ASE PHI 205A /89

| ASE PHI 205A /89 | | | | Revised |
|---|----------------------------|---|----------------|---|
| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT | STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
| 1. COUNTRY | Philippines | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT | In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | 13 towns in Panay Island (Malay, Ibajay, Bonga, Kalibo, Iyisan, Pontovedra, Pilar, Sara, Lambunao, Leon, Miagao, Jordan, New Washington | STATUS | ☐ Delayed ☐ Discontinued |
| Groundwater Developmen | it in Panay Island | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | 1 | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 5,020 2) | Followed by F. | /s. |
| Social Infrastructures Development | s/ Water Resource | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Water source development (mainly by the use of groundwater) and rehabilitation/improvement/expansion of water supply facilities | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P+(F/S) | in 13 towns of Panay Island | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | 1 | |
| Local Water Utilities | | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | · | |
| Assessment of Dependab Groundwater for Water | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | · | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Jogesuido Sekke | i Co.,Ltd. | -Formation of water district in respective municipalities is prerequisite based on the Provincial Water Act of the Philippines for selfgoverning water supply services. -Inadequate financial capability of local towns requires national financial assistance including subsidy and | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | soft-loan. -Provision of safe and cheap drinking water with stable supply | | |
| No. of Members 6 | 88 - Nov.1989 (20 months) | creates stabilization of local residents, improvement of health, reduction of housework and increase of productivity. | 2. MAJOR REAS | ONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Period Max.191 Total M/M 47. Japan 17. Field 30. | 51 05 | | | municipalities, but also LWUA has insufficiendility requires appropriate budgetary LWUA |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER Groundwater resource survey with data analysis and water well | 3. PRINCIPAL S | OURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 269,387 (¥'000) 142,350 | construction management were provided including OJT. | | |

piled March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY Philippines | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1 PRSENT Completed or Promoting | | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | 1. PRSENI in Progress | | |
| Groundwater Development in Panay Island | | STATUS Completed Implementing Delayed or Suspended | | |
| | 2. PROJECT COSTS | O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled | | |
| | Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) | /B 1.1.5 | | |
| 3. SECTOR | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | (Description) | | |
| Social Infrastructures/ Water Resource Development | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | Some municipalities have been included in the request of Japanese Grant-Aid submitted by LWUA. Kalibo, as an example, is being operated by Water District and intending to expand | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | its water supply to neighboring town, New Washington where | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY (M/P)+F/S | | no dependable water source is expected. However, most of study area municipalities have | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | superannuated water supply facilities and do not have sufficient financial capability for rehabilitation/ | | |
| Local Water Utilities Administration | | improvement/expansion to meet with ever increasing demand for public water supply services. | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | |
| Assessment of Dependable Yield of Groundwater for Water Supply | | | | |
| | Implementation Period: | | | |
| | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | | | |
| Nippon Jogesuido Sekkei Co., Ltd. | Feasibility: | | | |
| | Conditions and Development Impacts: | | | |
| | The study contained assessment of dependable groundwater yield and general framework of major water supply facilities | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | including rough cost estimates. In this regard, F/S in detail will be required prior to | | | |
| No. of Members 6 | realization of the proposed proejct. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | | |
| Period Mar.1988 - Nov.1989 (20 mont | .ns) | Formation of Water District is urgently required based on | | |
| Total M/M 47.51 Japan 17.05 | | the Philippine Low and F/S shall subsequently be conducted for project realization, while financial arrangement by LWUA | | |
| Field 30.46 | | is deemed bottle neck for project implementation | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR | | | | |
| SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | | | |
| Total 269, 387 (¥'000) Contracted 142, 350 | | | | |

March 1991

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Philippines | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Lozon Samar and Leyte islands (Pan-Philippine HWY, Manila North Road) | STATUS Completed |
| Rehabilitation and Main along Arterial Roads | ntenance of Bridges | 2. PROJECT COSTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | ☐ Implementing ☐ Delayed or Suspended Processing ☐ Discontinued or Cancelled |
| | | 1) 43,101 13,982 29,119 (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | · | 3) | |
| Transportation/ Road | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Bridge Rehabilitation and Maintenance along Arterial Roads | 1.Procedures Undertaken -Completion of Feasibility Study June,1989 -OECF Appraisal Mission(16th) June,1989 |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | 1. Reconstruction 12 2. Replacement of Superstructure 15 | -OECF Loan Agreement (16th) Dec.,1989 -Short List for Consultant Selection March,1990 |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | r/s | 3. Repair 25 | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | total 52 Brs. | 2.Status 41 bridges out of 52 bridges selected during Feasibility |
| Department of Public Wo Highways(DPWH) | orks and | | Study were separately identified to avoid overlapping with the other road rehabilitation project, which included the rest of the proposed bridges. |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | Rehabilitation Construction Under 16th Uner 17th |
| Bridge Rehabilitation p Bridge Data Base, Bridge Inspection and b | - | | Reconstruction 7 4 Replacement 13 0 Repair 17 0 |
| | | Implementation Period: | 37 Brs. 4 Brs. 3.Conceivable Schedule D/D starting Sep.1990, OECF Appraisal(17th) May,1990 |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Apr.1987 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Nippon Koei Co.,Ltd. ALMEC Corporation | | Feasibility: Yes | |
| ALMEC COIPOIACION | | Conditions and Development Impacts: | |
| | | -Traffic forecast is based on review of the survey results | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM No. of Members 9 | | carried out by DPWH in 1986. -Design criteria such as design line loads and structural | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| | 7 - Jun.1989 (19.5 | specification are in accordance with NSCP. Development Impacts | |
| months) Total M/M 68.0 Japan 20.6 Field 47.4 | 2 | -Prevent the existing bridge form river flood damage -Improve junctioning and durability of bridge, then prevent bridge collapse -Maintain traffic network | Implementation of the Project is under process since the Project has high priority in order to prevent the existing bridges from damages by typhoon and increased traffic volume. |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | -Establish systematic organization | |
| 1.Topographic Survey, 1988 2.Geotechnical Survey, 198 | | | |
| 3.Scaffolding, 1988 | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 214,117 (¥'000) 208,344 | 1.Trainee, Mr.Matanguihan Edwin Cueras, Bureau of Design, DPME, Participated in the training course of bridge engineering in Japan. (1988.8.17 - 1988.11.4) 2.Lecture conserning bridge data base and its operation was carried of during Feasibility Study. | |

ASE PHI 322/89

ASO SGP 101/78

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|---|----------------------------|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Singapore | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Strait of Singapore | STATUS Delayed Discontinued |
| Dredging Project of th | e Straits of Singapore | 2. COSTS OF (US\$1=\$\$2.16) PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Fore | elgn Cost (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | · | (US\$1,000) 1) 24,937 | The dredging was completed. |
| Transportation/ Port | | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Plan for deepening the shallow areas(4 sites) in Singapo Strait. | pre |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P | Based upon the bathymetric surveys, seisemic surveys, Bor Inspection by divers, the followings are proposed. | ing, and |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | (1) Dredging Method:Grab Dredger | |
| Port and Harbour Burea Ministry of Transport | | (2) Dredging Volume:484,000cu.m(area 165,000sq.m) (3) Monthly Production: 38,000cu.m(by 7cu.m Grab) 89,900cu.m(by 13cu.m Grab) | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Proposal on dredging m estimates | ethod and cost | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jul.1978 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Overseas Coastal Area of Japan | | Very Large Carriers(Vessels) can pass the Singapore str It enables that far eastern countries can obtain crude other raw materials for cheaper transportation cost. | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | |
| No. of Members 2 Period Aug. 19 | 78 - Mar.1979 (6 months) | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 32. Japan 13. Field 19. | 13 | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | | (1) |
| Total Contracted | 124,172 (¥'000) 113,950 | en de la companya de La companya de la co | |

March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Singapore | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Sentosa Island of Singapore | STATUS Completed |
| Plant Renovation Project Earth Station | ct of the Sentosa-1 | 2. PROJECT COSTS | O Implementing Delayed or Suspended O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| MAZON BOUCION | | Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | Discontinuo () Careena |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 770 2,160 | (Description) |
| Communications & Broade | casting/ | 3) | The project was discontinued. |
| Telecommunication | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) The Plant Renovation Project: | The antenna was the old type (york tower type) which is |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | 5 years life extension Antenna mechanical part & structure - partial repair | less flexible for expansion. 2) INTELSAT standards of the antenna was changed when the |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | Antenna servo drive system - to replace some devices | study was completed. |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | 2) 10 years life extension Antenna mechanical part & structure - total repair | |
| Telecommunication Authority of Singapore | | Antenna servo drive system - to replace all High Power microwave trasmitter - extension for TDMA system | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| To study the plant rend | ovation of the | | |
| | | Implementation Period: Aug. 1985 - Jan. 1986 | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Feb.1985 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | • | Feasibility: | |
| Japan Telecommunication Engineering and Consult | ns Ling Service | | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: (1) The objectives of study was to investigate the | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | feasibility of service life extension over the design life of the earth station. | |
| No. of Members 4 | | (2) The result of the study(report) gave exact information of the earth station expansion project in Singapore | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Period Mar 198 | 6 - Jul.1986 (5 months) | Telecoms | |
| Total M/M 7.6 Japan 5.4 | 0 | | |
| Field 2.2 | 4 | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 10 EVERTINE | | Accurate | (1) |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 24,504 (¥'000) 18,662 | To submit the diagnosis of service life extension over the design life of the antenna | |

March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLIN | E OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Singapore | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or in Progress Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | 5 routes | STATUS Completed |
| Singapore Urban Trans | port Improvement | 2. PROJECT COSTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | O Implementing Delayed or Suspended O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| | | 1) 700,000 | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | - (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | |
| Transportation/ Urbar | Transportation | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | 1) A seminar was held on the results of the study |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Route selection and detailed design Construction related infrastructure | 2) The Sentosa Development Corporation and the Public Works Department are interested in the Orchard - |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | 3) System selection and operational plan 4) Management system and manpower training | Sentosa Route, and taking steps to realize its implementation |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | Y | Note: The cost per route ranges from US\$81 - 175.8 million. | |
| Public Works Departme Min. of National Deve | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | 1 | | |
| Evaluation of technic feasibility of introd system | al and operational lucing a new transport | Implementation Period: | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Apr.1987 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | |
| ALMEC Corporation and International | Pacific Consultants | Feasibility: Yes | |
| Internacional | | Conditions and Development Impacts: Condition: Smooth linkage with the trunk system. | |
| Total M/M 53 Japan 8 | 987 - Nov.1988 (15 months) .23 .70 .53 | Development impacts: 1) Reduction of pollution (air pollution and noise) 2) Improvement of traffic safety 3) Time saving by passengers 4) Urban development in the vicinities of stations. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUD | Y | | |
| Topographic survey | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 209,764 (¥'000) d 195,078 | | (1) |

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Sri Lanka | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Colombo and six other major cities | STATUS Completed |
| Outside Colombo Area Te Development Scheme: Sta | | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=15.56 Rp.) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 5,936 2,809 | ☐ Implementing ☐ Delayed or Suspended ☐ Discontinued or Cancelled (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| Communications & Broad Telecommunication | casting/ | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) - Incorporation into automatic connection network (6 stations) | 1978 Mar. OECF loan agreement (1,940 million yen) |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | - Cross-bass switching system (9 sets) - Inter-city transmission lines (micro-radio wave, UHF, short- | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | distance transmission) | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | - Intra-city cables (overhead 68 km, underground 30.5 km) - Station buildings (5 locations) | |
| Ministry of Post and Telecommunication | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | Implementation Period: 1979 - 1982 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 15% Feasibility: Yes | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: Development impacts: | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | Extension of telecommunication to areas which are now inadequately serviced. | |
| No. of Members 10 Period Jan. 197 | 7 - Jul.1977 (5 months) | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| | | 2) Increase of subscribers | |
| Total M/M 21. Japan 2. Field 19. | 0 | 3) Stimulation of development in Colombo and other six cities. | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | | |
| e e e | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | | (1) |
| Total Contracted | 22,095 (¥ '000) 69,027 | | |

Compiled A Revised A

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUD | RESULTS | III. PRESEN | NT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY | RESULTS |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|---------------|---|---------|
| 1. COUNTRY | Sri Lanka | . SITE OR AREA | | 1. PRSENT | In Progress or In Use | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Colombo, Trincomalee, Gall, Jafena | | STATUS | ☐ Delayed | |
| Port Improvement Progra | amme | PROPOSED PLAN OR | 218.89Yen) .ocal Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | Discontinued | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 70,458 | 16,418 54,040 | | included in National Development Plar ow under construction. | ,, |
| Transportation/ Port | | MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | | · | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Major Components for Colombo Port Conventional Berth New berth 1berth(-1 | PmX250m) | . | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P+(F/S) | Expansion 2 " (-9 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Sri Lanka Ports Author | ity(SLPA) | container Berth New berth 3 nos. Dil Berth New 1 *Cargo Handling Machine Folk lifts 85 nos. | | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | loating 1) | | | |
| Short Term Development Development Plan | Plan, and Long Term | Road 4 lanes | (5.7 km | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | May 1979 | . CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPA | CTS | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Overseas Coastal Area of Japan | Development Institute | liminating the congestion in the port, Decreasing ship waiting time. | | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | | . • | | |
| No. of Members 9 | 9 - Mar.1980 (9 months) | | | 2. MAJOR REA | ASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | |
| Total M/M 46.1 Japan 33. Field 12.5 | 6 | | | | | · |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | | ٠, |
| | | TECHINCAL TRANSFER | en de la companya de La companya de la co | 3. PRINCIPAL | SOURCES OF INFORMATION | |
| | <u> </u> | Giving lecture on the methods for Port | | | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 104,401 (¥'000) 89,707 | | | (1) | | |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Sri Lanka | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Colombo | I. PRSENT in Progress STATUS Completed |
| Port Improvement Progr | amme | 2 PROUEZE COSTS (US\$1=218,89Yen) | Implementing Delayed or Suspended |
| | | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=218.89Yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 4 400000 | | 1) 70.458 16,418 (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | 4 A 9 A 3) | (1) OECF Loan |
| Transportation/ Port | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | Date of Loan Agreement (L/A) Amount |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | T | Urgent Plan: Transfering the Existing Conventional Berths to | Oct. 1980 7,600 million Yen Apr. 1984 6,362 " |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | (M/P)+F/S | Container Berths | Jan. 1985 2,579 ** Oct. 1987 1,955 ** |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | <u> </u> | New conventional wharves 1=250 X d=-12 m Road 1=5.7km X b=10 m | Mar.1990 6,329 * |
| Sri Lanka Ports Author | _ | 2 3 7 7 1 1 1 | Construction for port improvement began in October 1988. Construction for port expansion began in May 1990. |
| | | | Construction for port expansion began in may 1990. |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Formulating of: Short Term Developmer | nt Plan and Long Term | | |
| Development Plan | te rian and hong reim | Implementation Period: Feb.1981 - Dec.1983 | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | May 1979 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | 11107 1777 | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 17.1% 8.22% | |
| Overseas Coastal Area | Development Institute | Feasibility: Yes | |
| of Japan | | Conditions and Development Impacts: | |
| · | | Conditions: Targetted year Urgent Plan = 1983 | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM |] | Master Plan = 1988 GDP Growth 5.5% per annum | |
| No. of Members 9 Period Jun. 19 | 79 - Mar.1980 (9 months) | Population Growth 1.5% per annum Port Tariff shall be raised by 25% | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| | | Development Impacts | Big return from the project |
| Total M/M 46. Japan 33 | .6 | Eliminating the congestion in the Port Decreasing ship waiting time | |
| Field 12. | 54 | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | - | the programme of the second of | |
| | | E TECHNICAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | (1) |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total |] 104,401 (¥'000) | Giving lecture on the methods for Port Planning | |
| Contracted | 89,707 | | |

March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|--|---------------------------|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Sri Lanka | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | | STATUS Delayed |
| Development Project of (follow-up) | the Port of Colombo | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign | Discontinued (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | 1) | |
| Transportation/ Port | | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | The study team explained the technical issues involved in | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | Other | construction of the container berth which was proposed by F/S conducted in FY 1979 and will be financed by OECF. | the |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | Ocher | | |
| o. cooming and notice | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Technical explanation authorities | to the government | | |
| | | | · |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | - |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | | |
| | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | |
| No. of Members | 0 - Sep.1980 (.25 months) | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M Japan Field | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 1,510 (¥'000) 1,510 | | |

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|--|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Sri Lanka | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Amparai district located at east coast Ceylon Island | STATUS Completed |
| Water Supply Scheme fo | r Amparai Group of | 2 PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=250Yen=20.8Rp) | Implementing Delayed or Suspended |
| Towns | | Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| | ************************************** | 1) 20,300 13,100 7,200 (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 3 | |
| Public Utilities/ Wate | r Supply | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | The study has been highly appreciated by the National Water |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Service Area 1995 : 2,732 ha 2005 : 3,325 ha | Supply and Drainage Board. The Ministry of Finance was planning to execute the project upon confirmation of |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | Served Population 1995 : 172,300 2005 : 261,100 | availability of local currency portion. As of Aug.1987,it was reported that the project was started |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Daily Max. 1995 : 27,400 cu.m/day 2005 : 53,900 cu.m/day | by IDA fund and a British consultant was selected in July 1987. |
| National Water Supply |] and Drainage Board | Water Sources Amparai area : Amparai reservoir | 1701. |
| madional made bupping | | Coastal area : Sambuveli weir (surface water) | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| F/S on local water sup improvement on shortag | | | |
| environment hygiene | | Implementation Period: | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Dec.1981 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | <u>-</u> | ITS ASSUMPTIONS Fractilities | |
| Nihon Suido Consultant | s Co.,Ltd. | Feasibility: | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: The development impacts are expected for improvement of | |
| 10. 000 1037 1034 5 | <u> </u> | environment hygiene in whole project are including the area where depends on shallow well and also development industry. At | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | present, water has been supplied to only 27,000 persons among | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| No. of Members 6 Period Feb. 198 | 32 - Oct.1982 (8 months) | project area population of 146,000(1981). However, by the project execution, water will be supplied to 172,000 persons | Z. MINOR REASONS FOR FRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 45.6 | 51 | out of project area population of 237,000 in the year 1995. | |
| Japan 27.4 | 11 | | |
| Field 18. 11, ASSOCIATED AND/OR | | | |
| SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | Carried out the training program on the water supply planning | (1) |
| Total | 112,094 (¥'000) | to two counterpart staff | |
| Contracted | 103,138 | | |

Revised

March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUM | MARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESEN | NT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Sri Lanka | 1. SITE OR AREA | · | 1. PRSENT | In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | katunayaké | | STATUS | ☐ Delayed |
| Colombo Airport Deve | lopment (follow-up) | 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | ☐ Discontinued |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS | (US\$1=20.55Yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) | 1) 115,739 25,525 | and was comp | was included in the 1984 Public Investment Plan pleted in 1988. |
| Transportation/ Air ' Airport | Fransportation & | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S |) PROPOSED | (NACO). | undertaken by Netherlands Airport Consultants B |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | construction and ter | arative study of urgency between new runway rminal complex development, new runway | OECF - Pas: | senger Terminal (10,200 million yen) |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | Other | construction is reco | ommended as having a higher priority. | EXIM Japan UK ODA - N | avaids |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENC | Υ |] | | France - O | ther facilities |
| Airport and Aviation | Service(S.L.) Ltd. | | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | | |
| etailed investigati | on of construction cost | | |] | |
| | | | | | |
| | | en e | | | |
| B. DATE OF S/W | | 4. CONDITIONS AND | DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | |
| 9.CONSULTANT(S) Japan Airport Consul | tants, Inc. | Greatly improved had airport is expected exchange. | ndling of air passengers and other users of to contribute to earning of foreign | | |
| | | | | | |
| 0. STUDY TEAM | | | | | |
| No. of Members 2 | | | | 2. MAJOR REA | ASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| | 981 - May 1982 (6 months) | | | <u> </u> | |
| Japan 3 | .42 .26 | | | | |
| | .16 | | | | |
| 1. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUD | Y | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRAN | SFER | | |
| | | OJT is made by havi | ng the local consultants assist the Japanese | 3. PRINCIPAL | SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 2. EXPENDITURE | | consultants in the | supervision of construction. | (1) | |
| Total Contracted | 26,740 (¥'000) 8,869 | | | | |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS III. PRESENT STATUS OF ST | | | UDIED PROJECT |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| 1. COUNTRY 2. NAME OF STUDY Colombo - Katunayak | Sri Lanka Expressway and New Port | 1. SITE OR AREA Colombo metropolitan area | 1. PRSENT STATUS | Completed or in Progress Completed Implementing | Promoting Delayed or Suspended |
| Access Road Project | s unpressing and new Police | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1/225Yen=23Rs) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 51.080 19,790 | (Description) | Processing | Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR Transportation/ Road | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | | OECF E/S loan agreement (1.5 km) | on port access road |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Project A: Project road, link and other related roads (total length of 25.4 km) | 1990 Mar. | OECF E/S loan agreement Colombo - Katunayake Exp | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY 6. COUNTERPART AGENC | | Project B: Project road, link and other related roads (total length of 5.7 km) | | • | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | nomic Commission (GCEC) | | | | |
| . DATE OF S/W | Sep.1982 | Implementation Period: Jan.1986 - Dec.1989 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | | | |
| O. CONSULTANT(S) | ucture Institute and | Feasibility: Yes Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | 1) Project life of 25 years; 2) the start of operation in 1990; 3) opportunity cost of capital at 12%; and 4) benefits in 1990 and 2000 calculated by intrapolation. | | | |
| Total M/M 6 | <u>DY</u> | Development impacts: 1) Stimulation of development in Greater Colombo and Gampaha District; 2) facilitation of industrial growth in Katunayake Investment Promotion Zone and elsewhere; 3) tourism promotion; 4) alleviation of traffic congestion on the Negombo road; 5) administrative improvement. | 2. MAJOR RE. | ASONS FOR PRESENT STAT | us j |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contract | 203,467 (¥'000) led 193,010 | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER 1) Participation of 2 trainees in JICA training program 2) OJT | 3. PRINCIPAL | SOURCES OF INFORMATION | NO |

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|--|---|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Sri Lanka | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | <u> </u> | Colombo metropolitan area | STATUS Completed |
| Telecommunications Net Project in Greater Colo | work Improvement ombo | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=270Yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 38,333 4,526 33,807 | Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) | |
| Communications & Broad Telecommunications | casting/ | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Contents | May 1985 OECF loan agreement (10,359 million yen) Mar.1991 Construction scheduled to be completed |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Construction of the Subscriber Network for 7 exchanges and Junction Network for 24 exchanges | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | covering the Greater Colombo. | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Scale Subscriber cable 1,097 km | |
| SLTD | | Junction 109 km | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Feasibility study on "' Network Improvement Pro Colombo" as an integra Development Plan. | oject in Greater | Implementation Period: Aug.1986 - Nov.1988 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Dec.1982 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 29.7% 15.2% | |
| Nippon Telecommunication | on Consulting Co.,Ltd. | Feasibility: Yes | |
| | e de la companya de | Conditions and Development Impacts: Most of the existing outside plant were installed more than 20 years ago, and the number of circuit is too small to meet the | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | present demand. In addition, many of the existing cable have been deteriorated. | |
| No. of Members 15 Period Jan. 198 | 2 Nov. 1083 /11 month -1 | To improve such situation SLTD request the Government of Japan. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Period Jan. 198 Total M/M 46. Japan 11. Field 34. | 7 | | (1) High priority; This project is considered top priority by the Government of Sri Lanka. |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| · | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | (1) Joint preparation of report: 2 senior engine of SLTD and director of bureau invited Japan, | (1) |
| Total Contracted | 117,636 (¥'000) 109,525 | preparation of report. (2) On the job training (SLTD counterparts) | |

Compiled

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS III. PRESENT STAT | | ENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Sri Lanka | 1. SITE OR AREA | | 1. PRSENT | In Progress of In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Whole country | | STATUS | Delayed Discontinued |
| Master Plan for the Do Telecommunication Netw | | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS | Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) |) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) | 1) 29,307 | Greater Co | rnment of Sri Lanka applied the project (the clombo Area Telecommunications Project-2) for yen |
| Communications & Broad Telecommunications | lcasting/ | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S |) PROPOSED | credit, ar | nd OECF pledged financing in October 1990. |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | | Digitalization of Trunk Network in the year k development for the following towns | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P | | Area Telecommunications | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Ministry of Posts and Development. | Telecommunications | (2) SLTD Organization (3) Subscriber's lin | on Improvement project ne expansion project and ons network expansion project | | • • |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | - | |
| To study the Master Pl telecommunications dev 2000. | an for elopment in the year | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Aug.1984 | 4. CONDITIONS AND | DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Telecommunicati Ltd. | | Conditions: To realize 100% of digitalization in t Impacts: | demand fulfillment and 100% of he year 2000 ference in Quality and in Quality between | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | | 2 1/4 100 5 | PAGOMO FOO DEFOUND OF ATTIC |
| No. of Members 12 Period Dec. 198 | 84 - Oct.1985 (11 months) | | | Z. MAJOR R | EASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 50.0 Japan 28.2 Field 21. | 02 22 | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | |
| | | Japan, for one m | nce: 3 counterparts invited | 3. PRINCIPA | AL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total |] 136,112 (¥'000) | (2) On the job trai | ning (SLTD counterparts) | | |
| Contracted | 128,045 | | | | |

ASO LKA 202A /89

| ASO LKA 202A /89 | | Revised |
|--|---|---|
| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
| 1. COUNTRY Sri Lanka | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | Colombo Port | STATUS Delayed |
| Development of the Port of Colombo | a coorde ou | Discontinued |
| | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cos | t (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | (US\$1,000) 1) 257,849 42,117 215,732 | Pollowed by F/S. |
| Transportation/ Port | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | |
| | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | Main Works of Alternative-A | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY M/P+(F/S) | Short Term Master Plan (including short-term) | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | JCT No.3 North Channel | |
| Sri Lanka Ports Authority | JCT No.4 FCT NNP QCT No.1 | |
| | Pipe Laying QCT No.2 QEQ Rehabilitation QCT No.3 | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | Dredging Channel SY Breakwater | |
| F/S, M/P, & ST/P | Communication System Realignment Channel T/C for JCT No.1£2 Dredging Harbour | |
| | Port Access Road* Communication System | |
| | Crown Land Port Highway | |
| | | <u></u> |
| 8. DATE OF S/W Mar. 1988 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | 1.Saving in export industry investment | |
| Overseas Coastal Area Development I of Japan | nstitute 2.Reduction of transport cost | |
| Japan Port Consultants Co., Ltd. | 3.Reduction of handling cost | |
| | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | |
| No. of Members 10 | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Period Nov.1988 - Dec.1989 (1 | 3 months) | Good Coodination Among Concerned Agencies |
| Total M/M 56.3 | | |
| Japan Field | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR | | |
| SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | 1 |
| Boring, Topographic Survey, Bathymetric | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | - |
| | On the job, Through discussion , JICA training course | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 2. EXPENDITURE | | (1) |
| Total 175,721 (¥ Contracted 176,480 | (*000) | |

ASO LKA 202B/89

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | | III. Pl | RESENT STATUS OF S | TUDIED PROJECT | |
|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Sri Lanka | 1. SITE OR AREA | | | 1. PRSENT | Completed or in Progress | Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Colombo Port | | | STATUS | Completed | |
| Development of the Port | t of Colombo | a phorror goom | | | | O Implementing | Delayed or Suspended |
| · | | 2. PROJECT COSTS Total Cos | | Foreign Cost | | Processing | Discontinued or Cancelled |
| A GIVOTON | | 1) 257,8 (US\$1,000) 2) | 42,117 | 215,732 | (Description) |) | |
| 3. SECTOR | | 3) | | | Oct 1999 | OECF loan agreement on Ja | va Container Terminal |
| Transportation/ Port | • | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT Jaya Container Terminal NO.3 | T(S) | | j | No.3 (6,000 million yen) wunder way. | y concurred returned |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Jaya Container Terminal NO.4 | | | 5,5 IS 1101 | - come unla | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | (M/P)+F/S | New North Pier Pipe Laying | | | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Queen Elizabeth Quay(Rehabilita Dredging | ation) | | | | |
| Sri Lanka Ports Author | ity | Computer/Communication | | | 1 | | |
| a on the same of t | | | ·. | İ | 1 | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | | | | |
| F/S, M/P, & ST/P | 20 | | | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: 1989 | - 1995 | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Mar.1988 | | EIRR FIRR | | 1 | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | 21.4% 8.7% | ** | | | • |
| Overseas Coastal Area I | Development Institute | Feasibility: | | | | | |
| of Japan Japan Port Consultants | Co.,Ltd. | Conditions and Development Impacts: | | | | | |
| | | Saving in export industry inv Reduction of transport cost | vestment | | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | 3.Reduction of handling cost | | • | | | |
| No. of Members 10 Period Nov. 198 | 8 - Dec.1989 (13 months) | | | | 2. MAJOR R | EASONS FOR PRESENT STA | TUS |
| | | | | | Good coore | dination among concerned a | gencies |
| Total M/M 56. Japan | | | | | | | |
| Field | | | | | | · · | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | | | |
| Boring, Topographic Surve | y, Bathymetric Survey | | | | | | |
| | | E MOOTHNO LE MAN TOUR | | | 3. PRINCIPA | AL SOURCES OF INFORMAT | ION |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | | | | | NATIONAL MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE PART |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | 175,721 (¥'000) | On the job, Through discussion | JICA training cou | irse | (1) | | |
| Total Contracted | 176,480 | | | | | | |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress | | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | alog and/or Bankacanat | Southern line 1,159 km 110 hridges Borthern line 751 km 22 kridges Bortheastern line 1,205 km 65 kridges Dastern line 255 km 27 bridges | STATUS Completed Implementing Delayed or Suspended | | |
| of Steel Bridges on | ning and/or Replacement the State Railway | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=20Bahts) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 16,683 | O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled (Description) | | |
| 3. SECTOR | | - (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | (Description) | | |
| Transportation/ Rail | — √ay | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Of the 214 spans: | The project was completed with local finance: | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | 197 spans are to be repaired and strengthened. 17 spans are to be replaced with the construction of new | | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | 17 spans are to be replaced with the construction of new bridges | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENC | Y | | | | |
| State Railway of Tha | iland | | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | | |
| and work execution, spans of steel bridge strengthening and/or | es requiring | Implementation Period: | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Oct.1975 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | | | |
| Japan Railway Technic | cal Service | Feasibility: | | | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: It was considered beneficial for SRT to receive a few advisors for its technical and financial needs for the initial one or | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | two years. | | | |
| No. of Members 17 Period Jan , 1 | 976 - Nov.1976 (10 months) | Improvement of the existing 214 steel bridges was recommended. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | | |
| | .27 | | | | |
| | .67 | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUD | Y | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 6 DECUMNON TO ANGEED | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | (1) (2) | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracte | 106,843 (¥'000) d 108,230 | Investigations were conducted with the cooperation of counterparts. | (1) (2) | | |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Pattaya, Ko lan Island | STATUS Completed |
| Pattaya Tourism Develop | oment | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=20Bahts) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR | | 1) 368,000 193,000 (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| Tourism/ General | : | 3) 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | The project is under construction with local finance. |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | -Infrastructure -Water supply and sewerage | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | -Water drainage system -Solid waste management | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | -Road, power, communication | |
| Dept. of Tourism | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Establishment plan of : tourism | infrastructure for | Implementation Period: 1977 - 1996 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Nov.1976 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Pacific Consultants Int | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 26% Feasibility: No | |
| Nippon Tetrapod Co.,Ltc | | Conditions and Development Impacts: Private investment has been made in tourism industry while public sector has not invested; therefore, inappropriate | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | development continues and tourism resource has not been utilized. | |
| No. of Members 12 Period Dec. 197 Total M/M 118.1 Japan 88.7 Field 29. | 3 | This project aims to utilize this resource and contribute to tourism development. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS -Good financial condition -High priority |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 335,524 (¥'000) 206,380 | Overseas training for 6 trainees | (1) |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | | 1. PRSENT | Completed or in Progress | Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok metropolitan area | a | STATUS | Completed | |
| Separate System of Metr in Bangkok | ropolitan Water Supply | 2. PROJECT COSTS Total Co | | | O Implementing O Processing | Delayed or Suspended Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR | | 1) 73, {US\$1,000) 2} | 121 | (Description | | |
| Public Utilities/ Water | r Supply | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT - Expansion of water supply a | and the same of th | April 1979 | Yen Credit (8,400 million | yen) completed |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | 9 districts, 171,750 cu.m. | | * . | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | | | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | The second secon | | | | ē |
| Metropolitan Water Worl | ks Authority | | | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | | | |
| Water Service plan | | | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: 198 | 1 - 2000 | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jan.1977 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS | EIRR FIRR | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Pacific Consultants Int | cernational | Feasibility: | | | | |
| | | | onnaissance, planning water supply | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | connect the water supply syste | | | | |
| No. of Members 14 Period May 197 | 7 - Jul 1978 (15 months) | industrial complex, which are vicinity of Bangkok, with the System. | under construction in the existing Central Water Supply | 2. MAJOR R | EASONS FOR PRESENT STATE | JS |
| Total M/M 24. Japan 7. | 3 2 | In the existing master plan, t | al Water Supply System , however, | | | |
| Field 17. | 1 | to et personale de la companya de l La companya de la co | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONIRACIED STUDY | | ak da firati ka a mada Maraja da ka maja da ka aki | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | | 3. PRINCIPA | AL SOURCES OF INFORMATIO | N |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | -Overseas training for count | | (1) | | |
| Total Contracted | 143,869 (¥'000) 44,780 | -Inspection of water purific | acton branc | | | |

| i. outline | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress | | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Phetchanbun - Chai Badan. Northern Region | STATUS Completed | | |
| Phetchabun - Chai Badar | n Highway Project | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=20Bahts) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | O Implementing Delayed or Suspended O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled | | |
| 3. SECTOR | | 1) 16,600 9,400 7,200 (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | (Description) | | |
| Transportation/ Road | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Existing Road Rehabilitation L= 130 km | 1) D/D completed by DOH 2) OECF loan(E/N 1980 July: 8,160 million yen) 3) Construction from June 1981 to September 1983 | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | New Road Construction L= 21 km | 3) Constitution from Sume 1901 to September 1905 | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | Total 151 km 1997 in the second secon | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Pavement Type: Asphalt L∞ 94 km | | | |
| Department of Highway | | Laterite L= 57 km Total 151 km | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | | |
| Road Construction | | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: Apr. 1980 - Dec. 1982 | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Feb.1978 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 20.4% | | | |
| Katahira & Engineers Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. | | Feasibility: Yes | | | |
| nappen noor set, sea | | Conditions and Development Impacts: | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | -Future traffic demand estimates for 1983, 1989 and 1997 -Freight traffic demand based on projected agricultural | | | |
| No. of Members 12 Period Aug. 197 | 8 ~ Mar.1979 (9 months) | production and passenger traffic demand based population projection and the trip ratio calculated from the sample | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | | |
| Total M/M 44.3 Japan 26.3 Field 18. | 3 3 | survey -Standards of road based on the criteria of the Highway Department Development Impacts of upgrading to all-weather roads: | (1) Big Development effects(2) Favorable financial status(3) High priority(4) Strong promotion by department of Highway | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | -Improvement of regional communication -Saving of transportation cost and increase of farmers' income -Development of better transportation network and reduction of running costs | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | (1) OJB 19. 21 11 19. 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | (1) (2) | | |
| Total Contracted | 108,742 (¥'000) 101,688 | (2) JICA training (3) Joint reporting | | | |

| I. OUTLINE | E OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY 2. NAME OF STUDY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA Each place of the country | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress STATUS Completed |
| | J blic Telephone Service | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=180Yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | STATUS Completed Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR Communications & Broad Telecommunication | dcasting/ | 1) 385,008 54,618 330,390 (US\$1,000) 2) 3) 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | (Description) Sep. 1984 OECF loan agreement (3,090 million yen) Dec. 1986 Contract on construction |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Objectives The construction of long distance telephone circuits, including public telephones, in major rural districts | Sep. 1999 Construction completed |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | without telephones for the purpose of improving the telephone service in 469 rural areas. | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Telephone Organization | of Thailand | Transmission route * Two terrestrial radio system * Domestic satelite system | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY To recommend the optim to TOT. | num transmission system | | |
| | | Implementation Period: 1981 - 1982 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W 9. CONSULTANT(S) | Jul.1978 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND FIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS 11.3% 18.22% Feasibility: Yes | |
| Nippon Telecommunicati Ltd. 10. STUDY TEAM | on Consulting Co., | Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: Alternative Plan 2 terrestrial radio systems and 1 | |
| No. of Members 6 | 39 Non 1070 (0 months) | Domestic Satellite System | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M Japan Field 27. | 78 - Mar.1979 (8 months) | Impacts: Public Telecommunication Services for 469 sites with not telephone become available | High priority: The project was realized by the strong request from the King. |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 75,078 (¥'000) 79,180 | (1) Trainee acceptance; 2 engineer(TOT) invited to Japan (2) On the Job Training(TOT counterparts) | (1) |

ASE THA 401/78

Compiled Revised March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDING | |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok Metropolitan Area | STATUS Completed |
| Bangkok Telephone Netwo Lines | ork Project: Junction | 2. PROJECT COSTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) | ☐ Implementing ☐ Delayed or Suspended ☐ Processing ☐ Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | (Description) |
| Communications & Broad Telecommunication | casting/ | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Contents Scale | Jul. 1978 OECF loan agreement (1,464 million yen) |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Construction of Junction cable 250,000 Pair-km | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | D/D | | · |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | |
| Telephone Organization | of Thailand (TOT) | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY D/D of junction cable cable networks | network and five local | | |
| | | Implementation Period: | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Feb.1977 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Nippon Telecommunication | on Consulting Co., | Feasibility: Yes | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | Conditions and Development Impacts: -To full of demand in site area -This project come under construction of junction network for 3rd M/P Package 1, Phase 1 | |
| No. of Members 13 | · | necrota for ord in a roomage ty three I | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| | 77 - Feb.1978 (9 months) | | Telephone demand in the metropolitan area is urgent. |
| Total M/M Japan 29.7 Field 70.7 | 73 | | Terephone demand in the mecropolican area to digent. |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | Many counterparts engineers participated in preparation of D/D | (1) |
| Total Contracted | 226,680 (¥'000) 251,129 | | |

ASE THA 101/79

| I. OUTLINE OF ST | rudy | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY Thaila | and | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use | | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok Metropolitan Area | STATUS Delayed Discontinued | | |
| Bangkok Suburban Transportati | on Project | 2. COSTS OF (US\$1=260Yen) PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 834,400 | Projects proposed by the study are not included in the Sixth | | |
| Transportation/ Railway | | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | National Development Plan. No progress has been made in upgrading the railway service in downtown Bangkok. | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Formulation of Master Plan for large scale transportation for Bangkok and its surrounding areas. | In downtown bangkok. | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY M/P | | Basic policy is to make the utmost use of existing railway system as the transportation means for people commuting to | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | work. | · | | |
| Expressway and Rapid Transit Authority (ETA), | nd (CDD) | Main components are: Suburban lines(new construction) | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | 6 lines(11 segments) total length 102.8km Improvement of existing lines | | | |
| Transportation Plan | | (double track, new stations, signal and communication) total length 151 km | | | |
| | | Rolling stock(Year 2000) Suburban line 756 or 478 (depending on fare) Existing national railway 318 | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W Jul. 19 | 978 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | _ | Beneficial effect: alleviation of traffic congestion in | | | |
| Pacific Consultants Internati | onal. | downtown and surrounding areas | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | | | |
| No. of Members 7 Period Oct.1978 - Aug. | .1979 (11 months) | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | | |
| Total M/M 46.57 Japan 35.5 Field 11.07 | | | This project is an extension from downtown to suburban areas. Therefore, F/S is unlikely to be conducted unless progress is made on projects for the downtown area. | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | | |
| | | Training in Japan | | | |
| | ,378 (¥'000) ,377 | | (1) (2) | | |

iled March 1986 ed March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Nakkon Sawan Prefecture, Chiyaphum Prefecture | STATUS Completed |
| Nong Bua - Ban Lam Chi | Bon Highway Project | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=20Bahts) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 30,600 17,300 13,300 | Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | (Doseipaon) |
| Transportation/ Road | • | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Road length | 1983 Sep. OECF loan agreement (5,770 million yen) 1984 Dec. D/D completed 1986 Feb. Construction commenced |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Improvement 42 km New Construction 113 km | 1988 Aug. Construction completed |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | Total 155 km | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Road width 9.0 - 10.0 km | |
| Department of Road Min communication | istry of | Pavement SBST Bridges 777.0m in total length | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Provincial road improve | ement | | |
| | | Implementation Period: Apr. 1981 - Dec. 1983 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jul.1978 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS 21. 78 | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. | | Feasibility: Yes | |
| Katahira & Engineers | | Conditions and Development Impacts: | 1 |
| | | (1) Connection between east and west links (2) Solution of interruption section during the rainy | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM No. of Members 11 Period Jun., 197 | 9 - Feb.1980 (8 months) | season. (3) Increase of agricultural production (4) Improvement of local road network | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 43. Iapen 18. Field 24. | 4 5 | | - large development impact - good linkage with other major road - high priority - effective administration |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| Topographic Survey Traffic Survey | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | (1) OJT: Discussion about route selection. | (1) (2) |
| Total Contracted | 104,520 (¥'000) 103,547 | Traffic forecast and development benefits. (2) Trainee: 1 engineer | |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. P | RESENT STATUS OF ST | rudied project |
|--|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT | Completed or in Progress | Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok metropolitan area | STATUS | Completed | |
| Bangkok Urban Truck Ter Project | minals Construction | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1= 20 Bahts) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 42,033 | (Description | Implementing Processing | Delayed or Suspended Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | | | |
| Transportation/ Land Tr | ansportation | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Description Scale | consultant In June,19 | 987 Ministry of Transport a | nd Communication has |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Truck terminal Cargo handling: 12,000 t/day Parking | | the commencement of the con- terminal at Rangsit, which | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | Public parking | north of E | Bangkok, is to be improved to Construction of the truck to | as private sector |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Maintenance facilities Warehouse district | has been s | suspended. | |
| Department of Land Tran | sport | | | pid urbanization, some site for other purposes. | s for terminals have |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | | |
| Traffic plan | | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jan.1979 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | 1 | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 10% | 1 | | |
| Pacific Consultants Int Nitsu Research Institut | | Feasibility: No Conditions and Development Impacts: Condition: Target year 2000 Project road includes intra urban tollway, | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | circumferencial road, outer ring road Development Impacts: | 2 3547077 | EVOVIGEOD EDDELOFFE OUT I | 710 |
| No. of Members 9 Period Aug. 1979 | 9 - Mar.1980 (8 months) | -Increase of profit to the owner by regular operation -Decrease in accidents by supplying welfare facilities to drivers | Z. MAJOR R | REASONS FOR PRESENT STAT | 03 |
| Total M/M 32.6 Japen 22.9 Pield 9.7 | 9 . | -Increase in operation time by improving inspection and maintenance | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | | AL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | ON |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 83,169 (¥'000) 79,340 | Technical advice on demand forecasting, traffic survey, and economic analysis. | (1) (2) | | |

ASE THA 306/79

ed March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|-------|----------------------------|------|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT | Com | pleted or ogress | Prop | noting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok Metropolitan Area | STATUS | | Completed | _ | |
| Bangkok Telephone Netwo | ork Project | 2. PROJECT COSTS | | | Implementing Processing | | yed or Suspended ontinued or Cancelled |
| | | Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 3. SECTOR | | US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) | | | | |
| Communications & Broad | naetina/ | 3) | | | | | |
| Telecommunication | cascing | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) 1) Detailed design of local cable network for five exchanges | | | | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | (Pronchit, Chinwatana, Packrett, Ramintra, and Onutt-I) | · | | | | • |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | D/D | 2) Additional detailed designs for three exchanges (Kurontoi, Labrana and Ekachai) | | | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | | | | | |
| Telephone Organization | of Thailand | | | | | | |
| 7 Obtrothus or grimy | | | | | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | | | | • |
| Detailed designs for 8 | telephone exchanges | | | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: Mar. 1985 - Mar. 1986 | 1 | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | • |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jul.1978 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR TI'S ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | | ŗ | | | | |
| Nippon Telecommunication | on Consulting Co. | Feasibility: | _ | | | | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: Detailed designs are based on the program in the 4th National | | | | | |
| 10 COT IDAY TECA 14 | | Economic Development Plan. Five exchanges correspond to Package I of Phase 2 and three | | | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM No. of Members 12 | | additional exchanges to Package II of Phase 1. | 2. MAJOR REA | ASONS | FOR PRESENT STAT | us | |
| Period Aug.197 | 8 - Jun.1979 (11 months) | | | | | | |
| Oct .197 Total M/M 108.7 | 9 - Aug.1980 (11 months) 9 | | | | | | |
| Japan 49.6 Field 59.1 | | | | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR | | | | | • | | |
| SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | | | |
| | | | | · | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL | SOUR | CES OF INFORMATIO | DN | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | OJT for counterparts | (1) | | | | |
| Total Contracted | 278,789 (¥'000) 277,097 | | | | | | |

ASE THA 201A /81

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|---|--|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | 17 changwats of the Norther Regions (170,000 sq.km) | STATUS Delayed Discontinued |
| Road Development in th | e Northern Region | 2. COSTS OF (US\$1=23Bahts) PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) - 1) 36,500 | The feasibility study was conducted on 8 routes selected from 12 short-term priority links. |
| Transportation/ Road | | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | The study examined all road sections which must be developed during the current five-year development plan | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P+(F/S) | ending in 1986. | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | The road sections studied are Nos. 6, 8, 12, 14, 15, 19, 20, 23, 25, 29, 30 and 31. | |
| Dept. of Highways (DOH Communications |), Ministry of | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Formulation of a maste development and feasib priority road sections improvement) | er plan for highway Mility analysis of (new construction and | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Dec.1979 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Engineers Internationa | | The study selected priority road sections by taking into account development potentials by area. 44 links (total length 1,200km) were selected for improvement or for new construction. A pre-feasibility study was undertaken on 31 links (860km) which were considered for short- and medium- | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | term implementation and narrowed down to 16 links (410km) for the subsequent feasibility study. | |
| No. of Members 12 | | Development impacts: 1) The project will stimulate the regional stagnation caused | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 140.3 Japan 16.0 Field 124 | 03 | by the shortage of land and low income by providing better transport infrastructure. 2) The project will contribute to the productivity improvement and diversification of agricultural production. 3) The road density of the Northern Region is lower than | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | → | elsewhere, and the project will promote better communication. | |
| Traffic survey, road inve | entory survey | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | |
| | | OJT for the counterparts on the method of selecting priority road sections | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITÜRE | 205-005-58222 | 2) Participation of 1 counterparts in the JICA training program | (1) (2) |
| Total Contracted | 385,805 (¥'000) 381,842 | | |

iled March 1986 od March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|------------------------|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | 17 changwats of the Norther Regions (170,000 sq.km) | STATUS Completed |
| Road Development in th | e Northern Region | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=23Bahts) | O Implementing Delayed or Suspended O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| | | Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 4 SECTION | | 1) 56,800 32,000 24,800 (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR Transportation/ Road | j | 3) | 1983 - 1986 D/D completed by DOH |
| Transportacton, road | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) The feasibility study was undertaken on 14 links (410km) | Sep. 1983 OECF loan agreement (5,770 million yen) Jan. 1986 Construction started |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | requested by DOH. The analysis indicated 12 links (394km) | Aug. 1988 Construction completed |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | (M/P)+F/S | - 11 links (F4 standard) 378km | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | - 1 link (F5 standard) 16km | |
| | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | T | | |
| | 1 | | |
| | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Dec.1979 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS 28.5 - | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) |] | Feasibility: Yes | |
| | • | Conditions and Development Impacts: | |
| | | 1) The Northern Region has limited availability of arable land because of difficult topography and has been underdeveloped. | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | The proposed project will provide transport infrastructure and stimulate productive activities. | |
| No. of Members 12 | 00 Mari 1000 A 1115 | 2) In order to establish a framework of balanced regional growth through better inter-regional communication, the study | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| | 80 - Mar.1982 (months) | formulated a optimum plan to strengthen the road network, and proposed priority short- and medium-term routes. | Large impact: muhatamtial contribution to the alleviation of regional disparities which was one of the major objectives of the 4th and 5th development slarm. |
| Total M/M 140.3 Japan 16.6 | | Development impacts: 1) The project will stimulate the regional stagnation caused by the | development plane. 2) Linkage with other projects: the proposad priority links were consistent with other priority road development projects. 3) Consistency with government policy: the Covernment of Theiland has been explanating public investments in the operation and maintenance of the |
| Field 124 | .3 | shortage of productive land and low income by providing better transport infrastructure. | oxisting reads, and the projects proposed by the study were consistent with this policy. |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | 2) The project will contribute to the productivity improvement and diversification of agricultural production. | High priority: the Euroschment has been emphasising improvement or provincial reads and production-related reads, and the Norther Region has been given high priority in this request. |
| | | 3) The road density of the Northern Region is lower than elsewhere, and the project will promote better communication. | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 10 thursting me | | 3. IECHINCAL INAIOPER | (1) (2) |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total |] 385,805 (¥'000) | | |
| Contracted | | | |

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Northern area of Bangkok | STATUS Completed |
| Rama VI Bridge Construc | tion Project | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=230Yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 1 GEORGE | | 1) 34,000 19,100 (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | | Sep. 1983 OECF (10th) E/S loan agreement (170 million |
| Transportation/ Road | • | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | yen) |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | New road including the bridge 1,800m Bridge portion only 800m | Aug. 1986 D/D on New Rama IV Bridge completed Sep. 1987 OECF (13th) loan agreement on the new bridge |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | | (5,599 million yen) 1989 Construction started |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | A | | Jun. 1992 Construction scheduled to be completed |
| Public Works Dept.(PWD) Interior | , Ministry of | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| | Mar.1981 | Implementation Period: Oct.1983 - Mar.1986 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS 20.6% | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Chiyoda Engineering Con Japan Overseas Consulta | sultants Co., and nts Co., Ltd. | Feasibility: Yes Conditions and Development Impacts: | - |
| | | Conditions: 1) Traffic volume projections for 1985, 1990 and 2000 | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | 2) Standard running speed of cars at 50km/hour 3) Traffic volumes of passengers and goods are projected on | A MAKON DOA GOMO POD NDEGENE GRASTIS |
| No. of Members 12 Period May 1981 | - Dec.1982 (18 months) | the basis of the O/D survey. Development impacts: | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 38.05 Japan 3.55 Field 34.5 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | Alleviation of traffic congestions in Bangkok and its adjacent areas Industrial and residential development of the area along the Middle Ring Road because of an expansion of the traffic capacity of the road | 1) Large impact: stimulation of the regional economy by the alleviation of congestions and the reduction of travel time 2) High priority: the completion of the Middle Ring Road ensures the balanced growth of the metropolitan area of Bangkoko. 3) Administrative expertise: PWD has experiences in bridgh construction (already constructed 5 bridges across Chao Phraya River) |
| Traffic survey, topographi | c survey and geological | | |
| • | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 124,023 (¥000) 116,682 | 1) OJT 2) Participation of counterparts in the JICA program. 3) Employment of local consultants | (1) (2) |

H. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS

I. OUTLINE OF STUDY

March 1986 March 1991 Compiled Revised III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT

| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting |
|--|---|---|---|
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | East Coast Region | STATUS Completed |
| East Coast Water Res Project | ources Development | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=230Yen=23B) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 242,000 103,870 137,700 | Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | (Description) |
| Social Infrastructur Development | es/ Water Resource | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Contents Scale | Jul.1982 OECF loan agreement on the pipeline(6,570 million yen) Jul.1982 OECF loan agreement on E/S of Nong Pla Lai Dam |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Construction of Height: 30m, Crest L.: 4,000m | (320 million yen) Sep.1982 D/D completed |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | Nong Pla Lai Dam | Jun.1984 Construction completed |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENC | | Construction of pipeline Pipeline, length: 25 km between Doc Krai Dam and Pipeline capacity: 80x10 cu.m/year east coast region and of | Sep.1988 OECF loan agreement on the dam(4,357 million yen) Dec.1990 D/D on the pipeline(Dok Krai - Mabtapud) is under implementation |
| Royal Irrigation Dep | | construction of Ban Bung Dam | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY Water Resources Deve Nong Pla Lai, Chon B | lopment covering Rayong, | | |
| | | Implementation Period: Jan.1984 - Nov.1986 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W 9. CONSULTANT(S) | Dec.1980 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND FIRR FIRR 10.5% | |
| CTI Engineering Co., Sanyu Consultants In Nomura Research Inst | c. | Feasibility: Yes Conditions and Development Impacts: Prerequisite is that the proposed industrial development project in the east coast region be progressed as originally | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | scheduled. | |
| No. of Members 11 | · | The merits of the development are a steady supply of industrial water and also supply of municipal water to growing number | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 63 Japan 20 Field 33 | 1981 - Mar.1982 (13 months) 1.79 6.54 5.25 | population in keeping with the industrial development. | (1) High degree of priority: The industrialization of the east coast region was the No.1 priority project of the Government of Thailand. (2) RID was directly commissioned by the Prime Minister to push forward of the project. |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUD | Y | | |
| Geological survey | en e | | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracte | 165,176 (¥'000) ad 149,826 | Acceptance of Trainees: for about three months, four trainees despatched form the Government of Thailand pursued the study and training mainly field survey of water supply systems. In the long view, it is considered profitable to the trainees. | (1) |

March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | 16 changwats of the Northeastern Region (169,000 sq.km) | STATUS Delayed Discontinued |
| Road Development in the | e Northeastern Region | 2. COSTS OF (US\$1=23B) PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 55,200 | Based on the recommendations of the study, a feasibility study was subsequently undertaken on 15 routes for new |
| Transportation/ Road | | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | construction and improvement (502.1km) and 8 routes for rehabilitation (90km). |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | The study proposed the following priority projects. - New construction and improvement 18 routes (666.9km) | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P | - Rehabilitation 25 routes (468.0km) | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Dept. of Highways, Min. Communications | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Formulation of a maste development in the Nor | r plan for road theastern Region | | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Nov.1981 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. engineers International | and Katahira & l | Development impacts: 1) Narrowing of regional disparities 2) Stimulation of agricultural production 3) Development in poorer areas Social impacts: 1) Alleviation of social and political isolation | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | 2) Improvement of health services | |
| No. of Members 11 Period Mar. 198 | 32 - Mar.1983 (12 months) | 3) Improvement of education 4) Reduction of income disparities | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 79. Japan 14. Field 64. 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | .2 .6 .6 | | |
| | . | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER 1) OJT of the methods for selecting priority roads and for | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | measuring social impacts 2) Participation of 2 counterparts in the JICA training program | (1) (2) |
| Total Contracted | 224,974 (¥'000) 216,437 | | |

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok City and Thonburi area located at the other side of Chao Phaya river. | STATUS Delayed Discontinued |
| Bangkok Sewerage Syste | m Project | 2. COSTS OF (US\$1=27.3B) PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 116,160 69,100 | After the study, F/S was implemented and Japanese experts |
| Public Utilities/ Sewe | rage | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | went to Thailand for technical assistance. |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Bangkok City has some problems such as flooding in rainy season and water pollution of river in dry season. | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P+(F/S) | Several studies on those problems have been carried out. This study was to review the previous study reports and to make | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Department of Drainage | and Sewerage, BMA | new master plan in order to obtain the practical plan. Scope of the study is limited for sewerage system planning. | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Planning on the counte and flood | rmeasure of pollution | | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Mar.1979 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nihon Suido Consultant | s Co., Ltd. | Study area is 37,000 ha, same as previous CDM plan, which was divided into 10 sewerage districts. Separate systems have been fundamentally adopted for the system. In central area of the city, however, a combined system has been temporarily adopted. | |
| No. of Members 10 Period Aug. 197 | 3 | Treatment plant is located at the vacant lot of the Tabacco Public Corporation. Treatment method is modified aeration system. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY Topographic Survey | | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total | 397,120 (¥'000) | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER (1) Individual short time training program executed for two persons. (2) Preparation of reports with trainees during the training period. (3) Employment of local consultants for land surveying. (4) Guidance of water quality test | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION (1) |
| Contracted | 377,556 | | |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY Thailand | 3 | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or in Progress Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok City | STATUS Completed |
| Bangkok Sewerage System Project | | 2 PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=27.3B) | Implementing Delayed or Suspended |
| | •. | 2. PROJECT COSTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| | | 1) 32,300 23,200 | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1 | |
| Public Utilities/ Sewerage | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | The development of the sewerage system has been delayed; because higher priority is given to drainage and inundation |
| A DECEDENCE NO | | Project area : 970 ha Intercepting sewer : d 3,000-2,400mm for L=7,100m | control projects in Bangkok. Bangkok Metropolitan Administration(BMA) have undertaken |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Combined sewer : d 8,500-2,000mm for L=1,300m | D/D on two sewage treatment plants (the capacity: 30,000 |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY (M/P)+F/ | /s | Intermediate Pumping Station: 3 stations,Q=13-24cu.m/min Plant: Q=135,000 cu.m/day | cu.m/day and 25,000 cu.m/day). BMA is preparing a request to Japan on another treatment plan with a capacity of |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Inf.BOD= 160 mg/l | 60,000 cu.m/day. |
| Department of Drainage and Sewer | rage, BMA | Eff.BOD= 60 mg/l [Modified aeration process: grit chamber, aeration tank, final sedimentation | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | basin, chlorination chamber, digester,etc.) | |
| F/S on first phase program, as r in M/S | recommended | uigester,ecc.) | |
| | | Implementation Period: 1984 - 1988 | 1 |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W Mar. 1979 | | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | | |
| Nihon Suido Consultants Co., Ltd. | • | Feasibility: Yes | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: In 1982, the celebration of the 200th anniversary of Bangkok as Capital of Thailand, sewerage project was focussed to cope with | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | the water quality problem of canal in the city. | |
| No. of Members 10 | | Sewerage project and Water Disposal Plan were made as a pair. F/S was conducted for the area selected by the investment | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Period Aug.1979 - Feb.198 Jul.1980 - Jul.198 | | efficiency as recommended in M/P. Development impacts are expected with pollution prevention of | |
| Total M/M 186.3 | - (Edmonatorio) | canal and decrease of inundation problem, which area, however, | } |
| Japan 114.3 Field 72.0 | | can not be scaled quantitatively. | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR | | | |
| SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | 1 |
| Topographic survey | $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ | The state of the s | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total 397, 12 Contracted 377, 55 | 20 (¥'000) | (1) Carried out training program for two persons (2) Employment of the local consultant for land survey (3) Equipment granted and instructed for water quality tests | (1) |

ASE THA 203A /82

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|--|----------------------------|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | City of Bangkok | STATUS Delayed Discontinued |
| Bangkok Solid Waste Ma | nagement | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 2) | Followed by F/S. |
| Public Utilities/ Urba | n Sanitation | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | The master plan to improve waste disposal system by the year of 2000 and 67 immediate action programmes. | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P+(F/S) | (1) The master plan includes construction and introduction of; | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Public Cleansing Depar Bangkok Metropolitan A | tment dministration | 5 composting plants, 2 incineration plants, 3 final disposal sites,1,190 collection vehicles, 88 road sweepers, 5 river cleaning boats, 110 barges, 25 dump trucks, 18 bulldozers | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | (2) The immediate action programmes in which 3 levels of priority is shown include improvements in : 1) discharge and collection system 2) transport and transferring system 3) composting plants 4) final disposal system 5) administrative system 6) countermeasures to floods | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Mar.1979 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Tokyo Metropolis Envir Corporation 10. STUDY TEAM | conmental Service | Development Impacts: Public health and living environment for citizens are remarkably improved by modernization of waste disposal systems. | |
| No. of Members 55 | | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Period Aug.19 | 54 | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| | | (1) logical way of thinking of public cleansing works (2) reception of trainees | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 491,070 (¥'000) 447,098 | (3) effective application of local consultants (4) equipment donations and training for effective use | (1) |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|--|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | City of Bangkok | STATUS Completed |
| Bangkok Solid Waste Ma | nagement | 2 PROTECTS (US\$1=26.25B) | Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| | | 2. PROJECT COSTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| | ************************************** | 1) 578,712 352,590 - (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | 3) | A Japanese expert was sent to BMA in 1983 - 1989, and the |
| Public Utilities/ Urba | n Sanitation | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | short-term measures proposed by the study were implemented |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | <u> </u> | Construction of final disposal site 3 1,500t/d Construction of refuse incineration plant 2 1,500t/d X2 | during the period. The Phase II study is being implemented in FY 1990 by the |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | (M/P)+F/S | Construction of rapid type composting plant 2 800t/d | JICA team. Another Japanese expert is currently posted to BMA. |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | |
| Public Cleansing Dept. | J , BMA | | |
| | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| ÷ | | | |
| | • | Implementation Period: 1985 - 2000 | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Mar.1979 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | - |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | Mdf.1979 | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Tokyo Metropolis Envir | J onmental Service | Feasibility: Yes | · |
| Corporation | | Conditions and Development Impacts: | |
| · | | To properly dispose of whole waste targetting the completion in the year 2000 and considering local economic situations. | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | As the development impacts, public health and living environment for citizens are remarkably improved by | |
| No. of Members 55 | 70 715 3800 /5 | modernization of waste disposal systems. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| May 198 | 79 - Feb.1980 (6 months) 30 - Sep.1982 (29months) | | (1) Waste disposal systems shall be updated according to |
| Total M/M 278.0 Japan 124.5 | | | economical development as waste are continuously generated. |
| Field 153.5 | 54 | | (2) High priority: One of 5 major projects in Bangkok metropolis 5 year plan. |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | (3) Implementation: recommendations will be wisely implemented by National Ministry of Thailand and |
| GGEORIKACIEDGIODI | | | Bangkok Metropolitan Administration |
| | | | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | 401 000 00000 | (1) training to the local staff through OJT. (2) reception of trainees ,6 local staff | (1) |
| Total Contracted | 491,070 (¥'000) 447,098 | (3) effective application of local consultants. | |

March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY 2. NAME OF STUDY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA Eastern seaboard (Rayong and Chonburi changwats) | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting STATUS Completed Completed | | |
| East Coast Water Resou (Phase II) | orces Development | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=23Bahts) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 198,260 82,608 | Delayed or Suspended | | |
| 3. SECTOR Social Infrastructures | s/ Water Resource | (US\$1,000) 2) 329,565 134,782 3) 69,130 17,391 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | - (b) of 2): OECF E/S loan agreement in Feb. 1990 | | |
| Development 4. REFERENCE NO. | T | 1) Klong Luan: (a) Multi-purpose dam (h.425.m); (b) canal connecting the | <pre>(204 million yen) 1) and 3): Suspended after the completion of the F/S.</pre> | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | r/s | dam and Chonburi; (c) irrigation and drainage (6,600ha) 2) Klong Yay: (a) Multi-purpose dam (h.42.5m); (b) canal connecting Nong Pla | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Royal Irrigation and I | _} | (a) Multi-purpose dam (n.42.5m); (b) canal connecting wong Fla Lai Dam and Nong Kho Dam; (c) irrigation and drainage (7,700ha) 3) Klong Tap Mah; (a) Multi-purpose dam (h. 28.9m); (b) irrigation and drainage | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY Feasibility analysis | of three dams | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: 1984 - 1996 | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Feb.1982 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS 1) 16.14 | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Consultants, Inc. |] and Nikken | Feasibility: Yes 2) 15.0% 3) 12.1% Conditions and Development Impacts: Benefits of the projects are estimated as follows. | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM No. of Members 12 Period Jul. 19 | 82 - Mar.1983 (9 months) | (Unit: million Bahts) Water Demand Agri. Dev. Flood Control Total 1) 423.3 180.7 49.8 653.8 2) 793.6 198.2 57.2 1,049.0 | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | | |
| Total M/M Japan Field | | 3) - 81.7 19.5 101.0 | | | |
| 1. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted |] 184,263 (¥'000) | | (1) | | |

| I. OUTLINE OF | F STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY T | hailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY Rama VI Bridge Rehabilitat | tion Project | The Rama VI bridge and neighboring areas, northern Bangkok 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=26 Bahts) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | STATUS Completed Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR | | 1) 1,353 (US\$1,000) 2) 142 3) | (Description) |
| Transportation/ Railway 4. REFERENCE NO. 5. TYPE OF STUDY 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY State Railway of Thailand | /D | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) (1) Survey to confirm present status riverbed scouring; Geological survey; Vibration survey (2) Analysis of causes of deformation (3) study on repair policies (4) Basic design (5) Study on construction methods (6) Approximate calculation of costs (7) Detailed design (8) Preparation of calculation sheets for work execution (9) Cost estimation | Short-term plan * Completed with domestic funds the purpose of the project was tentatively attained. * Repair work on bridge piers and shoe resetting were implemented and the restriction on train speed lifted. Long-term plan * Double-tracking has not yet started due to its relation with a new road bridge. However, it seems that the State Railway of Thailand has started to make budgetary arrangements to repair |
| D/D and cost estimation, e bidding documents on the the Rama VI bridge, which is collapse | rehabilitaion of | (10) Preparation of specifications cost 1) above is far bridge piers and cost 2) for shoe resetting Implementation Period: 1) 10 months 2) 3 months | the Rama VI Bridge and double-track it, in order to implement the double-tracking after construction of the New Rama Bridge that is now under way. |
| 8. DATE OF S/W Ma 9. CONSULTANT(S) Japan Railway Technical Se | r.1981 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS Feasibility: | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | Conditions and Development impacts: In the short term, the current restrictions on large rolling stock and train speed are to be continued. In the long term, such measures as the repairing of bridge | |
| No. of Members 18 | Dec.1982 (11 months) | piers and shoe resetting are to be implemented. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY -Survey by divers -Vibration survey -Excavation survey on bridge -Riverbed survey (by ship) 12. EXPENDITURE | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER 1) OJT and JICA training program for counterparts 2) Employment of local consultants | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION (1) (2) |
| Total Contracted | 87,560 (¥'000) 81,093 | 2) Employment of local consultants | |

ed March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Eastern Coastal Zone of Thailand between Dok Krai and Mab Ta Pud | STATUS Completed |
| Dok Krai - Mab Ta Pud I Project in the East Co | | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=230Yen=23B) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 39,214 13,026 26,188 | O Implementing Delayed or Suspended O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) | |
| Social Infrastructures Development | / Water Resource | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Nong Pla Lai Dam: 200MCM | Date of completion of detail design: Sep.1982 Date of conclusion of L/A of the requested loan granted by the Japanese Government |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Pipeline: 27.6 km | (320 million yen): Jul. 1982 Date of completion: Jun. 1984 |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | D/D | Irrigation Water Drainage System: 3,650 ha | Date of commencement of service: Sep. 1983 |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | ريوسون د الماليون و من الماليون و br>الماليون و الماليون و | | |
| Royal Irrigation Department(RID) | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Executive design for compipeline between Dok K. Ta Pud | onstruction of rai reservoir and Mab | Implementation Period: Mar. 1983 - Aug. 1984 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Oct.1980 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | 000.1700 | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 11.23 | |
| CTI Engineering Co., Ltd. Sanyu Consultants Inc. | | Feasibility: Yes | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: After deducting tax, insurance subsidy and indemnity from the construction cost reckoned on the preliminary design as the | |
| Total M/M 87. Japan 39. Field 48. 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | 0 | basis. Regional development of the eastern coastal zone is anticipated by the supply of municipal, industrial and irrigation water. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS (1) High degree of priority: The industrialization of the east coast region was the No.1 priority project of the Government of Thailand (2) RID was directly commissioned by the Prime Minister to pushing forward of the project |
| Survey Geological Survey | | | A DENOTE A COURT OF DETAILS AND A |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 223,594 (¥'000) 206,221 | OJT and JICA training program for counterparts | (1) |

ASE THA 501/82

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|---|--|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Two camps for Laotian refugees in the northeastern part of Thailand | STATUS Delayed Discontinued |
| Water Supply Project to Persons: Nakhon Phanom Camp | o Laotian Displaced Camp and Pak Chom | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 2) | After the completion of the study, the proposed tube wells were constructed by the Japanese grant aid. |
| Social Infrastructures, Development | / Water Resource | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | 1st phase study: Underground water survey at Nakhon Phanom Camp (test | · |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | Basic Study | boring at 4 sites and identification of 2 sites for tube wells) | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Ministry of Interior | | 2ndt phase study: Underground water survey at Pak Chom Camp (test boring at 4 sites and identification of 2 sites for tube wells) | · · |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Survey of underground t | water resources | | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Japan Engineering Consu | ultants, Inc. | The project will supply potable water for Laotian refugees (20,000 persons at Nakhon Phanom and 50,000 persons at Pak Chom). | |
| | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | |
| No. of Members 8 Period Feb. 198 | 2 - Nov.1982 (10 months) | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 36.6 | 6 | | |
| Japan 2.9 Field 33.7 | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| | | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 100,465 (¥'000) 98,916 | | |

ASE THA 204A /83

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | | III. PRESENT | STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|---|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | | 1. PRSENT | In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Coastal area, Layon Province | | STATUS | ☐ Delayed ☐ Discontinued |
| Development Project of on the Eastern Seaboar | | PROPOSED PLAN OR | 1=240Yen) Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 888,220 2) | 570,800 56,560 | | ncluded in the national development plan. Detailed Design Phase. |
| Transportation/ Port | | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Development of Layon Province, Composed Port, Residential Area. | of Industrial Base, | • | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P+(F/S) | Master Plan(year 2000): | | | • |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Industrial development Gas separation Soda Ash compl | | | |
| Industrial Estate Authority of Thai | | Port Development 45 berths, Bre Urban Plan New Town 575 | ak water ha | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY Development of Eastern | | Population = 7 Relevant Infrastructure Road, Railway, W | | | |
| | | Short Term Development: (1) Soda Ash Fertilizer, Petro Chemica (2) 13 berths (3) New Town 131 ha | 1 | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | May 1982 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPA | CIS | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Overseas Coastal Area of Japan Kokusai Kogyo Co., Ltd | • • • | To promote the Heavy industry at Easter | n Seaboard Development . | | |
| No. of Members 9 | | | | 2. MAJOR REAS | ONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 65.3 Japan 36. Field 28.7 | 6 | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | |
| Geological survey | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | | 4 DDINGTON C | OTHICES OF BEODMATION |
| | | Giving lecture on methods for Planning estates. | Ports and Industrial | | OURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 2. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 412,019 (¥'000) 411,680 | estates. | | (1) (2) | |

March 1986 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY 2. NAME OF STUDY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA Coastal Area, Layon Province | 1. PRSENT Completed or In Progress Promoting STATUS Completed | |
| | of the Industrial Port pard | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=239.2Yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 1,808,940 668,491 | Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelle | |
| 3.SECTOR Transportation/ Port | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | (Description) Sep.1983 OECF E/S loan (1,720 million yen) | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Urgent Plan: Project Components Scale of development Industrial Estate Area 410 ha, Quay wall 820 m | Sep.1984 OECF loan on Maptaput Industrial Port (5,610 million yen) Oct.1985 OECF loan on Maptaput Industrial Port (16,050 million yen) and Industrial Estate (3,207 million | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY 6. COUNTERPART AGEN | (M/P)+F/S | Public Port area Quay Wall 850m, wharf 280 m Breakwater 3,000 m Urban Area Area 157 ha | yen) Oct.1985 D/D on Maptaput Port completed Jan.1986 D/D on Industrial Estate completed | |
| | uthority of Thailand, | Urban Area Area 157 ha Railway 23.6 km 1) Industrial Port | Dec.1987 Construction of the Industrial Estate commenced Nov.1988 OECF loan on Satahip-Maptaput Railway (3,002 million yen) | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | <u> </u> | 2) Industrial Estate | | |
| | May 1982 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS 1) 15.7% 4.48% | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | May 1982 | THE A COLD POPULATION | | |
| | ea Development Institute | Feasibility: Yes 2) 19.82% | | |
| of Japan Kokusai Kogyo Co., Ltd. | | Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions of Cargo Forecast: 1986 GDP = 4,350 A Bahts | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM No. of Members 9 | | 2000 GDP = 11,200 A Bahts Conditions of Industrial Development: | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | |
| | 1982 - Nov.1983 (17 months) | GNP Growth (1981 - 1986) 6.6% per annum Manufacturing sector growth 7.6% per annum Export oriented Industry 15.0% per annum | (1) To formulate the core of development | |
| Japan | 55.31 36.6 28.71 | The effect: To be the core of Heavy industrial development in Eastern Seaboard Development Plan. | (2) High priority in Thailand National Plan | |
| 1. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STU | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contract | 412,019 (¥'000) ted 411,680 | Giving lecture on methods for Planning Ports and Industrial Estates | (1) (2) | |

ASE THA 204B /83

Compiled March 1986 Revised March 1991

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMA | ARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. P | RESENT STATUS OF S | TUDIED PROJECT |
|--|------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | | 1, PRSENT | Completed or in Progress | Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Chonburi | | STATUS | Completed | |
| Nong Kho - Leam Chaban Project | g Water Pipeline | 2. PROJECT COSTS | (US\$1=230Yen=23B) | | Implementing Processing | Delayed or Suspended Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 120,000 | | | Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | | <u> </u> | Decouling of Carcelled |
| 3, SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) | 16,300 7,100 | (Description |) | |
| Public Utilities/ Wate | J er Suppl v | 3) | nnovem of | 1984 Sep. | OECF E/S loan agreement (| |
| | ** | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR Pipeline (metal pipe, | diameter 1,000mm, 14.4km) | 1985 Oct. 1987 May | OECF loan agreement (1,36 Construction commenced | 3 million yen) |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1988 Dec. | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | | | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | 1 | | | • | |
| Public Works Dept., Mi | nistry of Interior | | | 1 | | |
| 7 ODUCATIVES OF SELECT | <u> </u> | - | | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | J | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: | 1987 - 1988 | 1. | | |
| | | | | | • | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jul.1983 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND | EIRR FIRR | 1 | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | 11.6% 9.6% | | | |
| Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Consultants, Inc. | and Nikken | Feasibility: | | | | |
| consultantes, Inc. | | Conditions and Developmen | nt Impacts: r was projected for 1995 and 2001. | | | |
| | | The existing reservoir | will not be able to satisfy the | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | J | pipeline from outside | water must be conveyed by the the area. | 0.1/1105.5 | ATLACATO FOR PRESENTE COLO | 710 |
| No. of Members 7 Period - (7 | months) | The project is indi development in the are | spensable for the industrial and urban | | REASONS FOR PRESENT STAT | |
| Total M/M 31. | | actorophone in the are | | | impact: the industrial deve rea is dependent on this p | |
| Гареа 13 | 33 | | | 2) Close | linkage with other project: | : development in Laem |
| Field 17. | 1 | _ | | 3) High p | riority | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | 4) Streng | th of the executing agency | : strong support by NESDB |
| | - . | | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFI | ER I | 3. PRINCIPA | AL SOURCES OF INFORMATI | ON |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | 1) On-the-job training | | (1) | | |
| Total | J 75,218 (¥'000) | 2) Acceptance of count | erparts for the training in Japan | | | |
| Contracted | 78,467 | <u></u> | | | | |

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or in Progress Promoting | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Greater Bangkok | STATUS Completed | |
| Second Stage Expresswa Greater Bangkok | y System in the | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=260Yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | ☐ Implementing ☐ Delayed or Suspended ☐ Processing ☐ Discontinued or Cancelled | |
| | | 1) 645,800 391,200 | (Description) | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | | |
| Transportation/ Road | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Description Scale | D/D of the Second Expressway was undertaken by a consortium of 5 consulting firms. | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Toll Expressway 28 km | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | r/s | (elevated) | In September 1988, ETA decided to implement the project with the private sector investment and the contract was | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | granted to the Bangkok Expressway Consortium in December of the same year. ETA allowed one year for the acquisition of | |
| Expressway and Rapid T | ransit Authority(ETA) | | right of way. | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | |
| Road planning | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: 1987 - 1995 | | |
| 8, DATE OF S/W | Mar.1982 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | 1.0.2.1.7.0. | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 17.0% 12.0% | | |
| Pacific Consultants In | ternational | Feasibility: Yes | | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: Condition: Future traffic volume was forecasted for the | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | targetted year 1990,2000,2010 on the basis of O-D | | |
| No. of Members 16 | • | survey made by home interviews. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | |
| Period May 1.98 Total M/M 60. | 32 - Nov.1983 (18 months) | Development Impact: Traffic congestion in the city is expected to be alleviated. | (1) Effectiveness: Speeding up of vehicles | |
| Japan 8.0 Field 51.1 | 56 | | (2) Priority: Traffic volume of the First Stage exceeded the anticipated figure; therefore, toll revenu will increase and priority of Second Stage is high. | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | and the personal or second seader to highe | |
| Topographic survey Geological survey | | | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | |
| Traffic survey | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 260,230 (¥ '000) 250,242 | (1) Overseas training for 2 counterpart staff(2) Employment of local consultants for topographic and geological survey | (1) (2) | |

ASE THA 312/83

ed March 1990 d March 1991

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Upper part of the Southern Region (pop.1.1 million) | STATUS Delayed |
| Sub-Regional Developme Southern Part | nt of the Upper | 2. COSTS OF (US\$1=23Bahts) PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1,055,304 | After the completion of the study, ADB reviewed 10 high priority projects and endorsed their validity. |
| Development Plan/ Inte Development Plan | grated Regional | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | The Southern Seaboard Development Committee (chaired by the Prime Minister) was established in 1989. Under the purview of this Committee, a study on the development |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | The study proposed 10 high priority projects at the total cost of 24,272 million baht. | of Southern Thailand is being implemented, including |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P | Surat Thani Industrial Estate Phuket Airport Industrial Estate and Export Processing Zone | the East-West Link, the Krabi Oil Refinery and Pipeline, and the Khanom Deep-sea Port, with World Bank |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | 3) East-West Link 4) Surat Thani International Port (Khanom Deep-sea Port) | finance. 3) With JICA technical assistance, the Tourism Authority |
| National Economic and Board (NESDB) | Social Development | 5) Krabi Oil Refinery and Pipeline 6) Phuket Urban Development | of Thailand implemented a master plan study on tourism in Southern Thailand (1988). 4) With JICA technical assistance, the Dept. of Highways |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | 7) Surat Thani Urban Development 8) Central Lowland Development | of the Ministry of Communications is implementing a |
| Formulation of a regio | nal development plan | 9) Tapi-Phum Duang River Management 10) Phuket Water Supply | master plan study on the road network (the East-West Link) in Southern Thailand. 5) The Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand is |
| | | Note: The cost shown above pertains to the ten high priority projects. | making preparations for a study on the Kaen Krung Dam proposed ad part of the Tapi-Phum Duang River Management, but the problem of relocation is yet unsolved. |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Nov.1982 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | 6) Unilever and other private enterprises have been active in the Central Lowland Development. |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) International Developm and Pacific Consultant | | Development impacts: 1) Lessening of the concentration of economic activities in Greater Bangkok and more decentralized economic growth 2) Agricultural development (agricultural land development of unutilized or underutilized land and an increase of | active III file central powrant peagrobusing. |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | agricultural exports) 3) Industrial development (Sophistication of processing | |
| Total M/M 157. | | industries) 4) Tourism development (beach resorts, etc.) 5) Energy development (hydro-power, thermal power (coal), refining of Middle East petroleum) 6) Development of two urban cores (Surat Thani and Phuket) | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Japan 20. Field 136. | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | •. | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Participation of counterparts in the JICA training program OJT for the counterparts through joint work | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | | (1) |
| Total Contracted | 431,827 (¥'000) 416,274 | | |

ASE THA 205A /84

| I. OUTLI | NE OF STUDY | II. SUM | MARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT | r status of use of si | UDY RESULTS |
|---|--|---|--|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | | 1. PRSENT | In Progress or In Use | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | | | STATUS | Delayed Discontinued | |
| Development Project Area | of Leam Chabang Coastal | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS | (US\$1=23B) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | Discontinued | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) | 1) 397,000 214,000 2) | • | | |
| Development Plan/ In Development Plan | ategrated Regional | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) | فالمناف والمناف | | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | | | | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P+(F/S) | | | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENC | Y |] | | | | |
| Industrial Estate Au | thority of Thailand | | | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | 7 | | | ļ ! | | |
| Formulation of a mas development of Laem feasibility analysis | ter plan for the Chabang Area and of the short-term plan | | | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | · |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Sep.1983 | 4. CONDITIONS AND I | DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | • | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | | | | | |
| Nippon Koei Co., Ltd | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | | | · | |
| No. of Members Period Jan. | 1984 - Mar.1985 (15 months) | | | 2. MAJOR REAS | SONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | |
| Total M/M 6 | 5.31 6.60 | | | | | |
| | 8.71 | | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUI | Y | | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANS | SFER T | | | |
| | | | den 1. 1 . de production de la company de La company de la company d | 3. PRINCIPAL S | SOURCES OF INFORMATION | |
| 2. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 255,314 (¥'000) 181,733 | | | (1) | | |

| Area 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1-238) (US\$1-238) (US\$1-238) | I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|---|--|
| Development Project of Leam Chabang Coastal Aroa 2. PROJECT COSTS Total Cost 1 | <u></u> | Thailand | | | |
| 2. PROJECT COSTS Cost Cos | | Loam Chahana Casatal | Laem Chabang (120km southeast of Bangkok) | | |
| 3. SECTOR Development Plan/ Integrated Regional Development Plan Integrated Regional Development Plan Integrated Regional Development Plan Integrated Regional Development Plan Integrated Regional A. REFERENCE NO. 5. TYPE OF STUDY (M/P)+F/S For the Polymont Plan For the Polymont | | heam chapang coastal | 2. PROJECT COSTS | | |
| Development Plan/ Integrated Regional 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) 8. Development Plan 8. REFERENCE NO. 5. TYPE OF STUDY (M/P)+F/S 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Industrial Estate Duthority of Thailand 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. DATE OF SAW 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. In Section 8. In Section 8. In Section 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 8. In Section 9. CONSULTANT(S) 8. In Section 9. CONSULTANT(S) 10. STUDY TEAM No. of Members 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) | |
| Major components of the short-term development plan: - Industrial Estate 2 Séba - 100 - 10 | | rated Regional | | 1985 Oct. OECF loan agreement on the industrial estate (2,922 million yen) | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY (M/P)+P/S 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Industrial Estate Authority of Thailand 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY Formulation of a master plan for the development of Laem Chabang Area and feasibility analysis of the short-term plan 8. DATE OF S/W 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Keei Co., Ltd. No. of Members Period Jan. 1984 - Mar. 1985 (15 months) Tolal M/M 65.31 Japan 36.60 Field 28.71 II. ASSOCIATED AND/DOR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY Port Development 17RR to the industrial estate, and 2) FIRR for the housing estate. Port Development 1990 - 1989 Implementation Period: 1995 - 1989 Implementation Period: 1995 - 1989 Implementation Period: 1995 - 1989 A. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR III SASSUMPTIONS 1) 19.24 8.44 Peasibility: Yes 2) 4.84 Conditions: EIRR: Adjusted the price with the standard Conversion Factor of | | | | 1987 Sep. OECF loan agreement on the industrial estate | |
| Industrial Estate Authority of Thailand | | (M/P)+F/S | - Housing Estate 130ha | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY Formulation of a master plan for the development of Laem Chabang Area and feasibility analysis of the short-term plan B. DATE OF S/W Sep. 1983 Sep. 1983 4. FEASIBILITY AND ITS ASSUMPTIONS 11 19.24 8.44 P. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: EIRR: adjusted the price with the Standard Conversion Factor of 0.92; Benefits consist of value added in the industrial estate FIRR: Calculated for the investments and for entities in charge of development (FIRR for the developing entity is calculated to be 8.04 for the industrial estate and 114 for the housing estate) Total M/M 65.31 Inpm 36.60 Field 28.71 II. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY A PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION A PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION A PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | | | - Related infrastructure 22ha | | |
| Formulation of a master plan for the development of Laem Chabang Area and feasibility analysis of the short-term plan Implementation Period: 1985 - 1989 | Industrial Estate Author | ority of Thailand | | | |
| development of Laem Chabang Area and feasibility analysis of the short-term plan Implementation Period: 1985 - 1989 | 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: EIRR: adjusted the price with the Standard Conversion Factor of O.92: Benefits consist of value added in the industrial estate Period Jan.1984 - Mar.1985 (15 months) Total M/M 65.31 Inpan 36.60 Field 28.71 II. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY Note: 1) EIRR and FIRR are for the industrial estate, and 2) FIRR for the housing estate. Tis ASSUMPTIONS 1) 19.2* 8.4* 4.8* Conditions and Development Impacts: Conditions: EIRR: adjusted the price with the Standard Conversion Factor of O.92: Benefits consist of value added in the industrial estate FIRR: calculated for the investments and for entities in charge of development (FIRR for the developing entity is calculated to be 8.0* for the industrial estate and 11* for the housing estate) Development impacts are creation of employment, increased foreign exchange earnings, and regional economic growth. Note: 1) EIRR and FIRR are for the industrial estate, and 2) FIRR for the housing estate. 3) Close Ilinkage with other projects 4) Strength of the executing Agency | development of Laem Cha | abang Area and | Implementation Period: 1985 - 1989 | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. Feasibility: Yes Conditions and Development impacts: Conditions: EIRR: adjusted the price with the Standard Conversion Factor of 0.92; Benefits consist of value added in the industrial estate FIRR: Calculated for the investments and for entities in charge of development [FIRR] for the developing entity is calculated to be 8.0% for the industrial estate and 11% for the housing estate) Development impacts are creation of employment, increased foreign exchange earnings, and regional economic growth. Note: 1) EIRR and FIRR are for the industrial estate, and 2) FIRR for the housing estate. PIRASSUMPTIONS 1) 19.2% 8.4% 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS 1) Large impact: employment creation, increased foreign exchange, transfer of technology 2) High priority: one of the major projects to be implemented during the 5th development plan 3) close linkage with other projects 4) Strength of the executing Agency | 8. DATE OF S/W | Sep.1983 | in the constitution is a second constitution of the constitution o | | |
| Conditions and Development impacts: Conditions: EIRs: adjusted the price with the Standard Conversion Factor of 0.92; Benefits consist of value added in the industrial estate FIRR: Calculated for the investments and for entities in charge of development (FIRR for the developing entity is calculated to be 8.0% for the industrial estate and 11% for the housing estate) Total M/M 65.31 Inpan 36.60 Field 28.71 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY Conditions and Development impacts: Conditions: EIRs: adjusted the price with the Standard Conversion Factor of 0.92; Benefits consist of value added in the industrial estate Pirac in Charge of development (FIRR for the developing entity is calculated to be 8.0% for the industrial estate and 11% for the housing estate) Development impacts are creation of employment, increased foreign exchange earnings, and regional economic growth. Note: 1) EIRR and FIRR are for the industrial estate, and 2) FIRR for the housing estate. Note: 1) EIRR and FIRR are for the industrial estate, and 2) FIRR for the housing estate. 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | | | 2) 404 | | |
| No. of Members Period Jan. 1984 - Mar. 1985 (15 months) Total M/M 65.31 Japan 36.60 Field 28.71 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY FIRR: Calculated for the investments and for entities in charge of development (FIRR for the developing entity is calculated to be 8.0% for the industrial estate and 11% for the housing estate) Development impacts are creation of employment, increased foreign exchange earnings, and regional economic growth. Note: 1) EIRR and FIRR are for the industrial estate, and 2) FIRR for the housing estate. 3 PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | | | Conditions: EIRR: adjusted the price with the Standard Conversion Factor of | | |
| Total M/M 65.31 Japan 36.60 Field 28.71 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY Position of employment impacts are creation of employment, increased foreign exchange earnings, and regional economic growth. Note: 1) EIRR and FIRR are for the industrial estate, and 2) FIRR for the housing estate. 1) Large impact: employment creation, increased foreign exchange, transfer of technology 2) High priority: one of the major projects to be implemented during the 5th development plan 3) close linkage with other projects 4) Strength of the executing Agency 3 PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | No. of Members | 4 - Mars 1005 /15 marsh at | of development (FIRR for the developing entity is calculated to | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | |
| 3 PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | Total M/M 65.3 Japan 36.6 Field 28.7 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR | 1 | estate) Development impacts are creation of employment, increased foreign exchange earnings, and regional economic growth. Note: 1) EIRR and FIRR are for the industrial estate, and 2)FIRR for the housing estate. | High priority: one of the major projects to be implemented during the 5th development plan close linkage with other projects | |
| 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | | | | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total 255, 314 (¥'000) Contracted 181, 733 | Total | | | | |

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Entire Bangkok Metropolitan Area | STATUS Completed |
| Track Elevation Project Lines in the Bangkok Ma | | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=23B) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 158,000 100,000 48,000 | O Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | (rescription) |
| Transportation/ Railway | y | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Civil work US\$ 125 million | The State Railway of Thailand and the Ministry of Communications decided to implement the track elevation by the BOT system. SRT invited the private sector application |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Land procurement US\$ 2000 million Electric facilities US\$ 30.9 million | in December 1988, but received no response. By offering better access to the SRT-owned land, the invitation was |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | Rolling stock US\$ 68.6 million | announced again in October 1989. In November 1990, SRT signed the contract of 80 billion bahts (about 400 billion |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Track elevation will be mainly carried out in the following | yen) with Hopewell of Hongkong. |
| State Railway of Thaila | and | sectionsBangkok Station - Bang Sue Station -Yoma Pot, Chit-La-Da Junction - Makkasan Station } 13 km | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | -Makkasan Station - Mae Nam Station | |
| Increasing the efficier safety of train operation at its congestion at | ion and elimination of | Implementation Period: 1984 - 1997 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jun.1983 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 16% - 20% | |
| Japan Railway Technical | l Service | Feasibility: | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | Conditions and Development Impacts: (1) Preconditions 1] With/without analysis conducted 2] Project life estimated to be 30 years | |
| No. of Members 13 | | 3] 1 baht = 10 yen 4] As for the transfer of traffic, only that from | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 53.2 Japan 36.1 Field 17.0 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY Geological and traffic vo entrusted to a local cons | 9 8 lume surveys were | buses was considered. (2) Development impacts 1) Alleviation of traffic congestion at level crossings owing to track elevation. 2) Alleviation of road traffic congestion owing to passengers transferring from buses to the railway due to the latter's punctuality and faster speeds 3) Elimination of geographical separation and promotion of urban facilities development owing to track elevation. 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION (1) (2) |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 144,855 (¥'000) 136,251 | (1) OJT: Technical quidance was provided to counterparts on such matters as the preparation of O-D tables. | (1) (2) |

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|--|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | <u> </u> | the entire coastal areas | STATUS O Completed |
| Comprehensive Developme Shipping | ent of Coastal | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=251.1yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 528 | O Implementing Delayed or Suspended O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) % 2) | |
| Transportation/ Marine Ships | Transportation & | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) 1) Present status of physical distribution and selection of | Suspended after the completion of the study. A short-term expert (2 months) was sent in 1985 and 1986 |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | major commodities for domestic shipping 2) Present status of the domestic shipping industry | to give advice on the legislation on domestic shipping and its promotion. |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | 3) Cargo throughputs and present facilities of regional | The project requires the government finance, and the implementation was suspended because some legislative |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | ports 4) Present freight movements by transportation mode and the | improvement is necessary for reviewing the operation of |
| Office of the Mercanti Commission, Ministry of | | possibility of transfer from other modes to domestic shipping 5) Formulation of a development plan for the domestic | domestic shipping companies. |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | shipping industry and regional ports 6) Economic and financial analysis of the operations of | |
| Formulation of a compre plan for the coastal sl ports | | domestic shipping and regional ports Implementation Period: Jul.1983 - Oct.1984 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Feb.1983 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Maritime International Japan, and Overseas Coa Institute of Japan | Cooperation Center of astal Area Development | Feasibility: No Conditions and Development Impacts: 1) For the shuttle service between Bangkok and Songkhla, a fleet of 7 general cargo boats (700 tons) will be suitable. | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | 2) Institutional measures for domestic shipping: Legislation of the domestic shipping act; clear separation | |
| No. of Members 11 Period Jul. 198 | 3 - Oct.1984 (16 months) | between international and domestic shipping; establishment of the ship registry; introduction of the permit system on | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 39. Japen 37. Field 2. 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR | 5 5 | ship construction; submission of the operation reports 3) Measures for promoting domestic shipping: Preferential treatment by the Investment Promotion Act; Fiscal incentives; simplification of freight documents and improvement of customs procedures; establishment of the institutional finance to give soft long-term loans | Change of priority Problem of demand: difficulty of providing transportation service with profit |
| SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 219,015 (¥'000) 88,824 | OJT on the operation of domestic shipping and ports Participation of the counterparts in the JICA training program | (1) (2) |

| ASE THA 601 /84 | | A Mark Company of the | | | Revised March 1991 |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| I. OUTLI | NE OF STUDY | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS | |
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | | 1. PRSENT In Pro | ogress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Entire country | | STATUS 🗌 Delay | |
| Traffic Safety Plan | for Roads | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS | Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) | | study, the Dept. of High | nes and other suggestions of the ways have been installing necessary |
| Transportation/ Gene | eral | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) P | ROPOSED | traffic-safety facilitie | s. |
| . REFERENCE NO. | | In order to promote the study conducted (1 | traffic safety in road transport,) collection and analysis of road | | |
| S. TYPE OF STUDY | Other | traffic data, (2) iden | tification of high-risk areas, (3) facilities, (4) planning of physical | | |
| . COUNTERPART AGENC | CY | facilities, and (5) me | dium- and long-term plan for | | |
| Dept. of Highways, N Communications | Ministry of | installing physical fa | CITITIES. | | |
| OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | Y | 1 | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Th. 1002 | A COMPLETONS AND DE | WEL ODMENT IMPACTS | | |
| | Feb.1983 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DE | VELOPMENT IMPACTS | | |
| O.CONSULTANT(S) International Engine Association, Central Chodai Co., Pacific International, and I | Consultant, Inc., Consultants | | | | |
| 0. STUDY TEAM | | | | | |
| No. of Members 11 Period May | 1983 - Dec.1984 (19 months) | | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PF | RESENT STATUS |
| | 54.5 10.5 44.0 | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUI | DY | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSF | ne counterparts in the JICA training | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF | INFORMATION |
| - Propringer on | | program | | (1) (2) | |
| 2. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 332,824 (¥'000) 1 142,810 | 2) Gift of equipment | (2 micro-comupters) | | |

ASE THA 206A /85

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok Metropolitan Area | STATUS Delayed Discontinued |
| Flood Protection/Drain Suburban - Bangkok | age Project in Eastern | 2. COSTS OF (US\$1= 27 Bahts) PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 233,333 140,740 | Followed by F/S. |
| Social Infrastructures Control | / River & Erosion | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Phase 1 (1987 - 1991) The facilities to alleviate overall flooding for the whole | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P+(F/S) | master plan area such as dykes, gates pumping stations, main Klongs | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | |
| Bangkok Metropolitan A Department of Drainage | dministration, and Sewerage | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Drainage | · · | | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Nov.1982 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Pacific Consultants In Tokyo Engineering Cons | | Flood damage mitigation. | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | |
| No. of Members 12 Period May 198 | 33 - Feb.1986 (32 months) | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Total M/M 115. Japan 60. Field 54. | .5 | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| · | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 4 DENOTED A COLUMNICA OF THE COLUMNICAL |
| | | -Flood control, and combination of drainage | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 487,871 (¥ '000) 331,729 | facilities -Overseas training and observation of drainage facilities in Japan for counterpart staff | (1) |

ASE THA 206B/85

| I. OUTLINE | OF STUDY | II. SUM | IMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PI | RESENT STATUS OF S | rudied project |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|---------------|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | | 1. PRSENT | Completed or in Progress | Promoting |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | East suburban ar | rea of Bangkok | STATUS | Completed | m |
| Flood Protection/Drains Suburban - Bangkok | age Project in Eastern | 2. PROJECT COSTS | (US\$1= 27 Bahts) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | | Implementing Processing | Delayed or Suspended Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | 98,333 51,630 46,703 | (Description) |). | |
| Social Infrastructures Control | / River & Erosion | 3. CONTENTS OF MA. | JOR PROJECT(S) | | completion of F/S, the exe | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Dyke (Barrier) | 5.1 km | On the bas | is of the study, the follo | wing 2 projects are |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | (M/P)+F/S | Sluice gate Pumping Station Klong improvement | 4 places 5 stations(36 cu.m/s) 93 km | (1) Projec | t for improvement of qualicuction of the Flood Contro | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Main drain improveme | ent 4.3 km | JICA 9 | rant. | · · |
| Dept.of Drainage and Se Metropolitan Administra | | Flood control operat | tion center 1 set | 59 pumps w | vere also provided by Japar | nese grant. |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: | Apr.1987 - Mar.1992 | | | · vice |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Nov.1982 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND | EIRR FIRR | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | NOV. 1982 | ITS ASSUMPTIONS | 20.2% | | • | |
| Pacific Consultants Int Tokyo Engineering Consu | | Feasibility: Yes | | | | |
| Tokyo Engineering Const | irtants | Conditions and Develop | | | | · |
| | | floods which occurre | | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | It used to take 2 or only 3 days to 1 wee | r 3 months to recover. But now it takes | | | |
| No. of Members 12 Period May 198 | 3 - Feb.1986 (32 months) | The development impa | | 2. MAJOR R | EASONS FOR PRESENT STA | rus |
| Total M/M 115. Japan 60. Field 54. | 0 5 | | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | : · | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRAN | NSFER | 3. PRINCIPA | AL SOURCES OF INFORMATI | ON |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | 487,871 (¥'000) | facilities managemen | flood control operation, drainage ent/operation. | (1) | | |
| Total Contracted | 487,871 (¥ 000) 331,729 | Overseas training fo | or counterpart staff. | | | |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | 10 towns and villages in the North-Eastern region of Thailand | STATUS Completed |
| Sanitary District Water North-Eastern Region | r Works Project in the | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=27.0B) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 6,463.5 3,383.0 | Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 3) | (Description) |
| Public Utilities/ Wate | r Supply | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) Intake Facility | Suspended after the completion of F/S due to the lack of fund. |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Water Filtration Facility 50 - 100 cu.m/h | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | (Temporary Well, Coagulation Pond, Depositing Reservoir, Filter Bed, etc) | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | Distribution Facility | |
| Department of Public Wo Ministry of Interior | orks, (DPW) | Distribution Pond Max. Daily Capacity:6H Overhead Tanks Capacity: 2H Pumps | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | Distribution Network | |
| Stable supply of clear | water to the area. | | |
| | | Implementation Period: Oct.1986 - May 1989 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jul.1984 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 68 | |
| Sanyu Consultants Inc. | • : | Feasibility: Yes | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: As preconditions, samples of F/S were conducted in 10 districts. | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | Development Impacts: | |
| No. of Members 5 Period Oct.198 | 4 - Feb.1986 (16.5 | Since the construction and development of the water works is to be conducted in the town where the provincial | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| months) Total M/M 48. | | office is, the execution and benefit from this kind of project exerts much influence not only on the town but on | |
| Japan 22. Field 26. | 5 | surrounding districts. | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR | | | |
| SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | Acceptance of 2 trainees from the local counterpart | (i) |
| Total Contracted | 134,763 (¥'000) 126,639 | | |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|----------------------------|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Northeaster Region | STATUS Completed |
| Road Development in th Region (Phase 2) | e North - Eastern | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=20B) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 600 160 | Implementing Delayed or Suspended Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| Transportation/ Road | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | 1988 Nov. OECF loan agreement (4,085 million yen), of which 1,008 million was for the construction and improvement of 7 routes (235.1km) of the |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | New construction and improvement 15 routes (502.1km) | Northeastern Region. 1990 Apr. Construction started |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | Rehabilitation 8 routes (90km) | The rest of new construction and improvement and |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | rehabilitation are to be financed by World Bank and own fund (part of the work is already under way). |
| Dept. of Highways, Min Communications | istry of | | tuno (part of the work is already under way). |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Feasibility analysis of new construction, improvement and rehabilitation of roads | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: Jan. 1985 - Dec. 1987 | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Mar.1984 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS 22 24 - | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Katahira & Engineers I | nternational and | Feasibility: Yes | |
| Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. | | Conditions and Development Impacts: Direct effects: 1) Decrease of transportation costs to road users | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM No. of Members 12 Period Jun. 198 Total M/M 57.5 Japan 5.0 | | Increase of value added of agricultural produce Saving of road maintenance costs Social impacts; Improved access to administrative services Improvement of educational standards Improvement of medical services Narrowing of income disparities | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Field 52.5 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | 6 | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 194,238 (¥'000) 183,479 | 1) OJT; 2) Participation of the counterparts in the JICA training program; 3) Employment of local consultants; 4) Gift of equipment and technical guidance | (1) (2) |

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PR | ROJECT |
|---|--------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA 1. PRSENT Completed or in Progress Promoting | g |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Laem Chabang STATUS Completed | |
| Establishment of a Larg | ge Repair Shipyard | (1002) 160 400 | r Suspended |
| | | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1 = 169.40 Yen) O Processing Discontinu | ued or Cancelled |
| | | 1) 40,000 15,000 25,000 (Description) | |
| 3. SECTOR | | 3) Suspended after the completion of the study b | acause of |
| Transportation/ Marine Ships | Transportation & | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) the low feasibility. The Government has been end the private sector investment. JICA is conduct! | ouraging ng a M/P |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Dry dock 175m x 28m x d.11.1m study on the shipbuilding industry, and reviewing proposal of the study. | g the |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | |
| Board of Investment | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | |
| Feasibility analysis o | f a repair shipyard | | |
| • | | Implementation Period: Jan. 1986 - Mar. 1990 | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Oct.1982 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS 11.4% 5.8% | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | | |
| Overseas Ships Building | g Cooperation Center | Feasibility: Yes | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: The growth rate of the cargo carried by the Thai shipping | |
| | | companies (which has a share of 10% of the total transportation | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | l. | volume) was estimated on the bases of growth of GDP and international trade. The scale of the shipyard was then determined by evaluating the types of ships used and the nature 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | |
| No. of Members 9 Period Jul. 198 | 4 - May 1985 (11 months) | determined by evaluating the types of ships used and the nature of repair work needed. | |
| Total M/M 51. | O | Development effects will be substantial, because the existing capacity of the domestic repair yards is considerably | |
| Japan 28. | 0 | short of the demand. | |
| Field 23. | 0 | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | |
| (A EVDENIDED DE | | 1) Participation of one counterpart in the JICA training (1) | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total | 146,390 (¥'000) | program 2) Employment of local consultants | |
| Contracted | 158,523 | 2) Emproyment of focal consultants | |

March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Coastal rutes of Thailand , 43 rutes | STATUS Completed |
| Dredging Plant Developm | ment Project | 2 PROJECT COCTS (US\$1= 27 Bahts) | ☐ Implementing ☐ Delayed or Suspended ☐ Discontinued or Cancelled |
| | • | Z. PROJECT COSTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled |
| A ARCORON | *************************************** | 1) 9,666 2,730 (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | 3) | Suspended after the completion of F/S due to the lack of |
| Transportation/ Port | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | fund. |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Mechanical center slipways 165 m X 1 Training hopper dredging boat 1 | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | (Hopper Volume: 100 cu.m) | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | |
| Harbour Department, Mir and Communication | nistry of Transport | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | 1 | |
| Frame of long-range dredging plan target in 2000 and development plan including | | | |
| improvement and mainter | nance of facilities. | Implementation Period: Apr. 1988 - Mar. 1991 | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Feb.1985 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 12.2* | · |
| Overseas Coastal Area Dof Japan. | Development Institute | Feasibility: Yes | |
| • | | Conditions and Development Impacts: Comparison the proposal project regard the with case, with the | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | without case. Cost and benefit is shown with cost of 1985 | |
| No. of Members 8 | • | (1 baht = 9.01 yen) As the effect of development, the improvement of the dredging | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| | 5 - Jun.1986 (14 months) | capability, the possibility of the effective maintenance and | Delay due to the ceiling on the government budget |
| Total M/M 49.4 | | repair of the dredging boat, and the possibility of the development for the community are given. | und to the desiring on the government background |
| Japen 18.1 Field 31. | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR | | | |
| SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | |
| | | | 4 DDINGDAL GOUDGES OF AUCODALATION |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | The business training was carried out at some Japanese important port, Port and Harbour Research Institute, and some | (1) (2) |
| Total Contracted | 133,282 (Y'000) 119,922 | important port, Port and Harbour Research Institute, and some shipyard, etc. | |

March 1990

| I. OUTLINE | E OF STUDY | II. SUM | MARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESEN | NT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | | 1. PRSENT | In Progress or In Use |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok Metropoli | ltan Area | STATUS | ☐ Delayed ☐ Discontinued |
| Road Improvement, Reha Safety in Bangkok | abilitation and Traffic | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS | Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) | 1) 2) | | |
| Transportation/ Genera | 1 | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S |) PROPOSED | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | | d basic information on traffic safety ended some road improvements. | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | Other | | | · | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | | • • | • |
| Bangkok Metropolitan A | Administration | | | ÷ | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | 1 | | | |
| Policy recommendations | on traffic safety | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Mar.1985 | 4. CONDITIONS AND | DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) International Engineer Association | ing Consultants | The study result traffic safety meas | s will contribute to the planning process on ures, road improvement and pavement repairs. | | |
| | | | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | | <u> </u> | |
| No. of Members 29 | | | | 2. MAJOR RE | ASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Period Jun. 19 | 85 - Mar.1987 (22 months) | | | | |
| Total M/M Japan | | | | | |
| Field | ·. | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | |
| 30BCONTRACTED 310D1 | . | _ | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRAN | ا ما آخری از این از در در میچه و آن او برای می بردن این از این می بردن این از در این از این ا <mark>ست برستی می</mark> | 3. PRINCIPAL | SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| | | of the counterparts | ation method of pavement; 2) Participation in the JICA training program (road | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 412,771 (¥'000) | administration and | road improvement); 3) Employment of local c survey, inventory survey, pavement survey, | (1) (2) | |
| Colmacicu | | | | <u> </u> | |

ASE THA 602/86

March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PI | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | New Krung Thep Bridge: downstream side of existing Krung Thep Bridge over Chao Phraya River Thon Buri Road; between Middle and Outer Ring Roads, | STATUS Completed |
| New Krungthep Bridge Co Thonburi Road Extension | | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=153Yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | ☐ Implementing ☐ Delayed or Suspended ☐ Processing ☐ Discontinued or Cancelled |
| | · | 1) 190 120 | (Description) |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) 2,470 1,830 | |
| Transportation/ Road | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | The D/D was completed for yen credit application. |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | 1) Krung Thep Bridge: PC box bridge 442 m Four-lane elevated approach | Krung Thep Bridge: Detailed design made by Norcon(Norway) and Thai consultants. |
| | | Simple interchange | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | 2) Thon Buri Road: 9.4 km bypass | (2) Thon Buri Road: Detailed design of the first section (3.5km) completed under a local tender. |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | | |
| Public Works Department | t | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | · |
| Construction of PC brid | dge | | |
| | | Implementation Period: Oct.1988 - Oct.1995 | |
| | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Nov.1985 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | ITS ASSUMPTIONS 1)20* 2)41* | |
| Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. | | Feasibility: Yes | |
| Central Consultant Inc. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Conditions and Development Impacts: | |
| | | Krung Thep Bridge: Estimates were made of the volume of traffic at three points in time in the future. | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | Thon Buri Road: The development plan for the road network covering the whole of Bangkok was used for reference. | |
| No. of Members 10 | | and following the second of th | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS |
| Period Feb. 198 | 6 - Jun.1987 (17 months) | The New Krung Thep Bridge, which will be built next to the existing Krung Thep Bridge, will play a vital role in | (1) Aging of the existing Krung Thep Bridge |
| Total M/M 39.7 Japan 1.7 | | improvement of traffic conditions on the circular roads running | (2) Strong support by Public Works Dept. |
| Field 38.0 | | through Bangkok. Extension of Thon Buri Road will contribute to improvement of | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | conditions in residential areas and to mitigation of traffic jams. | |
| Sublet amount for field s | urvey 9,382,000 yen | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | (1) Two counterpart were invited to Japan for | (1) (2) |
| Total Contracted | 142,329 (¥'000) 129,651 | training (2) Use of local consultants | |

ed March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress | | | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Bangkok, Mae Noni, Bang Sue, and Hat Yai Stations | STATUS Completed | | | |
| Railways Yards Improv | vement | 2 PROJECT COCTS (US\$1=26,455B) | Implementing Delayed or Suspended | | | |
| | | 2. PROJECT COSTS (US\$1=26.455B) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | O Processing Discontinued or Cancelled | | | |
| | | 1) 13,357,783 7,557,656 5,800,127 (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) | | | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (334) (34) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4 | | | | |
| Transportation/ Rails | vay | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | Detailed design completed in December 1987. Part of the high-priority work for Bangkok and Bang Sue stations was | | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Bangkok station Construction of 3 additional arrival/departure lines and improvement of 2 lines. | implemented. At present, the project is progressing in two categories. | | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | F/S | Mae Nani station Construction of shortcut track and | (1) 1st category Work to improve the operational | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENC | | extension of sorting track. | efficiency of main yards and to meet future traffic increase. | | | |
| State Railway of That | | Bang Sue station Conversion of 6 departure tracks into arrival/departure tracks, etc. | * Bangkok yard Construction of a new departure track and 2 arrival tracks, conversion of 2 arrival tracks to arrival/departure tracks, and extension of | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | Hat Yai station Construction of 5 additional sorting tracks. | the effective length(37 million baht, to be | | | |
| 10 years with a targe | ic improvement plan for et year of 2006 -priority yards with a | | completed at the end of 1990). * Ban Phachi yard 25 million baht, to be completed in the middle of 1990. * Other improvements To start as scheduled. | | | |
| target year of 1996 | FITOISO JAMA HIGH A | Implementation Period: Jan. 1987 - Dec. 1991 | (2) 2nd category Smaller-scale work such as platform improvement. | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Aug.1985 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR ITS ASSUMPTIONS 18 29 19 72 | * 5 to 10 yards to be improved every year. | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) | | | | | | |
| Japan Railway Technic Consultants Internati Electrical Consulting | onal, The Japan | Conditions and Development Impacts: (1) Preconditions for IRR calculation 1) Traffic volume is forecasted for the years 1991, 1996 | | | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | , and 2006. | | | | |
| No. of Members 13 Period Dec. 1 | 985 - Jun.1987 (19 months) | 2] Of the yards taken up in the study, four high- priority yards are to be improved by 1991. | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | | | |
| · | | (2) Development impacts | | | | |
| F | .86 | Improvement of yards with bottlenecks will increase passenger traffic. | | | | |
| | .75 | 2] Improvement of yard functions will lead to efficient | | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUD | Y | transport and a reduction in transport cost. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracte | 266,088 (¥'000) d 258,834 | (1) OUT: A centrar was held on measures for yard planning. (2) Courtesparts participated in NICA training program. (3) Instruction, as well as the preparation of a guidebook, on secourse for yard work inprovement. | (1) (2) | | | |

March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY 2. NAME OF STUDY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA Port of Bangkok, Port of Laem Chabang, Port of Map Ta Phut, Port of Sattahip, Port of Phuket, Port of Song Khla | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use STATUS Delayed Discontinued | | |
| Effective Port Manage System | ment and Operation | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | | |
| 3. SECTOR Transportation/ Port | | (US\$1,000) 1) 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | The National Port Administration Commission was established in the Ministry of Transport and Communication by accepting recommendations the study. | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Recommendation of port management | | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | Other | -Determination of fundamental concept for the port planning and development policy. | | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Ministry of Transport | | -Making of the port management policyPreparation for the operation and management as an international port. | | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY -Formulation of a fra operation | lmework for port | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Feb.1986 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) The Overseas Coastal Institute of Japan(OC | Area Development | Effective port service is indispensable for Thai economic activity, and at the same time port development is emphasized its importance as main infrastructure for promotion of industrial location and as a core of regional economical development. | | | |
| Total M/M 99 Japan 48 | 986 - Mar.1988 (8 months) .90 .44 | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUD | Y | | | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER The study of port management was carried out for the counterpart. | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION (1) (2) | | |
| Total Contracted | 265,006 (¥'000) 265,693 | | | | |

ASE THA 603/87

Compiled

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| 1. COUNTRY 2. NAME OF STUDY Flood Forecasting Syst | Thailand em in the Chao Phraya | 1. SITE OR AREA Chao Phraya River 2. COSTS OF | Basin(162,000 sq.km) | 1. PRSENT STATUS | In Progress or In Use Delayed Discontinued |
| River Basin | | PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS | (US\$1=130Yen) Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | of request for grant aid addressed to JICA |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) | 1) 55,948 2) | | portion of the project was drawn up by the |
| Social Infrastructures/ River & Erosion Control | | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED Step 1: Flood forecasting system started with the existing facilities as the bases and by | | Royal Irrigation Department. | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | | | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P | adding auxil | lary equipment as required. | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY Royal Irrigation Department | | equipment a | asting system with latest nd facilities operated under forecasting organizations. | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | 1 | | | |
| Formulation of a flood forecasting system over Chao Phraya river basin | | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jul.1986 | 4. CONDITIONS AND | DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) CTI Engineering Co., Lt Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. | d. | highly reliable floof of flood information River basin. | ng system open up to the possibilities of od forecasting services through collection n from extensive areas of the Chao Phraya | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | etworks of the flood forecasting systems es in communication other than flood | | |
| No. of Members 11 | 1 87 - Jun.1988 (16 months) | forecasting. | | | ASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS gency in charge has intention of making request |
| Total M/M 73. Japan 38. Field 34. | 47 | | | for the grant | t aid, the final stage in approaching to the ncy in charge has not been realized yet. |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | | |
| Survey | | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER Execution of an intensive lecture course to counterparts on hydrologic computation procedures. | | 3. PRINCIPAL | SOURCES OF INFORMATION |
| | | | | | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 209,304 (¥'000) 183,794 | | | (1) | |

ASE THA 207A /88

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULTS | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Central Region (26 changwats, including Bangkoko; 104,000 sq.km, pop. 17 million) | STATUS Delayed Discontinued | |
| Road Development in | the Central Region | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 2) | The study was followed by the feasibility study. | |
| Transportation/ Roa | ď | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | National highways: - The increase of lanes and new highway construction are | | |
| TYPE OF STUDY M/P+(F/S) | | necessary in many places. - It will be necessary in the future to develop a road | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGEN | ICY | network with inter-city expressways. | | |
| Dept. of Highways, Communications | Ministry of | Provincial roads: - 24 routes (total length 629.8km) are selected for feasibility analysis | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUD | Υ | - It will be necessary in the future to improve 85 routes (2,017km) | | |
| Road development | | Repairs: - The study suggested a simple design method for repair work. | | |
| · | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Feb.1987 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Katahira & Engineer Nippon Koei Co., Lt | s International, and d. | See next page. | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | | | |
| No. of Members 10 | 4000 4000 400 | | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | |
| : | .1987 - Mar.1989 (20 months) | | | |
| Total M/M Japan Field | 85.8 15.7 70.1 | | | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY Traffic survey by vehicle type, O/D survey, road inventory survey, boring and road surface survey | | | | |
| | | S TECHENCAL TO ANGLED | | |
| Tuseuroth surselv por | Thy and toad surface survey | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | |
| Z. EXPENDITURE Total | 338,279 (¥'000) ad 328,737 | | (1) | |

d March 1990 March 1991

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF STUDIED PROJECT | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT Completed or Promoting in Progress | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Central Region (26 changwats, including Bangkoko; 104,000 sq.km, pop. 17 million) | STATUS Completed | |
| Road Development in the | e Central Region | 2. PROJECT COSTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost 1) 398,960 202,640 196,320 | Processing Discontinued or Cancelled | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 2) | (Description) | |
| Transportation/ Road 4. REFERENCE NO. | | 3. CONTENTS OF MAJOR PROJECT(S) | 15 routes out of 21 are under construction by OECF finance (L/A 1988 Nov. 4,117 million yen). ML-5 (Chonburi - Pataya) has been under construction since Aug. 1990. | |
| | | 1) Construction of expressways: 7 projects total length 320.3km | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | (M/P)+F/S | 2) Road improvement: 11 projects total length 297.2km | 1990 Dec. OECF loan agreement (15,497 million yen) Construction scheduled to commence in FY1991. | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | 3) Road rehabilitation: 3 projects total length 96.7km | Of the remaining routes, D/D for ML-9 (Bangkok-Chonburi new highway) is under way with World Bank finance. | |
| Dept. of Highways | | | new algaway, is under way with world bank timance. | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | | | |
| Road development | | | | |
| | | Implementation Period: 1991 - 1993 | | |
| | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Feb.1987 | 4. FEASIBILITY AND EIRR FIRR INS ASSUMPTIONS 1)15.1 - 39.68 | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) Katahira & Engineers International, and Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. | | Peasibility: Yes 2)15.1 - 39.6% | | |
| | | Conditions and Development Impacts: | | |
| | | Trunk road projects are selected to alleviate traffic congestions and to support the national project (Eastern | | |
| No. of Members 10 Period Aug.1987 - Mar.1989 (20 months) | | Seaboard Development). Provincial road projects are selected to stimulate regional development and to provide socio-economic needs of the population. Feasibility analysis was undertaken on | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | |
| | | 21 projects which the Dept. of Highways assigned high priority. All the routes analyzed were found to be feasible. | Selected routes were consistent with the policty of the Thai | |
| Total M/M 85. Japan 15. Field 70. | 7 | | Government. | |
| 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | | | |
| Traffic survey by vehicle inventory survey, boring | type, O/D survey, road and road surface survey | | | |
| | • | 5. TECHINCAL TRANSFER | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION | |
| 12. EXPENDITURE Total Contracted | 338,279 (¥'000) 328,737 | | (1) | |

ASE THA 208A /88

| I. OUTLINE OF STUDY | | II. SUMMARY OF STUDY RESULTS | III. PRESENT STATUS OF USE OF STUDY RESULT | |
|--|-------------|---|--|--|
| 1. COUNTRY | Thailand | 1. SITE OR AREA | 1. PRSENT In Progress or In Use | |
| 2. NAME OF STUDY | | Phuket, Phangnga, and Krabi (Greater Phuket) | STATUS Delayed Discontinued | |
| Potential Tourism Development for the Southern Region | | 2. COSTS OF PROPOSED PLAN OR MAJOR PROJECTS Total Cost Local Cost Foreign Cost | (Description) | |
| 3. SECTOR | | (US\$1,000) 1) 1,753,000 526,000 1,227,000 | The study was followed by the feasibility study. | |
| Tourism/ General | | 3. MAJOR PROJECT(S) PROPOSED | | |
| 4. REFERENCE NO. | | Development of tourism resources Conservation of historical sites in Phuket; village | | |
| 5. TYPE OF STUDY | M/P+(F/S) | tourism; Andaman Historical and Cultural Research Center; National park development; training center | | |
| 6. COUNTERPART AGENCY | | - Improvement of tourism infrastructure: | | |
| Tourism Authority of Thailand (TAT) | | Airport; water supply; roads; cruising route improvement urban development; tourism manpower training school - New resort complex: | | |
| 7. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY | | Thai Muang, Khok Kloi beach resort, Phuket Marine center | | |
| projects | | | | |
| 8. DATE OF S/W | Jul.1987 | 4. CONDITIONS AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACTS | | |
| 9. CONSULTANT(S) JCP Co., Ltd. and Pacific Consultants International | | EIRR of the entire package was estimated to be 34.6%. Development impacts: 1) With 1987 as base year, per capita GNP will increase 26.8% by 1991, 55.4% by 1996 and 86.6% by 2001. 2) Employment will increase 2 times by 1991, 2.7 times by | | |
| 10. STUDY TEAM | | 1996 and 3.7 times by 2001. 3) Net foreign exchange earnings will increase 2.7 times by | | |
| No. of Members 16 Period Nov.1987 - Mar.1989 (12 months) Total M/M 58.79 Japan 21.04 | | 1991, 3.7 times by 1996 and 5.5 times by 2001. In addition to the investments mentioned above, it is necessary to | 2. MAJOR REASONS FOR PRESENT STATUS | |
| | | strengthen administrative organizations, such as clear demarkation of responsibility between the central and regional governments (especially on environmental administration, and infrastructural development), good coordination between local administrative bodies, | | |
| | | expansion of the functions of TAT (planning coordination and project | | |
| Field 37.7 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY | | expansion of the functions of TAT (planning, coordination and project implementation capability in addition to tourism promotion) and formation of a wider area coordinating committee of Phuket, Phangaga and Krabi Provinces. | | |
| Field 37.7 | | implementation capability in addition to tourism promotion) and formation of a wider area coordinating committee of Phuket, Phangaga and Krabi Provinces. | | |
| Field 37.7 11. ASSOCIATED AND/OR SUBCONTRACTED STUDY Market survey | | implementation capability in addition to tourism promotion) and formation of a wider area coordinating committee of Phuket, Phangaga | 3. PRINCIPAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION (1) | |