LIST OF FIGURES

| FIG F. 1 | STUDY FLOW CHART |
|--|---|
| FIG.F. 2 | ISOHYETAL MAP IN AND AROUND STUDY AREA |
| FIG.F. 3 | MONTHLY DISTRIBUTION OF RAINFALL |
| FIG.F. 4 | GENERAL CLIMATIC CONDITION IN STUDY AREA |
| FIG.F. 5 | WIND DIRECTION IN AND AROUND STUDY AREA |
| FIG.F. 6 | LOCATION MAP OF TIDE LEVEL STATION |
| FIG.F. 7 | OBSERVED TIDE LEVELS AND TIDAL PARAMETERS |
| FIG.F. 8 | LOCATION MAP OF RAINFALL STATIONS AND THEIR RECORD |
| | AVAILABILITY |
| FIG.F. 9 | LOCATION MAP OF RAINFALL AND WATER LEVEL STATIONS |
| an a | BY TELEMETERING SYSTEM |
| FIG.F.10 | HYETOGRAPH OF MAJOR PAST FLOODS |
| FIG.F.11 | GENERAL CLIMATIC CONDITION CAUSING RAINFALLS |
| FIG.F.12 | ISOHYETAL MAP FOR 3 MONTHS FROM JAN. TO MARCH(1953-1983) |
| FIG.F.13 | PROBABLE BASIN MEAN RAINFALL OF 1 DAY AND 2 DAY DURATION BY |
| | GUMBEL METHOD |
| FIG.F.14 | RAINFALL INTENSITY CURVES |
| FIG.F.15 | RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BASIN MEAN RAINFALL AND POINT RAINFALL |
| FIG.F.16 | DIVISION OF RIVER BASIN FOR ESTIMATION OF FLOOD RUNOFF |
| FIG.F.17 | FLOOD RUNOFF ESTIMATION MODEL DIAGRAM |
| FIG.F.18 | CALCULATED WATER LEVELS IN CUBATÃO AND PEREQUE RIVERS |
| FIG.F.19 | CALCULATED WATER LEVELS IN MOJI RIVER |
| FIG.F.20 | SIMULATION RESULT OF FEB.1971 FLOOD AND FEB.1985 |
| | FLOOD (CASE-1) |
| FIG.F.21 | SIMULATION RESULT OF FEB.1985 FLOOD (CASE-2) AND DEC.1989 |
| | FLOOD (CASE-1 AND CASE-3) |
| DTO 12 00 | DIVIDITATAN AND LAND ADDA DI AMARKA |

- FIG.F.22 DIVISION OF LAND AREA BY MESH
- FIG.F.23 MAXIMUM INUNDATION DEPTH BY PROBABLE FLOOD

1. INTRODUCTION

This ANNEX F presents the hydrological study results concerned with flood disaster prevention plan.

Climatic conditions and tides are discussed first in order to clarify the present situation of objective area. Subsequently, available rainfall and streamflow data are investigated for the objective hydrological study on flood prevention countermeasures. Based on the available data and findings made by the team, rainfall and runoff analysis are carried out to estimate design discharges for alternative flood prevention plans. Finally, flooding analysis is conducted to estimation of flood damage due to probable floods.

The study was made over 6.5 months in total stretching two years of 1989 and 1990.

The study work flow is presented in Fig.F.1.

2. CLIMATIC CONDITIONS AND TIDES

2.1 Climatic Conditions

The study area is located in a subtropical zone bordering on the Atlantic ocean. The area is topographically divided into the three categories of plateau(EL.700 m), mountain(EL.800 m) and valley, and plain area.

The climate of the study area is characterized as subtropical and divided largely into the two seasons of summer(rainy) from October to March and of winter(dry) from April to September. In other words, this area is situated at northern edge of mild and moist climatic zone (cfa) which covers the southern part of Brazil and a part of Argentina from 23 to 40 degrees in south latitude, according to W.P.Koppen classification. Further, coastal zone of this area has been exposed to the warm current throughout the year. Japan also belongs to this climatic zone in this connection. The average annual rainfall is around 3,400 mm on the mountain slopes of Serra do Cubatão(EL.500 m). About 75 % of the annual rainfall is concentrated in the summer (wet season) from September or October to March. The average rainfall in Santos, which faces directly to the Atlantic ocean is around 2,100 mm. An isohyetal map and the average monthly rainfalls in the study area are presented in Figs.F.2 and F.3 and Tables F.1 and F.2.

The mean temperature in Cubatão city is around 25 degree C in the summer and 20 degree C, in the winter(dry season), and the fluctuation in each season is quite small, as seen in Fig.F.4.

The mean relative humidity observed at the industrial estate of the Ultrafertil in Cubatão city is around 74 % varying from 78 % in the summer to 71 % in the winter. The mean relative humidity is presented in Fig.F.4.

The predominant wind direction observed in Santos city is SE with an average wind velocity of 3.2 m/s and is followed by E with 3.1 m/s. In the study area, a NE wind predominates in the daytime along the mountain slopes of Serra do Cubatão and SW, in the night time, as shown in Fig.F.5.

2.2 Tides

There are the three tidal observatory stations in the canals in the Santos estuary, as presented in Fig.F.6. In this area, one high and low tides appear twice a day.

The DAEE made an analysis of tide levels in the said areas in relation to a reclamation project in 1979(Project de Recuperacao das Areas Alodiais de Cubatão). This showed that the correlation of observed data be quite high between the three stations, as given in Fig.F.7.

The following tidal parameters have been established based on the records obtained from Ilha Barnabe station under the term of m in IGGSP (Instituto Geografico e Geologico de São Paulo), and these tide levels are presented in Fig.F.8.

- F.2 -

| MHHL(| Mean | high water of spring tide) | : | 0.491 m IGGSP |
|-------|------|-----------------------------|---|---------------|
| MHL (| Mean | high water level) | : | 0.386 |
| MSL (| Mean | sea level) | : | -0.016 |
| MLL (| Mean | low water level) | : | -0.541 |
| MLLL(| Mean | low water of spring tide) | : | -0.908 |

3. AVAILABILITY OF RAINFALL AND STREAMFLOW DATA

3.1 Rainfall

There are the ten rainfall observation stations in and around the study area as shown in Table F.3 and Fig.F.8.

The observation stations were established by the Centro Tecnologico de Hidraulica(CTH) of Departamento de Agua e Energia Eletrica(DAEE). The observed rainfall data is of two kinds, daily and hourly (recording). The availability of rainfall data is presented in Fig.F.8. The daily rainfall data is defined as one-day rainfall recorded from AM 7:00 to AM 7:00.

The daily and hourly rainfall data are available since 1936 and 1952, respectively. The data are kept in the form of floppy diskette for the daily records and on recording paper for the hourly ones. The hydrological year is defined as 1 year from October 1 to September 30.

In addition to the above 10 observation stations, there are the six stations in a telemetering system and two stations by the telephone system as shown in Table F.3 and Fig.F.9. These stations were installed by DAEE as a part of a telemetering system for the Cubatão river basin. The stations came into operation in 1986 except for Baixo Pereque which is not yet in operation. Accordingly, availability of the records is only 3 years.

- F.3 -

3.2 Streamflow

There are the three gauging stations for water levels in the rivers Cubatão(Posto Rio Cubatão), Pereque(Posto Baixo Pereque) and Moji(Posto Ultrafertil), respectively. The locations and main dimensions of the above three gauging stations are given in Table F.3 and Fig.F.9. These stations were installed by DAEE as part of the telemetering system for the Cubatão river basin.

Of the three stations, only Ultrafertil station is in operation. However, this station is at present out of use due to damage from the flood in the Dec.1988. Therefore, the availability of the water level records at this station is only 14 months from Sept. 1987 to Dec. 1988. The observed water levels are converted by using the formula for perfect flow over the weir.

4. RAINFALL ANALYSIS

4.1 Methodology

In this section, rainfall analysis is made 1) to grasp the characteristics of rainfall in the Cubatão region,2)to estimate the probable basin mean rainfalls of 1 day and 2 day durations and finally,3) to prepare design hystographs for estimation of probable design floods.

The main items and work flow in this analysis are presented in Fig.F.1 and described below.

(1) Selection of Rainfall Observation Stations

Rainfall observation stations to be taken up in this analysis are selected from existing ones located in and around the study area. The selection of the stations are made with due regard to the observation period of data, topographical conditions of site, etc. (2) Analysis of Characteristics of Rainfall

Several existing hyetographs which have caused floods in the basin since 1971 have been picked out from past records. Based on the selected hyetographs, the following rainfall characteristics are clarified.

- Cause of rainfall
- Regional or aerial distribution of rainfall
- Rainfall pattern and duration
- (3) Analysis of Correlation Coefficient for Rainfall Amount of 1 Day and 2 Day Durations and Supplement of Missing Data

In order to grasp the relationship of rainfalls observed in the basin and to supplement the no data or missing data, correlation analysis is made. Finally, coefficients and correlation formulae are estimated. By using these formulae, data missing or data not measured are supplemented.

(4) Estimation of Probable Basin Mean Rainfalls of 1 Day and 2 Day Duration

The estimation is made as follows.

- The objective basin is divided into the two basins of Cubatão(A=182.7 km2) and Moji(A=64.3 km2). The probable basin mean rainfalls are estimated for the above basins and for the whole basin.
- The area to be controlled by one rainfall station is divided by combining topographic conditions and the Thiessen method.
- For the selected stations, the annual maximum basin rainfalls are selected for 1 day and 2 day durations. In this selection, the hydrological year is defined as one year from October 1 to September 30.
- Missing data is supplemented from adjacent station by using estimated correlation factor.

- By using the selected annual maximum basin mean rainfall, probable basin mean rainfalls are estimated by Gumbel method for 1 day and 2 day durations.

4.2 Characteristics of Rainfall

In order to grasp the rainfall characteristics in the flood time, eight hyetographs are selected among those which have occurred since 1971. These 4 days of rainfall observed at E3-153R and E3-038R stations are presented in Table F.4. The hourly rainfalls observed at both the typical stations of E3-153R (Cubatão river basin) and E3-038R(Moji river basin) are presented in Fig.F.10. The rainfall characteristics obtained from these eight hyetographs are summarized below.

(1) Cause of Rainfall

Typical weather charts which have brought about heavy rainfall in the Cubatão region are shown in Fig.F.11.

In generally, heavy rainfalls are caused by cold fronts(or polar fronts). If a front is accumulated by Serra do Mar, it brings about heavy rainfall with a long duration.

(2) Regional Distribution of Rainfall

Based on the isohyetal map in the period of January to March in which big floods mostly concentrate, the following can be said (Fig.F.12).

- Rainfall is higher on the mountain slopes of Serra do Cubatão than the plain area.
- Rainfall in the upper reach of the Rio das Pedras is the highest.
- Average monthly rainfalls are estimated at 400 to 460 mm in the mountain slopes and 320 to 340 mm in the plain areas.
- Those at Cubatao urban area is the lowest one at 320mm.

(3) Rainfall Pattern and Duration

The rainfall patterns for the major hyetographs are presented in Table F.4 and Fig.F.10. According to these, it is not possible to recognize that any special pattern exists. In general, it can be said that rainfall is most concentrated at night although no particular time can be specified because the rainfall is mostly the result of fronts.

According to the major hystographs, it can be concluded that the average rainfall duration is 50 hours varying from 34 to 63 hours, as seen in Table F.4. Further, it shows that 1 day rainfall occupies 59 % in average varying from 46 to 67 % of the total continuous rainfall amount and 2 day rainfall, 89 % on average varying from 78 to 100 % as seen in Table F.5. Approximately 90 % of the total rainfall is concentrated in 2 days.

4.3 Probable Rainfall

For the estimation of design hydrographs and for the other studies, the following three probable rainfalls are analyzed in this section.

- Probable basin mean rainfalls of 1 day and 2 day duration

- Probable point rainfalls of 1 day duration at E3-153R(Cubatão river basin) and E3-038R(Moji river basin)

- Probable rainfall intensity at Rio das Pedras station near E3-153R

(1) Probable Basin Mean Rainfalls of 1 Day and 2 Day Duration

Firstly, correlation coefficients among the 10 stations are estimated (see Data Book). Subsequently, the basin is largely divided into the two of the Cubatao basin and Moji basin.

Considering the topographic and meteorologic conditions and estimated correlation coefficients with high reliability, the 4 stations of E3-153R, E3-038R, E3-109 and E3-037 are selected. The data period since 1945 is taken up for estimation of annual maximum 1 day and 2 day rainfalls. No data at E3-153R station is supplemented as follows.

- F.7 -

| No Data 1 | Period | · ··· · · · | Objec | ton 👘 | | |
|-----------|--|-------------|---------|--------|--|-----|
| | | | i e gra | | | . 1 |
| 1945 - 1 | L950 | | | E3-109 | | |
| 1951 - 1 | .952 | | 2 | E3-143 | | |
| | | | | n e wi | | |
| | the second s | | | | | |

The areas covered by the above respective 4 stations are as follows.

Cubatão River Basin

- Mountain slope, valley area and fluvial plain : E3-153R - Plateau : E3-109

<u>Moji River Basin</u>

| • | Mountain | slope | in | the | lower | Moji | and | fluvial | plain | : | E3-038R |
|----|----------|-------|----|-----|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|---|---------|
| •• | Mountain | slope | in | the | upper | Moji | | | | : | E3-037 |
| ~ | Plateau | • . • | | | | | | · | | : | E3-109 |

In the Moji river basin, the boundary between E3-038R and E3-037 is divided by the Thiessen method. Each basin area is summarized as follows.

Basin Area for Respective Objective Rainfall Stations

| River Dra | ainage Area (km2) | Basin Area for Rainfall Station (km2) | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--|--|
| | | E3~153R | E3-038R | E3-109 | E3-037 | | |
| Cubatão river | 182.7 | 86.0 | | 96.7 | | | |
| Moji river | 64.3 | - | 42.5 | 8.2 | 13.6 | | |

From the above, the annual maximum basin mean rainfalls of 1 day and 2 day duration are summarized in Table F.6. Based on the estimated values in Table F.6, probable basin mean rainfalls of 1 day and 2 day durations are estimated by Gumbel method as shown in Fig.F.13, and are summarized below.

| Period | Whole | Basin | Cubatão I | River Basin | Moji Riv | er Basin |
|--------|-------|-------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| ()=) | 1 day | 2 day | l day | 2 day | 1 day | 2 day |
| 2 | 140.4 | 193.6 | 150.6 | 205.7 | 134.2 | 182.3 |
| 5 | 190.3 | 265.7 | 205.4 | 281.2 | 174.9 | 249.9 |
| 10 | 223.4 | 313.4 | 241.6 | 331.2 | 201.8 | 294.6 |
| 25 | 265.2 | 373.7 | 287.4 | 394.4 | 235.8 | 351.1 |
| 50 | 296.2 | 418.5 | 321.4 | 441.3 | 261.0 | 393.0 |
| 100 | 327.0 | 462.9 | 355.1 | 487.8 | 286.1 | 434.7 |

Probable Basin Mean Rainfall

Estimated values by other formulae are presented in Data Book.

and the second secon

(2) Probable Point Rainfalls of 1 Day and 2 Day Duration

Probable point rainfalls are estimated at the two selected observatory stations of E3-153R station in the Cubatão river basin and E3-038R in the Moji river basin. The annual maximum 1 day and 2 day rainfalls are shown in Table F.7. By using Gumbel method, probable point rainfalls of 1 day and 2 day at both the stations are estimated as shown below.

| | Probable Point Rainfall | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------|---------|---------|--|
| Return Period (year) | E3-15 | E3~153R(mm) | | BR (nm) | | |
| | 1 day | 2 day | 1 day | 2 day | | |
| 2 | 171.7 | 233.1 | 140.1 | 191.5 | | |
| - Begiver 5 gref <u>1</u> the | 229.3 | 311.4 | 187.6 | 262.7 | | |
| 10 | 267.4 | 363.3 | 219.0 | 309.9 | | |
| 25 | 315.6 | 428.9 | 258.7 | 369.4 | | |
| 50 Star | 351.3 | 477.5 | 288.1 | 413.6 | | |
| 100 | 386.8 | 525.7 | 317.3 | 457.5 | | |

Probable Point Rainfalls of 1 Day and 2 Day Durations at E3-153R and E3-038R Stations

(3) Probable Rainfall Intensity at Rio das Pedras Station near E3-153R

Data on rainfall with short duration observed at Rio das Pedras station has been collected and analyzed in Programa Serra do Mar (Relatorio No.23.394, Volume 5) by IPT. These are shown in Data Book.

In this part, probable rainfalls with short durations up to 24 hours are estimated as follows.

Unit: mm Duration Return Period (year) 720 1440 1080 120 240 360 540 60 180 103.5 123.3 145.7 165.1 191.3 223.1 51.5 71.7 88.1 2 209.1 240.5 131.1 157.2 186.5 279.2 89.9 110.1 5 63.2 70.9 102.0 124.6 149.3 179.7 213.5 238.2 273.1 316.3 10 275.0 314.2 117.3 143.0 172.4 208.1 247.6 363.2 25 80,7 128.7 156.6 189.5 229.2 272.9 302.3 344.7 398.0 87.9 50 139.9 170.1 206.5 250.1 298.0 329.4 375.0 432.6 95.1 100

Probable Rainfalls at Rio das Pedras Station

Source: IPT (Relatorio No.23,394 Vol.5)

Note : Gumbel Method is used for the analysis

By using the estimated probable rainfall, probable rainfall intensities and intensity formulae are estimated. The results are presented in Fig.F.14.

(4) Relationship Between Basin Mean Rainfall and Point Rainfall

The relationship between basin mean rainfall and point rainfall is checked at E3-153R station in Cubatão river basin and E3-038R station in Moji river basin. The objective data is obtained from the 8 past floods in the Cubatão basin and 5 main floods in the Moji basin.

Correlation of the basin mean rainfall with the 1 day and 2 day at the E3-153R station and the E3-038R station is presented in Fig.F.15.

- F.11 -

5. RUNOFF ANALYSIS

5.1 Methodology

(1) General

A runoff simulation model was established to estimate runoff hydrographs from hyetographs, basin and channel conditions. The appropriate runoff calculation method must be selected according to the purpose of the calculations and the conditions of hydrological data required.

The following methods are commonly used for the calculation of flood runoff.

- 1. Rational formula method
- 2. Unit hydrograph method
- 3. Storage function method
- 4. Tank model method
- 5. Equivalent roughness method
- 6. Runoff function method

Among the above, the storage function method was selected for the present study for the following reasons:

- 1) Rational, unit hydrograph, runoff function methods are not suitable for the present study since the rational method gives only the peak runoff and other two methods cannot simulate the non-linearity of runoff.
- 2) Storage function, tank model and equivalent roughness methods will give the runoff hydrograph in consideration of non-linearity of runoff. Above all, the storage function method is the most suitable for the Cubatão river basin, since the rainfall and runoff records are limited and the method is simple and standardized, to some extent, based on the experience of rivers in Japan.

Method of storage function will be outlined in the following subsection.

- F.12 -

(2) Runoff from Subbasin

A runoff equation from a subbasin is estimated as follows:

S1 = Kq1P (equation of storage).....(1.1)

r-q1 = dS1/dt (equation of continuity).....(1.2)

Where, S1 : storage in a subbasin (mm) r : effective rainfall (mm/hr) q1 : runoff from a subbasin (mm/hr) k,p : storage coefficients

Factors such as primary runoff percentage f1 and saturation rainfall, Rsa are used for estimates of effective rainfall. The following assumptions are used in the calculation.

- 1) The drainage area of a subbasin may be divided into infiltration and primary runoff areas.
- 2) In the infiltration area, the rainfall is infiltrated up to a saturation point, after that all rainfall becomes direct runoff. The rainfall from the beginning to saturation point is called the saturation rainfall (Rsa).
- 3) In the primary runoff area, all rainfall changes to direct runoff, and a ratio of the primary runoff area to a drainage area is called the primary runoff percentage (f1).

The runoff from the primary runoff area, q1 is calculated by the following equation which is derived from Eqs. 1.1 and 1.2.

 $q1(t) = 2[r(t)-k/dt{q1P(t)-q1P(t-dt)}]-q1(t-dt)....(1.3)$

Where t is time and dt is time interval in calculation. In the calculation, the trial and error procedure is used. The runoff from the infiltration area, qsal, is calculated by the following equation.

- F.13 -

qsal = 0, (total r < Rsa).....(1.4) qsal = ql, (total r > Rsa).....(1.5)

Where total r is a cumulative rainfall from the beginning.

The total discharge from a subbasin is calculated by use of the following equation.

Q' = f1*A*q1/3.6+(1-f1)*A*qsal/3.6+Qb.....(1.6) Q(t) = Q'(t-T1).....(1.7)

Where, Q : runoff from a subbasin (m3/s)

Q': hypothetical runoff (m3/s)

q1 : runoff from a primary area (mm/hr)

qsal : runoff from an infiltration area (mm/hr)

fl : primary runoff percentage

A : drainage area of subbasin (Km2)

Qb : base flow (m3/s)

T1 : lag-time (hr)

(3) Channel Flow

The storage function of channel flow is estimated as follows:

Equation for the channel flow:

S1 = K*QlP -Tl*QlP (equation of storage).....(1.8)
I-Q1 = ds1/dt (equation of continuity).....(1.9)
Q(t) = Ql(t-Tl) (equation of retarded runoff).....(1.10)

Where, S1 : storage in channel (m3/s)

Q1 : discharge at the middle point in the channel (m3/s)

I : inflow at the channel entrance (m3/s)

K,p : storage coefficients

Tl : lag-time

Q : outflow at the channel exit (m3/s)

The procedure of calculation is the same as that of runoff from a subbasin.

(4) Base Flow

Base flow is the runoff which is not directly affected by the rainfall under consideration. The base flow is taken into account uniformly during the period of runoff.

5.2 Effect of Vegetation

It is generally recognized that the vegetation and forest in a watershed area affects the flood and low water runoff favorably and unfavorably. However, there is no generalized formula to quantify the effect of vegetation on runoff since it depends on various factors such as the kind and density of vegetation/ forest, soil and geological conditions of ground, as well as rainfall amount and pattern. In order to evaluate the effect, it is practical to observe the rainfall and runoff and to analyze the records in relation to the vegetation conditions.

In the study area, CETESB has studied the changes in vegetation of the watershed areas, especially in the Moji river basin based on the aerial photos taken during the period from 1962 to 1985. Rainfall records during the period are also available. However, no runoff records applicable to the study are available. Quantitative evaluation on the runoff effect of the vegetation in the study area is difficult.

In general, the vegetation of a watershed area affects the runoff as follows:

- 1) To increase rainfall: Leaves of trees trap some mist forming rain drops on them.
- 2) To shelter rainfalls: Trees shelter the rainfall by their leaves. Some of the rainfall evaporates from the leaves and the rest falls down along the trunk which retards the rain water in reaching at ground.

- F.15 -

- 3) To retard runoff: Leaves and other organic materials fall on the ground and porous soil due to roots of vegetation function as natural retarding space of rain water.
- 4) To reduce moisture in the ground: Vegetation reduces the moisture in the ground consuming it for its growth.

5) To interfere with evaporation of water from the ground surface: Vegetation reduces the sunshine reaching the ground and keeps the air relatively humid, which can reduce the evaporation of water from the ground surface.

Among the above, items 2) through 4) make flood peaks smaller by retarding runoff, which items 1) and 5) affect adversely. In short, the effect of vegetation in a watershed area is to retard the runoff.

The effect of vegetation in a watershed area is therefore to retard rainfall runoff by holding it in its natural retarding space. The capacity of this space is limited by the type of vegetation and geological conditions.

According to observation on the mountain slopes, the thickness of soil covering the subsurface rock is thin in the study area. The retarding capacity of the surface soil seems to be small. The capacity would be filled by rainfalls at the beginning of the rainy season.

Considering the difficulty in quantification of the retarding effect due to watershed vegetation and its smaller retarding capacity, the effect of vegetation is not taken into the runoff analysis. However, the watershed area should be kept in good vegetation to maintain the existing retarding functions for sediment and flood runoff prevention.

5.3 Flood Runoff Simulation Model

5.3.1 Runoff system model

The drainage areas of the Cubatão and Moji rivers are divided into subbasins for runoff analysis as shown in Fig.F.16. The runoff

- F.16 -

system is explained by the runoff simulation model which is developed to estimate flood discharge as shown in Fig.F.17. The system consists of subbasins and channels.

5.3.2 Floods subject to calibration

For simulation of the runoff model, the following 4 floods subject to calibration are selected from the past floods in view of available data of rainfall and discharge.

| | | e e de la | |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Rivers | Flood | Point to be | Peak Discharge |
| | | Calibrated | (m3/s) |
| Cubatão | Feb.24-27 '71 | 20 | 1,250 |
| | | 19-20 | 1.070 |
| | and the second second | 15 | 890 |
| Moji | Feb. 7 '88 | 30(Ultrafertil) | 66 |
| a station | Feb.20 '88 | 30(Ultrafertil) | 92 |
| Pereque | Dec.14 '89 | 24(Intake Weir) | 140 |
| - | | | |

Floods Subject to Calibration

Note: Point to be calibrated is shown in Fig.F.17.

The peak discharges of the Feb.24-27, 1971 flood in Cubatão and the Dec.14, 1989 flood in Pereque are obtained from the flood marks through discharge rating curves which were prepared by nonuniform flow method. These flood marks are given in Figs.F.18 and F.19, while the peak discharges in Moji river are converted from the stage hydrographs observed at Ultrafertil weir through discharge rating curve as shown in Fig.F.19.

- F.17 -

5.3.3 Calibration of storage functions of basin

(1) Initial Values for Calibration

Initial Storage Function of Basin

The initial values of storage functions of basin are estimated from the empirical formula developed in the rivers of Japan. The estimated values are shown in Table F.8.

Storage Functions of Channel

The storage functions of channels are estimated in terms of storage volume - discharge relationship as shown in Data Book based on the water level calculation by nonuniform flow.

In this calculation, the flood plain is limited to from 100 to 500 m in width. The Manning's roughness coefficient is assumed to be 0.03 for the channel and 0.07 for the flood plain. Water levels at the lowest section of the river mouth is estimated based on the sea water level of 0.49 m IGGSP. The calculated water levels are shown in Figs.F.18 and F.19.

Primary Runoff Percentage (f1) and Saturation Rainfall (Rsa)

Primary runoff percentage (f1) and saturation rainfall (Rsa) are assumed from the relationship between the total rainfall and total height of direct runoff obtained from the the 2 past floods in Moji river, as shown in Fig.F.19. From the above, f1 and Rsa are assumed to be 0.8 and 100 mm, respectively.

Base Flow

In the Moji river, base flow is assumed at 6 m3/s for the Feb.7, 1988 flood and 8 m3/s for the Feb.19, 1988 flood, respectively based on the observed discharge hydrographs.

While, base flow in Cubatão and Pereque is assumed by using a specific discharge of 0.14 m3/s/km2 which was obtained from the average monthly discharges observed at the Ultrafertil weir of the Moji river

- F.18 -

during the rainy season, Mar. 1988 to Oct. 1989. In addition, an outlet discharge of 100 m3/s from Henry Borden is added.

(2) Calibration

Case 1: Calibration of Initial Values

The calibration is made for the initial values. The results are given in Figs.F.20 and F.21. According to the figure, the estimated result for the Feb.24'71 flood almost agrees with the observed one, but the others do not.

Case 2: Calibration for Varied K Values in Moji River

The calibration is made for varied k values in the 3 cases for the Moji river. The results are shown in Fig.F.21. In this result, the value of 1.1 k agrees with the observed one with a lag time of 1 hour in the basin for the Feb.7'88 flood. In case of the Feb.20'88 flood, the value of 0.6 k almost agrees with a lag time of 1 hour in the basin.

Case 3: Calibration for Varied k Value in Pereque River

The calibration is made for varied k values from which 1.5 was chosen for the Pereque river. The result agrees with the observed one as shown in Fig.F.21.

(3) Determination of Storage Function of Basin (k value)

Storage functions which agreed with observed values are outlined below.

| Flood | Storage Function | Primary Runoff | Saturated Lag Time in |
|-----------|--|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| | or basin (k) | rercentage (II) | Rainfall Basin (Tl,hr) (Rsa,mm) |
| Cubatão | ······································ | | |
| Feb.24'71 | Initial value (k) | 0.8 | 100 - |
| Moji | | | |
| Feb. 7'88 | 1.1 x k | 0.8 | 100 1.0 |
| Feb.20'88 | 0.6 x k | 0.8 | 100 1.0 |
| Pereque | | | • |
| Dec.14'89 | 1.5 x k | 0.8 | 100 |

Calibrated Functions/Coefficient

The discrepancy among the calibrated k values ranges from 0.6 to 1.5 times of the initial value, as seen in the above table.

Since, the catchment area of the objective basin is small and there is no significant difference in the geological conditions between the subbasins, it is reasonable to apply the functions obtained to the whole basin.

Thus, the initial values estimated for the respective sub-basins are applied to runoff estimation for the whole basin since the initial values in Cubatão river agree well with the observed ones for the biggest flood in the past.

The final values thus obtained are summarized in Table F.9. The storage discharge curves are presented in the Data Book. The primary run off percentage(f1) and saturated rainfall(Rsa) are determined at 0.8 and 100 mm, respectively.

6. DISCHARGE ANALYSIS

6.1 Methodology

Probable design hydrographs are estimated through the simulated runoff model by the storage function method. The estimation is made for 6 cases of return period of 1/2, 1/5, 1/10, 1/25, 1/50 and 1/100 under the two channel conditions of the present and improved. The procedure was as follows.

1) Determination of rainfall duration for design hyetograph

2) Selection of objective flood for probable design hydrographs

3) Determination of design hyetographs

- 4) Estimation of probable design hydrographs under present channel conditions
- 5) Estimation of probable design hydrographs under improved channel conditions

6.2 Determination of Rainfall Duration

The average rainfall duration of 8 major floods was 50 hrs ranging from 34 to 63 hrs as seen in Table F.4. Approximately 90 % of the total rainfall was thus concentrated in 2 days. Accordingly, the rainfall duration of 2 days is taken.

6.3 Selection of Objective Flood

In order to select an objective flood for the estimation of the probable design flood hydrographs, the characteristics of the 8 major past floods are clarified as shown in Table F.10.

Of the above 8 floods, the Feb.24'71 flood is selected as the objective flood in Cubatão river and the Jan.22'85 flood, in Moji river, respectively with due consideration of availability of the rainfall records, and the scales of peak discharge and flood damage brought into the basin.

6.4 Determination of Probable Design Hyetographs

Design hystographs for the respective scales of return period were prepared by enlarging the existing hystograph up to the probable 2 day rainfall amount.

If the existing hyetograph is simply enlarged, 1 hour rainfall of the 1/100 flood of the Jan.22'85 in the Moji river becomes far bigger and abnormal. Accordingly, 1 hour rainfall is limited to the amount of the probable 1 hour rainfall at 1/1000 yrs which was estimated from the Rio das Pedras station.

6.5 Estimation of Probable Flood

Under the present and the improved channel conditions, the probable floods are estimated for the respective design hyetographs. The estimated peak discharges are summarized in Table F.11. The S-Q curves of both the channel conditions and the estimated peak discharges are presented in Data Book.

7. FLOODING ANALYSIS

A flooding analysis is made by constructing a hydraulic model to estimate inundation depths. The model was constructed simply because the available data on flooding is limited.

7.1 Methodology

(1) Hydraulic Model

The objective flood prone area was simplified as an hydraulic model. The area was divided into the two categories of river and land area. The objective rivers are the Cubatão, Pereque, Moji, Piaçaguera and Indio. (2) Objective Flood in Calculation

Probable floods under the present channel conditions which were estimated in the Discharge Analysis of this ANNEX, were applied. The 6 probable floods of 2, 5, 10, 25, 50 and 100 yrs were used in the calculations.

(3) Assumption of Overland Flow

The flood runoff exceeding the channel capacity is assumed to overflow onto the land area from a specific point of the low bank elevation. The flood water runs over the land area and finally returns to the rivers or debouches into the sea directly.

(4) Estimation of Inundation Depth on Land Area

The water depths of the overland flow were calculated by using an unsteady flow method. From this purpose, the land area is divided by mesh with 500 x 500 m wide. The applied unsteady flow formula is as follows.

 $1/g.ev/et + a.v/g.ev/ex + eh/ex - ib + (n^2/v/v)/h^4/3 = 0$

dv/dt = (int) q.ds

| Where: e | e - t | Mollecule | of | differential | equation |
|----------|-------|-----------|----|--------------|----------|

| (int): integral | (int |): | integral | |
|-----------------|------|----|----------|--|
|-----------------|------|----|----------|--|

v : Velocity (m/s)

n : Water depth (m)

ib : River bed slop

n : Manning's roughness coefficient

g : Acceleration of gravity (m/sec2)

a : Energy coefficient

x : Distance (m) t : Time (sec) V : Volume of pond (m3)

q : Unit discharge (m3/s/m)

S : Boundary of pond (mesh)

- F.23 -

7.2 Basic Condition of Calculation

The flooding analysis in this part is made on the following assumptions.

 If river water exceeds the channel capacity, it overflows onto the land. The overflow points are assumed to be the following ones based on the existing channel and bank elevation conditions (see Fig. F.22).

| Cubatão river | | Upstream of Petrobras weir: A point (channel |
|------------------|----------|---|
| | 11 | capacity = 1250 m3/s) |
| | - | Around road bridge of 9th of April street: B |
| | | point (channel capacity = 900 m3/s) |
| | | |
| Pereque river | •• | Around railway bridge : C point (channel |
| | | capacity = 150 m3/s) |
| | | |
| Moji river | - | Downstream of railway bridge near Ultrafertil: |
| | | D point (channel capacity = 150 m3/s) |
| Piaçaguera river | - | Upstream basin of railway bridge in Copebras: |
| | | E point (channel capacity = 20 m3/s) |
| Indio river | | Railway bridge in front of Ultrafertil : F |

- 2) Time interval of the unsteady flow calculation is adopted every 2 seconds.
- 3) Discharge rating curves obtained at the estuaries of the Cubatão and Moji rivers as shown in Fig.I.7 in ANNEX-I are applied to the initial water levels.
- 4) Land areas divided by mesh with 500 m times 500 m wide are presented in Fig.F.22.
- 5) River waters to be questioned are presented in Data Book.

- F.24 -

7.3 Estimation Results

Probable inundation depths were estimated in accordance with the above methodology and basic conditions. The estimated maximum inundation depths are presented in Table F.12 and Fig.F.23.

From the above results, it may be said that (1) in the Cubatão system, the overflow onto the land areas occurs with more than 5 yr. probability, while those in Moji river, with flood which probably occurs almost every year.

The estimated inundation depths on the land areas vary in places. The maximum depths of the respective probable floods are summarized below.

| 1 | · | | | | | |
|---------|-----|-----|------------|------------|------|-------|
| | | Ма | ximum Inun | dation Dep | oth | |
| Kiver | 1/2 | 1/5 | 1/10 | 1/25 | 1/50 | 1/100 |
| Cubatão | | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| Moji | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| | | | 1 | | | 1 |

Estimated Maximum Inundation Depth

Duration of the inundation is from several hours to 1 day in both the river basins.

8. EVALUATION OF RAINFALL PROBABILITY AND RUNOFF ESTIMATION MODEL FOR SABO AREA

(1) Rainfall Probability for Past Sediment Disaster

Hourly rainfalls for 4 sediment disasters in the recent years are summarized in Table F.13. The hourly rainfalls were observed at E3-038R station which is located in the damaged area. The data of hourly rainfall have been adjusted in volume by the basin mean rainfall. Probability of the hourly rainfall was estimated on the basis of the following assumptions.

- Ratio of probable hourly rainfall distribution at Rio das Pedras station is almost equal for every return period patterns, therefore the average one is adopted.
- Rainfall depths between R24 observed at Rio das Pedras station and R2 day at E3-153R are almost equal (see Fig.F.14). Accordingly, it is considered that R24 is equal to R2 day.
- Probability of hourly rainfalls was estimated by combining the average distribution curve of rainfall at Rio das Pedras station and the probable 2 day rainfall (R24) calculated at E3-038R station.

The probability of hourly rainfall was estimated through the above procedure. The result is presented in Table F.15. According to the table, probability of hourly rainfall in the Jan.1985 flood is about 1/26 for 1 hour duration and 1/17 - 1/18 for 3 to 4 hours.

(2) Runoff estimation Model

In this section, basic conditions for runoff estimation of small basins in the sabo area described.

Runoff estimation of small basins in the sabo area is done by using the storage function method. The storage functions are estimated as shown in Table F.14 as in Chapter 5 of this ANNEX. The respective small basins are further divided into subbasins.

Firstly, the runoff is estimated for each small basins. Then, the runoff of each subbasin is estimated by basin area ratio between subbasin and small basin.

The observed hyetograph of the Jan, 1985 flood is adopted as design hyetograph for the above calculation.

LIST OF REFERENCE AND DATA COLLECTED

| No | Title | Issued on | Issued by |
|-----|---|-----------|-----------|
| F01 | Carta Sinofica | 1988 | EME |
| F02 | Programa Serra do Mar (Relatório No.23,394 Volume 5) | Mar. 1986 | I PT |
| F03 | Projeto de Recuperação das Areas Alodiais de Cubatão | 1979 | DAEE |
| F04 | Rede Hidrogràfica (DABE) (1 : 500,000) | Oct. 1989 | DAEB |
| F05 | Rede Hidrogràfica em Operação | Dec. 1985 | DABE |
| F06 | Rede Telemétrica de Monitoramento Hidrológico da Serra do Mar em Cubatão | 1989 | DAEE |
| F07 | Rede Telemétrica de Monitoramento Hidrológico da Serra do Mar em Cubatão (Mapa) | 1989 | DAEB |
| 708 | Diårias - Dados Pluviométricos | 1989 | DAEB |
| 09 | Horàrios - Dados Pluviomètricos | 1989 | DABE |
| 10 | Horários - Dados Pluviométricos (no dia 14 Dez.'89) | 1989 | DAEB |
| 11 | Redes Telemètricas de Hidrologia do Alto Tiete e Baixada Santista | 1988 | DAEE |
| 12 | Hidrograma de Cheia / Rio Moji (Feb.7-20'88) | 1988 | DABE |
| 13 | Fluviometria - Rio Moji Posto Ultrafèrtil Mèdia Mensal (Sep.'87 - Dec.'88) | 1988 | DAEE |



| STATION | |
|----------|--|
| E3-153R | |
| AT | |
| RAINFALL | |
| MONTHLLY | |
| F.1 | |
| TABLE | |

| | 5 |
|---|---|
| ŝ | - |
| 1 | • |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Year | Oct. | Nov . | Dec. | Jan. | Fet. | Mar | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Annual |
|---------|---------------|--------|---------|------------|------------------|---|---------|------------|---------------|----------|---|----------------------------|-----------|
| 1952-53 | 337.1 | 337.5 | 232.8 | 249.7 | 475.6 | 238.7 | 311.0 | 275.1 | 52.9 | 181.2 | | | |
| 1953-54 | - | ļ | 437.5 | 142.1 | 145.9 | 213.6 | 302.5 | 427.4 | 1001 | 92,8 | 108.5 | 298.7 | |
| 1954-55 | 545.2 | 132.1 | 8.971 | 558,8 | 109.7 | 381.6 | 409.4 | 1 | 202.0 | 95.6 | 150.8 | 1 | |
| 1955-56 | 277.7 | 204.5 | 277.9 | 203.5 | 371.5 | 981.8 | 95.7 | 76.0 | 283.0 | | 143.8 | 149.2 | |
| 1956-57 | 492.9 | | | 338.8 | 449.3 | 323.8 | 288.9 | 9 08 | 84.8 | 130.1 | 179.8 | 070.0 070 | |
| 1957-58 | 0.843.0 | 168.4 | 294,6 | 179.4 | 88.9 | 475.1 | 349.3 | 221.6 | 68.5 | 20.9 | 72.7 | 230.S | 2462 |
| 1958-59 | 531.4 | 420.6 | 701.0 | 362.3 | 925.9 | 494.7 | 91.6 | 270.1 | 8.95 | 83.6 | 179.6 | 217.3 | 4207 |
| 1959-60 | 269.7 | 354.0 | 533.0 | 377.8 | 690.0 | 141.7 | 6.663.9 | 141.5 | 406.4 | 161.3 | 207.0 | 188.2 | 3754 |
| 1960-61 | 392.0 | 229.5 | 510.1 | 862.4 | 570.5 | 583.4 | 212.2 | 196.0 | 94.7 | 152,6 | 18.1 | 195.5 | 4017 |
| 1961-62 | 214.1 | 303.5 | 451.8 | 431.4 | 290.7 | 287.9 | 227.1 | 204.5 | 70.6 | 107.5 | 83.0 | 306.4 | 2978 |
| 1962-63 | 570.P | 361.8 | 704 . 2 | 436.1 | 387,4 | 267.1 | 61.3 | 188.3 | 125.5 | 53.6 | 5 96 | 36.2 | 3288 |
| 1963-64 | 485.9 | 385. 5 | 155.9 | 164.5 | 229,3 | 262.0 | 289.1 | 129.3 | 173.2 | 62.29 | 190.2 | 159.7 | 2716 |
| 1964-65 | 179.7 | 279.9 | 455.1 | 449.9 | 345.9 | 328.2 | 644.0 | 468.2 | 188.5 | 380.6 | 46.7 | 305.6 | 4072 |
| 1965-66 | ວເວລ. ຜ | 264.6 | 562.5 | 701.4 | 324.9 | 499.5 | 269.4 | 183.2 | 4.68 | 98.8 | 205.4 | 287.0 | 3733 |
| 1966-67 | 591.3 | 544.4 | 635.5 | 425.8 | 570.4 | 542.3 | 228.5 | 81.8 | 92.8 | 130.0 | 59.0 | 294.9 | 4196 |
| 1967-68 | 367.0 | 549.4 | 0.555 | 226.3 | 220 0 | 459.3 | 325.5 | 166.9 | ດ 20 80 | 106.3 | 103.2 | . 116.7 | 3088 |
| 1968-69 | 0.000 | 191.0 | 468.8 | 258.1 | 366.9 | 928 | 303.7 | 19.4 | 116.4 | 141.7 | 206 3 | 144.5 | 2979 |
| 1969-70 | 410.6 | 543,3 | 423.7 | 9 014 | 4 0 1 1 | 545 8 | 410 3 | - 64 | 1 S 1 F | 01 | 5 7 2 | n Ya | 7868 |
| 1970-71 | 281.9 | 229.9 | 249.9 | 6 62E | 540.3 | 380.8 | 183 0 | . 4 . 4 | 4.78% | | 60 - J d | 142.1 | 2995 |
| 1971-72 | ณ 946 | 7.465 | 286.9 | 0 | 1 9Ea | 100 | | 104 | | | | 1 684 | 510 |
| 02-8267 | 576.0 | 298.4 | 218.0 | 694.7 | 502.0 | 347.6 | 338.4 | 267.2 | 100 | 082 | 121.4 | 1 - 00 1 - 00 1 - 00 | 4230 |
| 1973-74 | 378.6 | 329.0 | 475.5 | 354,4 | 109.8 | 281.7 | 191 | 151 | 166.2 | 10 10 | 97.5 | 197.0 | 2710 |
| 1974-75 | ເວັດ ເຊິ່ງ | 181.9 | 522.4 | 772.7 | 6 2 9 | 270.0 | | 0.763 | 11.00 | | 78 4 | 4 4 | 3366 |
| 1975-76 | 482.4 | 750.9 | 697.0 | 969,8 | 484 5 | 442.0 | E. 904 | 272 1 | 74.7 | 4 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 414 | 5443 |
| 1976-77 | 324.8 | 218,0 | 351.0 | 508.0 | 69.3 | 0.582 | 4 099 | 0000 | 109 4 | 45.0 | 9 66 9 | 4 686 | 3344 |
| 1977-78 | 472.3 | 344.0 | 414.0 | 502 802 | 441 2 | 439.9 | 148.4 | 135.5 | 63.7 | 0 0 | 27.6 | រ រ រ រ | 3139 |
| 1978-79 | 52.3 | 477.5 | 241.0 | 0.885 | 1 | | 158.5 | 133.3 | 70.6 | 1.11 | 104.9 | 359.8 | |
| 1979-80 | 0.49. 0 | 703.0 | 467.2 | 413.4 | 575.9 | 250.9 | 170.2 | 41.6 | 168.4 | 121.5 | | 227.7 | |
| 1980-81 | 504.6 | 280.5 | 283.5 | 473.6 | 245.7 | 455.4 | 335.4 | 165.2 | 124.2 | 130.7 | 124.1 | 174.9 | 3297 |
| 1981-82 | 873. B | | 293. I | 442.8 | 332.2 | | | 141.9 | 6, 191 191 | 117 2 | 100.8 | 153.1 | |
| 1982-93 | 223.1 | 405.4 | 526.1 | | 687.9 | | 262.5 | | 245.1 | 71.1 | 70.9 | 312.1 | |
| 1983-84 | 307.3 | 131.7 | 283.7 | 355.8 | 5.011 | 327.1 | 266.6 | 53.9 | 16.4 | 160.2 | 246.1 | 140.2 | 2399 |
| 1984-85 | 187.6 | 445.6 | 355.3 | 631.6 | 427.0 | 397,S | 499.0 | 176.3 | 1.66 | 17.9 | 48.5 | | |
| 1985-86 | 64.1 | | ļ | | | 1 | 298.6 | + + + | 63.0 | | | | |
| 1986-87 | | | | | | | ļ | | | | i I I | 1.5 | |
| 1987-88 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| 1988-89 | - | |] | | 1 | | | | - | * | | | |
| AVE. | 345.0 | 345.1 | 407.0 | 431.5 | 386.2 | 378.4 | 285.1 | 5.B71 | 124.7 | 120.8 | 132.1 | 233.7 | 3439 |
| | ព ល ប្រ | 131.7 | 155.9 | , co , | 07 | ~ | | | | | | | |
| | | | i | | 2 | | r C | | |)] | 3 | | þ |

.

TABLE F.2 MONTHLY RAINFALL AT E3-038R STATION

.

i,

| | | | | | ELETRICA | CNERGIA | F, AGUAS E | TOMENTO D | CO/ DEPOR | TINGUIT 3 | NOT DETECT | CENTRO TEC | Source: 1 |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|--------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| 696.3 827.9 | 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 330 P | N OEE | 218.2 | 2 76E | 653.3 | 4.919 | 771.2 | 706.1 | 4.554 | 667.9 | 516.1 | МАХ |
| | | | | | | 8 90 | 0 10 | | 104 4 | 109 4 | e ja | 40.4 | M T N |
| 1.883 | 134.9 | 115.6 | 0.101 | 219.9 | 191.7 | 243.7 | 332.5 | 327.8 | 5°578 | 302.1 | 233. P | 214.2 | AVE. |
| | - |] | | | 199.0 | 307.6 | - E. 9E4 | .527.7 | 225.4 | 393.1 | 125.2 | 235.7 | 1988-89 |
| 668.4 | U 4'#9K | 2 | , | | | | 246.3 | | | 166.2 | с. 28 | 229.8 | 38-7891 |
| 248.24 24 2 | 192.4 | 0.04 | 1.000 | 141 | 1000 1000 | 10. 232.0 | 10.50 | 147.5 | 360.6 | 985.1 | 281.6 | 126.9 | 1966-87 |
| 978.8 | 7 7 BET | 9.55 9.75 | 5.61 5.61 | 84. 7 7 . 7 | 60.H | ນ ດ. [[[] [] [] [] [] | 100.0 | 150.6 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 225.0 225.0 | 147.0 | 20.02 | 1985-86 |
| | 124.9 | 153 7 | 103 1 | 5.7 | មិ ភូមិ ព | 125.4 | 117.0 | 15.7 | 303.3 | ល ព លុក ល | 120.0 | | 198-E84 |
| 14 14 14 14 14 | 141.0 741.0 | - 62 26 - 1 | 7.07T | 186.8 | 223, 7 | 342.9 | 426.8 | 164.5 | 2.29L | 329.2 | 103 3 | 190.8 | 1982-83 |
| 1 | 1.1.1 | 114.7 | 444 | | 141.8 | 1.79.9 | | 292.B | 231.6 | 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 5 | 130 6 | 221.2 | 1981-82 |
| 515.7 | 97.0 2 | 112.5 | 5 66 | 140.7 | 20,00 | 162.3 | 0, 47 0 10, 10, 10 | 404 404 | 5 17E | 271.4 | 534 A | 1 H AA | 19-7-2-2 |
| 200 U | 261.95 | 79.7 | 121 | 808 | 118.1 | 183.5 | 5 TET | 104.9 | 272.4 | 200.4 | 342.4 | 80.02 20.02 | 1978-79 |
| 256 256 260 260 260 260 260 260 260 260 260 26 | 230.6 9 0 05 9 0 05 | ល ជ ស្ត្រី ស្ត្រី | | ม 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 10.441 | 170.6 | 462.3 | 16.262 | 344 4 | 246.6 | 184.6 | 227.8 | 87-7791 |
| 827.9 | 205.6 3 | 834 3 | 178.4 | 73.6 | 0.440 | 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 10 20 0 0 0 | 0.014 | | 8.002 | 142.0 | 1976-77 |
| 560.3 | 98.0 | 74.1 | 121 3 | 71.0 | 143.7 | 117.6 | 209,4 | 800°. | 464.0 | 311.6 | | 165.0 | 1074-75 |
| 0,000 | | + -+ | 6. 69 | 146.0 | 40.7 | 155.6 | 324.3 | 6.06 | 409.0 | 320.8 | 229 2 | 134.4 | 44-679- |
| 450.9 | 168.6 2 | 191.6 | 1.67 | 10.10 | 0.00 | 5 U 0.00 | 0.070 0.70 | 10400 10400 100 | 279.0 | 129.8 | 174.0 | 1.756 | 1972-73 |
| 263.4 | 74.7 2 | 137.9 | 22.0 | 218.9 | 186.5 | 186.0 | | | 5.172 102 | 0.014 | 10,10 | | 1410-14 |
| 000,000 | 221.1 | 139.8 | 35.6 | 101.5 | 78.7 | 172.7 | 241.6 | 317.5 | 393.7 | 307.4 | 414 1 | 365.6 | 1969-70 |
| 1.120 | ער די ער די ער | 5 - 72 2 - 72 | 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | 132.4 | 10 | 192.9 | 228.4 | 261.3 | 144.8 | 215 8 | 104.2 | 159.9 | 1968-69 |
| 495.0 | 190.4 | 52.9 | 101.6 | 149.8 | 40 0 0 | 000 000 000 | 0.925 | | 4.724 | 0000 | 0.402 | 5 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 | 1400-0/ |
| | 87.8 | 216.2 | 51.1 | 73.6 | 208.3 | 329.8 | 586.6 | 319.9 | 627.5 | 444.4 | | | 1965-66 |
| 0.030 | 5.042 5.042 | 40 | | 4 4 10 + | 200 | 4 | 33.6 | 500 P | 360.7 | 535.5 | TBRE | 144.8 | 1964-65 |
| 594.0 | 20.4 | 6.03 | 33.1 | 48.3 | 132.1 | 6.52 1 | 195.5 | 94G.G | 385.9 | 485.7 | 279.2 | 516.4 | 1962-63 |
| 276.2 | 508 T 802 | 76.2 | 76.2 | - 19 | 0.712 | 1.751 | 299.7 | 830.P | 388.7 | 201.2 | 182,8 | 198.0 | 1961-62 |
| 338.P | 0 (8 (| 124.0 | 6 TB | 496 6 144 L | 180.4 | | 000 | | | 390.6 | 146.9 | 266.8 | 1960-65 |
| 649.2 | 6 121 | 134.4 | 43.1 | 7.6 | 176.0 | 81 O | 332.6 | 561.3 | 543.8 | 8 860 8 860 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1958-59 |
| 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0.000 | - 197 - 197 | 48.34 | 106.6 | 224.0 | 00.00 | 406.3 | 175.4 | 218,2 | 162.6 | 256.4 | 391.0 | 1957-58 |
| 829.4 | 5.55 | 157.4 | 6 86 | 246 1 | | - 10 | 4 V - V 4 V - V | 449.00 1010 | 000,00 000,00 | 1.000 | | 2011 | 1956-57 |
| 345.2 | 81 S | 149.8 | 127.0 | 396 | 246.5 | 202.3 | 266.7 | 254.0 | 413.6 4 | 210.7 | a a a | 212 | 1904-900 |
| 4.040 4.05 26 | 140.14 2001 01 | 101.6 | 116.7 | 120.7 | 276.8 | 337.8 | 167.5 | 152.4 | 132.6 | 309.6 | 248.9 | 256.3 | 1953-54 |
| 598.0 | 439.4 3 | 76.3 | 53.9 | 386.0 | 317.5 | 0 1 0 | 487.6 | ្តែ ស្ត្រី ស្ត្រី ស្ត្រី | 436.9 | 332.7 | 4 4 6 4 7 7 7 4 7 7 7 4 | ν α ο τ ο τ ο τ ο τ ο τ ο τ ο τ ο τ ο τ ο τ | |
| 234 8 | 20.92 | 00.90 00.90 | 78.8 | 0 | 96 | 218.5 | 200.B | 195.4 | 350.5 | 425.1 | 221.5 | 255.0 | 1950-51 |
| 137.8 | 0 0 0 | 8 8 4 6 | 134.7 | 264.2 | 50 - 10 - 00 | 2.751 2.751 | 100'F | 276.0 | 467.4 | 0.786 | 146.7 | 182 8 | 1949-50 |
| 267.8 | 129.6 | E.874 | 256.2 | N. 64 | 289.8 | 215.9 | 208.0 | 386.9 1 | 343.0 | 5.69 | 213.5 | 167.8 | 1947-48 |
| 724 4 | 0 0 0 0 0 | | 6 4 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | 210 2 | 0 212 | 906 200 | 289.7 | 383.6 | 706.1 | 165.1 | 1.321 | 241 3 | 1946-47 |
| 231.2 | 183.1 3 | 35.5 | 8-67F | 383.6 | 167.6 | 215.9 | 0000 1 | 87.52 | 287.0 | N 0 10 10 10 10 10 | 279.4 | L EL | 1944-43 |
| 1.012 | 10 10 10 10 | 500 00 00 | 6.06 | 104.1 | 1.ET | 322.7 | 337.8 | 701.2 | 5.77.9 | 175.4 | 132.1 | 330.3 | 1943-44 |
| 2.566 | | | | 144 | 1 4 0 00 1 9 | 35.5 | 94:0 | 241.3 | 4.575 | 360.8 | 276,9 | 117.8 | 1940-49 |
| 2965.0 | 4.619 | 83.8 | 124-6 | 0,40 | 182.9 | 279.4 | 421.0 | 708.6 | 216.0 | 5,68,2 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 277 90 | 1941-42 |
| | | 81.3 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 101.6 | 76.3 | 370.9 | 470 0 | 938.0 938.0 | 218.5 | 231.1 | 40.6 | 01-664 |
| 2 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 | 4 10 | 100 | 4.911 | 59.5 | 86.4 | 327.2 | 243.9 | 220.9 | 271.7 | 226.2 | 254.1 | 228.4 | 1938-39 |
| 2675 4 | or ee | 208.3 | 88 7 7 7 | | 201 S | 107E | 419.5 | 271.2 | 0.479 0.479 | 5,98,2 | 000 000 | 264.4 | 10-00-17 |
| | 243,8 | 121.9 | 28.0 | 2°.96 | 88 6 88 | 49 | 497.8 | 4.001 | ດ. 485 ຊີຊີຊີ | 4 8 9 0 | 0 876 | 244 7 | 1935-36 |
| ual | ept, An | 200. S | (n1y | 0 U U | | | | | | | | | |
| rit:mm) | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

۲



TABLE F.3 LOCATIONS OF RAINFALL STATION

PECORDING PAIN GAUGE

| 5)44 | | DOUC NITHE | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | Recording Bain Gauge | Loc | ation | A)titude |
| | | | Latitude | Longitudinal | |
| - 1 (| E3-236R | Apr. 1972 | 23 54 'S | 46°30°W | ¥00 |
| ម្ល | 10-11-00 10:00 10:00 | Sept. 1952 | S, ES, EZ | 46'29'W | 200 |
| មហ | E3-144 | | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | |
| .v | E3-038R | Nov. 1971 | S. TS, EZ | M, 82, 94 | מי |
| r 0 | E3-241 | | · | | |
| ۵¢ q | E3-149R | Sept. 1971 | 5,94.EZ | 46°21°W | 760 |
| | | | | | |
| CRDI | NARY R | AIN GAUGE | | | |
| | | Ordinary | Loc | ation | Altitude |
| 1000 FL | | | Latitude | Longitudinal | (m, 16657) |
| , , | E3-236R | Mar. 1972 | E3 54 S | 46'30'W | 007 |
| Q) | E3-143 | Jan. 1950 | 53,23,2 | 46'29'W | 400 |
| ო | E3-153R | Sept. 1952 | ତ. ୧୨. ୧୧ | 46'29'W | 200 |
| ¢ | - ++ | Nov. 1949 | 23,23,5 | 46°27'W | 06 |
| ທ | E3-101 | July 1944 | 23 53 5 | 46`25'W | 9 |
| 9 | RG-038R | Jan. 1936 | 53, 2 <u>3</u> , 23 | 46'23'W | S |
| ~ | EG-241 | June 1972- | S. 95. Ed | 46'35'W | 760 |
| C | | Aug. 1986 | C, 4, 60 | | |
| 00 | 101-104 101-100 | 1411 1070 | | 3 10 4 | 09/ |
| 07 | R3-037 | Jan 1936 | 5,14.62 | 46, 18, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14 | 008 |
| Source | , CTH/DAE | | | با الله عنه الله الله الله عنه عنه الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال | مار هار بله بلي تلك على الله عن علم علم الله عن ا |
| lote: | ~ | E3-236R | Pilces | | |
| | ณ | E3-143 | Via Anchie | eta Cota 400 | - |
| | n n | E3-1538 | Curva da O | Juca | |
| | 4 | E3-144 | Morro do P | ^v iche | |
| | s | 101-53 | Cubatao | | |
| | ¢ | E3-032R | Piacaguera | P Z | |
| | 7 | E3-241 | Iaigrantes | | |
| | ø | E3-109 | Sao Rernar | do do Campo | |
| | с. | E3-149R | Campo Gran | ide | |
| | ġ | E3-037 | Paranapian | 1000 1000 | |

TELEMETERING SYSTEM

| Rainfall | L C C | ation | |
|------------------------|-------------|--|---|
| SC#1100 | Latitude | Longitudinal | |
| Darsses for the | 5, 47, 56 | P1, 01, 77 | |
| | | | |
| uitratertii. | 201 | 40 10 10 10 10 | |
| Cota 400 | 23.22.23 | 46'29'W | |
| Alto Pereque | 23,49,5 | 46.27 W | |
| Baixo Perecue + | 53 27 5 | 46,23,8 | |
| COMDEC Central | 23,23,2 | 46'25'4 | |
| (Cubatao City) | | | |
| | | | _ |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Water Level Ctotion | Ļ | cat ton | |
| | Latitude | Longitudinal | _ |
| Ultrafertil | 5,02,EZ | M. 82,94 | |
| (Mogi River) | | | |
| Baixo Pereque * | 23,21,5 | 46'25'W | |
| fio Cubatao * * | 53, 23, S | M,92,9\$ | |
| (Cubatao River) | - + | | |
| | | والمراجع المراجع | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Sea Level | Loca | tion | |
| station | | | |
| | | | |

| وي كي باريد المالية بالمالية المالية ا | | |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Sea Level | Loca | tion |
| 0191 | Latitude | Longitudinal |
| Cosipa * | 5,25,E2 | 46'22'W |

Source; CTH/DAEE Note; * These stations are not operational yet.

TABLE F.4 RAINFALLS OF MAJOR FLOODS IN THE PAST

| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| Flood | Station | F | ainfall | (mm/day | y | Rainfall Pattern | Duration |
| Feb.24,'71 | Date E3-153R E3-038R | 24 58.3 | 25 272.3 | 26 17.8 4.2 | 27 21.1 5.0 | \bigtriangleup | 34 |
| Jan.15,173 | Date E3-153R E3-0388 | 14 4.2 0.9 | 15 172.3 | 16 157.7 | 17 16.5 | | |
| Jan.20,'76 | Date E3-1538 | 20 | 21 304.1 | 22 50.5 | 23 1.2 | \square | 58 |
| Jan.27,'76 | Date E3-153R | 27 76.7 | 203.9 28 351.7 | 38.9 29 18.5 | 30 33.1 | \square | 44 |
| Nav. 8,'79 | Date E3-153R E3-0388 | 28.1 8 41.3 | 231.1 9 144.7 | 47.1 10 164.5 | 15.2 11 10.5 | | 63 |
| Jan.31,'83 | E3-0388 E3-1538 E3-0388 | 31 | Feb. 227.5 | 106.5 | 4.7 3 1.5.1 | \bigtriangleup | |
| Jan.22,'85 | Date E3-153R | 22 159.5 | 23 117.6 | 24 5.1 | 25 9.3 | \bigtriangleup | 46 |
| Dec.20,'88 | Date E3-153R E3-038R | 165.9 152.3 | 21 172.7 106.0 | 0.2 | 0 23 0.0 0.0 | \sim | 51 |

Source; CTH/DAEE

Note; Rainfall pattern and duration are based on records at E3-153R station

TABLE F.5PERCENTAGE OF 1 DAY AND 2 DAY RAINFALL IN TOTAL
DEPTH AT E3-153R STATION

| Flood | Total Rainfall (mm) | 1-Day Rainfall (mm) | % | 2-Day Rainfall (mm) | % |
|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----|---------------------------|-----|
| Feb.24, 71 | 433.1 | 292.3 | 67 | 350.6 | 81 |
| Jan. 15, 73 | 354.3 | 172.3 | 49 | 330.0 | 93 |
| Jan 20, 76 | 461.4 | 304.1 | 66 | 408.7 | 89 |
| Jan 27, 76 | 552.2 | 351.7 | 64 | 428.4 | 78 |
| Nov. 8, 79 | 361.0 | 164.5 | 46 | 309.2 | 86 |
| Jan.31,'83 | 306.4 | 227.5 | 74 | 250.1 | 85 |
| Jan.22, 85 | 295.4 | 159.5 | 54 | 277.1 | 94 |
| Dec.20,'88 | 338.6 | 172.7 | 51 | 338.6 | 100 |
| | | Average | 59 | | 89 |
| | | | | | |

Source: DAEE/CTH

TABLE F.6 ANNUAL MAXIMUM BASIN MEAN RAINFALL OF 1 DAY AND 2 DAY DURATIONS

٠

鍮

| | | (uu) | 370.870 | 340,540 | 300.760 | 295.790 | 289.470 | 276-750 | 274.570 | 267.100 | 265.750 | 260.280 | 236.050 | 232 420 | 211-040 | 194,540 | 128.770 | 167-070 | 010 001 | 182.160 | 180.370 | 175.030 | 171.770 | 170.250 | 162.650 | 157.110 | 156.090 | 156-910 | 001-201 | 153.020 | 148.210 | 144.050 | 127.730 | 118.730 | 115.740 | 097.62 | 000.00 |
|-------|---------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| | 376 | | 61 2.27 76 1.27 | 67 6.20 73 1.15 | 56 3. 6, | 57 12 21 - | 59 2.15 | 35 1 22 | | | 70-11-19 | 33 2-32 | 54 12. 4 | 72 9 21 | 20 P. 1 | 2.20 | 5 3. 3 | 5 2.14 | 200 | 1017 | 3.29 | 7 4.18 | 8 1-15 | 1 12 30 | 2 1.26 | 8 3.10 | 3 12.27 | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | 07-77 | 6 3.1. F | 3 1.24 | 4 12.20 | 10.12 | 60 14 14 | 02-20 | 2.25 | 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| | | | 0.0 | 6 0 1 11 | 19 | õ Ö | - C | 0.0 | 6 | | | 19 | 10 | 60 | Ì | 0. | 194 | 195 | | 101 | 198 | 137 | 197 | 132 | 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 | 561 | 196 | N 0 0 | 001 | 191 | 195 | 197 | 1961 | 195 | 195 | 1991 | 251 |
| · · · | | | 00 | 94 00 | vi 0 | ۹۴ د د | - 10 > 0 | 0 C C | | ** | 17 17 | 17 | 12 | 9 t 1 t 1 t | | 1 +1 | 50 | 21 | | 22 | 10 10 | 32 . 0 | 27 | 8 C | N M M M | ័ត | 22 | 2 | 3 ¥ | 12 | 2 | 85 | 6 9 6 | 77 | S. | 141 | |
| | | (mm) | 420.02 | 200-53 | 296.84 | 290.15 | 277.55 | 258.82 | 40.1.50 40.1.50 | 1 H A A A A | 214.48 | 213.10 | 208.55 | 206,96 | 202.202 | | 197.77 | 194.540 | 100-54 | 11/2-01 | 170-170 | 169.490 | 163.020 | 162.580 | 157.430 | 148.620 | 148.430 | 121.021 | 2.0-041 | 121-220 | 131.060 | 129-050 | 128.710 | 11.000 | 111.050 | 1001220 | 97.130 |
| | | | 5.20 | 2.23 | 1.27 | 2.25 | | 0 0 0 0 | | 9 + P • P • F | 4 er 4 er | 1 ee | 1.26 | 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 2.24 | 2.5 | 1.24 | ~ 1 | | | 3-29 | 1.25 | | 15 | м , и | 3.24 | 5. | | 12 | 110 | 1.14 | 2.3 2.3 | 4 | 52 | 4 F | 5.20 |
| | KOJI 2. | | 1947 | 1958 | 1976 | 1967 1 | 6561 | 19891 | 1946 | 1001 | 1926 | 1950 | 1962 | 1973 | | 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1954 1 | 1953 | 1968 | 1200 | 1978 | 1984 | 1957 1 | 1972 | 1 1261 | 5761 | 8761 | 976 | 10.6 | 1000 | 696 | 955 | 947 | 010 | 096 | 796 | 383 |
| | | | ~ 0 | n 4 | ŝ | -01 | - 63 | | 2 e | + - | | 12 | 15 | -0 I 81 | <u>_</u> | | 8 | 51 | 2 | | 5 10 | 12 | 27 | 82 | ор N M | 31 | 22 | n. ni | | 0 v | | | 8 9 8 9 | - - | | 141 141 | |
| | | (ww) | 396,300 390,870 | 346.990 | 313.460 | 312-840 | 202-200 | 293.660 | 291.060 | 286.180 | 200 000 | 273.340 | 267.960 | 249.520 | 225.890 | 0/0-902 | 205.260 | 2077702 | 202.900 | 2001150 | 190, 700 | 190.580 | 184.200 | 183,200 | 174.810 | 172.330 | 163.260 | 163.110 | 162 090 | 159.750 | 154 470 | 151.000 | 147.130 | | 138.240 | 97.770 | 45.560 |
| جر | TAO R. | | 2.27 | 1.15 | 1.1 | 6.20 | 12.20 | | 2 - 24 | - 1 - A | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1.77 | 1.2.N | 12. 4 | -1 ; n c | 12-4 | 113 | 3.16 | n ; n ; | 61 N | | 12. 4 | 3.29 | 11. 3 | 5.15 12.30 | 1.15 | 1.18 | 12.18 | 1.10 | 2°17 | | 3.13 | 3-10 | | | 2.25 | 1. 4 |
| DA | CUBA | | 1961 | 1973 | 1966 | 2761 | 0401 | 0.56 | 1771 | | 101 | 1985 | 1958 | 1954 | 1956 | 0.00 | 1965 | 1968 | \$767 | 1969 | 1050 | 1960 | 1984 | 1986 | 1961 1951 | 1978 | 1975 | 1987 | 2761 | 03/1 | 1021 | 1962 | 1221 | 1051 | 8861 | 2961 | 1979 |
| 2 | | | 64 | <i>т</i> ч | 'n | -0 F | ~ c | • • • | 2; | - | 4 F | 14 | 12 | 9 70 | 11 | | 22 | 51 | 67 I 67 0 | | 1 N 1 N | 22 | 27 | 28 | ٥ß | 15 | 22 | n | 22 | <u> </u> | 36 | 85 | £9 | | 131 | 14 | F 2 |
| | | | • | 00 | ó | ٥ċ | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | • | | _ | _ | | | , | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 001 | _ | | ñv | 5 - | Nº C | 5 | ě. | ì | 28. | 4 | ğ | š | Ĭ | ò | 370 | 500 | 200 | 242-2 | 34-160 | 9.670 | - S10 | 2010 | 770 | 770 | 510 | 200 | 20 | 22 | \$ | 89 | 110 | 122 | 280 | 54.670 |
| | | (mm) | 308 440 | 230.33 | 220.9 | 204.5 | 194.9 | 101 | | | | 166 | 101 | 164 | 101 | | 271 | 140. | <u>.</u> | | 1 | | 7 | 11 | 126 | 123. | 119 | 617 | | 110.011 | 105 | 104.3 | 96.9 96.6 | 40 | 87. | 22 | |
| | | (mm) | 1.28 308.440 2.28 274.730 | 2.25 230.83 | 11.20 220.92 | 1.23 204.5 X. 1 201.6 | 2.19 194.9 | 1.16 1.64 | | | 0/T 22.T | 3.16 166. | 3.23 144 | 101 104 | 101 101 | 171 172 172 | 1.24 142 | 4.30 140. | 011 02 0 | | • • • | 1.15 1 | 2 30 12 | 2.27 22.5 | 1-10 126 | 5.20 123. | 1-15 119. | 3-14 119 | | | 9.22 105 5 | 2.1 104.3 | 0.23 96.9 3.11 96.6 | 40 | 2.21 87. | 1.22 74. | |
| | 31010 | (mm) | 1976 1.28 308.440 1961 2.28 274.730 | 1971 2.25 230.834 | 1970 11.20 220.92 | 1947 1.23 204.5 | 1980 2.19 194.9 | 1973 1.16 184.5 | 1.011 01.01 YOY | | 1071 2771 170 1074 1 10 148 | 1968 3-16 166. | 1958 3.23 164. | 1967 12.22 164 | 1939 12.21 161 | 17/4 YIK 1701 | 1953 1.24 142 | 1954 4.30 140. | 1984 3.30 140 | 1774 12.202.32.47VI | 1960 12. 5 13 | 1978 1.15 1 | 1951 12 30 12 | 1963 12.27 12 | 1975 1.19 126 1975 1.19 126 | 1981 5.20 123. | 1955 1-15 119. | 1946 3.14 119 | | 1987 2 7 110 0 | 1950 9.22 105.1 | 1969 12. 1 104.3 | 1964 10.23 96.9 1948 3.11 96.6 | 100 GF | 1957 2.21 87. | 1949 11.22 74. | 1979 1. 4 |
| · · · | 3701M | (ww) | 2 1961 2.28 308.440 2 1961 2.28 274.730 | 4 1971 2.25 230.83 | 5 1970 11.20 220.92 | 6 1947 1.23 204.5 7 1954 1 201 6 | 8 1980 2.19 194.9 | 0 1973 1.16 184.5 | 2 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 1771 0217 20X1 11 | 12 1703 1.52 1.0 71 1044 1 10 148 | 14 1968 3.16 166. | 15 1958 3.23 144. | 16 1967 12.22 164 | 17 1989 12-21 161 | 10 17/4 Y.K. 120 | 20 1953 1.24 142 | 21 1954 4.30 140. | 22 1984 3.30 140 | 271 17/2 12/2 12/2 12/2 12/2 12/2 12/2 1 | 25 1960 12. 5 13 | 26 1978 1.15 1 | 27 1951 12 30 12 | 28 1963 12.27 129 | 20 1975 1.19 126 | 31 1981 5.20 123. | 32 1955 1-15 119. | 33 1946 3-14 119. | 24 17// 14 0 11/4. | 36 1987 2. 7 110.0 | 37 1950 9.22 105 1 | 38 1969 12. 1 104.3 | 37 1964 10.23 96.9 40 1943 3.11 96.6 | 40 A 1 640 F1 | 42 1957 2.21 87. | 45 1982 2.26 30. 44 1949 11.22 74. | 45 1979 1. 4 |
| | JIOIN | {mm} (mm) | 263.280 1 1976 1.28 308.440 259.700 2 1961 2.28 274.730 | 202.510 5 7933 24 2 256.29 194.540 4 1971 2.25 230.839 | 191.780 5 1970 11.20 220.92 | 186.250 6 1947 1-23 204.5 +** **^ 7 1956 % 1 201 6 | 179.800 B 1980 2.19 194.9 | 174.190 9 1973 1.16 184.5 | 100.610 10 170% 15.10 100.01 | 102*8800 11 1725 54 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 | 129-210 12 1703 1-26 1/0 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 | 151-010 16 1968 3-16 166. | 151.930 15 1958 3.23 164. | 146.930 16 1967 12.22 164 | 146.160 17 1939 12.21 161 | | 142-440 20 1953 1+24 142 | 142-400 21 1954 4.30 140. | 140.030 22 1984 3.30 140 | 136.660 ZJ 1774 3Z. ZO 155 12 10 20 32 10 2 2 | 130.860 25 1960 12. 5 13 | 129.580 26 1978 1.15 1 | 129.450 27 1951 12 30 12 | 127.690 28 1963 12.27 12 | 123.850 27 1792 1.20 12/ 119.760 30 1975 1.19 126 | 118.640 31 1981 5.20 123. | 117.170 32 1955 1.15 119. | 115.100 33 1946 3.14 119. | 110.420 0# 1777 14 0 119. | 10.000 36 1987 2. 7 110.0 | 107.560 57 1950 9.22 105.5 | 104.200 38 1969 12. 1 104.3 | 102.710 37 1964 10.23 96.9 100.500 40 1943 3.11 95.6 | | 91.120 42 1957 2.21 87. | 81-440 45 1982 2.26 36. 69.830 44 1949 11.22 74. | 68.190 45 1979 1. 4 |
| | J. Date | (mm) (mm) | 1.28 263.280 1 1976 1.28 308.440 6.21 259.700 2 1961 2.28 274.730 | 2.23 202.510 5 1933 2.1 2.56.27 1.24 194.540 4 1971 2.25 230.839 | 2.1 191.750 5 1970 11.20 220.92 | 3.1 186.250 6 1967 1.23 204.5 3 28 487 440 7 1956 3.1 201 6 | | 3.23 176.190 9 1973 1.16 184.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1.21 61.51 YEAL UL 0180001 22.1 | 2*22 102*860 11 1795 54 01 272 | 1.1 25.4 5041 24 012.921 01.1 374 014 5064 74 603 134 75 75 | 2.5 151.010 12 1700 110 1000 | 7.19 151.930 15 1958 3.23 144. | 5. 1, 144.930 16 1967 12.22 164 | 2.20 146.160 17 1989 12.21 101 | | 1115 142.440 20 1953 1+24 142 | 1.19 142.400 21 1954 4.30 140. | 5.20 140.030 22 1984 3.30 140 | 1.26 136.640 ZJ 1774 3Z.ZU 155 | 1.15 130.860 25 1960 12° 5 13 | 1.26 129.580 26 1978 1.15 1 | 1.19 129.450 27 1951 12 30 12 | 2. 4 127.690 28 1963 12.27 12 | 12 123-850 29 1976 1.20 126 2 119-760 30 1975 1.19 126 | 5.30 118.640 31 1981 5.20 123. | 1.19 117.170 32 1955 1-15 119. | 2.25 115.100 33 1946 3.14 119. | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 2 8 107.560 37 1950 9.22 105.3 | 1. 4 104.200 38 1969 22. 1 104.3 | 5. 5 102.710 37 1964 10.23 96.9 . 4 100.500 40 1948 3.11 96.6 | | 1.1.20 42 1957 2.21 87. | 2.7 81-440 45 1982 2.26 36. 1.20 69.830 44 1949 11.22 74. | 5. 7 68.190 45 1979 1. 4 |
| | out s. | { m ^x m} { m ^x m} | 1976 1.28 263.280 1 1976 1.28 308.440 1967 5.21 259.700 2 1961 2.28 274.730 | 1967 12.23 202.510 3 1943 2. 1 236.27 1953 1.24 194.540 4 1971 2.25 230.83 | 1983 2.1 191.780 5 1970 11.20 220.92 | 1956 J. 1 186.250 6 1947 1.23 204.5 1941 3 28 487 440 7 1956 Y. 1 201 64 | | 1958 3.23 174.190 9 1973 1.16 184.5 | 27577 27737 277 07 012100 271 5861 | 1971 2.25 163.860 JI 1995 5.40 1/2 | [773.3.1.16 159.210 14 1949.1.14 1949 1970.7.7.7.444 1970.444 | 1980 2. 5 151.010 14 1968 3.16 166. | 1980 2.19 151.930 15 1958 3.23 144. | 1986 3. 1, 146.930 16 1967 12.22 164 | [952 2.20 146.160 17 1939 12.21 161 | 122 Z-1 2 242. YOU 10 1774 Y-42 120 | 1974 1.15 142-440 20 1953 1.24 142 | 965 4.19 142.400 21 1954 4.30 140. | [921 5.20 140.030 22 1984 3.30 140. | 1957 11.26 136.640 23 1974 12.20 133 1657 13 2 120 120 32 1694 7 1 172 | 1978 1.15 130.860 25 1960 12° 5 13 | 962 1.26 129.580 26 1978 1.15 1 | 972 11.19 129.450 27 1951 12 30 12 | 951 12. 4 127.690 28 1965 12.27 12 | 920 47 5 1157,850 47 1746 1.46 1.46 1.26 126 | .984 3.30 118.640 31 1981 5.20 123. | .946 1.19 117.170 32 1955 1-15 119. | 975 2.25 115.100 33 1946 3.14 119. | .905 12.27 115.250 J# 1977 14 0 119. | 745 5. 5. 110.100 56 1987 2. 7 110.0 0.8 7.11 100.000 36 1987 2. 7 110.0 | 949 2. 8 107.560 37 1950 9.22 105.3 | 979 1. 4 104.200 38 1969 12. 1 104.3 | 955 5. 5 102.710 32 1964 10.23 96.9 982 1. 4 100.500 40 1948 3.11 96.6 | | 969 12. 1 91.120 42 1957 2.21 87. | 987 2.7 81-440 43 1982 2.26 36. 988 2.20 69.830 44 1949 11.22 74. | 964 3.7 68.190 45 1979 1.4 |
| | Holf 8. | { mm}} (mm) | 1 1976 1.28 263.280 1 1976 1.28 308.440 2 1947 5.21 259.700 2 1961 2.28 274.730 | 3 1967 12.23 202.510 5 1923 2.1 2.50.29 4 1953 1.24 194.540 4 1971 2.25 230.83 | 5 1983 2.1 191.780 5 1970 11.20 220.92 | 6 1956 J. 1 186.250 6 1947 1.23 204.5 | B 1926 3. 7 179.800 B 1980 2.19 194.9 | 9 1958 3.23 174.190 9 1973 1.16 184.5 | 1.611 61.51 Yeve ut 010.001 52.1 6861 01 | 17/1 2.25 103.860 XI 17/2 2.2 17/2 1/2 | 22 1973 1.16 159.210 14 1944 1.4 24 4440 71 447 447 447 144 144 | 10 1910 1.1 1910 1.1 1910 1.1 1968 3.16 166. | 15 1980 2.19 151.930 15 1958 3.23 164. | 16 1986 3. 1, 144.930 16 1967 12.22 164 | 17 1952 2.20 146.160 17 1939 12.21 141 | 12 1959 Z.IS 145.900 10 17/4 Y.K. 122 40 4077 4 2 145.900 10 10 17/4 Y.K. | 20 1974 1.15 142.440 20 1953 1.24 142 | 21 1965 4.19 142.400 21 1954 4.30 140. | 22 1981 5.20 140.030 22 1984 3.30 140. | 23 1957 11.26 136.660 23 1974 32.20 133 37 4057 43 7 410 20 404 4 4 412 | 25 1978 1.15 130.860 25 1960 12.5 13 | 26 1962 1.26 129.580 26 1978 1.15 1 | 27 1972 11.19 129.450 27 1951 12 30 12 | 28 1951 12. 4 127.690 28 1963 12.27 12 | 20 1950 4. 2 119.760 50 1975 1.19 126 20 1950 4. 2 119.760 30 1975 1.19 126 | 31 1984 3.30 118.640 31 1981 5.20 123. | 32 1946 1.19 117.170 32 1955 1-15 119. | 23 1975 2.25 115.100 35 1946 3.14 119. | 24 1903 12.27 113.420 34 1977 14 0 117. 27 2017 1 1 10 10 10 10 11 10 117. | 25 1945 2. 2 110.100 55 1987 2. 7 110.0 The sola 7.11 500.000 36 1987 2. 7 110.0 | 37 1949 2. 8 107.560 37 1950 9.22 105.3 | 38 1979 1. 4 104.200 38 1969 12. 1 104.3 | 39 1955 5. 5 102.710 39 1964 10.23 96.9 40 1982 1. 4 100.500 40 1948 3.11 96.6 | | 22 1969 12. 1 91.120 42 1957 2.21 87. | 45 1987 2.7 81.440 45 1982 2.26 36. 44 1988 2.20 69.830 44 1949 11.22 74. | 45 1964 3.7 68.190 45 1979 1. 4 |
| | Hout s. | {mm} {mm} (mm) | 324.340 1 1976 1.28 263.280 1 1976 1.28 303.440 366.890 2 1947 5.21 259.700 2 1961 2.26 274.730 | 255.470 3 1967 12.23 202.510 5 1953 2.1 236.27 254.400 4 1953 1.24 194.540 4 1971 2.25 230.834 | 251.950 5 1983 2.1 191.750 5 1970 11.20 220.9 | 210.030 6 1956 3.1 186.250 6 1947 1.23 204.5 227 250 7 254 2 28 487 440 7 1946 3 1 201 6 | 205_190 B 1926 3. 7 179.800 B 1980 2.19 194.9 | 201.280 9 1958 3.23 174.190 9 1973 1.16 154.5 | 193,430 10 1985 1.22 100,010 11 10 17 10.10 17 10.10 17.10 1 | 190.870 11 1971 2.25 165.860 11 1976 4.40 1/2 | 187.260 12 1973 1.16 159.210 14 1944 1.4 4.1 270 47 4074 77 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 | 103-030 12 1740 112 134-030 12 1760 117 109 193 120 12 1080 2.5 151.010 14 1968 3.16 166. | 18.570 15 1980 2.19 151.930 15 1958 3.23 144. | 176.560 16 1986 3. 1, 146.930 16 1967 12.22 164 | 371.570 17 1952 2.20 146.160 17 1939 12.21 161 | 101.010 18 1959 2.15 145.900 10 17/4 7.45 125 145 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 15 | 122.420 20 1974 1.15 142.440 20 1953 1.24 142 | 147.720 21 1965 4.19 142.400 21 1954 4.30 140. | 145.830 22 1981 5.20 140.030 22 1984 3.30 140 | 164.760 23 1957 11.26 138.640 24 1974 32.20 123 4.6 25 21 452 43 2 428 25 26 464 7 4 432 | 140-140 64 1704 66 4 100-000 64 1700 64 12 138.200 25 1978 1.15 130.860 25 1960 12.5 13 | 135.320 26 1962 1.26 129.580 26 1978 1.15 1 | 134.830 27 1972 11.19 129.450 27 1951 12 30 12 | 133.740 28 1951 12. 4 127.690 28 1963 12.27 12 | 122.450 20 1950 4. 2 119.760 30 1975 1.20 120 | 127.150 31 1984 3.30 118.640 31 1981 5.20 123. | 126.210 32 1946 1.19 117.170 32 1955 1-15 119. | 125.390 33 1975 2.25 115.100 35 1946 3.14 119. | 123.540 54 1903 12.27 113.450 54 1977 14 0 1194. | 124.840 55 1945 5. 5 110.100 55 1987 2. 7 110.0 131 120 14 1048 7.11 100.000 36 1987 2. 7 110.0 | 120_090 37 1949 2. 8 107.560 37 1950 9.22 105.3 | 119.790 38 1979 1. 4 104.200 38 1969 12. 1 104.3 | 110.870 39 1955 5. 5 102.710 37 1964 10.23 96.9 108.980 40 1982 1.4 100.500 40 1948 J.11 96.6 | | 97.040 42 1969 12. 1 91.120 42 1957 2.21 87. | 94.540 43 1987 2.7 81.440 43 1982 2.26 86. 92.220 44 1988 2.20 69.830 44 1949 11.22 74. | 42.840 45 1964 3.7 68.190 45 1979 1. 4 |
| | t. Holf 8. MICLE | { mm} { mm} { mm} | 1.28 324.340 1 1976 1.28 263.280 1 1976 1.28 308.440 1.28 306.890 2 1947 5.21 259.700 2 1941 2.28 274.730 | 1.20 255.470 3 1967 12.23 202.510 5 1933 6. 1 2.56.29 1.25 254.400 4 1953 1.24 194.540 4 1971 2.25 230.354 | 2.1 251.950 5 1983 2.1 191.750 5 1970 11.20 220.9 | 2.19 210.030 6 1956 3.1 126.250 6 1947 1.23 204.5 * 2.77 050 7 0041 3 28 457 4.07 7 1956 3 1 201 6 | 23 205-190 B 1966 3.7 179.800 B 1980 2.19 194.9 | 2.15 201.280 9 1958 3.23 174.190 9 1973 1.16 184.5 | 2 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 20 22 22 22 22 22 | 221 0212 222 1001800 11 1201 12 1201 12 1201 12 1201 12 1201 | 5.16 187.260 12 1973 1.16 159.210 14 1903 1.44 1/0 1 25 151 17 197 1 1 15 151 141 1964 1 10 148 | | 10 13.570 15 1980 2.19 151.930 15 1958 3.23 164. | 1.21 176.560 16 1986 3.1, 146.930 16 1967 12.22 164 | 1.22 371.570 17 1952 2.20 146.160 17 1989 12.21 101 | 1.23 [01:010]8 1959 2.13 [43.900 J0 1914 7.42 1944 | 1. 5. 152.420 20 1974 1.15 142.440 20 1953 1.24 142 | .30 147.720 21 1965 4.19 142.400 21 1954 4.30 140. | | 1.20° 144.760 23 1957 11.26° 136.640 23 1974 12.20° 133 46° 470 37° 4567 43° 7° 410 130° 37° 4094 3° 412 | 19 138,200 25 1978 1.15 130,860 25 1960 12, 5 13 | .15 135.320 26 1962 1.26 129.580 26 1978 1.15 1 | 27 134.830 27 1972 11.19 129.450 27 1951 12 30 12 | 1. 2 133.740 28 1951 12. 4 127.690 28 1963 12.27 12 | 121 132,1350 29 1950 4. 2 119,760 30 1975 1.40 126 127 127 127 | .14 127.150 31 1984 3.30 118.640 31 1981 5.20 123. | .26 126.210 32 1946 1.19 117.170 32 1955 1-15 119. | .13 125_390 33 1975 2.25 115.100 33 1946 3.14 119. | | 18 124-840 25 1745 2. 3 110-100 36 1792 4-19 112-0 2 110-00 36 1987 2. 7 110-0 | 7 120.090 37 1949 2.8 107.560 37 1950 9.22 105.3 | .23 119.790 38 1979 1. 4 104.200 38 1969 22. 1 104.3 | . 8 110.870 39 1955 5. 5 102.710 32 1964 10.23 96.9 . 1 108.980 40 1982 1.4 100.500 40 1948 3.11 96.6 | | .22 97.040 42 1969 12. 1 91.120 42 1957 2.21 87. | .26 94.560 45 1987 2.7 81.440 45 1982 2.26 36. .11 92.220 44 1988 2.20 69.830 44 1949 11.22 74. | 15 42.840 45 1964 3.7 68.190 45 1979 1. 4 |
| DAY | WEATAD R. HOULE SHOULD BE | { mm} { mm} (mm) | 1776 1.28 324.340 1 1976 1.28 263.280 1 1976 1.28 303.440 1961 2.28 366.890 2 1967 6.21 259.700 2 1961 2.28 274.730 | 1970 11.20 255.470 3 1967 12.23 202.510 5 7933 2.1 236.29 1971 2.25 254.400 4 1953 1.24 194.540 4 1971 2.25 230.83 | 1983 2.1 251.950 5 1983 2.1 191.750 5 1970 11.20 220.9 | 1980 2.19 210.030 6 1956 3.1 186.250 6 1947 1.23 204.5 1964 1 6 227 850 7 654 3 28 487 46 7 1956 1 7 201 6 | 1942 1.23 205.190 8 1966 3.7 179.800 8 1980 2.19 194.9 | 1959 12.15 201.280 9 1958 3.23 174.190 9 1973 1.16 184.5 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 1967 12:22 190.870 1 1971 2:23 165.860 11 1976 2:20 1/2: | 1948 5.16 187.200 12 177.5 11 01.7 01.7 170 12 197.0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1445 1455 14321434 15 1444 1415 174144 174144 17414 1445 17414 1445 17414 1445 17414 1445 17414 1445 17414 1445 17414 1445 1445 | | 1989 12.21 176.560 16 1986 3. 1. 146.930 16 1967 12.22 164 | 1985 1.22 171.570 17 1952 2.20 146.160 17 1989 12.21 101. | 1021 111 1 102 01 001 112 120 101 101 10 | 1960 12. 5. 152.420 20 1974 1.15 142.440 20 1953 1.24 142 | 1984 3.30 147.720 21 1965 4.19 142.400 21 1954 4.30 140 | 1954 4.30 145.830 22 1981 5.20 140.030 22 1984 3.30 140. | 1974 12.20 144.700 23 1997 11.20 138.040 24 17/4 12.20 140 1965 4 45 44.700 23 4567 11.20 | 975 1.19 138.200 25 1978 1.15 130.860 25 1960 12. 5 13 | 978 1.15 135.320 26 1962 1.26 129.580 26 1978 1.15 1 | 1965 12.27 134.830 27 1972 11.19 129.450 27 1951 12 30 12 | (926 2. 2 133.740 28 1951 12. 4 127.690 28 1963 12.27 12) | 1422 101 12 122 400 20 1408 717 121 800 20 1404 116 126 130 130 | 945 3.14 127.150 31 1984 3.30 118.640 31 1981 5.20 123. | 962 1.26 126,210, 32 1946 1.19 117,170 32 1955 1-15 119. | (977 4.12 125.390 33 1975 2.25 115.100 35 1946 3.14 119. | | (965 4.18 122440 25 2442 3. 2 110100 24 1907 2 2 110.0 260 5 5 101 120 16 5028 7 11 500 000 36 1987 2 7 110.0 | | 964 10.23 119.790 38 1979 1. 4 104.200 38 1969 12. 1 104.3 | 983 3.8 110.870 39 1955 5.5 102.710 39 1964 10.23 96.9 969 12.1 108.980 40 1982 1.4 100.500 40 1948 3.11 96.6 | | 949 11.22 97.040 22 1969 12. 1 91.120 42 1957 2.21 87. | 982 2.26 94.540 43 1987 2.7 81.440 43 1982 2.26 36. 948 3.11 92.220 44 1988 2.20 69.830 44 1949 11.22 74. | 979 11.15 42.840 45 1964 3.7 68.190 45 1979 1. 4 |

Source; CTH/DAEE

Source; CTH/DAEE

DAY RAINFALLS 2 AND ANNUAL MAXIMUM 1 DAY TABLE F.7

500.400 327.700 327.700 307.300 304.500 288.200 287.000 287.000 288.200 288.200 288.200 288.200 288.200 288.200 205.700 203.203 203.200 193.200 193.100 193.000 191.000 191.000 191.000 191.000 191.000 191.000 191.000 177.700 176.600 174.600 174.600 177.800 177.800 167.200 165.700 165.700 165.700 165.700 165.700 165.700 165.700 165.700 165.700 165.700 165.700 158.000 157.500 157.500 152.400 1133.700 1133.200 1133.200 1133.200 1123.500 1124.500 121.200 103.600 105.600 101.600 91.400 (NULTATE SUCO-E3) 1944 2.27 1965 4.18 1965 4.18 1956 3.18 1958 3.2 1958 3.2 1958 2.2 1947 6.20 1942 2.18 1958 3.23 1956 3. 6 1956 3. 6 1956 2. 15 1955 2.15 1955 1.25 1955 1.25 1955 1.25 1955 1.25 1955 1.25 1955 1.25 196811.27 1978 3. 6 1978 3. 6 1981 5.20 198712.21 198312.21 198312.21 198312.21 198312.22 1974 1.15 1970 3.13 1970 3.13 1946 1.19 1979 3.13 1978 2.24 1956011.11 1973 3.5 1441149966 290.300 2-DAY Source; CTH / DAEE (NOILIYIS BEST-CO) 1.27 1971 2.24 197011.15 1973 1.15 1965 4.18 1965 4.18 1989 2. \$ 1956 3. 1 1958 3.23 1958 1.22 1954 2. 4 1978 1.12 1966 1.15 1966 1.10 1985 1.24 1983 12.14 198712.18 1963 5.15 1981 5.20 196410-23 196410-23 195710-30 195210-12 195310-12 1972 9.21 1972 9.21 1972 9.21 1977 4.18 197412.20 197412.20 1968 1.21 1988 1.21 1988 1.21 222 1976 388328sb (mm) 259-700 251-100 251-100 2246-400 2223-500 2223-500 2210-800 2210-800 2108-100 198-100 198-100 188.300 188.000 177.800 175.300 176.100 177.100 177.100 177.100 167.600 165.100 165.100 157.000 152.400 1150.000 1170.000 1141.200 1141.200 1142.200 1177.200 1137.200 1137.200 123.900 123.500 123.500 113.300 115.300 116.900 116.300 114.300 114.300 111.800 111.000 109.200 109.100 109.100 105.600 106.600 106.600 101.600 95.500 95.500 88.900 88888 83.1 71.5 (ROIIVIS BECO-E3) 1948 2. 5 197211.19 1966 3. 3 1966 3. 3 1968 3. 3 1968 3. 3 1968 3. 3 1968 3. 2 1974 1. 16 1974 1. 16 1974 1. 16 1974 1. 16 1974 3. 15 1948 3. 2 1 194311.17 198712.20 1988 4.4 5:21 2.9 1.16 9.20 1.15 * - 2 - 5 1947 1941 1956 1956 1956 1958 1958 10111125528 NNRNNNNNS B BNNRSHBBB9 195550 221.200 203.300 152.300 152.300 152.000 186.000 186.900 165.000 165.000 165.000 165.000 123.000 124.700 122.000 122.000 112.200 119.200 105.500 105.500 I-DAY Source: CTH / DAEE (E3-1532 STATION) 1.28 2.15 2.19 1965 4.19 1965 1.22 1968 1.22 1977 1.18 1977 1.18 1981 2.10 1981 5.20 1981 5.20 1981 5.20 1982 2.26 196410-23 197912-26 2-23 1976 1972 1954 1956 1956 1956 6851 6851 8 fi S NANANANANAR 2332232

1988 4. 3 1939 2.22 1969 3.11 1964 3. 7

5225

ដល់ពិត

TABLE F.8 INITIAL VALUES OF STORAGE FUNCTIONS

| Cubatac | River B | asin | BASIN | | | | | · | | |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Sub-basin | Drainage Area | Height | of Sub- | basin | Length of Sub-basin | Grade | | . 1/3 | Storage Coefficient | Lag-time |
| No. | A(Km2) 192.7 | Himax (m) | H min (m) | H : (m) | L. (Km) | I | I-1/3 | (Km1/3) | ĸ | Tl(hr) |
| 101 | 40,4 | 760 | 700 | 60 | 13.5 | 1/225 | 6.08 | 2,38 | 75.4 | 0.07 |
| 102 | 42.9 | 760 | 40 | 720 | 3.5 | 1/4.9 | 1.70 | 1.52 | 19.5 | 0.00 |
| 103 | 12.6 | 740 | 680 | 60 | 6.6 | 1/110 | 4.79 | 1.88 | 46.9 | 0.00 |
| 104 | 13.4 | 760 | 700 | 60 | 6.6 | 1/110 | 4.79 | 1,88 | 46.9 | 0.00 |
| 105 | 15.3 | 740 | 160 | 580 | 4.2 | 1/7.2 | 1.93 | 1.61 | i6.2 | 0.00 |
| 106 | 5.8 | 720 | 20 | 700 | 2.4 | 1/9.4 | 1.50 | 1.34 | 10.5 | 0.00 |
| 107 | 6.1 | 740 | 10 | 730 | 8.6 | 1/3.6 | 1.53 | 1.38 | 11.0 | 0.00 |
| 108 | 10.3 | | 10 | 730 | 3.2 | 1/4.4 | 1.64 | 1.47 | . 15.6 | 0.00 |
| 109 | 12.9 | 760 | 700 | 60 | 5.5 | 1792 | 4.51 | 1.77 | 4i.6 | 0.00 |
| 110 | 13.7 | 840 | 40 | 800 | 2.5 | 1/3.i | 1.46 | 1.36 | 10.3 | 0.00 |
| 111 | 9.1 | 740 | 5 | 735 | 4.5 | 1/6.1 | 1.83 | 1.65 | 15.7 | 0.00 |
| Moji R | Drainage | n Height | of Sub- | basin | Length of | Grade | • - - | | Storage Coefficient | Lag-time |
| No. | A(Km2) 64.3 | Н так (т) | H min (m) | H (m) | L (Km) | I I | 1-1/3 | L ^{1/3} (Kai/3) | к | 71 (br) |
| | | 000 | | 705 | 95 | 1/12 | p pg | 8.15 | 25.3 | 0.0 |
| 203 | 37.1 | 600 | 5 | 505 | P 0 | 1/9 4 | 1.50 | 1.26 | 9.8 | 0.0 |
| - 605 | L.O 3 3 | 050 | | 945 | . 4 4 | 1/4 7 | 1.68 | 1.64 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
| EV3 | 3.3 | 730 | 5 | 1 | 0 9 | 1/500 | 7 94 | 0.79 | 32 7 | 0.0 |
| 204 | 0.4 | | 5 | 117 | 6.0 | | 9 47 | (22 | 15 7 | 0.0 |
| 205 | 4.0 | 117 | 5 | 117 | 1.0 | 1/1.5 | 1 77 | 1.00 | 16.0 | 0.0 |
| 208 | . 7.3 | 960 | | 733 | 5.5 | 1/0.0 | 1.17 | 1 24 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| 207 | 2.4 | 419 | | 414 | 2.0 | . 174.0 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 11 2 | 0.0 |
| - E08 - E09 | 1.7 | 106 | 6 1 | 100 | 1.0 | 1/10 | 2.52 | 1.00 | 11.2 | 0.0 |
| Note: K | • 43.4*C* • 0.120 | 1-1/3 _{*L} | 1/3 | T1 # 0. T1 = 0 | 047L-0.56 (| L)11.9) L(11.9) | | | | |

| | CHANNEL | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| Sub-basin | Channel No. | Channel Length L(Km) | Heigh Hmax (m) | t of <u>Chang</u> Hmin (m) | <u>et</u> G AH (m) | radient I | Lag Time Tl(hr) | Coef K | ficien | |
| | | | | | 775 0 | 1/ 34 | 0 04 | 12 8 | 0.300 | |
| Cubatao | A | 12.50 | 340.0 | 19.0 | 405 0 | 1/ 4 4 | 0.01 | 1 9 | 0 600 | |
| River | 8 | 4,50 | 700.0 | 10.0 | 11 4 | 1/ 210 | 0.01 | <u> </u> | | |
| | C | 2.37 | 14.6 | 3.2 | 11.4 | 1/ 210 | 0.07 | ž | <u> </u> | |
| | 0 | 3.05 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 1/1020 | 0.07 | | | |
| | Ë. | 3.90 · | 0.2 | -J.8 | 4.0 | 1/ 980 | 0.09 | | - n 100 | |
| | F | 5.00 | 700.0 | 0.0 | 700.0 | 1/7.1 | 0.01 | 2.2 | 0.600 | |
| | G | 1,90 | 0.2 | -1.4 | 1.6 | 1/1190 | 0.05 | × | X | |
| | - H | 1.71 | -3.8 | -4.8 | 1.0 | 1/1710 | 0.05 | × | . * | |
| Maii | 1 | 2.12 | -0.4 | ~1.6 | 1.2 | 1/1770 | 0.07 | | * | |
| River | | 1.10 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 1/ 220 | 0.01 | 2.7 | 0.600 | |
| | Ř. | 2.39 | -1.6 | -3.0 | 14 | 1/1710 | 0 07 | ¥ | Ħ | |
| | ï | 1.70 | 1.0 | -3.0 | 4.0 | 1/ 430 | 0.03 | 5.8 | 0.600 | |
| | Ā | 3.42 | -3.0 | -3.8 | 0.8 | 1/4280 | 0.16 | Ħ | · ¥ | |

Nota:(1)Lag time It is estimated by empirical formula of Ti=7.36MLMI-1/2#10-4. (2)Storage functions of K in channels of A,B,F,J and L are estimated by empirical formula of K=0.1658MLMI-1/2#10-4 and P is fixed at 0.6. (3)Storage functions of K in other channels of the above(2) are given as discharge-storage volume relationship.
| TABLE F.9 | FINAI CONSI | - VALUES FANTS | OF | STORAGE | FUNCT | IONS A | ND OTHE |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----|-------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| CONSTANTS OF | BASIN | | | | | | |
| Sub-basin No. | ĸ | Р | f1 | Lag-time (hr)- | Area (km2) | Rsa (mm) | Baseflow (m3/s) |
| Cubatan Ri | ver Nasio | · · • · • • • • • • • • • • • | | | | | |
| 101 | 75.4 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.07 | 40.4 | 100 | 5.5 |
| 102 | 13.5 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 42.9 | 100 | 5.8 |
| 103 | 46.9 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 12.8 | 100 | 1.7 |
| 104 | 46.7 | 0.333 | 0.0 | 0,00 | 13.4 | 100 | 1.8 |
| 105 | 10.5 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 5.8 | 100 | 0.8 |
| 107 | 11.0 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 6.1 | 100 | 0.8 |
| 105 | 12.6 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 10.5 | 100 | 1.4 |
| 109 | 41.6 | 0,333. | 0.8 | 0.00 | 12.9 | 100 | 1.8 |
| 111 | 15.7 | 0.333 | 0,8 | 0.00 | 9.1 | 100 | 1.3 |
| Noli River | Basin | | | ******* | ••••• | | |
| 201 | 25.3 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 1.00 | 39.1 | 100 | 5.3 |
| 202 | 9.8 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 2.6 | 100 | 0.4 |
| 203 | 14.3 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 3.3 | 100 | 0.5 |
| 205 | 15.7 | 0.333 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.4 | 100 | 0.1 |
| 206 | 16.0 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 7.3 | 100 | 1.0 |
| 207 | 11.1 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 2.4 | 100 | 0.3 |
| 208 209 | 11.2 | 0.333 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 1.7 | 100 | 0.2 |
| | | | | | | | |
| CONSTANTS OF 1 | HPROVED R | IVER CHANNE | Ļ | | | | |
| Sub-basin Ch | annel | ĸ | | Lag-lime | | | 1. A. |
| Na | h - | | | (hr) | | | and the second second |
| Cubatao | A | 12.8 0.4 | 500 | | | | |
| River | R | 1.9 0.4 | 500 | 0.01 | | | |
| | C | 1.3 0.4 | 50 | 0.03 | | | · . |
| | U F | 2.2 0.1 | 731 | 0.07 | | | |
| | F | 22 0/ | 000 | 0.09 | | | |
| 1 | G | 0.7 0.9 | 704 | 0.05 | | | |
| | н | 7.4 0. | 158 | 0.05 | | | |
| Kaji | 1 | 1.3 0.7 | 96 | 0 07 | | | |
| Fiver | J | 2.7 0.6 | 00 | 0.01 | | · | |
| | K | 2.5 0.7 | 14 | 0.07 | | | |
| | ь н | 5.8 0.d | 00 | 0.03 | | | |
| | | 13.1 0.7 | 101 | 0.16 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

R

TABLE F.10 CHARACTERISTICS OF MAJOR FLOODS

| Flood | Basin Me Rainfall Cubatao R.Basin | an 2day (mm) Moji R.Basin | Availabi Hourly R E3-153R Station | lity of ainfall Data E3-038R Station | Rainfall Pattern | Evalust Cubatao River | ion Moji River |
|-------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Feb.24 1971 | 291.1 | 199.3 | р | N - | $ \bigtriangleup $ | 0 | x |
| Jan.15 1973 | 347.0 | 207.0 | I | N | | x | x |
| Jan.20 1976 | 309.0 | 237.6 | Р | P | $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ | * | * |
| Jan.27 1976 | 390.9 | 296.8 | р | I | $\overline{\Delta}$ | * | * |
| Nov. 8 1979 | 286.2 | 241.6 | Р | P | | X | x |
| Jan.31 1983 | 275.9 | 218.4 | I | N | | x | X |
| Jan.22 1985 | 273.3 | 286.5 | Р | Р | $\overline{\sim}$ | * | 0 |
| Dec.20 1988 | 301.2 | 258.8 | Р | I | $\overline{\boxtimes}$ | * | X |
| | | | * P;pe I;im N;mi | rfect perfect ssing observe | * O;adopted *;conside ed X;abondor | l ered ned | |

note; Data of "E3-153R station" is used for analysis of Cubatao River. Data of "E3-038R station" is used for analysis of Moji River.

TABLE F.11 ESTIMATED PROBABLE DISCHARGES

PRESENT CHANNEL CONDITION

| 100 | 1.8mm) | 488 1.617 | 2515 | 2467 627 | 1988 | 1776 | 1687 | 1725 | 609 | 1172 | 6.5mm) | 435 | 1.568 | | 106 | 949 | 292 | 864 | 778 | 101 | 755 | 1040 |
|-------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| 50 | nfal1=30 | 441 1.465 | 2242 | 899 258 | 1767 | 1565 | 1482 | 1521 | 532 | 1018 | nfall=28 | 393 | 1.375 | | 658 | 897 | 274 | 810 | 740 | 95 | 716 | 965 |
| 25 | 2DAY-Rai | 394 1.309 | 1970 | 428 | 1549 | 1356 | 1279 | 1320 | 455 | 865 | 2DAY-Rai | 351 | 1.229 | | 551 | 759 | 227 | 676 | . 632 | - 67 | 609 | 788 |
| 10 | d Type, | 331 | 3/s) 1617 | 395 395 | 1270 | 1090 | 1022 | 1062 | 356 | 706 | d Type, | 295 | 1.030 | 3/s) | 438 | 578 | 168 | 503 | 487 | 58 | 466 | 570 |
| ŝ | 971 Floo | 282 0.934 | oints (m 1346 | 1320 324 | 1058 | 2001 891 | 827 | 868 | 282 | 586 | .985 Floo | 250 | 0.873 | oints (m | 345 | 443 | 128 | 378 | 377 | 44 | 359 | 421 |
| 2 | Feb.24.1 | 206 0.683 | (Major F) 920 | 203 () 209 | 724 | 283 () 589 | 1) 537 |) 570 |) I73 | 397 | Jan.22.1 | 182 | 0.639 | Major P |) 213 | () 273 | 61 (1 | .) 228 | () 231 | .) 28 | :) 218 |) 240 |
| ar) |) WEL | (mm) (11 | (25 (25 | (2) | ie (20 | (17 26(17 | g. (14 | 5 | | 4 | | (BB) | 11 | es at | (49 | . (48 | (44 | . (41 | : (38 | (34 | (33 | (30 |
| Return Period (Ye | CUBATAO RIVER SYS | Probable Rainfall Scale factor of total rainfa | Probable Discharg -River Mouth | -Alter Fereque -Fereque River | -Before Peregu | -Anchieta Brid | -Imigrantes Br | -After Piloes | -Piloes River | -Before Piroes | MOJI RIVER | Probable Rainfall | Scale factor of total Rainfa | Probable Discharg | -River Mouth | -After Piacag. | -Piacaguera R. | -Before Piacag | -After Indio R | -Indio River | -Before Indio | -Intake Weir |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - 100 | 1.8mm) | 488 | 2366 2366 | 581 | 1056 | 1739 | 1708 | 1725 | 609 | 1172 | 6.5mm) | 435 | 1.568 | | 688 | 816 | 292 | 703 | 750 | 101 | 723 | 1040 |
| 20 | nfall=30 | 441 1.465 | 2121 | 519 | 1690 | 1534 | 1498 | 1521 | 532 | 1018 | nfall=28 | 393 | 1.375 | | 626 | 739 | 274 | 648 | 694 | 95 | 670 | 365 |
| 25 | 2DAY-Rai | 394 1.309 | 1876 | 460 | 1520 | 1330 | 1289 | 1320 | 455 | 865 | 2DAY-Raj | 351 | 5.229 | | 547 | 647 | 227 | 552 | 589 | 79 | 566 | 788 |
| 10 | d Type, | 331 | 13/s) 1555 1555 | 382 | 1231 | 1070 | 1022 | 1062 | 356 | 706 | d Type, | 295 | 1.030 | 13/s) | 439 | 519 | 168 | 438 | 452 | 58 | 431 | 570 |
| ις · | 971 Floo | 282 0.934 | oints (m 1301 1302 | 321 | 1033 | 878 | 828 | 868 | 282 | 586 | 1985 Floc | 250 | 0.013 | oints (≖ | 355 | 417 | 128 | 349 | 350 | 44 | 332 | 421 |
| 2 | .24.1 | 206 683 | 1jor F 916 915 | 212 | 724 748 | 586 | 537 | 570 | 173 | 397 | 1.22.1 | 182 | n 00 | ljor I | 234 | 270 | 79 | 225 | 224 | 28 | 211 | 240 |
| | f (Fel | (() () () () () () () () () () () () () | at Ma (29) | (21) | (30) | Ē | (14) | [<u>]</u> | 6 | 4 | (Jar | ر ا | 5 | at Ma | (46) | (48) | (44) | (41) | 38) | (34) | (33) | 30) |
| iod (Year | VER SYSTEM | ainfall (r or rainfall | Scharges Mouth Pereone | le River | Pereque | ta Bridge | ntes Brg. | Piloes | River | Firoes | | ainfall (1 | or Rainfall | ischarges | Mouth | Piacag. | uera R. | Placag. | Indio R. | River | Indio | Weir |
| Return Per | CUBATAO RI | Probable R Scale fact of total | Probable D -River -After | -Perequ | -Before - | -Anchie | -Imigra | -After | -Piloes | -Belore | MOJI RIVER | Probable R | of total | Probable D | -River | -After | -Piacag | -Before | -Aiter | -Indio | -Before | -Intake |

2467 627 627 1988 1988 1993 19776 1687 1725 1725 1725

949 292 778 755 101

1.568

IMPROVED CONDITION OF EXISTING CHANNEL

1.617

TABLE F.12 MAXIMUM INUNDATION DEPTH BY PROBABLE FLOOD

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ÷., | | | | 1. | ÷ | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------------|-------------|-----|---------|------------|-----------|------|-----|-----|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----|------------------|------------|-----|------|--------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|----------|-----|----------|----------------|-----|------------|------------------|------------|------|----------|---|
| • | Unit : m | 8 | | | 1.4 | 5 | 77 | | 0.6 | ย โ | C.1 | 0 c | 200 | | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 50 | • | | 1.6 | 1.7 | • | | | | | | | ۰. | • • | | | | | | |
| 1 | | 50 | | • | 1.1 | 1.4 | ן ני | • | 0.6 | 1 | 2: | -i - | 190 | 50 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 14 | 1 | 0 K 1 C | | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | • | • ** | נ ז | 1.6 | • | ••• | | | | | | : | · | | | | | | |
| | 1.10 | 25 | | • | 1.0 | |] • | • | 0.6 | 6.0 | 97 (r | J | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 2 | | 13 | t t 5 c | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.9 | • | | 51 | 1.5 | • : | •• | | - | | ••• | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.00 | 10 | . • | 1 | 0.9 | 6. 0 | , . | • | 0.7 | 10 | 717 | | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | • • | 0.0 | 6.0 | | | 90 | 0.6 | 1.8 | • | 0 | 12 | 1.4 | • | ••• | | ÷. | | • | | • | | • | | | | | |
| : | | S | | • | 0.8 | 0.7 | 4 | • | 0.6 | 0.7 | 20 | | 0.5 | 03 | 0.5 | • 6 | 200 | 3 | | 36 | 5 | 0.6 | IJ | • | 6.0 | 12 | ព | a : | | | | | ÷. | | | | • | | | | | |
| | | 2 | • | • | 0.4 | 4.0 | 3. | • | 20 Z | 22 | | ;. | 0 | • | • | • • | 35 | 2 | ••• | 0.2 | 13 | ទួ | 0.7 | • | 0.6 | 0.8 | 6.0 | • | . [] | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ЮІ | | AESH No. | | ~ | ሰነ | 4 V | 9 40 | - | 00 (| ۍ <u>د</u> | 2 | 12 | 13 | 14 | ងរ | - 91 | 11 | <u>9</u> 9 | 20 | 12 | 8 | ន | হ | 3% | 35 | 28 | 29 | 00 | នេ | | :. | | | | | | | | | ÷ | | |
| X | ł | ~1 | | | | | | • | ÷ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ÷ | |
| | | 81 | 1.4 | 60 | | 0.8 | 0.2 | 22 | 1.6 | 4 0 0 | ; • | | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 2 | : - | | 03 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 2, | 12 | 0.7 | •] | วีอ | 2.1 | 2.1 | 5 | 12 | រភ | 1.1 | 2.1 | 22 | 17 | | | 27 | 51 | 1.8 | |
| 15 | 3 | 50 | 0.8 | 03 | 2 0 | 0 | • | 61 | 13 | | • | | 0.6 | 1.4 | , | - °C | | | 0.3 | 0.3 | 7.1 | ר <u>ז</u> גי | 25 | 10 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 210 | 61 | 1.8 | 27 | 201 | رتا ا | 0.9 | 1.9 | 2.4 | | • | • | 0 0 | 6 | 0.1 | - |
| | po | 25 | , | , ç | 1 e | } . | • | 4.0 | 6.0 | | | , | 0.3 | 1-1 | 1. 1 | . 0 25 | ; . | • | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 7 | 7 O | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 25 | 1.6 | S, I | 2.5 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.7 | .6 | 2.1 | 0 | | . : | 8 1 | 1 | .4 | |
| | Return Per | 10 | | • | | • | •] | 503 | S - | | | | | 0.4 | • | | 1 | , | 0.3 | 03 | 4.0 | 0.0 | <u>]</u> – | 50 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 7- | 50 | 14 | 4 | <u>.</u> | ~ ~ | 19 | | | <u>1</u> 4 | | <u> </u> | |
| | | S | | • | • | | • | | • | | | | | • | • 1 | | • | | | • | 1.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 50 | 212 | 33 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | | 12 | | | | 50 | | | 19 | 0 | 27. | |
| | | 2 | ı | • | | | • | • | | • | | | | | ь , | | 1 | • | | ı | | | • • | .• | | • | | | | • • | | 0.8 | | - າະ | | ; . | 1 | , ¹ 4 | 32 | | | |
| BATAO | | SH No. | (| 4 6 | 14 | ŝ | vo r | ~ 0 | • • | 10 | 11 | 21 | 13 | 4 V | 29 | 11 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | ផះ | 36 | រេង | 26 | 11 | 32 | 200 | 31 | 125 | | 35 | ğ | 37 | 00 | , , | | Ç : | 5 × | : 2 | 2 tr | 82 | |
| БО | | 뛹 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - • | | | | | • | | | . 4 | | | |

TABLE F.13OBSERVED HOURLY RAINFALL AND PROBABLE RAINFALL
AT E3-038R STATION

| | | • | Act | ual N-ho | our Maxim | num Raini | fall (mm | 1) | |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Year | Month | Date - | | | | | | | |
| | • | | 1-hour | 2-hour | 3-hour | 4-hour | 6-hour | 12-hour | 24-hour |
| | | | | | 777 4 | | 1 74 7 | 187 4 | 775 G |
| 1976 | Jan | 21-29 | 30.0 | 57.0 (1/1 A) | (1/1 9) | 77.3 | (1/3 5) |) 102.4 (1/3 8) | (1/6, 2) |
| 1040 | Eah | 18-19 | 7 27 | 52 3 | 80.0 | 92.3 | 117.0 | 211.2 | 233.7 |
| 1/00 | ieb | 10-17 | 1/1.3) | (1/1.5) | (1/2,3) | (1/2, 1) | (1/2.4) | (1/6.6) | (1/3, 4) |
| 1985 | Jan | 22-23 | 84.7 | 101.0 | 137.5 | 162.7 | 175.6 | 224.2 | 265.0 |
| 1700 | 0.011 | | 1/ 26) | (1/ 11) | (1/ 18) | (1/ 17) | (1/9.9) | (1/8.4) | (1/5.2) |
| 1988 | Dec | 20-22 | 25.3 | 40.8 | 62.6 | 68.8 | 82.1 | 107.3 | 134.7 |
| | | | (1/1.1) | (1/1.4) | (1/1.5) | (1/1.3) | (1/1.3) | (1/1.3) | (1/1.2) |
| | | | | | | | - | | |
| | notei | The data | above w | as adju: | sted by i | ising ba | sin mean | n <mark>rai</mark> nfal | L · |
| | | () mear | ns retur | n period | d (year) | | | | |
| | | | | | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | ESTIMATE | D PROBAB | LE HOURI | Y RAINF | ALLS . | | | |
| D - • · · · · · | 1 | 7 | | | · | 17 | | - | |
| Return | 1-0000 | 2-00015 . 170 922610 | 1007-0 10507 n | 4=nour (n 4723) | 0-1100P)(0 5685) | 12-0000 | 24-000 | 1) | |
| | | | | | | | | - | |
| 100 | 102:5 | 147.6 | 180.2 | 216.1 | 260.1 | 344.7 | 457.5 | ; | |
| 50 | 92.7 | 133.4 | 162.9 | 195.4 | 235.2 | 311.6 | 413.6 | • | |
| 25 | 82.8 | 119.2 | 345.5 | 174.5 | 210.0 | 278.3 | 369.4 | l. | |
| 10 | 69.4 | 100.0 | 122.1 | 146.3 | 176.2 | 233.5 | 309.9 | , | |
| 5 | 58.8 | 84.8 | 103.5 | 124.1 | 149.4 | 197.9 | 262.7 | • | |
| 2 | 42.9 | 61.8 | 75.4 | 90.5 | 108.9 | 144.3 | 191.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | - | |
| | note; (|) means | ratio | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 11/1 |
| TABLI | S F.14 | STORA | GE COE | FFICII | ents of | / SUB-1 | BASIN | FOR SA | 80 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Sabo Su | b-basin | | | | | | | | |
| | | Divis | ion Numb | er | Ratio of | Hydrolo | a 7 | | |
| Number | Area(km2 |) of Hy | drology | | and Sabo | | | | |
| | | | | | **** | | | | |
| | 1 2.3 | 9 203 | | | 2.39/ 2 | . 39 | | | |
| : | 2 . 3.7 | 9 206 | | | 3.79/ 5 | .08 | | | |
| | 3 1.2 | 9 206 | | | 1.29/ 5 | .08 | | | |
| | 4 8.4 | 2 110 | (+109) | | 21.32/26 | . 60 | | | |
| 1 | 5 0.9 | | | | 0.90/13 | .70 | | | |
| | | 3 110 | | | 2 441 2 | . 70 | | | |
| | / 2.0 | 4 1.11 | | | 2.047 2 | 02 | | | |
| | o 0.4 | 1 100 7 100 | | | 0.71/3 | .02 .02 | | | |
| ·1 | 7 U.7. N 1.2. | 5 100 4 108 | | | 1 24/ 3 | .02 02 | | | |
| 1 | 1 0 4 | 2 108 | | | 1.207 J | 02 | | | |
| . 1 | 2 11 | 1 107 | | | 1 11/ 1 | 11 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | note; I | Number of | sabo su | b-basin | is pres | ented in | ANNEX F | 1. | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | |
| Sub-bas | in I | К Р | F | | Time-Lag | A r | ea | Rsa | Baseriow |
| Number | | | | | (hr) | | (Km2) | ር መጠ ጋ | (a/tm) |
| | | | • • • • • • • • • • | | | | | 100 | |
| 10 | 7 9.3 | 3 0.333 | 0.8 | | 0.0 | | 1.11 | 100 | U.1 |
| 10 | 8 9.0 | 5 0.333 | 0.8 | | 0.0 | | 1,02 | 100 | U.3 |
| 10 | y <u>41.</u> | 6 U.333 | U.8 | | 0.0 | | על בו 10 סל בו | 100 | 1.0 |
| 11 | U 10. | בברי ב | U.8 | | 0.0 | | 2 44 | 100 | י. ז הי |
| 11 | т А., Сталька | בבניט קריים | 0.8 | | 0.0 0 0 | | 2.07 | 100 | 0.3 N 4 |
| 20. | J 11.4 K 11.4 | יברביט אי ברביט א | U.0 A A | | 0.V 0.0 | | 5.08 | 100 | 0.7 |
| 20 | | | v.o | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

FIGURES







Amus 88 2 ç 0.00 4 8 97 ទ Monthly Relative Humidity Observed at Ultrafertil (1969 – 1983) Ň. 90 82 2 ő ថ្ង 26 3 Oef. 99 Sapt Sept 13 7 63 Aug July Aug 4 ê7 5 Source : Programa Serra do Mar, 1985, 1PT YIN 88 22 54 Month -Mean en p May June 86 7 \$7 88 4 8 Ē L Kor Apr 88 22 5 Å 74 8 Mar 5 har ġ. 2 8 8 đ, 5 5 28 88 ş Month Mox. Mecn. 2 2 2 Min. (%) ytibimuH evitors Location 23• 52' S 46• 29' W Altitude:780m Location 23° 56' S 46° 20' W Afritude: 16m. Location 23° 54' S 46° 25' W Altitude:3m. ŭ ŭ 10 11 Month ÷ . IO . Month , 10 Kenth Above Nountain Cubatão Santos ŝ ก ង Ś 02 5ě ò 8 2 ģ ຄ່ 25-20ģ ģ 5 ò ģ 8 'n (O+) enuteredmel Temperature (°C) (O*) enutoregmeT FIG.F.4 GOVERNMENT OF FEDERATIVE REPUBLIC OF BRAZIL

GENERAL CLIMATIC CONDITION IN STUDY AREA

THE STUDY ON THE DISASTER PREVENTION AND RESTORATION PROJECT IN SERRA DO MAR, CUBATÃO REGION, STATE OF SÃO PAULO JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY















.







JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY



.





-















ANNEX G

SEDIMENT STUDY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

쮎

| | | | Ра | ge |
|----|------|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| 1. | INTR | ODUCTION | G. | 1 |
| 2. | HIST | ORICAL CHANGES IN RIVER CHANNELS | G. | 1 |
| | 2.1 | River Morphology | G. | 1 |
| | 2.2 | Past Land Collapses | G. | 2 |
| | 2.3 | Historical River Course Shifting | G. | 3 |
| | 2.4 | Historical River Bed Fluctuation | G. | - 5 |
| 3. | SEDI | MENT MATERIAL INVESTIGATION | G. | 8 |
| | 3.1 | Material Sampling | G. | 8 |
| | 3.2 | Physical Tests | G. | 9 |
| | 3.3 | Results of Investigation | G. | 10 |
| 4. | CHAN | NEL SEDIMENT TRANSPORT | G. | 12 |
| | 4.1 | Objective River and Applied Formula | G.: | 12 |
| | 4.2 | Basic Condition of Calculation | G. | 15 |
| | 4.3 | Result of Calculation | G. | 15 |

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE G.1DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING SITESTABLE G.2RESULT OF SEDIMENT MATERIAL TEST

LIST OF FIGURES

.

| the first second second | en en el estado en la terra de la característica en la substructura de la constructura de la constructura de la |
|-------------------------|---|
| FIG.G. 1 | WORK FLOW OF SEDIMENT STUDY |
| FIG.G. 2 | RIVER MORPHOLOGIC MAP OF STUDY AREA |
| FIG.G. 3 | MAP OF PAST LAND COLLAPSE |
| FIG.G. 4 | RIVER COURSE SHIFTING |
| FIG.G. 5 | LOCATION MAP OF AVAILABLE CHANNEL SURVEY RESULTS |
| FIG.G. 6 | RIVER BED FLUCTUATION (CUBATÃO RIVER) |
| FIG.G. 7 | RIVER BED FLUCTUATION (PEREQUE RIVER) |
| FIG.G. 8 | RIVER BED FLUCTUATION (MOJI RIVER) |
| FIG.G. 9 | SEDIMENT MATERIAL SAMPLING SITES AND TEST RESULT |
| FIG.G.10 | BED MATERIALS OF LOWER MOJI RIVER |
| FIG.G.11 | AVERAGE GRADATION CURVES |
| FIG.G.12 | GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION |
| FIG.G.13 | SEDIMENT TRANSPORT CAPACITY IN EXISTING CHANNEL |
| FIG.G.14 | SEDIMENT TRANSPORT CAPACITY IN DESIGNED CHANNEL |
| | |

This ANNEX-G presents the sediment study results. Sediment features of channels in the study area are discussed here to provide basic data for studies on sediment runoff and flood disaster prevention works.

Historical changes in river channels are discussed first based on data prepared by the Brazilian authorities concerned in order to clarify the present and historical situation of the subject rivers. The sediment material investigations are then described covering those of river channels, mountain slopes and plateau. Based on the above data, sediment transport capacity is estimated. Firstly, sediment transport capacity is estimated for the existing lower channels. Finally, sediment study for lower reaches is made for the design of stable channel from the viewpoint of sediment transport.

Work flow of the sediment study is shown in Fig.G.1.

2. HISTORICAL CHANGES IN RIVER CHANNELS

2.1 River Morphology

A river morphologic map of the study area (scale: 1/25,000) was prepared by Eletropaulo (Eletricidade de São Paulo S.A.) in 1986. Although the map does not cover the upper portion of the Cubatão river basin, almost all the areas of interest are included. Based on this map, a simplified river morphologic map was produced as shown in Fig.G.2.

The following river morphological features of the study area are observed from the map:

- 1) The Cubatão and Moji rivers flow in valleys in Serra do Cubatão which runs from southwest to northeast forming a part of Serra do Mar.
- 2) On the mountain slopes, isolated talus exists in places along the river course. Small scale alluvial deposits are found at the outlets from the mountainous area. These are formed as the result of collapse of mountain slopes and transportation by flow.

- G.1 -

3) A fluvial plain extends in the bottom of the valley. The fluvial plain of the Cubatão river is narrowed by the mountain ranges in the upstream reaches from Via Anchieta bridge. The plain of the upper Moji river is also narrowed by the talus from left side slope upto around Raiz da Serra. In the downstream reaches of these rivers, a wider fluvial plain develops. Most of the industrial factories and the town of Cubatão are located on the wider plain. The railway route follows the lower (southeastern) boundary of the fluvial plain.

4) There is a swampy area on the seaward side of the fluvial plain. The swampy area has fine sand zones and clay zones. The fine sand zones are located along the Cubatão river and the Canal of Cosipa which are deemed to have been previous main floodways of the Cubatão and Moji rivers.

From the above observations, it is obvious that the alluvial plain from the Via Anchieta bridge of the Cubatão river to Raiz da Serra of the Moji river is the area to be protected from sediment runoff and flood disasters. Facilities for disaster prevention should be planned in consideration of the river morphologic features of the sites.

2.2 Past Land Collapses

CETESB (Companhia Tecnologico de Saneamento Ambiental) has prepared historical vegetation cover, land use and land collapse maps of the study area excluding the upper Cubatão river basin based on aerial photos taken in 1962, 1972, 1977, 1980, and 1985. Based on the maps, sites of land collapse were superposed and a map of past land collapse was prepared. The map is shown in Fig.G.3.

Sites shaded in the map shows the area where land collapse took place during the last 30 years. Isolated and/or small scale land collapses are not always shown on the map. Some other collapse sites which may have been overlooked during the interpretation of photos may be found in the aerial photos. However, the map is useful in providing an overall view of the location of collapse sites.

There are few land collapse sites in the upper Cubatao river upstream from Rodovia dos Imigrantes bridge according to the aerial

- G.2 -

photos. Except the upper Cubatão river, sites of the land collapse are found in places. In the map, river channels which might be affected by the sediment runoff are shown emphasized with thick solid line. River channels more or less affected by the land collapse are the lower Cubatão, Rio das Pedras, Pedras, Pereque, Piaçaguera, Engenho, and Moji rivers and other tributaries of the upper Moji river.

On the fluvial plains of these rivers, heavy industrial areas are located. Except the Rio das Pedras river and a tributary of the Lower Moji (non-named), sediment control dams (cross dikes) are constructed at the outlets of these rivers from the mountainous area to protect the industrial areas, although most of them are simple and small scale.

Sediment runoff control works need to be planned for the sediment affected rivers mentioned above, by constructing new facilities and reinforcing the existing facilities.

2.3 Historical River Course Shifting

P

For the study of the historical shifting of river courses in the study area, the following data were used:

- 1970-topographic map: Topographic map of IGGSP (Instituto Geografico e Geologico de São Paulo), originally prepared in 1962 and revised using aerial photos and hydrographic survey results conducted up to 1970.
- 2) 1984-topographic map: Topographic map of IBGE (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatistica) published in 1984.
- 3) Aerial photos: Aerial Photos taken in 1960, 1972, 1980, 1985, 1987 and 1989 are available. Among these, aerial photos taken in 1960 and 1989 are used for the study.

The river courses of 1960 and 1989 are superposed on the above and are shown in Fig.G.4. From this figure, the shiftings of river course during past 29 years can be identified as follows:

1) River courses are stable in the mountainous area. Shiftings of river courses are seen in the fluvial plain and swampy areas. Most of the

- G.3 -
channel shiftings are artificial channel realignments due to channel improvement and land reclamation for industrial factories. Natural shiftings are seen in the upper Moji river and rivers in the swampy area.

2) Cubatao river upstream from Moji junction: Sites of major river course shiftings are found at the following places:

- At just upstream of Sabesp intake weir: Cut-off channel of meandering channel
- At bridge of Av. 9 de Abril in Cubatão: Cut-off channel of meandering channel
- At Pereque junction: Realignment of the Pereque river at its junction with the Cubatão
- Just upstream of the railway bridge in the eastern part of Cubatão town: Cut-off channel of meandering channel
- At Petrobras: Realignment of left tributary of the Cubatao and right tributary of the Pereque in the yard of Petrobras.
- 3) Moji river: Sites of major shiftings of river course are found at the following places:
 - Upper Moji river upstream from junction with the Engenho river (right tributary): River course shifting on fluvial plain
 - Moji river from the Engenho junction to junction with the India river (right tributary): Realignment or natural shifting of meandering channel
 - At Ultrafertil: Realignment of the India river, shifting the junction with the Moji river toward downstream
 - Piaçaguera river (right tributary): Realignment of channels in the highway and railway, and cut-off channel at just downstream of Vila Parisi
- 4) Rivers in swampy area: According to the aerial photos taken in 1960, there were three major floodways for the drainage of the Cubatão and Moji rivers passing through the swampy area. They are tentatively called here as the 1st, 2nd and 3rd floodways from the Moji river side. The 1st and 2nd floodways no longer function as floodways now. The 1st floodway was closed due to the extension of Cosipa and a part of the floodway is used as sedimentation basin for water intake. The 2nd floodway was clogged probably due to the maintenance and improvement of the navigation canal for Cosipa. One additional

- G.4 -

floodway exists now to the west of the 3rd floodway which is now called the main Cubatão river. Therefore, two floodways now serve for the drainage of the Cubatão and Moji rivers.

In view of the above, the following matters should be taken into consideration in planning the sediment runoff and flood disaster prevention works:

- Since the river courses are not yet stable in the upper Moji river, consideration should be given on the location of facilities for disaster prevention so that the proposed facilities may not cause further shifting of river course.
- 2) River channels seems to have been realigned and/or improved for the sake of various factories. It is recommended that the degree of safety and the capacity of these channels be checked from an overall view point as part of the river system.
- 3) The channel system in and around Ultrafertil and Copebras is complicated. The channels should be realigned and the system should be simplified for smooth flood flow and economical channel improvement.
- 4) River channels in the swampy area are meandering and changeable. The improvement of the river channels in this area should be planned carefully considering the water levels in the upstream reaches, silting-up of the floodway itself, and sedimentation problems in the Cosipa navigation canal. If the water levels are acceptable for upper reaches of the river, channels in the swampy area could be left as they are as a natural sedimentation basin for the lower navigation canals and the port.

2.4 Historical River Bed Fluctuation

(1) Available Data

Historical survey results of river sections were used for the study. Historical channel sections at flow observation stations are not available, since the stations in the study area are located at the intake weirs. The following survey results are available:

- G.5 -

- 1) Survey and study by Cosipa
 - Cosipa Canal Survey: A series of surveys of the Cosipa navigation canal carried out from June, 1966 to March, 1967. Longitudinal profiles are available.

- Cosipa Study on Moji R.: Survey and study of the Moji river with sedimentation basin of Cosipa carried out from August, 1981 to September, 1984. Longitudinal profiles are available.

2) DAEE Survey:

- Survey of DAEE carried out from August to October in 1986 for the following river reaches:

- Lower reaches of the Cubatão river

- Lower reaches of the Pereque river
- Middle reaches of the Moji river
- Middle reaches of the Piaçaguera river

3) JICA Survey:

- Survey by JICA Study Team carried out January, 1990 for the middle and lower reaches of the following rivers:
- Cubatão river
- Pereque river
- Moji river
- Piaçaguera river

The location of the available channel survey results is shown in Fig.G.5. Survey results by Cosipa were not used for the study on river bed fluctuation, since the longitudinal profiles are available only along the navigation canal or the center of the river channel.

(2) Cubatão and Pereque Rivers

The longitudinal profile surveyed in 1986 (by DAEE) and that surveyed in 1990 (by JICA) are superposed and shown in Figs.G.6 and G.7 for the Cubatão and Pereque rives. Significant river bed fluctuation is not observed for either river, although the stretches of available data are short.

River bed slopes of the Cubatão and Pereque are outlined as follows:

- G.6 -

**** No. Stretch Bed slope 你能能能了这些我们到我们不不可以是这个不可见到我们的你们也不可以不是不不不不不不不不不不不不 Cubatao R. C1. U/s of Rd. Imigrantes br. 1/210 C2. From Rd. Imigrantes br. to sta.C25 1/1,000 C3. From sta.C25 to C23 Discontinuity in bed slope C4. From sta.C23 to railway bridge (sta.C4) 1/1,350 C5. D/s of railway br. Adverse slope

Pereque R.

| P1. | U/s of park (sta.P15) | 1/30 |
|-----|--------------------------------------|-------|
| P2. | From park to U. Carbide intake weir | 1/300 |
| P3. | From U. Carbide weir to Cubatão jct. | 1/770 |
| | | |

Sedimentation with wider channel width are seen at the downstream of stretch-C1, upstream of stretch-C3 and in stretch-C5 of the Cubatão river and at the downstream of stretch-P1 of the Pereque river.

There is a discontinuity in the river bed slope in stretch-C3. This stretch is located between hills on both banks. The intake facility of Petrobras is located on left bank of this stretch. There may be hard soil or rocks on the river bed.

(3) Moji River

River bed fluctuation of the Moji river during the period from 1986 to 1990 is shown in Fig.G.8. Although the fluctuation of this period is small, the river bed elevation has had a tendency to fall upstream of the railway bridge near Cosipa and to rise downstream.

There seems to be a discontinuity in the river bed elevation near the railway bridge (sta.M11 to M12). River bed slope is as gentle as around 1/6,250 in the upstream and downstream reaches of the discontinuity. The river bed slope gets steeper in the upstream reaches of Ultrafertil intake weir.

- G.7 -

3. SEDIMENT MATERIAL INVESTIGATION

Sediment and soil materials were investigated by taking samples from river bed of major river channels in the study area and from mountain slope and plateau which are the source of the channel sediment.

The investigation consisted of sampling work in the field and physical tests in the laboratory. The main work items were as follows:

- 1) Material Sampling
 - a) River bed materials
 - b) Slope materials
 - c) Plateau materials

2) Physical Tests

- a) Grain size analysis
 - Sieve analysis for materials coarser than 0.075mm
 - Sedimentation analysis for materials finer than 0.075mm
- b) Specific gravity

The sampling was carried out on January 3 to 11, 1990 and the sampled materials were tested in the laboratory of DAEE from January 10 to 24, 1990.

Suspended load samples were also taken on January 3, 1990 at intake weirs of Sabesp in the Cubatão river, Union Carbide in the Pereque river, and Ultrafertil in the Moji river. However, tests were not made on these, since the concentration of suspended solids (SS) was visually very low because the water samples were taken under ordinary flow conditions.

3.1 Material Sampling

The location of sampling sites is shown in Fig.G.9. A total of 26 samples were collected from 19 sites as presented below.

| 1) | Bed Materials | : | 15 | sites, | 21 | samples |
|----|---------------|---|----|--------|----|---------|
| | - Cubatão R. | : | 6 | sites, | 9 | samples |
| | - Pedras R. | : | 3 | sites, | 3 | samples |
| | - Pereque R. | : | 3 | sites, | 5 | samples |

- G.8 -

- Moji R. : 3 sites, 4 samples

2) Slope Materials : 1 site , 1 sample

3) Plateau Materials : 3 sites, 4 samples

Total 19 sites, 26 samples

Descriptions on the sampling sites are given in Table G.1. Materials were sampled with consideration to the following:

- 1) Sampling site shall be selected at the site which represents the typical features of the surrounding river reaches and areas.
- 2) Bed materials shall be sampled at the waters edge after removing surface material of about 30cm thickness.
- 3) Slope and plateau materials shall be sampled after removing surface organic and soil materials of about 30cm thickness.
- 4) About one liter of soil material shall be sampled and kept in a durable plastic bag with sample number.

3.2 Physical Tests

(1) Grading Analysis

- 1) Sieve analysis was conducted for all the samples based on ABNT (Associacao Brasileira de Normas Tecnicas)
- If the material finer than 0.075mm was more than 20% of the total, sedimentation analysis was conducted for the material finer than 0.075mm based on ABNT.
- 3) Based on the results of sieve and sedimentation analyses, a grading curve was prepared for each sample.

(2) Specific Gravity Test

Specific gravity of the sampled material was tested based on ABNT.

3.3 Results of Investigation

Results of the physical tests are shown in Tables G.2 and Fig.G.9 for the bed, slope, and plateau materials. In the table, sorting coefficient (So) and standard deviation (SD) are also presented to show the distribution of grain size of the sample materials. For the uniform material, So = SD = 1.0. The bigger values of So and SD indicate wider distribution of grain sizes.

(1) Specific Gravity

The specific gravities of bed, slope and plateau materials have no have particular characteristics. The average specific gravity is 2.75 g/cm3 ranging from 2.68 to 2.81 g/cm3.

(2) Size and Distribution

Samples from site RC1 are coarse since it is located on a steep river reach while those from sites SN1 and P4 are very uniform probably due to some peculiarity of the sampling sites. Except for the samples from sites RC1, SN1 and P4 and those from river banks, the average grain sizes and distribution of river bed, cross dike and plateau materials are summarized below.

| Site | Grain | size (d50:mm | Distribution | | (So) | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------|------|-------|------|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Average Range | | | Average | | Range | |
| Cubatão R. | 0.25 | 0.10 to 0 | .51 | 1.57 | 1.27 | to | 1.73 |
| Pereque R. | 0.19 | 0.085 to 0 | .27 | 1.68 | 1.18 | to | 2.24 |
| Moji R. | 0.46 | 0.17 to 0 | .85 | 2.87 | 1.72 | to | 3,49 |
| Cross dikes | 1.15 | 1.00 to 1 | .30 | 4.82 | 3.22 | to | 6.42 |
| Plateau | 0.20 | 0.19 to 0 | .20 | 6.71 | 6.32 | to | 6.87 |

Grain sizes (d50) of the Cubatão and Pereque rivers are almost same as that of the plateau, while they get coarser in the Moji river and cross dikes. The sorting coefficients of the Cubatão and Pereque rivers are small with similar values. The coefficient becomes larger of the Moji river, cross dikes and plateau materials.

The bed materials of the Moji river discussed above are limited to reaches above the railway bridge near Ultrafertil intake. Regarding the bed materials of the lower reaches, Cosipa conducted a study in 1984. The result of the Cosipa study is shown in Fig.G.10. According to the figure, coarse to fine sands predominates in the upper reaches from Cosipa, while fine sand and clay predominates in the downstream reaches.

(3) Comparison of Sediment Materials

Averaged values and gradation curves of the river bed, cross dike and plateau materials are shown in Fig.G.11. From the gradation curves the following could be seen, although the curves are prepared based on limited samples:

- G.11 -

- 1) The plateau material includes a wide range of grain sizes from clay to gravel
- 2) Grain sizes of the Cubatão and Pereque rivers are rather uniform subject to sorting action due to water flows. In the reaches of sampling, river channels seem to be stable. According to the grading curves, around 50 % of the plateau material is deemed to be transported to do the swampy area downstream.
- 3) Regarding the Moji river, from the bed material sampling, river bed slope is steep and grain size is distributed over wide range from sand to gravel. From these reaches around 65 % of the plateau material is transported to the lower reaches and to the swampy areas.
- 4) Sediment materials of the cross dikes of the Pedras river are coarse with wide grain size range from sand to gravel. Passing through these cross dikes, around 75 % of the plateau material is transported to the downstream reaches.

4. CHANNEL SEDIMENT TRANSPORT

The channel sediment transport study aims to clarify the channel transport capacity of the lower channels of the main rivers. Sediment transport capacity is estimated first for existing channels. Subsequently, those in designed channel of the subject rivers are checked from the viewpoint of stable channel for sedimentation.

4.1 Objective River and Applied Formula

(1) Objective River Stretches

The river is divided into the two reaches, i.e., lower reaches subject to channel improvement for flood control and upper reaches subject to sabo works. In this chapter, sediment study in the lower reaches is described. Objective rivers and those stretches are as follows.

- Cubatão river: river mouth (railway bridge) - Imigrantes road bridge
- Pereque river: confluence with Cubatão - 1.5 km upstream of weir

- G.12 -

- Moji river : river mouth (road bridge) - 1 km upstream of weir

(2) Applied Formula

Sediment transport formula developed in alluvial rivers are applied to the study. It is difficult to identify the type of sediment transport in the rivers subject to study, since channel length is short and changes in slope is abrupt. Therefore, the following bed load and suspended load formulae are applied in parallel, selecting simple and widely used formulae:

Sato - Kikkawa - Ashida formula for bed load

gB = [U*3/(dm/dw - 1)g].P.F(to/tc)

where,

qB : Bed load per width per unit time

U* : Friction velocity = (g.H.Ie)1/2

H : Water depth (m)

Ie : Energy gradient

g : Acceleration due to gravity

dm : Density of riverbed material

dw : Density of water

P : n>=0.025 : P=0.623

n< 0.025 : P=0.623(40.n)-3.5

n : Manning's roughness coefficient

to : Tractive force

tc : Critical tractive force = g.H.Ie

U*c : Critical friction velocity

F : Function of (to/tc) by Sato-Kikkawa-Ashida formula

U*c is given by Iwagaki's formula

where,

 $R* : {(dm/dw - 1).g}^{1/2}.d^{3/2}/dc$

d : Diameter of riverbed material

dc : Dynamic viscosity of water

Brown formula for bed load and suspended loads

$$qB/U*d = 10\{U*2/(dm/dw - 1), g, d\}^2$$

where,

qB : Bed load and suspended load per width per unit time U*, d, dm, dw and g : same as in the above

Engelund - Hansen formula for bed load and suspended loads

fe.Pe = 0.1 t*5/2
fe = 2.to/(dw.V²) = 2.g.d.S/V²
Pe = gs/{sg[(sg/sw -1).g.d50³]¹/2}
t* = to/{(sg -sw).d50}

where,

fe : Friction factor

d50 : Median diameter of bed material

Pe : Dimensionless sediment discharge

t* : Dimensionless shear stress

gs : Discharge of bed sediment in weight per unit width

- to : sw.rb.S : Bed shear stress
- d : Depth of flow

S : Slope of stream

g : Acceleration of gravity

sw : Specific weight of water

sg : Specific weight of sediment grains

- G.14 -

V : Mean flow velocity of stream

dw : Mass density of water

rb : Bed hydraulic radius

(3) Estimation of Sediment Transport

Sediment transport capacities are estimated for the existing channel and the designed channel under 6 probable floods of 2, 5, 10, 25, 50 and 100 years which estimated in the flood analysis in ANNEX-F.

Estimation is made by the three formulae in parallel mentioned in the above.

4.2 Basic Condition of Calculation

In calculation, grain sizes of bed material are needed. The grain sizes are assumed based on the grain analysis result made for rivers by the team.

Generally, d65 (65% diameter) is used in the formulae of Sato-Kikkawa-Ashida and Brown, while, d50 in Engelund-Hansen formula. In this study, d65 is applied to all formulae for comparison.

Longitudinal grain size distribution thus obtained is presented in Fig.G.12.

4.3 Result of Calculation

In order to check each sediment capacity by the three formulae, the sediment capacity which are transported by 2 yr probable flood is presented in Fig.G.13 (1/2) for the existing channels.

According to the above figure, the estimated capacities are dispersedly in places with wider ranges. However, it may be said that the estimated capacity by Brown formula shows the averaged amount of the three cases. Accordingly, the estimated result for the probable floods by Brown formula is summarized in Fig.G.13 (2/2)

As is understood in Fig.G.13 (2/2), it can be recognized that some reaches be relatively subject to aggradation due to decreasing of

- G.15 -

sediment transport capacity of the channel thereat. Such reaches are found at places of Sections from C.10 to C.20 and C.30 to C.38 in the Cubatão river and downstream reaches of Section M.10 in the Moji river.

Further, sediment transport capacities of the designed channels are checked in view of stable channel design. The results by Brown formula for several discharges are presented in Fig.G.14.

According to the figure, sediment transport capacities of the channels are uniform with a mild increasing tendency towards upstream when the channel improvement work is realized. The balance of capacities will be more uniform compared with these of the existing. However, it is inevitable that riverbed elevations near rivermouth are more or less raised due to abrupt decreasing of flow velocity.

- G.16 -

TABLES

TABLE G.1 DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING SITES

| SITE | SAMPLE | LOCATION |
|------------|--------------------|---|
| BED MA | TERIALS OF | CUBATAO R. |
| RC1 | RC11 RC12 | River bed at sand pit site Right river bank at sand pit site |
| RC2 | RC21 RC22 | River bed at sand pit site Right river bank at sand pit site |
| RC3 | RC31 | River bed at sand pit site |
| D.C.J. | RU3Z | Kight river bank at sand pit site |
| KU4 DCE | KU4 | Right water edge at opposit side of PEIRUBRAS intake |
| KUD PCR | RCD PC6 | Loft water eage |
| KCO. | NCO. | reit Marei edge ove of Latimaa pringe |
| BED MA | TERIALS OF | PEREQUE R. |
| RP1 | RP11 | Left water edge inside park (lower layer) |
| | RP12 | - do - (surface layer) |
| RP2 | RP21 | River bed at sand pit site |
| 002 | KPZZ PD2 | Left river bank at sand pit site |
| KP3 | -KL9 | Leit water eoge (lower layer) |
| BED MA | TERIALS OF | MOJI R. |
| RM1 | RM1 | Right water edge (lower layer) |
| RM2 | RM2 | Right water edge at the confluence of tributary |
| RM3 | RN31 | Right water edge d/s of railway bridge |
| | KMJZ | Kight bank hear water edge u/S of rallway bridge |
| CROSS | DIKE SEDIM | IENT OF PEDRAS R. |
| NO.3 | NO.3 | 3rd cross dike from downstream |
| NO.4 | NO.4 | 4th cross dike from downstream |
| NO.5 | NO.5 | 5th cross dike from downstream |
| SLOPE | MATER IAL: | |
| SN1 | SN11 S | lope along Via Anchieta |
| PLATEA | U MATERIAL | S and the |
| P1 | P11 P | lateau near cliff along Rd. Imigrantes |
| P3 | P31 P | lateau near cliff along Caminho do Mar |
| P4 | P41 P | lateau near cliff along Route 122 |
| | | |
| Remark | s: Sampli d/s:d | ng site is shown in Fig.G.9. Jownstream |

TABLE G.2 RESULT OF SEDIMENT MATERIAL TEST

| SAMPLE | SG | GRADA | TION(N | -M) | | | | So | S.D. |
|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
| | G/CM3 | 16% | 25% | 50% | 65% | 75% | 84% | | |
| RC11 | 2.79 | 0.53 | 0.78 | 4.9 | 11 | 16 | 30 | 4.53 | 7.52 |
| RC12 | 2.73 | 0.066 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 1.54 | 1.87 |
| RC21 | 2.71 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.69 | 0.93 | 1.5 | 1.73 | 2.45 |
| RC22 | 2.81 | 0.068 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.85 | 7 | 3.13 | 10.15 |
| RC31 | 2.71 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 1.27 | 1,41 |
| RC32 | 2.78 | 0.048 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.2 | 1.56 | 2.04 |
| RC4 | 2.68 | 0.065 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 1.54 | 1.96 |
| RC5 | 2.73 | 0.038 | 0.06 | 0.1 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 1.68 | 2.62 |
| RC6 | 2.70 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.45 | 1.51 | 1.79 |
| RP11 | 2.73 | 0.087 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.44 | 0.65 | 1.4 | 2.24 | 4.01 |
| RP12 | 2.77 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.65 | 1.6 | 6 | 3.38 | 7.75 |
| RP21 | 2.70 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 1.18 | 1.30 |
| RP22 | 2.74 | 0.091 | 0.13 | 0.2 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 1.62 | 2.27 |
| RP3 | 2.74 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.085 | 0.1 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 1.54 | 2.06 |
| RM1 | 2.73 | 0.069 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 1.80 | 2.25 |
| RM2 | 2.73 | 0.3 | 0.37 | 0.85 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 8 | 3.49 | 5.16 |
| RM31 | 2.75 | 0.52 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 10 | 18 | 24 | 4,74 | 6.79 |
| RM32 | 2.72 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.69 | 1.72 | 2.14 |
| SN11 | 2.77 | 0.0056 | 0.00 | 0.018 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.04 | 1.97 | 2.67 |
| P11 | 2.78 | 0.0053 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.42 | 0.64 | 1 | 6.32 | 13.74 |
| P31 | 2.80 | 0.007 | 0.03 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 6.87 | 22.36 |
| P41 | 2.73 | 0.051 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 1.83 | 2.38 |
| P42 | 2.77 | 0.049 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 1.87 | 2.39 |
| NO.3 | 2.76 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 100 | 6.42 | 20.41 |
| NO.4 | 2.78 | 0.35 | 0.51 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 19 | 3.22 | 7.37 |
| NO.5 | 2.81 | 1.50 | 4.00 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

REMARKS

SG: SPECIFIC GRAVITY (g/cm3) So: SQRT(d75/d25) SD: SQRT(d84/d16)

×.