

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA DZA 301/85

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																
1. COUNTRY	Algeria	1. SITE OR AREA	Southwest 20km from Annaba City, Annaba Province		1. PRESENT STATUS <input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled															
2. NAME OF STUDY	Projet d'aménagement agricole de la région périphérique du Lac Fetzara	2. PROJECT COSTS																		
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;">Total Cost</td> <td style="width: 15%;">Local Cost</td> <td style="width: 15%;">Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">350,000</td> <td style="text-align: center;">220,000</td> <td style="text-align: center;">13,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	1)	350,000	220,000	13,000	2)				3)				(Description)  There is no hope of fund because of deterioration of Algerian economy. It hasn't requested the projects to Japanese Government because it cannot raise fund for local cost. There was the possibility of utilizing private fund.
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																	
1)	350,000	220,000	13,000																	
2)																				
3)																				
4. REFERENCE NO.		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)																		
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	Agricultural infrastructure improvement plans: Irrigation, drainage, terminal field improvement, agricultural facilities. Agriculture development plan: farm land of 10,570ha Agriculture improvement plans: housing, water supply, sewerage, transmission of electricity, school, post office.																		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Agriculture	Implementation Period: 1985 - 1992																		
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS																		
8. DATE OF S/W	Mar. 1983	BIRR FIRR 7.3%																		
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants Inc. Hokkaido Consultants Kyowa Engineering Consultants Co., Ltd.	Feasibility:  Conditions and Development Impacts: Opportunity cost of capital : 10% Those projects will contribute not only to the increase of agricultural production, but promotion of rural economy, expansion of social investment, effective management of state-operated farm land organization and regional economic development.																		
10. STUDY TEAM	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">No. of Members</td> <td style="width: 15%;">13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period</td> <td>Dec. 1983 - Mar. 1985 (17 months)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total M/M</td> <td>71.58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Japan</td> <td>29.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Field</td> <td>41.83</td> </tr> </table>	No. of Members	13	Period	Dec. 1983 - Mar. 1985 (17 months)	Total M/M	71.58	Japan	29.15	Field	41.83	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS								
No. of Members	13																			
Period	Dec. 1983 - Mar. 1985 (17 months)																			
Total M/M	71.58																			
Japan	29.15																			
Field	41.83																			
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER																		
12. EXPENDITURE	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">Total</td> <td style="width: 15%;">315,059 (¥000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contracted</td> <td>280,430</td> </tr> </table>	Total	315,059 (¥000)	Contracted	280,430	To counterparts assigned during the period of the survey														
Total	315,059 (¥000)																			
Contracted	280,430																			
		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION																		
		(1)																		

和名 フェツアラ湖周辺地域農業開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

MEA EGY 301 /80

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																
1. COUNTRY	Egypt	1. SITE OR AREA	Northeast part of Nile Delta, area 31,400ha		1. PRESENT STATUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled <input type="checkbox"/> Processing															
2. NAME OF STUDY	South Hussinia Valley Agricultural Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total Cost</td> <td>Local Cost</td> <td>Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1)</td> <td>120,000</td> <td>60,000</td> <td>60,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>				Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	1)	120,000	60,000	60,000	2)				3)		
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																	
1)	120,000	60,000	60,000																	
2)																				
3)																				
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	1.Irrigation area: 20,900ha 2.Irrigation canal: 323km, Drainage canal : 295km 3.Drainage pumping station : 1 site, 1,000mm X 3 stations 4.Main farm road : 1,329 km 5.Field improvement : 26,800 ha		(Description)  -OECF loan was requested but it was suspended -A part of the projects is under construction with their own fund.															
4. REFERENCE NO.		Implementation Period:	1983 - 1988																	
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS  Development policy of Egyptian Government has changed. It is said fund source has been changed by the relation with the World Bank.															
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Irrigation, Ministry of Land Rehabilitation	Feasibility: Yes	16.3%																	
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Conditions and Development Impacts:	28,900ha excluding existing cultivated area 2,500ha is not cultivated at all. After the completion of the projects, following impacts are expected: Rice 49,000 t Wheat 30,000 t Cotton 21,000 t Beef 8,000 t Corn 19,000 t		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)															
8. DATE OF S/W	Jul. 1980	10. STUDY TEAM	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>No. of Members</td> <td>12</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period</td> <td>Jul.1980 - Mar.1981 (9 months)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total M/M</td> <td>51.70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Japan</td> <td>15.83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Field</td> <td>35.87</td> </tr> </table>			No. of Members	12	Period	Jul.1980 - Mar.1981 (9 months)	Total M/M	51.70	Japan	15.83	Field	35.87					
No. of Members	12																			
Period	Jul.1980 - Mar.1981 (9 months)																			
Total M/M	51.70																			
Japan	15.83																			
Field	35.87																			
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants, Inc.	11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER																	
12. EXPENDITURE	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>149,413 (¥000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contracted</td> <td>116,140</td> </tr> </table>	Total	149,413 (¥000)	Contracted	116,140															
Total	149,413 (¥000)																			
Contracted	116,140																			

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

MEA EGY 302/82

 Compiled March 1990  
 Revised March 1991

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT		
1. COUNTRY	EGYPT	1. SITE OR AREA	Tenth of Ramadan district, Ismailia State			1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY	Tenth of Ramadan Agricultural Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS					
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	(Description)  Progress: 1984.8.15 OECF L/A (E/S) 370 million yen Detailed design was completed during the period from July 1984 to August 1985 under the loan above. The primary round of 7.26 billion yens was pledged in June 1983 (the ninth yen credit). 1985.4.28 OECF E/N 7.26 billion yen  Present situation: After completion of the detailed design, a construction firm was selected through international bidding (LDC untied) in September 1986. Immediately after that, Egypt was classified into rescheduled country; the proposed yen credit was cancelled by the Egyptian Government.  Measures: It is planned to construct with the financial support of Germany, partly modifying the design.	
4. REFERENCE NO.		(US\$1,000)	1) 84,582	2) 21,716	3) 62,866		
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)					
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ismailia state government	Agricultural development in the desert:					
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Irrigation area 9,000ha					
8. DATE OF S/W	Apr. 1981	Head work 1 unit					
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Taiyo Consultants Co., Ltd. Pacific Consultants International	Main pump station 1 unit					
10. STUDY TEAM		Booster pump station 10 units					
		Main pipe line 20.7km					
		Branch pipe line 247.9km					
		Settlement 940 houses					
		Implementation Period: Jan.1982 - Oct.1982					
		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR			
			14.64				
		Feasibility: Yes					
		Conditions and Development Impacts:					
		Prior conditions:					
		The Irrigation Ministry of the Egyptian Government is to be responsible for preservation of irrigation water as well as construction and maintenance of the irrigation facilities for watering the project area.					
		Benefits from the project:					
		Through development of the desert, irrigation water will be reserved throughout a year enough to secure 200 percent of cropping in the project area, which will be managed under the mechanized farming system of middle scale. By this, the project is expected to contribute to obtaining foreign currencies, area development and increasing employment opportunities.					
		5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER					
		-Acceptance of two trainees for in-service training in Japan.					
		-OJT -A seminar organized for the staffs of the state government and agriculture cooperatives.					
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Topographic survey Analysis of water quality and soil samples.	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS					
12. EXPENDITURE		The proposed yen credit was cancelled by the Egyptian Government itself. It may be due to its intention to avoid the increasing debt from abroad.					
		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION					
		(1)					
			Total	120,316 (¥000)			
			Contracted	107,120			

和名 テンスオブラマダン地区農業開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)



## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA EGY 304 /84

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	EGYPT	1. SITE OR AREA	The area in the south of the Lake Manzara which is located in the northeastern part of the Nile Delta and close to the Mediterranean Sea.		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY		2. PROJECT COSTS				
North Hussinia Valley & South Port Said Agricultural Development Project		US\$1=0.8LE. in 1983 Total Cost    Local Cost    Foreign Cost 1)                    602,300            418,500            183,800 (US\$1,000) 2) 3)		(Description)		
3. SECTOR		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)				
Agriculture/ General		Agricultural land reclamation            36,000 ha Drainage pump station                        2 units Drainage facilities                            328 km Irrigation facilities                            371 km Embankment for sea reclamation            80 km		This project was proposed as a new project to be implemented under the five year plan for the social and economic development(1982/83~1986/87) of the Egyptian Government. However, the implementation was delayed due to the financial difficulties of the government related to reduction of the petroleum prices. The Egyptian Government does not take any actions for the eleventh yen credit(1984). The business for approaching the yen credit has become much complicated for Egypt. A mutual agreement through E/N and ratification by the government is required.		
4. REFERENCE NO.		Implementation Period:            1985 - 1994				
5. TYPE OF STUDY		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS		
F/S		EIRR                    FIRR 14.8-8.7%				
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY		Feasibility:		The Egyptian Government can not invest in new projects of large scale due to its financial difficulties.		
Ministry of Irrigation; General Authority for Rehabilitation Projects and Agricultural Development (GARPAD)		Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: Completion of the Jerusalem canal, and preservation of water resources enough to irrigate the project area.				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Benefits from the project:		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION		
		New agricultural land of high productivity created by sea reclamation will contribute very much to Egypt lacking in arable lands, through creating employment opportunities, systematic irrigation, setting up new farm villages and development of agro-industries.				
8. DATE OF S/W		5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER		(1)		
Sep.1982		-Acceptance of two trainees in Japan for in-service training -Sending experts				
9. CONSULTANT(S)						
Taiyo Consultants Co.,Ltd. Sanyo Consultants Inc. Naigai Engineering Co.,Ltd.						
10. STUDY TEAM						
No. of Members    17 Period                Mar.1983 - Mar.1984 (13 months)  Total M/M            93.03 Japan                40.35 Field                52.68						
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY						
Geological survey Analysis of samples						
12. EXPENDITURE						
Total                    368,146 (¥000) Contracted            338,910						

和名 北部ホサイニア及びポートサイド南部農業開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA EGY 305/84

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	EGYPT	1. SITE OR AREA	Southern Hussinia Valley, a part of Sharqiya Governorate, left shore of lower Suez Canal		
2. NAME OF STUDY	South Hussinia Valley Agricultural Development Project:Phase II	2. PROJECT COSTS			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 1,035,610	725,000	310,610
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		2) (US\$1,000)		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	GARPAD (General Authority for Rehabilitation Project and Agricultural Development)	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	3)		
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Reclamation and cultivation of back area of Manzala Lake facing the Mediterranean. Reclamation : farmland of 23,400 ha (salt leaching and land consolidation), irrigation facilities to take water from El Salamun Lake, drainage facilities to discharge to Manzala Lake. Houses and public facilities : 9,359 houses, water supply and sewerage facilities, electricity transmission and distribution facilities. Process of farm products : Tomato process factories, milk treatment and process factories.		1. PRESENT STATUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled	
8. DATE OF S/W	Aug. 1983				
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants, Inc. Nalgai Engineering Taiyo Consultants	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 8 Period Sep.1983 - Jun.1984 (10 months)  Total M/M 21.65 Japan 7.00 Field 14.65	Feasibility:  Conditions and Development Impacts: Farm land reclamation of 31,400 ha: - Increase of farm products (rice, sorgham, berseem, sugar beet, tomatoes, etc.) by building water supply and sewerage facilities - Creation of employment opportunities (small scale farm family 80%, large scale farm family 20%) - Promotion of agriculture-related industry (sugar refinery, tomato processing, oil extracting, milk processing plants, slaughter house)	FIRR		
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	7.3%-13.0%	1. Technical transfer by conducting soil survey 2. Instrument provision and training on leaching experiments	
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 84,793 (¥000) Contracted 75,391				

和名 南部ホサイニア・バレイ農業開発計画、Phase II

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA EGY 306/84

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	EGYPT	1. SITE OR AREA	Com Osheem District, Wahby downstream District, Lake Qarun Shore District, North Wahby, Faiyum Governorate		
2. NAME OF STUDY	Fayoum Agricultural Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	US\$1=240Yen in 1984		
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 128,588	58,194	70,394
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		2)		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY			3)		
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	Soil improvement, irrigation facilities, drainage facilities, terminal field facilities, irrigation agriculture, husbandry, rural manufacturing, social infrastructure, community establishment.		
8. DATE OF S/W	Aug. 1983	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR	
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants, Inc. Taiyo Consultants		12.1%		
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 12 Period Jan. 1984 - Mar. 1985 (15 months)  Total M/M 66.43 Japan 28.81 Field 37.62	Feasibility: Yes	Conditions and Development Impacts: Premises: Increase of farm products by desert reclamation (3,690ha), supplementary irrigation for water lacking districts (7,220ha), and drainage improvement for districts with insufficient drainage (2,830ha) Immigration following desert reclamation village building Development Impacts: New desert reclamation, Increase of farm products in existing fields, Improvement of farm families' economy		
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	On-the-job-training		
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 289,250 (¥000) Contracted 265,322				
		1. PRESENT STATUS		<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled	
		(Description)		Department of Economic Cooperation of Egyptian Government has a policy not to implement projects which are not included in current Five Year Plan (1987-1992). This project isn't included the plan, therefore it seems difficult to be implemented, although Fayoum Provincial Government is positive for the project.	
		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS			
		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION		(1)	

和名 ファユーム農業開発計画

[F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D]

PROJECT SUMMARY (M/P + F/S)

Compiled March 1991  
Revised

MEA EGY 201A/89

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS		
1. COUNTRY	Egypt	1. SITE OR AREA	Entire North Area of Sinai Peninsula			1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Progress or In Use <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued
2. NAME OF STUDY	North Sinai Integrated Rural Development	2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS	(US\$1=2.325LE) Total Cost    Local Cost    Foreign Cost 1)    12,600,000    6,400,000    6,200,000 (US\$1,000)    2)				
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED	The project aims to give impact on the development of North Sinai Area of 3,220sq.km and proposes components are as follows: - Agricultural Development in the area of 100,000ha including improvement of irrigation water supply facilities - Inland Fisheries Development and Agricultural/Fish Processing Industry Development - Tourism/Recreation Development - New Community Development			(Description)  Following this masterplan feasibility study was carried out by JICA and British Technical Assistance team has also carried out the feasibility study for a part of the area. Egyptian government is considering OECF and the World Bank for the finance of project implementation.	
4. REFERENCE NO.		4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS	Expansion of Agriculture will be expected by planning transmigration of farmers living in Deltaic area and settlement of Bedouin in the farmland to be reclaimed in the plain desert area of less than 25m above the sea level. However early completion of El-Salaam Canal and commencement of construction of Suez Canal Syphon Crossing will be required for the above plan.				
5. TYPE OF STUDY	M/P+(F/S)	5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER					
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Development, New Community, Housing and Utilities	12. EXPENDITURE	Total    249,378 (¥'000) Contracted    232,260				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	(1)				
8. DATE OF S/W	Nov.1987	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS					
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants Inc. Pacific Consultants International						
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members    9 Period    Apr.1988 - Dec.1988 (9 months)  Total M/M Japan    30.16 Field    41.96						
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY							

和名 北シナイ農村総合開発計画

(M/P, M/P+(F/S), Basic Study, Other)



PROJECT SUMMARY (M/P + F/S)

Compiled March 1991  
Revised

MEA EGY 201B/89

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Egypt	1. SITE OR AREA	Balouza ~ Rabae District in North Sinai			1. PRESENT STATUS <input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY	North Sinai Integrated Rural Development	2. PROJECT COSTS	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		1) 370,000	178,000	192,000	(Description)  Loan procedure by Egyptian Government to the World Bank and OECF has been delayed due to Gulf Crisis. International tender for detailed design for Suez Syphon Crossing was called under the finance of Kuwait Fund, however, this also has been postponed. British and French consultants and Sanyu are competing.
4. REFERENCE NO.			2) (US\$1,000)			
5. TYPE OF STUDY	(M/P)+F/S	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	3)			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Development, New Community, Housing and Utilities	- Extension of El-Salaam canal and Suez Canal Syphon Crossing, Installation of Booster Pump - Farmland Development of 22,000ha and Construction of Village, Agricultural Products Processing Plant, and Marketing Facilities				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Implementation Period:		1990 - 1995		
8. DATE OF S/W		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR		
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants Inc. Pacific Consultants International	Feasibility:	8%			
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 10 Period Apr.1988 - Dec.1988 (9 months)  Total M/M Japan 30.16 Field 41.96	Conditions and Development Impacts: Early completion of detailed design of Suez Canal Syphon Crossing and El-Salaam Canal Extension will be required, because F/S of Tina Plain with 30,000ha has been completed by British PPU.				
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY					2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 249,378 (¥000) Contracted 232,260	5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER			3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	
					(1)	

## PROJECT SUMMARY (M/P)

Compiled March 1991  
Revised

MEA IRN 101/86

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS	
1. COUNTRY	Iran	1. SITE OR AREA	Haraz River Basin, Amol, Mazandaran Province		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Progress or In Use <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued
2. NAME OF STUDY	Caspian Sea Coastal Area Agricultural Development Project	2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS	(US\$1=72.5RIS)		(Description)	<b>Present Condition</b> - Iranian Government requested to the Japanese Government technical cooperation for establishing Implementation Center for Development, and JICA dispatched long-term adviser since Oct.1988 to investigate the situation and to determine the scope of cooperation. - Oct.1989, technical cooperative mission from Ministry of Foreign Affairs visited Iran and agreed with the implementation of project type technical cooperation in principle. - The specialists have been dispatched for 2-year term since 1990. - As for the Haraz River Basin Development Project, F/S study is going on at present.
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost		
4. REFERENCE NO.			1)	1,106,200		
5. TYPE OF STUDY	M/P		2)			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Agriculture	3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		1) Improvement of Terminal Irrigation System and Drainage System for 70,000ha present paddy field. 2) Improvement of Drainage Facilities in wide areas 3) Animal Husbandry Promotion 4) Improvement of Cultivation Technique and Farm Management 5) Post Harvesting Improvement 6) Modernization of Farm Village Establishment of Development Center is proposed for promoting the above plans.  *Cost above includes only projects 1)~3).				
8. DATE OF S/W		4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS				
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants Inc. Taiyo Consultants Co., Ltd. Hokkaido Kaihatsu Consultant Inc.	- By the above 1), 2) projects, effective mechanization system is introduced and by lessening the labor, rice product cost is reduced. - By the drainage facilities, grass is cultivated as secondary crops, and then livestock farming is combined with Agriculture, resulting in the increase of farmer's income. - Training of extension workers for land consolidation and agricultural mechanization will be requested for promotion and implementation of the above project.			2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members Period      Sep.1984 - Dec.1986 (19 months)  Total M/M      88.90 Japan      37.18 Field      51.72	5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER			3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		1) Acceptance of trainees (4) 2) Cooperative investigation work in the field: guidance of how to develop through the joint meeting (On the job training)			(1)	
12. EXPENDITURE	Total      313,994 (¥'000) Contracted      262,335					

和名 カスピ海沿岸地域農業開発計画

(M/P, M/P+(F/S), Basic Study, Other)

**PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)**

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA IRQ 301/79

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																					
1. COUNTRY	Iraq	1. SITE OR AREA	Amarah City, Maysan Province, about 400km southeast of the capital Baghdad																						
2. NAME OF STUDY	Kahla Rice Farm Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total Cost</td> <td>Local Cost</td> <td colspan="2">Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1)</td> <td>68,000</td> <td>27,000</td> <td colspan="2">41,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </table>				Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost		1)	68,000	27,000	41,000		2)					3)				
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																						
1)	68,000	27,000	41,000																						
2)																									
3)																									
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	State operated paddy farm land : 8000ha reclamation Farm machinery : introduction of 460 machines Irrigation canal : 45km Facilities of farm land management Drainage canal : 62km Flood protection forest : 330 ha																						
4. REFERENCE NO.		Implementation Period:	1980 - 1987																						
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR																					
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Agriculture and Agrarian Reform	Feasibility:	6.2%																						
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Conditions and Development Impacts:	Building farm land will play a role to produce rice which is a stable food in Iraq and at the same time to increase the production of rice by state operated organization as a pilot farm.																						
8. DATE OF S/W		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	Transfer to the counterparts assigned during the period of the study.																						
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants, Inc.	12. EXPENDITURE	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>145,113 (¥'000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contracted</td> <td>126,392</td> </tr> </table>			Total	145,113 (¥'000)	Contracted	126,392																
Total	145,113 (¥'000)																								
Contracted	126,392																								
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 11 Period Oct.1978 - Mar.1980 (18 months)  Total M/M 51.85 Japan 19.91 Field 31.94	1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Processing <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled																						
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		(Description)	Unknown																						
		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	Since the project site is near to a battle field of Iran-Iraq War, current situation is unknown																						
		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	(1)																						

和名 カハラ稲作農場計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA JOR 301/76

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																
1. COUNTRY	Jordan	1. SITE OR AREA	Northern part of Jordan valley which is located in northwest of Jordan. Projected area of 1,600ha		1. PRESENT STATUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled															
2. NAME OF STUDY	Wadi Arab Dam and Irrigation Project	2. PROJECT COSTS				US\$1=0.335JD. <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%;">Total Cost</td> <td style="width: 15%;">Local Cost</td> <td style="width: 15%;">Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1)</td> <td>40,000</td> <td>13,000</td> <td>27,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	1)	40,000	13,000	27,000	2)				3)
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																	
1)	40,000	13,000	27,000																	
2)																				
3)																				
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	1. Irrigation area : 1,250 ha 2. Wadi Arab Dam : Earthfil Type Storage capacity : 1,210 million cu.m 3. Pipe line : total length of 3,260m 4. Irrigation Practice: Sprinkler 5. Main drainage canal : 3.5 km 6. Rehabilitation and construction of farm road : 47.4 km		(Description)  1977.6.20 OECF L/A 7.5 billion yen The whole project had been completed by the OECF fund by the end of 1988.															
4. REFERENCE NO.		Implementation Period:				Apr.1977 - Mar.1981														
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS															
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Jordan Valley Commission	Feasibility: Yes	13.54																	
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	F/S	Conditions and Development Impacts:	Conditions: The project benefit is estimated as a difference of the benefits between with and without project conditions Impacts: 1. Increase of agricultural production 2. Raising of living standard of beneficial farmers 3. Increase of employment opportunity		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION															
8. DATE OF S/W																				
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co., Ltd.	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER			(1)															
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 18 Period Apr.1976 - Nov.1976 (8 months)  Total M/M Japan Field	12. EXPENDITURE	Total Contracted		170,478 (¥000)															
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY																				

和名 ワディアラブ・ダムかんがい計画

PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA MAR 301/86

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																		
1. COUNTRY	Morocco	1. SITE OR AREA	Oujda province (northeast Morocco near Algerian border; 120,000ha)		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Processing <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled																	
2. NAME OF STUDY	Projet d'exploitation des eaux souterraines en vue de developpement rural dans la Province d'Oujda	2. PROJECT COSTS	US\$1=184Yen Total Cost    Local Cost    Foreign Cost 1)                    18,478 (US\$1,000) 2) 3)																				
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Full Plan</th> <th>Priority Project</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Well construction</td> <td>52 locations</td> <td>23 locations</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pump Stations</td> <td>52 locations</td> <td>23 locations</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Storage tanks</td> <td>25 locations</td> <td>18 locations</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Communal spigots for domestic water and livestock watering</td> <td>28 locations</td> <td>21 locations</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Irrigated area</td> <td>1,070 ha</td> <td>65 ha</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Full Plan	Priority Project	Well construction	52 locations	23 locations	Pump Stations	52 locations	23 locations	Storage tanks	25 locations	18 locations	Communal spigots for domestic water and livestock watering	28 locations	21 locations	Irrigated area	1,070 ha	65 ha	(Description)  Basic design and detailed design were performed by Nihon Giken Consultants. 1987 grant aid E/N 677 million yen
	Full Plan	Priority Project																					
Well construction	52 locations	23 locations																					
Pump Stations	52 locations	23 locations																					
Storage tanks	25 locations	18 locations																					
Communal spigots for domestic water and livestock watering	28 locations	21 locations																					
Irrigated area	1,070 ha	65 ha																					
4. REFERENCE NO.		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR    FIRR 8.47-13.86%																				
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	Feasibility:																					
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministere de l'Agriculture et de la Reforme Agraire	Conditions and Development Impacts:																					
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	Integrated rural development based on groundwater in Oujda province	Rate of return for each district:																					
8. DATE OF S/W		Angad            8.47%																					
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Chuo Kaihatsu Corporation Naigai Engineering Co.,Ltd.	Ain Tboudou    10.58%																					
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members    9 Period            Jan.1986 - Sep.1986 (9 months)  Total M/M            32.99 Japan            17.28 Field            15.71	Ain Beni Mathar 13.86%																					
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Topo-mapping Test drilling (2 sites)	Impacts of the project are as follows:																					
12. EXPENDITURE	Total            99,426 (¥000) Contracted      89,396	1. Stabilized living standard 2. Increased youth education opportunities 3. Water supply for livestock 4. Improved rural living environment 5. Groundwater development																					
		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER			2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS																		
					3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	(1)																	



## PROJECT SUMMARY (D/D)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA OMN 401/86

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Oman	1. SITE OR AREA	North Batina coast in the outskirts of Sohal city		
2. NAME OF STUDY	Wadi Jizzi Agricultural Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ Irrigation, Drainage & Reclamation		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost
4. REFERENCE NO.		(US\$1,000)	1) 27,870		
5. TYPE OF STUDY	D/D		2)		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Agriculture		3)		
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)		1. PRESENT STATUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled <input type="checkbox"/> Processing (Description)  After the completion of its detailed design, the Government of Oman has implemented by its own finance and completed in Aug., 1989.  The consultant engaged in implementation is Sir M. MacDonald & Partners Limited (the Britain).	
8. DATE OF S/W	Jul.1984	1) Detention Dam			
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants, Inc. Pacific Consultants International	- Dam Height: 21 m - Dam Length: 820 m - Embankment Volume: 600 thousand m3 - Dam Capacity: 5.4 MCM - Flood Discharge: Max 7,800 m3/sec - Outlet Discharge: Max 13 m3/sec			
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 13 Period Jan.1985 - Jun.1986 (18 months)  Total M/M 39.86 Japan 14.58 Field 25.28	2) Diffusion Facilities			
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		3) Groundwater Observation Well (5 points)		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 287,929 (¥000) Contracted 265,710	Implementation Period: Mar.1985 - Mar.1986  4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS EIRR FIRR 12.2%  Feasibility:  Conditions and Development Impacts: The main function of the dam is to temporarily reserve flood and utilize groundwater by making flood penetrating in the lower stream.  The project area has only about 130 mm annual rainfall, and therefore, the water resources are quite precious. Available groundwater shall be lifted in the plain fields by wells and shall be utilized for drinking and irrigation water.			
		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	
		1) Local guidance for soil and rock experiment methods 2) Local guidance for electrical exploration methods		(1)	

和名 ワジ・ジジ農業開発計画実施設計調査

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

**PROJECT SUMMARY (M/P)**

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA OMN 101/89

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS	
1. COUNTRY	Oman	1. SITE OR AREA	Southern Oman, 8,000 sq.km from Nejd region			1. PRESENT STATUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Progress or In Use <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued
2. NAME OF STUDY	Agriculture Development Project in the Nejd Region	2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	(US\$1,000)	1) 4,300		4,300	(Description) Situation: Oman has requested Japan to prepare plans for a pilot farm
4. REFERENCE NO.		2)				
5. TYPE OF STUDY	M/P	3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED	Construction of a pilot farm Area : 50ha			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries	4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS	Conditions: Detailed study on ground water, selection of personnel and drawing up of operation plan Impact: Accumulation of techniques and experience in desert agriculture			
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	-Acceptance of trainee(1) -OJT -Regular seminars			
8. DATE OF S/W	Dec.1986	10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 9 Period Sep.1987 - 1989 ( months)  Total M/M 58.40 Japan 18.30 Field 40.10			
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Pacific Consultants International Mitsui Mineral Development Engineering Co.,Ltd.	11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Topographical and geological survey			
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 286,182 (¥000) Contracted 240,752	12. EXPENDITURE				
		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS				
		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	(1)			

和名 ネジド地方農業開発計画

(M/P, M/P+(F/S), Basic Study, Other)





## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

MEA TUR 301/89

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT		
1. COUNTRY	Turkey	1. SITE OR AREA	Central Kahraman Maras province (600 sq.km, population 75,000)		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled	
2. NAME OF STUDY	Adatepe Irrigation Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	US\$1=1,220.7TL in 1988				
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	(Description)  This project has been given attention as an important step to develop the economically lagging southern Anatolia region. However, the project is for the time being suspended due to priority of central government with 3 main national programs of (1) structural adjustment (2) development of eastern region, and (3) countermeasures to Ankara air pollution. Properly timed, further effort to promote project is required.		
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 153,270	46,940			
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		2) (US\$1,000)	106,330			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Su Isleri, General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	3)				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	Agricultural development in Adatepe area	Irrigation area: 44,000 ha Dam : Adatepe dam(89.0m height, 651.0m crest length) Main canal : 76km (concrete lined, open canal) Pump station: 8 sites (0.18-3.98cu.m/s discharge)					
8. DATE OF S/W	Jun.1988	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS		
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Chuo Kaihatsu Corporation Naigai Engineering Co.,Ltd.	Feasibility:	15.0%	12.4%			
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 9 Period Sep.1988 - Dec.1989 (16 months)  Total M/M 50.87 Japan 16.27 Field 34.60	Conditions and Development Impacts: New dam and canal construction will secure stable water supply allowing introduction of new cropping pattern. On this basis, yields for with and without Project were calculated. Benefit from river improvement was computed in terms of prevention of saline intrusion and reduction of inundation by flooding. Impacts of the project are as follows: 1.Increased yields 2.Increased farmer income 3.More efficient land use 4.Prevention of saline intrusion and flooding 5.Rectification of difference of development degree among regions 6.Improved standards of living					
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Topo-mapping Test drilling(2 sites)	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	-Training in Japan (3 persons) -OJT -Attendance at International Conference on Irrigation and Drainage in Tokyo			3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)	
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 183,835 (¥000) Contracted 97,211						

和名 アダテペ灌漑開発計画

## PROJECT SUMMARY (M/P)

MEA YEM 101/79

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS	
1. COUNTRY	Yemen	1. SITE OR AREA		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Progress or In Use <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued
2. NAME OF STUDY	Hajjah Province Integrated Rural Development	2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS	US\$1-4.51YR. Total Cost    Local Cost    Foreign Cost 1)                    56,000 2)	(Description)  Yemen Government was said to request M/P of the same kind in neighboring provinces and implementation of each project of this study to Japanese Government, however, they were not realized. Also it is said updating of this M/P was implemented by Arab Fund of Kuwait, but it is not clear.	
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED	1) Simple waterworks: 4 towns and villages 2) Improvement of road network: main road 80km and branch roads 3) Agricultural development: establishment of water observatory network, comprehensive laboratory, and training center of mechanization. 4) Improvement of irrigation: implementation of pilot projects of four districts 5) Improvement of afforestation field 6) Improvement of agricultural social infrastructure: establishment of health and hygiene facilities, and simple medical facilities, improvement of communication and electric power. 7) Others: improvement of organization, training of staffs, etc.		
4. REFERENCE NO.		4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS	Yemen is considered as one of LLDC and MSAC and its GDP per capita is \$220. The effect of these projects is very large to develop those areas which are almost undeveloped and make a living by the income of emigrant laborers in neighboring oil producing countries, and to stabilize social infrastructure.	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
5. TYPE OF STUDY	M/P	5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER	Exchange and transfer of knowledge and technology by living and working with counterparts during the study period.		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Central Planning Organization, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Public Works	10. STUDY TEAM		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)	
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		No. of Members    22 Period                Dec.1978 - Mar.1980 (16 months)  Total M/M            83.20 Japan                57.33 Field                 25.87			
8. DATE OF S/W	Aug. 1978	11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY			
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Agricultural Development Consultants Association	12. EXPENDITURE			
		Total                    256,701 (¥'000) Contracted            177,514			

和名 ハッジヤ州農業総合開発計画

[M/P, M/P+(F/S), Basic Study, Other]

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR CMR 301/86

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Cameroon	1. SITE OR AREA		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY	Baigom Agricultural Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	US\$1=384.5CFA.F Total Cost    Local Cost    Foreign Cost 1)                    40,400            21,960            18,440 (US\$1,000) 2) 3)	(Description)  Official request letter for Baigom Agriculture Development Pilot Project was issued to the Japanese Government as a grant aid in 1985. E/N has not been concluded as of Nov.1990.	
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)			
4. REFERENCE NO.		-Irrigation area : 2,000 ha -Storage dam : Undopdam (filldam, Height 25.5m, Length 155m) Unjadam (filldam, Height 26.0m, Length 260m) -Headwork: 1 nos (Height 1.0m, Length 13.0m) -Main canal : 8.1 km -Main drainage canal : 13.2 km, etc.			
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	Implementation Period: Jan.1987 - Dec.1992			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Agriculture	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR    FIRR 12.1%	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	F/S	Feasibility: Yes  Conditions and Development Impacts: Condition: Difference of agricultural benefit between with and without project. Benefit with project: Increase of agricultural production, supply of food to the major cities, saving of foreign reserves, increase of employment, increase of living standard of farmers and rural economy			
8. DATE OF S/W	Apr. 1985	5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)	
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co., Ltd.				
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 10 Period Jun.1985 - Sep.1986 (16 months)  Total M/M 53.07 Japan 17.40 Field 35.67				
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY					
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 215,783 (¥000) Contracted 215,119				

和名 バイゴム農業開発計画

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR GHA 301/76

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT									
1. COUNTRY	Ghana	1. SITE OR AREA	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled										
2. NAME OF STUDY	Aveyime Sugar Production Project in Accra Plains	The downstream of the Volta river in the north-eastern part of Accra Plain with an area of about 9,400ha											
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	2. PROJECT COSTS	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Total Cost</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Local Cost</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">(US\$1,000)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1) 74,780</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2) 31,260</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3) 43,520</td> </tr> </table>			Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	(US\$1,000)	1) 74,780	2) 31,260	3) 43,520	1. PRESENT STATUS  (Description)  Unknown
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost										
(US\$1,000)	1) 74,780	2) 31,260	3) 43,520										
4. REFERENCE NO.		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	Sugarcane field area: 7,500ha Nos. of Pumpstation : 9 total discharge 1,006.8 cu.m/min. Irrigation canal : Main 68km/secondary & tributary 195km Drainage canal : Main 69km/secondary & tributary 143km Road : Trunk road 60 km Sugar Refinery factory : 11,800 sq.m annual production capacity: 45,000 tons										
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	Implementation Period: for 77 months											
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ghana government	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	BIRR	FIRR									
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	To make sugar production plan and assess its feasibility	15.0%	Feasibility: Yes  Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: Benefit is estimated based on the difference of net benefit between with and without project conditions Impacts: 1. Increased crop production 2. Increased farm income 3. Increased employment opportunity 4. Activation of marketing activity 5. Improvement of living environment, etc.										
8. DATE OF S/W		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER											
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co., Ltd.	10. STUDY TEAM	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS										
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 5 Period Jun.1975 - Jun.1976 (13 months)  Total M/M Japan Field	11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY											
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		12. EXPENDITURE	3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)										
12. EXPENDITURE	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">29,483 (¥000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Contracted</td> <td style="text-align: right;">23,890</td> </tr> </table>	Total			29,483 (¥000)	Contracted	23,890						
Total	29,483 (¥000)												
Contracted	23,890												

和名 アクラ平原アベメ砂糖生産プロジェクト

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR GIN 301/80

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Guinea	1. SITE OR AREA	Milo River shore district in Kankan province, east part of Guinea		1. PRESENT STATUS <input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY	Projet de developpement agricole a Kankan	2. PROJECT COSTS			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 194,701	97,556	97,145
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		(US\$1,000) 2)		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Economy and Finance, Ministry of Agriculture	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	3)		
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		1. Irrigation area : 5,600ha 2. Pump station : 8 places 3. Irrigation canal : main canal 30km, feeder canal 65.4km 4. Drainage canal : main canal 21.1km, feeder canal 56.3km 5. Embankment : 59.6km 6. Main farm road : 54.2km			
8. DATE OF S/W	Sep.1979	Implementation Period:	1981 - 1989		(Description)  Unclear
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Kokusai Kougyo Co., Ltd. (for mapping) Other	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR	
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 10 Period Aug.1979 - Mar.1980 (8 months)  Total M/M 48.70 Japan 24.20 Field 24.50	Feasibility: Yes	12.8%		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		Conditions and Development Impacts: Development Impacts: Increase of agricultural production Reduction of flood damage Improvement of land productivity, etc.			
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 210,067 (¥'000) Contracted 175,901	5. TECHINICAL TRANSFER			3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)

和名 カンカン地区農業開発計画

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR KEN 301/81

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																										
1. COUNTRY	Kenya	1. SITE OR AREA			1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled <input type="checkbox"/> Processing																									
2. NAME OF STUDY	(Grain Silos Construction Project)	Nakuru, Bungoma, Kisumu																													
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	2. PROJECT COSTS			(Description)																										
4. REFERENCE NO.		US\$1=8.9891sh																													
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;"></td> <td style="width: 10%;"></td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Total Cost</td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Local Cost</td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(US\$1,000)</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">48,200</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12,055</td> <td style="text-align: center;">36,145</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>					Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	(US\$1,000)		48,200	12,055	36,145	1)					2)					3)					1984.2.13 OECF L/A (E/S) 391 million Yen (detailed design of 3 silos) 1985.7.18 OECF L/A 5.521 billion Yen 1988.3 construction completed	
		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																											
(US\$1,000)		48,200	12,055	36,145																											
1)																															
2)																															
3)																															
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	National Cereals and Produce Board	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)																													
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Following grain silos will be constructed in Nakuru, Bungoma and Kisumu. <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">storage capacity</td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">drying capacity</td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">shipping capacity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nakuru</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50,000 t</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50 t/h</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50 t/h</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bungoma</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30,000 t</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30 t/h</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30 t/h</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Kisumu</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30,000 t</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30 t/h</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30 t/h</td> </tr> </table>				storage capacity	drying capacity	shipping capacity	Nakuru	50,000 t	50 t/h	50 t/h	Bungoma	30,000 t	30 t/h	30 t/h	Kisumu	30,000 t	30 t/h	30 t/h	1988.3 construction completed										
	storage capacity	drying capacity	shipping capacity																												
Nakuru	50,000 t	50 t/h	50 t/h																												
Bungoma	30,000 t	30 t/h	30 t/h																												
Kisumu	30,000 t	30 t/h	30 t/h																												
8. DATE OF S/W	Aug. 1981	Implementation Period: Jul.1982 - Jun.1985			2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS																										
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants Inc.	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS					3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION																								
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 9 Period Jul.1981 - Oct.1981 (4 months)  <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;">Total M/M</td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;">6.18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Japan</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2.83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Field</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.35</td> </tr> </table>	Total M/M	6.18	Japan	2.83	Field			3.35	EIRR FIRR 16.8%  Feasibility: Yes  Conditions and Development Impacts: There is a lack of storage facilities in production sites of grain (especially corn), therefore supply does not satisfy demand. Building silo in the center of products collection will contribute to the increase and stabilization of grain supply.			(1)																		
Total M/M	6.18																														
Japan	2.83																														
Field	3.35																														
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER																													
12. EXPENDITURE	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;">Total</td> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: right;">23,867 (¥000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contracted</td> <td style="text-align: right;">20,152</td> </tr> </table>	Total	23,867 (¥000)	Contracted	20,152																										
Total	23,867 (¥000)																														
Contracted	20,152																														

和名 穀物貯蔵倉庫建設計画







## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR MLI 302/85

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Mali	1. SITE OR AREA	Right side area of Niger river located 30km east from Bamako, capital of Mali		
2. NAME OF STUDY	Baguineda Agricultural Development Project (Updating Study)	2. PROJECT COSTS			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 36,967	18,339	18,628
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		2)		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Agriculture		3)		
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	F/S	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	Improvement of following facilities is executed in three construction stages: 1. Irrigation Canal : Main canal 41.3km, Secondary canal 54km Tertiary canal 460km 2. Drain Canal : Main drain 13.8km, Secondary canal 54km 3. Main road : 41.3 km 4. Land reclamation : 3,000 ha		
8. DATE OF S/W	Jul. 1985	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS			
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Naigai Engineering Co., Ltd.		13.5%		
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 6 Period Sep. 1985 - Mar. 1986 (7 months)  Total M/M 10.95 Japan 2.93 Field 8.02	Feasibility: Yes	Conditions and Development Impacts: Condition: Benefit was estimated as the difference of agricultural and livestock production between with-project which consists of whole year irrigation and drain improvement and without-project condition. Development Impacts: To increase crop production, To raise farmers' living standard, To promote agro-industry		
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER			
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 44,659 (¥'000) Contracted 42,777		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)		

和名 バギング地区農業開発計画実施補完調査

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)



PROJECT SUMMARY (M/P)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR NER 101/89

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS	
1. COUNTRY	Niger	1. SITE OR AREA	Ouallam prefecture (about 22,000sq.km, population 186,000)			1. PRESENT STATUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Progress or In Use <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued
2. NAME OF STUDY	Rehabilitation of Ouallam Area	2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS	US\$1=120Yen Total Cost    Local Cost    Foreign Cost			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		1) 344,917			(Description)  After the Master plan study was brought to completion, the Basic Design study have been executed from October 1989 to March 1990 for the Project for Rehabilitation of the Ouallam Agricultural Zone.  At present, "The Exchange of Note" of this project was concluded between the government of the Republic of Niger and the government Japan on the 22th of November, 1990 as the grand Aid Project by the government of Japan. Consultant contract was concluded on 27th of November, 1990.
4. REFERENCE NO.			2) 104,260			
5. TYPE OF STUDY	M/P	3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED	Rehabilitation Project of the basic farm land Rehabilitation Project of the basic stockbreeding Development Project of the arid crops Water supply project Tree planting project Road Construction project Reproduction project of the breedings and live-stock transformation Inland Fishery project Fruit tree planting project			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Plan					
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	Master Plan Study					
8. DATE OF S/W	Jan. 1987	4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS	The Ouallam region is situated in the Tillabery department that has 1,281,000 populations. Up to 1960, in this region had a large green land because of a lot of rainfalls. But since 1970, the unnatural climate conditions had continued to the Ouallam region. The agricultural land had been changed to devastated land and the basic vital population has fallen owing to the several dry weather. Considering these natural conditions, the project for the rehabilitation of the Ouallam agricultural Zone should be planned aiming at insuring the vital water supply and preventing the decline of the population.			
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Construction Project Consultants, Inc. Kokusai Kougyo Co., Ltd.					
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 11 Period Mar. 1988 - Jul. 1989 (11 months)  Total M/M 33.90 Japan 5.94 Field 27.96					
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Landsat analyze well exgraving	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	Training of the practical use method for the supplied equipment			
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 198,830 (¥000) Contracted 184,498					
			2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS			
			3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION (1)			

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR NER 302/89

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Niger	1. SITE OR AREA	Dosso and Gaya		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY	Projet d'aménagement hydroagricole de la cuvette d'Ouna-Kouanza	2. PROJECT COSTS	Total Cost	Local Cost		
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	(US\$1,000)	1) 2) 3)			(Description)  The Government of Niger has requested to the embassy of Ivory Coast as the project by Japanese Grant Aid in 1989. The government of Niger requested it as second priority of projects by Japanese aid to realize rapidly. The contents of request are as follows: Project Area                    874 ha Irrigation Area                569 ha Embankment                    7.9 km Pump Station                    2 nos. Irrigation Canal                24 km Drainage Canal                 29 km Power Transmission Line      30 km The amount will be 1.5 billion Yen.
4. REFERENCE NO.		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)				
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	Project Area	3,888 ha			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministere de l'agriculture et de l'Environnement	Irrigation Area	2,905 ha			
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	Agriculture development and social development in the project area	Embankment	42.1 km			
8. DATE OF S/W	Apr. 1987	Pump station	10 locations			
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Japan Engineering Consultants Co., Ltd. Sanyu Consultants Inc.	Irrigation Canal	94.6 km			
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 9 Period Mar. 1988 - Aug. 1989 (17 months)  Total M/M 49.80 Japan 18.80 Field 31.00	Drainage canal				
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	A topographical map produced by Kokusai Kougyo Co., Ltd.	Farm Land Consolidation	2,491 ha			
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 225,316 (¥000) Contracted 180,304	Farm Road				
		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS			EIRR	FIRR
		Feasibility:			7.93%	3.94%
		Conditions and Development Impacts:				
		Conditions: Benefit by double cropping of paddy and a reduction of flood damage				
		Development Impacts: Food increase, Development of land-use, Improvement of agricultural income, Prevention of flood damage				
		5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER			2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
		-Acceptance of Trainee(1) -OJT			The relation between KR Aid and Other Aid The difficulty of an assistance system in French Area Paddy production mainly	
					3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	
					(1)	

和名 ウナ・クワンザ農業水利灌漑計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

**PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)**

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR NGA 301/77

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																		
1. COUNTRY	Nigeria	1. SITE OR AREA	Suburb of Oweri City in Imo State (2,600ha) and Auch in Bendel state (2,850ha)		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled																	
2. NAME OF STUDY	Agricultural Development Projects in Imo and Bendel States	2. PROJECT COSTS	<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total Cost</td> <td>Local Cost</td> <td>Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(US\$1,000)</td> <td>1) 35,771.2</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>2) 36,213.6</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>					Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	(US\$1,000)	1) 35,771.2				2) 36,213.6				3)			
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																				
(US\$1,000)	1) 35,771.2																						
	2) 36,213.6																						
	3)																						
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Oweri Project</td> <td>Auch Project</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Paddy Area Development (ha)</td> <td>2,100</td> <td>2,100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Intake (Nos., capacity)</td> <td>1 nos. 3.0cu.m/sec</td> <td>1 nos. 1.5cu.m/sec</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Irrigation canal length(km)</td> <td>297.4</td> <td>302.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Drainage canal length(km)</td> <td>136</td> <td>136.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rice mill(Unit/Cap.)</td> <td>3 Units 1.5t/ea</td> <td>3 Units 1.5t/ea</td> </tr> </table>			Oweri Project	Auch Project	Paddy Area Development (ha)	2,100	2,100	Intake (Nos., capacity)	1 nos. 3.0cu.m/sec	1 nos. 1.5cu.m/sec	Irrigation canal length(km)	297.4	302.4	Drainage canal length(km)	136	136.8	Rice mill(Unit/Cap.)	3 Units 1.5t/ea	3 Units 1.5t/ea	(Description)  Unknown.
	Oweri Project	Auch Project																					
Paddy Area Development (ha)	2,100	2,100																					
Intake (Nos., capacity)	1 nos. 3.0cu.m/sec	1 nos. 1.5cu.m/sec																					
Irrigation canal length(km)	297.4	302.4																					
Drainage canal length(km)	136	136.8																					
Rice mill(Unit/Cap.)	3 Units 1.5t/ea	3 Units 1.5t/ea																					
4. REFERENCE NO.		Implementation Period:	Oct.1977 - Dec.1982																				
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>BIRR</td> <td>FIRR</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Oweri 12%</td> <td>Auch 7.1%</td> </tr> </table> Feasibility: Yes			BIRR	FIRR		Oweri 12%	Auch 7.1%													
	BIRR	FIRR																					
	Oweri 12%	Auch 7.1%																					
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Agriculture	Conditions and Development Impacts:	Condition: Project benefit is estimated based on the net crop production benefit derived from the difference of net benefit between with and without project conditions. Impacts: 1.Increase of agricultural production 2.Increase of employment opportunities 3.Contribution to the regional economy																				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	Formulation of Agricultural Development Project in Imo and Bendel States	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER																					
8. DATE OF S/W		11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY																					
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co.,Ltd.	12. EXPENDITURE	<table border="0"> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>93,663 (¥000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contracted</td> <td>76,101</td> </tr> </table>		Total	93,663 (¥000)	Contracted	76,101															
Total	93,663 (¥000)																						
Contracted	76,101																						
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 9 Period Nov.1976 - Jun.1977 (8 months)  Total M/M Japan Field	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS																					
		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	(1)																				

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR SEN 301/86

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Senegal	1. SITE OR AREA	On the River Basin of Senegal which is in the northern part of the country. In the suburb of the city Richard-Toll which is 450km far from Dakar.		
2. NAME OF STUDY	Projet de developpement rural de petite envergure et de l'etude experimentale du developpement agricole (Thiago-Guiers)	2. PROJECT COSTS			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 3,380	900	2,480
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		2)		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Plan and Cooperation Ministry of Rural Development	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	3)		
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Agricultural land reclamation-----200ha Facilities for irrigation and drainage ----200ha Construction of a bridge-----1 unit on 800m Rice mill, Public hall, and warehouse-----1 unit each			
8. DATE OF S/W		Implementation Period:	1988 - 1989		
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Taiyo Consultants Co., Ltd. Chuo Kaihatsu Corporation Hokkaido Engineering Consultants Co., Ltd. Japan Engineering Consultants Co., Ltd.	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR	
10. STUDY TEAM		Feasibility:		3.4-11.0%	
	No. of Members 9 Period Jan.1986 - Jan.1987 (12 months)	Conditions and Development Impacts:		Progress The project proposal was submitted to the Japanese Government for consideration of implementation under the Grant Aid Scheme, immediately after completion of F/S. The basic design survey was carried out by JICA in February 1988. As a result, the project was implemented in the two phases as follows: 1988.9.16 Phase I E/N ¥649million 1989.7.3 Phase II E/N ¥408million	
	Total M/M 63.22 Japan 12.60 Field 50.62	Conditions: Since the Manantali Dam and Diama Dam were constructed on the upstream and downstream respectively of the River Senegal, the agriculture on the River Basin does not depend on flooding of the River. All the irrigation water is provided by pumps.			
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Geological survey Analysis of soil samples	Benefit from the project: The proposed project is to develop agriculture in the area of sandy soils which is widely found on the Senegal River Basin. Through implementation of the project, extension of irrigated agriculture, area development and promotion of employment are expected. The project will also provide a model of agriculture in the semi-arid areas.		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 201,161 (¥'000) Contracted 227,661	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	-Acceptance of one trainee on in-service training in Japan.		
			(1)		

和名 小規模農村開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)





## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR TZA 301/80

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Tanzania	1. SITE OR AREA	Moshi Area of Kilimanjaro Region (Investigated Area 42,000ha, population 44,000 as of 1979)		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled <input type="checkbox"/> Processing
2. NAME OF STUDY	Lower-Moshi Agricultural Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	US\$1=8.18T.Shs.			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	(Description)  1. For first priority, Rau Scheme was executed as "Lower Moshi agricultural development project": (Rau river area) Detailed Design 1) Finance : OECF (L/A was concluded on June 1982) 3.3 billion Yen 2) Consultant : Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. 3) Period : July 1982 - March 1983 Construction 1) Finance : OECF 2) Contractor : Kounolkegumi 3) Consultant : Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. 4) Period : July 1984 - April 1987 2. For second priority, Miwareni Scheme was selected and in 1989, Tanzania Government submitted the request letter to Japanese Embassy as Grant Aid Project. E/N has not been concluded yet as of Nov. 1990.	
4. REFERENCE NO.			77,346	31,436		
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		Foreign Cost	45,910	1) Finance : OECF (L/A was concluded on June 1982) 3.3 billion Yen 2) Consultant : Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. 3) Period : July 1982 - March 1983 Construction 1) Finance : OECF 2) Contractor : Kounolkegumi 3) Consultant : Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. 4) Period : July 1984 - April 1987 2. For second priority, Miwareni Scheme was selected and in 1989, Tanzania Government submitted the request letter to Japanese Embassy as Grant Aid Project. E/N has not been concluded yet as of Nov. 1990.	
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Regional Development Directorate, Kilimanjaro	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	F/S		Irrigation Area	Paddy	1) Finance : OECF (L/A was concluded on June 1982) 3.3 billion Yen 2) Consultant : Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. 3) Period : July 1982 - March 1983 Construction 1) Finance : OECF 2) Contractor : Kounolkegumi 3) Consultant : Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. 4) Period : July 1984 - April 1987 2. For second priority, Miwareni Scheme was selected and in 1989, Tanzania Government submitted the request letter to Japanese Embassy as Grant Aid Project. E/N has not been concluded yet as of Nov. 1990.	
8. DATE OF S/W	Dec. 1979	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	2,300 (ha)	2,000 (ha)		
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Pasco International		2,000	900	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 18 Period Dec. 1979 - Oct. 1980 (11 months) Jul. 1979 - Sep. 1980 (15 months) Total M/M 36.33 Japan Field 36.33	Feasibility: Yes	1,000	150		
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: Direct benefit consisting of flood prevention benefit and irrigation benefit which were estimated on the basis of crop production is counted in the evaluation. Direct economic benefit was estimated as the difference of net income from crop production between with-project and without-project conditions. Development Impacts: To increase crop production value, to raise farmer's living standard, To improve transportation network, To increase employment opportunity	1,020	-	3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)	
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 231,639 (¥000) Contracted 209,993	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	6,320	3,050		
		-Training of two counterparts as trainees	3,270			

和名 ローアモシ農業開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR TZA 302/83

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																																											
1. COUNTRY	Tanzania	1. SITE OR AREA	Mkomazi Valley of Kilimanjaro Region (Investigated Area 190,000ha, population 90,000 as of 1982)																																												
2. NAME OF STUDY	Mkomazi Valley Area Irrigation Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	US\$1=12T.Shs																																												
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																																										
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 61,200	23,500	37,700																																										
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Irrigation Area (ha)</th> <th>Dam</th> <th>Diversion weir</th> <th>Irrigation canal (km)</th> <th>Drain canal (km)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Kisiwani</td> <td>360</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>8.7</td> <td>9.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Gonja</td> <td>600</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>20.9</td> <td>17.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ndungu</td> <td>680</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>17.6</td> <td>15.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Kihurio</td> <td>1,670</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>29.7</td> <td>23.1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Igoma</td> <td>750</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>15.8</td> <td>3.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>4,760</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Irrigation Area (ha)	Dam	Diversion weir	Irrigation canal (km)	Drain canal (km)	Kisiwani	360	-	2	8.7	9.4	Gonja	600	-	1	20.9	17.7	Ndungu	680	-	1	17.6	15.4	Kihurio	1,670	1	1	29.7	23.1	Igoma	750	1	1	15.8	3.4	Total	4,760				
	Irrigation Area (ha)	Dam	Diversion weir	Irrigation canal (km)	Drain canal (km)																																										
Kisiwani	360	-	2	8.7	9.4																																										
Gonja	600	-	1	20.9	17.7																																										
Ndungu	680	-	1	17.6	15.4																																										
Kihurio	1,670	1	1	29.7	23.1																																										
Igoma	750	1	1	15.8	3.4																																										
Total	4,760																																														
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Regional Development Directorate, Kilimanjaro	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR																																											
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	F/S		21.6-12.1%																																												
8. DATE OF S/W	Feb.1982	Feasibility: Yes	Conditions and Development Impacts:																																												
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co.,Ltd. Kokusai Kougyo Co.,Ltd. Naigai Engineering Pasco International	<p>Conditions:</p> <p>Agricultural benefit which was estimated as difference of crop production value, flood prevention benefit and benefit of water release for potable water from the Igoma Dam is counted in evaluation.</p> <p>Development Impacts:</p> <p>To increase crop production, To increase employment opportunity, To improve transportation sytem, To improve sanitary condition, To promote migration from densely populated high lands.</p>																																													
10. STUDY TEAM	<p>No. of Members 13</p> <p>Period Jun.1982 - Mar.1983 (10 months)</p> <p>Oct.1982 - Jan.1984 (16 months)</p> <p>Total M/M 74.51</p> <p>Japan 29.58</p> <p>Field 44.93</p>	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER																																													
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		-Training of counterpart -Investigation in cooperation -Reporting																																													
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 346,470 (¥000) Contracted 299,761	3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION																																													
		(1)																																													

1. PRESENT STATUS

Completed or in Progress  
 Promoting  
 Completed  
 Delayed or Suspended  
 Implementing  
 Discontinued or Cancelled  
 Processing

(Description)

Ndungu area project was executed by grant aid(1.8 billion Yen)

(Basic Design)  
 Consultant : Nippon Koei Co.,Ltd.  
 Period : Dec.1986 - Apr.1987

(Detailed Design Supervision)  
 E/S : Stage 1 (Conclusion in Feb.1987, 781 million Yen)  
 Stage 2 (Conclusion in Aug.1988, 944 million Yen)  
 Period : Jan.1988 - Mar.1990  
 Consultant : Nippon Koei Co.,Ltd.  
 Contractor : Kounoikegumi

2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS

3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION

(1)

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

AFR ZIM 301/87

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT											
1. COUNTRY	Zimbabwe	1. SITE OR AREA	Masvingo Province		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled										
2. NAME OF STUDY	Medium Size Dams in Masvingo Province	2. PROJECT COSTS	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Total Cost</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Local Cost</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">(US\$1,000)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1) 20,451</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2) 11,048</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3) 9,403</td> </tr> </table>					Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	(US\$1,000)	1) 20,451	2) 11,048	3) 9,403		
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost													
(US\$1,000)	1) 20,451	2) 11,048	3) 9,403													
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)			(Description)  The project is to be implemented by the Japan's Grant Aid.  Basic Design    May 1989 completed Phase I        Supply of Machines and Equipment Phase II~IV    Construction of two dams in each Phase											
4. REFERENCE NO.		Fill Dam 6 (Dam Height 13-20 m, Storage Capacity 1-6 MCM) Pumping Station (74 l/sec, 151 l/sec) Canal (Concrete Flume L = 800 - 5,600 m) Farm Pond (Q = 1,400 - 8,700 m <sup>3</sup> ) Irrigable Area (A = 50 - 100 ha)														
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	Implementation Period: Jul.1986 - Mar.1987														
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Energy, Water Resources and Development	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS														
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Feasibility:  Conditions and Development Impacts: The study aims to make the water resources development plan in the communal land in Masvingo Province to supply water for irrigation, domestic and animal use. Application of irrigation water will increase the unit yield to 5 times and will ensure double cropping. Accordingly, the production will increase to 10 times.														
8. DATE OF S/W	Feb.1986				2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS											
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Sanyu Consultants Inc. PASCO International Inc. Wakasuzu consultants Co., Ltd. Nippon Giken Inc.															
10. STUDY TEAM	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">No. of Members 11</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period</td> <td>Jul.1986 - Mar.1987 (9 months)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Total M/M 99.20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Japan</td> <td>41.70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Field</td> <td>57.50</td> </tr> </table>	No. of Members 11		Period	Jul.1986 - Mar.1987 (9 months)	Total M/M 99.20		Japan	41.70	Field	57.50	5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER			3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	
No. of Members 11																
Period	Jul.1986 - Mar.1987 (9 months)															
Total M/M 99.20																
Japan	41.70															
Field	57.50															
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Geographical Survey Aerophoto Mapping	Trainee in Japan (1)														
12. EXPENDITURE	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">360,095 (¥000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contracted</td> <td style="text-align: right;">345,035</td> </tr> </table>	Total	360,095 (¥000)	Contracted	345,035				(1)							
Total	360,095 (¥000)															
Contracted	345,035															

和名 マシング州中規模かんがい計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

# PROJECT SUMMARY (M/P)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA ARG 101/88

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS	
1. COUNTRY	Argentina	1. SITE OR AREA	Loret and San Carlos Area located in North Part of Province of Corrientes (Population: 660,000, Area 290,000 ha)		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> In Progress or In Use <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued
2. NAME OF STUDY	Proyecto de desarrollo agricola integrado en el area adyacente a la represa de Yacyreta e la provincia de Corrientes	2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS	by 1986 price			(Description)  This F/S is not yet started due to delayed construction of Yacyreta Dam.
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	
4. REFERENCE NO.		(US\$1,000)	1) 203,981	86,654	117,327	
5. TYPE OF STUDY	M/P		2)			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Government of the Province of Corrientes (Ministry of Agriculture and Animal Husbandry)	3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Drainage Canal:258km, Irrigation Canal:256km, Road:330km, Agricultural Land Reclamation:119,800 ha, Agricultural Facility:6 sets, Agricultural Technics center:1 set, Pump Facility which supplies water by its pressure:6sets				
8. DATE OF S/W	Sep.1986	4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS				
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Japan Agricultural Land Development Agency	Various effects are expected as follows;				
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 21 Period Feb.1987 - Dec.1988 (23 months)  Total M/M 177.0 Japan 75.0 Field 102.0	1. Agricultural production cost will be reduced as a result of converting pump irrigation into gravity irrigation. 2. Available use of machineries and appropriate farming operation scale will improve conditions of farming operation and cropping technics. 3. Distribution conditions such as roads and stock facilities will be improved.			2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Data analysis of LANDSAT Imagery	This study is considered to contribute to strengthening of international competitiveness, and balanced regional development including correction of difference of income through production increase of main crops (rice 260,000 ton, vegetables 30,000 ton, grains 100,000 ton, Citrus fruits 50,000 ton)			3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 479,164 (¥000) Contracted 390,505	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER			(1)	
		Co-operative work to make a report				

和名 ヤシレタダム隣接地域農業総合開発計画

(M/P, M/P+(F/S), Basic Study, Other)

## PROJECT SUMMARY (Basic Study)

Compiled March 1991  
Revised

CSA BOL 501/79

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS			
1. COUNTRY	Bolivia	1. SITE OR AREA	Chapare District and surrounding regions in Cochabamba Province		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> In Progress or In Use <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discontinued		
2. NAME OF STUDY	(Land Use Mapping Project for Chapare Area)	2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS					Total Cost	Local Cost
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	(US\$1,000)	1) 2)	(Description)				
4. REFERENCE NO.		3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED						
5. TYPE OF STUDY	Basic Study	We visited the project site to conduct basic data study necessary drawing a land use map in Chapare District. However a part of it has already completed in governmental sector, therefore we changed the objective of the study to giving technical comment and the evaluation of its results by the advice of Japanese embassy.						
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Department of Farmers, Agriculture and Animal Husbandry							
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY								
8. DATE OF S/W		4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS						
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Agricultural Development Consultants Association, Nippon Koei Co., Ltd., Sanyu Consultants, Inc., Kokusai Kogyo Co., Ltd.	Main contents of the advices, as a result of field investigation and examination of materials, are: 1.To improve road infrastructure 2.To take consideration into improvement of farm land including preventing soil erosion. 3.To establish and manage distribution and process system of farm products 4.To investigate the possibility to produce Kenaf (ambari hemp) coconut palm and sago palm. 5.To put more importance on beef cattle than on milch cows.						
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 9 Period Feb.1980 - Mar.1980 (2 months)  Total M/M 8.43 Japan 3.83 Field 4.60						2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER					3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 46,720 (¥000) Contracted 33,686						(1)	

和名 チャパレー地区土地利用図作成

(M/P, M/P+(F/S), Basic Study, Other)

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA CHL 301 /86

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																														
1. COUNTRY	Chile	1. SITE OR AREA	Mepocho Central River Basin next to the capital Santiago and Lampa and Colina Basins (36,000ha chosen from 61,000ha from the 1st development study)		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled																													
2. NAME OF STUDY		2. PROJECT COSTS																																	
Mapocho River Basin Agricultural Development Project		US\$1=178Ch\$ in Sep. 1985																																	
3. SECTOR		<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Total Cost</td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Local Cost</td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Foreign Cost</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">131,096</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50,213</td> <td style="text-align: center;">80,883</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </table>			Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost			1)	131,096	50,213	80,883			2)						3)													
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																																
1)	131,096	50,213	80,883																																
2)																																			
3)																																			
Agriculture/ General		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)		(Description)  Situation Due to the country's agricultural administration, the project has been suspended.																															
4. REFERENCE NO.		Irrigation area : 17,340 ha																																	
5. TYPE OF STUDY		Check dam : Height 28m, Length 48m, Capacity 13,000 cu.m																																	
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY		Headworks : Height 1.5m, Length 200m																																	
Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Public Works (Directorate general of water)		Syphon : Width 2.3m, Height 2.3m, Length 240m, 10.3 cu.m/s																																	
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Water treatment stations : 5																																	
		River improvement : 40.7 km																																	
		San Carlos : 17 km																																	
		Improvement of waterway																																	
		Implementation Period: Jan.1987 - Dec.1991																																	
8. DATE OF S/W		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS		EIRR	FIRR																														
Oct.1984				15.1%	12.0%																														
9. CONSULTANT(S)		Feasibility: Yes																																	
Pacific Consultants International Chuo Kaihatsu Corporation Naigai Engineering Co., Ltd.		Conditions and Development Impacts:		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS																															
10. STUDY TEAM		Conditions: To increase cultivation area, introduce multiple cropping, and introduce profit yielding crops for export Development Impacts: The visible effects of the project may be seen in the increase in crop yield, improvement in farm roads and bridges and flood prevention measures. The following social/economic effects may also be expected: development of agriculture in suburban areas, a balanced agricultural policy, improvement of international payments, increase in job opportunities, water improvement, flood prevention, improvement in regional differences, improved living standards and economic stimulus.																																	
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;">No. of Members</td> <td style="width: 15%;">14</td> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period</td> <td>Dec.1984 - Jul.1986 (20 months)</td> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total M/M</td> <td>98.85</td> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Japan</td> <td>35.63</td> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Field</td> <td>63.22</td> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </table>		No. of Members	14					Period	Dec.1984 - Jul.1986 (20 months)					Total M/M	98.85					Japan	35.63					Field	63.22					5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	
No. of Members	14																																		
Period	Dec.1984 - Jul.1986 (20 months)																																		
Total M/M	98.85																																		
Japan	35.63																																		
Field	63.22																																		
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY																																			
12. EXPENDITURE		1. Acceptance of trainees (5)		(1)																															
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Total</td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">312,239 (¥000)</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Contracted</td> <td style="text-align: center;">287,322</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </table>			Total			312,239 (¥000)				Contracted	287,322			2. Seminars to be conducted regularly																					
	Total	312,239 (¥000)																																	
	Contracted	287,322																																	

和名 マポーチョ川流域農業開発計画

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																																										
1. COUNTRY	Chile	1. SITE OR AREA	Between Copiapo and Vallenar City in Atacama Region with an area of about 33,000ha			1. PRESENT STATUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled																																									
2. NAME OF STUDY	Proyecto de desarrollo agricola mediante aprovechamiento de aguas subterraneas en Tololo Pampa en la region de Atacama	2. PROJECT COSTS	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																																										
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		1) (US\$1,000)	2)	3)	(Description)  Development will be made by Chilean domestic fund. Design and construction are in process by their own fund.																																									
4. REFERENCE NO.		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2"></th> <th colspan="5">Cropping Pattern</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Kiwi Grape</th> <th>Peach</th> <th>Kiwi/Tuna</th> <th>Grape/Tuna</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Development Area (ha)</td> <td>76.8</td> <td>85.8</td> <td>76.8</td> <td>64.0/171</td> <td>71.5/191</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Nos. of wells</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>5/1</td> <td>5/1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Irrigation Method</td> <td>Drip</td> <td>Drip</td> <td>Drip</td> <td>Drip</td> <td>Drip</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Drainage length(m)</td> <td>1,920</td> <td>2,010</td> <td>1,920</td> <td>1,920/</td> <td>2,010/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Road Const./ Improvement (km)</td> <td>57.2</td> <td>60.9</td> <td>57.2</td> <td>83.4</td> <td>86.5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Cropping Pattern					Kiwi Grape	Peach	Kiwi/Tuna	Grape/Tuna		Development Area (ha)	76.8	85.8	76.8	64.0/171	71.5/191	Nos. of wells	6	6	6	5/1	5/1	Irrigation Method	Drip	Drip	Drip	Drip	Drip	Drainage length(m)	1,920	2,010	1,920	1,920/	2,010/	Road Const./ Improvement (km)	57.2	60.9	57.2	83.4	86.5
	Cropping Pattern																																														
	Kiwi Grape	Peach	Kiwi/Tuna	Grape/Tuna																																											
Development Area (ha)	76.8	85.8	76.8	64.0/171	71.5/191																																										
Nos. of wells	6	6	6	5/1	5/1																																										
Irrigation Method	Drip	Drip	Drip	Drip	Drip																																										
Drainage length(m)	1,920	2,010	1,920	1,920/	2,010/																																										
Road Const./ Improvement (km)	57.2	60.9	57.2	83.4	86.5																																										
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	Note: Total cost above ranges from 1,261 - 2,184 depending on the cropping.																																													
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	The Government of Atacama Region	Implementation Period:	13 months																																												
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	To study the land and water resources and to make an agricultural development plan	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR																																											
8. DATE OF S/W	May. 1986		17.6-32.0%	14.6-27.0%																																											
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Kokusai Kougyo Co., Ltd. Taiyo Consultants Co., Ltd.	Feasibility:	Yes																																												
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 8 Period Feb. 1987 - Sep. 1988 (20 months)  Total M/M 62.25 Japan 16.00 Field 46.25	Conditions and Development Impacts:	Conditions: 5 cropping patterns were studied. Benefit was estimated in each pattern by subtracting net benefit in without project condition from that in with project condition Impacts: 1. Contribute to correcting present mono-cultural economic activity 2. Create employment opportunity																																												
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Goundwater Survey	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER																																													
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 259,364 (¥'000) Contracted 266,858																																														
		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS																																													
		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	(1)																																												

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

CSA COL 301/84

 Compiled March 1990  
 Revised March 1991

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Colombia	1. SITE OR AREA	Norte de santander, 40km north of Cucuta, Pamplanita River Basin 13,500ha , 400,000 people		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled <input type="checkbox"/> Processing
2. NAME OF STUDY	Pamplanita River Basin Agricultural Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	US\$1=800C1\$ in 1984			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	(Description)  A part of the projects in neighboring Suria area (downstream only) has been completed, through a loan from the American States Development Bank. The upstream area has been suspended.	
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 38,731	22,336		
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		Foreign Cost	16,395	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS	
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Instituto Colombiano de hidrologia, Meteorologia Y adecuacion de tierras(HIMAT)	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	(US\$1,000)	3)		
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY			Drainage Improvement area: 1,740 ha Irrigation area: 4,300 ha Canal : 6,400 m Arterial Channel : 26,700 m Secondary and tertiary arterial drainage : 253,000 m Construction of farm roads : 14.5 km		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)	
8. DATE OF S/W	Feb.1983	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR		
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Pacific Consultants International Hokkaido Engineering Consultants Co.,Ltd.		13.4%		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER 1.Training of counterpart (2) 2.OJT	
10. STUDY TEAM		Feasibility: Yes	Conditions and Development Impacts: Development plans were drawn up for 1-3 levels, estimating respectively the difference in yield "with" and "without" project conditions. Development Impacts: Improving drainage, increase in yield through irrigation plan, improving land use, decrease of damages due to floods, increase in agricultural income and employment, stabilization of the people's livelihood.			
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Geological Survey, water level observation station	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER			12. EXPENDITURE Total 198,322 (¥000) Contracted 167,796	
12. EXPENDITURE						



# PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA COL 302/86

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS					III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Colombia	1. SITE OR AREA					1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled <input type="checkbox"/> Processing
2. NAME OF STUDY		Andes region among the Oriental Mountain Range						
Small Scale Irrigation Package Project in Slope Area		2. PROJECT COSTS		US\$1 = 193.76 Peso in 1986				
				Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost		
3. SECTOR				960				
Agriculture/ General								
4. REFERENCE NO.		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)						
5. TYPE OF STUDY		Proposed Components in 4 areas						
F/S		Sub-area						
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY		SanPedro	Santa	Caqueza	Tibacuy	Total		
Instituto Colombiano de hidrologia meteorologia y adecuacion de tierras		de Iguaque	Sofia					
		Irrigation area (ha)	162	239	417	258	1,076	
		Pond (site)	2	-	4	-	6	
		Intake facilities (site)	3	4	5	4	16	
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Main irrigation canal (km)	11	13	8	5	37	
Agricultural development		Implementation Period: 6 - 7 months						
8. DATE OF S/W		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS		EIRR	FIRR	(Description)  In the sloping area of the Andes region, small scale farm land is scattered and total area of those farm land is estimated around 60,000ha. Agricultural development of these area is being carried out by the government with the three stages. F/S study executed by JICA is the model plan to proceed the agricultural development in the sloping area. Santa Sofia area project which F/S study has been completed by JICA is implemented as one of the stage 1 project. In addition, Stage 1 and 2 programs are completed and/or being implemented with the loan assistance of IBRD. To implement the Stage 3 program, Colombia government is requested verbally the loan assistance to the Japanese government. Following is the transitional status of the project after completion of the F/S study. 1988 Completion of Santa Sofia area project 1989 Mar. Completion of the Stage 1 program 1989 Jan. Commencement of the Stage 2 program (completion will be 1992) 1993 Stage 3 program will be commenced		
Jun. 1985		Feasibility: Yes		24.0%				
9. CONSULTANT(S)		Conditions and Development Impacts:						
Naigai Engineering Co., Ltd. Pacific Consultants International Nippon Koei Co., Ltd.		Direct benefit						
		Sub Area	SanPedro	Santa	Caqueza	Tibacuy	Total	
10. STUDY TEAM		de Iguaque	Sofia					
No. of Members 9		Improvement	87	341	412	198	1,037	
Period Jan. 1986 - Mar. 1987 (15 months)		Benefit (1,000US\$/year)						
Total M/M 52.93		Indirect benefit:						
Japan 21.64		Acceleration of the farm land development in the sloping area of the Andes region						
Field 31.29								
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER						
12. EXPENDITURE		1. Acceptance of 2 trainees						
		2. OJT						
Total 162,436 (¥000)		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION						
Contracted 145,629		(1)						
		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS						
		60% of the farmers in the nation is the small scale farmers who carry out their agricultural activities in mid-slope of mountainous areas. To promote the eradication of poverty, relief of these small scale farmers and elevation of agricultural productivity are the most urgent policy of the nation.						

和名 傾斜地小規模かんがい計画



## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA COL 303/89

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Colombia	1. SITE OR AREA	Meta, Ariari upper river basin (150km southeast of the capital Bogota) study area 41,000ha	1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY		2. PROJECT COSTS		(Description)	
ARIARI River Basin Integrated Agricultural Development Project		US\$1=332.6Col\$ in 1988 Total Cost      Local Cost      Foreign Cost 1)                      55,500                      24,151                      31,349 (US\$1,000)      2)                      3)			
3. SECTOR		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)		(Description)  Awaiting approval by Colombia. It has been suspended on the Japanese side due to its relation to other projects	
Agriculture/ General		Irrigation area: 23,815 ha Headworks : 1 (Height 3m, Length 187m, Movable portion Width 10m X 2) Arterial drainage : 95 km      Overflow : 5 km Tributary : 113 km      Road : 235 km			
4. REFERENCE NO.		Implementation Period: 1990 - 1996			
5. TYPE OF STUDY		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS			
F/S		BIRR                      FIRR 11.3-20.5%                      16.0-30.7%			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY		Feasibility:			
Instituto Colombiano de hidrologia, meteorologia y adecuacion de tierras (HIMAT)		Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: Increase in productivity of crops especially rice, improvement of soil and production management leading to an increase in livestock. Alternative landuse (rice/farm/ livestock) is assumed, and benefit is estimated as the difference in profits between with and without project conditions. The affects of road construction (improvement) will be evaluated as the improvement of agricultural products, and the effects of shortening labor hours will be evaluated as the effective use of the remaining (surplus) hours. Impacts: Increase in crop yield, improved landuse, increased agricultural income, stable social life, irrigation of neighboring areas, spreading of agriculture.			
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER			
		1. Acceptance of trainees (2) 2. OJT			
8. DATE OF S/W					
Feb. 1988					
9. CONSULTANT(S)				3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	
Pacific Consultants International Naigai Engineering Co., Ltd.				(1)	
10. STUDY TEAM					
No. of Members 10 Period Aug. 1988 - Nov. 1989 (16 months)  Total M/M 51.90 Japan 19.60 Field 32.30					
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY					
Topographical survey Soil analysis Geological survey					
12. EXPENDITURE					
Total 190,452 (¥000) Contracted 177,515					

和名 アリアリ川農業総合開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

PROJECT SUMMARY (M/P + F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA CRI 201A /88

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS	
1. COUNTRY	Costa Rica	1. SITE OR AREA	Limon area located in eastern coastal zone of the Atlantic			1. PRESENT STATUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Progress or In Use <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued
2. NAME OF STUDY	Limon Integrated Agricultural Development Project	2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS	by 1987 price Total Cost    Local Cost    Foreign Cost			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		(US\$1,000)	1) 89,309	27,321	(Description)  B block (object area of 19,500ha) is selected as the priority project area based on the M/P study. F/S study for the B block has been carried out from January to October in 1988.
4. REFERENCE NO.		3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED		2) 61,988		
5. TYPE OF STUDY	M/P+(F/S)	Object areas are divided into four(4) blocks, namely A to D. Out of the object area, 44,240ha is selected as the beneficial area and implementation program for each block including the project components listed below is proposed for the target year 2,000.				
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Servicio Nacional de Aguas Subterranas, Riego y Avenamiento	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Improvement of drainage network</li> <li>- Heightening of lebee</li> <li>- Improvement of road network</li> <li>- Improvement of settlement land</li> </ul>				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	Agricultural and rural development	4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS				
8. DATE OF S/W	Aug. 1986	With the implementation of the project, increase of agricultural production, income level and employment opportunity can be expected. Simultaneously, activation of rural economy and acceleration of development in coastal area of the Atlantic around 250 million ha where natural conditions are similar to the projected area are also expected.				
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Naigal Engineering Co., Ltd. Pacific Consultants International Sanyu Consultants Inc.	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER				
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 11 Period Feb.1987 - Oct.1988 (21 months)  Total M/M 67.99 Japan 23.35 Field 44.64	1. Training of counterparts (2 persons ) in Japan 2. Furnishing of the equipment and guidance of its use 3. OJT				
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Survey for river course	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS				
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 269,718 (¥000) Contracted 208,710	3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)				

**PROJECT SUMMARY (M/P + F/S)**

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA CRI 201B/88

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS		III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Costa Rica	1. SITE OR AREA	Limon area located in eastern coastal zone of the Atlantic		
2. NAME OF STUDY	Limon Integrated Agricultural Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	by 1987 price		
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 53,915	11,203	42,712
5. TYPE OF STUDY	(M/P)+F/S		2)		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Servicio Nacional de Aguas Subterranas, Riego y Avenamiento (SENARA)	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	3)		
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	Agricultural and rural development		Development object area : 11,150 ha Drain (main and tributaries) : 58 km/67 km River dike : 56 km Road (construction/ improvement) : 72 km/66km Land improvement Agricultural processing facilities: 6 places Agricultural machinery center : 2 places		
8. DATE OF S/W	Aug. 1986	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR	
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Naigai Engineering Co., Ltd. Pacific Consultants International Sanyu Consultants Inc.		23.0%		
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 11 Period Feb.1987 - Oct.1988 (21 months)  Total M/M 67.99 Japan 23.35 Field 44.64	Feasibility: Yes	Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: 63% of the project benefits is borne by banana production and entire part of the products will be exported to the USA. Impacts: Increase of agricultural production (97,000 ton) Increase of employment opportunity(240 person/year) Increase of agricultural income (US\$2,600-2,900/year)		
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		5. TECHINICAL TRANSFER	- Training of counterparts in Japan - Furnishing of the equipment and guidance of its use - OJT		
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 269,718 (¥000) Contracted 208,710				
		1. PRESENT STATUS		<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled <input type="checkbox"/> Processing	
		(Description)		After completion of the F/S study, SENARA which is the counterpart agency requested the loan assistance to the Japanese government through MIDEPLAN to implement the project (Feb.1990) E/N has not been concluded yet as of Nov. 1990.	
		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS		The object area located in coastal zone of the Atlantic is left behind the agricultural development though suitable area for agricultural development still remains in and around the object area. Qualitative improvement and quantitative enlargement of the agricultural production are the urgent subjects in the nation, accordingly, implementation of the project is strongly anticipated.	
		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION		(1)	



PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA DOM 302/86

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Dominican Republic	1. SITE OR AREA	Maria, Trinidad Sanchez, Duarte, Samana, Aguacate, Guayabo (200km from capital, 17,000 people, 24,000ha)			1. PRESENT STATUS  <input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY	Aguacate-Guayabo Agricultural Development Project	2. PROJECT COSTS	US\$1=3.12RD\$ in 1986 Total Cost      Local Cost      Foreign Cost 1)                      42,839              20,648              22,191 (US\$1,000)      2)                      3)			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	Arterial drainage : 56km Training wall : 1 Drainage gate : 1 Drainage : 44 km Road : 180 km			(Description)  This project is part of the AGLIPO 3 Areas Agricultural Development Plan. F/S has been conducted following the Elposo area ('80/'82 F/S, '85/'90 completed). The project was to be started following Elposo, however due to the delay in paying interest on Yen credit, further loans will not be made until consultation with the IMF or the Paris Club is completed. The Dominican government realizes the effectiveness of the Elposo project and has ranked this as the most important project.
4. REFERENCE NO.		Implementation Period:	Jun.1986 - Dec.1992			
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Dominican Agrarian Institute National Institute of Hydraulic Resources	Feasibility: Yes	13.5%			
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Conditions and Development Impacts:	Condition: By improving drainage and obtaining irrigation water from the Yuna River, rice yield will be increased through double cropping. Impact: Increase in rice production, self-sufficiency, improved land use (development of swampy areas), increase in agricultural income and employment, social stabilization.			2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS
8. DATE OF S/W	Nov.1984	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	1.Acceptance of trainees(2) 2.On the job training			Due to financial difficulties of the Dominican government
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Pacific Consultants International Naigal Engineering Co.,Ltd. Sanyu Consultants Inc.	12. EXPENDITURE	Total              206,852 (¥000) Contracted      175,677			
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 11 Period Jun.1985 - Aug.1986 (15 months)  Total M/M              56.12 Japan                      20.52 Field                      35.60	11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY	Geological survey			3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION
						(1)

和名 アグアカテ・グアジャボ地域農業開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA ECU 301/82

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS				III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																															
1. COUNTRY	Ecuador	1. SITE OR AREA		Catarama of Los. Rios Province (19,860ha, Population 7,880 persons)		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Processing <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled																														
2. NAME OF STUDY		2. PROJECT COSTS						(Description)  OECF L/A was concluded on Feb. 12, 1988 for 8.594 billion Yen. Detailed Design was started from August 1990 and is on-going now. Sibimbe and Catarama areas, 5,800 ha, have been selected as project areas.																													
Proyecto Catarama de desarrollo agricola		Total Cost      Local Cost      Foreign Cost 1) _____ 2) _____ 3) _____ (US\$1,000)		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)																																	
3. SECTOR		Agriculture/ General		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Sibimbe Scheme</th> <th>Catarama Scheme</th> <th>Los Piedras Scheme</th> <th>Northwestern Drainage Scheme</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Irrigation area (ha)</td> <td>3,470</td> <td>2,330</td> <td>290</td> <td>1,950</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Diversion weir (place)</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pumping station (place)</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Main/branch canal (km)</td> <td>18/27</td> <td>3/24</td> <td>6/-</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Main/branch drain (km)</td> <td>17/34</td> <td>-/25</td> <td>-</td> <td>47/-</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Sibimbe Scheme	Catarama Scheme	Los Piedras Scheme	Northwestern Drainage Scheme	Irrigation area (ha)	3,470	2,330	290	1,950	Diversion weir (place)	1	-	1	-	Pumping station (place)	-	1	-	-	Main/branch canal (km)	18/27	3/24	6/-	-	Main/branch drain (km)	17/34	-/25	-	47/-		
	Sibimbe Scheme	Catarama Scheme	Los Piedras Scheme	Northwestern Drainage Scheme																																	
Irrigation area (ha)	3,470	2,330	290	1,950																																	
Diversion weir (place)	1	-	1	-																																	
Pumping station (place)	-	1	-	-																																	
Main/branch canal (km)	18/27	3/24	6/-	-																																	
Main/branch drain (km)	17/34	-/25	-	47/-																																	
4. REFERENCE NO.				Implementation Period:      May.1982 - Nov.1988																																	
5. TYPE OF STUDY		F/S				4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS																															
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY		Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Guayas River Basin Development Study Committee (CEDEGE)		EIRR      FIRR 12.3-16.1%  Feasibility: Yes  Conditions and Development Impacts: Condition: Benefit was estimated on the basis of area planned for each crop and its net return per hectare. Development Impacts: To stabilize the agricultural production To increase income of farmers To increase the opportunity of employment																																	
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		F/S				5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER																															
8. DATE OF S/W		Nov.1980				2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS																															
9. CONSULTANT(S)		Nippon Koei Co.,Ltd. Kyowa Engineering Consultants Co.,Ltd. Crown Engineering																																			
10. STUDY TEAM		No. of Members      10 Period      Sep.1981 - Jul.1982 (11 months)  Total M/M      46.59 Japan      26.56 Field      20.03																																			
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY						3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION																															
12. EXPENDITURE		Total      195,482 (¥000) Contracted      171,422				(1)																															

和名 コスタ地区カタラマ川流域農業開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)



## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

CSA GTM 301/88

 Compiled March 1990  
 Revised March 1991

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																	
1. COUNTRY	Guatemala	1. SITE OR AREA	Jalapa, Monjas (Area 7,100ha, population 14,130, 150km from capital)		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled																
2. NAME OF STUDY Monjas Irrigation Project		2. PROJECT COSTS		US\$1=2.5Q in Oct. 1987																		
3. SECTOR Agriculture/ General				<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;"></td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">Total Cost</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">Local Cost</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">46,850</td> <td style="text-align: center;">18,464</td> <td style="text-align: center;">28,386</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	1)	46,850	18,464	28,386	2)				3)				(Description)  Situation: As the project is of high priority, the country is hoping to start it quite soon. As the country's financial situation, however, is not good, Yen credit will be difficult. They are planning to request for grant-aid.	
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																			
1)	46,850	18,464	28,386																			
2)																						
3)																						
4. REFERENCE NO.		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)																				
5. TYPE OF STUDY F/S		Irrigation area : 4,800 ha Reservoir :Main dam: Height 49m Length 1,072m, capacity 2.63MCM Sub dam : Height 31m Head work : Water Intake 4.3cu.m/s Head race : 9.5km Regulating pond : 3 units																				
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Ministry of Agriculture, Cattle and Food Resources		Implementation Period:																				
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS																				
8. DATE OF S/W Feb.1987		<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;"></td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">EIRR</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">FIRR</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">18.5%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.2%</td> </tr> </table>			EIRR	FIRR		18.5%	6.2%													
	EIRR	FIRR																				
	18.5%	6.2%																				
9. CONSULTANT(S) Pacific Consultants International Sanyu Consultants Inc.		Feasibility: Yes																				
10. STUDY TEAM		Conditions and Development Impacts:																				
No. of Members 11 Period Jul.1987 - Jul.1988 (13 months)  <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Total M/M</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">61.01</td> <td style="width: 33%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Japan</td> <td style="text-align: center;">21.50</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Field</td> <td style="text-align: center;">39.51</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Total M/M	61.01		Japan	21.50		Field	39.51		Conditions: Pasture land will be transformed to farms with irrigation facilities and mostly vegetables will be grown. The cultivated area will be doubled to 11,250ha and the increase in crop will be 234%. Impact: The visible benefit of this project is the increase in agricultural production. The annual profits will be 20,000,000Q when the planned output is realized. The following social economic effects may also be expected: Contribution to national development plan, acquisition of foreign currency, stable supply of food, increase in employment opportunities, improved living standards, improved distribution and processing of agricultural products, correcting regional differences, tourism and economic stimulus.											
Total M/M	61.01																					
Japan	21.50																					
Field	39.51																					
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY Survey, geological survey, sample analysis, Installation of hydrography, testing of embankment material		5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER																				
12. EXPENDITURE		1.Acceptance of trainees(2) 2.Instruction on geological soil and farm studies. Input of numerical data using computers.																				
		<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Total</td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center;">201,929 (¥000)</td> <td style="width: 33%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contracted</td> <td style="text-align: center;">171,719</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		Total	201,929 (¥000)		Contracted	171,719		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS  The priority rank of the project has risen to No.2												
Total	201,929 (¥000)																					
Contracted	171,719																					
				3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)																		

和名 モンハスカんがい計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)



## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA HND 302/84

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Honduras	1. SITE OR AREA	CHOLUTECA plain, southern part of Honduras (Investigated Area 36,000ha, population 22,600person)			1. PRESENT STATUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Processing <input type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY	Choluteca River Basin Agricultural Development Project (Updating Study)	2. PROJECT COSTS				
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	(Description)  Detailed Design was completed by OECF loan L/A : August 2nd, 1985, 1.651 billion yen Period : Dec. 1985 - May 1988 Consultant : Nippon Koei Co., Ltd.
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 184,810	53,031	131,779	
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		2)			
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Ministry of Natural Resources	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	3)			
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	F/S	1. San Fernando Dam : concrete gravity dam, Height of dam 100m crest length 320m	Implementation Period: Mar. 1985 - Apr. 1991			
8. DATE OF S/W	Jun. 1984	2. Irrigation Area : 20,600 ha (Stage 1 16,000ha, Stage 2 4,600ha)				
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co., Ltd.	3. Irrigation Facilities : Intake weir 1 place (concrete type, weir height 4.8m, crest length 140m)	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS EIRR                      FIRR 14.2%                      13.1%  Feasibility: Yes			
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 15 Period Aug. 1984 - Mar. 1985 (8 months)  Total M/M 14.8 Japan 8.6 Field 6.2	Main Canal 30.6km Branch Canal 75.5km Main Drain 113.0km	Conditions and Development Impacts: Condition: Economic benefit consists of agricultural benefit and electric power benefit. Agricultural benefit was estimated as the difference of net income from crop production between with-project and without-project condition. Electric power benefit was counted as the average generating capacity in dry season. Development Impacts: To increase crop production To promote village electrification To reduce flood damage			
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY						
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 51,163 (¥'000) Contracted 44,855	5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER	2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS			
			3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION  (1)			

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA HND 303 /85

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT																										
1. COUNTRY	Honduras	1. SITE OR AREA	Yoco, Aguan Central Valley (Saba-Oranchito) 188,000 people, 200km from capital, 23,000ha		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled																									
2. NAME OF STUDY		2. PROJECT COSTS																													
Aguan Valley Agricultural Development Project (Saba-Olanchito Area)		US\$1=2Lps. in 1984			(Description)  Situation: After completion of F/S, the economic situation worsened, foreign debts accumulated, the previous project (general development plan of the Chorteca river basin) was suspended at the D/D stage, and there has been no progress in this plan, either.																										
3. SECTOR		<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Total Cost</td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Local Cost</td> <td style="width: 15%; text-align: center;">Foreign Cost</td> <td style="width: 15%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(US\$1,000)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">64,425</td> <td style="text-align: center;">22,733</td> <td style="text-align: center;">41,692</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>						Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost		(US\$1,000)	64,425	22,733	41,692		1)					2)					3)				
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost																												
(US\$1,000)	64,425	22,733	41,692																												
1)																															
2)																															
3)																															
Agriculture/ General		3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)																													
4. REFERENCE NO.		Irrigation area: 9,100ha Headworks : 4 Syphon : 2 Pumping Station : 3 Arterial drainage : 73,650 m																													
5. TYPE OF STUDY		Implementation Period:																													
F/S		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS																													
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY		EIRR                  FIRR																													
National Agrarian Institute		13.0%																													
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY		Feasibility: Yes																													
8. DATE OF S/W		Conditions and Development Impacts:																													
Nov. 1983		80% of the study area in the 23,000ha central valley of the Aguan is arable, however the actual amount of presently arable land is 20%.																													
9. CONSULTANT(S)		The rest is pasture or unused land.																													
Pacific Consultants International Crown Engineering Co., Ltd. Aero Asahi Corp.		Water will be obtained from the Aguan and its tributary and distributed naturally downstream (or partly by small pump) for the cultivation of oranges, cocoa, rice, and vegetables.																													
10. STUDY TEAM		By increasing settlements in this poorly populated area, rather than the heavily populated southern area, land will be put to more effective use.																													
No. of Members 19		5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER																													
Period Feb. 1984 - Jun. 1985 (17 months)																															
Total M/M 76.30																															
Japan 21.48																															
Field 54.82		1. Acceptance of trainees 2. Provision of machinery (boring machine) and instruction on its use. 3. Cooperation in field studies and reports																													
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION																													
Geological Survey																															
12. EXPENDITURE		(1)																													
Total 271,812 (¥'000)																															
Contracted 241,257																															

和名 アグアン川流域農業開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)

## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA JAM 301/85

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT									
1. COUNTRY	Jamaica	1. SITE OR AREA	Black River Lower Morass Area (situated in the western part of Jamaica near the southern coast in the Parish of St. Elizabeth)		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled								
2. NAME OF STUDY	Agricultural Development Project on the Black River Lower Morass	2. PROJECT COSTS	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Total Cost</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Local Cost</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Foreign Cost</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">(US\$1,000)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1) 71,620</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2) 24,310</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3) 47,310</td> </tr> </table>					Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost	(US\$1,000)	1) 71,620	2) 24,310	3) 47,310
	Total Cost	Local Cost	Foreign Cost											
(US\$1,000)	1) 71,620	2) 24,310	3) 47,310											
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	1. Irrigation Area : 3,080 ha 2. Diversion Weir : 1 place 3. Pump for Irrigation : diameter 700 X 14 nos. 4. Pump for Drain : diameter 800 X 15 nos. 5. Irrigation Canal : Main canal 17.2km, Secondary canal 31.6km 6. Drainage canal : Main canal 41.2km 7. Road : Main road 34.5km		(Description)  Unknown									
4. REFERENCE NO.		Implementation Period:												
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S	4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR										
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Planning Institute of Jamaica	13.3%												
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	F/S	Feasibility: Yes												
8. DATE OF S/W	Dec. 1983	Conditions and Development Impacts:												
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Taiheiyou Consultants Taiyo Consultants Co., Ltd.	Condition: Agricultural benefit was estimated as the difference of net crop production between with-project and without-project condition												
10. STUDY TEAM	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>No. of Members</td> <td style="text-align: right;">10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Feb. 1984 - Jun. 1985 (17 months)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total M/M</td> <td style="text-align: right;">11.14</td> </tr> <tr> <td>    Japan</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1.55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>    Field</td> <td style="text-align: right;">9.59</td> </tr> </table>	No. of Members	10	Period		Feb. 1984 - Jun. 1985 (17 months)	Total M/M	11.14	Japan	1.55	Field	9.59	Development Impacts: To increase agricultural production, To raise inhabitants' living standard	
No. of Members	10													
Period	Feb. 1984 - Jun. 1985 (17 months)													
Total M/M	11.14													
Japan	1.55													
Field	9.59													
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER												
12. EXPENDITURE	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">236,696 (¥'000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Contracted</td> <td style="text-align: right;">217,840</td> </tr> </table>	Total	236,696 (¥'000)	Contracted	217,840									
Total	236,696 (¥'000)													
Contracted	217,840													
					2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS									
					3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION									
					(1)									

和名 ブラックリバーローアモラス農業開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)



## PROJECT SUMMARY (F/S)

Compiled March 1990  
Revised March 1991

CSA PRY 301 /81

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY		II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS			III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT	
1. COUNTRY	Paraguay	1. SITE OR AREA	Northwest of the Lake Ypoa		1. PRESENT STATUS	<input type="checkbox"/> Completed or in Progress <input type="checkbox"/> Promoting <input type="checkbox"/> Completed <input type="checkbox"/> Implementing <input type="checkbox"/> Processing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delayed or Suspended <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinued or Cancelled
2. NAME OF STUDY	Proyecto de desarrollo agricola en la zona noroeste del lago Ypoa	2. PROJECT COSTS	by 1981 price			
3. SECTOR	Agriculture/ General		Total Cost	Local Cost	(Description)  After completion of the F/S study, local currency portion for the project implementation could not be ensured by the government due to aggravating economic conditions in Paraguay. Implementation of the project is now suspended. (confirmation in 1989 at the counterpart Agency in Paraguay)	
4. REFERENCE NO.			1) 70,633	33,222		
5. TYPE OF STUDY	F/S		2) (US\$1,000)	37,411		
6. COUNTERPART AGENCY	Instituto de bienestar rural	3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S)	3)			
7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	Agricultural and rural development	Proposed components (40,000ha)				
8. DATE OF S/W	Mar.1980	-Polder : 35km				
9. CONSULTANT(S)	Naigai Engineering Co.,Ltd. Kokusai Kougyo Co.,Ltd. Toyo Kouku Kougyo	-Drainage canal Main/Sub: 154/258km				
10. STUDY TEAM	No. of Members 16 Period Nov.1980 - Mar.1982 (17 months)  Total M/M 66.45 Japan 37.80 Field 28.65	-Road Main/Sub : 84/288km				
11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY		-Irrigation facilities : 2,000ha				
12. EXPENDITURE	Total 347,604 (¥000) Contracted 315,928	-Cultivation : 40,000 ha				
		-Preparation of community : 4 sites				
		-School : 10 sites				
		-Hospital : 1 site				
		-Health center : 3 sites				
		Implementation Period: 12 years				
		4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS	EIRR	FIRR		
		Feasibility: Yes	12.9%			
		Conditions and Development Impacts:				
		Conditions:				
		In the estimation of EIRR, construction cost of school buildings, hospital and sanitary center is excluded, however, land reclamation cost is included.				
		Impacts:				
		Increase of land productivity: net increase US\$ 260/ha				
		Increase of agricultural income:				
		Average income US\$ 7,600/house/year				
		Promotion of rural economy due to activation of agricultural activities				
		5. TECHNICAL TRANSFER				
		1.Training of counterparts in Japan				
		2.Furnishing of the equipment and guidance of its use				
		3.OJT				
		2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS				
		3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION				
		(1)				

和名 イボア湖北西部農業開発計画

(F/S, (M/P)+F/S, D/D)