I. BASIN-WIDE PROJECT
A SEDIMENT CONTROL WORKS

	,170,000.	6,947,400.	3,651,240.	2,621,48	,783,920.	49,174,040.	98,		0,658,000.	487,20	8,272,830.	,161,460.	,099,260.	6	2,310.0		-	50	199,800.	323,456.	$\boldsymbol{\omega}$		4,0	49,457,304.0	14,526,000.0	71,867,304.0 2,660.0
cu.m)	00	3,400.	Ś	3,460.	7,440.0 =	Quantity) 1		(m.	3,0	15,200.0 =	0,130.	5,170.0	$\infty$	(Quantity)			26,000.0 =	1,334.	17,100.0 =	(Quantity)			000	2,106.0 =	27,000.0 =	(Quantity)
m3 (Unit:	146.0	U	,791	38 9	4	(Unit Rate) (		m3 (unit cu	146.0 x		7.9	38.	44	(Unit Rate) (			146.0 x	84.0	538.0 x	(Unit Rate)	-	-	46	4.0	538.0 x	(Unit Rate)
Pump Method 62,500	em no. 40)	em no. 30-A-	(Item no. 30-A-2)	(Item no. 11)	(Item no. 72-A)	Total(Bs./no.)	Unit Price (Bs./m3)	Pump Method 40,500	no.	no. 30-A-	no. 3	(Item no. 11)	(Item no. 72-A)	Total(Bs./no.)	Unit Price (Bs./m3)	m3 (Hnit Culm)	Trem no	no. 7		, ~	Unit Price (Bs./m3)	m3 (Unit : cu.m)		(Item_no. 76)	(Item no. 11)	Total(Bs./no.) Unit Price(Bs./m3)
Concrete,								Concrete,								17.100	•				٠	27,000				
SEDIMENT CONTROL WORKS C-1 Dam, Rubblestone (		_	(3) Inner concrete	(4) Rubblestone	(5) Form			C-2 Dam, Rubblestone (	(1) Excavation	(2) Outer concrete	(3) Inner concrete	(4) Rubblestone	(5) Form			C-3 Dam Steel Brame	Excavation					C-4 Dam, Steel Frame,		(2) Steel frame	(3) Rubblestone	
A. SE I.A.1					•			I.A.2					·			۲. ا						I.A.4				

# Table VIII-4(2/7) LIST OF UNIT PRICE

7,957,000.0 56,647,800.0 50,461,280.0 14,590,560.0 4,976,820.0 134,633,460.0	104,922.0 795,000.0 238,872.0 790,650.0 165,894.0 613,740.0 2,709,078.0	555,060.0 4,054,500.0 1,232,020.0 3,840,300.0 842,330.0 3,111,160.0 13,635,370.0	296,670.0 2,252,500.0 683,260.0 2,661,855.0 1,821,920.0 8,198,455.0
cu.m) 54,500.0 = 19,800.0 = 18,080.0 = 27,120.0 = 7,740.0 =	603.0 = 300.0 = 444.0 = 1,050.0 = 258.0 = 795.0 = (Quantity)	3,190.0 = 1,530.0 = 2,290.0 = 5,100.0 = 1,310.0 = 4,030.0 = (Quantity)	1,705.0 = 850.0 = 1,270.0 = 3,535.0 = 750.0 = 2,360.0 = (Quantity)
m3 (Unit: 146.0 x 2,861.0 x 2,791.0 x 538.0 x 643.0 x (Unit Rate)	t : no.) 174.0 x 2,650.0 x 538.0 x 753.0 x 643.0 x 772.0 x 772.0 x	it : no.) 174.0 x 2,650.0 x 538.0 x 753.0 x 643.0 x 772.0 x	it : no.) 174.0 x 2,650.0 x 538.0 x 753.0 x 643.0 x (Unit Rate)
e, Pump Method 65,000 (Item no. 40) (Item no. 30-A-1) (Item no. 30-A-2) (Item no. 11) (Item no. 72-A) Total(Bs./no.) Unit Price(Bs./m3)	nos., 1,059 m3 (Unit (Item no. 41) (Item no. 33-A-2) (Item no. 11) (Item no. 6) (Item no. 72-A) (Item no. 74) Total(Bs./3 nos.) Unit Price(Bs./no.)	nos., 5,350 m3 (Unit (Item no. 41) (Item no. 33-A-2) (Item no. 11) (Item no. 6) (Item no. 72-A) (Item no. 74) Total(Bs./10 nos.) (Unit Price(Bs./no.)	nos., 3,180 m3 (Unit (Item no. 41) (Item no. 33-A-2) (Item no. 11) (Item no. 6) (Item no. 72-A) (Item no. 74) Total(Bs./5 nos.) (Unit Price(Bs./no.)
<pre>N-1 Dam, Rubblestone Concrete (1) Excavation (2) Outer concrete (3) Inner concrete (4) Rubblestone (5) Form</pre>	Mucusos Continuous Dam, 3 (1) Excavation (2) Inner concrete (3) Rubblestone (4) Wet stone masonry (5) Form (6) Handling of materials	Mucusas Continuous Dam , 10 (1) Excavation (2) Inner concrete (3) Rubblestone (4) Wet stone masonry (5) Form (6) Handling of materials	Mucusuru Continuous Dam , 5 (1) Excavation (2) Inner concrete (3) Rubblestone (4) Wet stone masonry (5) Form (6) Handling of materials
I.A.10	I.A.11	-T-6	I.A.13

PRICE
UNIT
Q.
LIST
4/7)
4
1-4
VIII-4
Table VIII-4

130,095.0 156,672.0 46,483.2 240,960.0 574,210.2 574,000.0	926.1 21,586.0 22,512.1 22,500.0	37,000.0 37,000.0 37,000.0	113.0 54.0 167.0	240,000.0 240,000.0 240,000.0	560.0 560.0 560.0
295.0 = 57.6 = 86.4 = 320.0 = (Quantity)	2.1 = 8.6 = (Quantity)	10,000.0 = (Quantity)	1.0 = 1.0 = (Quantity)	10,000.0 = (Quantity)	1.0 = (Quantity)
no.) 441.0 x 2,720.0 x 538.0 x 753.0 x (Unit Rate)	441.0 x 2,510.0 x (Unit Rate)	3.7 x (Unit Rate)	113.0 x 54.0 x (Unit Rate)	24.0 x (Unit Rate)	560.0 x (Unit Rate)
92 nos. (Unit: (Item no. 33-A-1) (Item no. 11) (Item no. 6) Total(Bs./no.) Unit Price(Bs./no.)	roject) (Unit : no.) (Item no. 42) (Item no. 6) Total(Bs./no.) Unit Price(Bs./no.)	(Item no.12) Total(Bs./ha) Unit Price(Bs./ha)	.m) (Item no. 1) (Item no. 2) Total(Bs./m3) Unit Price(Bs./m3)	(Item no. 4) Total(Bs./ha) Unit Price(Bs./ha)	(Item no. 3) Total(Bs./m3) Unit Price(Bs./m3)
I.A.14 Continuous Dam , Other Sites , (1) Excavation (2) Concrete (3) Rubblestone (4) Wet stone masonry	<ul><li>I.A.15 Retaining Wall (Basin-wide Pro-</li><li>(1) Excavation</li><li>(2) Wet stone masonry</li></ul>	B. SEDIMENT CONTROL WORKS I.B.1 Land Clearing (Unit : sq.m) (1) Grass land	I.B.2 Embankment of Dike (Unit : cu (1) Excavation (2) Embankment	I.B.3 Sod Facing (Unit : sq.m) (1) Seed spraying	<pre>I.B.4 Gravel Pavement (Unit : cu.m) (1) Gravel metalling</pre>

. PRICE
UNIT
7 OF
LIST
(5/7)
V111-4(
Table

ы Б С	Revetment by Concrete Masonry (1) Wet stone masonry (2) Cobblestone filling (3) Gabion mattress (4) Wooden pile (5) Concrete block (6) Backfilling	(Unit: m) (Item no. 6) (Item no. 3) (Item no. 9) (Item no. 8) (Item no. 7) (Item no. 7)	753.0 x 560.0 x 1,060.0 x 2,870.0 x 3,550.0 x	ючгооч 4га	4,819.2 840.0 5,724.0 1,435.0 1,420.0
		Total(Bs./m) Unit Price(Bs./m)	Rat	(Quantity)	90
I.B. 6	Groin (Unit : no.) (1) Gabion mattress (2) Rubblestone	(Item no. 9) (Item no. 11) Total(Bs./no.) Unit Price(Bs./no.	1,060.0 x 538.0 x (Unit Rate)	42.0 = 2.0 = (Quantity)	44,520.0 1,076.0 45,596.0 45,600.0
I.B.7	Ground-sill (Unit : no.) (1) Excavation (2) Rubblestone concrete (3) Stone bedding (4) Cobblestone filling (5) Gabion mattress	n n n o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	1113. 624. 560. 538.	8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	2,067,90 4,622,16 62,16 1,614,00 3,674,00
	*1 concrete (Unit : cu.m) (1) Rubblestone (2) Concrete (3) Form	Total(Bs./no.) Unit Price(Bs./no.) (Item no. 11) (Item no. 72-A) (Item no. 72-A) Total(Bs./m3)	538.0 x 2,861.0 x 643.0 x (Unit Rate)	(Quantity)  0.6 = 0.4 = 0.2 = (Quantity)	322. 1,144. 1,624.

	dable ulli-4(0//) LIS OF UNI PRICE	MI PRICE		
II.A.1 Check Dam(Local Project) (Unit				
(1) Excavation	(Item no. 42)	441.0 x	12.0 =	292
(2) Concrete	(Item no. 33-A-1)	2,720.0 ×	7.2 =	19,584.0
(3) Rubblestone	(Item no. 11)	538.0 x	10.8 =	310
(4) Wet stone masonry	(Item no. 6)	753.0 x	40.0 =	30,120.0
	Total(Bs./no.)	(Unit Rate)	(Quantity)	60,806.4
	Unit Price (Bs./no.			800.
II.A.2 Retaining Wall (Local Project)	(Unit : m)			•
	(Item no. 30-A-1)	2,861.0 x	1.523 =	4,357.3
(2) Form	(Item no. 73-A)		5.266 =	3,844.2
(3) Backfilling	(Item no. 50)	71.3 x	0.413 =	29.4
	Total(Bs./m)	(Unit Rate)	(Quantity)	8,230.9
	Unit Price (Bs./m)			ċ
II.A.3 Revetment (Local Project) (Unit	t : m)		·	
(1) Wet stone masonry	(Item no. 6)	753.0 x	5.590 =	4,209.3
(2) Concrete block	(Item no. 7)	3,550.0 ×	0.136 =	482.8
(3) Backfilling	(Item no. 50)	71.3 x	1.963 =	140.0
(4) Gabion mattress	(Item no. 9)	1,060.0 x	3.000 =	80.
(5) Cobblestone filling	(Item no. 11)	538.0 x	0.625 =	336.3
	Total (Bs./m)	(Unit Rate)	(Quantity)	8,348.3
	Unit Price (Bs./m)			8,350.0
B. SEDIMENT CONTROL WORKS				
60 m3	(Unit : cu.m)	·		
(1) Concrete	(Item no. 33-A-1)	2,720.0 x	1.00 =	2,720.0
(2) Form	(Item no. 73-A)	730.0 x	2.00 =	24.
(3) Reinforcement bars	(Item no. 71)	22,672.0 x	0.02 =	453.4

4,633.4

(Quantity)

(Unit Rate)

Unit Price (Bs./m3)

Total(Bs./m3) (Item no. 71)

		440,176.8	11,678,250.0	884,442	86	13,000,000.0		2,720.0	1,460.0	453.4	4,633.4		2,720.0	730.0	3,450.0		174.0	174.0			174.0	174.0
		95.0 =	3,385.0 =	5,083.0 =	(Quantity)	•		1.00 =	2.00 =	0.02 =	(Quantity)		1.0 =	1.0 =	(Quantity)		1.0 =	(Quantity)			1.0 =	(Quantity)
1		4,633.4 x	3,450.0 x	174.0 x	(Unit Rate)			2,720.0 ×	730.0 ×	22,672.0 ×	(Unit Rate)	*	2,720.0 x	730.0 x	(Unit Rate)		174.0 x	(Unit Rate)			174.0 x	(Unit Rate)
ייים וכיים ליולול ביים מומים		(Ref. A)	(Ref. B)	(Ref. C)	Total(Bs.)	Unit Price (Bs.)	n3 (Unit : cu.m)	(Item no. 33-A-1)	(Item no. 73-A)	(Item no. 71)	Total(Bs./m3)	(Unit : cu.m)	(Item no. 33-A-1)	(Item no. 73-A)	Total(Bs./m3)	cu.m)	(Item no. 41)	Total(Bs./m3)	(Unit : cu.m)		(Item no. 41)	Unit Price (Bs./m3)
233	II.B.2 Q'da Milla (Unit : lump sum)	(1) Reinforced concrete	(2) Plain concrete	(3) Earth work			A Reinforced Concrete work 95 m3	(1) Concrete	(2) Form	(3) Reinforcement bars		B Plain Concrete work 3,385 m3	(1) Concrete	(2) Form		CEarth work 5,083 m3 (Unit:	(1) Excavation		II.B.3 Q'da La Portuguesa 4,500 m3	A Earth work	(1) Excavation	
	<b>}-</b> 1														•	 <b>.</b>			H			

Table VIII-5 BREAKDOWN OF MASTER PLAN CONSTRUCTION COST FOR BASIN-HIDE PROJECT

	•	•		Unit: Bs
WORK ITEM	UNIT	UNIT COST	QUANTITY	COST
I. DIRECT COST				
A. Sediment Control Works	**			
(1) Preparatory Works (10% of (2) to	(17)) l.s.			92,183,280
(2) Sabo Dam C-1, Rubblestone Concret	e m3	2,390	62,500	149,375,000
(3) Sabo Dam C-2, Rubblestone Concret	e m3	2,310	40,500	93,555,000
(4) Sabo Dam C-3, Steel Frame	m3	2,590	17,100	44,289,000
(5) Sabo Dam C-4. Steel Frame	m3	2,660	27,000	71,820,000
(6) Sabo Dam C-5, Steel Frame	m3 *	2,640	14,600	38,544,000
(7) Sabo Dam C-6, Steel Frame	т3	2,590	25,100	65,009,000
(8) Sabo Dam C-7, Steel Frame	m3	2,600	22,000	57,200,000
(9) Sabo Dam C-8, Steel Frame	m3	2,590	17,100	44,289,000
(10) Sabo Dam C-9. Steel Frame	m3	2,590	27,200	70,448,000
(11) Sabo Dam N-1, Rubblestone Concret		2,070	65,000	134,550,000
(12) Continuous Dam. Mucusos	nos.	903,000	3	2,709,000
(13) Continuous Dam, Mucusas	nos.	1,364,000	10.	13,640,000
(14) Continuous Dam, Mucusuru	nos.	1,640,000	5	8,200,000
(15) Continuous Dam, Other Sites	nos.	574,000	92	52,808,000
(16) Retaining Wall (Wet Masonry)	nos.	22,500	1,400	31,500,000
(17) Miscellaneous Works (5% of (2) to		22,000		43,896,800
Sub-Total				1,014,016,080
B. Flood Control Works				
(1) Preparatory Horks (10% of (2) to	(10))			150,593,900
(2) Land Clearing	ha.	37,000	2,300	85,100,000
(3) Excavation of Riverbed	m3	-		•
(4) Dike Embankment	m3	167	3,993,000	666,831,000
(5) Sodding	ha	240,000	181	43,440,000
	m3	560	64,100	35,896,000
(6) Gravel Pavement	m	15,200	30,800	468,160,000
(7) Revetment	nos.	45,600	1,370	62,472,000
(8) Groin	=	22,040,000	1,5,0	22,040,000
(9) Ground-sill	no. m2	20,000	6,100	122,000,000
(10) Puerto Chama Bridge Extension	Ħ <b>Ľ</b> ,	20,000	5,104	
Sub-Tota i				1,656,532,900
Total of I.			•	2,670,548,980
II. Land Acquisition				FF 000 000
(1) Platano	Ha	100,000	558	55,800,000
(2) Pasture	Ha	30,000	1,742	52,260,000
Total of II.				108,060,000
III. Administration Cost (5% of I & II)	L.S.			138,930,449
IV. Engineering Service (10% of I)	L.S.			267,054,898
V. Physical Contingency(10% of I,II,III &	IV) L.S.			318,459,433
Grand Total			-	3,503,053,760

Note: (1) 1 US\$ = 40 Bs. = 130 Yen
(2) Cost of excavation of riverbed of Item I.B.(3) is included in cost of dike embankment because materials excavated are used for dike.

Table VIII-6 BREAKDOWN OF MASTER PLAN CONSTRUCTION COST FOR LOCAL PROJECT

Unit: Bs

COST QUAN		COST
0,800 3,230 3,350	88 5 750 6	1,753,490 5,350,400 5,172,500 5,012,000
	19	,288,390
,000	660 3	1,683,880 3,055,800 3,000,000 783,000
	18	3,522,680
	37	7,811,070
	1	,890,554
	3	3,781,107
	4	1,348,273
	4,	7,831,004

Note: 1 US\$ = 40 Bs. = 130 Yen

Table VIII-7 BREAKDOWN OF ACTION PLAN CONSTRUCTION COST FOR BASIN-WIDE PROJECT

Unit: Bs

				Unit: Bs
WORK ITEM	UNIT	UNIT COST	QUANTITY	COST
. DIRECT COST				
A. Sediment Control Works				
(1) Preparatory Works (10% of (2) to (16))	l.s.			37,240,140
(2) Sabo Dam C-1, Rubblestone Concrete	m3	2,390	62,500	149,375,000
(3) Sabo Dam C-2, Rubblestone Concrete	m3	2,310	0	. • •
(4) Sabo Dam C-3, Steel Frame	m3	2,590	0	
(5) Sabo Dam C-4, Steel Frame	m3	2,660	0	
(6) Sabo Dam C-5, Steel Frame	m3	2,640	14,600	38,544,00
(7) Sabo Dam C-6, Steel Frame	m3	2,590	0	ı
(8) Sabo Dam C-7, Steel Frame	m3	2,600	0.	1000
(9) Sabo Dam C-8, Steel Frame	m3	2,590	. 0	
(10) Sabo Dam C-9, Steel Frame	m3	2,590	0	
(11) Sabo Dam N-1, Rubblestone Concrete	m3	2,070	65,000	134,550,00
(12) Continuous Dam, Mucusos	nos.	903,000		2,709,00
(13) Continuous Dam, Mucusas	nos.	1,364,000	10	13,640,00
(14) Continuous Dam, Mucusuru	nos.	1,640,000	5	8,200,00
(15) Continuous Dam, Other Sites	nos.	574,000	0	
(16) Retaining Wall (Wet Masonry)	nos.	22,500	340	7,650,00
(17) Miscellaneous Works(5% of (2) to (16))	l.s.			17,733,40
Sub-Tota1		es <sup>†</sup>		409,641,54
3. Flood Control Works				
(1) Preparatory Works (10% of (2) to (10))				35,812,82
(2) Land Clearing	ha	37,000	674	24,938,00
(3) Excavation of Riverbed	m3	-	-	-
(4) Dike Embankment	m3	167	745,000	124,415,00
(5) Sodding	ha	240,000	28	6,720,00
(6) Gravel Pavement	m3	560	15,420	8,635,20
(7) Revetment	. m	15,200	10,300	156,560,00
(8) Groin	nos.	45,600	325	14,820,00
(9) Ground-sill	no.	22,040,000	1	22,040,00
(10) Puerto Chama Bridge Extension	m2	20,000	Ō	22,010,00
Sub-Tota1				393,941,02
Total of I.				803,582,56
. Land Acquisition				
(1) Platano	Ha	100,000	183	18,300,00
(2) Pasture	На	30,000	491	14,730,00
Total of II.	:			33,030,00
II. Administration Cost (5% of I & II)	L.S.			41,830,62
). Engineering Service (10% of I)	L.S.			80,358,25
. Physical Contingency(10% of I,II,III & IV)	L.S.			95,880,14
Grand Total	•			1,054,681,58
MINIM TYPHI				71404100110C

Note: (1) 1 US\$ = 40 Bs. = 130 Yen

(2) Cost of excavation of riverbed of Item I.B.(3) is included in cost of dike embankment because materials excavated are used for dike.

Table VIII-8 BREAKDOWN OF ACTION PLAN CONSTRUCTION COST FOR LOCAL PROJECT

Unit : 8s

	******			
WORK ITEM	TINU	UNIT COST	QUANTITY	COST
I. DIRECT COST A. Sediment Control Horks				
(1) Preparatory Works (10% of (2) to (4)) (2) Check Dam	l.s. nos.	60,800	88	1,753,490 5,350,400
(3) Retaining Wall (4) Revetment	m	8,230 8,350	750 720	6,172,500 6,012,000
Total				19,288,390
<ul> <li>8. Flood Control Works</li> <li>(1) Preparatory Works (10% of (2) to (4))</li> <li>(2) Improvement of Albarregas River</li> <li>(3) Improvement of Q'da Hilla</li> <li>(4) Improvement of Q'da La Portuguesa</li> </ul>	1.s. m3 1.s. m3	4,630 13,000,000 174	660 1 4,500	1,683,880 3,055,800 13,000,000 783,000
Total				18,522,680
Total of I.				37,811,070
II. Administration Cost (5% of I.)				1,890,554
III. Engineering Service (10% of I.)			٠	3,781,107
IV. Physical Contingency (10% of I,II & III)		18.77.1		4,348,273
Grand Total	-	. •		47,831,004
			*	

Note: 1 US\$ = 40 Bs. = 130 Yen

Table VIII-9 DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE OF ACTION PLAN FOR BASIN-WIDE PROJECT

Unit: 1000 Bs.

Work Item	Total		1,			ANNUAL D	ISBURSEM	ENT			
NOIK ILGH	Cost	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
l. Direct Cost	in pr 19 10 17 4 30 hb da anj sa						Man SLA PCS SEN SET SCS SEN CON			:	
1.1 Sediment Control 1.2 Flood Control	409,642 393,941	0			·-	69,671 37,105			46,305 48,190		
Total of 1.	803,583	0	. 0	117,017	147,882	106,776	73,076	73,076	94,495	107,754	83,507
. Land Acquisition	33,030	0	4,955	4,955	4,955	4,955	3,303	3,303	3,303	3,301	0
3. Administration Expenses	41,831	4,183	4,183	4,183	6,275	4,183	4,183	4,183	4,183	4,183	2,092
i. Engineering Services	80,358	12,053	4,018	9,361	11,831	8,542	5,846	5,846	7,560	8,620	6,681
6. Physical Contingency	95,880	1,624	1,316	13,552	17,094	12,446	8,641	8,641	10,954	12,386	9,228
Grand Total	1,054,682	17,860	14,472	149,068	188,037	136,902	95,049	95,049	120,495	136,244	101,508

NOTE: Exchange rate is US\$1.0 = Bs.40.0 = JYE130. Price level is January of 1989.

Table VIII-10 DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE OF ACTION PLAN FOR LOCAL PROJECT

Unit: 1000 Bs.

Houle Yare	Talal	*.				ANNUAL D					1
Work Item		1991	1992		1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Direct Cost									-		.·
1.1 Sediment Control	19,288	. 0	2,462	2,995	2,469	2,407	2,407	1,136	1.804	1,804	1,804
1.2 Flood Control	18,523	. 0	4,235	8,955	5,333	0	0	0	0	: 0	0
Total of 1.	37,811	0	6,697	11,950	7,802	2,407	2,407	1,136	1,804	1.804	1,804
2. Administration Expenses	1,891	378	189	189	189	189	189	189	189	95	95
3. Engineering Services	3,781	756	378	1,017	664	205	205	97	153	153	153
l. Physical Contingency	4,348	113	726	1,316	866	280	280	142	215	205	205
Grand Total	47,831	1,134	8,035	14,530	9,552	3,078	3,078	1,553	2,353	2,259	2,259

NOTE: Exchange rate is US\$1.0 = Bs.40.0 = JYE130. Price level is January of 1989.

ITEM		UNIT	QUANTITY	PHASE 1 (1991–2000)	PHASE 2 (2001–2010)	PHASE 3 (2011-2020)
BASIN-WIDE PROJECT				: : :		: ,
1. Sediment Control		:				
Sabo Dam (C-1, N-1, (C-2 - C-4)	, c-5) 4)	e E	142,100	The state of the s		
6-2 - 9-2)	(6-	೯	91,400			
Continuous Dam Retaining Wall		90.00	110	(18 nos.) (340 nos.)	(44 nos.) (450 nos.)	(48 nos. (610 nos.
2. Flood Control						- Progradu de de Silva de Company
Reinforcement of Existing Dike	disting Dike	X .	12.0*			
River Improvement (Phase 1) River Improvement (Phase 2)	(Phase 1)	E 5	31.4*			
	(Phase 3) e Extension	₽.2 <sup>E</sup>	40.3*			
LOCAL PROJECT			:			
1. Sediment Control						
Check Dam Retaining Wall Revetment			88 750 720		·	
2. Flood Control						
River Improvement of River Improvement of River Improvement of	of Albarregas River of Milia River of Portuguesu River				:	
* Cumulative length of both banks.	.h banks.			PHASE 1 = 8s1,103 million (@ Bs110x10yrs)	PHASE 2 = 8s1,415 million (@ Bs142x10yrs)	PHASE 3 = BS1,033 m111fon (@ BS103×10yrs)

Description	gu t	t Quantity	1981	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
PRE-CONSTRUCTION STAGE	· · ·											
I Detailed Design II Tendering												
CONSTRUCTION STAGE												
I BASIN-WIDE PROJECT												
A Sequence Control Morks				,	1900							
2 Saho Dam	3	-										
2.1 C-1 Sabo Dam	m	62,500				(C-1)						
	ണ്ട	14,600						(C-5)				
	e E	65,000						•			(I-N)	
3. Continuous Low Dam												
3.1 Mucusás	Ş				,	(Mucusas)						
3.2 Mucusurú	ş	Ŋ				-	•	) Muci	(Mucusuru)			
3.3 Mucusos	ş									Ž.	(Mucusos)	
4. Retaining Wall	<u>ફ</u>	m 				(113 nos.)				(227 nos.		
8 Flood Control Works												
	2	H							:			
	본	674			Sec	1)	(Sec 5)	(Sec 2)	(Sec 3	1	(Sec 4)	
	m <sub>E</sub>	745,000			(Sec	1)	(Sec 5)	(Sec 2)	(Sec 3)		(Sec 4)	
4. Sod Facing	ha	28			(Sec	1)	(Sec 5)	(Sec 2)	(Sec 3)	)	(Sec 4)	
	E	10,300			(Sec	1)	(Sec 5)	(Sec 2)	(Sec 3)		(Sec 4)	Ŀ
6. Groin	₹						(Groin)					
7. Groundsill	<u>운</u>				(gro	(Grounds111)						
II LOCAL PROJECT												
A Sediment Control Works												
1. Preparatory Works	<u>S</u>	<b>-</b>				3						
2. Retaining Wall	8			(Ret	(Retaining Mal	(1)						
	E	8					(42 nos.)	(46 nos				
	E	720								Revetment		
B Flood Control Works												
. :	es Es	660										
	LS											
3. Portuguesu River	m ≅	4,500					:			-		~

# ANNEX VIII-1

Y/m3, L/C

(Equiv.

111.0 113.0

Bs./m3

Bs/m3)

Item No. 1 Work Site: Lower reaches of EL VIGIA

> : Excavation of river deposit Works

Price :F/C

8.0

: 1,000 m3 basis Remarks

	Remarks	: 1,000	m3 basis				
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	currency (Yen)	Local ci	irrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost		Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor							
a)Foreman	foreign	m.đ.	0.20				280
b)Foreman	local	m.d.	3.00		l .	675.0	2,025
c)Operator		m.d.	7.20			600.0	4,320
d)Assis.operator		m.d.	4.80			500.0	2,400
e)Common labor		m.d.	8.00	0.0		450.0	3,600
sub - total I					6,000		12,625
				·			
2.Material			in 40 in 0		_		
a)Light oil	J .	lit.	760.20			1	532
b)Lubricant	1	lit.	13.40	0.0	0	25.9	347
sub - total 2	:	: *			0		879
2.5							
3.Equipment	21.	<b>L</b>	8.20	^ ^	_	2,538.0	20,812
a) Bulldozer(80%)	21 t	hr		t .			
b)Tractor shovel(80%)	3.2 m3	hr	6.80 2.20			;	19,135 6,948
c)Backhoe(20%)	1.2 m3 11 t	hr :	33.30			1 . 1	24,709
d)Dump truck	111	hr	33.30	0.0	0	742.0	71,604
sub - total 3					٥	·	71,004
Total of 1, 2 & 3		) - 4			6,000		85,108
Total of 1, 2 as 3					,,,,,		77,77
Overhead	(30 %)				1,800		25,532
		i.	.75		7,000		110.640
Total for 1,000 m3					7,800		110,640
Unit price for 1 m3		:			8		111
			,		:		
	* *						
		* 1 H				:	
				·	1		
					· ·		
			.: :				
				." "			
				and the same			٠
	1 .	;	. :			]	
			;				
				.:			Į
AND THE RESIDENCE AND THE RESI			-	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	

Item No. 2

Work Site : Lower reaches of EL VIGIA

Works

: Embankment for flood protection dike

Price

:F/C\_

20.0 Y/m3, L/C (Equiv.

48.0 54.0 Bs/m3 Bs/m3)

Remarks

: 1,000 m3 basis

·							
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty		urrency (Yen)		urrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor a)Foreman	foreign	m.d.	0.50				
b)Foreman	local	m.d.	2.00			675.0	
c)Operator		m.d.	1.50			600.0	
d)Assis.operator		m.d.	1.00				The state of the s
e)Semi-skilled labor	1	m.d.	0.50			465.0	
e)Common labor		m.d.	8.00	0.0		450.0	
sub - total 1			:		15,000		7,283
2.Material							
a)Light oil		lit.	155.10			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
b)Lubricant sub - total 2		lit.	1.94	0.0	0	1.3	6:
•							
3.Equipment			6.00		,	1 144 0	7,894
a)Motor grader	3.1 m	hr	6.90			1 ( )	
b)Tamping roller	5 t	hr	6.67				
c)Bulldozer	21 t	hr	6.67	0.0			
d)Vibrating roller,hand	0.5 t	hr	1.67			TILLI	
e)Compactor	90 kg	day	0.24	0.0		707.0	
f)Sprinkler truck sub - total 3	8 kl	hr	1.67	0.0	0	707.0	29,279
Total of 1, 2 & 3					15,000		36,62
	(20.00)	•					10,98
Overhead	(30 %)				4,500		la transfer
Total for 1,000 m3		!			19,500		47,61
Unit price of 1 m3					20		48
				•		i -	
;							
			:				
	]						
		* :					ł
			· .				
	-			:			
		i					

Item No. 3 Work Site : Lower reaches of EL VIGIA

Works : Gravel metalling

Price :F/C 3.0 Y/m3, L/C 559.0 Bs./m3 (Equiv. 560.0 Bs/m3)

Remarks : 200 m or 600 m2 or 120 m3 basis (3.0 m (W) x 0.2 m (D))

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)	Local ci	urrency (Bs.)
	Locuption	0	,	Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor							
a)Foreman	foreign	m.đ.	0.01	30,000.0	300	1,400.0	14
b)Foreman	local	m.d.	1.00		0		675
c)Operator		m.d.	1.50	, ,	. 0		900
d)Assis.operator		m.d.	1.00		0	500.0	500
e)Semi-Skilled labor		m.d.	1.50		. 0	465.0	698
f)Common labor		m.d.	4.00		0	450.0	1,800
sub-total 1				İ	300		4,587
4-			1				
2.Material						1	
a)Light oil		lit.	88.40	0.0	. 0	0.7	62
b)Lubricant	'	lit.	1.90	0.0	0	1	49
c)River-run	٠,	ton	125.00	0.0	0	300.0	37,500
d)Miscellaneous	(3 %)	·			0		1,128
sub-total 2			ĺ		0		38,739
		: .					
3.Equipment							
a)Wheel loader	2.1 m3	hr	1.80	0.0	0		2,542
b)Dump truck	8 t	hr	6.00	0.0	0	581.0	3,486
c)Motor grader	3.1 m	hr	0.36	0.0	0		412
d)Vibrating roller	4 t	hr	1.90		0		1,298
e)Compactor	90 kg	day	0.05		0		10
f)Sprinkler truck	8 kl	hr	0.40	0.0	. 0	707.0	283
g)Miscellaneous	(3 %)				0		241
sub-total 3		1.			0		8,272
	]				: -		
Total of 1, 2 & 3				]	300		51,598
		:					
Overhead	(30 %)				90		15,479
	ļ					1	
Total for 120 m3					390		67,077
Unit price for 1 m3					3		559
		-				·	
							:
		: '				]	
				·		1	
							•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					,		
		٠.		; ;			

Item No. 4 Work Site : Lower reaches of EL VIGIA

Works : Slope protection of sod facing

Price :F/C 0.0 Y/m2, L/C 24.0 Bs./m2 (Equiv. 24.0 Bs/m2)

Remarks : 1,000 m2 basis

ĺ	Remarks	. 1,000	IIIZ Dasis				•
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign C	currency (Yen)	Local c	urrency (Bs.)
Particular	Description	Oint	Q ()	Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor a)Foreman b)Driver c)Semi-skilled labor d)Common labor sub-total 1	local	m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d.	2.00 0.70 4.50 12.00	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0		350 2,093
2.Material a)Seed b)Fertilizer c)Light oil d)Lubricant e)Miscellaneous sub-total 2	(3 %)	kg kg lit. lit.	30.00 200.00 48.90 0.97	0.0 0.0	0	8.5 0.7	1,700 34
3. Equipment a) Cargo truck b) Seed sprayer c) Compactor d) Centrifugal pump e) Diesel generator d) Miscrllaneous sub-total 3	6 t 1.3 m3 90 kg 50 mm 3 kVA (3 %)	hr hr day day day	4.00 10.00 4.00 1.00 1.00	0.0 0.0 0.0	0	456.0 207.0 63.0	4,560 828 63 195 217 7,439
Total of 1, 2 & 3		:			0		18,481
Overhead		·			C		5,544
Total for 1,000 m2					0		24,025
Unit price for 1 m2					C		24
							ate to be up to

Item No. 6	Work Site	· :	·····		:	a designation of the contract	_
	Works	: Wet sto	ne mas	onry	NO. TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O		
	Price	: F/C	۰ ۸	Y/m2, L/C	751.0	Bs./m2	-
	Pilce	, r/C	8.0	(Equiv.	753.0	Bs/m2)	-

Remarks : 10 m2 basis (t = 45 cm)

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)		rency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor		:					
a)Foreman	local	m.d.	0.30	0.00	<b>0</b>		203
b)Operator		m.đ.	0.20	0.00	0	600.0	120
c)Asiss.operator		m.d.	0.20	0.00	0	500.0	100
d)Mason		m.d.	2.00	0.00	0	515.0	1,030
e)Concrete worker		m.d.	0.25		0	465.0	116
f)Carpenter	[	m.d.	1.00		0	515.0	515
g)Common labor		m.d.	4.00				1,800
sub-total 1					0		3,884
					:		
2.Material							•
a)Rubble		ton	5.00	0.00	0	240.0	1,200
b)River-run		ton	2.70		Ŏ	[ ]	810
c)Concrete(ref.Item No.B-2)	,	cu.m	1.00		·		1,626
d)Light oil	<b>{</b>	lit.	6.50			1 ' I	.5
	:	lit.	0.30	0.00	0	1 5	
e)Lubricant	_10=1			0.00	0		372
f)Timber	plank	cu.m	0.04		l 0	) ·	43
g)PVC pipe	2 in	m	1.30	0.00	· ·		
h)Miscellaneous	(3%)	*		·	2		121
sub-total 2					96		4,181
					:		٠.
3.Equipment							
a)Wheel loader	2.1 m3	hr	0.07			1 ' 1	99
b)Dump truck	8 t	hr	0.20				116
c)Cargo truck	6 t	hr	0.40		0		158
d)Truck crane	4.9 t	hr	0.30	0.00	0		205
e)Miscellaneous	(3%)				0	:	17
sub-total 3					0	1	595
MAC THE STATE OF T			* .				
Total of 1,2&3	·	i			96	1	8,660
					* 4		
Overhead	(30%)				29	·	2,598
					·	ļ. <b> </b>	
Total for 10 m2	t = 45 cm				125		11,258
10(11 101 10 112				·			
Unit price for 1 m2	t = 30 cm		tert in the		8		751
Omi price for 1 m2			'				47. L
Unit price for 1 m3		1			28		2,502
Equivalent L/C			]		]	]	2,510
Edataseur ryc		:.				1	₩,J1\
		1 +					
:		-				1.	
			<u> </u>	<u>L</u>	1		<u></u>

11100	Item No. 7	Work Site	) <u>:</u>			***************************************		Carlotte of
		Works	: Concr	ete block	for wet stone	masonry		-
			<u></u>			and the second s		
(Equiv. 3,550.0 Bs/m3		Price	: F/C	126.0	Y/m3, L/C	3,509.0	Bs./m3	
					(Equiv.	3,550.0	Bs/m3)	

Remarks : 8 m3 basis

	- · · ·		<u> </u>		- Wan	Logalow	rrency (Bs.)
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty		urrency (Yen)	Unit Cost	Amount
				Unit Cost	Amount	Om Cost	Amount
1.Labor	loogi	ma d	0.57	0.00	0	675.0	385
a)Foreman	local	m.d.	1.30		ì '	600.0	· ·
b)Operator		m.d.				515.0	
c)Carpenter		m.d.	2.06	0.00		515.0	
d)Mechanic		m.d.	0.08			515.0	
e)Electrician		m.d.	0.08			465.0	
f)Concrete worker		m.d.	1.00			515.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
g)Steel worker		m.d.	0.08			450.0	
h)Common labor		m.d.	3.00	0.00	Ų,	430.0	
sub-total 1					U		4,164
2.Material			· 1		·	·	
a)Concrete(Ref.Item no.C-2)		cu.m	8.00	94.00	752	1,574.0	12,592
b)Reinforcement bar	<b>'</b>	kg	16.00			9.5	152
c)Timber	plank	cu.m	0.10			9,300.0	
1 .	plank	kg	1.20			25.0	
d)Nail e)Annealed iron wire			0.47	0.00		9.3	4
	,	kg lit.	1.20			24.6	30
f)Form oil			55.40			0.7	39
g)Light oil		lit.		0.00		25.9	
h)Lubricant	(20)	lit.	0.91	0.00	23	23.9	414
i)Miscellaneous	(3%)				775		14,215
sub-total 2					/13	,	14,213
3.Equipment	·				·i		
a)Concrete vibrator	45 mm	day	1.00	0.00	. 0	131.0	131
b)Air compressor	10.5 m3	day	0.20	0.00			623
c)Diesel generator	10 kVA	day	0.50				
d)Cargo truck	6 t	hr	2.00	0.00		394.0	
e)Truck crane	4.9 t	hr	2.00	0.00		684.0	
f)Miscellaneous	(3%)				0		94
sub-total 3	(570)			i	Ö		3,212
Sub-total 3				i			
Total of 1, 2 & 3					775		21,591
Overhand	(30%)				233		6,477
Overhead	(3070)						
Total for 8 m3	·		·		1,008		28,068
Unit price for 1 m3					126		3,509
	•						
	ļ	ļ			i		
	ļ			İ	* .		
· ·	1		ل,ا				

tem No. 8	Work Site	:	·	Tarlein as were an aggreen to the state of t			
	Works	: Woode	en pile	1			
		D4 44.5.3			- Caronague de la Maria de la Maria de la Maria de la Maria de la Maria de la Maria de la Maria de la Maria de		
	Price	: F/C	0.0	Y/no, L/C	2,872.0	Bs./no.	
		<u> </u>		(Equiv.	2,870.0	Bs/no.)	-
	Remarks	: 10 nos	. basis	(1=3.0 m, 18 cr	n diam.)		

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	currency (Yen)		rrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor a)Foreman b)Operator c)Driver d)Semi-skilled labor e)Common labor sub-total 1	local	m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d.	2.00 0.30 0.50 4.00 20.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0	675.0 600.0 500.0 465.0 450.0	180 250 1,860
2.Material a)Timber b)light oil c)Lubricant d)Miscellaneous sub-total 2	log (3%)	cu.m lit. lit.	0.64 31.50 0.91	0.00	l.	9,300.0 0.7 25.9	22
3.Equipment a)Cargo truck b)Truck crane d)Miscellaneous sub-total 3	6 t 4.9 t (3%)	hr hr	2.00 3.50			394.0 684.0	
Total of 1, 2 & 3					0		22,095
Overhead	(30%)				0		6,629
Total for 10 nos.					0	<u>.</u>	28,724
Unit price for 1 no.		!	<u>.</u>		0	·	2,872
·	1				1		

Bs/m3)

Item No. 9 Work Site: Lower reaches of EL VIGIA

Works : Groin Work (Gabion mat)

Price : F/C 0.0 Y/m3, L/C 1,060.0 (Equiv. 1,060.0)

Remarks: 100 m3 basis

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	currency (Yen)	Local cu	rrency (Bs.)
ranticulai	Description	Oille	(21)	Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor a)Foreman b)Operator c)Assis.operator d)Semi-skilled labor e)Common labor sub-total 1	local	m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d.	8.50 0.93 0.93 16.80 84.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0 0	600.0 500.0 465.0 450.0	558 465 7,812
2.Material a)Light oil b)Lubricant c)Annealed iron wire d)Miscellaneous sub-total 2	(3%)	lit. lit. kg	55,80 1,35 2,500.00	0.00	0	25.9 9.3	35
3.Equipment a)Wheel loader b)Dump truck c)Miscellaneous sub-total 3	2.1 m3 8 t (3%)	hr hr	1.50 5.00			1	
Total of 1, 2 & 3		1		·	. 0		81,57
Overhead	(30%)				C		24,47
Total for 100 m3					· c		106,042
Unit price for 1 m3					C		1,060
			-	·			
	}						

Item No. 11 Work Site: EL VIGIA

Works : Cobble & rubble bedding for groung sill work

Price : F/C 0.0 Y/m3, L/C 538.0 Bs./m3 (Equiv. 538.0 Bs./m3)

Remarks : 100 m3 basis

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign C	urrency (Yen)	Local cu	rency (Bs.)
raiticulai	Description	Omt	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor a)Foreman b)Operator c)Assis.operator d)Semi-skilled labor e)Common labor sub-total 1	local	m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d.	5.00 1.68 1.68 7.50 37.60	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0 0 0	675.0 600.0 500.0 465.0 450.0	3,375 1,008 840 3,488 16,920 25,631
2.Material a)Light oil b)Lubricant d)Miscellaneous sub-total 2	(3%)	lit. lit.	102.60 2.83		0 0 0 0	25.9	72 73 4 149
3.Equipment a)Wheel loader b)Dump truck c)Truck crane c)Miscellaneous sub-total 3	2.1 m3 8 t 20 t (3%)	hr hr hr	1.50 5.00 6.00	0.00	0 0 0 0 0	581.0	2,905
Total of 1, 2 & 3					0		41,355
Overhead	(30%)	·		·	0		12,407
Total for 100 m3					0		53,762
Unit price for 1 m3				i	0		538

Item No. 12 Work Site : Lower reaches of EL VIGIA

Works : Land clearing

Price : F/C 0.0 Y/m2, L/C 3.7 Bs./m2 (Equiv. 3.7 Bs./m2)

Remarks : 1,000 m2 basis

		. 1,000					
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty		urrency (Yen)		urrency (Bs.)
			<b> </b>	Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor a)Foreman b)Operator c)Assis.operator d)Driver e)Semi-skilled labor f)Common labor g)Miscellaneous sub-total 1	local (2%)	m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d.	0.05 0.07 0.07 0.04 0.20 2.70	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0 0 0 0	675.0 600.0 500.0 500.0 465.0 450.0	20 93
2. Material a) Light oil b) Lubricant c) Miscellaneous sub-total 2	(2%)	lit. lit.	12.70 0.15			0.7 25.9	9 4 0 13
3.Equipment a)Bulldozer b)Cargo truck c)Miscellaneous sub-total 3	21 t 6 t (2%)	hr hr	0.50 0.25			2,538.0 394.0	
Total of 1, 2 & 3  Overhead	(30%)	. !			0		2,876 863
Total for 1,000 m2					0.		3,739
Unit price for 1 m2					0		3.7
:	:	:					

Item No. B-1

Work Site:

Works

: Concrete mixing by a batcher plant 0.5 m3 x 1

Concrete Type B

Price

:71 Yen/m3 and 1,723 Bs./m3

Remarks

 $: 0.5 \text{ m} 3 \times 60 \text{ min } /5 \text{ min } \times 7 \text{ hrs} = 42 \text{ m} 3 / \text{day basis}$ 

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)		ency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor		11 1	4.5				
a)Foreman	foreign	m.d.	0.10		3,000		140
b)Foreman	local	m.d.	1.00		0	675.0	675
c)Operator		m.d.	1.00		0	6.00	600
d)Asiss.operator		m.d.	2.00		0	500.0	1,000
e)Mechanic	1	m.d.	2.00		. 0	515.0	1,030
f)Electrician		m.d.	2.00		0	515.0	1,030
g)Common labor		m.d.	12.00	0.00		450.0	5,400
sub-total 1					3,000		9,875
2.Material							-
a)Light oil	Į į	lit.	81.50		0	0.7	57
b)Lubricant	1.	lit.	0.70		0	25.9	18
c)Cement		ton	11.60			1,180.0	13,688
d)Coarse aggregate		ton	53.60	0.00	0	400.0	21,440
e)Fine aggregate		ton	30.20			400.0	12,080
f)Water-reducing agent	1	kg	29.20			1	1,349
g)Miscellaneous	(2%)	0		0,100	0		973
sub-total 2	(270)	,	,		0		49,605
Sub-total 2	·						,
3.Equipment				. (			
a)Concrete plant	0.5 m3	hr	7.00	0.00	0	1,398.0	9,786
b)Diesel generator	50 kvA	day	1.50		ő		1,479
c)Water tank	5 m3	day	1.50		. 0		1,250
	(3%)	uay	1.50	0.00	Ŏ	037.0	376
d)Miscellaneous	(3%)				. O	1	12,897
sub-total 3					·		
Total of 1, 2 & 3					3,000		72,377
Unit cost for 1 m3					71	:	1,723
		:					
	<u> </u>	1				]	
•							
	1.				•	\ \ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
	·   -	•					
		' .					
	į l					[	
		· · · ]	,			]	
				· .		1	
		, '				1	

Work Site : Item No. B-2

Works

: Concrete mixing by a portable mixer 0.5 m3 at site

Concrete Type B

Price

: 94 Yen/m3 and 1,626 Bs./m3

Remarks : 16 m3 basis

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)		rrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor						المشدد	
a)Foreman	foreign	m.d.	0.05				70
b)Foreman	local	m.d.	1.00				
c)Operator		m.d.	1.00	0.00	0	600.0	
d)Asiss.operator		m.d.	1.00	0.00	0	500.0	
e)Mechanic		m.d.	0.50	1		515.0	25
f)Electrician	}	m.d.	0.50	l ,		515.0	25
g)Common labor		m.d.	6.00			450.0	2,70
sub-total l		m.u.	0.00	. 0.00	1,500		5,06
Sub-total 1	1				,	· .	•
O Navaratas							
2.Material		-lit.	19.80	0.00	0	0.7	1
a)Light oil						1	: 1
b)Lubricant	1	lit.	0.50				
c)Cement	<b>\</b>	ton	4.40	1			
d)Coarse aggregate	İ	ton	20.40	! !			
e)Fine aggregate	1	ton	11.50				
f)Water-reducing agent		kg	11.10	0.00	0	46.2	
g)Miscellaneous	(2%)	i i	ļ		0		37
sub-total 2					0		18,86
2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3							
3.Equipment	ļ					:	
a)Concrete mixer	0.5 m3	day	1.00	0.00	. 0	1,220.0	1,22
b)Diesel generator	10 kVA	day	1.00				
	5 m3	day	0.50			The second secon	
c)Water tank	1 '	uay	0.50	0.00	ľ	]	4
d)Miscellaneous	(2%)						2,09
sub-total 3				,		Ί.	2,07
					1.500		26,01
Total of 1,2 & 3	·				1,500		20,01
	İ						1,62
Unit cost for 1 m3					94		1,02
.*							
	1	·	·	1	•		•
*	<u> </u>				-	1	
	1		·				1
	İ						
			·				
		. 1			·		
•	1		:	j			
				]		1	
						1	
	.						
	}			\$		1	1
				•			
					i		l

Item No. C-1 Work Site:

Works : Concrete mixing by a batcher plant 0.5 m3 x 1

Concrete Type C

Price : 71 Yen/m3 and 1,671 Bs./m3

Remarks :  $0.5 \text{ m}3 \times 60 \text{ min } /5 \text{ min } \times 7 \text{ hrs} = 42 \text{ m}3/\text{day basis}$ 

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)	Local cur	rency (Bs.)
1 atticular	Description	Onit	QG	Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor	<del></del>			Ollit Cost	Amount	One Cost	Autount
		و ـــ	Λ 10	30,000.00	2 000	1 400 0	140
a)Foreman	foreign	m.d.	0.10		3,000		675
b)Foreman	local	m.d.	1.00		U	675.0	
c)Operator		m.d.	1.00		0	600.0	600
d)Asiss.operator		m.d.	2.00			500.0	1,000
e)Mechanic		m.d.	2.00			515.0	1,030
f)Electrician		m.d.	2.00			515.0	1,030
g)Common labor		m.d.	12.00	0.00		450.0	5,400
sub-total 1				·	3,000	* :	9,875
2.Material							•
a)Light oil		lit.	81.50	0.00	0	0.7	57
b)Lubricant		lit.	0.70	0.00	0	25.9	18
c)Cement		ton	9.00			1,180.0	10,620
d)Coarse aggregate		ton	57.30	,		400.0	22,920
e)Fine aggregate		ton	29.50			400.0	11,800
f)Water-reducing agent		kg	22.70			46.2	1,049
g)Miscellaneous	(2%)	6	22.70	0.00	ň	'`''	929
sub-total 2	(270)				0		47,393
Suo-total 2							47,575
2 Paris						'	
3.Equipment	0.50	•	7.00	0.00	^	1,398.0	9,786
a)Concrete plant	0.5 m3	hr	7.00				
b)Diesel generator	50 kVA	day	1.50			986.0	1,479
c)Water tank	5 m3	day	1.50	0.00	0	837.0	1,256
d)Miscellaneous	(3%)				. 0	'l {	376
sub-total 3					O O		12,897
Total of 1, 2 & 3		:			3,000	)	70,165
					71		1.671
Unit cost for 1 m3					71		1,671
					4		
			'		:		
				·			
	1					<b>]</b> .	
						1	
<b>:</b>						}	
			:			1	
						1	1
							1

Item No. C-2 Work Site :

Works : Concrete mixing by a portable mixer 0.5 m3 at site

Concrete Type C

Price : 94 Yen/m3 and 1,574 Bs./m3

Remarks : 16 m3 basis

	Kelliarks	. 10 ms	Outili				
Particular	Description U	Unit Q'ty	Foreign currency (Yen)		Local currency (Bs.)		
T util value				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor							4.5
a)Foreman	foreign	m.d.	0.05	30,000.00	1,500		
b)Foreman	local	m.d.	1.00			675.0	
c)Operator	1	m.d.	1.00	ł i		600.0	600
d)Asiss.operator		m.d.	1.00	ŧ .		500.0	500
e)Mechanic		m.d.	0.50			515.0	258
f)Electrician		m.d.	0.50	l		515.0	
g)Common labor	·	m.d.	6.00			450.0	
sub-total 1	-	111,4.	0.00	0.00	1,500		5,061
Sub-total 1	1			1	1,500		
0.34	1						
2.Material		1	19.80	0.00	۱. م	0.7	14
a)Light oil	1	lit.	0.50	t . :			13
b)Lubricant		lit.	3.40				
c)Cement	· [ .	ton	J.				
d)Coarse aggregate		ton	21.80	1		400.0	
e)Fine aggregate		ton	11.30				
f)Water-reducing agent		kg	8.65	0.00	U	46.2	354
g)Miscellaneous	(2%)	·			0	ŀ	
sub-total 2				·	0		18,033
3.Equipment			}	1			
a)Concrete mixer	0.5 m3	day .	1.00				
b)Diesel generator	10 kVA	day	1.00				
c)Water tank	5 m3	day	0.50	0.00		•	
d)Miscellaneous	(2%)				0	4	41
sub-total 3					0	1	2,096
							0.7.100
Total of 1, 2 & 3	1				1,500	1	25,190
Unit cost for 1 m3					94		1,574
Office Cost for 1 mg							
·							1
			-	·			
•							
						Ì	
			1				
				'			
· .						· .	
				· ·	<u> </u>		

Item No. 30 - A - 1 Work Site : SABO DAM

> Works : Outer concrete, Concrete Type B

> > Pump Method

Price |

: F/C

100

/m3 , L/C (Equiv. 2,830.00

/m3

2,861.00

Bs./m3)

: 45 m3/day basis Remarks

Particular Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)	Local Co	irrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost		Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor							
a)Foreman	local	m.d.	1.00	0.0	0	675.0	675
b)Operator		m.d.	1.90	0.0	0	600.0	1,140
c)Mechanic	ļ	m.d.	0.50	0.0	0	515.0	258
d)Electrician		m.d.	0.50		0	515.0	258
e)Concrete worker		m.d.	5,00		0	465.0	2,325
f)Common labor		m.d.	5.00		0	450.0	2,250
sub-total 1					0		6,906
2.Material						11.	
a)Concrete(Ref.Item No.B-1	is l	cu.m	45.00	71.0	3,195	1,723.0	77,535
b)Light oil	<b>í</b> . I	lit.	88.00		0	0.7	62
c)Lubricant	]	lit.	1.40		0	25.9	36
d)Miscellaneous	(3%)		•	- 10	96		2,329
sub-total 2					3,291		79,962
	<b>.</b> .				_,		
3.Equipment	1	•					
a)Truck mixer	3.2 m3	hr	4.60	0.0	0	677.0	3,114
b)Concrete pump	30 m3/hr	hr	3.20		0	1,839.0	
c)Concrete vibrator	45 mm	day	5.00		0		
d)Air compressor	10.5 m3	day	0.30			3,113.0	
e)Diesel generator	50 kVA	day	0.30			986.0	
f)Miscellaneous	(3%)		7.2	, , ,	0		327
sub-total 3	(3,0)		•		0		11,211
340 10141 3	i ' i						,
Total of 1, 2 & 3					3,291		98,079
					· .		
Overhead	(30%)				987		29,424
Total for 45 m3					4,278		127,503
							,
Unit price for 1 m3			1 1	·	100		2,830
							·
		-			÷	İ	
	·						
	ļ				:		
·						1 .	
	[: :						
					•	:	
							-
	'			l .			
	<u></u>				:	1	1

Work Site : SABO DAM Item No. 30 - A - 2

> : Inner concrete, Concrete Type C Works

> > Pump Method

Price

/m3 , L/C : F/C 100 (Equiv. 2,760.00 2,791.00

/m3 Bs./m3)

Remarks

: 45 m3/day basis

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)		urrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor						275 A	675
a)Foreman	local	m.d.	1.00			675.0 600.0	4 1
b)Operator	1	m.d.	1.90		T .	515.0	
c)Mechanic		m.d.	0.50			515.0	
d)Electrician		m.d.	0.50		ſ	465.0	
e)Concrete worker	Į i	m.d.	5.00	0.0		450.0	
f)Common labor	]	m.d.	5.00	0.0	0	450.0	6,906
sub-total 1		i			ľ		0,700
2.Material							
a)Concrete(Ref.Item No.C-	1)	cu.m	45.00	71.0	3,195	1,671.0	75,195
b)Light oil	7	lit.	88.00			0.7	62
c)Lubricant	1.	lit.	1.40		4	25.9	36
d)Miscellaneous	(3%)				96		2,259
sub-total 2					3,291		77,552
	]				÷		
3.Equipment	· [					<b>1</b> 0	2.11
a)Truck mixer	3.2 m3	hr	4.60			677.0	
b)Concrete pump	30 m3/hr	hr	3.20			1,839.0	5,885
c)Concrete vibrator	45 mm	day	5.00			131.0	
d)Air compressor	10.5 m3	day	0.30			,	
e)Diesel generator	50 kVA	day	0.30	0.0	. 0	986.0	296 327
f)Miscellaneous	(3%)				U		11,211
sub-total 3	}				U		11,411
Tatal of 1 1 9-2	1 :				3,291		95,669
Total of 1, 2 & 3	1 '				5,251		)5,00>
Overhead	(30%)				987		28,701
Overnead	(30,0)				Į.		
Total for 45 m3					4,278		124,370
Heit mains for 1 m2					100		2,760
Unit price for 1 m3					100		.,,,,,
;	1						
	[						
·	1 .		·				
:							
•							
		-			·		
	]	·					
	į į						

Item No. 33 - A - 1 Work Site : CONTINUOUS DAM, EL MORRO SITE

Works : Outer concrete, Concrete Type B

Pump Method

Price

: F/C 130

/m3 , L/C (Equiv.

2,680.00 2,720.00

/m3 Bs./m3)

Remarks

: 16 m3/day basis

	1	- <b>.</b>	C11:	777	747	7 12	3
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty		urrency (Yen)		irrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor			0 70	ا م	0	675.0	000
a)Foreman	local	m.d.	0.50		0	T	338
b)Operator	Į į	m.d.	0.50		. 0	600.0	300
c)Mechanic		m.d.	0.25			515.0	129
d)Electrician		m.d.	0.25			515.0	129
e)Concrete worker		m.d.	2.00		.0	465.0	
f)Common labor		m,d,	3.00	0.0	0	450.0	1,350
sub-total 1		i			U		3,176
2.Material							
a)Concrete(Ref.Item No.B-2	2)	cu.m	16.00	94.0	1,504	1,626.0	26,016
b)Light oil		lit.	22.70	0.0		0.7	16
c)Lubricant		lit.	0.18	0.0	0	25.9	- 5
d)Miscellaneous	(3%)				45		781
sub-total 2		;			1,549		26,818
	1						
3.Equipment			:				·
a)Concrete pump	30 m3/hr	hr	1.15	0.0	0	1,839.0	2,115
b)Concrete vibrator	45 mm	day	2.00				262
c)Air compressor	10.5 m3	day	0.10				311
d)Diesel generator	50 kVA	day	0.20		i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	986.0	197
e)Miscellaneous	(3%)	,	0.00		0		87
sub-total 3	(570)				0	·	2,972
bao total s			ž.	٠.	\$ .		,
Total of 1, 2 & 3					1,549		32,966
10411 01 1 , 2 42 5				·			
Overhead	(30%)	·			465	:	9,890
	(55.4)						
Total for 16 m3					2,014		42,856
					130		2,680
Unit price for 1 m3	·				130		2,000
					:		
					;		
		,					
a. a.				1	v.		
		·					
							'
	l:						
					1		-
	:						
				<u> </u>			

Item No. 33 - A - 2 Work Site : CONTINUOUS DAM, EL MORRO SITE

Works : Inner concrete, Concrete Type C

Pump Method

Price

: F/C

130 /m3 , L/C (Equiv.

2,610.00 2,650.00 /m3 Bs./m3)

Remarks

: 16 m3/day basis

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		i	Y	(Van)	Local C	urrency (Bs.)
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty		urrency (Yen) Amount	Unit Cost	
				Unit Cost	Amount	Onit Cost	Milouit
1.Labor	1	41	0.50	0.0	0	675.0	338
a)Foreman	local	m.d.	0.50			600.0	
b)Operator	<u> </u>	m.d.	,	!	i	515.0	
c)Mechanic		m.d.	0.25			515.0	
d)Electrician	İ	m.d.	0.25			465,0	Í
e)Concrete worker	 	m.d.	2.00				
f)Common labor		m.d.	3.00	0.0	. 0	450.0	
sub-total 1					U		3,176
2.Material		1			. 504	1.554.0	05 104
a)Concrete(Ref.Item No.C-2	<u> </u>	cu.m	16.00		· ·		_
b)Light oil		lit.	22.70			0.7	16
c)Lubricant	1	lit.	0.18	0.0		25.9	
d)Miscellaneous	(3%)		[		45		756
sub-total 2					1,549		25,961
3.Equipment			<u> </u>		2		Marine Model
a)Concrete pump	30 m3/hr	hr	1.15	0.0	0	1,839.0	
b)Concrete vibrator	45 mm	day	2.00	0.0	0	131,0	262
c)Air compressor	10.5 m3	day	0.10	0.0	. 0	3,113.0	
d)Diesel generator	50 kVA	day	0.20	0.0	0	986.0	197
e)Miscellaneous	(3%)			1	Ô		87
sub-total 3	(5,0)		}		1 0		2,972
Sub-total 5					4.		
Total of 1, 2 & 3			 	[ ]	1,549		32,109
:	<u> </u>			İ			
Overhead	(30%)			Ì	465	'	9,633
		· .			2,014		41,742
Total for 16 m3			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2,014		
Unit price for 1 m3		ļ	 	! ]	130		2,610
	Ì		•	<u> </u>	٠.		]
ı	]	l i					
	<b>)</b>	'	1	}· :	, i	1	· .
·	[						
			: '		·		
		,					
	}						
	[	İ				<b>[</b>	
	ļ }				., .	l i	
	<u> </u>						
	ļ						

Item No. 40

Work Site : SABO DAM

Works

: Excavation by 0.6 m3 backhoe

Gravel

Price

: F/C

0 /m3 , L/C (Equiv. 146.00 146.00

/m3 Bs./m3)

Remarks

: 270 m3/day basis

<b>.</b>	Kemarks	, 270 III.	ordity basi				\ 
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)	Local C	urrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost		Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor a)Foreman b)Operator c)Assis.operator d)Common labor sub-total 1	local	m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d.	1.00 2.80 2.80 10.00	0.0 0.0	0	675.0 600.0 500.0 450.0	1,400
2.Material a)Light oil b)Lubricant sub-total 2		lit. lit.	238.60 4.30				167 111 278
3.Equipment a)Backhoe b)Dump truck c)Bulldozer sub-total 3	0.6 m3 8 t 21 t	hr hr hr	8.00 13.50 1.10	0.0	0	امیما	7,844
Total of 1, 2 & 3					C		30,353
Overhead	(30%)				c	)	9,106
Total for 270 m3		·			c		39,459
Unit price for 1 m3		·			C	)	146

Item No. 41

Work Site : CONTINUOUS DAM

Works

: Excavation by 0.6 m3 backhoe

Soft rock II

Price

: F/C 0

0 /m3 , L/C (Equiv.

174.00 174.00 /m3 Bs./m3)

Remarks

: 210 m3/day basis

		<del></del>	r		(37)	I Local C	irrency (Bs.)
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Unit Cost	urrency (Yen) Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
		~		Unit Cost	Amount	One Cose	Amount
1.Labor a)Foreman b)Operator c)Assis.operator d)Common labor sub-total 1	local	m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d.	1.00 2.50 2.50 10.00	0.0 0.0	0	600.0 500.0	1,500 1,250
2.Material a)Light oil b)Lubricant sub-total 2		lit. lit.	216.20 3.80			0.7 25.9	151 98 249
3.Equipment a)Backhoe b)Dump truck c)Bulldozer sub-total 3	0.6 m3 8 t 21 t	hr hr hr	8.00 11.40 0.86	0.0	0	581.0	6,623
Total of 1,2&3			} .	:	0		28,164
Overhead	(30%)	i			0		8,449
Total for 210 m3					0		36,613
Unit price for 1 m3	 		. i		0		174
			:		· .		
				:			
					:		
		ı					
			1 .				.:

Item No. 42

Work Site : CHECK DAM

Works

: Excavation by manpower

Gravel

Price

: F/C

0 /m3 , L/C (Equiv. 441.00 441.00 /m3 Bs./m3)

Remarks

: 10 m3 basis

				1 3	74.5	T	
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)	Local Cu	rrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor a)Foreman b)Skilled labor c)Common labor d)Miscellaneous sub-total 1	local (5%)	m.d. m.d. m.d.	0.50 3.00 3.00	0.0		515.0	338 1,545 1,350 162 3,395
Total of 1					(		3,395
Overhead	(30%)	·			(		1,019
Total for 10 m3					(		4,414
Unit price for 1 m3					(		441
				The state of the s			

Item No. 50

Work Site : REVETMENT ( LOCAL PROJECT )

Works

: Backfill by 0.6 m3 backhoe

Gravelly soil

Price

: F/C 0

0 /m3 , L/C

(Equiv.

71.30 71.30 /m3 Bs./m3)

Remarks

: 330 m3/day basis

<u> </u>							<u> </u>
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty.		urrency (Yen)		urrency (Bs.)
			]	Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor				:			
a)Foreman	local	m.d.	1.00	0.0	0		67.
b)Operator		m.d.	1.00	0.0	0	600.0	600
c)Assis.operator		m.d.	1.00	•		500.0	500
		m.d.	10.00			450.0	
d)Common labor	] - ]	m.u.	10.00	0.0	n	130.0	6,27
sub-total 1			· ·		١		0,27.
•	Ì		ነ		j		١.
2.Material	- [		l				a.
a)Light oil		lit.	108.30			0.7	$I^{\prime\prime}$
b)Lubricant	· .	lit.	0.98	0.0	0	25.9	2.
sub-total 2	}		1	}	0	· ·	10
out total p			1		[ 		
2 Faninment	\ .	:	<b>,</b>	1			
3.Equipment	0.6-2	l there	8.00	0.0	1	1,398.0	11,18
a)Backhoe	0.6 m3	hr					
b)Vibrating compactor	90 kg	day	1.00	0.0	1	207.0	
c)Miscellaneous	(3%)			[	(	4	34:
sub-total 3			İ		] 0		11,73
	(			ļ			
Total of 1, 2 & 3	.				l d		18,10
10(11 01 1 , 2 00 3	. i			{			-
OIs-a-d	(30%)		1		l		5,43
Overhead	(30%)		į			<u>'</u> [	
	· }		1				23,54
Total for 330 m3				Į	{	<b>'</b> {	23,314.
			İ		[ .	· .	
Unit price for 1 m3	. [		1.		(	) <u> </u>	71.
	1		ļ	ļ			
•	1 1		ļ				
	] ]					1	,
•	. [				ļ	1	
	)	,				] .	
·	1 1		:				·.
	) )	,			]	.]	
	i i		,				
•	: } }					1	
	1			·			
							:
	- }	•					
:							'
	} {		ļ				
	[ ]		: 1		· .	]	age,
•	'∖ {						
•	<b>i</b>	1 4		į	1.	<b>l</b> •	
				. :			·

#### Breakdown of Unit Price Item No. 71 Work Site: : Reinforcement bar Works /t , L/C Price : F/C 22,672.00 (Equiv. 22,672.00 Bs./t) Remarks : 3 t/day basis Local Currency (Bs.) Foreign currency (Yen) Particular Description Unit Q'ty Unit Cost Amount Unit Cost Amount 1.Labor 3.60 675.0 2,430 0.0 a)Foreman local m.d. 7,107 0 13.80 0.0 515.0 b)Steel worker m.d. 0.40 0.0 0 600.0 240 c)Operator m.d. 300 500.0 d)Driver m.d. 0.60 0.0 e)Welder 3.90 0.0 565.0 2,204 m.d. 515.0 2,575 5.00 0.0 f)Skilled labor m.d. 3,510 450.0 7.80g)Common labor [0.0]m.d. 18,366 sub-total 1 2.Material 9,450.0 29,201 3.09 0.0 a)Reinforcement bar ton 50 70,90 0.00 0.7 b)Light oil lit. 25.9 49 1.90 0.0 c)Lubricant lit. 586 d)Miscellaneous (2%)29,886 sub-total 2 3.Equipment 72.0 144 2.00 a)Welder 300 A day 0.0 986.0 296 b)Diesel generator 50 kVA 0.30 0.0 0 day 1,576 0 394.0 4.00 0.0c)Cargo truck 6 t hr 0 2,052 3.00 0.0 684.0 d)Truck crane 4.9 t hr 0 4,068 sub-total 3 52,320 Total of 1, 2 & 3 15,696 Overhead (30%)0 68,016 Total for 3 t 22,672 Unit price for 1 t

Item No. 72 - A Work Site : SABO DAM

Works : Form work

Price : F/C 0 /m2, L/C 643.00 /m2 (Equiv. 643.00 Bs./m2)

Remarks : 100 m2 basis

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Foreign c	urrency (Yen)		irrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor			4.50		0	675.0	3,038
a)Foreman	local	m.d.	4.50		0	1	4,650
b)Concrete worker		m.d.	10.00		0		5,650
c)Rigger		m.d.	10.00				900
d)Operator	ļ	m.d.	1.50				750
e)Assis.operator		m.d.	1.50			1	2,575
f)Skilled labor		m.d.	5.00		_		7,200
g)Common labor	- {	m.d.	16.00	0.0	0	430.0	24,763
sub-total 1							24,700
2.Material						\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
a)Metal form	200x1500	no.	1.10	0.0	0	531.0	584
b)Metal form	300x1500	no.	8.00		0	531.0	4,248
c)Steel angle	300,11,500	kg	7.90			11.8	93
d)Channel steel	<u> </u>	kg	250.00			11.8	2,950
e)Bolt and nut		kg	9.30			43.6	405
f)Clump	1	no.	36.70			38.8	1,424
g)Clip		no.	45.80			6.5	298
h)Anchor bolt,22mm	1=400	no	68.70			1 1	2,700
i)Timber	plank	cu.m	0.30			9,300.0	2,790
j)Form oil	Pinin	lit.	12.00		a contract of the contract of		295
k)Light oil		lit.	48.00		l .	0.7	34
1)Lubricant		lit.	0.80			25.9	21
m)Miscellaneous	(3%)	111.	-	}	Ì		475
sub-total 2	(370)				C		16,317
out total 2							
3.Equipment			٠			604.0	0.046
a)Truck crane	4.9 t	hr	12.20	0.0	Ç	684.0	8,345
sub-total 3	] ]			,	C	}	8,345
	1				,		49,425
Total of 1, 2 & 3			- 1		C	4	49,42.
0 - 1 - 1	(30%)		-		(		14,828
Overhead	(30%)				:	1	_ ,,
Total for 100 m2	]				C	)	64,253
Total for 100 mg						1	
Unit price for 1 m2				·	C		643
+ × <b>F</b>	[ ]				,		
	] ]	. }					
	ľ						
				] .			
							* .*
	1 . I			i		1	·.

Work Site : STRUCTURAL CONCRETE Item No. 73 - A

> Works : Form work

/m2 , L/C Price : F/C 245

655.00 730.00 (Equiv. : 100 m2 basis Remarks

/m2

Bs./m2)

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty		urrency (Yen)		irrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1. Labor a) Foreman b) Rigger c) Carpenter d) Common labor sub-total 1	local	m.d. m.d. m.d. m.d.	4.50 10.00 32.00 24.00	0.0 0.0	0	675.0 565.0 515.0 450.0	
2.Material a)Metal form b)Timber c)Timber d)Form oil e)Miscellaneous sub-total 2	300x1500 plank square (3%)	no. cu.m cu.m lit.	10.10 0.10 1.40 12.00	6,050.0 6,050.0	605 8,470	7,440.0 7,440.0 12.3	2,687 744 10,416 148 420 14,415
Total of 1 & 2				·	18,819		50,383
Overhead	(30%)				5,646		15,115
Total for 100 m2		·			24,465		65,498
Unit price for 1 m2					245		655
							·
			:				

Item No. 74

Work Site : EL MORRO SITE

Works

: Hauling in the Job Site

by 2 ton truck with crane(1 ton)

Price

: F/C

/m2, L/C (Equiv. 772.00 772.00 /m2 Bs./m2)

Remarks

: 6 ton/day basis

	In.	T I	0/4	Paraian a	urrency (Yen)	Local C	urrency (Bs.)
Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty	Unit Cost	Amount_	Unit Cost	
1.Labor a)Foreman b)Driver c)Common labor sub-total 1	local	m.d. m.d. m.d.	0.10 1.00 2.00	0.0	0	675.0 500.0	68 500
2.Material a)Light oil b)Lubricant c)Miscellaneous sub-total 2	(3%)	lit. lit.	24.80 0.69			25.9	
3.Equipment a)Cargo truck w/crane b)Miscellaneous sub-total 3	2 toл (3%)	hr	8.00	0.0	0 0 0	250.0	2,000 60 2,060
Total of 1, 2 & 3					0		3,564
Overhead	(30%)				0		1,069
Total for 6 ton					О	,	4,633
Unit price for 1 ton			·		0		772
		·					

Item No. 76 Work Site: STEEL FRAME DAM, C-3 to C-4 AND C-5 to C-9

Works : Steel Frame Dam

Steel Frame Work

Price

: F/C 2,500 /ton, L/C (Equiv.

22,715.00 /ton 23,484.00 Bs./ton)

Remarks: 15.6 ton(or 200 m3) basis

Particular	Description	Unit	Q'ty		urrency (Yen)		rrency (Bs.)
				Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	Amount
1.Labor					· .		
a)Foreman	foreign	m.d.	1.00		30,000		1,400
b)Foreman	local	m.d.	15.00	0.0	0	0.0.0	10,125
c)Driver		m.d.	4.00	0.0	0	500.0	2,000
d)Skilled labor	ļ	m.d.	34.00	0.0	0	515.0	17,510
e)Common labor	ł	m.d.	68.00		0	450.0	30,600
sub-total 1		******	20,11	;	30,000		61,63
ous total 1	· .				23,000	÷	
2.Material	[ ]			l l	•		•
a)Steel frame		ton	15.60	0.0		13,000.0	202,80
b)Light oil		lit.	99.20		ŏ	1	6
	İ	lit.	2.75		ő	1	7
c)Lubricant		IIL.	2.13	0.0	υ Λ	23.9	202,94
sub-total 2		·	Ì	] ]	V	] ]	202,94
A.71							
3.Equipment		,	0000		_	250	0.00
a)Cargo truck,w/crane	2 t	hr	32.00	0.0	0	250.0	8,00
sub-total 3		,				1	8,00
Total of 1, 2 & 3					30,000		272,57
Overhead	(30%)				9,000		81,777
					00.000		25424
Total for 15.6 ton					39,000		354,34
Unit price for 1 ton					2,500		22,71:
price for a con					į.		
**							•
	ŀ						
•				[ [		!	
			1000				•
						<b>!</b>	
					1-		
	ļ 1			[ [			
						<b> </b> .	
						]	'
				] ]		) i	•
						1	•
	1.		,			1 1	
				* 4			
				1		1	•
	1						
: · ·			,		4.4		
	1 -		1	. 1	1 .		

IX. PROJECT EVALUATION

# SUPPORTING REPORT

# IX. PROJECT EVALUATION

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.	GENERAL	IX-1
2.	ECONOMIC EVALUATION	IX-2
	2.1 Project Benefit	IX-2
	2.2 Economic Project Cost	IX-6
	2.3 Economic Viability of the Project	IX-7
	2.4 Socioeconomic Impacts	IX-8
3.	FINANCIAL CONSIDERATION	IX-10
	3.1 Budgetary Conditions	IX-10
	3.2 Financial Capability	IX-11
4.	PROJECT JUSTIFICATION	IX-14

# LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title
IX-1	Summary of Major Assets in the Project Related Areas and Their Flood Damage Vulnerability
IX-2	Estimated Flood Damage Rates of Plantain in Lower Reaches
IX-3	Change of Land Use in the Possible Inundation Area
IX-4	Forecast of Cultivated Land in the Lower Reaches
IX-5	Estimate of Traffic Damage by Probable Road Disasters
IX-6	Conditions for Flood Damage Calculation
IX-7	Breakdown of Annual Average Benefit of Master Plan (At the Year 2020)
IX-8	Breakdown of Annual Average Benefit of Action Plan (At the Year 2000)
IX-9	Composition of Financial Cost and Calculation of Conversion Rates to Economic Cost
IX-10	Calculation of Economic Project Cost for Action Plan
IX-11	Annual Cost and Benefit Flow of Master Plan
IX-12	Annual Cost and Benefit Flow of Action Plan
IX-13	Monetary Allocation of the National Budget, 1984-1988
IX-14	Percentage Allocation of the National Budget, 1984-1988
IX-15	Budget of MARNR and Allocation to Public Works, 1984-1988
IX-16	Budget of MARNR Zone Office No. 16, 1984-1988
IX-17	Public Works Investment of MARNR Zone Office No. 16 by District, 1984-1988
IX-18	Public Investment of the Office for the Region South of Maracaibo Lake
IX-19	Public Investment of the Mérida Regional Office of MTC
IX-20	Public Investment of MARNR and Its Regional Offices, 1984-1988
IX-21	Relationship Among GDP, National Budget and Public Investment in the Chama River Basin

Table No.	Title
IX-22	Forecast of Available Fund from MARNR
IX-23	Annual Repayment Schedule of Master Plan under the Condition of 50% Funded by Loan
IX-24	Forecast of Available Fund from MTC
IX-25	Annual Repayment Schedule of Action Plan under the Condition of 50% Funded by Loan

# LIST OF FIGURES

# Fig. No. Title

IX-1 Land Use Maps in the Inundation Area in 1968 and 1981

#### GENERAL

Economic and financial studies were carried out for the master plan and the action plan that were formulated with the design periods of 2020 and 2000, respectively, to solve the major problems on sediment and flood in the Chama River Basin. The study on economic aspects was made mainly to identify economic viability through the economic indicators such as internal rate of return (IRR). Financial aspects were also studied, especially on the financial capability of agencies concerned to implement the project and attain the project objectives.

All calculations in monetary terms were based on the price level of January 1989, and the project life (for economic evaluation) was fixed at 30 years after completion of the works, considering the life of structures to be constructed.

#### 2. ECONOMIC EVALUATION

#### 2.1 Project Benefit

The project objectives are to protect the agricultural land in the probable inundation area at the lower reaches and to protect the arterial roads (Routes 2 and 7) and houses in the upper/middle reaches from sediment and flood damage. The major property items in these areas are listed in Table IX-1, together with their vulnerability to flood damage. The items with high quantity and high vulnerability were taken into account in the benefit calculation.

### Methodology and Conditions for Calculation of Project Benefit

Project benefit is defined as the reduction of damage by flooding water and sediment flow between the with- and the without-the-proejct situations. Hence, the damage in both situations has to be estimated to quantify the project benefit in monetary terms in consideration of the future change of land use in the probable inundation areas and the increase in traffic volume, though it must be recognized that the estimates are subject to a certain degree of uncertainty.

Damage in the Chama River Basin can be classified into three categories; namely,

- Damage due to submergence by flooding water, which is mainly inflicted on the cultivated land, mostly plantain plantations, plantain in the lower reaches and partly on the properties consisting of houses and household effects in Mérida and Ejido in the upper reaches.
- Damage due to traffic interruption by riverbank erosion, debris flow, etc., including augmentation of operation cost of vehicles affected by detouring and speed-down, as well as loss of productivity of people who may lose their time for economic activities. This takes place in the upper/middle reaches.

- Indirect damage, including loss of income and sales, adverse economic influence to the nation, deterioration of sanitary conditions, negative aesthetic effects of flooding/debris flow, etc.
- (1) Damage Due to Submergence by Flooding Water

The damage directly inflicted on the plantains in the lower reaches is calculated by the following formula:

Damage = Ia  $\times$  (Pr  $\times$  Up + Rc)  $\times$  Dr

where,

Ia: Inundation area in the plantain land

Pr : Production rate of plantian (12,000 kg/ha/year)

Up: Unit price of plantain (2.5 Bs./kg)

Rc: Restoration cost (4,200 Bs./ha)

Dr : Damage rate

The damage rates are estimated for each inundation depth and duration, judging from their conditions of 20 cm inundation depth and 3-day flood duration (see Table IX-2). The transformation of land use is shown in Fig. IX-1 and Table IX-3, and the forecast on cultivated land in the lower reaches is given in Table IX-4.

The damage due to submergence of property (houses and household effects) in Mérida and Ejido can be calculated by the following formula:

Damage =  $Nh \times Vp \times Dr$ 

where,

Nh : Number of houses

Vp : Value of property (150,000 Bs./house)

Dr : Damage rate (0.05)

### (2) Damage Due to Traffic Interruption

Traffic volume and operation cost of vehicles were basically estimated from "Analisis de la Demanda de Transito y la Seccion Tipica" and "Estudio de las Alternativas del Tramo Estanquez -Panamericana." The damage due to traffic interruption is the sum of the incremental operation cost of vehicles by detouring, if there is any detour route, plus the loss of productivity of the affected people, as presented in the following equation:

Damage = Tv x (Oc x Id + Pv x Pp x Lt)

where.

Tv: Traffic volume

Oc : Operation cost of vehicles (cars and trucks)

Id: Incremental distance by detouring

Pv : People in vehicles

Pp : Per capita productivity

Lt: Loss of time for economic activities due to traffic interruption or increment of traveling time by detours.

Table IX-5 presents the estimated traffic volume in the years 2000, 2010 and 2020 at the 28 probable disaster points, the estimated damage by traffic interruption and the detailed figures used for the calculation. The unit damage per point was calculated on the basis of the estimated traffic damage, and if the number of points is more or less than 28, depending on the magnitude of flood/rainfall, the unit damage was multiplied by the number of disaster points to estimate the total damage.

#### (3) Indirect Damage

The indirect damage is assumed to correspond to 20% of the total damage mentioned above. (Reference was made to the research on flood damage in other tropical countries.)

### Annual Average Benefit

The damages due to flooding water and traffic interruption are estimated for several probable rainfalls or discharges by applying the calculation conditions set forth in Table IX-6 to the above formulas. The annual average damage is figured out from the following formula.

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \left[ D(Q_{i-1}) + D(Q_{i}) \right] \times \left[ P(Q_{i-1}) - P(Q_{i}) \right]$$

where,

B : Annual average benefit

 $D(Q_{i-1}), D(Q_i)$ : Flood damage caused by the floods with  $Q_{i-1}$  and  $Q_i$ 

discharges, respectively

 $P(Q_{i-1}), P(Q_i)$ : Probabilities of occurrence of  $Q_{i-1}$  and  $Q_i$ 

discharges, respectively

n : Number of floods applied

#### (1) Master Plan

In the master plan study, the annual average benefit was estimated for several project scales in flood return periods of 5, 10, 30, 50 and 100 years, as tabulated in the following table.

Project Scale (Flood Return Period)	Annual Average Benefit in 2020 (million Bs)					
5-year	145					
10-year	191					
30-year	220					
50-year	227					
100-year	231					

The master plan was formulated on the scale of a 100-year return period flood and its annual average benefit is expected to

increase to 126, 171 and 231 million bolivares at the years 2000, 2010 and 2020, respectively, assuming that the project will be completed by the year 2020. The breakdown of annual average benefit in 2020 is shown in Table IX-7.

#### (2) Action Plan

Works of the action plan are the same as those to be executed in the first ten years of the master plan, and its annual average benefit, same as the master plan in 2000, is estimated at 126 million bolivares as shown in Table IX-8.

#### 2.2 Economic Project Cost

The economic costs of the project are nominal figures that duly reflect the true economic value of goods and services involved. These costs were used only for the economic evaluation of the project. Transfer items such as taxes and duties imposed on construction materials and equipment, including government subsidy and contractor's profit, should be excluded from the elements of financial cost. Land has to be acquired for project implementation, and its economic value is considered to correspond to the productivity foregone by the project, which is reflected by the price.

#### (1) Master Plan

The economic cost of the master plan was calculated as summarized in the following table, on the assumption that transfer items are involved in the financial cost by 20%.

Project Scale	Economic Project Cost
(Flood Return	in 2020
Period)	(million Bs)
5-year	2,343
10-year	2,423
30-year	2,600
50-year	2,768
100-year	2,866

# (2) Action Plan

The CORDIPLAN conducted a study on conversion factors from the financial cost to the economic cost and also on the shadow wage rate for unskilled labor. In this connection, the construction cost for the action plan was classified as presented in Table IX-9, and the following rates were applied for the calculation of economic cost. Foreign currency and other labor items were taken into account as estimated.

- Common Labor (unskilled labor): 0.55
- Light Oil (diesel oil): 4.06
- Lubricant: 1.46
- Concrete: 0.76
- Other Materials: 0.80
- Construction Equipment: 0.73

The economic project costs for the action plan is estimated at 907 million bolivares, and the breakdown is presented in Table IX-10.

# 2.3 Economic Viability of the Project

The economic viability of the Master Plan is assessed by the internal rate of return (IRR), benefit-cost ratio (B/C) and net present value (NPV). The calculation was based on annual cash flow prepared from the above-said economic project cost and annual average benefit.

#### (1) Master Plan

The Master Plan was formulated on a 100-year return period basis through the technical and social considerations. In this section, however, the economic viability is examined not only for this project scale but for other scales as well to justify the project on comparative terms. The economic indices for each project scale are tabulated as follows.

Project Scale (Flood Return Period)	IRR (%)	В/С	NPV (million Bs)		
5-year	8.3	1.02	20.22		
10-year	12.3	1.30	280.34		
30-year	11.9	1.29	296.35		
50-year	11.0	1.23	248.55		
100-year	10.7	1.22	244.20		

The annual cash flow in the project scale of a 100-year return period is tabulated in Table IX-11.

#### (2) Action Plan

The economic viability of the action plan, calculated on the annual cost and benefit flow on Table IX-12, shows higher values than the master plan, as given below, because some of the works in the master plan, which have higher efficiencies, will be put into implementation in the action plan.

IRR : 13.2% B/C : 1.58

NPV : 346.52 million bolivares

(Note: Discount rate is 8% for B/C and NPV.)

#### 2.4 Socioeconomic Impacts

Project implementation could exert favorable influence on not only the Chama River Basin but also the whole nation. The favorable impacts are summarized as follows:

- The national roads leading to the other major cities will be released from traffic interruption caused to sediment flow. Nationwide circulation of commodities will be secured resulting in the stabilization of the people's living condition in the whole country.

- Due to non-flooding in the lower reaches where fertile lands exist, it becomes possible to produce more plantains which has a higher productivity than the pasture land, even in the present flood risk areas.
- A number of engineers, technicians, laborers, etc., will be required for project implementation, so that increase of employment opportunities is expected, at least during the construction period.

Environmental influence by project implementation is generally assessed from the water quality, fauna/flora and aesthetic scenery. Turbidity of river water may be lessened by preventing sediment from flowing into the lower reaches. In constructing some structures in a river channel, the matter of most concern from the environmental viewpoint is the life of fishes that go up and down in the river stream.

The Chama River is rich in trouts, but they are raised in man-made fishponds that take in water from the river. Therefore, the structures to be constructed will not deteriorate the present situation. Sediment control structures which restrain the development of land erosion may contribute to the growth of vegetation. Under this situation, they will not give any adverse effect on the fauna/flora in the basin.

#### 3. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATION

### 3.1 Budgetary Conditions

The national budget during the Seventh National Development Plan (1984-1988) has increased from 77,041 million bolivares in 1984 to 185,122 million bolivares in 1988 at the current price with about 25% annual average growth (see Table IX-13). The bulk of the national budget is allocated to the Executive Branch (98%), while the rest is distributed to the legislative and judicial branches (0.7% and 0.9%), as presented in Table IX-14.

The Executive Branch contains 16 ministries at present (the Ministry of Information and Tourism was abolished in 1986). the ministries, Finance, Education, and Interior Relations, are in the top orders of budgetary allocation, sharing 35.0%, 15.0% and 14.7% of the national budget. (The Ministry of Finance uses about 85% of its budget for amortization and payment of interests for loans.) The Ministry of Environment and National Resources Conservation (MARNR) which is in charge of river basin conservation and river management, is in the ninth order, sharing 2.8% of the national budget, but its annual increase rate is 36.5% on the current price basis, which is much higher than the average of the total. The proportion of the national budget to GDP ranges from 18.8% to 24.8% during 1984-1987, and about 22% on an The MARNR had allocated approximately 300 to 1,200 million bolivares on the current prices in 1984-1988 to public works as presented in Talbe IX-15, which accounts for 17 to 23% of the total budget of the MARNR.

In connection with the Chama River Basin conservation, the offices in charge are Zone Office No. 16 of MARNR and the project office organized under Zone Office No. 5 for the development of the region south of Maracaibo Lake, which are located in Mérida and El Vigía, respectively. (Management by Zone Office No. 16 actually covers the whole state of Mérida.) The regional office of the Ministry of Transport and Communications in Mérida is also connected with this project from the viewpoint of road maintenance of arterial roads Route 2 and Route 7.

Zone Office No. 16 of the MARNR has had financial sources for public works implementation from the ministry, the state government, the CADAFE (public corporation of electricity), and the TRIENAL (special budget earmarked for infrasture projects for three years from 1986 to 1988). The total annual budgets of this office during 1984-1988 are presented in Table IX-16, and its investment on public works is summarized by district in Table IX-17. The office for the region south of the Maracaibo Lake had made a large investment on public works on the annual average of 57 million bolivares during 1984-1988 as shown in Table IX-18. The Mérida regional office of the MTC had spent 46 million bolivares on the average for road maintenance works during 1984-1988, as shown in Table IX-19.

The per capita investment of Zone Office No. 16 is Bs 24 on the average, which is lower than the national level of Bs 41. On the other hand, that of the region south of Maracaibo Lake amounts to Bs 386 because a national project is now going on in this region. (Refer to Table IX-20.)

#### 3.2 Financial Capability

The annual growth rate of GDP in Venezuela shows 3.8% on an average during 1968-1982 with a high fluctuation of 4.5% for 1968-1972, 6.8% for 1972-1977 and 0.4% for 1977-1982, which is attributed mainly to the international price of petroleum. The annual growth rate of the recent years resulted in 3.3% on an average, which is almost equivalent to the rate projected in the Seventh National Development Plan. On the average, about 22% of the GDP has been earmarked for the national budget in these five years, 3% of which is allocated to MARNR. This rate of allocation is growing at about 10% annually on the average. investment in development/conservation projects in the State of Mérida and the region south of Maracaibo Lake is made mainly by MARNR Zone Office No. 16 and the MARNR regional office for the region south of Maracaibo Lake. The public investment of these offices accounts for about 2% of the MARNR's total budget. (See Table IX-21.)

#### Master Plan

To forecast the available fund for public investment in these areas, two cases are examined under the different combinations between the growth rate of GDP and the ratio of budget allocation to MARNR. One is 3% and 3% as the minimum case, and the other is 4% and 4% as the maximum case, respectively. The public investment thus examined for the 1991-2020 period ranges from 5,100 million bolivares to 8,300 million bolivares. (See Table IX-22.)

The total cost of the master plan is estimated at 3,551 million bolivares. In case that the master plan is implemented under the MARNR's budget, the allocation rate of public investment will amount to as much as 70% in the above-said minimum case, as shown in Table IX-22. Under these circumstances, the MARNR's budget may not be able to handle all the funds for the project.

Assuming that 50% of the required cost is funded by an international financing agency on the conditions of 8% annual interest and 20-year repayment period including a 5-year grace period, the annual disbursement for the master plab was calculated as shown in Table IX-23.

### Action Plan

The MARNR's available fund for public investment is forecast in two cases, as discussed above, ranging from 1,230 to 1,780 million bolivares for the 1991-2000 as given in Table IX-22.

The MTC of Mérida State expends a considerable amount of its budget to maintain roads and highways, which shares about 8% of its budget for all the projects on an average for these five years. A large part of this maintenance cost will be saved through the implementation of the urgent plan, so that the MTC can also be a source for financing the plan.

Assuming that 50% of the road maintenance cost can be allocated to this plan, the available fund is estimated to be in a range of from 200 million to 220 million bolivares as presented in Table IX-24. The total available fund is, therefore, the sum of those from the MARNR and the

MTC, which is from 1,480 million to 1,980 million bolivares. The total cost of the action plan is estimated at 1,103 million bolivares. The MARNR has to allocate its budget for public investment by as much as 50% to 70%, as shown in Table IX-22. Under these circumstances, the MARNR's budget may not be able to handle all the funds for the project.

Assuming that 50% of the required cost is funded by an international financing agency on the conditions of 8% annual interest and 20-year repayment period including a 5-year grace period, the annual disbursement for the action plan was calculated as shown in Table IX-25.

### 4. PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

In general, project justification is based on the economic viability or the internal rate of return (IRR). For water supply and flood control works, the justifiable borderline or percentage of IRR can be lower than other infrastructure projects.

The projects of both the master plan and the action plan which were formulated on the project scales of 100-year and 10-year return periods, respectively, have sufficient IRRs (10.7% and 13.2%) and the benefit-cost ratios (B/C) and net present values (NPV) are also high. Although the realization of the project may be difficult through only the MARNR's budget, implementation is financially and economically justifiable with a loan from an international financing institution.

Table IX-1 SUMMARY OF MAJOR ASSETS IN THE PROJECT RELATED AREAS AND THEIR FLOOD DAMAGE VULNERABILITY

RIVER REACHES / I T E M			
1. Lower Reaches (Probable Inundat			, 44 PH 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45
- Panture Land	ha.	8,070	Low
- Plantain Plantation	ha.	7,880	High
- Vegitable Plantation		(almost none)	
- Forest	ha.	900	Low
- Houses	nos.	603	Low
- Establishments	. :	(almost none)	
- Arterial Road (Paved Road)	km	17	Low
2. Upper/Middle Reaches	a <sup>th</sup>		٠.
- Houses	nos.	130	High
- Establishments		(none)	
- Arterial Road (Routes 2 & 7)	ke	140	High

Table IX-2 ESTIMATED FLOOD DAMAGE RATES OF PLANTAIN IN LOWER REACHES

FLOOD DURATION (day)	20	18					D E P T H	(cm)	4	 2	
(uay)		10		14	16						
3.0	1.000	0.900	0.800	0.700	0.600	0.500	0.400	0.300	0.200	0.100	0.00
2.8	0.933	0.840	0.747	0.653	0.560	0.467	0.373	0.280	0.187	0.093	0.00
2.6	0.867	0.780	0.693	0.607	0.520	0.433	0.347	0.260	0.173	0.087	0.00
2.4	0.800	0.720	0.640	0.560	0.480	0.400	0.320	0.240	0.160	0.080	0.00
2.2	0.733	0.660	0.587	0.513	0.440	0.367	0.293	0.220	0.147	0.073	0.00
2.0	0.667	0.600	0.533	0.467	0.400	0.333	0.267	0.200	0.133	0.067	0.00
1.8	0.600	0.540	0.480	0.420	0.360	0.300	0.240	0.180	0.120	0.060	0.00
1.6	0.533	0.480	0.427	0.373	0.320	0.267	0.213	0.160	0.107	0.053	0.00
1.4	0.467	0.420	0.373	0.327	0.280	0.233	0.187	0.140	0.093	0.047	0.00
1.2	0.400	0.360	0.320	0.280	0.240	0.200	0.160	0.120	0.080	0.040	0.00
1.0	0.333	0.300	0.267	0.233	0.200	0.167	0.133	0.100	0.067	0.033	0.00
8.0	0.267	0.240	0.213	0.187	0.160	0.133	0.107	0.080	0.053	0.027	0.00
0.6	0.200	0.180	0.160	0.140	0.120	0.100	0.080	0.060	0.040	0.020	0.00
0.4	0.133	0.120	0.107	0.093	0.080	0.067	0.053	0.040	0.027	0.013	0.00
0.2	0.067	0.060	0.053	0.047	0.040	0.033	0.027	0.020	0.013	0.007	0.00
0.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00

Table IX-3 CHANGE OF LAND USE IN THE POSSIBLE INUNDATION AREA

STRETCH NO.	YEAR	PASTURE	PLANTAIN		SHRUB & OTHERS		TOTAL
[ AREAL DIS	TRIBUT	ION (Unit	: km2) ]	-			
Stretch 1	1968	26.2	1.4	0.5	3.9	7.0	39.0
	1981	23.6	8.3				
Stretch 2	1968	26.4	8.2	0.0	1.6	1.6	37.8
			12.3		0.0		
Stretch 3	1968	7.0	0.8	0.0	7.3	1.2	16.3
51100011 5	1981		10.1			1.2	16.3
Stretch 4	1968	17.4	11.4	0.2	0.8	1.4	31.2
	1981	8.6				1.4	
Stretch 5	1968	27.4	20.9	7.2	0.0	1.2	56.7
JOTO COM O		19.6					56.7
Total	1968	104:4	42.7	7.Q	13.6	12.4	181_0
	1981	80.7	78.8	9.0	0.1	12.4	181.0
[ PERCENTAG	E DIST	RIBUTION	(Unit: %)	1			
Stretch 1							
	1981	61	21	0	0	18	100
Stretch 2				0	4	4	100
	1981			0	0	4	100
Stretch 3	1968			0	45	7	100
	1981	- 31	62	0	0	7	100
Stretch 4	1968	56	37	. 1	3	4	100
	1981	28	58	10	. 0	4	100
Stretch 5	1968	48	37	13	0	2	100
	1981	35	53	10	. 0	2	100
Total	1968	58	24	4	8	7	100
	1981	45	44	5	0	<b>7</b>	100

Table IX-4 FORECAST OF CULTIVATED LAND IN THE LOWER REACHES

		TOTAL	MAXIMUM	CULTE	VATED	LAND (	km2)	ANNUAL	FORECAST * (km2)				
STRETCH NO.		(km2)		1968	(%)	1981	(%) (	(km2/yr.)	1988	2000	2010	2020	
Stretch 1			14.9						5.10	7.32	9.17	11.02	
	Left	20.6	17.1	<del></del>		4.5	22%	0.346	6.92	11.07	14.53	17.10	
									0.00	0.71	10.40	11 00	
itretch 2	Right	25.0	22.0	7.5	30%	8.4	34%	0.059	8.88	9.71	10,40	11.09	
	Left	12.8	9.8	0.7	5%	3.9	30%	0.246	5.62	8.57	9.80	9.80	
										e 10	£ 20	C 20	
tretch 3	Right	8.8	6.3	8.0	9%	6.3	75%	0.446	6.30	6.30	6.30	6.30	
	Left	7.5	5.0			3.8	51%	0.292	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
itretch 4	Right	14.9	11.2	4.0	27%	7.5	50%	0.269	9.38	11.20	11.20	11.20	
	Left	16.3	12.6	7.4	45%	10.5	64%	0.238	12.17	12.60	12.60	12.60	
tretch 5	Right	39.7	36.4	20.9	53%	28.1	71%	0.554	31.98	36.40	36.40	36.40	
	Left	.17.0	13.7			2.0	12%	0.154	3.08	4.93	6.47	8.01	
	: :					-				eru, file			
otal		181.0	149.0	42.7	24%	78.8	44%	2.799	94.4	113.1	121.9	128.5	

NOTE \*: Until the near future (2000), the cultivated land may be covered by plantain plantation but in the far future in the year 2010 or 2020, agricultural products with a higher productivity (50,000 Bs./yr.) than plantains, such as pepper, are possibly cultivated in the lower reaches, and flood damage calculation in these years considers that the cultivated land shares a pepper plantation area by 5%.

Table IX-5 ESTIMATE OF TRAFFIC DAMAGE BY PROBABLE ROAD DISASTERS

No.	Place	Detour Way	Inter- ruption-		tc Volu	me (Vehic	les)	Tra	iffic Dam	age (100	00 Bs.)
909		(Y/N)	(hr.)	1988	2000	2010	2020	1988	2000	2010	2020
1	El Pedregal	No	24	3,760	5,930	7,950	9,970	910	1,440	1,930	2,420
2	La Muchchache	No	48	3,760	6,930	7,950	9,970	3,660	5,770	7,740	9,710
3	Cacute	No	24	3,760	5,930	7,950	9,970	910	1,440	1.930	2,420
4	Tampace 1	No	24	3,760	5,930	7,950	9,970	910	1,440	1,930	2,42
5	Tabay	No	3	3,760	5,930	7,950	9,970	10	20	30	3
6	El Salado	No	24	3,760	5,930	7,950	9,970	910	1,440	1,930	2,42
7	Mesa de La Virgen (1)	No	3	3,760	5,930	7,950	9,970	10	20	30	3
8	Mesa de La Virgen (2)	No	3	3,760	5,930	7,950	9,970	10	20	30	3
9	Merida	No	0	3,760	5,930	7,950	9,970	0	. 0	0	
10	Qd. Los Higuerones	Yes	12	9,410	14,840	19,890	24,940	380	600	800	1.01
L <b>1</b>	Conf. of Chama & N.S	Yes	12	9,410	14,840	19,890	24,940	380	600	800	1.01
12	Qd. Los Limos	No	48	9,960	15,730	21,110	26,490	9,700	15,320	20,560	25,81
13	Qd. Maciqual	Нo	48	9,960	15,730	21,110	26,490	9,700	15,320	20,560	25,81
<b>L</b> 4	Arraques	No	48	9,960	15,730	21,110	26,490	9,700	15,320	20,560	25,81
15	Qd. La Jaya	Ro	48	9,960	15,730	21,110	26,490	9,700	15,320	20,560	25,81
16	Qd. El Diablo	Yes *	3	9,770	15,660	21,250	26,840	30	50	80	10
17	La Honda	Yes *	3	9,770	15,660	21,250	26,840	30	50	80	10
8	La Palmita	Yes *	3	9,770	15,660	21,250	26,840	30	50	80	10
19	La Providencia	Yes *	3	9,770	15,660	21,250	26,840	30	50	80	10
20	Carabanche l	Yes *	3	3,620	5,820	7,720	9,620	10	20	20	3
21	Qd. Romero	Yes *	3	3,620	5,820	7,720	9,620	10	20	20	3
22	Qd. Cubalibre	Yes *	3	3,620	5,820	7,720	9,620	10	20	20	· 3
23	**************************************	Yes *	3	3,620	5,820	7,720	9,620	10	20	20	3
24	Qd. Tabacal	Yes *	3	3,620	5,820	7,720	9,620	. 10	20	20	3
25	Qd. Silencio	Yes *	3	3,620	5,820	7,720	9,620	-10	20	20	3
26	4697	Yes *	3	3,620	5,820	7.720	9,620	10	. 20	20	3
27	Qd. Caciquito	Yes *	3	3,620	5,820	7,720	9,620	10	20	20	3
	Qd. Penon II	Yes *	3	3,620	5,820	7,720	9,620	10	20	20	3
	는 전 보 다 다 네 는 네 로 다 다 보 보 다 다 보고 있다. 그는 성) 등 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중 중					Total		47,100	74,450	99,890	125,41
					-		er Place		2,659	3,568	4.47

NOTE \*: Due to a short interruption time, vehicles are assumed not to take a detour route.

Conditions on damage calculation are :

Operation cost (cars) = 0.70 Bs/km for detour route (speed = 30 km/hr) and 0.68 Bs/km for highway (speed = 60 km/hr).

Operation cost (truck)= 2.10 Bs/km for detour route (speed = 10 km/hr) and 1.61 Bs/km for highway (speed = 60 km/hr).

Loss of productivity = 15 Bs/hr\*person (2 persons/vehicle)

Detouring distance = 47 km

Table IX-6 CONDITIONS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE CALCULATION

Et OOD OD				LOWE	R REA	CHES					UPPER/MIDD	DLE REACHES
FLOOD OR RAINFALL IN RETURN PERIOD			1 STRETCH 2					STRETCH 4				
	I.D. (cm)	F.D. (day)	I.D. (cm)	F.D. (day)	I.D. (cm)	F.D.	I.D. (cm)	F.D. (day)	I.D. (cm)	F.D. (day)	POINTS (nos.)	SUBMERGED (nos.)
2 Years						200			٠		0	
5 Years	28.0	1.3	9.6	3.0	12.6	3.5	15.0	4.1	21.1	6.7	15	0
10 Years	33.1	1.8	12.0	3.4	14.8	4.3	17.8	4.9	25.5	6.7	28	30
30 Years	45.6	3.2	14.0	4.5	17,1	5.1	20.2	5.3	32.4	6.7	60	70
50 Years	47.6	3.3	15.3	4.8	18.4	5.4	21.5	6.6	34.5	6.7	75	110
100 Years	59.1	3.3	18.5	4.8	20.7	5.6	22.6	6.6	38.9	6.7	100	130

NOTE: I.D.= inundation depth F.D. = flood duration

The location and coverage of inundation areas of each stretch are presented in Fig. VII-12 in the supporting report.

Table IX-7 BREAKDOWN OF ANNUAL AVERAGE BENEFIT OF MASTER PLAN (in the Year of 2020)

Unit: million Bs.

0.2020	STRETCH/	011/50				RETURN PER	IOD
REACHES	STRETCHY				30-YEAR		100-YEAR
Lower	Stretch 1	Right	5.62	7.93	9.31	9.85	10.08
		Left	8.74	12.33	14.48	15.32	15.68
•			100		*		
	Stretch 2	Right	6.54	8.87	10.17	10.58	10.80
		Left	5.77	7.83	8.98	9.34	9.54
			1:		11.54		
	Stretch 3	Right	5.26	6.91	7.81	8.09	8.22
		Left	4.17	5.48	6.20	6.42	6.52
					***		
	Stretch 4				17.05		
•		Left				19.80	20.06
	<u> </u>		11			a= a=	
***	Stretch 5					67.25	
		Left	10.24	13.04	14.39	14.78	14.95
	Sub-total	• •	117 684	153 065	173 03	179.012	181.69
	Sub-cota i		117.007	133,303	173.03	175.012	101.03
	* *	:					
Upper/	Traffic		27.41	37.19	46.94	48.28	48.96
Middle					**		
	Houses		0.00	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.07
**			•				
	Sub-total	· .	27.411	37.214	46.99	48.344	49.025
	Total		145.095	191.179	220.02	227.356	230.715
						•	

Table IX-8 BREAKDOWN OF ANNUAL AVERAGE BENEFIT OF ACTION PLAN (in the Year of 2000)

Unit: 100	10	US
-----------	----	----

RIVER REACHES	STRETCH/ ITEM	RIVER SIDE	ANNUAL BENEFIT
Lower Reaches	Stretch 1	Right Left	0 7,800
	Stretch 2	Right Left	0 6,716
	Stretch 3	Right Left	0 0
	Stretch 4	Right Left	14,824 16,677
	Stretch 5	Right Left	58,012 0
	Sub-total		104,029
Upper/Middle Reaches	Traffic		29,063
·	Houses		70
	Sub-total		29,133
	Total		133,162

Table IX-9(1/2) COMPOSITION OF FINANCIAL COST AND CALCULATION
OF CONVERSION RATES TO ECONOMIC COST
(Basin-wide Project)

Unit: %

	44 44 54 66 66 66 66 66 56 56 48 50 bil bil 66 66 68 80 pp m 		. M & W W W W W W W W	:		Local	Currency			AP 40 F4 pa 40 M KV M	
No. Wor	rk Item	F.C.	La	bor			Materials		Rental Equip-	Over-:	Total
			Common	A . L	L.0.	Lub.	Concrete	Others	ment	head	
1. Sedin	ment Control Works						19 44 45 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44				
1.1	C-1 Sabo Dam	0.7 0.7	6.4 3.5	6.4 6.4	0.1	0.1 0.1		2.2 1.7	17.7 12.9	22.9 22.9	100.0 81.9
1.2	C-5 Sabo Dam	2.1 2.1	13.0 7.2	9.7 9.7	0.1 0.3	0.1 0.1		43.5 34.8	13.0 9.5	18.5 18.5	100.0 82.2
1.3	N-1 Sabo Dam	0.8 0.8	5.9 3.3	6.2 6.2	0.1	0.1 0.1		2.4	13.9 10.2	22.9 22.9	100.0 81.9
1.4	Continuous Dam, Mucusos	0.5		13.2 13.2	0.1 0.6	0.1 0.2	, -	9.3 7.4	18.7 13.6		100.0 82.2
1.5	Continuous Dam, Mucusas	0.5 0.5		12.8 12.8	0.1 0.6	0.1 0.2		8.4 6.8	19.4 14.1	23.0	100.0 82.1
1.6	Continuous Dam, Mucusuru			12.9 12.9		0.1 0.2		8.7 6.9	19.1 14.0		100.0 82.1
1.7	Retaining Wall	1.4 1.4		6.0 6.0	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0		1.7 1.4			100.0 82.3
2. Floor	d Control Works		2 - W	17	•	1.1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2.1	Land Clearing	0.0	32.5 17.9	6.8 6.8		0.1 0.2		0.0	37.3 27.2		100.0 76.1
2.2	Dike Embankment	5.1 5.1			0.4 1.4				60.5 44.2		100.0 82.9
2.3	Sodding	0.0	22.5 12.4	15.8 15.8				7.5 6.0	31.0 22.6		100.0 80.5
2.4	Gravel Pavement	0.2 0.2		4.1 4.1	0.1 0.4						100.0 84.3
2.5	Revetment	0.2	24.0 13.2		0.1 0.2	0.1 0.1	8.7 6.6	21.3 17.1			100.0
2.6	Groin	0.0	35.5 19.6	13.8 13.8				22.1 17.6			100.0 78.3
2.7	Grounds 111		23.9 13.1	11.3 11.3		0.1 0.1		13.9 11.1	13.1 9.5		100.0 79.8

NOTE: F.C. = foreign currency, L.O. = light oil, Lub. = Lubricant

Upper row shows the distribution of financial cost, and lower row shows the percentage for calculation of economic cost, multiplied by the following conversion rates:

Common Labor	0.55
Light 011	4.05
Lubricant	1.46
Concrete	0.76
Other Materials	0.80
Rental Equipment	0.73

Table IX-9(2/2) COMPOSITION OF FINANCIAL COST AND CALCULATION .

OF CONVERSION RATES TO ECONOMIC COST

(Local Project)

Unit: %

						Local (	Currency				
No. Work Item		F.C.	Labor		Materials				Rental Equip-	Over-	Total
			Common	Others	1.0.	Lub.	Concrete		ment	head	i Najvija iz
1. Sediment Co	ntrol Works							<b></b>			
1.1 Check	Dam	0.6	14.6	16.1	0.0	0.0	26.4	11.7	7.6	22.9	100.
- 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 1		0.6	8.0	16.1	0.2	0.0	20.1	9.4	5.5	22.9	8Ż.
1.2 Retain	ing Wall	0.5	7.9	18.0	0.0	0.0	31.9	13.9	4.8	23.0	100.
		0.5	4.3	18.0	0.1	0.0	24.2	11.1	3.5	23.0	84.
1.3 Revetm	ent	0.2	23.5	15.9	0.1	0.0	9.8	20.3	7.2	23.0	100.
		0.2	12.9	15.9	0.2	0.1	7.5	16.2	5.2	23.0	81.
	٠.			**							
2. Flood Contro	ol Horks										
2.1 Improve	ement of	0.8	7.0	15.5	0.0	0.0	35.1	14.1	4.6	22.9	100.
Albarr	egas River	8.0	3.8	15.5	0.1	0.0	26.7	11.2	3.4	22.9	84.
2.2 Improv	ement of	1.0	6.1	10.7	0.1	0.0	43.6	7.0	8.7	22.8	100.
Q'da M	illa	1.0	3.3	10.7	0.2	0.0	33.1	5.6	6.4	22.8	83.
2.3 Improv	ement of	0.0	12.3	9.4	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	54.6	23.1	100.
Q'da L	a Portugues	0.0	6.8	9.4	1.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	39.9	23.1	81.

NOTE: F.C. = foreign currency, L.O. = light oil, Lub. = Lubricant

Upper row shows the distribution of financial cost, and lower row shows the percentage for calculation of economic cost, multiplied by the following conversion rates:

Common Labor	0.55
Light Oil	4.06
Lubricant	1.46
Concrete	0.76
Other Materials	0.80
Rental Fourment	0.73

Table IX-10(1/2) CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC PROJECT COST FOR ACTION PLAN (Basin-wide Project)

NO.	WORK ITEM	FINANCIAL COST (million Bs.)	CONVERSION RATE *	ECONOMIC COST (million Bs.
 1.	DIRECT COST		# 15- 40 40 40 00 00 00 PT 54 88 to 0	
	. Sediment Control Works			
	(1) Preparatory Works [10% of (2) to (9)]	37.24		30.52
	(2) C-1 Sabo Dam, Rubblestone Concrete	149.38	0.82	122.34
	(3) C-5 Sabo Dam, Steel Frame	38.54	0.82	31.68
	(4) N-1 Sabo Dam, Rubblestone Concrete	134.55	0.82	110.20
	(5) Mucusos Continuous Dam	2.71	0.82	2.23
	(6) Mucusas Continuous Dam	13.64	0.82	11.20
	(7) Hucusuru Continuous Dam	8.20	0.82	6.73
	(8) Retaining Wall (Wet Masonry)	7.65	0.82	6.30
	(9) Miscellaneous Works [5% of (2) to (8)]	17.73		14,53
		*		
	Sub-total	409.64		335.73
				100
6	. Flood Control Works			
	(1) Preparatory Works [10% of (2) to (8)]	35.81		29.05
	(2) Land Clearing	24.94	0.76	18.98
	(3) Dike Embankment	124.42	0.83	103,14
	(4) Sodding	6.72	0.81	5.41
	(5) Gravel Pavement	8.64	0,84	7.28
	(6) Revetment	156.56	0.81	126.50
	(7) Groin	14.82	0.78	11.60
	(8) Groundsill	22.04	0.80	17.59
	Sub-total	393.94		319.55
	Total of I	803.58		655.27
			•	•
Į.	LAND ACQUISITION			
	(1) Plantain	18.30	1.00	18.30
	(2) Pasture	14.73	1.00	14.73
	Total of II	33.03		33.03
11.	ADMINISTRATION COST (5% of I & II)	41.83	<b>~</b>	34.42
			:	
٧.	ENGINEERING SERVICE (10% of I)	80.36		65.53
			•	
٧.	PHYSICAL CONTINGENCY (10% of I to IV)	95.88		78.83
	Grand Total	1,054.68		867.0

Table IX-10(2/2) CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC PROJECT COST FOR ACTION PLAN (Local Project)

NO.	WORK ITEM	FINANCIAL COST (million 8s.)		ECONOMIC COST (million Bs.)
ī.	DIRECT COST	w w aq us us g/ H to At to H to to us us		, g., gan 1,9 43 44 44 4- 44 44 44 44 at mit m
A	. Sediment Control Works			
	(1) Preparatory Works [10% of (2) to (4)]	1.75		1.46
	(2) Check Dam	5.35	0.83	4.44
	(3) Retaining Wall	6.17	0.85	5.23
٠.	(4) Revetment	6.01	0.81	4.89
٠.	Sub-total	19.29		16.01
В	. Flood Control Works			
•	(1) Preparatory Works [10% of (2) to (4)]	1.68		1.40
	(2) Improvement of Albarregas River	3.06	0.85	2.58
*	(3) Improvement of Q'da Milla	13.00	0.83	10.82
	(4) Improvement of Q'da La Portuguesa	0.78	0.81	0.64
	Sub-total	18.52		15.44
	Total of I	37.81		31.45
(I. <i>f</i>	ADMINISTRATION COST (5% of I)	1.89	ev to, 66	1.57
			. *	• 4
III. E	ENGINEERING SERVICE (10% of I)	3.78		3.15
	NIVOTON CONTENCENCY (CO.			
¥. h	PHYSICAL CONTINGENCY (10% of I to III)	4.35	: : :	3.62
* :	Grand Total	47.83	** **********************************	39.78

NOTE \*: Refer to Table IX-9.

Table IX-11 ANNUAL COST AND BENEFIT FLOW OF MASTER PLAN

Unit: million Bs

0.	YEAR	*******	ECONOMIC CO	ANNUAL			
		INVEST- MENT (1)*	INVEST- MENT (2)**	OMR	TOTAL	AVERAGE	ANNUAL CASH FLO
1	1991				88.97	0.00	-88.97
2	1992	85.14	3.83		88.97	13.32	
3 4	1993 1994	85.14 85.14	3.83 3.83		88.97 88.97	26.63 39.95	-62.34 -49.02
5	1995	85.14	3.83	41.	88.97	53.26	-35.71
6	1996		3.83		88.97 88.97	66.58	-22.39
7	1997	85.14	3.83	+ ,	88.97	79.90	
8 9	1998 1999			+ 1	88.97 88.97	93.21 106.53	4.24 17.56
Ő	2000		3.83		88 Q7.	119.84	20.07
1	2001	113.86	0.00	3.50	117.36	133.16	15.80
2	2002	113.86	0.00	3.50	117.36	136.93	10 57
3	2003	113.80	0.00	3.50 3.50	117.36 117.36	140.70	23.34
4 5	2004 2005		0.00 0.00	3.50	117.36	148.24	27.11 30.88
6	2005		0.00	3.50			34.65
ž	2007	113.86	0.00	3.50	117.36	155.77	38.41
8	2008	113.86	0.00	3.50	117.36	159.54	42.18
9	2009	113.86 113.86	0.00	3.50 3.50	117.36	163.31 167.08	45.95 49.72
0 1	2010 2011	83.75	0.00 0.00	5.40	117.36 89.15	170 05	Q1 70
2	2012	83.75		5.40	89.15	176.84	87.69
3	2013	83.75	0.00	5.40	89.15	182.82	93.67
4	2014			5.40	89.15	188.81 194.80	99.66
5	2015 2016		0.00 0.00	5.40 5.40	89.15 89.15	200.79	105.65 111.63
6 7	2017	83.75	0.00	5.40	89.15	200.79 206.77	117.62
8	2018	83.75	0.00	5.40	89 15	217.79	123 h!
9	2019	83.75	0.00	5.40	89.15	218.75	129.60
0	2020	83.75	0.00	5.40	89.15	224./3	135.58 223.32
1 2	2021 2022			7.40 7.40	7.40 7.40	230.72	
3	2023			7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
4	2024			7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
5	2025		•	7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
§	2026			7.40	7.40 7.40	230.72	223.32 223.32
7 8	2027 2028			7.40 7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
ğ	2029			7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
0	2030		."	7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
1	2031		•	7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
2	2032			7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
3 4	2032 2033 2034			7.40 7.40	7.40 7.40	230.72	222 22
5	2035	4.		7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
6	2036			7.40	7.40	230./2	223.32
7	2037		,	7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
8 9	2038	1		7.40	7.40	230.72 230.72	223.32 223.32
0	2039 2040		14.4	7.40 7.40	7.40 7.40	230.72	223.32
1	2041			7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
2	2042			7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
3	2043			7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
4 5	2044 2045			7.40 7.40	7.40 7.40	230.72 230.72	223.32 223.32
6.	2045			7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
7	2047	1.00		7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
8	2048			7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
9	2049			7.40 7.40	7.40	230.72	223.32
0	2050			/,4U	7.40	230.72	223.32
	1 1				IRR =	10.71	<b>k</b>
					B/C = 🗀	1.22	

NOTE \*: Investment on the basin-wide project.
\*\*: Investment on the local project.

Table IX-12 ANNUAL COST AND BENEFIT FLOW OF ACTION PLAN

UNIT : MILLION BS.

	YEAR		ECONOMIC CO	ST		ANNUAL		
	(2741	INVEST-	INVEST-			AVERAGE	ANNUAL	
		MENT (1)*	MENT (2)**	OMR	TOTAL	BENEFIT	CASH FLOW	
1	1991	14.60	1.04		15.64		-15.64	
2	1992	12.84	6.65		19.49	0.00	-19.49	
3	1993	122.60	12.05		134.64	0.00	-134.64	
4	1994	154.39	7.92		162.31	16.65	-145.67	
5	1995	112.67	2.56	•	115.23	33.29	-81.94	
6	1996		2.56	•	80.77	49.94	-30,83	
7	1997	78.21	1.30		79.51	66.58	-12.93	
8	1998		1.96		100.92	83.23	-17.70	
9	1999	111.80	1.88		113.68	99.87	-13.81	
10	2000				84.67	116.52	31.85	
11	2001	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
12	2002			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
13	2003			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
14	2004			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
15	2005			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
16	2006			3.50	3,50	133.16	129.66	
17	2007		•	3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
18	2008			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
19	2009		•	3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
20	2010			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
21	2010			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
22	2012			3.50	3.50	and the second second	129.66	
23	2013			3.50	3.50	133.16	129,66	
24	2013			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
25	2014			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
26	2016			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
27 27	2013			3.50	3.50		129.66	
28	2017			3.50		133.16	129.66	
29				3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
30	2019			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
	2020			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
31	2021	-				133.16	129.66	
32	2022			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
33	2023		•	3.50	3.50			
34 25	2024			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
35	2025			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
36	2026			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
37	2027			3.50	3.50		129.66	
38	2028			3.50		133.16	129.66	
39	2029			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
40	2030			3.50	3.50	133.16	129.66	
					IRR -	13.228	:	
			44		B/C =	1.58		
					NVP ≃	346.52	Million Bs	

NOTE \*: Investment on the basin-wide project.

<sup>\*\*:</sup> Investment on the local project.

Table IX-13 MONETARY ALLOCATION OF THE NATIONAL BUDGET, 1984-1988

Unit: thousand million Bs. at current price

BRANCH/MINISTRY	1984		1986	1987	1988	ANNUAL GROWTH
1. EXECUTIVE	75.74	101.59	120.68	156.17	180.75	24.3
Presidential Secretary Office	1.08	1.01	1.67	1.81	3.1	30.29
Interior Relations	11.56	16.13	18.45	21.31	26.3	22.8
Exterior Relations	0.46	0.76	0.74	1.33	1.63	37.2
Finance	24.67	41.17	42.56	59.9	56.21	22.9
Defense	4.95	6.08	6.61	8.72	12.31	25.6
Promotion	0.22	0.17	1.08	3.29	2.63	85.9
Education	14.01	15.71	16.86	19.85	28.08	19.0
Sanitary & Social Assistance	4.72	5.24	6.47	8.99	12.64	27.9
Agriculture & Animal Husbandry	3.44	3.01	5.35	7.19	7.75	22.5
Labor	0.14	0.87	0.87	1.2	1.65	85.3
Transport & Communications	3.89	4.22	8.42	8.76	10.99	29.6
Justice	0.71	0.76	0.83	1.12	1.49	20.4
Energy & Mining	0.16	0.2	0.21	0.27	0.34	20.7
Environment & Natural Resources Conservation	1.83	1.89	4.35	4.6	6.35	36.5
Urban Development	2.85	3,27	5.45	6.86	9.2	34.0
Information & Tourism	0.15	0.22	a., a., a.		***	
Juveni le	0.9	0.88	0.76	0.97	0.08	-45.4
. LEGISLATIVE	0.66	0.55	0.66	0.79	1.54	23.6
JUDICIAL	0.65	0.71	0.94	1.06	2.83	44.5
тота L	77 04	102.84	122.28	160 02	185.12	24.

NOTE: Figures may not add up to totals due to rounding.

SOURCE: Central Budgetary Office (OCPRE)

Table IX-14 PERCENTAGE ALLOCATION OF THE NATIONAL BUDGET, 1984-1988

BRANCH/MINISTRY		1985	1986	1987	1988	AVERAGE
. EXECUTIVE	98.31%	98.78%	98.69%	98.83*	97.64%	98.45
Presidential Secretary Office	1,40%	0.98%	1.37%	1.15%	1.67%	1.31
Interior Relations	15.01%	15.68%	15.09%	13.49%	14.21%	14.69
Exterior Relations	0.60%	0.74%	0.61%	0.84%	0.88%	0.73
Finance	32.02%	40.03%	34.81%	37.91%	30.36%	35.03
Defense	6.43%	5.91%	5.41%	5.52%	6.65%	5.98
Promotion	0.29%	0.17%	0.88%	2.08%	1.42%	0.97
Education	18.19%	15.28%	13.79%	12.56%	15.17%	15.00
Sanitary & Social Assistance	6.13%	5.10%	5.29%	5.69%	6.83%	5.81
Agriculture & Animal Husbandry	4.47%	2.93%	4.38%	4.55%	4.19%	4.10
Labor	0.18%	0.85%	0.71%	0.76%	0.89%	0.68
Transport & Communications	5.05%	4.10%	6.89%	5.54%	5.94%	5.50
Justice	0.92%	0.74%	0.68%	0.71%	0.80%	0.7
Energy & Mining	0.21%	0.19%	0.17%	0.17%	0.18%	0.19
Environment & Natural Resources Conservation	2.38%	1.84%	3.56%	2.91%	3.43%	2.82
Urban Development	3.70%	3.18%	4.46%	4.34%	4.97%	4.1
Information & Tourism	0.19%	0.21%	***		w ** **	
Juveni le	1.17%	0.86%	0.62%	0.61%	0.04%	0.6
LEGISLATIVE	0.86%		0.54%	0.50%	0.83%	0.6
JUDICIAL	0.84%	0.69%	0.77%	0.67%	1.53%	0.9

NOTE: Figures may not add up to totals due to rounding.

SOURCE: Central Budgetary Office (OCPRE)

YOTAL

100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%

Table IX-15 BUDGET OF MARNR AND ALLOCATION TO PUBLIC WORKS, 1984-1988

Unit: million Bs

ITEM	1984	1985	1986			Total
1. Total Budget of MARNR *1)	1,835	1,894	4,353	4,596	6,350	19,028
2. Public Investment *2)						
- Study & Investigation	6	14	21	25	59	125
- Construction	300	277	890	676	1,091	3,234
- Conservation & Maintenance	5	. 16	92	92	81	285
- Inspection	3	13	10	11	7	43
Total of 2.	313	320	1,014	803	1,237	3,687
3. Share of 2. to 1.	17.1%	16.9%	23.3%	17.5%	19.5%	19.4%

NOTE: Figures may not add up to total due to rounding.

SOURCE \*1): Central Budgetary Office (OCPRE)

<sup>\*2):</sup> Division of Budget, Direction of Programming and Budget, MARNR

Table IX-16 BUDGET OF MARNR ZONE OFFICE NO.16, 1984-1988

Unit: 1000 Bs

YEAR	DIVISION					
		MINISTRY	STATE GOV'T	CADAFE	TRIENAL	TOTAL
1984	Directorate General	48.72				48.7
	Administrative Services	252.60				252.6
	Information & Investigation	416.28			-	416.2
	Planning & Coordination	214.40	500.00			714.4
	Administration of Environment	1,581.62	600.00			2,181.6
	Infrastructure	3,441.58	2,014.71	896.70		6,352.9
٠.	Sub-Total	5,955.20	3,114.71	896.70	0.00	9,966.6
985	Directorate General	48.72				48.7
	Administrative Services	326.45				326.4
	Information & Investigation	412.44	249.56			662.0
	Planning & Coordination	643.38	182.44			825.8
	Administration of Environment	1,560.75	539.00		+	2,099.7
	Infrastructure	2,664.51	3,016.56	2,800.00		8,481.0
	Sub-Total	5,656.25	3,987.56	2,800.00	0.00	12,443.8
1986	Directorate General	98.76			•	98.7
	Administrative Services	646.45				646.4
	Information & Investigation	353.76			•	353.7
	Planning & Coordination	681.72				681.7
	Administration of Environment	1,496.68	450.00		*.	1,946.6
-	Infrastructure	1,980.35			3,679.90	5,660.2
	Sub-Total	5,257.72	450.00	0.00	3,679.90	9,387.6
1987	Directorate General	29.76				29.7
	Administrative Services	1,515.77				1,515.7
	Information & Investigation	844.34			•	844.3
	Planning & Coordination	673.96	£			673.9
	Administration of Environment	2,103.87	550.00			2,653.8
	Infrastructure	3,123.78	2,650.00		7,600.00	17,363.7
	Sub-Total	8,291.47	3,200.00	3,990.00	7,600.00	23,081.4
988	Directorate General	390.48			*	390.4
	Administrative Services	2,090.52				2,090.5
	Information & Investigation	1,003.29	•			1,003.2
	Planning & Coordination	827.76	150.00			977.7
	Administration of Environment	2,856.81	1,340.00		* .	4,196.8
	Infrastructure	4,236.49	5,215.00	3,811.35	11,610.75	24,873.5
	Sub-Total	11,405.34	6,705.00	3,811.35	11,610.75	33,532.4

NOTE : Figures may not add up to totals due to rounding.

SOURCE: Budget Section, MARNR Zone Office No.16.

Table IX-17 PUBLIC WORKS INVESTMENT OF MARNR ZONE OFFICE NO.16 BY DISTRICT, 1984-1988

MICTRICT	NO. OF WORKS				VESTMENT (1			
DISIRIU	(nos.)	. 1984	1985	1986	1987	1988		
Alberto Adriani	11	0.00	0.00	0.00	300.00	5,297.97	5,597.97	
Andres Bello	4	0.00	588.49	202.49	0.00	0.00	790.98	
Arzobispo Chacon	44	1,092.00	1,410.21	2,238.62	3,016.89	2,200.00	9,957.72	
Campo Elias	6	0.00	0.00	200.00	210.00	2,249.83	2,659.83	
Libertador	42	1,330.00	985.30	2,244.92	4,531.80	9,416.95	18,508.97	
Miranda	38	4,020.00	1,258.35	1,906.12	2,662.51	2,230.29	12.077.27	
Pinto Salinas	15	0.00	1,008.05	1,006.18	1,459.90	457.74	3,931.87	
Rangel	20	690.00	2.028.27	690.00	80.00	712.83	4,201.10	
Rivas Davila	8	275.00	0.00	500.00	690.00	839.14	2,304.14	
Sucre	8	380.00	0.00	40.00	180.00	329.96	929.96	
Tovar	20	0.00	399.89	499.69	2,428.49	2,701.96	6,030.03	
Total	216	7,787.00	7,678.56	9,528.01	15,559.59	26,436.65	66,989.81	

NOTE : Figures may not add up to totals due to rounding.

SOURCE: Budget Section, MARNR Zone Office No.16.

Table IX-18 PUBLIC INVESTMENT OF THE OFFICE FOR THE REGION SOUTH OF MARACAIBO LAKE

Unit: million Bs.

	ITEM	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	Total	Average
1.	Study & Investigation	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.7	0.3
2.	Construction	0.0	61.8	50.0	64.0	52.0	227.8	45.6
3.	Conservation & Maintenance	4.0	11.0	24.5	7.7	10.1	57.3	11.5
	Total	4.1	72.9	75.0	72.2	62.6	286.8	57.4

SOURCE: Office for the region south of Maracaibo Lake, MARNR Zone Office No.5

Table IX-19 PUBLIC INVESTMENT OF THE MERIDA REGIONAL OFFICE OF MTC

Unit: million Bs.

·	ITEN	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	Total	Average
1.	Study & Investigation	0.14	J <b>a</b> s	0.20			0.34	0.07
2.	Highways		60.00	173.84	397.90	357.00	988.74	197.75
3.	Urban Road System	10.01	13.86	5.98	15.00	10.86	55.71	11.14
4.	Paved Road	33.33	29.95	35.44	22.30	26.38	147.40	29.48
5.	Rural Road System	18.10	41.23	51.89	55.65	64.20	231.07	46.21
6.	Road Maintenance	23.39	19.24	34.00	36.50	19.60	132.73	26.55
7.	Aereal Transport		7.59	20.60	21.04	35.96	85.19	17.04
8.	Terrestrial Transport		***			1.00	1.00	0.20
9.	Other Works	6.65	2.00	~~~	2.00	3.60	14.25	2.85
	Total	91,62	173.87	321.95	550.39	518.60	1,656.43	331.29

SOURCE: Merida Regional Office of MTC

Table IX-20 PUBLIC INVESTMENT OF MARNR AND ITS REGIONAL OFFICES, 1984-1988

ITEM	UNIT	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	AVERAGE
. Budget of MARNR *1)	million Bs.	1,835	1,894	4,353	4,596	6,350	3,806
- Public Investment *2)	million Bs.	313	320	1,014	803	1,237	737
- Population of the Nation *3)	thousand persons	16,851	17,317	17,791	18,272	18,757	17,798
- Per Capita Investment	Bs.	19	18	57	44	66	41
. Zone 16 Office	ı				•		
- Public Investment *4)	million Bs.	8	8	10	16	26	14
- Population of Merida State *3)		539	552	566	580	594	560
- Per Capita Investment	Bs.	15	14	18	28	44	2
. Office for the Region South of Maracaibo Lake							
- Public Investment *5)	million Bs.	. 4	73	75	72	63	5
- Population of the Region *3)	thousand persons	145	147	148	150	151	148
	Bs.	28	497	507	480	417	38

SOURCE \*1): Central Budgetary Office

<sup>\*2):</sup> Division of Budget, Direction of Programming & Budget MARNR

<sup>\*3): &</sup>quot;PROYECCIONES DE POBLACCION 1980-2000", OCEI

<sup>\*4):</sup> Budget Section, MARNR Zone No.16

<sup>\*5):</sup> Office for the Region South of Maracaibo Lake, MARNR Zone No.5

Table IX-21 RELATIONSHIP AMONG GDP, NATIONAL BUDGET AND PUBLIC INVESTMENT IN THE CHAMA RIVER BASIN

ITEM	UNIT	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	AVERAGE
Gross Domestic Product (GDP) *1)	million Bs	409,487	464,620	493,765	719,423		521,824
- Real Growth Rate	<b>%</b>	M-M 80	1.8	-4.7	13.8		3.
National Budget *2)	million Bs	77,041	102,844	122,283	158,018	185,122	129.06
- Proportion to GDP	*	18.8	22.1	24.8	22.0		21.
Budget of MARNR *3)	million Bs	1,835	1,894	4,353	4,596	6,350	3,80
- Allocation Ratio to the National Budget (1./2.)	%	2.4	1.8	3.6	2.9	3.4	2.
- Interannual Growth of Allocation Ratio	*		-22.7	93.3	-18.3	17.9	9.
Public Investment in the Project	Area						
- Zone No.16 Office *4)	million Bs	. 10	12	ģ	22	31	1
- Office for the Region South of Maracaibo Lake *5)	million Bs	4	73	75	72	63	5
Total	million Bs	14	85	84	94	94	7
- Allocation Ratio to	*	0.8	4.5	1.9	2.0	1.5	2.

SOURCE \*1): "Anuario Estadistico de Venezuela 1978", OCEI

<sup>\*2):</sup> Central Budgetary Office

<sup>\*3):</sup> Division of Budget, Direction of Programming & Budget, MARNR Caracas

<sup>\*4):</sup> Budget Section, MARNR Zone No.16

<sup>\*5):</sup> Office for the Region South of Maracaibo Lake, MARNR Zone No.5

Table IX-22 FORECAST OF AVAILABLE FUND FROM MARNR

Unit: million Bs. at 1988 price level

CACE ATTOM	1000	2000	0010	0000	101	
CASE/ITEM	1990	2000	2010			1991-2020
ASE 1.: 3% GROWTH RATE OF GDP AND 3% BUDGET ALLOCATION TO M						
1. GDP (3% GROWTH)	786,133	1,056,497	1,419,844	1,908,151	9,282,498	38,522,622
2. NATIONAL BUDGET (22% OF 1.)	172,949	232,429	312,366	419,793	2,042,150	8,474,97
3. BUDGET TO MARNR (3% OF 2.)	5,188	6,973	9,371	12,594	61,264	254,24
4. PUBLIC INVESTMENT IN MERIDA STATE AND REGION SOUTH OF	104	139	187	252	1,225	5,08
MARACAIBO LAKE (2% OF 3.)			: ''			
5. ALTERNATIVE ALLOCATIONS FOR TH	IE PROJECT F	UND		1.0		
- 60% OF 4.	62	84	112	151	735	3,05
- 70% OF 4.	73	98	131		858	
- 80% OF 4.	83	112	150	202	980	4,06
					:	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ACC Q . AL CONSTIL DATE OF CON AND		•				
ASE 2.: 4% GROWTH RATE OF GDP AND			1			
4% BUDGET ALLOCATION TO F	PANIK	*:	* .			
1. GDP (4% GROWTH)	809,253	1,197,892	1,773,173	2,624,729	10,104,617	47,202,38
2. NATIONAL BUDGET (22% OF 1.)	178,036	263,536	390,098	577,440	2,223,016	10,384,52
3. BUDGET TO MARNR (4% OF 2.)	7,121	10,541	15,604	23,098	88,921	415,38
4. PUBLIC INVESTMENT IN MERIDA	142	211	312	462	1,778	8,30
STATE AND REGION SOUTH OF	*.				* * * *	
MARACAIBO LAKE (2% OF 3.)		• .				
and the state of t		÷ ()			i.	
5. ALTERNATIVE ALLOCATIONS FOR TH					*	•
- 40% OF 4.	57	84	125			_
- 50% OF 4.	71	105	156	231	889	•
~ 60% OF 4.	85	126	187	277	1,067	4,98
	19					

Table IX-23 ANNUAL REPAYMENT SCHEDULE OF MASTER PLAN UNDER THE CONDITION OF 50% FUNDED BY LOAN

Unit: million Bs

YEAR (1)	LOAN (2)	AMORTIZA- TION (3)	ACCUMULA- TION (4) [Σ(2)-Σ(3)]	INTEREST (5)	LOCAL FUND (6)	DISBURSE- MENT (7)
		,	[Σ(2)-Σ(3)]	[(4)x8%]		[(3)+(5)+(6)]
1991 1992	55.13 55.13	gir uni tair ann dan mai tan mer ann din sile <sup>ear</sup>	55.13 110.25	4.41 8.82	55.13 55.13	59.54 63.95 68.36 72.77 77.18 84.97 92.46 99.67 106.58 113.19 136.39 143.67 150.66
1993 1994 1995	55.13 55.13 55.13		165.38 220.50 275.63	17.64 22.05	55.13 55.13	72.77 77.18
1996 1997 1998	55.13 55.13 55.13	3.68 7.35 11.03	327.08 374.85 418.95	26.17 29.99 33.52	55.13 55.13 55.13	92.46 99.67
1999 2000	55.13	14.70 18.38	459.38 496.13	36.75 39.69	55.13 55.13 70.76	106.58 113.19 136.39
2001 2002 2003	70.76 70.76 70.76	22.05 25.73 29.40	589.87 631.22	47.19 50.50	70.76 70.76 70.76	143.67 150.66 157.34
2004 2005 2006	70.76 70.76 70.76	33.08 36.75 41.47	668.91 702.91 732.20	56.23 58.58	/0./0	
2007 2008 2009	70.76 70.76 70.76	46.18 50.90	756.78 776.63 791.77	60.54 62.13 63.34	70.76 70.76 70.76	177.48 183.79 189.72
2010 2011 2012	70.76 51.66	60.34 61.38 62.42	802.19 792.47 781.71	64.18 63.40 62.54	70.76 51.66 51.66	195.27 176.44 176.62
2013 2014 2015	51.66 51.66	63.46 64.50	769.91 757.07 743.19	61.59 60.57 59.45	51.66 51.66 51.66	176.72 176.73 176.66
2016 2017	51.66 51.66	65.32 65.08 64.85 64.62	729.53 716.11 702.92	58.36 57.29 56.23	70.76 70.76 70.76 70.76 51.66 51.66 51.66 51.66 51.66 51.66	175.34 174.03 172.75
2018 2019 2020	51.66 51.66 51.66	64.39	689.95 677.22	55.20 54.18 49.13	51.66 51.66	172.75 171.48 170.23 112.25
2021 2022 2023		63.12 61.85 60.57 59.30	614.11 552.26 491.69	44.18 39.33		106.03 99.91
2024 2025 2026		53.31	432.39 374.36 321.05	34.59 29.95 25.68		93.89 87.98 78.99
2027 2028 2029		48.59 43.88 39.16	272.46 228.58 189.43	21.80 18.29 15.15		70.39 62.16 54.31
2030 2031 2032		34.44 31.00 27.55	123,99	7.71		46.84 40.92 35.27
2033 2034 2035		24.11 20.66 17.22	96.43 72.33 51.66 34.44	5.79 4.13 2.76		29.89 24.80 19.98
2036 2037		13.78 10.33	20.66 10.33 3.44	1.65 0.83 0.28		15.43 11.16
2038 2039 2040		6.89 3.44 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00		7.16 3.44 0.00
TOTAL	1,775.4	1,775.4	21,305.3	1,704.4	1,775.4	5,255.3

NOTE: Loan conditions are: annual interest = 8%, repayment period = 20 years including a 5-year grace period.

Table IX-24 FORECAST OF AVAILABLE FUND FROM MTC

	1991-2000
	***
.344 1,056,497	9,282,497
,496 232,429	2,042,149
,027 12,784	112,318
496 575	5,054
40 46	404
20 23	20
,580 1,197,892 1	0,104,61
,608 263,536	2,223,01
,913 14,494	122,26
536 652	5,50
43 52	44
21 26	22

Table IX-25 ANNUAL REPAYMENT SCHEDULE OF ACTION PLAN UNDER THE CONDITION OF 50% FUNDED BY LOAN

UNIT: million Bs.

YEAR	LOAN	AMORTIZA- TION	ACCUMULA- TION	INTEREST	LOCAL FUND	DISBURSE- MENT
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
~~~~			[Σ(2)-Σ(3)]	[(4)x8 <b>%</b> ]		[(3)+(5)+(6)]
1991	9.50		9,50	0.76	9.50	10.26
1992	11.25		20.75	1.66	11.25	12.91
1993	81.80		102.55	8.20	81.80	90.00
1994	98.79		201.34	16.11	98.79	114.90
1995	69.99		271.33	21.71	69.99	91.70
1996	49.06	0.63	319.76	25.58	49.06	75.28
1997	48.30	1.38	366.68	29.33	48.30	79.02
1998	61.42	6.84	421.27	33.70	61.42	101.96
1999	69.25	13.42	477.10	38.17	69.25	120.84
2000	51.88	18.09	510.89	40.87	51.88	110.84
2001		21.36	489.53	39.16		60.52
2002		24.58	464.95	37.20		61.78
2003		28.67	436.28	34.90		63.58
2004		33,29	402.99	32.24		65.53
2005		36.75	366.24	29.30		66.05
2006		36.75	329.49	26.36		63.11
2007		36.75	292.73	23,42		60.17
2008		36,75	255.98	20.48		57.23
2009		36.75	219.23	17.54		54.29
2010		36.75	182.48	14.60		51.35
2011		36.12	146.37	11.71		47.83
2012		35.37	111.00	8.88		44.25
2013		29.91	81.08	6.49		36.40
2014		23.33	57.76	4.62		27.95
2015		18,66	39.10	3.13		21.79
2016		15.39	23.71	1.90		17.29
2017	4	12.17	11.53	0.92		13.09
2018		8.08	3.46	0.28		8.35
2019		3.46	0.00	0.00		3.46
2020		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
TOTAL	551.3	551.3	6,615.1	529.2	551.3	1,631.7

NOTE: Loan conditions are; annual interest = 8%, repayment period = 20 years including a 5-year grace period.



