

2. アンケート様式と回答

<国営ラジオ放送網拡充計画>

QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

<p>[Name of JICA Study] Feasibility Study for FM Broadcasting Network Expansion Project</p>
<p>[Period of JICA study] From 1974 to 1977</p>
<p>[Name of Executing Agency concerned] Ministry of Information and Broadcasting Voice of Kenya</p>
<p>[Summary of Study result] Project Site: 20 stations (Limuru, Nakuru, Timboroa, etc.) Project Budget: 167 million Kenya shillings Construction Period: 3 years The project is feasible.</p>

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

[Follow-up Actions and Present Status]

1. In what situation is the progress of the Project?

- 1. ( ) The Project has already been completed and is now in operation.
  - 2. ( ) The Project is now under implementation/ construction.
  - 3. ( ) The implementation of the Project is formally decided and the fund for the implementation is prepared.
  - 4. ( ) The detailed design (or engineering study) for the project was completed or is now under study.
  - 5. ( ) The progress of the Project is interrupted.
  - 6. ( ) The implementation of the Project was temporarily suspended.
- To Questions 4
- To Questions 2 and 3

2. Please state the present situation of the Project on the following:

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

Kenya Broadcasting Corporation

(2) Scope of the Project:

As stated in JICA Draft FM Feasibility Study Report of 1977 - Establishment (by KBC) of a comprehensive VHF/FM Broadcasting Network.

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

Location of the 20 (+13) stations is as per JICA site survey but minor review may be needed due to

some changes in the present broadcasting situation in Kenya.

- (4) Amount of Investment (Construction Cost): KE 9 Million (To be revised).

Financial savings shall be realized by co-siting new VHF/FM Stations with existing and on-coming Radio and TV. Stations.

- (5) Source of Finance:

To be identified:-both local (buildings, access road, power and water supplies etc) and foreign elements (mainly equipment and accessories).

- (6) Implementation Schedule:

To be drawn up once source of finance and implementation agency are identified. This may be in phases depending on the available resources and cost of the project.

3. Please state the difference between the JICA report's recommendation and the actual implementation of the Project on the following points and their reason(s) of alteration, if any.

- (1) Name of Executing Agency:

Kenya Broadcasting Corporation through (Ministry of Information & B'casting/Ministry of Finance).

- (2) Scope of the Project:

20 VHF/FM Stations in Phase I

13 VHF/FM Stations in Phase II

Programme links: Both off-air & KPTC System.

Infrastructures - See paragraph (5) above.

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

Entire Republic of Kenya to be covered by 33 VHF/FM stations. There is need for a minor review of the locations.

(4) Amount of Investment:

Approximately K£.12 Million shall be required but this figure is to be revised during supplementary study by implementing agency.

(5) Implementation Schedule:

To be scheduled - see JICA Draft Report 1977 - but the project should be completed within 3 years from the date of commencement.

4. Please state the reason(s) of the interruption or abandonment of the Project implementation from the viewpoint of financial, technical, political and other aspects.

This project was shelved due to financial constraints.

Technically and politically, the project is viable.

VHF/FM System is essential for the long future, bearing in mind constraints of energy and recurrent cost crisis on one hand and the effectiveness and simplicity

advantages of VHF/FM system. There is a need however, to ensure that VHF/FM Radio receivers are available and

equitably distributed in the country in order to realize the advantages of the new system.

[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special field	From
J.P. Kimani	Development Engineer	Chief Engineer		Ministry of Posts & Telecommunications. Ministry of Posts & Telecomms
Milcle Munyers	Ass. Engineer	Retired		
S.N. Machar	Chief Engineer	Retired	Coordinators	"

[Your Comments and Suggestions on JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do you evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Good; Not good; In what respects)

Very good; It is simple and easy to follow and understand. The team that compiled the report after the survey was quite good.

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

Good

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Fair and reasonable in all respects.

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

VHF/FM is not new with Kenya Broadcasting Corporation technologists. Only technical orientation shall be needed.

(5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:

Kenya Broadcasting Corporation is competent to handle the bulk of the work but this does not preclude possible involvement of local consultants.

(6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:

Similar assuming that the feasibility study was a precondition for possible financial assistance.

(7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further Cooperation:

This is an essential requirement during feasibility study, implementation period and future technical back-up and assistance.

(8) Any other comments and suggestions:

The new system should be durable, reliable, easy to integrate into the existing system, of modern design to ensure its longevity and easy to maintain and operate both technically and financially.

Thank you for your kind cooperation.



QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

[Name of JICA Study] Study on National Transport Plan
[Period of JICA study] From 1982 to 1984
[Name of Executing Agency concerned] Ministry of Transport and Communication
[Summary of Study Result] Recommended projects: Roads: Bypass in Nairobi & Mombasa, Road improvement etc. Railway: Transportation expansion projects, Container terminals, Mombasa Port, etc. Ports: Mombasa South development, etc. Marine: Multipurpose ship, Lake Victoria mixed boat Airport: Malindi air transportation, Kisumu airport improvement, Purchase of airplanes, etc. Pipeline: Extension to the West of Nairobi

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

[Follow-up Actions and Present Status]

1. In what situation is the progress of the Project covered by the Master Plan?

- 1. (V) The Project has already been integrated into National Plan.
  - 2. ( ) Feasibility study on the total plan has been followed up.
  - 3. ( ) Feasibility study on selected projects has been followed up.
  - 4. ( ) No follow-up action was made.
  - 5. ( ) Unknown.
- To Questions 4
- To Questions 2 and 3

2. Please state the present situation of the Project on the following:

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

Ministry of Public Works

(2) Scope of the Plan or selected Project:

The Plan was approved by the Govt. and various projects recommended will be integrated in National Plans upto year 2000 and beyond.

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

Nation wide

(4) Amount of Investment (Construction Cost):

Will be known when projects are implemented.

(5) Source of Finance:

Kenya and donot arristance

(6) Implementation Schedule:

From now upto year 2000 and beyond

3. Please state the difference between the JICA report's recommendation and the actual implementation of the Project on the following points and their reason(s) of alteration, if any.

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

(2) Scope of the Project:

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

(4) Amount of Investment:

(5) Implementation Schedule:

4. Please state the reason(s) why the Master Plan has not been followed up or abandoned.

[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
J. K. K Kirika	MOTC Chief Engineer	Retired	-
S. Asfan	MOTC Chief Engineer	Chief Engineer (Planning)	Planning for roads and Coordination
S. M. Kiguni	MOTC Chief Engineer	Engineer in Chief MOPW	Assist. the PS in administration of technical departments
P. M. Wakon	MOTC Chief Engineer	Senior Superin- tending Engineers (Planning)	Planning for Roads and Coordination
K. Guanda	MOTC Chief Engineer	Engineer (Roads) MOTC	Maintenance of roads in subject (Kimiyaga)
H. Kiragn	MOTC Chief Engineer	Engineer (Bridges)	Pondges design
A. L. Alusa	MOTC Chief Engineer	Transferred to MOTC	-
D. Kaura	MOTC Chief Engineer	Left the Country	-
M. Maingi	MOTC Chief Engineer	Semist Superin- tending Engineer (Confrants)	Confrants Evaluation
J. Hieatt	MOTC Roads and Aero- drome Dept		
M. Mukwana	MOTC roads and Aero- drome Dept		

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
F. N. Motudi	MOTC Design Dinsion		
C. N. Mutun	MOTC Planning Dinsion	Left the Ministry	-
G. Wabuke	MOTC Roads and Aero- drome Dept	Retired	-
P. M. Parkach	MOTC roads and Aero- drome Dept	Left the Ministry	-
R. N. Karimi	MOTC Roads and Aero- drome Dept	Left the Ministry	-
G. A. Okumn	MOTC Roads and Aero- drome Dept	Chief Aero- dromes Engineer (MOTC)	Aerodromes Design, Construction & maintenance
J. P. Aynga	MOTC Directorate of Ciril Aviation		
T. G. Druchs	MOTC Directorate of Ciril Aviation		
B. A. Oder-Ongula	Kenya Parts Arthenty		
E. G. Njorage	MOTC Meteorological Dept		
G. P. Mbita	Kenya Pailways Corporation		
J. Gatua	Kenya Pailways Corporation		
J. C. Ochido	Kenya Pailways Corporation		
J. Dillenbeck	Kenya Pipeline Co.		
N. J. Okwemba	Kenya Pipeline Co.		

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
F. B. J. Oluta	Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning		
G. J. Ngond	Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning		
Kabirn	Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning		
C. N. Mwangangi	Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning		
I. A. Dynyango	Ministry of Energy and Reonomic Planning		
D. B. Kimtai	Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning		
M. I. Malova	Ministry of Energy and Reonomic Planning		
S. A. R. Bagha	Ministry of Energy and Regional Development		
A. M. Bereki	Ministry of Agriculture and Live-stock Development		
A. M. Getao	Ministry of Agriculture and Live-stock Development		

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
M. Watoki	Ministry of Tourism and wild life		
F. G. Kago	Registrant of Moter Vehicles		

[Your Comments and Suggestions on JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Good; Not good; In what respects)

Very Good. The team understood Kenya's Situation in the transport sector and come up with recommendations which are realistic and which commensurate with the limited finanal & other resources.

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

Efficient especially in collection of data and information and the fast completion of reports.

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Quite standard and relevant to the needs of Kenya as a developing country.

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

Local personnel who have worked together with the study team has benifited a lot in preparation of such studies.

(5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:

No Local Consultants were used. Information was supplied by the Ministrings and analyzed by study team.



(6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:  
This is the only master plan covering all the modes of transport. Other studies covered one mode each.

(7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further Cooperation:  
Necessity is there for follow-up to ensure implementation of the project(s) and to assess the bottleneck's to implementation such as finance.

(8) Any other comments and suggestions:  
The Kenya Government greatly values the assistance provided by Japan and looks forward to further cooperation.

Thank you for your kind cooperation.

<ナイロビバイパス建設計画>

QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

<p>[Name of JICA Study] Feasibility Study for Nairobi Bypass Construction Project</p>
<p>[Period of JICA study] From 1986 to 1987</p>
<p>[Name of Executing Agency concerned] Ministry of Transport and Communication</p>
<p>[Summary of Study Result] Project Site: Nairobi Project Budget: 32,276 million Kenya shillings Construction Period: 2.5 years The project is feasible.</p>

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

[Follow-up Actions and Present Status]

1. In what situation is the progress of the Project?

- 1. ( ) The Project has already been completed and is now in operation.
  - 2. ( ) The Project is now under implementation/ construction.
  - 3. ( ) The implementation of the Project is formally decided and the fund for the implementation is prepared.
  - 4. ( ) The detailed design (or engineering study) for the project was completed or is now under study.
  - 5. (✓) The progress of the Project is interrupted.
  - 6. ( ) The implementation of the Project is abandoned.
- To Questions 4
- To Questions 2 and 3

2. Please state the present situation of the Project on the following:

- (1) Name of Executing Agency:
- (2) Scope of the Project:
- (3) Project Site (Project Area):
- (4) Amount of Investment (Construction Cost):
- (5) Source of Finance:
- (6) Implementation Schedule:

3. Please state the difference between the JICA report's recommendation and the actual implementation of the Project on the following points and their reason(s) of alteration, if any.

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

(2) Scope of the Project:

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

(4) Amount of Investment:

(5) Implementation Schedule:

4. Please state the reason(s) of the interruption or abandonment of the Project implementation from the viewpoint of financial, technical, political and other aspects.

Feasibility study was completed in early 1988.

Funds are now being solicited for the full engineering design and for the Construction.

[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
S.N. Otouglo	Chief Engineer (Roads)	Same	Head of roads and bridges
J. M. Wanyoike	Chief Superintending Engineer (Design)	Same	Head of roads and bridges Design
G. N. Muttingain	Superintending Engineer (Design)	Same	Roads Design
K. Tada	Superintending Engineer (Bridges)	Left the Country	
P. M. Wallori	Senior Superintending Engineer (Planning)	Same	Roads Planning & Coordination
S. W. Mugambi	S.S. Engineer Materials	Same	Materials Investigations
M. E. Agaloching	O/ic Traffic Engineering Unit	Same	Traffic Ceurus

[Your Comments and Suggestions of JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do you evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Good; Not good; In what respects)

V. good. The team understood the requirements of the city of Nairobi in transport matters and made appropriate recommendations.

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

Efficient especially in data and information collection in fast pace of preparation of report.

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Quite standard and relevant to the needs of Nairobi City as a growing metropolitan area.

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

The local personnel who participated benefited a lot in the conducting of such studies.

(5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:

No local consultants were involved.

(6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:

No other donor has conducted a by-pass study in Kenya in the past.

- (7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further Cooperation:

Need is there for the follow-up so that funding in full design report and construction can be sought.

- (8) Any other comments and suggestions:

Future Cooperation in other such studies (eg. Mombasa Southern bypass) is needed.

Thank you for your kind cooperation.

<東部地区地形図作製調査>

QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

<p>[Name of JICA Study] Topographic Mapping Project for East Kenya Area</p>
<p>[Period of JICA study] From 1980 to 1983</p>
<p>[Name of Executing Agency concerned] Ministry of Land and Settlement Survey of Kenya</p>
<p>[Area of Thematic Maps] Tana River Delta Area, Ranching Project Area</p>
<p>[Area of Evaluation Maps] Tana River Delta Area, Ranching Project Area</p>

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)



[Utilization of the maps]

1. How have the maps been utilized?

The maps have been used very extensively by various government ministries and other organizations involved in development projects in the area. The Maps have been specifically useful in agricultural projects, water and road engineering surveys. A few of the users are listed below:-

- (1) Rice irrigation projects, Tana River District e.g. Garsen
- (2) Malindi - Garsen road design and tarmacking project through the Ministry of Public Works and other consulting engineers.
- (3) Tana River and Coastal Mangrove forests studies and conservation through the Forest Department.
- (4) Soil and Geological studies as part of agricultural improvements through the Kenya Soil Survey Project of the Ministry of Agriculture.
- (5) Coastal and Marine life conservation and exploitation through the Fisheries Department and the Kenya marine and Fisheries Institute.
- (6) Preparation of tourist maps by the Survey of Kenya as an input to the thriving tourist industry at the Kenya Coast.
- (7) Development of extensive ranches in Tana River and Lamun Districts, through the Ministry of Livestock Development.

[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
Dominic Kimando Thuo	Photogrammetrist 2 Office of the President	Photogrammetrist Grade I	
Francis Mbweri Gikuhi	AG. Senior Cartographer Office of the President	Retired	
Joshua Ogutu	Senior Cartographer Survey of Kenya	Chief Cartographer (Mapping)	
Samwel Kamunzyu	Superintendent Lithographer	Superintendent Lithographer	
Walter J. Absaloms	Assistant Director of Survey Survey of Kenya	Director of Surveys	
Kioko Japheth	Assistant surveyor Survey of Kenya	Survey Assistant	
Charles Mukonyo	Cartographer II Survey of Kenya	Cartographer Grade I	
David Livingstone Anyolo Chabeda	Chief Lithographer Survey of Kenya	Principal Lithographer	
Christpher Assumani Kimele	Officer in charge thematic mapping Survey of Kenya	Senior Cartographer	
D. Kamau	Director of Surveys Ministry of Lands and Settlement	Retired	

[Your Comments and Suggestions of JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do you evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Good; Not good; In what respects)

Very good, High standards were used during ground survey, Photogrammetric, Cartographic and Lithographic processing.

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

Very thorough and well organized. They were very co-operative with the local consultants and the Survey of Kenya.

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Modern methods of study were used, which fitted well with those used in the Survey of Kenya

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

Technology transfer was done through counterpart attachment at every stage. The counterparts benefitted from the transfer and have continued to use the knowledge gained in their present posts.

- (5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:  
Kenya Soil Survey, Mines and Geology Department,  
Kenya Rangeland Management Unit and other govern-  
ment departments contributed greatly to the study,  
and have continued to use the results of the study.
- (6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:  
The JICA study invlved generally more local  
consultations, coordination and technology transfer,  
as compared to other donor studies.
- (7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further  
Cooperation:  
(a) The South Kenya study Project has ensued as a  
result of the East Kenya Project. (b) Due to the  
great demand for the topographical maps produced it  
is proposed that JICA assists in form of materials,  
the reprinting of more copies.
- (8) Any other comments and suggestions:  
Users of the study results have been satisfied. The  
trigonometric Survey points and the levelling bench  
marks constructed during the study have been of much  
use by surveyors and engineers.

Thank you for your kind cooperation.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

<p>[Name of JICA Study] Fesibility Study of Kilifi Bridge Construction Project</p>
<p>[Period of JICA study] From 1982 to 1983</p>
<p>[Name of Executing Agency concerned] Ministry of Transport and Communication</p>
<p>[Summary of Study Result] Project Site: Kilifi Project Budget: 30,093 million Kenya shillings Construction Period: 4 years The project is feasible.</p>

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

[Follow-up Actions and Present Status]

1. In what situation is the progress of the Project?

- 1. ( ) The Project has already been completed and is now in operation.
  - 2. (✓) The Project is now under implementation/ construction.
  - 3. ( ) The implementation of the Project is formally decided and the fund for the implementation is prepared.
  - 4. ( ) The detailed design (or engineering study) for the project was completed or is now under study.
  - 5. ( ) The progress of the Project is interrupted.
  - 6. ( ) The implementation of the Project is abandoned.
- To Questions 4
- To Questions 2 and 3

2. Please state the present situation of the Project on the following:

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

Min. of Public Works

(2) Scope of the Project:

Construction of a bridge across the Kilifi creek.

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

Kilifi Sisfrict - Coast Province

(4) Amount of Investiment (Construction Cost):

K & H 720 million

(5) Source of Finance:

OECE - Japan

(6) Implementation Schedule:

April 1988 - Jan. 1991

3. Please state the difference between the JICA report's recommendation and the actual implementation of the Project on the following points and their reason(s) of alteration, if any.

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

(2) Scope of the Project:

Original bridge proposed was cable stayed. Final bridge design was prestressed concrete box girder bridge. Reason of change of design was cost-reduction.

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

(4) Amount of Investment:

Change of design resulted to change in cost.

(5) Implementation Schedule:

4. Please state the reason(s) of the interruption or abandonment of the Project implementation from the viewpoint of financial, technical, political and other aspects.

[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
Mr. W. P. Wambura	Permanent Secretary of MOTC	P. S. MOPW	Chief executive of Min. and the accounting Officer
Mr. Kilika	Engineer in Chief of MOTC	Retired	-
Mr. S. M. Kiguru	Chief Engineer (Roads and Aerodromes) of MOTC	Engineer in Chief	Asst to P.S. in administration of technical depts.
Mr. G. Wabuke	Chief Super-intending Engineer (Construction) of MOTC	Retired	-
Mr. S. Asfaw	Chief Engineer (Planning) of MOTC	Same	Planning for roads & Coordination
Mr. D.E.M. Mwasi	Chief Engineer (Planning) of MOTC	Transferred to Nairobi City Commission	-
Mr. J.M. Wanyoike	Chief Super-intending Engineer (Design) of MOTC	Same	Head of design of roads & bridges
Mr. P.M. Wakori	Superintending Engineer (Transport Planning) of MOTC	Senior Super-intending Engineer (Planning)	Planning for roads & Coordination
Mr. C.M. Kamau	Provincial Engineer (East Coast) of MOTC	Asst. to Chief Engineer (Roads)	Administration matters on roads



Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
Mr. T. Kai	Bridge Section (Road and Aerodromes) of MOTC	Left the Country	-
Mr. Y. Maekawa	Bridge Section (Road and Aero- dromes) of MOTC	Left the Country	-
Mr. T. Knotton	Bridge Section (Road and Aerodromes) of MOTC	Left the Country	-
Mr. L. Blombakke	Bridge Section (Road and Aerodromes) of MOTC	Left the Country	-
Mr. J.P. MURAGURI	Bridge Section (Road and Aerodromes) of MOTC	Engineer (Bridges)	Bridge design and Construction
Mr. P.M. Ojwaka	Bridge Section (Road and Aerodromes) of MOTC	Engineer MOPW	Bridges design & Construction

[Your Comments and Suggestions of JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do you evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Good; Not good; In what respects)

V. good. the recommendation was good but the Cost resulted in the change of design

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

Very efficient especially in data & information Collection and their speed in preparation of report.

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Quite good and relevant to the needs of the Country in devising the most economical choice.

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

The local personnel who were involved benefited in the methodology of data collection and analysis.

(5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:

Local consultants not involved.

(6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:

Other donors have not done such bridge feasibility studies in Kenya.

(7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further Cooperation:

There is need to follow up the implementation to ensure final completion of Construction.

(8) Any other comments and suggestions:

The Government of Kenya will welcome further assistance in other areas of transport facilities.

Thank you for your kind cooperation.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

<p>[Name of JICA Study]</p> <p>Feasibility Study on Water Supply Augmentation Project of Mombasa-Coastal Area-Hinterland</p>
<p>[Period of JICA study]</p> <p>From 1980 to 1981</p>
<p>[Name of Executing Agency concerned]</p> <p>Ministry of Water Development</p>
<p>Summary of Study Result]</p> <p>Project Site: Mombasa area</p> <p>Project Budget: 3,157 million Kenya shillings</p> <p>2nd Mzima Pipeline and Tsavo Reservoir</p> <p>Recommendation: Adjustment with Munyu Scheme</p>

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

[Follow-up Actions and Present Status]

1. In what situation is the progress of the Project?

- 1. (No) The Project has already been completed and is now in operation.
  - 2. (No) The Project is now under implementation/ construction.
  - 3. (No) The implementation of the Project is formally decided and the fund for the implementation is prepared.
  - 4. (No) The detailed design (or engineering study) for the project was completed or is now under study.
  - 5. (Yes) The progress of the Project is interrupted.
  - 6. (No) The implementation of the Project is abandoned.
- To Questions 4
- To Questions 2 and 3

2. Please state the present situation of the Project on the following:

- (1) Name of Executing Agency:  
NATIONAL WATER CONSERVATION AND PIPELINE CORPORATION
- (2) Scope of the Project:  
Pipeline 220 km - Intake Works  
Storage Tanks, Extension to South Coast.
- (3) Project Site (Project Area):  
Coast Province
- (4) Amount of Investment (Construction Cost):  
150 - 180 m. US\$

(5) Source of Finance:

Japan

Italy

(6) Implementation Schedule:

To be Proposed.

3. Please state the difference between the JICA report's recommendation and the actual implementation of the Project on the following points and their reason(s) of alteration, if any.

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

N/A.

(2) Scope of the Project:

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

(4) Amount of Investment:

(5) Implementation Schedule:

4. Please state the reason(s) of the interruption or abandonment of the Project implementation from the viewpoint of financial, technical, political and other aspects.

[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
M. Mutito	Director of planning Ministry of Water Development	Permanent Secretary - Ministry of Energy	N/A.
M. Odiambo	Vice Director of Planning Ministry of Water Development	General Manager - Nairobi City Commission.	N/A.

[Your Comments and Suggestions of JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do you evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Not good; In what respects)

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

Excellent

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Very Good

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

N/A.

(5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:

Nil.

(6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:

Quite different - Better

(7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further Cooperation:

Yes

(8) Any other comments and suggestions:

The donor should be more flexible.

Thank you for kind cooperation.



QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

<p>[Name of JICA Study]</p> <p>Feasibility Study on Likoni Crossing Construction Project</p>
<p>[Period of JICA study]</p> <p>From 1983 to 1984</p>
<p>[Name of Executing Agency concerned]</p> <p>Ministry of Trasport and Communication</p>
<p>[Summary of Study Result]</p> <p>Project Site: Mombasa Project Budget: 2,326 million Kenya shillings Construction Period: 4 years The project is feasible.</p>

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

[Follow-up Actions and Present Status]

1. In what situation is the progress of the Project?

- 1. ( ) The Project has already been completed and is now in operation.
  - 2. ( ) The Project is now under implementation/ construction.
  - 3. ( ) The implementation of the Project is formally decided and the fund for the implementation is prepared.
  - 4. ( ) The detailed design (or engineering study) for the project was completed or is now under study.
  - 5. ( ) The progress of the Project is interrupted.
  - 6. (✓) The implementation of the Project is abandoned.
- To Questions 4
- To Questions 2 and 3

2. Please state the present situation of the Project on the following:

- (1) Name of Executing Agency:
- (2) Scope of the Project:
- (3) Project Site (Project Area):
- (4) Amount of Investment (Construction Cost):
- (5) Source of Finance:
- (6) Implementation Schedule:

3. Please state the difference between the JICA report's recommendation and the actual implementation of the Project on the following points and their reason(s) of alteration, if any.

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

(2) Scope of the Project:

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

(4) Amount of Investment:

(5) Implementation Schedule:

4. Please state the reason(s) of the interruption or abandonment of the Project implementation from the viewpoint of financial, technical, political and other aspects.

No decision has been made on whether the crossing facility will be a bridge or a sub-merged tube or tunnel.

[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
Mr. W.P. Wambura	Motc Permanent Secretary	MOPW Permanent Secretary	Chief ex. Cutwi of Ministry & accounting officer
Mr. J.K. Kirka	Enginner-in-Chief	Retired	-
Mr. S.M. Kiguru	Chief Engineer (Road & Aero-dromes)	Enginner in Chief MOPW	Helps PS in administration of technical departments
Mr. S. Asfaw	Chief Engineer (Planning)	Chief Eng. (Planning) MOPW	Planning of roads and Coordination
Mr. D.M. Mwasi	Chief Executive Engineer	Transferred to Nairobi City Commission	-
Mr. G. Wabuke	Chief Super-intending Engineer (Construction)	Retired	-
Mr. S.N. Oton glo	Chief Super-intending Engineer (Design)	Chief Engineer (Roads) MOPW	Head of roads and bridges design, Construction & maintenance
Mr. C.M. Kamau	Provincial Engineer, Coast Province	Asst. to C.E. (Roads) MOPW	-
Mr. T. Kai	Senior Super-intending Engineer (Bridges)	Went back to Japan	-
Mr. T. Knotten	Senior Super-intending Engineer (Bridges)	Contract expired and left country	-

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
Mr. L. Blom-Bakke	Senior super-intending Engineer (Bridges)	Left the Country	-
Mr. J.M. Wanyoike	Senior Super-intending Engineer (Design)	Chief Super-intending Engineer (Design) MOPW	Roads and bridges design
Mr. Y. Maekawa	Superintending Engineer (Bridges)	Left the Country	-
Mr. O. Mokrid	Superintending Engineer (Design)	Left the Country	-
Mr. P.M. Wakori	Superintending Engineer (Planning)	Senior Super-intending Eng. (Planning) MOPW	Planning for roads & Coordination
Mr. M.E. Agalochieng	O/ic Traffic Engineering Unit	O/ic Traffic Engineering Unit MOPW	Traffic Census
Mr. J.P. Muraguri	Assistant Enginner (Counterpart Staff) (Bridges)	Engineer (Bridges) MOPW	Bridges design & Construction
Mr. F.D. Karanja	Assistant Engineer (Planning)	Engineer (Planning) MOPW	Planning for roads & Coordination
Mr. P.M. Ojwaka	Assistant Engineer (Bridges)	Engineer MOPW	Bridges design & Construction
Mr. V.B. Ochieng	Assistant Engineer (Planning)	Left the Ministry	-
Mr. Klem	Material Branch		

[Your Comments and Suggestions on JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do you evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Good; Not good; In what respects)

V. good. The team understood Kenya's Situation in the transport sector and recommended appropriate measures commensurating with country's economic and other resources.

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

Efficient especially in data collection and information and fast in report compilation.

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Quite standard and relevant to the needs of /Kenya as a developing Country.

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

Local personnel who worked with the Team has benefited a lot in preparation & conducting such studies.

(5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:

no local consultants were used. Information was supplied by the Ministries and analyzed by the Team.

(6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:

This is the only major study for the project. No donor has conducted a similar Study before.

(7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further Cooperation:

Follow-up is needed to ensure final study is conducted and construction of crossing facility done.

(8) Any other comments and suggestions:

Kenya values the assistance in such studies and will welcome more assistance in the future.

Thank you for your kind cooperation.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

<p>[Name of JICA Study] Feasibility Study on the Mwea Irrigation Development Project</p>
<p>[Period of JICA study] From 1987 to 1988</p>
<p>[Name of Executing Agency concerned] National Irrigation Board</p>
<p>[Summary of Study Result] Project Site: Mwea Irrigation Settlement area and proposed Mutithi extension area Project Budget: 1.220 million Kenya shillings Recommendation: The 1st Stage: Pilot farm (50ha), Improvement of MIS area irrigation system, etc. The 2nd Stage: Construction of dam, Development of Proposed Mutithi extension area, etc.</p>

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)



[Follow-up Actions and Present Status]

1. In what situation is the progress of the Project?

- 1. ( ) The Project has already been completed and is now in operation.
  - 2. ( ) The Project is now under implementation/ construction.
  - 3. ( ) The implementation of the Project is formally decided and the fund for the implementation is prepared.
  - 4. (✓) The detailed design (or engineering study) for the project was completed or is now under study.
  - 5. ( ) The progress of the Project is interrupted.
  - 6. ( ) The implementation of the Project is abandoned.
- To Questions 4
- To Questions 2 and 3

2. Please state the present situation of the Project on the following:

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

National irrigation board

(2) Scope of the Project:

Rehabilitation of existing mis scheme and extension into mutithi area including pilot farm

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

Mwea irrigation settlement area and proposed mutithi area

(4) Amount of Investment (Construction Cost):

1,220 million Kenya shillings

(5) Source of Finance:

Proposed grant and loan from Japan and government of Kenya funding.

(6) Implementation Schedule:

Phase I to be completed by end of 1991 awaiting a decision on phase II

3. Please state the difference between the JICA report's recommendation and the actual implementation of the Project on the following points and their reason(s) of alteration, if any.

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

Same

(2) Scope of the Project:

Same

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

Same

(4) Amount of Investment:

Same

(5) Implementation Schedule:

Same

4. Please state the reason(s) of the interruption or abandonment of the Project implementation from the viewpoint of financial, technical, political and other aspects.

N/A

[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
C.T. Kimani	Senior Agricultural officer (Operations)	Retired	Agriculture
F.K. Njoroge	Assistant Engineer	Engineer	Civil Engineering
S.N. Alukonya	Senior Agricultural officer (Planning)	Agricultural Officer	Agriculture
A. Brigut	Assistant Engineer	Resigned	Civil Engineering
A.A. Ali	Senior Engineer	Resigned	Irrigation Engineering
J. Manete	Assistant Engineer	Engineer	Civil Engineering
N. Gichobi	Works Officer	Works Officer	Agriculture
J.P. Olum	Assistant chief Engineer	Assistant Chief Engineer	Irrigation Engineering

[Your Comments and Suggestions on JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do you evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Good; Not good; In what respects)

Excelent

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

Very Good

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Very Good

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

Very Good

(5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:

Good

(6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:

N/A

(7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further

Cooperation:

Great Need

(8) Any other comments and suggestions:

N/A

Thank you for your kind cooperation.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

<p>[Name of JICA Study] Feasibility Study on the Irrigation Development Project</p>
<p>[Period of JICA study] From 1987 to 1988</p>
<p>[Name of Executing Agency concerned] National Irrigation Board</p>
<p>[Summary of Study Result] Project Site: Mwea Irrigation Settlement area and proposed Mutithi extension area Project Budget: 1.220 million Kenya shillings Recommendation: The 1st Stage: Pilot farm (50ha), Improvement of MIS area irrigation system, etc. The 2nd Stage: Construction of dam, Development of Proposed Mutithi extension area, etc.</p>

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

[Follow-up Actions and Present Status]

1. In what situation is the progress of the Project?

- 1. (✓) The Project has already been completed and is now in operation.
  - 2. ( ) The Project is now under implementation/ construction.
  - 3. ( ) The implementation of the Project is formally decided and the fund for the implementation is prepared.
  - 4. ( ) The detailed design (or engineering study) for the project was completed or is now under study.
  - 5. ( ) The progress of the Project is interrupted.
  - 6. ( ) The implementation of the Project is abandoned.
- To Questions 4
- To Questions 2 and 3

2. Please state the present situation of the Project on the following:

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

Formerly under ministry of agriculture

Now: (supplies and marketing)

(2) Scope of the Project:

Construction of grain silos of 110,000 metric tonnes.

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

Nakuru - 50,000T

Kisumu - 30,000T

Bungoma - 30,000T

- (4) Amount of Investment (Construction Cost):  
KSHS 475 million
- (5) Source of Finance:  
OECD - JAPAN -  
Ministry of Finance (GOD)
- (6) Implementation Schedule:  
Construction commenced on 13th March 1986 and  
completed on 8th February 1988 handed over to BCPB -  
April 1988

3. Please state the difference between the JICA report's recommendation and the actual implementation of the Project on the following points and their reason(s) of alteration, if any.

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

None

(2) Scope of the Project:

- Piling not done at Kisumu - Favourable soil report
- Silo Bin Diameter reduced from 12m to 10m to provide more silo Bins.
- Bagging plant added - Bag handling customers.
- Wagon weighing facility added.
- Height of silo project reduced due to airport restriction
- Bag filter added at Kisumu fisheries requirement
- Conventional stores added due to cost savings and Loan - Contract sum difference
- Conveyor to mining corporation added.

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

Previous site altered slightly due to demolishing of stores avoided.

(4) Amount of Investment:

- None -

(5) Implementation Schedule:

- None -

4. Please state the reason(s) of the interruption or abandonment of the Project implementation from the viewpoint of financial, technical, political and other aspects.

- None -



[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
Mr. Kikwai	Managing Director N.C.P.B.	No Longer with Board	
Mr. Karanja	Financing Manager N.C.P.B.	"	
Mr. Shamala	Storage Manager N.C.P.B.	"	
Mr. Bogecho	Technical Manager N.C.P.B.	Technical Manager NLPB	
Mr. Migunda	Operation Manager N.C.P.B.	Operations Manager NLPB	
Mr. Kariungi	Field Service Manager N.C.P.B.	No Longer with board	
Mr. Muchuma	Maintenace Officer N.C.P.B.	"	

[Ministry of Agriculture]

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
Mr. Shikwe	Under Secretary	Transferred	
Mr. Muthama	Director of Agriculture	"	
Mr. Kimani	Deputy Director of Agriculture	"	
Mr. Kabuga	Manage of Grop Production	"	
Mr. Waithaka	Agronomist	"	
Mr. Biungu	Chief Economist Planning	"	

[Your Comments and Suggestions of JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do you evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Good; Not good; In what respects)

Very good

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

Good

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Good

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

Beneficial on new technology

(5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:

Good

(6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:

N/A

(7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further Cooperation:

(8) Any other comments and suggestions:

Thank you for your kind cooperation.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Follow-up Study of JICA Development Survey Program

<p>[Name of JICA Study]</p> <p>The Study of Integrated Regional Development Master Plan for the Lake Basin Development Area</p>
<p>[Period of JICA study]</p> <p>From 1986 to 1987</p>
<p>[Name of Executing Agency concerned]</p> <p>Lake Basin Development Authority</p>
<p>[Summary of Study Result]</p> <p>Project Site: Lake Basin Development area</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>Integrated lake shore development, East-west corridor development, Kisumu-Eldoret dual core development, Kisumu-Eldoret dual core development, Northern growth center development, Southern growth center development, Western frontier development, Eastern gateway development, Integrated Kano Plain development.</p>

The purpose of the present study is to follow up the progress of JICA studies after submission of their Final Reports in order to obtain information for the better formation and implementation of future JICA studies and the improvement of JICA cooperation system.

December 1988

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

[Follow-up Actions and Present Status]

1. In what situation is the progress of the Project covered by the Master Plan?

- 1. ( ) The Plan has already been integrated into National Plan.
  - 2. ( ) Feasibility study on the total has been followed up.
  - 3. ( ) Feasibility study on selected projects has been followed up.
  - 4. ( ) No follow-up action was made.
  - 5. ( ) Unknown.
- To Questions 4
- To Questions 2 and 3

2. Please state the present situation of the Project on the following:

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

Lake basin development authority

(2) Scope of the Plan or selected projects:

33-1/3% (15 yr. pl.) 1/3

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

L.B.D.A. Region

(4) Amount of Investment (Construction Cost):

JICA/LBDA

(5) Source of Finance:

Government of Kenya and external donors.

JICA/LBDA/DONOR

(6) Implementation Schedule:

Up to year 2005 - broken into 3 - 5 year plans.

3. Please state the difference between the JICA report's recommendation and the actual implementation of the Project on the following points and their reason(s) of alteration, if any.

(1) Name of Executing Agency:

Lake basin development authority

(2) Scope of the Project:

33-1/3%

(3) Project Site (Project Area):

LBDA Region

(4) Amount of Investment:

(5) Implementation Schedule:

3 - 5 year plans

4. Please state the reason(s) why the Master Plan has not been followed up or abandoned.

The plan is being followed up.

[Technology Transfer]

Please let us know names of officials who were in charge of the JICA Study, their present posts and special fields.

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
S.M. Machooka	LBDA Deputy M.D	Same	Team Leader
D.L. Mshila	Regional Planner	Same	Regional Planning
A.P. Achieng	Fisheries Specialist	Same	Fishery
S. Buckens	Sociologist	Same	Sociology
Levi Karani	Senior Planner	Same	Physical Planning
C. Lenya	Civil Engineer	Left on 16.6.86	Civil Engineering
M.H. Lihemo	Senior Planner Industrial Planning	Same	Industry
J. Magudha	Marketing Specialist	Same	Marketing
Were Malaba	Public Health Specialist	Left on 31.1.87	Public Health
J. Mbuguah	Irrigation and Drainage Engineer	Left in 1987	Drainage
W.A. Mukangula	Electrical Engineer	Left on 12.9.87	Electrical Engineering
L. Nyongesa	Hydrologist	Acting Data Centre Manager	Hydrology
J.O. Oduk	Irrigation Engineer	Same	Irrigation

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
Okulo Arum	Senior Planner	Same	Education Manpower Development
A.O. Omolo	Agricultural Manager	Co-ordinator West Kenya Rainfed Rice Programme.	Agriculture
P. Olindo	Ecologist	Left on 22.9.86	Ecology
W.S. Siambi	Geologist / Mineral Economist	Left on 16.11.87	Geology
G.O. Adem	Agriculturist/ Agronomist	Same	Agriculture
D.O. Arunga	Administrative/ Personnel Manager	Co-ordinator Rural Develop- ment Water Supply and Sanitation Programme.	Administration
Rautta-Athiambo	Authority Secretary	Same	Law
O.C.B. Balah	Horticulturalist	Acting Agricultural Manager	Horticulture
J.N. Bonuke	Regional Planner	Same	Regional Planning
S. Genga	Chief Accountant	Acting Financial Controller	Finance
M.O. K'Oniala	Chief Engineer	Same	Civil Engineering
B. Munyendo	Biochemist/ Ecologist	Same	Biochemistry
S.O. Ngwalla	Accountant	Left in July 1986	Finance
J. Nyandoro	Community Development Officer	Same	Community Development

Full Name	Then Post	Present Post	Special Field
J. Ochieng	Planner	Same	Planning
Onyango Ogembo	Hydrologist	Same	Hydrology
J.M. Okello	Civil Engineer	Same Transferred to R.D.W.S.S.P.	Civil Engineering
A. Okinda	Rural Sociologist	Same	Rural Sociology
N.R. Olina	Livestock Specialist	Same	Animal Medicine
L.J. Poyck	Senior Planner	Left on 30.9.88	Physical Planning
E.H.J. Schrotten	Hydrologist Co-ordinator	Left in 1987	Hydrology
K.C. Thomas	UNDP - Chief Technical Adviser	Left in 1987	Water Resources
M. Wafula	Aquaculturist	Same	Aquaculture



[Your Comments and Suggestions of JICA Studies]

Please give your frank comments and suggestions on JICA studies.

(1) Evaluation of Study Reports:

How do you evaluate the quality of the study and its result in general?

(Excellent; Very Good; Good; Not good; In what respects)

Very Good - Analytical

Presentation and execution of the project timely

(2) Evaluation of Japanese Study Teams (Engineering Consulting Firms):

N/A

Excellent

(3) Comments on Methodology of the JICA study:

Very Good

(4) Comments on Technology Transfer:

Good - Not very effective. On the job exchange of technology was very effective. Proposed study tour to other areas was not effected. Draft done in Japan without participation of counterparts.

(5) Comments on Participation of Local Consultants:

N/A No involvement

(6) Differences from other Donor's Studies:

Japan should implement some of the projects they recommended in IRDMP.

Study complied with international standard.

(7) Needs for the Follow-up Study and Further Cooperation:

There is need for indepth studies of JICA project proposals.

(8) Any other comments and suggestions:

Scholarships in specialized fields needed related to projects identified in the Master Plan. JICA should fund some projects that have feasibility studies.

Thank you for your kind cooperation.

### 3. ケニア大蔵省のコメント（要約）

1. 完了済みの開発調査 10 案件について、大蔵省は実施機関ではないので、各案件ごとの具体的なコメントはできない。
2. ケニア政府のプライオリティについては、5 年計画で重点分野が示されている。大蔵省は年度予算で各省の裁量でできるシーリングを示す。各省庁はシーリングの範囲で自省管轄分野におけるプロジェクトのプライオリティを決める。
3. F/S だけでなく借款の中の D/D もグラントで実施してほしい。
4. 日本の援助の仕組みについては、個々の手続きの中に、日本的でわかりにくいものがある。
5. 日本はすべての国に同一システムを適用しようとするが、同じアフリカ諸国でも国情・慣行がそれぞれ異なっているので、日本としてはシステムを変えることはできないにしても、その国に合った方法を考えてほしい。  
ケニア側としても日本のシステムをよく理解していきたい。
6. 日本の開発調査は、決定するまでに時間はかかるが、決定した後はスムーズに行われる。
7. 開発調査団に、もっと決定権をもたせ、持参した協定原案にこだわったり、東京本部の決裁に委ねるのではなく、もっとケニア側と本来の討議をするよう要望する。







JICA