BASIN FOR FLOOD	1
	INUNDATION ANALYSIS	HY.70
4.8	WORK FLOW CHART FOR FLOOD STUDIES	HY.71
4.9	DISCHARGE RATING CURVES AT TELEMETERING STATION	HY.72
4.10	RECORDED RAINFALL HYETOGRAPHS DURING TYPHOON MARING IN 1984	HY.73
4.11	THIESSEN POLYGON DURING TYPHOON MARING IN 1984	HY.74
4.12	ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR BASIN MEAN ELEVATION	HÝ.75
4.13	RESERVOIR OPERATION AT BINGA AND AMBUKLAO DAMS DURING	
	TYPHOON MARING IN 1984	HY.76
4.14	FLOOD INFLOW HYDROGRAPHS AT AMBUKLAO DAM	HY.77
4.15	RELATION BETWEEN RAINFALL AMOUNT AND RUNOFF DEPTH AT	·
14	AMBUKLAO DAM	HY.78
4.16	BASE FLOW CURVE	HY.79
4.17	DISCHARGE RATING CURVES AT POPONTO FLOODWAY	HY.80
4.18	OBSERVED AND SIMULATED FLOOD HYDROGRAPHS DURING TYPHOON	
	MARING IN 1984	HY.81
4.19	SIMULATED WATER LEVEL AND DISCHARGE HYDROGRAPHS AT POPONTO	
	SWAMP DURING TYPHOON MARING IN 1984	HY.83
4.20	ACCUMULATED RAINFALL CURVES AT TELEMETERING STATION	HY.84
4.21	THIESSEN POLYGON OF AGNO RIVER BASIN	HY.85

FREQUENCY CURVE OF ANNUAL MAXIMUM BASIN MEAN RAINFALL	
AT BASE POINT	HY.86
HOURLY DESIGN RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION	HY.95
FREQUENCY CURVE OF ANNUAL MAXIMUM PEAK DISCHARGE AT SAN ROQUE .	HY.96
COMPARISON OF PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK RUNOFF AT SAN ROQUE	HY.97
PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK DISCHARGE DISTRIBUTION OF AGNO RIVER	
UNDER CONFINING DIKE CONDITION (WITH SAN ROQUE DAM)	HY.98
PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK DISCHARGE DISTRIBUTION OF ALLIED RIVERS	· .
UNDER CONFINING DIKE CONDITION	HY.99
PROBABLE FLOOD HYDROGRAPHS AT BASE POINT WITH SAN ROQUE DAM	HY.100
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SPECIFIC RUNOFF OF 100-YEAR FLOOD AND	
CATCHMENT AREA	HY.105
PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK DISCHARGE UNDER CONFINING DIKE CONDITION	
WITH AND WITHOUT SAN ROQUE DAM	HY.106
COMPARISON OF INUNDATION AREA AND MAXIMUM DEPTH DURING	
TYPHOON MARING IN 1984	HY.107
MAXIMUM INUNDATION DEPTH BY 100-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD	HY.108
APPLIED TANK MODEL AND CALIBRATED COEFFICIENTS	HY.109
OBSERVED AND SIMULATED MONTHLY RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH	HY.110
OBSERVED AND SIMULATED FLOW DURATION CURVES AT SAN ROQUE	HY.111
SIMULATED DAILY RUNOFF AT SAN ROQUE	HY.113
DOUBLE MASS CURVE AT SAN ROQUE	HY.116
DURATION CURVES	HY.117
LOCATION MAP OF NEW RAIN GAUGES AND WATER LEVEL GAUGES	HY.118
OBSERVED RAINFALL HYETOGRAPHS DURING TYPHOON OPENG	HY.119
OBSERVED WATER LEVEL HYDROGRAPHS DURING TYPHOON OPENG	HY.120
ISOHYETAL MAP OF 4-DAY RAINFALL DURING TYPHOON OPENG	HY.121
	FREQUENCY CURVE OF ANNUAL MAXIMUM BASIN MEAN RAINFALL   AT BASE POINT   HOURLY DESIGN RAINFALL DISTRIBUTION   FREQUENCY CURVE OF ANNUAL MAXIMUM PEAK DISCHARGE AT SAN ROQUE   COMPARISON OF PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK RUNOFF AT SAN ROQUE   PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK DISCHARGE DISTRIBUTION OF AGNO RIVER   UNDER CONFINING DIKE CONDITION (WITH SAN ROQUE DAM)   PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK DISCHARGE DISTRIBUTION OF ALLIED RIVERS   UNDER CONFINING DIKE CONDITION   PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK DISCHARGE DISTRIBUTION OF ALLIED RIVERS   UNDER CONFINING DIKE CONDITION   PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK DISCHARGE DISTRIBUTION OF ALLIED RIVERS   UNDER CONFINING DIKE CONDITION   PROBABLE FLOOD HYDROGRAPHS AT BASE POINT WITH SAN ROQUE DAM   CATCHMENT AREA   PROBABLE FLOOD PEAK DISCHARGE UNDER CONFINING DIKE CONDITION   WITH AND WITHOUT SAN ROQUE DAM   COMPARISON OF INUNDATION AREA AND MAXIMUM DEPTH DURING   TYPHOON MARING IN 1984   MAXIMUM INUNDATION DEPTH BY 100-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD   APPLIED TANK MODEL AND CALIBRATED COEFFICIENTS   OBSERVED AND SIMULATED MONTHLY RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH   OBSERVED AND SIMULATED FLOW DURATION CURVES AT SAN ROQUE   DUBLE MASS CURVE AT SAN ROQUE   DUBLE MASS CURVE AT SAN ROQUE   DUBLE MASS CURVE AT SAN ROQUE

-HY.v-

ABBREVIATIONS

## 1. NAME OF PHILIPPINE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

AFCS	Agno River Flood Control
AFFWS	Agno River Flood Forecasting and Warning System
ARIS	Agno River Irrigation System
DPWH	Department of Public Works and Highways
GOP	Government of the Philippines
NAMRIA	National Mapping and Resource Information Authority
NAPOCOR	National Power Corporation
NIA	National Irrigation Administration
PAGASA	Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical and Astronomical
	Services Administration

2. NAME OF JAPANESE GOVERNMENT AND OTHER OFFICIAL AGENCIES AND ORGANIZATION

GOJ	Government of Japan
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
MOC	Ministry of Construction, Japan

3. MEASUREMENT UNITS

(Length)		(Time)	
mm	millimeter(s)	sec	second(s)
cm	centimeter(s)	min	minute(s)
m	meters(s)	hr(hrs)	hour(s)
km	kilometer(s)	dy(dys)	day(s)
		mth(mths)	<pre>month(s)</pre>
		yr(yrs)	year(s)

(Area)		4	
m ²	square	meter(s)	
² km	square	kilometer(s	)

(Volume) m³

cubic meter(s)

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

This Supporting Report presents the results of meteorological and hydrological study carried out during the Study.

The objectives of the study are:

#### Flood Studies

- To estimate the probable flood runoff distribution under the present river condition to clarify the hydrological characteristic during flood;
- (2) To estimate the flood water level and duration time in possible inundation area for the assessment of its flood damage;
  - (3) To estimate the probable flood runoff distribution under conceivable alternative schemes for the evaluation of their appropriate scales; and

#### Low Flow Study

(4)

To estimate the long-term runoff at an arbitrary location in the basin for the sediment balance study.

In addition, 4 automatic rainfall gauges and 9 automatic water level gauges provided by JICA were newly installed at selected sites during the Study. The observed data thereby are to be analysed and taken into consideration in the meteohydrological study in the Feasibility Study stage.

#### 2. METEOHYDROLOGICAL DATA

#### 2.1 Meteorological Data

Meteorological observation data in and around the Agno River basin such as temperature, relative humidity, evaporation, wind velocity is available at meteorological observatories at Dagupan City, Baguio, Ambuklao dam and San Miguel.

#### 2.2 Rainfall

There exist 25 rainfall gauging stations in and around the Agno River basin as shown in the location map of Fig. 2.1. Fig. 2.2 shows the observation period of rainfall record at these stations. The observation work is managed and executed by PAGASA.

As shown in Fig. 2.1, rainfall stations are densely distributed in upstream basin of the Agno River and middle reaches of the Agno River from the junction of the Ambayoan River. The number of stations is limited, however, in the upstream basins of the Camiling River, the Tarlac River and the Allied Rivers, hourly rainfall record is available at 5 stations of San Roque, Carmen, Wawa, Tibag and Santa. Barbara. Those stations were installed as a part of flood forecasting and warning system in the Agno River in 1982.

## 2.3 Water Level and Discharge

There are 23 water level gauging stations in the Agno River basin. Water level observation and discharge measurement are carried out by DPWH. Fig. 2.3 shows the location map of water level gauging stations.

The available period of water level record since 1960 is shown in Fig. 2.4. The record is available only up to the beginning of 1970's due to damage of water level gauge equipment and inadequate maintenance works, while some stations remain in operation but observed data thereof are still under compilation.

Hourly water level records in major flood period are available since 1982

at San Roque, Carmen, Wawa, Tibag and Santa. Barbara, where automatic water level gauges are installed for the purpose of flood forecasting and warning in the Agno River basin.

The details of flood record is discussed in Chapter 4.

2.4 Tidal Water Level

There is no tidal stations in the Lingayen Gulf. The tidal water level record is available at San Fernando which is located at about 80 km in the north of Dagupan City. According to the tide table published by NAMRIA, the tide levels at San Fernando are as follows:

Elevation in Meter above Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW) Mean Higher High Water Mean Sea Level (MHHW) (MSL) 0.706 0.329

Remarks: Primary Bench Mark is placed at 4.727 m above MLLW.

### 3. METEOHYDROLOGICAL CONDITION

#### 3.1 Rivers

The Agno River basin subject to the Study is surrounded by the Cordillera Central Mountains on the north and the Zambales Mountains on the southwest and adjoining the Pampanga River basin on the southeast, which forms the northern part of the Central Plains of Luzon.

The Study Area is mainly divided into two river systems; the Agno River system and its neighboring medium-scale river groups named Allied Rivers system as shown in Fig. 3.1.

The Agno River is ranked as the 5th largest scale river in the Philippines with a drainage area of about 5,910 km² and river length of 221 km. The Agno River originates in the Cordillera Central Mountains and flows southward in the mountainous area. Then it debouches into a vast alluvial plain and flows down toward Bayambang collecting runoff from the left bank tributaries such as the Ambayoan and Viray-Dipalo Rivers and joins the Tarlac River, a major tributary of the Agno River.

At the confluence of these two rivers, the Poponto swamp exists and functions as a natural retarding basin to reduce flood peak to downstream. Its inundation area is about  $30 \text{ km}^2$  in the rainy season.

After the confluence with the Tarlac River, the Agno Rivers turns in a northwestward direction gathering runoff from the northeastern slope of the Zambales Mountains and finally empties into the Lingayen Gulf.

The Allied Rivers, independent rivers on the Agno River, consist the Cayanga-Patalan and the Panto-Sinocalan river systems. The drainage area of these river systems is  $618 \text{ km}^2$  and  $1,162 \text{ km}^2$  respectively.

Both rivers originate in the Cordillera Central Mountains and take the same flow direction as the Agno River does, and finally discharge into the Lingayen Gulf.

-HY.4-

A vast alluvial plain formed by the Agno River and the Allied Rivers is called as the Pangasinan plain, which has been developed agriculturally for a long time.

3.2 Climate

The climate in the Agno River basin is characterized by distinct dry and wet seasons. The dry season lasts usually from November to April and the wet season from May to October.

Fig. 3.2 shows the isohyetal map of annual rainfall in the Agno River basin. The annual rainfall varies from 2,000 mm in the southeastern portion of the basin adjoining the Pampanga River basin to over 4,000 mm in the northern mountainous area. This variation is mainly due to the topographical condition of the basin.

The monthly mean rainfall distribution at Bobok and Dagupan City is shown in Fig. 3.3. Bobok is located in the northern mountainous area with an elevation of 1,367 m and Dagupan City is in the Pangasinan plain. More than 90% of the annual rainfall occurs in the six months of the wet season. July or August is usually the month of the heaviest rainfall.

The Agno River basin is often attacked by tropical typhoons and storms which brought about heavy rainfall causing harmful flooding in the low land of the plain. During the last two decades the most destructive flood occurred in 1972. It rained almost continuously for thirty days due to successive occurrence of four tropical typhoons/storms from July 6 to August 1, 1972. Baguio City which is located in the vicinity of the northeastern watershed of the Agno River has recorded the heavy daily rainfall of 480 mm in July 17 and the monthly rainfall of 4,775 mm in July, 1972. The flood water from the Agno River basin and the Pampanga River basin almost merged into one big lake.

The mean annual temperature is  $28^{\circ}$ c at Dagupan City located in the Pangasinan plain and the mean monthly temperature varies from  $23^{\circ}$ c to  $32^{\circ}$ c. Relative humidity at Dagupan City is recorded at 77% on annual average. The month of April is the lowest at 70%, while the highest at 85% occurs in August. Meteorological features are summarized in Table 3.1. 4. FLOOD STUDIES and the second second

4.1 General

the second second

As described in Section 1, the major subjects of the flood studies are itemized below:

(1) Estimation of probable flood runoff distribution under the existing river condition in order to clarify present flood phenomenon,

(1, 2, 3) and (2, 3) is the set of (2, 3)

- (2) Estimation of probable flood runoff distribution under the condition with conceivable alternative flood control schemes, and
  - (3) Estimation of flood water level and duration time due to the probable flood flow in possible inundation area which is required to estimate the flood damage in the flood prove area.

In the light of the above objectives of each subject, flood studies are to be performed as discussed below.

4.2 Presentation of River Basin Model

4.2.1 Hyrological characteristics during flood

From the hydrological viewpoint, the existing condition of the Agno River and Allied River basins during flood period is characterized as follows:

- (1) A continuous diking system has been provided for almost the whole stretch of the right bank of the main Agno River. For this reason, the Allied River basins are protected from the flood of the Agno River.
- (2) However, some breached river sections of the said diking system, still remain in the downstream of San Roque caused by past floods and also gaps remain in the diking system. This implies that the flood runoff from the upstream basin will be partly diverted and/or overtopped into the Allied River basins.

- (3) Due to the absence of left dike in the main Agno River at the downstream from the confluence with the Tarlac River, there still remains an inundation area.
- (4) In order to dissolve a hydraulic bottle-neck point at Bayambang in the Agno River, about 10 km upstream of the junction of the Tarlac River, the by-pass floodway channel to the Poponto swamp was constructed. The Poponto swamp serves as a natural retarding basin at present.
- (5) The lowland areas of the Allied Rivers receive the habitual flooding in the rainy season due to inadequate low flow capacity of river channels. Moreover, in case of flood associated with the one from the Agno River, a wide-spread flooding with long time duration is readily expected.

#### 4.2.2 River basin model

The following four simulation models are adopted to simulate the said flood runoff mechanism and to assess the hydrological behaviour in the Agno River and the Allied Rivers:

- Basin runoff model
- River channel model
- Retarding basin model
- Flood inundation model

These models are schematically illustrated as shown in Fig. 4.1

4.2.3 Configuration of model

The river basin model is developed by combination of the foregoing simulation models. The river basin model for the Agno River comprises of basin runoff model, river channel model and retarding basin model. The model for the Allied Rivers is constructed by basin runoff model and river channel model.

However, the flood inundation model is applied to the Allied Rivers for the estimation of flood water level and duration time in its inundation area.

#### -HY.7-

#### (1) Base Points

A base point is defined as a location along the river course to evaluate the magnitude of probable flood and to assess the effect of flood mitigation by conceivable flood control alternative schemes.

The nine base points are selected at confluences and rivermouth of main river streams and major tributaries. The selected base points are given below.

	Base Point	Location	Basin Area (km ² )
:	BP - 1	Rivermouth of Agno River	5,907
÷	BP - 2.	Agno River upstream of	4,388
		conf. of Camiling River	
	BP - 3	Agno River upstream of conf.	2,441
		of Camiling River	. jb
	BP - 4	Agno River at conf. of	1,345
	· . ·	Ambayoan River	· .
	BP - 5	Camiling River at conf. of	604
		Agno River	
	BP - 6	Tarlac River at conf. of	1,896
		Agno River	
	BP - 7	Ambayoan River at conf. of	370
		Agno River	n de la composition de la comp
	BP - 8	Rivermouth of Cayanga-Patalan River	618
	BP - 9	Rivermouth of Pantol-Sinocalan River	1,115
		en e	

In addition, sub-base points are necessary to estimate the probable flood runoff. These points are set up at locations where significant changes in flood runoff are expected, such as:

- confluence of main river and major tributaries
- proposed/existing damsites
- proposed/existing flood diversion sites
- beginning/end points of river stretches divided from viewpoint of flood control planning, and
- existing water level gauging stations

#### (2) River basin model diagram

The Agno River and Allied River basins and their river course are divided into sub-basins and river channels taking into account the base points and subbase points. Divided sub-basins are shown in Figs. 4.2 and 4.3 for the Agno River and the Allied Rivers basins respectively.

The river basin model diagram is thus configurated as illustrated in Fig. 4.4. The model diagram comprises of the following components:

Component	Agno River	Allied Rivers
sub-basin	58	39
river channel	31	22
retarding basin	1 1	0
dams (existing/identified)	15	2

As shown in Fig. 4.4, these components are linked together by base points and sub-base points.

#### 4.2.4 Basic concept of simulation model

(1) Basin runoff model

S

Flood runoff from sub-basin is estimated by means of storage function method. The storage function is expressed by the following equations:

= ко^р

dS/dt = (1/3.6).f.r.A - Q

Where, S : basin storage  $(m^3)$ 

Q : runoff from sub-basin  $(m^3/sec)$ 

K,P : constant

t : time (sec)

f : runoff coefficient

r : basin mean rainfall (mm/hr)

A : catchment area  $(km^2)$ 

Constants of K and P in storage function are initially estimated by means

of the following empirical formula:

 $K = 118.84. i^{0.3}$  $P = 0.175. i^{-0.235}$ Where, i : average river bed slope

Finally, flood runoff from sub-basin is adjusted taking lag time into consideration. The lag time is roughly estimated by empirical formula expressed below.

1 -	T1 =	L/V					10 M
	Where,	T1	:	lag time in sul	o-basin (hr)		
2 E		$\mathbf{L}$	:	river length (	cm)		en ander
		v	:	flow velocity (	(m/sec)		1
ι,				V = 3.5 m/sec	i > 1/100		i na s
				V = 3.0 m/sec	1/200 < i <	1/100	· [4] ·
	1			V = 2.1 m/sec	i < 1/200		•
ang i	t i te			i : average riv	ver bed slope	tere a	

(2) River channel model

In case that the river bed slope is rather gentle or the water level is affected by the backwater due to the relatively higher water level in the main stream, the flood runoff generally retards through river channel.

The storage function of river channel is estimated by the river cross section, river bed gradient and river length through the non-uniform/uniform flow calculation.

The flood runoff through a river channel is estimated by the following equations:

-HY.10-

The lag time in river channel is estimated by the preceding empirical formula.

(3) Retarding basin model

As mentioned before, the Poponto swamp has a function to retard the flood peak runoff. The retardation effect of the swamp is assessed by solving hydraulic equations for continuity and movement derived from the relation among inflow, outflow, water level and storaged volume of the swamp. The basic concept of the model is briefly discussed in Fig. 4.5.

(4) Flood inundation model

Flood inundation model simulates a wide-spread flooding in lowland areas of the Allied Rivers. In order to express two dimensional movement flood flow in inundation area, the sequential pond method is adopted as schemetically illustrated in Fig. 4.6. As shown in Fig. 4.7, the possible inundation area in the Allied Rivers, which is identified through the flood mark survey conducted by the Study Team, is divided into 250 mesh blocks with each area of 2 km x 2 km. This model simulates the flood flow propagation through divided mesh blocks by solving the kinematic and continuity equations as given below;

 $L/g.dv/dt = (h_1 + Z_1) - (h_2 + Z_2) - L.n^2. v .v/h^{4/3}$ F.dH/dt =  $Q_1 - Q_0$ 

Where,	, L ·	:	interval between mesh blocks (m)
	g	::	acceleration of gravity (m/sec ² )
	v	:	flow velocity (m/sec)
	t	:	time (sec)
	: h	ł	water depth of mesh (m)
	2	- 1	ground elevation (m)
	n	<b>.</b>	coefficient of roughness
	F		area of mesh block (m ² )
	Н	: • ···	water level of mesh (m)
a et la c	Qi	:	inflow of mesh (m ³ /sec)
ing in the	Qo	<b>:</b>	outflow of mesh (m ³ /sec)

-HY.11-

This inundation model is also incorporated with basin runoff model to estimate a inflow to designated mesh blocks from upstream basins of the Allied Rivers and overflowed flood inflow from the Agno River.

#### 4.3 Calibration of Model

The parameters incorporated in the proposed river basin model are calibrated by use of selected flood record. Because of the availability and quality of the selected flood record, the river basin model for the Agno river is mainly calibrated. The basic concept obtained through the simulation is applied to determine parameters of the model for the Allied Rivers. The calibration is carried out in accordance with the general procedure as shown in Fig. 4.8.

## 4.3.1 Selection of flood record for calibration

Table 4.1 shows recorded annual maximum peak discharge for the period of 1950-1971 at San Roque and Carmen which are located in middle reaches of the Agno River. However, the flood records of rainfall and discharge on hourly basis are not available, though historically big flood is recorded.

The 3-hour rainfall and discharge records of major past flood since 1982 are available at 5 telemetering gauging stations of AFFWS. Recorded floods caused by big typhoon are enumerated below:

Typhoon	Occurrence date
Maring	Aug. 27-31, 1984
Kuring	June 20-24, 1985
Daring	June 25-29, 1985
Gading	July 7-11, 1986
Miding	Aug.29 - Sept.3, 1986

The observed 4-day rainfall and maximum peak discharge at these gauging stations are summarized in Table 4.2 Water level records at San Roque and Carmen are essential from the viewpoint of calibration of the simulation model. Water level gauge equipments thereof, however, were damaged by typhoon Maring

-HY.12-

in 1984 and are still be unrepaired at present.

In view of the above conditions, the flood record of typhoon Maring in 1984 is selected for calibration of the river basin model.

4.3.2 Flood record of typhoon Maring

(1) Discharge

3-hour discharge at 5 stations of AFFWS are estimated from observed water level by use of discharge rating curves as shown in Fig. 4.9.

Table 4.3 shows estimated 3-hour discharge during typhoon Maring.

(2) Basin mean rainfall

Duration of rainfall during typhoon Maring is 4 days as given in Fig. 4.10. Together with 5 rainfall gauging stations of AFFWS, 9 stations are adopted for the estimation of basin mean rainfall by means of Thiessen polygon method. Fig. 4.11 shows Thiessen's polygon laid out in the basin.

Due to differences in station elevations, the estimated basin mean rainfall is adjusted based on rainfall-elevation relationship as introduced in Fig. 4.12.

Accordingly, the basin mean rainfall is estimated by area weight of Thiessen's polygon and adjustment factor for basin mean elevation as expressed by the following equation:

Rm = fi.FLi.Ri

i

Where, Rm : basin mean rainfall (mm) fi : Thiessen weight FLi : adjustment factor for basin mean elevation Ri : point rainfall (mm)

Table 4.4 shows Thiessen weighta and adjustment factors of selected rainfall stations at respective points in the Agno River basin. As given in