dam is estimated about 3 m which would be higher than F.W.L. at downstream.

The dam crest elevation necessary to handle the 100 year probable flood, 718 m<sup>3</sup>/s, with the diversion tunnel is EL.161 m. The dam embankment till the beginning of the second rainy season after the completion of river diversion will be concentrated in the upstream portion of dam and be able to be managed to embank up to EL. 161 m before the beginning of the second rainy season so that the dam under construction will be safe even in the event of a 100 year probable flood.

#### (6) Intake

The intake for water supply is designed at the left bank to be connected to the diversion tunnel in short length as shown in Fig.3.3.5. The diversion tunnel will be utilized to release water to downstream for water supply after the completion of dam. The intake has 5 gates to select water from the adequate range of depth in accordance with the water quality condition.

#### (7) River outlet

The river outlet facility would provide for the emergency release of water. The facility consists of concrete inlet tower, steel pipe and hollow jet valve as shown in Fig. 3.3.4.

## 3.3.2 Water Transmission Facilities

## (1) General

Raw water will be released from the dam into the GRNW. Then the raw water for treatment would be abstracted at the Municipal Dyke located on the way of the GRNW and transmitted by gravity to the Pailles Treatment Plant through raw water transmission pipelines.

Currently, raw water is transmitted to the Pailles Treatment Plant by way of "the Municipal Pipelines" consisted of three pipelines with diameters of 27", 19" and 18" installed before 1925 (19" CIP and 18" CIP) and comparatively recently in 1960 (27" RCP).

As water requirements will inevitably increase the transmission facility will have to be supplemented with an additional pipeline.

To this end, it is proposed that:

- 1) The existing pipelines continue to be used in the future as long as possible, along with repair work against pipe leaks.
- 2) An additional pipeline be installed in parallel with the existing pipelines to supplement their capacity.
- 3) Rehabilitation of the existing Municipal Dyke, if required, will be made to stop the leakage.
- (2) Present and Future carrying Capacity of Existing Pipelines

The determination of diameter of the additional pipeline requires estimates of present and future carrying capacity of the existing pipelines. These are calculated as follows:

#### Present Carrying Capacity:

The present carrying capacity is calculated at 622 1/sec. as follows:

Water level in the Municipal Dyke =  $+76.177 \text{ m} \dots$  (a) (at the spillway-weir)

Water level in the Pailles T. Plant = +70.700 m .... (b) (at the receiving tank, HWL)

Total Head: H = (a) - (b)

= 5.477 m

Minor losses of head: ho

= 0.200 m

Friction loss of head:  $h_f = H - ho = 5.277 \text{ m}$ 

Distance of the pipeline: L = 2,100 m

Hydraulic gradient:  $I = h_f/L = 5.277/2,100 = 2.51 \times 10^{-3}$ 

Under the above conditions, the potential carrying capacity of the existing Municipal Pipelines is estimated as shown in the following table.

Carrying Capacity of the Three Municipal Pipelines (Year: 1988)

Pipeline	(A) 19" CIP	(B) 27" RCP	(C) 18" CIP
Inner diameter (ID)	0.469 m	0.684 m	0.444 m
  Sectional area (a)	0.172 m <sup>2</sup>	0.367 m <sup>2</sup>	0.154 m <sup>2</sup>
Hydraulic gradient (I)	$2.51 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.51 \times 10^{-3}$	2.51 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
C Value (C)	C = 88.0	C = 92.7	C = 88.4
Flow (Q)	132 1/sec (v=0.767 m/s)	'	115 l/sec (v=0.747 m/s)
Total flow (Q <sub>O</sub> )	Q	<sub>0</sub> = 622 1/sec	
	<u> </u>	= 53,741 m <sup>3</sup> /c	day

Note: Hydraulic Formula: Hazen-William's  $Q = 0.27853 \times C \times D^{2.63} \times I^{0.54}$ 

Flow was actually measured on 30 Oct. and 1 Nov. 1988 with a portable ultra-sonic flowmeter which was attached to the outer surface of the pipe walls of the existing Municipal Pipelines, at the flowmeter box in the Pailles Treatment Plant. The flow data were analyzed in detail to obtain the present hydraulical conditions.

#### Future Carrying Capacity:

The future carrying capacity was calculated to decrease down to 581 1/sec in 2010 and 544 1/sec in 2030 respectively as follows:

"C" value (Coefficient of velocity in the above Hazen-William's formula) is to decrease year by year due to increase of tuberculous corrosion on inner surface of cast iron pipe walls.

Pipelines (A) and (C) had C=88.2 on average in the year 1988. When they commenced new service in the year 1925, they were supposed to have had C value of C=130.

The annual rate of decrease of C value was therefore:

K=(130-88.2)/(1988-1925)=(41.8)/(63 years)=0.663/year

On the assumption that the above rate continues, the C value of pipelines (A) and (C) in the future will become 73.6 in 2010 and 60.4 in 2030. On the other hand, the C value of the pipeline (B) will not change significantly because it is made of concrete.

Thus, the future carrying capacity will be as follows:

## <u>Carrying Capacity in the Future</u> (Existing Municipal Pipelines)

	Pipeline	(A) 19" CIP	(B) 27" RCP	(C) 18" CIP				
Year	C Value Flow (Q)	C = 73.6 110 1/sec	C = 92.7 375 1/sec	C = 73.6 96 1/sec				
2010	Total Flow	Q	0 = 581 1/sec					
			= 50,198 m <sup>3</sup> /	day				
	C Value Flow (Q)	C = 60.4 91 1/sec	1					
Year 2030	Total Flow	Q	Q <sub>2</sub> = 544 1/sec					
			= 47,002 m <sup>3</sup> /	day				

(3) Future Raw Water Requirement and Required Carrying Capacity of Additional Pipeline

The future production requirement (r) is estimated as follows (at the output of the Pailles Treatment Plant):

Year 2010: 
$$r_1 = 78,569 \text{ m}^3/\text{day} = 0.909 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$$

Year 2030: 
$$r_2 = 82,490 \text{ m}^3/\text{day} = 0.955 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$$

Raw water requirement (R) is calculated as:

$$R = r \times (1 + m) \times p$$

where, r: Output of the Pailles Treatment Plant

m: Water use for production operation in the Plant=5%

p: Design factor = 120%

Accordingly, raw water requirement (R) in the future is:

Year 2010: 
$$R_1 = 0.909 \times 1.05 \times 1.2 = 1.145 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec} = 1,145 \text{ 1/sec}$$

Year 2030: 
$$R_2 = 0.955 \times 1.05 \times 1.2 = 1.203 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec} = 1,203 \text{ l/sec}$$

In order to relieve the deficit (A) of the carrying capacity of the existing Municipal Pipelines, an additional pipeline will have to be installed. Its flow capacity has to be as follows (assuming that the existing pipelines would still work in the future though carrying capacity would gradually decrease):

Year 2010: 
$$A_1 = R_1 - Q_1 = 1,145 - 581 = 564 1/sec$$

Year 2030: 
$$A_2 = R_2 - Q_2 = 1,203 - 544 = 659 1/sec$$

(4) Determination of Diameter of Additional Pipeline

Required pipe diameter is calculated as follows:

Year		2010			2030	
Carrying flow (additional)		564 <b>1/</b> 86	ec	(	559 1/se	ec
Hydraulic gradient (I)	2.5	51 × 10	- 3	2.5	51 x 10	- 3
C Value	C=130	C=120	C=110	C=130	C=120	C=110
Pipe diameter required (D)	702 mm	723 mm	748 mm	745 mm	767 mm	793 mm
(3)		750 mm	n		800 m	n

Note: 
$$D = 1.6258 \times C^{-0.38} \times Q^{0.38} \times I^{-0.205}$$

Thus, it is determined that an additional raw water transmission pipeline with diameter of 800 mm be installed in parallel with the existing Municipal Pipelines.

## (5) Preliminary Design for Water Transmission Facilities

The proposed transmission pipeline of 800 mm diameter with 2,100 m long is preliminarily planned and designed as shown in Figs.3.3.8 to 3.3.14. The pipeline is to be installed in alignment in parallel with the existing 27" RCP transmission pipeline.

The major work of the installation of the pipeline is composed of the following items:

- Supply of pipes, fittings and valves (Ø800 mm Ductile Iron Pipes, L=2,100 m)
- Installation of the aboves
- Modification work of the existing intake chamber

In respect of river crossing work; there are two sites for the pipeline to cross the river of GRNW on the transmission pipeline route; two alternative plans were studied: the one was construction of a concrete bridge for pipe installation, and the other was an idea of installation of pipes under the river bed. As a result of a technical comparative study, the latter idea (under the river crossing) was found to be the most economical solution and giving no particular technical difficulty. Therefore, the plan of under-river crossing is proposed for both sites.

## 3.3.3 Water Treatment Plant

## (1) General

The required water treatment capacity will increase as follows:

Year	Required Treatment Capacity (m <sup>3</sup> /day)
1988	74,450
1990	72,300
1995	78,900
2000	85,500
2005	89,900
2010	94,300
2030	99,000

In order to save costs, it is intended that the existing treatment facilities having capacity of 60,000 m<sup>3</sup>/day be fully utilized. Further, stepwise installation in accordance with the growth of required capacity is also proposed to lessen the initial investment cost.

The following installation schedule is contemplated:

Time of	Installation	New Instal- lation (m <sup>3</sup> /day)	Existing Capacity (m³/day)	Total Capacity <u>(m<sup>3</sup>/day)</u>
pletion	: At the com- of project end of 1994)	30,000	60,000	90,000
- Phase 2	: In 2005	10,000	90,000	100,000

A preliminary design is made for the above new water treatment plant for the formulation of water supply plan and cost estimate.

The design concepts for the new water treatment facilities are presented hereunder.

## (2) Design Flow Rate and Peak Factor

The design flow rates of the new treatment plant are as follows:

 Item	Phase 1	Phase 2
 Raw Water intake - at Municipal Dyke	31,500 m <sup>3</sup> /d	42,000 m <sup>3</sup> /d
 Treatment Plant - at receiving well	31,500 m <sup>3</sup> /d	42,000 m <sup>3</sup> /d
Distribution - at the outlet of Pilles reservoir	30,000 m <sup>3</sup> /d	40,000 m <sup>3/d</sup>

Note: These figures show the daily maximum flow rate with treatment plant losses of 5% at Pilles Treatment Plant.

The peak factor, i.e., ratio of maximum to average water demand is determined at 1.2 on daily water demand basis as explained below.

Fluctuations of monthly water production and consumption are shown on Table 3.3.2. The ratio of monthly maximum to average daily demand is computed at around 1.1. In view of the present water supply condition and ratios adopted for similar cities' water supply projects, it is considered appropriate to employ 1.2 as a peak factor (daily maximum/daily average demand).

#### (3) Water Treatment Process

The quality of raw water to be treated is outlined below:

- The turbidity is rather low in the normal condition, making it possible to treat the water by the slow sand filtration. However, it becomes highly turbid in time of flood, which makes it

impossible to treat by the slow sand filtration.

- The colour is less than 5 degrees and can be eliminated by the flocculation and sedimentation functions provided in the rapid sand filtration system.
- The chlorine ion content is 12 to 19 ppm which is not particularly high.
- The hardness of 40 to 60 ppm is also not particularly high value.
- pH is rather on the alkaline side. Its alkalinity of 30 to 50 ppm is within the range that can be treated rather easily by the flocculation and sedimentation.

Taking into account the characteristics of raw water quality, it is economical and advisable to employ conventional rapid sand filtration (pre-chlorination + flocculation & sedimentation + rapid sand filtration) as the treatment process.

The proposed location of the new treatment plant is at the existing Treatment Works at Pilles. The designed water treatment will consist of the following processes, that is, water receiving, chemical mixing, flocculation, sedimentation, filtration and disinfection. Major conditions to be taken in design are tabulated below.

#### Facilities

## Design Conditions

1) Sedimentation Basin

-Process required:

To be tolerant of quantity and

quality variation.

2) Rapid Sand Filter

-Filtration rate:

120 m/day (5.0  $m^3/m^2/hour$ ) at

normal operation.

3) Standby Ratio

Considering the hourly variation of water demand, availability of spare parts and time necessary for repair, the followings are applied.

-Filter surface wash pump: 100% of standby ratio because of its small capacity

-Chemical pumps and other

equipment:

50%-100% depending on its capacity

and fluctuation.

As for method of gauging and controlling flow rates, the weirs/meters installed at the receiving well and at the effluent pipe of clear water reservoir will give sufficient information on volume of raw water and production.

The process of this treatment is shown on Fig.3.3.15. In future, if eutrophication may occur, water will be properly treated by two stage rapid mixing, namely, before sedimentation and before filtration. In Fig.3.3.15, functions of each process are also detailed at the bottom of the same figure.

As for chemicals to be used for water treatment, alum, lime and liquid chlorine which are currently used at the existing plants as coagulant, pH control agent and disinfectant respectively are considered

appropriate. It is however anticipated that in the future the raw water quality may change and chemicals may be improved along with advances in technology, and so the above proposed chemicals should be changed as deemed necessary.

Dosage rates of the above chemicals at several occasions are shown in Table 3.3.3. Out of many kinds of chemical feeders, designed herein are simplified and unsophisticated one for easy operation.

## (4) Preliminary Design of Water Treatment Facilities

The preliminary designs of the water treatment facilities are given in Figs. 3.3.16 to 3.3.18. The principal features of each facility are summarized in Table 3.3.4.

#### 3.4 Construction Plan

## 3.4.1 Implementation Schedule

The dam is designed as rockfill type with center core, 75 m in height and 230 m in crest length. Embankment volume of the dam is 1.5 MCM. The Spillway is sidechannel type with crest length of 80 m. The diversion tunnel is aligned in the left abutment in 6.4 m diameter and 470 m length. The intake is constructed at left abutment and connected to the diversion tunnel for water supply.

Implementation schedule including tendering, contracting and construction was discussed with CWA. In order to solve the present and future water shortage problem, CWA intends to implement the Project as early as possible after the evaluation of the feasibility study report by JICA. The implementation schedule is prepared as shown in Table 3.4.1 and Fig. 3.4.1 in consideration of the discussion with CWA. The schedule is expected that the construction of the project is completed by December 1994.

It is recommended that the project will be executed under the following international competitive contracts and local contracts.

## International competitive contracts

- Lot 1. Construction of diversion tunnel
- Lot 2. Construction of dam and appurtenant facilities (The diversion closure work shall be included in Lot 2.)
- Lot 3. Supply and installation of water transmission pipeline and water treatment facilities

## Local contracts

- Lot 1. Preparatory works at site prepared by the Government
- Lot 2. Construction of permanent access road and relocation works of the existing roads in the project area

#### 3.4.2 Construction Plan

Construction time schedule is shown on Fig. 3.4.2. The layout of the construction facilities such as permanent roads, plants, quarters, offices, borrow area, quarry site, warehouse, repair shop and spoil banks is shown in Fig. 3.4.3.

#### (1) Preparatory Works

Following governmental works are recommended to be carried out for 17 months from October, 1990 to February, 1992.

- Construction of field office, quarters, field laboratory and clinic,
- Power and water supply facilities for construction,
- Telecommunication facility, and

## - Permanent access roads in the project area

The preparatory works to be constructed by the contractors will be carried out for two months from March, 1991 to April, 1991 for Lot 1 and for four months from Februartynt to May, 1992 for Lot 2. For Lot 3, the preparatory works can be done during three months from January to March 1994.

## (2) Main Construction Works

The construction plan is prepared in consideration that the most of all works except the tunnel works are greatly affected by dry and rainy season.

## Diversion tunnel

Diversion tunnel will be constructed for 13 months from May, 1991 to May, 1992. It will be closed by a gate in November, 1994 for execution of concrete plug work at the portion of dam axis and installation of pipes and valves, etc.

#### Coffer dam

Upper coffer dam will be built as a part of the main dam. Construction work including the initial coffering work is commenced from June, 1992 immediately after completion of the diversion tunnel and completed by October, 1992. Embankment material of about 116,000 m<sup>3</sup> is hauled from the borrow area at the upper right bank site and the quarry site near Mount Ory as shown in Fig. 3.4.3.

#### Main dam

Foundation excavation of about 258,000 m<sup>3</sup> would be commenced from June, 1992. Main dam embankment work is done during a period from September, 1992 to October 1994. Curtain and consolidation grouting works in the foundation area of the cut-off trench and along the dam

axis are executed prior to the embankment thereon.

Out of the gross embankment volume of 1,369,000 m<sup>3</sup> except coffer dam, core material of about 238,000 m<sup>3</sup> will be supplied from the borrow area located near the right bank side, and the filter material of about 101,000 m<sup>3</sup> is quarried from the quarry site near Mount Ory. Of the random rock of 1,146,000 m<sup>3</sup> in total, weathered rock and fresh rock of about 332,100 m<sup>3</sup> from the excavation of main structures and tunnels are diverted, and the rest of 813,900 m<sup>3</sup> is sourced from the quarry site near Mount Ory. Distribution plan of excavated and embankment materials is as shown in Fig. 3.4.4.

## Spillway

Excavation work is requested to be finished by January, 1994. Concrete work is done by June, 1994.

## Pipeline and Water Treatment Facilities

The work for the water transmission and water treatment facilities will start so as to be completed at the same time with the completion of the project.

As for the water transmission pipeline, the necessary period for the manufacturing & shipping and its installation is estimated to be about 22 months. Thus, the manufacturing is scheduled to start in March, 1993. The installation work will start from March, 1994 and finish in December, 1994.

The manufacturing for the water treatment plant will start from July, 1993, and be completed in April, 1994 including its shipping, followed by the installation work of plant from May, 1994. The civil works for structures should start from August, 1993 so as to be finished together with the installation of plants. All the works including necessary test run will be completed in December, 1994,

#### 3.4.3 Organization for Project Implementation

Fig. 3.4.5 presents overall construction organization chart. Ministry of Energy, Water Resources and Postal Services will have overall responsibility for the implementation of the Project. Design and construction of the Project will be executed by CWA.

For the execution of construction works, the field office of CWA tentatively named Port Louis Water Supply Project Office is required to be built. The construction works will be carried out by the contract basis with the international/local contractors under the supervision of the Project Office. A technical assistance by foreign and/or local consulting engineers will be provided for successful performance of the construction work.

#### 3.4.4 0 & M Plan

### (1) Organization

Fig. 3.4.6 shows the existing organization of CWA. Under this organization, the operation and maintenance (0 & M) for the existing water transmission pipelines, water treatment facilities and water distribution facilities, etc. is being executed satisfactorily in general, and therefore, the present organization is applicable for 0 & M on the existing facilities.

However, since the proposed future Pailles treatment work will include the new rapid sand filter system with the associated facilities, it is recommended that the present work force of the water treatment plant should be reinforced both on quantity and quality to cope with the new water treatment system of the rapid sand filtration process which applies chemicals for water treatment and backwashing process for the filter beds.

After the completion of proposed Project, an organization to execute 0 & M for the dam and appurtenant facilities will additionally

be required. This additional function is recommended to be organized in the present Operational Services system.

The additional organization for 0 & M of the dam and appurtenant facilities should have two functions of (i) inspection and maintenance, and (ii) operation. The proposed additional organization is also shown in Fig. 3.4.6, supplementing to the existing organization.

- (2) Functions Necessary for O & M of Dam and Appurtenant Facilities
- (a) Inspection and Maintenance function

Facilities such as the dam, spillway, approach roads, intake gates, pipes, valves and measuring instruments should be inspected and maintained periodically. An inspection and maintenance manual instructing how to inspect and maintain should be prepared. The Inspection and Maintenance Section under Principal Engineer of Operational Services in CWA is recommended to execute the inspection and necessary maintenance of facilities in accordance with the manual. CWA should prepare necessary personnel in accordance with the manual.

## (b) Operational Function

Fig. 3.4.7 shows the operational function to be required for the operation of dam and appurtenant facilities.

The function will consist of (i) the data and informations processing, (ii) analysis and control and (iii) gates and valves operation.

#### Data and Informations Processing

Data and information processing division will receive various data and informations such as the rainfall, discharge, reservoir water level, required water supply volume, informations from measuring instruments to measure the leakage, deformation and settlement, etc., and indicate

necessary operation of gates and valves through analyses on the data and informations as well as record the data and informations.

## Analysis and Control

Analysis and control function will also analyze the data and information, and issue warnings and countermeasures when required.

## Gates and Valves Operation

this section will actually operate the gates and valves in accordance with the instructions given by the preceding two functions.

As such, the operation section of dam and appurtenant facilities should have the equipment such as the computer together with computer programs, data transmitting and communication system as well as necessary staffs. An operation manual to be prepared should cover all the operation methods in detail.

## (3) Training on O & M Staff

An appropriate training for the operators will be required for obtaining the proper technology for the new water treatment plant operation.

The staffs in the inspection and maintenance, and operational sections for the dam and appurtenant facilities should also be well trained. Then, the following training program is proposed.

The O & M engineer will stay for training on the staffs for one year after the completion of the Project. The O & M engineer will actually operate the project with the staffs in accordance with the O & M manual, so that the staffs be well trained during the period.

#### 3.5 Cost Estimate

Unit price for the feasibility study is estimated taking into consideration labour wage, construction equipment, material prices, construction plan and so on. Data on labour wage, construction equipment cost and material prices were collected from CWA and some private companies such as contractors and construction equipment companies. Unit price is estimated by foreign and local currency portion at the price level of December 1988. The average exchange rate from 1984 through the former half of 1988 is used for conversion and they are as follows:

Japanese Yen ¥1 = Rs 0.105 US \$1 = Rs 13.7

Construction cost is estimated on the basis of the feasibility design and the proposed construction plan and schedule. The following basic conditions are applied for the cost estimate.

- a) The civil works are conducted under the contracts with selected contractors through the international competitive bidding.
- b) Most of the construction materials are to be supplied by the contractors mainly from local markets.
- c) Construction machinery, equipment and plant including spare parts are to be brought by the contractor, the costs are estimated as the foreign currency portion.
- d) Costs for freight, insurance and inland transportation are included in the costs of all materials, plants and equipment, which are to be imported, but import tax and duty are not included.
- e) Engineering service is estimated at 10 % of the direct cost.

- f) Government administration cost is estimated at 2.5% of the direct cost as the local currency portion including land acquisition cost.
- g) Physical contingency is estimated at 10 % of the direct cost plus engineering service and government administration cost.
- h) Price contingency is estimated by applying the annual inflation rate of 3.2 % for foreign currency portion and 7.2 % for local currency portion based on the data of consumer price index.
- i) Annual O&M costs for dam and appurtenant structures are 0.5% of the direct cost. Annual O&M costs for water transmission and treatment facilities are taken at 1% and 2% of direct cost, respectively.

#### Dam and Appurtenant Facilities

The bill of quantity of the construction cost for dam and appurtenant facilities is presented in Table 3.5.1. Total construction cost without price contingency is estimated at US\$ 59.8 million (equivalent to Rs 819 million). It comprises US\$ 41.8 million (Rs 571.6 million) of foreign currency portion and US\$ 18.0 million (Rs 247.4 million) of local currency portion.

## Water Transmission Facilities and Treatment Plant

The construction cost without price contingency for the water transmission facilities and water treatment plant is estimated at US\$ 9.9 millions (equivalent to Rs 135.4 millions) consisting of US\$ 6.5 millions (Rs 88.8 millions) of foreign currency portion and US\$ 3.4 millions (Rs 46.6 millions) of local currency portion.

The breakdown of construction cost for the water transmission facilities is given in Tables 3.5.2 to 3.5.5. Table 3.5.6 presents the

breakdown for the construction cost of water treatment facilities.

## Summary of Cost Estimate

The summary of the cost for dam construction, water transmission facilities and treatment plant works is as follows:

			Unit	t: Rs 1,000
	WORK ITEM	F.C.	L.C.	Total
Α.	DAM CONSTRUCTION WORK			
1.	Preparatory Works	21,900	54,700	76,600
2.	Diversion	53,400	19,500	72,900
3.	Dam ·	283,400	71,300	354,700
4.	Spillway	108,200	50,700	158,900
5.	Intake	5,500	3,500	9,000
	Direct Cost	472,400	199,700	672,100
6.	Compensation	-	200	200
7.	Engineering Service &	47,200	25,000	72,200
	Government Administration			_
8.	Physical Contingency	52,000	22,500	74,500
	Sub-total	571,600	247,400	819,000
	( US\$ 10 <sup>6</sup> )	(\$41,800)	(\$18,000)	(\$59,800)
в.	WATER TRANSMISSION FACILITIES	AND TREATMENT	PLANT WORKS	
1.	Pipe Materials	14,500	1,100	15,600
2.	Construction/installation of pipe and Rehabilitation of Existing Municipal Dike	10,700	12,900	23,600
3.	Treatment Plant	48,300	23,700	72,000
	Direct Cost	73,500	37,700	111,200
4.	Engineering Service & Government Administration	7,300	4,700	12,000
5.	Physical Contingency	8,000	4,200	12,200
	Sub-total	88,800	46,600	135,400
	( US\$ 10 <sup>6</sup> )	(\$6,500)	(\$3,400)	(\$9,900)
	Total (A+B)	660,400	294,000	954,400
	Price Contingency	98,300	99,300	197,600
	Grand Total	758,700	393,300	1,152,000
	( US\$ 10 <sup>6</sup> )	(\$55,400)	(\$28,700)	(\$84,100)
		66 Z	34 %	100 %

The disbursement schedule of the construction cost in accordance with the implementation schedule is as shown in Table 3.5.7. The annual O&M cost for the dam and appurtenant facilities is estimated at Rs 3.4 million (0.5% of direct cost). The annual O&M cost for the water transmission facilities and treatment plant is estimated at Rs 1.8 million (1% of direct cost for transmission facilities and 2% of direct cost for treatment facilities). Table 3.5.8 presents the cost of each project.

# TABLES

Table 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVE DAM SCHEDULE

	Item	Unit	NWO	NWO	NW3	TRO	TRO	Boczge	Guibies	Baptiste	TR9	CA2
			Dam	Dam	Dam	Dam	Dam	Weir	Dam	Dam	Dam	Dam
	Calchment area	km2	114	114	88	35	ຄ	23	4.7	19	13.5	17
RESERVOIR	RESERVOIR Gross capacity	10^6 m3	7.2	7.2	8.	6.7	6.7		6.5	6.9	2.8	1.6
	Effective capacity	10^6 ш3	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		0.9	6.0	2.3	4.
	Flood water level	E.	114.2	114.2	170.0	196.2	196.2	322.2	107.0	381.5	388.0	378.0
	High water level	E .	111.2	111.2	167.0	193.2	193.2	317.0	106.0	380.0	386.5	376.5
	Low water level	E	74.4	74.4	132.6	153.6	153.6		87.0	369.0	370.4	363.0
-	Surface area	km2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3		0.5	1.4		
	Mean runoff	m3/s	3.4	9.4	2.3	 8.	1.8	1.0	•	0.8	4.0	9.0
	Design flood	m3/s	1,796	1,496	1,536	1,136	947	454	181	539	420	498
	Return period		(1.2×200yr)	(200yr)	(1.2x200yr)	(1.2x200yr)	(200yr)	(100yr)	(1.2x200yr) (	(1.2x200yr) (1.2x200yr) (1.2x200yr)	(1.2x200yr)	(1.2x200yr)
DAM	Туре		Rockfill	Gravity	Rockfill	Rockfill	Gravity	Gravity	Rockfill	Rockfill	Earthfill	Earthfill
	Crest elevation	E .E	117.2	116.2	173.0	199.2	198.2	323.0	109.0	383.5	390.0	380.0
	Height (from river bed) m	ad) m	68.4	67.4	62.0	79.2	78.2	7.0	38.9	23.0	25.0	26.0
	Crest length	٤	180	142	272	253	238	4	640	1,762	1,530	1,023
	Embankment volume	10^3 m3	1,421	355	1,561	1,542	334	8	1,044	1,819	1,424	648
SPILLWAY Type	Туре		Side channel	Gate	Side channel	Side channel	Overflow	Weir	Side channel	Side channel	Side channel	Side channel
			and Gate									
	Crest elevation of weir El. m	eir El. m	111.2	104.5	167.0	193.2	193.2	317.0	106.0	380.0	386.5	376.5
	Width of weir	E	70.0	64.0	170.0	100.0	100.0	18.0	100.0	160.0	120.0	140.0
	Discharge	m3/s	1,796	1,496	1,536	1,136	947	454	181	539	420	498
	No. of gates	nos	2	4								
	Width of gate	E	10	16								
	Height of gate	E	7	7								
Project Cost	st	US\$1,000	67,327	~ 75,695	72,571	58,433	71,012	8.870	79,500	60,313	51,213	28,533

Table 3.2.2 (1) COST ESTIMATE FOR ALTERNATIVE DAMSITE (1/2) (GUIBIES : ROCKFILL, 6.0 MCM)

	Con	struction Cost	for Gu		Scheme	6 MCM
				Quantity		Amount
			Unit		Unit Price	(\$1,000)
1	PREPARATOR'	<del></del>	20%	of (2+3+	4)	10,710
	Access & Serv	ice Road				
	Yards					
	Buildings					
	EN PERCIONI		 			1000
2		<u> </u>	0			(963)
	Open Cut	Common eathered Rock	m3	12	5	48
	<u>vv</u>	Fresh Rock	m3	2.39	10	5 5 2 4
<u> </u>	Embankment		m3	2.39	6	
		Mass Concrete		0	95	0
<del>  -  </del>		rocement Bar		0.03	800	24
	Tunnel	Excavation	m3	2.61	200	522
<b> </b>	TAIMEL	Lining	m3	<u> </u>	130	130
J	Grout	Consolidation			140	130
<del>                                     </del>	Backfill	Concondation	m3	<u> </u>	5	0
	Gate	1	t	0.04	4,000	160
	Jan			0.04	7,000	100
3	DAM		<u></u>		<u> </u>	(50,877)
	Excavation	Common	m3	1860	4	7,440
<del>                                     </del>		eathered Rock		1.00		5
		Fresh Rock	m3	0.10		1
	Embankment		m3	241	10	2,410
	Binogiliano	Filter	m3	90	18	1,620
		Rockfill	m3	891	15	13,365
	Cut-off Concr		m2	48.4	450	21,780
	Grout	Curtain	m	20	180	3,571
		Brangket	m	5	140	685
				<u> </u>		
4	SPILLWAY					(1,690)
	Excavation	Earth	m3	29.7	4	119
	W	eathered Rock	m3	65.3	5	327
		Hard Rock	m3	61.5	10	615
	Backfill		m3		5	0
	Concrere	Mass	m <b>3</b>	1.60	95	152
		Structural	m3	3.10	130	403
	Reinfrocemen		t	0.09		
<b> </b>	Grout	Curtain	m		180	
	Gate	,	t	0	4,000	0
			<u> </u>			
<b> </b>	Direct Cost				<u> </u>	64,240
<b>  </b>			ļ			
5	Compensation	(Land)	km2	0	2,000,000	0
		1	ļ		<u> </u>	
6	Engineering 8					8,030
	Administration	2.5% *	(1~5)			
	Dhusi-al O	!= ===================================			<u> </u>	7.000
7	Physical Cont		/4	<u> </u>	<b> </b>	7,230
-	Price Conting	10/6	(1~7)		<del> </del>	
-	Frice Conting	енсу	-		<del> </del>	
1-	Grand Total	\\\	<del> </del> -			79,500
L	GIGING FOLA	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	l	19,000

Table 3.2.2 (2) COST ESTIMATE FOR ALTERNATIVE DAMSITE (2/2) (BOCAGE : CONCRETE WEIR, 6.0 MCM)

<u> </u>		Construction (	Cost fo	r Bocage V	Veir Scheme	
		Constituction	2001 10	Quantity	Voli Condine	Amount
			Unit	(v1 000)	Unit Price	(\$1,000)
	PREPARATOR	VMODKS		of (2.+3.		770
	Access & Serv		2076	01 (2.43.	r4.)	770
<del>                                     </del>		ICO MOAU				
	Yards					
<del>  </del>	Buildings	<u> </u>			<u></u>	
	DIVERSION!					
- 2	DIVERSION					(80)
	Open Cut	Common	<u>m3</u>	0	4	0
	W	eathered Rock		0	5	0
ļ		Fresh Rock	m3	.0	10	0
L	Embankment		m3	0	6	0
		Mass Concrete		0	95	0
		rocement Bar		0	800	0
L	Tunnel	Excavation	m3	0	200	0
	Culvert		m3	0	130	0
ļ	0 15 10	1 -		0.00	4 000	
	Sand Flush Ga	<u>te</u>	t	0.02	4,000	80
3	Weir					(356)
	Excavation	Common	m3	9	4	36
		eathered Rock		3	5	15
	: 44	Fresh Rock	m3	0	10	0
	Concrete	Mass	m3	1	95	9 5
	Concrete	Wall	m3	1	130	130
<del>  </del>				0		
		0	m3	U	0	0
	Canada	Curtain		0.31	180	5 5
-	Grout		m	·	140	25
		Consolidation	[[]	0.18	140	
4	DIVERSION TU	ININIED				(3,404)
	Tunnel	Excavation	m 3	1 2	200	2,400
	Tullilei	Lining		6	130	780
		Liming	<u>m3</u>	0	130	700
	Doolestii		m 0		-بو	
$\vdash$	Backfill	NA	m3	0	5	0
	Concrere	Mass	m3	0	95	0
	Distriction and the second	Wall	m3		130	0
<del>                                     </del>	Reinfrocemen	·····	1	0.18	800	144
	Grout	Curtain	m		180	0
	Gate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>t                                      </u>	0.02	4,000	8 0
<b> </b>	Direct Cost					4,610
	PHOCE OUSE		<del></del>			7,010
5	Compensation	(Land)	km2	0.0014	2,000,000	2,800
6	Engineering 8	10% *	(1~4)			650
	Administration	2.5% *	(1~5)			
7	Physical Cont					810
	D.:: 0- ::	10% *	(1~7)	· · · · · ·		
8	Price Conting	ency				
	Grand Total					8,870
3	GIANU IVIA					0,070

		Construction (	Cost fo	r Bantiste I	Dam Scheme	
		Constitution	7031 K	Quantity	Jan Conomo	Amount
-			Unit		Unit Price	(\$1,000)
1	PREPARATOR	VMORKS		of (2.+3.		7,700
<u> </u>	Access & Serv		20/0	01 (2.40.	7-7-1	7,700
	Yards	ICO NUAU				
	Buildings					
	buildings					
	DIVERSION			<u> </u>		/4 700
-2	DIVERSION		0	0.0		(1,762)
<u> </u>	Open Cut	Common	m3	33	4	132
	VV	eathered Rock		17	5	8.5
		Fresh Rock	m3	0	10	0
	Embankment		m3	9	6	5 4
		Mass Concrete		9	9 5	855
		rocement Bar		0.27	800	216
	Tunnel	Excavation	<u>m3</u>	0	200	0
<u> </u>	Culvert		m3	2	130	260
<u></u>	0	0	m		0	0
	0		m3		0	0
	Gate		t	0.04	4,000	160
3	DAM					(26,750)
	Excavation	Common	m3	893	4	3,572
	<del></del>	eathered Rock		151	5	755
		Fresh Rock	m3		1 0	0
	Embankment		m3	1819	1 0	18,190
			m3	0	0	0
			m3	0	0	0
			-113		V	
	Grout	Curtain	m	17	180	3,011
	GIVUL	Consolidation		9	140	1,222
<b> </b>		COHSCHURUOII	111	9	140	1,422
	SPILLWAY					/0.0043
4		Common	m O	064		(9,981)
	Excavation	Common	m3	261	4	1,044
-	<u> </u>	eathered Rock		345		1,725
<b></b>	Deal-CII	Fresh Rock	m3	120		1,200
-	Backfill	A.F.	m3	15	5	75
	Concrere	Mass	m3	9	95	855
<u> </u>		Wall	m3	33	130	4,290
<b> </b>	Reinfrocemen		<u>t</u>	0.99		792
	Grout	Curtain	m		180	0
	Gate		t	0	4,000	0
<u> </u>	<b>Direct Cost</b>					46,193
<u> </u>						
5	Compensation	(Land)	km2	0.0014	2,000,000	2,800
6	Engineering 8	10% *	(1~4)			5,840
	Administration					
7	Physical Cont	ingency				5,480
		10% *	(1~7)			
8	Price Conting		*			
	9					
9	Grand Total		<del></del>			60,313
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<del></del>		00,010

Table 3.2.4 COST ESTIMATE FOR ALTERNATIVE DAMSITE (TR0 : ROCKFILL, 6.0 MCM)

Quantity	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Construction (	Cost fo	r TR0 Dam	Scheme	6 MCM
PREPARATORY WORKS   20% of (2+3+4)   7,87     Access & Service Road   Yards				Unit	(x1.000)	Unit Price	
Access & Service Road   Yards   Buildings   Buildings	1	PREPARATOR'	YWORKS		of (2+3+	4)	7,870
Yards   Buildings   Building					<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Buildings			.00 110 000				
2 DIVERSION   Copen Cut   Common   m3   6   4   4   2	-						
Open Cut		Dundings					
Open Cut	-	DIVEDSION		<u> </u>			(0.102)
Weathered Rock m3			Common	m 2			
Fresh Rock m3   2.29   10   2							24
Embankment   Earth   m3   0   6   Mass Concrete   m3   0   9.5		VV					40
Mass Concrete   m3							23
Reinfrocement Bar   1							0
Tunnel							0
Consolidation   Consolidatio							264
Grout   Consolidation   m		Tunnel				<del></del>	6,142
Backfill					11		1,430
Gate			Consolidation			140	0
Gate		Backfill		m3		5	0
3 DAM					0.045	4,000	180
Excavation   Common   m3   84   4   33							<u> </u>
Excavation   Common   m3   84   4   33	3	DAM					(22.728)
Weathered Rock   m3   3 0   5   15	<u> </u>		Common	m3	8.4	4	336
Fresh Rock   m3   13   10   13							150
Embankment   Core   m3   233   10   2,33   10   2,33   10   2,33   10   2,33   10   2,33   10   2,33   10   2,33   10   3   10   10   10   10   10   1	<del>  </del>	44.					130
Filter   m3   89   18   1,60     Rockfill   m3   1063   15   15,94     Grout   Curtain   m   11   180   1,99     Brangket   m   2   140   23     4 SPILLWAY   (8,523     Excavation   Common   m3   65   4   26     Weathered Rock   m3   101   5   50     Fresh Rock   m3   371   10   3,71     Backfill   m3   0   5     Concrere   Mass   m3   9.7   9.5   9.2     Structural   m3   2.0   130   2,63     Reinfrocement Bar   t   0.61   800   48     Grout   Curtain   m   180     Gate   t   0   4,000     Direct Cost   47,22     5 Compensation   km2   5,90     Administration   2.5% * (1~5)     7 Physical Contingency   5,31     8 Price Contingency   5,31     8 Price Contingency   5,31		Embanlmant					
Rockfill   m3   1063   15   15,94		Embankment					
Grout   Curtain   m							
Brangket   m   2   140   23	<u> </u>						
4 SPILLWAY Excavation Common m3 65 4 26 Weathered Rock m3 101 5 50 Fresh Rock m3 371 10 3,71 Backfill m3 0 5 Concrere Mass m3 9.7 95 92 Structural m3 20 130 2,63 Reinfrocement Bar t 0.61 800 48 Grout Curtain m 180 Gate t 0 4,000  Direct Cost 47,22  5 Compensation km2  6 Engineering & 10% * (1~4) 5,90 Administration 2.5% * (1~5)  7 Physical Contingency 5,31  8 Price Contingency 5,31	L	Grout					1,999
Excavation   Common   m3   65   4   26     Weathered Rock   m3   101   5   50     Fresh Rock   m3   371   10   3,71     Backfill   m3   0   5     Concrere   Mass   m3   9.7   9.5   9.2     Structural   m3   2.0   130   2,63     Reinfrocement Bar   t   0.61   8.00   4.8     Grout   Curtain   m   180     Gate   t   0   4,000     Direct Cost   47,22     5 Compensation   km2   5,90     Administration   2.5% * (1~4)   5,90     7 Physical Contingency   5,31     8 Price Contingency   5,31     8 Price Contingency   5,31     8 Price Contingency   7     9			Brangket	m	2	140	236
Excavation   Common   m3   65   4   26     Weathered Rock   m3   101   5   50     Fresh Rock   m3   371   10   3,71     Backfill   m3   0   5     Concrere   Mass   m3   9.7   9.5   9.2     Structural   m3   2.0   130   2,63     Reinfrocement Bar   t   0.61   8.00   4.8     Grout   Curtain   m   180     Gate   t   0   4,000     Direct Cost   47,22     5 Compensation   km2   5,90     Administration   2.5% * (1~4)   5,90     7 Physical Contingency   5,31     8 Price Contingency   5,31     8 Price Contingency   5,31     8 Price Contingency   7     9		·					
Weathered Rock   m3	4	SPILLWAY					(8,523)
Fresh Rock   m3   371   10   3,71     Backfill   m3   0   5     Concrere   Mass   m3   9.7   9.5   9.2     Structural   m3   2.0   1.30   2,63     Reinfrocement Bar   t   0.61   8.00   4.8     Grout   Curtain   m   1.80     Gate   t   0   4,000     Direct Cost		Excavation	Common	m3	65		260
Backfill		W	eathered Rock	m3	101	5	505
Backfill			Fresh Rock	m3	371	10	3,710
Concrere   Mass   m3   9.7   9.5   9.2     Structural   m3   2.0   1.30   2.63     Reinfrocement Bar   t   0.61   800   4.8     Grout   Curtain   m   1.80     Gate   t   0   4,000     Direct Cost   47,22     5 Compensation   km2   5,90     Administration   2.5% * (1~5)     7 Physical Contingency   5,31     8 Price Contingency   6     8 Price Contingency   7     9     9     9     10     1		Backfill					0
Structural m3	rt		Mass			9 5	922
Reinfrocement Bar   t   0.61   800   48							
Grout   Curtain   m		Reinfrocemen					487
Gate   t   0   4,000					3,01		0
Direct Cost	<b> </b>		<u>Jurtaiii</u>		Λ		0
5 Compensation km2  6 Engineering & 10% * (1~4) 5,90  Administration 2.5% * (1~5)  7 Physical Contingency 5,31  10% * (1~7)  8 Price Contingency		Valo			<u> </u>	4,000	
5 Compensation km2  6 Engineering & 10% * (1~4) 5,90  Administration 2.5% * (1~5)  7 Physical Contingency 5,31  10% * (1~7)  8 Price Contingency	┞─┤	Direct Cost		<u> </u>			47 000
6 Engineering & 10% * (1~4) 5,90  Administration 2.5% * (1~5)  7 Physical Contingency 5,31  10% * (1~7)  8 Price Contingency	<b> </b>	Direct Cost		<u> </u>			41,263
6 Engineering & 10% * (1~4) 5,90 Administration 2.5% * (1~5)  7 Physical Contingency 5,31 10% * (1~7) 8 Price Contingency	<u>-</u>	0		1			
Administration 2.5% * (1~5)  7 Physical Contingency 5,31  10% * (1~7)  8 Price Contingency	5	compensation		KM2			<u> </u>
Administration 2.5% * (1~5)  7 Physical Contingency 5,31  10% * (1~7)  8 Price Contingency			<del></del>				
7 Physical Contingency 5,31 10% * (1~7) 8 Price Contingency	6						5,900
8 Price Contingency		<u>Administration</u>	2.5% *	(1~5)			
8 Price Contingency							
8 Price Contingency	7	Physical Cont	ingency				5,310
8 Price Contingency				(1~7)			
	8	Price Conting					
O Crowd Total							
SIGTANG   DIAN       58.43	9	Grand Total					58,433

		Construction (	Cost fo	r TR0 Dam	Scheme	El.198.2
-				Quantity		Amount
			Unit		Unit Price	(\$1,000)
1	<b>PREPARATOR</b>	YWORKS	20%	of (2+3+		9,560
	Access & Serv	ice Road				
	Yards					
	Buildings					
L						
2	DIVERSION					(8,103)
	Open Cut	Common	m3	6	4	24
	W	eathered Rock		8	5	4 0
		Fresh Rock		2.29	10	23
	<u>Embankment</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	m3	0	6	0
		Mass Concrete		0	95	0
		rocement Bar		0.33	800	264
	Tunnel	Excavation	m3	30.71	200	6,142
		Lining	m3	1 1	130	1,430
	Grout	Consolidation			140	0
	Backfill		m3		5	0
	Gate		t	0.045	4,000	180
3	DAM	_				(35,192)
	Excavation	Common	m3	25.7	4	103
	W	eathered Rock	<del></del>	41	5	205
		Fresh Rock	m3	99	1 0	990
	<u>Embankment</u>					
		Mass Concrete	m3	266	9 5	25,270
	·	Mat Concrete	m3	67.5	95	6,409
	Grout	Curtain	m	11	180	1,978
		Consolidation	m	2	140	236
4	SPILLWAY					(4,528)
ļ						
<u> </u>	Concrere				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<b> </b>		Structural	m3	29	130	3,822
<b> </b>	Reinfrocemen		t	0.88	800	706
<b> </b>	Grout	Curtain	m		180	0
	Gate		<u>t                                      </u>	0	4,000	0
<b></b> _						
ļļ	<b>Direct Cost</b>					57,382
<u>-</u>						
5	Compensation		km2			
<b> </b>	-	<u></u>				
6	Engineering 8					7,170
	Administration	2.5% *	(1~5)			
<del></del> -	<b>D</b> 1					
7	Physical Cont		,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6,460
	Duine O		(1~7)			
8	Price Conting	ency				
┝┈┤	O		····			
9	<b>Grand Total</b>	<u></u>		<u> </u>		71,012

		Construction (	Cost fo	r NW0 Dan	n Scheme	6 MCM
			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Quantity		Amount
			Unit		Unit Price	(\$1,000)
1	PREPARATOR	YWORKS	20%	of (2+3+	4)	9,070
<u> </u>	Access & Serv	<del></del>	<del></del>		<del>- L</del>	<u> </u>
	Yards		·····			
	Buildings	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<del></del>	
2	DIVERSION				<del></del>	(9,812)
	Open Cut	Common	m 3	1	4	4
	<del></del>	eathered Rock		1	5	5
		Fresh Rock	m3	1.65	10	17
· · · · · ·	Embankment		m3	0	6	Ō
		Mass Concrete		0	95	0
		rocement Bar		0.42	800	336
	Tunnel	Excavation	m3	37,35	200	7,470
		Lining	m3	14	130	1,820
	Grout	Consolidation			140	0
	Backfill		m3		5	0
	Gate		t	0.04	4,000	160
<b></b>	000			0.0.	41000	
3	DAM					(23,412)
ı	Excavation	Common	m3	147	4	588
		eathered Rock		91	5	455
		Fresh Rock	m3	231	10	2,310
	Embankment		m3	250	10	2,500
	Linbananon	Filter	m3	95	18	1,710
		Rockfill	m3	982	15	14,730
	Grout	Curtain	m	5.5	180	985
	GIOBE	Brangket	m	1	140	134
	······································	Diangkot			110	
4	SPILLWAY					(12,113)
	Excavation	Common	m 3	29	4	116
		eathered Rock		111	5	555
		Fresh Rock		358	10	3,580
	Backfill		m3		5	. 0
H	Concrere			16	95	1,520
	001101010	Structural		39	130	5,070
<u> </u>	Reinfrocemen	·	t	1.17	800	936
	Grout	Curtain	m		180	330
	Gate	Ourtain	t	0.084	4,000	336
				0.004		000
	Direct Cost					54,407
	-11VU, VV3(	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>
5	Compensation	··· -				
┝┷	- John Portion (III)					
6	Engineering 8	10% *	(1~A)			6,800
	Administration		(1~5)			0,000
		. 2.070	\ <u>. \.</u>			
7	Physical Cont	ingency				6,120
	yolour oont	10% *	(1~7)		·	0,150
8	Price Conting		·			
▎▔▏	. Hoo Conting	y		····		
g	Grand Total					67,327
	SISSIS IVIAI			<u></u>		<u> </u>

Table 3.2.7 COST ESTIMATE FOR ALTERNATIVE DAMSITE (NWO : CONCRETE GRAVITY, 6.0 MCM)

	Construction (	Cost for NW0 (	Gravity	Dam Sche	eme	6 MCM
				Quantity		Amount
			Unit		Unit Price	(\$1,000)
1	PREPARATOR	Y WORKS	20%	of (2+3+		10,190
	Access & Serv	ice Road				
	Yards					
	Buildings					
2	DIVERSION					(9,812)
	Open Cut	Common	m3	1	4	4
	W	eathered Rock	m3	1	5	5
		Fresh Rock	m3	1.65	10	17
	The state of the s	rocement Bar		0.42	800	
	Tunnel	Excavation	m3	37.35	200	
		Lining	m3	14	130	1,820
<u> </u>						
	Gate		t	0.04	4,000	160
3	DAM					(37,638)
	Excavation	Common	m3	62	. 4	248
	W	eathered Rock	m3	66	5	330
		Fresh Rock	m3	223	10	2,230
	Embankment					
		Mass Concrete	m3	307	95	29,165
		Mat Concrete	m3	4 8	95	4,560
	Grout	Curtain	m	5	180	971
		Consolidation	m	1	140	134
4						(3,525)
	Concrere		ļ			
	·	Structural	m3	19.4		
	Reinfrocemen	t Bar	<u>t</u>	0.58	800	466
			ļ. <b>-</b>			
	Gate		t	0.13	4,000	538
	Direct Cost					61,165
5	Compensation		km2			
			<u> </u>			
6	Engineering 8		(1~4)			7,650
	Administration	<u>2.5% *</u>	(1~5)			
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ļ		<del></del>	
<u> </u>	Physical Cont		L			6,880
<u> </u>		10% *	<u>(1~7)</u>			
8	Price Conting	ency				
<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
9	<b>Grand Total</b>		<u> </u>			75,695

		Construction (	Cost fo	or TR9 Dam	Scheme	
ļ		O O TION O O O O O	3001.10	Quantity	Conomo	Amount
			Unit	(x1.000)	Unit Price	(\$1,000)
1	PREPARATOR	YWORKS	20%			6,900
	Access & Serv					
	Yards					
	Buildings					
		·· ···································				
2	DIVERSION					(2,372)
	Open Cut	Common	m 3	77	4	308
		eathered Rock		41	5	205
		Fresh Rock	m3	0	10	0
	Embankment	<del>``````````````````````````````</del>	m 3	Ö	6	Ō
		Mass Concrete		11	95	1,045
		rocement Bar		0.33	800	264
	Tunnel	Excavation	m3	0.00	200	204
	Culvert	LACAVATION	m3	3	130	390
	Oulveit 0	^	m	<u> </u>	130	0
<del>                                     </del>	0	<u> </u>	m3		0	0
	Gate		t	0.04	4,000	160
<b> </b>	Jaio		<u> </u>	0.04	4,000	100
-	DAM		<b></b>			(20,906)
	Excavation	Common	m 3	578	4	2,312
-	<del></del>	eathered Rock	_	121	5	605
	; VY	Fresh Rock	m3	161	10	000
	Embankment		m3	1424	10	14,240
	Embankment	0	m3	<del></del>	0	
		0	m3	0	0	0
ļ		U	1113		U	<u> </u>
	Orand	Curtain		1.5	100	2 720
	Grout		m	15	180 140	2,730
<b></b>		Consolidation	<u> </u>		140	1,019
	SPILLWAY		·			/11 00E\
4		Camman	ma /2	542	4	(11,205)
ļ	Excavation	Common	m3			2,169
ļ	<u></u>	eathered Rock		625		3,125
ļ	D = =1-2:11	Fresh Rock	m3	0	10	0
ļ	Backfill	A 4	m3		5	0
$\vdash\vdash\vdash$	Concrere	Mass	m3	9	95	829
$\vdash$	Dainfara	Wall	m3	33	130	4,290
<b> </b>	Reinfrocemen	·	1	0.99	800	792
	Grout	Curtain	m		180	0
	Gate		1	0	4,000	0
	Diametria					44 555
	Direct Cost	· . <del>-</del> ·				41,383
5	Compensation	<u> </u>	<del></del>			
6	Engineering 8	10% *	(1~4)			5,170
<u>-</u>	Administration					
	, ionimionanoi	. 40/0	7 - 71			
7	Physical Cont	ingency	·-			4,660
<b> </b>	i riyəldai Odill	10% *	(1~7)			7,000
Я	Price Conting		\ <u>``</u>			
┝┷	, nos conting	<u></u>	<del></del>			
	Grand Total		· ···, ··-··			51,213
	IV.UI					

Table 3.2.9 COST ESTIMATE FOR ALTERNATIVE DAMSITE (TR9 : EARTHFILL, 4.0 MCM)

			Qu Unit(x	antity 1,000)	Unit Price	Amount (\$1,000)
1	PREPARATORY Access & Se Yards Buildings		20% of	(2+3+4)		7,180
2	DIVERSION Open Cut  Embankment  Tunnel Culvert	Common Weathered Rock Fresh Rock Common Mass Concrete Reinfrocement Bar Excavation	m3 m3 m3 m3 m3 t m3	77 41 0 0 11 0.33 0	4 5 10 6 95 800 200 130	(2,372) 308 205 0 1,045 264 0 390
	Gate		t	0.04	4,000	160
3	DAM Excavation	Common Weathered Rock Fresh Rock	m3 m3 m3	360	4 5 10	(21,042) 1,440 0 0
	Embankment	Common	m3	1559	10	15,590
	Grout	Curtain Consolidation	m m	17 7	180 140	3,060 952
4	SPILLWAY Excavation	Common Weathered Rock Fresh Rock	m3 m3 m3	332 0 0	4 5 10	(12,480) 1,328 0 0
	Backfill Concrere Reinfroceme Grout Gate	Mass Stractural ent Bar Curtain	m3 m3 m3 t m	72 28 0.84	5 95 130 800 180 4,000	0 6,840 3,640 672 0 0
	Direct Cos	t			-	43,074
5	Compensation	on	ha		20,000	0
6	Engineering Administra					5,380
7	Physical Co	ontingency	4 0.04	(480)		4,850
8	Price Cont	ingency	10% *	(1 6)		
	Grand Total	1		_		53,304

		Construction (	Cost fo	r CA2 Dam	Scheme	
		Constituction	JUST 10	Quantity	Octionio	Amount
	<del></del>		Unit		Unit Price	(\$1,000)
1	PREPARATOR	YWORKS	20%	of (2+3+		3,840
'	Access & Serv	<del></del>	2070	01 12707	T	0,040
	Yards	10011000				
	Buildings			<del></del>		
	Donaingo					
2	DIVERSION					(3,199)
	Open Cut	Common	m3	55	4	220
		eathered Rock		51	5	255
V		Fresh Rock	<del></del>	12	10	120
	Embankment	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	m3	0	6	0
		Mass Concrete		16	95	1,520
		rocement Bar		0.48	800	384
	Tunnel	Excavation	m3	0	200	0
	Culvert		m3	4	130	520
	Gate		t	0.045	4,000	180
3	DAM					(10,914)
Ĭ	Excavation	Common	m3	326	4	1,304
	<del></del>	eathered Rock		101	5	505
		Fresh Rock	m3		10	0
	Embankment		m3	648	10	6,480
	Grout	Curtain	m	1 1	180	1,931
		Consolidation	m	5	140	693
4	SPILLWAY					(5,110)
	Excavation	Common	m3	146		584
ļ	W	eathered Rock	m3	131	5	655
		Fresh Rock	m3	4 8		480
	Backfill		m3	0	5	0
L	Concrere	Mass	m3	13	9 5	1,235
		Wall	m3	14	130	1,820
	Reinfrocemen		t	0.42	800	336
	Grout	Curtain	m		180	0
	Gate		<u>t</u>		4,000	0
	Direct Cost					22 060
	Direct Cost			*		23,063
5	Compensation		km2			
6	Engineering 8	10% *	(1~A)			2,880
	Administration					-,000
7	Physical Cont					2,590
		10% *	(1~7)			
8	Price Conting	ency				
9	Grand Total					28,533

CASH FLOW AND PRESENT WORTH OF Table 3.2.11 PROJECT COST FOR ALTERNATIVE SCHEMES Dam Cost 64,681 58,433 8,870 60,313 51,213 53,304 79,500 28,533 40,000 45,000 Pipeline Cost 2,676 3,932 3,551 3,932 3,932 3,932 Alternatives TR9+CA2 Bocage-TR9(B) +NWO TRO Guibles Year Baptiste +TR0 Baptiste 12,936 11,687 17,674 12,063 17,376 20,661 29,630 19,404 17,530 26,511 18,094 35,991 19,404 17,530 26,511 18,094 22,497 25,991 15,618 15,612 21,225 15,994 14,317 14,792 11,668 22,918 11,668 Present Value 55,311 51,053 75,493 52,605 96,382 81,438

#### Table 3.3.1 Design Criteria and Standard

#### 1. Dam

- a. Safety factor of stability analysis is 1.2. earthquake coefficient is 0.05.
- c. Dam should be founded on the reliable rock foundation.

#### 2. Diversion tunnel

a. Design flood : 20 year probable flood or recorded maximum flood

100 year probable flood during

2nd rainy season

- b. Channel slope : 1/30~1/200
- c. Covering

in case of rock : 1~2 x Tunnel Diameter in case of soil : 2~3 x Tunnel Diameter

#### 3.Spillway

- a. Type : Open channel without gate
- b. Design flood : 1.2 x 200 year probable flood

PMF (extraordinary flood)

#### 4. Intake/river outlet

a. Type : Selective water intake

Table 3.3.2 WATER CONSUMPTION RECORDS (1984-1987)
PORT LOUIS SYSTEM

Year	Month/	Total (m³/month)	Amount (m³/day)	Remarks
1984	Jul	1,787,440	57,660	1984:
	Aug	1,302,867	47,630	$Max.57,660m^3/d$
	Sep	1,458,552	48,620	$Min.39,370m^3/d$
	Oct	1,449,034	46,740	
	Nov	1,477,039	49,230	
	Dec	1,220,483	37,370	
1985	Jan	1,288,696	41,570	1985:
	Feb	1,183,750	42,280	$Avg.35,780m^3/d$
	Mar	1,010,801	32,610	$Max.42,280m^3/d$
	Apr	1,153,524	38,450	$Min.30,700m^3/d$
	May	1,180,501	38,080	
	Jun	1,103,586	36,790	
	Jul	951,767	30,700	
	Aug	1,073,978	34,560	
	Sep	993,195	32,110	
	Oct	998,967	32,220	
	Nov	1,071,416	35,710	
	Dec	1,030,995	33,260	
1986	Jan	1,255,053	40,490	1986:
	Feb	1,042,981	37,250	$Avg.40,570m^3/d$
	Mar	1,269,334	40,950	$Max.43,920m^3/d$
	Apr	1,143,934	38,130	$Min.37,250m^3/d$
	May	1,361,375	43,920	
	Jun	1,223,951	40,800	
	Jul	1,168,539	37,690	
	Aug			
	Sep	1,252,260	41,740	
	Oct	1,345,682	43,410	
	Nov	1,250,078	41,670	
	Dec	1,245,955	41,190	
1987	Jan	1,463,860	47,220	1987:
	Feb	1,313,703	46,920	$Avg.42,370m^3/d$
	Mar	1,214,544	39,180	$Max.47,220m^3/d$
	Apr			$Min.39,180m^3/d$
	May	1,259,056	40,610	
	Jun	1,200,366	40,010	
	Jul	1,249,064	39,890	
	Aug	1,236,475	39,890	
	Sep	1,260,074	42,000	
	Oct	1,408,949	45,450	
	Nov	1,338,851	44,630	
	Dec	1,235,189	39,840	

These volomes have been obtained by adding the volumes measured for each consumer

Table 3.3.3 (1) CHEMICAL DOSAGE RATE

# RAPID SAND FILTRATION CHEMICALS

Process	Ave. Rate (ppm)	Range (ppm)
Pre-Chlorination	1.0	0.5 - 3.0
Pre-Alkali	•	0 - 30.0
Alum	25.0	15.0 - 100.0
Post-Chlorination	1.0	0 - 2.0
Post-Alkali	10.0	0 - 30.0

## Table 3.3.4 TREATMENT PLANT (1/2)

```
1) Receiving well and Mixing well (Phase 1)
                             : B 3.0 m x L 5.0 m x H 5.0 m
    - Dimension
    - Detention time
                             : 3.5 minutes
    - Mixing method
                             : Mixing by flush mixer
2) Flocculation basin (Phase 1 & 2)
                             : B 10.0 m x L 10.5 m x H 3.5 m
    - Dimension
                               x 2 basins
    - Retention metthod
                            : Vertical and horizontal
3) Sedimentation Basin (Phase 1 & 2)
    - Dimension
                             : B 10.0 m x L 54 m x H 3.5 m
                               x 2 basins
    - Retention time
                             : 3 hours
    - Type
                            : Horizontal flow
    - Overflow rate
                            : 1.16 m^3/m^2/hour ( = 27.8 m/day)
    - De-sludge
                            : Mannual sludge removal
4) Filter (Phase 1 & 2)
    - Dimension
                             : B 4.5 m x L 10.0 m
    - Number of beds
                             : 6 beds
    - Type
                             : Constant-rate filtration
                               (Interfilter-washing filter)
    - Filtration rate
                             : 120 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^2/\text{day} \ (5 \text{ m/hour})
                             : Single media of filter sand,
    - Filter sand
                               thickness 70 cm
                             : Thickness 25 cm
    - Filter gravel
    - Washing system
                             : Surface wash 0.2 m<sup>3</sup>/min/m<sup>2</sup>
                               Backwashing 0.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min/m<sup>2</sup>
5) Clear Water Reservoir (Existing)
    - Capacity
                             : 24,000 \text{ m}^3
    - Retention time
                             : 6 hours for 100,000 m<sup>3</sup>/d production
```

# Table 3.3.4 TREATMENT PLANT (2/2)

6)	Administration Buildin - Dimension - First floor - Second floor	<ul> <li>e. B 14.0 m x L 25.0 m, Two-story</li> <li>e. Office space, meeting room,</li> <li>Labourtory, etc.</li> <li>e. System control and monitoring room, etc.</li> </ul>
7)	Chemical Building (Phate - Dimension - First floor - Second floor	ase 1) : B 12.0 m x L 30.0 m, Two-story : Alum, lime and chlorine, solution tanks and storage space : Storage and feeding equipment room
8)	Waste water pond (Pha - Dimension - Pump room	se 1) : B 20.0 m x L 35.0 m x H 2.5 m x 2 basins : B 7.0 m x L 15.0 m
9)	Work Shop and Storage - Work Shop - Storage Building	: B 8.0 m x L 16.0 m
10)	Chemical Feeding Faci - Alum system - Lime system - Chlorine system	<ul> <li>lities (Phase 1 &amp; 2)</li> <li>: 3 solution tanks and Elevated tanks,     Transfer pumps (3 units 1 standby)</li> <li>: 2 solution tanks, 3 saturation tanks,     Feed pumps (2 units, 1 standby)</li> <li>: Liquid gas chlorine storage 1 unit,     Chlorinators (2 units, 1 standby)</li> </ul>
11)	Electrical Equipment - Low Voltage Transfor - Emergency Generator	rmer (3,300/380-220 V) : 2 units

Table 3.4.1 (1) IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME FOR PORT LOUIS WATER SUPPLY PROJECT (1/2)

Sr No	o. Activity	Period required (months)	Expected to be completed by end of
FOR (1)	DIVERSION WORKS ( Lot I ) Approval to JICA Feasibility Report	nue.	6/189
(2)	Policy decisions and administrative work up to the stage of award of Consultancy for Detailed Design	6	12/'89
(3)	Detailed design up to the stage of submission of draft tender documents and tender drawings for diversion works only	6	6/'90
(4)	Government decision on (3) above, submission of final tender documents and drawings for diversion works.	3	9/190
(5)	Invitation of tender for diversion works, receipt of offers, scrutiny and decision regarding diversion works tender	5	2/'91
(6)	Preparatory works at site (for diversion works)	2	4/'91
(7)	Construction of diversion tunnel and other diversion works	13	5/'92
FOR	DAM AND RELATED CIVIL WORKS ( Lot I:	Ε)	
(1A)	Detailed design up to the stage of submission of draft tender documents and tender drawings for the main work (dam, spillway, etc.)	7	1/'91
(2A)	Government decision on (1A) above, and submission of final tender documents and drawings for the main work	3	4/'91
(3A)	Invitation of tender for the main work, receipt of offers for the main work, scrutiny and decision regarding tender for the main work	7	1/'92
(4A)	Preparatory works at site (for the main work)	4	5/'92
(5A)	Construction of dam, spillway, etc.	29	10/'94
(6A)	Miscellaneous items and completion	2	12/'94

Table 3.4.1 (2) IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME FOR PORT LOUIS WATER SUPPLY PROJECT (2/2)

Sr. No.	Activity	Period required (months)	Expected to be completed by end of
FOR WATER	TRANSMISSION AND TREATMENT	FACILITIES	( Lot III )
(18)	Detailed design up to the stage of submission of draft tender documents and tender drawings.	7	1/'91
(2B)	Government decision on (land) above, submission of final tender documents and drawings		4/'91
(3B)	Invitation of tender, receipt of offers, scrutin and decision for procureme and construction work		2/193
(4B)	Preparatory works at site	3	3/194
(5B)	Manufacturing and installation of water transmission facilities	_	12/194
(6B)	Manufacturing and construction of water treatment plant	- 18	12/'94

Table 3.5.1 CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE FOR DAM AND APPURTENENT FACILITIES

	Constructi	on Cost for T	erre	Rouge D	am		Crest El.	195
_					Foreign C		Local Cur	
	WORK ITEM	·			Unit Cost	Amount	Unit Cost	
			Unit	Quantity	(\$)	(\$1,000)	(Rs.)	(Rs.1,000)
	PREPARATOR			<del></del>	<u></u>	(1,600)		(54,700)
	Access & Se	rvice Road	m	5,720	85	486	278	1,590
	Yards		m2				<u> </u>	
	Temporary B	uildings	L.S.			1,149		53,067
2	DIVERSION					(3,900)		(19,500)
	Open Cut	Common	m3	7,900	2.8	22	6	41
		Weathered Rock	m3	10,200	4.4	45		71
		Fresh Rock	m3	3,796	9.7	37	28	106
	<u>Structural</u>		<u>m3</u>	170	72	12	773	131
_	<u>Reinforceme</u>		t	158	615	97	3,769	596
	Tunnel	Excavation	m3_	20,204	134	2,707	246	4,970
		Lining	m3_	5,100	96	491	1,638	8,356
		Steel Supports	t	339	1,018	345	13,307	4,509
		Backfill Grout	m3	201	76	15	1,014	204
	Gate		t	45	3,200	144	10,960	493
			<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
_3	DAM					(20,700)		(71,300)
	Excavation		m3	161,000	2.8	451	6	966
		Weathered Rock	m3	68,000	4.4	299	7	476
		Fresh Rock	m3	29,000	9.7	281	28	812
	Embankment	Rockfill	m3	1,146,000	11	12,606	42	48,132
		Filter	m3	101,000	23	2,323	67	6,767
		Core	m3	238,000	6.2	1,476	15	3,570
	Grout	Curtain	m	23,269	73	1,699	363	8,447
		Blanket	m	1,753	67	117	315	552
	Measuring I	nstrument	L.S.			1,434		1,551
			<u> </u>					
4	SPILLWAY	**************************************			······································	(7, 900)	j	(50,700)
_	Excavation	Common	m3	79,000	2.8	221	6	474
		Weathered Rock	m3	109,000	4.4	480	·	763
		Fresh Rock	m3	284,000	9.7	2,755	<del></del>	7,952
	Backfill		m3	43,000	3.5	151	16	688
-	Structural	Concrete	m3	45,000	72	3,258		34,772
	Reinforceme	·	t	1,350	615	830		5,088
	Bridge over		m2	213	805	171		1,006
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
5	INTAKE					(400)		(3,500)
	Excavation	Common	m3	13,900	2.8	39	6	83
		Weathered Rock	m3	2,600				18
$\neg$		Fresh Rock	m3	870		8	<del></del>	
	Concrere	Culvert	m3	500		21		
		Form	m2	2,000		24		1,382
		Mass	m3	120		5		70
		Form	m2	1,200		14		829
$\dashv$	Reinforceme	<del></del>	t	75	<del></del>	46	·	
	Sluice Gate	<del></del>	no.	5		241	1	491
$\neg$	-2010					431	<del> </del>	43.
	Direct Co	st			<del></del>	34,500	<del> </del>	199,700
		<del></del>			<del></del>		<del>   </del>	
- 6	Compensatio	in	ha	10		**************************************	20,000	200
_			<del></del>	- 10			20,000	
ᆉ	Engineering		10%	* (1~5)		3,500		25,000
	Administrat			* (1~6)		5,550		20,000
_				\ <u>``</u>				
-8	Physical Co	ntingency	10%	* (1~7)		3,800	<del> </del>	22,500
-				\= /		3,000	<del> </del>	22,300
9	Price Conti	ngency		<u> </u>			<del>[</del>	<u>'</u>
			<del></del>			<del></del>	<del>  </del>	
- 1		al			<del></del>	41,800	<u> </u>	247,400
10	Grand Tor			L		-1,000	ļ	
	Grand Tot			ľ				1610 1001
	change rate	Rs			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	(\$18,100)
	change rate US\$1 =	Rs 13.7						(\$18,100)
Exc	change rate US\$1 =	Rs 13.7 0.105				70%		(\$18,100)

Table 3.5.2 PIPE MATERIAL COST OF TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

Item	Unit	Q'ty	Unit Cost	Amount	Breakdo	wn (Rs.)
			(Rs.)	(Rs.)	F/C Portion	L/C Portion
A: Supply of straight pipes						
(φ800 mm Ductile Iron Pipe: DIP)						
A1) CIF (*1)	m	2,100	5,300-	11,130,000-	11,130,000-	
A2) Inland cost (*2)				890,000-		890,000-
Total (Å)				12,020,000-	11,130,000-	890,000-
B: Supply of fittings and valves						
( for φ800 mm DIP )						
B1) CIF of fittings (*1)(*3)	L.S.			2,226,000-	2,226,000-	
B2) CIF of gate valve : φ800 mm (*1)	Nos.	2	242,000-	484,000-	484,000-	_
with operation stand	, ,		]			
B3) CIF of butterfly valve : \$\phi 800 mm(*1)	No.	2	308,000	616,000-	616,000-	·
B4) Inland cost for aboves (*2)				266,000-		266,000-
Total (B)				3,592,000-	3,326,000-	266,000-
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Total (A+B)				15,612,000-	14,456,000-	1,156,000-

Note: (\*1) = Foreign currency portion (F/C)

(\*2) = Local currency portion (L/C): 8 % of CIF
(Banking charge, docks charge, port charge, transportation)

(\*3) = Assumed 20 % of straight pipes

### Foreign Exchange Rate:

US\$ 1.00 = Mauritian Rupees (Rs.) 13.70

US\$ 1.00 = Japanese Yen (¥) 130.50

 $\frac{1.0}{1.0} = Rs. 0.105$ 

Table 3.5.3 CONSTRUCTION COST OF TRANSMISSION FACILITIES (1)

Item	Unit	Q'ty	Unit Cost	Amount	Breakdo	wn (Rs.)
			(Rs.)	(Rs.)	F/C Portion	L/C Portion
A: Preparatory/temporary work						
Ai) Deliver and transportation of pipes/	1 ]		ļ			
fittings/valves of φ800mm DIP						
to site (before-river sites)	m	1,115	63-	70,200-	35,100-	35,100-
A2) -ditto- (after-river sites)	m	985	126-	124,100-	37,200-	86,900-
A3) Stockyard construction at riverside	L.S.			756,000-	75,900-	683,100-
A4) Worksite transportation facilities	L.S.			745,000-	372,500-	372,500-
A5) Gorge wall protection work for safet	y					
Wire-net; 180m x 30m	m²	5,400	650-	3,510,000-	3,159,000-	351,000-
A6) Rehabilitation of existing pipelines	L.S	•		1,000,000-	100,000-	900,000-
A7) Miscellaneous work (6,205,300x10%)				620,700-	377,900-	239,800-
Total (A)				6,826,000-	4,157,600-	2,668,400-
B: Improvement-construction of intake ch	ambe	r		•		
B1) Underwater excavation (Rock)	m	55	1,520-	83,600-	33,400-	50, 200-
B2) Underwater bedding concrete	m³	31	2,015-	62,500-	28,100-	34,400-
B3) Sheet-piling for water-shuttering	m²	80	2,800-	224,000-	179,200-	44,800-
B4) H-shaped steel for supporting above	ton	5.5	8,500-	46,800-	37,400-	9,400-
B5) Dewatering	L,S,			80,000-	40,000-	40,000-
B6) Demolishing existing concrete	m³	42	1,000-	42,000-	8,400-	33,600-
B7) Concrete work	m³	22	1,780-	39,200-	19,600-	19,600-
B8) Steel bars	ton	2.1	11,200-	23,500-	16,500-	7,000-
B9) Formwork	m²	39	360-	14,000-	2,800-	11,200-
B10) Bar screen	Set	1		54,000-	48,600-	5,400-
B11) Mortar work	L.S.			11,500-	5,800-	5,700-
B12) Dredging	L.S.			100,000-	50,000-	50,000-
B13) Repair of the existing chamber	L.S.	· I		100,000-	50,000-	50,000-
B14) Miscellaneous work (881,100x10%)	$\bigsqcup$			88,900-	52,000-	36,900-
Total (B)				970,000-	571,800-	398, 200-

Table 3.5.4 CONSTRUCTION COST OF TRANSMISSION FACILITIES (2)

Item	Unit	Q'ty	Unit Cost	Amount	Breakdo	wn (Rs.)
			(Rs.)	(Rs.)	F/C Portion	L/C Portion
C: Pipe installation work						
at Sites of (1),(2),(4),(5),(6),(7) 8	(8)					
(L = 769.5 M)						
C1) Excavation (Rock/manual)	m³	938	400	375,200-	75,000-	300,200-
C2) Excavation (Soil/manual)	m³	235	150-	35,300-	7,100-	28,200-
C3) Backfilling (Soil excavated)	m³	230	75-	17,300-	3,500-	13,800-
C4) Concrete	m³	963	1,780-	1,714,100-	857,100-	857,000-
C5) Formwork	m²	1,089	360	392,000-	78,400-	313,600-
C6) Pipelaying work (\$00mm DIP)	m	770	270	207,900-	41,600-	166,300-
C7) Miscellaneous work (2,741,800x10%)		<del></del>		274,200-	106,300-	167,900-
Total (C)				3,016,000-	1,169,000-	1,847,000-
D: Pipe installation work						,
at Sites of (10),(11),(12),(13),(14),	(15)	,(16),(1	7) & (18)			
( L = 802.0 M )						
					٠,	
D1) Excavation (Rock)	m³	1,413	250-	353,300-	70,700-	282,600-
D2) Excavation (Soil)	m³	353	100	35,300-	7,100-	28,200-
D3) Backfilling (5mm basalt aggregate)	m³	193	450-	86,900-	17,400-	69,500-
D4) Backfilling (Soil excavated)	m³	610	75	45,800-	22,900-	22,900-
D5) Cutting and disposal (Rock)	m³	3,034	250-	758,500-	379,300-	379,200-
D6) Cutting and disposal (Soil)	m³	759	100-	75,900-	38,000-	37,900-
D7) Slope protection work	m²	1,762	290-	511,000-	51,100-	459,900-
D8) Concrete	m³	450	1,780-	801,000-	400,500-	400,500-
D9) Formwork	m	179	360-	64,400-	12,900-	51,500-
D10) Pipelaying work (\$00mm DIP)	m	802	270-	216,500-	43,300-	173,200-
D11) Miscellaneous work (2,948,600x10%)				294,400-	104,100-	190,300-
Total (D)				3,243,000-	1,147,300-	2,095,700-

Table 3.5.5 CONSTRUCTION COST OF TRANSMISSION FACILITIES (3)

Item	Unit	Q' ty	Unit Cost	Amount	Breakdo	wn (Rs.)
			(Rs.)	(Rs.)	F/C Portion	L/C Portion
E: Pipe installation work						
at Sites of (19),(20) & (21)						
( L = 312.0 M )						
PIN Formation (Park)	m³	1 401	450	C00 F00	107.000	F11 000
El) Excavation (Rock)		1,421	450-	639,500-	127,900-	511,600-
E2) Excavation (Soil)	m³	355	180-	63,900-	12,800-	51,100-
E3) Backfilling (5mm basalt aggregate)	ļ	125	450-	56,300-	11,300-	45,000-
E4) Backfilling (Selected material)	m	394	210	82,700-	41,400-	41,300-
E5) Backfilling (Excavated soil)	m	1,086	75-	81,500-	40,800-	40,700-
E6) Pipe laying work (φ800mm DIP)	m	312	540-	168,500-	33,700-	134,800-
E7) Miscellaneous work (1,092,400x10%)			<u> </u>	109,600-	26,900-	82,700-
Total (E)				1,202,000-	294,800-	907,200-
						,
F: Pipe installation work				•		
at Sites of (3) & (9)			!			
(River crossing: L=87.8+127.4= 215.	2 M	)	<u> </u> 			ļ
F1) Underwater evcavation (Rock)	m³	1,574	760- I	1,196,200-	478,500-	717,700-
F2) Underwater backfilling	m	1,211	150-	181,700-	36,300-	145,400-
F3) Underwater concrete	m	255	2,015-	513,800-	231,200-	282,600-
F4) Riverbed protection concrete	m	184	2,015-	370,800-	185,400-	185,400-
F5) Formwork	mî	559	360-	201,200-	40,200-	161,000-
F6) Pipe laying work (φ800mm DIP)	m	215	1,080-	232,200-	46,400-	l ' I
F7) Concrete abutment	Nos.		150,000-			185,800-
F8) Miscellaneous work (3,295,900x10%)	nos.		1200,000	600,000-	120,000-	480,00-
			ļ	329,100-	113,800-	329,100-
Total (F)	<u> </u>		!	3,625,000-	1,251,800-	2,373,200-
Total (A+B+C+D+E+F)				Rs. 18,882,000-	Rs. 8,592,300-	Rs. 10,289,700-
Overhead and others (25%)	<u> </u>		ļ	4,720,000-	2,148,000-	2,572,000-
Grand Total	<del> </del>	<u> </u>		23,602,000-		
ALGIN TOUR	<u></u>	L	<u> </u>	20,002,000	10,740,300-	12,861,700-

Table 3.5.6 CONSTRUCTION COST FOR WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES (30,000 M3/D)

			<u>Unit</u> :	Rs. 1000
	Facilities	F.C.	L.C.	Total
1.	Receiving & Mixing Well	270	270	540
2.	Flocculation & Sedimentation Basin	3,300	2,200	5,500
3,	Filter Beds	4,500	3,000	7,500
4 ·.	Yard Piping & Landscaping	4,430	2,950	7,380
5.	Mecha. & Elect. Equipment includ. Chemical Equipment	31,900	7,980	39,880
б.	Buildings	2,750	2,750	5,500
7.	Miscellaneous, Site Preparation & Others	1,140	4,560	5,700
	<u>Total</u>	48,290	23,710	72,000

COST CONSTRUCTION DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE OF Table 3.5.7

(Unit: HS.1,000)	Summary	nary		1989/90		1990/91	- 1 - 1	1991/92	3 1	1992/93		1993/94	199	1994/95
	n. O	0	O.	LO.	F.C.	C)	O.H.	LC.	D.	LC.	J.	L'C.	Э. С.	LC.
1. Preparatory Works	21,900	54,700		-	8,213	20,513	10,950	27,350	2,738	6,838				
2. Civil Works														
2.1 River Diversion	53,400	19,500			9,709	3,545	43,691	15,955						
2.2 Dam	283,400	71,300					21,478	5,1751	5,175 118,295	29,325 107,720	07,720	27,600	35,907	9,200
2.4 Spillway	108,200	50,700								-	108,200	50,700		
2.5 Intake	5,500	3,500											5,500	3,500
2.6 Transmission Pipeline	25,200	14,000							7,228		12,598	7,587	5,370	6,431
2.7 Treatment Plant	48,300	23,700									41,049	17,780	7,241	5,930
Sub-total of 2.	524,000	182,700	0	Ö	9,709	3,545	65,169	21,130 125,523	25,523	29,325 269,567 103,667	69,567 1	103,667	54,018	25,061
Sub-total 1. to 2.	545,900	237,400	0	0	17,922	24,058	76,119	48,480 128,261	28,261	36,163 269,567 103,667	69,567 1	103,667	54,018	25,061
3. Land Acquisition and Compensation		200		200										
4. Administration Expenses	•	5,900		1,475		448	1	904	•		•	1,932		467
5. Engineering Services	54,500	23,800	15,733	6,667	1,194	1,606	8,715	5,136	9,048	2,935	16,080	6,104	3,730	1,352
Sub-total 1. to 5.	600,400	267,300	15,733	8,342	19,115	26,113	84,834	54,519 137,308	37,308	39,771 2	285,647 111,704	111,704	57,748	26,880
6. Physical Contingency	000'09	26,700	1,573	814	1,912	2,611	8,483	5,452	13,731	3,977	28,565 11,170	11,170	5,775	2,688
Sub-total 1, to 6.	660,400	294,000	17,307	9,156	21,027	28,724	93,317	59,971 151,039	51,039	43,7483	314,212 122,874	122,874	63,523	29,568
7. Price Contingency	98,300	99,300	554	659	1,367	4,285	9,248	13,909	20,281	14,027	53,596	51,080	13,215	15,306
Grand Total	758,700	393,300 17,860	17,860	9,815	22,394	33,009 102,565	02,565	73,880 171,320	71,320	57,775 367,808 173,954	57,808 1		76,738	44,873

TABLE 3.5.8 COST OF EACH PROJECT COMPONENT

Disbursement Schedule of The Port Louis Water Supply Project

(Unit : Rs1,000)				
ltem	Summary			
	F.C.	L.C.		
1. Detailed Design	15,700	6,700		
1.2. Administration Expenses		1,500		
1.4. Physical Contingency	1,600	800		
1.5. Price Contingency	600	600		
Sub-total	17,900	9,600		
2. Preparatory Works	21,900	54,700		
2.1. Land Acquisition and Compensation	0	200		
2.2. Administration Expenses		600		
2.3. Engineering Services	2,000	4,800		
2.4. Physical Contingency	2,400	6,000		
2.5. Price Contingency	2,400	14,200		
Sub-total	28,700	80,500		
3. River Diversion	53,400	19,500		
(Excluding Diversion Closure Work)	33,400	19,500		
3.2. Administration Expenses		600		
3.3. Engineering Services	5 600	1,900		
	5,600			
3.4. Physical Contingency	5,900	2,200		
3.5. Price Contingency	6,000	5,200		
Sub-total	70,900	29,400		
4. Dam	283,400	71,300		
(including Diversion Closure Work)				
4.2. Administration Expenses		1,800		
4.3. Engineering Services	19,700	5,000		
4.4. Physical Contingency	30,300	7,800		
4.5. Price Contingency	51,500	32,100		
Sub-total	384,900	118,000		
5. Spillway	108,200	50,700		
5.2. Administration Expenses	•	800		
5.3. Engineering Services	6,500	3,000		
5.4. Physical Contingency	11,500	5,500		
5.5. Price Contingency	21,500	25,000		
Sub-total	147,700	85,000		
S. Intoko	E E00	2 500		
6. Intake	5,500	3,500 100		
6.2. Administration Expenses	400			
6.3. Engineering Services	400	200		
6.4. Physical Contingency	600	400		
6.5, Price Contingency	1,300	2,100		
Sub-total	7,800	6,300		
7. Transmission Pipeline and	25,200	14,000		
Rehabilitation of Municipal Dyke				
7.2. Administration Expenses		200		
7.3. Engineering Services	1,600	800		
7.4. Physical Contingency	2,700	1,500		
7.5. Price Contingency	5,000	7,700		
Sub-total	34,500	24,200		
8. Treatment Plant	48,300	23,700		
8.2. Administration Expenses	,	400		
8.3. Engineering Services	2,900	1,400		
8.4. Physical Contingency	5,100	2,500		
8.5. Price Contingency	9,900	12,400		
Sub-total	66,200	40,400		
Gunnel Total	760 700	202 200		
Grand Total	758,700	393,300		