THE REPORTED ON PLEASE

OM PARTE PERMANAN PERMANAN PERMAY PERMANAN PERMANAN PERMAY PERMANAN PERMANA

THE THE REPORT OF HERE PARTY OF THE PARTY.

translating that the bill

MEARCHIE TEXAS

AC SINERBOY, TOROTTO SERVED ON A PROSTECTION OF THE ACCEPTANCE OF A SERVED OF THE ACCEPTANCE OF THE AC

; ...l. y: ..

也请 多温

品 [[基]([基])

#### THE REPUBLIC OF PERU

# FINAL REPORT FOR THE MASTER PLAN STUDY ON THE DISASTER PREVENTION PROJECT IN THE RIMAC RIVER BASIN

### SUPPORTING REPORT III



**MARCH 1988** 

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY TOKYO, JAPAN

#### CONSTITUTION OF REPORT

Vol.1 Summary Report (Spanish)

Vol.2 Main Report

Vol.3 Supporting Report I

Appendix

I: Geological and Topographical Feature

II : Meteorological and Hydrological Conditions

III : Land Use and Vegetation Conditions

IV: River and River Basin Features

V: Conditions of Related Structures

VI: Conditions of Past Disaster and Damage

VII : Disaster Management Conditions

VIII: Conditions for Project Cost Estimate

IX: Socio-Economic Conditions

Vol.4 Supporting Report II

Appendix

X: Study on Structural Plan for Debris Flow and Slope Failure

Disaster

Vol.5 Supporting Report III

**Appendix** 

XI: Study on Structural Plan for Inundation Disaster

XII : Study on Non-Structural Plan

Vol.6 Data Book

国際	協力事	常業団
受入 月日 188	. 5. <b>6</b>	709
登録	17559	61.7
No.	A I U U U	505

# SUPPORTING REPORT III

# LIST OF APPENDIX

- XI. STUDY ON STRUCTURAL PLAN FOR INUNDATION DISASTER
- XII. NON-STRUCTURAL PLAN FOR DISASTER PREVENTION

#### ABBREVIATION

#### Abbreviation of Peruvian Offices 1.

CENTROMIN S.A. Empresa Minera del Centro del Perú

Center Perú Mining Company)

CAPECO + Cámara Peruana de la Construction

(Peruvian Chamber of Construction

CONCITEC Nacional Consejo de Ciencia

Tecnología

(National Council of Science and

Technology)

COOPOP Cooperación Popular

(Popular Cooperation)

CORDE CALLAO Corporación de Desarrollo del Calao

(Development Corporation of Callao)

CORDE LIMA Corporación de Desarrollo de Lima

(Development Corporation of Lima)

CORPAC Corporación Peruana de Aviachión

Comercial

(Peruvian Corporation of Commercial

Air Travel)

C.P.L. Concejo Provincial de Lima

(Provincial Council of Lima)

DGAF Dirección General de Aerofotografia

(Department of Aerophotographs)

DGASI Directión General de Aguas, suelos e

irrigaciones

(General Direction of Water, Soil and

Irrigations)

DHNM Direccióndde Hidrología y Navegación

de la marina

(Navigations and Hydrographic

Direction of the Peruvian Navv)

ELECTRO LIMA Empresa de Electricidad de Lima

(Electric Company of Lima)

ELECTRO PERU Empresa de Electicidad del Perú S.A.

(Electric Company of Perú)

**ENACE** Empresa Nacional de Edificaciones

(National Enterprise for Building)

ENAFER S.A. Empresa Nacional de Ferrocarriles del

Perú

(Railroad National Company of Perú)

IGN Instituto Geofísico Nacional

(Geophysics Institute of Perú)

IMARPE Instituto del Mar del Perú (Oceanic Institute of Perú)

INADE Instituto Nacional de Desarrollo

(Development National Institute)

INAF Instituto Nacional de Ampliación de

la Frontera Agrícola

(National Institute for the Widening

of Agriculture Lands Frontier)

INE Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas

(Statistics National Institute)

INP Instituto Nacional de Planificación

(Planning National Institute)

INFOR Instituto Nacional Forestal y de

Fauna

(Forest, Fauna National Institute)

ING Instituto Nacional Geográfico

(National Geographic Institute)

INGEMMET Instituto Geológico Minero

Metalúrgico

(Metallurgy, Mining and Geologic

Institute)

INVERMET Inversiones Metropolitanas

(Metropolitan Inversions)

MINIS. AERON. Ministerio de Aeronáutica

(Aeronautic Ministry)

MINIS. AGRIC. Ministerio de Agricultura

(Agriculture Ministry)

MINIS. ECON. Ministerio de Economía y Finanzas

(Economics and Finance Ministry)

MINIS. EDUCA. Ministerio de Educación

(Education Ministry)

MINIS. ENERG. Ministerio de Energía y Minas

(Energy and Mining Ministry)

MINIS. GUERRA Ministerio de Guerra

(War Ministry)

MINIS. INDUS. Ministerio de Industria, Turismo e

Integración

	(Industry, Tourism and Trade Ministry)
MINIS. INTER.	Ministerio del Interior (Interior Ministry)
MINIS. PESQ.	Ministerio de Pesquería (Fishing Ministry)
MINIS. PRESD.	Ministerio de la Presidencia (Presidency Ministry)
MINIS. PREE	Ministerio de Relaciones Exteriores (Foreign Relations Ministry)
MINIS. SALUD	Ministerio de Salud (Health Ministry)
MINIS. TRABJ.	Ministerio de Trabajo (Labour Ministry)
MINIS. TRANSP.	Ministerio de Transportes y
	Comunicaciones (Transports and Communications Ministry)
MINIS. VIVIE.	Ministerio de Vivienda y Construcción (Housing and Construction Ministry)
MUN. DIS. LIMA	Municipalidad Distrital de Lima (District Council of Lima)
MUN. DIS CALLAO	Municipalidad Distrital del Callao (District Council of Callao)
MUN. DIS. ATE	Municipalidad Distrital de Ate (District Council of Ate)
MUN. DIS. CHACL.	Municipalidad Distrital de Chaclacayo (District Council of Chaclacayo)
MUN. DIS. CHOS.	Municipalidad Distrital de Chosica (District Council of Chosica)
MUN. DIS. MATUC	Municipalidad Distrital de Matucana (District Council of Matucana)
MUN. DIS. SANMAT	Municipalidad Distrital de San Mateo (District Council of San Mateo)
NCTL +	Naturaleza, Ciencia y Tecnología Local (Nature, Science and Local Technology Organization)
ONERN	Oficina Nacional de Evaluación de Recursos Naturales

	(National Evaluation Office of Natural Resources)
PREDES +	Centro de Estudios y Prevencio de Desastres (Prevention Disasters and Studies Center)
PRES. CO. MI.	Presidencia del Consejo de Ministros (Presidency of the Ministries Cabinet)
SAN	Servicio AerofotogrMinisterio de Economía y Finanzas (Economics and Finance Ministry)
MINIS. EDUCA.	Ministerio de Educación (Education Ministry)
MINIS. ENERG.	Ministerio de Energía y Minas (Energy and Mining Ministry)
MINIS. GUERRA	Ministerio de Guerra (War Ministry)
MINIS. INDUS.	Ministerio de Industria, Turismo e Integración (Industry, Tourism and Trade Ministry)
MINIS. INTER.	Ministerio del Interior (Interior Ministry)
MINIS. PESQ.	Ministerio de Pesquería (Fishing Ministry)
MINIS. PRESD.	Ministerio de la Presidencia (Presidency Ministry)
MINIS. PREE	Ministerio de Relaciones Exteriores (Foreign Relations Ministry)
MINIS. SALUD	Ministerio de Salud (Health Ministry)
MINIS. TRABJ.	Ministerio de Trabajo (Labour Ministry)
MINIS. TRANSP.	Ministerio de Transportes y Comunicaciones (Transports and Communications Ministry)
MINIS. VIVIE.	Ministerio de Vivienda y Construcción (Housing and Construction Ministry)

MUN. DIS. LIMA

Municipalidad Distrital de Lima
(District Council of Lima)

**		
	MUN. DIS CALLAO	Municipalidad Distrital del Callao (District Council of Callao)
	MUN. DIS. ATE	Municipalidad Distrital de Ate (District Council of Ate)
	MUN. DIS. CHACL.	Municipalidad Distrital de Chaclacayo (District Council of Chaclacayo)
÷	MUN. DIS. CHOS.	Municipalidad Distrital de Chosica (District Council of Chosica)
	MUN. DIS. MATUC	Municipalidad Distrital de Matucana (District Council of Matucana)
	MUN. DIS. SANMAT	Municipalidad Distrital de San Mateo (District Council of San Mateo)
	NCTL +	Naturaleza, Ciencia y Tecnología Local (Nature, Science and Local Technology Organization)
	ONERN	Oficina Nacional de Evaluación de Recursos Naturales (National Evaluation Office of Natural Resources)
	PREDES +	Centro de Estudios y Prevencio de Desastres (Prevention Disasters and Studies Center)
	PRES. CO. MI.	Presidencia del Consejo de Ministros (Presidency of the Ministries Cabinet)
	SAN	Servicio Aerofotográfico Nacional (National Aerophotographics Service)
	SE/CNDC	Secretaría Ejective/Comité Nacional de Defensa Civil (Executive Secretary/Civil Defense National Committee)
	SEDAPAL	Servicio de Agua Potable y Alcantarillado de Lima (Drinkable Water and Sewering Service of Lima)
	SENAPA	Servicio Nacional de Agua Potable y Alcantarillado (National Drinkable Water and Sewering Service)
	SENAMHI	Servicio National de Meteorología e Hidrología

(Meteorologic and Hydrologic National Service)

SNI

Sociedad Nacional de Industrias (National Industry Society)

UNFV

Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal (Federico Villarreal National University)

UNMSM

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos (San Marcos University)

UNA

Universidad Nacional Agraria (Agriculture University)

UNI

Universidad Nacional de Ingeniería (Engineering National University)

+ Private Offices

#### 2. Abbreviation of Measurement

#### Lengt h

mm Millimeter cm centimeter m mete kilometer

#### Time

S or sec second min minute h or hr hour day y or yr year

#### Area

cm<sup>2</sup> square centimeter
m<sup>2</sup> square meter
ha hectare
Km<sup>2</sup> square kilometer

#### <u>Volume</u>

 ${\rm cm}^3$  cubic centimeter  ${\rm m}^3$  cubic meter  ${\rm liter}$ 

#### Weight.

g gram kg kilogram ton metric ton

#### Other Measures

% percent
o degree
o degree centigrade
103 thousand
106 million
109 billion

#### Derived Measures

m<sup>3</sup>/s cubic meter per second KWH kilowatt hour megawatt hour

#### Currency

US\$ U S Dollar I/. Perú Inti Japanese Yen

#### 3. Abbreviation of others

JICA Japan International Cooperation

Agency

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GRODP Gross Regional Domestic Product

GNP Gross National Product

Ref. Reference

O&M Operation and Maintenance

El. Elevation Water Level

HWL High Water Level
FWL Flood Water Level
LWL Low Water Level

Fig. Figure

Qda/Q Quebrada (Small Tributary)

Spe Slope

# APPENDIX XI

STRUCTURAL PLAN FOR INUNDATION DISASTER PREVENTION

# APPENDIX XI STRUCTURAL PLAN FOR INUNDATION DISASTER PREVENTION

# Contents

		Page
1.	GENERAL	XI-1-1
2.	DIVISION OF STUDY AREA	XI-2-1
3.	FEATURES OF EACH STUDY AREA	XI-3-1
	3.1 General	XI-3-1
-	3.2 Upper Reaches of the Rimac River (Upstream of Matucana)	XI-3-1
. *	3.3 Upper Middle Reaches of the Rimac River (Matucana to the Confluence with the Santa Eulalia River)	XI-3-1
	3.4 Lower Middle Reaches of the Rimac River (Confluence with the Santa Eulalia river to Atarjea weir)	XI-3-1
	3.5 Lower Reaches of the Rimac River (Atarjea weir to the river mouth)	XI-3-2
	3.6 Santa Eulalia River	XI-3-2
	3.7 Jicamarca River	XI-3-3
4.	CLASSIFICATION OF EACH DIVIDED AREA	XI-4-1
5.	STRUCTURAL PLAN AGAINST INUNDATION DISASTER FOR GROUP (A)	XI-5-1
	5.1 General	XI-5-1
	5.2 Alternative Plans	XI-5-1
	5.2.1 Main Stream (Confluence with Santa Eulalia river to river mouth)	XI-5-1
	5.2.2 Lower Reaches of Jicamarca River	XI-5-4
	5.2.3 Summary of Alternative Plans for Group (A)	XI-5-4

			Page
6.	DISA	ASTER AND DAMAGE AT PROBABLE FLOOD	XI-6-1
	6.1	General	XI-6-1
	6.2	Probable Flood Discharge	XI-6-1
	6.3	Discharge Distribution of Design Flood	XI-6-2
	6.4	Result of Hydraulic Analysis	XI-6-3
		6.4.1 Main Stream (Confluence to river mouth)	XI-6-3
		6.4.2 Main Stream (Confluence to Matucana)	xI-6-3
	6.5	Inundation Area and Depth	XI-6-3
	6.6	Damage Estimate	XI-6-4
7.	EVAL	UATION AND SELECTION OF STRUCTURAL PLAN	XI-7-1
	7.1	Economic Evaluation	XI-7-1
		7.1.1 General	XI-7-1
		7.1.2 Project Cost	XI-7-1
		7.1.3 Project Benefit	XI-7-2
		7.1.4 Economic Analysis	XI-7-3
	7.2	Technical and Social Evaluations	XI-7-4
•		7.2.1 Alternative Plans for Upper Reaches of Main Stream	XI-7-4
		7.2.2 Alternative Plans for Middle Reaches of Main Stream	XI-7-6
		7.2.3 Alternative Plans for Lower Reaches of Main Stream	XI-7-7
	7.3	Selection of Structural Plan for Group (A) .	XI-7-8
8.		CTURAL PLAN AGAINST INUNDATION DISASTER FOR P (B)	XI-8-1
	8.1	General	XI-8-1
	8.2	Structural Plan for Group B Area	XI-8-1
	я з	Evaluation	XI-8-3

# List of Tables

No.	Title
Table XI-6-1	WATER LEVEL AT PROBABLE FLOOD DISCHARGE
Table XI-6-2	FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 10-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD
Table XI-6-3	FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 50-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD
Table XI-6-4	FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 100-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD
Table XI-6-5	SUMMARY OF PROBABLE FLOOD DAMAGE BY CATEGORY OF DAMAGEABLE ASSETS
Table XI-7-1	PROJECT INVESTMENT COST OF RIVER IMPROVEMENT BY ALTERNATIVE PLANS (MAIN STREAM)
Table XI-7-2	FLOOD INUNDATION DAMAGE WITHOUT PROJECT
Table XI-7-3	FLOOD INUNDATION DAMAGE WITH PROJECT
Table XI-7-4	FLOOD INUNDATION DAMAGE AT LOWER REACHES OF JICAMARCA
Table XI-7-5	CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION (1))
Table XI-7-6	CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION (2))
Table XI-7-7	CASH FLOW AND ECONOMICAL ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION (3))
Table XI-7-8	CASH FLOW AND ECONOMICAL ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION (4))
Table XI-7-9	CASH FLOW AND ECONOMICAL ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION (5))
Table XI-7-10	CASH FLOW AND ECONOMICAL ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION (6))
Table XI-7-11	CASH FLOW AND ECONOMICAL ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION (7))
Table XI-7-12	CASH FLOW AND ECONOMICAL ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION (8))

# List of Figures

No.	Title
Fig. XI-4-1	Division of Classification for Study on Inundation Disaster
Fig. XI-5-1	River Stretch having Capacity less than 660 m <sup>3</sup> /sec (100 year probable flood)
Fig. XI-5-2	Distribution of Design Flood Discharge (100 year probable flood)
Fig. XI-5-3	Alternative Plans of River Channel
Fig. XI-5-4	Detail of Alternative Plans in the Upstream Reaches of Main Stream
Fig. XI-5-5	Detail of Alternative Plans in the Middle Portion in the Middle Reaches of Main Stream
Fig. XI-5-6	Detail of Alternative Plans in the Narrow Gorge in the Downstream Reaches
Fig. XI-6-1	Flow Chart of Flood Damage Study
Fig. XI-6-2	Longitudinal Profile of Water Level and River Channel
Fig. XI-6-3	Past Inundated Area Occurred from 1983 to 1985
Fig. XI-6-4	Past Inundated Area Occurred from 1986 to 1987
Fig. XI-6-5	Numbering of Meshes for Inundation Analysis
Fig. XI-6-6	Inundation Area due to Probable Flood (10 year flood)
Fig. XI-6-7	Inundation Area due to Probable Flood (50 year flood)
Fig. XI-6-8	Inundation Area due to Probable Flood (100 year flood)
Fig. XI-7-1	Proposed River Improvement Plan
Fig. XI-8-1	Proposed Structural Plan of Group (B) (From Confluence to Matucana)

# APPENDIX XI STRUCTURAL PLAN FOR INUNDATION DISASTER PREVENTION

#### 1. GENERAL

The Rimac river flows through the Capital city of Peru, Lima. The surrounding area developed so extensively is highly susceptible to the flood inundation disaster.

On the one hand, the river has various defects against the flood, requiring a radical improvement on them.

This Appendix examines the most desirable structural plan for preventing the inundation disaster through the comparative study from the technical, economical and social aspects on the conceivable alternative structural plans.

#### 2. DIVISION OF STUDY AREA

Respective reaches in the Rimac river basin has a different degree of danger for flood inundation and properties to be protected, requiring a division and classification of study area for giving the priority.

The division of study area is made in due consideration of the degree of danger and properties to be protected in each area as follows;

#### (1) Rimac river

- (a) Upper reaches (Upstream of Matucana)
- (b) Upper middle reaches (Matsucana to confluence with Santa Eulalia river)
- (c) Lower middle reaches (Confluence with Santa Eulalia river to Atarjea weir)
- (d) Lower reaches (Atarjea weir to river mouth)
- (2) Santa Eulalia river
  - (a) Upper reaches (Upstream of Autisha dam)
  - (b) Lower reaches (Autisha to confluence with Rimac river)
- (3) Jicamarca river
  - (a) Upper reaches
  - (b) Lower reaches (Up to about 4 km from the river mouth)

#### FEATURES OF EACH DIVIDED AREA

#### 3.1 General

The division of study area is made as mentioned in the previous section. The situation of each divided area, based on which the division and classification of study area are made, in as given hereunder.

#### 3.2 Upper Reaches of the Rimac River (Upstream of Matucana)

The area consists mostly of the mountainous slopes which are used as the farm land. The flat areas are scarcely distributed along the river. In the upper-most area, most of the land is not used substantially except the plateau where the cattle breeding is made.

Several residential houses are found in the limited flat areas. The traffic road also passes through along the river. However, those do not sustain the flood inundation, since those area mostly situated enough higher than the flooding level. As such, the degree of danger and protective properties for the flood inundation is very low.

# 3.3 Upper Middle Reaches of the Rimac River (Matucana to the Confluence with the Santa Eulalia river)

The reaches are of a full mature V-shaped valley, of which river beds are commonly covered with thick alluvial deposits. The slopes of valley are very steeps and have bluffs in many places. There are some flat areas along the river where the residential houses and farm lands exist.

Major protective properties in the area for the flood inundation are the town in Matucana having several hundreds in population, the flat land in Tornamesa with several tens of residential houses, and the traffic road and railway passing through the area.

The inundation in the area is characterized by the cause of inundation as follows: that is, the inundation in the area is mainly caused by the interruption of river flow due to the debris flow from the quebradas.

# 3.4 Lower Middle Reaches of the Rimac River (Confluence with the Santa Eulalia river to Atarjea weir)

The town of Chosica, which is densely populated extends in the downstream of the confluence of the Rimac and Santa Eulalia rivers. A lot of residential houses get into the river area, making the river channel width narrow. Thus, the river stretch of about 12 km long in the downstream of the confluence is seriously narrowed artificially. The river width in the stretch is reduced to 20 to 30 m despite a width of 50 to 60 m at least is considered necessary there in a common sense, threatening to a flood inundation disaster for the inhabitants gathered in the river banks.

On the other hand, the river is as wide as 300 to 400 m in the upstream reaches of the Atarjea Weir, where the sedimentation and turbulence of river flow are remarkable. The turbulence of river flow frequently attacks the dike, breaking it and causing the disaster in the highly developed areas in the further downstream.

As mentioned, the level of danger and protective properties for the flood inundation is very high in the area.

# 3.5 Lower Reaches of the Rimac River (Atarjea weir to the river mouth)

In the lower reaches, the Rimac river flows down in the northern part of the Lima city. The river width is about 60 m in average. However, there is a narrow gorge at 9 to 10.5 km from the river mouth where the width is reduced to 15 to 20 m. The height of bank at the narrow gorge is as high as 20 to 30 m.

The area is protected with the parapet wall or dike. Those, however, will easily be overtopped by the flood with a magnitude of 50 to 100 year recurrence, seriously threatening to the inundation disaster since the area including a large part situated at a lower elevation is so extensively developed.

Besides the above, a lot of residential houses crowding on the river banks of the said narrow gorge are exposed to the danger of bank collapse which may occur due to a large flood discharge.

It can be said that the level of danger and protective properties for the inundation disaster is extremely high in this lower reaches of the Rimac river, requiring an urgent countermeasure.

#### 3.6 Santa Eulalia River

The Santa Eulalia river basin is composed of a full mature V-shaped valley, similar to the upper middle reaches of Rimac river.

The land use in the basin consists mostly of the ravine and hillside agriculture. Some residential houses are seen in the right bank. A traffic road also passes along the right bank. However, those are all located at an elevation higher enough not to be affected by the flood. Although some ravine agricultural lands may sustain the flood inundation, the damage may be minor, requiring no special structural measure for flooding.

3.7 Jicamarca River

In the upper reaches of Jicamarca river, any noteworthy land use is not recognized, implying the protective properties against the flood inundation would negligibly be minor.

In the lower reaches, some flood inundation due to the unsatisfactory provision of culvert under the traffic road is experienced. The surrounding area is composed mostly of the agricultural lands. However, the flood inundation from the river attacks the downstream area along the right bank of Rimac river where the residential houses and various facilities are extensively distributed. It is considered that the same flood damage as experienced will surely occur again in the event that the basin is visited by a heavy rainfall.

#### 4. CLASSIFICATION OF EACH DIVIDED AREA

As stated in the previous section, there is a large difference in the priority level among the respective study areas. Then, for an effective formulation of the master plan, the classification of study level is made in accordance with the priority level.

In the classification, each of the study areas is classified into three groups of Group (A), (B) and (C) in accordance with the priority level based on the situation of each area detailedly described in the previous section.

The study for each of Group A, B and C is made as follows;

- Group A: Group A has a high urgency of countermeasure, requiring a formulation fo definitive plan. Then, the countermeasure for preventing the flood inundation will be established through a comparative study on the conceivable alternative plans.
- Group B: The degree of danger and protective properties in Group B is relatively lower without such a high urgency as Group A. As such, a countermeasure to be considered most suitable will be provided where necessary based on the result of hydraulic analysis without a particular comparative study on the alternative plans.
- Group C: Group C has very less or no danger of protective properties. Thus, no countermeasure will be examined in this master plan study.

The following is the summary of classification. Fig. XI-4-1 visually shows the classification of study area.

	Area Division	Classification			
(1)	Rimac river:				
	<ul><li>(a) Upper reaches</li><li>(b) Upper middle reaches</li><li>(c) Lower middle reaches</li></ul>	Group (C) " (B) " (A)			
	(d) Lower reaches	" (A)			
(2)	Santa Eulalia river:				
	<ul><li>(a) Upper reaches</li><li>(b) Lower reaches</li></ul>	Group (C) " (C)			
(3)	Jicamarca river:				
	<ul><li>(a) Upper reaches</li><li>(b) Lower reaches</li></ul>	Group (C) " (A)			

# 5. STRUCTURAL PLAN AGAINST INUNDATION DISASTER FOR GROUP (A)

#### 5.1 General

The main stream of Rimac river between the confluence with the Santa Eulalia river and the river mouth, and the lower reaches of Jicamarca river are classified as Group (A) which has the highest priority for countermeasure, requiring a detailed study on its planning.

Then, the conclusion of structural plan for Group (A) is made through the technical, economical and social comparative studies on the conceivable alternative plans, which should duly meet the design criteria that the plans have to withstand the 100-year probable flood. River stretch having capacity less than the design flood is indicated in Fig. XI-5-1. Further, distribution of flood discharge of the Rimac and Santa Eulalia rivers is determined in the hydrological study as illustrated in Fig. XI-5-2.

This section presents all the details of comparative studies as well as the final conclusion for the structural plan.

#### 5.2 Alternative Plans

5.2.1 Main Stream (Confluence with Santa Eulalia river to river mouth)

Outline of alternative river improvement plan in each stretch is illustrated in Fig. XI-5-3.

(A) Alternative Plans for Upper Reaches (Confluence with Santa Eulalia river to Huampani bridge)

The river stretch of about 12 km long in the downstream of the confluence with the Santa Eulalia river is remarkably narrowed artificially. The river width in the stretch is reduced to 20 m in average despite a width of 50 to 60 m at least is considered necessary. Some countermeasures are essential in view of so high susceptibility to the serious disaster.

As for the countermeasure, two measures are conceivable as follows:

CASE (A-1) The case that the river channel is planned in accordance with the existing river width as much as possible, protecting with the parapet wall or dike.

In this case, the planning is inevitable to include several unreasonable alignments and designs. However, its implementation will become easier since the necessary removal of residential

houses and facilities are much smaller compared with the alternative mentioned in (A-2) below.

CASE (A-2) The case that the river channel has a reasonable width and alignment for the design flood.

The case requires a considerable amount of removal of residential houses as well as a heavy construction work. However, the case is much more desirable from the aspect of safety of the river passing through a big city and favourable development of the area.

Thus, the above two conceivable cases are taken into consideration for examination. Fig. XI-5-4 comparatively indicates the general plan of both cases.

(B) Alternative Plans for Middle Reaches (Huampani Bridge and Atarjea Weir)

In the upstream reaches of Atarjea weir, there are several portions. Where the river is largely widened, having a retarding effect on the floods of the Rimac river. This retarding effect is considered to serve a reduction of flood peak in the downstream reaches, increasing the safety in the area. It is also considered to effectively prevent various sizes of gravels from flowing down to the downstream reaches.

On the other hand, the river channel is not fixed there, disturbing a smooth river flow. The disturbed river flow attacks the river banks, causing an inundation due to the damage of dike.

Therefore, the advantageousness between the following two cases should be examined:

- CASE (B-1) The case that the present river width is secured in the portion where the river is largely widened.
- CASE (B-2) The case that the river is provided with a smooth channel without such a wide portion.

Then, the above two alternative plans are taken up for the comparative study. Fig. XI-5-5 also shows the above two cases of plan.

(C) Alternative Plans for Lower Reaches (Atarjea weir to the river mouth)

There is a narrow gorge with a sharp bend and high banks at 9 to  $10.5~\rm km$  from the river mouth, originating various inconveniences such as the erosion and sedimentation due to the accelerated river flow, the danger of bank collapse for the inhabitants on both banks or raising up of flood water level in the upstream reaches.

Some improvement on the above condition is essential, and two countermeasures are conceivable as follows:

- CASE (C-1) The case that the narrow gorge is widened along the present river course.
- CASE (C-2) The case that a short-cut is provided in the portion of sharp bend.

The comparative study is made on the above two conceivable cases for selection.

Fig. XI-5-6 shows the layout of the said two cases.

#### (D) Discussion on Flood Way Plan

The construction of a separate flood way is conceivable in general as a measure for preventing the flood inundation. However, this measure is judged not to be applicable in the basin as explained below: that is, the construction of the flood way which requires a huge amount of compensation and construction cost due to the highly developed condition in the downstream areas will not be practical.

Thus, the plan of flood way is not taken as an alternative plan for the examination.

#### (E) Discussion of Flood Control Dam Plan

The construction of flood control dam is conceivable as countermeasures for mitigating the flood peak. Through the field reconnaissance and preliminary study on maps of scale 1:5,000, the effect of flood control dam was examined. The result is summarized as follows:

#### (i) Damsite

The damsite for constructing the flood control dam is found in the Rimac river about 3.0 km upstream from the confluence with Rio Seco and in the Santa Eulalia river at about 1.5 km upstream of Callahuanca power station. The catchment areas at both damsites are  $920~\rm km^2$  and  $990~\rm km^2$  respectively.

#### (ii) Hydrology

The inflow flood hydrographs of 100 year recurrence were estimated based on the flood hydrographs of the Rimac and the Santa Eulalia rivers at Chosica by means of the proportion of the catchment areas at the damsites and Chosica. The peaks of inflow discharge are 230 m $^3$ /sec and 337 m $^3$ /sec at the damsites in the Rimac and the Santa Eulalia rivers.

#### (iii) Reservoir

In the view of the remarkable sedimentation in the basin, the flood control dam should be planned in consideration that the reservoir storage up to the spillway crest level will be filled up with sediments during a short period.

Further, it should be considered that the sedimentation will occur from the spillway crest level in the form of a channel with original river bed slope and the same width of the spillway.

Thus, the effective storage capacity for flood control space will be 429 x  $10^3$  m<sup>3</sup> and 304 x  $10^3$  m<sup>3</sup> of the two dams in the Rimac and the Santa Eulalia rivers.

#### (iv) Dam and Spillway

From the aspect of the regional geology in the Rimac river basin, it will not be able to expect stuff rock foundation at the damsites selected. In considering that the dam height will be around 50 m to 60 m at maximum, the dam heights designed in this study are 47 m and 60 m for the Rimac and Santa Eulalia rivers.

The height of spillway gate is assumed to be 15 m in consideration that the technically possible height is approximately 20 m at maximum.

#### (v) Flood control effect

With the available storage capacity for flood control, the flood peak discharge will be reduced to  $180~\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$  and  $282~\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$ . Thus, the reduction of the peak discharge is only  $50~\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$  and  $55~\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$  at the damsites in the Rimac and Santa Eulalia rivers. In other words, the 100-year flood peak of  $660~\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$  can be mitigated to  $555~\text{m}^3/\text{sec}$ .

#### (vi) Project Cost

The project investment cost of the two dams was estimated at US\$41 x  $10^6$  and US\$45 x  $10^6$ , in total US\$86 x  $10^6$ , for the Rimac and the Santa Eulalia rivers. On the other hand, the cost reduction for the necessary river improvement by the above mitigation of flood peak discharge will approximately be only US\$ $10\sim20$  x  $10^6$ . Therefore, it indicates that the flood control dam plan will not be justifiable evidently.

Since the flood control dam plan will not be effective evidently as mentioned above, the idea is not taken up as an alternative plan for the comparative study.

#### 5.2.2 Lower Reaches of Jicamarca River

The cause of disaster due to the flood inundation from the Jicamarca river is not in the shortage of flow capacity or alignment of river channel itself but in the unsatisfactory structures provided artificially; that is, the flow capacity at the mouth of Jicamarca river is extremely lessened due to the culvert provided for the traffic road, which causes a serious flood inundation in the downstream area along the right bank of Rimac river.

Thus, only the improvement of culvert to augment the flow capacity is a conceivable way of countermeasure, requiring no examination on other particular alternative plan.

#### 5.2.3 Summary of Alternative Plans for Group (A)

The alternative plans for the comparative study as discussed are summarized below:

The comparative study for determining the structural plan is made for eight (8) cases, i.e. all combination of the conceivable alternative plans in the respective reaches as follows;

Items	Alternative Cases of Combinations for Comparative Study							
•	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
. Main Stream :								
<ul> <li>Plan for upper reaches (Confluence to Huampani bridge)</li> </ul>	A-1	A-1	A-1	A-1	A-2	A-2	A-2	A-2
<ul> <li>Plan for middle reaches (Huampani bridge to Atarjea weir)</li> </ul>	В-1	B-1	B-2	B-2	B-1	B-1	B-2	B-2
<ul> <li>Plan for lower reaches (Atarjea weir to river mouth)</li> </ul>	C-1	C-2	C-1	C-2	C-1	C-2	C-1	C-2
Lower Reaches of : Jicamarca River	Jicar		civer :			t the rout any		
gar gro con	neces bage, yne, e sidera ernati	dredg etc. v ation	ing o where in	f rive	er bed ssary	d, rev	etmen taken	t an

(ii)

Regarding (A-1), (A-2), (B-1), (B-2), (C-1) and (C-2), reference is made to Clause 5.2.1 of this Section 5.

#### 6. DISASTER AND DAMAGE AT PROBABLE FLOOD

#### 6.1 General

The structural measure for the flood inundation disaster will mitigate the flood damage. The flood damage study is made to evaluate the flood damage reduction with the structural measure.

It is desirable for the study of flood damage to depict a damage frequency curve. However, the preparation of the damage frequency curve requires sufficient records of actual flood damages which are not available unfortunately. Therefore, the study is made with the following procedure:

- Firstly, the flood prone area to be inundated by the various probable floods has to be estimated on the basis of hydraulic simulation under the present river condition. The extent of flooding area is delineated by considering the topographic conditions and water levels simulated with the non-uniform flow analysis.
- Secondly, the flood prone area delineated in the topographic map with the scale of 1:5,000 is divided by meshes, each having an interval of 500 m being equivalent to 25 ha. The elevation of ground surface and land use are read out by mesh.
- Thirdly, the value of properties is estimated based on the land use survey by mesh. The value of damageable properties is assessed by the number or area of them and unit value of them at 1987 price level.
- Fourthly, hydrological simulation is conducted in the light of area-depth-duration by different magnitude of flooding.
- Finally, probable flood damage by different scale of flooding is estimated based on the studies mentioned above.

A flow chart of the flood damage study consisting of hydraulic and damage estimate studies is shown in Fig. XI-6-1.

#### 6.2 Probable Flood Discharge

In the study of the basic concept of this master plan, it is decided that the structural plan against inundation disaster is to be contemplated for 100-year of flood frequency. Hydrological study in APPENDIX II, Supporting Report I revealed that the peak discharge of 100-year probable flood at Chosica, at the confluence of the Rimac and Santa Eulalia rivers, is 660 m³/sec. A probable flood hydrographs at Chosica for several return periods are presented in APPENDIX II.

#### 6.3 Discharge Distribution of Design Flood

As discussed in APPENDIX II, it can be expected that 100-year probable flood peak discharge of 660 m³/sec at Chosica would be reduced to 540 m³/sec at the confluence with Qda. Jicamarca due to the retarding effect. The computation was made on the assumption that overtopping of the both banks does not occur by heightening of levee along the river stretch between Chosica and the confluence with Qda. Jicamarca. Further, inflow discharge from remaining catchment area of which effect on the flood peak discharge is considered minor was not taken into account in the computation.

On the other hand, it is needed to determine the design flood discharge along the Rimac river to determine a definite river improvement plan. The design discharge was finally set to be 660 m³/sec at constant in all the river stretch downstream of the confluence of the Rimac and the Santa Eulalia rivers. The 100-year probable flood peak discharge in the upstream reaches from the confluence was estimated at 310 m³/sec and 370 m³/sec for the Rimac and Santa Eulalia rivers respectively. Thus, the discharge distribution of design flood along the Rimac river is schematically shown in Fig. XI-5-2 as introduced in the previous section.

As for the design discharge in the downstream reaches of Chosica, it seems reasonable to apply the reduced flood peak discharge in consideration of the retarding effect as mentioned. However, it was decided to apply the design discharge of  $660~\text{m}^3/\text{s}$  throughout the river stretch from Chosica to the river mouth by the following reasons:

(1) Although the rainfall quantity in the remaining catchment are at downstream of Chosica could be much smaller than the upstream basin, some increment of discharge flowing to the main stream should be take into account.

Especially, the flood discharge from Qda. Jicamarca could be major quantity in this area because it originates in the highland higher than 3,000 m in altitude. Its 100-year probable flood peak will reach several tens of cubic meter per second. Although this discharge will not effect directly on the flood peak discharge in the main stream due to a time lag in the occurrence of both peak discharges, the discharge should be taken into consideration as a factor to decrease the said retarding effect.

(2) Near the river mouth of the Rimac river, the metropolitan area where various kinds of infrastructures and governmental offices are highly concentrated develops with around 400 km<sup>2</sup> in area. Inundation, especially in this area, will cause an extreme damage on Public and Private properties.

Thus, for a conservative sake, it is recommendable to formulate a flood control and river improvement plan based on the design discharge disregarding the retarding effect.

#### 6.4 Result of Hydraulic Analysis

#### 6.4.1 Main Stream (Confluence to river mouth)

The water levels for these probable flood discharges are calculated through the non-uniform flow analysis.

Table XI-6-1 tabulates the results of the above analysis at the cross sections available from the confluence to the river mouth. The cross sections and their location is attached in Data Book.

Fig.XI-6-2 visually shows the relationship between the flood water levels and the elevation of existing river banks.

#### 6.4.2 Main Stream (Confluence to Matucana)

In order to assess the flood damage in the stretch between the confluence and Matucana, carrying capacity of discharge was estimated. Although river cross sections at particular points in this stretch is not available, flow capacity was checked based on the typical sections prepared by P&V Ingenieros in 1983 (ref. Appendix IV in Supporting Report I).

As the result of the hydraulic calculation by uniform flow theory, it was clarified that the present river channel has enough capacity to flow the 100 year probable flood peak discharge.

#### 6.5 Inundation Area and Depth

Prior to the hydraulic analysis above, past inundated area due to flooding was approximately identified through the field reconnaissance and review of available reports/documents on disaster as shown in Fig. XI-6-3 and Fig. XI-6-4.

Flood prone area to be inundated by 100-year probable flood was delineated in consideration of topographical maps and past inundated records as mentioned above. Then, the area was divided into 335 meshes of 500 m square in total from Chosica to river mouth as shown in Fig. XI-6-5.

Inundation areas were worked out for various probable floods through the non-uniform flow analysis. Inundation depth in each mesh is shown dividing into six (6) ranks as follows, since the flood damage in each mesh is estimated by applying the damage rates to be determined for each of the above ranks.

Rank	Inundation Depth (m)
0	O m
1	0 to 0.5 m
2	0.5 to 1.0 m
3	1.0 to 2.0 m
4	2.0 to 3.0 m
5	Deeper than 3.0 m

Inundation area and range of depth in each mesh due to 10, 50 and 100 year probable flood are shown in Figs. XI-6-6 to XI-6-8.

#### 6.6 Damage Estimate

The flood damage in each mesh is estimated by applying the damage rate to the damageable value (the whole assets) in each mesh.

The standard rate in accordance with the inundation depth, which was developed by the Ministry of Construction in Japan, is taken as the damage rate as follows:

	Rank of Inundation Depth						
Kinds of Properties	(0) _0m	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) Over 3.0m	
1. Residential houses	0	0.124	0.210	0.308	0.439	0.572	
2. Household effects	0	0.086	0.191	0.331	0.499	0.690	
3. Public buildings	0	0.154	0.295	0.399	0.509	0.597	
4. Agricultural crops	0	0.270	0.350	0.510	0.510	0.510	

The damageable value in each mesh is counted for several magnitude of probable floods. The flood damage estimated in each mesh for 10, 50 and 100-year probable flood are given in Tables XI-6-2 to IX-6-4. Table XI-6-5 shows the flood damage for four kinds of damageable assets. The result is further summarized by return periods.

#### Summary of Estimated Flood Damage

Unit: 103 US\$

:			Return I	eriod (Ye	ar)	
River Reaches	2	5	10	25	50	100
1. <u>Main Stream</u>						•
- Upper Reaches (Confluence to Huampani Bridge)	0	9,760	11,757	13,577	17,520	19,653
<ul> <li>Middle Reaches (Huampani Bridge to Atarjea Weir)</li> </ul>	0	4,280	5,550	7,377	10,897	11,720
<ul> <li>Lower Reaches (Atarjea Weir to river mouth)</li> </ul>	0	9,187	10,960	16,360	27,957	43,263
Sub-Total	_0	23,227	28,267	37,314	56,383	74,636
2. Confluence to Matucana	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	_0	23,227	28.267	37,314	56,383	74,636

## 7. EVALUATION AND SELECTION OF STRUCTURAL PLAN

## 7.1 Economic Evaluation

#### 7.1.1 General

As one of indicators for selecting the structural plan the economic evaluation is made for all the alternative plans, assessing the project cost, the benefit (the damage reduction) to be obtained by the provision of countermeasure, and the economic internal rate of return (EIRR).

This section summarizes the results of the economic analysis and evaluation. All the details are presented in Appendix XI, Supporting Report III.

## 7.1.2 Project Cost

The project investment cost is estimated in terms of the economic cost for the economic evaluation.

Table XI-7-1 presents the project with work quantities investment cost estimated at June 1987 price level for the alternative plans in the respective river reaches.

The project investment cost for all combinations of the above alternative plans, for which the comparative study is made, can be summarized as follows:

# Project Investment Cost of Alternative Combinations for Comparative Study

Unit: 103 US\$

River	Alternative plans in	A	lternati	ve cases	of combi	nation f	or compa	rative :	study
reaches	reaches respective reaches	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1. Main Stream:									
- Upper reaches	A-1 A-2	13,643	13,643	13, 643	13,643	16,920	16,920	16, 920	16, 920
- Middle reaches	B-1 B-2	12,547	12,547	46,572	46,572	12,547	12,547	46, 572	46,572
<ul><li>Lower reaches</li></ul>	C-1 C-2	17,166	24,369	17,166	24, 369	17,166	17,166 24,369	:	24, 369
2.Lower Reaches of Jicamarca River:		599	599	599	599	599	599	599	599
Total		43,955	51,158	77,980	85, 183	47,232	54,435	81,257	88, 460

The project cost includes the operation and maintenance cost (O & M cost) in addition to the above investment cost. The annual O & M cost is assumed to be 0.5% of the investment cost for the main works, referring to the O & M cost experienced in other similar projects.

#### 7.1.3 Project Benefit

The project benefit is defined as the damage reduction by the provision of countermeasure, i.e. the damage without project less the damage with project.

The damage without project (the damage under the present condition) is worked out based on the hydraulic analysis which simulates the inundation for various probable flood magnitudes under the present river condition. Table XI-7-2 calculates the damage without project in terms of the annual average damage. Table XI-7-3 calculates the damage with project in terms of the annual average damage. Table XI-7-4 shows the damage at lower reaches of Qda. Jicamarca in case of without and with project condition.

The estimate of the damage with project is made with the following considerations: that is, the structural measure is planned to withstand the 100-year probable flood magnitude, and therefore, no damage is caused by the flood less than the 100-year probable flood. On the other hand, the flood larger than the 100-year probable flood will overtop the dike, wholly

washing away the dike. The inundation in this case will be similar to the state without project.

The damages without and with project, and the project benefit worked out by the damage without project less the damage with project are summarized below:

Unit: 10<sup>3</sup> US\$

#### Flood Inundation Damage

River Reaches	Without Project	With Project	Project Benefit
1. Main Stream :			
- Upper Reaches - Middle Reaches - Lower Reaches Sub-total	3,980 1,953 4,480 10,413	187 113 420 720	3,793 1,840 4,060 9,693
2. Lower Reaches of Jicamarca River :			
Sub-total	713	73	640
Total	11,126	793	10,333

#### 7.1.4 Economic Analysis

The economic internal rate of return (EIRR) is assessed for all the combinations of alternative plans in the respective river reaches in Tables XI-7-5 to XI-7-12.

The analysis is made on the following assumptions:

- The construction work will take seven (7) years in total.
- The construction work will be implemented from the downstream reaches to upstream reaches.
- The investment cost in each year will accrue at the middle of the year.
- The annual O & M cost and benefit will partially accrue at the completion of construction work in each of upper, middle and lower reaches, and reach the full amount at the eighth year.
- The economic life is assumed at 50 years in accordance with the usual practice.
- No replacement cost will be required during the above economic life of 50 years.

The result of the economic analysis is summarized below:

	lternative Case f Combination	EIRR (%)
(1)	(A-1, B-1, C-1)	16.6
(2)	(A-1, B-1, C-2)	15.9
(3)	(A-1, B-2, C-1)	10.5
(4)	(A-1, B-2, C-2)	9.5
(5)	(A-2, B-1, C-1)	15.9
(6)	(A-2, B-1, C-2)	13.8
(7)	(A-2, B-2, C-1)	10.1
(8)	(A-2, B-2, C-2)	9.2

#### 7.2 Technical and Social Evaluations

#### 7.2.1 Alternative Plans for Upper Reaches of Main Stream

Two alternative cases of plans, i.e. CASE (A-1) and (A-2), are selected as conceivable for the upper reaches of main stream as mentioned in Section 5.2.1.

The two alternative cases are repeated below:

CASE (A-1): The case that the river channel is planned in accordance with the existing river width as much as possible, protecting with the parapet wall or dike.

CASE (A-2): The case that the river channel has a reasonable width and alignment for the design flood.

The evaluation on the above two alternative cases is made from the technical and social aspects as follows;

#### (1) CASE (A-1)

In CASE (A-1), assuming the river width of 20 m and river bed slope of 1/50, the river flow depth and flow velocity are estimated to reach approximately 5.0 m and 7.0 m/s respectively when the design flood of 660 m<sup>3</sup>/s will occur.

Even if the surrounding areas are protected with the parapet wall or dike, a large area to be situated lower than the flood water level of river will be exposed to a high danger, since a small defect in the parapet wall or dike will immediately result in a serious damage. Further, the protection will be vulnerable to the damage due to the erosion and impulse by such a violent flow, especially at the bends which are inevitable at many points in CASE (A-1).

The necessary maintenance works are considered to increase much more since the protection will be subject to such violent flows as mentioned. It is also considered that CASE (A-1) is not favourable from the aspect of the implementation of work as compared with CASE (A-2) because CASE (A-1) makes the mechanized construction work difficult.

From the social aspect, CASE (A-1) will be more favourable in view of its less social constraint although the removal of residential houses and facilities is unavoidable to some extent along the protection to be newly constructed.

#### (2) CASE (A-2)

In CASE (A-2), the river channel will be widened to a reasonable river width of 40 m to 50 m. Besides that, the river alignment will be made smooth. With this river width, the river flow depth and flow velocity will be reduced down to about 2.5 m and 5.0 m/s respectively for the design flood of 660 m $^3$ /s, remarkably increasing the safety compared with CASE (A-1).

For reference, a standard in relation to the river width is shown below:

#### Standard River Width

Standard Width (m)
40 to 60
69 to 80
90 to 120
160 to 220
350 to 450

## Standard River Flow Velocity

Riverbed Slope	Velocity (m/s)
Gentle Riverbed Slope	2.0 to 3.0
Steep Riverbed Slope	3.0 to 5.0

The above standard is established to secure the necessary safety against the flood. As compared with the above standard, it can be said that the river width of 40 to 50 m proposed in CASE (A-2) is still insufficient slightly. However, in view that the standard is narrowly met and that the social constraint should be limited to the smallest, the width of 40 to 50 m is considered acceptable. In addition to the increase of durability of protection, the maintenance work will also be much reduced, compared with CASE (A-1).

A problem in CASE (A-2) is the increase of the necessary removal of houses and facilities as well as the social constraint. However, the solution of the problem is considered possible with a satisfactory education on the disaster and arrangements such as the preparation of alternative places for removal.

In view that the safety should primarily be taken into consideration in the disaster prevention plan, CASE (A-2) is much more desirable and recommendable.

#### 7.2.2 Alternative Plans for Middle Reaches of Main Stream

Two alternative cases of plans selected as conceivable in the middle reaches of main stream, i.e. (CASE (B-1) and (B-2) as mentioned in Section 5.2.1, are repeated below:

CASE (B-1): The case that the present river width is secured in the portion where the river is largely widened.

CASE (B-2): The case that the river is provided with a smooth channel without such a wide portion.

The evaluation from the technical and social aspects is made on the above two alternative plans as follows:

#### (1) CASE (B-1)

It is considered that the wide portion in the middle reaches has a high retarding effect on the floods of the Rimac river, effectively reducing the flood peak discharge in the downstream reaches.

According to a preliminary examination on the retarding effect, the design flood peak of 660 m<sup>3</sup>/s at Chosica is reduced to 540 m<sup>3</sup>/s. This retarding effect remarkably increases the safety in the downstream reaches where the capital city is extensively developed. Besides that, the wide portion is also considered to efficiently prevent the various sizes of sands and gravels or boulders from flowing down to the downstream reaches, avoiding various troubles in the downstream reaches as well as increasing the safety If such a natural condition as mentioned is artificially changed drastically, it appears that various unexpected adverse effects may occur in the downstream reaches, threatening to the flood inundation disaster. Therefore, in view that the safety should not be reduced, it is technically much better to keep the wide portion unchanged.

on the other hand, the river channel is not fixed in the wide portion, disturbing a smooth river flow. The disturbed river flow attacks the river banks, frequently destroying the banks. The problem, however, is possible to be solved at a relatively less expense by providing a satisfactory protection with the revetment and groyne, etc. The continuous maintenance work such as the removal of deposits after floods, will be inevitable to maintain a smooth river flow in the reaches.

The social constraint in implementing the plan will be very small since the necessary removal of residential houses or facilities will be little in the middle reaches.

#### (2) CASE (B-2)

CASE (B-2) involves some technical uncertainties; that is, the case will artificially change the existing natural condition to a considerable extent, and therefore, it is worried that the various adverse effects as mentioned in (1) above will unexpectedly arise in the downstream reaches. It seems that it is technically desirable to avoid the plan involving such uncertainties.

## 7.2.3 Alternative Plans for Lower Reaches of Main Stream

Two alternative plans are considered for the countermeasure of the narrow gorge at 9 to 10.5 km from the river mouth. Those are;

CASE (C-1): The case that the narrow gorge is widened along the present river course,

CASE (C-2): The case that a short-cut is provided in the portion of sharp bend.

The evaluation on the two alternative plans from the technical and social aspects is made as follows:

It is needless to say that CASE (C-2) will technically be more desirable since the case will more favourable improve the various inconveniences and danger originating from the forced and strange river course.

However, the area is so crowded with the residential houses. If such a drastic improvement as mentioned in CASE (C-2) is executed, the necessary removal of residential houses will result in a huge increase as well as cause much more severe social constraint.

On the other hand, such a severe social constraint as CASE (C-2) can considerably be mitigated in CASE (C-1), making the implementation of plan easier.

Although the extent of improvement to be made in CASE (C-1) may not be so perfect as CASE (C-2), the problem may mostly be solved since the river flow will favourably become calm with the river channel to be widened.

As such, the technical and social evaluation on the two alternative plans comes to a conclusion that the merit of CASE (C-2) is not so large to such an extent that CASE (C-2) be executed at a sacrifice of so large increase of social constraint.

## 7.3 Selection of Structural Plan for Group (A)

As discussed the result of evaluation from the technical and social aspects recommends the plans of (A-2) for the upper reaches, (B-1) for the middle reaches and (C-1) for the lower reaches respectively.

On the other hand, a high economic indicator with the second best EIRR is shown in the combination of (A-2, B-1, C-1), although the highest EIRR is indicated in the combination of (A-1, B-1, C-1) as seen in section 5.3.1(4).

Thus, the comprehensive evaluation from the technical, economical and social aspects reveals that the structural plan with the combination of (A-2, B-1, C-1) should be selected: that is, the structural measure for preventing the flood inundation disaster in the main stream should be planned with the following policies:

- (1) The river channel in the upper reaches of main stream will be widened to a reasonable width of 40 to 50 m.
- (2) The middle reaches of main stream will be planned, keeping the present wide river width in principle.
- (3) The narrow gorge in the lower reaches of main stream will be widened along the present river course.

The proposed river improvement of the above combination is shown in Fig. XI-7-1.

#### Upper Reaches:

A flood inundation due to the narrow river channel is recorded near the town of Chosica in February 1983. The power intake of ELECTROLIMA and surrounding residential houses were inundated by the overtopping of flood water estimated at 200 to 250 m³/sec. The proposed structural plan will duly widen the river channel and lower the flood water level, exterminating such inundations in the area.

#### Middle Reaches:

Campoy-Huachipa area has frequently been inundated due to the defects of levee, insufficient revetment in the levee or structure with insufficient flow capacity. The proposed structural plan duly involves the repair of defects in the levee, reinforcement of levee with revetment and improvement of the structure, satisfactorily preventing such disasters in the past as mentioned.

#### Lower Reaches:

A serious inundation in Callao area including the important military facilities is recorded in February 1984 due to the shortage of flow capacity in the downstream reaches of the narrow gorge, which is mainly caused by the remarkable deposit of sediments.

The proposed structural plan involves the riverbed excavation in the downstream reaches for increasing the flow capacity, and the widening of the narrow gorge which will favorably reduce the production of sediments, preventing the recurrence of such a serious inundation in the area as mentioned.

## 8. STRUCTURAL PLAN AGAINST INUNDATION DISASTER FOR GROUP (B)

#### 8.1 General

The Rimac river reaches between the confluence with the Santa Eulalia river and Matucana is classified as Group (B), for which the examination on a special alternative plan is not made in the following view:

The reaches between Matucana and the confluence have some protective properties and experiences of flood inundation. However, the flood inundation in the area is not an usual type of flood inundation, but results mainly from damming up the river flow due to the debris flow from a quebrada, which will be solved by the countermeasures for the debris flow in the quebrada. In addition to less danger for the usual type of flood inundation, the river in the reaches does not have unreasonably less width such as the portions artificially narrowed in the main stream. The river also does not have the extremely wide portion like the main stream. Thus, the river does not require the examination on rearrangement of the present river channel. As such, the conceivable countermeasure in the reaches will be only to provide the means such as the heightening of dike or parapet wall, revetment and groyne, etc. where necessary along the present river bank based on the hydraulic analysis result; that is, there are no other comparable alternative plans for the comparative study.

Then, this section presents the proposed structural measure for the Rimac river reaches between the confluence and Matucana based on the hydraulic analysis, and the evaluations on it.

#### 8.2 Structural Plan for Group B Area

It is reported that there are some portions where the flood inundation has been experienced. Those areas identified through the review on reports of the disaster and interview survey are shown in Fig. XI-6-3 of Appendix XI, Supporting Report III.

On the other hand, the hydraulic analysis carried out based on the available topographic data shows that the present river between Matucana and the confluence has a flow capacity enough to handle the 100-year flood peak discharge of 310 m³/sec as shown in detail in Appendix XI, Supporting Report I, indicating that the river improvement works have been carried out subsequently.

As far as the hydraulic analysis indicates, no structural plan will be required in the river reaches. However, it is found through the field reconnaissance that there are some areas where the safety should be increased, especially in Corcona and Torna Mesa areas which are particularly vulnerable against floodings. Then, the reinforcement of the protection to increase the safety in proposed as the structural plan for the Group (B) area as follows:

#### (A) Corcona

Corcona is located around 11 km upstream of the confluence with Santa Eulalia river in Chosica. In 1983, this area was inundated due to an overtopping from the left bank. The central highway was also inundated at that time, since the central highway in this area is situated at the nearby same elevation as that of the river bed.

After the inundation, a continuous embankment was urgently constructed to protect Corcona village and the highway. Although the embankment is constructed with about 1 km in length and 4 to 5 m in height, it is still insufficient to protect the area from the rapid torrent due to the steep gradient of the river bed. Since no revetment on the embankment is provided at present, it will be easily eroded, resulting in an inundation such as that once experienced.

Therefore, it is recommended to extend the existing embankment toward up and downstream and to provide the revetment on it. As the right side of the river channel in this area is a skirt of mountain, no protection will be needed. Thus, the structural plan in this area consists of the repair and extension of existing embankment with the revetment work. The area to be provided with the improvement is shown in Fig. XI-8-1.

#### (B) Torna Mesa

This area is located about 1 km upstream from the confluence with Rio Seco on the left side of the stream. At the upstream side of this village where the river width is enlarged considerably, the deposit of gravel transported is remarkable in the river bed. It is considered that the rapid flow separates itself in this area and a part of it attacked the left bank when the water level is high. result, the flow may intrude into the village from the low portion of the left bank and cause an inundation. major assets to be protected against flooding in this area is residential houses (approx. 20 nos.), central highway and railway. Then, the river dredging is required to lower the present river bed. At least, 3 m of depth (from the lowest river bed to the top of bank) is necessary to be secured at the stretch having a width of around 20 m. In addition to the dredging, the revetment work on both banks is needed to protect from erosion. The length of the protective area is about 2.0 km from the upstream end of Torna Mesa village to a little downstream of the confluence with Rio Seco. since it is unavoidable that the debris flow from Rio Seco will flush out into the main stream, a continuous removal of the debris material is also essential to keep the river bed stable. The location of the protective area is shown in Fig. XI-8-1.

The work quantity and construction cost of the necessary structural plan for Group (B) are is summarized as below:

Area	Work Item	Length to be provided	Cost
Corcona	Embankment & revetment work	1,000 m	1,230
Torna Mesa	Dredging & revetment work	2,000 m	850

#### 8.3 Evaluation

The structural plan for Group (B) area is proposed as mentioned above. The necessary cost for the proposed structural plan is estimated at US\$2,000 x  $10^3$ . On the other hand, the benefit to be obtained by the proposed structural plan will be zero because no damage arises under the present condition without the structural plan, resulting in a negative figure of EIRR.

However, the necessary cost for the proposed structural plan in Group (B) is relatively minor, having a little effect on the economic viability of the whole structural plan including Group (A). On the other hand, it is much more desirable to ensure the safety from the technical and social aspects. Thus, it is considered the proposed structural plan is necessary and justifiable from an overall view.

# Tables

Table XI-6-1 WATER LEVEL AT PROBABLE FLOOD DISCHARGE (1/2)

EL.m

	Elevation bankfull		Retu	ırn Per	riod		Elevation of bankfull (m)		Unit Return Period			
Sec.No.	Left	Right	10	50	100	Sec.No.	Left	Right	10	50	100	
1	13.0	13,0	9,9	10.7	11.0	51	154.3	154,3	148.7	149.4	149.6	
2		12.3	12.2	13.0	13.3	. 52	153.3	153.3	150.7	151.3	151.4	
- 3		16.2	13.8	14.4	14.6	53	158.7	158,7	156.6	157.2	157.4	
4		18.7	16,4	16,9	17.1	54	164.8	164.8	158.1	158.8	159.1	
5		19.0	17.8	18.3	18,4	55	162.0	164.6	161.3	162.0	182.3	
6		19.9	20.2	21.2	21.6	56	168.2	168.2	163.1	163.9	164.3	
7		26.2	22.3	23.7	24.2	. 57	165.8	165.7	163.5	164.1	164.3	
		24.2	23.7	24.6	24.9	58	168.3	173.8	166.2	166.4	166.5	
9		25.8	26.1	27.1	27.5	59	172.4		170.4	170.9	171.1	
10		27.0	26.8	27.5	27.8	60	179.0	179.0	174.8	175.4	175.6	
11		30.2	28.3	28.4	28.5	61	184.1	189.2	182.1	182.6	182.7	
. 12		33.0	31.8	32.3	32.4	62	188.8	188.3	185.8	186.2	186.3	
13		36.3	35.3	35.7		63	194.1	196.7	192.3	192.7	192.9	
14	40.1	41.2	37.7	38.2	38.4	64	198.5	200.2	196.4	196.9	197.1	
15		42.0	39.5	39.9	40.1	65	202.9	202.9	199.6	200.1	200.3	
16	:	43.1	42.3	43.0	43.3	66	210.5	208.6	203.6	204.1	204.2	
17	44.7	44.7	45.2	45.6	45.8	67	213.6	214.2	208.9	209.5	209.7	
18	47.4	47.4	45.0	45.4	45.5	68	212.7	215.0	210.7	211.2	211.3	
19		45.8	47.0	47.6	47.9	69	220.0	225.0	214.8	215.5	215.7	
20	51.3	52.4	49,1	49.9	50.2	70	225.4	226.7	219.5	220.1	220.3	
21	56.0	53.1				70	230.0	231.4	224.1	224.6	224.7	
22			50.8	51.5	*			236.0	229.4	229.9	230 1	
		55.7	53.8	54.4	54.6	72	237.0		234.9	235.5		
23	61.3	59.0	57.3	58.3	58.5	73	240.0	238.8			235.6	
24	64.0	62.0	59,2	59,9	60.1	74	242.0	244.5	238.3	238.8	238.9	
25	100	69.7	63.1	64,1	64.5 ce e	75 26	249.0	249.0	244.2	244.5	244.6	
26	67.0	67.8	65.2	66.2	66.5	76	248.4	249.0	245.3	245.6	245.7	
27	70,6	70.6	66.8	67.7	68.0	77	249.4	249.1	245.9	246.4	246.6	
28	71,7	71.1	69.0	69.8	70.1	78	260.7	252.4	247.8	248.2	248.3	
29	77.2	75.5	71.3	72.3		79	252.0	252.2	248.7	249.0	249.1	
30		80.7	73.8	74.6	74.9	80	257.2	254.8		249.4	249.6	
31	83.6	84.0	78.0	. 78.5	78,6	, 81	258.0	254.8	250.6	251.0	251.2	
32	87.9	88.7	80.9	81.8	83.2	82	261.0	258.0		253.2	253.3	
33.		90.7	86.0	87.3	88.1	83	264.6	264.6	259.7	260.3	260.5	
34	98.1	94.1	88.4	89.0	89.2	84	265.0	265.4	260.6	261.3	261.5	
35	99.5	100.2	96.0	98.6	99.6	85	265.8		262.4	262.7	262.8	
36	108.0	103.2			104.2	86	275.1		269.3	269.5	269.6	
37	105.7	107.4		103.6	104.2	87	283.6		276.0	276.2	278.2	
38	108.5		101.2	103.6	104.2	88	285.0	285.2	284.1	284.4	284.6	
39	114.8	114.8			106.8	89	291.2		290.2	290.4	290,5	
40	115.3	115.3		106.8		90	302.0		299,9	300.1	300,1	
41	126.8		109.9		113.3	91	312.0	308.4	306.6	306.8	306.9	
42	124 4	124.4	109.7	112.4	113.3	92	323.1	318.2	315.9	316.0	316.1	
43	127.8	127.8	124.9	125.5	125.7	93	330.1	326.1	323.9	324.1	324.1	
44	131.6	127.6	128.8	129.9	130.2	94	338.9	331.7	331,5	331.7	331.8	
45	131.4	131.0	131.3	132.0	132.2	95	341.0	340.2	340.0	340.2	340.3	
46	135,7	133.6	134.5	135.6	135.9	96	350,0	348.8	349.4	349.5	349.6	
47	143.3	143.8	138,2	139.3	139.5	97	361.0	360.0	360.4	360.6	360.7	
48	140.8	144.4	140.1	141.0	141.3	98	368.5	368.5	368.2		368.7	
49	141.4	147.2	142.2	143.4	143.9	99	379.0	375.0	274.6	374.9	375.1	
					2.0	100	384.0	383.8	381.9	382.4	383.0	

Note: Sec. No. 50 is not available.

Table XI-6-1 WATER LEVEL AT PROBABLE FLOOD DISCHARGE (2/2)

	Elevation of bankfull (m)		Ret	ourn Pe	riod		Elevatio bankfull		Re	turn	Unit : Period	EL.
Sec, No	. Left	Right	10	50	100	Sec.No	. Left	Right	10	50	100	
101	393.0	392.4	390,4	390.9	391.1	151	731.0	731.3	734.2	735,0	735.4	
102	404.5	399.5	399.3	399.5	399.6	152	734.8	734.9	736.6	738,0	738.5	
103	410.5	407,3	408.5	408.7	408.7	153	737.2	737.2	736.5	737.3	737.6	
104	419.7	418,2	417,0	417.3	417.4	154	738.3	739.1	742.0	743.6	744.1	
105	426.5	426.0	424.6	424.8	424.9	155	745.4	746.0	744.0	744.4	744.5	
106	439.0		433,5	433.7	433,7	156	754.3	752.5	754.2	755.5	756.1	
107	447.8		442.7	442,9	443.0	157	756.6	756.6	757.6	758.3	758.5	
108	451,8		452.7	453.0	453.2	158	760.8	760.0	761.5	761.8	762,0	
109	463.1		459.3	459.7	459.8	159	762.5	762.8	762.5	763.0	763.2	
110	470.0		467.1	476.3	467.4	160	763.8	785.5	763.8	764.1	764.2	
111	480,0		476.7	476.9	477.0	161	765,8	766.0	765.6	766.1	766.3	
112	489.9		486.6	486.7	486,8	162	766.2	767,6	767.5	768.1	768,2	
113	495.9		495.9	496.1	496.2	163	767.0	767,6	768.2	758.7	768.9	
114	505.0		504.2	504.6	504.8	164	770.5	768.2	768.4	768.8	769,0	
115	510.0		509.9	510,2	510.3	165	769.1		768.6	769.1	769.3	
116	518.1		518.3	518.7	518.8	166	770.6		769.7	770.0	770.1	
117	525.0		525,6	525.9	526.0	167	772.0		771.0	771.4	771.5	
118	535.0		534.8	535.0	535.1	168	772.8		772.4	772.8	772,9	
119	544.7		544.3	544.6	544.7	169	772,8		773.0	773.3	773.4	
120	553.2		551.2	551.6	551.7	170	775.3		774.7	775.0	775.0	
121	561.1		560.4	560.6	560.7	171	776.7		775.8	776.1	776,2	
122	572.5		570.0	571.0	571.2	172			777.5	777.7		
123	584.4	587.6	583.5	583.9	584.0	173	780.4		779.0	779.3		
124	589.5		588.1	588.4	588.5	174	779,5		780.0	780.4	780.5	
125	590.7	595.7	591.2	591.5	591.7	175	781.8		780.8	781.2		
126	594.4	599.0	593.6		594.0	176	784.3		780.8	780,9		
127	597.2		596.8	597.1	597.2	177	785.8		782.1	782,4	782.5	
128	602.7		602.8	603.1	603.2	178	784.4		783.9	784.4	784.5	
129	605.5		605.4	505.8	605.9	179	789.5		785.8	786.3		
130	609.1	612.6	607.9	608.2	608.3	180				787.8		
131	614.5	615.2	613.7	614.0	614.1	181	788.4		787.5	788.0		
132	619,3	519.0	619.0	619.2		182	789.3		789.2	789,7		
133	623.7	622.8	623.6	623.9	524.1	183	789.4		789.6	790.4	790.6	
134	626.5	625.1	625.9	626.2	626.3	184	789.4		790.5	791.3		
135	629.9	628.2	629,1		629.3	185			790.2	790.7	1.4	
136	635,1	635.4	634.9		635.4	186	808.2		808.6			
137	642.5	642.5	643.7	644.0		187	816.6		815.4			
138	662.6	665.5	663.5			188	818.3					
139	666.3	663.1	664.4	664.8		189	822.1		822.4			
140	666.5	668.7	667.1	667.4		190	825.5		825.6			
141	667.2	668.0	668.8	669.3	669.5	191			830.1			
				680.8	680.9	192	838.3		839.8			-
142	681.1	680.2	680.6			193						
143	690.0	690.1	690.8	691.1		193	849.5		852.3	853.7		
144	695,1	695.0	695.7	696.0 699.3	696.1	194			855.7	857.2		
145	699.5	698.0	699.0		699.4	195	859.6		861.5			
146	703.4	706.0		705.1		197				4.00	870.5	
147	710.5	710,4		710.7	Annual Control	197			876.6			
148	717.4		719.2	720.8		199	887.6		886.1			
149	722.4	722.4	723.8	724.2		188	007.0	U0U,4	000,I	0.0.0	000.7	
150	723.8	724.5	725,3	725.8	123,8							

## Table XI-6-2 FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 10-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD (1/6)

Alternative case : W/O PLAN
Return period of flood : 10 -Year

Unit : 10^6 Intis

Nesh No.				Rank of		Dama	·	Damaqe		
1 - 2		Mesh	No.	Inundation depth	Building	House	Property	Agro.	_	
- 2	-	i			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1 - 3		· -			0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0		
1		-				0.0	0.0			
1 - 5						0.0	0.0		· ·	
1 - 7				· 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	
2 - 1		1	6	O.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
2 - 2		1	7 .	0	0.0	$\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}\mathbf{O}_{-}$	0.0			
2 - 3		2 -	1	O	0.0	0.0	0.0			
2 - 4 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			2	O	0.0	0.0				
2 - 5 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		2 -	3	Q.	0.0					
2 - 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2 -	4	Ŏ.	$O_*O$					
2 - 7			5	.Q					and the second s	
2 - 8		2	6	Ó	0.0					
2 - 9		2 -	7	Q -	. 0.0					
3			8	O	0.0					
3 - 2		2 -	9	. 0	0.0	_				
3 - 3			1	O.	0.0	0.0				
3 - 4         0         0.0 <td></td> <td>3 -</td> <td>2</td> <td>Q</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		3 -	2	Q	0.0					
3 - 5         0         0.0 <td></td> <td>3 -</td> <td>3.</td> <td>Q.</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		3 -	3.	Q.	0.0					
3 - 6         0         0.0 <td></td> <td>3 ~</td> <td>4</td> <td>O.</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		3 ~	4	O.	0.0					
3 - 7         0         0.0 <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>5</td> <td>·O</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		3	5	·O	0.0	0.0				
3 - 8         0         0.0 <td></td> <td>3 -</td> <td>6</td> <td>• 🔾</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td><math>Q \bullet Q</math></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		3 -	6	• 🔾	0.0	0.0	$Q \bullet Q$			
3 - 8         0         0.0 <td></td> <td>3 -</td> <td>7</td> <td><b>O</b> 5</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		3 -	7	<b>O</b> 5	0.0	0.0				
3 - 9         0         0.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>. <b>O</b></td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td><math>O_*O</math></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				. <b>O</b>	0.0	0.0	$O_*O$			
4 - 1       0       0.0       0			9 -	O	0.0	0.0				
4 - 2         0         0.0 <td></td> <td>3 :</td> <td>l O</td> <td>O</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		3 :	l O	O	0.0	0.0	0.0			
4 - 3         0         0.0 <td></td> <td>4 -</td> <td>1</td> <td>0 -</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0,0</td> <td></td> <td></td>		4 -	1	0 -	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0		
4 - 3       0       0.0       0		4	2	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
4 - 5         0         0.0 <td></td> <td>4 -</td> <td>. <b>3</b></td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		4 -	. <b>3</b>	0	0.0	0.0				
4 - 6       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         4 - 7       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         4 - 8       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         4 - 9       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 1       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 2       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 3       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 4       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 5       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 6       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 7       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 9       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0		4 -	4	0	0.0	0.0				
4 - 7       0       0.0       0		4 -	5	0	0.0	$O_{\bullet}O$				
4 - 8       0       0.0       0		4 -	6	$\mathbf{Q}$ :	0.0	$O_*O$				
4 - 9       0       0.0       0			7	. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
4 - 10       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 1       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 2       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 3       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 4       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 5       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 6       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 7       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 9       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0		4	8 .	O	0.0	0.0	$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{O}$			
5-1         0         0.0		4	9 .	0	0.0	0.0				
5 - 2       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 3       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 4       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 5       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 6       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 7       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 8       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 9       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0		4 - :	10	O	0.0	0.0	'			
5 - 3       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 4       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 5       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 6       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 7       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 8       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0         5 - 9       0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0       0.0		5 -:	<u>i</u> .	v Q	0.0					
5 - 4     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 5     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 6     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 7     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 8     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 9     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0		5 -	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
5 - 4     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 5     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 6     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 7     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 8     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 9     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0				Q	0.0	0.0	and the second s			
5 - 6     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 7     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 8     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 9     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0				<b>Q</b> .	$\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}$	.0.0				
5 - 6     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 7     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 8     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       5 - 9     0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0		5 -	5	O	0.0	Q = Q				
5 - 7 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5 - 8 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5 - 9 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		5		0	.0.0	0.0				
5 - 8 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5 - 9 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0				10	0.0					
5 9 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		5 -		. 0	0.0					
		5 -	9	Q	0.0		· ·			
				. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
						•				
						:	•			
								•	٠	

		_				0.0	0.0
5 - 1		0 0.			0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 - 0.0
6 - 2 6 - 3		o. o o.			0.0	0.0	0.0
6 - 4		o o.			0.0	0.0	0.0
6-5		0.			0.0	0.0	0.0
6 - 6		0.				0.0	0.0
5 - 7		0 0.			0.0	0.0	0.0
4 ~ 8		0.		. O	0.0	O., O	0.0
6 - 19		0.	0 0	.0		0.0	0.0
6 - 10		) <u>(</u> .				0.0	0.0
7 - 1		) <u>(</u> ,					0.0
7 - 2						0.0	0.0
7 - 3 7 - 4						0.0 0.0	5.6 5.6
7 - 15		1 4.	7 0			0.0	5.6
7 - 6	j					0.1	0.1
7 - 7		0.				0.0	0.0
7 - 8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 - 9	•					0.0	0.0
8 - 1	1					0.0	5.6
8 - 2		4.				0.0	5.6
8 - 3	:1					0.0	5.6
8 - 4	1		and the second s			0.0 0.0	0.0 8.6
8 - 5	. 1 C				i contract of the contract of	0.0 0.0	0.0
8 6 8 7	Č					0.0	$\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}$
9-1				a contract of the contract of		0.0	0.0
9 - 2	Č					0.0	0.0
9 - 3	1					0.0	4.3
9 4	1						36.3
9 - 5	. 0			0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 - 5	C					0.0	0.0
$i \circ - 1$	1					0.0	5.6
10 - 2	. 1	i i	· .			0.0	5.5
10 - 3	1						35.9
10 - 4	1						41.4 36.3
10 - 5 $11 - 1$	1					0.0	0.0
11 - 2	Q				The second secon	0.0	0.0
11 - 3	Ö					0.0	0.0
11 - 4	Q						0.0
11 = 5	0	o∵ o⊈	o o.	, Q	0.0	0.0	0.0
12 - 1	1.0					0.0	0,0
12 - 2	0					0.0	0.0
12 - 3	0					Q10 0.0	0.0
12 - 4	0					0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
12 - 5 $13 - 1$		, 0, 0.				0.0	0.0
13 - 2	. 9					0.0	0.0
13 - 3		ŏ.		· ·	and the second s	0.0	0.0
13 - 4	o o			•		0.0	0.0
3 - 5	0					0.0	00
14 - 1	O		0 0.	Q se	0.0	0,2,0	0.0
14 - 2	Q	Q.	0.			0.0	0.0
14 - 3	O	and the second s			4.4.4.5	0.0	0.0
14 - 4	0				5 4 4 A	0.0	0.0
14 - 5	0				the second secon	0.0	0.0
15 - 1	0				The state of the s	0.0	0.0
15 - 2	0					0.0 0.0	0.0
15 - 3 15 - 4	- O					0.0	0.0
15 - 4	0					0.0	0.0
A 1-2 h-		~ ·			<del>-</del>		·

16 ~		Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	1						
16 -	2	Q	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	3.	100	0.0	0.0	$O_{\bullet}O$	0.0	0.0
16 -		· O				and the second s	
16	- 4	, Q	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			the state of the s		0.0		
16 -	5	O	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
17 -	1.	Q	0.0	:0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	2	, o	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0
17 -	3	O.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
						· ·	
17	4	Q	0.0	$\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}$	0.0	0.0	0.0
17 -	5	0	0.0	0.0	$O_{\bullet}O$	0.0	0.0
18 -	1	· Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}$
		. 0	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18 -	2		and the second s	· ·			
18 -	3	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18 -	4	- O		the state of the s			
18 -	5	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 .				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	1	Ō	0.0				
19 -	2	. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	3	0	0.0	• O • O	0.0	0.0	$Q_{\star}Q$
19	4	. 0	0.0	$\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{O}$	0.0	0.0	0.0
19 -	- 5	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20 -	. 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$(Q_*Q)$	0.0
20 -	2	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	$Q \cdot Q$	010
20 -	- 3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$O \cup O$	0.0
20 ~	- 4	Q	Q.Q	0.0	$O \neq O$	0.0	0,0
20	-5	Ò	,O.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	1						
21 -	1	O.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 -							
21 ~	3	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 -	4	O	0.0				
21 -	- 5	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 -	4	Ō	0.0			· ·	
22 ÷	1	- 1	1.6	0.1	0.2	0.0	1,9
					1.6	0.0	14.8
22 -	. 2	1	12.4	Q. B			
22 -	. 3	. 1	4.8	0.5	0.4	0.0	5.7
			7.1	0.5	1.0	$Q \cdot Q$	8.6
22 -	4	1					
22 -	5	1	10.0	0.6	1.5	0.0	12.2
				and the second s	0.0	0.0	0.0
22 -	6	Ö	· O . O	0.0			
23	1	O.	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					1.5	. 0.0	13.4
23	2	1	11.2	ം. ഒ			
23 -	-3	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
23 -	4	O	0.0				
23 -	5	· O	0.0	0.0	$O_*O$	0.0	0.0
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0
23 -	6	=					
24 -	1	1	10.7	0.8	2.3	0.0	13.8
24 -	2	1	33.0	0.8	2.7	0.0	35.5
£4	2	Ţ		4.7			
24	- 3	1	11.9	0.8	1.6	0.0	14.3
			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24 -	4	O .	the state of the s	the state of the s			
24 -	5	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Q	0.0	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0
24 -	- 6						
25 -	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	040
25 -	2	0					
25 -	1	O.	0.0	0.0	0.0.	0.0	0.0
			and the second s		0.0	0.0	0.0
27 -	1	· · O	0.0	0.0	The state of the s		
29 -	1	. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.0	0.0	0.0
29 -	į	O	0.0	0.0	the state of the s		
29 -	2	0 .	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			the state of the s		· ·		and the second s
30 -	1	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
30	2	0	0.0	0.0	$\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}\mathbf{O}$	0.0	0.0
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and the second s		0.0
31 -	1	0	Q.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	the state of the s
31 -	2	O	0.0	· (0.0	0.0	:O.O	0.0
31 -	3	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
32 -	1	0	0.0	0.0	$\mathbf{O}$ , $\mathbf{O}$	0.0	0.0
							0.0
32 -	2	$\mathbf{Q}_{i}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	/ Q # Q	9.9

serve : 4		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
33 - 1 34 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	=			0.0	0.0	0.0
35 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
35 - 2	0	0.0	0.0		and the second s	
36 - 1	Ó	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 0.0
36 - 2	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
36 - 3	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
37 - 1	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
37 - 2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
37 3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
38 - i	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
38 - 2	Ö	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
39 - 3	,Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
39 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
39 - 2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$Q \cdot Q$	0.0
39 - 3	1 Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
40 - 1	· Ø	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
40 - 2	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
40 - 3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Ø.O
40 - 4	ò	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
41 - 1	Q ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\frac{71}{41} - 2$	Ö	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
41 - 3	ŏ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
41 - 4	Ó	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
42 - 1	- 2	21.7	2.0	3.4	0.0	27.0
i i		17.0	1.4	2.8	0.0	21.2
42 - 2	2		•	0.0	0.0	0.0
42 - 3	. 0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
42 - 4 43 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.0.0
		0.0				
	1	6.5	0.4	1.0	0.0	.7.8
43 - 3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
44 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
44 - 2	Ö.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
45 - 1	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
45 - 2	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
46 - 1	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
46 - 2	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
47 - i	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
47 - 2	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
47 - 3	- 2	11.0	0.9.	1.9	0.0	13.7
48 - 1	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
48 - 2	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
48 - 3	2	17.0	1.4	2.8	0.O	21.2
49 - 1	· O	0.0	$\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{O}$	0.0	0.0	0.0
49 - 12	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Q = Q
49 - 3	· 1	0.0	0.0	0, 0	0.1	0.1
49 - 4	Ō	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0
50 - 1	, 1 <b>Q</b>	0.0	$Q \cdot Q$	Q,Q	0.0	0.0
50 - 2	O	0.0	0.0	$O_{\bullet}O$	0.0	0.0
50 - 3	o	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	$O_{s,O}$
51 - 1	. • •	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31 - 2	ò	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
51 - 3	Ō	0.0	010	0.0	0.0	0.0
5i - 4	. Õ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
52 - i	0 -	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
52 - 2	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
52 - 3	2		and the second s	and the second s		0.1
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
53 - 1 53 - 3	. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
.53 - 2	Ö	0.0	0.0	··. 0.0	0.0	0.0
53 - 3	··· Ö	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
54 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
54 2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
54 - 3	O	0.0	0.0	O.O	0.0	0.0
	*				*	

· ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
55 - 1 O					
55 - 2 O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
55 - 3 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
56 - 1 O	0.0	$Q_*Q$	0.0	.0.0	0.0
56 - 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		0.0	o o	0.0	0.0
56 - 3 O	0.0				
57 - 1 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
j7 2 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	O
57 - 3 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
57 - 4 0					
57 - 5 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
57 - 6 O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
58 - i O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
59 - 1 O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<del></del>		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
:59 - 2 O	0.0				
60 1 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
60 - 2 2	9.1	0.2	2.2	0.4	11.9
60 - 3 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.i
· ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	O. i	0.1
		ŏ.ŏ	0.0	0.0	0.0
61 - 2 0	0.0				•
61 - 3 0	$\mathbf{o}_{\bullet}\mathbf{o}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
61 - 4 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	· Q.O
62 - 1 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		0.9	1.8	0.0	15.5
62 - 2 1	12.8			0.0	0.0
63 - 1 O	0.0	0.0	0.0		
63 - 2 2	17.0	1.4	2.8	0.0	21.2
64 - 1 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			0.0	0.1	0.1
45 - 1 1	0.0	0.0			
65 - 2° 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
55 - 1 i	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<del>-</del>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	the state of the s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
66 - 3 1	0.0			0.0	7.8
66 - 4 1	6.5	0.4	1.0		
67 - 1 0	$Q \bullet Q$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
67 - 2 1	1.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	1.7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
68 - 2 O	0.0				0.0
69 - 1 O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
69 - 2 O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0.	0.0
70 1 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	7.3	0.4	1.1	0.0	8.7
		0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4
71 - 1 2	0.3				7.6
71 - 2 2	6.0	0.5	0.9	0.0	
$\frac{1}{2}72 - \frac{1}{2}$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
72 - 2 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\frac{72}{72} - 3$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			1.9	0.0	13.7
73 - 1 2	11.0	0.7			
73 - 1 2 73 - 2 2	22.3	2.0	<b>3.</b> 5	0.0	27.8
73 - 3 2 74 - 1 0	6.0:	0.6	0.9	0.0	7.6
74 - 1 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	$\tilde{0}, \tilde{0}$	0.0	0.0	0.0
74 2 0				0.0	14.8
75 - 1 2	11.7	0.6	2.5		
75 - 2 0	0.0	0.0	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{O}$	0.0	0.0
76 - 1 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			2.0	0.0	17.3
77 - 1 3	13.9	1.5			0.0
77 ~ 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
79 - 1 3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
$\frac{78}{78} - \frac{2}{2}$	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1
78 - 2 3 78 - 3 3	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1
78 - 3 3	0.7				

Table XI-6-2 FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 10-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD (6/6)

					article of	•
79 - 1	3	16.9	i.8	2.5	0.0	21.2
30. <b>–</b> 1	. 2	9.2	Ŏ. 4	1.8	0.0	11.4
80 2	2	5.5	0.4	1.8	0.0	7.7
80 - 3	2	6.0	0.6	0.9	0.0	
81 - i	2	6.2	0.4	1.1	0.0	7.6
8i - 2	$\hat{z}$	0.0	0.0	0.0		7.8
81 - 3	2	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
82 - i	1			0.0	0.0	0.0
82 - 2		4.6	0.5	0.1	0.0	5.2
82 - 3	<u>i</u>	3.7	0.5	0.1	0.0	4.2
	1	6.5	0.4	1.0	0.0	7.8
93 - i 93 - 2	2	5.3	0.6	0.7	0.0	6.6
	2	11.5	1.0	1.9	0.0	14.4
84 - 1	Q :	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
84 - 2	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
84 - 3	$\mathbf{o}_{\cdot}$	0.0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
85 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
85 - 2	2	11.5	1.0	1.9	0.0	14.4
<b>8</b> 5 - 3	2	17.O	1.4	2.8	0.0	21.2
86 - 1	2	5.5	0.4	0.9	0.0	6.9
87 - 1	. 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>97</b> - 2	2	17.0	1.4	2.8	0.0	21.2
87 - 3	2	5.5	0.4	0.9	0.0	6.9
87 - 4	2	5.0	0.6	0.9	0.0	7.6
87 - 5	i	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
881	· Ŏ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
88 - 2	1	7.1	0.5		0.0	8.6
89 - 1	Ô	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
89 - 2	Õ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
89 - 3	Õ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
90 - 1	2					0.0
70 - 1 70 - 2	2	8.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	9.9
		11.0	0.9	1.9	0.0	13.7
•	2	13.5	1.0	2.2	0.0	16.7
904	2	5.5	0.4	0.9	0.0	6.9
91 - 1	2	5.5	0.4		0.0	6.9
91 - 2	.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
92 - 1	2	5.5	0.4	0.9	0, 0	6.9
93 - 1	2	გ. 0	0.6	0.9	0.0	7.6
94 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
95 - i	· 0	O. O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
95 – 2	O .	0.0	0.0	0.40	0.0	$\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}\mathbf{O}^{\circ}$
			• •			
Total		691.4	56.2	99.1		040.6
L(-1:-30)=		275.2			1.3	948.0
			i i	31.7	0.1	328.8
M(31 - 72) =		100.0	10.2	21.0		166.5
U(73 - END) =		282.8	.24.2	45.6	0.1	352.7
		/// MESH	NUMBERS	111		
0	1		. 101 101 11	4	=	•
	(0-0.5)	(0.5-1.0	) (1.0-2 d	4 0) (2,0-3,0)	1UNED -	é os se
				or they way?	.OYER	14.47 -
L 141	25	0	o ·	0	O.	· ·
M 90	11	14	ŏ	ŏ	- 0	
U 18	5	26	Š	Ö		the same same
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	•
	and the second s		and the second s	· ·		A Company of the Comp

## Table XI-6-3 FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 50-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD (1/6)

Alternative case : W/O FLAN
Return period of flood : 50 -Year

Unit : 1006 Intis

	Rank of Inundation		Dama	age		
Mesh No.	depth	Building	House	Property	Agro.	Damage total
1 - 1	1	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 - 2	1	3.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	3.8
1 - 3	1	11.4	0.9	1.5	0.0	13.8
1 - 4	1	28.3	1.8	4.2	0.0	34.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	16.3	1.2	2.0	0.0	19.5
	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
and the second s	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 - 1	O <sub>.</sub>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\bar{2} - \bar{2}$	0	0.0	0.0	Q * Q	0.0	0.0
2 - 3 2 - 4	1	စွဲ. စွ	Q.Q	0.0	0.1	0.1
$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5}$	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2 - 6	i	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
2 - 7	Ō	12.7 0.0	0.9	1.7	0.0	15.4
2 - 8	Ö.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\frac{-}{2} - \frac{-}{9}$	Ö	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0.	9.0
3 - 1	ŏ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 - 2	ő.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0
$\bar{3} - \bar{3}$	ŏ '	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 - 4	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 - 5	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3 - 6	i	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
ਤ 7	ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
3 - 8	o .	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0
3 - 9	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 - 10	O	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 - 1	o .	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 - 2	•	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 - 3	ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 - 4	i	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 - 5	ì	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4 ~ 6	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4 - 7	1	18.7	1.2	2.8	0.0	0.1 22.6
4 - 8	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 - 9	Q.	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0
4 - 10	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5 - 1	Q	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	0.0
5 - 2	0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	ŏ.ŏ	0.0
5 - 3	: <u>,</u> <b>O</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5 - 4	Ø	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5 - 5	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5 - 6	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5 - 7	· 1	14.5	0.9	2.0	0.0	17.4
5 - 8	<u>t</u> .	35.1	2.3	4.9	0.0	42.3
5 - 9	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5 - 10	O .	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	*	1				

, ,	o.	0.0	A A	O O	0.0	0.0
4 - 1		0.0	0.0	0.0		
6 - 2	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 <del>-</del> 3	Ó.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 4	1.	0.0	O = O	0.0	0.1	0.1
6 - 5	2	26.0	2.6	3.8	0.0	32.5
ል – ል	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
6 - 7	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5 - B	ő	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				0.0	0.0	0.0
6 - 9	1 O	0, 0	0.0	· ·		
6 - 10	Ó	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 - 2	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	. O. O	0.0
7 - 3	2.	7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
7 – 4	2	7.9	2,0	0.0	0.0	9.9
7 - 5	2	7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
7 - 6	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
7 - 7	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 - 8	Q .	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 - 9	· o	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
						5.6
8 - i	1	4.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	
8 - 2	1	4.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	5.6
8 - 3.	2	7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
$\Theta = 4$	1 .	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	$Q \cdot Q$	0.0
8 5	1	7.i	0.5	1.0	0.0	8.6
8 - 6	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8 - 7	O-	0.0	0.0	O.O.	0.0	0.0
9 - 1	O-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 - 2	ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 - 3	2	6.0	0.6	0.9	0.0	7.6
9 - 4	2		4.3	8.3	0.0	63.5
		50.9				
9 - 5	Q.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 - 6	O	0.0	$Q \star Q$	0,0	0.0	Q , Q
10 - 1	2	7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
10 - 2	2	7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
.0 - 3	2	50.3	4.3	8.1	0.0	62.7
10 - 4	1	34.3	2.3	4.8	0.0	41.4
10 - 5	i	30.1	1.9	4.2	0.0	36.3
11 - 1	ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11 - 2	1	4.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	5.6
11 - 3	2	49.6	4.3	9.1	0.0	62.0
	1		1.9		0.0	
		30.5		4:4		36.8
11 - 5	o ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12 - 1	1	4.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	5.4
12 - 2	<u>1</u>	4.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	5.6
12 - 3	į	31.3	1.9	4.4	0.0	37.7
12 - 4	Q ,	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12 - 5	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 ÷ . 1	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 - 2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 - 3	o ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 - 4	ŏ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 - 5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0					
14 - 1	O.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 - 2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14 - 3	O ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14 - 4	0	0.0	0.0	O , O	0.0	0.0
14 - 5	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15 - 2	Q .	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15 - 3	Õ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15 - 4	o O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0
						0.0
15 - 5	O	0.0	0.0	O. O	0.0	O <sub>H</sub> O

16 - 1	, o	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16 - 2 16 - 3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16 - 4	· O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16 - 5	ŏ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17 - 1	· o	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0
17 - 2	. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17 - 3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17 - 4	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17 - 5	.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18 - 1	Ō	0.0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18 - 2	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18 - 3 19 - 4	• 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$Q \downarrow Q$
18 - 5	. 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19 - 1	ŏ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19 - 2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19 - 3	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19 - 4	, O	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19 - 5	O.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$   \begin{array}{rrr}     20 & - & 1 \\     20 & - & 2   \end{array} $	o O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20 - 2 20 - 3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20 - 4	0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20 - 5	i ő	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 1	ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 - 2	1 E O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 - 3	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 - 4	O ·	0.0	O.Q	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 - 5 $21 - 6$	. 0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
22 - 1	2	0.0 2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
22 - 2	1	12.4	0.3 0.8	0.4	0.0	3.3
22 - 3	1	4.8	0.5	0.4	0.0	14.8 5.7
22 - 4	1	7.1	0.5	1.0	0.0	8.6
22 - 5	<u>1</u>	10.0	0.6	1.5	0.0	12.2
22 - 6	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$     \begin{array}{rrr}       23 & - & 1 \\       23 & - & 2     \end{array} $	n O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
23 - 3	1 1	11.2 14.0	0.8	1.5	0.0	13.4
23 - 4	1	10.7	0.9 0.8	1.5	0.0	16.8
23 - 5	Ō	0.0	0.0	$\frac{1.5}{0.0}$	0.0	43.0
23 - 6	Ö	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
24 - 1	1	10.7	0.8	2.3	0.0	13.8
24 - 2	i	33.O	0.8	2.7	0.0	34.5
24 - 3	1	11.9	· 0.8	1.6	0.0	14.3
24 - 4 24 - 5	1	10.7	0.8	2.3	0.0	13.8
24 - 6	1 1	8.8 12.8	0.5	1.2	0.0	10.5
25 - 1	Ô	0.0	0.9	1.8 0.0	0.0	15.5
25 - 2	ō	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 <del>6</del> - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
27 - 1	Ø ×	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
28 - 1	O.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
29 - i	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
29 - 2 30 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
30 - 1 30 - 2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31 - 2	ó	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
31 - 3	o ,	ŏ.ŏ	ŏ. o	0.0	0.0	0.0
32 - 1	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
32 - 2	1	3.6	0.3	0.5	0.0	4.3
	: :					

						~ .				A 67		0.0		4.3
22 -	1		1			3.6		0.3		0.5		0.0		
34 ~	1		1			3.2		0.2		0.5		0.0		3.9
35 <u>-</u>	1		0			0.0		0.0		$O_*O$		$\cdot, \cdot Q_* Q$		0.0
								•						
35	- 2		Ö			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
36 -	1		O.			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
										0.0		0.0		0.0
36	2		O			0.0		0.0						
36 -	3		Ō			0.0		0.0		Q = Q		0.0		0.0
37 -	1		Ō			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
37 -	2		O			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		$Q_*Q$
37 ~	- 3		Ō			0.0		0.0		$Q_*Q$		$Q_*Q$		0.0
												0.0		0.0
38 -	1		Ō			0.0		0.0		, O , Q				
38 -	2		Ó			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
			Ō			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
38	3													
39 -	i		0			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
39 -	2		Ŏ			0.0	100	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
39	3		O			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
40 -	1		Ő.			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
								0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
40 -	2		Q			0.0			•					
40 -	- 3		1			7.8		0.6		1.0		0.0		7.4
40 -	4		1			13.6		0.9		1.9		0.0		16.3
41 -	Ţ		1			7.2		0.5		1.0		$Q \bullet Q$		8.7
41 -	2		1			10.7		0.8		1.5		0.0		13.0
41 -	. 3		<u>1</u>			3.6		0.3		0.5		0.0		4.3
41	4		- 0			0.0		0.0		0.0		. 0.0		0.0
			2			21.7		2.0		3.4		0.0		27.0
42 -	1													
42 -	- 2		2			17.0		1.4		2.8		0.0		21.2
42 -	3		ı Ö			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
										0.0				0.0
42 -	4		O			0.0		0.0				0.0		
43 -	1		O			$0.0^{\circ}$		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
			$\bar{2}$					0.7		1.9		0.0		13.7
43 -	2					11.0								
43 -	3		O			0.0		0.0		$Q \bullet Q$		$Q \cdot Q$		0.0
44	1		1			1.4		0.1		0.2		0.0		1.7
44 -	2		1			6.8		0.5		1.0		0.0		8.2
45 -	1		1			5.6		0.4		0.8		0.0		6.7
45 -	2		1			6.5		0.4		1.0		0.0		7.8
46 -	1		1			0.0	•	0.0		0.0	;	$O_*O$		0.0
46 -	2		0			. 0.0		0.0		0.0		O., O		0.0
47 -			Ó											
	1					0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
47 -	2		3			$\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}\mathbf{O}$		0.0		$Q_*Q$		0.1		0.1
47 -	3		3			16.1		1.5		2.5		0.0		20.1
									1.					
48	1		Ö.			0.0	•	0,0		0.0		-0.0		0.0
48 ~	2		O			0.0		$Q \cdot Q$		0.0		0.0		0.0
48:	3		3			24.9.		2.5		3.8				31.2
												0.0		
49 -	1		0			0.0		0.0		0.0		$\circ.\circ$		$\circ$ , $\circ$
49 -	2		O			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	-													
49 -	3		1			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.1		0.1
49 -	4		O.			0.0		0,0	1,	0.0		0.0		0.0
50	1		Ō			0.0		0.0		0.0				
												0.0		0.0
50 -	2		$O^{\perp}$			$O \cdot O$		0.0		0.0	4.5	0.0		$o$ $\circ$
50 -	3		0.5			.0.0		$O_{n}O$		0.0		0.0		0.0
51 -	1		Q			$Q \cdot Q$		0.0		$Q \cdot Q$		-0.0		$Q_{\bullet}Q_{\bullet}$
51 -	2		Q			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
51 -	3		O			0.0		$\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}\mathbf{O}$	•	0.0	1.	Q,Q		0.0
1 -	. 4		0			0.0		0.0		0.0		.0.0		0.0
52 -	1		O			0.0	,	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
														and the second second
52 ~	Z		1			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.1		0.1
52 -	3		1			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
53 -	1		, O			0.0		O = O	2.3	0.0		$O \cdot O$		0.0
53 -	2		Q.			0.0		0.0		$O_{\bullet}Q$		$O \setminus O$		0.0
53 -	3		ò			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
							:							
54 -	1		O.			0.0		0.0		$\mathbf{o}_{\bullet}\mathbf{o}_{\circ}$		0.0		0.0
54 -	2		O			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	:	0.0
					* 1				•					
54 …	3	•	Q.	1:		0.0	100	0.0		0.0	-	$Q \star Q$		0.0
											-			

go en							
55 -	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
55 -	2	1	0.0	0.0	0.0		
55 -	3	0				0.0	0.0
			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
56 -	1	.1	Q " Q	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
56 -	2	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	· ·	
56 -	3					0.0	0.0
		Q	0.0	0.0	0 . Q	0.0	0.0
57 -	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
57 -	2	.1	0.0	0.0			
57 -	3				0.0	0.0	0.0
		Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
j7 ~	4	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
57 -	5	Q	0.0	0.0	0.0		
57 -	6					0.0	0.0
		0	0.0	0.0	$Q \star Q$	0.0	0.0
58 -	1	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
59 -	1	1	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0	
59 -	2	1					0.0
			0.0	$\circ_{\bullet}\circ$	0.0	, O * O	0.0
<b>60</b> -	1	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
.60 -	2	. 2	9.1	0.2	2.2	0.4	11.9
60 ÷	3	2	0.0				
				0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
	. 1	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
61 -	2	Ō	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
61 -	3	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
61 -	4	2					0.0
			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
62 -	1	1	4 · O	0.3	0.5	0.0	4.8
62 -	2	. 1	12.8	0.9	1.8	0.0	15.5
63 -	1	0	0.0				
				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
63 -	2	3	24.9	2.5	3.8	0.0	31.2
64	-, 1	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	$Q_{\bullet}Q$	0.0
64 -	2	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	· ·	
						0.0	0.0
65 -	1	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
65 -	- 2	O	O.O.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<del>66</del> -	i	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
65	Ž				· ·		0.0
		.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Q,Q
66 -	3	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
66	4	1	<b>6.</b> 5	0.4	1.0	0.0	7.8
67 -	1	Õ					
			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
67	2	1	1.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	1.7
48 —	1	: Q	0.0	0.0	$\cdot \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{Q}$	0.0	0.0
<del>6</del> 8	2	0	0.0	0.0			
69 -					0.0	0.0	0.0
	1 .	., O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
69 <b>–</b>	2	1	6.5	0.4	1.0	0.0	7.8
70	1	Q	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
70·	2	1	7.3				
				0.4	1 - 1	0.0	8.7
71 -	1	3	0.5	0.2	<b>0</b> . <b>0</b>	0.0	0.7
71 -	2	- 3	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1
72	. 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	
	.2 :					* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0.0
		: 2	18,1	1.7	2.8	0.0	22.7
72 -	3	Ŏ.	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0
	1	3	16.1	1.5	2.5	0.0	20.1
73 -	2.	3	32.7	3.5	4.7	0.0	
73 -	3						40.9
	3	3	9.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1
74 -	1	1	5.8	0.5	1.0	0.0	8.2
74	2	O	0.0	0:0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	1	2.	11.7	0.6	2.5		The second secon
						0.0	14.8
	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
. – 77 –	1	. Š.				and the second s	
			13.9	i.5	2.0	0.0	17.3
	2	O	0.0	0.0	0.0	$Q \star Q$	0.0
78 -	1	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
	2	3	8.9	1.0			
	3	3	the state of the s		1.3	0.0	11.1
/ CD -		د	8.9	1 . O	1.3	0.0	11.1

Table XI-6-3 FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 50-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD (6/6)

79 - 1	3	16.9	1.9	2.5	0.0	21.2	
80 - 1	. 2	9.2	0.4	1.8	0.0	11.4	
30 - 2	2	5,5	0.4	1.8	0.0	7.7	
30 - 3	3	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1	
81 - 1	, 3	9. i	0.8	1.5	0.0	11.5	
81 - 2	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-
81 - 3	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
82 - 1	2	7.7	1.0	0.2	0.0	9.0	
<del>9</del> 2 - 2	2	6.2	1.0	0.1	0.0	7.4	
82 - 3	2	. 11.0	0.9	1.9	0.0	13.7	
83 - 1	2	5.3	0.6	0.7			
83 2	2			1.9	0.0	6.6	
84 1	2	11.5	1.0	i i	0.0	14.4	
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
84 - 2	2	18.1	1.7	2.8	0.0	22.7	
94 - 3	2	5.5	0.4	0.9	0.0	6*3	
85 - 1	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
<b>9</b> 5 ~ 2	2	11.5	1.0	1.9	0.0	14.4	
85 - 3	2	17.0	1.4	2.8	0.0	21.2	
96 - 1	3	8.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
87 - 1	3	0.0	0.0	- O. O	0.0	0.0	
87 - 2	3	24.9	2.5	3.8	0.0	31.2	
37 - 3	3	.8.0.	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
37 - 4	3	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1	
87 - 5	, 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
88 - 1	2	.6.0	0.6	0.9	0.0	7.6	
882	3	17.7	2.0	2.5	0.0	22.2	
89 i	Ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
89 - 2	ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•
89 - 3	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
90 - 1	3	11.8	1.0	1.7	0.0	14.5	
90 ~ 2	3	16.1	1.5	2.5	0.0	20.1	
90 - 3	3	19.8	1.8	3.0	0.0	24.5	
90 - 4	3	8.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
	3						
		8.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
91 - 2	. Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0.	
92 - 1	3	8.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
93 - 1	3	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1	
94 <b>-</b> 1	2	12.1	1.1	1.9	0.0	15.1	
75 - 1	1	3,2	0.2	0.5	0.0	3.9	
95 <u>-</u> 2	O.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total		1373.8	121.7	193.3	2.7	1591.5	
L(1 - 30)=		488.7	50.0	98.8	1.2	838.7	
M(31 - 72) =		264.1	21.3	40.2			٠
U(73 - END)=			40.3	40.2	1.4	32 <b>6.9</b>	
0(/3 - END)-		421.0	40.5	⊕4•4	0.1	525.9	
		/// MES	H NUMBER	5 ///			
0		1 2		4	.5	i	
-	(0-			.0) (2.0-3.0			
L 106		46 14	Ö	. 0	0		
M 67		33 9	6	· 0		•	
U 10		3 17	24	O.	Q		
				and the second s			

82 40 30

### Table XI-6-4 FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 100-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD (1/6)

Alternative case : W/O PLAN
Return period of flood : 100 -Year:

Unit: 10^6 Intis

Rank of Inundation		Dam	age			
Mesh No. depth	Euilding	House	Property	Agro.	Damage total	
1 - 1 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	6.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	6.4	
1 - 3 2 1 - 4 1	19.3	2.0	2.8	0.0	24.1	
	28.3	1.8	4.2	0.0	34.3	
+	16.3	1.2	2.0	0.0	19.5	
	0.0	0.0	0, 0	0.0	0.0	
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
_	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
2 - 4 2 2 - 5 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
——————————————————————————————————————	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
	12.7	0.9	17	0.0	15.4	
2 - 7 0 2 - 8 0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0	
2 - 9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
3 - 1 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
3 - 2 0	0.0	0.0	- O.O	$\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\mathbf{Q} = 0$	0.0	
3 - 3 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
3 - 4 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	· O . O	$Q_{\bullet} Q$	
3 5 2	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	0.1	0.1	
3 - 6 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
3 - 7 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
_	19.3	0.7	2.7	0.0	22.9	
3 - 8 1 3 - 9 0	29.6	i.7	3.4	0.0	34.6	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
the state of the s	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
4 - 5 2 4 - 6 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
4 - 7 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,1	0.1	
4 - 8 1	31.7	2.6	5.3	0.0	39.6	
4 - 0 - 5	21.8	1.2	4.9	0.0	27.8	
4 - 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5 - 1 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	010	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5 - 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-
— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	
5 - 6 2 5 - 7 2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	Q.1	
	24.6	2.0	3.8	O. O	30.4	
	35.1	2.3	4.9	0.0	42.3	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5 - 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

4 - 1	ı	Q		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 - 2		Õ		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 - 3		Ŏ		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 - 4		Ž		0.0	0,0	0.0	0.1	0.1
6 - 5		3		38.1	4.5	5.2	0.1	47.8
6 - 6		3		0.0	0.0	0.0	ŏ. i	0.1
5 ~ 7		2		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
6 - 8		ō		ŏ.ŏ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 ~ 9		Ö		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 - 10		, v		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 - 1		Õ		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 2		ő		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
- j - š		2		7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
7 - 4		2		7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
7 - 5	1	2		7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
7 - 4		2		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
7 - 7		Ö		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 - 8		O		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7 - 9		O.		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8 - 1		2		7.9	2.0	0.0	o.o	9.9
8 - 2		2		7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
8 - 3		1.3		11.6	3.5	0.0	0.0	15.1
8 - 4		- 2		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
8 - 5		2		12.1	1.1	1.9	0.0	15.1
8 - 6		Q		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8 - 7		· 0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$9 \div 1$		Q		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 - 2		Ō.		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 - 3		2		5.0	0.4	0.9	0.0	7.6
9 - 4		2		50.9	4.3	9.3	0.0	63.5
9 - 5		0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 - 6		- O		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10 - 1		2		7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
10 - 2		2		7.9	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.9
10 - 3		2		50.3	4.3	8.1	0.0	62.7
0 - 4		2		58. i	5.2	9.2	0.0	72.5
10 - 5		1		30.1	1.9	4.2	0.0	36.3
11 - 1		Õ		0,0	ô.ó	0.0	0.0	0.0
11 - 2		1		4.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	5.6
11 - 3		2		49.6	4.3	8.1	0.0	62.0
11 4	,	1		30.5	1.9	4.4	0.0	36.8
11 - 5		ō		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12 1		1		4.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	5.6
12 - 2		1		4.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	5.6
12 - 3		2		53.0	4.3	8.5	0.0	65.8
12 - 4		O -		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0
12 - 5		O.		0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 - 1		ō		0.0	o ့်o	ŏĴŏ	0.0	0.0
13 - 2		ō		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 - 3		ō		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13 - 4		Ó		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ŏ.õ
13 - 5		ō		0.0	0.0	0.0	o.o	0.0
14 - 1		ō		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14 - 2		Õ		0.0	0,0	o, ŏ.	0.0	0.0
.4 - 3		Q.		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14 - 4		ŏ		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14 5		ō.		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
15 - 1		0		0.0	0.0			0.0
15 - 2		0				0.0	0.0	0.0
15 - 2		0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15 - 4		0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15 - 5					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
70 - D		Q	1.00	$Q \bullet Q$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

16 -	1		Q	0.0	0.	0	0.0		0.0	0.0
16 -	2		0	0.0	0.0	0	Q * Q		0.0	0.0
16 -	3		Q.	0.0	O.,	0	$O \cdot O$		$\mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{O}$	0.0
1,6 -	4		Q	0.0	Q. (		0.0		0.0	0.0
16 -	5		0	0.0	O.,		0.0		0.0	0.0
17 -	1		Q.	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
17 -	2		. 0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
17 -	3		0	0,0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
17 -	4		O.	0.0	0.1		0.0		0.0	0.0
17 -	5		0	0.0	O. ( . O. (		0.0		0.0	0.0
18 - 18 -	1 2		0	0.0 0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
18 -	-3		0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
18 -	4		Q.	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
18 -	. 5		ŏ	0.0	0.		0.0		0.0	0.0
19 -	1		· ŏ	0.0	. 0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
19 -	Ž		Ó	0.0	0.4		0.0		0.0	0.0
19	3		Q	0.0	0.0	O C	0.0		$Q \cdot Q$	0.0
19 -	4		. 0	0.0	O.,	0	0.0		0.0	0.0
19 -	5		O	0.0	0.0	)	O.O		0.0	0.0
20 -	. 1		0	0.0	0.0		0.0	-	0.0	0.0
20 -	2		Q	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0
20	3		Ō	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
20 -	4		Q	0.0	.0,6		0.0		0.0	0.0
20 -	5		0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0
21 -	1		2	12.2	1.0		2.0		0.0	15.2 25.0
21 -	2		2	20.1	1.1		3.1		0.0	0.0
21			0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
21 - 21 -	4 5		0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
21 -	6		Ó	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
22 -	1		· 2	2.6	ő.:		0.4		0.0	3.3
22, -	2		2	21.0	1.1		3.1		0.0	25.8
22 -	3		1	4.8	0.5		0.4		0.0	5.7
22 :-	4		2	12.1	1.		1.9		0.0	15.1
22	- 5		2	17.0	1.4	}	2.8.		0.0	21.2
22 -	6		1	12.3	0.8		1.7		0.0	14.7
23 ~	1		Ţ	13.2	0.9		8.3		0.0	22.3
23 -	Z		1	11.2	0.8		1.5		0.0	13.4
23 -			2	23.6	2.0		3.7		0.0	29.3
23 -	4		2	18.1	1.7		2.8		0.0 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} 22.7 \\ 23.1 \end{array}$
23	5		1	14.0	0.9 0.8		8.3 2.3		0,0	19.6
23 - 24 -	6 1		1 1	16.6 10.7	0.8		2.3		0.0	13.8
24 -	2		2	55.9	1.7		5.2		0.0	62.8
24 -	3		2	20.1	î,7		3.1		0.0	25.0
24 -	4.		2	18.1	1.7		4 3		0,0	24.2
24 -	5		2	14.8	1.0		2.4		0.0	18.2
24 -	6		4	21.7	2.0	)	3.4		$Q_{\bullet}Q_{\odot}$	27.0
25 😁	1		. O	0.0	0.0	)	0.0		0.0	0.0
25 -	2	•	0	0.0	Q. 0		0.0		0.0	0.0
26 -	1		Q -	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0,0
27 -	1		. 0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
28 -	1		Q	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
29	1		, , O	0.0	0,0		0.0		0.0	0.0
29 -	2		0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
30 -	1		0,	0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0		$0.0^{\circ}$	0.0
30	2		0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
31 -	1		· . O	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
31 - 31 -	2 3		0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
	् 1 ्र		o	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
32 - 32 -	$\frac{1}{2}$		1	3.6	0.3		0.5		0.0	4.3
							- · ·			

Table XI-6-4 FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 100-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD (4/6)

34 - 35 - 35 - 35 - 36 - 37 - 37 - 37 - 37 - 37 - 37 - 37	4 0 0 2 2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3.6 3.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	0.3200000000000000000000000000000000000	0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		4.3 3.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0
---	---	---	---	--	--	--

							•		
er er								4 1	
55 -	1			1	0.0	0.0	, O. O.	0.1	0.1
55	2			1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
								and the second s	
55 ~	3			O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
56	1			1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	O. 1
56 -					and the second s				
	2			1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
56	3			0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
57 -				i				and the second s	
	1			1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
57 -	2			1	$Q \cdot Q$	0.0	0.0	$Q_{\bullet}Q$	0.0
57 -	3								
				O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
57 <b>-</b>	4			Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0.
· 57	5			0					
					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
57 -	4			0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Q.Q	$Q_*Q$
58 -	1			o	0.0	0.0	0.0	i i	
							and the second s	0.0	0.0
59 -	1			1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
59 -	2			1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<u> 60 – </u>	1			2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
60 m	. 2			2 .	9.1	0.2	2.2	0.4	11.9
60 <del>-</del>	3			3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
61 -	1			2 .	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
						and the second s			
	2			0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
61 -	. 3			0 -	0.0	0.0	0.0	O.O.	0.0
61 -	. 4			3					
					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
62	1			1	4.0	0.3	0.5	0.0	4.8
62 -	. 2			1	12.8	0.9	1.8	0.0	15.5
								the state of the s	
93 -	1			0 .	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<u> 83 - </u>	2.			3	24.9	2.5	3.8	0.0	31.2
						The second secon			
64				0	10. Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<u> 64</u>	2		. (	<b>)</b> .	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				1					
45 - j	1				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
65 -	2		(	)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
66 -	1			1 .	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
66 -	2		; (	)	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0
66 -	- 3			1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
- 6 <del>6</del> .	4		3	l	6.5	0.4	1.0	0.0	7.8∶
67 -	. 1		1	)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Q , $Q$
<b>6</b> 7	2		., -	1	1.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	1.7
48 -	- 1		· (	)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2			l '	10.0		1.5	i i	
						0.6		0.0	12.2
o9	1		- (	,	0.0	$\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\mathbf{Q}$	0.0	0.0	0.0
69 -	2		15	2	11.0	0.9	1.9	0.0	13.7
,									
70 -	: 1 .			)	0.0	.O . O	0.0	0.0	0.0
70.~	2		2	2	12.3	0.9	2.1	0.0	15.2
71	1		3		0.5		0.0		0.7
			·-	•		0.2		0.0	
71	2		, 3	5	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1
72: -	1		. 0	5	·Q.O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 3		. 2		18.1	1.7	2.8	. 0.0	22.7
72 -:	- 3			<b>)</b> .	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$\mathbf{O}_{\bullet}\mathbf{O}$
73 -	1	:	3		16.i	1, 5	2.5	0,0	20.1
73	2		***	3	32.7	3.5	4.7	0.0	40.9
73 ~	-3		3		9.7		1.3	. 0.0	
						1.0			11.1
74 -	1		7	2	11.5	1.0	1.7	0.0	14.4
74	2		- C		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
								i e	
75 -	1		- 4	2	11.7	0.6	2.5	0.0	14.8
75 -	-2			)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
							· ·		The second of th
76 -	1		C	) '	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	0.0
76	2	1.1	Ċ	)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	$\mathbf{O} \bullet \mathbf{O}$
				and the second second					
77	1		্ ড		13.9	1.5	2.0	0.0	17.3
77 -	2		Ç		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
78 -	1				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
78	2		3	,	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1
78 -	3		. 3		8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1
/ C)			•	,	way.	2. 4 3.2	a. a. w.	~ • ~	7117

Table XI-6-4 FLOOD DAMAGE DUE TO 100-YEAR PROBABLE FLOOD (6/6)

						•	
79 1	3	16.9	. 1 . 8	2.5	0.0	21.2	
80 1	3	13.5	0.8	2.4	.0.0	16.6	
80 - 2	3	8.0	0.8	2.4	0.0	11.2	
90 ~ 3	3	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1	
31 1	3	9.1	0.8	1.5	0.0	11.5	
81 - 2	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
81 - 3	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
82 - 1	3	11.4	1.8	0.3	0.0	13.4	
	3			0.2	0.0		
92 - 2 55	3 3	9.1	1.9 1.5	2.5		11.1 20.1	
82 - 3		16.1			0.0		
83 - 1	2	5.3	0.6	0.7	0.0	6.6	
83 - 2	. 2	11.5	1.0	1.9	0.0	14.4	
84 - 1	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
84 - 2	3	26.6	3.0	3.8	0.0	33.4	
84 - 3	3	8.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
85 - 1	o	$Q_{\pi}Q$	0.0	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{Q}$	0.0	0.0	
85 - 2	3	16.9	1.8	2.5	0.0	21.2	
85 3	3	24.9	2.5	3.8	0.0	31.2	
86 - 1	्उ	8.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
87 1	3	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
87 - 2	3	24.9	2.5	3.8	0.0	31.2	
87 - 3	. 3	8.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
37 - 4	ত্র	8.9	1.0	i.3	0.0	11.1	
37 - 5	2	0.0	Q.Q	0.0	0.0	0.0	
89 - 1	3	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1	
98 - 2	3	17.7	2.0	2.5	0.0	22.2	
89 - i	ō	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	•
89 - 2	Ö	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
89 - 3	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
90 - i	. 3	11.8	1.0	1.7	0.0	14.5	
90 - 2	3	16.1	1.5	2.5	0.0	20.1	:
70 - 3	3	19.8	1.8	3.0	0.0	24.5	-
70 - 3 70 - 4	3	8.0	0.8	1.3	ŏ.ŏ	10.1	
91 - 1	3	8.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
91 - 2 92 - 1	3	8.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	10.1	
	3	8.9	1.0	1.3	0.0	11.1	
93 1		· ·	and the second s	1.9	0.0	15.1	
94 - 1	2	12.1	1.1			3.9	
95 - 1 	1	3.2	0.2	0.5	0.0	the state of the s	
95 <u>-</u> 2	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total		1800.0	154.0	271.8	3.3	2239.1	
L(1-30)=		1045.0	93.6	157.7	1.6	1297.9	
M(31 - 72) =		283.6		43.5	1.5	351.6	
U(73 - END)=		471.4		70.6	0.1		
		/// ME	SH NUMBER:	S ///	* **		
Q	· .	1 2			5	<b>.</b>	
		).s) (0.5-ī					
		20 47		o	C	2	
L 96							
L 96 M 61 U 10	3	5 11 2 6	8	0	0		

Table XI-6-5 SUMMARY OF PROBABLE FLOOD DAMAGE BY CATEGORY OF DAMAGEABLE ASSETS

Unit : 10^6 Intis

(10^3 US\$)

	Residential	Household	Public	Agricutural	
	houses	effects	offices	crops	Total
10 year		-			
Upper reaches	282.8	24.2	45,6	0.1	352.7 (11756.7)
Middle reaches	133,5	10.2	21.8	1.1	166,5 (5550,0)
Lower reaches	275.2	21.8	31.7	0.1	328.8 (10960.0)
Total	691.4	56.2	99.1	1.3	848.0 (28266.7)
50 year	(23046.7)	(1873.3)	(3303.3)	(43.3)	
Upper reaches	421.0	40.3	64,4		
Middle reaches	264.1	21.3	40.2	0.1	525.9 (17530.0)
Lower reaches	688.7	1 7	88.8	1.4	326.9 (10896.7) 838.7 (27956.7)
Total	1373.8	121.7	193,3	2.7	1691.5 (56383.3)
100 year	(45793.3)	(4056.7)	(6443.3)	(90.0)	
<u> </u>	4,			1	
Upper reaches	471.4	47.4	70.6	0.1	589.6 (19653.3)
Middle reaches	263.6	22.9	43.5	1.5	351.6 (11720.0)
Lower reaches	1045.0	93.6	157.7	1.6	1297.9 (43263.3)
Total	1800.0	154.0	271.8	3.3	2239.1 (74636.7)
	(60000.0)	(5466.7)	(9060.0)	(110.0)	

PROJECT INVESTMENT COST OF RIVER IMPROVEMENT BY ALTERNATIVE PLANS (MAIN STREAM) Table XI-7-1

8-2         C-1         C-2           Q'ty         Amount         q'ty         Amount         q'ty           1,817         639         12,777         539         12,777           36,339         12,777         12,812         235,000         1,261         235,000           1,235,000         26,146         28,200         5,801         2,635,100         1,255,000           0         0         11,900         1,190         14,200         0         0           0         0         0         11,900         1,190         14,200         0         0           267,000         3,560         155,800         2,077         155,800         0			•							Flodie Keaches			Lower Reaches	eaches	
Propertition works   1   1.5.   2.2   6.4   1.043   10.47   10.433   12.244   10.043   14.317   18.   12.244   10.043   14.317   18.   12.244   10.043   14.317   18.   12.244   10.043   14.317   18.   12.244   10.043   14.317   18.   12.244   10.043   14.317   18.   12.244   10.043   14.317   18.   12.244   10.043   14.317   18.   12.244   10.043   14.317   18.   12.244   19.043   14.317   18.   1			Unit	٨٠٠		A-2		<u>-</u>		3-2		2		5.5	
Prepare atory worth   1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		Unit	(USS)	9,13	Amount	Å\$,O	Amount	9,13	Amount	47.67	Amount	2'ty	Amount	9,4	Amount
(2) Excavation  10,447  10,454  (3) Excavation  10,447  (3) Excavation  10,400	Preparatory works /1	L.S.	•		522		642		ž		1,817		629		Š
(1) Becaverton  (2) Becaverton  (3) Common  (4) Common  (5) Becaverton  (5) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (7) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (9) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (2) Becaverton  (3) Becaverton  (4) Becaverton  (5) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (7) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (9) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (2) Becaverton  (3) Becaverton  (4) Becaverton  (5) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (7) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (9) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (2) Becaverton  (3) Becaverton  (4) Becaverton  (4) Becaverton  (5) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (7) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (9) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (2) Becaverton  (3) Becaverton  (4) Becaverton  (4) Becaverton  (5) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (7) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (9) Becaverton  (1) Becaverton  (2) Becaverton  (3) Becaverton  (4) Becaverton  (5) Becaverton  (6) Becaverton  (7) Becaverton  (8) Becaverton  (9) Becaverton  (9) Becaverton  (1) Be	Main Works				10,447		12.844		10.083		7. 20.		5		į
- Common cu.m. 3.72 90,800 449 141,000 1,011 103,200 740 0 1,012 103,200 1,025 105,000 1,026 1,025 1,020 1,026 1,025 1,020 1,026 1,025 1,020 1,026 1,025 1,020 1,026 1,025 1,020 1,026 1,025 1,025 1,020 1,026 1,025 1,020 1,026 1,025 1,020 1,026 1,026 1,025 1,020 1,026 1,0	(1) Excavation				•	-			}		67		17071		25,77
(2) Backfills  - Common  - Constrain  - Constrai	- Rock/boulders	£.20	7.2	90,600		141,000	•	103,200	072	c		174 000	•		
(2) Exhanisment cu.m. 20.8 238,000 4,958 238,000 6,958 5,377 7,555,000 26,300 26,146 20,200 558 1,250,000 26,146 1,250,000 26,146 20,200 5,147 1,555,000 26,146 20,200 5,140 20,200 1,250	- Comon	E.U.	3.7	93.900		150,000		77	**************************************	244 000	7	000,011	1071	000'583	2,100
13) Secretarists of the control of t	(Z) Embankment	E.173	20.8	238,000		238,000	47	255,200	5,317	1,255,000	26,146	28,200	, , , ,	28,200	٠, 8, 8,
(4) Reinforced concrete cu.m. 7.7 46,500 90 46,900 259,500 17,000 1,500 0 187,600 438 187,500 (5) Gebion cu.m. 17.7 26,600 90 80,400 289, 14,900 1,600 0 187,600 1,190 1	(5) backrill		,										÷		
(4) Rienforced concrete cu.m. 3.7 24,600 90 80,400 225 4,500 17 0 0 187,600 638 187,600 (5) Gabion		5 5	7.2	98,99		906,84		68,300				72,500		72,500	
(3) Servicine courant courant 100.0 20,500 2,050 2,050 2,820 14,900 1,500 0 0 11,900 1,190 1,120 (5) Gebron Coloreste cular 100.0 20,500 1,033 77,500 1,033 27,500 357 267,000 3,560 1,550 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Kandon	20	3.7	24,600		20,400	8	7,500		0	0	187,600	688	187 600	1889
(3) Reverement (4) Reverement (5) Reverement (6) Re	(4) Rienforced concrete	E. J.	100.0	20,500		28,200	2,820	14, 900	87,	•	0	200	100	17, 200	1 420
(3) Several ment (2) Action (3.3) (7,500 1,033 77,500 1,033 27,500 3,560 3,560 3,560 (3.5,600 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,560 3,570 3,770 3,	(S) tebion	ž.	20.0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Total anatomy agum 13.3 77,500 1,033 27,500 356 267,000 3,560 155,600 2,077 155,800 (77,500 1,033 27,500 1,033 27,500 1,033 27,500 1,033 27,500 1,033 27,500 1,033 27,500 1,033 27,500 1,034 10.0 1,03 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	(o) Aevetment														•
- Gablon mattress sq.um 10.0 35,600 356 35,600 356 31,700 317 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- Vet masonry	#: <b>5</b>	13.3	77,500	-	77,500	1,033	27,500	757	267,000	3.560	155 800	200	155 800	7.0
(1) Ground still square (2.1m) 4.0.7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- Gabion mattress	84.ps	10.0	35,600		35,600	356	31,700	517		C		•		
- Vet masonry Cu.m	(7) Groyne	-									•	, .	•	•	•
- Concrete cu.m 83.3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- Vet masonry	5.5°	2.04	0	0		0	&	0,	0	. =	c	•	•	E
(3) Ground still sq.m 130.0 3,600 468 0 0 6,000 780 0 0 1,125 146 1,125 (10) Round sq.m 1,160.0 0 0 0 0 1,114 320 371* 320 371* 350 418 350 418 350 (11) Round sq.m 1,160.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- Concrete	E. 70	83.3	•	0	0	0	٥	-		· c	o e			
(19) Bridge sq.m 1,160.0 0 0 960 1,114 320 3714 320 3717 360 418 3.50 (11) 3.60 (10) 8.00 (11) 8	(8) Ground sill	8Q.13	130.0	3,600	897	0	0	6.000	780			* 4%	3	•	•
(10) Road sq.m	(9) Bridge	S.0.8	1,160.0	0	0	0%	1.114	320	* S. F.	22.	41.	35	5 4	9 5	2 :
(11) Gate sq.m 8,010.0 0 0 12 96 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(10) Road	8Q.B	22.0	•	0	0	0	9	0			3	. c	3 5	3 6
(12) Hiscellaneous (5 %) L.S	(11) Gate	8. E.	0,010.0	0	0	7	8	0				> €		000	,
Hos. 166.7 400 67 1,200 200 280 47 0 0 2,820 470 3,745  L.S	(12) Hiscellaneous (5 X)	.; :	•	•	167	,	612	•	780	•	1,730	•	808	,	998
L.S 628 - 1,026 - 798 - 2,662 - 1,041 - 1,026 / 1,715 - 6,153 - 2,239 - 1,545 / 15,643 16,920 13,146 47,171 17,166	Compensation	Nos.	166.7	007	. 67	1,200	200	280	2.5	o	0	2,820	470	3,745	729
13,643 16,920 13,146 47,171 17,166	Enginearing service & governmental administration		•	•	229	•	1,026	•	200	•	2,862	•	.041	•	1,478
13,643 16,920 13,146 47,171 17,166	Physical contingency /3			•	1,780	.,	2,207		1,715	•	6,153	•	2,239	•	3,179
	Total		٠		13,643	-	16,920		13,146		47,171		17,166		24,369

Note : Plan A-1, Upper reach, heightening the existing river banks

entarging the existing river channel 8

8-1, Middle reach, keeping the existing river width with partial diking reducing the existing river width by dike . 3

C-1, lower reach, enterging the width of narrow portion along the existing river course. short-cutting and entanging the width of narrow portion C-2, - do -

\* , Improvement work at the river mouth of Alcamerca river ( ), Total improvement cost for outlet of Gda.Jicamarca

/1, 5 % of Item 2 /2, 7.5 % of Item 1 to 3 /3, 15 % of Item 1 to 4

Table XI-7-2 FLOOD INUNDATION DAMAGE WITHOUT PROJECT (1/2)

Return Period (Year)	Expected Frequency (Events/Year)	Damage Amount (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)	Events per Year within Interval	Average Damage per Interval (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)	Annual Average Damage (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis
Upper re	aches of main	stream			3
0.5	2.0	0			
1.0	1.0	0	1.0	. 0	0
2.0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0
5.0	0.2	292.8	0.3	146.4	43.9
10.0	0.1	352.7	0.1	322.8	32.3
30.0	0.033		0,067	386.4	25.9
		420.0	0.013	473.0	6.1
50.0	0.020	525.9	0.010	557.8	5.6
100.0	0.010	589.6	0.005	604.8	3.0
200.0	0.005	620.0	0.003	637.5	1.9
500.0	0.002	655.0	0.001	662.5	
,000.0	0.001	670.0	0.001	002.3	0.7
				Total (US\$3	119.4 3,980 x 10 <sup>3</sup> )
Middle re	aches of main	stream			
0.5	2.0	0			
1.0	1.0	0	1.0	0 .	0
2.0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0
5.0	0.2	128.4	0.3	64.2	19.3
10.0	0.1	***	0.1	147.5	14.8
		166.5	0.067	208.3	14.0
30.0	0.033	250.0	0.013	288.5	3.7
50.0	0.020	326.9	0.010	339.3	3.4
100.0	0.010	351.6	0.005	363.3	1.8
200.0	0.005	375.0			
500.0	0.002	400.0	0.003	387.5	1.2
000.0	0.001	420.0	0.001	410.0	0.4
				Total (US\$1,	58.6 ,953 x 10 <sup>3</sup> )

Table XI-7-2 FLOOD INUNDATION DAMAGE WITHOUT PROJECT (2/2)

Return Period (Year)	Expected Frequency (Events/Year)	Damage Amount (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)	Events per Year within Interval	Average Damage per Interval (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)	Annual Average Damage (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)
Lower re	aches of main	stream			
0.5	2.0	0	1.0	0	0
1.0	1.0	0	0.5	0	0
2.0	0.5	0		137.8	
5.0	0.2	275.6	0.3		41.3
10.0	0.1	328.8	0.1	302.2	30.2
30.0	0.033	580.0	0.067	454.4	30.4
50.0	0.020	838.7	0.013	709.4	9.2
100.0	0.010	1,297.9	0.010	1,068.3	10.7
200.0	0.005	1,430.0	0.005	1,364.0	6.8
500.0	0.002	1,450.0	0.003	1,440.0	4.3
1,000.0	0.001	1,500.0	0.001	1,475.0	1.5
				Total (US\$	134.4 4,480 x 10 <sup>3</sup> )

Table XI-7-3 FLOOD INUNDATION DAMAGE WITH PROJECT (1/2)

Return Period (Year)	Expected Frequency (Events/Year)	Damage Amount (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)	Events per Year within Interval	Average Damage per Interval (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis	c Av Da	nnual erage mage Intis)
Upper re	eaches of main	stream		· .		
0.5	2.0	0				•
1.0	1.0	0	1.0	0		0
2.0	0.5	0	0.5	0		0
5.0	0.2	0	0.3	0		0
10.0	0.1	0	0.1	0		0
30.0	0.033	0	0.067	0		0
50.0			0.013	0		0
	0.020	0	0.010	0		0
100.0	0.010	589.6	0.005	604.8	*.	3.0
200.0	0.005	620.0	0.003	637.5		1.9
500.0	0.002	655.0	0.001	662.5	**	0.7
1,000.0	0.001	670.0			. •	
		:		Total	(US\$187	5.6 x 10 <sup>3</sup> )
Middle r	eaches of main	stream				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0.5	2.0	0	1.0			
1.0	1.0	0	1.0	0		0
2.0	0.5	. 0	0.5	. 0		. 0
5.0	0.2	0	0.3	0		0 :
10.0	0.1	0	0.1	0 -		0
30.0	0.033	0	0.067	0		0
50.0	0.020	0	0.013	0		0
			0.010	0	:	0
100.0	0.010	351.6	0.005	363.3		1.8
200.0	0.005	375.0	0.003	387.5		1.2
500.0	0.002	400.0	0.001	410.0		0.4
1,000.0	0.001	420.0				**
				Total	(US\$113	3.4 x 10 <sup>3</sup> )

Table XI-7-3 FLOOD INUNDATION DAMAGE WITH PROJECT (2/2)

Return Period (Year)	Expected Frequency (Events/Year)	Damage Amount (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)	Events per Year within Interval	Average Damage per Interval (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)	Annual Average Damage (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)
Lower re	aches of main	stream			
0.5	2.0	0	1.0	0	0
1.0	1.0	0	0.5	0	0
2.0	0.5	0	0.3	0	0
5.0	0.2	0	0.1	0	0
10.0	0.1	0	0.067	0	0
30.0	0.033	0	0.013	0	0
50.0	0.020	1,297.9	0.010	0	0
200.0	0.010	1,430.0	0.005	1,364.0	6.8
500.0	0.002	1,450.0	0.003	1,440.0	4.3
1,000.0	0.001	1,500.0	0.001	1,475.0	1.5
				Total	12.4
			4	, (U	$(8$420 \times 10^3)$

Table XI-7-4 FLOOD INUNDATION DAMAGE AT LOWER REACHES OF JICAMARCA

Return Period (Year)	Expected Frequency (Events/Year)	Damage Amount (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis)	Events per Year within Interval	Average Damage per Interval (10 <sup>6</sup> Intis	Damage
Without	project				
0.5	2.0	0			
1.0	1.0		1.0	0	0
2.0	:	0	0.5	. 0	0
	0.5		0.3	0	0
5.0	0.2	<b>0</b> •	0.1	0	0
10.0	0.1	201.2	0.067	209.6	14.0
30.0	0.033	218.0	4		
50.0	0.020	223.3	0.013	220.7	2.9
100.0	0.010	235.8	0.010	229.6	2.3
<u> </u>		241.0	0.005	238.4	1.2
200.0	0.005		0.003	244.5	0.7
500.0	0.002	248.0	0.001	250.0	0.3
1,000.0	0.001	252.0			
				Total	21.4
			<u> </u>		(US\$713 x 10 <sup>3</sup> )
With pro	<u>ject</u>		-		
0.5	2.0	<b>0</b> .			
•			1.0	0	0
1.0	1.0	0	0.5	0	0
2.0	0.5	a <b>0</b> - 4	0.3	0	0
5.0	0.2	0 .	0.1	0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
10.0	0.1	0			
30.0	0.033	0	0.067	0	0 1
50.0	0.020	0	0.013	. 0	· <b>0</b>
		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	0.010	0	. 0
100.0	0.010	235.8	0.005	238.4	1.2
200.0	0.005	241.0	0.003	244.5	0.7
500.0	0.002	248.0	1		0.3
1,000.0	0.001	252.0	0.001	250.0	<b>0.3</b>
				Total	2.2 (US\$73 x 10 <sup>3</sup> )

#### Table XI-7-5 CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION(1))

Upper Reaches: A-1 Middle Reaches: B-1 Lower Reaches: C-1 Unit: US\$ 10^3 16.5819 % COST STREAM B-C 0 BENEFIT STREAM EIRR =

			Disbursem	······································				Discounted		Discounted	Net
Year	Fisical year	Upper Reaches	Middle Reaches	Lower Reaches	Jicamarca River	Amnual OSM Cost	Cost Total	Total Cost	Annual Benefit	Total Benefit	Present Worth
1	1990/1991	10	-	3,433	. <del>-</del>	-	3,443	2,953	0	0	(2,953)
2	1991/1992	15	-	6,865	-	_	5,881	5,063	. 0	0	(5,063)
3	1992/1993	15	-	6,866	-	-	6,881	4,343	. 0	0	(4,343)
4	1993/1994	15	6,274		599	66	6,954	3,764	1,447	783	(2,981)
5	1994/1995	2,718	6,274	~	-	66	9,057	4,206	1,447	672	(3,534)
6	1995/1996	5,435	-	-		115	5,550	2,211	2,687	1,070	(1,141)
7	1996/1997	5,435	-		<del>-</del> -	115	5,550	1,896	2,687	918	(978)
8	1997/1998					130	130	38	10,333	3,028	2,990
9	1998/1999				•,	130	130	. 33	10,333	2,597	2,565
10	1999/2000			-		130	130	28	10,333	2,228	2,200
11	2000/2001					130	130	24	10,333	1,911	1,887
12	2001/2002					130	130	21	10,333	1,639	1,619
13	2002/2003					130	130	18	10,333	1,406	1,388
14	2003/2004					130	130	. 15	10,333	1,206	1,191
15	2004/2005					130	130	13	10,333	1,035	1,022
16	2005/2006					130	130	11	10,333	887	876
17	2006/2007					130	130	10	10,333	761	752
18	2007/2008					130	130	8	10,333	653	645
19	2008/2009					130	130	7	10,333	560	553
20	2009/2010					130	130	6	10,333	480	474
21	2010/2011					130	130	5	10,333	412	407
22	2011/2012					130	130	4	10,333	353	349
23	2012/2013			•		130	130	4	10,333	303	299
24	2013/2014					130	130	3	10,333	260	257
25	2014/2015					130	130	3	10,333	223	220
26	2015/2016					130	130	2	10,333	191	189
27	2016/2017					130	130	2	10,333	164	162
	2017/2018					130	130	2	10,333	141	139
	2018/2019	4 5				130	130	2	10,333	121	. 119
30	2019/2020			*,		130	130	. 1	10,333	104	102
	2020/2021			٠.		130	130	1	10,333	89	88
	2021/2022		•			130	130	1	10,333	76	75
	2022/2023					130	130	1	10,333	65	65
	2023/2024					130	130	1	10,333	56	55
	2024/2025					130	130	1	10,333	48	47
	2025/2026					130	130	. 1	10,333	41	41
	2026/2027					130	130	. 0	10,333	35	35
	2027/2028					130	130	0	10,333	30	30
	2028/2029					130	130	0	10,333	26	26
	2029/2029					130	130	0	10,333	22	22
	2029/2030	1.	-			130	130	0	10,333	19	
	2030/2031					130	130	0	10,333	16	16
						130	130	. 0	10,333	14	
	2032/2033						130	0	10,333	12	12
	2033/2034					130			1.00		10
	2034/2035				•	130	130	0	10,333	10	
	2035/2036					130	130	0	10,333	9	9
	2036/2037					130	130	0	10,333		8
	2037/2038					130	130	0	10,333	7	6
	2038/2039					130	130	0	10,333	6	6
50 2	2039/2040					130	130	O <sub>1</sub>	10,333	5	5

#### Table XI-7-6 CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION(2))

Total 13,643 12,547 24,369

7,172 48,580 26,711 452,585 26,711

	EIRR =	Upper 15.8801	Reaches	: A-1 COST	Middle Rea STRE		l 3-C ≖	Lower Rea		C-2 I	Unit : US\$
	•	Disbursement of Investment				······································		Discounted	Discounted		Net
l e a	r Fisical year	Upper Reaches	Middle Reaches	Lower Reaches	Jicamarca River	Annual O&M Cost	Cost Total	Total Cost	Annual	Total Benefit	Present Worth
	1990/1991		-	4,874	-	-	4,943	4,268	. 0	0	(4,266)
	1991/1992	15	-	9,748	-		9,881	7,358	. 0	0	(7,358)
	1992/1993	15	-	9,748			9,881	6,350	0	0	(6,350)
	1993/1994	15	6,274	<b>-</b>	599	92	6,607	3,664	1,447	802	(2,862)
	1994/1995		6,274	-		92	7,042	3,370	1,447	692	(2,678)
Ι.	1995/1996	5,435	·	-	- '	140	1,759	726	2,587	1,110	383
	1996/1997	5,435	-	-	•	140	1,759	627	2,687	957	331
	1997/1998					156	156	48	10,333	3,178	3,130
	1998/1999					-156	156	41	10,333	2,743	2,701
	1999/2000					156	156	36	10,333	2,367	2,331
	2000/2001			4		156	156	31	10,333	2,042	2,012
	2001/2002				•	156	156	27	10,333	1,762	1,736
	2002/2003		•			156	156	23	10,333	1,521	1,498
	2003/2004				•	156	156	20	10,333	1,313	1,293
	2004/2005					156	156	17	10,333	1,133	1,116
	2005/2006				•	156	156	15	10,333	977	963
	2005/2007			•		156	156	13	10,333	843	831
	2007/2008			• :	:	156	156	11	10,333	728	717
					j.	156	156	9	10,333	528	619
	2009/2010					156	156	. 8	10,333	542	534
	2010/2011		:			156	156	7	10,333	468	461
	2011/2012	•				156	156	6	10,333	404	398
	2012/2013					156	156	5	10,333	348	343
	2013/2014					156	156	5	10,333	301	296
	2014/2015	*			1.	156	156	4	10,333	259	256
	2015/2016			•		156	156	3	10,333	224	220
	2016/2017					156	156	3	10,333	193	190
	2017/2018					156	156	3	10,333	167	164
	2018/2019					156	156	2	10,333	144	142
	2019/2020	1.				156	156	2	10,333	124	122
	2020/2021					156	156		10,333	107	108
	2021/2022					156	156		10,333	92	91
	2022/2023					156	156	•	10,333	80	79
	2023/2024		• .			156	156		10,333	69	68
	2024/2025					156	156		10,333	59	59
	2025/2026	:				156	156		10,333	51	51
	2026/2027					156	156		10,333	44	44
	2027/2028		*			156	156		10,333	38	38
	2028/2029				:	156	156		10,333	33	32
٠.	2029/2030			•		156	156		10,333	28	28
	2030/2031					156	156		10,333	25	24
	2031/2032					156	156	0	10,333	21	21
- 1	2032/2033	er er		**		156	156	. 0	10,333	18	18
	2033/2034					156	156	0	10,333	16	16
	2034/2035		11.1			156	156	0	10,333	14	13
	2035/2036		٠.			156	156	0	10,333	12	12
	2036/2037	1				156	156	0	10,333	. 10	10
	2037/2038		orași Linguesto de la			156	156	0	10,333	9	9
	2038/2039					156	156	0	10,333	8	7
) :	2039/2040				44	156	156	0	10,333	- 7	6

599

### Table XI-7-7 CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION(3))

Upper Reaches: A-1 Middle Reaches: B-2 Lower Reaches: C-1 Unit: US\$ 10^3
EIRR = 10.5049 % COST STREAM B-C = 0 BENEFIT STREAM

	`		Disbursem	ent of In	vestment	,, <b>v</b>		Discounted		Discounted	Net
ear.	Fisical year	Upper Reaches	Middle Reaches	Lower Reaches	Jicamarca River	Annual O&M Cost	Cost Total	Total Cost	Annual	Total Benefit	Present Worth
1	1990/1991	10	-	3,433	-	**************************************	3,443	3,116	0	. 0	(3,116)
2	1991/1992	15	-	6,866	-	-	6,881	5,635	0	0	(5,635)
3	1992/1993	15	~	6,866	-	-	6,881	5,100	. 0	0	(5,100)
4	1993/1994	15	23,286	-	599	66	23,966	16,072	1,447	970	(15, 102)
5	1994/1995	2,729	23,286	-	-	66	26,081	15,827	1,447	878	(14,950)
6	1995/1996	5,457	••	-		248	5,705	3,133	2,687	1,475	(1,658)
7	1996/1997	5,457	-		-	248	5,705	2,835	2,687	1,335	(1,500)
8	1997/1998	•				248	248	112	10,333	4,647	4,535
9	1998/1999					248	248	101	10,333	4,205	4,104
10	1999/2000					248	248	91	10,333	3,805	3,714
11	2000/2001					248	248	83	10,333	3,444	3,361
12	2001/2002					248	248		10,333	3,116	3,042
13	2002/2003		*			248	248		10,333	2,820	2,752
14	2003/2004					248	248		10,333	2,552	2,491
15	2004/2005					248	248		10,333	2,309	2,254
16	2005/2006					248	248		10,333	2,090	. 2,040
17	2006/2007					248	248		10,333	1,891	1,846
18	2007/2008		•			248	248		10,333	1,711	1,670
19	2008/2009					248	248		10,333	1,549	1,512
20	2009/2010					248	248		10,333	1,402	1,368
21	2010/2011					248	248		10,333	1,268	1,238
22	2011/2012					248	248		10,333	1,148	1,120
23	2012/2013					248	248		10,333	1,039	1,014
24	2013/2014					248	248		10,333	940	917
25	2014/2015		* 4			248	248		10,333	851	830
26	2015/2016					248	248		10,333	770	751
	2016/2017		•			248	. 248		10,333	697	680
	2017/2018		•			248	248		10,333	630	615
	2018/2019					248	248		10,333	570	557
	2019/2020		•			248	248		10,333	516	. 504
	2020/2021					248	248		10,333	467	456
	2021/2022					248	248		10,333	423	413
	2022/2023					248	248		10,333	383	373
	2023/2024					248	248		,	346	338
	2024/2025					248	248		10,333	313	306
	2025/2026					248	248		10,333	283	277
	2026/2027					248	248		10,333	257	250
	2027/2028					248	248		10,333	232	227
	2028/2029					248	248		10,333	210	205
	2029/2030					248	248		10,333	190	186
	2030/2031		:	٠.		248	248		10,333	172	168
	2031/2032		•			248	248		10,333	156	152
	2032/2033	•				248	248		10,333	141	137
	2033/2034					248	248		10,333		124
	2034/2035					248	248		10,333	115	113
	2035/2036					248	248		10,333	104	102
	2036/2037					248	248		10,333	•	92
	2037/2038					248	248		10,333	85	83
19	2038/2039			1	•	248	248		10,333		76
50	2039/2040			6.5		248	248	2	10,333	70	68

13,643 46,572 17,166 599 11,292 89,327 52,876 452,585 52,876 0

Total

## Table XI-7-8 CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION(4))

Upper Reaches: A-1 Middle Reaches: B-2 Lower Reaches: C-2 Unit: US\$ 10^3
EIRR = 9.5215 % C O S T S T R E A M B-C = 0 BENEFIT STREAM

ear Fisical	Upper	Disbursem Middle	Lower	Jicamarca	Annual:	Cost	Discounted Total	Annual	Discounted Total	Net Present
year	Reaches	Reaches	Reaches	River	O&M Cost	Total	Cost	Benefit	Benefit	Worth
1 1990/1991	10	-	4,874	**	<u>.</u>	4,884	4,459	0	0	(4,459
2 1991/1992	15	<del>-</del> .	9,748	-	-	9,763	8,139	0	0	(8,139
3 1992/1993	15	-	9,748	• -	, <del>-</del> ·	9,763	7,431	0	0	(7,431
4 1993/1994	15	23,286	•	599	92	23,992	16,675	1,447	1,005	(15,670
5 1994/1995	2,718	23,286	- '	-	92	26,096	16,560	1,447	918	(15,642
6 1995/1996	5,435	<del>-</del> ···	•	-	274	5,709	3,308	2,687	1,557	(1,75
7 1996/1997	5,435	-	· - ·	-	274	5,709	3,021	2,687	1,421	(1,59
8 1997/1998	Ļ				289	289	140	10,333	4,992	4,85
9 1998/1999			÷		289	289	127	10,333	4,558	4,43
0 1999/2000					289	289	116	10,333	4,161	4,04
1 2000/2001					289	289	106	10,333	3,800	3,69
2 2001/2002					289	289	97	10,333	3,469	3,37
3 2002/2003		1.			289	289	89	10,333	3,168	3,07
4 2003/2004					. 289	289	81	10,333	2,892	2,81
5 2004/2005					289	289	74	10,333	2,641	2,56
6 2005/2006					289	289	67	10,333	2,411	2,34
7 2006/2007				: :	289	289	62	10,333	2,202	2,14
8 2007/2008					289	289	56	10,333	2,010	1,95
9 2008/2009					289	289	51	10,333	1,835	1,78
0 2009/2010	·				289	289	47	10,333	1,676	1,62
2010/2011		200			289	289	43	10,333	1,530	1,48
2011/2012					289	289	39	10,333		-
2012/2013					289		36		1,397	1,35
2012/2013				1 2		289		10,333	1,276	1,24
					289	289	. 33	10,333	1,165	1,13
5 2014/2015	•		•		289	289	30	10,333	1,064	1,03
2015/2016					289	289	27	10,333	971 .	94
2016/2017	•	•			289	289	25	10,333	887	86
3 2017/2018					289	289	23	10,333	810	78
2018/2019					289	289	21	10,333	739	71
2019/2020					289	289	19	10,333	675	- 65
2020/2021					289	289	17	10,333	616	59
2021/2022					289	289	16	10,333	563	54
2022/2023					289	289	14	10,333	514	49
2023/2024					289	289	13	10,333	469	45
2024/2025				:	289	289	12	10,333	428	41
2025/2026					289	289	11	10,333	391	38
2026/2027			•		289	289	10	10,333	357	34
2027/2028					289	289	8	10,333	326	31
2028/2029					289	289	8	10,333	298	28
2029/2030					289	289	8	10,333	272	26
2030/2031					289	289	7 -	10,333	248	24
2031/2032		in the		•	289	289	6	10,333	227	22
2032/2033			•		289	289	6	10,333	207	20
2033/2034			4		289	289	5	10,333	189	18
2034/2035					289	289	. 5	10,333	172	16
2035/2036					289	289	4	10,333	157	15
2036/2037				:	289		4			
2037/2038	٠	re. New ag		•		289	•	10,333	144	14
					289	289	4	10,333	131	12
2038/2039					289	289	3	10,333	120	11
2039/2040					289	289	3	10,333	109	10

#### Table XI-7-9 CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION(5))

EIRR =

Upper Reaches : A-2 15.8812 %

Middle Reaches : B-1

STREAM

Lower Reaches : C-1

Unit: USS 10^3

COST B-C \*\* 0 BENEFIT STREAM Disbursement of Investment Discounted Discounted Net Year Fisical Upper Middle Lower Jicamarca Annual Cost Total Annual Total Present year Reaches Reaches Reaches River O&M Cost Total Cost Benefit Benefit Worth 1 1990/1991 20 3.433 3.453 2,980 0 (2,980)2 1991/1992 45 6.866 6,911 5,147 0 0 (5,147) 3 1992/1993 45 6.866 6,911 4,441 0 0 (4,441) 4 1993/1994 45 6.274 599 66 6,984 3,873 1,447 802 (3,071) 5 1994/1995 6,274 3.353 66 9,693 4,638 1,447 692 (3,946)6 1995/1996 6.706 115 6,821 2,817 2,687 1,109 (1,707)7 1996/1997 6.706 115 6,821 2,431 2,687 957 (1,473)8 1997/1998 170 170 52 10,333 3,178 3,126 9 1998/1999 170 170 45 10,333 2,742 2,697 10 1999/2000 170 170 39 10,333 2,366 2,328 11 2000/2001 170 170 34 10,333 2,042 2.009 12 2001/2002 170 170 29 10,333 1.762 1.733 13 2002/2003 170 170 25 10,333 1,521 1.496 14 2003/2004 170 170 22 10,333 1.312 1.291 15 2004/2005 170 170 19 10,333 1.132 1,114 16 2005/2006 170 170 10,333 16 977 961 17 2005/2007 170 170 10,333 14 843 829 18 2007/2008 170 170 12 10,333 728 716 19 2008/2009 170 170 10 10,333 628 618 20 2009/2010 170 170 9 10,333 542 533 21 2010/2011 170 170 8 10,333 468 460 22 2011/2012 170 170 7 10,333 404 397 23 2012/2013 170 170 6 10,333 348 343 24 2013/2014 170 170 5 10,333 301 296 25 2014/2015 170 170 4 10,333 255 26 2015/2016 170 170 10,333 224 220 27 2016/2017 170 170 10,333 193 190 28 2017/2018 170 170 10,333 167 164 29 2018/2019 170 170 10,333 144 141 30 2019/2020 170 170 10,333 124 122 31 2020/2021 170 170 2 .10,333 107 105 32 2021/2022 170 170 10,333 91 92 33 2022/2023 170 170 1 10.333 80 78 34 2023/2024 170 170 1 10.333 69 68 35 2024/2025 170 170 1 10,333 59 58 36 2025/2026 170 170 1 10,333 51 50 37 2026/2027 170 170 1 10,333 44 44 38 2027/2028 170 170 10,333 38 39 2028/2029 170 170 10,333 33 32 40 2029/2030 170 170 10,333 28 .. 28 41 2030/2031 170 170 10,333 25 24 42 2031/2032 170 170 10,333 21 21 43 2032/2033 170 170 10.333 18 18 44 2033/2034 170 170 10.333 ٥ 16 18 45 2034/2035 170 170 0 10,333 14 13 46 2035/2036 170 170 10,333 12 12 47 2036/2037 170 170 10,333 10 . - 10 48 2037/2038 170 170 10,333 9 49 2038/2039 170 170 10,333 50 2039/2040 170 170 10,333

7,672

#### Table XI-7-10 CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION(6))

Upper Reaches : A-2 Middle Reaches : B-1 13.7510 % COST STREAM B-C =

Lower Reaches : C-2

Unit : US\$ 10^3

EIRR =

16,920 12,547 24,369

Total

O BENEFIT STREAM

			Disbursen	ent of In	rvestment						4
Year	Fisical year	Upper Reaches	Middle Reaches	Lower Reaches	Jicamarca River	Annual O&M Cost	Cost Total	Discounted Total Cost	Annual	Discounted Total Benefit	Net Present Worth
1	1990/1991	20	=	4,874	-	-	4,894	4,302	. 0	<u> </u>	(4,302)
2	1991/1992	45	-	9,748		**	9,793	7,568	0	0	(7,568)
3	1992/1993	45	-	9,748		•	9,793	6,653	0	. 0	(6,653)
4	1993/1994	45	6,274	-	599	92	7,010	4,187	1,447	864	(3,323)
5	1994/1995	3,353	6,274	-		92	9,719	5,103	1,447	760	(4,343)
6	1995/1996	6,706	-	-	-	140	6,846	3,160	2,687	1,240	(1,920)
7	1996/1997	6,706	_	-	-	140	6,846	2,778	2,687	1,090	(1,688)
8	1997/1998					196	196	70	10,333	3,686	3,616
9	1998/1999					196	196	61	10,333	3,241	3,179
10	1999/2000					196	196	54	10,333	2,849	2,795
11	2000/2001					196	196	48	10,333	2,504	2,457
12	2001/2002					196	196	42	10,333	2,202	2,160
13	2002/2003					196	196	37	10,333	1,936	1,899
14	2003/2004					196	196	32	10,333	1,702	1,669
15	2004/2005					196	196	28	10,333	1,496	1,468
16	2005/2006					196	196	25	10,333	1,315	1,290
17	2006/2007					196	196	22	10,333	1,156	1,134
18	2007/2008					196	196	19	10,333	1.016	997
	2008/2009					196	196	17	10,333	893	877
20 :	2009/2010					196	196	15	10,333	785	771
21 2	2010/2011					196	196	13	10,333	691	677
22 2	2011/2012					196	196	12	10,333	607	596
23 2	2012/2013					196	196	10	10,333	534	524
24 2	2013/2014					196	198	9	10,333	469	460
25, 2	2014/2015					196	196	. 8	10,333	412	405
26 2	2015/2016					196	195	7	10,333	363	356
27 2	2016/2017		•			196	196	6	10,333	319	313
28 2	2017/2018			•		196	196	5	10,333	280	275
29 2	2018/2019	14				196	196	5	10,333	246	242
30 2	2019/2020				4.	196	196	. 4	10,333	217	212
31 2	2020/2021					198	196	4	10,333	190	187
32 2	2021/2022					196	196	3	10,333	167	164
33 2	2022/2023	:-				196	196	. 3	10,333	147	144
34 2	2023/2024					196	196	2	10,333	129	127
35 2	024/2025					196	196	2	10,333	114	112
36 2	025/2026					196	196	. 2	10,333	100	98
37. 2	026/2027					196	196	2	10,333	88	86
38 2	027/2028					196	196	· 1	10,333	77	76
39 2	028/2029					196	196	1	10,333	58	67
40 2	029/2030					196	196	. 1	10,333	60	59
41 2	030/2031					196	196	1,	10,333	52	51
42 2	031/2032					196	196	1	10,333	46	45
43 2	032/2033					196	196	1	10,333	41	40
44 2	033/2034					196	196	1	10,333	36	35
45 2	034/2035					196	196	1.	10,333	31	31
46 2	035/2036	÷ .				196	196	1	10,333	28	27
47.2	036/2037				**	196	196	0	10,333	24	24
48 2	037/2038					196	196	0	10,333	. 21	21
	038/2039			-	•	196	196	. 0	10,333	19	18
	039/2040		100				196				

599 8,892 63,327

34,328 452,585 34,328

#### Table XI-7-11 CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION(7))

EIRR ==

Total

16,920 46,572 17,166

Upper Reaches : A-2 Middle Reaches : B-2 10.1475 7 COST STREAM B-C =

Lower Reaches : C-1

Unit : US\$ 10^3

0 BENEFIT STREAM

			Disbursem	ent of In	vestment	<u>.</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Year	Fisical year	Upper Reaches	Middle Reaches	Lower Reaches	Jicamarca River	Annual O&M Cost	Cost Total	Discounted Total Cost	Annual	Discounted Total Benefit	Net Present Worth
1	1990/1991	20	~	3,433			3,453	3,135	0	0	(3,135)
2	1991/1992	45	-	6,866	-	-	6,911	5,697	. 0	0	(5,697)
3	1992/1993	45	-	6,865	-	-	6,911	5,172	. 0	0	(5,172)
4	1993/1994	45	23,286	-	599	66	23,996	16,302	1,447	983	(15,319)
5	1994/1995	3,353	23,286	-	<del>-</del> .	65	26,705	16,471	1,447	892	(15,579)
6	1995/1996	6,706	-	-	-	248	6,954	3,894	2,687	1,504	(2,390)
7	1996/1997	6,706		••		248	6,954	3,535	2,687	1.366	(2,169)
8	1997/1998					303	303	140	10,333	4,769	4,629
9	1998/1999					303	303	127	10,333	4,330	4,203
10	1999/2000					303	303	115	10,333	3,931	3,816
11	2000/2001			*		303	303	105	10,333	3,569	3,464
12	2001/2002					303	303	95	10,333	3,240	3,145
13	2002/2003					303	303	86	10,333	2,941	2,855
14	2003/2004					303	303	78	10,333	2,670	2,592
15	2004/2005					303	303	71	10,333	2,424	2,353
16	2005/2006					303	303	65	10,333	2,201	2,137
17	2006/2007					303	303	59	10,333	1,998	1,940
18	2007/2008		i			303	303	53	10,333	1,814	1,761
19	2008/2009					303.	303	48	10,333	1,647	1,599
20	2009/2010					303	303	44	10,333	1,495	1,451
21	2010/2011					303	303	40	10,333	1,358	1,318
22	2011/2012					303	303	36	10,333	1,232	1,196
23	2012/2013					303	303	33	10,333	1,119	1,086
24	2013/2014					303	303	30	10,333	1,016	986
25	2014/2015					303	303	27	10,333	922	895
26	2015/2016					303	303	25	10,333	837	813
	2016/2017					303	303	22	10,333	750	738
	2017/2018					303	303	20	10,333	690	670
	2018/2019		:			303	303	18	10,333	627	608
	2019/2020					303	303	17	10,333	569	552
	2020/2021	•				303	303		10,333	516	501
	2021/2022					303	303	14	10,333	469	455
	2022/2023			4		303	303	12	10,333	426	413
	2023/2024		,			303	303	11	10,333	386	375
	2024/2025					303	303		10,333	351	341
	2025/2026					303	303	9	10,333	319	309
	2026/2027					303	303	8	10,333	289	281
	2027/2028					303	303		10,333	263	255
	2028/2029				•	303	303	7 -	10,333	238	231
	2029/2030			*	•	303	303	6	10,333	216	210
	2030/2031			•		303	303		10,333	The second second	
	2030/2031	•								196	191
	2031/2032					303 303	303		10,333	178	173
	2032/2033						303	5	10,333	162	157
						303	303	. 4	10,333	147	143
	034/2035					303	303		10,333	133	130
	1035/2036					303	303		10,333	121	118
	2036/2037	•				303	303		10,333	110	107
	037/2038			-		303	303	3	10,333	100	97
	038/2039					303	303	3	10,333	91	88
50 2	039/2040					303	303	. 2	10,333	82	80

599 13,657 94,914

55,700 452,585 55,700

#### Table XI-7-12 CASH FLOW AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (ALTERNATIVE CASE OF COMBINATION(8))

Upper Reaches : A-2 Middle Reaches : B-2

Lower Reaches: C-2 Unit: US\$ 10^3

EIRR =

9.2228 % COST STREAM B-C =

0 BENEFIT STREAM

			Disbursen	ent of In	vestment			Discounted	-	Discounted	Net
rear	Fisical year	Upper Reaches	Middle Reaches	Lower Reaches	Jicamarca River	Annual OSM Cost	Cost Total	Total Cost	Annua1	Total Benefit	Present Worth
1	1990/1991	20	_	4,874		<u>.</u>	4,894	4,481	0	0	(4,481)
2	1991/1992	45	-	9,748			9,793	8,209	0	0	(8,209)
3	1992/1993	45		9,748	-	· -	9,793	7,516	0	. 0	(7,516)
4	1993/1994	45	23,286	<b></b>	599	92	24,022	16,879	1,447	1,016	(15,863)
5	1994/1995	3,353	23,286	_	-	92	26,731	17,197	1,447	931	(16,266)
6	1995/1996	6,706	<del>-</del>	-	-	274	6,980	4,111	2,687	1,582	(2,529)
7	1996/1997	6,706	~	-	-	274	6,980	3,764	2,687	1,449	(2,315)
8	1997/1998					329	329	162	10,333	5,102	4,939
9	1998/1999					329	329	149	10,333	4,671	4,522
10	1999/2000		•		:	329	329	136	10,333	4,277	4,140
11	2000/2001					329	329	125	10,333	3,915	3,791
12	2001/2002					329	329	114	10,333	3,585	3,471
13	2002/2003					329	329	105	10,333	3,282	3,178
14	2003/2004					329	329	96	10,333	3,005	2,909
15	2004/2005					329	329	88	10,333	2,751	2,664
16	2005/2006					329	329	80	10,333	2,519	2,439
17	2006/2007					329	329	73	10,333	2,306	2,233
18	2007/2008		·	•		329	329	67	10,333	2,111	2,044
19	2008/2009					329	329	62	10,333	1,933	1,872
20	2009/2010					329	329	56	10,333	1,770	1,714
21	2010/2011		•			329	329	. 52	10,333	1,621	1,589
22	2011/2012				: •	329	329	47	10,333	1,484	1,436
23	2012/2013					329	329	43	10,333	1,358	1,315
24	2013/2014	٠				329	329	40	10,333	1,244	1,204
25	2014/2015		•	•		329	329	36	10,333	1,139	1,102
26 .	2015/2016					329	329	33	10,333	1,043	1,009
27	2016/2017					329	329	30	10,333	954	924
28 .:	2017/2018					329	329	28	10,333	874	846
29	2018/2019					329	329	25	10,333	800	775
30	2019/2020					329	329	23	10,333	733	709
31 :	2020/2021				7	329	329	21	10,333	671	549
32	2021/2022					329	329	20	10,333	614	595
33 :	2022/2023					329	329	18	10,333	562	544
	2023/2024	-		•		329	329	16	10,333	515	498
	2024/2025					329	329	15	10,333	471	456
	2025/2026					329	329	14	10,333	431	418
	2026/2027					329	329	13	10,333	395	382
	2027/2028					329	329	12	10,333	362	350
	2028/2029		•			329	329	11	10,333	331	321
	2029/2030					329	329	10	10,333	303	294
	2030/2031					329	329	. 9	10,333	278	269
-									and the second	•	
	2031/2032					329 329	329	8	•	254	246
	2032/2033						329	7	10,333	233	225
	2033/2034				*	329	329	7	10,333	213	206
	2034/2035	-				329	329	6	10,333	195	189
	2035/2036					329	329	6	10,333	179	173
100	2036/2037					329	329	. 5	10,333	163	158
	2037/2038					329	329	5	10,333	150	145
	2038/2039		٠.			329	329	4	10,333	137	133
50 2	2039/2040				÷	329	329	4	10,333	125	121

# Figures





