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## METEOROLOGY AND HYDROLOGY

## 1. General

During the survey works in Tanzania, collection of meteorological and hydrological data was made to furnish as ample data as possible to the feasibility study of the Project. Studies were made to collect data mainly to set up the criteria for the planming of the Project which have been used in the relevant parts of the study report. In this Annex-I, the process of the main studies is set forth briefly.
2. Meteorology
2.1 Data

### 2.1.1 Rainfall data

Rainfall data were collected mainly from the Regional Water Office in Kilimanjaro, Moshi, and from the Ministry of Water, Energy and Minerals, Dar es Salaam. Collected data cover the daily and monthly rainfall data of 35 rainfall stations for the durations graphed in Pigure l-l Locations and history of the stations are shown in Figure I-2 and Table I-1. The average, maximur and minimum depth of monthly rainfall are summarized in Tables $I-2$ and $I-3$.

## 2.1 .2 Meteorological data

Meteorological data other than rainfall were collected from the East African Meteorological Department, Dar es Salaam, and from other agencies operating meteorological stations.

Pive (5) fully equipped meteorological stations have been operating in and around the Lower-Moshi area. The latest 10 years of data were collected from these stations for study, A list of these stations is as follows.

| Name of Station | Operating Organization | Commencement Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Moshi Meteorological Station | E.A.M.D. | 1932 |
| 2. Miwaleni Sub-station of the Lyamungu ARI | Minc of Agriculture | 1971 |
| 3. NAFCO Kahe Estate | NAFCO | 1966 |
| 4. TPC Langasani | TPC | 1935 |
| 5. Lyamungu ARI | Min. of Agriculture | 1935 |

Locations of the above five stations are shown in Figure $1-2$. Among the above stations, Miwaleni sub-station was used as a key station for agronomic study because it is located almost in the center of the Lower-Moshi area.

### 2.2 Seasons

The cliratic seasons of Tanzania are controlled by the north and south movement of the earth on its axis. The area experiencing greatest heating due to solar energy, refored to as the Heat Trough, also experiences low atmospheric pressure. The movement of the Heat Trough, or low pressure center, follows with a lag of about four to six weeks behind the place of the stin's maximum elevation.

The sun is approximately overhead in Tanzania in early March and mid-october, so that the Heat Trough can be expected to have maximum effect about early April and mid-November, This trough of low pressure in the region produces a general movement of air mass from the surrounding high pressure belt. The result is a zone of convergence causing vertical upward movement of the air and precipitation. This continues from March to May causing the long rains, and fron November to December causing the light rainsl/.

Meteorology in the Lower-Moshi area is characterized by three seasons; the rainy season from Maxch to May, the dry season from June to October and the light-rainy season from November to February as show in the following figure.

(Data: Miwaleni Sub-station, 1972-1979 avexage)

1/: FAO/UNDP, Survey and Plan for Irrigation Developnent in the Pasgani and Nami River Basins, Final Report, 1969.

### 2.3 Meteorology of the Lower-Moshi Area

Monthly average meteorological data obtained from five meteorological stations, is sumarized in Tables $I-4$ to I-8. Data is also show in figures I-3 and I-4 in a graphic form. As shown in these figures, the variation of solar radiation is reflected by the novement of the earth on its axis, In general, meteorological items such as temperature, relative humidity and evaporation varies widely under the influence of both solar energy and rainfall depth.

Mean temperature varies from $2 l^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ throughout the year with a lag of about one month behind the maximun effect of the sun. The average daily maximum temperature rises above $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from 0 ctober to April. Because of the high altitude over 700 m , the daily minimum temperature falls below $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ even in the hottest season. Daily variation of temperature is over $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in January. The absolute maximum and minimum daily temperatures observed at Miwaleni sub-station are $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $9.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively.

The monthly average of relative humidity at 3 p.m. varies from 43 to $64 \%$. Due to effect of rainfall, the relative humidity increases from March and reaches to its maximum in May. After the rainy season, it decreases gradually. During the short-rain season, the relative humidity is almost constant.

Pan evaporation varies widely throughout the year from $3 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day in May to $9 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day in January. From october to March, the evaporation seens to be constant in a range from 8 to $9 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{day}$.

Winds are light from April to August and moderate from September to March, and predominantly from south to southeast.

### 2.4.1 Rainfall characteristies

## (1) Seasonal distribution

Monthly distribution patterns of average rainfall for each rainfall station are shom in Figure $1-5$. In the Lover-Moshi area, about 50 to $65 \%$ of annual rainfall occur during the rainy season with a horizontal variation as shown below.

## Rainfall Distribution in the Lower-Moshi Area

|  |  | Annual | Seasonal Distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | $\frac{\text { Altitude }}{(\mathrm{m})}$ | $\frac{\text { Rainfall }}{(\mathrm{mm})}$ | $\frac{\text { Rainy }}{(\%)}$ | $\frac{\text { Dry }}{(\%)}$ | $\frac{\text { Light-xain }}{(\%)}$ |
| 1. Western area |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Moshi Meteo. Sta. | 813 | 878 | 66 | 12 | 22 |
| 2. NAYCO Kahe Estate | 710 | 495 | 61 | 11 | 28 |
| 2. Middle area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miwaleni Sub-sta. | 770 | 705 | 63 | 11 | 26 |
| 3. Eastern area |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Himó Sisal Estate | 810 | 805 | 53 | 12 | 35 |
| 2. Kifaru Sisal Estate | 700 | 486 | 49 | 12 | 38 |

## (2) 50 years' variation of rainfall

Among the rainfall stations in the Lower-Moshi area and river basins relevant to the area, Moshi meteorological station (El. 813 m ) and Kilema mission (El. $1,422 \mathrm{~m}$ ) is a key station for the hydrological study because recorded duration and quality of data are satisfactory. The long-term tendency of annual rainfalls for these two stations is shown in Figure $1-6$ in the form of annual average and 5-year moving average.

As shown in the figure, the wettest and dryest decades in these 50 years are the 1930 s and 1950 s, respectively. Average annual rainfall during the latest decade (1970s) seems to be almost on the same level as the 50 -year average. However, the figures indicate that there is no obvious periodicity for annual rainfalls.

## (3) Correlation among rainfall stations

Correlation of monthly and annual rainfall between representative rainfall stations (such as Moshi neteorological station and Kilema mission station) and other stations are analyzed using a correlation coefficient. Results are shown in Table I-9 and summarized below.

## Correlation of Annual Rainfall

Note: A Correlated with 1 \% level of significance Bi " with $5 \%$ "
Rainfall Station

1. Moshi Meteo. Station
2. Miwaleni Sub-station
3. Himo Sisal Estate
4. NafCo Kahe Estate
5. TPC Langasani
6. Kilema Mission
7. Lyamungu


As show in the above results, the recorded point rainfall in and around the Lower-Moshi area are well correlated each other. Hence, some incomplete records can be supplemented using records obtained from reliable rainfall stations such as Moshi meteorological station and Kilema mission station.

A comparison of annual rainfall between Moshi Meteorological station and other rainfall stations in the Lower-Moshi area was made as shown in figure $T-7$. There is a close relation anong them as the following ratios indicate.


## (4) Correlation of rainfall with altitude

On the southern slope of Mt. Kilimanjaro, rainfall is dominated by the orographic precipitation. In this area, there is a close relation between rainfall amount and altitude.

The relation between altitude and amual rainfalls (average, $10-$ year storm rainfall and 10 -year drought rainfall) is shown in Figure $1-8$. An annual rainfall in altitudes from 1,600 to $1,800 \mathrm{~m}$ averages as high as $2,000 \mathrm{~mm}$. This high rainfall belt crosses almost the middle area of watershed of major rivers, and the annual rainfall decreases from this belt upwards and downwards along the slopes of Mt. Kilimanjaro.

The relation between average nonthly rainfall and altitude is graphed as shown in Figures $I-9$ and $1-10$. These figures are used for estimation of areal rainfall for each river basin as mentioned in section 2.4.3.

### 2.4.2 Rainfall intensity

## Daily maximum rainfall

Daily cainfall data are available from many stations in the study area. The absolute maximum daily rainfall is 281 min which was observed at Kilema mission station in Apill 1947. The probable daily maximum rainfall for the representativo rainfall stations is calculated by using the Gumbel method. The estimated results are summarized: below.


Results are graphed together with altitude as shown in Figure I-ll for catchmont areas and in Figure $1-12$ for the lower-Moshi area. The former will apply to the calculation of design flood discharge and the latter to the calculation of design drainage requirements.

## (2) Rainfall intensity

Hourly rainfall records obtained by an automatic recorder are available from Lyamungu ARI station. In order to estimate the design flood discharge for the Rau, the Mue and seasonal rivers, the relation between daily rainfall and rainfall intensity analyzed using data observed at Lyamungu ARI station.

In general, rainfall intensity can be calculated from daily rainfall data using the following formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}=\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{t}} / \mathbf{t} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{t}}=\mathbf{R}_{24}\left(\frac{t}{24}\right)^{\mathrm{K}}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
where; \(\quad r_{t}=\) Rainfall intensity during \(t\) hours ( \(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}\) )
\(R_{t}=\) Rainfall during \(t\) hours (mim)
\(\mathrm{R}_{24}=\) Rainfall during 24 hours (mm)
\(t\) = Time in hours
\(\mathrm{K}=\) Coefficient
```

Among hourly rainfall data collected, consecutive rainfall records with an intensity more than $30 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ are selected and graphed as shown in figure 1-13. The highest rainfall intensity in a consecutive rainfallgenerally occurs 2 or 3 hours after the rainfall begins. Then, the ratio of Rt to R24 is plotted against time in hours for each consecutive rainfall as shown in Figure I-14. Based on the figure, the coefficient value $(K)$ is determined at $1 / 3$.

### 2.4.3 Isohyet and areal rainfall

(1)

## Isohyet map

The isohyet for the average annual rainfall is prepared as shown in Figure $1-2$ based on annual rainfall records for each rainfall station and the correlation of rainfall with altitude. In the LowerMoshi area, the isohyet of 500 mm runs east and west near the southern boundary of the project area. The rainfall increases northward correlating with altitude and reaches 800 mem near the northern boundary of the Lower-Moshi area, or the Moshi-Taveta road. The areal rainfall in the Lower-Moshi area is calculated at 590 min per year using the isohyetal method.

## (2) Areal rainfall coe catchment areas

For the purpose to study on water balance for each river basin, areal rainfall is estimated. First, relation between cumulative catchment area and altitude for each river basin is graphed as shown in Figures $1-15$ and $1-16$. Next, areal rainfall is estimated applying Pigure (-9 and $1-10$ (correlation of monthly rainfall with altitude) to the above figures. Results are summarized in Table I-10.

### 2.4.4 Effective rainfall for water balance study

Effective rainfall applied to the water balance study for river basins can be defined as;
(Effective Rainfall) (Rainfall)- (Evapotranspiration)
Re
R
ET
In order to calculate areal evapotranspiration for each river basin, the following process is adopted.
(i) Preparation of a figure showing ET-Altitude relation (Figure 2-17),
(ii) Preparation of figures showing catchment area - Altitude relation (Figure I-15),
(iii) Calculation of areal ET values in combination with the above figures.

First, process for preparation of figure on ET-Altitude relation is described below briefly.
(i) Data: Monthly average Meteorological records obtained at Lyamungu ARI station (E1. 1268 m ). (see Trble I-8)
(ii) Calculation formula:

The Blaney-Criddle equation ${ }^{1 /}$ is selected for calculation of potential evapotranspiration based on comparison with pan evaporation records (see Table I-11). The equation is expressed as:

$$
E T O=c \cdot[p \cdot(0,46 t+8)] \quad \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{day}
$$

where, $\quad E T o=p o t e n t i a l$ evapotranspiration for the month considered (mm/day),
$t=$ mean daily temperature over the month considered ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ),
$P$ = mean daily percentage of tatal annual daytime hours for a given month and latitude,
$c \quad=$ adjustment factor which depends on minimum relative humidity, sunshine hours and daytime wind estimates
(iii) Assumptions
(1) Nonthly meteorological characteristies such as minimun relative humidity, sunshine hours and daytime winds for elevated areas are the same as that of Lyamungu ARI station, and (2) Temperature falls at a rate of $0.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per 100 m in elevated areas.
(iv) Calculation of ETo:

Based on the above equation and assumptions, potential evapotranspiration can be calculated by the following equations (see Table 1-11).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { April }- \text { Septeraber } ; & \text { ETo }=0.117 t+0.20 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{day} \\
\text { October }- \text { March }: E T o=0.130 t+0.43 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{day}
\end{array}
$$

(v) Classification of land cover:

Por the purpose of estimating crop factors (ke), land covers on the slope of Mt. Kilimanjaro are classified as follows, (see Figare $I-17$ )

[^0]| Elevation | Land Use | kc |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| higher than $2,700 \mathrm{~m}$ | Bare land | $0.29-0.96$ |
| $2,700-1,800 \mathrm{~m}$ | Porest | 0.85 |
| lower than $1,800 \mathrm{~m}$ | Plantation \& | 0.90 |

(vi) Caloulation of ET:

After determining ETO, monthly ET values can be predicted using the crop coefficient (kc), or

$$
\mathbf{E T}=\mathrm{kc} \cdot \mathbf{E T O}
$$

## (vii) ET-Altitude relationt

Following the above procedure, monthly ET values for areas with different elevation are calculated and then, annual ET values are plotted against altitude as shown in Bigure $1-17$.

Galculations of areal ET values for each river basin were made in combination with the above ET-Altitude relations. Results are shown in Table I-12. These values will be used for the simulation of river discharge for the Rau and Himo rivers as mentioned in the following chapter and water balance study discussed in Annex II.

## 3. Hydrology

### 3.1 Discharge Data

The responsibility for the collection and publication of discharge records rests primarily with the Ministry of Water, Energy and Minerals, Dar Es Salaam, Discharge Records from 1955 to 1970 are available in the Hydrological Year Book published every five years by the Ministry. Records of recent ten years were collected as much as possible from the Regional Water Office in Kilimanjaro, Moshi. Collected data cover the daily data and spot data of 21 stations with duration as shown in Figure $\mathbf{1 - 1 8}$. All collected data are compiled in the Data Book.

Six gauging stations have been operated in the Lower-Moshi area by the method of daily reading of gauging staffs. In addition, periodical discharge measurement using current meter have been conducted by the Regional Water office for the purpose to check the rating curves of each gauging station.

The gauging statins in operation in and around the Lower-Moshi area are listed as shown below. The detailed information is sumarized in Table $\mathrm{I}-13$. Locations of each station are shown in Figure I-19.

| Station number | River system | River | Catchment area $\left(\mathrm{km}^{3}\right)$ | Openning $\qquad$ | Measuring method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 DC 3A | Rau | Rau | 300 | 1960 | Gauging stafi |
| 1 DC 35 | " | Njoro | 24 | 1958 |  |
| 1 DC 6 | Mue | Mue | 250 | 1956 |  |
| 1 DC 33 | " | Miwalení | 81 | 1958 | , |
| 1 DC 11A | Himo | Himo | 272 | 1968 |  |
| 1 DC 1 | Ruvu | Ruvu | 2,590 | 1957 | Auto, recorder |
| $1 . \mathrm{DC} 1$ | Kikuletwa | Kikuletwa | 3,840 | 1952 | " $\because$ " |
| 1 DD 8A | " | Kikafu | 198 | 1978 | $\cdots$ |

The average values for the collected discharge records are summarized in Table $1-14$.

### 3.2 Check Discharge Measurement

Check measurement of discharge of the Rau river system (downstream of the Moshi-Taveta road), the Himo river and the Miwaleni springs were conducted during the field investigation works from January to Pebruary 1980. The main purpose of the measurement was to clarify the difference between actual available discharge and records obtained from each gauging station. Looations of the measuring sitos and results of measurement are shown in Figure. I-20.

## 3.2 .1 Njoro river

Through discharge measurement, it was clarified that the Njororiver originates from 15 springs, i.e. 11 springs flowing upstream of the gauging station (1 DC 35) and 4 springs stream as shown in Table I-15. Hence, discharge records observed from this station are always less than the total available amount of the Njoro water, The discharge values measured at the downstream site after all spring water joins with the Njoro river were 1.43 times the discharge recorded at this station as shown in Table I-15.

Based on this fact, modification of the collected data will be made in order to estimate total available discharge of the Njoro river.
3.2 .2 Rau river

The discharge measured at the upper site (1 DC 5) and the lower site (confluence of the Njoro river) on the Rau river were 90 and 780 1it/sec respectively as shown in Pigure $1-20$. This fact suggests possibility of; (1) existence of underflow water of the Rau river, (2) return flow from adjacent paddy fields, and (3) existence of springs unknown.

During the field investigations, reasons of the above difference could not be confimed, and accordingly many factors necessary for the modification on the observed data at the gauging stations (1 DC 5) were left unknown.

On the Rau river, many traditional intakes are located irregularly and a oonsiderable amount of available water is taken into traditional furrows. Hence, records obtained from the gauging station, 1 DC 3 (A), cannot be used for estimation of amout of available water for the Rau river.

### 3.2.3 Miwaleni springs

Check discharge measurement for the Miwaleni springs was carried out twice in Pebruary 1980. The results of measurement were $4.085 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ on 4 th Pebruary and $4.067 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ on 12 th February, which were not affected by surface runoff by rainfall.

The gauging station, 1 DC 33 , is located at the intake facilities for the NAFCO canal, which is located about 1 km downstream from the Miwaleni springs. The gauging station consists of three gauging staffs, i.e. (1) at the inlet of the NAFCO canal, (2) just downstream of the concrete weir and (3) downstream of the coffer dam where the considerable amount of leakage occurs. Trials were made to prepare rating curves for each gauging staff in order to convert gauge readings into discharge. However, reliable results could not be obtained because quality of collected data mas poor. Hence, recent data collected from the Regional Water office were not used for project plannings.

### 3.2.4 Himo yiver

On the Himo river, there are two concrete weirs with control gates between two gauging stations, i, e. 1 DC $11^{2}$ and 1 DC $11 A$ as show in Figure 1-20. On the upstream of 1 DC 11, three, traditional intakes exist and divert river water without control. Hence, records obtained from the gauging stations are affected by the diversion discharge of these intakes.

Since there are no records of the amount of diverted discharge for estimation of total available discharge on the Himo river, the discharge records obtained from the gauging station, 1 DC ll, will be used for estimation of expected runoff of the Himo river as a conservative estimate for irrigation use.

### 3.3 Estimation of Available Discharge

## 3.3 .1 Njoro river (springs)

The Njoro river originates from 15 springs as clarified during the field investigations from January to February 1980. The gauging station, 1 DC 35, has been operated from 1965 at about 6 km upstream from the confluence, with the Rau river. The Njoro river has a drainage area of about $24 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, in which the water route is poorly developed. Based on data analysis and interviews of residents, the surface runoff from the drainage basin into the Njoro river can be neglected and hence, the recorded discharge obtained from the gauging station, 1 DC 35, are considered to wholly originate from a group of springs.

The rating curve for the gauging station, 1 DC 35 , was prepared using actual measurement data by current moter as shom in Figuae $1-21$. The gauge reading records (1976-1979) collected from the Regional Water office were converted into discharge using this rating curve. Data from 1965 to 1975 are available from the Hydrological Year Books in the form of discharge. This discharge data is summarized in Table 1-14(2).

Discharge data in Table $1-14(2)$ covering 15 years from 1965 to date were modified using the conversion rate of 1.43 as mentioned in section 3.2.1. Results are shown in Table $I-15$, and are used as $a$ base for irrigation planning discussed in Annex $V$. The average and the probable discharge are sumarized as follows and graphed in Figure $1-22$.

Available Discharge of the Njoro River
(1 DC 35: $1965-1979$ )

$$
\text { (Unit: } \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s} \text { ) }
$$

| Item | Jan | Yeb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 1.45 | 1.37 | 1.36 | 1.55 | 1.53 | 1.56 | 1.66 | 1.71 | 1.70 | 1.63 | 1.51 | 1.50 |
| Probable Discharge (Non-exceedence) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $80 \%$ | 1.83 | 1.68 | 1.71 | 1.84 | 1.85 | 1.96 | 2.07 | 2.11 | 2.04 | 2.03 | 1.84 | 1.86 |
| $50 \%$ | 1.39 | 1.22 | 1.33 | 1.51 | 1.53 | 1.52 | 1.60 | 1.62 | 1.65 | 1.57 | 1.49 | 1.45 |
| $20 \%$ | 1.06 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.27 | 1.31 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.13 |

### 3.3.2 Miwalent springs

Discharge measurement for the Miwaleni spings have been carried out at the gauging station, 1 DC 33, from 1958 to-date. However, reliable daily records are available for only 5 years from 1966 to 1970. Before 1965; only spot data are available, which vere measured for the purpose of confirming the water source for the Kahe Irrigation Project. All collected data are compiled in the Data Book. The monthly discharge data is summarized in Table $1-16$.

The gauging station, 1 DC 33, has a drainage area of $81 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, and the seasonal runoff enters into the streans or springs during the rainy season as shown in Figure 1-22. Since available data are quite limited (as short as 5 years) the lowest monthly records for each month among 5 years data are selected and used for irrigation planning as a conservative estimate.

Available Discharge of the Miwaleni Springs (1 DC 33: 1966-1970)
(Unit: $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ )

Monthly
Low 1/ $\quad 3.57 \quad 3.543 .593 .723 .87 \quad 3.83 \quad 3.59 \quad 3.543 .51 \quad 3.593 .603 .61$
1/: These values are used for irrigation planning.
3.3.3 Rau river
(1)

## Availability of data

Discharge records on the Rau river are available from two gauging sites, i, e, 1 DC 5 at the Moshi-Taveta road and 1 DC 3 (A) at Kahe as shown below.

| Station No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catchment } \\ & \text { Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Operation <br> Duration (Years) | Data | Recorded Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) |
| 1 DC 5 | 122 | 1955. 1-1959.6 (4) | Spot data | 2.30 |
| 1 DC 3 | 300 | 1956. 11 - 1959. 10 (3) | Daily data | 2.51 |
| 1 DC 3A | 300 | 1960. 9-3 (20) | Daily data | 2.40 |

The discharge data recorded at Kahe (1 DC 3 or 1 DC 3A) cannot be used for estimation of expected runoff because of effects by existing traditional intakes as mentioned in the previous section. On the other hand, discharge records observed at No. 1 DC 5 station are insufficient because they are spot data measured once a month for four years.

Since discharge records obtained on the Rau river are not usable for estimation of expected runoff, the runoff simulation by use of the Sugawara's reservoir model (or "Tank Model") was conducted applying data obtained from the adjoining watershed (Karanga river).

First, spot data of the Rau river obtained at No, DC 5 station are compared with data recorded on the same date from other gauging stations such as 1 DD 3 on the Karanga river, 1 DD $5 A$ on the Weru Weru river, 1 DD 8 on the Kikafu river and 1 DC 11 on the Himo river as shown in Table I-17. It was found that the rumoff pattern of the Karanga river was quite similar to that of the Rau river with a correlation coefficient of $88 \%$. Hence, Daily records obtained from the Karanga irver were used as an adjustment index for runoff simulation by the dank model.

Second, in order to decide coefficients of the Tank (numbers, size and height of orifices on the Tank), daily rainfall and evapotranspiration data are necessary. Among rainfall stations, Lyamungu ARI station is located near the watershed of the Karanga river. The recorded duration and quality of data there are satisfactory for study purposes. Hence, daily rainfall data from this station was used as input data for the simulation. The conversion rates from point rainfall to areal rainfall for the Karanga basin are shown in Figure I-23, which are processed from Table $I-10$ and monthly average rainfall at the Lyamungu as shown in Table 1-2. Monthly evapotranspiration values for the Karanga basin are summarized in Table I-12.

Based on the above preparation, the Tank Model simulation was conducted by the trial and exror method using computer. The final model selected is show in Pigure $1-23$. Results of simulated rimoff using the final model are partially shown in Figure $I-24$ in the form of a 5 -day average compared with the actual discharge.

## (3)

## Calculation of runoff of the Rauriver

Runoff of the Rau river at No. 1 DC 5 station is calculated by use of the final model applying daily rainfall data recorded at Moshi meteorological station from 1965 to 1979. The conversion rates from point rainfall to areal rainfall were caloulated following the aforementioned procedure. Monthly evapotranspiration used for the calculation are summarized in Table $1-12$. The estimated daily runoff is sumarized into monthly values and is shown in Table $1-19$. The average and the probable discharges are as shown below. These are graphed in Figure $\mathrm{I}-22$.
$\frac{\text { Available Discharge of the Rau River }}{(1 \mathrm{DC} 5:}$
(Unit: $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ )

| Item | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May |  |  |  | Aug |  |  |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 0.77 | 1.05 | 1.85 | 2.91 | 3.67 | 2.3 |  | 1. | 0. | 0.72 | 0. |  | 1.06 | 0.90 |

Probable Discharge (Non-exceedence)

| 80 | $\%$ | 1.56 | 1.95 | 3.25 | 6.25 | 4.10 | 3.10 | 1.80 | 1.37 | 1.05 | 1.10 | 2.05 | 1.73 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $50 \%$ | 0.61 | 0.70 | 1.40 | 3.21 | 3.50 | 2.28 | 1.22 | 0.72 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.74 | 0.64 |  |
| $20 \%$ | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.60 | 1.60 | 3.00 | 1.66 | 0.81 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.23 |  |

3.3 .4 Himo river
(1)

## Availability of Data

Daily discharge records on the Himo river are available from two gauging stations,i.0, 1 DC 11 (operated from 1952 to 1959) at the Moshi-Taveta road and 1 DC 11A (operated from 1968 to date) at about 13 km domstream of 1 DC 11 . Since the proposed intake sites for the project are located between the above two gauging stations, the records obtained from the upper gauging station, 1 DC11, are used for the study. Average values of this station are show in Table I-14.

## Tank Model simulation

Runoff simulation for the Himo river was carried out by use of the Tank Model in order to estimate expected runoff for recent years. Daily rainfall data recorded at the Kilema Mission were used as input data because this station is lodated near the Himo basin and the recorded duration and quality of data are satisfactory. The conversion rates from point rainfall to areal rainfall for the Himo basin are shown in Figure I-23, which are processed from Table $\quad 10$ and monthly average rainfall at the Kilema mission station. Monthly evapotranspixation values for the Himo basin are shown in Table I-12.

The final model selected is shown in Figure 1-23. Results of simulated runoff using the final model are shown in Figure I-24 making comparison with the actual discharge. As shown on this figure, adjustment between simulated runoff and actual runoff is satisfactory.

The results of runoff estimation (daily) are sumarized into monthly values and are shown in Table $I-20$. The average and the probable discharge are as shown below. These are graphed in Figure t-22.

## Available Discharge of the Himo River <br> (1-DC 11: 1968-1979)

$$
\text { (Unit: } \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec} \text { ) }
$$

| Item | Jan | Peb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct |  | Nov |  | De |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 0.71 | 1.02 | 1.32 | 3.69 | 3.02 | 2.66 | 1.98 | 1.29 | 1.09 | 0.80 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.79 |

Probable Discharge (Non-exceedence)

| 80 | $\%$ | 1.04 | 1.58 | 2.11 | 5.70 | 4.26 | 3.85 | 2.90 | 1.89 | 1.60 | 1.21 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $50 \%$ | 0.62 | 0.75 | 1.10 | 3.38 | 2.79 | 2.40 | 1.89 | 1.25 | 0.93 | 0.73 | 0.66 | 0.65 |  |
| $20 \%$ | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.58 | 1.73 | 1.86 | 1.47 | 1.21 | 0.82 | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.37 |  |

## 3.3 .5 Mue river

On the Mue river, the gauging station, 1 DC 6, has been operated at the rallway crossing from 1956 to date. The drainage area at this station is $250 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ including the Miwaleni basin ( 1 DC 33 ) of $81 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ as shown in figure I-19.

The Mue river is seasonal. Except during rainy seasons, major portions of the river discharge recorded at the gatuging station, 1 DC 6, originate from the Niwaleni springs as shown in the following table.

Comparison of Discharge betwoen Mue and Miwaloni
(before construction of the NAFCO Intake)

$$
\text { (Unit: } \left.m^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right)
$$

$\frac{\text { Item }}{\text { Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oet Nov Dec }}$
. Mue 1 $3.643 .59 \quad 3.623 .77 \quad 7.47 \quad 6.12 .4 .09 \quad 3.52 \quad 3.563 .51 \quad 3.55 \quad 3.55$
(1 DC 6)
B. Miwaleni ${ }^{2 / 3} 343.25 \quad 3.27 \quad 3.163 .743 .75 \quad 3.26 \quad 3.27 \quad 3.26 \quad-\quad 3.25 \quad 3.35$
(1 DC 35)
C. (A) (B) $0.300 .340 .350 .613 .732 .370 .830 .250 .30 \quad 0.300 .20$

1/: Daily records from 1958 to 1959
2/: Spot data from 1958 to 1959
(Report No.1, Kahe Irrigation Scheme, 1966 by T,H, Mather)

In addition, annual variation of runof of the Mue river is remarkably wide duxing rainy season as shown in Table I-14. The Mue river, except for the Miwaleni springs, is not a reliable water source for irrigation development. Hence, runoff from the Mue river (excluding the Miwaleni springs) are excluded from irrigation planning.

### 3.3.6 Annual runoff coefficient

As a sumary for estimation mentioned the previous section, the coefficient for annual runoff is estimated as shown in table $1-22$. The results of estimation are summarized as follows.

| Name of | Catchment | Annual Runoff Coefficient |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River | Area | $\frac{R / T}{} 1 /$ | $R / P e 27$ |
| Himo river | 194 | 0.20 | 0.31 |
| Mue river | 85 | 0.15 | 0.24 |
| Seasonal rivers | 143 | 0.15 | 0.32 |
| Rau river | 122 | 0.28 | 0.46 |
| Karanga river | 211 | 0.29 | 0.42 |
| WeruWeru river | 141 | 0.29 | 0.50 |
| Kikafu river | 198 | 0.55 | 0.88 |

Note: $1 /$ ratio between runoff ( $R$ ) and areal rainfall ( $P$ ); 2/ ratio between runoff $(R)$ and effective rainfall (Pe).

Based on the runoff coefficient obtained above, the water balance study is made taking a geohydrological viewpoint into consideration as shown in Annex II.

### 3.4.1 Hydrological data

In order to analize the flood pattern, water level data recorded by an automatio recorder are essential as well as hourly rainfall data. Portunately, an automatic recorder was established on the Xikafu river (gauging station No. 1. DD 8A) in November 1978, and it recorded many runoff patterns during the rainy season in 1979. In addition, hourly rainfall data are available from lyamungu ARI station which is located 9 km northeast of the gauging station. These data are used for flood analysis mentioned in section 3.5.4.

### 3.4.2 Plood narks

Plood marks traced by 1979's flood on the Rau and seasonal rivers vere surveyed along Moshi-Himo road during field work from January to February 1980. Results of the survey are shown in Figure 1-25. Based on the results, magnitude of floods for each section were calculated as shown in Table I-21. Results are sumarized as follows.

| Name of River | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catchment } \\ & \frac{\text { Area }}{\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flood } \\ \frac{\text { Discharge }}{\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right)} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Specific } \\ \text { Discharge } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{km}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rau river | 122 | 168 | 1.38 |
| Kisiringo river | 14 | 22 | 1.57 |
| Msaranga river | 17 | 46 | 2.71 |

## $3.4 .3 \quad 1979^{\prime}$ s flood on the Rau river

An extraordinarily large flood occurred on the Rau river in the beginning of April 1979 (hereinafter referred to as the 1979 flood), and farmlands of about 8,700 ha were damaged. According to the flood mark survey, the peak flood discharge at Rau bridge is estimated at $168 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ which is equivalent to 4 to 20 times flow capacity of the Rau river.

Rainfall analyses are nade in order to presume probability of the 1979 flood using daily rainfall records observed at Moshi meteorological station which are considered to have a bigh correlation with the runof of the Rau river. Results of calculation are shown in Figure I-26. Based on this figure, probability of storm rainfall observed during the rainy season in 1979 are estimated as follows.

Probability of Storm Rainfall in 1979
(Moshi meteorological station)

| Item | Date of Occurrence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rainfall } \\ & \text { Depth } \end{aligned}$ | Probability of Exceedence | Recurrence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (mm) | - (\%) | (years) |
| Daily Maximum Rainfall | 4 Apr. | 147 | 9.1 | 11 |
| Consecutive Raimfall |  |  |  |  |
| 2-day | 6-7 Apr. | 190 | 8.3 | 12 |
| 3-day | 4-6 Apr. | 322 | 2.0 | 50 |
| 5-day | 3-7 Apr. | 375 | 2.0 | 50 |
| Monthly Rainfall |  |  |  |  |
| March | March | 127 | 33.0 | 3 |
| April | April | 710 | 4.0 | 25 |
| May | May | 229 | 33.0 | 3 |
| Rainy Season Rainfall | Mar. -May | 1,066 | 3.2 | 31 |

Ror the past 30 years, a storm rainfall (daily maximum and 2-day consecutive rainfall) bigger than that in April 1979 was observed as listed below.

## Storm Rainfall at Moshi Meteo, Station

| Daily Maximum Rainfall |  | 2-day Consecutive Rainfall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Depth | Date | Depth |
|  | (frm) |  | (mm) |
| 27 Apr. 1956 | 178 | 27-28 Apr. 1956 | 198 |
| 19 Apr : 1960 | 158 | 19-20 Apr 1960 | 277 |
| 19 Apr 1970 | 177 | 21-22 Apr. 1971 | 201 |
| ( 4 Apr. 1979) | (147) | (6-7 Apr. 1979) | (190) |

According to interviews of farmers, the 1979 flood was an extraordinarily large one compared with floods caused by the storm rainfall listed above. In addition, farmers said that the flood water standing. or overflowing on the field along the Rau river continued up to mid-April. Accordingly, the 1979 flood is considered to be caused by 3 -day to 5-day consecutive storm rainfall. In other words, the 1979 flood is equivalent to the 50-year recurrence interval, of $2 \%$ probability of occurrence.

### 3.4.4 Calculation of peak flood discharge

The peak flood discharge for the Rau, the Mue, the Himo and seasonal rivers is estimated by using the Rational formula as shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{p}=\frac{1}{3.6} \cdot r_{e} A \\
& \text { where, } \quad \begin{aligned}
\text { Qp } & =\text { peak flood discharge }\left(n^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right) \\
r e & =\text { effective rainfall intensity (mm) } \\
A & =\text { catchment area }\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

The effective rainfall intensity using in this formula is estimated based on lunoff analysis for the kikafu river on which water level data from an automatic recorder are available. The procedure for estimation of peak flood discharge is described below.

## (1) Analysis of records of the Kikafu river

Water level data obtained from the gauging station, 1 DD 8A, are available from December 1978 to-date. During the rainy season in 1979, several heavy storm rainfalls occured and consequently high water levels were recorded by the automatic recorder at the gauging station, 1 DD 8A.

A rating curve for the above gauging station is prepared based on the discharge record obtained by current meter, Figure I-27 shows the rating curve drawn by use of the least squares method. Water level data can be converted into discharge using this rating curve.

Then, hydrographs at the gauging station are drawn together with hourly rainfall observed at Lyamungu ARI station. Among them, hydrographs which resulted by a heavy consecutive rainfall more than 30 mm deep are selected as show in Figure I-28 and I-29. They are analyzed as show below.

# Analysis of Hydrograph for the Kikafu River <br> Gauging station: 1 DD 8A <br> Rainfall stations Lyamungu ARI (93.37/021) <br> Catchment area: $198 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ 



Then, the effective rainfall intensity (re) obtained above is plotted on full-logarithmic section against time from start of rise to peak rate ( $T$ ) as shown in Figure 30 . Based on the above $r_{e}$ - Tp relation and the empirical formula used in Japan, the following equation is prepared and applied to estimation of peak flood discharge.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T p=240 \cdot \mathbf{r e}^{-0.38} \cdot A^{0.22} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whexe: $T p=$ time from start of rise to peak rate (min)

$$
\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{e}}=\text { effective rainfall intensity }(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{hr})
$$

$$
A=\text { catchment area }\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)
$$

Then, the peak runof coefficient (fp) applied to the drainage basin for the Rau and other rivers is determined at $25 \%$ as a conservative estimation.
(2) Procedure of estimation of peak flood discharge

The procedure of estimation of peak flood discharge is as follows.

## i) Estimation of probable daily rainfall ( $\mathrm{R}_{24}$ )

Probable daily rainfall for catchment areas are determined by Pigure I-11 (= Variation of daily maximum rainfall with altitude for catchment areas) in combination with Pigure I-15 and I-16 (= Variation of catchment area with altitude).
ii) Estimation of effective rainfall intensity ( $r_{e}$ )

Rainfall intensity is calculated using the following formula as determined in section 2.4.2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{t}=\frac{R_{24}}{t} \cdot\left(\frac{t}{24}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where; $\quad r_{t}=$ rainfall intensity during $t$ hours (nra/hr)
$R_{24}=$ probable daily rainfall (ma)
$t=$ time (hours)
Then, effective rainfall intensity ( $r_{e}$ ) can be calculated as follows.

$$
\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{e}}=\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}=0.25 \cdot \mathbf{r}_{t} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots(3)
$$

where;
$r_{e}=$ effective rainfall intensity ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
$f_{p}=$ peak runoff coefficient
iii) Estimation of peak fiood discharge

For each river basin, the $r_{e}-T p$ relation is obtained by the equation (1) and then, the $r_{e}-t$ relation is obtained by the equation (2) and (3). From these two relations, the re value for each return period is obtained: A sample calculation is shown in Table I-23.

After determining the $r_{e}$ value, peak flood discharge can be calculated by the rational formula mentioned above.

## (3) Results of estimation

Pollowing the procedure mentioned above, the peak flood discharge for each river is obtained as shown in Table I-24, and summarized below.


The above figures are applied to the flood protection planning discussed in Annex Vil.

According to the above results, the estimated flood discharge based on flood mark survey is equivalent to the following probability of occurrence.

| Name of River | Catchment $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Plood } \\ \text { Discharge } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Probability } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \frac{\text { Ocurrence }}{(\%)} \end{aligned}$ | Return <br> Period <br> (years) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rau river | 122 | 168 | 7.9 | 13 |
| Kisiringo river | 14 | 22 | 14.9 | 7 |
| Msaranga river | 17 | 46 | 2.5 | 40 |




| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REGISTERTED } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | NAME OF STATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 93.37/002 | Masama Estate |
| 2. | 004 | Moshi Meteo. Station |
| 3. | 005 | Kibosho Mission |
| 4. | 009 | Old Moshi School |
| 5. | 015 | Kilema Mission |
| 6. | 018 | Singa Chini |
| 7. | 021 | Lyamungu: |
| 8. | 028 | T.P.C. Langasami |
| 9. | 029 | Kiyungi |
| 10. | 031 | Himo Sisal Estate |
| 11. | 036 | Kahe Railway Station |
| 12. | 046 | Marangu College |
| 13. | 064 : | Old Moshi Nursery |
| 14. | 072 | Kifaru Sisal Estate |
| 15. | 073 | Moshi Prison |
| 16. | 085 | Lyakirimu Mwika |
| 17. | 086 | Kirua Vunjo |
| 18. | 092 | W.D. \& I.D. Moshi |
| 19. | 120 | Kilema Forest Station |
| 20. | 222 | T.P.C. Langasani North |
| 21. | 123 | Maua Seminary |
| 22. | 131 | Miwaleni Sub-station |
| 23. | 140 | Uru Estate |
| 24. | 243 | NaFCO Kahe Estate |
| 25. | - | Mt. Kilimanjaro, IE |
| 26. | - | - do - 2F |
| 27. | - | - $20-3 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| 28. | - | - do - 4E |
| 29. | - | - do- 5E |
| 30. | - | T.P.C., 2F |
| 31. | - | T.P.C., Camp 8 |
| 32. | - | T.P.C., Camp 10 |
| 33. | - | T.P.C., D25-Area |
| 34. | - | T.P.C., 20-Area |
| 35. | - | T.P.C., H-Area |



Table I-3
AVERAGE MONTHLY RAINPALL (2)

OTAL/

业 $\stackrel{\text { co }}{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}$

Table I-5

| ITEM | Period |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Miwaleni Sub-station Lat. } 3^{\circ} 25 \\ & \text { (Registered Number: } 93.37 / 131 \text { ) } \\ & \text { From } 1972 \text { to } 1979 \text { ( } 8 \text { years) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | Long |  | $\text { Alt, } 770 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  | TOTAL/ AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | $\pi / 2$ | AUG | SEP | OCI | NOV | DEC |  |
| 1. Daily Max. Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 32.8 | 32.9 | 32.7 | 30.2 | 27.7 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 27.4 | 29.5 | 31.9 | 32.4 | 32.1 | 30.2 |
| 2. Daily Min. Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 18.5 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 20.1 | 18.8 | 17.0 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.7 | 18.5 | 29.4 | 18.8 | 18.3 |
| 3. Daily Mean Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 25.7 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 25.2 | 23.3 | 21.8 | 21.4 | 21.8 | 23.1 | 25.3 | 25.9 | 25.5 | 24.3 |
| 4. Relative Eumidity at 9 am (\%) | 73 | 73 | 76 | 80 | 82 | 80 | 80 | 79 | 76 | 71 | 72 | 72 | 76 |
| 5. Relative Humidity at 3 pm (\%) | 44 | 44 | 47 | 56 | 64 | 59 | 56 | 52 | 47. | 43 | 43 | 45 | 50 |
| 6. Mean Relative Humidity (\%) | 59 | 59 | 62 | 68 | 73 | 70 | 68 | 66 | 62 | 57 | 57 | 59 | 63 |
| 7. Pan Evaporation (mm/day) |  |  |  |  |  | Not Avai | lable - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Piche Evaporation (mm/day) | 9.0 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 2,320 |
| 9. Mean Wind Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | Not Avai | lable - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Sunshine Hours (hrs/day) |  |  |  |  |  | Vot Avai | lable - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Radiation ( $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{day}$ ) | 551 | 551 | 534 | 493 | 438 | 402 | 402 | 460 | 523 | 581 | 570 | 578 | 507 |
| 12. Monthly Rainfail (mm/month) | 55 | 46 | 132 | 238 | 92 | 21 | 13 | 6 | 17 | 17 | 38 | 50 | 705 |

Table I-6.



Table I - 7

Tablo 1 - 8

| Table I-8 | SUMMARY OF METEOROLOGICAL RECORDS (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lat. $3^{\circ} 14^{\prime}$, Long. $37^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$, Alt. $1,250 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Station: $\frac{\text { Lvamungu A.R.I. }}{(\text { Registered Number: } 93.37 / 021)}$Period: From 1970 to 1979 (20 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | JaN | PEB | MAR | APR | MAY | Jon | $\pi$ | AUG | SEP | OCT | Nov | DEC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOMAL/ } \\ & \text { ATERAGE } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. Daily Max. Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 27.1 | 27.4 | 27.0 | 24.3 | 22.2 | 20.8 | 20.5 | 21.3 | 23.5 | 25.6 | 26.4 | 26.7 | 24.4 |
| 2. Daily Min. Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 13.2 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 15.1 | 14.5 | 13.2 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 13.3 | 23.6 | 13.2 |
| 3. Daily Mean Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 20.2 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 19.8 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 16.4 | 16.6 | 17.8 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 20.2 | 18.8 |
| 4. Relative Humidity at 9 am (\%) | 76 | 76 | 82 | 91 | 91 | 88 | 88 | 87 | 83 | 78 | 79 | 78 | 83 |
| 5. Relative Humidity at $3 \mathrm{pm}(\%)$ | 56 | 58 | 59 | 72 | 74 | 70 | 66 | 62 | 57 | 53 | 58 | 58 | 62 |
| 6. Mean Relative Humidity (\%) | 66 | 67 | 70 | 82 | 83 | 79 | 77 | 75 | 70 | 66 | 69 | 68 | 73 |
| 7. Pan Evaporation (mm/day) | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 1,002 |
| 8. Piche Evaporation (mm/day) |  |  |  |  | - No | t Avai | lable - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Mean Wind Speed ( $/ \mathrm{sec}$ ) | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.99 | 2.17 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.91 |
| 10. Sunshine Hours (brs/day) | 7.6 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 5.8 |
| 12. Raciation ( $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} /$ aay $)$ | 467 | 461 | 440 | 293 | 241 | 226 | 250 | 302 | 386 | 452 | 416 | 446 | 365 |
| 12. Monthly Rainfall (mmonth) | 71 | 67 | 125 | 555 | 353 | 108 | 55 | 33 | 28 | 41 | 80 | 76 | 1,592 |

CORRELATION AMONG POINT RAINFALLS
NOILVI
-GENS
TVANKV
$<\quad 0$
$m$
-
$4<$
< $4<\infty$
A $\begin{gathered}\text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A } \\ \text { A }\end{gathered}$
A: correlated with $1 \%$ significant level
B: " with $5 \%$
C: without correlation ( $5 \%$ significant level)
C: without correlation (5 \% significant level)
Nos. MONTRLY CORRELATION

+1
+1




$\geqslant<$
4
4
$\oplus$
《 \& \& $<$
a $\infty$




 Table $1-9$


| IMO |
| :---: |
| $(1$ DC 11) |
| 194 |
|  |
| 62 |
| 85 |
| 135 |
| 263 |
| 180 |
| 107 |
| 79 |
| 42 |
| 45 |
| 50 |
| 70 |
| 61 |
| 1,179 |



AREAL RAINFALL FOR RIVER BASINS



| Table I-lo |
| :--- |
| Gram |
| Gauging Station No. |
| Catchment Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |
| Areal Rainfall (mm) |
| Jan. |
| Feb. |
| Mar. |
| Apr. |
| May |
| June |
| July |
| Aug. |
| Sep. |
| Oct. |
| Nov. |
| Dec. |
| Annual |

Table I-11

## CALCULATION OP EVAPOTRANSPIRATION

Blaney - Crridle Pormula ${ }^{1 /}$

$$
\mathrm{ETO}=\mathrm{C} \cdot[\mathrm{p} \cdot(0.46 \mathrm{t}+8)] \quad \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{day}
$$

$$
\text { where, } \begin{aligned}
\text { ETO }= & \text { potential evapotranspiration for the month considered } \\
& \text { (molday), } \\
= & \text { mean daily temperature over the month considered }\left({ }^{\circ} G\right), \\
t= & \text { mean daily percentage of total annual daytime hours } \\
& \text { ror a given month and latitude, } \\
\mathrm{c}= & \text { adjustment factor which depends on minimum relative } \\
& \text { humidity, sunshine hours and daytime wind estimates }
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) Calculation of ETO at the Lyamungu ARI
(Meteorological data is shown in Table 1-8.) Unit: mm/day

| Formula | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| class A pan | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | $1.8$ | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Blaney-Criddle | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| Penman | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 |

Note: Pan coefficient $=0.8$
(2) Relation between ETo and $t \quad$ (Station = Lyamungu)

| ITEM | $J$ | F | M | A | M | J | $J$ | A | S | 0 | N | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P | 0.277 | 0.277 | 0.277 | 0.270 | 0.270 | 0.270 | 0.270 | 0.270 | 0.270 | 0.277 | 0.277 | 0.277 |
| N | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.3 |
| n | 7. | 7.6 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.3 |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}$ | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.57 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.59 |
| RH min | 56 | 58 | 59 | 72 | 74 | 70 | 66 | 62 | 57 | 53 | 58 | 58 |
| Prediction Curve No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $V I$ | VI | VI | IX | - IX | IX | IX | IX | IX | VI | VI | VI |


| April-September | $\mathbf{f}=0.127 \mathbf{t}+2.22$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| October - March | $f=0.124 \mathbf{t}+2.16$ |

ETo

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { April - September } & \text { ETO }=0.117 t+0.20 \\
\text { October }- \text { March } & \text { ETO }=0.130 t+0.43
\end{array}
$$

1/: FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper, No. 24 (revised), 1977

| Table I-12 |  | AREAL EVAB | RANSPIRAT | FOR RIVE | ASINS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | KIKAFU | WERU WERU | KARANGA | RAU | SEASONAL RIVERS | MUE | HTMO |
| Gauging Station No. | ( 1 DD 8A) | ( 1 DD 5A) | (1 DD 3) | (2.DC 5) | -Moshi-Ta | road- | (1 DC 11) |
| Catchment Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | 198 | 141 | 211 | 122 | 143 | 85 | 194 |
| Areal Evapotranspirat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 52 | 49 | 44 | 58 | 70 | 44 | 44 |
| Feb. | 46 | 43 | 39 | 52 | 62 | 41 | 41 |
| Mar. | 58 | 55 | 49 | 65 | 78 | 49 | 49 |
| Apr. | 47 | 45 | 40 | 53 | 64 | 40 | 40 |
| May | 39 | 37 | 33 | 44 | 53 | 33 | 33 |
| June | 32 | 30 | 27 | 36 | 43 | 28 | 28 |
| July | 31 | 29 | 26 | 34 | 42 | 26 | 26 |
| Aug. | 31. | 29 | 26 | 34 | 41 | 26 | 26 |
| Sep. | 36 | 33 | 30 | 40 | 48 | 32 | 32 |
| Oct. | 45 | 42 | 38 | 50 | 60 | 38 | 38 |
| Nor. | 50 | 47 | 42 | 55 | 67 | 42 | 42 |
| Dec. | 55 | 51 | 46 | 60 | 73 | 46 | 46 |
| Anoual | 522 | 490 | 440 | 580 | 700 | 445 | - 445 |

LIST Of gavging stations


| HISTORY |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Opening | CloSure |
| 1956.11 | 1959.10 |
| 1960.9 | - |
| 1955.1 | 1959.6 |
| 1958.1 | - |
| 1956.11 | 1958.9 |
| 1952.8 | 1959.6 |
| 1953.5 | 1958.10 |
| 1954.9 | - |
| 1968.11 | - |
| 1958.1 | 1959.9 |
| 1952.11 | - |
| 1968.11 | - |
| 1957.11 | 1959.8 |
| 1952.8 | 1956.2 |
| 1965.1 | - |
| 1955.12 | 1959.10 |
| 1952.4 | 1963.1 |
| 1953.11 | 1963.5 |
| 1957.10 | - |

[^1]NAME OF

| STATYON |
| :--- |
| NUMBER |





$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 空 } \\
& \text { 复 }
\end{aligned}
$$

| MEASURING |
| :--- |
| METHOD |


$\frac{\text { Y DIS．}}{\text { Min．}}$
0.06

| 8 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 | c | 995 Auto．R． $328.3 \quad 0.3$ |
| :--- | :--- |


－


IST OM
莡：$=:$


Table I-14 (1)
RECORDED MONTHLI DISCHARGE


| 12 R | JAN | PEB | HR8 | APA | M1 | JUN | JLL | 100 | SEP | Oct | MOY | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1857 | 0.23 | 0.46 | 0.24 | 0.58 | 1.92 | 1.82 | 1.59 | 1.13 | 0.90 | 0.76 | 0.32 | 0.19 |
| 1958 | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 1.71 | 2.13 | 2.16 | 1.51 | 1.16 | 0.76 1.04 | 0.85 0.95 | 1.02 0.74 |
| 1959 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.44 | 0.65 | 0.77 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.95 | 0.74 |
| Mgen | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.66 | 1.49 | 1.37 | 1.32 | 0.94 | 0.77 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.65 |



| IEAR | SNN | PEB | Mur | APR | YaI | Jus | JL | NuO | SEP | Oct | NOY | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | $=$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | 2.46 |
| 1969 | 1.24 | 1.19 | 1.20 | 0.73 | 1.07 | 0.81 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| 1970 | 0.19 | 0.34 | - |  | - | 1.56 | 1.56 | 1.06 | 0.77 | 0.63 | 0.21 | 0.22 |
| 1971 | -1 | $\bigcirc$ | - | - | - | 1. | 2.56 | 1.0 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.54 | 0.46 |
| 1972 | 0.13 | $\because-$ | 0.07 | 0.82 | 1.34 | 1.77 | 1.15 | 0.58 | - | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.28 |
| 1973 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.14 | - | 1.34 | 0.95 | 0.72 | 0.45 | 0.21 | 0.62 | 0.93 | 0.92 |
| 1974 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.53 | - | 1.59 | 0.93 | 1.96 | 0.4 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.98 | 0.92 |
| 1975 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.38 |  |  |
| 1976 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| KENN | 0.43 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.94 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.41 |

Rivert Noro Geuging Station: LD 35

| TRAR | JaN | FEB | MAR | APR | MaI | JUN | NOL | AUS | SEP | 07 | NOY | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 1.44 | 1.29 | 1.22 | 1.44 | 1.22 | 1.19 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 1.18 | 1.12 |  |  |
| 1966 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 1.16 | 1.28 |  | 1.12 | 1.10 | 1.07 |
| 1967 | 0.91 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.79 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 1.03 | 1.20 | 1.29 1.37 | 1.21 | 1.10 | 1.04 |
| 1968 | 1.34 | 1.23 | 1.25 | 1.40 | 1.37 | 1.65 | 1.83 1.83 | 1.20 1.93 | 1.37 1.85 | 1.53 1.74 | 1.50 1.68 | 1.44 1.80 |
| 1989 | 1.53 | 1.44 | 1.38 | 1.26 | 1.37 | 1.23 | 1.19 | 1.20 | 1.15 | 1.17 | 1.12 | 1.80 1.05 |
| 1970 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1,06 | 1.16 | 1.09 | 1.27 | 1.37 | 1.38 | 1.25 | 1.14 | 0.94 | 0.83 |
| 1911 | 0.27 | 0.58 | 0.68 | 1.31 | 1.14 | 1.36 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.57 | 1.50 | 0.94 | 1.57 |
| 1972 | 1.22 | 1.88 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 2.40 | (1.46) | 1.52 | 1.47 | 1.36 | 1.12 | (1.16) | 1.04 |
| 1973 | 1.00 | (0.99) | 1.00 0.65 | 0.97 | 1.17 | 1.01 | (1.93) | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.62 | 0.81 | 0.88 |
| 1974 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.65 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.89 |
| 1975 | 0.82 0.50 | 0.75 0.49 | 0.76 0.46 | 1.04 0.61 | 0.97 0.60 | 0.88 | 0.78 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.78 |
| 1977 | 0.47 | 0.49 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.61 0.73 | 0.60 0.70 | 0.50 0.68 | 0.50 0.56 | 0.47 0.60 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.47 |
| 1978 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 0.87 | 0.92 |
| 1979 | 0.87 | 0.74 | 0.82 | 1.06 | 1.04 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 0.87 | 0.92 |
| MEAN | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 1.04 | 1.10 | 1.05 | 1.16 | 1.14 | 1.16 | 1.11 | 1.03 | 1.06 |

Rivert Kul Gauging Stations 1 DC 6

| IER | J 4 H | YR | \% 48 | $A R$ | MaI | JN | JuL | 416 | SEP | 0 C | NOY | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1937 | 3.46 | 3.71 | 3.54 | 3.79 | 8.36 | 4.62 | 3.79 | 3.47 | 3.43 | 3.40 | 3.41 3.71 | 3.44 4.60 |
| 1958 | 3.70 | 3.63 | 3.72 | 3.79 | 10.74 | 8.71 | 4.57 | 3.36 | 3.43 | 3.31 | 3.71 3.55 | 3.60 |
| 2959 | 3.58 | 3.54 | 3.51 | 3.75 | 4.20 | 3.52 | 3.60 | 3.48 | 3.62 | 3.51 | 3.5 | 3.55 |
| 1968 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 4.31 | 4.02 | 4.12 | 3.94 | 3.82 | 3.78 | 3.82 | 3.85 | 3.73 | 3.78 | 3.66 | 5.08 |
| 1970 | 3.89 | 3.91 | - | 0 | 8.31 | 3.87 | 3.92 | 3.70 | 3.73 3.69 | 3.78 3.69 | 3.66 | 3.63 3.28 |
| 1971 | 3.70 | 3.42 | 2.86 | 7.35 | 13.65 | 8.68 | 4.06 | 3.02 | 3.13 | 2.61 | 2.45 | 2.37 |
| 1972 | 1.74 4.17 | 2.10 | 2.55 2.45 | 4.23 | 8.20 | 5.90 | 4.05 | 3.63 | 3.05 | 2.20 | 3.91 | 3.19 |
| 1974 | 1.11 3.11 | 3.92 2.94 | 2.45 2.83 | - | 6.39 | 3.28 4.82 | 3.59 5.53 | 3.42 | 3.21 | 3.22 | 3.18 | 2.97 |
| 1975 | - | - | 2 | 3.52 | 3.53 | 3.38 | 3.36 3.26 | 3.19 | 3.65 3.28 | 3.67 3.09 | 2.71 | 2.55 |
| REAN | 3.52 | 3.47 | 3.20 | 4.34 | 7.47 | 5.06 | 4.02 | 3.48 | 3.43 | 3.24 | 3.35 | 3.47 |

Table I-14(3) RECORDED NONTHLY DISCHARGE

Riveri Scko Gauging Stetion: 1 DC 30 .

| IEAR | JAN | F68 | MAR | APR | K41 | JUN | JUL | $\mathrm{AlO}_{6}$ | SEP | OCT | NOY | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.53 |
| 1970 | 0.71 | 0.69 | - | $\cdots$ | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.71 |
| 1971 | 0.71 | 0.53. | 0.72 | 0.79 | 2.16 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.71 0.56 |
| 1972 | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.31 | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.74 | 0.77 |
| 1973 | 0.68 | 0.84 | 0.89 | - | - | 0.72 | 0.64 | 0.80 | 0.99 | 2.16 | 1.11 | 1.09 |
| MEAN | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.65 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 0.40 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.73 |

Rivers Miveleni Spring Gaugiog Station: 1 DC 33

| IEAR | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MaI | תN | JUL | 100 | S8P | 01 | NOT | Dex |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1985 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |
| 1966 | 4.22 | 4.18 | 4.12 | 4.37 | 4.96 | 3.83 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.19 3.61 |
| 1967 | 3.57 | 3.54 | 3.59 | 3.72 | 4.11 | 4.83 | 3.59 3.70 | 3.54 3.72 | 3.51 3.70 | 3.60 3.65 | 3.60 3.68 | 3.61 |
| 1968 | 3.62 | 3.58 | 3.72 | 4.93 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 3.94 | 3.72 3.72 | 3.53 | 3.65 3.59 | 3.68 3.62 | 3.65 3.70 |
| 1969 | 3.73 | 3.79 | 3.86 | 3.97 | 3.97 | 3.91 | 3.92 | 3.91 | 3.94 | 4.01 | 4.02 | 3.70 4.31 |
| 1970 | 4.17 | 3.93 | 4.14 | 5.72 | 5.30 | 4.41 | 4.05 | 3.88 | 3.86 | 4.10 | 4.01 | 3.96 |
| MEAN | 3.86 | 3.80 | 3.89 | 4.54 | 4.65 | 4.22 | 3.78 | 3.75 | 3.71 | 3.79 | 3.79 | 3.90 |


| IRAR | Rivers Bixo |  |  |  |  | Gauging Stationt LDC 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JPN | FKB | MAR | APR | May | JuN | Jut | 100 | SEP | Oct | NOY | DSO |
| 1952 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1953 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 1.41 | 4.05 | 3.01 | 1.47 |  |  |  |  | 0.51 |
| 1954 | . | 0.3 | 0.41 | 9.38 | 4.05 | 3.01 | 1.47 | - | 1.36 | 1.24 | 2.19 | - |
| 1955 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| 1956 | - | - | 0.93 | 2.07 | 1.89 | 1.99 | - |  |  |  | - |  |
| 1957 |  |  | 0.9 | 4.76 | 5.29 | 3.30 | 1.73 | 1.18 |  |  |  |  |
| 1958 | 1.48 | 1.17 | 1.13 | 2.80 | 12.35 | 9.32 | 4.39 | 2.55 | 1.75 |  |  | 4.31 0.89 |
| 1959 | 0.73 | 0.64 | 0.66 | 1.44 | 2.81 | 1.82 | 1.64 | 1.85 1.76 | 1.65 1.62 | 1.14 1.13 | 0.84 | 0.89 |
| MOAN | 0.88 | 0.71 | 0.78 | 3.64 | 5.28 | 3.88 | 2.31 | 1.83 | 1.58 | 1.17 | 1.32 | 1.90 |


| IEAR | JAN | FE8 | MAR | APR | MaI | JUN | JUL | A 10 | SEP | 0 CT | NOY | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 2.68 | 2.89 | 2.29 | 2.20 | 3.21 | 2.55 | 0.83 | 0.66 |  |  |  | 10.59 |
| 1970 | 1.13 | 1.49 | 5.38 | 13.55 | 6.40 | 2.56 | 1.06 | 0.66 | 0.48 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.91 |
| 1972 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 7.56 | 13.82 | 4.83 | 2.62 | 0.4 1.61 | 0.39 0.91 | 0.26 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| 1972 | 0.20 | - | 0.01 | 0.76 | 6.73 | 5.19 | 2.62 1.82 | 1.61 0.71 | 0.91 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 0.10 |
| 1973 | 2.78 | 2.48 | 1.41 | 2.05 | 4.69 | 1.92 | 0.87 | 0.50 | 0.47 |  | 5.87 | 3.37 |
| 1974 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 8.52 | 9.35 | 6.07 | 0.87 9.18 | 0.50 5.70 | 0.22 2.97 | 0.14 1.80 | 0.12 | 0.10 |
| 1975 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.84 | 4.13 | 2.21 | 1.64 | 0.98 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 1.80 0.36 | 1.97 | 0.52 |
| MEAN | 0.92 | 1.18 | 1.44 | 5.54 | 6.63 | 3.39 | 2.48 | 1.48 | 0.86 | 0.57 | 1.42 | 2.27 |

Rivor Rum Oquing station 1001

| 1848 | J44 | F8B | Mas | AFR | M1 | Jus | J | AUS | SEP | 007 | NOY | DSC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1958 | 9.73 | 11.22 | 11.56 | 14.67 | 34.45 | 30.43 | 20.10 | 14.49 |  | 10.07 | 10.28 | 16.34 |
| 1959 | 8.88 | 9.01 | 9.46 | 12.18 | 14.54 | 10.93 | 12.15 | 14.48 | 11.13 | 10.07 10.05 | 9.55 | 9.40 |
| 1960 | 8.16 | 8.04 | 7.69 | 29.17 | 26.61 | 16.24 | 11.92 | 10.32 | 11.58 | 10.05 8.61 | 8.98 | 8.04 |
| 1961 | 6.57 | 6.33 | 6.12 | 7.53 | 7.88 | 6.80 | 1.36 | 7.24 | 7.29 | 8.89 | 32.54 | 7.49 41.62 |
| 1962 | 39.35 | 22.64 | 13.91 | 13.88 | 20.38 | 14.18 | 11.10 | 10.62 | 7.89 9.80 | 8.84 | 82.04 | 41.62 9.95 |
| 1963 1964 | 13.79 14.89 | 10.79 10.48 | 11.51 11.06 | 19.64 30.66 | 34.08 39.53 | 21.36 25.82 | 18.77 16.71 | 14.52 | 12.03 | 10.60 | 8.04 14.49 | 9.93 22.20 |
| 1965 | 14.89 15.53 | 10.48 10.91 | 11.06 9.57 | 30.66 14.36 | 39.53 13.41 | 25.82 12.64 | 16.71 11.96 | 14.85 12.45 | 12.94 11.10 | 11.77 12.14 | 10.78 | 12.30 |
| HSAN | 14.61 | 11.18 | 10.11 | 17.76 | 23.86 | 17.30 | 13.79 | 12,12 | 10.58 | 10.12 | 12.74 | 15.92 |

River: Ruru. Gaging Stationi pDC. 24

| IEA: | Jon | $\therefore$ PEB | MAR | AP8 | MAI | JUX | JuL | 100 | S8P | $0 \subset T$ | NOY | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955 | 9.35 | 6.17 | 5.15 | 7.59 | 6.69 | 6.69 | 6.41 | 6.81 | 5.97 |  |  |  |
| 1966 | 6.18 | 6.19 | 8.66 | 13.88 | 10.28 | 8.71 | 6.95 | 6.81 | 5.97 5.27 | 6.86 | 6.98 4.49 | 7.93 4.39 |
| 1967 | - 3.95 | 3.59 | 3.42 | 8.02 | 12.89 | 9.39 | 7.15 | 7.12 | 7.27 | 4.72 5.90 | 4.49 5.68 | 4.39 7.63 |
| 1968 | 4.88 | 3.94 | 13.88 | 49.76 | 29.25 | 17.16 | 11.12 | 8.82 | 6.82 | 5.74 | 7.96 | 15.44 |
| 1969 | 6.84 | 6.60 | 8.18 | 10.03 | 10.31 | 7.45 | 6.78 | 7.61 | 3.91 | 5.93 | 6.71 | 8.03 |
| 1970 | 6.10 | 8.40 | 6.76 | 19.96 | 12.58 | 8.14 | 6.78 | 6.28 | 5.62 | 4.31 | 5.18 | 6.52 |
| 1971. | 6.87 | 7.27 | 6 | 6.78 | 11.47 | 11.23 | 8.20 | 7.57 | S.62 | 6.28 | 4.83 | 6.28 |
| 1972 | 5.11 | 8.26 | 6.34 | 5.87 | 8.44 | 7.31 | 6.69 | 6.41 | 5.94 | 6.75 | 9.81 | 10.22 |
| 1973 | 5.14 | 7.08 | - | 11.67 | 10.14 | 6.36 | 7.06 | 6.23 | 5.61 | 5.08 | 7.63 | 7.06 |
| 1974 | 5.14 | 4.17 |  | - | 5.76 | 5.52 | 5.46 | 5.77 | 5.91 . | 4.48 | 4.48 | 4.91 |
| 1975 | 5.13 3.73 | 3.97 3.62 | 4.50 3.95 | 10.39 | 8.57 | 4.69 | 2.75 | 2.85 | 3.12 | 3.34 | 4.61 | 5.08 |
|  |  | 3.62 | 3.95 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ |
| geid | 5.75 | 5.77 | 6.76 | 14.40 | 11.49 | 8.42 | 6.24 | 6.50 | 5.76 | 5.40 | 6.18 | 7.59 |

River: Eikuletra Geuging Stations 1 ph

| 18AR | JAN | PEB | MA8 | APR | MHI | JUN | JUL | AU0 | SEP | OCT | NOY | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.82 |  |
| 1956 | 15.38 | 13.36 | 13.27 | 22.57 | 39.14 | 26.95 | 16.09 | 13.98 | 13.19 | 11.17 | 9.82 11.23 | 11.26 |
| 1957 | 13.69 | 14.09 | 10.52 | 30.08 | 91.82 | 14.04 | 22.07 | 16.47 | 12.88 | 11.89 | 21.39 | 30.96 |
| 1958 | 14.78 | 18.92 | 16.84 | 27.26 | 58.80 | 51.57 | 26.36 | 17.94 | 13.40 | 11.62 | 11.77 | 14.55 |
| 1959 | 12.08 | 11.88 | 12.42 | 28.63 | 36.27 | 16.68 | 18.39 | 16.24 | 12.73 | 12.06 | 1 H | 14.58 |
| 1960 | 14.94 | 12.15 | 6 | 47.93 | 77.81 | 16.59 | 25.29 | 19.58 | 16.29 | 21.20 | 10.97 | 10.63 |
| 1951 | 9.89 | 10.04 | 9.90 | 15.56 | 13.72 | 10.10 | 13.86 | 11.54 | 11.13 | 18.33 |  | 10.63 |
| 1982 | - | 10. | - | - |  | 10.20 | 13.86 | + | 1.13 | 18.33 | 10.08 | 14.11 |
| 1963 | 12.88 | 10.84 | 13.26 | 49.58 | 69.89 | 32.53 | 27.17 | 16.34 | 12.91 | 10.79 | 23.58 | 27.90 |
| 1964 1965 | 23.46 19.97 | 12.72 | 18.84 | 100.81 | 99.28 | 48.90 | 27.37 | 20.98 | 17.06 | 15.64 | 14.55 | 16.30 |
| 1965 1966 | 19.97 11.93 | 13.21 13.35 | 12.90 22.79 | 42.20 | 35.04 59.53 | 19.58 | 13.31 | 12.34 | 10.89 | 12.66 | 19.47 | 14.12 |
| 1967 | 10.42 | 10.61 | 22.69 10.64 | 61.79 21.64 | 59.53 64.58 | 42.5 | 27.28 30.01 | 14.57 | 11.13 | 10.40 | 12.34 | 12.45 |
| 1988 | 10.74 | 14.19 | 31.54 | 79.31 | 80.59 | 73.84 | 38.51 | 28.04 | 24.49 18.23 | 19.77 | 29.46 26.44 | 22.20 50.59 |
| 1989 | 20.10 | 25.59 | 24.00 | 20.41 | 38.34 | 28.88 | 21.41 | 19.76 | 14.13 | 15.07 | 26.44 15.19 | S0.59 13.09 |
| 1970 | 16.66 | 14.79 | 18.99 | 59.70 | 66.34 | 28.86 | 19.08 | 13.76 | 12.74 | 11.00 | 11.08 | 11.98 |
| 1971 | 14.10 | - | 1 | ${ }^{-}$ |  | 46.21 | 31.98 | 27.30 | 17.59 | - | 32.18 | 1. |
| 1972 | 16.61 | : | 20.60 | 36.05 | 56.02 | 41.75 | 26.78 | 19.30 | 18.92 | - | 32.18 | - |
| 1973 | 28.37 | 19.48 | 13.74 | 44.64 | 68.16 | 34.55 | 29.09 | 20.08 | 12.84 | 12.35 | 14.09 | 13.05 |
| 1974 | 12.30 | 13.27 | 12.72 | 74.32 | 42.05 | 31.02 | 33.75 | 25,12 | 15.43 | 14.57 | 14.96 | 14.52 |
| 1975 1976 | 15.59 | 27.19 | 14.84 | 36.80 | 45.26 | 32.22 | 30.53 | 20.31 | 15.56 | 14.37 | 14.63 | 15.52 |
| 1976 | 14.68 | 14.80 | 16.70 | 22.40 | 34.89 | 29.46 | 20.24 | 12.96 | 14.35 | - | - | - |
| MEAN | 15.43 | 14.47 | 16.36 | 43.25 | 56.71 | 35.31 | 24.93 | 18.57 | 14.79 | 13.91 | 16.62 | 17.79 |

Table I-14(5)
RECORDED MONTHLY DISCHARGE

Rivert Karanga Gaging Station: 1 DO 3

| IEAR | Jon | P88 | Mar | AFR | Mat | JN | N® | 100 | SEP | OCT | - YO | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ |  | - |  | - | 0.58 | $0: 60$ |
| 1954 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.26 | 6.55 | 8.94 | 6.31 | 1.70 | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.19 |
| 1955 | 0.60 | 1.28 | 0.39 | 4.64 | 11.15 | 7.05 | 5,25 | 1.9) | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.36 |
| 1956 | 0.92 | 0.72 | 0.56 | 4.48 | 11.65 | 8.58 | 2.48 | 1.44 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.25 |
| 1957 | 1.09 | 1.59 | 0.48 | 5.47 | 17.51 | 6.80 | 3.65 | 1.61 | 0.58 | 0.36 | 1.17 | 2.34 |
| 1958 | 0.49 | 1.32 | 0.86 | 2.42 | 10.90 | 8.99 | 3.72 | 1.86 | 0.58 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.58 |
| 1959 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 5.80 | 4.28 | 1.42 | 2.23 | 0.77 | 0.59 | 0.33 | - |  |
| MEAN | 0.64 | 0.94 | 0.48 | 4.89 | 10.74 | 6.53 | 3.17 | 1.39 | 0.42 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.72 |

River: Yeruyexu. Gaging Station: 1 DD 51

| IEAR | JAN | 26B | MAR | APR | MA1 | JUN | JUL | $\triangle \cup$ | SEPP | OCT | NOP | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.76 | 1.89 | 3.75 | 3.22 | 1.74 | 0.83 | 0.58 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.79 |
| 1959 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 3.02 | 2.57 | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.7 |
| 1960 | - | - | 0.44 | $\bigcirc$ | 6.19 | 2.67 | 1.71 | 0.62 | 0.21 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.36 |
| 1961 | - | 0.20 | - | 0.88 | 1.65 | 0.16 | - | 0.51 | 0.54 | 2.90 | - |  |
| 1962 | 5.22 | 2.40 | 1.30 | 2.06 | - | 2.91 | 1.81 | 1.28 | 0.83 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 1.62 |
| 1963 | 1.51 | $\cdots$ | , | 2.0 | - | 2.9 | 1.81 | 1.2 | 0.83 | -. 27 | 0.23 | 1.62 |
| hean | 1.95 | 0.96 | 0.68 | 1.96 | 3.54 | 1.94 | 1.49 | 0.73 | 0.48 | 0.76 | 0.22 | 0.92 |

River: Gikafu Geuging Station: 1 DD 8

| IEAR | JAN | PEP | MuR | Am | M | JN | ת | AUG | SEP | Oct | NOY | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1954 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 1.56 | 1.94 |
| 1955 | 1.03 | - | 1.78 | 8.15 | 13.63 | 9.06 | 6.75 | 3.26 | 1.41 | 0.97 | 1.16 | 1.94 |
| 1956 | 3.06 | 2.90 | 2.27 | 15.81 | 14.73 | 11.45 | 3.66 | 2.26 | 1.36 | 1.14 . | 1.51 | 1.66 |
| 1957 | 1.28 | 2.23 | 2.45 | 29.22 | 53.77 | 8.87 | . 5.57 | 2.59 | 1.76 | 1.18 | 5.72 | 7.72 |
| 1958 | 2.58 | 2.67 | 1.75 | 5.64 | 16.75 | 13.39 | 5.21 | 4.58 | 1.61 | 0.97 | 0.78 | 2.01 |
| 1959 | 0.93 | 0.80 | 1.11 | 11.42 | 8.18 | 2.91 | 3.47 | 2.12 | 1.15 | 0.74 | 0.52 | 2.01 |
| 1960 | - | - | 0.92 | 12.41 | 16.63 | 2. | 3.96 | 2.04 | 1.03 | 1.23 ' | 1.24 | $1.30^{\circ}$ |
| 1961 | 0.45 | 0.39 | 0.38 | -- | 2.83 | 0.68 | - | - | 1.65 | 4.30 | . |  |
| 1962 | 9.27 | 4.85 | - | 4.28 | - | 5.49 | 4.61 | 3.07 | 1.70 | 1.15 | - |  |
| 1963 | - | -. | 1.86 | -- | - | - | - | 3.0 |  |  | , |  |
| MEAN | 2.66 | 2.31 | 1.57 | 12.42 | 18.07 | 7.41 | 4.75 | 2.83 | 1.46 | 1.46 | 1.73 | 2.70 |

Table I-15 RESULT OP DISCHARGE MEASUREMENT FOR THE NJORO SPRINGS


The ratio of discharge:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ratio }=\frac{\text { Discharge for all springs }}{\text { Discharge at } 1 \text { DC } 35}=\frac{1.616}{1.127}=1.434 \\
&=1.43
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: Springs in the list are in order from upstrean to downstream of the Njoro river.
(Unit: $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ )

| YEAR | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 2.06 | 1.84 | 1.74 | 2.06 | 1.74 | 1.70 | 1.69 | 1.69 | 1.69 | 1.60 | 1.57 | 1.53 |
| 1966 | 1.46 | 1.39 | 1.42 | 1.49 | 1.42 | 1.47 | 1.66 | 1.77 | 1.84 | 1.73 | 1.57 | 1.49 |
| 1967 | 1.30 | 1.09 | 0.99 | 1.13 | 1.33 | 1.32 | 1.47 | 1.72 | 1.96 | 2.19 | 2.15 | 2.06 |
| 1968 | 1.92 | 1.76 | 1.79 | 2.00 | 1.95 | 2.36 | 2.62 | 2.76 | 2.64 | 2.49 | 2.40 | 2.57 |
| 1969 | 2.19 | 2.06 | 1.97 | 1.80 | 1.96 | 1.76 | 1.70 | 1.72 | 1.64 | 1.67 | 1.60 | 1.50 |
| 1970 | $1.43,1.40,1.52,1.66,1.56$ 1.82 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.96 | 1.97 | 1.79 | 1.63 | 1.34 |  |
| 1971 1972 | $1.43{ }^{3}$ |  | $1.43^{3} 1.77$ |  | $1.63,1.96$ |  | 2.47 | 2.47 | 2.25 | 2.27 | 1.37 | 1.72 |
| 1972 | 1.74 1.43 | 1.42 | 1.4 | 1.74 | $1.77{ }^{-}$ |  | 2. | 2 | 1.94 | 1.60 | 1.66 | 1.49 |
| 1974 | 1.16 | 1.13 | 0.93 | 1.43 | 1.29 | 1.26 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.30 | 1.27 |
| 1975 | 1.17 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 1.49 | 1.39 | 1.26 | 1.12 | 1.17 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.14 | 1.16 |
| 1976 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.87 |  | 1.09 | 0.93 |  |  |  | 0.93 | 1.14 | 2.16 |
| 1977 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.921 | 1.23 | . 19 | . 17 |  | 1.67 | 1.66 |  |  | $.893 /$ |
| 1978 | 1.17 | 1.19 | 1.13 | $1.22{ }^{1}$ | $1.14^{1}$ | . 243 | 1.39 | 1.40 | 1.52 | 1.62 | 1.53 | 1.63 |
| 1979 | 1.52 | 1.32 | 1.44 | 1.82 | 1.79 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |

Average $1.451 .37 \quad 1.36 \quad 1.55 \therefore 1.531 .56 \quad 1.66 \quad 1.71 \quad 1.70 \quad 1.631 .51 \quad 1.50$

Note, 1/: discharge estimated by exclusion of short period high flow in daily records.
2/: discharge obtained by spot discharge measurements.
3/: discharge adjusted to the ground water recharge pattern obtained by means of Sugawara's reservoir model.

| YEAR | JAN | FEB | MAR | APr | MA | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1966 | 4.22 | 4.18 | 4.12 | 4.37 | 1.96 | 3.83 | 3.59 | 3.54 | 3.51 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 1 |
| 1967 | 3.57 | 3.54 | 3.59 | 3.72 | 4.11 | 4.23 | 3.70 | 3.72 | 3.70 | 3.65 | 3.68 | 3.65 |
| 1968 | 3.62 | 3.58 | 3.72 | 4.93 | 4.91 | 4.74 | 3.94 | 3.72 | 3.53 | 3.59 | 3.62 | 3.70 |
| 1969 | 3.73 | 3.79 | 3.86 | 3.97 | 3.97 | 3.91 | 3.92 | 3.91 | 3.94 | 4.01 | 4.02 | 4.31 |
| 1970 | 4.17 | 3.93 | 4.14 | 5.72 | 5.30 | 4.41 | 4.05 | 3.88 | 3.86 | 4.10 | 4.01 | 3.96 |
| Average | 3.86 | 3.80 | 3.89 | 4.54 | 4.65 | 4.22 | 3.84 | 3.75 | 3.71 | 3.79 | 3.79 | 3.85 |
| Monthly Lowest | 3.57 | 3.54 | 3.59 | 3.72 | 3.97 | 3.83 | 3.59 | 3.54 | 3.51 | 3.59 | 3.60 | 3.61 |

Note: Monthly lowest values are used for irrigation planning.

(Unit: $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ )

|  | JAN | EB | AR |  |  |  |  | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 3.15 | 0.66 | 0.59 | 7.5 | 4.52 | 2.98 | 0.98 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.12 |
| 61 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.55 | 0.7 | 0.19 | 0.7 | 0.49 | 1.33 | 1.40 | 11.16 | 5.92 |
| 1962 | 4.91 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 28 | . 2 | . 23 | . 99 | 0.61 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.47 |
| 1963 | 0.87 | 0.5 | 1.67 | 0 | 4 | . 0 | . 51 | 0.7 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 1.92 | 4.39 |
|  | 1.52 |  |  |  |  | 2.67 | , | 0.5 | 0.31 | 0.2 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| 1965 | 1.56 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 3.32 | . 08 | 0.8 | 0.23 | 0.2 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 2 | 0.69 |
| 1966 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 4.54 | 4.53 | 3.37 | 2.46 | 1.18 | 0.40 | 0.18 | 0.16 |  | 0.13 |
| 67 | 0.10 | 1.52 | 0.22 | 1.22 | 4.25 | 3.26 | 2.34 | 1.38 | . 0 | 2.26 | 5 | 0.91 |
| 68 | 0.19 | 0.73 | 2.16 | 5.20 | 3.78 | 3.12 | . 90 | 1.46 | 0.54 | 0.3 | 1 | 2.44 |
| 96 | 0.44 | 1.1 | 1.24 | 0.78 | 2. | 1.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.67 | 1.22 | 0.69 |  |
| 1970 | 1.51 | 1.07 | 1.58 | 5.03 | 3.32 | 1.43 | 0.65 | . 2 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.16 | . 13 |
|  | 13 | . 4 | 0.7 | 4.72 | . 7 | . 6 | 1.75 | 0.82 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 42 |
| 1972 | 0.23 | . 3 | 2.14 | . 85 | 3.91 | . 3 | . 0 | . 56 | 1.0 | 2.55 | 5.36 | 2.16 |
| 1973 | 3.20 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 7 | . 8 | . 70 | . 96 | . 34 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.21 |
| 1974 |  | 0 | . |  |  | 2. | 2.06 | 0.67 | 0.1 | 0.16 | 0.14 |  |
|  | 0.21 | 0.10 | 6.02 | 7.04 | 3.75 | 2.02 | 1.58 | 0.89 | 1.25 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 0.15 |
| 76 | 0.13 | 2.02 | 0.87 | 1.36 | 2.68 | 2.47 | 1.14 | 0.54 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.17 |
| 1977 | 0.21 | 0.45 | 1.87 | 5.94 | 4.24 | 1.67 | 0.68 | 1.26 | 0.42 | 1.42 | 2.68 | 1.33 |
| 1978 | 1.61 | 1.01 | 2.63 | 3.60 | 3.69 | 3.16 | 1.95 | 0.92 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 1.44 | 3.8 |
| 979 | 1.7 | . 6 |  | 5.41 | 4 | . 0 | 1.57 | 1 | 0.99 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.23 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { Average } & 1.01 & 0.96 & 1.81 & 4.03 & 3.62 & 2.23 & 1.22 & 0.76 & 0.65 & 0.62 & 1.48 & 1.23\end{array}$

$$
\text { (Unit: } m^{3} / \mathrm{s} \text { ) }
$$

| YEAR | JAN | EEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1968 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.97 | 2.46 | 3.56 | 5.46 | 2.32 | 1.66 | 0.98 | 0.65 | 1.06 | 2.46 |  |
| 1969 | 0.84 | 2.56 | 2.59 | 1.56 | 1.53 | 1.07 | 1.27 | 1.06 | 0.76 | 1.28 | 1.28 | 0.94 |  |
| 1970 | 0.85 | 1.20 | 3.15 | 7.43 | 4.77 | 3.65 | 2.82 | 1.57 | 1.13 | 0.73 | 0.59 | 0.47 |  |
| 1971 | 0.94 | 0.88 | 0.45 | 7.69 | 5.58 | 3.67 | 2.36 | 1.83 | 1.07 | 0.57 | 0.48 | 0.46 |  |
| 1972 | 0.52 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 1.68 | 2.51 | 3.28 | 2.05 | 1.38 | 1.37 | 1.38 | 2.05 | 1.51 |  |
| 1973 | 2.00 | 1.28 | 1.12 | 1.44 | 1.84 | 1.36 | 0.96 | 0.76 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.33 |  |
| 1974 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 4.78 | 3.57 | 3.43 | 3.92 | 1.94 | 1.10 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.35 |  |
| 1975 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.95 | 2.19 | 1.73 | 1.19 | 1.94 | 1.22 | 2.07 | 1.08 | 0.43 | 0.38 |  |
| 1976 | 0.56 | 0.64 | 1.48 | 2.82 | 2.04 | 1.76 | 1.10 | 0.59 | 0.81 | 0.73 | 0.35 | 0.29 |  |
| 1977 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.65 | 4.40 | 2.61 | 1.35 | 1.18 | 0.88 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 1.01 | 1.03 |  |
| 1978 | 0.99 | 0.71 | 1.34 | 3.10 | 2.54 | 2.30 | 1.64 | 0.80 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.61 |  |
| 1979 | 0.45 | 2.83 | 2.20 | 4.70 | 3.96 | 3.43 | 2.26 | 1.76 | 1.81 | 1.46 | 0.88 | 0.61 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Magnitude of floods at the flood marks are calculated as follows.
i) Calculation of velocity: The Manning formula is used to determine the velocity.

$$
V=\frac{1}{n} \cdot R^{2 / 3} \cdot i^{1 / 2}
$$

where: $V=$ velocity in $m / s e c$,
$R=$ hydraulic radius in $m$,
$i=$ slope of the drain in $m / m$, and
$n=$ coefficient of roughness,

$$
\text { river section: } n=0.04
$$

$$
\text { culvert }: n=0.015
$$

ii) Calculationof discharge:
a) River section, $Q=A \cdot V$
b) Flow area over the road,

$$
\mathbf{Q}=\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{3 / 2}
$$

where: $\quad \dot{Q}=$ discharge in $m^{3} / \mathrm{sec}, \quad A=$ flow area in $m^{2}$ $C=$ coefficient of discharge,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Kisiringo river, } & C=1.560 \\
\text { Msaranga river, } & C=1.564
\end{array}
$$

$B=$ overflow width in $m$,
$h=$ overflow depth in $m$.
iii) Results of calculation:

|  | Item |  | $\frac{i}{(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m})}$ | $\frac{A}{\left(m^{2}\right)}$ | $\frac{V}{(m / s e c)}$ | $\frac{Q}{\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | Rau river |  | 0.01 | 43.2 | 3.88 | 168 |
| (2) | Kisiringo river |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |
|  | 1) Culvert |  |  | 2.62 | 4.58 | 12 |
|  | 2) Overflow |  |  | (h | 1.1) | 10 |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | 22 |
| (3) | Msaranga river |  | 0.02 |  |  |  |
|  | 1) Culvert |  |  | 3.93 | 4.33 | 17 |
|  | 2) Overflow |  |  | (h | 1.5) | 29 |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | 46 |

## ANNUAL RUNOFP COEFPICIENT

(at Moshi-Himo road)

|  |  |  |  | PTH | $\begin{array}{r} \text { RUNO } \\ \text { COEREI } \end{array}$ | FP <br> ICIENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME OR RIVER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATCH- } \\ & \text { MENT } \\ & \text { AREA } \\ & \left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Rainfali }}{(\mathrm{mm})}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Evapo- } \\ & \text { transpi } \\ & \text { ration } \\ & (\operatorname{mn}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effective }{ }^{3 /} \\ & \frac{\text { Rainfall }}{(m m)} \frac{\text { Runóff }}{(m m)} \end{aligned}$ | against <br> Rainfall | against <br> Effective <br> Rainfall |
| Himo river | 194 | 1,288 | 444 | 814 \% 260 | 0.20 | 0.31 |
| Mue river | 85 | 1,181 | 446 | 735177 | 0.15 | 0.24 |
| Seasonal rivers | 143 | 1,320 | 700 | 620 . 198 | 0.15 | 0.32 |
| Rau river | 122 | 1,498 | 580 | 918 422 | 0.28 | 0.46 |
| Karanga river | 211 | 1,430 | 439 | 991416 | 0.29 | 0.42 |
| Weru Weru river | 141 | 1,201 | 491 | 710 : 352 | 0.29 | 0.50 |
| Kikafu river | 198 | 1,385 | 522 | 863 762 | 0.55 | 0.88 |

Note: 1/: refer to Table I-10.
2/t refer to Table I-12.
3/: Effective rainfall = Rainfall - Evapotranspiration.
4/: Runoff is estimated as follows.

1. Rau river: Result of Tank Model Simulation (1965-1979 average) is used
2. Himo river : Result of Tank Model simulation (1968 - 1979) average) is used
3. Mue and
seasonal rivers : Runoff coefficient is calculated using spot discharge data available at the Cholo and the uchira rivers. (1953-1958)
4. Karanga river : Recorded data are used. (1953-1959)
5. Weru Weru river :
"
(1957-1963)
6. Kikafu river :
"
(1954-1963)
Name of river: Rau river
Gauging station $: 1 \mathrm{DC} \mathrm{5}$
Catchment area ( A$): 122 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
(1) Calculation of Daily Maximum Rainfall
i) Elevation of middle point for catchment area

$$
\text { (from Figure } \mathrm{I}-15 \& 16 \text { ) } \quad \text { El. } 1,140 \mathrm{~m}
$$

ii) Daily maximum rainfall ( $\mathrm{R}_{24}$ ) (from Pigure l-11)

$$
\frac{\text { Return Period (years) }}{R_{24}(\mathrm{~mm} / \text { day })} \frac{5}{155} \frac{10}{185} \frac{20}{213} \frac{50}{249}
$$

(2) Calculation of Rainfall Intensity

$$
\text { Equation: } r_{t}=\frac{24}{t} \cdot\left(\frac{t}{24}\right)^{1 / 3}, r_{e}=0.25 \cdot r_{t}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{r}_{t}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {re }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{r}_{2}$ | 33.8 | 40.3 | 46.4 | 54.3 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 11.6 | 13.6 |
| $\mathrm{r}_{5}$ | 18.4 | 22.0 | 25.4 | 29.6 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 7.4 |
| $\mathrm{r}_{10}$ | 11.6 | 13.9 | 16.0 | 18.7 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.7 |

(3) Plotting

(4) Calculation of Peak Flood Discharge (Op)

Equation: $Q p=\frac{1}{3.6} \cdot r_{e} \cdot A$

| Return Pexiod | re | Tp | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 years |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{0}{} \frac{\mathrm{ma}}{} \\ 125.4 \end{array}$ |
| 10 | 4.7 | 380 | 159 |
| 20 | 5.7 | 350 | 193 |
| 50 | 7.0 | 32 | 237 |

Table I-24

1,140
1,140
1,570
1,570
1,265 1,355
1,090 1,070 945
1,310
 in in
ESTIMATION OF PEAK FLOOD DISCHARGE


| Table I-24RIVER | ESTIMATION OF PEAK FLOOD DISCHARGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CATCHMENT AREA |  | EFFECTIVE RAINFALL |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { Area }^{1 / 2}}{\left(\mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)}$ | $\frac{\text { El.of Center }}{(\text { EI.m) }}$ | T-5 | $x=10$ | $y=20$ | $\frac{r=50^{3}}{(\mathrm{~mm})}$ |
| Rau river | 122 | 1,140 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 7.0 |
| Mue river | 85 | 1,140 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 6.7 |
| Himo river | 194 | 1,570 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 7.3 |
| Seasonal rivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Kisiringo | 14 | 1,265 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 8,3 | 10.2 |
| 2. Msaranga | 17 | 1,355 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 10.3 |
| 3. Msangaji | 10 | 1,090 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 9.7 |
| 4. Mola | 7 | 1,070 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 10.6 |
| 5. Mlalo | 9 | 945 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 10.7 |
| 6. Nanga | 21 | 1,310 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 9.7 |
| 7. - | 8 | 945 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 9.4 |
| 8. Cholo | 9 | 1,310 | 3.2 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 11.5 |
| $9 .-$ | 5 | 925 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 10.3 |
| 10. Uchira | 24 | 1,250 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 8.7 |
| 11. Kandalu | 4 | 945 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 9.2 |
| 12. Urenga | 15 | 2,055 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 9.0 |

[^2]Flg. I-1

## RAINFALL RECORD




Fig. I-3 METEOROLOGY OF THE. LOWER-MOSHI AREA(I)
Moshi Meteorological Station
(1970-1979)


Miwaleni Sub-station
(1971-1979)


Fig. I-4 METEOROLOGY OF THE LOWER-MOSHI AREA(2)

NAFCO Kahe Estate \{1970-1979\}

T. P. C. Langasani
(1970-1979)


Fig. I-5 MONTHLY RAINFALL. DISTRIBUTION



$$
0,
$$

Moforu Sisol Estote


Fig. $[-6$


Fig. I-7 COMPARISON OF ANNUAL RAINFALL
Batween Moshl Meteorologlcol stallon and Other Ralnfall Stotions

NAFCO Kohe Esloto : All. 710 m . Rollo B/A $=0.484$
Miwaleni Sub-stalion: " 770 m . $\quad$ : $=0.743$ Himo Sisal Estate : " 810 m , " 0.802 Kltemo Mission
1.422 m.


Fig.I- 8 VARIATION OF anNuAL RAINFALL WITH AITITUDE


Fig. I- 9 VARIATION OF MONTHLY RAINFALL WITH ALTITUDE (1)



[^0]:    1/ RAO, Crop Water Requirements, Irrigation and Drainage Paper NO. 24 (revised), 1977

[^1]:    G．S．＝Gauging staff，Auto．R．＝Automatic water level recorder，
    WB $=$ Eydrological Iear Book published by the Ministry of Water，Energy and Minerals
    W．D．Water Department（Regional Water Office）in the Kilimanjaro region spot $=$ spot data

[^2]:    Elevation of middle point for catchment area (below $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ )
    

