##

#### THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF BANGLADESH

# THE MASTER PLAN STUDY ON THE MODEL RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT PHASE II FOR KACHUA, NABINAGAR, BANCHARAMPUR AND DEBIDWAR UPAZILAS

#### **ANNEXES**

#### **VOLUME - II**

ANNEX	F	IRRIGATION, DRAINAGE AND MINOR FLOOD CONTROL
× == 12 1 == =	_	RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE
ANNEX		
ANNEX	H	AGRO-PROCESSING
<b>ANNEX</b>	I	MARKETING
ANNEX	J	CONSTRUCTION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATE
ANNEX	K	PROJECT EVALUATION

#### DECEMBER 1991

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY



LIBRARY 1095590 (4)

27256

国際協力事業団

23256

#### THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF BANGLADESH

# THE MASTER PLAN STUDY ON THE MODEL RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT PHASE II FOR KACHUA, NABINAGAR, BANCHARAMPUR AND DEBIDWAR UPAZILAS

#### **ANNEXES**

#### **VOLUME - II**

	ANNEX ANNEX ANNEX	G H I	IRRIGATION, DRAINAGE AND MINOR FLOOD CONTROL RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE AGRO-PROCESSING MARKETING CONSTRUCTION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATE
ANNEX R FROJECT EVALUATION	ANNEX	J	CONSTRUCTION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATE PROJECT EVALUATION

#### DECEMBER 1991

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

#### THE MASTER PLAN STUDY ON THE MODEL RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT PHASE II FOR KACHUA, NABINAGAR, BANCHARAMPUR AND DEBIDWAR UPAZILAS

#### LIST OF REPORTS

#### MAIN REPORT

#### ANNEXES VOLUME - I

ANNEX A	SOCIO-ECONOMY
ANNEX B	CROPS AND LIVESTOCK
ANNEX C	INLAND FISHERY
ANNEX D	INSTITUTION AND SUPPORTING SYSTEM
ANNEX E	COOPERATIVES

#### ANNEXES VOLUME - II

ANNEX	F	IRRIGATION, DRAINAGE AND MINOR FLOOD CONTR	OL
ANNEX	G	RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE	-
ANNEX	H	AGRO-PROCESSING	
ANNEX	I	MARKETING	
ANNEX	J	CONSTRUCTION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATE	
ANNEY	10	DDOTECT EVALUATION	

## THE MASTER PLAN STUDY ON THE MODEL RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT PHASE II FOR KACHUA, NABINAGAR, BANCHARAMPUR AND DEBIDWAR UPAZILAS

#### **ANNEXES**

**VOLUME - II** 

#### <u>CONTENTS</u>

#### **VOLUME-I**

ANNEX	Α	SOCIO-ECONOMY
ANNEX	В	CROPS AND LIVESTOCK
ANNEX	C	INLAND FISHERY
ANNEX	D	INSTITUTION AND SUPPORTING SYSTEM
ANNEX	F	COOPERATIVES

# ANNEX F IRRIGATION, DRAINAGE AND MINOR FLOOD CONTROL ANNEX G RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE ANNEX H AGRO-PROCESSING ANNEX I MARKETING ANNEX J CONSTRUCTION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATE ANNEX K PROJECT EVALUATION

#### **ABBREVIATIONS (1/2)**

AUDP Annual Upazila Development Programme

BADC Bangladesh Agricultural Development Corporation

BARC Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council
BARD Bangladesh Academy for Rural Development
BARI The Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute

BAU Bangladesh Agricultural University

BB Bangladesh Bank

BBS Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics

BKB Bangladesh Krishi Bank

BPDB Bangladesh Power Development Board
BRAC Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee
BRDB Bangladesh Rural Development Board
BRRI Bangladesh Rice Research Institute

BS Block Supervisor

BSBL Bangladesh Samabaya (Cooperative) Bank Ltd.

BSCIC Bangladesh Small and Cottage Industries Corporation

BSS Bhumiheen-Bityaheen Samabaya Samity
BWDB Bangladesh Water Development Board

CERDI Central Extension Resources Development Institute

DAE Department of Agriculture Extension

DOC Department of Cooperatives
DOF Department of Fisheries

DPHE Department of Public Health Engineering

DTW Deep Tube-Well

FAO Food and agricultural Organization of the United Nations

FFW Food-for-Work

FFYP Fourth Five Year Plan
GDP Gross Domestic Product
GNP Gross National Product
GOB Government of Bangladesh

HTW Hand Tube-Well

HYV High Yielding Varieties

IDP Infrastructure Development Programme
IMP Irrigation Management Programme
JICA Japan International Cooperation Agency

JSARD Joint Study on Agricultural and Rural Development

KSS Krishak Samabaya Samity

LGEB Local Government Engineering Bureau

LLP Low-Lift Pump

MBSS Mahila Bhumiheen-Bityaheen Samabaya Samity

MFL Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock
MJSS Fishermen Cooperative Society

#### **ABBREVIATIONS (2/2)**

MOA Ministry of Agriculture

MRDPP Model Rural Development Project Programme

MSS Mahila Samabaya Samity
NCB Nationalized Commercial Bank
NGO Non-Governmental Organization

PBS Pali Bidui Samittes

PLMCS Primary Land Mortgage Cooperative Society

PSGCS Primary Sugarcane Growers Society
RAKUB Rajshahi Krishi Unnayan Bank
RDA Rural Development Academy

RDTI Rural Development Training Institute

REB Rural Electrification Board

RHD Roads and Highways Department
RMP Road Maintenance Programme
RWSP Rural Water Supply Programme

S/W Scope of Work

SEBS Socio-Economic Baseline Survey

SFFW Special Food-for-Works
STW Shallow Tube-Well
T & V Training and Visit

TSS Wavers Cooperative Society

UCCA Upazila Central Cooperative Association UCMDS Union Cooperative Multipurpose Society

UEO Upazila Extension Officer
UIC Upazila Irrigation Committee
UIT Upazila Irrigation Team

UNDP The United Nations Development Programme

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

UPU Universal Postal Union

UTDC Upazila Training and Development Center VGF Vulnerable Group Feeding Programme

WFP World Food Program

WHO World Health Organization

## ABBREVIATIONS OF MEASUREMENTS

		and the second s	Volume
* -			lit. = litter
Length		The second of th	cm <sup>3</sup> = cubic centimeter
mm	, <b>==</b>	millimeter	m <sup>3</sup> = cubic meter
cm	==	centimeter	= 1,000 lit.
	==	0.39 in.	$MCM = million m^3$
m	= .	meter = 1.09 yd 3.28 ft,	$= 1 \times 10^3 \mathrm{m}^3$
km	==	kilometre = 0.62 ml	$ft^3$ = cubic feet = 0.028 m <sup>3</sup> = 28.32 lit.
in.	=	inch = 2.54 cm	ac-in. = acre inch = $102.79$ m <sup>3</sup>
ft.	=	foot = 30.48 cm	ac-fit. = acre feet = $1,234 \text{ m}^3$
yd.	==	yard = 91.44 cm	ac-11, - acto 1001 - 1,257 III
ml.	=	mile = 1.61  km	Weight
11111		- 1.01 Kin	
Area			
cm <sup>2</sup>	<b>=</b> :	square centimeter	
m <sup>2</sup>	===	square centimeter	
km <sup>2</sup>	=	square kilometer	
. KIII	=	100 ha	cavan = sack (bag)
ha	=	hectare = $0.01 \text{ km}^2$	pakty = 50 kg/sack
	=	2.5 ac	paddy seed = 45 kg/sack
ac	==	acre = 0.41 ha	corn seed = 50 kg/sack
	==	4,047 m <sup>2</sup>	pod peanut seed = 25 kg/sack
ft <sup>2</sup>	=	square feet	Time
	==	$0.09 \text{ m}^2$	
mile <sup>2</sup>	=	square mile = $2.59 \text{ km}^2$	sec = second
	•		min = minute = 60 seconds hr = hour = 60 minutes
Electric	al M	easures	= 3,600 seconds
kW	==	kilowatt = 1,000 watt	day = 24  hrs = 1,440  minutes
MW	=	megawatt = 1,000 KW	= 86,400 seconds
GW	=	gigawatt = 1,000 MW	yr = year
kV	==	kilovolt = 1,000 volt	
			Derived Measures
Other M	<u> [east</u>	<u>ires</u>	m <sup>3</sup> /sec = cubic meter per second (Cumec)
%	=	percent	ft <sup>3</sup> /sec = cubic foot per second
o	=	degree	(Cusec)
•	=	minute	
11	=	second	Monetary
$^{\circ}\!\mathbb{C}$	=	degree in Celsius	US\$ = US dollar
lakh	=	105	¥ = Japanese yen
crore	=	107	TK = Bangradesh Taka
Hp, PS	· =	horse power	
TPH	=	ton per hour	

# ANNEX F IRRIGATION, DRAINAGE AND MINOR FLOOD CONTROL

## THE MASTER PLAN STUDY ON THE MODEL RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT PHASE II FOR KACHUA, NABINAGAR, BANCHARAMPUR AND DEBIDWAR UPAZILAS

#### ANNEX F IRRIGATION, DRAINAGE AND MINOR FLOOD CONTROL

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		. The state of th	age
1.	NA7	URAL CONDITION F-	- 1
•		Study Area and Topography F-	
-	1.2	Meteorology F-	- 2
		1.2.1 General F-	
		1.2.2 Meteorological Features F-	
		1.2.3 Rainfall F	- 4
	1.3	Hydrology F-	- 5
	•	1.3.1 River System in the Study Area F-	- 5
٠		1.3.2 Water Level and Discharge F-	- 6
	1.4	Geology F-	10
• • •	1.5	GroundwaterF-	10
		1.5.1 General F-	10
		1.5.2 Groundwater Recharge F-	11
		1.5.3 Groundwater QualityF-	11
<u></u> .	IRR	GATION AND DRAINAGE CONDITION F-	13
	2.1	Irrigation Condition F-	13
		2.1.1 Background of Minor Irrigation System F-	13
٠.		2.1.2 Study Area F-	14
	2.2	Drainage ConditionF-	
		2.2.1 Relationship between Flood Water Level and Inundation	
		Area in the Study AreaF-	18
	:	2.2.2 Flood Control, Drainage and Irrigation Plan in the Study Area	
		(Gumti Phase II Sub-Project under BWDB) F-	20

3. IRR	IGATION AND DRAINAGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN	F-24
	Water Source Potential	
	3.1.1 Surface Water	F-24
1.	3.1.2 Groundwater	F-27
3.2	Irrigation and Drainage Development Plan	F-29
	3.2.1 Basic Concept for Irrigation and Drainage Development	
	3.2.2 Extension of Irrigation Area for the Master Plan	F-30
$\gamma_{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{1}$	3.2.3 Design Water Level for LLPs and Canal Standard Section	
	for the Re-excavation	F-31
* 1	3.2.4 Drainage Improvement by Re-excavation of Existing Canals	F-32
3.3	Irrigation and Drainage Development for the Priority Project	F-33
	3.3.1 Basic Concept for Irrigation and Drainage Development	
	3.3.2 Extension of the Irrigation Area for the Priority Project	F-33
4. OPI	ERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR LLP	F-34
4.1	Organization	F-34
4.2	Operation Flow	F-35
4.3	Training	F-38
4.4	Workshop	F-39
4.5	Equipment, O.M. Costs and Revenues	F-40
4.5	Equipment, O.M. Costs and Revenues	F-40
4.5		F-40
4.5	Equipment, O.M. Costs and Revenues	F-40
4.5		F-40
4.5		
4.5		F-40
	LIST OF TABLES	Page
F.1.1	LIST OF TABLES  Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43
F.1.1 F.1.2	LIST OF TABLES  Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43 F-43
F.1.1 F.1.2 F.1.3	LIST OF TABLES  Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43 F-43 F-44
F.1.1 F.1.2 F.1.3 F.1.4	LIST OF TABLES  Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43 F-43 F-44 F-44
F.1.1 F.1.2 F.1.3 F.1.4 F.1.5	Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43 F-43 F-44 F-44
F.1.1 F.1.2 F.1.3 F.1.4 F.1.5 F.1.6	Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43 F-43 F-44 F-44 F-45
F.1.1 F.1.2 F.1.3 F.1.4 F.1.5 F.1.6 F.1.7	Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43 F-43 F-44 F-44 F-45 F-46
F.1.1 F.1.2 F.1.3 F.1.4 F.1.5 F.1.6 F.1.7	Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43 F-44 F-44 F-45 F-46 F-47
F.1.1 F.1.2 F.1.3 F.1.4 F.1.5 F.1.6 F.1.7 F.1.8 F.1.9	Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43 F-43 F-44 F-44 F-45 F-46 F-47 F-48
F.1.1 F.1.2 F.1.3 F.1.4 F.1.5 F.1.6 F.1.7	Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)	Page F-43 F-43 F-44 F-44 F-45 F-46 F-47 F-48 F-49

F.1.12	Concentration of Iron(Fe), Chloride(Cl) and TDS in PPM
F.2.1	Mechanized Minor Irrigation Devices in Bangladesh
F.2.2	Mechanized Minor Irrigation Devices in Comilla, Chandpur and
•	Brahmanbaria Districts
F.2.3	Minor Irrigation DTW, STW and LLP (Total Bangladesh)
F.2.4	Minor Irrigation DTW, STW and LLP (District Level))
F.2.5	Total Irrigated Area of Minor Irrigation Practices
F.2.6	Irrigated Practices by Equipment Type(Year 1989/90)
F.2.7	Supplied Equipment Type Numbers of Each Year
F.2.8	Area Served and Farmers Distribution per Pump (1989/90)
F.2.9	Construction Cost and Water Charge of DTW, STW and LLP
F.2.10	Annual Working Hours of DTW, STW and LLP
F.2.11	Relationship between Ground Elevation and Land Area
F.2.12	Schedule of Proposed Works of Gumti Phase II Sub-Project
:	

<u>Page</u>

	LIST OF FIGURES
	en en la companya de la companya de La companya de la co
F.1.1	Contours & Existing Hydrological Station Map F-70
F.1.2	River Hydrological Condition F-71
	(273 Bhairab Bazar, 298 Nabinager, 274 Narsingdi, 275 Badyer Bazar,
	277 Chandpur, 79 Matlab Bazer, 58 Hajiganj, 110 Comilla,
	113 Kangsanagar, 114 Jibanpur)
F.1.3	Hydrogeological Map of Greater Comilla District F-77
F.1.4	Concentration Map of Chloride(Cl) in PPM F-78
F.1.5	Concentration Map of Iron (Fe) in PPMF-80
F.1.6	Concentration Map of TDS in PPM
F.2.1	Minor Irrigation Equipment Numbers and Irrigation Area F-84
F.2.2	Relationship between Ground Elevation and Land Area F-88
F.2.3	Project Map of Gumti Phase II Sub-ProjectF-92
F.3.1	Development Plan for Irrigation and Drainage
F.3.2	Canal Standard Section for Irrigation and Drainage Improvement F-99
F.4.1	Work Flow of LLPs ProjectF-102
F.4.2	Official Organization of Irrigation Management ProgrammeF-103
	LIST OF ATTACHMENT
	<u>Page</u>
F Δ 1	Hydrology Data (Water Level & Discharge) F. 104

#### 1. NATURAL CONDITION

#### 1.1 Study Area and Topography

The study area consisting of four (4) Upazilas of Old Comilla District is situated on the left bank of Gumti river, i.e. branch of Meghna river. The total extent of the study area is 1.017 km<sup>2</sup> comprising Bancharampur (207 km<sup>2</sup>), Nabinagar (335 km<sup>2</sup>), Katchua 236 km<sup>2</sup>) and Debidwar (239 km<sup>2</sup>). According to the recently reorganized administrative structure of local governments, Kachua Upazila falls in Chandpur District, Nabinagar and Bancharampur in Brahmanbaria, and Debidwar in Comilla.

Nabinagar and Bancharampur is located on the right bank of Meghna river between Latitude 23°50' N and 23°45' N Longitude 19°00' E and 90°40' E, Debidwar and Katchua lies between Latitude 23°45' N and 23°20' N Longitude 91°00' E and 90°40'E.

The Old Comilla District including the study area is in the shape of slope down gradually from the foot to Tripura Hills (India) toward the west. The slope is so gradual that it does not create any radial change on the continues flat surface of the land. The study area is prone to shallow to deep flooding during the monsoon season by the Meghna, Titas, Gumti, Dhanagoda and Dakatia rivers.

Relationship between acreage and ground elevation in the study area is shown in the following table.

Į.	Jancharamou	r		Nabinagar			Kachua			Debidwar	
Ground m in PWD)	Area	Accumu- lation	Ground (m (n PWD)	Area	Accumu- lation	Ground (m in PWD)	Area	Accumu- lation	Ground (m in PWD)	Area	Accumu- lation
m .	ha.	ha	m	ha	ha	(f)	ha	ha	m	ha	ha
0 ~ 1.5	1,200 (6)	1,200	0 ~.1.5	2,200 (7)	2,2000.5 (7)	2.4	400 (2)	400 (2)	1.0 ~ 3.2	200 (1)	(1) 500
1.5 ~ 2.1	800 (4)	2,0001.5 (10)	~ 2.1	3,400 (10)	5,6002.4 (17)	~ 3.0	3,600 (15)	4,0003.2 (17)	- 3.7	1,200 (5)	1,400
21. ~ 3.0	6,300 (30)	8,3002.1 (40)	- 3.0	7,600 (22)	13,200 (39)	3.0 ~ 3.7	9,200 (39)	13,200 (56)	3.7 ~ 4.6	8,900 (37)	10,300 (43)
3.0 ~ 4.0	6,800 (33)	15,100 (73)	3.0 ~ 4.0	8,800 (27)	22,000 (66)	3.7 ~ 4.6	5,800 (25)	19,000 (81)	4.6 ~ 6.1	19,600 (57)	23,900 (100)
4.0 ~ 4.6	3.600 (17)	18,700 (90)	4.0 ~ 4.6	5,400 (16)	27,400 (82)	.6 ~ 5.5	4,600 (19)	23,600 (100)	·		
4.6 ~ 5.5	2,000 (10)	20,700 (100)	4.6 ~ 6.5	6,100 (18)	33,500 (100)			yr yr			

Note: Perenthesized figures indicate the percentage.

#### 1.2 Meteorology

#### 1.2.1 General

Bangladesh has a typically tropical climate with cleared seasonal pattern. The seasonal pattern are classified with three main seasons, as (1) a hot summer season with high humidity from March to June, (2) a hot and humid monsoon season with heavy rainfall from June to October, and (3) a relatively cooler and drier winter season from November to March. Maximum temperatures range between 20~40°C with the highest temperatures experienced during the pre-monsoon period March to May and minimum temperature just above 0°C. Rainfall in Bangladesh varies widely, not only from season to season, but also from one region to another and the average rainfall ranges from 1,500 mm to 3,500 mm.

#### 1.2.2 Meteorological Features

The climate in the project area are:

#### (1) Temperature

Maximum temperatures vary from about 23°C to 33°C with highest temperatures experienced during March to May and minimum temperatures range between about 10~15°C during the dry season in winter period December to January.

#### (2) Humidity

The humidity is high through the year with average humidity varying from 70 percent to 88 percent. The humidity is highest during the monsoon period June to September.

#### (3) Evaporation and Evaportranspiration

Maximum evaporation of average monthly ranges between 3.6~3.9 mm with the pre-monsoon period and minimum evaporation 1.7~2.4 mm with the dry season period.

Potential evaportranspiration rates reflect the seasonal pattern with the highest rates of up to 6.1 mm/day during the pre-monsoon months April to May. The lowest rates estimated as 3.3 mm/day occur during the winter months December and January.

#### (4) Wind Speed

Wind speeds are relatively low except during the pre-monsoon and monsoon periods when the average speed of monthly are 2~4 m/sec.

#### (5) Sunshine

The Sunshine of average monthly ranges between 4.3~7.6 hr/day during the monsoon period and 7.8~9.2 hr/day during the other months.

The summary data of above mentioned is shown in the following table and the detail data of Table.  $F.1.3 \sim 1.6$ .

												(Station	: Comilia)	
items	Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Remarks
	Max	25.7	28.0	31.4	32.0	32.4	31.4	30.7	31.1	31.6	31.3	29.7	26.6	Mean 30.1
Temperature (oC)	Min 💖	12.5	15.1	19.9	23.1	24.4	25.5	25.6	25.5	25.4	23.6	19.2	13.8	Mean 21.1
Humidity (%)		73	70	73	7.9	81	85	87	86	85	82	78	74	Mean 76
Evaporation (mm)	Monthly Daily	53 1.7	6.7 2.4	117 3.8	116 3.9	109 3.6	82 2.8	77 2.5	85 2.8	84 2.8	. 75 2.4	6.5 2.2	51 1.7	
Wind Sped (Knots)		4	4	5	7	6	6	6	6	5	4	4	4	Mean 5 knots (2.6 m/sec)
Sunshina (hr/day)		9.2	8.6	8.6	7.6	8.4	6.2	4.3	6.7	5.8	7.6	7.8	8.2	
Potential Evapotranspiratio (mm/day)	on	3,4	4.3	5.5	5.9	6,1	5.1	4.5	5.0	4.4	4.5	3.7	3.3	

#### 1.2.3 Rainfall

Annual rainfall is fairly uniform over the project area ranging from about 2.100 mm to 3,200 mm. The rainfall occurs a typical seasonal pattern with up to two-thirds of the annual total experienced during the monsoon period June to September. The period from December to March is significantly dry with less than 5 percent of the annual total. The seasonal rainfall distribution is clearly illustrated in the following table.

#### Rainfall Seasons

Season	Period % of	Rainfall (mm)		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Pre-monsoon	April ~ May	22		460~700
Monsoon	June~September	66		1,390~2,110
Post-monsoon	October~November	9		190~290
Dry	December~March	3		60~100
			<u> </u>	

The maximum ten days rainfall of recurrence frequency during the pre-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons are shown in the following tables.

#### Ten Days Rainfall

#### (1) Pre-Monsoon Period

May Feb Mar Station Name Probable Jan Apr 2 2 . 2 5 7 39 58 97 107 108 1/2 3 2 4 11 20 78 Comilla (356) 19 97 161 206 176 Date: 1961-86 1/5 18 17 24 40 166 1/2 6 0 2 5 3 5 15 18 36 77 52 110 97 99 Nabinagar (367)7 1/5 21 13 17 20 32 41 89 178 159 170 Date: 1961-85 84 144

Unit: mm

#### (2) Post - Monsoon Period

Unit: mm

Station Name	Dealadala	Oct				Nov			Dec		
Service de la companya de la company	Probable	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	
Comilla (356)	1/2	85	39	42	19	14	6	6	5	1	
Date: 1961-86	1/5	140	80	97	48	39	16	24	20	4	
Nabinagar (367)	1/2	72	40	28	10	5	7	7	2	1	
Date: 1961-85	1/5	123	92	63	26	16	36	28	9	3	

The annual rainfall, the maximum two-days and ten-days rainfall are shown in the Table. F  $1.5 \sim 1.6$ .

#### 1.3 Hydrology

#### 1.3.1 River System in the Study Area

Bangladesh lies across the delta of four major rivers, Ganges-Padma, the Brahmaputra-Jamuna, the Meghna and Teesta. These rivers and their distributaries discharge about 140,000 m³/sec into the Bay of Bengal at peak periods. Total inflow to Bangladesh from India is approximately 90 percent of total available streamflow in the country. The Meghna river lies on the western border of the Study Area and is formed by the confluence of Surma and Kushiyara river, both of which have similar orgins. The annual average discharge is about 3,500 m³/sec, about the same as that of the Nile.

The other river in the Study Area is Gumti and Titas rivers which raise in India. The characteristics of the river flows and water levels closely reflect the rainfall pattern, and are tidal, although the tidal range is not significant during the period. The Meghna and Titas river water levels rise steadily during the monsoon period to peak about the beginning of August with a post monsoon recession from October. The Gumti River peaks earlier, during mid to late July.

#### 1.3.2 Water Level and Discharge

The water level and discharge measuring stations on the Meghna, Titas, Gumti and Dakatia rivers are particularly important in connection with the irrigation and drainage studies. The available station in order from the most upstream station in each river are given in below Table and their relative locations is shown in Figure. F. 1.1.

Stations on Meghna, Titas, Gumti and Dakatia Rivers

River	Na	Station Name	Data
	No.	Ivanic	Dala
Meghna	273	Bhairab Bazar	Q, H
	274	Narsingdi	H
	275	Badyer Bazar	* 11
	115	Daudkandi	11
	277	Chandpur	II .
Titas	298	Nabinagar	Н
Gumti	110	Comilla	Q, H
······································	113	Kongsanagar	H
	114	Jibanpur	Q, H
Dhanagoda	79	Matlab Bazar	H
Dakatia	58	Haziganj	H

Q: Discharge (m<sup>3</sup>/sec)

H: Water Level (m in PWD)

The arrangement and analysis of the hydrological data base are carried out due to the irrigation and drainage plan.

These analysis results are the following Table

#### (1) Flood Peak Freak Frequency

			Flood Peak (m <sup>3</sup> /sec)						
Station Name	River	Drainage Area	5 Year	20 Year	Highest Peak				
273. Bhairab Bazar	Meghna	80.200 Km2	14,500	18,000	19,800 (11.7.1988)				
110 Comilla	Gumti	2,210	570	690	1,160 (6.8.1983)				

#### (2) Maximum One-Day Average Flood level of Selected Recurrence Intervals.

				Flood L				
Station		River	5 Year	10 Year	20 Year	50 Year	Highest Level	
298	Nabinagar	Titas	6.63	6.87	7.09	7.36	7.34 (10.9.1988)	
275	Baidyer Bazar	Meghna	5.65	5.82	5.98	6.16	6.98 (4.9.1988)	
115	Daudkandi	Gumti	5.61	5.79	5.94	6.14	6.27 (3.9 1988)	
79	Matlab Bazar	Dhana- goda	5.33	5.47	5.60	5.76	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
277	Chandpur	Meghna	4.96	5.08	5.18	5.31	5.16 (29.8.1988)	
58	Hajiganj	Dakatia	4.85	5.03	5.21	5.44	5.22 (9.9.1988)	

#### (3) Minimum Discharge Frequency (Ten Daily Average)

Unit: m<sup>3</sup>/sec

Station Name		_	harge Feb				Mar		Domosko	
1980	:	Frequency		) (11~20)	(21~28)	(1~10)	(11~20)	(21~31	- Remarks )	
					*****					
273	Bhairab	Max.	474	478	523	576	501	816	Period of Record Use:	
*	Bazar	Mean	260	230	253	287	307	394	1970~1989	
	(Meghna)	Min	64	47	42	63	207	211	NA=1977~1984	
		5 Year	125	98	102	147	228	255		
110	Comilla	Max	36	35	36	32	34	97	Period of Record Use:	
		Mean	21	20	19	19	18	23	1970~1990	
	(Gumti)	Min	7	6	6	6	5	5		
	<b>(</b>	5 Year	15	12	12	12	10	<u>10</u>		
114	Jibanpur	Max	31	28	28	33	49	79	Period of Record Use:	
	(Gumti	Mean	18	16	16	17	17	19	1970~1990	
	Bridge	Min	5	4	4	4	4	3		
	Point)	5 Year	10	10	9	9	_7	7		

#### (4) Minimum Water Level (Dry Season)

Unit; m in PWD

Station Name		W.L.	Jan.		Feb.		Mar.		
			H.W.L	L.W.L	H.W.L.	L.W.L	H.W.L.	L.W.I	Remarks
			put that must be under miss and						
298	Nabinagar (Titas)	Max Min	1.94 1.70		1.93 1.60	1.10 0.70	2.50 2.12		Period of Record Use: 1977~1990
79	Matlab Bazar (Dhanagada)		2.56 2.00	1.05 0.73	2.33 1.95	1.00 0.38	2.54 2.23		1978~1990 NA=1979~1982
58	Hajiganj (Dakatia)	Max Min	2.07 1.58	1.16 0.66	4 1	0.87 0.61	2.37 1.65		1970~1990 NA=1981~1983
114	Jibanpur (Gumti Bridge	•)	Max Min	Jan 5.21 3.64	Feb 5.15 3.55	Mar 6.77 3.51	Apr 6.99 3.41	1978~ NA=1	1990 980, 1981

#### (5) Tidal Influence in the Meghna and Titas (Approximately)

	Station Name	Dry Season	(Feb ~ Mar)	Monsoon Season (Aug ~ Sep)
-	Nabinagar (298)	H.W.L. = L.W.L. = Range =	1.3 ~ 1.5 1.1 ~ 1.3 0.2 ~ 0.3	H.W.L.= 6.0 ~ 6.5 L.W.L.= 5.99 ~ 6.47 Range = 0.01 ~ 0.03
	Matlab Bazar (78)	H.W.L. = L.W.L. = Range =	1.0 ~ 2.5 0.6 ~ 1.5 0.4 ~ 1.0	H.W.L.= 4.5 ~ 5.4 L.W.L.= 4.4 ~ 5.0 Range = 0.1 ~ 0.4
	Hajiganj (58)	H.W.L. = L.W.L. = Range =	1.3 ~ 1.5 1.1 ~ 1.3 0.2 ~ 0.3	H.W.L.= 4.6 ~ 5.3 L.W.L.= 4.6 ~ 5.2 Range = 0.0 ~ 0.10

### (6) Longitudinal Profile of Meghna, Titas and Dakatia Rivers Flood Peaks and Low Flow Periods (Approximately)

		the state of the s			
Section	Distance	H.W.L. (Sep)	L.W.L. (Feb)	Slope of H.W.L.	Slope of L.W.L.
Nabinagar		7.34 m	0.70 <sup>m</sup>	I=1/56,000	I=1/158,000
Daudkandi	60	6.27	0.32		
Daudkandi	A.E.	6.27	0.32	I=1/42,000	Level
Chandpur	45	5.20	0.35		
Dakatia	20	5.30	0.60	I=1/500,000	I=1/120,000
Chandpur	30	5.24	0.35		

The detail data are shown in Table. F.1.7~1.10 and Figure. F 1.2.

#### 1.4 Geology

The floodplain, the essential product of stream deposition, covers the largest area of Bangladesh. An alluvial plains of Quaternary Sediments laid down by the Ganges, Brahmaputra, Meghna and other streams. However, the recent deposits of Bangladesh, which are in general characterized by typically dark, loose compacted materials with a high water content and with variable but considerable quantities of organic materials, are composed of the combined deltaic masses and flood plains of Ganges, Brahmaputra and Meghna rivers.

Late Pleistocence to early recent sediments occupy slightly more elevated land in the central and eastern parts of the Old Comilla district. Older Pleistocence alluvial deposits fringe the extreme eastern parts adjacent to the Indian border.

The formation of younger alluvial deposit consists of clay, silt, fine sand/medium sand etc. The main streams which were usually active for the deposit of the sediments in the study area are the Meghna, Gumti, Titas, Danagoda, Dakatia rivers. The upper part of the area generally consists of clay, silt and very fine sand with low to very low permeability. The main aquifer is interbeded by a layer of clay, silt sand and medium coarse sand materials.

#### 1.5 Groundwater

#### 1.5.1 General

Groundwater is an important resource of Bangladesh and extensively used for both domestic and agricultural water needs. There is a fairly extensive aquifer at a very shallow depth of 6~12m below ground level which is generally drawn by the hand tubewells of ø 40 mm dia with a hand operated pump and the water is used for domestic as well as agricultural purposes. A deeper aquifer at about 60-~120 m depth has also been identified in large parts of the country, particularly the north, east and western regions.

Those tubewells are used to irrigated about 20~24 ha (50~60 acre) of land each, particularly during the dry winter season which allows an extra crop of high yielding rice or wheat to be grown. Over the past 20 years, many shallow tubewells and deep tubewells has been widely sunk all over the country. Although the groundwater aquifer is substantial there are concerns that limit of exploitation of the groundwater reserves may be approaching soon.

At present about 80 percent in the Study Area is cultivated, but agricultural productivity is constrained by flooding in the monsoon season, and shortage of irrigation in the dry season.

#### 1.5.2 Ground Water Recharge

Recharge to ground water aquifer reservoir in the study area occurs mainly by percolation of rain water and influence of river stages. Actually groundwater recharge of the Old Comilla District various 121 mm to 191 mm from south-western part to south-eastern part and on the north-eastern part to western part actual recharge varies 96 mm to 160 mm. Available recharge is measured on the basis of 60% of the potential recharge.

With the beginning of the monsoon season, groundwater levels are rapidly restored to full field capacity. Vertical transfer of water due to monsoon season infiltration and dry season capillary rise dominate the groundwater flow system.

The ground water table starts rising from May to July and after attaining the maximum level it starts declining from October and continues upto April.

#### 1.5.3 Ground Water Quality

Ground water quality of an area is mainly dependent on condition of deposit of sediments and geologic condition rather than depth of water bearing strata. Ground water qualities were analysed on the basis of three major components consisting of Iron, Chloride and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS).

The surface water salinity at lower Meghna (at Chandpur) tends to increase salinity (250 - 260 ppm) during February and March whereas at the advent of monsoon the salinity decreases (160 - 180 ppm) due to flushing of rain water.

Large Scale tubewell irrigation could not gain momentum due to higher chloride content upto the economic depth etc.

Small tubewells of BADC became abandoned in Barura, Kachua and Saharasti Upazilas because of higher chloride contents. The reasons for well failure are mostly in lack of proper maintenance, improper use of materials improper designing or sinking of wells.

Ground water quality data indicate a generally low total dissolved solids which is good quality for irrigation water. The data indicate slightly elevated salinity in the deep qualifier, and at shallow depth near the Meghna river towards the end of the dry season.

Ground water development zone, characteristic, potential and chemical concentration are shown in Figure, F.1.3~1.6 and Table, F 1.11~1.12.

(Source: Hydrogeological Map of Greater Comilla District - BWDB Water Supply Paper 489, groundwater Circle II June 1987).

#### 2. IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE CONDITION

#### 2.1 Irrigation Condition

#### 2.1.1 Background of Minor Irrigation System

Mechanized minor irrigation practices in Bangladesh had been progressed already in about 30 years old started with DTW, STW, LLP and HTW.

Thana Irrigation Programme (TIP) were executed in 1986 by BADC, and then Comilla District Integrated Rural Development Board (CDIRDP) now BRDB under Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Co-operatives and the Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE) under Ministry of Agriculture. The Programme was launched nationwide to create momentum to expand minor irrigation through the use of DTW and LLP. BADC having control over tubewell and LLP distribution, was assigned the responsibility for installation and commissioning of equipment. Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP) for organizing farm groups and DAE to provide extension services in irrigation and on farm water management.

In the mid 1970's STW were included in the minor irrigation sector and spread very rapidly among the farmers because of their favourable cost, low capacity (14 to 201/s), command area (4.6 ha), and case of installation and maintenance.

The Bangladesh Medium Term Food Production Plan (MTFPP) set a goal to attain food self sufficiency by 1985 through increasing land irrigated by the mechanized minor irrigation divices from a 1979/80 level of 0.96 million hectares to 1.5 million hectares by 1984/85 and increased 2.5 million hectares in 1989/90.

The plan involved a significant increase in the number of tubewells and LLP in use in the country, but the food self-sufficiency could not attain in the end. (Table. F.2.1 and 2.3)

Until 1983, BADC had a virtual monopoly over procurement and distribution of agrochemicals, certified seeds and minor irrigation equipment. In recent years, progressively larger parts of the trade in these commodities have been taken over by the private sector. The corporation continues, however, to retain monopoly control over the supply of DTW equipment DTW remain in public ownership by BADC for rental to farmer groups. But it is now government policy that they should be sold off to the private sector.

The end of dry season groundwater supply is becoming increase due to decline water level. The facilities will be offered to investors throughout the country where aquifer conditions are suitable and water quality is not a constraint. Therefore the coastal zone (beginning the Chandpur district and a part of Comilla district gradually) will be excluded for water quality limitations.

Form the consideration of the based on the concept of potential recharge and groundwater quality, the surface Water Development would be utilized for providing irrigation facilities to minor schemes, mainly through low lift pump (single lift system) and low lift pump pass through the primary supplies from a larger river / stream using pontoon mounted pumps (double lift system). The minor irrigation system's prevailing situation of Comilla, Chandpur and Brahmanbaria Districts are shown in Table. F 2.2 and 2.4.

#### 2.1.2 Study Area

#### (1) Irrigated Area

Irrigated area in the whole agricultural land is now about 38 percents with almost the same level of 4 Upazila.

Command area in the area that can be irrigated by an irrigation unit. normally this is determined by the irrigation water requirement in a critical period expressed in hectare per unit discharge. However, under the existing condition of Bangladesh the command area is influenced by other physical, social and economic constraints. Command area per unit pump equipments are varied with DTW of 50 - 80 acre (20 - 32 ha), STW of 12 - 16 acre (5 - 6 ha) and LLP of 30 - 40 acre (11 - 16 ha). (Table. F.2.5 - 2.7). (Figure. F.2.1)

#### (a) Spacing and Interferences of DTW.

With increase demand on tube-wells BADC, the agency responsible for installation and commissioning of DTW made a condition of at least 1,500 feet (450 m) and now it is 2,500 feet (760 m) between two DTWs. The 2,500 feet (760 m) spacing meant that a DTW must have at least 57 ha (760 m x 760 m) of area under its command actual command area of excluding home steads, canals roads and water body, etc must have about 46 ha due to supply of effective DTWs development. Considering 70% efficiency of the irrigation system and 18 - 20 hours per day a 2 cfs (571/s) DTW might cover a maximum area of 100 acre (40 ha.)

#### (b) Basic Standard of Pump

- DTW
- Planed Discharge Q = 2 cfs = 57 1/s
- . Served Area A = 60 acre (24 ha)
- . Well Dia =  $\emptyset$  350 mm
- . Turbine Pump: ø 150 200 mm, 25 HP (17.5 22.5 KW)
- STW
- Planed Discharge  $Q = 0.5 0.75 \text{ cfs} = 14 \sim 21 \text{ 1/s}$
- . Served Area A = 12 acre (5 ha)
- . Centrifugal Pump : Ø 75 Ø 100 mm, 5HP (5.5 7.5 KW)
- LLP
- . Planed Discharge Q = 1 or 2 cfs = 28 1/s or 57 1/s
- . Served Area A = 20 acre (8 ha) or 40 acre (16 ha)
- . Centrifugal Pump: ø 150 ø 200 mm, 15 HP (11.5 KW 15 KW)
- The DTWs were financed under the Rural Works Programme. An average command area of 60 acre (24 ha) per DTW (57 1/s) has been adopted in the National Water Plan (NWP) as representing existing conditions. DTW is sold to the group/society farmers at a subsidized rate Tk 175,000. (About 70% subsidy rate of total construction cost).
- The STW programme was introduced by the BADC during the 1971 72. The BADC sells STWs to the farmers at a price fixed by the Government. Since 1974, the Bangladesh Krishi Bank (BKB) has been providing loan for the purchase of STW by the farmers. Individual farmers or the groups of farmers can purchase STW and LLP unit either on cash payment or through bank loans.
- The LLP extract directly surface water for irrigation from rivers, khals and beels. With major irrigation project (BWDB), LLPs use as secondary lifting pumps.

#### (2) Farmers Distribution and Water Charge

Table. F.2.8 give information on the number of farmers and area served per well, by type of pump. There had been some difference in coverage under the each pump types.

Irrigation water charge is different area. The reason are:

- Land type (high land, medium high land and low land)
- Soil texture (porous and no porous soil condition)

Diesel operated wells are costlier than electrically operated ones. (fuel cost, electricity cost and different durable life old diesel pump and motor pump)

The water charge included as below items:

- Interest on capital required for installation of pumps construction of pucca distribution channel.
- Operating cost (including cost of maintenance, fuel oil/electricity salary of operator)
- Management cost (salary of persons engaged for distribution of water, construction and maintenance of field channels)
- Over head and some rebate charge
- Depreciation cost.

The water charge is decided depending upon the marketing price's basis. According to the consideration of the experience, the life of diesel engines averaged about 7 years and life of motor average more than 15 years. The life of a pump unit used in surface water lifting is more susceptible to damage as compared to those used in tubewell. The construction cost and water charge by using various types of pump equipment are shown in Table, F.2.9 - 2.10.

#### (3) Problem Points

The problem points of Minor Irrigation Systems are summarized below:

## Limited daily working hour

Spare parts and mechanical services are not always available farmers are hesitant to pump long hours in order to increase the area under irrigation by serving others farmers (especially DTW for high cost of spare parts and operation)

#### - Lack of the technical assistance

The potential command area is never defined in quantity or location. The command area maps and design of distributing network systems are not available. The design should be utilized by using the existing contour maps. The channel's network, water control and outlet structure, farmers in the far reaches of the boundary are not encouraged even to try to expand the system to their plot of land.

## - Lack of proper organizational management.

The leadership of pump groups is generally regarded as a social services to the community, little incentive exists to expand the command area beyond a certain size since the status of the leader does not increase proportionately.

## - Inefficient method of distribution

The pumping equipment is owned by the private and group farmers who have adopted some type of co-operative arrangement for full purchase and transport, field channel excavation, operation and maintenance of the distribution system.

The pump discharge into a network of channels that are divided until the stream sizes are a fraction of the pump discharge, each farmer simultaneously trying to receive water in spite of supplying water of the location irrigation system.

From the above mentioned points, IMP (Irrigation Management Programme) must be strengthened to prevail the better irrigation management by assistance, training and cooperation system of farmer groups.

#### 2.2 Drainage Condition

# 2.2.1 Relationship between Flood Water Level and Inundation Area in the Study Area

The macro-zoning of inundation conditions are important to assess the rational land use of the study area. However, the current land use is more governed by the micro-limnological and micro-topographic conditions. Therefore it is not appropriate to adopt the cropping systems according to the above-mentioned land type classification without assessment of micro-limnological and micro-topographic conditions. In fact, by selecting crops as well as crop varieties, local farmers have adopted their cropping patterns and farming practices for their individual farmlands and overcome indigenous unfavorable conditions, i.e. poor drainage and recurrent floods. The JSARD also identified in its study area, i.e. eight (8) villages nation widely selected, as a general feature, that the prevailing cropping patterns are highly location specific governed by micro-topography and hydrological conditions. The JSARD emphasized the extreme importance of crop research and extension directed problem-oriented on the basis of land type classification at village level for selection of cropping patterns and farming practices.

In order support the farmers' efforts, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI) has set up its research strategy on problem-oriented basis. To achieve increased rice productivity, BRRI identifies major regional, physical and technical problems to develop more site-specific technologies. In its long-term strategy, BRRI is to develop varieties with faster seedling growth and taller plant height to compete uncontrolled water conditions. Agronomic approach, e.g. shifting and shortening of cropping periods, will be more important for mitigation of drainage and flood problems by selection.

To avoid the serious damage by earlier and deep flooding as well as pest and disease infestation, farmers should have wide range of rice varieties including modern and local ones in terms of tolerance to flood depth, growing period and photoperiod-sensitivity. This enables farmers to appropriate variety selection based on location-specific adoption.

The following table shows the land types and their extent on the basis of inundation depth in the study area by the Counter Map (S=1/15,840).

Inundation Condition in the Study Area

Inundation	Ba	ncharamp	our		Nabinaga	,		Debidwar			Kachua	
Depth (m)	Area	%	Duration									
:	ha		month	ha		month	ha		month	he		month
Fo (<0.3)	0	0		600	2	0 ~ 1.29	600	49	0 ~ 1.33	300	17	0 ~ 2.0
F1 (0.3 ~ 0.9)	500	3	1,2 ~ 3.6	1400	5	1.2 ~ 3.8	4800	24	1.3 ~ 2.3	2800	15	2.0 ~ 4.0
F2 (0.9 ~ 1.80	2800	15	3.6 ~ 5.0	3000	11	3.8 ~ 4.8	5200	27	2.3 ~ 3.4	9700	51	4.0 ~ 5.7
F3 (1.8 ~ 3.0)	8000	43	5.0 ~ 6.7	9600	35	4.8 ~ 6.8	0	0		3300	17	5.7 ~ 7.5
F4 (3.0<)	7200	39	6.7~	12000	47	6.8~	0	Ö	-	0	. 0.	
Total (Net Cultivated Area)	ha 18500	% 100		ha 27400	% 100		ha 19600	% 100		ha 19100	% 100	

The drainage problems are often focused in connection with road construction in the study area. As a result of blockade of water channels by new road construction, drainage conditions are often worsened. The road construction should be planned taking simple but well-functioning drainage structures into consideration. Actually, no particular measures are applied for improvement of poor drainage and flood damage in the study area.

The flood control measures are often planned in combination with major irrigation development. In the Chandpur irrigation scheme, for instance, the large-scale polder was constructed for protecting a gross area of 53,000 ha empoldered by the dykes of 100 km long. They are largely improving drainage conditions and controlling frequent floods in the area.

Relationship between acreage and ground elevation in the study area are shown in Figure. F.2.2 and Table. F.2.11.

# 2.2.2 Flood Control, Drainage and Irrigation Plan in the Study Area (Gumti Phase II Sub-Project under BWDB)

## (1) Introduction

The Gumti Phase II Sub-Project Feasibility Study commenced on 1st January 1988. Originally scheduled to take two years, the study period has been extended to 31st March 1990 to allow the team to study the additional work necessary to extend the irrigation supply to the Gumti Phase I area.

The Terms of Reference for this study list the primary objectives as:

- (a) reduction in crop losses due to flooding and impeded drainage;
- (b) increase in the area of transplanted rice cultivation in the aman season, the reduction of the area under broadcast deep water rice as a result of reduced inundation, and, by supplementary irrigation and improved water management, increasing the aman yields;
- (c) increase in the area of boro and rabi cultivation as a result of improved irrigation facilities;
- (d) expansion of the area under improved rice (and wheat) varieties following the control of flood and improved water management;
- (e) the development of fisheries; and
- (f) improvement of agricultural, institutional and physical infrastructure, such as access roads, navigational facilities, etc. necessary to materialise the above objectives.

While improvement to the aus rice crop is not specifically mentioned in the above list of objectives, it can be implied from (a) and (d) above.

## (2) Development Proposal

## (a) Flood Control

Flood control works will consists the main perimeter embankment, the Salda-Buri river double embankment, the Guhunger and Bijni river left embankments and a total of 13 drainage regulator (for drainage and irrigation purpose). The embankment elevations are designed on the basis of the 1:20 year peak flood levels.

## (b) Drainage

The area is divided into three main polders:

Drainage Block	Area (Gross)	Remarks
		·.
South and West	103,019 ha	
North Buri Nadi	24,082 ha	•
Bijni	10,529 ha	
Total	137,630 ha	

## (c) Irrigation

Irrigation supplies are provided by 4 Main Pumping Stations and 5 Re-lifting pumping stations.

-	Irrigation Pumping Capacity	=	104.5 m3/sec
-	Irrigated Area	=	97,100 ha
-	Tubewell Area	==	10,000 ha
-	Total Area	==	107,000 ha

Main Pump	Station (	Reversit	le)		Relift Pump Station (Revisable)							
Name	Gross Area	Pump Size	Pump Op + Standby	Total Flow	Main Pump	Name	Gross Area	Pump Size	Pump Op + Standby	and the second second		
	ha	m <sup>3</sup> /sec		m³/sec	****		ĥa	m³/sec		m³/sec		
Mohanpur	5,000	2.25	2+1	4.5	Homna	Arsi Oder Khal	2,800 2,800	1.0 1.0	4 + 1 4 + 1	4.0 4.0		
Homna	40,500	6.25	8 + 1	50.0		Jamna	7,700	3.0	4+1	12.0		
Nabinagar West	79,000	6.25	5+1	31.25								
Nabinagar East		6.25	3 + 1	18.75	Nabinagar		10,000		4+1 3+1	12.0		
Total	ha 124,500	-	<u>-</u>	m <sup>3</sup> /sec 104,50			ha 33,300	_	-	m³/sec 41.0		

Note: Supplying pumped irrigation water to 97,100 ha of the total irrigable area of 107,100 ha and 10,000 ha through groundwater source by DSSTWs and DTWs (Existing Wells and Additional Wells).

In addition to the pumping stations and their associated structures, there are a large numbers of other irrigation structures controlling the flows and levels of the irrigation water supplies.

The controlling structures are irrigation Offtakes, Irrigation inlets and Check Structures. All these pumping stations and control structures are intended to supply water to the existing system of streams within the area. Water will then be re-lifted to the fields by LLPs, owned and operated by the farmers.

#### - Primary and Secondary Irrigation

All irrigation water is conveyed by the existing drainage channels, some of these will be enlarged and embanked for drainage and the irrigation proposals have taken advantage of the opportunities these present for more controlled irrigation supplies.

#### - Tertiary Irrigation

It is intended that this will be undertaken by the farmers themselves, acting as cooperatives or informal groups. This system is currently used with LLPs. In general, the beneficiary farmers elects a management committee which fixes the area to be irrigated and the water rate for the entire season. The area to be irrigated is divided into several blocks and a main canal from the LLP is dug with a network of smaller canals to

connect individual plots. Water is supplied as required by the crop to each lock in turn, the inlets to the other blocks being closed to ensure even distribution.

The proposed work and project map of Gumti Phase II Sub-Project are shown in Table. F. 2.12 and Figure. F.2.13.

#### 3. IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

## 3.1 Water Source Potential

## 3.1.1 Surface Water

At present, by the result of the hydrology analysised the available discharge and the low water level at the Meghna and Gumti rivers in the dry season are shown in the following table. (Refer to 1.3 Hydrology).

# (1) Discharge in the Dry Season (Ten Daily Average)

Unit: m<sup>3</sup>/sec

G	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Discharge		February	·. '		March		Remarks
Station Name		Frequency	(1~10)	(11~20)	(21~28)	(1~10)	(11~20)	(21~28)	
273	Bhairab Bazar (Meghna)	Mean 1/5 Year	260 125	230 98	253 102	287 147	307 228	394 255	Period of record Use: 1970~1989 NA: 1977 ~ 1984
110	Comilla (Gumti)	Mean 1/5 Year	21 15	20 12	19 12	19 12	18 10	23 10	- do - 1970 ~ 1990
114	Jibanpur (Gumti Bridge Point)	Mean 1/5 Year	18 10	16 10	16 9	17 9	17 7	19 7	- do - 1970 ~ 1990

## (2) Water Level in the Dry Season

## a) Tidal River

Unit: M in PWD

Stat:	Station Name		February		Marc	h	Remarks		
Station Name		W.L.	H.W.L	L.W.L	H.W.L	L.W.L	Kentaks		
298 Nabinagar (Titas)		Max	1.93	1.10	2.50	1.60	Period of Record Use: Relative		
		Min	1.60	<u>0.70</u>	2.12	1.08	Upazila: Nabinagar, Bancharmapur		
79	Matlab Bazar	Max	2.33	1.00	2.54	0.97	- do -	: 1978~1990	
	(Dhanagoda)	Min	1.5	0.38	2.23	0.58	Relative Upazila	: Kachua	
58	Hajiganj	max	1.92	0.87	2.37	0.98	- do -	: 1978~1990	
	(Dakatia)	Min	1.52	0.61	1.65	0.43	Relative Upazila	: Kachua	

## b) Non Tidal River

Stati	on Name	ibanpur Max 6.77 6.99 Period of Record Use: 1978 ~ 1990			
114	Jibanpur (Gumti Bridge point)				

Unit: m in PWD

From the above tables, the available discharge (Probable 5 year) in the dry season are Q (Meghna) = 98 approximately  $100 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$  and Q (Gumti) =  $7 \sim 10 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$  respectively. Also the minimum water level for irrigation by the LLPs are  $0.7 \sim 1.6 \text{m}$  at the Nabinagar and Bancharampur (Tidal range :  $0.2 \sim 0.3 \text{m}$  in the dry season),  $0.4 \sim 1.0 \text{m}$  at the Dhanagoda and Dakatia rivers (Tidal Range =  $0.2 \sim 0.3 \text{ m}$  in the dry season) and  $3.4 \sim 3.5 \text{m}$  of non tidal river at the Gumti Bridge point (Jibanpur 114).

The minimum flows in the Lower Meghan dry season are of the order of 5,000 m<sup>3</sup>/sec. For example, the mean flow during the period 23 February to 9th March 1985 was 5,200 m<sup>3</sup>/sec made up as shown in the following table:

Flow in Lower Meghna (23 Feb~ 23 Mar 1985)

River Name	Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /sec)
Ganges at Harding Bridge	885
Brahamaputra at Bhadurabad	4,040
Meghna at Azmiriganj	130
Tributaries	
Khowai, Boulai, Old Brahamaputra	
Lakhya and Gumti	165
Total	5,220

Source: Expert Studies Group, Halcrow, 1986

The abstraction of about 100 m<sup>3</sup>/sec (Probable 5 year) from Meghna and Titas will be possible due to the backflows required from the Lower Meghna.

As showing the reference data, the abstracting discharge by the LLPs from the Meghna river at present will approximately suppose to be shown in the following table.

Relative Upazilas under Surface Water Using Discharge by LLPs from the Meghna River Relative Upazilas under Surface Water Using Discharge by LLPs from the Meghna River.

Left bank Side of	Meghna River	Right bank Side	e of Meghna River	
Upazila Name	Existing Irrigation Area by LLPs	Upazila Name	Existing Irrigation Area by LLPs	Remarks
Nabinagar	ha 8,200	Raipura	3,900	
Bancharampur	4,700	Narshingdi	2,000	
Homna	700	Araihazar	900	
Daudkandi	10,000	Sonargaon	2,800	et we the english
		Bandar	2,900	
		Gazaria	1,000	
		Munshiganj	400	
Total	*-1 ha 23,600	Matlab  Total	3,500 *-2 ha 17,400	Ground ha Total = 41,000
Current Used Water = 23,600 ha x 1.4 l = 33 m3/sec		Current Used W = 17,400 ha x 1 = 24 m3/sec	Q = Q1 + Q 2 = 57 m3/sec	

Note: \* - 1 Upazila Information 1988, 1990

<sup>\* - 2</sup> Upazila Statistics 1985

<sup>-</sup> Water requirement was estimated by the basis of 1.4 l/sec/ha (714 ha/1 m3/sec.).

## 3.1.2 Groundwater

According to the BWDB study (water Supply paper 489, Ground Water Circle II June, 1987), groundwater development zone, characteristic and potential are shown in the following summarized table. (Refer to 1.5 Groundwater)

Groundwater Development Zone, Characteristic and Potential

		Ground Wa	ter Resource (	Million m3)/	
Zone Type of	Identified	4. 1	n)/Average (m	•	•
Study Area	Total Area	Actual Recharge	Potential	Average Recharge	Remarks
,	(Km2)	on Existing develop	Recharge	Ra =Rp x 60/100	
	<u> </u>	- ment/Losses	Rp	1	4.4
		(from fluctuation)	•		
					Nabinagar, Bancharampur
D2					: A = 565 km2
(Nabinagar)	•	240.6	290.7	174.4	Available Recharge (Ra)
(Bancharmapur)	1,237	(128 mm)	(235 mm)	(141 mm)	Ra = 174.4 Mill m3 x 565/1,237
(======================================	_, <del>,</del>	(,		` ′	= 80 Million m3 (141 mm)
D1	365	47.0	70.1	42.1	Debidwar: A = 239 km2
		(128 mm)	(192 mm)	(115 mm)	Available Recharge (Ra)
·	·	,,		` ′	$Ra = 89.4 \text{ Mill m3} \times 239/650$
В1	285	35	79	47	= 32.9 Million m3 (137 mm)
(Debidwar)		(124 mm)	(276 mm)	(166 mm)	
Total	(650)			585	
		45.0	70.1	(137 mm)	77
Dl	365	47.0 (128 mm)	70.1 (192 mm)	42.1 (115 mm)	Kachua : A = 236 km2 Available Recharge (Ra)
		(120 11111)	(19211111)	(112 11811)	Ra = 585.3 Mill m3 x 236/4.324
D2	1,237	246.6	290.7	174.4	= 31.9 Million m3 (135 mm)
		(144 mm)	(235 mm)	(141 mm)	
C1	1.074	113.8	205.5	123.3	
		(106 mm)	(191 mm)	(115 mm)	
C2	1.018	145.5	223.9	134.4	
<b>~~</b>		(142 mm)	(220 mm)	(132 mm)	
C3	630	92,4	185.2	111.1	
(Kachua)		(147 mm)	(294 mm)	(176 mm)	
Total	(4.324)			585.3	
10181	(4.324)			(135 mm)	
<u> </u>				[ (132 Hint)	

From the analysis of the above mentioned table supposed approximately available groundwater sources of each Upazila in the study area are shown in the following table.

Available Ground Water Source of each Upazila

Upazila Name  Nabinagar  Bancharampur	Area	Available Groundwater volume	Available DTV Nos * - 1	V Remarks
	Km <sup>2</sup>	Million m <sup>3</sup>	Nos	
Nabinagar	358	51	170	
Bancharampur	207	29	90	
Debidwar	239	33	110	
Kachua	236	32	100	en er er er flage. Ekker er hagtarek

Note: \*-1 Annual use water volume by DTW (2 cfs =  $57^{1/\text{sec}}$ v=  $57^{1/\text{sec}} \times 1,300^{\text{hr}} \times 3,600^{\text{sec}} \times 1/1,000 = 266,760 \rightarrow 300,000^{\text{m}}/\text{year}$ 

At present RD-II, RD-8 and IDA Irrigation Project - 2 under IMP (Irrigation Management Program) are prevailing strongly through the national level.

The prevailing condition and plan in the study area are:

Project Wise and Years Wise List of Upazilas under IMP (Study Area Only)

Name of				Year Wise	Incorporati	on of Upazil		1990-1991 Target Name of No of Schome			Land under each Machine (Acre/Unit)			
the Project	District	83-84	84-85	85-86	86-87	87-88	88-89	89.90	90-91	Upezile		SIWACEP	Cultivation in 1989-90	Terget for 1990-91
RD-II		Debidwar	Kechua							Kachua	5		Arce/Unit 62	Acre/Un 75
RD-8			Bancha- rampur Natinagar					:						
IDA Irrigation Project-2	-					Debidwer	Nabinag≇ Bancha rampur			Ngbinagar Debidwar Bancha- rampur	10 12 5		58	81 75 51

Source: Go for Extension of Irrigation through Co-operatives and organize Co-operatives on Irrigation\* IMP Program/Action Plan

## 3.2 Irrigation and Drainage Development Plan

## 3.2.1 Basic Concept for Irrigation and Drainage Development

- a) To increase HYV Boro production under irrigation by the expansion in the dry season.
- b) To design and to implement the development plan quickly in order to make low investment cost per hectare through the minor irrigation system.

According to the above mentioned point, the irrigation should be carried out by the supply of LLPs for using the surface water.

- To select each available irrigation area through each area of the existing canals (khal) for the intake of water to be done as much as possible.
- To execute the re-excavation of the existing canals from the taking into consideration of the limited water source and the sedimented silt up condition. The present water sources have limited the areas applied for current LLPs.
- To improve the land area of the existing canal lots by the excavation.
- c) To prevent beneficiary for the irrigation area presently using LLPs and DTWs.
- d) To improve not only the irrigation but also the drainage through the re-excavation of the existing canals.

But the drainage measure during the monsoon period is not possible due to the high level at the Meghna river. Therefore the purpose of the drainage improvement will be considered only to prevent the drainage of crops during the pre-monsoon period (Apr-Mar) and the post monsoon period (Nov-Dec). During this period the low water level is keeping at the Meghna river, but Boro and Aman have been often damaged by the large rainfall occasionally.

## 3.2.2 Extension of the Irrigation Area for the Master Plan

From the above mentioned basic points, the irrigation development area of the each Upazila is shown in the following table and Figure. F.3.1.

Extension of Irrigation Area (Master Plan)

	В	nchana.	pur ·			. 8	la hinaga	r		L		Kachua			
Rank	Canal (Khal) Name :		Command Area	Canal Length	Rank	Canal (Khal) Namo		Command Area	Canal Length	Rank	Canal (Khal) Namo		Command Azat	Canal Longth	Remarks
			la la	La	_			Ъ	km				ha	km)	
í	Kuthakhali	,	70	9		Majikata	1	400	10	1	ficeljuri	P1	150	12.5	Control woder BWDB
•	T		"		'				100	2	Sschar	P2		(9.0)	
2	Dulbenaga	2	600	13	2	Jafaryan	2	440	9	3	Sachur-Hajiganj	SI.	250	16.0	
3	Dairearchar	3	300	8	3	Laur Pethepur	3	200	8	4	Dhamala-Keylsin	82		(3.5)	NA.
Jak.										5	Karaya Regunathpus	\$3	100	6,0	
4	Munder	4	300	7	4	Bikhali	4	100	8	6	Nondria-Charathanga	S4	100	12.0	1000
•	1	· `	-,-							7	Bitara-Alian	85 .	100	9.0	
5	Darjakandi Bara	5	70	4	5	Adalmanii Chat	5	100	7	8	Uzani-Tetulia	55	50	4.0	On-going improve-
		1						!		9	Sachar-Bayck	57		(3.5)	ment under BWDB
6	Vandelia	6	- 80	5	6	Bus	6	400	6	10	Modhrapar-Bareiara	88		(4.0)	
	i ·					[				11	Amujau	59	٠.	(6.0)	NA.
7	Намири	7	180	5	7	Biragan	7	380	\$	12	Baichera	510	100	3.5	'
1.1	"	11								13	Balashir Rahimanagar	\$11		(7.0)	NA NA
8	Mendelia	8	400	5	8	Begduhar	8	300	5	14	Sтестиприл Катауа	S12.	•	(4.5)	. •
	l			[. :.]						15	[Uden:	513	100	7.5	
9.	Pahanakandi	9	30	3	9	Dewjuri	9	260	12	16	Pathepar	514		- 3.0	NA .
. ;				İ .			1	i i		17	Kajkemie-Komorkesha	S15	- 50	4.5	, * · · · ·
10	Kalakandistra	10	70	3 .	10	Samegram	10	100	10	18	Rechesa .	516	.50	3.5	
		1.0		4, 4	:	100				19	Singua	\$17		(3.0)	NA.
11	Mara Titas	11	100	5	11	Rasuliabad	11	360	10	20	Pala-Budhumda	818		. (2.5)	•
		1.1				and the second			25.0	21	Atishar	519	50	. 2.0	
4.1					12	Resulpar	12	160	6	22	Akama-Nasiryur	520	٠	(5.0)	NA
İ	1	i								23	Maurigacha-Amujen	S21	-	(3.5)	•
	ļ ·					4.0			Mark .	21	Jagatpur Popolkura	S22		(3.5)	
			Na.	kra				-	kta				ha	cm	
	Total		2200	67		Totel		3200	96	l	Total		1100	(55)	1.
		- 1	,							ľ				83.5	
								l				100		138.5	

Note: 1) Canal Ranking for Upazila Proposal.

2) Commad area exculding the existing irrigated area by LLPs using.

The minor irrigation development should be based on the introduction of technologies proven to be more economical and effective under local condition considered. From the above concept and the reference of 3.1.2 Groundwater, the irrigation system should be prevailed by the LLPs using as much as possible the surface water source in these Upazilas. After the completion of irrigation by the LLPs, the development of the DTW and DSSTWs for using groundwater will be considered in these Upazilas.

<sup>3)</sup> NA = Not available for the gravity water use depending on the current low water level of the Dhanagoda and Dakada rivers

# 3.2.3 Design Water Level for LLPs and Canal Standard Section for the Re-excavation.

At present, the irrigation by many using LLPs is done through the available surface water limits in the study area. This has been carried out mainly for the HYV Boro cultivation during the dry season. Therefore, in order to expand the irrigation area, the Re-excavation of the current canals (Khal) should be planned for the possible water sources from main rivers.

## (1) Design Water Level of the Main River Source

According to the results of the hydrology analysis in the dry season (Feb-Mar), the design water levels for each Upazila are envisaged as follows:

- Nabinagar and Bancharampur
   Design Water Level = 1.10 m (PWD)
   [Based on the observed data at 298 Nabinagar (Titas River)]
- Kachua
   Design Water Level = 0.70 m (PWD)
   [Based on the observed data at 79 Matlab Bazar (Dhanagoda River) and
   58 Hajiganj (Dakatia River)]
   (Refer to 1.3 Hydrology)

#### (2) Standard Section for Re-excavation of Existing Canals.

Based on the current canal sections, the design forms were determined from the taking into consideration on the possible improvement of existing canal lots by excavation.

The canal standard sections and dimensions subjected to the improvement are shown in Figure. F.3.2.

## 3.2.4 Drainage Improvement by Re-excavation of Existing Canals.

The water level conditions in the pre-monsoon (Apr-May) and post-monsoon period (Nov-Dec) at the Titas, Dhanagoda, Dakatia River, also the cultivating land elevation of the each Upazila in the study area are shown in the following table

Unit: m in PWD

	Station		Pre-Me	onsoon	Post-M	lonsoon	Cultivating	g Land Elevation	n and Area
	Name	H.W.L	Apr	May	Nov	Dec		Nabinagar	
						1,712.0	Land Type	E.L	Area
			m	m	m	m		m	ha
l .		Max	2.90	4.90	4.50	3.10	F4	1.5~3.0	12,800
298	Nabinagar	Mean	2.50	3.70	3.60	2.50	F3	3.2~4.4	9,600
	(Titas)	Min	2.12	2.61	2.76	2.08	F2	4.4~5.3	3,000
							<u></u>		(25,400)
							ar i de la companya d	Bancharampur	
		Max	3.05	3.63	3.70	2.82	F4	1.5~3.0	7,200
79	Matlab Bazar	Mean	2.60	3.20	3.20	2.50	F3	3.0~4.2	8,000
	(Dhanagoda)	Min	2.30	2.82	2.89	2.29	F2	4.2~5.1	2,800
			•						(15,200)
								Kachua	
		Max	3.32	3.55	3.87	3.31	F4		-
58	Hajiganj	Mean	2.60	3.00	2.90	2.60	F3	2.4~3.0	3,000
	(Dakatia)	Min	2.03	2.56	2.03	1.94	F2	3.0~3.9	9,700
									(12,700)

Note: Refer to Figure. F.2.2

From the above table mentioning the relationship between the river water level and the cultivating land elevation, the improvement plan by re-excavation of current canals (Khal) would be reduce largely the production of the Boro and Aman during havesting periods.

## 3.3 Irrigation and Drainage Development for the Priority Project

## 3.3.1 Basic Concept for Irrigation and Drainage Development

The irrigation area by the master plan level had been taken up and the most beneficiary areas for irrigation are selected, based on the following items.

- a) To expect the most benefit from the consideration on the relationship through the improvement of roads.
- b) To obtain high effect on the present market conditions. Therefore, the irrigation areas should be located near the sites of the markets.
- c) To expect the suitable operation and maintenance.
- d) To obtain high effect on the present navigation systems.

## 3.3.2 Extension of the Irrigation Area for the priority Project

From the above mentioned points, the priority project area for irrigation and drainage of each Upazila is shown in the following table.

	Bancharampur				Nabinagar					Kachua			
Renk	Canal (Khal) Name	Command	Canal	Rank	Canal (Khal) N	umė	Commend	Canal	Rank	Canal (Khal) Name		Command	Cana
	<u> </u>	Arca	Length				Arca	Length				Area	Length
	10 A 10 A 10 A 10 A 10 A 10 A 10 A 10 A	ha	km				ba	kın	1			he	kп
1	Kathakahali 1	70	9	1	Majikata	1	400	10	1	Sachar-Hajiganj	S1	250	16
2	Dulbanaga 2	600	13	2	Laur Fathepur	3	200	8	2	Karaya-Ragunathpur	<b>S</b> 3	100	6
3	Murader 4	300	7	3	Adulmanil Chart	5	100	7	3	Uzani-Tatulia	S6	50	4
4	Nencialia 6	· 80	5	4	Birugan	7	380	` <b>5</b>	4	Udara	S13	100	7.5
5	Pahariakandi 9	30	3	5	Begdular	. 8	300	5	5	Kajkamia-Kamorkasha	S15	50	4.5
6	Kalakandisona 10	70	3	6	Resullabad	. 11	360	10					
	Total	ћи 1150	km 40		Total		la 1740	km 45		Total		la 550	km 38

## 4. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR LLP

## 4.1 Organization

The organization for the LLPs irrigation project will be aimed at its operation and maintenance. This operation and maintenance would be carried out by the so-called two tier cooperative system - UCCA and KSS - through the on-going Irrigation Management Programme (IMP) basis. In the meantime, the O&M of water source channels would be carried out by Upazila Parishad and Water Users Association(WUA). The Upazila Parishad would receive a part of charge for the maintenance of water source channels from the UCCA through the Food for Work Program.

The UCCA would own all LLPs and lease them to the WUA composed by KSS/BSS and MSS/MBSS. The UCCA would collect the rental charge of these LLPs through the WUA and use this for the annual O&M and the renewal of LLP units in the future. The Upazila Parishad owns all existing water source channels used for the LLPs project, and takes the responsibility for their maintenance for the irrigation, drainage and navigation purposes.

The project will promote also the formation of formal farmers groups through the Water Users Association (WUA). This WUA will aim at a suitable O&M with the following objects, roles and responsibilities.

- In the name of the Association and on behalf of its members to mobilize resource, raise fund from members, and obtain loans for the execution and operation of irrigation system improvements.
- To participate in the construction of water distribution system and related infrastructure works, to operate/arrange the execution of these functions, and to maintain and manage the system in the command areas.
- To resolve problems and disputes among members concerning the use of water.
- To set and collect charges from members for the operation, maintenance and management of the irrigation and distribution system, loan repayment and member charges and to take necessary operational or appropriate action against members in default of payments due to the Association.

The Association should be participated by all landowners and tenants in the command area, as many as possible, such as NGO organized groups.

All farmers cultivating lands in the command area qualify for membership in the Association. For any new land brought into the command area, the Association shall motivate to the farmers and the member shall pay annual fees or charge for the Association. The project will undertake promotional activities through publicity, demonstration and technical advice to farmers.

#### 4.2 Operation Flow

The work flow of the project is formulated in Figure.F.4.1 and the organization of the ongoing Irrigation Management Programme (IMP) is shown in Figure. F.4.2.

The roles and responsibilities for O&M of the project indicate the following.

## (1) BRDB

- Appointment of the Project Manager
- Project formulation and establishment of task force
- Preparation of "Operation Manual of the Project"
- Provision of administrative advise to UCCA including credit arrangement, staff training, etc.
- Budgetary arrangement for overhead cost of BRDB
- Internal auditing
- Coordination with other agencies concerned
- Monitoring and evaluation of the Project
- Record keeping
- Reporting to project sponsor, if any

#### (2) UCCA

- Arrangement of credit for procurement of pumps and other consumable to the Water Users Association (WUA) and its repayment
- Maintaining ownership of pumps
- Prevailing and assistance for the formation of the WUA
- Day-to-day management of the Project
- Resolve of problems and disputes among members of the WUA
- Assistance and advice to the WUA for the construction of the distribution canal

- Provision of technical guidance and staff training for pump operators and mechanics of the WUA
- Provision of O&M support, spare parts and repair services
- Accounting including set-up of rental charge, collection of rental charge from WUA
- Financial control for self-reliance in the project execution
- Record keeping
- Reporting to the PM of BRDB

## (3) Water Users Association (WUA)

- Efforts for the irrigation area expansion
- Preparation of work schedule, meeting and arrangement for all members
- Construction of the distribution canal
- O&M for the pump and distribution canal
- O&M for the budget and ledger
- Instalment and pay back of credit
- Collection of the water charge from all members
- Payment to the UCCA for the rental charge of LLP
- Request to the UCCA for technical assistances and repair services of LLP
- Payment for repair services and spare parts for LLP
- Cooperation with Upazila Parishad for the maintenance of the water source channels under control by Upazila Parishad
- Record keeping
- Reporting to the UCCA

#### (4) Irrigation Management Programme (IMP)

#### a) Upazila Irrigation Committee

The Upazila Irrigation Committee is a very important organization in Upazila which is responsible for the following functions.

- To enforce spacing, zoning and setting criteria for irrigation equipments
- To register irrigation equipments
- To plan programmes of irrigation improvement
- To resolve disputes

To organize farmers meetings

#### b) Upazila Implementation Team under IMP

The roles and responsibilities of this team will be executed in the field through following functions.

- To implement IMP as per guidelines of the IMP manual
- To train farmers and field workers
- To report on IMP

#### c) Scheme Irrigation Management Committees

The IMP Manual calls for the formation of an Irrigation Management Committee at each scheme, consisting of the KSS Chairman, the UCCA Inspector, the Block Leaders and the Scheme Manager. This committee is the executive body of the water user group responsible for the management of the scheme on behalf of the members.

#### d) Reporting on IMP

The responsibility for this reporting lies with BRDB and these functions are as follows.

- A weekly monitoring report submitted by the scheme manager to the UCCA
- An annual report from the scheme manager to the UCCA
- An annual report from the upazila to the District Team. And with the monthly report the same proforma was to be sued by the District to consolidate the upazila information for transmission to Head Quarters.

This reporting system aims at key indicators of (i) the total numbers of the group, (ii) the total numbers of KSS, (iii) estimated cropped areas of Boro rice, wheat, transplanted Aman, etc. and total cropped area, (iv) estimated yields, (v) irrigation charges for KSS and non-KSS members, and (vi) total operation hours and total days of pump breakdown.

#### 4.3 Training

Training aims at providing the necessary training to the beneficiaries of the project to enable them to properly manage the irrigation facilities which they will procure. The following training concepts will be required.

- Farm management, water management and accounting training
- Minor irrigation facilities management
- Management and development of cooperative systems
- On-the-job training for pump operators and mechanics of the WUA

BRDB was established to promote the UCCA/KSS co-operative system and has provided training to the accountants who maintain the book of the co-operatives at the Upazila and village level. BRDB has a Training Materials Production Unit in Dhaka which could be used for the design and production of information and training materials for the project.

The pump suppliers will provide training to mechanics for servicing equipments. This training will also be targeted for pump operators, mechanics, irrigation group organizers and water user groups.

Potential groups (WUA) for the minor irrigation equipments will be identified by the irrigation group organizers who will visit the group in the field. To implement the activities planned under the project, an information dissemination campaign will be undertaken in areas targeted for development under the project. Irrigation group organizers will be encouraged to participate in the project to the maximum extent possible and will be involved in the formation of water user groups.

Training for WUA will include the following.

- How to obtain system design assistance, credit and agricultural advice
- Group organization and decision making
- Pump operation and repair services
- Book-keeping and financial management

## a) Pump Operator Training at Farmer Level

- Duties of the operator
- General working of the diesel engine, drive mechanism
- Regular servicing and planned maintenance
- Importance of clean air, fuel, lubricants and coolants
- Safety measures
- Seasonal shut down procedures
- Record keeping

#### b) Mechanics Training

- Use of tools and workshop equipments
- Repair of engines and pump equipments
- Fault finding of pumping equipments
- Ordering of spare parts
- Introducing other farm machineries
- Basic book-keeping for running a small business

## 4.4 Workshop

The necessity of a mechanical workshop to be established at the Upazila level is based on the following concepts.

- For quickly repairing
- For supplying available spare parts
- For supplying quickly available technical personnel
- For training facilities

Points mentioned by farmers were indicated by (i) the lack of spare parts in BADC store, (ii) the insufficient training for operation, and (iii) the high cost for supervision.

The objectives of a workshop were based on the considerations that it would help quick repairing of machines, costs will be less, repairing time will be minimized, stores of spares can be maintained easily and the training activities for repairing, maintenance as well as motivation can be carried out very smoothly and efficiently.

## 4.5 Equipment & O.M. Costs and Revenues

## (1) Expenditure

## a) Equipment Cost for Workshop

Equipment for storage, transportation machinery of the LLPs/FPs, machinery and tools for the repair of pumps are as follows.

	Q'ty		Tk/unit	Tk
Mini-Track (2 ton)	1		750,000	750,000
Mini-Tractor (with trailer)	<b>2</b> , <b>2</b> , .		75,000	150,000
Motorcycle (125 cc)	2	1 11 1	40,000	80,000
Machine for Repair	1		L.P.	1,500,000
Miscellaneous	1		L.P.	520,000
Total				3,000,000

## b) Personnel Cost

In order to perform administration managing, accounting, business forming and machinery jobs, the following staff and fee are required.

	Person	Tk/month/person	Tk/year
Project Administrator	1	5,000	60,000
Accountant	11-11-	3,000	36,000
Mechanic Eng.	2	4,000	96,000
Machinery Staff	2	2,000	48,000
Assistant Staff	1	2,000	24,000
Driver	1	3,000	36,000
Total			300,000

#### c) O&M Cost for Workshop

	Tk/unit	Tk/year
Vehicle Repair	1.0 L.P.	100,000
Equipment Repair	$\mathbf{H} = \{\mathbf{H}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{i}\}$	30,000
Vehicle Fuel etc.	n	10,000
Spare Parts of LLPs	Ħ	100,000
Office Supply	- 11	50,000
Miscellaneous		10,000
Total		300,000

## d) Training Cost for LLPs

Total

130,000 Tk (for pump operators)

## e) Maintenance Cost for Water Source Canals

The Upazila Parishad will charge the UCCA for the maintenance of water source canals (Upazila controled) from the UCCA's incomes through the Food for Work Programme. This charge to each Upazila UCCA will be determined, based on the construction cost for canals reexcavation.

#### O&M Cost for Canals Re-excavation

Total 250,000 Tk/year (Bancharampur, Nabinagar and Kachua Upazila)

Total expenditure (Bancharampur, Nabinagar and Kachua Upazila)

	Personnel Cost	300,000  Tk x  3 =	900,000	Tk/year
-	O&M Cost for Workshop	300,000  Tk x  3 =	900,000	11
-	Training Cost for LLPs	4	130,000	n
	O&M Cost for Canal Re-excavation		250,000	
	Total Expenditure (O&M)	·	2,180,000	Tk/year
	Equipment Cost for Workshop	3,000,000 Tk x 3 =	9,000,000	<u>Tk</u>

## (2) Incomes

Incomes for the UCCA through the LLPs business are as follows.

- Rental Fee of LLPs from WUA 173 Nos x 11,000 Tk = 1,903,000 Tk/Year

- Repair Fee of LLPs from WUA 173 Nos x 2,000 Tk = 346,000 "

Total 2,249,000 Tk/year

## (3) Financial Balance

Expenditure

Annual O&M Cost 2,180,000 Tk Annual Income 2,249,000 Tk

This fund would be considered, based on the fee related to the income of LLPs rental charge/LLPs repair charge and the O&M cost of LLPs under the UCCA. At present, the LLPs are purchased directly by the individual farmers without the government subsidy.

In order to achieve fruitfully the development in rural areas, the GOB assistances to local peoples are very importance. From this viewpoint, the rental for WUA may be charged high as about 11,000 Tk/unit (550 Tk/ha). This, however, can be set with to farmer with  $5,000 \sim 6,000$  Tk/unit ( $250 \sim 300$  Tk/ha) with the consideration of a half cost subsided by the GOB.

#### (4) Water Charge

The current water charge ranges 2,500 to 3,200 Tk/ha in 1990/91 according to upazila and BADC officers. Based on our calculation shown in below, the proposed water charge per ha of the LLPs project will be set with 2,500 Tk.

Items	Cost Tk/ha
1. Fuel	1,200
2. Personal and Labour cost	500
3. LLP rental charges	400
4. Water Source canal O & M	50
5. Work Shop O & M	100
6. Repair and Spare parts	150
7. Other	100
Total	2,500

This proposed water charge will be occupied about 23 % of the production cost for Boro HYV which is 11,000 Tk. per ha.

# TABLES

Table F.1.1 Average Monthly and Annual Max/Min Temperature (°C)

Station Name Jan.	Name	Jan.	Feb. Mar.	Mar.	Apr.	May Jun.	Jun.	Jul.	Jul. Aug. Sep.	Sep.	Oct.	Oct. Nov. Dec. Annual	Dec.	Annual	Remarks
									-					Mean	
# 17	Max	25.70	28.00	25.70 28.00 31.40 32.00 32.40	32.00	32.40	31.40	30.70 31.10	31.10	31.60 31.30	31.30	29.70	29.70 26.60	30.10	30.10 (Period of Record
Comilla															Use)
	Min	12.50	12.50 15.10	19.90	19.90   23.10	24.40	25.50	25.60	25.50	25.40	23.60	19.20	13.80	21.10	1969 - 1988
	Max	25.10	27.60	31.60	32.90	32.90	32.10	30.90	29.10	31.70	31.40	29.10	25.60	30.00	
Chandpur															1969 - 1987
	Min	13.40	15.60	13.40   15.60   20.40   23.30	23.30	24.30	25.70	25.80   25.70	25.70	25.70	24.00	19.80	14.80	21.60	
	Max	25.30	28.10	25.30 28.10 32.50 34.20		32.90	31.30	31.00	30.90	31.30	30.70	27.90	25.80	30.20	
Dhaka						-									1961 - 1980
	Min	11.90	14.80	11.90   14.80   20.00   23.50   24.60	23.50	24.60	25.80	26.20	26.10	26.10   25.90	23.60	18.40   13.40   21.20	13.40	21.20	

Table F.1.2 Average Monthly and Annual Humidity (%)

-													
9		73	6/	81	85	- 87	98	85	82		74	79	(Period of Record
													Use) 1969-88
72	ļ	72	77	80	87	88.0	87	87	83	- 79	77	80	1969-1987
42		61	7.1	79	98	86.0	98	85	81	75	73	76	1961-1980

Table F.1.3 Average Monthly/Daily Evaporation (mm)

			<u>.</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Remarks	l	1976 - 1980	6/61 - 9/61	
Dec	51	1.7	62	2
Nov.	53	2.2	71	2.4 2.30
Oct.	75	2.4	74	
Sep.	84	2.8	7.1	2.6
Aug.	85	2.8	85	2.7
Jul.	77	2.5	28	2.5
Jun.	82	-	LL	2.6
May	109	3.6	88	2.8
Apr.	116	3.9	107	3.6
Mar.	117	3.8	108	2.8
Feb. Mar.	29	2.4	92	2.3
Jan.	53	1.7	09	1.9
Station Name	1 [Monthly	Daily	Monthly	Daily
Station	Comilla		Dhaka N	

Table F.1.4 Average Monthly and Annual Wind Speed

Station Name	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	May Jun. Jul. Aug. Sep. Oct. Nov. Dec. Annual	
Comilla	4	4	5	7	9	9	9 9	9	5	4	4	4	5	(Period of Record Use) 1969 - 1988
Chandpur	. 3	3	5	5	4	4	4	4	4 3	4	3	3	4	1969 - 1987
Dhaka	3	3	5	9	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	3	4	1961 - 1980

Note: 1 Knot = 1,853 Km/hr

Table F.1.5 Average Monthly and Annual Rainfall

Sep. Oct. Nov. Dec. A 232 138 47 4	4		May 325	<b>A</b>	Feb. 17	
284 113   50   10			344	220	59   220	
341   184   56   23   3219	5   440	544 585	345	234	108 234	

Table F.1.6 Maximum Two-Day Rainfall/Ten-Day Rainfall

(Unit: mm)

Station Name	Days	2.33 Year	5 Year	10 Year	20 Year
			<del></del>		
BMD Comilla	2 days	199	256	300	341
	10 days	403	469	509	539
103 Brahmanbaria	- do -	226	263	288	308
	- do -	411	488	542	587
		:			
351 Bancharampur	2 <sup>11</sup> 2	186	233	268	301
	" <u>"</u> " "	379	457	511	558
367 Nabinagar	_ " _	203	241	267	290
	_ " _	371	429	471	507
366 Muradnagar	· _ # _	158	203	231	253
	- " -	300	388	446	494
:					
357 Daudkandi	- " -	201	236	262	285
	_ # _	467	550	606	653
		404	201	0.00	0.15
360 Hajiganj	_ 11 _	184	236	278	317
	- <sup>11</sup> -	349	404	440	468
254 Chandaus	_ " _	192	247	286	323
354 Chandpur	_ " _	342	421	482	Į.
		342	421	462	537
363 Laksham	_ n _	205	246	274	298
JOJ Landian	_ "-	474	564	629	684
		1-7/-	JUT	(2)	00-7
352 Barura	_ " _	178	223	262	301
Jos Dululu	_ " _	355	430	490	546
	" _				
R76 Narshindi	_ " _	220	254	279	301
	. *	418	501	573	638

Source : MPO Report

Table F.1.7 Flood Peak Frequency

							i.	(Flood Peak (m3/Sec)
Station Name	River	Drainage Area (Km2)	Period of Record Use	2.33 Year	5 Year	10 Year	20 Year	Highest Flood Level
230.1 Bhairab Bazar Railway Bridge	Old Brahmaputra	2,960	1969-70 1972-82	<i>LL</i> 9	848	985	1,120	ı
273 Bhairab Bazar	Meghna	80,200	1964-74	12,900	14,500	16,200	18,000	19,800(11.7.1988)
114 Jibanpur	Gumti	246	1965-70 1972-78	290	384	462	200	617 (3.7.1976)
110 Comilla	Gumti	2,210	1965-70 1972-82	474	572	637	689	1,160 (6.8.1983)

Source: MPO Report

						:			(Unit: Meters in PWD)
	Station Name	River	Period of Record Use	2.33 Year	5 Year	10 Year	20 Year	50 Year	Highest Flood Level
	297 Gokarnaghat	Titas	1960, 1962, 1968-74 & 1978-80	6.48	6.84	7.09	7.30	7.53	7.42 (12.9.1988)
	298 Nabinagar	Titas	1959-60, 1962-63, 1968-74, 1978-79 & 1981	6.33	6.63	6.87	7.09	7.36	7.34 (10.9.1988)
	275 Baidyer Bazar	Meghna	1960-61, 1969-82	5.42	5.65	5.82	5.98	6.16	6.98 (4.9.1988)
	115 Daudkindi	Gumti	1957, 1959-61, 1963-64 &1971-82	5.38	5.61	5.79	5.94	6.14	6.27 (3.9.1988)
F-47	276 Satual	Meghna	1951-61 & 1962-82	5.18	5.40	5.57	5.72	5.90	6.04 (3.9.1988)
	79 Matlab Bazar		Danagoda 1959-64, 1968-77 & 1979-80	5.13	5.33	5.47	5.60	5.76	NA
	277 Chandpur	Meghan	1956-60 & 1969-82	4.80	4.96	5.08	5.18	5.31	5.16 (29.8.1988)
	58 Hajiganj	Dakatia	1958-60 & 1970-82	4.64	4.85	5.03	5.21	5.44	5.52 (9.9.1988)

Source - MPO Renort

Table F.1.9 Minimum Discharge Frequency (Ten Daily Average Discharge)

		Remarks			Period of	Record Use:		1970 ~ 1989	NA =	1977 ~ 1984	Period of	Record Use:		1970 ~ 1990					- op -					
÷		3	(21~30)		3050	1547	595				70	32	7	15	11	∞	87	28 8	es.	12	∞ .	5		
	April	2	(11~20)		2140	1293	878				86	30	4	18	6	7	100	78 2	'n	10	1	ν,	:	
(Unit: M3/Sec)		- T	(1~10)		1250	719	342		-		80	25	'n	11	7	ν'n.	7	161	7	_	4	7		
(Unit:		~	(21~31)		816	394	211	255	210	181	97	23	'n	10	7	ν.	02	) <u>6</u>	m	7	4	60		
	March	2	(11~20)		201	307	207	228	208	196	35	18	v	10	50	•	40	1	4	~	N.	4		
		1	(1~10)	, .	276	287	63	147	102	69	32	19	9	12	6	7	33	1 2	4	6.	9	4		
		E	(21~28)		523	253	42	102	62	35	36	19	v	12	6	7	96	3 2	4	0,	9			
٠	February	2	(11~20)		478	230	47	86	63	40	35	82	9	12	10	∞	oc.	91	4	10	7	'n		
		Ţ	(1~10)		474	760	\$	125	82	51	36	21	7	15	12	0	21	1 00	5	2	∞	9		
	Discharge	Frequency			Max	Mean	Min	1/5 Probable	01/1	1/20 "	Max	Mean	Min	1/5 Probable		1/20 "	~7/2	Mean	Min	1/5 Probable	1/10"	1/20 "		
		Station Name			273 Bhairab Bazar			(River = 102)	Surma-Meghna		110 Comilla			(River = 43)	Gumti-Burinadi)		TIME YELL	(Sridge)	1		Gumti-Burinadi)			

Table F.1.10 Minimum Surface Water Level (Dry Season)

Unit: Meters in PWD

Month		Jan	uary	Feb	ruary	Ma	arch	
Station	W.L	L.W.L	H.W.L	L.W.L	H.W.L	L.W.L	H.W.L	Remarks
Name					1			
						<i>i</i>		Period of
298 Nabinagar	Max	1.94	1,18	1.93	1.10	2.50	1.60	Record Use
(River: 108 Titas)	Min	1.70	0.96	1.60	* 0.70	2.12	1.08	1977 ~ 1990
297 Gokarnaghat	Max	2.02	1.24	1.96	1.18	2.47	1.29	1978 ~ 1990
(River: 108 Titas)	Min	1.72	0.98	1.60	* 0.67	1.80	0.91	NA: 1979-1981
				1				
272 Bhairab Bazar	Max	2.12	1.28	1.98	1.14	2.61	1.28	1981 ~ 1990
(Rver : 102	Min	1.79	0.99	1.68	* 00.74	1.92	1.00	
Surma-Meghna)			* . 					
274 Narsingdi	Max	2.13	1.22	1.98	1.05	2.42	1.25	1970 ~ 1990
(Rver : 102	Min	1.67	0.98	1.54	* 0.64	1.66	0.78	NA: 1981~1982
Surma-Meghna)								
275 Baidyer Bazar	Max	2.48	1.42	2.37	1.17	2,55	1.26	1970 ~ 1990
(Rver : 102	Min	1.51	0.47	1.25	* 0.14	1.52	* 0.14	Na: 1978~1981
Surma-Meghna)								and 1988
275.5 Meghna Ferry Ghat	Max	2.19	1.02	2.11	0.93	2.37	1.10	1978 ~ 1990
(Rver: 102	Min	1.87	0.73	1.69	0.49	1.78	* 0.20	NA: 1979-1981
Surma-Meghna)								
276 Satual/Matlab	Max	2.31	0.80	2.14	0.83	2.79	0.79	1982 ~ 1990
(Rver : 102	Min	1.22	0.66	1.74	0.39	1.68	* 0.07	}
Surma-Meghna)		1.0						ļ
277 Chandpur	Max	2.44	1.04	2.25	0.81	2.58	0.80	1970 ~ 1990
(Rver : 102	Min	1.81	0.47	1.83	* 0.35	2.00	0.37	
Surma-Meghna)								
58 Hajiganj	Max	2.07	1.16	1.92	0.87	2.37	0,98	1970 ~ 1990
(River: 27 Dakatia)	Min	1.58	0.66	1.52	0.61	1.65	* 0.43	NA: 1981~1983
79 Matlab Bazar	Max	2.56	1.05	2.33	1.00	2.54	0.97	1978 ~ 1990
(River: 34 Dhanagoda)	Min	2.00	0.73	1.95	0.38	2.23	0.58	NA: 1979~1982

(Gumti River) Unit: Meters in PWD

Month Station Name	W.L	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Remarks
110 Comilla	Min	8.45	8.41	10.37	10.15	Periods of Record Use:
	Min	7.13	7.13	7.07	*7.01	1970 ~ 1990
113 Kangsanagar	Min Min	6.99 4.69	6.90 4.18	7.80 4.10	9.34 *3.96	1980 ~ 1990
114 Jibanpur	Min	5.21	5.15	6.77	6.99	1978 ~ 1990
(Gumti Bridge)	Min	3.64	3.55	3.51	*3.41	NA: 1980 ~ 1981

Table F.1.11 Ground Water Development Zones, Characteristics and Potentials

	21				DEVELOPMENT POTENTIALS					Area is anisable for furture development of shallow/deep mbe-seed (anishing of	STWIDTW side by side within the same uses is not advisable).			Most of the area has good water qualifies.			Area is moderately suitable for further development of Shallow /door with	12 - 735 (anking of STW/DTW aids by side whithin the sens area is not advisable).	Installation of well within the range of 30m to 106 m dayth is not advisable in	3-735 the salinity problem area like Chamber, Kacima, berms, Saharani.			Area is unimble for further development of DIW.	Water quality is suitable for imagation.	Area moderately missible for further development of Shallow/deep subs-well	(amking of STW/DTW side by side within the same area is not advisable).	Installation of well within the range of 30m to 100m depth is not advisable in	the usinity problem area like Kachna, Madab, Dandrandi.	Area is moderately saisable for further development of aballow/doep mire-well	(anking of STW/DTW side by side within the same area is not advisable). Most	of the area has good water quality than other pents of the district.	
Ì	8	20		Chloride	ĝ	PPM		(Z) ~ 106m) (Z) ~ 106m)		8-18		11-12	14.00	8~18		8~13				3~735		3~390			1 1 2 1	3-60		10~28		13~38		8~39
	19	Chemical Qualities		Iron (Fe)	Md.			(27 - 106m)		5.0 ~ 10.0		65~192		45-21.0	100	5.0~21.0		1.0-192		1.0-7.0		1.0 21.0		8.0~10.0 8~18	100	12-210		1.2-21.0	44.	1.0~5.0	1.00 miles	1.0~5.0
	18	ð		Specific (Total Dissolved	solids (TDS)	PPM (Depth	Range	(27~106m)	1	174-213		240 - 275	with the second	116-240		174~219		200-1000 0.01-0.05 4.5-9.5 240-1100		145~1.100		145~339		0.04-0.05 2.8-3.9 174-347		15-80 498-670 0.01-0.13 22-9.6 174-1.105		164-1.235		213~339		1-28   $15-70$   $15-80$   $450-580$   $0.01-0.13$   $22-9.6$   $174-339$   $1.0-5.0$
	17	Sorved	4.	Specific To		-		(J/S/m)		2.2-9.6	-	5-9-5			1.00	22~9.5	-	5-9-5		28-7.0		2.8 6.7		28-3.9		22-9.6				6.0 ~ 7.0		22~9.6
	16	Aquifer Characteristic Dorived	from Aquifer Test	Storage	o-efficient					90.0 10.		0.01-0.05 4.5-9.5		.0010.05		01-013		0.01~0.05				0.010.04		3,04~0.05		0.01-0.13		001-0:023	2.30	01-0.023	110	10.13
	1.5	Aquifer C	Bouj		Aquifer Various ibility of Co-efficient Capacity	Main	Aquifer SQ	(m/day)		400-670 0.01 - 0.08 2.2 - 9.6		315470		315 - 900   0.001-0.05   3.1-17.0		470~553 0.01~0.13 2.2~9.5	-	00-1000	63	200 - 750	2° .	325-750 0.01-0.04 2.8-6.7		325~450		029~86		15-25 450-670 0.001-0.023 3.7-6.8		550~670 0.01-0.023 6.0~7.0	100	150~580
	14	Dickness	of Main	Aquifer at Transmiss-	Various	Depth	_<	Ē	-	•	L	- S	L <u>.                                    </u>	E1		4		-61	8-52		-		08~3S			15-80-4		15-25	:		-	15-80
	ជ	Depth to	Top of Main	Main	Aquifer	٠		Ê		15~70		•	15~45		1.		-	15~70		15-45		15-70		15-45			15~70			45~70		15~70
	12	Thickness Depth to Thickness	of Upper	Silt and	á			â		1.0-6.0		10-29	1	1-10		28				1~10				6-23			3-6			10-28		1 23
	11	Ground Water Resource (Million M3)	1) 1981~85	Average	Recharge	Ra=Rox60	8		47.30	(166 mm)	39.80	(122 mm)	02'96	(163 mm)	39.20	(122 mm)	12330	(191 mm) (115 mm)	134.40	(132 mm)	111.10	(176 mm)	103.20	(239 mm)	42.10	(115 mm)	174.40	(141 mm)	21.90	(91 mm)	12.50	City mm)
	10	Resource (	Average(mr	Potential	Recharge	Ro		•	08'82	(276 mm)	08.30	(204 mm)	160.30	(四四 2/2)	65.40	(203 mm)	205.50	(191 mm)	223.90	(220 mm)	185.20	(294 mm)	172.00	(399 四四)	70.10	(192 mm)	290.70	(235 mm)	36.50	(1.52 mm)	20.10	(167 mm)
	ď.	Ground Water	Rang(mm)/Average(mm) 1981~8	Actual Recharge Potential	on Existing	Development/	Losses (from	Fluctuation)	35.40	(124 mm)	31.70	( 98 mm)	104.90	(178 mm)	36.40	(113 mm)	113.80	(106 mm)	145.50	(142 mm)	92.40	(147 mm)	11130	(258 mm)	47.00	(128 mm)	240.60	(194 mm)	24.20	(101 mm)	14.80	. (123 mm)
	8	Maximum	Fluctuation	Attuined.	Expected			Ê		6.0		6.0	_	6.0		7.0	-	4.5	-	5.0		0.7		9.5		5.0		5.0		4.0		٧,
	7	Actual [ ]	Water	Table		8		Î		2545		1.0~3.5		35-4.5		25-65		1.0-3.5		1.0-45		3.5-4.5		4.5 - 6.5			1.0-45		1.77	1.0~3.5		35-45
	9	Maximum	Depth to	Calculated Ground Water	from Bone- Table from Fluctua-	log up to Land Surface		æ			25-60			35-60 35-45		35~6.0	- 20	25-35 1.0-3.5			3.5~6.0		:	6.0-15.0 4.5-6.5		25~6.0		3.5~6.0		25-35		35-60 35-45
	2	Specific	Yield	Calculated	from Bore-	log up to		15 m		0.046		0.034		0.045		0.033		0.043		0.044			0.042			0.046		0.047		0.038		0033
	.,	Identified	Area					(Km2)		285.00		325 00		589.00		322.00		1.074		1.018		630.00		431.00		365.00		1.237		240.00		120.00
	m	Identified A Percentage Identified	of Courses	Material	(Study	Depth		165 E	4			_	52-75					: .			8-2						- /	0-25			. / 	,
		ntified A			Ans c	2002				II		B2		B3		¥		Ö		ប		ប		8		គ		02		D3		2
	-	.5	_		Zope	•	_		L		:		A				L			U	_							Ω		-		_

Composite Aquifer; Comprises of the layer from the bottom of the upper silte and clay up to the top of main aquifer, a finer aquifer exists consisting of very fine sand interbedded and mixed with fine sand and silt and clay. Thickness of such aquifer varies from 13m to 63m (with few exception). Hand tube wells and dug wells are generally tapping water from this aquifer limited supply. Main Aquifer : is sinused below the composite aquifer which is a layer of medium sand with some fine sand. The thiskness of this aquifer varies from 15m to 20m (with few exceptions) STWs/DTWs are generally developmed in this zone.

3 (a) Zone: Bangladesh has been classifed into 4-broad zones based on percent thickness of coarser materials (main aquifer) Viz. A = 75 to 100%, B = 50 to 75%, C = 25 to 50% and D = 0 to 25%.

3 (b) Sub-Zone : is based on maximum depth to water table from land-surface water table fluctuation, thickness of upper silt and clay depth to top of main aquifer,

The study is based on available hydrogeological data, may be improved with availability of more data.

The spacing of tube well may be based on actual and/or potential recharge (Col. 9 to 11), aquifer characteristic (Col. 15 to 17) and existing Govt. policies.

For existing ground water below this depth up to expected potential recharge (Rp) limit upto approximately 8 to 9 meters, deep tube weth turbine/submensible pump will be necessary. Normally development of ground water by shallow and hand tube well by suction lift pumps is possible approximately up to 6 meter depth from land surface. ø

Available recharge has been considered as 60% of potential recharge which means 40% of water has been lose before storing of the irrigation season in lanuary as revealed from ground water level hydrographs.

Potential recharge has been calculated on the basis of maximum fluctuation attained or expected to attained

Chemical Qualities : (MRL : Maximum Recommended Limit) << For drinking water and irrigation water >>

Chloride (CI) (a) Iron (Fe)

Ē

Ä <u>છ</u>

F-50

Table F.1.12 Concentration of Iron(Fe), Chloride(CI) and TDS in PPM

LOCATION	De	Depth: 0 ~ 30 m	n (	Dep	Depth: 30 ~ 60 m	0 m	Dept	Depth: 61 ~ 110 m	0 m	Dept	Depth: Above 100 m	日 00
(Upazila)	Iron (Fe) Chloride	Chloride	TDS	Iron (Fe)	Chloride	TDS	Iron (Fe)	Chloride	TDS	Iron (Fe)	Chloride	TDS
	PPm	(CI) PPm	PPm	PPm	(C)) PPm	PPm	PPm	(CI) PPm	PPm	PPm	(CI) PPm	PPm
BARURA	1.0 ~ 4.0		1	1.45	45	487	0.50	20 ~ 357	175	ŧ		
CHANDPUR	2.1	200	1,170	2.1	450	2,030	7.3 ~ 7.8	171 ~ 347	442 ~ 776	3.0 ~ 7.7	35 ~ 135	250 ~ 549
DAUDKANDI	0.2 ~ 3.5	56 ~ 275	375~1235	0.2 ~ 7.3	36 ~ 56	375 ~ 386	1.1 ~ 4.5	68 ~ 125	277 ~ 715	<b>₽</b>		ŧ
HAJIGANJ	ì	•	•	-	-	_	3.2 ~ 7.8	$111 \sim 230$	394 ~ 637		•	\$
HAIMCHAR	1	1	•	•	•	1	2.2	240	313	5.6		# <b>#</b>
HOMNA	•	•	-	•		÷ -	2.7	12	163	_	*** **********************************	1
KACHUA	<b>\$</b>	ı	,	1		ŧ	0.2 ~ 4.5	26 ~ 595	402 ~ 1105	-	1	
LAKSAM	2.6 ~ 7.3	12 ~ 38	193 ~ 343	-		•	0.3 ~ 4.8	16 ~ 25	$130\sim 641$	•	*	
MATLAB		1	•	9.0	16	80	06:0	61 ~ 237	351 ~ 780	2.5	16.0	312.0
MURADNAGAR	1	1	ì	1.4 ~ 5.9	18 ~ 52	348 ~ 440	4.10	8	183	_	i	
SAHARASTI	1	ļ	•	-	-	-	$1.5 \sim 2.5$	375 ~ 610	1332~1570	3.80	79	312
		-										
1) IRON (Fe)		- 1 - 1	3	2) Chloride (CI)	- F		3)	TDS (Total	3) TDS (Total Dissolved Solids	olids		
MRL (Maximum Recommended Limit)	Recommen	ided Limit)		MRL				MRL				
IPPM Bangladesh Standard	sh Standard			600 PPM E	PPM Bangladesh Standard	Standard		1500 PPM	1500 PPM Bangladesh Standard	Standard	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
1 PPM WHO Standard	ındard	·		200 PPM V	PPM WHO Standard	ard		500 PPM W	500 PPM WHO Standard	rd		
-												

Table F.2.1 Mechanized Minor Irrigation Devices in Bangladesh

	Z 	Number's in Operation	Operation			Irrigatied Area	Vrea		Increa	Increase Ratio of Irrigated area	Irrigated an	za za
Devices			(x 1,000)				(x 1,000) ha	at			(x 1,000)	
	1974/75	1974/75 1979/80	1984/85	1989/90	1974/75	1979/80	1984/85   1989/90   1974/75	1989/90	1974/75	1979/80	1984/85	1989/90
WTG	2.7	8,8	20.3	28.5	47.7	235.8	428.5	604.6	100	494	868	1268
STW	4.0	23.1	121.0	199.4	14.2	113.0	588.0	904.2	100	796	4141	6368
3	35.5	37.4	50.7	58.2	526.7	606.2	459.9	942.8	100	115	87	179
Total	42.2	70.3	192.0	286.1	588.6	955.0	1476.4	2451.6	100	162	251	417
		Irrig	Irrigated Area (%)	(%)	6.5	% 10.6	% 16.3	% 27.1	•	•	•	•

Total area in Bangladesh A = 14,340 x 1,000 ha (143,400 km2)

Net Area of Cultivated Land A = 9,030 x 1,000 ha (90,300 km2) (1989/90)

Table F.2.2 Mechanized Minor Irrigation Devices in Comilla, Chandpur and Brahmanbaria Districts (Old Comilla District)

	(Old Comilla l				т	igatied A		<u> </u>	Y) 1	
: Davides	Disease	Nuomb	ers in Equ	npment					rcase Rati	
Devices	ł .	1070 /00	(x 1,000)	1000/00		x 1,000 ha			rigated Ar	
	Name	1979/80	1984/85	1989/90	1979/80	1984/85	1989/90	1979/80	1984/85	1989/90
			+ .d .	: .	. :			%	%	%
	Comilla	0.90	1.26	1.23	22.9	31.7	32.0	100	138	140
DTW	Comma	0.20	1.20	1.23	42.7	21.7	32.0	100	130	
DIW	Chandpur	0.03	0.10	0.08	0.7	2.5	1.9	- 11	: <del>-</del> .	•
•										
	Brahmanbaria	0.18	0.47	0.82	4.7	11.2	70.0	n	238	426
		."		4						
	Comilla	2.98	3.46	3.96	13.5	11.9	16.4	. 11	88	121
STW										
	Chandpur	0.03	0.06	0.19	0.1	0.2	0.8	n	-	-
	D-sharehada	0.93	1.37	2.41	4.0	5.0	10.2	tr	125	255
	Brahmanbaria	0.93	1.37	2.41	4.0	3.0	10.2		123	233
-	Comilla	1.72	2.08	2.82	25.1	28.4	36.5	n n	113	145
LLP										
•	Chandpur	1.32	1.73	2.20	18.7	25.2	31.3	U	135	167
				,						
	Brahmanbaria	1.79	2.20	2.65	26.2	29.3	36.5	11	112	139
			6.00			<b>#2.6</b>	0.1.0	n		4.00
	Comilla	5.60	6.80	8.01	61.5	72.0	84.9		117	138
Total	Chondau	1.38	1.89	2.47	19.5	27.9	34.0	11	143	174
	Chandpur	1,36	1,09	2.41	19.5	21.9	34.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	143	1/4
	Brahmanbaria	2.90	4.04	5.88	34,9	45,5	66.7	••	130	191
٠.	! <u></u>							*		
GRANI	D TOTAL	9.9	12.7	16.4	115.9	145.4	185.6	100	125	160
				:	%	%	%			
	Comilla	Irrigated	Area (%)		21	24	28	_		-
	Chindpur	n n			13	19	24	-	-	
	Brahmanbaria	Ħ			19	- 26	36	-	-	-
					%	%	%			
GRANI	O TOTAL	Irrigated .	Area (%)		19	23	30	-		

- District Area: Comilla

 $A = 309.3 \times 1,000 \text{ ha}$ 

Chandpur

 $A = 170.4 \times 1,000 \text{ ha}$ 

671.3 x 1.000 ha

Brahmanbaria  $A = 191.6 \times 1,000 \text{ ha}$  (6,713 km2)

- Agriculture Land Area: Comilla

 $A = 299.1 \times 1,000 \text{ ha}$ 

Chandpur

 $A = 144.7 \times 1,000 \text{ ha}$ 

620.8 x 1,000 ha

Brahmanbaria

 $A = 185.0 \times 1,000 \text{ ha}$ 

(6,208 km2)

Table F.2.3 (a) Minor Irrigation DTW, STW and LLP (Total Bangladesh)

		Total Area	Average Con	nmand Area	
Year	No. of	Irrigated	Acre	Ha	Remarsk
	DTW	(Acre)			
1967-68	102	4,117	40.4	16.4	
1968-69	380	16,080	42.30	17.10	
1969-70	980	32,070	32,70	13.20	
1970-71	796	32,070	40.30	16.30	
1971-72	906	29,330	32.40	13,10	
1972-73	1,237	37,776	30.50	12.30	
1973-74	1,494	61,456	41.10	16.60	
1974-75	2,699	117,854	43.70	17.70	
1975-76	3,828	153,747	40.20	16.30	
1976-77	4,461	164,198	36.80	14.90	
1977-78	7,453	338,474	45.40	18.40	
1978-79	9,329	504,340	54.10	21.90	
1979-80	9,795	582,298	63.0	25.5	
1980-81	12,400	925,183	60.3	24.4	
1981-82	12,810	704,550	55.0	22.3	
1982-83	11,721	839,097	57.0	23.1	
1983-84	16,438	1,002,718	61.0	24.7	
1984-85	20,348	1,058,096	52.0	21.1	
1985-86	22,393	1,254,008	56.0	22.7	ing the state of t
1986-87	23,481	1,361,898	58.0	23.5	
1987-88	24,657	1,134,222	46.0	18.6	
1988-89	26,443	1,401,479	53.0	21.5	
1989-90	28,485	1,492,735	51.0	20.6	

Source: BRDB, BADC

Table F.2.3 (b) Minor Irrigation DTW, STW and LLP (Total Bangladesh)

		Total Area	Average Com	mand Area	
Year	No. of	Irrigated	Acre	На	Remarsk
	STW	(Acre)			
					'
1971-72	685	NA	-	·	
1972-73	1,324	NA	-	· · · <u>-</u>	
1973-74	1,252	NA	-	-	
1974-75	4,029	35,052	8.70	3.50	
1975-76	5,179	31,074	6.00	2.40	
1976-77	5,402	31,332	5.80	2.30	
1977-78	12,325	112,158	9.10	3.70	
1978-79	17,036	180,582	10.70	4.30	
1979-80	23,061	279,038	21.1	4.9	
1980-81	26,735	321,497	12.0	5.9	
1981-82	41,300	462,560	11.2	4.5	
1982-83	64,290	752,193	11.7	4.7	
1983-84	98,440	1,082,840	11.0	4.5	
1984-85	120,980	1,451,760	12.0	4.9	
1985-86	145,322	1,655,631	11.4	4.6	
1986-87	158,899	1,779,669	11.2	4.5	
1987-88	176,232	2,114,784	12.0	4.9	
1988-89	185,742	2,191,756	11.8	4.8	
1989-90	199,358	2,232,809	11.2	4.5	

Source: BRDB, BADC

Table F.2.3 (c) Minor Irrigation DTW, STW and LLP (Total Bangladesh)

***************************************		Total Area	Average Con	nmand Area	
Year	No. of	Irrigated	Acre	Ha	Remarsk
	LLP	(Acre)			
1967-68	6,558	317,903	48.5	19.60	
1968-69	10,852	430,052	39.60	16.00	
1969-70	17,846	642,752	36.00	14,60	
1970-71	24,483	889,809	36.30	14.70	
1971-72	24,243	883,941	36.50	14.80	
1972-73	32,917	1,218,766	37.00	15.00	
1973-74	35,343	1,330,810	37.70	15.30	
1974-75	35,534	1,300,507	36.60	14.80	i
1975-76	36,382	1,312,577	36.10	14.60	
1976-77	28,224	1,034,328	36.60	14.80	
1977-78	36,730	1,300,000	35.40	14.30	
1978-79	35,895	1,436,212	40.00	16.20	
1979-80	37,389	496,802	40.00	16.20	
1980-81	36,049	1,370,421	38.00	15.40	
1981-82	41,354	1,461,700	35.00	14.20	
1982-83	43,039	1,365,840	32.00	13.00	
1983-84	43,615	1,031,395	24.00	9.70	
1984-85	50,661	1,135,600	22.00	8.90	
1985-86	51,242	1,229,953	24.00	9.70	
1986-87	37,489	927,760	24.90	10.10	
1987-88	40,554	1,001,643	24.70	10.00	
1988-89	50,103	1,753,605	35.00	14.20	
1989-90	58,200	2,328,000	40.00	16.20	

Source: BRDB, BADC

			1. Comill	1. Comilla District			2. Chandp	2. Chandpur District		3.	<ol><li>Brahmanbaria District</li></ol>	aria Distri	i.
	Year	No. of	Total Area	Average	rage	No. of	Total Area	Average	age	No. of	Total Area	Average	rage
	· ·	DTW	Irrigated	Command	nd Area	DTW	Irrigated	Command Area	nd Area	>	Irrigated	Command Area	nd Are
		* *	(Acre)	Acre	ha		(Acre)	Acre	ha		(Acre)	Acre	ha
	1979-80	6 6 8	56637	63.0	25.5	27	1674	63.0	25.1	183	11529	63.0	25.5
	1980-81	98 1	61803	63.0	25.5	က်	3060	0.09	24.3	197	12214	62.0	25.1
	1981-82	1053	64233	61.0	24.7	64	3904	61.0	24.7	221	13481	61.0	24.7
	1982-83	1197	77805	65.0	26.3	73	4599	63.0	25.5	357	22491	63.0	25.5
F-5	1983-84	1235	72865	59.0	23.9	87	5307	61.0	24.7	409	23722	58.0	23.5
7	1984-85	1263	78306	62.0	25.1	103	2209	59.0	23.9	468	27612	29.0	23.9
	1985-86	1269	79947	63.0	25.5	107	6609	57.0	23.1	489	27873	57.0	23.1
	1986-87	1295	77700	60.09	24.3	101	0909	60.09	24.3	487	29220	0.09	24.3
	1987-88	.1) 1335	74700	56.0	22.7	.2)108	5832	54.0	21.9	.3) 466	24698	53.0	21.5
	1988-89	1409	76086	54.0	21.9	116	6032	52.0	21.1	689	35828	52.0	21.1
	1989-90	700	7007	7	, ,	(	0	•		(	(	0	2

The figure includs DTW sunk under rental basis as follows: (1):844, (2):51, (3):271 Source:BRDB

Table F.2.4 (b) Minor Irrigation DTW, STW and LLP (District Level))

								`. 						
	age	id Area	ha	4.3	4,	3.8	6. 6.	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.5	2.9	4	4.2
aria Distric	Average	Command Area	Acre	10.7	10.2	<u>ග</u>	9.7	ლ თ	6.1	80.	8.6	7.2	10.0	10.4
3. Brahmanbaria District	Total Area	Irrigated	(Acre)	9919	11138	11277	11650	11997	12440	14731	12977	8863	13680	25106
3.	No. of	STW		927	1092	1187	1201	1290	1367	1674	1509	1231	1368	2414
	age	id Area	ha	4.5	4.	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.3	3.0	4.0	4.3
2. Chandpur District	Average	Command Area	Acre	11.0	10.0	8.0	6.7	ტ ტ	9.1	8.9	8.2	7.4	o. o	10.5
2. Chandp	Total Area	Irrigated	(Acre)	330	370	44-1	475	484	519	929	746	858	1635	1942
	No. of	STW		30	37	4 ro	9	52	57	92	91	9	167	185
	age	nd Area	ha	4 70	0.4	න හ	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.3	3.2	4.0	4.0	4.1
1. Comilla District	Average	Command Area	Acre	11.2	10.0	6.7	9.5	ထ	ა გ	8.2	7.9	10.0	<u>ග</u> ග	10.2
1. Comill	Total Area	Irrigated	(Acre)	33376	29990	30574	29467	28492	29367	28659	28748	37520	37808	40432
	No. of	STW		2980	2999	3152	3203	3392	3455	3495	3639	3452	3819	3964
	Year		3 H	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82	1982-83	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89	1989-90

Source: BRDB

(c) Minor Irrigation DTW, STW and LLP  1. Comill District  No. of Total Area Average LLP Irrigated Command Area (Acre) Acre ha (Acre) Acre ha 1813 63455 35.0 14.1 1897 64877 34.2 13.9 1936 65824 34.0 13.8 1999 72163 36.1 14.7 2078 70236 33.8 14.1 2291 71479 31.2 12.6 2187 65172 29.8 12.1 2004 48497 24.2 9.8 2309 69270 30.0 12.1	TW, STW and LLP (District Level)) strict 3. Brahmanbaria. District	erage No. of Total Area Average No. of Total Area Command Area 11 D	(Acre) Acre ha	36.0 14.6 1322 46270 35.0 14.1 1794 64584	35.0 14.1 1427 51372 36.0 14.6 1877 66070	34.2 13.9 1501 52535 35.2 14.3 1908 68688	34.0 13.8 1598 55770 34.9 14.1 2087 66993	36.1 14.7 1687 59045 35.0 14.1 2103 72133	33.8 14.1 171 62316 36.0 14.6 2195 72435	31.2 12.6 1951 72382 37.1 15.0 2215 77525	29.8 12.1 2112 80678 38.2 15.5 2102 75672	24.2 9.8 2240 69440 31.0 12.6 2042 57176	30.0 12.1 2239 8321 9.0 11.7 2329 69870
(c) Min No. of T LLP 1719 1897 1999 2078 2291 2291 2004	or Irrigation D			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,				<del></del>	<del></del>	
Table F.2.4 Year 1979-80 1981-82 1982-83 1983-84 1985-86 1985-86 1985-86				1719	1813	1897	1936	1999	2078	2291	2187	2004	2309

Source: BRDB

Total Irrigated Area of Minor Irrigation Practices

(Year : 1989/90) Unit : ha

4	the second second						OHIL.	lla .	
Items	Uupazla	I	Agricul	ure Land		Irrigated			Cropping
	Total	Single	Double	Triple	Total	Area (C)	B/A	C/B	Intensity
Upazila	Area (A)	Crop Area	Crop Area	Crop Area	(B)		(%)	(%)	(%)
KACHUA	23,600	11,400	6,500	1,200	19,100	6,800 (5,400)	81	36 (28)	147
DEBIDWAR	23,900	800	11,900	6,900	19,600	8,200 (8,200)	82	42 (4)	231
BANCHARAMPUR	20,700	3,400	11,500	3,600	18,500	7,400 (7,300)	89	40 (39)	201
NABINAGAR	33,500	7,200	16,000	4,200	27,400	10,000 (9,700)	82	36 (35)	189
TOTAL	101,700	22,800	45,900	15,900	84,600	32,400 (30,600)	83	38 (36)	192

<sup>-</sup> Exclude in Khas land, River Canal, Pond, Home stead, water body and Road etc.

<sup>-</sup> Value of ( ) exclude the mannual type irrigated area.

Table F.2.6 Irrigated Practices by Equipment Type (Year 1989/90)

a) DTW, STW, LLP

Area: Acre (ha) DTW STW Items LLP Total Number Total Total Number Average Number Total Average Average Upazila Irri-Area Irri-Area Irri-Area Irri-Area Irri-Area Irri-Area Irri-Area Acre Acre Acre Acre Acre Acre KACHUA 4,200 70 200 8900 13300 61 13 15 293 30 (80)(3,600)(1,700)(6) (5,380)(28)(12)DEBIDWAR 92 5,900 428 6,900 16 192 7,400 38 18,800 (2,400)(26)(2,800)(6)(3,000)(15)(8,200)440 5,200 11,600 BANCHARAMPUR 1,300 80 12 350 32 18100 16 (32)(2100)(4700)(13)(7320)(520)(5) 1,300 NABINAGAR 26 50 173 2,500 15 540 20,300 37 24100 (1000) (530)(20)(6)(800) (15)(9730)

b) Mannual Type

Items	S	wing Bask	et		Dhoon		R	lower Pun	ıp	
	Number	Total	Average	Number	Total	Average	Number	Total	Average	Total
Upazila		Irri-Area	Irri-Area		Irri-Area	Irri-Area		Irri-Area	Irri-Area	Irri-Area
					Acre	Acre	· <del></del> /	Acre		Acre
KACHUA	[ -	-		1,169	3,500	3	-	-	-	3500
	<u></u>				(1400)	(1.2)	1			(1400)
DEBIDWAR	-	•	-	: •	: <u>.</u>	-		_	<del>-</del>	-
BANCHARAMPUR	-	-	•	-	. <u>-</u>		730	240 (100)	0.3 (0.1)	240 (100)
NABINAGAR	245	500 (200)	2 (0.9)	72	300 (120)	5 (2)	<u>-</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>-</u>	800 (320)

source: Information form Upazila and BADC Officer

Table F.2,7 Supplied Equipment Type Numbers of Each Year

a) DTW

Q = 2 cf = 57 l/s

Items Upazila	Туре	>1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	Total
KACHUA	Diesel Electric	23 3	23 4	33 6	52 8	65 8	73
DEBIDWAR	Diesel Electric			N	Α		
BANCHARAMPUR	Diesel Electric	-	<u>.</u>	-	<u>-</u>	16	16
NABINAGAR	Diesel Electric	13 1	13 1	13 1	23 1	35 1	36

b) STW

Items	Discharge	Туре	>1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	Total
Upazila								
	0.5~	Diesel	12	12	12	12	12	
KACHUA	Q=0.75 cfs =14~211/s	Electric	• •	•	i <u>-</u> Ngjarang	-	1	13
		Diesel	382	384	388	408	408	
DEBIDWAR	- do -	Electric	5	. 7	11	20	20	428
in the state of th	0.5~	Diesel					334	
BANCHARAMPUR	Q=0.75 cfs =14~211/s	Electric	NA	NA	NA	NA	6	440
	0.5~	Diesel	125	147	171	204	222	
NABINAGAR	Q=0.75 cfs =14~211/s	Electric	. <b>-</b>	_	-	-	-	222

c) LLP

Items	Discharge	Туре	>1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	Tota	il .
Upazila	Distinge	1,100						:	
	Q=0.5 cfs	Diesel	68	120	184	186	186		
KACHUA	= 14 1/s	Electric	0	0	0	0	20	206	1
•	Q=1 cfs	Diesel	52	5 C	55	56	74		293
	= 28 1/s	Electric	13	13	13	13	13	87	
	Q=1 cfs	Diesel	45	48	53	68	68		: "
DEBIDWAR	= 28 1/s	Electric	2	2	4	9	9	77	
	Q= 2 cfs	Diesel	74	82	94	103	103		192
٠	= 57 1/s	Electric	12	12	12	12	12	115	*
	Q=1 cfs	Diesel					150		
BANCHARAMPUR	= 28 1/s	Electric	NA	NA	NA	NA		150	
	Q= 2 cfs	Diesel					150		350
	= 57 1/s	Electric	NA	NA .	NA	NA	28	200	
	Q=1 cfs	Diesel	151	153	142	160	180		
NABINAGAR	= 28 1/s	Electric	_	·	_	-		180	
	Q= 2 cfs	Diesel	274	282	290	320	295		540
4	= 57 1/s	Electric	24	30	38	40	65	360	

Source: Information from Upazila and BADC officer

Table F.2.8 Area Served and Farmers Distribution per Pump (1989/90)

a) DTW

Q = 2 cf = 57 l/s

Items	1	1	Number of	l	Not	
	Served Area	Farmer	Operated	Irri-Area	Operated	Remarks
	Maria Control of the	i ·	well	Per farmer	Well	
Upazila				(ha/farmer)		
	Area			,		Not Operated well:
KACHUA	60 ~ 80	70 ~ 100	61	0.3	12	-Pump Trouble
	(24~32 ha)	:	·			-Not Operated
1000				:		Covered Area A = 1,700 ha
				1		Two crop = Boro,
						T Aman, wheat
	Area					Not Operated well :
DEBIDWAR	50 ~ 70	70 ~ 80	92	0.3	15	-Pump Trouble 7
	(20~28 ha)		ļ ·			- Abandoned 2 (Sand deposit)
						- Not Opeated 6
					4.00	Covered Area A = 2,400 ha
***************************************	Acre		<u> </u>			Covered Area A = 520 ha
BANCHARAMPUR	80	100~175	16	0.2		(One Crop = Boro)
	(32 ha)					
	Асте	8	1			Not Operated well :
NABINAGAR	25(10 ha)	60~165	5	0.1	10	-Pump Trouble 3
	Acre	[				- Abandoned 2 (gas Trouble)
	60 (24 ha)	75~165	20	0.2		- Not Operated 5
						Covered Area A = 530 ha
1		i				(One Crop = Boro)

b) STW (Q=0.5 ~ 0.75 cfs = 14 l/s ~ 21 l/s)

Items	Average	Number of	Number of	Average	Total	Not	
	Served Area	Farmer	Operated	Irri-Area	Covered	Operated	Remarks
			well	Per farmer	Area	Well	
Upazila	}			(ha/farmer)	1		1
	Acre				80 ha		
	12 ~ 17	15~30	13	0.2	Two Crop:	-	
KACHUA	(5 ~ 7 ha)	ĺ			Boro, T. Aman,		
			L		wheat		1
	Acre				2,800 ha		
DEBIDWAR	12 ~ 15	15~20	428	0.3	Two Crop :	. <del>-</del>	
	(5 ~ 6 ha)				Boro, T. Aman,		
					wheat		
	Acre						
BANCHARAMPUR	10 ~ 15	20~40	440	0.2	2100 ha	-	
	(4 ~ 6 ha)				One Crop		
					(Boro)		
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Not Operated Well
NABINAGAR	Acre				1,000 ha		-Engine
	5~10 (2~4 ha)	20 ~30	12		','''		Trouble 18
	11~15 (4.1~6)	20 ~30	10				- Abandoned
	11~15 (4.1~6)	31 ~40	. 33		One Crop	49	31 (gas Trouble)
	11~15 (4.1~6)	41 ~60	21		(Boro)		
	16~20 (6.1~8)	50 ~70	97		\		
			Total 173				
	i			]			

c) LLP

Items		Average	Number of	Average	Number of	Total	Total
	Discharge	Served Area	Farmer	Irri-Area	Operated	Pump	Covered
			well	Per farmer	Pump	Numbers	Area
Upazila				(ha/farmer)			
		Acre					
KACHUA	Q=0.5cfs (14 l/s)	8~12 (3~5 ha)	10~12	0.4	32		3600 ha
		Acre				The Kill	Two Crop:
	Q=1 cfs (28 l/s)	20~30 (8~12 ha)	20 ~ 30	0.4	174	293	Boro, T. Aman
		Acre					Wheat
	Q=2 cfs (57 ls)	40~60 (16~24 ha)	50 ~ 70	0.3	87		
		Acre	, i				
DEBIDWAR	Q=1 cfs (28 l/s)	20~30 (8~12 ha)	20 ~ 30	0.4	77		3,000 ha
Wall of the second		Acre				192	Boro, T. Aman
	Q=2 cfs (57 ls)	40~50 (18~20 ha)	45 ~ 50	0.4	115		Wheat
		Acre					
And the second	Q=1 cfs (28 l/s)	20~30 (8~12 ha)	30 ~ 50	0.3	150		4700 ha
BANCHARAMPUR		Acre					Опе Стор
<u> </u>	Q=2 cfs (57 ls)	30~50 (12~20 ha)	50 ~ 125		200		(Boro)
	a sa sa tem	Acre	30 ~ 40	0.3	23		1
NABINAGAR	Q=1 cfs (28 l/s)	20~30 (8~12 ha)	50 ~ 70	0.2	157	180	8200 ha
	and the state of	30~40 (8~16)	50 ~120	0.2	39		One Crop
	Q=2 cfs (57 ls)	40~50 (16-0)	50 ~120	0.2	316		(Boro)
		50~60 (20~29)	50 ~120	0.3	10	360	
						540	

Source : Information form Upazila and BADC Officer

Table F.2.9 Construction Cost and Water Charge of DTW, STW and LLP

Items	The state of the s	Average	Average	Total	Cost per	
	Discharge	Depth of	Served	Construction	Acre (ha)	Remarks
Upazila		Well	Area	Cost (Tk)	Tk/Acre (ha)	
					_	
DTW	Q = 2 cfs		Acre	with steel screen	10,800	
	= 57 l/s	90 m	60	650,000	(27,000)	
		٠.	(24 ha)	with PVC screen		
				550,000		
	$Q = 0.5 \sim 0.75 \text{ cfs}$		12 Acre	<b>!</b> 		
STW	= 14~21 l/s	40 m	(5 ha)	50,000	4,200	
					(10,000)	
	Q = 1 cfs	_	20 Acre	60,000	3,000	
LLP	= 28 1/s		(8 ha)		(7,500)	
	Q = 2 cfs	_	40 Acre	·	2,300	
	= 57 1/s		(16 ha)	90,000	(5,600)	

- Actual construction cost of DTW is Tk. 175,000 for about 70% of Govt. subsidy.

Items		Water	Charge (Tk/	Acre)	Average	
	Upazila	1989/90	1988/89	1987/88	Water Charge	Remarks
Upazila					1989/90	
	KACHUA	1,300	1,300	1,300	(TK/ACRE)	
DTW	DEBIDWAR	1,350	1,350	1,350		
	BANCHARMPUR	1,500	1,500	1,500		i
	NABINAGAR	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,400	
	KACHUA	1,300	1,300	1,300		
STW	DEBIDWAR	1,800	1,650	1,650		
	BANCHARMPUR	1,500	1,500	1,400		
	NABINAGAR	1,800	1,800	1,700	1,500	
	KACHUA	1,200	1,200	1,200		
LLP	DEBIDWAR	1,200	1,200	1,200		
	BANCHARMPUR	1,200	1,200	1,100	1,200	
	NABINAGAR	900	900	850		

Source: Information form Upazila and BADC Officer

Table F.2.10 Annual Working Hours of DTW, STW and LLP

(One Crop: Boro Season Only)

Items		Working H	ours per Day	Annual	Average	
	Upazila	(Average)	(hr/day)	Operation	Operation	Remarks
Upaazila		Plant Period	Other Period	Hours (hr)	Hours (hr)	
	KACHUA	10	8	1,000		
DTW	DEBIDWAR	14	12	1,400		
	BANCHARMPUR	16	12	1,600		·.
: :	NABINAGAR	15	8	1,200	1,200	
	KACHUA	12	10	1,200		
STW	DEBIDWAR	14	10	1,400		
	BANCHARMPUR	16	12	1,600		
	NABINAGAR	15	8	1,200	1,200	
	KACHUA	14	10	1,400		
LLP	DEBIDWAR	12	10	1,200		
	BANCHARMPUR	16	12	1,600	1,300	
	NABINAGAR	15	8	900		

Source: Information form Upazila and BADC Officer

Table F.2.11 Relationship between Ground Elevation and Land Area

## (1) Bancharampur

Elevation (Meter in	Gros	ss Area	Net C	ultivated Area	Gross Area	Net Cultivated Area
PWD)	Area	Accumulation		Accumulation	%	%
m 0 ~ 1.5	ha 1 200		ha	ha	6(0)	0(0)
1.5 ~ 2.1		2,000	800	800	10(4)	4(4)
2.1 ~ 3.0	6,300	8,300	6,300	7,100	40(30)	38(32)
3.0 ~ 4.0	6,800	15,100	6,800	13,900	73(33)	15(37)
4.0 ~ 4.6	3,600	18,700	3,100	17,000	90(17)	92(17)
4.6 ~ 5.5	2,000	20,700	1,500	18,500	100(10)	100(8)

Elevation (Meter in	Gross Area		Net Cultivated Area		Gross Area	Net Cultivated Area	
PWD)	Area	Accumulation	Area	Accumulation	%	%	
m	ha	ha	ha	ha			
0 ~ 1.5	2,200	2,200	<del>-</del> ,	· •	7(7)	0(0)	
1.5 ~ 2.1	3,400	5,600	3,400	3,400	17(10)	12(12)	
2.1 ~ 3.0	7,600	13,200	7,600	11,000	39(22)	40(28)	
3.0 ~ 4.0	8,800	22,000	8,800	19,800	66(27)	72(32)	
4.0 ~ 4.6	5,400	27,400	3,700	23,500	82(16)	86(14)	
4.6 ~ 6.5	6,100	33,500	3,900	27,400	100(18)	100(14)	

## (3) Kachua

Elevation (Meter in	Gros	s Area	Net Cu	ltivated Area	Gross Area	Net Cultivated Area
PWD)	Area	Accumulation	Area /	\ccumulation	%	%
m 0.5 ~ 2.4	ha 400	ha 400	ha -	ha -	2(2)	~( <del>-</del> )
2.4 ~ 3.0	3,600	4,000	3,600	3,600	17(15)	19(19)
3.0 ~ 3.7	9,200	13,200	8,300	11,900	56(39)	62(43)
3.7 ~ 4.6	5,800	19,000	4,400	16,300	81(25)	85(23)
4.6 ~ 5.5	4,600	23,600	2,800 _	19,100	100(19)	100(15)

## (4) Debidwar

Elevation (Meter in	Gross Area		Net C	ultivated Area	Gross Area	Net Cultivated Area	
PWD)	Area Accumulation		<del> </del>		%	%	
m 1.0 ~ 3.2	ha 200	ha 200	ha	ha -	1(1)	-(-)	
3.2 ~ 3.7	1,200	1,400	1,200	1,200	6(5)	6(6)	
3.7 ~ 4.6	8,900	10,300	7,600	8,800	43(37)	45(39)	
4.6 ~ 6.1	13,600	23,900	10,800	19,600	100(57)	100(55)	

Table F.2.12 Schedule of Proposed Works of Gumti Phase II Sub-Project

Item	Item		Quantity			au.
		****	FCD	FCDI	Description	Sill Level
• • • • •					TTolobe .	
<ol> <li>Embanke</li> <li>1.1 Tit</li> </ol>	as/ Meghna	Km.	112.0	112.0	Height : 1,75 - 6,74 m	
	da/Buri	Km.	40.8	40.8	0.20 - 6.44 m	
1.2 Sai		Km.	20.0	20.0	0.49 - 5.25 m	
	ungur	Km.	25.4	25.4	0.00 - 4.65 m	
2. Drainage	Regulators				Height x Width	
	lonel Bazar	No. of gates	1	1	$0.91 \text{m} \times 0.91 \text{m}$	7,16
2.2 Bij	ni	No. of gates	7	. 7	3.04m x 3.04m	0.50
	ibnagar	No. of gates	2	2	0.91m x 0.91m	0.50
	t Ghat	No. of gates	2	2	0.91m x 0.91m	0.50
2.5 Ch	andai ghat	No. of gates	2	2	0.91m x 0.91m	0.50
2.6 N.	W Polder at N'Nagar	No. of gates	6	-	3.04m x 3.04m	0.50
2.7 Bu		No. of gates	4	4	4.50m x 9.14m	0.50
	Polder at N'nagar	No. of gates	6	-	3.04m x 3.04m	0.50
2.9 Mı	ikta Rampur	No. of gates	2	2	1.82m x 1.52m	0.50
2.10 Ho	mna	No. of gates	5	-	4.50m x 9.14m	0.50
	akandi	No. of gates	2 2	2	1.82m x 1.52m	0.50
	pur	No. of gates		2	1.82m x 1.52m	0.38
2.13 Mi	rpur	No. of gates	2	-	0.91 x 0.91m	0.50
	Pump Stations					
	binagar East	m <sup>3</sup> /s	-	18.75	3 @ 6.25	- 3.50
3.2 Na	binagar West	m <sup>3</sup> /s	-	31.25	5 @ 6.25	- 3.50
3.3 Ho	mna	m <sup>3</sup> /s	-	50.0	8 @ 6.25	- 4.00
3.4 Mc	hanpur	m <sup>3</sup> /s	-	4.50	2 @ 2.25	- 3.30
	mp stations					
	ungur	m <sup>3</sup> /s	-	9.00	3 @ 3.00	1.18
4.2 Bij	ni	$m^3/s$	-	4.00	4@1.00	1.18
	shi Nadi	m <sup>3</sup> /s	-	4.00	4@1.00	1.00
	er Khal	m <sup>3</sup> /s	-	12.00	4@3.00	1.63
	nuna	m <sup>3</sup> /s	<u>.</u> .	12.00	4 @ 3.00	0.76
. Check Str	uctures					
	ijor Checks	No.	2	9	From 2.62 to 0.38	•
5.2 Ch	ecks/ irr. controls	No.	-	6	From 3.50 to 2.00	
5.3 Mi	nor checks	No.	-	27		
Irrigation		D.T.		,	<b>A</b> 00	
	et-cum-aqueduct	No.	÷.	6	3.00	
6.2 Inl		No.	•	2	2.00	
6.3 Irri	gation Offtakes	No.		9	<del>-</del>	
Roads	oda on Emboulements	Vm	85	92.8		
	ads on Embankments	Km.	85 7.7	92.8 48.9		
7.2 Ne	w Roads	Km.	1.1	40.7		