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育 が

| ELEMENT | UNIT | PLACE | YEAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Saidu Sharif | 1963－66 |
|  |  | Besham | 1971－72 |
|  |  | Dagar | 1971－72 |
| Rainfall | mm | Saidu Sharif | 1963－72 |
|  |  | Karora | 1963－72 |
|  |  | Dagar | 1963－72 |
| Humidity | \％ | Saidu Sharif | 2963－66 |
|  |  | Besham | 2970－72 |
|  |  | Dagar | $1970-72$ 11 |
| Evaporation | mm | Saidu Sharif | 1963－66 |
|  | nun | Besham | 1970－72 |
|  |  | Dagar | 1970－72 |
| Wind velocity |  | Saidu Shariz | 1963－66 |
|  | m／s | Kalam | 1963－66 |
|  |  | Tarbela Dam | 1961－72 |


|  |  | onosor 莽 |  |  | ज二小心式总 | Nangonn | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | g |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 哭 | $\begin{array}{r} \dot{0} \mid 000000 \\ 0.00000 \\ 0.0000 \end{array}$ | 000006 $0.000^{\circ}$ | 900000 $\$ 00000$ |  | 000000 －000000 | 000000 O －0．00000 | 0000 $\dot{0} 00^{\circ}$ | 으으응 |
| 苟 |  | 000006 o்00000 |  | 000000 ㅇ․0．00＇ | 000 mo公 ooonion |  | OMn | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | －000000 |  | mo00의 | $\begin{aligned} & 090000 \\ & 0.0000 \end{aligned}$ | $00-1000$ ． oorioos | ywirs | －9\％os |
|  |  | －0융의 | 000006 －0．000． | 00noon －osoón | 00000 ô co．0000 |  | הnơo | －903 |
|  | 呺 0 | 900900 000 | 00000 O $00^{\circ 00} 0^{\circ}$ | 000006 $000000^{\circ}$ | mNoooo Mơooㅇ․․ |  | ～oc： <br> ○○号官 |  |
|  |  | 900900 | －0．00\％ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0000 \\ & 0.00000 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | －000000E <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oovo } \\ & \text { ioniog } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 00000 응 －0．0000 | $\begin{aligned} & \sim 0.000 \\ & \underset{\sim}{9} 0000 \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | 000006 $00^{\circ 0} 0^{\circ}$ | －000000 |  |  | － |
|  |  | 000 0．0 onosoras | 000000 ónsjos | 00000 O －0．0．0．0 | 00000 O óojóo | 웅ㅇㅇ․․ oncooos | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  |
|  |  | 응ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ |  | － 0 | anoooo no ioco e |  | ¢n¢ |  |
|  |  |  |  | 00020 <br>  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 000000 $.0^{\circ 0} 0^{\circ \circ}$ | 00000 O $\dot{0} 0^{\circ 00} 0^{\circ}$ | 000000 －்．0．0．0 | 00000 0 <br> $\therefore 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ \circ}$ |  | 0090 | 응ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ |
|  |  | 000000 ©0ㅇㅇㅇㅇ | 00000 ô －0．0．0．0 | 00000 － $\therefore 0^{\circ 0} 0^{\circ \circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ n m o g r o}{\circ}$ | Hovin |  |
|  |  | oncoor tet |  |  |  | ッNañon范 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品. } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 出 } \\ & \text { 出 } \end{aligned}$ |


|  | DATE | JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUNE | JuIx | AUG. | SEP. | OCT. | Nov. | DEC. | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 |
|  | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 |
|  | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 |
|  | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5 |
|  | iotail | ( 0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (2.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | ( 5.1) | (0.0) | $(0.0)$ | ( $\mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{u}$ ) | (0.0) | ( 0.0$)$ | total |
|  | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6 |
|  | 7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7 |
|  | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8 |
|  | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9 |
|  | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10 |
|  | toras | (0.0) | (0.0) | (22.9) | ( 0.0) | (18.5) | (0.0) | (19.0) | ( 0.0) | (21.6) | (54.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | TOTAL |
|  | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11 |
|  | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12 |
|  | 23 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23 |
|  | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14 |
|  | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15 |
|  | rotal | (0.0) | ( 0.0 ) | (81.8) | (7.1) | (0.0) | (21.6) | (0.0) | (12.7) | (33.8) | ( 0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | TOTAL |
|  | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.1 | 26 |
|  | 17 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17 |
|  | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18 |
|  | 19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19 |
|  | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20 |
|  | total | (0.0) | (0.0) | (18.8) | (22.4) | ( 0.0) | ( 0.0) | (14.0) | ( 2.5 ) | (31.7) | (0.0) | ( 0.0 ) | (41.1) | total |
|  | 21 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21 |
|  | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22 |
|  | 23 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23 |
|  | 24 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24 |
|  | 25 | 10.2 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25 |
|  | total. | (10.2) | (63.5) | (16.3) | ( 0.0$)$ | (21.4) | (5.1) | (24.1) | ( 3.0) | (0.0) | (42.4) | (0.0) | ( 0.0) | total |
|  | 26 | 22.9 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 49.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26 |
|  | 27 | 63.5 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27 |
|  | 28 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28 |
|  | 29 | 0.0 | **** | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29 |
|  | 30 | 0.0 | **** | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30 |
|  | 31 | 0.0 | **** | 0.0 | **** | 0.0 | **** | 5.3 | 0.0 | **** | 0.0 | **** | 0.0 | 31 |
|  | total | (86.4) | (38.1) | (0.0) | (13.2) | ( 7.6 ) | (6.3) | (19.6) | (49.5) | ( 0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | ( 0.0 ) | total |
| Rainfali | Ist 10 days | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 2.5 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 2nd 10 days | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.6 | 29.5 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 65.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.1 |  |
|  | Rest | 96.6 | 101.6 | 16.3 | 13.2 | 19.0 | 11.4 | 43.7 | 52.5 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | total | 96.6 | 102.6 | 139.8 | 45.2 | 37.5 | 33.0 | 81.8 | 67.7 | 87.1 | 96.5 | 0.0 | 41.1 | 828 |
| Effective | 15510 days | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 43.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| rain | 2nc 10 days | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.3 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 17.3 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.9 |  |
|  | Rest | 76.5 | 81.3 | 13.0 | 10.6 | 15.2 | 9.2 | 30.8 | 39.6 | 0.0 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | total | 76.5 | 81.3 | 108.6 | 34.2 | 30.0 | 26.5 | 61.3 | 49.8 | 69.7 | 77.2 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 648 |


| Year |
| :--- |
|  |
| Probability |
| $1 / 5$ Year |

TABLE A-5 UNIT DISCHARGE IN THE PROJECT AREA

|  | Item | Jan. | FES. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | SEP. | ост. | nov. | DEC. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Gauging Period (1970-72): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Monthl | ly Runcff Depth (mm) | 9 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 19 | 22 | 22 | 12 | 10. | 9 | 153 |
| Run-of | ff Percentage, ( $\%$ ) | 13 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 33 | 18 | 23 | 32 | 36 | 45 | 28 | 22 |
| 2. MONTHLY MEAN RUN-OFF (UNIT DISCHARGE) In ten years (1963-1972) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SubDivision | Item | Jan. | FEb. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | Jun. | 5ut. | avg. | SEP. | 002. | Nov. | bec. | Totas |
| Swat | Monthly Rain (man) | 51 | 100 | 114 | 110 | 62 | 23 | 130 | 138 | 59 | 49 | 22 | 49 | 907 |
|  | Run-off Percentage (\%) | 13 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 33 | 18 | 23 | 32 | 36 | 45 | 28 | 22 |
|  | Run-off Depth (mm) | 7 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 8 | 23 | 32 | 19 | 28 | 10 | 14 | 198 |
|  | Unit Discharge ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 70 | 170 | 190 | 170 | 140 | 80 | 230 | 320 | 190 | 180 | 100 | 140 | 1,980 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Shangla } \\ \text { Par } \end{gathered}$ | Monthly Rain (mm) | 54 | 159 | 164 | 161 | 68 | 68 | 148 | 1.04 | 78 | 62 | 30 | 78 | 1,174 |
|  | Run-off Percentage (\%) | 13 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 33 | 18 | 23 | 32 | 36 | 45 | 28 | 22 |
|  | Run-off Depth (max) | 7 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 16 | 22 | 27 | 24 | 25 | 22 | 14 | 22 | 258 |
|  | Unit Discharge (ms/ha) | 70 | 270 | 280 | 240 | 160 | 220 | 270 | 240 | 250 | 220 | 140 | 220 | 2,580 |
| Buner | Monthly Rain (mm) | 93 | 77 | 101 | 83 | 47 | 44 | 139 | 126 | 86 | 44 | 30 | 43 | 913 |
|  | Run-off Percentage (\%) | 13 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 33 | 18 | 23 | 32 | 36 | 45 | 28 | 22 |
|  | Run-off Depth (rm) | 12 | 13 | 1.7 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 25 | 29 | 28 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 204 |
|  | Unit Discharge ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 120 | 130 | 170 | 120 | 110 | 150 | 250 | 290 | 280 | 160 | 140 | 120 | 2,040 |
| 3. Unit discharge at design year (r.p. 1/5 year drought) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SubDivision | Item | JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAX | JuN. | JU. | AUG. | SEP. | OCT. | Nov. | DEC. | TOTAL |
| Swat (1981) | Monthly Rain (mm) | 69 | 0 | 100 | 140 | 67 | 20 | 110 | 81 | 10 | 33 | 9 | 0 | 638 |
|  | Run-off Percentage (\%) | 13 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 33 | 18 | 23 | 32 | 36 | 45 | 28 | 22 |
|  | Run-off Depth (mm) | 9 | 0 | 1.7 | 21 | 15 | 7 | 20 | 19 | 3 | 1.2 | 4 | 0 | 127 |
|  | Unit Discharge ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 90 | 0 | 170 | 210 | 150 | 70 | 200 | 190 | 30 | 1.20 | 40 | 0 | 1,270 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shangla } \\ & \text { Par } \\ & (1970) \end{aligned}$ | Monthly Rain (mm) | 97 | 102 | 140 | 45 | 38 | 33 | 82 | 68 | 87 | 97 | 0 | 41 | 828 |
|  | Run-off Percentage (\%) | 13 | 17 | 17 | 1.5 | 23 | 33 | 18 | 23 | 32 | 36 | 45 | 28 | 22 |
|  | Run-off Depth (mm) | 13 | 17 | 24 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 2.5 | 16 | 28 | 35 | 0 | 11 | 186 |
|  | Unit Discharge ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 130 | 170 | 240 | 70 | 90 | 110 | 150 | 160 | 280 | 350 | 0 | 110 | 1,860 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Buner } \\ & (1970- \\ & 72) \end{aligned}$ | Monthky Rain (mm) | 70 | 58 | 76 | 62 | 35 | 33 | 104 | 95 | 65 | 33 | 22 | 32 | 685 |
|  | Run-off Percentase (\%) | 13 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 33 | 18 | 23 | 32 | 36 | 45 | 28 | 22 |
|  | Run-off Depth (mm) | 9 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 19 | 22 | 21 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 153 |
|  | Unit Discharge ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 90 | 100 | 1.30 | 90 | 80 | 110 | 190 | 220 | 210 | 120 | 100 | 90 | 1,530 |
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## CHAPTER I. SOIL AND LAND CAPABILITY

### 1.1 Mapping Units of the Soil Map

The soil series is the main soil identification unit used in the soil survey of Pakistan. However it is not possible to differentiate individual soil series on the reconnaissance soil maps because of the limited scale of mapping ( $1: 250,000$ ).

The soil associations and soil complexes are used for the mapping unit in the reconnaissance soil map of Swat District. The mapping units of the accompanying soil map were defined by combining those of the soil maps of Swat District. The occurrence, characteristics and area of the mapping units are shown in Table B-1 and Table B-2.

### 1.2. Mapping Units of the Land Capability Map

The land capability classification in Pakistan is designed to suit the conditions of the country. It is similar to the basic structure of the

USDA $1 /$ classification, but the definitions of the classes have been modified and the number of sub-classes were extended to suit the conditions of Pakistan.

In Pakistan, eight land capability classes are recognized. These classes are numbered from I to VIII. Soils placed in the highest class (I) have the least limitations for agricultural use and relatively little effort is required to produce high yields of a wide range of crops. In lower classes (II to IV), there are increasingly severe limitations and increasingly greater effort is required. Soils in Classes V to VII are generally not suited to cultivation. However, they can be used for range land or forestry. Soils classified under the lowest class (VIII) are not used for any kind of commercial plant and are restricted to recreation, wildlife or water supply use.

[^0]Major limitation to agricultureal production is the shortage of moisture in most parts of the country. Therefore, it is necessary first to indicate whether a soil is classified as irrigated or non-irrigated cultivation. Where irrigation is generally practised, the word "irrigated" (ir) is included in the land capability class. Where soils are unfit or wherethere is no conceivable water supply within the next ten years or so, classification without irrigation (d) has been used.

The characteristics and area of the mapping units of the land capability map are shown in Table B-3 and Table B-4, respectively.

### 1.3. Area of Land by Elevation Groups

The elevation of land is an important factor for land use in Swat District. The area of Swat District and each sub-division by elevation groups which were obtained by using map scaled $1: 250,000$ are shown in Table B-5 and Table B-6.

## TABLE B-1 MAPPING UNITS OF THE SOLL MAP

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Charactoristics ! ${ }^{\prime} \quad$ Land Capabiliity

## (Mountains)

1. Soils from amphibolites and hornblendites

| $\binom{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}-1} \cdot 2}{\mathrm{Ta}-1}^{2!}$ | Upper and steeper parts of mountain slopes | Exposed bedrock and gr. SL, shallow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

2. Soils from diorites etc.
$\binom{\mathrm{Sw}-4,-5}{\mathrm{Ta}-3}$

> Upper parts of
> mountain slopes

Sligh. gr. L-SiL, in
shallow and exposed bedrock W
3. Soils from granites etc.
(SW-6) Upper parts of

| Exposed bedrock and | W7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| gr. LS, shallow | 细 |

4. Soils from granites etc.

$$
\binom{\text { SW-7,-12-13,14 }}{\mathrm{Ta}-6,-7 \mathrm{Bu}-19}^{2 \prime} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Upper and steeper parts } \\
& \text { of mountain slopes }
\end{aligned}
$$

Exposed bedrock and
sligh.gr. L, shallow
5. Soils from limestone and calcareous schists

$\binom{\mathrm{Sw}-8}{\mathrm{Ta}-12} \quad$| Upper parts of mountain |
| :--- |
| slopes |

6. Soils from micaceous and silicious schists

$\binom{$ Sw-9,-10,-11 }{$T_{\mathrm{a}}-14,-15,-19 \mathrm{Bu}-19} \quad$| Middle and lower parts of | Gr. SiL - SL, shallow to |
| :--- | :--- |
| mountain slopes | mode, deep and exposed bedrock |

7. Sois from Swat-Buner schistose group, ultramafic rocks formations

$\binom{\mathrm{Sw}-3}{\mathrm{Ta}-22} \quad$| Upper and lower parts | Gr. $\mathrm{L} \sim$ Sil, shallow |
| :---: | :---: |
| of mountain slopes | and exposed bedrock |

(Piedmonts)
8. Pied mont association

$$
\binom{\text { Sw-15, Bu-11,-14 }}{\mathrm{Ta}-25,-26,-27,-28}: \begin{aligned}
& \text { Terraced lands, gently sloping } \\
& \text { upland }
\end{aligned}
$$

(Loess Plains)
9. Loess association

| $\binom{$ Sw-25, -26, Bu-5 }{ Ta-32, -33} | Table lands, nearly level to gently sloping | SiL $\sim$ SiCl, Deep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

10. Water reworked loess association

$(S w-27, \mathrm{Ta}-37,-39) \quad$| Main partorthe plains and valleys | SiL $\sim \operatorname{SiCL}$, Deep |
| :--- | :--- |
| gently sloping to nearly level |  |$\quad$| ir $\Pi$ |
| :---: |
| dilil |

(Alluvial Plains)
11. Silty soils association

| $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { SW-17, } \\ \mathrm{Ta}-43\end{array}\right.$ | Main parts of the plains gently sloping | Sil $\sim$ SiCl, Deep to mode. deep | $\operatorname{ir}_{\mathrm{V}]} \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. Loamy soils association |  |  |  |
| (Sw-16,-18, -19, -20) | Main parts of the plains, terraced lands,gently sloping to sloping | L~SL, Deep | II ~ III |

1/ gr-gravelly, sligh.-slightly, mode-moderately, LS-Loamy sand, SL-Sandy loum, SiL-SiLT loam, L-Loam, CL-Clay loam SiCL Silty clay toam.
2) Mapping units in the soil maps of the Reconnaissance Soil Survey of-Swat Chatchment (1976), Tarbela Watershed (1976), - Buner Valley (1975) ; Soil Survey of Pakistan.

| TABLE B-2 AREA OF THE SOIL MAPPING UNITS ${ }^{1 /}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Dub } \\ \text { Division }}}{\text { Mapping }} \underbrace{2 /}_{\text {Unit }}$ | Mountains |  |  |  |  |  |  | Piedmonts | Loess. Plains |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alluvial } \\ \text { Plains } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Glacier | Others | Total |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |  | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |  |  |
| Swat | 578 | 1,958 | 66 | 1,650 | 194 | 210 | 101 | 33 | 113 | 22 | 112 | 83 | 280 | 52 | 5,452 km ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | 10.6 | 35.8 | 1.2 | 30.2 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 100.0\% |
| Shangla Par | 24 | 255 |  | 271 |  | 790 | 109 |  |  | 21 |  |  |  | 10 | 1,480 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ |
|  | 1.7 | 17.3 |  | 18.3 |  | 53,4 | 7.3 |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |  | 0.6 | 100.0\% |
| Buner |  | 7 |  | 395 | 371 | 603 | 3 | 133 | 264 | 5 | 65 |  |  | 10.0 | $1,856 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ |
|  |  | 0.4 |  | 21.2 | 20.0 | 32.5 | 0.2 | 7.2 | 14.2 | 0.3 | 3.5 |  |  | 0.5 | 100.0 \% |
| Swat District | 602 | 2,220 | 66 | 2,316 | 565 | 1,603 | 213 | 166 | 377. | 48 | 177 | 83 | 280 | 72 | $8,788 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ |
|  | 6.9 | 25.3 | 0.7 | 26.4 | 6.4 | 18.3 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 \% |

[^1]
## TABLE B－3 MAPPING UNITS OF THE LAND CAPABLLTTY MAP

Mapping Unit ${ }^{\prime \prime} \quad$ Slope，Drainage，Soil depth ${ }^{2 \prime} \quad$ Soil texture ${ }^{3 /}$

1．Lands with a very high potential under irrigation
Sw－1（ir I ，ir II）Nearly level to gently sloping well to somewhat excess．drained
Silly to loamy soils
2．Lands with a high potential under irrigation
Sw－2（dr II）

Gently sloping well to somewhat excess．drained
Sw－3（ir II，dili） －do－
Ta－3（irll，irl）
Gently sloping to level，well drained
Ta－4（ir II，dII）
Sloping to nearly level somewhat excess．drained
3．Lands with a moderate potential under irrigation
Sw－4（ir I ）Sloping to mode．steep，terraced，well to imperfectly drained
Sw－5（irII，irIV）Gently sloping，mode．deep to shallow，excess．drained
4．Lands with a moderate potential under dry－farming
Sw－6（dII）：Sloping to gently sloping
Sw－7（dill ；dV）Gently sloping，excess．drained，mode．deep to shallow
Sw－8（d⿴囗十⺝丶 ，Sloping to mode．steep，excess．drained，mode．deep and exposed
Ta－7（dili） bedrock nearly level to sloping，well drained

Ta－8（dIII，dV）
Nealy level to sloping，well drained
Nearly level to sloping，mode．deep to shallow
Tr－10（dIII，谓）Sloping to steep，mode．deep and exposed bedrock
5．lands with a low potential under dry farming
$\mathrm{Ta}-12$（ dN ，酒，dll ）Steep to gently sloping mode．deep and exposed bedras．
Ta－15（낙，dW）Sloping，exposed bedrock and shatlow soil depth
6．Lands with a fair potential for timber
Sw－9（V，伴，dIII）
Ta－16（保，प）
Ta－18（经， V, 组）Steep，exposed bedrock and mode．deep to shallow
Steep，mode．deep and exposed bedrock
Steep，exposed bedrock and shallow soil depth

7．Lands with a poor potential for timber

8．Lands with a fair potential for range
Sw－10（VI，酤，dM）Steep，mode．deep and exposed bedrock
Ta－13（네，diII，dV）Sloping to gently sloping well drained，including gullied land
9．Lands with a poor potential for range
Ta－12（H，谐）
Steep to very steep，shallow and exposed bedrock．
Ta－9（惯，V1，W）
Steep，mode．deep to shallow and exposed bedrock

10．Agriculturally unproductive lands
Sw－13（细，W）Steep to very steep，exposed bedrock and steeply dessected area，

Sw－14（细，证）$\}$
Ta－17（1．V1）
Ta－20（畨，柾，V）
Ta－21（1（H，V）
shailow
Steep，exposed bedrock and shallow
Very steep to steep，exposed bedrock and shallow
Vary steep to steep，exposed bedrock and shallow

1 ／Sw－1－Mapping unit on the Land Capability Map of Swat Catchment area Ta－3－Mapping unit on the Land Capability Map of Tarbela Watershed area
$\underline{2}$ excess．－excessively，mode．－moderately
3／grav．－gravelly，sligh．－slightly
TABLE B-4 AREA OF THE MAPPING UNITS IN THE LAND CAPABLITY MAP

1/ Measurement of the mapping units on the land capability map (scale I: 250,000) 2) See Table B-3
3/ Agriculturally unproductive lands
TABLE B-5 AREA OF THE SOIL MAPPING UNITS

.

 | 2,000 |
| ---: |
| $\sim 4000 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| 677 |
| 12.4 |
| 319 |
| 21.5 |
| 1,161 |
| 62.6 |
| 2,157 |
| 24.6 |

1/ Measurement of the contour map (scale 1:250,000)

Swat
Shangla Par Buner
Buner Swat District 203
10.9
203
2.3 Swat D
TABLE B-6 AREA OF CUITTVATED LAND BY ELEVATION GROUPS AND SUB-DIVISION

|  | Total | Caltivated Land |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $<4,000 \mathrm{ft}$ | $>4,000 \mathrm{ft}$ | Sub-total |
| Swat | 5,452 | 403 | 588 | $991 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ |
|  | (100.0) | ( 7.4) | (20.8) | ( 18.2) \% |
|  |  | (40.7) | (59.3) | (100.0) \% |
| Shangla Par | 1,480 | 163 | 252 | $415 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ |
|  | (100.0) | (11.0) | (17.0) | ( 28.0) \% |
|  |  | (39.3) | (60.7) | (100.0) \% |
| Buner | 1,856 | 540 | 12 | $552 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ |
|  | (100.0) | (29.1) | (0.1) | ( 22.3) \% |
|  |  | (97.8) | (2.2) | (100.0) \% |
| Swat District | 8,788 | 1,106 | 852 | $1,958 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ |
|  | (100.0) | (12.6) | ( 9.7) | ( 22.3) \% |
|  |  | (56.5) | (43.5) | (100.0) \% |

1/ Measurement of the contour map (scale $1: 250,000$ ) and the distribution map of cultivated
land (scale 1:250,000) originating from the topographic maps (scale $1: 50,000$ )
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# CHAPTERII. FERTILIZER EXPERIMENTS ON CEREAL CROPS IN SWAT DISTRICT $1 /$ 

### 2.1. Introduction

The Department of Agriculture conducted the fertilizer experiments on wheat, maize and rice in Swat District during the period of 1971/72 to 1981/82.

The main objectives of the experiments are as follows:

- To assess the original fertility status of the soils
- To recommend the proper methods of fertilizer application and popularize the use of mineral fertilizer among the farmers.

Experimental trials were carried out on many farmers' fields in five Tehsils of the District; namely, Saidu Sharif, Barikot, Khawazakhela, Matta, and Daggar. The total number of trials in the District was 906.

The soils of the fields used for experiments were of moderately coarse to moderately fine-textured, neutral to strongly alkaline and well drained soils.

### 2.2. Wheat

The average yields obtained by farmers in the area were 1,524 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ and $703 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ under irrigated and Barani conditions, respectively. The results of the experiments show that the yields were more than 5,000 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ under irrigated and more than $3,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ under the Barani conditions when fertilizers are used, as shown below:

[^2]| Treatment(kg/ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yield $1 /$ Variety (kgha) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Mexipak | Blue Silver | Local |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,036 | 1,137 | 1,234 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 80 | 0 | 2,830 | 2,437 | 2,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | 80 | 0 | 4,243 | 2,643 | 1,280 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 | 80 | 0 | 5,053 | 3,072 | 2,850 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barani |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,035 | 1,135 | 938 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 40 | 0 | 3,005 | 3,045 | 2,032 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | 40 | 0 | 3,232 | 3,132 | 2,545 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 | 40 | 0 | 3,255 | 3,145 | 2,625 |  |  |  |  |  |

I/ Yields are average of trials.

### 2.3. Maize

The average yield of maize was about $1,400 \mathrm{~kg} /$ ha under irrigated cultivation, while in the trials the yields have increased to more than $4,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$.

| Treatment(kg/ha) |  | Yield 2/ Variety (kg/ha) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Synthetic |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,072 |  |
| 120 | 60 | 0 | 4,260 |  |
| 180 | 60 | 0 | 4,580 |  |
| 180 | 120 | 0 | 4,860 |  |
|  |  |  | Changez | Zia |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,053 | 1,111 |
| 120 | 60 | 0 | 3,035 | 3,445 |
| 150 | 60 | 0 | 3,245 | 4,542 |
| 150 | 120 | 0 | 4,548 | 4,544 |

2/Average of trials

### 2.4. Rice

When fertilizers were used, the yields have registered to more than $5,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ in some case as against the farmer's average yield 1,450 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$. In some varieties, the yields were quadrupled or more due to the use of fertilizers.

| Treatment(kg/ha) |  |  | Yied $1 /$, Variety(kg/ha) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | IRRI-6 | JP-5 | Local |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,435 | 1,135 | 1,009 |
| 60 | 60 | 0 | 2,005 | 1,835 | 1,648 |
| 90 | 60 | 0 | 3,246 | 2,293 | 2,008 |
| 120 | 60 | 0 | 3,940 | 4,037 | 2,434 |
| 150 | 60 | 0 | 6,072 | 5,263 | 2,625 |

1/ Average of trials

### 2.5. Recommendations

On the basis of experimental results, the Department of Agriculture has made recommendations for the farmers and extension workers to boost up rice production in Swat District.

### 2.6. Comments on the Fertilizer Experiments

Crop yields have increased due to use of fertilizers, ignoring the other input factors. The crop yields were determined by a number of factors such as climate, soil, variety, cultivation method, etc. A single factor at an optimum level does not cause higher and sustained increase in yield.

It is essential to adopt not a single technique but the improved package of technology. In order to acquaint the farmers, especially the small farmers with the latest technology, promotion of the agricultural research and extension work is necessary. Agricultural Technology Transfer and Demonstration Farm are expected to play an important role in this regard.

## CHAPTER III. LAND USE

### 3.1. Descriptions of Land Use Pattern

The land in the Project Area is used in different manner depending upon elevation, slope, climate, soil properties, relief, water supply and socio-economic conditions.

The following mapping units are used in the land use map:

1) Cropping with irrigation
2) Restricted cropping under dry-farming
3) Restricted cropping under dry-farming with little grazing and coniferous forest
4) Timber forest
5) Grazing and firewood
6) Seasonal grazing (Alphine pasture)
7) Glacier
8) Others

## 1) Cropping with Irrigation

This mapping unit covers almost flat to gently sloping, well to moderately well-drained areas composed of medium and moderately fine textured soils. It occurs along the Swat River in the southern part of the valley and on the flood plains of the streams in Buner. Elevations of both areas are below $1,200 \mathrm{~m}$.

Irrigation water used for common cropping is provided by uncontrolled diversion channels, canals, open wells, tubewells and springs.

The main kharif crops are maize and rice. Wheat and fodders are the most common rabi crops. Other important crops are tobacco, pulses, oil-seeds and barley. The growth of vegetables, fodders and orchards are the major land use around the main towns. Among the vegetables, tomato and onion are mostly common and exported outside the Project area. Citrus, apple and walnut are valuable fruits, followed by peach, apricot
and plum. These vegetables and fruits give considerable economic returns to the farmers.

The management level of crop cultivation ranges from low to moderate, and yields are generally moderate. The uses of fertilizers and improved crop varieties are gradually increasing.

## 2) Restricted Cropping under Dry-Farming

This unit covers flat to sloping, well to excessively drained areas of medium to moderately coarse textured soils. It occurs mainly in the southern part of Swat Sub-division and the central part of Buner Subdivision. The land is used for restricted dry-farming. Wheat, oil-seeds and maize are main crops grown in flat area, and potato and maize are cropped on the higher parts of mountain slopes.

The management level of crop cultivation is low and yields are moderate. Shortage of moisture, soil erosion, relief, snow fall and traditional management are the major factors affecting crop yields.

## 3) Restricted Cropping under Dry-Farming with Little Grazing and Coniferous Forest

This unit covers sloping to moderately steep slopes and lower parts of the mountains. The soils are generally shallow to moderately deep, medium to coarse textured and well to excessively drained. The lands are usually terraced with little care towards their proper maintenance. They occur in Buner and Shangla Par and generally have an elevation of less than 2,000 meters.

Wheat is the main winter crop while maize and potato are main summer crops. The slopes are often steep, from where soil is readily washed away due to heavy rainfalls. After a few years, such lands are abandoned and fresh lands are brought under cultivation. These lands have a low suitability for agricultural use. The uncultivated parts in the unit provide grazing or forest.
4) Timber Forest

This unit covers mountainous lands generally between 1,100 and 3,300 meters elevation and occupies wide range of slopes. The soils are excessively drained, medium to coarse textured and shallow to moderately deep soils.

The area has a sub-humid to humid temperate climate and is covered with fairly dense forest. Forest plays an important role for controlling soil erosion and provides recreational sites and wildlife habitats.
5) Grazing and Firewood

This unit is quite extensive and occupies mountain slopes below $3,300 \mathrm{~m}$ elevation. It comprises sloping to steep mountain slopes and consists of excessively drained, shallow to moderately deep, gravelly medium to coarse textured soils. The land grows from sparse to moderate vegetative cover of native grasses and shrubs. They provide poor to moderate grazing throughout the year and fuels for local people. Overgrazing, ruthless cutting and extensive clearance of land for cultivation have severely damaged the quality and density of the vegetation.

## 6) Seasonal Grazing (Alpine Pasture)

Seasonal grazing extensively occupies a wide range of slopes between 3,300 and 5,200 meters elevation in the northern part of the Area. The land consists of excessively drained, shallow to moderately deep, medium to coarse textured soils.

It occurs above the tree limit and remains under snow for major part of the year. The area is covered with natural meadows that provide good seasonal grazing during summer.

At present, alpine pasture is under heavy grazing by the large herds of cattleduring summer every year. Thus overgrazing and cutting of the meadows should be controlled for sustained seasonal grazing.

## References:
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5) H.Rehman, 1987, Concept for Upgradation of Agricultural Research Station Mingora, Swat to the Status of Agricultural Research Institute.

## ANNEX C. AGRICULTURE AND AGRO-ECONOMY

## CONTENTS

PAGE
CHAPTER I PRESENT AGRICULTURE ..... C-1
1.1 Farm size and progress of Land Reform ..... $\mathrm{C}-1$
1.2 Land Use ..... C-2
1.3 Crop Production ..... C-2
1.4 Supply of Crop loan and Farm Inputs ..... C-3
1.5 Farm Mechanization ..... C-3
1.6 Animal Husbandry ..... C-4
CHAPTER II AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SUPPORTING PLAN ..... C-5
2.1 Proposed Cropping Pattern ..... C-5
2.2 Target Yield of Crops ..... C-5
2.3 Proposed Agricultural Supporting Facilities ..... C-6
CHAPTER III PRESENT AGRICULTURE IN SIRDP AREA ..... C-7
CHAPTERIV MARKETING PLAN ..... C-8

## LIST OF TABLES

Page
Table C-1 Number of Farms by Size in Swat District ..... C-9
Table C-2 Result of Land Reform in Swat-District ..... C-10
Table C-3 Estimated Number of Farm Household and Farm Size (1988) ..... C-11
Table C-4 Land Use by Sub-Tehsil ..... C-12
Table C-5 Summary of Crop Production Data (1983/84 to 1987/88) ..... C-12
Table C-6 Crop Production, Maize ..... C-14
Table C-7 Crop Production, Rice ..... C-15
Table C-8 Crop Production, Mong (Black Gram) ..... C-16
Table C-9 Crop Production, Potato ..... C-17
Table C-10 Crop Production, Sucarcane ..... C-18
Table C-11 Crop Production, Apple ..... C-19
Table C-12 Crop Production, Apricot ..... $\mathrm{C}-20$
Table C-13 Crop Production, Plum ..... $\mathrm{C}-21$
Table C-14 Crop Production, Pears ..... $\mathrm{C}-22$
Table C-15 Crop Production, Wheat ..... C-23
Table C-16 Crop Production, Barley ..... $\mathrm{C}-24$
Table C17 Crop Production, Rape and Mustard ..... C-25
Table C-18 Crop Production, Onion ..... $\mathrm{C}-26$
Table C-19 Crop Production, Citrus Fruit ..... C-27

| Table C-20 | Summary on Crop Yield in the Project Area | C-28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table C-21 | Crop Production in Swat District (1) | C-29 |
| Table C-22 | Crop Production in Swat District (2) | C-30 |
| Table C-23 | Cropped Area and Cropping Intensity (1986/87) (Swat Sub-Division) | C-31 |
| Table C-24 | Cropped Area and Cropping Intensity (1986/87) (Shangla Par Sub-Division) | C-32 |
| Table C-25 | Cropped Area and Cropping Intensity (1986/87) (Buner Sub-Division) | C-33 |
| Table C-26 | Distribution of Crop Loan through Agricultural Cooperative (1987-87) | C-34 |
| Table C-27 | Distribution of Agricultural Inputs and Farm Machinery through Agricultural Cooperatives | C-34 |
| Table C-28 | Distribution of Improved Seeds and Fruit Samplings in Swat District | C-35 |
| Table C-29 | Off-Take of Fertilizer in swat District | C-35 |
| Table C-30 | Number of Agricultural Machinery by Sub-Division (1989) | C-36 |
| Table C-31 | Estimated Livestock Population (1988) | C-37 |
| Table C-32 | Number of Veterinary Facilities | C-38 |
| Table C-33 | Annual Nutrient Requirement | C-39 |
| Table C-34 | Fish Production | C-40 |
| Table C-35 | Proposed Cropping Pattern and Intensity | C-41 |
| Table C-36 | Target Yield (Barani to Barani) | C-42 |
| Table C-37 | Target Yield (Barani to Irrigated) | C-43 |
| Table C-38 | Target Yield (Irrigated to Irrigated) | C-44 |


| Table C-39 | Quantity of Agricultural Supporting Facilities | C-45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table C-40 | Proposed Agricultural Supporting Facilities by |  |
|  | Term of Plan | C-51 |
| Table C-41 | Location of Agricultural Supporting Facilities |  |
|  | (Long Term Development Plan) . | C-52 |
| Table C-42 | Aguricultural Supporting Facilities Schemes | C-53 |
| Table C-43 | Land Use Sub-Tehsil Chakesar | C-54 |
| Table C-44 | Land Use Sub-Tehsil Puran | C-55 |
| Table C-45 | Land Use Sub-Tehsil Martung | C-56 |
| Table C-46 | Cropped Area by Season and Crop (1987/88) | C-57 |
| Table C-47 | Incation and Scale of Marketing Facilities | C-61 |
| Table C-48 | Location of Information System Center and |  |
|  | Terminal ......... | C-61 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure C-1 Crop Calendar (Present) ......................................... C-58



## CHAPTER I PRESENT AGRICULTURE

## 1. Farm Size and Progress of Land Reform

The average farm size in both terms of farm area per farm and cultivated area per farm are respectively 1.5 hectare and 1.2 hectares in Swat District according to 1980 Pakistan Census of Agriculture. The comparison on farm size (cultivated area) at each level of Swat area are shown below;

Comparison of Farm Size (cultivated Area Basis)

| Area | Cultivated Area |  | No. of Farm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(1000 \mathrm{ha})$ |  | Farm Size |  |
| Pakistan 1/ | 19,059 |  | 4,070 | $(\prime 000)$ |
| NWFP 1/ | 1,061 |  | 528 | 4.6 |
| Swat District 1/ | 137 |  | 110 | 2.0 |
| - Swat 2/ | 99 | 76 | 1.2 |  |
| - Shangla Par 2/ | 31 | 42 | 1.3 |  |
| - Buner 2/ | 51 | 32 | 1.3 |  |

Source: I/ 1980 Census of Agriculture
2/ Estimated by Study Team for 1988

About 41 percent of the total farm area are held by 11 percent of the total farm. This shows a considerable skewed distribution of land (See table C-1).

As of 1988 only, 540 hectares of land have been distributed to 586 of tenants under the land reform in Swat District (See Table C-2). The estimated farm size in term of cultivated area per farm in 1988 in the District is estimated at 1.4 hectares, where there are about 139,000 hectare of farm households exclusive of the livestock holders who hold no farm lands.

### 1.2 Land Use

The land use data by Sub-Tehsil in Swat District are collected from the Swat District Revenue Office. About 24 percent of the total area or 196 thousand hectares are cultivated, which comprise 49 thousand hectares of the irrigated area and 147 thousand of the unirrigated area (See Table C-4).

### 1.3 Crop Production

The average yields of the major crops in Swat District, maize, rice and wheat for latest five years are respectively 1.27 ton/ha, 1.58 ton/ha and $1.09 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ha}$ according to the statistical data (See Table C-5).

The total production of maize and wheat in 1987/1988 have increased to more than 2.5 times of that in ten years ago (1978/79), On the other hands, the production of rice have been almost maintained during the period. The yields of these crops have not been raised significantly, although the cropped areas of maize and wheat in $1987 / 88$ increased to about three times of these in $1978 / 79$. This may cause the following problems;
(i) Tremendous land have been converted into cultivated land from the uncultivated lands.
(ii) The large-scaled development of mountainous lands threatens to increase the erosion hazard.

Comparing the yields of irrigated crops with those of unirrigated crops, the formers are as high as about 1.5 to 2.0 times of the latters for the most existing crops (See Table C-6 to C-22).

The overall cropping intensities in Swat and Buner SubDivisions are respectively 120 percent and 135 percent, while the intensity in Buner Sub-Division is 156 percent. The reason for the higher intensity in Buner Sub-Division would be the less area coverage of the low altitude lands to compare with that in the other two Sub-Divisions.

The cropping intensity in the irrigated areas is as high as 194 percent in the unirrigated areas of Swat Sub-Division. However, the cropping intensities in the Shangla Par and Buner are 136 percent and 155 percent respectively. It is considered that the low intensities are
derived from the shortage of water supply in the irrigation system (See Table C-23 to C-25).

### 1.4 Supply of Crop Loan and Farm Inputs

In 1987/88, about 21,000 thousand Rupies of formal crop loan were rented by about 2,200 members of multipurpose cooperative societies' in Swat District. The repayment ratio of the rented loans were about 70 percent (See Table C-26).

The supplied amount of fertilizers and seeds through the cooperative societies are 654 tons and 2,251 tons respectively (See Table C-27).

On the other hand, about 178 tons of cereals and pulses' seeds, 18 thousands of fruit saplings are distributed through Agricultural Development Authority (ADA) in the District averagely for 1985/86 to 1986/87. Also about 8,800 tons of fertilizers in term of nutrient weight are distributed through ADA in the District (See Table C-30 and C-31).

### 1.5 Farm Mechanization

The number of units for the total machinery in 1989 in Swat District are estimated as follows (See Table C-32);

No. of Units
Tubewell pump 36
Lift Pump : 760
Tractors 1,553
Wheat Threshers 235
Rice Husker 204
Maize Shellers 216
Wheat Harvester 1
Buldozer 19
Most of machinery concentrate in Swat Sub-Division, while the number of these machinery units in Shangla Par and Buner Sub-Divisions are quite limited.

### 1.6 Animal Husbandry

1) Livestock Population

About 81 percent of total farm households including livestock holders raise about four heads of cattle (all ages) on the average, while about 50 percent of them do about three heads of buffaloes on the average. About 51 percent and 38 percent of total sheep and goats are raised by the migratory herds (See Table C-31).
2) Veterinary Facilities

There is no Veterinary Hospitals and Artificial Insemination Center in Shangla Par Sub-Division. Therefore, a large number of farmers are willing to have the services of Veterinary Hospitals. (See Table C-28)

## 3) Animal Nutrient Requirement

The annual nutrient requirement of TDN and DCP are respectively estimated at 553 tons and 49 tons for the converted cow units for all kinds of animals in Swat District.

## 4) Fish Production

The fish production, irrespective of the fish catch in rivers and the production in fishponds has been increased upto 45 ton per year from 1970/71 to 1985/86 in Swat District (See Table C-34).

## CHAPTER II AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SUPPORTING PLAN

### 2.1 Proposed Cropping Pattern

'There are two types of proposed irrigation systems namely, the reservoir type and the traditional irrigation improved systems type. The irrigation water in the reservoir type irrigation system will be able to supply water throughout year. Therefore, it is possible to apply the proposed cropping pattern A in Table C-35, where fruits and vegetables are included. However, the proposed cropping pattern B in Table C-35 will be applied in the improved traditional irrigation systems, because the systems will supply irrigation water only seasonally.

### 2.2 Target Yield of Crops

The target yields are studied for the following three cases of development (See Table c-35 to C-37);
(i) The Barani lands are planned to be developed to raise crop productivity by land leveling and various kinds of soil conservation works like improvement of terraces. How ever the crop yields could be raised only slightly because the lands will remain as Barani lands even after the Project.
(ii) The Barani lands will be developed to the irrigated land by the proposed irrigation schemes. Then, it will be possible to raise crop yields significantly.
(iii) The traditional irrigation systems will be improved by improvement of the existing irrigation systems, where the crop could be improved by efficient water supply in the improved irrigation systems. The on-farm water management and drainage will be improved in the irrigation areas.

### 2.3 Proposed Agricultural Supporting Facilities

The quantity of staff, building space and equipments by agricultural supporting facilities are shown in Table C-39. The location of the proposed agricultural supporting facilities are formulated for the short, middle and long development terms by Sub-Division in Table C-40. The location of the facilities in the long term development plan for each Sub-Tehsil are as shown as in Table C-41. The target to establish each agricultural supporting facilities is shown in Table C-42.

## CHAPTER III PRESENT AGRICULTURE IN SIRDP AREA

The village-wise land use data in the three Sub-Tehsils in the SIRDP Area were collected from the respective Tehsil Offices. (See Table $\mathrm{C}-43$ to $\mathrm{C}-45$ ). About 14 percent of the total cultivated lands are irrigated in the Puran Sub-Tehsil, while only six to seven percent of the total cultivated lands are irrigated in the Chateser and Martung Sub-Tehsil. The average number of farmers and cultivated area per village are as follows;

| Sub-Tehsil | No. of Village | No. of farmers |  |  | Farm Size <br> (Cultivated) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Land <br> Owner | Tenant |  |
| Chakesar | 18 | 10,309 | 6,199 | 4,110 | 0.66 ha |
| Puran | 21 | 12,010 | 8,562 | 3,448 | 0.70 ha |
| Martung | 28 | 8,621 | 6,494 | 2,127 | 0.52 ha |

The average area of cultivated area per villages in Chasesar, Puran and Martung Sub-Tehsils are 380 hectares, 400 hectares and 161 hectares respectively. The cropped area by crop in the irrigated and unirrigated areas are shown in Table C-46.

## CHAPTER IV MARKETING PLAN

The two proposed major marketing plan are as follows;

1) Marketing Facilities Plan

| Swat Sub-Division | 6 plots |
| :---: | :---: |
| Shangla Par Sub-Division | 4 plots |
| Buner Sub-Division | 2 plots |
| Total | 12 plots |

2) Information System Plan for Agricultural Marketing
i) Computer center to be established
at ADBP Swat Regional Office ............. 1 center
ii) Computer terminal ............................ 2 sets

The proposed marketing facilities and information system are located in 12 large towns of the Study Area. The facilities are classified into large-, medium-, and small-scaled one,. The large scale is about $9,780 \mathrm{~m}$ (2.4 acres including car park) in Mingora, capital city of Swat District; the medium scale is about 2.940 m ( 0.7 acres) in Sawari, Matta and others are the small-scale with about $1,470 \mathrm{~m}$ ( 0.4 acre ) would be established.

Details of the marketing facilities are shown in the following Table C-47,48.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{cc}\text { Average Farm Size } \\
\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Farm Area } \\
\text { (ha) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cultivated } \\
\text { Area }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\& <br>

I. ha)\end{array}\right]\)| 0.20 | 0.20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.61 | 0.57 |
| 1.33 | 1.25 |
| 2.31 | 2.06 |
| 3.76 | 3.20 |
| 6.52 | 5.10 |
| 12.71 | 8.66 |
| 30.51 | 13.07 |
| 92.80 | 35.69 |
| - | - |

NUMBER OF FARYS BY SIZE IN SWAT DISTRICT TABLE C-1

| Cultivated <br> Area |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Total |  |
| 136,731 |  |
| 136,731 | 100 |
| 3,390 | 2 |
| 24,841 | 18 |
| 33,299 | 24 |
| 23,617 | 17 |
| 23,658 | 17 |
| 14,072 | 10 |
| 6,980 | 5 |
| 4,730 | 3 |
| 2,141 | 2 |


| Farm Area |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\frac{\text { Total }}{}$ |  |
| (ha) | (\%) |
| 164,653 |  |
| 164,653 | 100 |
| 3,461 | 2 |
| 25,743 | 16 |
| 36,376 | 22 |
| 26,443 | 16 |
| 27,776 | 17 |
| 17,999 | 11 |
| 10,245 | 6 |
| 11,043 | 7 |
| 5,568 | 3 |


| Farms |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |
|  | $(\%)$ |
| 110,058 |  |
| 110,068 | 100 |
| 17,558 | 16 |
| 42,662 | 39 |
| 26,966 | 24 |
| 11,501 | 10 |
| 7,396 | 7 |
| 2,758 | 3 |
| 805 | 1 |
| 362 | - |
| 60 | - |
|  | - |

Size of Farm

1. All Farms
2. Private Farms (Total)
under 0.4 ha
0.4 to under 1.0
1.0 to under 2.0
2.0 to under 3.0
3.0 to under 5.1
5.1 to under 10.1
10.1 to under 20.2
20.2 to under 60.0
60.0 and above
3. Government Farm
TABLE C-2 RESULT OF LAND REFORM IN SWAT DISTRICT

| Sub-Tensil | No.of Tenant | Total Area | Cultivated Area |  |  | Uncultivated Area |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | Irrigated | Unirrigated | Sub total | Cultutable waste | Grazing <br> Land | Hill Land |
|  |  | (ha) | (ha) | (ha) | (ha) | (ha) | (ha) | (ha) | (ha) |
| 1. Matta | 65 | 36.9 | 35.1 | 24.0 | 11.1 | 1.8 | - | - | 1.8 |
| 2. Khwazakhela | 23 | 41.5 | 41.3 | 3.2 | 38.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | 0.2 |
| 3. Charhagh | 269 | 247.3 | 240.3 | 6.2 | 234.1 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 1.4 | - |
| 4. Babuzai | 193 | 167.0 | 149.8 | 57.3 | 92.5 | 17.2 | 2.4 | - | 14.8 |
| 5. Kabal | 31 | 30.5 | 30.2 | 27.0 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - |
| 6. Barikot | 5 | 16.8 | 16.0 | 9.6 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | - | 0.2 |
| Total | 586 | 540.0 | 512.7 | 127.3 | 385.4 | 27.5 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 17.0 |

Source: Land Reform office, Swat District

TABLE C-3 ESTIMATED NINBER OF FARM WOUSBMOLD AND FARM SIZR (1988)

| 2.one/Tehsil/Sub-Tehsil | No, of Household | No. of Agricultural |  | Houschold | Farm | Cultivated Area |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Farm Household | hivestock Holder | Size | $\frac{\text { Total }}{\text { (ha) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Irri. }}{\text { (ha) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Unirri. }}{-(h a)}$ |
| 2one 1 | 18,240 | 15,212 | 11,783 | 3,429 | 0.73 | 8,656. | 5,959 | 2, 2697 |
| $\frac{\text { Ka }}{\text { Kam }}$ | 7,845 | 6,543 | 5,068 | 1,475 | 0.42 | 2,152 | 2,100 | 52 |
| -Bahrain | 10,395 | 8,669 | 6,715 | 1,954 | 0.97 | 6,504. | 3,859 | 2,645 |
| Zone 2 | 52,955 | 44.165 | 34,209 | 9,956 | 1.32 | 45,253 | 14,990 | 30,263 |
| -Matta/Shangwatai | 31,040 | 25,888 | 20,052 | 5,836 | 1.32 | 26,551 | 8,255 | 18,296 |
| -Xhawazakhela/Charbagh | 21,915 | 18,277 | 14,157 | 4,120 | 1.32 | 18,702 | 6,735 | 11,967 |
| Zone-3 | 46,895 | 39,111 | 30,295 | 8,816 |  | 45,055 | 18,271 | 26,784 |
| -Rabal | 18,630 | 15,537 | 12,035 | 3,502 | 1.75 | 21,083 | 7,533 | 13,550 |
| -Mingora/Kanja | 19,535 | 16,293 | 12,620 | 3,673 | 0.92 | 11,660 | 4,583 | 6,677 |
| -Barikot | 8,730 | 7,281 | 5,640 | 1,641 | 2.18 | 12,312 | 5,755 | 6,557 |
| Zone 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Arpuri | 19,790 | 16,505 | 12,784 | 3,721 | 1.29 | 16,522 | 3,298 | 38,290 |
| Zone 5 | 20,880 | 17,410 | 13,484 | 3,926 | 1.47 | 19,778 | 2,145 | 17,633 |
| -Puran | 7,870 | 6,560 | 5,080 | 1,480 | 1.65 | 8,399 | 1,337 | 7,062 |
| -Chakesar | 8,440 | 7,039 | 5,4.52 | 1,587 | 1.23 | 6,713 | 454 | 6,259 |
| -Martung | 4,570 | 3,811 | 2,952 | 859 | 1.53 | 4,515 | 354 | 4,161 |
| Zone 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Besham | 7,680 | 6,405 | 4,961 | 1,444 | 1.07 | 5,288 | 481 | 4,807 |
| Zone 7 | 32,570 | 27,162 | 21,039 | 6,123 | 1.70 | 35,929 | 3,693 | 32,235 |
| - Daggar | 9,070 | 7,564 | 5,859 | 1,705 | 1.73 | 10,151 | 1,691 | 8,461 |
| -Gadezai | 9,400 | 7,839 | 6,072 | 1,767 | 1.73 | 10,526. | 586 | 9,940 |
| -Chagharzai | 6,920 | 5,771 | 4,470 | 1,301 | 2.00 | 8,938 | 842 | 8,096 |
| -Gagra | 7,180 | 5,988 | 4,638 | 1,350 | 1.36 | 6,313 | 574 | 5,739. |
| Zone 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Chanla/ Anazai | 9,880 | 8,239 | 6,382 | 1,857 | 1.47 | 9,395 | 938 | 8,457 |
| Zone 9 <br> -Khudukhel | 7,740 | 6,455 | 5,000 | 1,455 | 1.97 | 9,855 | 1,490 | 8,365 |
| Total | 216,630 | 180,664 | 139,937 | 40,727 | 1.40 | 195,731 | 48,639 | 147,092 |

Sontce: Master Plan Study Team
TABLE C-4 LAND USE BY SUB-TEHSIL

| Sab-Tehsil | $\begin{gathered} \text { No or } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { ds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Trato } \\ & \text { reporied } \end{aligned}$tanc |  | Sub- <br> tOE al | Chnual | $\begin{aligned} & \text { xre } \\ & \text { arch } \\ & \text { ard } \end{aligned}$ | Pratece | clitivared Land |  | durcicater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thioer } \\ & \text { forest } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SFrab } \\ & \text { Forest } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rree } \\ \text { onnly } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tube wel1 } \\ & \text { o Pump Ir } \\ & \text { rigation } \end{aligned}$ | (eymational | ¢ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Two } \\ \text { Croo } \\ \text { Ring } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { single } \\ \text { cropp- } \\ \text { cnn } \end{gathered}$ | (Hild |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Swat Sub-Div: | 493,000 | 506,100 | 98,980 | 39,230 | 26,620 | 3,100 | 5,100 | 2,300 | 2,110 | 59,750 | 20,040 | 39,520 | 390 | 407,120 | 5,280 | 20,220 | 100,540 | 140,330 | 1.460 | 122,920 | 16,370 |
| 2.: ${ }_{\text {2alam }}^{\text {Rancain }}$ | 54,771 54.092 | 206,770 85,650 | 2,150 6.500 | ${ }_{3,860}^{2,100}$ | 2,2,700 <br> 3,770 |  |  | 10 | - |  |  |  | 20 | 204,080 79,150 | 50 40 | r $\begin{array}{r}380 \\ 2,450\end{array}$ | + $\begin{aligned} & 46,350 \\ & 20,7<0\end{aligned}$ | 52,600 53.730 | 480 | 122,570 1250 | 1,650 1.240 |
| 2. ${ }_{\text {Banrain }}^{\text {Sub-Total: }}$ | 54.092 108,263 | 85,650 291,880 | 6, 8,650 | 3,860 5,960 | 3,810 | 70 | 10 10 | 10 10 | - | 2,540 2,690 | 890 920 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,750 \\ & 1,750 \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 78,150 2838 | 460 460 | ${ }_{2,850}^{2,}$ | 67,090 | 86,350 | 510 | 122,920 | 3,090 |
| 3. Matea | 111,674 | 65,150 42.40 | 26,560 18,700 | 8,260 | 5,410 <br> 4,840 <br> 10 | . 880 | 1.360 890 | 10 | 640 | 18,300 | 2,050 | 9,110 | 160 | 38,590 | 580 | 4, 250 | 8 8,050 | 22,850 | ${ }_{8}^{89}$ | $=$ | 2,980 2,670 |
|  | 189,046 | 107,590 | 45,260 | 14.990 | -10,250 | 1.840 | 2,250 | - 10 | 64.0 | 30,270 | 12,530 | 16,540 | 200 | 23,740 62,350 | 1,980 1,770 | 5,050 9,290 | 3,980 12,030 | 10,798 32,640 | 6 9 | - | 2,670 5,650 |
| 5. Kabal | 98,055 | 40,510 | 21,080 11.670 | 7,530 | 5,690 | 290. | 750 270 | ${ }_{260}^{450}$ | (350 | 13,550 | 3,180 2,100 | $\xrightarrow{10.070}$ | 140 | 19,450 | 1,230 | 2,650 | 7,350 | 5,170 | - | - | 3,050 |
|  | 50,559 | 34,750 | 12, 2,20 | S, 4.760 | - | 670 | ${ }_{1,820}^{27}$ | 1,570 |  | 6,560 | 2,10 | 6,520 | ${ }_{30}$ | 19.700 22,40 | 8880 980 | - | , 4,2080 | 9,160 | Z | Z | 2, 2,650 |
| Sub-Toca2: | 195,691 | 106,630 | 45,070 | 18,280 | 10,500 | , 190 | 2,840 | 2,280 | 1,470 | 26,790 | 5,590 | 21,030 | 170 | 62,560 | 3,050 | 8,100 | 21,420 | 21,360 |  |  | 7,630 |
| 2. Shangla Par $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sub-Division }\end{aligned}$ | 209,637 | 137.580 | 41,580 | 300 | 2,99 |  | - | 310 | - | 38,280 | 23,540 | 14.330 | 310 | 96,000 | 4.150 | 51,360 | 14,530. | 39,800 |  | - | 6,160 |
| 1. Alpur ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Rer | 75,236 4.6618 | 57,350 24.990 | 16,510 8,400 | 1.670 1.340 | 610 1.210 | = | $=$ | , 680 | = | 15,840 | 8,890 4,910 | 6,920 2,150 | 30 | 40,860 | :,640 | - $\begin{array}{r}8,280 \\ 5,020\end{array}$ | 5,470 | 21,530 | - | $=$ | \%,020 |
| 2. Purane yar | - 3 |  | 6,860 | 1.350 | ${ }^{1} \cdot 12$ | - | - | 40 | - | 6,410 | 4,220 | 2,040 | 150 | 16,780 | 1,290 | 5 5,270 | 2, 980 | 6,170 |  |  | 1,070 |
| 4.: Marcung | 33,634 | 15:920 | 4,510 | 350 | 320 | - | - | 30 | - | 4,160 | 2,970 | 1,190 | \% | 11,40 | ${ }^{180}$ | 7,660 | ${ }^{240}$ | 1,260 | - | - | 1.170 |
| Sub-Total: | 113,399 | 64,550 | 19,770 | 2,140 | 1.940 | , | - | 200 | - | 17,630 | 12,100 | 5,380 | 150 | 4.788 | 2,810 | 17,950 | 7.950 | 12,790 | - | - | 3,280 |
| 5. B.sh | 21.052 | 15,680 | 5.300 | 490 | 40 | - | - | so | - | 4,810 | 2,650 | 2,030 | 130 | 10,380 | . 700 | 5,130 | 1,190 | 2,480 | - | - | 960 |
| 3. Buner Sub-Div: | 263.403 | 172,420 | 55,200 | 6,130 | 2,730 | 980 | 680 | 370 | 1,570 | 49,070 | 20, 140 | 28,010 | 920 | 117,220 | 5,810 | 18,150 | 52,680 | 3:790 |  |  | 8,790 |
| 1. Dasgar |  | 29,030 | 10,150 10,530 | 1.690 | ¢ 520 | ${ }_{20}^{50}$ | 1080 | 10 | $\begin{array}{r}1,080 \\ \hline 80\end{array}$ | 8,660 | 3, 3,900 | \$5,610 | 4330 | 18,886 | - 910 | 2,720 1,160 | 9,020 | , 10.600 | = | - | 1,630 |
|  | ${ }_{37} 97,65$ | ( $\begin{aligned} & 22,8500 \\ & 25,920\end{aligned}$ | 8,940 6,520 | 880 580 | - | 340 | = | 160 |  | 8,100 5,740 |  | - | io |  | ¢880 680 | - 1,690 | 7, 7,640 | 边, 2880 | = | = | +1,170 |
| 4. Gagra suo-Total | $\begin{array}{r} 36,952 \\ 169,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,920 \\ 114,140 \end{array}$ | 6,320 35,940 | 580 3.700 | 1,820 1,820 | ${ }^{-10}$ | 20 | 170 | 1,280 | 5,720 32,240 | 4,040 14,650 1, | 16,690 16,850 | 780 | 19,600 78,200 | 680 3,290 | $\begin{array}{r} 7,390 \\ 19.060 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,180 \\ & 3,640 \end{aligned}$ | 4,760 22,610 | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1,290 \\ & 5,600 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Cramla/Amazal | $\begin{aligned} & 55,829 \\ & 88,074 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,600 \\ & 29,680 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,410 \\ & 9,850 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 950 \\ 1,480 \end{array}$ | 650 260 | $\begin{aligned} & 170 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{620}$ | ${ }^{-200}$ | 90 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,260 \\ & 8,770 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,220 \\ & i, 290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,100 \\ & 4,080 \end{aligned}$ | 140 | $\begin{aligned} & 99.190 \\ & 99 ; 850 \end{aligned}$ | 9,9 1,670 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,010 \\ & 2,080 \end{aligned}$ | 15,740 | 7,960 |  |  | 1,570 |
| Totas | 966.090 | 816,100 | 195.760 | 48.660 | 32,340 | 4.080 | 5,780 | 2.980 | 3,180 | 147,100 | 63,820 | 8:,660 | 1,620 | 620,340 | 15,240 | 69,750 | 167,750 | 211,920 |  | 122,920 | 31,520 |

table C-5 Summary of crop production data

| Crop | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.E.P. |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Area }}{(\text { '000ha) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }}{(\text { ton } / \mathrm{ha})}$ | $\frac{\text { Productor }}{(' 000 \text { ton })}$ | $\frac{\text { Area }}{(1000 \mathrm{ha})}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }}{(\text { ton } / \mathrm{ha})}$ | $\frac{\text { Productor }}{(1000 \text { ton })}$ | $\frac{\text { Area }}{(1000 \mathrm{ha})}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }}{(\text { ton } / \mathrm{ha})}$ | $\frac{\text { Productor }}{(1000 \text { tor })}$ |
| 1. Maize | $\begin{aligned} & 816.1 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.30 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,057.5 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 452.5 \\ & (55.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.34 \\ (103.1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 607.7 \\ & (57.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106.2 \\ & (13.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.27 \\ (97.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135.4 \\ & (12.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Rice | $\begin{aligned} & 1,977.8 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.64 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,260.1 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.2 \\ & (3.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.65 \\ (100.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1114.1 \\ (3.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.4 \\ & (0.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.58 \\ (96.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.1 \\ & (0.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. Black Gram | $\begin{gathered} 96.2 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.46 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.1 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.7 \\ (11.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.63 \\ (137.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ (15.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ (2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.76 \\ (165.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4. Potato | $\begin{gathered} 55.6 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 557.2 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ (18.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.1 \\ (101.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105.1 \\ & (18.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ (5.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.6 \\ (106.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.8 \\ & (5.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Sugarcane | $\begin{aligned} & 839.8 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.1 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,196.2 \\ (100: 0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96.5 \\ (11.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.1 \\ (105.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,776.0 \\ (12.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & (0.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.7 \\ (93.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.7 \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. Apple | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.6 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 145.6 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \\ (37.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ (137.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.3 \\ (51.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ (13.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.7 \\ (132.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.7 \\ (18.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 7. Apricot | $\begin{array}{r} 4.6 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.4 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (26.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ (86.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ (22.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (8.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ (89.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \\ (7.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| 8. Plum | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.6 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ (70.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ (99.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.1 \\ (69.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \\ (88.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \\ (5.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| 9. Pears | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.7 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ (86.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ (105.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.7 \\ (90.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (13.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \\ (139.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (19.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| 8. Wheat | $\begin{aligned} & 7,392.2 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.68 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,418.0 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 784.1 \\ & (10.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.14 \\ (67.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 899.4 \\ (7.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92.9 \\ & (1.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.09 \\ (60.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.3 \\ & (0.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| 9. Barley | $\begin{gathered} 180.7 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.72 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 129.6 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.6 \\ (43.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.76 \\ (105.6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.1 \\ (46.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ (1.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.03 \\ (143.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1.0. Rape \& Mustard | $\begin{gathered} 321.8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.70 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225.5 \\ & (100.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.8 \\ (12.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.43 \\ (61.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.3 \\ & (7.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ (1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.37 \\ (52.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ (57.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| 11. Onion | $\begin{gathered} 48.3 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.6 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 514.2 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ (7.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.2 \\ (124.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.8 \\ & (8.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.9 \\ (150.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.6 \\ & (4.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| 12. Citrus | $\begin{gathered} 115.8 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.7 \\ (100.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,393.2 \\ (100.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ (87.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.9 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ (36.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ (0.2) \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE C-6 CROP PRODUCTION, MATZE


| Year |  | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F.P. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cropped Area | Yield P | Production | Cropped | Yield | duction | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Vield Pr | uction | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield | duction |
|  |  | '000 (ha) | (ton/ha) | 1000 $(t 0 n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (t \circ n) \end{aligned}$ | 1000 (ha) | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (\text { ton }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (\mathrm{ha}) \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. | 1978/79 | 1,025.6 | 1.62 | 3,272.0 | 67.9 | 1.53 | 61.2 | 44.6 | 1.57 | 70.2 | 19.8 | 1.45 | 28.7 |
| 2. | 1979/80 | 2,034.5 | 1.58 | 3,215.8 | 67.2 | 1.56 | 98.6 | 44.8 | 1.57 | 70.5 | 19.8 | 1.45 | 28.8 |
| 3. | 1980/81 | 1,933.1 | 1.62 | 3,123.2 | 66.2 | 1.43 | 106.5 | 45.0 | 1.62 | 72.8 | 20.1 | 1.53 | 30.9 |
| 4. | 1981/82 | 1,976.0 | 1.74 | 3,429.7 | 69.3 | 1.59 | 110.7 | 46.1 | 1.62 | 74.9 | 20.3 | 1.55 | 31.4 |
| 5. | 1982/83 | 1,978.1 | 1.74 | 3,444.7 | 70.5 | 1.60 | 112.7 | 46.8 | 1.62 | 76.0 | 20.4 | 1.54 | 31.5 |
| 6. | 1983/84 | 1,998.5 | 1.67 | 3,339.5 | 72.2 | 1.60 | 115.8 | 46.8 | 1.63 | 76.6 | 20.5 | 1.55 | 31.8 |
| 7. | 1984/85 | 1,998.5 | 1.66 | 3,315.2 | 72.4 | 1.60 | 115.5 | 47.0 | 1.64 | 77.1 | 20.6 | 1.55 | 32.0 |
| 8. | 1985/86 | 1,863.2 | 1.57 | 2,918.9 | 70.1 | 1.60 | 113.8 | 46.1 | 1.67 | 76.9 | 20.6 | 1.57 | 32.3 |
| 9. | 1986/87 | 2,066.0 | 1.69 | 3,486.0 | 70.5 | 1.67 | 1.18 .1 | 46.2 | 1.68 | 77.7 | 20.6 | 1.58 | 32.7 |
| 10. | 1987/88 | 1,963.0 | 1.65 | 3,241.0 | 60.9 | 1.76 | 107.5 | 6.9 | 1.81 | 12.6 | 9.7 | 1.73 | 16.7 |
| Mean |  | 1,983.7 | 1.65 | 3,278.6 | 68.7 | 1.54 | 106.0 | 42.0 | 1.63 | 68.5 | 20.5 | 1.48 | 30.4 |
| Last | 5 years | 1,977.8 | 1.64 | 3,260.1 | 69.2 | 1.65 | 114.1 | 38.6 | 1.66 | 64.2 | 18.4 | 1.58 | 29.1 |

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan: MFC
table C-8 CROp production, mong (black gram)

| Year | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cropped } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Yield | Production | Cropped Area | Yield | Production | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cropped } \\ & \text { Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yield | Production | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cropped } \\ & \text { Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yield | Production |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | 1000 (ton) | (ha) 000 | (ton/ha) | (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\frac{{ }^{1000}}{\text { (ton) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | :000 (ton) |
| 1. 1978/79 | 65.9 | 0.45 | 30.0 | 9.9 | 0.55 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 0.68 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.63 | 0.5 |
| 2. 1979/80 | 69.0 | 0.47 | 32.7 | 8.7 | 0.57 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 0.68 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.50 | 0.4 |
| 3. 1980/81 | 67.0 | 0.48 | 31.0 | 7.7 | 0.58 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 0.73 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.50 | 0.2 |
| 4. 1981/82 | 65.6 | 0.48 | 31.8 | 8.6 | 0.61 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 0.82 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.85 | 0.6 |
| 5. 1982/83 | 79.0 | 0.50 | 31.6 | 9.5 | 0.66 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 0.81 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 0.82 | 2.3 |
| 6. 1983/84 | 91.0 | 0.46 | 41.8 | 10.4 | 0.66 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 0.84 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.83 | 2.5 |
| 7. 1984/85 | 93.6 | 0.48 | 44.6 | 11.0 | 0.65 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 0.84 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.83 | 2.5 |
| 8. 1985/86 | 104.1 | 0.47 | 48.8 | 10.8 | 0.66 | 7.1 | 4.4 | 0.84 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.83 | 2.5 |
| 9. 1986/87 | N.A. | N. A. | N.A | 13.8 | 0.65 | 9.0 | 4.5 | 0.84 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 0.83 | 2.5 |
| 10. 1987/88 | N. A | N.A | N. A | 7.3 | 0.50 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 0.50 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 0.09 | 0.1 |
| Mean | 79.4 | 0.45 | 36.5 | 9.8 | 0.61 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 0.82 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 0.77 | 1.5 |
| Last 5 Years | 96.2 | 0.46 | 45.1 | 10.7 | 0.63 | 6.8 | 4.1 | 0.80 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 0.76 | 2.0 |

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan, MFC
Note : Whole area are undex rainfed conditions
TABLE C-9 CROP PRODUCTION, POTATO

|  | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F.P. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Cropped Area | Yield P | Production | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \end{gathered}$ | Yield Pr | Production | Cropped Area | Yield P | Production | Cropped $\qquad$ | Yield P | oduction |
|  | '000 (ha) | (ton/ha) | 1000 $(t o n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (tori/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1000}{(h a)} \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | 1000 (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (ha) } \\ & \text { (hao } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & (\text { ton }) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. 19.78/79 | 37.7 | 10.4 | 392.4 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 76.2 | 3.4 | 8.6 | 29.3 | 2.1 | 9.4 | 19.8 |
| 2. 1979/80 | 42.9 | 10.4 | 448.5 | 8.4 | 9.4 | 78.8 | 3.5 | 8.5 | 29.7 | 2.1 | 9.4 | 19.8 |
| 3. 1980/81 | 38.0 | 10.4 | 394.3 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 75.8 | 3.7 | 9.0 | 33.3 | 2.3 | 9.7 | 22.3 |
| 4. 1981/82 | 45.3 | 10.5 | 476.6 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 95.8 | 4.1 | 9.5 | 39.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 .2 | 25.4 |
| 5. 1982/83 | 51.5 | 10.1 | 518.1 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 92.9 | 4.6 | 9.2 | 42.3 | 3.0 | 9.5 | 28.6 |
| 6. 1983/84 | 49.5 | 10.3 | 509.8 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 99.5 | 4.7 | 9.2 | 43.4 | 3.0 | 9.6 | 28.7 |
| 7. 1984/85 | 54.5 | 10.0 | 543.4 | 10.5 | 9.7 | 102.1 | 4.8 | 9.3 | 44.5 | 3.0 | 9.6 | 28.8 |
| 8. 1985/86 | 62.9 | 9.8 | 618.3 | 10.6 | 9.8 | 104.3 | 4.9 | 9.1 | 44.8 | 3.0 | 9.6 | 28.9 |
| 9. 1986/87 | N. A. | N. A. | N.A. | 11.7 | 10.6 | 124.5 | 4.9 | 11.2 | 55.0 | 3.0 | 12.4 | 37.4 |
| 10. 1987/88 | N.A. | N.A. | N. A. | 8.8 | 10.8 | 95.2 | 4.3 | 11.0 | 47.3 | 2.4 | 12.3 | 30.3 |
| Mean | 47.8 | 10.2 | 487.7 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 94.5 | 4.3 | 9.5 | 40.9 | 2.3 | 11.7 | 27.6 |
| Last 5 years | 55.6 | 10.0 | 557.2 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 105.1 | 4.7 | 10.0 | 47.0 | 2.9 | 10.6 | 30.8 |

Source: Agricultural Staristics of Pakistan: MFC
Note : About $86 \%$ of the total cropped area are under irrigation, and about $98 \%$ of those are Kharif cropped area.

|  | Year | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F.P. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cropped Area | Yield Pr | duction | Cropped Area | Yield | Production | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield Pr | duction | Cropped Area | Yield | duction |
|  |  | 1000 (ha) | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | 000 (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & (\mathrm{ha}) \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & (\text { ton }) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. | 1978/79 | 752.5 | 36.3 | 27,325.5 | 95.0 | 38.0 | 3,606.1 | 5.4 | 36.6 | 197.9 | 0.9 | 38.6 | 34.7 |
| 2. | 1979/80 | 718.5 | 38.3 | 27,497.7 | 87.1 | 39.2 | 3,417.0 | 5.4 | 36.8 | 198.8 | 1.0 | 36.3 | 36.3 |
| 3. | 1980/81 | 824.7 | 39.2 | 32,359.4 | 90.5 | 39.8 | 3,598.0 | 6.0 | 36.9 | 221.3 | 1.1 | 37.9 | 41.7 |
| 4. | 1981/82 | 946.7 | 38.6 | 36,579.7 | 101.2 | 40.1 | 4,057.2 | 6.6 | 37.0 | 243.9 | 1.7 | 38.3 | 65.1 |
| 5. | 1982/83 | 911.7 | 35.7 | 32,533.5 | 100.3 | 40.1 | 4,017.6 | 6.8 | 36.6 | 249.1 | 1.9 | 36.7 | 69.8 |
| 6. | 1983/84 | 896.5 | 38.2 | 34,287.3 | 104.7 | 38.8 | 4,065.3 | 7.3 | 36.3 | 264.9 | 2.3 | 36.2 | 83.2 |
| 7. | 1984/85 | 903.6 | 35.6 | 32,139.6 | 95.9 | 38.8 | 3,722.4 | 7.3 | 36.2 | 264.4 | 2.4 | 34.5 | 82.7 |
| 8. | 1985/86 | 779.8 | 35.7 | 27,856.3 | 91.4 | 38.9 | 3,553.1 | 7.3 | 35.7 | 261.0 | 2.4 | 33.1 | 79.4 |
| 9. | 1986/87 | 762.0 | 39.3 | 29,966.0 | 91.6 | 38.4 | 3,518.5 | 7.3 | 37.1 | 271.7 | 2.3 | 37.3 | 85.8 |
| 10. | 1987/88 | 857.0 | 37.0 | 31,707.0 | 98.7 | 40.7 | 4,020.5 | 4.9 | 36.6 | 179.4 | 1.1. | 31.0 | 34.1 |
| Mean |  | 835.3 | 37.4 | 31,225.2 | 95.6 | 39.3 | 3,757.6 | 6.4 | 36.8 | 235.2 | 1.7 | 36.1 | 61.3 |
| Last | 5 years | 839.8 | 37.1 | 31,191.2 | 96.5 | 39.1 | 3,776.0 | 6.8 | 36.5 | 248.3 | 2.1 | 34.7 | 73.7 |

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan: MFC
TABLE C-11 CROP PRODUCTION, APPIE

|  | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F.P. |  |  | Molakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Cropped Area | Yield Pr | oduction | Cropped <br> Area | Yield Pr | duction | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \end{gathered}$ | Yield Pro | duction | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield P | duction |
|  | '000 (ha) | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & T 000 \\ & (\text { ton }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (t o n) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (\text { ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (\mathrm{ha}) \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & (t o n) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. 1978/79 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 93.7 | 3.8 | 11.5 | 43.7 | 2.2 | 12.5 | 27.5 | 2.1 | 9.7 | 20.3 |
| 2: 1979/80 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 99.2 | 3.9 | 11.7 | 45.6 | 2.3 | 12.2 | 28.0 | 2.1 | 12.7 | 26.7 |
| 3. 1980/81 | 11.4 | 9.4 | 107.4 | 4.1 | 11.8 | 48.2 | 2.4 | 12.4 | 29.8 | 2.3 | 12.3 | 28.4 |
| 4. 1981/82 | 11.9 | 9.6 | 114.3 | 4.4 | 11.7 | 51.6 | 2.6 | 12.2 | 31.8 | 2.4 | 12.6 | 30.3 |
| 5. 1982/83 | 12.9 | 10.0 | 128.6 | 4.6 | 12.0 | 55.1. | 2.7 | 12.4 | 33.4 | 2.5 | 12.8 | 31.9 |
| 6. 1983/84 | 13.3 | 9.6 | 128.1 | 4.2 | 12.1 | 50.8 | 2.2 | 12.9 | 28.3 | 2.0 | 13.3 | 26.5 |
| 7. 1984/85 | 14.8 | 9.6 | 142.7 | 5.0 | 11.8 | 59.0 | 2.3 | 12.6 | 28.9 | 2.0 | 13.3 | 26.7 |
| 8. 1985/86 | 17.4 | 9.5 | 166.0 | 6.4 | 1.1.4 | 72.9 | 2.3 | 13.0 | 30.0 | 2.0 | 13.3 | 26.7 |
| 9. 1986/87 | N. A. | N. A. | 196.0 | 6.5 | 14.7 | 95.7 | 2.3 | 13.2 | 30.3 | 2.1 | 12.8 | 26.8 |
| 10. 1987/88 | N. A. | N. A. | N. A. | 6.6 | 14.9 | 98.3 | 2.3 | 13.2 | 30.4 | 2.4 | 11.4 | 26.7 |
| Mean | 12.9 | 10.1 | 130.6 | 5.0 | 12.4 | 62.1 | 2.3 | 12.9 | 29.8 | 2.2 | 12.3 | 27.1 |
| Last 5 years | 15.2 | 9.6 | 145.6 | 5.7 | 13.2 | 75.3 | 2.3 | 12.9 | 29.6 | 2.1 | 12.7 | 26.7 |

[^3]TABEE C-12 CROP PRODUCTION, APRJCOT

TABLE C-13

|  | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F.P. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield P | Production | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield Pr | uction | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield Pr | uction | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield P | uction |
|  | 1000 (ha) | (ton/ha) | 1000 <br> (ton) | 1000 (ha) | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | 1000 $(\mathrm{ha})$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. 1978/79 | 2.6 | 14.0 | 36.5 | 1.9 | 14.2 | 26.9 | 0.4 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 10.0 | 2.0 |
| 2. 1979/80 | 2.7 | 11.9 | 32.1 | 1.9 | 11.1 | 21.2 | 0.4 | 10.5 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 1.3 |
| 3. 1980/81 | 3.4 | 11.2 | 38.2 | 2.5 | 10.9 | 27.2 | 0.4 | 10.0 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 11.0 | 2.2 |
| 4. 1981/82 | 3.4 | 11.2 | 38.2 | 2.6 | 10.6 | 27.5 | 0.4 | 12.3 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 12.0 | 2.4 |
| 5. 1982/83 | 3.6 | 11.2 | 40.4 | 2.6 | 10.9 | 28.4 | 0.4 | 12.5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 8.7 | 2.6 |
| 6. 1983/84 | 3.9 | 11.0 | 42.8 | 2.8 | 10.5 | 29.4 | 0.5 | 10.8 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 9.3 | 2.8 |
| 7. 1984/85 | 4.0 | 11.0 | 43.8 | 2.8 | 10.7 | 30.0 | 0.5 | 1.1.2 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 9.7 | 2.9 |
| 8. 1985/86 | 4.1 | 10.8 | 44.2 | 2.8 | 10.7 | 30.0 | 0.5 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 9.7 | 2.9 |
| 9. 1986/87 | N.A. | N. A. | N. A. | 2.8 | 10.9 | 30.5 | 0.5 | 11.4 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 10.0 | 3.0 |
| 10. 1987/88 | N. A. | N. A. | N.A. | 2.8 | 10.9 | 30.6 | 0.5 | 11.4 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 10.0 | 3.0 |
| Mean | 3.5 | 11.3 | 39.5 | 2.6 | 10.8 | 28.2 | 0.5 | 10.2 | 5.1 | -0.3 | 8.3 | 2.5 |
| Last 5 years | 4.0 | 10.9 | 43.6 | 2.8 | 10.8 | 30.1 | 0.5 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 0.3 | 9.7 | 2.9 |

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan: MFC
TABLE C-14 CROP PRODUCTION, PEARS

|  | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F.P. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Cropped Area | Yield Pr | production | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield Pr | duction | Cropped $\qquad$ | Yield Pr | Production | Cropped Area | Yield $P$ | duction |
| - | 1000 (ha) | (ton/ha) | $\begin{array}{ll}  & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & T 000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | 1000 | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | 1000 $(h a)$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (\text { ton }) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. 1978/79 | 3.3 | 10.1 | 33.3 | 1.9 | 12.2 | 23.2 | 0.4 | 17.8 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 19.0 | 5.7 |
| 2: 1979/80 | 2.6 | 10.7 | 27.7 | 1.9 | 12.4 | 23.5 | 0.4 | 18.3 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 19.3 | 5.8 |
| 3. 1980/81 | 3.0 | 11.2 | 33.5 | 2.3 | 12.6 | 28.9 | 0.5 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 0.3 | 20.3 | 6.1 |
| 4. 1981/82 | 3.1 | 10.7 | 33.1 | 2.4 | 12.2 | 29.2 | 0.5 | 15.8 | 7.9 | 0.4 | 15.5 | 6.2 |
| 5. 1982/83 | 3.1 | 10.9 | 33.8 | 2.4 | 12.4 | 29.7 | 0.5 | 16.4 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 15.8 | 6.3 |
| 6. 1983/84 | 2.8 | 12.0 | 33.5 | 2.4 | 12.6 | 30.3 | 0.5 | 16.6 | 8.3 | 0.4 | 16.0 | 6.4 |
| 7. 1984/85 | 2.9 | 11.8 | 34.1 | 2.5 | 12.3 | 30.7 | 0.5 | 17.2 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 16.3 | 6.5 |
| 8. 1985/86 | 2.9 | 11.7 | 33.9 | 2.5 | 12.2 | 30.6 | 0.5 | 17.4 | 8.7 | 0.4 | 16.5 | 6.6 |
| 9. 1986/87 | N. A. | N.A. | N. A. | 2.5 | 12.3 | 30.8 | 0.5 | 17.4 | 8.7 | 0.4 | 16.5 | 6.6 |
| 10. 1987/88 | N. A. | N.A. | N. A. | 2.5 | 12.4 | 31.0 | 0.5 | 17.4 | 8.7 | 0.4 | 16.5 | 6.6 |
| Mean | 3.0 | 11.0 | 32.9 | 2.3 | 12.5 | 28.8 | 0.5 | 17.2 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 15.5 | 6.2 |
| Last 5 years | 2.9 | 11.7 | 33.8 | 2.5 | 12.3 | 30.7 | 0.5 | 17.0 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 16.3 | 6.5 |

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan: MFC
TABLE C-I 5 CROP PRODUCTION, WHEAT

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Fakistan: MFC

|  | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F.P. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yeax | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield P | Production | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield Pr | Production | Cropped Area | Yield Pr | Production | Cropped Area | Yield P | duction |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | 1000 (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | 1000 (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (\mathrm{ha}) \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. 1978/79 | 177.7 | 0.73 | 129.3 | 61.3 | 0.81 | 49.7 | 15.2 | 1.05 | 15.9 | 2.0 | 1.05 | 2.1 |
| 2. 1979/80 | 159.3 | 0.74 | 118.1 | 54.6 | 0.80 | 43.6 | 14.7 | 1.07 | 15.8 | 2.0 | 0.40 | 0.8 |
| 3. 1980/81 | 259.4 | 0.68 | 175.5 | 63.3 | 0.82 | 52.2 | 17.7 | 0.90 | 15.9 | 3.4 | 0.97 | 3.3 |
| 4. 1981/82 | 221.6 | 0.71 | 157.5 | 68.6 | 0.83 | 56.9 | 18.8 | 1.10 | 20.7 | 3.4 | 0.97 | 3.3 |
| 5. $1982 / 83$ | 263.1 | 0.70 | 185.3 | 76.2 | 0.82 | 62.8 | 20.0 | 1.11 | 22.2 | 3.3 | 1.00 | 3.3 |
| 6. 1983/84 | 199.9 | 0.70 | 139.5 | 85.3 | 0.73 | 62.1 | 18.8 | 1.04 | 19.6 | 2.4 | 0.92 | 2.2 |
| 7. 1984/85 | 190.0 | 0.69 | 131.6 | 85.4 | 0.73 | 62.2 | 18.9 | 1.04 | 19.7 | 2.4 | 0.92 | 2.2 |
| 8. 1985/86 | 188.8 | 0.71 | 133.7 | 79.8 | 0.75 | 60.1 | 19.1 | 1.03 | 19.7 | 2.4 | 0.92 | 2.2 |
| 9. 1986/87 | 182.0 | 0.71 | 134.0 | 82.0 | 0.78 | 64.3 | 21.7 | 1.11 | 24.2 | 5.1 | 1.12 | 5.7 |
| 10. 1987/88(E) | 143.0 | 0.76 | 109.0 | 60.4 | 0.86 | 52.0 | 20.6 | 1.03 | 21.2 | 4.3 | 1.14 | 4.8 |
| Mean | 198.5 | 0.71 | 141.4 | 71.7 | 0.77 | 55.6 | 18.6 | 1.05 | 19.5 | 3.1 | 0.96 | 3.0 |
| Last 5 years | 180.7 | 0.72 | 129.6 | 78.6 | 0.76 | 60.1 | 19.8 | 1.05 | 20.9 | 3.3 | 1.03 | 3.4 |

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan: MFC
TABLE C-17 CROP PRODUCTION, RAPE AND MUSTARD

|  | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.E.P. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yi.eld P | Production | Cropped <br> Area | Yield P | duction | Cropped <br> Area | Yield P | Production | Cropped Area | Yield | uction |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | 1000 $(t o n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (\mathrm{ha}) \end{aligned}$ | (tom/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (\text { ton }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (t o n) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. 1978/79 | 433.0 | 0.57 | 248.2 | 50.7 | 0.53 | 26.9 | 15.6 | 0.57 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 0.57 | 4.8 |
| 2: 1979/80 | 409.4 | 0.60 | 247.1 | 42.6 | 0.48 | 20.5 | 11.6 | 0.36 | 4.2 | 6.9 | 0.23 | 1.6 |
| 3. $1980 / 81$ | 417.0 | 0.61 | 252.5 | 41.6 | 0.51 | 21.1 | 13.7 | 0.45 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 0.23 | 1.0 |
| 4. $1981 / 82$ | 390.9 | 0.61 | 238.8 | 46.0 | 0.48 | 22.5 | 11.1 | 0.45 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 0.32 | 1.4 |
| 5. 1982/83 | 385.5 | 0.64 | 246.0 | 44.2 | 0.48 | 21.2 | 14.3 | 0.50 | 7.2 | 4.3 | 0.37 | 1.6 |
| 6. 1983/84 | 313.3 | 0.69 | 217.0 | 43.0 | 0.42 | 18.2 | 13.3 | 0.47 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 0.35 | 1.3 |
| 7. 1984/85 | 346.9 | 0.68 | 234.8 | 50.9 | 0.43 | 21.9 | 13.3 | 0.47 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 0.32 | 1.2 |
| 8. 1985/86 | 350.6 | 0.71 | 249.9 | 36.4 | 0.44 | 16.1 | 13.4 | 0.51 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 0.46 | 1.7 |
| 9. 1986/87 | 303.0 | 0.72 | 213.0 | 40.6 | 0.44 | 17.8 | 12.8 | 0.49 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 0.35 | 1.1 |
| 10. 1987/88 | 295.0 | 0.72 | 213.0 | 33.3 | 0.38 | 12.7 | 13.1 | 0.44 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 0.36 | 1.3 |
| Mean | 364.5 | 0.65 | 236.0 | 42.9 | 0.46 | 19.9 | 13.2 | 0.47 | 6.3 | 5.51 | 10.40 | 2.2 |
| Last 5 years | 321.8 | 0.70 | 225.5 | 40.8 | 0.43 | 17.3 | 13.2 | 0.47 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 0.37 | 1.3 |

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan: MFC
Note : About 96 percent of the cropped area are under rainfed condition.
TABLE C-18 CROP PRODUCTION, ONTON

|  | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F.P. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Cropped Area | Yield P | Production | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \end{gathered}$ | Yield Pr | Production | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cropped } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | Yield Pr | roduction | Cropped Area | Yield Produr | oduction |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { a) } \quad \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { To00 } \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & (\text { ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 0,000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & (\mathrm{ha}) \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 7000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. 1978/79 | 38.7 | 10.1 | 389.7 | 2.1 | 12.3 | 25.8 | 1.0 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 0.7 | 15.3 | 10.7 |
| 2. 1979/80 | 41.9 | 10.4 | 434.0 | 2.6 | 12.1 | 31.4 | 1.0 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 0.7 | 15.6 | 10.9 |
| 3. 1980/81 | 43.2 | 10.4 | 447.6 | 2.4 | 12.2 | 29.2 | 1.3 | 13.7 | 17.8 | 0.9 | 14.8 | 13.3 |
| 4. 1981/82 | 43.4 | 10.4 | 451.8 | 2.6 | 11.9 | 30.9 | 1.3 | 14.1 | 18.3 | 0.9 | 15.2 | 13.7 |
| 5. 1982/83 | 45.3 | 10.5 | 474.8 | 2.3 | 12.4 | 28.3 | 1.3 | 13.8 | 18.0 | 0.9 | 14.9 | 13.4 |
| 6. 1983/84 | 47.3 | 10.6 | 503.4 | 2.7 | 12.4 | 32.8 | 1.5 | 14.0 | 21.1 | 1.1 | 14.7 | 16.2 |
| 7. 1984/85 | 48.2 | 10.7 | 514.6 | 2.9 | 12.3 | 36.4 | 1.5 | 14.3 | 21.4 | 1.1 | 14.9 | 16.4 |
| 8. 1985/86 | 49.4 | 10.6 | 524.7 | 3.0 | 12.7 | 38.1 | 1.5 | 14.3 | 21.4 | 1.1 | 15.0 | 16.5 |
| 9. 1986/87 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 3.9 | 13.0 | 50.9 | 2.3 | 14.2 | 34.0 | 1.9 | 15.3 | 29.0 |
| 10. 1987/88 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 4.7 | 14.0 | 65.9 | 2.8 | 16.2 | 45.4 | 2.3 | 17.4 | 40.2 |
| Mean | 44.6 | 10.2 | 455.1 | 2.9 | 12.8 | 37.0 | 1.6 | 14.2 | 22.7 | 1.1 | 16.4 | 18.0 |
| Last 5 years. | 48.3 | 10.6 | 514.2 | 3.4 | 13.2 | 44.8 | 1.9 | 15.1 | 28.7 | 1.5 | 15.9 | 23.6 |

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan: MFC
Note : About 96 percent of the total cropped area are under irrigation

|  | Pakistan |  |  | N.W.F.P. |  |  | Malakand Division |  |  | Swat District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Cropped Area | Yield P | Production | Cropped Area | Yield Pr | oduction | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yield P | Production | Cropped Area | Yield Prod | oduction |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | 1000 (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | (ton/ha) | '000 (ton) | 1000 (ha) | (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. 1978/79 | 72.2 | 10.2 | 737.1 | 3.0 | 8.3 | 24.8 | 1.5 | 7.7 | 11.5 | 0.7 | 7.4 | 5.2 |
| 2. 1979/80 | 86.7 | 10.0 | 870.6 | 3.0 | 8.5 | 25.5 | 1.5 | 7.9 | 11.9 | 0.7 | 7.7 | 5.4 |
| 3. 1980/81 | 94.5 | 9.8 | 926.2 | 3.1 | 8.5 | 26.3 | 1.6 | 7.6 | 12.1 | 0.7 | 8.0 | 5.6 |
| 4. 1981/82 | 118.0 | 9.8 | 1,159.8 | 3.2 | 8.4 | 27.0 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 12.4 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 5.9 |
| 5. 1982/83 | 124.7 | 10.0 | 1,245.1 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 27.3 | 1.6 | 7.7 | 12.6 | 0.8 | 7.3 | 5.9 |
| 6. 1983/84 | 136.2 | 9.5 | 1,300.3 | 3.3 | 8.5 | 28.0 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 12.7 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 5.9 |
| 7. 1984/85 | 144.1 | 9.5 | 1,372.9 | 3.4 | 8.3 | 28.3 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 12.9 | 0.8 | 7.5 | 6.0 |
| 8. $1985 / 85$ | 149.7 | 9.6 | 1,434.5 | 3.4 | 8.4 | 28.6 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 13.0 | 0.8 | 7.5 | 6.0 |
| 9. $1986 / 87$ | N. A. | N.A. | 1,465.0 | 3.4 | 8.5 | 29.0 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 13.0 | 0.8 | 7.5 | 6.0 |
| 10. 1987/88 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 3.7 | 8.2 | 30.4 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 13.0 | 0.8 | 7.5 | 6.0 |
| Mean | 115.8 | 10.1 | 1,167.9 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 27.5 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 12.5 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 3.6 |
| Last 5 years | 143.3 | 9.7 | 1,393.2 | 3.4 | 8.5 | 28.9 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 12.9 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 |

Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan: MFC
$\frac{\text { Crop }}{\text { Irrigated }} \frac{\text { Unirrigated }}{\text { (ton/ha) }}$

1. Kharif Crops

| (1) Maize | 1.80 | 1.10 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| (2) Rabi | 1.60 | - |
| (3) Pulses (Black Gram) | 0.80 | 0.60 |
| (4) Potato | 11.20 | 6.90 |
| (5) Vegetables (Tomato) | 11.70 | $* 6.10$ |
| (6) Fodders (Maize) | 18.60 | $* 11.20$ |

2. Rabi Crops

| (1) Wheat | 1.60 | 0.80 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (2) Barley | 1.20 | 1.00 |
| (3) Rape \& Mustard | 0.60 | 0.40 |
| (4) Pulses (Lenti1) | 1.00 | 0.70 |
| (5) Onion | 16.10 | 7.30 |
| (6) Vegetables (Cauliflower) | 9.00 | $* 5.40$ |
| (7) Fodders (Shaftal) | 18.90 | $* 11.30$ |

3. Sugarcane
38.00
21.80
4. Fruits (Apple)
12.70
7.60

Note : * Estimated yields

Source: Agricultural Statistics

TABLE C-21 CROP PRODUCTION IN SWAT DISTRIGY (1)

| Crop | Year | Total |  |  | Irrigated |  |  | Unirrigated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Area }}{\text { (ha) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }}{(\text { ton/ha) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Produc- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & (\operatorname{ton}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { (ha) } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }}{(\operatorname{ton} / h a)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Produt } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & (\text { ton }) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Area }}{\text { (iat) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }}{(\text { ton/ha) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Produc- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \hline \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Maize | 1983/84 | 94,947 | 1.27 | 120,263 | 23,731 | 1.71 | 40,500 | 71,216 | 1.12 | 79,763 |
|  | 1984/85 | 98,700 | 1.25 | 123,745 | 25,100 | 1.71 | 42,828 | 73,600 | 1.10 | 80,917 |
|  | 1985/86 | 98,000 | 1.29 | 126,074 | 25,150 | 1.83 | 45,997 | 72,850 | 1.01 | 80,077 |
|  | 1986/87 | 98,250 | 1.43 | 140,860 | 25,250 | 1.88 | 47,561 | 73,000 | 1.28 | 93,299 |
|  | 1987/88 | 141,322 | 1.18 | 166,163 | 24,381. | 1.79 | 43,607. | 116,941 | 1.05 | 122,496 |
|  | Average | 106,244 | 1.27 | 135,421 | 24,722 | 1.78 | 44,111 | 81,521 | 1.12 | 91,310 |
| Rice | 1983/84 | 20,475 | 1.55 | 31,754 | 20,475 | 1.55 | 31,754 |  |  |  |
|  | 1994/85 | 20,600 | 1.55 | 32,000 | 20,600 | 1.55 | 32,000 |  |  |  |
|  | 1985/86 | 20,630 | 1.57 | 32,340 | 20,630 | 1.57 | 32,340: |  |  |  |
|  | 1986/87 | 20,635 | 1.59 | 32,740 | 20,635 | 1.59 | 32,740 |  |  |  |
|  | 1987/88 | 9,698 | 1.73 | .16,733 | 9,698 | 1.73 | 16,733 |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 18,408 | 1.58 | 29,113 | 18,408 | 1.53 | 29,113 |  |  |  |
| Black Gram | 1983/84 | 2,964 | 0.83 | 2,460 | 2,964 | 0.83 | 2,450 |  |  |  |
|  | 1984/85 | 2,970 | 0.83 | 2,465 | 2,970 | 0.83 | 2,465 |  |  |  |
|  | 1985/86 | 2,970 | 0.83 | 2,467 | 2,970 | 0.83 | 2,467 |  |  | . |
|  | 1986/87 | 3,045 | 0.83 | 2,529 | 3,045 | 0.83 | 2,529 |  |  |  |
|  | 1987/88 | 1,187 | 0.09 | 114 | 1,170 | 0.08 | 99. |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 2,627 | 0.76 | 2,007 | 2,624 | 0.76 | 2,004 |  |  |  |
| Potato | 1983/84 | 2,883 | 9.71 | 27,980 | 2,521 | 10.16 | 25,615 | 362 | 6.53 | 2,365 |
|  | 1984/85 | 2,905 | 9.77 | 28,377 | 2,546 | 10.16 | 25,868 | 359 | 6.99 | 2,509 |
|  | 1985/86 | 2,975 | 9.73 | 28,945 | 2,560 | 10.18 | 26,061 | 415 | 6.95 | 2,884 |
|  | 1986/87 | 2,980 | 12.42 | 37,003. | 2,750 | 12.41 | 34, 154 | 410 | 6.95 | 2,849 |
|  | 1987/88 | 2,463 | 12.30 | 30,300 | 1,958 | 13.68 | 26,787 | 505 | 6.96 | 3,513 |
|  | Average | 2,841 | 10.74 | 30,521 | 2,467 | 11.23 | 27,697 | 410 | 6.89 | 2,824 |
| Tomato <br> (Xharif) | 1983/84 | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  |  |  |
|  | 1984/85 | ก. A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  |  |  |
|  | 1985/86 | 1,181 | 11.74 | 13,876 | 1,181 | 11.74 | 13,876 |  |  |  |
|  | 1986/87 | 1,190 | 11.74 | 13,979 | 1,190 | 11.74 | 13,979 |  |  |  |
|  | 1987/88 | 1,454 | 11.58 | 16,849 | 1,458 | 11.56 | 16.849 |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 1,275 | 11.68 | 14,901 | 1,276 | 11.68 | 14,901 |  |  |  |
| Xharif Fodder (Maize) | 1983/84 | $N . A$. | N.A. | N.A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1984/85 | $N$ N. A. | N.A. | N.A. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1985/86 | 2,192 | 18.60 | 40,765 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1986/87 | 2,195 | 18.60 | 40,822 |  |  |  | . |  |  |
|  | 1987/88 | 6,966 | 18.56 | 129,291 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 3,784 | 18.58 | 70,293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugarcane | 1983/84 | 2,380 |  |  | 1,943 | 37,90 | 73,640 | 435 | 22.00 | 9,570 |
|  | 1984/85 | 2,385 | 34.69 | 82,729 | 1,917 | 37.85 | 72,563 | 469 | 21.72 | 10,166 |
|  | 1985/86 | 2,385 | 33.30 | 79,347 | 1,925 | 36.00 | 69,355 | 460 | 21.70 | 9,992 |
|  | 1986/87 | 2,390 | 37.17 | 88,831 | 1,930 | 40.85 | 78,839 | 460 | 21.72 | 9,992 |
|  | 1987/88 | 1,062 | 32.14 | 34,134 | 738 | 36.72 | 27,097 | 324 | $21.72$ | 7,038 |
|  | Average | 2,120 | 34.74 | 73,650 | 1,691 | 38.02 | 64,299 | 429 | 21.80 | 9,352 |
| Apple | 1983/84 | 2,040 | 13.00 | 26,530 | 2,040 | 13.00 | 26,530 |  |  |  |
|  | 1984/85 | 2,050 | 13.00 | 26,660 | 2,050 | 13.00 | 26,660 |  |  |  |
|  | 1985/86 | 2,055 | 13.00 | 26,725 | 2,055 | 13.00 | 26,725 |  |  |  |
|  | 1986/87 | 2,063 | 13.00 | 26,830 | 2,063 | 13.00 | 26,830 |  |  |  |
|  | 1987/88 | 2,359 | 11.41 | 26,921 | 2,359 | 11.41 | 26,921 |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 2,113 | 12.65 | 26,733 | 2,113 | 12.65 | 26,733 |  |  |  |

(Contimued)

TABLRC-22 CROP PRODUCTION is SUAT DISTRICT (2)

| Crop | Year | Total |  |  | Irrigated |  |  | Unirrigated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{\text { Area }}{(\text { ha) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\text { ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Produc- } \\ & \frac{\text { tion }}{(\text { ton })} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Area }}{\text { (ha) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }}{(\text { ton } 7 a)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Produc- } \\ & -(\operatorname{tion}) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Area }}{(1+a)^{-}}$ | $\frac{\text { Yield }}{(\text { ton } / \mathrm{ha})}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Produc } \\ & \frac{\operatorname{tin}}{(\operatorname{ton})} \end{aligned}$ |
| Wheat | 1983/84 | 81,185 | 0.90 | 73,117 | 23,585 | 1.57 | 36,940 | 57,602 | 0.63 | 36,177 |
|  | 1984/85 | 81,850 | 0.91 | 74,144 | 23,650 | 1.57 | 37,104 | 58,200 | 0.64 | 37,040 |
|  | 1985/86 | 81,950 | 0.97 | 79,726: | 23,750 | 1.69 | 40,150 | 58,200 | 0.68 | 39,576 |
|  | 1986/87 | 104,914 | 1.14 | 119,992 | 20,200 | 1.69 | 34,050 | 84,714 | 1.01 | 85,942 |
|  | 1987/88 | 114,443 | 1.09 | 124,746 | 20,377 | 1.66 | 33,821 | 94,066. | 0.97 | 90,925 |
|  | Average | 92,868 | 1.01 | 94,345 | 22,312 | 1.63 | 36,413 | 70,556 | 0.82 | 57,932 |
| Barley | 1983/84 | 2,372 | 0.92 | 2,187 | 97 | 1.16 | 113 | 2,275 | 0.91 | 2,074 |
|  | 1984/85 | 2,420 | 0.91 | 2,210 | 160 | 1.16 | 186 | 2,260 | 0.89 | 2,024 |
|  | 1985/86 | 2,420 | 0.91 | 2,212 | 155 | 1.17 | 182 | 2,265 | 0.90 | 2,030 |
|  | 1986/87 | 5,177 | 1.11 | 5,747 | 480 | 1.17 | 563 | 4,697 | 1.11 | 5,184 |
|  | 1987/88 | 4,268 | 1.14 | 4,849 | 424 | 1.17 | 497 | 3,844 | 1.13 | 4,352 |
|  | Average | 3,331 | 1.03 | 3,441 | 263 | 1.17 | 308 | 3,068 | 1.02 | 3,133 |
| Rape 8 | 1983/84 | 3,684 | 0.34 | 1,265 | 23 | 0.56 | 13 | 3,661 | 0.34 | 1,252 |
| Mustard | 1984/85 | 3,685 | 0.34 | 1,260 | 31 | 0.58 | 18 | 3,654 | 0.34 | 1,242 |
|  | 1985/86 | 3,689 | 0.45 | 1,646 | 31 | 0.58 | 18 | 3,658 | 0.44 | 1,628 |
|  | 1986/87 | 3,070 | 0.37 | 1,137 | 137 | 0.58 | 80 | 2,933 | 0.36 | 1,057 |
|  | 1987/88 | 3,485 | 0.36 | 1,272 | 173 | 0.58 | 101 | 3,312 | 0.35 | 1,171 |
|  | Average | 3,523 | 0.37 | 1,316 | 79 | 0.58 | 46 | 3,444 | 0.37 | 1,270 |
| Lent 11 | 1983/84 | 100 | 0.86 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1984/85 | 102 | 0.85 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1985/86 | 103 | 0.85 | 88 |  | - |  | 103 | 0.85 | 88 |
|  | 1986/87. | 1,455 | 0.86 | 1,248 | 27 | 1.00 | 27 | 1,428 | 0.86 | 1,221 |
|  | 1987/88 | 1,510 | 0.53 | 805 | 23 | 1.00 | 23 | 1,487 | 0.86 | 782 |
|  | Average | 654 | 0.71 | 463 | 25 | 1.00 | 25 | 1,006 | 0.69 | 697. |
| Onion | 1983/84 | 1,055 | 15.39 | 16,238 | 1,050 | 15.40 | 16,168 | 5 | 14.00 | 70 |
|  | 1984/85 | 1,065 | 15.10 | 16,089 | 1,062 | 15.13 | 16,068 | 3 | 10.30 | 21 |
|  | 1985/86 | 1,067 | 15.44 | 16,517 | 1,067 | 15.46 | 16,496 | 3 | 7.00 | 21 |
|  | 1986/87 | 1,918 | 15.13 | 29,018 | 1,843 | 15.46 | 28,493 | 75 | 7.00 | 525 |
|  | 1987/88 | 2,315 | 17.38 | 40,240 | 2,245 | 17.71 | 39,750 | 70 | 7.00 | 490 |
|  | Average | 1,484 | 15.92 | 23,620 | 1,453 | 16.10 | 23,395 | 31 | 7.27 | 225 |
| Cauliflower | 1983/84 | N. A. | N. A . | *.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  |  |  |
|  | 1984/85 | N, A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  |  |  |
|  | 1985/86 | 202 | 9.05 | 1,828 | 202 | 9.05 | 1,828 |  |  |  |
|  | 1986/87 | 165 | 9.05 | 1,493 | 165 | 9.05 | 1,493 |  |  |  |
|  | 1987/88 | 166 | 9.05 | 1,502 | 166 | 9.05 | 1,502 |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 178 | 9.03 | 1,608 | 178 | 9.03 | 1,608 |  |  |  |
| Rabi Fodder (Shatal) | 1983/84 | N.A. | *.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  |  |  |
|  | 1984/85 | N, A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |  |  |  |
|  | 1985/86 | 8,089 | 15.78 | 127,682 | 6,120 | 17.39 | 106,400 | 1,978 | 10.76 | 21,282 |
|  | 1986/87 | 8,550 | 16.60 | 141,925 | 7,564 | 16.16 | 122,205 | 986 | 20.00 | 19,720 |
|  | 1987/88 | 12,149 | 15.63 | 189,918 | 9,189 | 17.48 | 160,661 | 2,960 | 9.88 | 29,257 |
|  | Average | 9,596 | 15.96 | 153,175 | 7,624 | 17.01 | 129,755 | 1,974 | 11.86 | 23,420 |
| Citrus | 1983/84 | 760 | 7.77 | 5,907 | 760 | 7.77 | 5,907 |  |  |  |
|  | 1984/85 | 768 | 7.75 | 5,950 | 768 | 7.75 | 5,950 |  |  |  |
|  | 1985/86 | 772 | 7.75 | 5,982 | 172 | 7.75 | 5,982 |  |  |  |
|  | 1986/87 | 774 | 7.55 | 5,998 | 774 | 7.55 | 5,998 |  |  |  |
|  | 1987/88 | 780 | 7.76 | 6,045 | 780 | 7.75 | 6,045 |  |  |  |
|  | Average | 771 | 7.76 | 5,976 | 771 | 7.75 | 5,976 |  |  |  |

 (SWAT SUB-DIVISION)

| Area | Intensity |
| :---: | :---: |
| (ha) | (\%) |
| 56,878 | 57.5 |
| 26,099 | 26.4 |
| 18,703 | 18.9 |
| 4,647 | 4.7 |
| 2,980 | 3.0 |
| 1,523 | 11.5 |
| 2,926 | 3.0 |
| 62,582 | 63.2 |
| 45,055 | 45.5 |
| 3,158 | 3.2 |
| 2,146 | 2.2 |
| 1,564 | 1.6 |
| 1,885 | 1.9 |
| 1,070 | 1.1 |
| 7,704 | 7.9 |
| 1,456 | 1.5 |
| 5,257 | 5.3 |
| 119,460 | 120.7 |
| 98,964 |  |




 | $0 乙 z^{6} 6 \varepsilon$ |
| :---: |
| $8 l^{6} 9$ | Crop

1. Kharif Crop
(1) Maize
(3) Pulses
(4) Potato
(5) Vegetables
(6) Fodders and Others
2. Rabi crops 2. Rabi crops
(1) Wheat (2) Barley
(3) Rape and Mustard
(4) Pulses
(5) Onion
(6) Vegetables
(7) Fodders and Others (7) Fodders and Others
3. Sugarcane
4. Fruits
Total
5. Cultivated Area
Source: Agricultural Statistical Office, Swat District

| Total |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Area | Intensity |
| (ha) | (\%) |
| 36,991 | 88.9 |
| 35,323 | 84.9 |
| 1,580 | 3.8 |
| 18 | 0.0 |
| - | - |
| 70 | 0.2 |
| - | - |
| 19,070 | 45.9 |
| 18,404 | 44.4 |
| 304 | 0.7 |
| 9 | 0.0 |
| - | - |
| 3 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 0.1 |
| 310 | 0.7 |
| 80 | 0.2 |
| 97 | 0.2 |
| 56,240 | 135.2 |
| 41,588 |  |

TABLE C-24 CROPPED AREA AND CROPPING INTENSTTY (1986/87)


| Area | Intensity <br> (ha) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{34,056}{(\%)}$ | $\frac{88.9}{88.9}$ |
| 34,038 | - |
| 18 | 0.0 |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| 17,583 | $\frac{45.9}{44.9}$ |
| 17,210 | 0.7 |
| 281 | 0.0 |
| 8 | - |
| - | 0.0 |
| 20 | 0.3 |
| 64 | 0.1 |
| 48 | 0.2 |
| 74 | 135.1 |
| 1,761 |  |

Uni
$\begin{array}{r}\hline \text { (ha) } \\ 34,056 \\ \hline 34,038 \\ - \\ 18 \\ - \\ - \\ \hline 17,583 \\ \hline 17,210 \\ 281 \\ 8 \\ - \\ - \\ 20 \\ 64 \\ 48 \\ 74 \\ 51,761 \\ \hline\end{array}$
O
$\stackrel{2}{2}$
$\infty$
$\infty$

$$
\frac{\text { Irrigated }}{\text { Area } \quad \text { Intensity }}
$$

Crop

1. Kharif Crop
(1) Maize
(2) Rice
(3) pulses
(4) Potato
(5) Vegetables
(6) Fodders and Others 2. Rabi Crops
2. Rabi Crops
(1) Wheat
(2) Barley
(3) Rape and Mustard
(4) Pulses
(5) Onion
(6) Vegetables
(7) Fodders and Others
3. Sugarcane
4. Fruits
Total
5. Cultivated Area

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\hline \text { Area } & \frac{\text { Intensity }}{\text { (ha) }} \\
\frac{2,935}{(\%)} & \frac{89.0}{39.0} \\
\frac{1,285}{1,580} & 47.9 \\
- & -
\end{array}
$$

Source: Agricultural Statistical Office, Swat District
TABLE C-25 CROPPED AREA AND CROPPING INTENSTTY (1.986/87) (BUNER SUB-DIVISION)

| Total |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Area | Intensity |
| 40,249 | 72.9 |
| 36,828 | 66.8 |
| 353 | 0.6 |
| 2,480 | 4.5 |
| - | - |
| 150 | 0.3 |
| 438 | 0.7 |
| 44,967 | 81.5 |
| 41,455 | 75.1 |
| 1,715 | 3.1 |
| 915 | 1.7 |
| 75 | 0.1 |
| 30 | 0.1 |
| 200 | 0.4 |
| 577 | 1.0 |
| 854 | 3.5 |
| 14 | 0.0 |
| 86,084 | 155.9 |
| 55,179 |  |
| 17 |  |

 Crop

1. Kharif Crop
(1) Maize
(2) Rice
(3) Pulses
(4) Potato
(5) Vegetables
(6) Fodders and Others
2. Rabi Crops
(1) Wheat
(2) Barley
(3) Rape and Mustard
(4) Pulses
(5) Onion.
(6) Vegetables
(7) Fodders and Others
3. Sugarcane
4. Fruits
Total
5. Cultivated Area

## TABLE C-26 DISTRIBUTION OF CROP LOAN THROUGH AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVES (1987/88)

| Sub-Division | No. of Beneficilies | $\begin{gathered} \text { Loan } \\ \frac{\text { Amount }}{\left(\text { Rs. }^{\prime} 000\right)} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Repaid } \\ & \left(\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { Rs. } 000)}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ (\overline{\text { Rs. } 000}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Ratio of } \\ \text { Repayment } \end{array} \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Swat | 1,440 | 13,408 | 9,200 | 4,208 | 68.6 |
| Shangla Par | 388 | 3,824 | 2,727 | 1,097 | 71.3 |
| Buner | 400 | 3,850 | 3,399 | 451 | 88.3 |
| Total | 2,228 | 21,882 | 15,326 | 5,756 | 70.0 |

Source: Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Swat

TABLE C-27 DISTRIBUTTON OF AGRICULTURAL INPUTS AND FARM MACHINERY THROUGH AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVES

| Item |  | Sub-Division |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Swat | Shangla Par | Buner |  |
| 1. Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Urea | ton | 77 | 31 | 42 | 150 |
| - Ammonium Sulfate | 1 | 56 | 24 | 20 | 100 |
| - D.A.P. | " | 350 | 104 | 200 | 654 |

2. Seeds

| - Wheat | $"$ | 1,200 | 300 | 500 | 2,000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - Maize | $"$ | 55 | 20 | 25 | 100 |
| - Sugarcane | $"$ | - | 20 | 30 | 50 |
| - Potato | $"$ | 70 | 31 | - | 101 |

3. Farm Machinery (Loan)

| - Tractor | unit | 7 | 2 | 3 | 12 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Pick up truck | $"$ | 13 | 4 | 5 | 22 |
| - Pump | $"$ | 20 | - | 5 | 25 |
| - Dug Well | $"$ | 8 | - | 2 | 10 |

Source: Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Swat

TABLE C-28 DISTRIBUTION OF IMPROVED SEEDS AND FRUIT SAPLINGS IN SWAT DISTRICT

| Item |  | Amount |  |  | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | $1985 / 86$ | $1986 / 87$ | Average |  |
| 1. Seeds |  |  |  |  | Source: <br> - Maize |
| - Paddy | $"$ | 11.2 | 12.5 | 11.9 | Agricultural |
| - Groundnut | $"$ | 0.6 | - | 0.3 | Development |
| - Gram | $"$ | - | 0.6 | 0.3 | Authority |
| - Wheat | $"$ | 165.6 | 165.0 | 165.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Fruit Plants |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Apple | No. of |  |  |  |  |
| - Appricot | $"$ | N.A | 7,213 | 7,213 | Source: |
| - Plum | $"$ | N.A | 1,452 | 2,352 | Agriculture |
| - Peach | $"$ | N.A | 1,068 | 1,420 | Extension |
| - Walnut | $"$ | N.A | 4,150 | 4,150 |  |
| - Persimmon | $"$ | N.A | 3,041 | 3,041 |  |
| - Pear | $"$ | N.A | 157 | 157 |  |

TABLE C-29 OFF-TAKE OF FERTILIZER IN SWAT DIS'IRICT
(unit: ton)

| Nutrition Element | $1984 / 85$ | $1985 / 86$ | $1986 / 87$ | Average |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | 3,487 | 7,917 | 8,321 | 6,575 |
| P | 1,561 | 1,924 | 2,460 | 982 |
| K | 55 | 213 | 567 | 278 |
| Total | 5,103 | 10,055 | 11,348 | 8,835 |

Source: Agricultural Development Authority N.W.F.P.

TABLE C-30 NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL MACHINERY BY SUB-DIVISION (1989)

| Item | Swat | Shangla <br> Par | Buner | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Pumps | 11 | - |  |  |
| - Tubewell (pumps) | 603 | 82 | 75 | 760 |
| - LiftPumps | $\underline{614}$ | $\underline{82}$ | 100 | 796 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Farm Machinery | 1,073 | 65 | 415 | 1,553 |
| (1) Tractors | 155 | 10 | 70 | 235 |
| (2) Wheat Threshers | 152 | 44 | 8 | 204 |
| (3) Rice Husker | 122 | 4 | 90 | 216 |
| (4) Maize Sheller | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| (5) Wheat Harvestor | 11 | - | 8 | 19 |
| 3. Buldozer |  |  |  |  |

Source : EADA of Agriculture, Swat District


| Animal | No. of Households |  |  | No. of Raised Animals |  |  |  | No of Animals per Raised Household |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Farm Household | Non-Farm Household | Total | Farm Household | Non-Farm Household | Migratory <br> Animals 3/ |  |
| 1. No. of Households with Animals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Cattle | 146.4 | 114.7(82.0) | 31.7(77.9) | 580.2 | 458.7 | 102.2 | 19.3 | 4.0 |
| - Bull/Bullock $2 /$ | N.A | N.A | N.A | 155.5 | 146.1 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| - Cow 21 | N.A | N.A | N.A. | 226.0 | 166.0 | 50.5 | 9.5 | 1.5 |
| (2) Buffaloes | 91.0 | 78.5 (56.1) | 12.5 (30.7) | 270.3 | 229.6 | 33.3 | 7.4 | $\underline{2.9}$ |
| - Bull/Bullock 2/ | N.A | N.A | N.A. | 8.5 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| - Cow 2/ | N.A | N.A | N.A. | 162.1 | 142.9 | 15.7 | 3.5 | 1.8 |
| (3) Mules/Donkey | 19.3 | 12.1( 86) | 17.1 ( 42.0) | 36.1 | 18.6 | 17.4 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| (4) Sheep | 13.6 | 10.8( 7.7) | 2.8 ( 6.9) | 226.9 | 85.7 | 22.5 | 118.7 | 7.9 |
| (5) Goats | 46.9 | 33.3(23.8) | 13.6 ( 33.4) | 437.5 | 210.4 | 60.5 | 166.6 | 6.3 |
| (6) Poultry | 171.2 | 112.7(80.6) | 58.5 (143.7) | 2,194.6 | 1,401.8 | 792.8 | N.A | 12.4 |
| 2. No of Households with and without Animals | 180.6 | $139.9(100.0)$ | 40.7 (100.0) | * |  |  |  |  |

Note: 1/ The figures in the parenthesis shows the percent of farm households with animals

TABLE C-32 NUMBER OF VETENARY FACILITIES


| Animal |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \frac{\text { Cow Unit }}{(1000)} \end{aligned}$ | Annual Nutrient Requirement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dry } 1 / \\ \text { Mattor } \\ \left(\frac{000 \operatorname{ton})}{}\right. \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{TDN} 21}{(000 \operatorname{ton})}$ | $\left(\frac{\text { DCP } 3 /}{(000 \operatorname{ton})}\right.$ |
| 1. | Cattle |  | 224.3 | 499 | 222 | 20 |
|  | - Adult | 192.3 | 428 | 190 | 17 |
|  | - Young | 32.0 | 71 | 32 | 3 |
| 2. | Buffaloes | 207.5 | 462 | 204 | 18 |
|  | - Acult | 186.8 | 416 | 184 | 16 |
|  | - Young | 20.7 | 46 | 20 | 2 |
| 3. | Sheep | 24.4 | 54 | 24 | 2 |
| 4. | Coats | 79.8 | 178 | 78 | 7 |
| 5. | Poultry | 25.7 | 57 | 25 | 2 |
|  | Total | 561.7 | 1,250 | 553 | 49 |

Notes: Annual requirement per head are assumed as follows:
1/ $6.1 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day $\times 365$ days $=2,226 \mathrm{~kg}$
2/ $2.7 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day $\times 365$ days $=986 \mathrm{~kg}$
3/ $0.24 \mathrm{~kg} /$ day $\times 365$ days $=88 \mathrm{~kg}$

TABLE C-34 FISH PRODUCTION

| Year | N.W.F.P. |  | Swat District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Production | Value | Production | Value |
|  | $(\mathrm{kg})$ | (lac. Rs) | $(\mathrm{kg})$ | (lac. Rs) |
| $1970 / 71$ | 150,000 | 5.0 | 3,075 | 0.10 |
| $71 / 72$ | 135,000 | 6.0 | 8,050 | 0.36 |
| $72 / 73$ | 210,000 | 10.40 | 23,150 | 1.14 |
| $73 / 74$ | 121,000 | 7.20 | 11,050 | 0.66 |
| $74 / 75$ | 140,000 | 9.80 | 9,900 | 0.69 |
| $75 / 76$ | 243,000 | 17.10 | 1,900 | 0.13 |
| $76 / 77$ | 273,910 | 19.17 | 5,340 | 0.37 |
| $77 / 78$ | 413,790 | 26.86 | 12,240 | 0.86 |
| $78 / 79$ | 471,709 | 33.57 | 14,500 | 1.02 |
| $79 / 80$ | 692,542 | 69.10 | 13,020 | 1.55 |
| $80 / 81$ | 481,102 | 47.17 | 35,112 | 3.32 |
| $81 / 82$ | 500,000 | 50.00 | 25,000 | 2.50 |
| $82 / 83$ | 709,000 | 70.90 | 15,000 | 1.50 |
| $83 / 84$ | 642,271 | 64.21 | - | - |
| $84 / 85$ | 700,000 | 146.20 | 27,000 | 8.10 |
| $85 / 86$ | 702,500 | 71.60 | 45,000 | 4.50 |

Source: Fisheries Dept., N.W.F.P.

TABLE C-35 PROPOSED CROPPING PATTERN AND INTENSITY
(Unit: \%)

| Crop | Swat | Shangla Par | Buner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub-Division | Sub-Division | Sub-Division |

1. Pattern A (Reservor Irrigation)

Kharif

| (1) Maize | 30 | 20 | 40 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2) Rice | 30 | 50 | - |
| (3) Potato | - | - | - |
| (4) Sugarcane | - | - | 20 |
| (5) Fodders | 20 | 10 | 20 |
| (6) Vegetables | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| (7) Fruits | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Sub-Total |  |  |

Rabi

| (1) Wheat | 30 | 40 | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (2) Onion | 20 | 20 | - |
| (3) Sugarcane | - | - | 20 |
| (4) Fodders | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| (5) Vegetables | 20 | 10 | 20 |
| (6) Fruits | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Sub-Total | $\underline{100.0}$ | $\underline{100.0}$ | 100.0 |
|  | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |

2. Pattern E (Improved Traditional Irrigation)

Nharif

| Rice | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rabi |  |  |  |
| Wheat 100 100 <br> Total 200 200 |  | $\underline{200}$ |  |


|  | P \& | $\omega / \mathrm{p}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W/OP | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 23 | 14 | 1516 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |
| Kharif Crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Maize | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | -1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Rice | - |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Pulses | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | Black Gram |
| 4. Potato | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Sugarcane | 21.8 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.5 | 26.0. |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| 7. Fruits <br> (Apple) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Fooders \& Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rabi Crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Wheat | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | $0.9-$ |  |  |  | - |  |
| 2. Rape \& Muscard | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Puises | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | - | Lentiz |
| 4. Onion | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 8.9 |  |  |  | - |  |
| 3. Vegetables | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Fodders | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |

TARGET YIELD (BARANI TO IRRIGATED)
$\stackrel{a}{3}$

| Crop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} \& \\ & \text { W/OR } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kharif Crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Maize | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Rice | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Pulses | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  | - | Black Gram |
| 4. Potato | 6.9 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 5. Vegetables | - | 5.0 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  | $\sim$ | Tomato |
| 6. Sugarcane | 12.8 | 25.0 | 28.0 | 32.0 | 36.0 | 38.5 | 39.5 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.5 | 40.5 | 41.0 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Fruits | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 : | 15.0 | Apple |
| 8. Fodders | - | 11.0 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 20.5 | 21.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | Maize |
| Rabi Crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Wheat | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 2. Rape \& Mustard | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 3. Pulses | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  | Lenil |
| 4. Onion | 7.3 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 1.7 .0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Vegetables | - | 4.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Fodcers | - | 8.0 | 10.0 | 14.0 | 19.0 | 21.0 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  |  | Shaftal |

TABLE C-38 TARGET YIELD (IRRIGATED TO IRRIGATED)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1 P$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | $15 \quad 16$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |
| Kharif Crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Maize | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Rice | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 |  |  |  | - |  |
| 3. Pulses | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |  |  |  | - | Black Gram |
| 4. Potato | 11.2 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 21.5 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 24.5 | 15.0 |  |  |  | - | Tomato |
| 5. Vegetables | 11.7 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Sugarcane | 38.0 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.5 | 40.5 | 41.0 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 41.5 | 42.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Fruits | - | - | - | - | - | 3.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 14.0 | $14.0 \quad 14.0$ | 14.5 | 14.5 | 1.4 .5 | 15.0 | Apple |
| 8. Focders | 18.6 | 19.0 | 19.5 | 20.0 | 20.5 | 21.0 | 21.5 | 22.0 | 22.5 | 23.0 | 23.5 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | Maize |
| Rapi Crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Wheat | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 |  |  |  | - |  |
| 2. Rape \& Mustard | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 |  |  |  | - |  |
| 3. Pulses | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 |  |  |  | - | Lentil |
| 4. Onion | 16.01 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 18.0 |  |  |  | - |  |
| 5. Vegetables 9.0 (Cauliflower) |  | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  | Cauliflower |
| 6. Fodders | 18.9 | 18.9 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 22.0 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  | Shaftal |


table c-39
$\frac{\frac{\text { Size ot bld' } \xi}{}}{\frac{\text { Bld'g }}{\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)} \frac{\text { Residemce }}{\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}}$
QUANTETY OF AGRICULIURAL SUPFORTING FACILITIES

| Equiprient |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Analytical Equipments |  |
| 2. Tools |  |
| 3 | Farm Machinery |
|  | - Tractor (20 - 30HP) |
|  | - एower Tiller (5-10HP) |
|  | - Plot Planter |
|  | - Sprayer |
|  | - Cuitivator |
| 4 | Bicycles |
|  | - Pick-up |
|  | - Motorcycle |
| 5 | Audio-visual Aids \& Others |
|  | Analytical Equipment |
|  | Furniture/Office Equipments |
| 3 | Bicycles |
|  | - Minibus |
|  | - Jeep |
|  | - Pick-up |



Facility/No. of Staff


 $\infty \mathrm{NG}$
Equipment

1. Stud Eull
2. Gear Article
3. Others
Total
4. Licuid Nitrogen Contrainer
5. Microscope
6. Injector \& others
7. Motorcycle
8. Liquid Nitroger Container
9. Microscope
10. Injector \& others
11. Motorcycle
12. Scale and Measures
13. Motorcycle
14. Brooder
15. Drinker (Large/Small)
16. Feeder
17. Generator and others
$\frac{\text { Size of Bld!g }}{\frac{\text { BIdg }}{\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)} \frac{\text { Residence }}{\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}}$
) -
$1 \quad 1$
$\underset{\rightarrow}{\circ} \quad \infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$
므N
0
$n$
8
옹
$\underset{\substack{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{C}}$
1,000
aciljty/No. of Staff
3.2. Natural Breeding Center

- Bull Attendant
3.3. Artificial Insemination
Center
- Veterinary Officer
- Inseminatos
- Cattie Attendant
$\quad$ Total
3.4. Artificial Insemination Subcenter
- Inseminator
- Cattle Attendant
Total 3.5. Animal Nutrition Center
- Veterinary Officer - Production Assistant - Production Assistant 3 Total
3.6. Poultry Hatehery $\begin{array}{r}\text { - Manager } \\ -\quad \text { Attendant } \\ \text { Iotal }\end{array}$


| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{4}{3} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |






TABYE C-60 PROPOSED AGRICULTURAL SUPPORTING FACILITIES EY TERM OF PHAN

| Facilities | Target | Unit | Swat |  |  | Shangla Par |  |  | gunct |  |  | District Tocal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Shore | Micale | Long | Shore | Midele | Long | Short | Middle | Loras. | Short | siddle | 200\% |
| 1. Research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 Kalam Subscacion of the Mingora Station 1.2 Agricultural Research Instituee (Mingora) | District District | PIace | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | 1 |  |
| 2. Exteasion and Seed Production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 ATTD Farm (Main) | Discrice | " | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 2.2 ATTD Farm (Branch) |  | " | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.3 Agricultural Training Center | Every 3 co 5 UCS | ${ }^{11}$ | 2 | 2 | б | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 2.4 Agricultural Training Subcenter | All UCS | " | 10 | 14. | 24 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 27 | 17 | 18 |
| 2.5 Seed rarm | dill Su-bivisions | " | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| 2.6 Nutsery Stacion | All Sub-Divisions | s" |  | 1 |  | : |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2.7 Fruits \& Vegetable Training Center | Districe . | " |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 2.8 Eruits \& Vegecables Training Subcenter | All Sub-Tebsils | " | 4 |  |  | , |  |  | i | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 2.9 Bee-keeping Cencer | All Sub-Divisions | s " |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3. Animal Husbandry Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 Vecerinary Hospical | Every 3 to 5 UCS | " |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | $\div$ |
| 3.2 Nacural Ereeding Center |  | " | 1 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 3.3 A.l Cencer | All Sub-Divisions |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 3.4 A. 1 Subcenter | Every 3 to 5 UCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | $i$ | 2 |
| 3.5 Animal Nurition Center | All Sub-Divisions | s " | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  |
| 3.6. Poulzry hachery | All Sub-Divisions | s " |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 4. Soil Censervation Project | Throughoue Districe | r " |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 3$ | 1/3 |
| 5. Earm Mectanizacion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 rractor station |  | ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| á. Cooperatives/rnpuc Supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.1 Cooperatives Inspector Office | Every 3 to 3 UCS | " |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | - |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| 6.2 Agricultural Cooperative Bank | All Sub-Divisions |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | - |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 6.3 aDA Soil Point Warehouse | dll Sub-Divisions | " |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 7. Markecing system |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.I Vegetable and Fruit Market |  | " |  | 6 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 4 | 8 |  |
| 7.2 Computer System . |  | " | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 8. Small Industry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.1 Women Gandicraft Inspectoress <br> Office and Women Handicraft Center | Al. Sub-Divisions | " |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 8.2 Fruit Processing Industry |  | ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  | $:$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 8.3 Nooderafe Center |  | " |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 8.4 Gabion Manufaceure Factory |  | : |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |

TABLE C-41 LOCATION OF AGRICULTURAL SUPPORTING FACILITIES (LONG TERM DEVELOPMENT PLAN)

TABLE C-42 AGRICULTURAL SUPPORTING FACDITIES SCHEMES

TABLE C-43 LAND USE SUB-TEHSL CHAKESAR

| Village | No. of Land Owner | No. of Tenants | No. ofFieldsTotal Area | Total | Cultivatod Land |  |  |  |  |  |  | Uncultivated Land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Imigated |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Cuitu- <br> rob?e <br> Waiste | Pesture Land | Graming | Timber | Shrub Forest | Hill of Kelarc | Not <br> Available <br> Cultivation |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sub- <br> Total | Annual Crops | Orchard | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mainly } \\ & \text { Rice } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tube } \\ & \text { weil } \\ & \text { Pump } \\ & \text { Irri. } \end{aligned}$ | National | Un-i.xrigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Bar Paw | 4,034 | 2,852 | 14,554 | 5,173 | 232 | - | - | 232 | - | - | 4,941 | 938 | 887 | 3.017 | 1,274 | 2,220 | 2,339 | - | 644 |
| 1. Chakesar | 1,022 | 800 | 2,037 | 789 | 110 | . | - | 110 | - | - | 679 | 1,248 | 145 | 468 | 326 | 52 | 121 | - | 136 |
| 2. Khadang | 594 | 300 | 1,339 | 594 | 7 | . | - | 7 | - | - | 587 | 745 | 102 | 387 | 50 | 81 | 74 | - | 51 |
| 3. Kadona | 392 | 180 | 1.098 | 404 | 7 | - | - | 7 | - | - | 397 | 694 | 35 | 135 | 179 | 71 | 243 | - | 31 |
| 4. Langbar | 618 | 500 | 1,937 | 589 | 22 | - | - | 22 | - | - | 567 | 1,348 | 140 | 418 | 197 | 405 | 100 | - | 88 |
| 5. Bunerwall | 200 | 100 | 1,633 | 407 | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 403 | 1,226 | 61 | 415 | 85 | 135 | 486 | - | 44 |
| 6. Katkor | 300 | 100 | 1,129 | 358 | 35 | - | - | 35 | - | - | 323 | 771 | 89 | 108 | 52 | 364 | 112 | - | 46 |
| 7. Danakol | 501 | 201 | 2,559 | 595 | 15 | - | - | 25 | - | - | 580 | 964 | 49 | 312 | 54 | 446 | 48 | - | 55 |
| 8. Chedam | 249 | 149 | 625 | 255 | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 251 | 370 | 39 | 181 | 6 | 81 | 23 | - | 40 |
| 9. Opal | 38 | 200 | 1,268 | 392 | 4 | . | - | 4 | - | - | 388 | 876 | 88 | 92 | 58 | 526 | 74 | - | 38 |
| 10. Punial | 39 | 80 | 397 | 132 | 6 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 126 | 265 | 13 | 85 | 109 | 8 | 23 | - | 27 |
| 11. Gulibat | 41 | 190 | 896 | 426 | 10 | - | - | 10 | - | - | 416 | 470 | 98 | 205 | 36 | 52 | 35 | - | 45 |
| 12. Karora | 40 | 52 | 636 | 232 | 8 | - | - | 8 | - | - | 224 | 404 | 28 | 211 | 122 | - | - | - | 43 |
| 2. $\mathrm{Kuz}^{\text {Paw }}$ | 2,165 | 1,258 | 9,185 | 1,662 | 177 | - | - | 177 | - | - | 1,485 | 7,523 | 396 | 2.253 | 1,699 | 2,429 | 321 | - | 425 |
| 13. Taloon | 680 | 680 | 3,838 | 605 | 25 | - | - | 15 | - | - | 590 | 3,233 | 157 | 679 | 250 | 2,024 | 29 | - | 94 |
| 14. Sarkool | 319 | 280 | 1.004 | 201 | 39 | . | - | 39 | - | - | 162 | 803 | 59 | 389 | 265 | 4 | 14 | - | 72 |
| 15. Droot | 361 | 203 | 729 | 137 | 1 | . | - | 1 | - | - | 136 | 592 | 35 | 148 | 175 | 175 | 9 | - | 50 |
| 16. Counagar | 210 | 109 | 860 | 129 | 40 | - | - | 40 | - | - | 89 | 731 | 29 | 327 | 308 |  | - | . | 67 |
| 17. Tatkul | 258 | 129 | 1,779 | 273 | 74 | . | - | 74 | - | - | 199 | 1,504 | 91 | 417 | 469 | 202 | 229 | . | 95 |
| 18. Danday | 337 | 137 | 977 | 317 | 8 | . | - | 8 | - | - | 309 | 660 | 25 | 293 | 232 | 24 | 40 | - | 46 |
| 1 Total | 6,199 | 4,120 | 23,739 | 6.835 | 409 | . | - | 409 | - | - | 6,426 | 6.904 | 1,283 | 5.270 | 2,973 | 4.649 | 1.660 | - | 2,069 |

TABLE C-44 LAND USE SUB-TEHSU PURAN (1989)

TABLE C-45 LAND USE SUB-TEESK MARTUNG

| Village | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of of } \\ & \text { land } \\ & \text { owner } \end{aligned}$ | No of Tenants | Na of Fields Total area | Cultivated Land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Uncultivated Land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Irrigated |  |  |  |  |  | Unirr igated | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cultura } \\ \text { ble } \\ \text { waiste } \end{gathered}$ | Pasture land | Grazing land | Truber Forest | Shrub Forest | Finill of Kalam | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { availabie } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { curtivati } \\ \text { on } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sub Total | Annual crops | Orchard | Mainly Rice | Tube well Pump Irri | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nation } \\ & \text { al } \\ & \text { Project } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Martung U.C. | 3,460 | 1.455 | 9.737 | 2,779 | 206 | - | - | 206 | - | - | 2.573 | 6,958 | $\underline{295}$ | 4,745 | 622 | 547 | - | - | 749 |
| 1. Manz Kolay | 280 | 134 | 1,297 | 333 | 31 | . | - | 31 | - | - | 302 | 964 | 75 | 394 | 283 | 237 | - | - | 35 |
| 2. Koz-Kalay | 349 | 235 | 773 | 354 | 31 | - | - | 31 | - | - | 202 | 419 | 30 | 273 | 35 | 40 | - | - | 41 |
| 3. Mondoris | 65 | 50 | 365 | 126 | 5 | - | - | 5 | - | - | 121 | 239 | 15 | 163 | 9 | 37 | - | - | 15 |
| 4. Mirjalay | 120 | 9 | 253 | 81 | 6 | - | - | 6 | - | $\sim$ | 75 | 172 | 1 | 143 |  | 19 | - | - | 9 |
| 5. Serai | 181 | 43 | 235 | 41 | 20 | - | - | 10 | - | - | 31 | 194 | 2 | 167 |  | . | - | - | 25 |
| 6.Alamas-Banda | 284 | 37 | 542 | 158 | 10 | - | - | 10 | . | - | 148 | 384 | 5 | 326 | 23 |  | . | . | 30 |
| 7. Shaga | 83 | 55 | 161 | 74 | 6 | - | . | 6 | - | - | 68 | 87 | 3 | - 47 | 28 | . | - | . | 9 |
| 8. Kotki-Mart | 105 | 25 | 132 | 57 | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 64 | 65 | 1 | 55 |  | - | - | - | 9 |
| 9. Ashara Sar | 30 | 6 | 158 | 28 | - | - | * | - | - | - | 28 | 130 | 15 | 79 | 22 | 11 | - | - | 3 |
| 10. Dora Sar | 82 | 10 | 130 | 68 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 67 | 62 | 5 | 53 |  | - | - | - | 4 |
| 11. Kabail Gram | 547 | 260 | 2,050 | 448 | 23 | - | - | 23 | - | - | 425 | 1,602 | 77 | 2,224 | 134 | - |  |  | 167 |
| 12. Geer | 184 | 20 | 310 | 94 | 8 | - | . | 8 | - | - | 86 | 216 | 12 | 167 | 18 | - | - | - | 19 |
| 13. Behar | 410 | 141 | 887 | 212 | 45 | - | - | 45 | - | - | 167 | 675 | 67 | 489 |  | - | - | - | 119 |
| 14. Hasham Khel | 138 | 28 | 363 | 59 | 6 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 53 | 304 | 5 | 239 | $70^{\circ}$ | 12 | - | - | 48 |
| 15. Kamach | 602 | 402 | 2,081 | 636 | 21 | - | - | 21 | - | - | 675 | 1,445 | 42 | 926 | 70 | 191 | - | - | 216 |
| Balikhel U.C. | 3,034 | 720 | 6,146 | 1,735 | 144 | - | - | 114 | - | - | $\underline{1.621}$ | 4,411 | $\frac{180}{57}$ | 2.896 | $\frac{168}{137}$ | $\frac{797}{306}$ | - | - | $\frac{420}{220}$ |
| 16. Dedal | 517 | 310 | 2,254 | 533 | 43 | - | - | 43 | - | - | 490 | 1,721 | 57 | 999 | 137 | 306 | - | - | 222 |
| 17. Mosakhel Sar | 160 | 46 | 237 | 75 | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | $\cdot$ | - | 75 | 162 | 3 | 116 | 2 | 30 | - | - | 11 |
| 18. Solay | 114 | 13 | 179 | 73 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 73 | 106 | 2 | 99 |  | - | - | - | 5 |
| 19. Nask | 179 | 23 | 228 | 73 |  | - | - | - | - | - | 73 | 155 | 19 | 70 | 7 | 59 | - | - | 7 |
| 20. Codo-Garee | 197 | 10 | 281 | 88 | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 84 | 193 | 5 | 167 | 7 | - | - | . | 14 |
| 21.Charg Bala-Khel | 46 | 31 | 136 | 34 | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 30 | 102 | 5 | 89 |  |  | $\cdot$ | - | 8 |
| 22. Torani | 373 | 41 | 465 | 129 | 6 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 123 | 336 | 25 | 200 | - | 84 |  |  | 27 |
| 23. Dankool | 325 | 66 | 398 | 116 | 9 | - | - | 9 | - | - | 107 | 282 | 14 | 228 | 2 | 18 | - | - | 20 |
| 24. Thitwalan | 396 | 72 | 811 | 207 | 22 | - | - | 22 | - | - | 185 | 604 | 17 | 374 | 11 | 165 | - | - | 37 |
| 25. Thirauope! | 111 | 3 | 157 | 78 | - | " | - | - | - | - | 78 | 79 | 11 | 47 | - | 15 | - | - | 6 |
| 26. Rich-Ban | 110 | 18 | 233 | 68 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 67 | 165 | 3 | 91 | 1 | 64 | - | - | 6 |
| 27. Rish-Kand | 203 | 44 | 264 | 92 | 11 | - | - | 11 | - | - | 81 | 172 | 6 | 144 | - | 1 | - | - | 21 |
| 23. Pishlore | 303 | 43 | 503 | 169 | 14 | - | - | 1.4 | - | * | 155 | 334 | 13 | 272 | 8 | 5 | - | - | 36 |
| Total | 6,494 | 2,127 | 15,883 | 4,514 | 320 | $\cdots$ | - | 320 | - | - | 7,194 | 1,369 | 475 | 7,641 | 790 | 1,294 | - | - | 1,169 |



| (Unit: ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Total |  |  |  |  |  | Chakesar |  |  |  |  |  | Puran |  |  |  |  |  | Martung |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Irrigated |  | Unirrigated |  | Total |  | Irrigated |  | Ünirrigated |  | Total |  | Irrigated |  | Unirrigated |  | Total |  | Irrigated |  | Unirrigated |  |
|  | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) |
| a.C ultivated Area | 19.770 | 100 | $\underline{1.940}$ | 100 | 17,830 | 100 | 6,860 | 100 | 410 | 1.00 | 6,450 | 100 | 8,400 | 100 | 1.210 | 100 | 7.190 | 100 | 4.510 | 100 | 320 | 100 | 4,190 | 100 |
| 2.Cropped Area (Total) | 32,624 | 165 | $\underline{2,734}$ | 141 | $\underline{29,890}$ | 168 | 10,967 | 160 | 667. | 162 | 10,300 | 160 | 13,982 | 166 | $\underline{1,554}$ | 129 | 12,428 | 173 | 7,675 | 170 | 513 | $\underline{160}$ | 7,162 | 171 |
| Kharif Ncrops | 18,335 | 93 | 1,917 | 99 | 16,418 | $\underline{92}$ | 6.797 | $\underline{99}$ | 411 | 100 | 6.386 | 99 | 7.831 | 93 | 1,186 | 98 | 6,645 | $\underline{92}$ | $\underline{3,707}$ | $\underline{82}$ | 320 | 100 | 3,387 | 81 |
| - Maize | 16,806 | 85 | 501 | 26 | 16,305 | 91 | 6,470 | 94 | 132 | 32 | 6,338 | 98 | 6,864 | 81 | 253 | 21 | 6,605 | 92 | 3,472 | 77 | 110 | 34 | 3,362 | 80 |
| - Rice | 1,413 | 7 | 1,392 | 72 | 21 | . | 320 | 5 | 279 | 68 | 41 | 1 | 904 | 11 | 903 | 75 | 1 | . | 210 | 4 | 210 | 66 | 0 | - |
| - Pulses\&Beans | 34 | - |  | - | 34 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | 6 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 25 | 1 | - | . | 25 | 1 |
| -Sugarcane | 46 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | 1 | 27 | 2 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | - | - |  |  | - | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - Vegerables | 5 | - | 4 | - | 1. | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | $\bullet$ | 4 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| -Fruits | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | . | - |
| - Others | 9 | - | 2 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 2 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Rabi Croos | $\underline{14.289}$ | 72 | 817 | 42 | 13,472 | 76 | 4,170 | 61 | 256 | 62 | 3,914 | 61 | 6,151 | 73 | 368 | 31 | 5,783 | 81 | 3,968 | 88 | 193 | 60 | 3.775 | $\underline{9}$ |
| - Wheat | 13,698 | 69 | 732 | 38 | 12,966 | 73 | 4,104 | 60 | 229 | 56 | 3,875 | 60 | 5,721 | 68 | 359 | 30 | 5,362 | 80 | 3,873 | 86 | 144 | 45 | 3,729 | 89 |
| - Barley | 261 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 249 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 2 | - | 39 | 1 | 180 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 175 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 35 | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { - Rape and } \\ & \text { Mustard } \end{aligned}$ | 24 | 0 | - |  | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 1 | . | . | 22 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | . |
| - Fodders | 138 | 1. | 4 | - | 234 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 125 | 1 | - | - | 125 | - | 13 | - | 4 | 1 | $s$ | 1 |
| - Others | 168 | 1 | 69 | 3 | 99 | 1 | 25 | - | 25 | 6 | 0 | - | 103 | 1 | 4 | - | 99 | - | 40 | 1 | 40 | 12 | 0 | - |

[^4]

FIGURE C-2 PROPOSED CROPPING PATTERN

PATTERN A (RESERVOIR IRRIGATION)

| Area | JAN. | FEB. | mar. | APR. | may | JuN. | JUL. | AUG. | SEP. | ост. | NOV. | DEC | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Swat } \\ & \text { Sub-Diviaion } \\ & \\ & 80 \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & 40 \\ & \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Maize <br> Rice <br> bles |  |  |  | $\underbrace{0}_{\text {etab }}$ |  | L.P.: Land Prepara tion <br> S : Sowing <br> T : Transpor tation <br> H: Harvesting |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Maiz <br> Ric <br> les | $\square$ |  |  |  |  | * |
| Buner <br> Sub-Division 80 <br> 60 <br> 40 <br> 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Maiz <br> es |  |  |  |  |  |  |

pattern b (Improved trational. frrigation)

FIGURE C-3 CROSS SECTION SHOMING MAIN FEATURES OF BENCH TERRACES

'TABLE C-4'7 IOCATION AND SCALE OF MARKETING FACILITLES

$$
\text { (unit: } m^{2} \text { ) }
$$

| Sub-Division | Location | Scale of Marketing Facilities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Auction/0ffice |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Size | Facilities | Car Park |  |
|  | (lown) |  |  |  |  |
| Swat |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mjngora | Big | 1,680 | 8,100 | 9,780 |
|  | Matta | Medium | 840 | 2,100 | 2,940 |
|  | Khawazakhela | Medium | 840 | 2,100 | 2,940 |
|  | Bahrain | Small | 420 | 1,050 | 1,470 |
|  | Kalam | Small | 420 | 1,050 | 1,470 |
|  | Kabal | Small | 420 | 1,050 | 1.470 |
| Shangla Par |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alpuri | Small | 420 | 1,050 | 1,470 |
|  | Chakesar | Small | 420 | 1,050 | 1,470 |
|  | Aloch | Small | 420 | 1,050 | 1,470 |
|  | Martung | Small | 420 | 1,050 | 1,470 |
| Buner |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sawari | Medium | 840 | 2,100 | 2,940 |
|  | Pir Baba | Snal1 | 420 | 1,050 | 1,470 |

TARLE C-48 LOCATION OF INFORMATION SYSTEM CENTER AND TERMINAL

|  | ADBP Swat <br> Sub-Division <br> (Facilities) | Kegional Office <br> (Conmputer Center) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | (Computer Terminal) |
| :--- |
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TABLE D-2-(2) CONSUMPTIVE USE OF WATER IN EVERY A THIRD MONTH IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROPOSED CROPPING PATTERN


TABLE D-2-(3) CONSUMP'TVE USE OF WATER TN EVERY A THIRD MONTH IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROPOSED CROPPING PATTERN

|  |  |  |  |  |  | UNER |  |  |  |  |  |  | : mom |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | EVAIO-TRANS- | MAI | 7E. | Silgar | Canf. | WHE |  | FOnOE |  | veget | BLES | FRUI |  |
| TERM |  | $\frac{\text { Piration }}{\text { ETO }}$ | RC | ETC | KC | ETC | KC | ETC | X ${ }^{-}$ | ETC | KC | ETC | KC | ErC |
| MONTII | $\overrightarrow{\text { AYS }}$ | (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JAN. | 110 | 18.0 |  |  | 1.25 | 22.5 | 0.68 | 12.2 | 0.57 | 10.3 | 1.01 | 18.2 | 0.85 | 15.3 |
| Eto | LI 10 | 18.0 |  |  | 1.25 | 22.5 | 0.78 | 14.0 | 0.64 | 11.5 | 1.02 | 18.4 | 0.85 | 15.3 |
| 1.8 | 1111 | 19.8 |  |  | 1.25 | 24.8 | 0.84 | 16.6 | 0.71 | 14.1 | 0.91 | 18.0 | 0.85 | 16.8 |
| num/diny |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FE.B. | 110 | 25.0 |  |  | 0.95 | 23.8 | 0.88 | 22.0 | 0.78 | 19.5 | 0.80 | 20.0 | 0.85 | 21.3 |
|  | 1110 | 25.0 |  |  | 0.95 | 23.8 | 0.91 | 22.8 | 0.87 | 21.8 | 0.80 | 20.0 | 0.85 | 21.3 |
| 2.5 | II 8 | 20.0 |  |  | 0.95 | 19.0 | 0.96 | 19.2 | 0.97 | 19.4 |  |  | 0.85 | 17.0 |
| Mar. | 110 | 37.0 |  |  | 0.70 | 25.9 | 0.99 | 36.6 | 1.02 | 37.7 |  |  | 0.85 | 31.5 |
|  | 1110 | 37.0 |  |  | 0.70 | 25.9 | 1.02 | 37.7 | 1.04 | 38.5 |  |  | 0.85 | 31.5 |
| 3.7 | W11 | 40.7 |  |  | 0.70 | 28.5 | 1.01 | 41.1 | 1.90 | 40.7 |  |  | 0.85 | 34.6 |
| APR. | 110 | 55.0 |  |  | 0.40 | 22.0 | 0.98 | 53.9 | 0.93 | 51.2 |  |  | 0.95 | 52.3 |
|  | II 10 | 55.0 |  |  | 0.40 | 22.0 | 0.78 | 42.9 | 0.80 | 44.0 |  |  | 0.95 | 52.3 |
|  | 1110 | 55.0 |  |  | 0.40 | 22.0 | 0.54 | 29.7 | 0.67 | 36.9 |  |  | 0.95 | 52.3 |
| Mâ | 110 | 74.0 |  |  | 0.75 | 55.5 | 0.37 | 27.4 | 0.63 | 46.6 | 0.36 | 26.6 | 1.05 | 77.7 |
|  | 1110 | 74.0 |  |  | 0.75 | 55.5 | 0.35 | 25.9 | 0.60 | 44.4 | 0.42 | 31.1 | 1.05 | 77.7 |
| 7.4 | It 11 | 81.4 |  |  | 0.75 | 61.1 | 0.32 | 26.0 | 0.58 | 47.2 | 0.49 | 39.9 | 1.05 | 85.5 |
| SIN. | 110 | 84.0 | 0.38 | 31.9 | 0.95 | 79.8 |  |  |  |  | 0.66 | 55.4 | 1.15 | 96.6 |
|  | 1110 | 84.0 | 0.41 | 34.4 | 0.95 | 79.8 |  |  |  |  | 0.84 | 70.6 | 1.15 | 96.6 |
| 8.4 | In 10 | 84.0 | 0.44 | 37.0 | 1.10 | 79.8 |  |  |  |  | 0.98 | 82.3 | 1.15 | 96.6 |
| .Jut. | 110 | 67.0 | 0.50 | 33.5 | 1.10 | 73.7 |  |  |  |  | 1.03 | 69.0 | 1.15 | 77.1 |
|  | 1110 | 67.0 | 0.59 | 39.5 | 1.10 | 73.7 |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 62.3 | 1.15 | 77.1 |
| 6.7 | mil | 73.7 | 0.68 | 50.1 | 1.10 | 81.1 |  |  |  |  | 1.05 | 77.4 | 1.15 | 84.8 |
| atic. | 110 | 57.0 | 0.76 | 43.3 | 1.25 | 71.3 |  |  |  |  | 0.93 | 53.0 | 1.15 | 65.6 |
|  | [1 10 | 57.0 | 0.82 | 46.7 | 1.25 | 71.3 |  |  |  |  | 0.81 | 46.2 | 1.15 | 65.6 |
| 5.7 | III 11 | 62.7 | 0.87 | 54.5 | 1.25 | 78.4 |  |  |  |  | 0.80 | 50.2 | 1.15 | 72.1 |
| SEP. | 110 | 50.0 | 0.89 | 44.5 | 1.25 | 62.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.80 | 40.0 | 1.10 | 55.0 |
|  | I 10 | 50.0 | 0.89 | 44.5 | 1.25 | 62.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.80 | 40.0 | 1.10 | 55.0 |
| 5.0 | [i 10 | 50.0 | 0.87 | 43.5 | 1.25 | 62.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.36 | 18.0 | 1.10 | 55.0 |
| оСт. | I 10 | 38.0 | 0.84 | 31.9 | 1.25 | 47.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.42 | 16.0 | 0.90 | 34.2 |
|  | 1110 | 38.0 | 0.83 | 31.5 | 1.25 | 47.5 |  |  |  |  | 0.49 | 18.6 | 0.90 | 34.2 |
| 3.8 | m 11 | 41.8 | 0.82 | 34.3 | 1.25 | 52.3 | 0.35 | 14.6 |  |  | 0.57 | 23.8 | 0.90 | 37.6 |
| NOV. | 110 | 24.0 |  |  | 1.25 | 30.0 | 0.38 | 9.1 | 0.34 | 8.2 | 0.66 | 15.8 | 0.85 | 20.4 |
|  | [1 10 | 24.0 |  |  | 1.25 | 30.0 | 0.41 | 9.8 | 0.37 | 8.9 | 0.82 | 19.7 | 0.85 | 20.4 |
| 2.4 | III 10 | 24.0 |  |  | 1.25 | 30.0 | 0.43 | 10.3 | 0,42 | 10.1 | 0.96 | 23.0 | 0.85 | 20.4 |
| DEC. | 110 | 16.0 |  |  | 1.25 | 20.0 | 0.50 | 8.0 | 0.45 | 7.2 | 1.04 | 16.6 | 0.85 | 13.6 |
|  | U 10 | 16.0 |  |  | 1.25 | 20.0 | 0.55 | 8.8 | 0.47 | 7.5 | 0.77 | 12.3 | 0.85 | 13.5 |
| 1.6 | [111 | 17.6 |  |  | 1.25 | 22.0 | 0.61 | 10.7 | 0.52 | 9.2 | 0.92 | 16.2 | 0.85 | 15.0 |
| TOTAL | (36) $365$ | 1,660.7 |  | 601.1 |  | 30.5 |  | 499.3 |  | 534.9 |  | 018.6 |  | 708.9 |


[^0]:    1/ United States Department of Agriculture

[^1]:    1/ Measurement of the mapping units on the soil map (scale 1:250,000)
    

[^2]:    1/ Source: H.Rehman, A.Bhatti, B.Aimin and A.H.Raja, "Fertilizer
    Experiment on Cereal Crops" Agricultural Research Institute, Tarnab, Peshawar ,NWFP in 1983.

[^3]:    Source: Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan: MFC

[^4]:    Note: It is observed that Kharif Potato is grown in the above area.
    Source: Land Revenue Office, Swat District

