

Fig. R-4　水位変動図（バルンゲス貯水池）：
Operation Curve of Balnges Reservoir

Table R-1 Summary of Reservoir Operation Study

Mangillog Reservoir (Case 1)
(Irrigable Area Rice-360ha, Corn-360ha)

Year	Total Inflow (MCM)	Total[1] Demand (MCM)		Total Loss (MCM)	Storage End-Year (MCM)	Total Spillage (MCM)	Total[2] Shortage (MCM)	Percent[3] Shortage (%)
1968	13.38	3.26	1.33	0.60	1.34	10.06	0	0
1969	9.92	3.25	1.33	0.47	1.81	5.63	1.22	37.6
1970	14.55	3.25	1.33	0.51	1.81	10.26	0.79	24.2
1971	13.12	3.25	1.33	0.53	2.75	7.86	0.79	24.2
1972	30.68	3.26	1.33	0.59	1.29	27.01	0.05	1.5
1973	8.27	3.25	1.33	0.45	1.79	4.00	1.27	39.0
1974	17.14	3.25	1.33	0.52	2.82	11.81	0.80	24.6
1975	10.03	3.25	1.33	0.55	2.12	5.61	0	0
1976	21.59	3.26	1.33	0.53	1.29	17.86	0.57	17.4
1977	12.61	3.25	1.33	0.49	2.45	7.65	1.27	39.0
1978	16.42	3.25	1.33	0.51	2.02	11.96	0.20	6.2
1979	9.97	3.25	1.33	0.47	1.29	6.31	0.65	20.0
1980	13.73	3.26	1.33	0.46	2.36	8.88	1.28	39.3
1981	12.33	3.25	1.33	0.53	1.97	7.88	0.28	8.5
1982	10.81	3.25	1.33	0.48	1.66	6.71	0.64	19.6
1983	9.17	3.25	1.33	0.45	1.85	4.87	0.92	28.4
1984	17.05	3.26	1.33	0.54	2.11	12.41	0.76	23.4
1985	14.19	3.25	1.33	0.50	1.85	9.88	0.50	15.4
1986	15.16	3.25	1.33	0.50	2.41	10.26	0.75	23.0
1987	9.77	3.25	1.33	0.50	1.43	5.91	0.24	7.3
Total	279.89	65.05	26.60	10.18	38.42	192.82	12.98	398.6
Mean	13.99	3.25	1.33	0.51	1.92	9.64	0.65	19.9

1. Year of Max. Shortage : 1980
   Max. Shortage : 1.28 MCM
   Max. Shortage Percent : 39.3%
2. No. of Years Shortage : 18
   Yearly Reliability : 10.0%
3. No. of Months Shortage: 47
   Monthly Reliability : 80.4%

1 Left Value = Demand for Area Commanded by Upper Intake.
Right Value = by Lower Intake

2 The shortage has arose on the upper intake only.

3 This percentage has been caluciated using the demand on the upper intake.

Table R-2 Summary of Reservoir Operation Study

Mangillog Reservoir (Case 2)
(Irrigable Area Rice-360ha, Corn-232.5ha)

Year	Total Inflow (MCM)	Total[1] Demand (MCM)		Total Loss (MCM)	Storage End-Year (MCM)	Total Spillage (MCM)	Total[2] Shortage (MCM)	Percent[3] Shortage (%)
1968	13.38	2.14	1.33	0.65	1.82	10.65	0	0
1969	9.92	2.13	1.33	0.49	2.29	5.68	0.17	8.1
1970	14.55	2.13	1.33	0.56	2.29	10.53	0	0
1971	13.12	2.13	1.33	0.58	3.13	8.23	0	0
1972	30.68	2.14	1.33	0.68	1.71	27.95	0	0
1973	8.27	2.13	1.33	0.47	2.27	4.04	0.26	12.2
1974	17.14	2.13	1.33	0.57	3.09	12.29	0	0
1975	10.03	2.13	1.33	0.65	2.57	6.45	0	0
1976	21.59	2.14	1.33	0.60	1.71	18.38	0	0
1977	12.61	2.13	1.33	0.51	2.84	7.78	0.26	12.4
1978	16.42	2.13	1.33	0.61	2.50	12.69	0	0
1979	9.97	2.13	1.33	0.54	1.70	6.76	0	0
1980	13.73	2.14	1.33	0.48	2.75	9.01	0.28	13.0
1981	12.33	2.13	1.33	0.61	2.45	8.55	0	0
1982	10.81	2.13	1.33	0.56	2.14	7.11	0	0
1983	9.17	2.13	1.33	0.50	2.33	5.03	0.02	0.8
1984	17.05	2.14	1.33	0.59	2.56	12.75	0	0
1985	14.19	2.13	1.33	0.58	2.33	10.39	0	0
1986	15.16	2.13	1.33	0.56	2.80	10.66	0	0
1987	9.77	2.13	1.33	0.60	1.91	6.60	0	0
Total	279.89	42.65	26.60	11.39	47.19	201.53	0.99	46.5
Mean	13.99	2.13	1.33	0.57	2.36	10.08	0.05	2.3

1. Year of Max. Shortage : 1980
   Max. Shortage : 0.28 MCM
   Max. Shortage Percent : 13.0%
2. No. of Years Shortage : 5
   Yearly Reliability : 75.0%
3. No. of Months Shortage: 9
   Monthly Reliability : 96.3%

1 Left Value = Demand for Area Commanded by Upper Intake.
Right Value = by Lower Intake

2 The shortage has arose on the upper intake only.

3 This percentage has been caluclated using the demand on the upper intake.

Table R-3 Summary of Reservoir Operation Study

Bulelatin Reservoir (Case 1)
(Irrigable Area Rice-120ha, Corn-120ha)

Year	Total Inflow (MCM)	Total Demand (MCM)	Total Loss (MCM)	Storage End-Year (MCM)	Total Spillage (MCM)	Total Shortage (MCM)	Percent Shortage (%)
1968	3.54	1.53	0.23	0.01	2.50	0.01	0.8
1969	2.68	1.53	0.15	0.15	1.42	0.55	36.0
1970	3.82	1.53	0.16	0.13	2.58	0.42	27.1
1971	3.47	1.53	0.17	0.36	1.98	0.44	28.9
1972	7.81	1.53	0.19	0.01	6.65	0.22	14.4
1973	2.28	1.53	0.14	0.12	1.05	0.55	35.9
1974	4.46	1.53	0.17	0.45	2.88	0.44	28.9
1975	2.71	1.53	0.18	0.25	1.34	0.14	9.1
1976	5.56	1.53	0.17	0.01	4.47	0.37	24.3
1977	3.35	1.53	0.16	0.37	1.85	0.55	35.8
1978	4.29	1.53	0.17	0.20	2.96	0.21	13.7
1979	2.69	1.53	0.14	0.01	1.65	0.42	27.7
1980	3.62	1.53	0.15	0.32	2.19	0.55	36.3
1981	3.28	1.53	0.17	0.15	2.00	0.26	16.8
1982	2.90	1.53	0.15	0.09	1.71	0.42	27.2
1983	2.50	1.53	0.14	0.14	1.26	0.47	31.0
1984	4.44	1.53	0.18	0.26	3.05	0.44	28.6
1985	3.74	1.53	0.16	0.16	2.46	0.32	20.6
1986	3.98	1.53	0.16	0.30	2.56	0.40	26.4
1987	2.65	1.53	0.16	0.03	1.50	0.28	18.1
Total	73.77	30.60	3.30	3.52	48.06	7.46	487.6
Mean	3.69	1.53	0.17	0.18	2.40	0.37	24.4

1. Year of Max. Shortage : 1980
   Max. Shortage : 0.55 MCM
   Max. Shortage Percent : 36.3%
2. No. of Years Shortage : 20
   Yearly Reliability : 0%
3. No. of Months Shortage: 56
   Monthly Reliability : 76.7%

Table R-4 Summary of Reservoir Operation Study

Bulelatin Reservoir (Case 2)
(Irrigable Area Rice-120ha, Corn-60ha)

Year	Total Inflow (MCM)	Total Demand (MCM)	Total Loss (MCM)	Storage End-Year (MCM)	Total Spillage (MCM)	Total Shortage (MCM)	Percent Shortage (%)
1968	3.54	1.01	0.30	0.22	2.74	0	0
1969	2.68	1.00	0.17	0.38	1.42	0.06	6.0
1970	3.82	1.00	0.22	0.35	2.63	0	0
1971	3.47	1.00	0.22	0.58	2.02	0	0
1972	7.81	1.01	0.28	0.20	6.90	0	0
1973	2.28	1.00	0.16	0.34	1.05	0.08	8.0
1974	4.46	1.00	0.22	0.64	2.95	0	0
1975	2.71	1.00	0.29	0.47	1.59	0	0
1976	5.56	1.01	0.24	0.19	4.60	0	0
1977	3.35	1.00	0.17	0.55	1.89	0.08	8.0
1978	4.29	1.00	0.27	0.43	3.15	0	0
1979	2.69	1.00	0.21	0.17	1.74	0	0
1980	3.62	1.01	0.16	0.53	2.21	0.10	10.2
1981	3.28	1.00	0.26	0.37	2.17	0	0
1982	2.90	1.00	0.20	0.31	1.76	0	0
1983	2.50	1.00	0.17	0.36	1.27	0	0
1984	4.44	1.01	0.23	0.47	3.10	0	0
1985	3.74	1.00	0.24	0.39	2.58	0	0
1986	3.98	1.00	0.22	0.52	2.62	0	0
1987	2.65	1.00	0.25	0.25	1.66	0	0
Total	73.77	20.05	4.48	7.72	50.05	0.32	32.2
Mean	3.69	1.00	0.22	0.39	2.50	0.02	1.6

1. Year of Max. Shortage : 1980
   Max. Shortage : 0.10 MCM
   Max. Shortage Percent : 10.2%
2. No. of Years Shortage : 4
   Yearly Reliability : 80.0%
3. No. of Months Shortage : 8
   Monthly Reliability : 96.7%

Table R-5 Summary of Reservoir Operation Study

Pangasan Reservoir
(Irrigable Area Rice-200ha, Corn-200ha)

Year	Total Inflow (MCM)	Total Demand (MCM)	Total Loss (MCM)	Storage End-Year (MCM)	Total Spillage (MCM)	Total Shortage (MCM)	Percent Shortage (%)
1968	22.81	2.11	0.24	0.45	21.13	0	0
1969	17.29	2.11	0.18	0.44	15.07	0.06	3.0
1970	24.66	2.11	0.18	0.55	22.33	0.07	3.2
1971	22.37	2.11	0.20	1.12	19.50	0	0
1972	50.36	2.11	0.25	0.39	48.73	0	0
1973	14.68	2.11	0.18	0.78	12.11	0.11	5.0
1974	28.80	2.11	0.23	1.07	26.17	0	0
1975	17.49	2.11	0.24	0.56	15.65	0	0
1976	35.89	2.11	0.19	0.40	33.75	0	0
1977	21.59	2.11	0.18	0.76	19.03	0.10	4.5
1978	27.66	2.11	0.22	0.57	25.53	0	0
1979	17.38	2.11	0.19	0.57	15.09	0	0
1980	23.37	2.11	0.18	0.66	20.98	0	0
1981	21.13	2.11	0.21	0.77	18.71	0	0
1982	18.72	2.11	0.21	0.45	16.72	0	0
1983	16.10	2.11	0.17	0.55	13.77	0.05	2.4
1984	28.66	2.11	0.20	0.56	26.34	0	0
1985	24.10	2.11	0.19	0.51	21.87	0	0
1986	25.65	2.11	0.18	0.74	23.12	0	0
1987	17.06	2.11	0.21	0.40	15.09	0	0
Total	475.77	42.20	4.03	12.30	430.69	0.39	18.1
Mean	23.79	2.11	0.20	0.62	21.53	0.02	0.9

1. Year of Max. Shortage : 1973
   Max. Shortage : 0.11 MCM
   Max. Shortage Percent : 5.0%
2. No. of Years Shortage : 5
   Yearly Reliability : 75.0%
3. No. of Months Shortage : 6
   Monthly Reliability : 97.5%

Table R-6 Summary of Reservoir Operation Study

Balunges Reservoir
(Irrigable Area Rice-350ha, Corn-350ha)

Year	Total Inflow (MCM)	Total Demand (MCM)	Total Loss (MCM)	Storage End-Year (MCM)	Total Spillage (MCM)	Total Shortage (MCM)	Percent Shortage (%)
1968	49.32	3.69	0.25	0.77	46.43	0	0
1969	37.39	3.69	0.19	0.76	33.54	0.02	0.6
1970	53.33	3.69	0.19	0.92	49.30	0.03	0.9
1971	48.39	3.69	0.21	1.82	43.59	0	0
1972	108.90	3.69	0.26	0.70	106.10	0	0
1973	31.75	3.69	0.20	1.30	27.34	0.07	1.9
1974	62.28	3.69	0.24	1.82	57.83	0	0
1975	37.82	3.69	0.25	0.95	34.75	0	0
1976	77.63	3.69	0.21	0.71	73.97	0	0
1977	46.69	3.69	0.20	1.26	42.30	0.05	1.3
1978	59.82	3.69	0.23	0.97	56.19	0	0
1979	37.59	3.69	0.21	1.08	33.59	0	0
1980	50.55	3.69	0.21	1.10	46.61	0	0
1981	45.70	3.69	0.22	1.33	41.57	0	0
1982	40.49	3.69	0.23	0.78	37.12	0	0
1983	34.82	3.69	0.19	0.94	30.78	0	0
1984	61.98	3.69	0.21	0.95	58.06	0	0
1985	52.13	3.69	0.21	0.90	48.29	0	0
1986	55.47	3.69	0.21	1.26	51.21	0	0
1987	36.91	3.69	0.23	0.72	33.53	0	0
Total	930.95	73.80	4.35	20.09	952.10	0.17	4.7
Mean	46.55	3.69	0.22	1.00	47.61	0.009	0.2

1. Year of Max. Shortage : 1973
   Max. Shortage : 0.07 MCM
   Max. Shortage Percent : 1.9%
2. No. of Years Shortage : 4
   Yearly Reliability : 80.0%
3. No. of Months Shortage : 4
   Monthly Reliability : 98.3%

技術資料（4）

ダム・貯水池

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES



EL (M)	AREA (ha)	ACC.VOL. (MCM)
93.58	0	0
96	0.5960	0.004741
98	2.5130	0.033926
100	9.9598	0.148462
102	22.7382	0.472340
104	39.2783	1.089549
106	52.3422	1.981200
108	71.1656	3.216236
110	88.8283	4.824735

Fig. D-1 AREA-CAPACITY-ELEVATION CURVE
MANGILLOG RESERVOIR



EL (M)	AREA (ha)	ACC.VOL. (MCM)
88.4	0	0
90	0.3022	0.002418
91	1.0717	0.009288
92	4.0622	0.034958
93	8.9148	0.099843
94	15.8800	0.223817
95	25.0725	0.428580
96	34.2952	0.725419
97	44.8823	1.121307

Fig. D-2 AREA-CAPACITY-ELEVATION CURVE
BULELATIN RESERVOIR



EL. (M)	AREA (ha)	ACC. VOL. (MCM)
115.5	0	0
116.0	0.122	0.0006
118.0	0.828	0.0171
120.0	4.076	0.0987
122.0	9.062	0.2799
124.0	15.114	0.5822
126.0	20.892	1.0000
128.0	26.674	1.5335
130.0	33.124	2.1960

Fig. D-3 AREA-CAPACITY-ELEVATION CURVE
PANGASAN RESERVOIR



EL. (M)	AREA (ha)	ACC. VOL. (MCM)
78.98	0	0
80	0.0894	0.000580
82	4.0939	0.040951
84	7.8568	0.159316
86	11.8224	0.344934
88	14.9887	0.613342
90	18.3777	0.945797
92	21.9291	1.348742
94	25.3609	1.819651
95	27.3660	2.083286

Fig. D-4 AREA-CAPACITY-ELEVATION CURVE
BALNGES RESERVOIR



FIG. D-5 CLASSIFICATION OF MANGILLOG DAM BASEMENT ROCK



FIG. D-6 CLASSIFICATION OF BULELATIN DAM BASEMENT ROCK

LEGEND

(See page D -11)



FIG. D-7 CLASSIFICATION OF PANGASAN DAM BASEMENT ROCK



FIG. D-8 CLASSIFICATION OF BALNGES DAM BASEMENT ROCK

LEGEND

(See page D -11)

Legend for Fig. D-5 to Fig. D-8

Classification criteria for rock foundation of dam (by Tanaka)

Category	Characteristics
A	Very fresh rock, no weathering nor alteration observed in rock-formation minerals and particles. Fissures and joints are well closed and no weathering is observed on the planes. thereof. Sound of hammering is metallic.
B	Very hard rock, well closed with no opened (even 1 mm) fissures or joints, and well closed. However, partial and slight weathering and alteration are observed. Sound of hammering is metallic.
C_H	Relatively hard rock, though rock-forming minerals and particles except quarts are weathered. Generally chemically compounded with limonite, etc. Cohesive strength at joints and fissures is slightly reduced. Rock fragments are flaked at joints by strong hit with hammer, and clayey material may be observed on the stripped face. Sound of hammering is slightly dull.
C_M	Rock, rock-forming minerals and particles except quartz are slightly softened by weathering. Cohesive strength at joints and fissures is slightly reduced. Rock fragments are flaked at joints by normal hit with hammer, and clayey material may be observed at the stripped face. Sound of hammering is slightly dull.
C_L	Rock, rock-forming minerals and particles are softened. Cohesive strength at joints and fissures are reduced. Rock fragment are flaked at joints by light hit with hammer, and clayey material is observed at stripped face. Sound of hammering is dull.
D	Rock, rock-forming minerals and particles are remarkably softened by weathering. Cohesive strength at joints and fissures is almost completely lost. Rock is easily destroyed by slight hit with hammer, and clayey material is observed at stripped face. Sound of hammering is very dull.



FIG. D-9 STREAM LINE IN IMPERVIOUS ZONE

MANGILLOG DAM



FIG. D-10 STREAM LINE IN IMPERVIOUS ZONE
BULELATION DAM



FIG. D-11 STREAM LINE IN IMPERVIOUS ZONE

PANGASAN



FIG. D-12 STREAM LINE IN IMPERVIOUS ZONE

BALNGES DAM



Fig. D-13 Factor of Safety by Slip Circle Method (Mangillog Dam)



Fig. D-14 Factor of Safety by Slip Circle Method (Bulelatin Dam)



Fig. D-15 Factor of Safety by Slip Circle Method(Pangasan Dam)



Fig. D-16 Factor of Safety by Slip Circle Method(Balnges Dam)

Table D-1　土質試験結果

Results of Soil Tests

マンギログダム

Mangillog Dam

番号 No.	比重 Specific Gravity	液性限界 Liquid Limit (%)	塑性指数 Plasticity Index	自然含水比 Natural Moisture Content (%)	最適含水比 Optimum Moisture Content (%)	最大乾燥密度 Max. Dry Density (t/㎥)	備考 Remarks
TP－1	2.74	56	24	28	27.5	1.40	Random Fill
TP－2	2.82	64	40	29－39	29.2	1.49	
TP－3	2.68	53	28	20	28.5	1.42	Random Fill
TP－4	2.82	65	42	38－50	31.5	1.44	
TP－5	2.68	56	36	36－37	21.0	1.55	
AH－1		54	33	33－29			
AH－2		41	23	26－15			
AH－3				27			
AH－4		52	26	42－24			
AH－5		51	28	42－37			
AH－6		54	31	35－37			
TP－35	2.65	78	53	26	27.9	1.50	F/S c=3t/㎡, $\phi=20°$

Table D-1　土質試験結果
Results of Soil Tests

ブレラチンダム
Bulelatin Dam

番号 No.	比重 Specific Gravity	液性限界 Liquid Limit (%)	塑性指数 Plasticity Index	自然含水比 Natural Moisture Content (%)	最適含水比 Optimum Moisture Content (%)	最大乾燥密度 Max. Dry Density (t/㎥)	備考 Remarks
TP－1	2.83	46	25	30－32	26.0	1.55	
TP－2	2.67	63	44	35	21.1	1.59	
TP－3	2.67	56	32	39－41	21.2	1.48	
AH－1		54	35	28			
AH－2		47	26	31－34			
AH－3		46	27	24－31			
TP－18	2.63	48	32	28	24.0	1.54	F/S c=3.2t/㎡, ϕ=16°

Table D-1　土質試験結果
Results of Soil Tests

パンガサンダム
Pangasan Dam

番号 No.	比重 Specific Gravity	液性限界 Liquid Limit (%)	塑性指数 Plasticity Index	自然含水比 Natural Moisture Content (%)	最適含水比 Optimum Moisture Content (%)	最大乾燥密度 Max. Dry Density (t/㎥)	備考 Remarks
TP－1	2.68	52	28	35－41	25.0	1.53	
TP－2	2.80	34	15	25－26	20.1	1.64	
TP－3	2.67	51	28	23－44	28.1	1.37	
TP－4	2.77	73	45	36	33.5	1.32	
AH－1				19			
AH－2		53	29	30－43			
AH－3		55	33	35－29			
AH－4							
AH－5		53	36	28－25			
TP－10	2.64	72	46	25	29.6	1.40	F/S c=1.6t/㎡, ϕ=20°

Table D-1　土質試験結果
Results of Soil Tests

バルンゲスダム
Balnges Dam

番号 No.	比重 Specific Gravity	液性限界 Liquid Limit (%)	塑性指数 Plasticity Index	自然含水比 Natural Moisture Content (%)	最適含水比 Optimum Moisture Content (%)	最大乾燥密度 Max. Dry Density (t/㎥)	備考 Remarks
TP－1	2.67	40	19	25－29	15.6	1.67	
TP－2	2.65	42	22	32－28	20.4	1.45	
TP－3	2.69	31	15	18－23	15.4	1.76	
TP－4	2.69	28	12	24－25	19.3	1.66	
AH－1		38	21	21－19			
AH－2		44	25	24－27			
AH－3		40	20	14－20			
AH－4		34	15	23－31			
TP－32	2.64	38	21	19	18.6	1.63	F/S c=3.2t/㎡, φ=17°

Table D-1　土質試験結果
Results of Soil Tests

砂礫材料
Sand and Gravel Materials

番号 No.	粗骨材 Coarse Aggregate 比重 Specific Gravity	粗骨材 Coarse Aggregate 吸水率 Water Absorption (%)	細骨材 Fine Aggregate 比重 Specific Gravity	細骨材 Fine Aggregate 吸水率 Water Absorption (%)	合成比重 Compound Specific Gravity
SGTP－1	2.77	1.4	2.69	3.1	2.75
SGTP－2	2.73	1.6	2.69	2.9	2.72
SGTP－3	2.71	1.6	2.65	3.6	2.70
ATP－1	2.75	1.3	2.70	0.5	2.74
ATP－2	2.73	1.6	2.68	0.8	2.71

Table D-2　堤体材料設計値：
Design Values of Materials

マンギログダム Mangillog Dam	不透水材料 Impermeable Materials	ランダム材料 Random Fill	フィルター材料 Filter Materials
比重 Specific Gravity	2.7	2.68	2.7
含水比 (%) Moisture Content	33.0	27.5	1.5
乾燥密度 (t/㎥) Dry Density	1.42	1.35	1.93
湿潤密度 (t/㎥) Wet Density	1.89	1.72	1.96
飽和密度 (t/㎥) Saturated Density	1.89	1.85	2.21
粘着力 (t/㎡) Cohesion	1.5	1.0	0
内部摩擦角 (deg) Internal Friction Angle	20	30	38
透水係数 (cm/sec) Coefficient of Permeability	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-3}

ブレラチンダム Bulelatin Dam	不透水材料 Impermeable Materials	フィルター材料 Filter Materials
比重 Specific Gravity	2.67	2.7
含水比 (%) Moisture Content	30.0	1.5
乾燥密度 (t/㎥) Dry Density	1.41	1.93
湿潤密度 (t/㎥) Wet Density	1.83	1.96
飽和密度 (t/㎥) Saturated Density	1.88	2.21
粘着力 (t/㎡) Cohesion	2.0	0
内部摩擦角 (deg) Internal Friction Angle	15	38
透水係数 (cm/sec) Coefficient of Permeability	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-3}

Table D-2　堤体材料設計値：
Design Values of Materials

パンガサンダム Pangasan Dam	不透水材料 Impermeable Materials	ロック材料 Rock Materials	フィルター材料 Filter Materials
比重 Specific Gravity	2.7	2.70	2.7
含水比　(%) Moisture Content	35.0	2.0	1.5
乾燥密度　(t/㎥) Dry Density	1.33	1.93	1.93
湿潤密度　(t/㎥) Wet Density	1.80	1.95	1.96
飽和密度　(t/㎥) Saturated Density	1.84	2.22	2.21
粘着力　(t/㎡) Cohesion	1.0	0	0
内部摩擦角　(deg) Internal Friction Angle	15	37	38
透水係数　(cm/sec) Coefficient of Permeability	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-3}	1×10^{-3}

バルンゲスダム Balnges Dam	不透水材料 Impermeable Materials	ランダム材料 Random Fill	フィルター材料 Filter Materials
比重 Specific Gravity	2.65	2.68	2.7
含水比　(%) Moisture Content	25.0	27.5	1.5
乾燥密度　(t/㎥) Dry Density	1.55	1.35	1.93
湿潤密度　(t/㎥) Wet Density	1.94	1.72	1.96
飽和密度　(t/㎥) Saturated Density	1.97	1.85	2.21
粘着力　(t/㎡) Cohesion	2.0	1.0	0
内部摩擦角　(deg) Internal Friction Angle	15	30	38
透水係数　(cm/sec) Coefficient of Permeability	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-3}

取 水 施 設 諸 元 表

Salient Features of Intake Facilities

Table D-3

Dam	(流入口標高) Min. Water Surface (m)	(水路水面標高) N.W.S. at Impact Box (m)	(流 量) Design Capacity (m^3/sec)	(管 径) Conduit Diameter (mm)	(バルブ径) Outlet Valve Diameter (mm)	(底樋延長) Conduit Length (m)	(取水部ゲート数) Intake Gate Number
Mangillog (Upper)	104.5	104.0	0.51	1,000	600	68.5	1
Mangillog (Lower)	99.0	98.0	0.21	1,000	400	123.5	2
Bulelatin	91.3	91.0	0.20	1,000	500	68.0	2
Pangasan	120.1	118.0	0.40	1,000	500	98.0	1
Balnges	84.3	83.0	0.70	1,000	600	115.0	1

合理式による設計洪水量の推定

Table D-4 Estimation of Design Flood for Spillway by Rational Formula

Nawe of Dam	Watershed Area	△H	△L	△S	Tp	I_{200}	fp	$QD=\frac{A \cdot fp \cdot I200}{3600} \times 10^3$
	㎢	m	m		min	mm/hr		㎥/s
Mangillog	8.1	80	3,750	0.021	210	58.2	0.60	79
Bulelatin	2.0	30	2,000	0.015	200	60.5	0.55	18
Pangasan	12.9	240	3,400	0.071	200	60.5	0.85	184
Balnges	27.9	450	18,000	0.021	360	41.2	0.80	255

Note 1. △H is the difference of the elevation between the reservoir and the highest in the watershed.

2. △L is the longest flow distance from the remotest area in the watershed.

3. △S is the mean slope △H/△L.

4. Tp is the concentration time of a flood to the reservoir, in min.

5. I_{200} is the design rainfall intensity for Tp with a return period of 200 year at the Hacienda Luisita PAGASA station. [I=Exp(7.4903-0.6408×LnT) in mm/hr.]

6. fp is the peak run off coefficient for infiltration and site storage in the catchment area (affected by geology, topography, soil condition and vegetation)

7. Qp is the estimated design flood by the rational formula.

技術資料（5）

事　業　評　価

LIST OF TABLES

Table E-1 Summary of Production

	Cropping Area (ha)			Production (ton)		
	Present	Future		Present	Future	
		W/O	W/		W/O	W/
Wet Season						
Irrigated Paddy	-	-	1,030	-	-	4,635
Rainfed Paddy	1,030	1,030	-	2,060	2,472	-
Dry Season						
Irrigated Corn	-	-	842.5	-	-	3,370
Total	1,030	1,030	1,872.5	2,060	2,472	8,005
Paddy Rice	1,030	1,030	1,030	2,060	2,472	4,635
Corn	0	0	842.5	0	0	3,370
Crop Intensity (%)	100	100	182	-	-	-

Table E-2 Economic Analysis of Net Value of Production

		Area	Yield	Farm Gate Price	Gross Value of Production	Production Cost	Net Value of of Production	Net Return from Project Area
		(ha)	(ton/ha)	(₱/ton)		(₱/ton)		(₱ Thousand)
Wet Season								
Rainfed Rice	$\overline{W}$	1,030	2.4	3,410	8,185	2,755	5,430	5,592.90
Irrigated Rice	W	1,030	4.5	3,410	15,345	4,285	11,060	11,391.80
Dry Season								
Irrigated Corn	W	842.5	4.0	3,975	15,900	4,915	10,985	9,254.86
Total								
	$\overline{W}$	1,030						5,592.90
	W	1,872.5						20,646.66

	$\overline{W}$	(₱ Thousand)	W
Total Net Value of Production Before Costing of Labor	5,592.90		20,646.66
Less Imputed Cost of Labor	964.08		2,320.05
Total Net value of Production	4,628.82		18,326.61
Net Incremental Value of Production at full development 1)		13,697.79	

W = With Project
$\overline{W}$ = Without Project
1) Less value of annual production foregone estimated at ₱ 121 thousand/year= Net incremental benefit would be ₱ 13,577/year

Table E-3

Rice Crop Budget

Item	Present				Future Without Project				Future with Project	
	Rainfed		Irrigated		Rainfed		Irrigated		Irrigated	
	WS	DS	WS	DS	WS	DS	WS	DS	WS	DS
Yield (ton/ha)	2.0	-	2.5	2.3	2.4	-	3.0	3.0	4.5	4.5
Farm Gate Price (₱/ton)	3,400	-	3,400	3,400	2,840	-	2,840	2,840	2,840	2,840
Gross Value of Production (₱/ha)	6,800	-	8,500	7,820	6,815	-	8,520	8,520	12,780	12,780
Production Costs Excluding Labor (₱/ha)	1,905	-	2,130	2,065	2,540	-	2,825	2,825	3,950	4,270
Net Value of Production Excluding Labor (₱/ha)	4,895	-	6,370	5,755	4,275	-	5,695	5,695	8,830	8,510
Labor Requirements (man-days/ha)	72	-	79	83	78	-	86	86	110	110

Corn Crop Badget

Item	Present		Future Without Project		Future with Project	
	Rainfed		Rainfed		Irrigated	
	WS	DS	WS	DS	WS	DS
Yield (ton/ha)	-	1.0	-	1.3	-	4.0
Farm Gate Price (₱/ton)	-	3,400	-	3,325	-	3,325
Gross Value of Production (₱/ha)	-	3,400	-	4,320	-	13,300
Production Costs Excluding Labor (₱/ha)	-	1,650	-	2,110	-	4,595
Net Value of Production Excluding Labor (₱/ha)	-	1,750	-	2,210	-	8,705
Labor Requirements (man-days/ha)	-	64	-	69	-	95

Table E-4 Farm Budget (1.5 ha)

		Present Rainfed	Future with Project Irrigated
1.	Cropped Area (ha)	1.5	2.73
2.	Total Production (m.t.)	3.0	11.67
3.	Gross Value of Production (₱)	10,200	35,529
4.	Production Cost (Excluding Labor (₱))	2,855	11,577
5.	Cost of Hired Labor (60%)[1]	1,295	3,382
6.	Net Value of Production (₱) (Before Water Charges)	6,050	20,570
7.	Water Charges (₱)[2]	-	1,210
8.	Net Value of Production (₱) (After Water Charges)	6,050	19,360
9.	Annual Lease Payment for Land (₱)[3]	2,110	7,606
10.	Net Farm Income (₱)		
	10.1 Full Owner	6,050	19,360
	10.2 Lessee	3,940	11,754
11.	Farm Labor Requirement (man-days)	72	282

1) Based on the average wage rate of ₱20/day; 60% of labor are assumed hired.
2) Based on irrigation fee rates of 2.5 cavans (125kg) and 2.1 cavans (105kg) per hectare for wet and dry seasons, respectively.
3) Based on the rate under agricultural leasehold system which is 25% of the value of harvest after deducting the costs of seeds, harvesting and threshing.

Note: 1. cropping intensity = Present Rainfed - 100%; with project - 182%.
2. cropping pattern for with project - Rice in wet season and corn in dry season.

Table E-5 Estimated Traffic Volume of Bridge

Item	Transportation Volume	Vehicle Type	Traffic Volume (units/year)
1. Transportation for agriculture			
1.1 Products (Rice)	4,050 tons/year [1)]	4-ton truck (50%)	507
		14-ton truck (50%)	145
1.2 Products (Corn)	2,200 tons/year [1)]	4-ton truck	550
1.3 Materials (10% of the above total)		4-ton truck	120
Sub-Total			1,322
2. Transportation for non-agriculture			
2.1 Persons and living supplies		Jeepny	50,722 [2)]
Total			52,044

Note: 1) Products of rice from Pangasan and Balnges irrigation area and existing irrigation area of Lubigan. Products of corn from Pangasan and Balnges irrigation area.

2) Projection for ten years after was made as follows on the basis of assumption that one person of every households crosses the bridge while using a jeepny to go and return from the outside everyday.

570 households x 1.02^{10} x 1 x 2 x 365/10 (persons/unit) = 50,722 units/year

技術資料（6）

土地分級・土壌

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

1. Soil Series and Land Classification

(1) Identified Soil Series in the Project Area

There are two soil series that were established in the project area. These are San Manuel soil series and Tarlac soil series. The San Manuel soil series have three soil types, such as: SmA SiCl, SmAFSCL and SmASL. Tarlac soil series on the other hand is represented by four mapping units like TcACL, TcBCL, TcCL and TcDCL. Hectarage summary of these soil series and types are shown in Table A-1 of this appendix. (See Fig. A-1 to Fig. A-3)

i) San Manuel Series (Sm)

San Manuel series is a member of the fine loamy, deep and well drained soil. It is a recent alluvial soil transported by water from high grounds and mountain ranges. The surface soil is grayish brown to pale brown, loose and friable silty clay loam to sandy loam. The subsoil is light yellowish brown to yellowish brown, fine sandy loam to silty clay loam. The solum thickness ranges from 80 to 100 centimeters. Relief is level to nearly level. Drainage condition is fair to good. This soil series covers 294.5 hectarage or 25 per cent of the total project area.

ii) Tarlac Series (Tc)

This soil series is a member of the fine loamy deep, poorly drained, residual soil derived from tuffaceous sandstone. The A horizon, 30 to 60 centimeters is dark gray gritty clay loam. The B horizon, 55 to 110 centimeters is brownish gray to grayish brown chalky tuff or tuffaceous sandstone. Relief is rolling to hilly with small intervening flat areas. Surface drainage is generally good to excessive but internal drainage is poor. This soil series occupies 856.5 hectares of 73 per cent of the project area.

(2) Chemical Properties

Results on the study conducted by the Bureau of Soils, Manila, the following laboratory analysis by soil series is shown in Table A-2. Based on the results, pH is slightly acidic with value ranging from 6.03 to 6.18. The pH requirement

Table A-1 Hectarage Tabulation of Soil Series/Type by Dam

Name	Soil Series	Area (ha)	Per Cent (%)
Bulelatin Dam	TcA	60.5	44.2
	TcB	73.5	53.6
	Hydrosol	3.0	2.2
	Total	137.0	100.0
Balnges Dam	TcA	60.5	15.0
	TcB	65.0	16.0
	TcC	10.0	2.5
	SmA	254.5	64.0
	Hydrosol	10.0	2.5
	Total	400.0	100.0
Mangillog Dam	TcA	176.0	44.0
	TcB	154.0	38.5
	TcDCL	27.0	6.8
	SmA	40.0	10.0
	Hydrosol	3.0	0.7
	Total	400.0	100.0
Pangasan Dam	TcA	70.5	30.6
	TcB	71.5	31.1
	TcC	68.0	29.6
	TcD	20.0	8.7
	Total	230.0	100.0
	Total	1,167.0	

for rice is between 6.0 to 7.0 which is slightly acidic to neutral. On the other hand, the general NPK recommendation of the project area for rice production during wet and dry season is about 60-30-30 and 80-30-30 kilograms per hectare, respectively. For diversified crop like corn, the recommended rate is about 80-60-45 kilograms per hectare.

Table A-2 Chemical Properties

Particular	San Manuel	Tarlac Series
pH	6.03	6.18
OM (%)	0.76	2.05
CEC	20.87	50.97
BSP	66.67	82.13
Available P (ppm)	5.25	8.77
Exchangeable K (ml/100 g)	0.10	0.25
General fertility	Low	High

(3) Description of Land Classes in the Project Area

i) Arable Land Classes

A total of 1,030.0 hectares or 88 per cent of the project area were classified as arable land as shown in Table A-3. (See Fig. A-1 to A-3)

a. Class 1R

This land class consists of about 346.0 hectares or 30 per cent of the project area. Topography is nearly level to slightly sloping with slope ranging from 0.0 to 3.0 per cent. Class 1R consists of highly productive land having low development cost and no limitation on topography, soils and drainage.

The soil varies from clay loam to clay under laid by tuffaceous sandstone. Surface soil color is grayish brown to dark gray, while sub-soil is gray to dark gray.

b. Class 2R

This class consists of good quality land lower than class 1R in potential productivity for lowland rice production. Due to its moderate topographic limitation, this area is downgraded to class 2Rt.

There are 342.5 hectare of land under this class. It represents 29 per cent of the total project area.

c. Class 3R

This class consists of fair quality land lower than class 2R in productivity for lowland rice production due to its severe topographic limitation, this is are downgraded to class 3Rt.

There are 74.0 hectares of land classified under this class, or equivalent to 6 per cent of the total project areas.

d. Dual Class Land (1R-2d)

This land has potentials for both rice and diversified crop production. The land has a friable surface soil having texture of fine sandy loam, silty clay loam and clay loam throughout the root zone. Subsoil have good permeability and drainage condition. However, for diversified crop of restricted trainability should be provided with facilities to drain excess water at the root zone.

This class of land is highly suitable for irrigated rice during the wet season and diversified crop in the dry season with adequate irrigation supply. Class 1R-2d covers a total area of 267.5 hectares or 23 per cent of the project area.

ii) Non-Arable Land

These are the lands which are not suitable for irrigation development due to severe restriction on soils, topography and drainage. It includes the residential areas (Class M), rivers and hilly areas (Class 6).

A total of 137.0 hectares were classified and mapped and represents 12 per cent of the project area.

2. Farm Economic Survey

A farm economic survey was carried out to collect data and information relating to the present economic situation of farmers in the Project area. These data and information provide the present condition of farmers for formulating the development plan as well as basic data for project benefit monitoring and evaluation.

For the purpose, items investigated in the survey included family structure and composition, land tenure and holding, irrigation condition, crop and livestock production, extension services, agricultural credit, farmer's organization and farmer's intention toward the development.

Random sampling was adopted in this survey. There are about 2,000 farm households in the barangays related to the Project area. In total, 120 farmers were interviewed by counterparts. The sampled farmers were about 6 % of all the farm households in the barangays.

Table A-3 Hectarage Tabulation of Land Classes/Land Use by Dam

Name of Dam	Land Classes	Land Use 1)						Row	M	6	Total
		Pr	PrIp	Cn	L	Gl	Total				
Bulelatin Dam	1R	54.0	-	-	-	-	54.0	6.5			
	2R	66.0	-	-	-	-	66.0	7.5			
	Total	120.0	-	-	-	-	120.0	14.0	-	3.0	17.0
Balnges Dam	1R	49.5	4.5	-	-	-	54.0	6.5			
	2R	40.5	-	-	-	18.0	58.5	6.5			
	3R	-	-	-	-	9.0	9.0	1.0			
	1R-2d	26.0	22.5	9.0	171.0	-	228.5	26.0			
	Total	116.0	27.0	9.0	171.0	27.0	350.0	40.0	-	10.0	50.0
Mangillog Dam	1R	171.0	-	-	-	-	171.0	5.0			
	2R	150.0	-	-	-	-	150.0	4.0			
	1R-2d	5.5	-	-	33.5	-	39.0	1.0			
	Total	326.5	-	-	33.5	-	360.0	10.0	-	30.0	40.0
Pangasan Dam	1R	66.0	1.0	-	-	-	67.0	3.5			
	2R	49.5	1.0	-	-	17.5	68.0	3.5			
	3R	11.0	-	-	-	54.0	65.0	3.0			
	Total	136.5	2.0	-	-	71.5	200.0	10.0	4.5	15.5	30.0
Total		689.0	29.0	9.0	204.5	98.5	1,030.0	74.0	4.5	58.5	137.0

1) Land Use

Pr	(Paddy rice rainfed)	Gl	(Grassland)
PrIp	(Paddy rice pump irrig)	M	(Residential)
Cn	(Corn, pump irrigated)	6	(Hilly areas)
L	(Diversified unirrigated)	ROW	(Right-of-Way)



LAND CLASSIFICATION MAP

LEGEND

- IR Riceland ; Highly suitable riceland
- 2R Riceland ; Moderately suitable riceland
- Dual Cropland (1R-2) ; Highly suitable riceland also moderately suitable diversified cropland
- Residential Area
- Grass land



SOIL MAP

SCALE

0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000m

LEGEND

- TcA Tarlac Clay loam ; 0-3.0 % slope
- TcB Tarlac Clay loam ; 3.1-5.0 % slope
- TcC Tarlac Clay loam ; 5.1-8.0 % slope
- TcD Tarlac Clay loam ; 8.1 % above
- SmA San Manuel Silty Clay loam ; 0-3.0% slope

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION
WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT
LAND CLASSIFICATION & SOIL MAP ON MANGILLOG & BULELATIN AREA
JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)
FIG. A-1



LEGEND

- 1R Rice land ; Highly suitable riceland
- 2R Rice land ; Moderate suitable riceland
- 3R Rice land ; Marginally suitable riceland
- Residential Area
- High Ground ; Not to be served by the project

LAND CLASSIFICATION MAP



LEGEND

- TcA Tarlac Clay loam ; 0~3.0 % slope
- TcB Tarlac Clay loam ; 3.1~5.0 % slope
- TcC Tarlac Clay loam ; 5.1~8.0 % slope
- TcD Tarlac Clay loam ; 8.1 % above

SOIL MAP

SCALE

0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000m

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION
WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT
LAND CLASSIFICATION & SOIL MAP ON PANGASAN AREA
JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)
FIG. A-2



LAND CLASSIFICATION MAP

LEGEND

- 1R Riceland ; Highly suitable riceland
- 2R Riceland ; Moderately suitable riceland
- Dual Classland (1R-2); Highly suitable riceland also moderately suitable diversified cropland



SOIL MAP

LEGEND

- TcA Tarlac Clay loam ; 0-3.0% slope
- TcB Tarlac Clay loam ; 3.1-5.0% slope
- TcC Tarlac Clay loam ; 5.1-8.0% slope
- SmA San Manuel Silty Clay loam ; 0-3.0% slope

SCALE

0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000m

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

LAND CLASSIFICATION & SOIL MAP ON BALNGES AREA

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

FIG. A-3

図　面　集

図 面 集 目 録

MANGILLOG DAM

BULELATIN DAM

LEGEND

- IRRIGATION CANAL
- MAIN FARMDITCH
- SUPPLEMENTARY FARMDITCH
- FARM DRAIN
- LATERAL DRAIN
- FARMDITCH W/ OFF-TAKE STRUCTURE
- FARMDITCH and END CHECK
- TURNOUT
- DIVISION BOX

MAIN CANAL

NORTH LATERAL CANAL

SOUTH LATERAL CANAL

HIGH PORTION MANGILLOG SYSTEM

BULELATIN SYSTEM

MANGILLOG SYSTEM ⟷ BULELATIN SYSTEM

MANGILLOG & BULELATIN
IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE SYSTEM LAYOUT

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE SYSTEM OF
MANGILLOG & BULELATIN AREA

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 1



PANGASAN

IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE SYSTEM LAYOUT

LEGEND

- IRRIGATION CANAL
- MAIN FARMDITCH
- SUPPLEMENTARY FARMDITCH
- FARM DRAIN
- LATERAL DRAIN
- FARMDITCH W/ OFF-TAKE STRUCTURE
- FARMDITCH and END CHECK
- TURNOUT
- DIVISION BOX

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE SYSTEM OF PANGASAN AREA

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 2



BALNGES
IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE SYSTEM LAYOUT

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION	
WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT	
IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE SYSTEM OF BALNGES AREA	
JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)	PLATE 3



PLAN OF DAM



PROFILE ON ℄ OF DAM





TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

MANGILLOG DAM
PLAN, PROFILE AND TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA) | PLATE 4



PLAN OF SPILLWAY



SECTION a-a



SECTION b-b



SECTION c-c



SECTION d-d



PROFILE ON ℄ OF SPILLWAY

(PLAN OF SPILLWAY)

(PROFILE & SELTION OF SPILLWAY)

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

MANGILLOG DAM

PLAN, PROFILE AND SECTION OF SPILLWAY

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 5



SECTION OF OGEE



ELEVATION OF SPILLWAY BRIDGE



SECTION OF STILLING BASIN



CROSS SECTION OF SPILLWAY BRIDGE



PLAN OF STILLING BASIN



(OGEE & STILLING BASIN)



(SPILLWAY BRIDGE)

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION	
WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT	
MANGILLOG DAM DETAIL OF SPILLWAY	
JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)	PLATE 6



PROFILE ON ℄ OF OUTLET WORKS CONDUIT (UPPER)



PROFILE ON ℄ OF OUTLET WORKS CONDUIT (LOWER)



PLAN OF INLET



SECTION OF CONDUIT IN CORE TRENCH



PLAN OF INLET



SECTION OF CONDUIT IN CORE TRENCH



SECTION OF INLET



VALVES OF OUTLET



SECTION OF INLET



VALVES OF OUTLET



PLAN OF IMPACT BOX



SECTION A-A

SECTION B-B



PLAN OF IMPACT BOX



SECTION A-A



SECTION B-B



(PROFILE OF OUTLET)



(DETAIL OF OUTLET)

THE REPUBLIC OF , PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

MANGILLOG DAM

DETAIL OF OUTLET WORKS

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 7



GENERAL PLAN



PROFILE ON ℄ OF OUTLET WORKS CONDUIT



PLAN OF INLET



SECTION OF CONDUIT IN CORE TRENCH



SECTION OF INLET



VALVES OF OUTLET



PROFILE ON ℄ OF DAM



PLAN OF IMPACT BOX



SECTION A-A



SECTION B-B



TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM

LEGEND

① Impervious Soil (Clayey Soil)
② Drain (Sand & Gravel)
③ Riprap (Cobble)

0 50 100m
(GENRAL PLAN & PROFILE OF DAM)

0 5 10 25m
(TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM & PROFILE OF OUTLET)

0 1 2 3 4 5m
(DETAIL OF OUTLET WORKS CONDUIT)

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION
WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT
BULELATIN DAM
GENERAL PLAN, PROFILE AND TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM, PROFILE AND DETAIL OF OUTLET WORKS
JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)
PLATE 8



PROFILE ON ℄ OF SPILLWAY



SECTION a – a



SECTION b – b



SECTION c – c



SECTION d – d



SECTION OF OGEE



SECTION OF STILLING BASIN



PLAN OF STILLING BASIN



ELEVATION OF SPILLWAY BRIDGE



CROSS SECTION OF SPILLWAY BRIDGE



THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION
WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT
BULELATIN DAM
DETAIL OF SPILLWAY
JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)
PLATE 9



GENERAL PLAN



PROFILE ON ℄ OF DAM



TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM



THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

PANGASAN DAM
GENERAL PLAN, PROFILE AND TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 10



PLAN OF SPILLWAY



SECTION a-a



SECTION b-b



SECTION c-c



SECTION d-d



PROFILE ON ℄ OF SPILLWAY



THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

PANGASAN DAM
PLAN, PROFILE AND SECTION OF SPILLWAY

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA) | PLATE 11



SECTION OF OGEE



ELEVATION OF SPILLWAY BRIDGE



SECTION OF STILLING BASIN



CROSS SECTION OF SPILLWAY BRIDGE



PLAN OF STILLING BASIN

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION	
WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT	
PANGASAN DAM DETAIL OF SPILLWAY	
JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)	PLATE 12



PROFILE ON ℄ OF OUTLET WORKS CONDUIT



PLAN OF INLET



SECTION OF CONDUIT IN CORE TRENCH



PLAN OF IMPACT BOX



SECTION OF INLET



VALVES OF OUTLET



SECTION A-A



SECTION B - B



(PROFILE OF OUTLET)



(DETAIL OF OUTLET)

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

PANGASAN DAM
DETAIL OF OUTLET WORKS

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 13



GENERAL PLAN



PROFIL ON ℄ OF DAM



TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM



PROFILE ON ℄ OF OUTLET WORKS CONDUIT



PLAN OF INLET



SECTION OF CONDUIT IN CORE TRENCH



SECTION OF INLET



VALVES OF OUTLET



PLAN OF IMPACT BOX



SECTION A-A



SECTION B-B



THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

BALNGES DAM

PLAN, PROFILE AND TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM, DETAIL OF OUTLET WORKS

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 14



PROFILE ON ℄ OF SPILLWAY



SECTION a – a



SECTION d – d



PLAN OF STILLING BASIN



SCTION b – b



SECTION OF OGEE



SECTION c – c



SECTION OF STILLING BASIN

0 10 20m

(PROFILE & SECTION OF SPILLWAY)

0 5 10m

(OGEE, STILLING BASIN & SPILLWAY BRIDGE)

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION
WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

BALNGES DAM
DETAIL OF SPILLWAY

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 15

IRRIGATION CANAL & INSPECTION ROAD



DIMENSION (Unit : m)

TYPE	DISCHARGE (m^3/s)	GRADIENT	W	H1	H2
OC - 1	0.10	1 / 500	0.30	0.30	0.30
OC - 2	0.15	1 / 700	0.38	0.38	0.30
OC - 3	0.20	1 / 800	0.53	0.41	0.30
OC - 4	0.25	1 / 900	0.66	0.44	0.30
OC - 5	0.30	1 / 1000	0.88	0.44	0.30
OC - 6	0.40	1 / 1000	0.98	0.49	0.30
OC - 7	0.50	1 / 1000	1.08	0.54	0.30
OC - 8	0.60	1 / 1000	1.14	0.57	0.30
OC - 9	0.70	1 / 1000	1.22	0.61	0.30

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION
WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

TYPICAL SECTION OF CANAL & INSPECTION ROAD

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA) | PLATE 16





ABBREVIATIONS

BP	:	BEGINNING POINT
EP	:	END POINT
MD	:	MEASURING DEVICE
TO	:	TURNOUT
CK	:	CHECK AND DROP
SW	:	SPILLWAY
SP	:	SYPHON
CD	:	CROSS DRAIN
RC	:	ROAD CROSSING
DW	:	DIVERSION WORKS

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

CANAL PROFILE OF MANGILLOG IRRIGATION SYSTEM (1/2)

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 17



ABBREVIATIONS

BP	:	BEGINNING POINT
EP	:	END POINT
MD	:	MEASURING DEVICE
TO	:	TURNOUT
CK	:	CHECK AND DROP
SW	:	SPILLWAY
SP	:	SYPHON
CD	:	CROSS DRAIN
RC	:	ROAD CROSSING
DW	:	DIVERSION WORKS
DP	:	DROP

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

CANAL PROFILE OF MANGILLOG IRRIGATION SYSTEM (2/2)

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 18



ABBREVIATIONS

BP	:	BEGINNING POINT
EP	:	END POINT
MD	:	MEASURING DEVICE
TO	:	TURNOUT
CK	:	CHECK AND DROP
SW	:	SPILLWAY
SP	:	SYPHON
CD	:	CROSS DRAIN
RC	:	ROAD CROSSING
DW	:	DIVERSION WORKS

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

CANAL PROFILE OF BULELATIN IRRIGATION SYSTEM (1/1)

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 19





ABBREVIATIONS

BP	:	BEGINNING POINT
EP	:	END POINT
MD	:	MEASURING DEVICE
TO	:	TURNOUT
CK	:	CHECK AND DROP
SW	:	SPILLWAY
SP	:	SYPHON
CD	:	CROSS DRAIN
RC	:	ROAD CROSSING
DW	:	DIVERSION WORKS

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

CANAL PROFILE OF PANGASAN IRRIGATION SYSTEM (1/1)

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 20





ABBREVIATIONS

BP	:	BEGINNING POINT
EP	:	END POINT
MD	:	MEASURING DEVICE
TO	:	TURNOUT
CK	:	CHECK AND DROP
SW	:	SPILLWAY
SP	:	SYPHON
CD	:	CROSS DRAIN
RC	:	ROAD CROSSING
DW	:	DIVERSION WORKS

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

CANAL PROFILE OF BALNGES IRRIGATION SYSTEM (1/2)

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 21



ABBREVIATIONS

BP	:	BEGINNING POINT
EP	:	END POINT
MD	:	MEASURING DEVICE
TO	:	TURNOUT
CK	:	CHECK AND DROP
SW	:	SPILLWAY
SP	:	SYPHON
CD	:	CROSS DRAIN
RC	:	ROAD CROSSING
DW	:	DIVERSION WORKS

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES

NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

CANAL PROFILE OF BALNGES IRRIGATION SYSTEM (2/2)

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

PLATE 22



PROFILE OF BRIDGE



GENERAL PLAN OF SUPER STRUCTURE



TYPICAL SECTION OF SUPER STRUCTURE



PLAN OF PIER P_1~P_4 (P_5~P_8)



SECTION OF PIER P_1~P_8 (P_5~P_8)



PLAN OF ABUTMENT A_1 (A_2)



SECTION OF ABUTMENT A_1(A_2)

THE REPUBLIC OF PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL IRRIGATION ADMINISTRATION

WESTERN BARRIOS IMPOUNDING IRRIGATION PROJECT

PROFILE AND DETAIL OF BRIDGE

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA) | PLATE 23

資料編

資料編目次

頁

1. 調査団の構成

1－1 基本設計調査

氏　　名	担当業務	所　　属
岡　本　芳　郎	調査団長・総括	農林水産省構造改善局 建設部設計課技術調査官
鈴　木　忠　徳	計画管理	国際協力事業団 基本設計調査第一課
松　居　正　治	潅漑・排水	日本技研㈱
岸　　洋　一	ダム設計	日本技研㈱
近　藤　兼　一　郎	土木基礎	日本技研㈱
入　江　章　演	地質・土質	日本技研㈱
工　藤　健　一	測量監理	日本技研㈱
水　間　昭　滋	積算	日本技研㈱ （国内作業のみ）

1－2 ドラフト・ファイナル・レポート説明

氏　　名	担当業務	所　　属
岡　本　芳　郎	調査団長・総括	農林水産省構造改善局 建設部設計課技術調査官
松　居　正　治	潅漑・排水	日本技研㈱
岸　　洋　一	ダム設計	日本技研㈱

2．　現地調査日程

2－1　基本設計調査

現地調査は、１９８９年1月１９日から2月２６日までの３９日間にわたり実施され、その詳細は下表の通りである。

日順	月日	曜	団　　員	調　査　内　容	調 査 地
1	1/19	木	5団員	日本出発，マニラ着 大使館・ＪＩＣＡ表敬，打合せ	マニラ
2	20	金	5団員	ＮＩＡ表敬，打合せ ｲﾝｾﾌﾟｼｮﾝ・ﾚﾎﾟｰﾄ概要説明 資料収集・委託業者調査	マニラ
3	21	土	5団員	現地調査準備・現地踏査①	ターラック州
4	22	日	5団員	資料整理	
5	23	月	5団員	ＮＩＡにて現地調査打合せ 資料収集・委託業者選定	マニラ
6	24	火	5団員	ﾀｰﾗｯｸ州政府・州ＮＩＡｵﾌｨｽ表敬，打合せ 現地踏査②	ターラック州
7	25	水	5団員	現地踏査③	ターラック州
8	26	木	2団員	地質・土質・測量調査開始①	ターラック州
			3団員	事業調査・資料収集・運営維持管理調査・基本調査・ 農業調査①	
9	27	金	2団員	前日と同じ②	ターラック州
			3団員	前日と同じ②	
１０	28	土	2団員	前日と同じ③	ターラック州
			3団員	前日と同じ③	
１１	29	日	5団員	資料整理	
１２	30	月	団長と１団員	日本出発，マニラ着 大使館・ＪＩＣＡ表敬，打合せ	マニラ
			3団員	団長に調査進捗状況報告	
			2団員	地質・土質・測量調査④	ターラック州
１３	31	火	団長と４団員	ＮＩＡ他関係機関協議	マニラ
			2団員	前日と同じ⑤	ターラック州
１４	2/ 1	水	団長と２団員	ＮＥＤＡ他関係機関協議	マニラ
			2団員	前日と同じ⑥	ターラック州
			2団員	事業調査・資料収集・運営維持管理調査・基本調査・ 農業調査④	ターラック州

日順	月日	曜	団　　員	調　査　内　容	調 査 地
15	2/ 2	木	団長と2団員	サイト視察， ターラック州政府と協議①	ターラック州
			2団員	前日と同じ⑦	
			2団員	前日と同じ⑤	
16	3	金	団長と2団員	前日と同じ②	ターラック州
			2団員	前日と同じ⑧	
			2団員	前日と同じ⑥	
17	4	土	団長と2団員	前日と同じ③	ターラック州
			2団員	前日と同じ⑨	
			2団員	前日と同じ⑦	
18	5	日	団長と6団員	団員打合せ，資料整理	
19	6	月	団長と4団員	NIA他関係機関とミニッツ協議， 資料収集	マニラ
			2団員	前日と同じ⑩	ターラック州
20	7	火	団長と4団員	ミニッツ署名，大使館・JICAへ 報告，資料収集	マニラ
			2団員	前日と同じ⑪	ターラック州
21	8	水	団長と1団員	日本着	
			5団員	資料収集・資料整理	マニラ・ターラック州
22	9	木	3団員	農業関係・施設関係調査，資料収集①	ターラック州
			2団員	地質・土質・測量調査⑫	
23	10	金	3団員	前日と同じ②	ターラック州
			2団員	前日と同じ⑬	
24	11	土	3団員	前日と同じ③	ターラック州
			2団員	前日と同じ⑭	
25	12	日	5団員	資料整理	
26	13	月	3団員	前日と同じ④	ターラック州
			2団員	前日と同じ⑮	
27	14	火	3団員	前日と同じ⑤	ターラック州
			2団員	前日と同じ⑯	
28	15	水	3団員	前日と同じ⑥	ターラック州
			2団員	前日と同じ⑰	
29	16	木	3団員	前日と同じ⑦	ターラック州
			2団員	前日と同じ⑱	

日順	月日	曜	団　　員	調　査　内　容	調 査 地
３０	17	金	３団員	前日と同じ⑧	ターラック州
			２団員	前日と同じ⑲	
３１	18	土	３団員	前日と同じ⑨	ターラック州
			２団員	前日と同じ⑳	
３２	19	日	５団員	資料整理	
３３	20	月	５団員	調査結果の整理・追加資料収集①	マニラ・ターラック州
３４	21	火	５団員	前日と同じ②	マニラ・ターラック州
３５	22	水	５団員	団員打合せ	マニラ・ターラック州
３６	23	木	５団員	ＮＩＡと基本設計調査打合せ	マニラ
３７	24	金	５団員	資料収集， 大使館・JICAへ調査完了報告	マニラ
３８	25	土	５団員	帰国準備，資料整理	マニラ
３９	26	日	５団員	日本着	

2－2　ドラフト・ファイナル・レポート説明

ドラフト・ファイナル・レポート説明は、１９８９年5月１５日から5月２０日までの６日間にわたり実施され、その詳細は下表の通りである。

日順	月日	曜	団　　員	調　査　内　容	調 査 地
1	5/15	月	3団員	日本出発，マニラ着 大使館，ＪＩＣＡ表敬，打合せ	マニラ
2	16	火	3団員	ＮＩＡ表敬，打合せ ﾄﾞﾗﾌﾄ･ﾌｧｲﾅﾙ･ﾚﾎﾟｰﾄ 提出，説明	マニラ
3	17	水	3団員	ＮＩＡとﾄﾞﾗﾌﾄ･ﾌｧｲﾅﾙ･ﾚﾎﾟｰﾄ 協議	マニラ
4	18	木	3団員	ＮＩＡとミニッツ協議， ＮＥＤＡ表敬	マニラ
5	19	金	3団員	ミニッツ署名 ＪＩＣＡ，大使館へ報告	マニラ
6	20	土	3団員	日本着	

3. 関係者リスト

本件調査に当り、下記の方々の御協力をいただいた。

- 在フィリピン国日本国大使館
  - 一等書記官　林田直樹

- ＪＩＣＡフィリピン事務所
  - 所長　宮本守也
  - 所員　丹羽憲昭

- ＪＩＣＡ派遣専門家（ＮＩＡ）　三島康彦
  - 同　大内幸則

- フィリピン国側関係者
  - ＮＩＡ長官　Federico N. Alday, Jr.
  - ＮＩＡ次長　Eduardo G. Fernandez
  - ＮＩＡ計画部長　Avelino S. Rivera
  - 予算管理大臣　Guillermo N. Carague
  - ターラック州知事　Mariano Un. Ocampo
  - ターラック市長　Jose G. Macapinlac
  - ターラック市開発官　Charlie Gatdula

Coordinating Committee

Eduardo G. Fernandez - Asst. Administrator, PDI
Avelino S. Rivera - Manager, PDD
Maximo A. Eclipse - Manager, DSD
Abelardo M. Demetillo - Manager, CMD
Jose M. Alcantara - Manager, CIDP
Rolando Bonrostro - Regional Manager, Region III

Technical Committee

Isidro R. Digal - Manager, Plan Formulation Div., PDD
Romeo F. Potenciano - Manager, Water Resources Utilization Div., PDD
Rogelio P. dela Rosa - Manager, Project Investigation Div., PDD
Epifanio C. Gacusan - Manager, Land Resources Utilization Div., PDD
Marcelino P. Manalo - Provincial Irrigation Engineer, Tarlac

Counterparts

Clemente T. Alanano - Project Coordinator
Erdolfo B. Domingo - Dam Engineering
Emerson M. Coloma - Irrigation and Drainage Engineering
Cesar B. Ramos - Civil Engineering
Othelo L. Razon - Hydrology
Romy Potenciano - Hydrology
Wilson Layaoen - Soil Mechanics/Geology
Danilo Fajardo - Soil Mechanics/Geology
Dominador D. Pascua - Agri-institution
Rogelio Aguinaldo - Agronomy
Fernando E. Antolin - Agro-Economy
Bernardo Valenzuela - Pedology
Herminigildo S. Tabares - Land & Soil
Abelardo Y. Armenia - Topo-Survey
Danilo Cortez - General Aspect

COORDINATING AGENCY

Honorio M. Encarnacion - Manager, Balog-Balog Multi-Purpose Project