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## 1. Present and Future of Economy

## 1-1 General

1) General Description of Pakistan

Pakistan is divided into West Pakistan and East Pakistan and they are geographically separated from each other at a distance of over 1,000 miles with the Indian sub-continent sandwiched between the two. Pakistan has a total land area of 365,403 sq. miles, of which West Pakistan accounts for $85 \%$ or 310,403 sq. miles and East Pakistan accounts for the remaining $15 \%$ or 55,126 sq. miles.

The climate in Pakistan diversifies ranging from the wet tropical climate to the most dried climate. In West Pakistan the dry continental climate prevails and there is very little rain. In East Pakistan, meanwhile, the wet tropical climate is prevalent featured by much rain.

The population, according to the latest census (1961), was 94 million and that for the year $1969 / 70$ is estimated at 132 million. This figure consists of 60 million in West Pakistan and 72 million in East Pakistan, presenting an extraordinary phenomenon that the population in East Pakistan with an area equivalent to $1 / 6$ of the area of West Pakis$\tan$ exceeds the population in the latter.

The GNP in Pakistan for the year $1969 / 70$ was 7,500 Crore Rs (1,570 crore) and its average annual growth rate for the past 10 years is $5.1 \%$.

Per capita GNP for the year 1969/70 was 570 Rs (\$119), ranking in the considerably low class compared with other countries.

Table 1. Gross National Product

| (In crore rupees) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959-60 | 1960-61 | 1961-62 | 1962-63 | 1963-64 | 1964-65 | 1965-66 | 1966-67 | 1967-68 | 1968-69 | 1969-70 |
| Primary Industry | 1,682.3 | 1,736.7 | 1,827.1 | 1,837.2 | 1,952.8 | 1,989.2 | 2,021.2 | 2,043.7 | 2,264.9 | 2,333.9 | 2,475.1 |
| Agriculture | 1,675.3 | 1,728.5 | 1.818 .3 | 1,827.2 | 1,941.1 | 1,976.1 | 2,007.5 | 2,029.6 | 2,250.4 | 2,318.8 | 2,459.3 |
| Mining \& quarrying | 7.0 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 15.1 | 15.8 |
| Secondary Industry | 358.1 | 405.8 | 461.2 | 505.9 | 604.5 | 663.2 | 677.4 | 739.8 | 793.3 | 895.8 | 925.8 |
| Manufacturing | 293.0 | 326.2 | 363.0 | 397.3 | 435.1 | 471.1 | 494.9 | 534.6 | 567.6 | 615.3 | 653.0 |
| Construction | 65.1 | 79.6 | 98.2 | 108.6 | 169.4 | 192.1 | 182.5 | 205.2 | 225.7 | 280.5 | 272.8 |
| Tertiary Industry | 1,096.1 | 1,157.2 | 1,207.1 | 1,275.6 | 1,359.3 | 1,424.1 | 1,564.7 | 1,694.6 | 1,754.6 | 1,856.0 | 1,979.3 |
| Electricity, gas, water and sanitary services | 10.7 | $12.0$ | $12.5$ | $17.3$ | $23.3$ | $28.3$ | $32.5$ | $34.2$ | $37.3$ | $42.0$ | $46.4$ |
| Total (Groduct $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gross Domestic) }\end{aligned}$ | 3,147.2 | 3,311.7 | 3,507.9 | 3,636.0 | 3,939.9 | 4,104.8 | 4,295.8 | 4,512.3 | 4,850.1 | 5,127.7 | 5,426.6 |
| Net factor income from rest of the world | (-) 3.3 | (-) 3.6 | (-) 3.6 | (-) 7.6 | (-) 11.5 | (+) 1.0 | (+) 1.0 | (+) 1.0 | (+) 1.0 | (+) 1.0 | (+) 1.0 |
| Gross national Product | 3,143.9 | 3,308.1 | 3,504.3 | 3,628.4 | 3,928.4 | 4,105.8 | 4,296.8 | 4,513.3 | 4,851.1 | 5,128,7 | 5,427.6 |

Note: 1959-60 cost

Table 2. Changes in Industrial Structure

|  | 1959-60 | 1960-61 | 1961-62 | 1962-63 | 1963-64 | 1964-65 | 1965-66 | 1966-67 | 1967-68 | 1968-69 | 1969-70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Industry | 53.6\% | 52.5\% | 52.2\% | 50.5\% | 49.6\% | 48.4\% | 47.1\% | 46.2\% | 46.8\% | 45.8\% | 45.8\% |
| Agriculture | 53.4\% | 52.3\% | 51.9\% | 50.2\% | 49.3\% | 48.1\% | 46.8\% | 45.9\% | 46.6\% | 45.6\% | 45.6\% |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% |
| Secondary Industry | 11.3\% | 12.2\% | 13.0\% | 13.9\% | 15.3\% | 16.0\% | 15.7\% | 16.3\% | 16.3\% | 17.3\% | 17.0\% |
| Manufacturing | 9.3\% | 9.8\% | 10.3\% | 10.9\% | 11.0\% | 11.46 | $11.5 \%$ | 11.8\% | 11.7\% | 11.9\% | 12.0\% |
| Construction | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 4.3\% | 4.7\% | 4.2\% | 4.5\% | 4.6\% | 5.4\% | 5.0\% |
| Tertiary Industry | 34.8\% | 34.9\% | 34.4\% | 35.1\% | 34.5\% | 34.7\% | 36.4\% | 37.5\% | 36.2\% | 36.1\% | 36.4\% |
| Electricity, gas, water and sanitary services | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% |
| Total <br> Gross Domestic Product | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Agriculture still has a large share in the industry and accounted for $46 \%$ of the GNP as of the year 1969/70. When compared to 10 years ago, however, the share of agriculture has decreased considerably. Mining and quarrying production is very small and accounts for less than $1 \%$ of the GNP. Although the manufacturing and construction are the fastest growing industries, their shares in the total production are small with $12 \%$ for manufacturing and $5 \%$ for construction. Their contribution to the growth of the GNP is still small compared with that of agriculture.

The tertiary industry accounts for $36 \%$ of the GNP and there have not been any significant changes in its share in the past 10 years. Judging from the above it may be said that Pakistan is still in the stage of an agricultural country but is in the progress of transforming into an industrial nation because of the steady progress of changes in her economic structure from the primary industry to the secondary industry.
It may well be said that such progress in the industrial expansion began after her separation from India and independence in 1947. Since independence the "Six-Year Plan" (1951/57) introduced in 1950 as part of the Colombo Plan was the first development plan on the national scale. Thereafter, the "First Five-Year Plan" (1956/60), the "Second Five-Year Plan" (1961/65) and the "Third Five-Year Plan" (1965/70) have been worked out one after another and have played the leading role in the economic development of Pakistan. In addition, the "Fourth Five-Year Plan" (1970/75) came into effect in July 1970 with the aim of rapid expansion of the economy.
2) Outline of West Pakistan

West Pakistan borders with India in the east and southeast, with China in the north, with Afghanistan in the northwest and with Iran in the west. If faces the Arabian Sea in the south.

In the north it is cut off from China by the 20,000 feet class Trans-Himalayas and Karakoram Ranges. The basin of the Indus River in the east forms a vast plains which extends to the Arabian Sea. The west part of the lower reaches of the Indus forms the Plateau of Baluchistan and dry hills traverse through highlands from north to south. In the east of the lower Indus, the Tar desert crosses the border and extends to the inside of India.

Fig. 1. Administrative Regions


With the adoption of provisional administrative division in Pakistan in 1970, West Pakis$\tan$ was divided into four provinces, namely, Sind, Panjab, N.W.F. and Baluchistan. Of the four provinces, Sind and Panjab are blessed with favorable natural and geographical conditions and most of the population and economic activities are concentrated on these two provinces. Other two provinces are the regions where such unfavorable conditions as the dry climate, mountains and deserts must be overcome for their development (see Fig. 1).

Table 3. Comparison between W.P. and E.P.

|  | Unit | West Pakistan | East Pakistan | Total | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Population (1969-70) | Million | 59.9 | 72.4 | 132.3 |  |
| 2. Agriculture Products (1969-70) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Rice | thousand tons | 2,300 | 11,543 | 13,843 |  |
| 2) Wheat | thousand tons | 7,000 | 75 | 7,075 |  |
| 3) Sugar cane | " | 21,860 | 8,000 | 29,860 |  |
| 4) Jute | " | - | 7,000 | 7,000 |  |
| 5) Colton | " | 3,000 | 15 | 3,015 |  |
| 6) Tea | " | - | 70 | 70 |  |
| 3. Industrial Products (1969-70) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Sugar | thousand tons | 600 | 130 | 730 |  |
| 2) Cotton yarn | Mill. lbs . | 570 | 130 | 700 |  |
| 3) Jute goods | thousand tons | 15 | 530 | 545 |  |
| 4) Fertilizer (in terms of nutrient) | " | 140 | 55 | 195 |  |
| 5) Cement | " | 3,200 | 86 | 3,286 |  |
| - 6) Oil | " |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Iron \& Steel |  | 60 | 90 | 1.50 |  |
| 8) Machinery \& Equipment | Mill. Rs. | 1,077 | 189 | 1,266 |  |

According to the 1961 census, the city with a population of over 100,000 numbers 12 in West Pakistan (Note 1). The largest city in West Pakistan is Karachi with a population of 191 million (estimated at 340 million in the year 1969/70). Next to Karachi are Lahore (with a population of 1.3 million), Hyderabad (with a population of 430,000 ) and Lyallpur (with a population of 430,000 ) in that order. Besides, the capital city of Islamabad is being shaped up as a city of politics.

West Pakistan, although its population is smaller than that of East Pakistan, has an overwhelming share in the economic activities (see Table 3). It may be said that the greater part of industrial production in Pakistan concentrates on West Pakistan, though an overall comparison cannot be made because of lack of industrial production statistics.
(Note 1) Assuming the growth rate of population in these cities after 1961 is $3.3 \%$, the mean value of the growth rate of $\mathbf{4 \%}$ for Karachi City and the national average of $2.7 \%$, the population in each of these cities has probably grown to over 140,000 by now.

## 1-2 Outline of Economic Plans

The economic development in Pakistan is being pushed on in accordance with the "Fourth Five-Year Plan" which started in the year 1970/71. This "Fourth Five-Year Plan" is given a status of short-range plan under the long-range economic outlook backed by the "Perspective Economic Plan" with the target set for 1985.

Before describing the outline of the "Fourth Five-Year Plan" and the "perspective economic plans which have been implemented so far will be touched on briefly.

As already mentioned in the previous three sections, the "Six-Year Plan" which began in 1950 and the ensuing first, second and third Five-Year Plans have been implemented successively since independence in 1947 (see Table 4). The outline and the process of implementation of each plan are shown below.

Table 4. Executive Processes of Economic Plans

| (Independence) | 1945 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Six Year Plan |  |
| The First Five Year Plan |  |
| The Second Five Year Plan |  |
| The Third Five Year Plan |  |
| The Fourth Five Year Plan |  |
| Perspective Economic Plan |  |

1) Six-Year Plan (July $1951 \sim$ June 1956)

This plan was worked out in 1950 as part of the Colombo Plan with the emphasis placed on the development of such infrastructures as communication, power and irrigation facilities and the scale of the plan was relatively small. However, as it became necessary to expand the scope of the plan following the outbreak of the Korean War and the expansion of the world economy, the "Two-Year Plan" attaching importance to the promotion of industrial development was worked out. This revised plan substantially replaced the "Six-Year Plan" placing emphasis on the development of consumption goods manufacturing industry and steel industry and improvement of transport and communication facilities centering on marine transportation.
The termination of the Korean War, however, resulted in the deterioration of her balance of payments position. In addition, the drought which hit the country in the early 1950s, coupled with the negligence of agricultural sector in the Six-Year Plan, brought about a serious food shortage. Under such circumstances, there was a growing need for a new economic plan.
2) First Five-Year Plan (April 1955 ~ March 1960)

This plan was announced in 1956, the year following the start of the plan, and was aimed at maintaining the balance between the expansion of agricultural production and industrial development, as well as developing water and power resources on condition that the aforementioned balance was maintained. To attain the above object, the following four objectives in the economic growth were established.
(a) The GNP is to be increased by $15 \%$ and per capita GNP is to be increased by $7 \%$. However, the increase of population is to be held at $1.4 \%$.
(b) Food production is to be increased by $9 \%$ and agricultural production is to be increased by $15 \sim 20 \%$.
(c) Industrial production is to be increased by $60 \%$.
(d) Employment opportunities for two million people are to be created.

These objectives, however, resulted in the remarkable expansion of the industrial sector exceeding the planned level and serious lag in the agricultural sector. Against the rapid expansion of industrial production aided by expected large private investment, the standstill of agricultural production which accounts for more than $1 / 2$ of the GNP accelerated the unbalance in the economy.

Consequently, the growth of GNP did not exceed the rate of $11 \%$ and because of the increase of the population by more than $12 \%$, per capita GNP decreased slightly instead.
3) Second Five-Year Plan (April $1960 \sim 1965$ )

Following the coup d'etat in 1958, the Second Five-Year Plan was mapped out by a military government.

The objectives of the second plan were:
(a) The GNP is to be increased by $24 \%$.
(b) Per capita income is to be increased by $12 \%$.
(c) Grain production is to be increased by $60 \%$.
(d) Industrial production is to be increased by $60 \%$.
(e) Foreign currency reserves is to be increased by $15 \%$.
(f) Employment opportunities for three million people are to be created.

The outstanding features of this plan were (1) that emphasis was placed on agricultural production in the government investment sector to achieve self-sufficiency in food production and (2) that measures were taken to encourage private capital investment.

Under the plan, the GNP increased by $30 \%$, food production by $27 \%$, industrial production by $61 \%$ and foreign currency reserves by $40 \%$, thus not only achieving the target in each sector but also surpassing the goal by a large margin in some sectors. Attainment of the target in agricultural sector may be taken as a major difference between this plan and the previous two plans.
4) Third Five-Year Plan (1965/70)

The Third Five-Year Plan was worked out as the first phase of the Perspective Economic Plan covering a period of 20 years, which will be described at a later stage. The objectives of this plan were:
(a) The GNP is to be increased by $37 \%$ at the minimum at an average annual growth rate of $5 \%$.
(b) Exports are to be increased by $57 \%$ within 5 years at an annual growth rate of $9.5 \%$.
(c) The GNP in East Pakistan and West Pakistan is to be increased by $40 \%$ and $35 \%$ respectively at the minimum.
(d) Employment opportunities for 5.5 million people are to be created to absorb the increase of manpower during the five-year period and at the same time decrease the number of unemployed to less than $1 / 6$.
(e) Key industries that are capable to supply raw materials to high level processing industries are to be promoted.

Compared with the Second Five-Year Plan, this plan placed the emphasis on the improvement of capital output through emphatic development of heavy industry and promotion of public work. However, the drought in West Pakistan and the floods in both West and East Pakistans made it necessary to change the objective of the plan to the agriculturecentered one halfway. As a result, the growth rate of industrial production failed to attain the goal.

## 1-3 Outlook for Major Economic Activities

1) Perspective Economic Plan

The Second Five-Year Plan had no official views as to the prospect of economic growth for the period following the planned project period. This was because the Pakistani Government knew from its experience in working out economic plans the difficulty of setting a long-range target exceeding the planned project period.

However, the fact that the Second Five-Year Plan had attained the goal and even surpassed it was an indication of the existance of background for formulating long-range plans as a basis of mapping out individual plans under long-range economic forecast. Consequently, the Pakistani Government, in parallel with the formulation of the Third FiveYear Plan, worked out the Perspective Economic Plan covering a period of 20 years from 1965 to 1985 to make definite the position of the Third Five-Year Plan from the universal point of view.

As shown in Table 5, the Perspective Plan put up the following objectives.
(a) The GNP is to be increased to the level four times the level of 1965 and per capita income is to be increased twofold by 1985.
(b) Full employment is to be realized.
(c) Equilibrium of income between West Pakistan and East Pakistan is to be established.
(d) Literacy of all people is to be attained.
(e) Lowering dependency on foreign aid is to be executed.

For the attainment of the above objectives, the GNP had to be increased by $7.2 \%$ annually on the average, gross investment by $8.5 \%$, gross domestic savings by $11.4 \%$ and exports by $7.9 \%$ during the period of 20 years from 1965 to 1985.

Table 5 Basic Framework of Pakistan's Long-term Growth 1965/85 in 1964/65 Prices

| (Million rupees) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1965 | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | $\begin{aligned} & 1965 / 85 \\ & \text { (annual compound } \\ & \text { rate of growth) } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| A. Key Magnitudes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Gross national product (market prices) | 45,540 | 62,765 | 89,815 | 129,690 | 187,300 | 7.2 |
| 2. Gross investment | 8,400 | 12,700 | 19,180 | 28,650 | 42,800 | 8.5 |
| 3. Gross domestic savings | 4,710 | 8,515 | 15,180 | 26,150 | 40,800 | 11.4 |
| 4. External resources | 3,690 | 4,185 | 4,000 | 2,500 | 2,000 | -3.0 |
| 5. Exports | 3,050 | 4,800 | 7,300 | 11,000 | 14,000 | 7.9 |
| 6. Imports | 6,990 ${ }^{4}$ | 8,985 | 11,300 | 13,500 | 16,000 | 4.2 |
| B. As a \% of the GNP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Gross investment | 18.4 | 20.2 | 21.4 | 22.1 | 22.9 |  |
| 2. Gross domestic savings | 10.3 | 13.6 | 16.9 | 20.2 | 21.8 |  |
| 3. External resources | 8.1 | 6.6 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 1.1 |  |
| 4. Exports | 6.7 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 7.5 |  |
| 5. Imports | 15.3 | 14.2 | 12.6 | 10.4 | 8.6 |  |
| C. Key Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. GNP growth rate (\%)' | 5.2 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | $7.2^{2}$ |
| 2. Population growth rate (\%)' | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | $2.6{ }^{2}$ |
| 3. Marginal rate of savings $(\%)^{\prime}$ | 22 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 25 | $25^{2}$ |
| 4. Capital-output ratio (gross) ${ }^{1,3}$ | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | $2.9{ }^{2}$ |
| 5. Marginal propensity to import (\%) | - | 12 | 9 | 6 | 4 | $6^{2}$ |

Notes: 1. In the preceding 5 years.
2. In the preceding 20 years.
3. Assumping no time-lag between investment and output.
4. These imports were financed to the extent of Rs. 250 million by drawing upon foreign exchange reserves.
Sources: Government of Pakistan, Third Five-Year Plan, 1965/70.
2) Fourth Five-Year Plan

The Fourth Five-Year Plan was given official approval by the National Council and put into effect in February 1970. The basic policy of this plan was as follows:
(a) Expansion of the economy through maximum and efficient utilization of natural resources and manpower resources.
(b) Rectification of income differential between regions and within the region.
(c) Self-sufficiency of economy in most important sectors.
(d) Harmony between social development and economic growth.

In line with the above policy, the plan with the following objectives is now pushed forward vigorously.
(a) The GNP is to be increased by at least $6.5 \%$ annually and per capita income in the year $1974 / 75$ is to be increased to 660 Rs.
(b) Income differential between regions is to be rectified at the earliest opportunity.
(c) Per capita consumption of grains is to be increased from the present 15.5 ounces to 17 ounces and rice production and wheat production are to be increased to 5.7 million tons and 2.6 million tons.
(d) Export is to be increased at the rate of at least $8.5 \%$.
(e) Export is to be increased at the rate of at least $8.5 \%$.
(f) Up to $1,975,850,000$ tons of steel, one million tons (nutrient tons) of fertilizer, 4 million tons of cement, 280 million cubic feet of natural gas, and transport equipment and industrial machinery worth $1,100 \mathrm{Rs}$ are to be additionally produced.

Though the plant put up objectives numbering 20 in all, East Pakistan was hit a disastrous flood immediately after the start of the Fourth Five-Year Plan and for this reason, it may be said that the future of Pakistan is not so rosy even with its potentialities for economic development including manpower and untouched natural resources.

Major economic indicators of the Fourth Five-Year Plan are shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Major Economic Indicators of the Fourth Five-Year Plan

|  | 1969-70 | 1974-75 | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. GNP | $\begin{gathered} \text { M.Rs } \\ 78,600 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M.Rs } \\ 109,000 \end{gathered}$ | at current market price |
| 2. Population | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ 132.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mil. } \\ & 151.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West | 59.9 | 68.4 | Current Price |
| East | 72.4 | 83.1 |  |
| 3. GNP per capita | Rs 567 | Rs 720 |  |
| 4. Trade (1) Import | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil.Rs } \\ 7,240 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil.Rs } \\ 9,700 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (2) Export | 4,250 | 6,390 | at current price |
| 5. Labour force | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ 42.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil. } \\ 48.8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 6. Crop production | thousand tons | thousand tons |  |
| (1) Wheat | 7,075 | 9,700 |  |
| West | 7,000 | 9,500 |  |
| East | 75 | 200 |  |
| (2) Rice | 13,843 | 19,500 |  |
| West | 2,300 | 3,700 |  |
| East | 11,543 | 15,800 |  |
| 7. Industry production <br> (I) Cotton yarn | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mil.lbs } \\ 700 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mil.lbs } \\ 1,100 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| West | 570 | 700 |  |
| East | 130 | 400 |  |
|  | thousand tons | thousand tons |  |
| (2) Fertilizer | 195 | 1,425 |  |
| West | 140 | 800 |  |
| East | 55 | 625 |  |
| (3) Cement | 3,286 | 7,300 |  |
| West | 3,200 | 6,300 |  |
| East | 86 | 1,000 |  |
| (4) Steel | 150 | 750 |  |
| West <br> East | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 90 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 500 \\ 250 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |

## 2. Present State and Outlook for Port-Oriented Industries

## 2-1 General

Although manufacturing industry has a small share of $13 \%$ in the GNP in the year 1969/ 70 , it shows a remarkable growth rate as compared with agriculture and the tertiary industry. It may be said, therefore, that Pakistan is heading for industrialization. The distinctive feature of manufacturing industry in Pakistan is that large scale industries show a specially high growth rate.

Because of lack of statistics on the industrial production by industry type, a comparison of production between each industry cannot be made, but it is certain that textile industry centering on cotton yarn spinning plays a central role in the industry of Pakistan. Besides, such industries as fertilizer, cement and food industries are also expanding their production scale, but each of them is a light industry of the resources-dependent type which processes raw materials supplied domestically.

Though such key industries as petroleum refining and steel industries are also located, they operate on a small scale and have not reached the level as to satisfy domestic demands. High level processing industries including machinery manufacturing and chemical industry are still in the incipient stage and supply of these items depends mainly on imports from other countries.

As West Pakistan has a greater share of economic activities and is abundant in natural resources compared with East Pakistan, a greater part of industrial production in Pakistan is turned out in West Pakistan. Table 6 shows a comparison of part of key industrial products between West and East Pakistans.

## 2-2 Present State of Major Industries in West Pakistan

The following is a brief description of the present state of industries related to the harbour development.

1) Oil Refining

Oil refining in West Pakistan began in 1961 for the first time by importing crude oil from other countries. The amount of oil refined in the year 1969/70 was 3.03 million tons and almost no petroleum products are being imported. At present two refineries are operating in the Rande district of Karachi. The combined production capacity of the two refineries is 4.8 million tons and one of the refineries has been authorized to expand its capacity by 1.5 million tons.
2) Steel Industry

Steel industry in Pakistan has made little progress in the past because of the low grade and small amount of iron ore deposits and additionally of the low quality of coal available.

For this reason, there have been no steel mills of a significant size located in West Pakistan and only a handful iron works with small electric furnaces are now in operation. In East Pakistan, meanwhile, Pakistan's largest steel mill has been established in Chittagong under the Second Five-Year Plan and the capacity of the mill is now being expanded to 250,000 tons.

## 3) Fertilizer Industry

Fertilizer produced in West Pakistan mostly nitrogenous fertilizer which uses natural gas as raw material and phosphatic fertilizer is produced only in very small quantity from imported sulfur and phosphate.

The output in the year $1969 / 70$ was 140,000 tons (nutrient tons), accounting for $1 / 3$ of the total demand of 340,000 tons with the remainder depending on imports.

The Fourth Five-Year Plan aims at meeting most of demand for fertilizer by domestic supply and for this reason, construction of two urea fertilizer plants ( 340,000 tons in capacity) in West Pakistan has been approved by the government. Besides, construction of a mono ammonium phosphate plant with a capacity of 120,000 tons has also been authorized. In addition, construction of a nitro phosphate plant with a capacity of 311,000 tons and an ammonium nitrate plant with a capacity of 322,000 tons is also envisaged under the Fourth Five-Year Plan.
4) Cement Industry

Cement industry is one of the key industries in Pakistan and the production of cement in West Pakistan in the year 1969/70 amounted to 3.2 million tons. Because of the abundant raw material limestone resources in West Pakistan, there are many cement plants operating in this part of the country. The aggregated production capacity is 3.5 million tons at present. Expansion and modernization of cement plants in Rohri and Wah and construction of a new plant in Sibi are now in progress.
5) Cotton spinning mills

Cotton spinning industry is most advanced sector of private enterprises and holds an important position as the center of industries in Pakistan.

The output of cotton yarn in West Pakistan in the year 1969/70 amounted to 570 million lbs., far exceeding the goal of 486 million lbs. set under the Third Five-Year Plan. On the other hand, the output of cotton cloth in the year 1968/69 amounted to 710 million yds. It may be said, therefore, that most of the production of yarn and cloth in Pakistan is accounted for by West Pakistan.
6) Shipbuilding

When the fact that the country is geographically divided in West Pakistan and East Pakis$\tan$ is taken into consideration, shipbuilding industry is a very important industry to Pakistan.

There are large shipyards in Karachi, Charuna and Naraya Ganj and many small shipyards in various parts of the country with a total capacity of about $54,000 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$. The shipyard in Karachi was constructed in 1964 and launched an ocean-going vessel of 13,000 DWT in 1966.

It seems that no construction of a new shipyard is included in the current five-year project.

## 2-3 Outlook for Industries - Mainly Port-Oriented Industries

1) Goal under the Fourth Five-Year Plan

Under the Fourth Five-Year Plan the outlook for port-oriented industries is as follows.

## (a) Oil Refining

In the year 1974/75, the capacity of one of the existing oil refineries in the Korangi district will be expanded from the present 0.5 million tons to 2.0 million tons and additionally, a new refinery with a capacity of 2.0 million tons will be constructed in West Pakistan.
(b) Steel Industry

With a view of increasing steel production to 7.5 million tons in the year 1974/75, a twofold increase over the year 1969/70, construction of a new steel mill is planned for the waterfront industrial district in West Pakistan with the cooperation of the USSR government. The proposed steel mill is expected to have a capacity of 750,000 tons at the final stage of the current Five-Year Plan and is expected to have an ultimate capacity of one million tons.

Besides, it seems that a technical and economical study is being made on the feasibility of constructing a steel mill at Karabah in West Pakistan, which will use domestically produced iron ore as raw material. However, the plan seems to be encountering major financial problems.
(c) Fertilizer Industry

Production of fertilizer is expected to reach 1.8 million tons (nutrient ton) in the year 1974/75, a twofold increase over the year 1969/70. This will meet most of the demand for fertilizer in West Pakistan, but part of phosphatic fertilizer and all of potassic fertilizer will still have to be imported.

For this reason, approval has been given for construction of two urea plants so far. Each of these plants is to have a capacity of 340,000 tons. Besides, construction of a mono ammonium phosphate plant with a capacity of 120,000 tons has been authorized. In addition, the government plans construction of a nitrophosphate plant with a capacity of 311,000 tons and an ammonium nitrate plant with a capacity of 322,000 tons as compound fertilizer plant. These plants are expected to be in commercial operation within the period of the Five-Year Plan.
(d) Cement

The production goal for the year 1974/75 is 6.3 million tons, a two fold increase over the year 1969/70. This capacity is sufficient for not only meeting demands in West Pakistan but for exporting to East Pakistan and other countries. For this purpose, there is a plan for construction of a large cement plant with an annual capacity of 1.8 million tons specifically for export purpose.
(e) Petrochemical

Under the Fourth Five-Year Plan the capacity of the existing polyethylene plant is to be increased from the present 5,000 tons to 15,000 tons and ethylene is to be supplied from petrochemical plants in the Korangi district. Besides, approval has been given by the government for the construction of a petrochemical plant which will get naphtha from oil refineries in Karachi. The scope of this plant is as follows:
i) Naphtha Cracker:

47,000 tons of ethylene. However, initial capacity is to be 24,500 tons and the ultimate capacity is to be 60,000 tons.
ii) Polyethylene Plant: 10,000 tons
iii) PVC Plant: 15,000 tons

Vinylchloride monomer to feed PVC plant: 16,500 tons Chlorine Plant to feed VCM plant: 11,000 tons
iv) Polypropylene Plant: 5,000 tons
v) Dodecy Benzene Plant: 5,000 tons

Besides, approval has been given for construction of a gas chemical plant which will use natural gas as raw material for the production of 320,000 tons of urea for specifically export purpose. This chemical plant is expected to start commercial operation at the end of the Fourth Five-Year Plan or at the beginning of the Fifth Five-Year Project.
(f) Cotton Spinning

The production goal for the year 1974/75 is 700 million lbs. of cotton yarn, a twofold increase over the year 1969/70. To attain this objective, however, additional 70,000 units of spinning machine are required. When there is a fair prospect of export of cotton yarn or cloth, additional expansion of spinning industry will be possible.

For further information, the present state and the goal of the Third Plan and the goal of the Fourth Plan on the major industries in West Pakistan are given in Table 7.

Table 7. Benchmarks and Physical Targets for the Third and Fourth Five-Year Plan Manufacturing Sector-West Pakistan

| Industries/Item | Unit | Third <br> Plan <br> Targets 1979-70 | Fourth Plan |  | Percentage increase over 1969-70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Bench marks 1969-70 | Targets 1974-75 |  |
| 1. Food Manufacturing <br> (i) White sugar <br> (ii) Vegetable Ghee <br> (iii) Cigarettes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 000 tons | 410 | 600 | 750 | 25 |
|  | 000 tons | 115 | 151 | 275 | 82 |
|  | Th Mill. Nos. | 18.5 | 22 | 40 | 82 |
| 2. Manulacture of Textiles <br> (i) Cotton Yarn <br> (ii) Jute Goods (Hessian/sacking) <br> (iii) Broad Loom Jute Products |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mill.lbs | 486 | 570 | 700 | 23 |
|  | 000 tons | 32 | 15 | 40 | 167 |
|  | 000 tons | 24 | - | - | - |
| 3. Paper and Board <br> (i) Writing and printing paper <br> (ii) Boards all kind <br> (iii) Newsprints and Mechanical Papers |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 000 tons | 35 | 11 | 100 | 810 |
|  | 000 tons | 70 | 44 | 100 | 127 |
|  | 000 tons | 35 | - | 10 | - |
| 4. Chemical Industries(i) Fertilizer (in terms of nut rient)(ii) Soda Ash(iii) Caustic Soda(iv) Sulphuric Acid(v) Petrochemical |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 000 tons | 338 | 140 | 800 | 471 |
|  | 000 tons | 136 | 70 | 160 | 129 |
|  | 000 tons | 55 | 28 | 120* | 330 |
|  | 000 tons | 320 | 60* | 630* | 320 |
|  | 000 tons | 178 | 10 | 60 | 300 |
| 5. Non-Metallic Minerals(i) Cement |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 000 tons | 4,000 | 3,200 | 6,300 | 97 |
| 6. Basic Metals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steel | 000 tons | 900 | 60 | 500 | 733 |
| Steel Furnaces | 000 tons | - | 60 | 250 | 317 |
| 7. Machinery and Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |
| (i) Machinery other than electric Machinery | Mill.Rs | N.A | 259 | 693 | 168 |
| (ii) Electric Machinery, apparatus, appliances | Mill.Rs. | N.A | 359 | 537 | 50 |
| (iii) Transport equipment | Mill Rs | N.A | 359 | 5330 | 49 |
| * Includes captive capacity |  |  |  |  |  |

2) Prospect of the Economy under the Perspective Economic Plan

The Perspective Economic Plan forecasts the industrial growth in Pakistan in 1985 as follows.

In Pakistan, agriculture has the largest share in the economic structure and the growth of agricultural production has been supporting the economic expansion in the country so far. Under the plan, however, the leading role of the economic growth in the future is to be played by manufacturing sector.

As a result, the growth rate of manufacturing industry is estimated to reach a high $10.2 \%$ which is higher than the growth rate of $7.2 \%$ for the GNP, against the growth rate of $5.6 \%$ (1965/85) for agriculture.

As shown in Table 8, special emphasis is placed on the production of intermediate products among manufacturing industry and a growth rate of $13.7 \%$ ( $1965 / 85$ ) is predicted. Intermediate products include basic metal products, raw and processed chemical materials, non-ferrous metal products and petro derivatives.

Following this, a growth rate of $10.0 \%$ is forecasted for investment goods, which is an indication of the policy of expanding basic sector of manufacturing industry.

As a result, the share of manufacturing industry in all industry is expected to rise from $11 \%$ in 1965 to $27 \%$ in 1985.

## 2-4 Discussion

The description in the preceding section has been centered on the present state and the outlook for industry in all Pakistan. Hereinafter, discussion will be centered on the prospect of industry in West Pakistan.

Table 8. Growth Pattern in the Perspective Plan
(Million Rs.: 1964/65 prices)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1965-$ | 1970 | 1985 | Annual compound <br> rate of growth <br> $(1965-85)$ |  |
| 1. Agriculture | 21,055 | 26,870 | 62,500 | 5.6 |  |
| 2. Manufacturing | 5,195 | 8,365 | 36,500 | 10.2 |  |
| a) Consumer goods | $(3,235)$ | $(4,515)$ | $(13,000)$ | $(7.2)$ |  |
| b) Intermediate products | $(1,620)$ | $(3,300)$ | $(21,200)$ | $(13.7)$ |  |
| c) Investment goods | $(340)$ | $(550)$ | $(2,300)$ | $(10.0)$ |  |
| 3. Other sectors | 17,115 | 24,165 | 75,300 | 7.7 |  |

Agriculture will still hold a large share in the future economy of Pakistan, but its growth rate will be lower than that of manufacturing industry. Manufacturing industry will play a leading role in the economic expansion of the country in the future.

Manufacturing industry in both West and East Pakistans has so far been centered on such light industry as cotton spinning and cement manufacturing and no significant development of heavy and chemical industries has been witnessed except for fertilizer industry and oil refining. In order for the manufacturing industry to play a leading role in the economic expansion of the country, it is essential to improve the existing economic structure and place emphasis on the expansion of process industry which turns out products with high added-value.

For this purpose, it is necessary to introduce and promote such key industries as steel industry, oil refining and petrochemical industry as well as to raise the level of the existing light industry and promote its productivity.

Steel industry and oil refining industry are the industry which lack sufficient domestic supply of raw materials in all Pakistan including West Pakistan and must depend on foreign markets for supply of raw materials. These industries require large plant facilities. There is a world-wide tendency among these process industries to increase their size to promote production efficiency by making the best of the merit of large facilities. Table 9 shows world's major plants of oil refining, steel industry and petrochemical industry. The table shows the highest production capacities of 500,000 BPSD for oil refining, 10 million tons/year of crude steel for steel industry and 880,000 tons of ethylene for petrochemical industry. As it is advantageous for these industries to be located at one specific place for supply of raw materials and joint use of public facilities, there often are cases in which a combinat is formed by industries closely related each other. The typical example of this combinat is a petro-petrochemical combinat which is a combination of oil refineries and petrochemical plants.

On the other hand, the efficiency of transport is a major question together with the improvement of production techniques for the reduction of production cost. Where the supply of raw materials is dependent on imports, savings of marine transport cost of raw materials and inland transport cost of finished goods must be attempted.

In the marine transport sector, there is an increasing tendency to increase the size of such carriers as oil tankers and ore-carriers. An oil tanker of 470,000 DWT and an ore-carrier of 270,000 DWT are now under construction.

Inland transport of finished goods is unavoidable in West Pakistan where consumer districts are distributed in and around Karachi City and extends to the inland area along the Indus river.

Therefore, the saving of transport cost of raw materials is the only alternative for the industries which entirely depend on import for the supply of raw materials to reduce production cost. It is advisable, therefore, to locate these industries on waterfronts so that large vessels may be used for transporting raw materials and inland transport of raw materials can be avoided to the extent possible.

To materialize the establishment of these large scale process-industries in West Pakistan, it is essential to secure a large lot of land with sufficient space on waterfront which provides a good linkage to inland transport system and allows the entry of large vessels and form a new combinat. This combinat is a new type which cannot be found in the present Pakistan's industries and is expected to play a key role in the economic development of Pakistan.

Establishment of such a combinat, however, cannot be materialized in disregard of Pakistan's own economic, geographical and historic characteristics. Therefore, it is important to plan a waterfront industrial complex most suitable to West Pakistan by fully taking into account these characteristics.

Table 9. World's Largest Plants

| Industry | Capacity | Name of Plant (Location) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oil refining | $500,000 \mathrm{BPSD}$ | Shell (Rotterdam; Euro-port) |
| Petrochemical | Etylene 880,000 ton/day | (Texas City, U.S.A.) |
| Steel | Crude Steel | Shin Nittetsu Iron \& Steel Ltd. |
|  | $10,000,000$ ton/year | Fukuyama Factory (Japan) |

## 3. Present and Future of Cargo Traffic Through Port

## 3-1 Present State and Changes in Cargo Traffic through Port

As the port of Karachi is the only existing port in West Pakistan, the trend of cargo traffic through port in West Pakistan is represented by the cargo traffic through Karachi Port.

The volume of cargo traffic through Karachi Port in the year 1974/75 reached 9.34 million tons. In the past ten years the volume of cargo has increased by two-fold, but it shows a gradual increase following the year $1966 / 67$. Of the total volume of cargo traffic through port, foreign trade cargo accounts for 7.48 million tons or $80 \%$ and coastal cargo accounts for 1.86 million tons or $20 \%$. In the past, foreign trade cargo accounted for $80 \sim 90 \%$ of the total volume of cargo, but in recent years there has been a remarkable growth of coastal cargo and the ratio of foreign trade cargo shows a sign of decline.

A study on the composition of cargo shows that foreign trade is heavily inclined to import and that domestic trade is inclined to export. More specifically, in the foreign trade cargo section, while the volume of export cargo is 1.86 million tons, that of import cargo shows a high figure of 5.61 million tons. In the coastal cargo section, while the volume of export cargo is 1.54 million tons, that of import cargo is 320,000 tons or $1 / 5$ of the volume of export cargo.

In the foreign trade cargo, the ratio of import cargo has been decreasing while that of export cargo has been increasing annually, indicating changes in trade structure of West Pakistan. For coastal cargo, on the other hand, the ratio of export has been on the increase in the past 10 years, though it cannot be said generally because of the large annual fluctuation.

The fact that the share of export cargo is increasing gradually while the share of import cargo is still large as a whole, may be interpreted as a reflection of part of Pakistan's economic policy placing emphasis on the promotion of export. (Refer to Tables 11 and 12, and Figs. 2 and 3.) Here, the cargo is broken down into oil cargo, dry bulk cargo and general cargo for comparison of cargo traffic. According to the results of cargo handling in the year 1969/70, oil cargo held the largest share amounting to 3.99 million tons ( $43 \%$ of all cargo), followed by dry bulk cargo amounting to 3.2 million tons ( $34 \%$ of all cargo). In other words, bulk cargo accounts for about $77 \%$ of the total cargo while general cargo has a small share accounting for only $23 \%$.

Fig. 2. Changes in Cargo Traffic through Ports by Type of Trade


Fig. 3. Component Ratio of Cargo Traffic through Ports by Type of Trade


Fig. 4. Cargo Traffic through Port by Type (1969/70)


Classification of cargo is shown in Table 10.
Table 10. Classification of Cargo into Bulk Cargo and General Cargo

| Bulk Cargo | General Cargo |
| :---: | :---: |
| Molasses | Bones |
| Rice | Cotton |
| Sugar | Fish |
| Wheat | Guar gum <br> Hides and skins |
| Coal and coke | Oil cakes |
| Gypsum | Tea |
| Oil (crude) | Vegetable oils and seeds |
| Salt | Wool |
| Cement | Chrome ore |
| Fertilisers | Oil (refined products) |
| Iron and steel (raw matertals) | Consumer goods <br> Iron and steel (finished products) <br> Jute goods <br> Machinery <br> Paint <br> Paper and board <br> Sports goods <br> Miscellaneous cargo |

In the foreign trade cargo section, oil cargo accounts for more than $50 \%$ of all cargo and bulk cargo including dry bulk cargo accounts for about $90 \%$ of the total cargo.

In the coastal cargo section oil cargo has a small share, but against the $64 \%$, the share of dry bulk cargo, general cargo accounts for $34 \%$, indicating its larger share compared with foreign trade cargo section. (Refer to Table 13 and Fig. 43.) Changes in the volume of each type of cargo will be discussed hereinafter.

1) Dry Bulk Cargo
(a) Foreign Trade Cargo

Of the total of 2 million tons of foreign trade cargo in the year 1969/70, import
cargo amounted to 1.39 million tons surpassing the volume of export cargo which amounted to 610,000 tons by two-fold. However, the volume of export cargo has been on the increase annually and shows a higher growth rate than import cargo.

Fertilizer accounts for nearly $50 \%$ of the import cargo, followed by iron \& steel and wheat in that order.

Of the above, import of wheat shows a tendency to decrease gradually. When selfsufficiency in wheat production is achieved in West Pakistan, there will be no import of wheat and instead there will be a shift to export. Besides, approximately 60,000 tons of wheat is imported (1969/70) as Afghanistan transient cargo.

Of the export cargo, cement tops the list accounting for $40 \%$, followed by molasses and rice in that order. The cement industries in West Pakistan and is expected to be the central item of export in the future.
(b) Coastal Cargo

Coast cargo in the year 1969/70 totaled 1.2 million tons, of which export accounted for 1.17 million tons or $97 \%$, showing a quite opposite trend compared with foreign cargo. This is because the supply of cement and farm products such as rice and wheat is dependent on West Pakistan due to the fact that the development of East Pakistan lags behind West Pakistan.

There are no import cargos worth mentioning and only a small quantity of lumber, which is scarce in West Pakistan, is imported.

Rice and cement each account for $35 \sim 40 \%$ of the export cargo, followed by wheat. In view of the fact that it will be some time before East Pakistan attains self-sufficiency in grain production and that production of wheat has almost stopped, supply of grains in East Pakistan will have to depend on West Pakistan for the time being.
2) Oil
(a) Foreign Trade Cargo

Of the total of 3.99 million tons in the year 1969/70, import accounted for 3.3 million tons and export accounted for 690,000 tons.

Pakistan had been importing petroleum products until the year 1962/63 because of lack of oil refineries in West Pakistan. Following the establishment of oil refineries in West Pakistan in the year 1963/64, however, import of petroleum products has since been stopped.

For export of petroleum products, part of naphtha which has small demand in West Pakistan is exported. The amount of naphtha exported will probably decrease when petrochemical industries become more active in West Pakistan.
(b) Coastal Cargo

According to the results for the year 1969/70, the volume of coastal cargo amounted to only 8,000 tons.
3) General Cargo
(a) Foreign Trade Cargo

Of the total of 1.49 million tons for the year 1969/70, import accounted for

920,000 tons while export accounted for 570,000 tons.
Import cargo has been levelling off since the year 1965/66. The main items of import are oil edible and machinery, but there are no cargo items which have a large share in the total cargo. It is characteristic that the cargo destined to Afghanistan amounted to about 110,000 tons.

Of the export cargo, textile and cotton exceed the 100,000 ton level. Other than these two items, there are no items worth mentioning.

Judging from the changes in the past, export of cotton will decrease gradually. In view of the recent trade policy of the country shifting from raw cotton to finished goods for export, export of cotton textiles will expand more rapidly than that of raw cotton.
(b) Coastal Cargo

Of the total of 760,000 tons in the year 1969/70, import accounted for 290,000 tons while exported accounted for 370,000 tons. There are no major import cargo worth mentioning and export cargo are mainly raw cotton and textiles.

Table 11. Changes in the Volume of Cargo Traffic through Ports by Type of Trade


Table 12. Component Ratio of Cargo Traffic through Port by Type of Trade

|  |  | $1959-60$ | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Foreign trade | Export | 11.4 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 14.4 | 15.2 | 13.5 | 10.8 | 19.5 | 19.9 |
|  | Import | 73.0 | 75.9 | 73.0 | 70.0 | 74.9 | 78.5 | 70.1 | 71.8 | 71.1 | 61.8 | 60.1 |
|  | Total | 84.4 | 86.4 | 84.1 | 81.8 | 86.9 | 92.9 | 85.3 | 85.3 | 85.9 | 81.3 | 80.0 |
|  | Export | 12.6 | 11.0 | 13.2 | 16.0 | 10.8 | 5.2 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 15.3 | 10.5 |
| Domestic trade | Import | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.4 |
|  | Total | 15.6 | 13.6 | 16.0 | 18.2 | 13.1 | 7.1 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 14.1 | 18.8 | 19.9 |
| Tota! |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 13. Changes in Cargo Traffic Itemized by Import \& Export and Type

| Export/Import | Foreign/Coastal | Kinds | 1965-66 | 1966-67 | 1967-68 | 1968-69 | 1969-70 | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forelgn | Import | Dry Bulk Oil General Total | $\begin{gathered} 1.547 .7 \\ (21.7) \\ 2,529.7 \\ (35.5) \\ 9.32 .9 \\ (13.1) \\ 5,010.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,786.4 \\ (30.4) \\ 2,823.6 \\ (30.6) \\ 994.7 \\ (10.9) \\ 6,604.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,283.7 \\ (26.1) \\ 3,100.4 \\ (35.4) \\ 877.7 \\ (10.0) \\ 6,261.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,113.8 \\ (13.1) \\ 3,275.2 \\ (38.4) \\ 918.0 \\ (10.8) \\ 5,307.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,387.6 \\ (14.9) \\ 3,301.8 \\ (35.4) \\ 923.3 \\ (9.9) \\ 5,612.7 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Export | Dry Bulk <br> OH <br> General <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} 295.3 \\ (4.2) \\ 350.8 \\ (4.9) \\ 427.4 \\ (6.0) \\ 1.073 .5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 483.1 \\ (5.3) \\ 313.3 \\ (3.4) \\ 428.4 \\ (4.7) \\ 1,224.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 382.9 \\ (4.4) \\ 318.8 \\ (3.6) \\ 577.9 \\ (6.6) \\ 1.279 .6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 168.2 \\ (5.5) \\ 646.1 \\ (7.6) \\ 530.1 \\ (6.2) \\ 1,644.4 \end{gathered}$ | 610.2 (6.5) <br> 078.7 <br> (7.3) <br> 573.4 <br> (6.1) <br> 1,862.4 |  |
| Coastal | Import ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | Dry Bulk <br> Oil <br> General <br> Total | 15.3 <br> (0.2) <br> 224.0 <br> (3.2) <br> 239.3 | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ (0.2) \\ - \\ 253.9 \\ (2.8) \\ 275.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \\ (0.1) \\ - \\ 224.8 \\ (2.6) \\ 242.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71.8 \\ (0.8) \\ - \\ \\ 220.4 \\ (2.6) \\ 292.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.1 \\ (0.3) \\ - \\ \\ 289.2 \\ (3.1) \\ 320.4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Export | Dry Bulk <br> OH <br> General <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} 553.2 \\ (7.8) \\ 24.1 \\ (0.3) \\ 219.3 \\ (3.1) \\ 796.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 802.8 \\ (8.8) \\ 4.2 \\ (0.1) \\ 255.2 \\ (2.8) \\ 1,062.2 \end{gathered}$ | 699.8 <br> (8.0) <br> $\left(\begin{array}{l}0.2 \\ )\end{array}\right.$ <br> 277.6 <br> (3.2) <br> 977.6 | 969.0 $(11.4)$ 0.2 $\left(\begin{array}{c}321.1 \\ (3.6) \\ 1,290.3\end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,166.3 \\ (12.5) \\ 7.8 \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 369.4 \\ (4.0) \\ 1,543.5 \end{array}\right. \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Grand Total |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 7,119.7 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,167.2 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,761.5 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,533.9 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,339.0 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ |  |

Table 14. Changes in Cargo Traffic through Ports by Type of Cargo

|  |  | 1959/60 | 60/61 | 61/62 | 62/63 | 63/64 | 64/65 | 65/66 | 66/67 | 67/68 | 68/69 | 69/70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I. Import } \\ & \text { 1. Bulk } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Cement | Total Foreign Coastal | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.0 \\ & 38.0 \end{aligned}$ | $131.5$ <br> 131.5 <br> - | $131.6$ <br> 131.6 <br> - | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2) Coal \& Coak* | Total Forelgn Coastal | 193.7 193.7 - | $\begin{aligned} & 71.0 \\ & 71.0 \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.1 \\ & 35.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.9 \\ & 20.9 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 202.0 \\ & 202.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.1 \\ & 94.1 \end{aligned}$ $-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95.2 \\ & 95.2 \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.9 \\ & 78.9 \\ & -\quad \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.1 \\ & 84.1 \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |
| 3) Fertilizer | Total Foreign Coastal | - | $\begin{aligned} & 139.1 \\ & 139.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 155.4 \\ & 155.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.1 \\ & 99.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.6 \\ & 22.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.4 \\ & 18.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 182.5 \\ & 182.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 497.8 \\ & 497.8 \end{aligned}$ | 388.6 388.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 341.0 \\ & 341.0 \end{aligned}$ - | 687.6 687.6 - |
| 4) Iron \& Stee! | Total Foreign Coastal | $153.9$ $153.9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 357.4 \\ & 357.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226.1 \\ & 226.1 \end{aligned}$ - | $\begin{array}{r} 222.1 \\ 222.1 \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 263.7 \\ & 263.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 329.7 \\ & 329.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 299.4 \\ & 299.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 550.6 \\ 548.9 \\ 1.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 282.4 \\ & 282.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 396.1 \\ 394.0 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 337.8 \\ 334.9 \\ 3.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 5) Sugar | Total Foreign Coastal | - | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \\ & 7.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.6 \\ & 93.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.8 \\ 43.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.0 \\ & 78.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.8 \\ & 60.8 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 10.6 \\ 8.8 \\ 1.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 275.8 \\ 274.3 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ | - |
| 6) Wheat | Total Foreign Coastal | $\begin{aligned} & 772.9 \\ & 772.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,100.4 \\ & 1,100.4 \end{aligned}$ | $698.6$ $698.6$ $-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 553.3 \\ & 553.3 \end{aligned}$ $-$ | 834.4 <br> 834.4 <br> - | $\begin{aligned} & 1,480.6 \\ & 1,480.6 \end{aligned}$ | 674.4 674.4 $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,564.9 \\ & 1,564.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1,471.5 \\ 1,471.5 \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \\ & 15.9 \\ & -\quad \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225.5 \\ & 225.5 \end{aligned}$ $-$ |
| 7) Timber | Total <br> Foreign <br> Coastal | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \\ -5.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4.4 \\ \hline \\ \hline 4.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \\ & - \\ & 10.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.1 \\ & \overrightarrow{31.1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.4 \\ & - \\ & 36.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.1 \\ & - \\ & 11.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 15.3 \\ & - \\ & 15.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.9 \\ & 19.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \\ & 15.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.2 \\ & - \\ & 67.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.9 \\ & \overrightarrow{27.9} \end{aligned}$ |
| B) Other Cargo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) Total |  | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 1,125.6 \\ 1,120.5 \\ 5.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,679.3 \\ 1,674.9 \\ 4.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 1,220.9 \\ 1,210.5 \\ 10.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 1,008.3 \\ 977.2 \\ 31.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,288.8 \\ 1,252.4 \\ 36.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,049.4 \\ 2,038.3 \\ 11.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,438.9 \\ 1,423.6 \\ 15.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,727.6 \\ 2,706.0 \\ 21.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,264.2 \\ 2,246.5 \\ 17.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,175.6 \\ 1,104.8 \\ 71.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,363.6 \\ 1,332.5 \\ 31.1 \end{array}$ |
| 10) Intransit $\underset{\text { Cargo }}{ }{ }^{\text {Afghan }}$ Cargo* | Wheat (F) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124.1 | 80.4 | 37.2 | 9.0 | 55.1 |
| 2. Oil and Petroleum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Crude Oil | Total Foreign Coastal | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1,673.5 \\ & 1,673.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,491.8 \\ & 2,491.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,305.9 \\ & 2,305.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,661.4 \\ & 2,661.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3,007.0 \\ 3,007.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,271.4 \\ & 3,271.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,300.8 \\ & 3,300.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2) Diesel Oll \& Liquid Fuel | Total Foteign Coastal | $\begin{gathered} 953.5 \\ 953.5 \\ -\quad \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,005.8 \\ 1,005.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 903.2 \\ 903.2 \\ -\quad \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 1,456.6 \\ 1,456.6 \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 171.8 \\ 171.8 \\ -\quad . \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.9 \\ & 65.9 \\ & -\quad \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 109.6 \\ & 109.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 139.4 \\ 139.4 \\ -\quad \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.6 \\ & 27.6 \end{aligned}$ | 3.8 3.8 | 1.0 |
| 3) Kerosene Oil | Tota! Foreign Coastal | $\begin{aligned} & 216.5 \\ & 216.5 \end{aligned}$ <br> - | $233.9$ <br> 233.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 282.6 \\ & 282.6 \end{aligned}$ $-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 223.0 \\ & 223.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.5 \\ & 96.5 \\ & - \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44.9 \\ 44.9 \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 3.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.3 \\ & 38.3 \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| 4) Petrol | Total Foreign Coastal | $\begin{aligned} & 163.4 \\ & 163.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124.2 \\ & 124.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129.3 \\ & 129.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.8 \\ & 51,8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.5 \\ & 19.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.3 \\ & 32.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.2 \\ & 54.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.6 \\ & 19.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.5 \\ & 27.5 \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| 5) Total | Total Foreign Coastal | $\begin{aligned} & 1,333.4 \\ & 1,333.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,363.9 \\ 1,363.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,315.1 \\ & 1,315.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,731.4 \\ & 1,731.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,961.3 \\ & 1,961.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2,634.9 \\ 2,634.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2,529.7 \\ 2,529.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,823.6 \\ & 2,823.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,100.4 \\ & 3,100.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,275.2 \\ & 3,275.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,301.8 \\ & 3,301.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. General Cargo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Oils Edible* | Total Foreign Coastal | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 48.6 \\ & 48.6 \\ & -\quad \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.0 \\ & 27.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.4 \\ & 41.4 \end{aligned}$ $-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.7 \\ & 61.7 \end{aligned}$ | 61.0 61.0 |
| 2) Motor Cars* | Total Foreign Coastal | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 9.3 \\ 9.3 \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.1 \\ & 10.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.1 \\ & 6.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.6 \\ & 10.6 \\ & -\quad \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12.1 12.1 $-\quad 1$ |
| 3) Other Cargoes | Total Foreign Coasta! | $\begin{aligned} & 830.3 \\ & 699.7 \\ & 130.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 736.9 \\ & 610.9 \\ & 126.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 824.1 \\ & 697.3 \\ & 126.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,156.0 \\ 1,066.8 \\ 89.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,136.2 \\ 1,035.4 \\ 100.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,404.6 \\ 1,309.7 \\ 134.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,035.5 \\ 811.5 \\ 224.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,018.7 \\ 864.8 \\ 253.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 955.8 \\ & 731.0 \\ & 224.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 985.1 \\ & 764.7 \\ & 220.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,139.3 \\ 850.0 \\ 289.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 4) Total | Total Forelgn Coastal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 830.3 \\ & 689.7 \\ & 130.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 736.9 \\ & 610.9 \\ & 126.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 824.1 \\ & 697.3 \\ & 126.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,156.0 \\ 1,066.8 \\ 89.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,136.2 \\ 1,035.4 \\ 100.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 1,444.6 \\ 1,309.7 \\ 134.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,093.4 \\ 869.4 \\ 224.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,185.8 \\ 901.9 \\ 253.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,003.3 \\ 778.5 \\ 224.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,057.0 \\ 837.0 \\ 220.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,212.4 \\ 813.1 \\ 289.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 5) Transit A.C.* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63.5 | 92.8 | 99.2 | 81.0 | 110.2 |


|  |  | 1959/60 | 60/61 | 61/62 | 62/63 | 63/64 | 64/65 | 65/66 | 66/67 | 67/68 | 68/69 | 69/70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II. Export 1. Bulk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Fertilize:* | Total Foreign Costal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 0.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8.9 <br> 8.8 |
| 2) Foodgrains* | Total Foreign Coastal |  |  | 17.2 | 14.7 | 12.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \\ & - \\ & 15.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.6 \\ & 11.7 \\ & 31.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.6 \\ 6.7 \\ 36.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.1 \\ 1.8 \\ 13.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.3 \\ 5.3 \\ 18.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.7 \\ 6.7 \\ 13.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 3) Molasses* | Total Foreign Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 61.8 \\ 61.5 \\ 0.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88.8 \\ 88.8 \\ -\quad . \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 45.0 \\ -\quad \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.4 \\ & 54.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 136.3 \\ 136.2 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 4) Ores* | Total <br> Foreign <br> Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7.8 \\ 7.8 \\ -\quad \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.0 \\ & 25.0 \\ & - \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | 0.3 0.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 35.9 \\ 34.3 \\ 1.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 5) Rice | Total <br> Foreign <br> Coastal | $\begin{array}{r} 207.0 \\ 98.3 \\ 108.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 236.2 \\ & 112.6 \\ & 123.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 156.5 \\ 146.2 \\ 10.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 461.5 \\ & 153.1 \\ & 308.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 338.1 \\ & 134.2 \\ & 203.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 213.8 \\ 184.0 \\ 29.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 435.3 \\ & 137.6 \\ & 297.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 416.5 \\ & 183.9 \\ & 232.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 258.2 \\ & 110.4 \\ & 147.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 410.0 \\ & 135.2 \\ & 274.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 584.3 \\ & 103.8 \\ & 480.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6) Sugar | Total Forelgn Coastal |  |  | 6.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 9.3 \\ -9.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.6 \\ 0.3 \\ 38.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ - \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.1 \\ & 0.2 \\ & 5.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.6 \\ 0 \\ 26.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 7) Wheat | Total <br> Foreign <br> Coastal | $\begin{array}{r} 21.7 \\ 0.6 \\ 21.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ -6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.3 \\ 0.6 \\ 26.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.6 \\ 5.4 \\ 31.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 6.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.4 \\ 2.9 \\ 28.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & 15.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.9 \\ 0.3 \\ 28.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.3 \\ 0.8 \\ 13.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161.6 \\ 4.8 \\ 157.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 128.5 \\ 1.7 \\ 126.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 8) Cement | Total <br> Foreign <br> Coastal | 89.6 | $46.3$ | 189.6 | 220.4 | 20.7 | 0.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 97.0 \\ 1.2 \\ 95.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 482.9 \\ 88.5 \\ 394.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 596.4 <br> 176.4 <br> 420.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 625.1 \\ & 218.5 \\ & 406.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 665.1 \\ & 253.7 \\ & 411.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 9) Salt | Total Foreign Coastal | 15.6 | 19.9 | 45.1 | 13.0 | 29.2 | 31.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 97.0 \\ & 68.0 \\ & 29.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.0 \\ & 73.6 \\ & 10.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.4 \\ 41.0 \\ 21.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.2 \\ & 47.6 \\ & 22.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.2 \\ 71.8 \\ 6.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 10) Rape seeds | Total <br> Foreign Coastal |  |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 75.2 \\ 1.6 \\ 73.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.2 \\ & 14.6 \\ & 60.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85.9 \\ 3.8 \\ 82.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84.6 \\ 2.5 \\ 82.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 85.6 1.9 83.7 |
| 11) Other C . | Total Foreign Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9 \\ & 5.4 \\ & 0.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 1.4 \\ & 0.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 0.7 \\ & 1.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4 \\ -1.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.5 \\ \hline 8.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 12) Total | Total Foreign Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \mathrm{~B} .5 \\ & 295.3 \\ & 553.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,285.9 \\ 483.1 \\ 802.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,082.7 \\ 382.9 \\ 699.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,437.2 \\ 468.2 \\ 969.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,776.6 \\ 610.3 \\ 1,166.3 \end{array}$ |
| 2. Oil and Petroteum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Petroleum Prds. | Total Forelgn Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 374.9 \\ 250.8 \\ 24.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 317.5 \\ 313.3 \\ 4.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 319.0 \\ 318.8 \\ 0.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 646.3 \\ 646.1 \\ 0.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 686.5 \\ 678.7 \\ 7.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 3. General Cargoes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Cotton | Total Foreign Coastal | $\begin{aligned} & 81.9 \\ & 63.4 \\ & 18.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 74.4 \\ & 52.0 \\ & 22.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111.4 \\ 50.3 \\ 61.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 209.8 \\ 175.3 \\ 34.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 236.4 \\ 197.6 \\ 38.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 179.0 \\ 150.8 \\ 28.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 178.6 \\ 132.7 \\ 45.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 186.9 \\ 135.8 \\ 51.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 260.6 \\ 204.2 \\ 56.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 241.4 \\ 174.7 \\ 66.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 185.1 \\ 162.9 \\ 82.2 \end{array}$ |
| 2) Oil Cokes* | Total Forelgn Coastal | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 52.4 \\ & 52.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.0 \\ 55.0 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.3 \\ & 59.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.6 \\ 30.7 \\ 0.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 40.3 40.2 0.1 |
| 3) Textiles* | Total Foreign Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 34.4 \\ & 23.0 \\ & 11.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.8 \\ & 22.9 \\ & 12.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.1 \\ & 37.9 \\ & 12.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.0 \\ & 41.0 \\ & 10.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 132.1 \\ 111.4 \\ 20.7 \end{array}$ |
| 4) Other C. | Total Foreign Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 381.3 \\ & 219.3 \\ & 162.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 405.8 \\ & 214.7 \\ & 191.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 485.5 \\ & 276.5 \\ & 209.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 527.2 \\ & 283.5 \\ & 243.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 585.3 \\ & 318.9 \\ & 266.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5) Total | Total Forcign Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 646.7 \\ & 427.4 \\ & 219.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 683.6 \\ & 428.4 \\ & 255.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 866.5 \\ & 577.9 \\ & 277.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 851.2 \\ & 530.1 \\ & 321.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 942.8 \\ & 573.4 \\ & 369.4 \end{aligned}$ |

Note: Totals exclude intransit Afghanistan Cargoes.

## 3-2 Future of Cargo Traffic through Ports

1) Existing Forecast

The latest forecast of cargo traffic through Karachi Port are the following three:
(a) Economic and Engineering Feasibility Study for a Second Port in West Pakistan, April 1967, by Prof. Dr-Ing. Erich Lockner, Bremen, West Germany, and Zafar and Associates, Karachi-Lahor.
(b) Port of Karachi Master Plan (Traffic Forecast) July 1967, by Economist Intelligence Unit Ltd., London.
(c) Traffic Forecast by Planning Commission, Pakistan Government.

A comparison of the above three forecasts is shown in Table 15. Besides, the Karachi Port Trust has entrusted Economist Intelligence Unit Ltd. with the task of providing a forecast of cargo traffic by taking into account the latest economic trends. The Planning Commission, on the other hand, is to check the outcome of the forecast and give a forecast authorized by the Government of Pakistan.

The survey team was to proceed with the work on the basis of the new forecast by EIU and the forecast which has been checked by the Planning Commission. However, these forecasts were not available to the team by the time this report was completed.

Here, a brief explanation will be made on the forecasts made by the above three reports.
(a) Economic and Engineering Feasibility Study for a Second Port in West Pakistan. This is a feasibility study made by Prof. Dr-Ing. Erich Luckner (Bremen, West Germany), and Zafar and Associates (Karachi-Lahor) commissioned by the Defense Ministry in 1967 for the Second Port on the Las Bela Makran Coast in West Pakis$\tan$.

Table 15. A Comparison of Existing Forecast of Cargo Traffic

|  |  | Toral | Forelgn |  | Coastal |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Import | Export | Import | Export |  |
| a) Economic and Engineering <br> Feasiblitity Study for a <br> Second Port in W.P. | $\begin{array}{r} 1964-65 \\ 69.70 \\ \\ 74.75 \\ 84.85 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,809 \\ (9,339) \\ 10.482 \\ \\ \\ 14,044 \\ 23,810 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6,274 \\ (5,933) \\ 8,260 \\ \\ \\ 10,760 \\ 16,778 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,5.35 \\ (3,406) \\ 2,222 \\ \\ \\ 3,284 \\ 7,032 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Actua! <br> Estimate (Sucond Methux) Coastal cargo is included In foretgn cargo. <br> Figures in parenthesis represent actual tigures. <br> Estimate (Second Merhuxl) <br> Estimate (Second Methixl) |
| b) Port of Ka rachi Master Plan by E.I.U. | $\begin{aligned} & 69-70 \\ & 89-90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,346 \\ & 42,179 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,066 \\ 33,645 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,401 \\ & 5,418 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 212 \\ & 356 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,007 \\ & 2,760 \end{aligned}$ | Estimate <br> Estimare |
| c) Projected West Pakistan | 69-70 | $\begin{aligned} & 11,400 \\ & \cdot 11,600 \end{aligned}$ | 7,109 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,673 \\ & -4,023 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Estimate: Coastal cargo is included in foreign cargo. |
| Traffic by Commodity | 74-75 | $\begin{aligned} & 18,05.3 \\ & -19,05.3 \end{aligned}$ | 11,680 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,35,3 \\ & -7,353 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Estimate: Coastal cargo is included in foreign cargo. |
| and Export and Import | 79-80 | $\begin{aligned} & 34,960 \\ & \cdot 27,510 \end{aligned}$ | 17,135 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,825 \\ & -10,375 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Estimate: Cosastal cargo is incluxded in fireigh cargo. |
| for the Perinal 1964/05 | 84-83 | $\begin{aligned} & 35,661 \\ & -40,261 \end{aligned}$ | 24.114 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.5+7 \\ & \cdot 10.147 \end{aligned}$ |  | : | Estimate: Coxastal cargo is included tn forelgn cargo. |

The forecast of cargo traffic was made as port of the detailed study for determination of the size of a second port. The forecast was made with the year 1964/65 as base year and the year 1984/85 as target year and the year in between was divided into a group of five years. The estimate was made using three different methods and each of them was a macroscopic method based on the Third Five-Year Plan and the Perspective Economic Plan. Accordingly, no forecast was made on the cargo traffic by cargo type. The results of forecast are shown in Table 16.

Table 16. Future Cargo Volume of W.P. by Economic and Engineering Feasibility Study

|  | $1964-65$ | $1969-70$ | $1974-75$ | $1979-80$ | $1984-85$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Method: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imports | 6,274 | 7,700 | 9,459 | 11,619 | 14,273 |
| Exports | 1,535 | 2,245 | 3,285 | 4,806 | 7,031 |
| Total | 7,809 | 9,945 | 12,744 | 16,425 | 21,304 |
| Second Method: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imports | 6,274 | 8,260 | 10,760 | 13,144 | 16,778 |
| Exports | 1,535 | 2,222 | 3,284 | 4,898 | 7,032 |
| Total | 7,809 | 10,482 | 14,044 | 18,042 | 23,810 |
| Third Method: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Imports | 6,274 | 7,800 | 9,360 | 10,570 | 12,450 |
| Exports | 1,535 | 2,255 | 3,350 | 4,945 | 6,395 |
| Total | 7,809 | 10,055 | 12,710 | 15,515 | 18,845 |
| Average: | 7,809 | 10,161 | 13,166 | 16,661 | 21,320 |
| Annual | $5.4 \%$ |  | $5.3 \%$ |  | $4,8 \%$ |

Note: The imports and exports include the entire foreign and coastal trade.
As to the method of estimation, the first method extented the volume of export and import in the year $1964 / 65$ to the future by using a growth rate of $7.9 \%$ in the amount of export and a growth rate of $4.2 \%$ in the amount of import.

The second method divides the cargo iraffic into foreign cargo and coastal cargo, while the third method combines foreign cargo and coastal cargo into one. As to the method of estimation, the growth rate of expenditure (divided into development expenditure and non-development expenditure) in West Pakistan for foreign import and the growth rate of expenditure in East Pakistan for coastal export are directly applied to the growth rate of cargo traffic with partial revision.

For foreign export, it applied a growth rate of $7.9 \%$ in the amount of export used by the first method to the growth rate of cargo traffic. Cargo traffic in coastal
import was estimated on the basis of future requirements of goods in East Pakistan.
The third method is similar to the second method with respect to the division into foreign cargo and coastal cargo. This method, however, obtains the amount of import and export from the ratio of imports and export to the GNP as determined by the Perspective Economic Plan and then estimates the volume of import and export cargo by using the volume of cargo commensurate to the amount of exports and imports in the year 1964/65. For coastal cargo, it uses the same basis for estimate as the second method.

The following may be pointed out as problematical points of this forecast.
i) The Third-Year Plan and the Perspective Economic Plan are used as the basis of estimation. The Fourth Five-Year Plan has already been in effect and there is need to revise the target of the Perspective Economic Plan set at that time.
ii) The method used for estimation is extremely macroscopic. Such a method may have been sufficient for the survey at that time, but this survey requires a more detailed microscopic analysis.
(b) Port of Karachi Master Plan (Traffic Forecast) July 1967, by Economic Intelligence Unit Ltd., London.
This survey entrusted to Economic Intelligence Unit Ltd. in London was aimed primarily at estimating the volume of cargo traffic through ports in the year 1989/ 90 and in 2015. The results are summarized in Table 17.

This survey is similar to the one mentioned in the preceeding Paragraph a) in that the Third Five-Year Plan and the Perspective Economic Plan were used as the basis of estimate.

The method used for estimation is a microscopic one which estimates the volume of cargo by cargo type. Generally speaking, it uses a concept that the difference between production and consumption in West Pakistan can be used as the amount of export or import. As for coastal cargo, it uses a precondition that commodities short in supply in East Pakistan are to be imported from West Pakistan to the extent possible and that self-sufficiency is to be maintained in Pakistan as a whole. As it is difficult to use a microscopic method for estimation in 2015, it uses fixed growth rates of $4.0 \%$ for export and $5.3 \%$ for import for the period after the year 1989/90.
The problematical point of this forecast is that the estimate was made in 1967 and therefore the Third Five-Year Plan and the Perspective Economic Plan had to be used as the basis of estimate as in the case of Paragraph a).
(c) Traffic Forecast by Planning Commission

This forecast is made by the Planning Commission after revaluating and revising the forecast mentioned in Paragraph (b) from a political point of view. Basis of the revision and the method used for estimation have not yet been confirmed. The results of forecast are shown in Table 18.

Because of uncertainty as to the method of estimation, anything definite cannot be said on the results of forecast. However, the following may be pointed out as problematical points.


[^0]Nil or negligible

Table 18. Projected West Pakistan Traffic by Commodity and Export and Import for the Period 1964/65 ~ 1984/85

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (000 tons) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1964/65 |  |  | 1969/70 |  |  | 1974/75 |  |  | 1979/80 |  |  | 1984/85 |  |  |
|  | Export | Import | Total | Export | tmport | Total | Export | Import | Total | Export | Import | Tota! | Export | Impart | Total |
| A) Bulk Carso |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Cement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hish | - | 132 | 132 | 1,000 | - | 1,000 | 2,100 | - | 2,100 | 4,800 | - | 4,800 | 8,800 | - | 8,800 |
| Low | - | 132 | 132 | 650 | - | 650 | 1,100 | - | 1,100 | 2,250 | - | 2,250 | 4,200 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | 4,200 |
| 2. Fertlizer | - | 18 | 18 | - | 481 | 481 | 290 | 1,094 | 1,384 | 500 | 2,003 | 2,503 | 700 | 2,759 | 3,459 |
| 3. Iron ore | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 920 | 920 | - | 920 | 920 | $\cdots$ | 1,840 | 1.840 |
| 4. Iron a steel | - | 834 | 834 | - | 1,163 | 1,165 | $\cdots$ | 1,435 | 1,435 | 100 | 1,700 | 1,800 | 300 | 1,700 | 2,000 |
| 5. Whent | 32 | 1,481 | 1,513 | 400 | , | 400 | 1,050 | , | 1,050 | 1,500 | - | 1,500 | 2,000 | - | 2,000 |
| 6. Rice | 435 |  | 435 | 600 | - | 600 | 700 | - | 700 | 800 | - | 800 | 1,000 | - | 1,000 |
| 7. Coal and Coke |  | 100 | 100 | - | 150 | 150 | - | 200 | 200 | - | 1,400 | 1,400 | - | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| 8. Gypsum | - | - | - | 16 | - | 16 | 59 | - | 59 | 80 | - | ${ }^{30}$ | 128 | - | 128 |
| 9. Salt | 97 | - | 97 | 140 | - | 140 | 200 | - | 200 | 300 | - | 300 | 440 | - | 440 |
| 10. Molasei | 62 | - | 62 | 0 | - | 60 | 75 | - | 75 | 95 | - | 95 | 120 | - | 120 |
| 11. Sugar | 1 | 78 | 79 | 15 | - | 15 | 30 | 5 | 30 | 50 | - | 50 | 90 | $\pm$ | 90 |
| 12. Afghan Translt | - | 124 | 124 | - | 185 | 185 | - | 150 | 150 | - | 120 | 120 | - | 100 | 100 |
| Total Bulk Cargo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1564770 |  |  | 1974775 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hish } \\ & \text { Low } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 627 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,767 \\ & 2,767 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,394 \\ & 3.394 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 , 2 3 1} \\ & 1,881 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,981 \\ & 1,981 \end{aligned}$ | 4,212 4,062 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,504 \\ & 3,504 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 , 7 9 9} \\ & \mathbf{3}, 799 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8.225 \\ 5,675 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,143 \\ & 6,143 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,368 \\ & 11,818 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,578 \\ 8,978 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 , 7 9 9} \\ & \mathbf{8 , 7 9 9} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,377 \\ & 17,777 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Total) | 370 | 2,635 | 3,005 | 740 | 4,200 | 4.940 | 510 | 6,200 | 6,710 | 450 | 9,000 | 9,450 | 400 | 13,000 | 13,400 |
| C) General Cargo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Raw Cotion | 149 | - | 149 | 175 | - | 175 | 230 | - | 230 | 270 | - | 270 | 315 | - | 315 |
| 2. Cotton Goods | 72 | - | 72 | 87 | - | 87 | 102 | - | 102 | 119 | - | 119 | 137 | - | 137 |
| 3. Conrumer Goodi | - | 264 | 264 | - | 320 | 320 | - | 370 | 370 | - | 430 | 430 | - | 500 | 300 |
| 4. Machinery and Tranaport Equipment | 20 | 175 | 195 | 30 | 302 | 332 | 35 | 435 | 470 | 40 | 447 | 487 | 47 | 416 | 463 |
| 5. Paper 2 Board | - | B5 | 85 | 10 | 90 | 100 | 50 | 85 | 135 | 100 | 85 | 185 | 153 | 100 | 255 |
| 6. Vegetable \& Seeds | 100 | 49 | 149 | 45 | 45 | 90 | 30 | 65 | 95 | 15 | 85 | 100 | - | 105 | 105 |
| 7. Out Coke | 52 | - | 52 | 80 | - | 80 | 60 | - | 60 | 40 | - | 40 | 20 | - | 20 |
| 8. Jute Goods | - | 38 | 38 | - | 57 | 57 | - | 75 | 75 | - | 94 | 94 | - | 112 | 112 |
| 9. Chrame Ore | 30 | - | 30 | 50 | - | 50 | 53 | - | 53 | 56 | - | 36 | 60 | - | 60 |
| 10. Fish \& Fish Products | 20 | - | 20 | 30 | - | 30 | 36 | - | 36 | 42 | - | 42 | 50 | - | 50 |
| 11. Bones | 19 | - | 19 | 27 | - | 27 | 30 | - | 30 | 32 | - | 32 | 35 | - | 35 |
| 12. Tea | - | 6 | 6 | $\bar{\square}$ | 26 | 26 | - | 33 | 33 | - | 43 | 43 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}$ | 55 | 55 |
| 13. Guar Gum | 20 | - | 20 | 25 | - | 25 | 25 | - | 25 | 30 | - | 30 | 35 | - | 35 |
| 14. Hidet \& Skin | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 3 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 16 |
| 15. Wool | 7 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 12 | 5 | 17 | 14 | 4 | 18 |
| 16. Afthan Trasalt (General) | 8 | 64 | 72 | 12 | 87 | 99 | 17 | 131 | 148 | 22 | 198 | 220 | 28 | 300 | 328 |
| 17. Misc. | 368 | 341 | 709 | 464 | 416 | 880 | 650 | 500 | 1,150 | 910 | 602 | 1.512 | 1,260 | 720 | 1,980 |
| Total General Cargo | 869 | 1,026 | 1,895 | 1,052 | 1,328 | 2,400 | 1,339 | 1,701 | 3,040 | 1,700 | 1,992 | 2,692 | 2,169 | 2,315 | 4,484 |
| Grand Total (Rounded) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High <br> Low |  |  | 8,300 8,300 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11,600 \\ & 11,400 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 18,100 17,100 |  |  | 27,500 25,000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 40,300 \\ & 35,700 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The forecast estimates export of cement in the $1980 / 85$ period at 8.8 million tons at the maximum. In view of the fact that the production of cement in Japan with the GNP of 19 million dollars is 50 million tons and her export of cement amounts to 2 million tons, it seems that the goal is set a little too high even when the fact that cement is a key industry in West Pakistan.

Estimate of iron-ore import is too low. As it will be necessary to locate steel mills at several sites in the year 1984/85, the greater part of the supply of raw materials must depend on foreign countries and as a result, iron-ore should be imported in large amounts.
2) Estimate of Cargo Traffic through Ports
(a) General

According to the original schedule, the survey team was to proceed with a study on port planning on the basis of the forecast of cargo traffic through ports to be provided by the Pakistani side.

However, the forecast was not available to the team after all and as a result, an estimate of cargo traffic of its own had to be made on the basis of what seemed to be insufficient data. It must be pointed out, therefore, that there could be cases in which revisions should be made to the forecast based on the insufficient data
which were available to the team.
The following will discuss the method used for estimation and the results of the estimate.
i) The economic frame, a basis of estimating cargo traffic, was assumed from the Fourth Five-Year Plan and the Perspective Economic Plan. In particular, the estimate of cargo traffic for the year 1974/75 was made from the target figures of production and consumption under the Fourth Five-Year Plan.
ii) As for the economy in West Pakistan in the year 1984/85, it was assumed that self-sufficiency could be attained in the supply of most of the Key commodities, that any shortage of supply on either side would be met by the other and that any excess or shortage of supply that still exist after these measures would be solved by relying on the overseas market.
iii) Policy of the estimate and the method used for the estimate of each cargo item will be explained briefly.
a) The volume of wheat cargo was obtained from the difference between consumption and production. Per capita consumption in West Pakistan was assumed to be 250 lbs. in the year 1974/75 and 275 lbs. for the year 1984/85 and the production was assumed to double by the year 1984/85.
b) The volume of rice cargo was also obtained from the difference between consumption and production. . Per capita consumption in West Pakistan was assumed to be 58 lbs . in the year 1974/75 and 60 lbs . for the year 1984/85 and production was assumed to double by the year 1984/85.
c) The volume of cement cargo was also obtained from the difference between consumption and production, but the estimate of consumption and production was made in correlation with the GNP. Production of cement in West Pakistan is expected to reach 6.3 million tons in the year 1974/75 and 13.9 million tons in the year 1984/85.
d) Per capita consumption of iron \& steel in the year 1984/85 was assumed to be 32 kg . It was assumed that demands in West Pakistan would be fully met by production in West Pakistan. Coal, iron-ore and iron scraps required for steel production were assumed to be imported in the amount commensurate with production scale.
e) The volume of sugar cargo was obtained from the difference between consumption and production. Per capita consumption in West Pakistan was assumed to be 17.5 lbs . in the year $1974 / 75$ and 26.0 lbs . in the year 1984/85. Production in the year 1984/85 was assumed to be of the scale that would allow export in the amount approximately the same as in the year 1974/75.
f) As for fertilizer, it was assumed that all demands for nitrogen and phosphatic fertilizer would be met domestically in finished goods in West Pakistan in the year 1984/85 and that raw materials for fertilizer production and potassic fertilizer would be imported. The amount of import for each type of fertilizer was determined by taking into account the growth rate of agricultural production.
g) For petroleum, it was assumed that per capita consumption of energy in Pakistan in the year 1984/85 would be 380 coal-kg and that $50 \%$ of this requirement would depend on petroleum. Consumption in West Pakistan was assumed to accounts for $75 \%$ of consumption in all Pakistan in the year 1984/85.
h) The volume of cotton cargo was obtained from the difference between consumption and production. It was assumed that both consumption and production in West Pakistan would double in the covered period.
i) In view of the fact that cotton textile is a strategic industry in West Pakistan, it was assumed that $50 \%$ of the total production would be exported. The results of the estimate are shown in Fig. 5.
(b) Commodity-wise Estimates
i) Bulk Cargo
a) Wheat

1) Production and Consumption

Wheat production and consumption are shown in Table 19. Figure for the year 1974/75 is the target figure of the Fourth Five-Year Plan (referred to as F.F.Y.P. hereinafter) and the estimate for the year $1984 / 85$ was made in the following manner.

Table 19. Wheat Production and Consumption

|  | West Pakistan |  |  |  | East Pakistan |  |  |  | All Pakistan |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Products | \% | Consump tion | \% | Products | \% | Consump tion | \% | Products | Consumption |
| 1960-61 | a) 4,317 |  | d) 4,442 |  |  |  | d) |  |  |  |
| 62 | a) 4,557 |  | d) 4,035 |  |  |  | d) 237 |  |  |  |
| 63 | a) 4,719 |  | d) 3,991 |  |  |  | d) 934 |  |  |  |
| 64 | a) 4,710 |  | d) 4,569 |  |  |  | d) 686 |  |  |  |
| 65 | a) 5,196 |  | d) 5,137 |  |  |  | d) 281 |  |  |  |
| 66 | a) 4,408 | 3.7 | d) 4,749 |  | b) |  | d) 575 |  |  |  |
| 67 | b) 4,226 |  | d) 5,005 |  | b) |  | d) 875 |  |  |  |
| 68 | b) 6,317 |  | d) 5,285 |  | b) |  | d) |  |  |  |
| 69 | c) 6,513 |  | d) 5,544 |  | b) 92 |  | d) 987 |  |  |  |
| 70 | c) 7,000 |  | c) 6,194 |  | b) 88 |  | c) 1,070 |  | 7,088 |  |
|  | c) | $6.3$ | c) |  | c) | 17.8 | c) |  |  |  |
| 75 | 9,500 |  | 7,637 |  | 200 | 15 | 1,397 |  | 9,700 |  |
| 80 | 12,200 | 5.0 |  |  | 400 |  |  |  | 12,600 |  |
| 85 | 14,200 | 3.0 | 10,350 |  | 650 | 10 | 1,970 |  | 14,850 |  |



## Remarks:

(a): According to EIU Report, June 1967 (referred to as E.I.U. hereinafter)
(b): According to Economic Survey of Pakistan 1969/70 (referred to as E.S.P. hereinafter)
(c): According to Fourth Five-Year Plan (referred to as F.F.Y.P. hereinafter)
(d): According to the data provided by Planning Commission (referred to as hereinafter)
(1) The estimate on production was made by using a growth rate of $5 \%$ for the $1975 / 80$ period and a growth rate of $3 \%$ for the $1981 / 85$ period for West Pakistan. For East Pakistan, growth rates of $15 \%$ and $10 \%$ were used for respective period.
(2) Estimate of consumption was made through the medium of future population forecast by the Perspective Economic Plan (referred to as P.E.P. hereinafter) by assuming per capita consumption as shown in Table 20.

Table 20. Per Capita Wheat Consumption

|  | $1964-65$ | $69-70$ | $74-75$ | $1984-85$ | (lbs) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W.P. | 224 | 231.6 | $250.1 \mathrm{a})$ | $275(125 \mathrm{~kg})$ | The figure for $1984-85 \mathrm{is}$ <br> $110 \%$ of that for $1974-75$. <br> E.P. |
| A.P. |  | 33.1 | $37.7^{\text {a }}$. | $43(19$, | The figure for $1984-85 \mathrm{is}$ <br> $115 \%$ of that for $1974-75$. |

Note: (a): According to F.F.Y.P.

1) Cargo Traffic through Ports

In obtaining the amount of export or import, it was assumed that the difference between production and consumption would be mutually accommodated between West Pakistan and East Pakistan and that an excess or shortage following such measures alone would be handled by foreign trade.

After correcting figures to obtain correct production by deducting various losses, the estimate on cargo traffic through ports following the above preconditions will be as follows.
(1974-75)

| W.P. | Prods. <br> Conss. | Gross <br> thousand tons 9,500 | Net <br> thousand tons 8,010 $7,637$ | Remarks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | from | F.F.Y.P. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E.P. | Prods. | 200 | 373 |  | E.P.*-- |
|  |  |  | 180 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,397 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,217 | 373 <br> 844 | m W.P:--' W.P. (R |

(1984-85)


As it is not possible in mutual exchange of commodities between West Pakistan and East Pakistan to follow such a pattern as the same item basis, shortage of wheat and rice was assumed to be compensated in the total amount of both items. It was assumed, therefore, that a shortage of wheat in East Pakistan amounting to 844,000 tons would be compensated by rice produced in West Pakistan.
b) Rice

1) Production and Consumption
1. Figures of future production and consumption were obtained in the same manner as in the case of wheat including the growth rate. Rice production and consumption is shown in Table 21. Per capital consumption of rice was assumed as shown in Table 22.
2) Cargo Traffic through Ports
1. $(1974 / 75)$


Table 21. Rice Production and Consumption

|  | West Pakistan |  |  |  | East Pakistan |  |  |  | All Pakistan |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prods | \% | Conss. | \% | Prods. | \% | Conss. | \% | Prods. | Conss. |
| 1960-61 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { a) } \\ 1,014 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 668 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | d) 9,021 |  |  |  |
| 62 | a) 1,109 |  | d) 874 |  |  |  | d) 8,725 |  |  |  |
| 63 | a) 1,078 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 650 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | d) 8,399 |  |  |  |
| 64 | a) 1,173 |  | d) 764 |  |  |  | d) 9,765 |  |  |  |
| 65 | a) 1,329 | 8.3 | d) 1,001 |  | c) 10,337 |  | d) 9,396 | 1.9 |  |  |
| 66 | b) 1,296 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 710 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { b) } \\ 10 ; 335 \end{array}$ |  | d) 9,681 |  |  |  |
| 67 | b) 1,343 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 766 \end{array}$ |  | b) $9,424$ | 4.2 | d) 8,908 |  |  |  |
| 68 | b) 1,475 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 1,069 \end{array}$ |  | b) 10,995 |  | d) |  |  |  |
| 69 | b) 2,000 b) |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 1,399 \\ \text { c) } \end{array}$ |  | b) 11,165 b) |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 10,236 \\ \text { c) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 70 | 2,372 |  | 1,442 |  | 11,726 |  | 10,818 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9.9 |  |  |  | 6.5 |  | 5.5 |  |  |
|  | c) |  | c) |  |  |  | c) |  |  |  |
| 75 | 3,700 | 5.0 | 1,778 |  | 15,800 | 3.0 | 14,128 |  |  |  |
| 80 | 4,700 | 5.0 |  |  | 18,300 | 3.0 |  | 3.4 |  |  |
| 85 | 5,000 | 3.0 | 2,250 |  | 21,000 | 2.0 | 19,750 |  |  |  |

Remarks:

1. a) by E.I.U.
2. b) by E.S.P.
3. c) by F.F.Y.P.
4. d) by P.C.

Table 22. Per Capita Rice Consumption
(lbs.)

|  | $1964-65$ | $1969-70$ | $1974-75$ | $1984-85$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| W.P. | 49 | 54 | 58 | $60\left(27^{2} \mathrm{~kg}\right)$ | The figure for $1984-85$ is $104 \%$ <br> of that for 1974-75. <br> E.P. |

Note: $1974 / 75$ by F.F.Y.P.

|  | Gross | Net | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E.P. | Prods. | 15,800 | 14,220 | Net/Gross $=3,300 / 3,700=0.892$

(1984-85)

c) Molasses

Molasses is mainly used as raw material of alcohol. However, supply of alcohol by petrochemical industry is a world-wide trend and as a result, any sharp increase in the demand for molasses cannot be expected.
Accordingly, future export of molasses was assumed to be of the present level of 150,000 tons.

## d) Cement

1) Production and Consumption

Cement production and consumption is shown in Table 23. Consumption in the year 1974/75 was estimated from the following correlation.

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { (Consumption) } & \mathrm{Z}=0.601 \mathrm{x}-1.078(\gamma=0.841)  \tag{1}\\
\text { where: } & \mathrm{Z}=\text { Consumptions }(1,000 \text { tons) } \\
\mathrm{x} & =\text { GNP (Crore Rs) } \\
& \gamma=\text { Correlation factor }
\end{align*}
$$

Table 23. Cement Production and Consumption

| (1,000 tons: Crore Rs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1960- \\ 61 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | . 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 |  |
| Prods. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { a) } \\ 1,056 \end{array}$ | a) | a) 1,348 | ( $\begin{array}{r}\text { a) } \\ 1,386\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { a) } \\ 1,629 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { b) } \\ 1,610 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { b) } \\ 1,950 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} b) \\ 1,980 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { b) } \\ 2,510 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { c) } \\ 3,200 \end{array}$ |  |
| Cons. | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{d}) \\ 1,010 \end{array}$ | ( d) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 1,166 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 1,497 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 1,760 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 1,518 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 1,441 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 1,384 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 1,885 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { d) } \\ 2,535 \end{array}$ |  |
| GNP (A.P.) | 3,308 | 3,504 | 3,629 | 3,928 | 4,106 | 4,297 | 4,513 | 4,851 | 5,129 | 5,428 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price of } \\ & 1959-60 \end{aligned}$ |

Notes:

1. a) by E.I.V.
2. b) by P.C.
3. c) by F.F.Y.P.
4. d) was obtained from (Production - export + import)

From (1) above; 1974/75 $Z \fallingdotseq 4,300$
The GNP was assumed as shown in Table 24.

Table 24. GNP Forecast
(In Crore Rs)

|  | GNP | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1969-70$ | 5,427 | $6.5 \%$ (F.F.Y.P.) |
| $1974-75$ | 8,880 |  |

Note: Price is at $1959 / 60$.
2) Cargo Traffic through Ports
(1974/75)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { Surplus }= & 6,300-4,300=2,000 & & 1,000 \text { to East Pakistan (F.F.Y.P.) } \\
& (\text { Prods })(\text { Conss }) & 1,000 \text { to Foreign abroad }
\end{array}
$$

As it is necessary to promote clinker transport in order to improve efficiency of transportation and promote cement industry in East Pakistan, 50\% of the total export were assumed to be transported in the form of clinker.
(1984/85)
Export of cement after the year 1974/75 depends on the growth of domestic cement industry and market conditions abroad and in East Pakistan. Here, the maximum export volume was assumed to be the volume for the year 1974/75 plus a $50 \%$ increase.

Allocation to East Pakistan and Foreign abroad was assumed to be the same as in the year 1974/75. Clinker transport was considered for $50 \%$ of the total export as in the case of the year 1974/75.
e) Iron and Steel

1) Production and Consumption

Iron and steel production and consumption is shown in Table 25. For the year 1974/75, target figures under the F.F.Y.P. were used. Consumption in the year 1984/85 was estimated with reference to per capita consumption in each country of the world and through the medium of the population and per capita income in East Pakistan in the year 1984/85. For consumption in West Pakistan and East Pakistan, which is expected to be in the ratio of $3: 1$ in the year $1974 / 75$ according to the F.F.Y.P. consumption was distributed by using a ratio of $2: 1$ with a view of narrowing a gap between West Pakistan and East Pakistan. Production was estimated to be the same as consumption on the assumption that complete selfsufficiency would be attained in all Pakistan in the year 1984/85.

Table 25. Steel Production and Consumption

|  | West Pakistan |  |  | East Pakistan |  |  | All Pakistan |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Prods. | $\%$ | Cons. | $\%$ | Prods. | $\%$ | Cons. | $\%$ | Prods. | Cons. |
| $1969-70$ | 120 |  | 460 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | a) |  | a) |  | a) |  | a) |  | a) | a) |
| $74-75$ | 750 |  | 2,250 |  | 250 |  | 250 |  | 1,000 | 3,000 |
| $79-80$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $80-85$ | 4,000 |  | 4,000 |  | 2,000 |  | 2,000 |  | 6,000 | 6,000 |

Remarks:

1) Steel products in 1974/75, steel in 1984/86.
2) The number in $1974 / 75$ is based on F.F.Y.P.

Estimate of consumption was made in the following order.
Per capita income in all Pakistan in the year 1984/85 was estimated to be $\$ 230.00$ at the cost in 1968.
From Fig. 6, per capita consumption of iron and steel in the year 1984/ 85 was determined to be 32 kg .
From the following calculation the total consumption is estimated at six million tons.
$32 \mathrm{~kg} \times 186.6 \mathrm{mil} .=6 \mathrm{mil}$. tons $($ Note 1$)$
(population in 1984/85)
d) Accordingly, consumption in West Pakistan is estimated at 4 million tons.
2) Cargo Traffic through Ports

1974/75

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Steel Import }=\underset{\text { (Prods. })}{2,250-750=1,500(1,000 \text { tons })} \\
& \text { (Conss.) } \\
& \text { Scrap Import }=285-190=95(1,000 \text { tons }) \\
&(\text { See f) })
\end{aligned}
$$

1984/85

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Scrap Import }=1,200-800=400(1,000 \text { tons }) \\
(\text { See f) })
\end{gathered}
$$

(Note 1) Consumption in the year 1974/75 obtained in the same manner as that used for this estimate almost agrees with the target figure of the F.F.Y.P.

1974/75

$$
130 \$ / \text { person } \quad 18 \mathrm{~kg} / \text { person }
$$

$18 \mathrm{~kg} \times 151.5=2,720$ ( 1,000 tons) $\quad 3,000(1,000$ tons $)$ (F.F.Y.P.)
f) Coal and Coke

Coal and coke required for production of 4 million tons of crude steel are to be imported in addition to the existing import. Table 26 shows requirements for raw materials commensurate to the production scale in the year 1974/75 and 1984/85. From this, cargo traffic through ports may be estimated as follows.
1974/75

$$
\text { Import }=\underset{\text { (For steel mill) }}{630}+\underset{\text { (Existing) }}{80}=710(1,000 \text { tons })
$$

1984/85

$$
\text { Import }=\underset{\text { (For steel mill) }}{2,650}+\underset{\text { (Existing) }}{80}=2,730(1,000 \text { tons })
$$


Fig. 6. Rotation between Per Capita Steel Consumption and Per Capita G.N.P.


Table 26. Requirements for Raw Material for Crude Steel Production and Delivery of Products

|  | $1974-75$ | $1984-85$ | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Crude steel <br> Production | 950 | 4,000 | Figures in parenthesis represent <br> steel |
| Raw materials: | $(750)$ |  |  |
| $\quad$ Iron ore | 1,360 | 5,800 | 1.45 t/Crude Steel ton |
| Coal | 630 | 1,650 | $0.66 \quad "$ |
| Scrap iron | 285 | 1,200 | $0.00 \quad "$ |
|  | $(190)$ | $(800)$ | Figures in parenthesis represent |
|  |  |  |  |
| Products: |  |  |  |
| Pig iron | 40 | 250 |  |
| Billet | 260 | 1,750 |  |
| Medium sec. | 150 | 1,000 |  |
| Flat | 300 | 2,000 |  |

Notes:

1. Basic unit shown is the valve prevailing in Japan.
2. Breakdown of product was made in the same manner as that used for the production of one million tons described in the F.F.Y.P.

Table 27. Sugar Production and Consumption
(1000 tons)

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1960 \\ -61 \end{gathered}$ | -62 | -63 | -64 | -65 | -66 | -67 | -68 | -69 | -70 | $\begin{gathered} 1974 \\ -75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1984 \\ -85 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prods. \% | a) | a) | a) | a) | a) | a) | a) | a) | a) | b) |  |  |
|  | 55 | 122 | 199 | 155 | 156 | 371 | 313 | 268 | 408 | 600 | 750 | 1,200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cons. \% | c) | c) | c) | c) | a) | a) | a) | a) | a) | b) | b) |  |
|  | 57 | 200 | 235 | 154 | 258 | 324 | 312 | 357 | 445 | 425 | 535 | 1,000 |
|  | H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Remarks:

1. a) by E.S.P.
2. b) by F.F.Y.P.
3. c) by E.I.U.
4. d) by P.C.

Table 28. Per Capita Sugar Consumption
(in lbs.)

|  | $1979-70$ | $1974-75$ | $1984-85$ | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W.P. | 15.9 | 17.5 | 25.0 | $1974-75$ by F.F.Y.P. <br> The figure for $1984-85$ is $150 \%$ <br> of that for $1974-75$. |
| of E.P. | 5.6 | 7.6 | 15.0 | ditto <br> The figure for $1984-85$ in $200 \%$ <br> of that for $1974-75$. |

g) Iron Ore

From Table 26 for the preceeding paragraph f), import of iron ore is as follows.
1974/75

$$
\text { Import }=1,360
$$

(For steel mill)
1984/85

$$
\text { Import }=5,800
$$

h) Sugar

1) Production and Consumption

Sugar production and consumption is shown in Table 27. For the year 1974/75, the target figure of the F.F.Y.P. was adopted. Consumption for the year 1984/85 was estimated on the basis of per capita consumption (Table 28) and production was considered to be of the level which allows export to East Pakistan in the same amount as in the year 1974/75. This is due to the fact that the production area of sugar cane distributes widely from the tropic zone to the temperature zone and that the prospect of export to foreign countries is not promising.
2) Cargo Traffic through Ports

1974/75
Surplus $=750-535=215$ to East Pakistan.
1984/85
Export: 200 to East Pakistan.
i) Fertilizer

1) Production and Consumption

Fertilizer production and consumption is shown in Table 29. For the estimate for the year $1974 / 75$, the target figure of the F.F.Y.P. was used and the estimate for the year 1984/85 was made separately.

Table 29. Fertilizer Production and Consumption


Notes:

1. a) by E.I.U.
2. b) by F.F.Y.P.
3. c) Nutrient ton obtained from the data from P.C. shown by actual weight (LAWT $\fallingdotseq 0.313$ N.T.)

Production and consumption for the year 1974/75, which was estimated on the basis of F.F.Y.P. converted to actual weight is shown in Table 30.

Table 30. Fertilizer Production and Consumption (1974/75)
( 1000 ton)

|  |  | Nitrogen <br> $(\mathrm{N})$ | Phospherus <br> $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | Potash <br> $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Cons. | Nutrient | 536 | 214 | 50 | 800 |
|  | Actual Weight | 2,200 | 214 | 100 | 2,514 |
|  | Nutrient | 522 | 119 | - | 641 |
|  | Actual Weight | 2,151 | 119 | - | 2,270 |

Estimate for the year 1984/85 was based on the following assumptions (see Table 31).
(1) Growth rate of consumption for the period from the year 1974/75 to 1984/85 was assumed to be $5.5 \%$, the same growth rate of agriculture under the P.E.P.
(2) As for production, N and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ were considered to be supplied $100 \%$ by domestic industry and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, for which raw material is not availably locally, was assumed to be imported in total amount. As far as N is concerned, part of urea produced by petrochemical industry and gas-chemical industry was presumed to be allocated for export.
(See Note 1.)
Note 1. Urea production by petrochemical industry and gas-chemical industry is expected to reach 880,000 tons in the year 1984/85.

Petrochemical industry - 300,000 tons
Gas-chemical industry - 580,000 tons
(3) Component ratios of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were assumed to be the same as those for the year 1974/75.

Table 31. Fertilizer Production and Consumption (1984/85)

| (in 1000 tons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cons. |  | $(\mathrm{N})$ | $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Total |  |
|  | Nutrient | 900 | 400 | 100 | 1,400 |  |
|  | Actual Weight | 3,700 | 400 | 200 | 4,300 |  |
|  | Nutrient | Actual Weight | 4,010 | 400 | - |  |

2) Cargo Traffic through Ports

In calculating the volume of cargo traffic supply of raw materials for fertilizer production and shipment of products were considered as follows.
(1) Requirements for raw materials of nitrogen fertilizer ( $N$ ) are to be met fully by natural gas.
(2) For raw materials of phosphorus fertilizer ( $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ), requirements for sulphuric acid is to be met by gypsum and those for phosphate rock are to be met by imports.
(3) Potash fertilizer ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) is to be imported in total amount.
(4) $50 \%$ of urea production are to be exported in the year 1984/85.
(5) There will be no need for East Pakistan to import fertilizer from West Pakistan.

1974/75
Import $=2,514-2,270=244$ (1,000 tons)
(Cons.) (Prods.)
Import $=119 \times 1.28($ Note 1$)=152(1,000$ tons $)$
(Phosphate rock)
1984/85
Import $=200(1,000$ tons $)$
( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ )
Import $=400 \times 1.28=510$ ( 1,000 tons )
(Phosphate rock)
Export $=880 \times 1 / 2=440$ ( 1,000 tons)
(Urea)
(Note 1) If the production of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is to be divided into triple superphosphate and single superphosphate at the ratio $3: 1,1.28$ tons of phosphate rock is required for the production of one ton of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

## j) Miscellaneous Cargo

Cargo traffic of the present level was considered for the year 1974/75 and the maximum cargo traffic of the past was considered for the year 1984/ 85 (See Table 32).

Table 32. Miscellaneous Cargo (Bulk)

|  | (1,000 tons) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1969-70$ | $1974-75$ | $1984-85$ | Remarks |
| Export | 173 | 190 | 210 |  |
| (Foreign) | $(74)$ | $(80)$ | $(100)$ |  |
| (Coastal) | $(99)$ | $(110)$ | $(110)$ |  |
| Import | 28 | 30 | 70 |  |
| (Coastal) | $(28)$ | $(30)$ | $(70)$ |  |
| Total | 201 | 220 | 280 |  |

ii) Oil and Petroleum Products
a) Crude Oil

1) Production and Consumption

Crude oil production and consumption is shown in Table 33. For the year 1974/75, the target figure of the F.F.Y.P. was used. For the year 1984/85, total energy consumption in all Pakistan was calculated from per capita consumption of energy as in the case of steel consumption and $5 \%$ of total requirement for energy were considered to be dependent on petroleum energy on the basis of the past experience. Then, petroleum consumption was calculated by converting the amount of energy to the amount of petroleum. The ratio of West Pakistan and East Pakistan in petroleum consumption was $3.2: 1$ in the year 1969/70.

The F.F.Y.P. calls for the ratio of 2.3:1, indicating the effort to maintain equilibrium between West Pakistan and East Pakistan in petroleum consumption. Accordingly, the ratio of $1.5: 1$ was considered for the year 1984/85 to narrow a gap between West Pakistan and East Pakistan.

Consumption was calculated in the following assumptions.
(a) Per capita GNP in the year 1984/85 is to be $\$ 230.00$ (In 1968 cost).
(b) Per capita consumption of energy is to be 380 coal-kg from Fig. 7.
(c) Energy consumption in all Pakistan is to be 71 million coal-tons from the following calculation.

$$
380 \text { coal-kg } \times \underset{\text { (Population in }{ }^{\prime} 84 / 85 \text { ) }}{186.6}=71 \text { million coal-tons }
$$

(d) The amount of energy dependent on petroleum is 35 million coal-tons, i.e.

71 million coal-tons $\times 0.5=35$ million coal-tons
The factor of 0.5 was used following the present pattern of energy
consumption (Note 1) since the future composition of energy cannot be predicted.
(Note 1) Energy consumption in the year 1974/75 calculated by the same method as that used for this estimate agrees with the target figure of the F.F.Y.P.

1. $1974 / 75$ : $130-\% /$ person 180 coal-kg/person
2. Energy consumption in all Pakistan $=180 \times 151.5=27$ million coal tons.
3. Energy consumption dependent on petroleum in all Pakistan $=$ $27 \times 0.5=14$ million coal tons.
4. Petroleum consumption in all Pakistan $=14 \div 1.3 \mathrm{kl} /$ coal-tons $=11$ million $\mathrm{kls}=9.8$ million tons
(e) Consumption in West Pakistan is to be 14.4 million tons, i.e.

24 million tons $\times 0.6=14.4$ million tons
As for production, the same figure as that of consumption was considered on the assumption that self-sufficiency would be attained both in West Pakistan and East Pakistan in the year 1984/85.
2) Cargo Traffic through Ports

The difference between consumption and domestic production is to be considered as the amount of import. The amount of imports in the year 1974/75 and $1984 / 85$ is shown in Table 33.

Table 33. Crude Oil Import

| ('000 tons) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumption | 6,800 | $1974-75$ | $1984-85$ |
| Indigenous | 1,600 | 2,800 |  |
| Import | 5,200 | 11,600 |  |

b) Petroleum Products

340,000 tons of naphtha is to be exported in the year 1974/75 after deducting domestic consumption from the total amount of naphtha produced by petrochemical industry. Layout of oil refineries and production capacities of oil refineries in the Korangi district by product are as follows:

Table 34. Layout and Capacities of Oil Refineries (1974/75)

|  | Location | Oil consumption | Rernarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing <br> factory | Korangi | 5.2 mil tons | 1 lahk BPD (Rate of operation - <br> $80 \%)$ <br> 30 thousand BPD (Rate of <br> operation $-70 \%$ ) |
| Total |  | 1.3 mil tons | 6.5 mil tons |

Fig. 8. Petroleum Production by Item (Korangi District)


The new oil refineries located in the Phitti creek district are expected to start commercial operation in the year 1984/85. As the total amount of naphtha produced by the oil refineries is expected to be consumed by petrochemical plants, there will be no export of petroleum products in the same year. Layout of an oil refinery is shown in Table 35. The capacity of petrochemical industry was assumed to be 250,000 tons ( 30,000 tons $\times 1 ; 50,000$ tons $\times 2 ; 100,000$ tons $\times 1$ ) and the following process of production was considered.

Table 35. Layout of Oil Refineries (1984/85)

|  | Location | Oil consumption | Remarks |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Existing factory | Korangi | 4.8 mil. tons | 1 lahk BPD (75\% operating rate) |  |  |
|  | Inland | 2.4 | $"$ | 0.6 lahk BPD (70\% | $"$ |
|  | Phitticreek | 6.8 | $"$ | 1.5 lahk BPD (75\% | $"$ |
| Total |  | 14.0 |  |  |  |

## iii) General Cargo

a) Cotton

1) Production and Consumption

Production and consumption is shown in Table 36. For the year 1974/75, the target figure of the F.F.Y.P. was adopted. For the year 1984/85, the following assumptions were made.
(a) In view of the fact that cotton textile is a key industry in Pakistan, consumption in both West Pakistan and East Pakistan was considered to double in 10 years after the year 1975/76.
(b) For West Pakistan, an increase of production in the amount approximately the same as that for rice and wheat was considered. In other words, the annual growth rate of production was considered to be $5 \%$ for the period from the year $1974 / 75$ to $1979 / 80$ and $3 \%$ for the period from the year 1979/80 to $1984 / 85$.

Table 36. Cotton Production and Consumption


Remarks:

1. a) by E.I.U.
2. b) by E.S.P.
3. c) by F.F.Y.P.
4. d) by P.C.

Fig. 9. Process of Production for Petrochemical Industry

Electrolytic process of salt

(Note) As for gas-chemical industry, production of urea was estimated as follows.
For the year $1974 / 75$, production of urea was estimated at 320,000 tons under the F.F.Y.P.

For the year 1984/85, production of urea was estimated: $320,000 \times 1.06^{10} \doteqdot$ 580,000 tons. One half of the growth rate of natural gas under the F.F.Y.P. was used es growth rate of urea production.
2) Cargo Traffic through Ports

Cargo traffic through ports may be calculated as follows.
(1974/75)

(1984/85)

b) Cotton Textile
$50 \%$ of total production were assumed to be exported both in the year 1974/ 75 and 1984/85. From the production of cotton described in the preceding Paragraph a), therefore, export in the year $1974 / 75$ is estimated at 160,000 tons ( 350 mil. lbs. in terms of yarn, accounting for $50 \%$ of the total production of 700 mil. lbs .) and that in the year $1984 / 85$ is estimated at 320,000 tons ( 700 mil. lbs. in terms of yarn, accounting for $50 \%$ of the total production of 1,400 mil. lbs .).
c) Miscellaneous Cargo

Miscellaneous cargo traffic through ports for the year 1974/75 was estimated in the following manner.

1) Foreign import cargo was estimated from the multiplication of cargo traffic in the year $1969 / 70$ by 1.26 , the growth rate of machinery and equipment production under the Five-Year Plan. Coastal import cargo was considered to be $31.3 \%$ of foreign import cargo from the results for the year 1969/70.
2) Foreign export cargo was estimated from the multiplication of cargo traffic in the year $1969 / 70$ by 1.30 , the growth rate of production of miscellaneous items under the Five-Year Plan. Coastal export cargo was considered to be $74.3 \%$ of foreign export cargo from the results for the year 1969/70.

Cargo traffic for the year 1984/85 was estimated in almost the same manner as for the year 1974/75.

1) Foreign import cargo was estimated from the multiplication of cargo traffic in the year $1974 / 75$ by 1.4 , the target growth rate of import under the P.E.P. excluding agricultural products. Coastal export cargo was considered to be the same as that for the year 1974/75.
2) Foreign export cargo was estimated from the multiplication of cargo traffic in the year $1974 / 75$ by 1.9 , the target growth rate of manufacture among the expansion of export aimed under the P.E.P. Coastal import cargo was considered to be the same as that for the year 1974/75.

Table 37 shows miscellaneous cargo for the year 1974/75 and 1984/85.
Table 37. Miscellaneous Cargo (General)

| (1,000 tons) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1969-70$ | $1974-75$ | $1984-85$ | Remarks |
| Export |  |  |  |  |
| (Foreign) | 359.2 | 470 | 900 |  |
| (Coastal) | 266.5 | 340 | 670 |  |
| Import |  |  |  |  |
| (Foreign) | 813.1 | 1,050 | 1,500 |  |
| (Coastal) | 289.3 | 330 | 500 |  |

d) Intransit Afghan Cargo

Bulk cargo is represented by wheat for import. The present cargo traffic was adopted for the year $1974 / 75$, and the maximum figure in the past was considered for the year 1984/85.

For general cargo, estimate was made on the extension of cargo traffic for the year 1969/70 using the average growth rate of $11 \%$ (annual) for the past five years. Estimated cargo traffic is shown in Table 38.

Table 38. Intransit Afghan Cargo

| (1,000 tons) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1969-70$ | $1974-75$ | $1984-85$ | Remarks |
| Wheat (Import) | 55.1 | 60 | 120 |  |
| General (Import) | 110.2 | 190 | 330 |  |

The estimate of cargo traffic by item described in this section, is shown in Table 1, Chapter I, Part I of this report.

## 3-3 Sharing Cargo between Phitti Creek Port and Karachi Port

1) General Cargo

All general cargoes are to be handled in Karachi Port.
2) Dry Bulk Cargo
(a) Wheat and Rice

All wheat and rice cargoes are to be handled in Phitti Creek Port by silos to be provided.
(b) Molasses

To be handled in Karachi Port in view of the present state of cargo handling.
(c) Cement

In the year $1974 / 75$ the amount of the existing level $(500,000$ tons $)$ is to be handled in Karachi Port and an increase of 1.5 million tons are to be handled in Phitti Creek Port.
In the year 1984/85 the total amount is to be handled in Phitti Creek Port. 50\% of cement cargo handled in Phitti Creek Port are to be clinker.
(d) Iron and Steel

In the year 1974/75 iron and steel for general consumption amounting to $1,500,000$ tons and that for the planned steel mill amounting to 750,000 tons are to be handled in Karachi Port. In the year 1984/85 iron and steel for the planned steel mill amounting to $1,000,000$ tons is to be handled in Karachi Port and that for the new steel mill amounting to $3,000,000$ tons is to be handled in Phitti Creek Port.
(e) Coal and Coke

In the year 1974/75 the total amount is to be handled in Karachi Port. In the year 1984/85 coal and coke for general consumption and for the planned steel mill, amounting to 740,000 tons is to be handled in Karachi Port and that for the new steel mill amounting to 990,000 tons is to be handled in Phitti Creek Port.
(f) Iron Ore

In the year 1974/75 the total amount is to be handled in Karachi Port. In the year 1984/85 iron ore for general consumption and for the planned steel mill, amounting to 1.53 million tons, is to be handled in Karachi Port and that for the new steel mill amounting to 4.35 million tons is to be handled in Phitti Creek Port.
(g) Fertilizer

In the year 1974/75 general fertilizer amounting 244,000 tons is to be handled in Karachi Port and phosphate rock amounting to 152,000 tons is to be handled in Phitti Creek Port. In the year 1984/85 all fertilizer cargoes are to be handled in Phitti Creek Port.
(h) Sugar, Miscellaneous, Intransit Afghanistan Cargo

These cargoes are to be handled in Karachi Port both in the year 1974/75 and 1984/85.
(i) Oil

All oil cargoes are to be handled in Karachi Port in the year 1974/75 but all in Phitti Creek Port in the year 1984/85.

In allocating cargo between the two ports the normal cargo handling capacity of Karachi Port ( 40 berths including those under plan) except oil cargo was estimated at 5.9 million tons in the year 1974/75 and 5.5 million tons in the year 1984/85 (see APPENDIX 6-4). For the cargo related to the planned steel mill, it was assumed that cargo handling facilities would be provided at the Western Backwater of Karachi.
3) Supplement - A Study of EIU Draft Report (Traffic Forecast for the Karachi Port Expansion Feasibility Study)
As previously stated in the section for the existing forecast, estimate of cargo traffic in the current project planning was to be based on the EIU Report checked by the Government of Pakistan. However, due to the fact that the said EIU Report was not available to the Survey Team in time, the forecast of cargo traffic through ports mentioned in the previous section was made on the basis of the existing forecast and the subsequent economic trends. The EIU Draft Report came to the hand of the Survey Team when the work was nearing completion.

Consequently, the forecast made by the team was checked against the forecast contained in the Draft Report. In view of the facts that both of them are predictions based on assumptions and that the Draft Report presents some problematical points which will be discussed hereinafter, it was considered appropriate to use the estimate mentioned in the preceding section for the current project planning as originally planned.

In the Report, the estimate of cargo traffic covers a period from the year 1969/70 to 1994/95. In particular, estimate is made for each year up to the year 1984/85 and for every five years up to the year 1994/95.

The method used in the Report in principle is such that the difference between consumption and production is used as import and export cargo. As a precondition of estimate, the Report adopts the frame of the Fourth Five-Year Plan and Perspective Plan in principle. However, the Report does not necessary stick to the Fourth Five-Year Plan for some of cargo items and it uses its own assumptions on the economic frame of West Pakistan with reference to the Perspective Plan in making a long-range forecast. Besides, the Report makes various studies from an international point of views and is regarded as an excellent report. It may be pointed out, however, that the Report presents the following problematical points centering on its contents:
(a) That the Report is a draft report and has not been approved specifically by the Government of Pakistan so far.
(b) That the Report does not contain a forecast of demands for petroleum products, which have a major influence on the development of the Phitti Creek, in the estimate of cargo traffic.
(c) In the estimate of farm production, the Report predicts that East Pakistan will attain self-sufficiency in the supply of major farm products by the year 1979/80. As far as wheat is concerned, however, this prediction is not reasonable when the present supply and demand situation in East Pakistan is taken into consideration. It is considered necessary, therefore, to meet the shortage of wheat in East Pakistan with export from West Pakistan from the standpoint of saving foreign currency.
(d) For cement, the Report gives a rather low estimate on the basis of its own forecast with the past results and the outlook for steel industry as background by deviating from the target figure of the Fourth Five-Year Plan.

In view of the fact that cement industry is a leading industry in West Pakistan together with textile industry and that the future size of steel industry greater than that forecast by EIU should be estimated and can be expected, expansion of cement industry should be planned positively with the premise of attaining the goal under the Fourth Five-Year Plan. With vigorous cement production at home as background, expansion of export market should be promoted through positive sales campaigns not only in East Pakistan but also in the Near Middle East countries.

The Report takes a pessimistic view on the export of clinker. As the transport of clinker is far advantageous than the transport of cement in bag to meet the future requirement for rationalization of transport, shipment in clinker must be adopted positively.
(e) In forecasting steel production the Report gives a low estimate on the demand from the present steel market condition in West Pakistan. However, steel industry is one of the basic industry of the nation and is indispensable to West Pakistan if it is to become an industrial nation. For this reason, promotion of steel industry should be planned positively as a national policy (see APPENDIX 2).
(f) Although the Report gives an analysis of the present condition of West Pakistan and uses the results for the estimate of cargo traffic, application of such a method placing emphasis on the trend to Pakistan is questionable. For the country like Pakistan, which is in the process of becoming an industrial nation, there must be new positive measures for development. In this connection, promotion of heavy and chemical industries, the basic industries of the nation, will be a most effective means for development of West Pakistan. Therefore, promotion of heavy and chemical industries, in addition to steel industry, must be planned strategically. Accordingly, the forecast of cargo traffic must reflect these concept.
A comparison between the forecast by the EIU Draft Report (shown in Table 39) and the forecast by the Team is shown in Table 40.

Table-39 A Comparison with EIU Forcast
(In thousand tons)

|  | able-39 A Comparison with EIU Forcas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (In thousand tons) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  | Foreign |  |  |  |  |  | Coastal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Import |  |  | Export |  |  | Import |  |  | Export |  |  |
|  | 1969/70 | 1974/75 | 1984/85 | 1969/70 | 1974/75 | 1984/85 | 1969/70 | 1974/75 | 1984/85 | 1969/70 | 1974/75 | 1984/85 | 1969/70 | 1974/75 | 1984/85 |
| 1. Dry Cargo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 354 | $\begin{aligned} & 440 \\ & 373 \end{aligned}$ | $1,650$ | 226 |  |  | 2 | - - | - 230 | - | - | - | 127 | 440 373 | 1,420 |
| Rice | 584 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,500 \\ & 1,552 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,720 \\ 2,200 \end{array}$ | - |  |  | 104 | 500 600 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,320 \\ & 1,700 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 481 | 1,000 952 | $\begin{aligned} & 400 \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ |
| Molasses | 136 | 190 150 | 120 150 | - |  |  | 136 | $\begin{aligned} & 190 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cenrent | 666 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,100 \\ & 2,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,200 \\ 3,000 \end{array}$ | 1 |  |  | 254 | $\begin{aligned} & 300 \\ & 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400 \\ & 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 411 | 800 1,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 800 \\ & 1,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| Iron \& Steel | 338 | $\begin{aligned} & 730 \\ & 1,595 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 430 \\ 400 \end{gathered}$ | 335 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 730 \\ 1,595 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 430 \\ 400 \end{array}$ | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Coal \& Coke | 84 | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 710 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,030 \\ & 2.730 \end{aligned}$ | 84 | 90710 | $\begin{gathered} 1,030 \\ 2,730 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Iron Ore | 36 | - 1,360 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2,000 \\ 5,800 \end{array}$ | - | $1,360$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2,000 \\ 5,840 \end{array}$ | 34 | - | - | - | - | - .- | 2 | - | - |
| Sugar | 27 | 150 215 | 130 200 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | 150 215 | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fertilizer | 696 | $\begin{gathered} 470 \\ 396 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1,200 \\ 1,150 \end{array}$ | 688 | 470 | $1,{ }_{710}^{00}$ | - | - | ${ }^{-} 440$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cotton | 185 | 340 445 | $\begin{gathered} 640 \\ 510 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 103 | 250 | $\begin{aligned} & 470 \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 2 | ${ }^{90} 210$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 170 \\ 420 \end{array}$ |
| Textile | 132 | $\begin{aligned} & 210 \\ & 160 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 111 | ${ }^{180} \quad 160$ | $\begin{aligned} & 190 \\ & 320 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | 21 | 80 | 40 |
| Miscellaneous | 2,057 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,392 \\ & 2,410 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 3,705 \\ 2,850 \end{array}$ | 813 | $\begin{aligned} & 527 \\ & 1,050 \end{aligned}$ | $1580$ | 438 | $\begin{array}{\|r} 1,105 \\ 550 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1,975 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | 317 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 352 \\ 360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 470 \\ 570 \end{array}$ | 377 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 410 \\ 480 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} 680 \\ 780 \end{array}$ |
| Intransit Afgan C. | 165 | $\begin{array}{r} 148 \\ 250 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{450}^{185}$ | 165 | ${ }^{133} \begin{aligned} & \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} 160 \\ 450 \end{array}$ | - | 15 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | $(5,351)$ | $\begin{aligned} & (7,760) \\ & (11,616) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} (12,610) \\ (22,410) \end{array}$ | (10.621) | $\begin{gathered} (1,950) \\ (5,360) \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} (5,400) \\ (11,590) \end{array}\right\|$ | $(1,182)$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} (2,500) \\ (2,695) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} (4,500) \\ (5,430) \end{gathered}$ | (320) | $\begin{gathered} (350) \\ (360) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (470) \\ & (570) \end{aligned}$ | $(1,507)$ | $\begin{gathered} (2,920) \\ (3,230) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline(2,240) \\ (4,820) \end{array}$ |
| 2. Oil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude Oil | 3,301 | 5,200 | 11,600 | 3,301 | 5,200 | 11,600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Petroleum Products | 688 | 340 | - | 1 | - | - - | 679 | 340 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - |
| Total | $(3,988)$ | $(5,540)$ | (11,600) | $(3,302)$ | $(5,200)$ | $(11,600)$ | (679) | (340) | (-) | (-) | (-) | (-) | (8) | (-) | (-) |
| 3. Grand Total | $(9,339)$ | $(17,156)$ | $(34,010)$ | $(13,923)$ | $(10,560)$ | $(23,190)$ | $(1,861)$ | $(3,035)$ | $(5,430)$ | (320) | (360) | (570) | $(1,545)$ | $(3,230)$ | $(4,820)$ |

1. The top figures are those forecast by EIU and the bottom figures are those used for the current project planning.
2. No forecast is made on oil by ElU Draft Report.

Table 40. Projection of Traffic Flows (Dry Cargo)

|  |  |  |  | (In million tons) |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Foreign |  | Coastal |  |
|  |  | Import | Export | Import | Export |
| $1969 / 70$ | 5.42 | 2.10 | 1.56 | 0.32 | 1.44 |
| $74 / 75$ | 7.76 | 1.95 | 2.54 | 0.35 | 2.92 |
| $79 / 80$ | 10.60 | 4.50 | 3.63 | 0.41 | 2.06 |
| $84 / 85$ | 12.61 | 5.40 | 4.50 | 0.47 | 2.24 |
| $89 / 90$ | 14.80 | 6.18 | 5.48 | 0.55 | 2.59 |
| $94 / 95$ | 16.90 | 7.15 | 6.25 | 0.66 | 2.84 |

## 4. Present State of Inland Transport

Although railways and roads plays a central role in the transportation of cargo among the means of inland transport in West Pakistan, it seems that the transportation of more than half of the total inland cargo depends on railways at present. Under the Fourth Five-Year Plan, however, it is estimated that the share of road transport will exceed that of railway transport in ton-mile and the extension of the expressway-class roads by 2,500 miles by the year $1974 / 75$ is also planned.

Table 41. Forecast of Transport Capacity

|  | Projected traffic <br> 1975 (billion ton <br> miles) | Total percentage <br> of growth over 5 <br> years | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Pakistan | 14.1 |  |  |
| Rail | $(6.5)$ | 15 |  |
| Road | $(7.6)$ | 73 |  |
| East Pakistan | 4.2 | 30 |  |
| Rail | $(1.4)$ | 100 |  |
| Road | $(1.8)$ | 47 |  |
| Inland Water Transport | $(1.0)$ | 20 | 77 |
| All Pakistan | $(7.9)$ | $(9.4)$ |  |
| Rail |  |  |  |
| Road |  |  |  |

Note: Forecast under the Fourth Five-Year Plan

1) Railways

The railways in West Pakistan are operated by PWR (Pakistan Western Railway) which, in turn, is administered by the Government of Pakistan.

PWR has a total route mileage of about 5,300 miles in West Pakistan. Major routes are: Peshawar-Karachi ( 1,045 miles), Karachi-Quetta ( 533 miles ), Rohri-Chaman ( 327 miles ) and Lahore-Mari Indus ( 330 miles).

Table 42. Route and Track Mileage of PWR

|  | Route mileage | Track mileage | Remark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Broad Guage | $4,678.31$ | $6,896.10$ |  |
| Metre Guage | 276.76 | 344.13 |  |
| Narrow Guage | 379.72 | 453.03 |  |
| Total | $5,334.79$ | $7,603.26$ |  |

Note: Economic Survey 1968/69

The past results of transportation were 136 million passengers ( $1968 / 69$ ) and 14.6 million tons of cargo (1968/69). Both passenger traffic and cargo traffic have not shown any significant growth in the past several years. Main items of cargo are farm products, gravel and sand, coal, fuel wood, cement and petroleum.

Table 43. Railway Freight Traffic (PWR)

|  | Passengers |  | Goods |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nos. <br> (Million) | Passenger Miles <br> (Million) | Tons <br> (Million) | Ton Miles <br> (Million) |
| $1964-65$ | 131.6 | $6,258.3$ | 14.7 | $4,941.0$ |
| 66 | 122.9 | $6,005.0$ | 13.5 | $4,741.8$ |
| 67 | 128.4 | $6,206.8$ | 14.7 | $5,053.8$ |
| 68 | 132.8 | $6,274.6$ | 14.9 | $4,927.3$ |
| 69 | 135.8 | $6,480.0$ | 14.6 | $4,760.8$ |

Source: Development Statistics of West Pakistan
Under the Fourth Five-Year Plan, improvement of railway facilities with emphasis placed on meeting the requirement for locomotives is positively planned.
2) Roads

The total length of roads in West Pakistan at the time of independence was only 13,781 miles. In 1970 when the Third Five-Year Plan came to an end, the total length of road expanded by nearly 10,000 miles to 23,283 miles to provide a road network in West Pakistan. Of this total length, approximately 10,000 miles are of all weather roads which permit traffic in the inclement weather and the remaining length is for those roads which are in unsatisfactory condition.

For super highways, the Karachi-Hyderabad section ( 100 miles) has opened recently. Besides, super highways are plarned for the Lahore-Multan section, Lahore-Lyallpur section and Sheikhupuna-Sargoda Section.

On the other hand, the number of motor vehicles has been increasing annually as shown in Table 44 In the future, construction of roads and the increase in motor vehicle transport will progress side by side and this trend will be more conspicuous in the country like West Pakistan where the development of inland transport system has been preceded by railways.

Table 44. Number of Motor Vehicles in West Pakistan

|  | $1964 / 65$ | $65 / 66$ | $66 / 67$ | $67 / 68$ | $68 / 69$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Vehicles | 132,758 | 134,317 | 135,442 | 149,335 | 159,255 |
| Motorcars, Jeeps and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station Wagons | 51,146 | 50,334 | 50,835 | 56,447 | 61,176 |
| Motor Cycles and Scooters | 35,779 | 34,698 | 36,744 | 42,165 | 47,015 |
| Trucks and Delivery Vans | 19,095 | 32,018 | 21,422 | 22,402 | 22,236 |
| Buses | 7,330 | 7,676 | 7,871 | 8,708 | 8,957 |
| Taxis and Auto Riskshaws | 16,055 | 15,867 | 15,838 | 17,074 | 17,404 |
| Others | 3,353 | 3,724 | 2,712 | 2,539 | 2,467 |

## 5. Karachi Metropolitan Region Development Plan

## 5-1 Outline of Karachi City

Karachi City with a population of 3.3 million is the largest city not only in West Pakistan but in all Pakistan. The city had been the center of politics and economy as the capital city of Pakistan from olden times. Since the capital of the nation was transferred to Islamabad, the city has been developing as the center of the nation's economy and has a strong color as an international city linked with other countries through Karachi Port and the Karachi Airport.

When the federalism was put into effect, it was designated the capital city of Sind province.

The climate is a dry desert-like climate with an annual rainfall of 8.3 inches, which concentrates on the summer monsoon season. The temperature is moderate ranging from $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in winter (December $\sim$ February) but intense heat (maximum $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) dominates other seasons.

The population as of 1970 is 3.20 million. The population of 400,000 in 1947 when the country was separated from India rose to 1.1 million in 1951 and to two million in 1960 at an explosive annual growth rate of $5.5 \%$ since 1951 (The increase during the $1947 / 51$ period is attributed mainly to the inflow of refugees).

Mainly due to the shortage of water, almost no agriculture is seen in the City of Karachi, but 30 to $40 \%$ of industries in West Pakistan are said to be located in the city. Another feature of Karachi City is that it is not only the center of economic activities in West Pakistan but is also the center of commercial activities in all Pakistan. Inroads of foreign capital in addition to domestic capital are remarkable.

Development of Karachi City is being progressed by K.D.A.* which is also hastening the completion of a master plan for the Karachi Metropolitan Region. The Karachi Metropolitan Region, as shown in Fig. 10, covers an area of 4,000 sq. miles and includes Uthal and Sommiani in the west and Thatta in the east as well as the entire Karachi district. The City of Karachi is still the center of this region and most of the economic activities are included in the city.

Note: * KDA is an abbreviation of the Karachi Development Authority which was established in 1957 with the aim of formulating a master plan of the Greater Karachi Area and unifying various projects and is a non-profit semipublic organization.

In the following discussion the present and future of Karachi City will be touched on with respect to the population, industry, land use, transportation and water supply in relation with the development of a port in the Phitti Creek district, the main subject of discussion. It must be pointed out, however, that KDA is still working on the master plan of the Karachi Metropolitan Region and has not yet completed the future development plan. Therefore, the discussion will be centered on the present state and an attempt will be made only to forecast the direction of future course from the data obtained from KDA and the results of discussions with KDA officials.

Fig. 10 KARACHI METROPOLITAN REGION


## 5-2 Population and Industry

As previously stated, the population as of 1970 reached 3.2 million, of which one million are employed. Of the total employed population, $34 \sim 35 \%$ are employed by the industry.

According to the forecast by KDA, the population is expected to reach four million in 1975, 5.4 million in 1985, an increase of 1.7 times and 7 million at the beginning of the 21 st century. KDA considers it possible to provide comfortable accommodations for this population by efficient land use of Karachi.

As for industrial structure, the share of agriculture is extremely small and manufacturing and commerce are the center of the industry. Even with the manufacturing industry and commerce, the number of industrial plants and commercial establishments was only 46 in 1946. By 1969, however, the number had increased to 6,500 and countless small factories and stores had been established.

Construction of a steel mill and establishment of a petro-chemical complex are being planned. Under these circumstances, Karachi City must continue to play a more important role in the future as the nucleus of economic activities including manufacturing industry and commercial activities.

## 5-3 Land Use

As shown in Fig. 11, the urbanized area of Karachi City is limited to a radius of 10 miles from the city center. The sections in the east and north have been more developed than the section in the west mainly due to favorable transport conditions.

Commercial activities which may be called the nucleus of Karachi City are located mainly along Jinnah Road which originates in Karachi Port and runs through the heart of the city and the residential district extend from north to east as if it encircled the commercial district. As the master plan of Karachi region is not complete, housing development is being pushed forward by KDA with reference to the First Phase Master Plan (Draft) prepared by an European consultant consortium in 1951. At present, a large scale development project is in progress in North Karachi and the Korangi district in the east.

Industry is distributed to the Korangi district in the east, S.I.T.E. in the west and the Goth district in the north. In the Korangi district heavy and chemical industries including oil refineries, thermal power plant and chemical plants, as well as such light industries as cement and textile are being located, forming a strip-like industrial district The S.I.T.E. district in the west, meanwhile, is an old industrial district where such light industries as textile and metal ware manufacturing have been located from olden times and the northern section is a new industrial district which awaits development centering on light industries.

As the transport condition is more favorable in the eastern section than in the western section of Karachi City as will be discussed later, the future urbanization is also expected to develop from the north to the east of the city. In particular, land use along the National Highway and Superhighway will be promoted further.

In the Korangi district, for which KDA has a plan for the development of heavy indus* tries, development of a waterfront industrial complex will be possible for the first time in Pakistan with effective use of favorably situated creeks and a vast land on the waterfront and coordination between the existing industries and housing development.

Fig. II LANDUSE 1970


## 5-4 Transportation

Railways and road transport are the chief means of communication between the city area and suburbs. As far as the transportation of commodities is concerned, railways seem to have a slightly larger share than trucking at present.

Three trunk roads - RCD Highway, Karachi-Hyderabad Superhighway and Karachi Hyderabad Road (National Highway) - start from Karachi City radially. Each of these roads is a two-four-lane road (Two outer lanes of a four-lane road are not paved in many cases).

As shown in Fig. 12, vehicular traffic on the National Highway is quite large with 5,300 cars/day. Traffic on the Superhighway is only in the order of 70 cars/day mainly due to the fact that it is a toll road and that it has just been completed and is new to the public. Traffic on RCD Highway is 230 cars/day. Such a low rate of utilization is considered natural when the progress of development in the western section of West Pakistan is taken into consideration. It may be said, therefore, that the two trunk roads have considerable room for the future increase in the vehicular traffic.

It must be pointed out, however, that such a through traffic on the trunk road in order to reach the port area, must get on the network of city traffic in the case of the National Highway and the Mauripur Road which links RCD Highway and the Superhighway with the port area has a major disadvantage of level crossing with railways. In order to meet the future increase of cargo traffic through port, it is absolutely necessary to provide a road specifically aimed at linking the port area with trunk roads. This question, however, involves many difficulties in view of the fact that Karachi Port is located just in front of the city area.

The roads shown by dotted lines in Fig. 13 are a new road plan proposed by the related organizations including KDA. If this plan is materialized, traffic situation on the roads linking the port area with the city area and suburbs will be improved considerably. The question is whether these roads have enough room for the traffic of trucks originating in the port.

Besides, the First Phase Master Plan (Draft) 1951 proposes construction of an outer circular road outside of the existing circular road. If this road is completed, transport situation in the industrial district of Korangi will be improved considerably.

As for railway transport, the Main Railway Line (Karachi-Kotri Line) which links Karachi with the inland area of West Pakistan and three suburban routes which connects Karachi City with outlying areas via the Main Line, namely, Karachi-Landhi Route, Karachi-Malir Contt. Route and Karachi-Landhi-Koranghi Route- are available. Besides, P.W.R. Circular Railway which connects the northern Karachi with the Main Line is in operation as commuters line. This Circular Railway, however, does not have a linkage in the section between the East Wharf and the West Wharf, and therefore does not form a circle. This causes a bottleneck in the railway transport in the port area.

The Karachi-Landhi-Korangi Route was opened in 1959 following the development of the Korangi district and is now used for transport of raw materials and products originating in the industrial area.

The Main Line is double tracked but the same track is used for passenger trains and freight trains, with the former accounting for about $70 \%$ of the total train operation. As the ratio of passenger transport is expected to increase annually, there will be a limit in freight transport in the future.


PWR has a plan to provide a marshalling yard in Pipri in the east of Karachi to handle cargo originating in the Karachi industrial district and the city area. Linkage of this marshalling yard with Karachi Port and further with industrial districts in Korangi and Phitti Creek will expand the use of railways considerably.

Fig. 14 shows the existing railways and the new routes proposed by related agencies so far.

## 5-5 Water Supply

Karachi City has been suffering from a water shortage since 1964 and water supply is an important factor for the development of Karachi.

The sources of water supply to Karachi are a group of deep wells in Dumllotte, along the Malir River, and the Indus River (taken via Haleji Lake). The amount of water supplied by the wells is not known, but that taken from the Indus River is 140 MGD ( 630,000 tons/ day) at present. This is part of the work under The Greater Karachi Bulk Water Supply Scheme which aims at supplying 280 MGD ( 1.26 million tons/day) ultimately and is the result of the First Phase Work (1954/62) and the Second Phase Work (1968/71). A total capacity of 280 MGD is to be provided by the Third and Fourth Phase Plans, but details of these plans have not yet been worked out.

Of the present water supply, $27 \%$ are used by the industry and the remaining portion is used as city water.

As for future water consumption, KDA forecast shown in Table 44 estimates the consumption in 1985 at 324 MGD (this forecast does not consider water consumption at the time of industrial development of Phitti Creek). To meet this requirement, the Karachi Bulk Water Supply Scheme is not considered sufficient and for this reason, KDA also plans to double the water supply capacity under this scheme.

Of the total water consumption in the future, $60 \%$ are needed for city water and the remaining $40 \%$ will be used as industrial water.

Table 45. Water Consumption Forecast

|  | Volume | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $1970-71$ | 140 MGD | Supply Capacity |
| 1975 | 200 |  |
| 1985 | 324 |  |
| 2000 | 525 |  |
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## 6. Present State of Karachi Port

## 6-1 General

The port of Karachi is the largest port in Pakistan and is also the only port in West Pakistan. With the harbour area extending over 310,236 sq. miles, the port of Karachi is an excellent port protected from the stringent climate of the Arabian Sea by natural topography. The port serves a port of call for coastal vessels and ocean-going vessels and is also a base of the Pakistan merchant fleet and the Pakistan Navy.

South and southwest winds are predominant throughout the year, but the southwest winds dominate during the monsoon season. As for tide level, H.H.W.L. is 10.8 feet above the Karachi Port Datum Level and L.L.W.L. is -1.9 feet with the mean high water of about 7 feet.

Until 1880 Karachi Port was under the administrative control of the Bombay Government. With the establishment of the Karachi Harbour Board in 1880 as a turning-point, the Karachi Port Trust Act came into force in 1886, upon which the Karachi Port Trust (KPT) was established The management of Karachi Port has since been in the hand of the Board of eleven trustees organized within KPT.

Cargo traffic through the port in the year 1969/70 totaled 9.34 million tons consisting of 7.48 million tons of foreign cargo and 1.86 million tons of coastal cargo. The number of vessels entering the port in the year 1969/70 reached 1,600 with a total tonnage of 6.76 million gross tons.

## 6-2 Port Facilities

Karachi Port is divided broadly into the East Wharf district and the West Wharf district. In the East Wharf district waterfronts are utilized as deepwater wharf, lighterage wharf and anchorage for small crafts. The backyard of mooring facilities is used for sheds and freight car marshalling yard. To the south, there is an oil berth, behind which is a group of oil tanks, as a source of energy in West Pakistan. In the West Wharf district, meanwhile, there are some berths under work (mainly dredging) beside a deepwater wharf and other parts are used as shipyard and fishing port facilities.

Main facilities of Karachi Port are as follows.

## 1) Mooring Facilities

In Karachi Port there are 21 deepwater berths, of which 17 berths are provided in the East Wharf district and 4 berths in the West Wharf district. Each of the 17 berths in the East Wharf district has a depth of 28 to 34 feet and a length of 484 to 550 feet. The total length of the 17 berths reaches 7,722 feet

The cargo handled at these berths is mostly general cargo and amounts to about 4.5 million tons (1969/70): No. 17 berth, in particular, handles 451,000 tons of cargo annually. Each of the 4 berths in the West Wharf district has a depth of 32 to 34 feet and a length of 550 to 600 feet. The total length of the four berths is 2,300 feet and the total volume of cargo handled is 864,000 tons. Besides these 4 berths, the West Wharf district has 3 more berths already completed but yet to provide dredging. Therefore, a total of 24 berths are available for handling general cargo.

Besides, three deepwater oil berths are provided in the southern section of the East Wharf
district and are used mainly for handling imported crude oil. Each of these oil berths has a depth of 31 to 38 feet and a length of 403 to 644 feet. The total length of the 3 berths is 1,691 feet. In the anchorage off the East Wharf there are 10 fixed buoys and 3 swinging buoys, which are used for lighterage and mooring of ships awaiting berth.
As for lighterage wharf, there is a wharf with a depth of 24 feet and a length of 1,800 feet in the West Wharf district and a wharf with a depth of 16 feet and a length of 1,800 feet ( 20 berths) at the Napier Mole Boat Wharf area in the East Wharf district.

Table 46. Present Conditions of Mooring Facilities (1959/70)


Table 46 (Cont'd)

|  | Location | Name of Berth | Depth | Length | Volume of Cargo (1969/70) | tons/ft | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lighterage <br> Berth | West Wharf | Lighter age berth <br> Napier <br> Mole <br> Boat <br> Wharf | $24^{\prime}$ | 1,200' |  |  |  |
|  | East Wharf |  | 16' | $1,800$ |  |  | for Country Craft |
|  | Juno Bunder |  | $8^{\prime}$ | 1,235 |  |  | for Lighters \& Boats |
| Moor - |  |  | 24-27 | 500600' |  |  | Ten Fixed Moorings |
| ings |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 350^{-} \\ & 450^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Three Swinging Moorings |

Source: K.P.T.

The shortage of mooring facilities is very acute at Karachi Port. As shown in Table 46, the volume of cargo handled at deepwater berths reaches 540 tons per foot and even comes close to 1,000 tons per foot at some berths. The berth occupancy rate exceeds $100 \%$ ever since the year 1967/68 as shown in Table 47. As a result, two large vessels are moored at the same berth at times.

Table 47. Berth Company

|  | $1967 / 68$ | $68 / 69$ | $69 / 70$ | Remarks |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | T |  |  |  |
| B | $5,191,196$ | $4,431,162$ | $5,355,313$ |  |
| C | T/B |  |  |  |
| D | 273,221 | 233,219 |  |  |
| E | Days |  |  |  |

Note: 1. Source: K.D.T.
2. $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{E}$ are as follows:

A: Total Handled at Ship Wharues (excluding Petroleum)
B: Average Handled per Berth
C: Total Number of Ship Days
D: Average Ships per Day
E: Average of Actual Berth Occupancy for 19 Berths
2) Channel

The main channel has a total length of 4.27 miles and may be divided into the following section according to water depth. The port entrance has a depth of 29 feet, the Lower Harbour 27 feet and the Upper Harbour 30 feet. The channel leading to the shipyard and fishing port facilities has a depth of 20 to 25 feet.

The allowable draught for the main channel at average spring tide is 32 feet. Therefore, the entry of an oil tanker with a draught of 34 feet ( 32,000 to $34,000 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{T}$ ) is possible only at the time of optimum tide condition.
3) Anchorage

The area of anchorage consists of the following.

| More than 21 feet in depth | 658.1 acres |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| More than 27 feet in depth | 483.47 acres | (Harbour entrance excluded) |
| More than 28 feet in depth | 559.88 acres | (Harbour entrance included) |
| More than 29 feet in depth | 466.16 acres | (Harbour entrance included) |
| More than 30 feet in depth | 424.14 acres | (Harbour entrance included) |

4) Storage Facilities

Storage facilities are shown in Table 48.

Table 48. Storage Facilities

| Location | Open A rea | Covered Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Storage |  |  |
| T.P. Yard | 1,879,587 Sq. Ft. | 1,256,049 Sq. Ft. |
| Keamari | 732,852 " " |  |
| - Transit Storage |  |  |
| Keamari | 1,065,960 Sq. Ft. | 580,320 Sq. Ft. |
| M. I. Yard | 393,300 " " | 421,794 " |
| West Wharf | 1,881,000 " " | 507,006 " |
| Juna Bunder | 114,399 " | 54,999 " |
| Total | 6,067,098 Sq. Ft. | 2,820,168 Sq. Ft. |

Table 49 shows the flow of cargo at port, which may be useful for understanding the use of storage facilities.

Table 49. Flow of Goods Inside the Port


Notes:

1. The figures are estimated approximates based on practical observations.
2. The figure ' 1,772 ' thousand tons, shown as the traffic from "Origin to Ship" includes 686 thousand tons petroleum products which, generally, are known to be brought to the exporter's shore based storage installations by pipe line and shipped likewise. Also, about 156 thousand tons Molasses which is brought by road and rail to such storage installations and is latter on shipped through pipe line.
3. a) The figure ' 4,317 ' thousand tons includes about 3,376 thousand tons of Crude Oil, Petroleum Products, Edible Oils and Tallow which are generally delivered ex-ship through pipe lines to shore based importer's storage installations. Further disposal of these commodities is not known to K.T.T.

## 5) Dock Railway Sidings

It is said that $60 \%$ of cargo transferred to inland transport at Karachi Port depend on railways. For this reason, KPT provides a dock railway network extending over 100 miles. In the case of Karachi Port, railways are used not only for inland transport but even for handling cargo within the port area. Use of railways for transport of cargo for such a short distance is characteristic of Karachi Port.

Because of the high density of railway network in the port area, the dock roads are not necessarily used to full extent, but the capacity of roads is considered sufficient in this stage. However, the traffic of trucks originating in the port must pass through the Karachi Metropolitan Area with the resultant concurrence with city traffic.

## 6-3 Entry of Ships

The number of ships entered Karachi Port in the year 1969/70 was 1,598 , of which ocean-going ships accounted for 1,432 or $89 \%$. The number of ships entering the port has been steady since long ago, but the gross tonnage of ships entering the port has increased to some extent, indicating an increase in the size of ships.

Table 50. Shipping Ships with Classification Handled at Karachi Port

| Ship Size Gross (Tonnage) | Foreign Shipping (Nos.) Year |  | Coastal Ships (Nos.) Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1968/69 | 1969/70 | 1968/69 | 1969/70 |
| 0 to 499 | 69 | 28 | - | - |
| 500 to 999 | 23 | 39 | - | - |
| 1,000 to 1,999 | 60 | 108 | 8 | - |
| 2,000 to 2,999 | 87 | 58 | 1 | - |
| 3,000 to 4,999 | 139 | 139 | - | - |
| 5,000 to 9,999 | 751 | 766 | 127 | 156 |
| over 10,000 | 293 | 294 | 6 | 10 |
| Total | 1,346 | 1,432 | 142 | 166 |

Table 51. Ships Entered in The Port of Karachi
(for the Period 1959/60 to 1969/70)

|  | 1959/60 | 60/61 | 61/62 | 62/63 | 63/64 | 64/65 | 65/66 | 66/67 | 67/68 | 68/69 | 69/70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) Vessels Entered in Foreign Trade (excluding country cra(t) <br> i) Total No. entered <br> it) Total net tonnage registered | $\begin{gathered} 1+14 \\ 5+08.347 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1434 \\ 5651,769 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1.337 \\ 5.504 .230 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 1442 \\ 0180,295 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 1435 \\ 6258,779 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131.3 \\ 7071.584 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 1134 \\ 5277,211 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 12.34 \\ 0208,927 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 1269 \\ 5423.906 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.346 \\ 5080,0.15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.32 \\ 0027.074 \end{gathered}$ |
| ( ${ }^{(8)}$ Vessels Entered in Coastal Trade (excluding country craft) <br> 1) Total No.entered <br> ii) Net Tonnage registered | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \\ 193,848 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ 242,621 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ 300,478 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ 304,802 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ 334,025 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ 302,897 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ 362,011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ 521,194 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.36 \\ 377,800 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1+2 \\ 585,434 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106 \\ 728,378 \end{gathered}$ |
| (C) Total Vessels Entered Foreign and Coasta! Trade (excluding country craft) <br> i) Total No. entered <br> ii) Net Tonnage registered | $\begin{gathered} 1464 \\ 5602.245 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1494 \\ 5894,390 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1+21 \\ 5811.22 .3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 1529 \\ 0+91,(697 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.522 \\ 0.596,804 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1598 \\ \hline 7+34,481 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1228 \\ 5054,222 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 1407 \\ 0790,120 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 1405 \\ 0.501 .712 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 B 8 \\ 0206,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1548 \\ 6755,457 \end{gathered}$ |

Note: 1. The statistics as required under Table 3.1 is not maintained in terms of "Gross Tonnage". Therefore, it is provided in terms of Net Registered Tonnage.
2. As regards the break-up of Shipping Statistics for vessels coming in various groups of "Gross Tonnage" it is not also readily available. However, an estimated percentage for the current period can be ascertained from the 6 months data supplied against Table No. 3.3.

Because of the inadequate berthing facilities, there is a chronic delay of berthing at the port. According to the record for the period from January to March 1969, the duration of off-shore waiting for berth averaged 40 hours. This waiting hour tends to become longer annually. Changes in off-shore waiting hours are shown in Table 52.

Table 52. Turn-Round of Ships at The Port of Karachi - Vessels over $500 \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$
(for the period 1964/65 to 1968/69)

| Years | No. of Vessels calling at the port | *Average waiting time off port | Average time taken in cargo operation | Average total turn-round time | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964/65 | 1598 | 25 hours | 101 hours | 138 hours |  |
| 1965/66 | 1228 | 20 " | 95 " | 129 " |  |
| 1966/67 | 1407 | 36 | 105 | 232 " |  |
| 1967/68 | 1405 | 37 " | 112 " | 173 " |  |
| 1968/69 | 1492 | 25 " | 78 " | 134 " |  |

* Average waiting time does not include waiting time for vessels coastal trade and vessels described as Oil Tankers which have separate berthing facilities at Oil Piers.


## 6-4 Future Plans for Karachi Port

The most serious problem of the present Karachi Port is that there is a shortage of berthing facilities. For this reason, a deepwater wharf with 3 berths for general cargo is under construction in the West Wharf district by the hand of KPT in addition to the existing 21 deepwater berths and 3 oil berths. The three berths under construction are expected to complete in the year 1971/72. Then, the cargo handling capacity of Karachi Port will increase to 6 million tons except for petroleum products if the present work is to be continued.

Succeeding the improvement of facilities in the West Wharf district, KPT also plans construction of 4 deepwater berths (each having a depth of 30 feet and a length of 525 feet) at Keamari Groyne and 4 medium sized berths (each having a depth of 24 feet and a length of 540 feet) at Juna Bundar in the West Wharf district by the year 1975/76. This plan has already been approved by the National Economic Council of the Pakistan Government. Construction of these berths under the plan is scheduled to start in 1971 and complete in 1975. According to the "Karachi Port and Its Relation to the Transport Sector of West Pakistan by Drs. J.A. Jansen, dry cargo handling capacity of Karachi Port upon completion of these berths is expected to reach about 5.5 million tons. In this calculation the berth occupancy rate is held at about $70 \%$, the rate which is generally considered acceptable. A berth utilization plan is shown in Table 53.

The plans mentioned so far are for the year up to 1975/76. In order to meet the increase in the volume of cargo in the subsequent period, KPT plans construction of a second port mainly for bulk cargo handling in the Western Backwater of Karachi.

Under the plan, handling of raw materials for a steel mill to be located in the area is conceived and various surveys and investigations have been conducted so far in relation to this plan.


Fig. 15 KARACHI PORT

## 7. Determination of the Requirements for Port Facilities

## 7-1 Deepwater Wharves

Except for special cases, ships enter the port at random as far as the time is concerned and the service received from the port (duration of cargo handling, etc.) is not uniform but versatile in nature. Under these circumstances, the requirement for berthing facilities is generally determined by judging the balance between the cost of berth construction and the demmurage resulting from off shore waiting. As a typical example of calculations for this purpose, the queuing theory advocated by the operations research (O.R.) is available.

For calculation under the queuing theory, observation data for a long period of time such as the conditions of ship arrival and cargo handling are required. As the required data were not available during the current survey, the following simple method was used for calculation.

The requirement for berthing facilities was determined from the following equation. When the type of ships and cargo is fixed and cargo handling method is standardized, as often seen at a wharf for a plant, this equation is quite dependable.

Table 54. Normal Port Capacity in 1,000 Tons without OFF-Shore Waiting for Berths

| Dry Cargo-Min. OTIS | 1969/70 |  | 1975/76 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dry-Cargo | B | CAP | B | CAP |
| E. Wharf berths 1, 2, 3, 4 | 2 | 280 | 44 | 630 |
| E. Wharf berths 5-17 | 13 | 2,080 | 13 | 2,080 |
| East Wharf | 15 | 2,360 | 17 | 2,710 |
| West Wharf |  | - |  |  |
| W. Wharf berths 17A-B-C.D | - | - | 4 | 660 |
| W. Wharf berths 18-21 | 4 | 700 | 4 | 700 |
| W. Wharf berths 22, 23-24 | - | - | 3 | 560 |
| West Wharf | 4 | 700 | 11 | 1,920 |
| K. Groyne 1-4 | - |  | 4 | 640 |
|  | - |  | 4 | 640 |
|  | 19 | 3,060 | 32 | 5,270 |
| Bunders |  |  |  |  |
| Lighterage Wharf |  | 160 | - | 250 |
| Juna Bunder |  | 220 |  |  |
| Bunders (o/s Cargo) |  | 380 | - | 250 |
| Dry Cargo capacity | 19 | 3,440 | 32 | 5,520 |

Port capacity under normal conditions of $70 \%$ berth occupancy (243 laydays and about 1,000 tons per m) 914 tons per yard per year. 1968/69 based upon actual outputs; 1969/70 onward upon traffic forecast Planning Commission.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{B} & =\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{v} \times \mathrm{t} / 365 \times 1 / 2 \\
\mathrm{t} & =\mathrm{v} / \mu+\gamma \\
\mu & =\mathrm{A} \times \mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{T} \times \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

where: $\quad \mathrm{B}=$ number of berths
$\mathrm{V}=$ total volume of cargo to be handled (ton)
$\mathrm{v}=$ average load of cargo per ship (ton)
$\mathrm{t}=$ number of ship days per ship (day)
$\alpha=$ berth occupancy rate
$\mu=$ average cargo handled per day (ton)
$\gamma=$ number of days preparing for arrival and departure (day)
$\mathrm{A}=$ capacity of cargo handling equipment (ton/hour)
$\mathrm{T}=$ average cargo handling time per day ( h )
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of cargo handling equipment
$\beta=$ operating rate of cargo handling equipment.

Table 54. Determination of Requirement for Berthing Facilities in 1974/75

| Cargo item | Volume of cargo to be handled | Average cargo load per shlp | Berth occupancy sate | Number of days prepa ring for arrival a departure | Capucity of eargo hundling equipment <br> A | Nutnber of curgo hiundling equipment $\mathbf{Y}$ | Average cia 5 go handling time per day T | Operating rate of cargo handling equip. | Number of berths <br> B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 con | ton |  | day | ton/m | unit | hour |  |  |
| Cement clinker | 750 | 10,000 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 500 | I | 12 | 0.4 | 1 |
| In bag Phosphate rock | 750 | $\} 10,000$ | 0.8 | 1.0 | 200 | $\underline{2}$ | 12 | 0.9 | 1 |
| Gralns | 1.925 | 8,000 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 500 | I | 12 | 0.9 | 3 |

Note: Although one unit of equipment is sufficient for handling cement clinker, two units are to be provided in case one should fail to operate.

Table 55. Determination of Requirement for Berthing Facilities in 1984/85

|  | Volume of cargo to be handled <br> $v$ | Average cargo load per ship | Berth occupancy rate | Number of days prepa ring for arrival $\&$ departure | Capacity of cargo handing equipinent <br> A | Number of carge handling: equipment <br> $Y$ | Average cargo handling time per day T | Operating rate of cargo handling equip. | Number of berths <br> B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 ton | con |  | day | t/hr | unit | hr |  |  |
| Petroleum | 11,000 | 40,000 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.510 | 1 | 24 | 0.4 | 3 |
| Iron ore | 4,310 | 40,000 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1,000 | 2 | 12 | 0.7 | 2 |
| Coal | 1,990 | 24,000 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1,000 | 2 | 12 | 0.7 | 1 |
| tron scrap | 300 | 20,000 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 200 | $\pm$ | 12 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Cement cilnker | 1,500 | 10,000 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 500 | 1 | 12 | 0.4 | 2 |
| Bag | 1,500 | 10,000 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 200 | 2 | 12 | 0.4 | 2 |
| Grains | 3,850 | 8,000 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 200 | 1 | 12 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Phosphate rock | 510 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Urea | 440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Potassic salt | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Note: One berth was allocated to each of phosphate rock, Urea and Potassic salt on the basis of the results at other ports.

## 7-2 Silos and Sheds

1) Silos

Silos are to be provided for handling grains (wheat and rice) and cement clinker. At least one silo is to be provided at the back of each berth according to the volume of cargo handled at the berth. Silos of the following capacities have been adopted as standard type.

Table 56. Standard Type of Silo

|  | Grains | Cement clinker |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity of silo | 53,000 ton/unit | 13,000 ton/unit |
| Effective dimensions of <br> main silo | $\varnothing 265^{\prime} \times 750^{\prime}$ height | $\not \subset 30^{\prime} \times 70^{\prime}$ height |
| Number of main silos <br> Floor space of a silo | 80 | 10 |

The number of silos was obtained from the following formula.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{T} \times 1 / \mathrm{c} \times 1 / \mathrm{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\quad N=$ number of silos
$\mathrm{T}=$ volume to be handled (tons/year)
$c=$ capacity of silo (tons/unit)
$\mathrm{n}=$ turnover rate (times/year)

From equation (1), the number of silos for grains and clinker may be calculated as shown in Table 57.

Table 57. Calculation of the Number of Silos

|  |  | 1974/75 | 1984/85 | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) | Grains | '000 tons | '000 tons |  |
|  | Volume to be handled : T | 1,925 | 3,900 |  |
|  | Capacity of silo : C | 53,000 tons | 53,000 tons |  |
|  | Turnover rate : n | 12 | 12 |  |
|  | No. of silos : N | 3 | 6 |  |
| 2) | Cement clinker | '000 tons | '000 tons |  |
|  | Volume to be handled : T | 750 | 1,500 |  |
|  | Capacity of silo : C | 13,000 tons | 13,000 tens |  |
|  | Turnover rate : n | 33 | 33 |  |
|  | No. of silos : N | 2 | 4 |  |

2) Sheds

Sheds are to be provided for handling cement in bag, phosphate rock, urea fertilizer and potassic salt and one shed is to be provided for each berth handling these cargoes. The standard capacity of shed may be calculated by the following formula.

$$
W=N \times 1 / R \times 1 / n
$$

where: $\quad \mathrm{W}=$ capacity of one shed (ton)
$\mathrm{N}=$ volume of cargo to be handled annually (ton)
$\mathrm{R}=$ turnover rate (times/year)
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of sheds
As the volume of cement in bag reaches 750,000 tons in the year 1974/75 and that of phosphate rock 152,000 tons, two sheds of the following sizes for cement and phosphate rock, one for each, are to be provided on the assumption that the turnover of the shed is 40 times/year.

| Cement | Capacity: | 18,500 ton |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Length: | 500 feet |
|  | Width: | 150 feet |
|  | Height: | 18 feet |
| Phosphate rock |  |  |
|  | Capacity: | 14,000 ton |
|  | Length: | 460 feet |
|  | Width: | 120 feet |
|  | Height: | 18 feet |

As the number of berths requiring a shed is expected to reach 5 in the year 1984/85, a total of 5 sheds of the above-mentioned sizes are to be provided by that time, one for each berth: two for cement, two for fertilizer, and one for phosphate rock.

## 7-3 Power and Water Facilities

1) Power

Major power consumers except industries are cargo handling equipment and belt-conveyors. Power consumption by these machinery is shown in Table 58.

Table 58. Power Consumption by Cargo Handling Equipment

|  | $1974 / 75$ |  | $1984 / 85$ |  | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of <br> units | Consumption | No. of <br> units | Consumption |  |
| $1,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h}$ unloader | - | - | 6 | 7,200 | 120 KVA per unit |
| $500 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h}$ unloader | 5 | 3,500 | 8 | 5,600 | 700 KVA per unit |
| $200 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h}$ level <br> luffing crane | 2 | 700 | 6 | 2,100 | 350 KVA per unit |
| Conveyor <br> (400 m long) | 5 | 500 | 17 | 1,700 | 100 KVA per set |
| Pump | - | 4,700 |  |  |  |

Although power consumption at the port in the year $1974 / 75$ is estimated at about $4,700 \mathrm{KVA}$, power cables with a capacity of $5,000 \mathrm{KVA}$ are to be provided to be on the safe side.

Power consumption in the industrial complex in the year $1984 / 85$ is estimated at 4,500 KWH/day. On the basis of about 10 working hours a day, the daily power requirement is estimated at about $40,000 \mathrm{KW}$. As already mentioned in the main part of this report, all power requirements at the port including those for other facilities are to be met by a thermal power plant to be located adjacent to the port.
2) Water
(a) The present water consumption at Karachi Port, at the East Wharf and West Wharf, amounts to 2.5 million gallons/day in the summer peak season (Source: Karachi Port Yearbook).
(b) Assuming that the volume of cargo traffic through Phitti Creek Port in the year 1974/75 would be about half of the volume of cargo presently handled at Karachi Port and considering the fact that the water requirement at Karachi Port include the requirement by industrial plants and residential districts, the water requirement at Phitti Creek in the year $1974 / 75$ is estimated at $650,000 \mathrm{gal} /$ day about $25 \%$ of the present requirement at Karachi Port.
(c) In addition to water consumption in the industrial district, water consumption in the year $1974 / 75$ is estimated at 4.5 million gallons consisting of 4 million gallons at the port and 600,000 gallons of drinking water in the industrial district. These estimates are based on the following.

- Port facilities:

Although the volume of cargo traffic through Phitti Creek is estimated at about half of the volume presently handled at Karachi Port, water consumption at Phitti Creek Port was considered to be 1.5 times greater than that at Karachi Port because the requirement in the industrial district and residential district is included in the requirement at Karachi Port.

- Industry

Assuming that each employee of the industry consumes 20 gallons of water a day, the daily water consumption in the industry is estimated at about 600,000 gallons.

## 8. Estimate of Port-originated Traffic Volume

## 8-1 Method of Estimation

Estimate of future port-originated traffic volume is very difficult unless survey data on such subjects as the type of cargo, share of railways and motor cars in cargo transport, OD traffic volume, loading capacity per freight car and traffic volume at port area by vehicle type are available.

As the data on the port-centered traffic were not available during the current survey, the estimate of port-originated traffic volume was made with the following simple method.


## 8-2 Cargo Traffic by Region (Point of Origin)

The cargo traffic was distributed to regions by itemwise in the following manner. As for distribution regions, 12 divisions in West Pakistan were adopted. As the cargo traffic in the year 1974/75 was assumed to be entirely dependent on truck transport, this calculation was made only for the year 1984/85.

1) Rice and Wheat

Distribution was made according to the average production share of each region in the 1968-75 period based on the data on production and consumption of rice and wheat under the Fourth Five-Year Plan. However, the regions where the production is less than 100,000 tons were omitted and the allocations to these regions were distributed to other qualified regions.

Table 59. Divisionwise Main Index

| Division |  | Population(1975) | Major Crop |  | Cement$1974 / 75$ | Iranx Steel$1974 / 75$ | Induatrial Employment 1974/75 | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rice | Wheat |  |  |  |  |
|  | '000 sq,miles | M:1 Poputation | \% | \% | Lactons | '000 tons | '000 Employee |  |
| Peshawar | 25.2 (8.1) | 10.5 <br> (15.0) | 2 | 4.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.65 \\ & (16.1) \end{aligned}$ | 33 (4.0) | (6.4) |  |
| D.I. Khan | 11.1 <br> (3.6) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & (3.1) \end{aligned}$ | - | 2.5 |  |  | (0.4) |  |
| Rawalpindi | $\underset{(3.6)}{11.2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \\ & (8,4) \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 7.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.10 \\ & (21.2) \end{aligned}$ |  | (6.6) |  |
| Sargodha | 17.1 (5.5) | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7 \\ & (13.9) \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 22.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.50 \\ & (5.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & (0.4) \end{aligned}$ | (14.4) |  |
| Lahore | 8.9 (2.9) | 9.9 <br> (14.2) | 34 | 12.0 |  | 392 <br> (49.0) | (18.0) |  |
| Muitan | 24.8 <br> (8.0) | 10.5 <br> (15.1) | 6 | 29.0 |  |  | (6.0) |  |
| Bahawalpur | $\begin{aligned} & 17.5 \\ & (5.6) \end{aligned}$ | 4.6 <br> (6.5) | 2 | 8.0 |  |  | (1.8) |  |
| Khalpur | $\begin{aligned} & 20.3 \\ & (0.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \\ & (7.3) \end{aligned}$ | 33 | 7.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.00 \\ & (6.3) \end{aligned}$ |  | (2.6) |  |
| Hydorabad | 36.8 <br> (10.9) | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \\ & (7.7) \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 7.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.65 \\ & (30.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & (0.6) \end{aligned}$ | (7.0) |  |
| Quetta Kalat | $\begin{aligned} & 53.1 \\ & (17.1) \\ & 72.9 \\ & (23.5) \end{aligned}$ | $1.72 .4)$ | - | 1.0 | $\begin{gathered} 3.65 \\ (7.7) \end{gathered}$ |  | (0,6) |  |
| Karachi | $\begin{aligned} & 8.4 \\ & (2.7) \end{aligned}$ | 4.5 <br> (4.5) | - | 0.5 | $6.00$ $(12.6)$ | $329$ <br> (41.0) | (36.2) |  |
| Total | 310.4 <br> (100) | 69.9 <br> (100) | 100 | 100 | $\begin{gathered} 47.55 \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ | $802$ (100) | 398.2 |  |

Source

Area:
Population:

Rice:

Wheat:

Cement: Cement Production, Consumption and Exports Fourth Plan Projection, Transport Planning Cell Report
Iron \& Steel: Iron and Steel Demand Production, Consumption and Imports Fourth Plan Projection, Transport Planning Cell Report
Industrial Employment: Statement Showing the Number of Industrial Employment W.P. 1967/68, Offered by Planning Commission
6) Cargo traffic by region obtained with the above-mentioned method is shown in Table 59.
2) Cement

Distribution was made according to the production share of each region in the year 1974/ 75 on the basis of the data on cement production and consumption under the Fourth Five-Year Plan.
3) Iron \& Steel

Distribution was made in the same manner as in the case of cement, but part of production was considered to be consumed at Phitti Creek.
4) Oil

Although refined oil is to be transported to the Korangi distribution center or the Pipri distribution center by pipeline, distribution was attempted on the basis of the size of refinery by region (represented by the number of employees) in the year 1967/68 for reference purpose.

## 5) Fertilizer

Imported fertilizer was distributed to the group of Rawalpindi and Sargadha and the group of Multan, Khaipar and Hyderabad at the rate of $50 \%$ each (this is equivalent to the ratio of rice and wheat production). Urea was considered to be exported from Karachi Division and phosphate rock was considered to be imported from Karachi Division. (Refer to Table 60.)

Table 60. Divisionwise Cargoes Handled at Phitti Creek Port

|  | Major Crops |  | Cement <br> (Ex) |  <br> Steel(IM) <br> (Ex) | Wheat <br> (Ex) | Petroleum | Fertilizer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Remarks

Fig. 16. Distancewise Transport Cost


Note: For the transport cost by rail, "Iron \& Steel Division' B"" was adopted.




## 8-3 Allocation of Cargo to Trucks and Freight Car

1) Whether the exported (or imported) cargo should choose railways or trucks for inland transport is determined after making comparison of various factors such as transport cost, transport time, type of cargo lot and local practices.
2) For the current study, however, allocation was made by placing emphasis on transport cost by taking into account the fact that the cargo handled at Phitti Creek is mainly bulk cargo which is relatively free from transport time, that a large quantity of cargo is transported in one lot, that Phitti Creek Port is a new port and that a new inland transport system would be established. As to the choice between railways and trucks, a graph showing transport cost of cargo by item and distance (Fig. 15) was prepared with reference to the Report on Freight Pricing Characteristics of Rail and Highway, June 1969, by Noor Mohammad Research Officer and from this graph, either railway or trucks, whichever is cheaper for transport between Karachi Division and respective divisions, was selected. Because of the fact that railway transport inevitably requires the secondary transport for a distance of about 30 miles, corresponding transport cost by truck was added to the transport cost by railway.
3) Both crude oil to be transported to the refineries in the Korangi district and petroleum products to be shipped from the refineries in the Phitti Creek district are to be transported first to the Korangi and Khipliwale districts by pipeline for transport efficiency and safety.
4) Cargo traffic by type of transport calculated by the above method is shown in Table 61.

Table 61. Assignment of Rail Transport and Truck Transport (1984/85)

|  | Distance | Rice (Ex) |  | Whear (Ex) |  | Cement (Ex) |  | Iron \& Steel ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{M}}$ ) |  | Fertilizer(Ex $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{M}}$ ) |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rail | Truck | R | T | R | T | R | T | R | $T$ | Rail | Truck |
| Peshawar | 1,000 |  |  |  |  | 480 | - | 100 |  |  |  | 580 |  |
| D. I. Khan | 800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rawalpindi | 900 |  |  | 160 | - | 630 | -- |  |  |  |  | 790 |  |
| Sangodha | 800 |  |  | 420 | - | 160 | -- |  |  | 100 |  | 680 |  |
| Lahore | 800 | 910 | - | 200 | - |  |  | 1,240 |  |  |  | 2,400 |  |
| Maltan | 600 | 140 | - | 480 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 620 |  |
| Bahawalpur | 570 |  |  | 130 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |  |
| Khalrpur | 300 | 790 | - | 120 | $\cdots$ | 190 | - |  |  |  |  | 1.100 |  |
| Hyderabad | 120 | 360 | - | 140 | - | - | 910 |  |  |  | 100 | 500 | 1.010 |
| Quetta | 570 |  |  |  |  | 230 | -- |  |  |  |  | 230 |  |
| Kalat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Karachi | - |  |  |  |  | - | 400 |  | 1,160 |  | 950 |  | 2,510 |
| Total |  | 2,250 | - | 1,6.50 | - | 1,690 | 1,310 | 1.340 | 1,100 | 100 | 1,050 | 7.030 | 3.520 |

## 8-4 Estimate of Total Vehicular Traffic

Total vehicular traffic was obtained from the following formula.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{p}+T_{p}^{r}+T_{i}+T_{i}^{T} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\quad T=$ Total vehicular traffic
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ Vehicular traffic originating from cargo at port
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{r}}=$ Traffic originating in relation to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}$
$\mathrm{T} i=$ Vehicular traffic originating in the industrial district
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{r}}=$ Traffic originating in relation to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}$ was obtained from the following formula.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T} \mathbf{p}=\mathrm{Ct} \times 1 / \mathrm{D} \times 1 / \mathrm{t} \times \gamma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\quad \mathrm{Ct}=$ Cargo transported by truck
D = Number of working days per year
t = Carrying capacity per truck
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}=$ Annual rate of variability
For the current study, C, D, $t$ and $\alpha$ were considered as follows.

|  | 1974/75 | 1984/85 | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | '000 tons | '000 tons |  |
| Ct | 3,577 | 3,520 |  |
| D | 300 days | 300 days |  |
| t | 2.0 ton/truck | 2.0 ton/truck | 4-ton truck on the average (one trip is without load) |
|  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{r}}$ is to be $50 \%$ of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}$ for the year $1974 / 75$ and $100 \%$ for the year 1984/85 in anticipation of the start of production by the industrial plants.

Ti was obtained from the following formula.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=\beta \times \mathrm{A} \times 1 / \mathrm{D} \times 1 / \mathrm{t} \times \alpha \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\quad P=$ Cargo originating in the industrial district per $y d^{2}$
$A=$ Acreage of industrial district
$D, t, \alpha=$ Same as for $T_{p}$
For the current study, $\beta, A, t$ and $\alpha$ were considered as follows.

|  | $1974 / 75$ | $1984 / 85$ | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta$ |  | 1.2tons $/ \mathrm{yd}^{2}$ <br> A |  |
| D |  | $2,400 \mathrm{yd}^{2}$ | Only those plants related to oil <br> refineries and steel mills are <br> covered. |
| t |  | 300 days |  |
| $\alpha$ | 2.0 ton/truck | 2.0 |  |

Like $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{r}}$ was considered to be $100 \%$ of Ti .
As a result, total vehicular traffic, T , will be as follows.
('000 trucks/day)

|  | $1974 / 75$ | $1984 / 85$ | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{p}}$ | 9.0 | 9.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{r}}$ | 4.5 | 9.0 |  |
| Ti | - | 9.5 |  |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{r}}$ | - | 9.5 |  |
| T (Total) | 13.5 | 37.0 |  |

Note: Besides, additional 2 or $3 \%$ of animal vehicles are anticipated in the year 1974/75.

## 8-5 Number of Freight Cars

The number of freight cars was obtained from the following formula.

$$
W=\left(W_{D} \text { or } W_{a}, \text { whichever is greater }\right)+W_{E}
$$

where: $W_{D}=$ Number of cars departing from Phitti Creek Port
$W_{A}=$ Number of cars arriving at Phitti Creek Port
$\mathrm{WE}=$ Number of empty cars
$W D\left(o r W_{D}\right)=C_{r}^{A}\left(\right.$ or $\left.C_{r}^{D}\right) \times 1 / D \times 1 / t$
$W_{D}$ and $W_{A}$ were obtained from the following formula.
where: $\quad C_{r}^{A}=$ Cargo arrived by railway
$C_{r}^{D}=$ Cargo departed by railway
$\mathrm{D}=$ Number of working days
$\mathrm{t}=$ Carrying capacity per freight car
For the current study, $D$ and $t$ were considered as follows. $C_{r}^{A}$ and $C_{r}^{D}$ were also presumed to be as shown in the following table on the basis of estimated cargo traffic
and allocation of cargo to railways and trucks.

|  | $1984 / 85$ | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C_{r}^{A}$ | $5,5400^{\prime} 000$ tons | Rice, wheat, cement |
| $C_{r}^{D}$ | $1,4400^{\prime} 000$ tons | Iron \& steel, fertilizer |
| $D$ | 360 days |  |
| $t$ | 15 ton/wagon |  |

We was considered to be the difference between WA and WD. The number of freight cars obtained from the above is as follows.

|  | Number | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 1,030 |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ | $(270)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | 760 |  |
| W (Total) | 1,790 |  |

## 9. Reference Materials for Economic Studies

## 9-1 Estimate of Cargo Traffic and Gross Tonnage of Ship Entering Port by Year

Cargo traffic by year was estimated by interpolating the cargo handled at Phitti Creek in the year 1974/75 and that handled in the year 1984/85. For fertilizer, however, it was considered that cargo would be handled only at Phitti Creek from the year 1979/80. For part of petroleum products, handling of which is to be shifted from Karachi to Phitti Creek, it was considered that $25 \%$ would be transferred in the year $1977 / 78,75 \%$ in the year 1978/79 and $100 \%$ in the year $1979 / 80$. For oil refineries to be located at the back of Phitti Creek Port, estimate was made on the assumption that $50 \%$ operation would start in the year 1979/ 80 and $100 \%$ operation in the year 1984/85 and an interpolation was made between the two. For iron ore and coal, estimate was made on the assumption that the steel mill would start $50 \%$ operation in the year $1979 / 80$ and $100 \%$ operation in the year $1984 / 85$ as in the case of oil refineries.

The results are shown in Table 62.
Table 62. Cargo Traffic by Year

|  | Grain |  | Cement | Phosphate rock | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fertilizer } \\ \left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\circ}\right) \\ \mathrm{IM} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fertiliger } \\ \text { (Urea) } \\ \text { Ex } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Oil | Coal \& Coke, Iron ore | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rice | Weat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974/75 | 1,552 | 373 | 1,500 | 152 | (100) |  | $(5,200)$ |  | 3,577 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1975 / 76 \\ & -1979 / 80 \end{aligned}$ | 1,690 | 570 | 1,830 | 215 | (130) |  | 1,300 |  | 5,605 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1980 / 81 \\ & -1984 / 85 \end{aligned}$ | 2,010 | 1,180 | 2,610 | 380 | 180 | 320 | 10,200 | 5,200 | 2,2080 |
| 1984/85 | 2,200 | 1,650 | 3,000 | 510 | 200 | 440 | 11,600 | 6,600 | 26,200 |

Note: Figures in parenthesis represent cargo handled at Karachi Port.

Table 63. Gross Tonnage of Ships Entering Port by Year

|  | a) <br> Tonage (Net register) | b) Cargo | c) <br> b) $/$ a) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Karachi Port |  |  |  |  |
|  | '000 tons | '000 t |  |  |
| 1960-61 | 5,894 | 4,979 | 0.85 |  |
| 62 | 5,811 | 4,606 | 0.79 |  |
| 63 | 6,491 | 5,562 | 0.86 |  |
| 64 | 6,597 | 5,856 | 0.89 |  |
| 65 | 7,434 | 7,809 | 1.05 |  |
| 66 | 5,659 | 7,056 | 1.25 |  |
| 67 | 6,790 | 9,074 | 1.34 |  |
| 68 | 6,502 | 8,662 | 1,33 |  |
| 69 | 6,266 | 8,450 | 1.35 |  |
| 70 | 6,755 | 9,339 | 1.38 |  |
| Phitti Creek |  |  |  |  |
| 1974/75 | 2,550 | 3,557 | 1.40 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1975 / 76 \\ & -1979 / 80 \end{aligned}$ | 4,000 | 5,605 | 1.40 | Figure for the year 1977/78 is to be used as the average of 5 years |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1980 / 81 \\ & -1984 / 85 \end{aligned}$ | 15,800 | 22,080 | 1.40 | Figure for the year 1982/83 is to be used as the average of 5 years. |
| 1984/85 | 18,700 | 26,200 | 1.40 |  |

## Gross Tonnage of Ships Entering Port by Year

Estimate of the gross tonnage of ships entering port shown in Table 63 was based on the past record on the volume of cargo and the gross tonnage of ships entering port.

Although the ratio of cargo/tonnage of ship has been increasing annually, there is a limit in the increase of the coefficient. Also, in view of the fact that the difference between the deadweight ton and the gross tonnage is about 1.50 , the gross tonnage of ships entering port was obtained from the volume of cargo by assuming that the future difference between the two would be 1.40 , about the same as the present.

## 9-2 Special Rates of Wharfage for Grains and Cement (Clinker)

Phitti Creek Port is equipped with modern facilities for efficient cargo handling as a port specializing in bulk cargo. For handling grains and cement (clinker), in particular, the port equipped with a 500 ton/h cargo handling equipment and silos can cut cargo handling time drastically as compared with Karachi Port. As the installation of these facilities requires an
enormous investment, it was decided to collect special rates of wharfage from the shipowner or consigners in return for quick dispatch of ships as a result of the shortened cargo handling time and appropriate the sum for port operating funds.

The special rates were determined to be $1.9 \mathrm{Rs} /$ ton for grains and $2.6 \mathrm{Rs} /$ ton for cement (clinker) based on the following.

Assuming the cost of a $10,000 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$ cement carrier is $6,000 \mathrm{Rs} /$ day, a cut in the number of cargo handling days by 667 days will save about $4,000,000$ Rs annually. $4,000,000 \mathrm{Rs}$, when converted to per ton wharfage, is equivalent to $5.3 \mathrm{Rs} / \mathrm{ton}$. Of this, 2.6 Rs or about $50 \%$ are to be collected as special wharfage.

## 1) Clinker

|  | Phitti Creek Port | Karachi Port | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cargo handled annually <br> $(1974 / 75)$ | 750,000 tons | 750,000 tons |  |
| Number of ships entering <br> port annually | 75 | 75 | $10,000 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W}$ |
| Daily cargo handling <br> capacity | 5,400 ton/day | 925 ton/day | Actual handling <br> capacity of Karachi |
| Number of cargo handling <br> days per ship | 2.9 day/ship <br> $(10,000 / 5,400+1=2.9)$ | 11.8 day/ship <br> $(10,000 / 925+1=11.8)$ |  |
| Ship (day/year) | 218 ship day/year <br> $(2.9 \times 75=218)$ | 885 ship day/year <br> $(11.8 \times 75=885)$ |  |
| Number of cargo handling <br> days shortened | -667 ship day/year | 0 |  |

2) Grains
$\left.\begin{array}{l|c|c|c}\hline & \text { Phitti Creek Port } & \text { Karachi Port } & \text { Remarks } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Cargo handled annually } \\ (1974 / 75)\end{array} & 1,925,000 \text { tons } & 1,925,000 \text { tons } & 8,000 \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{W} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Number of ships entering } \\ \text { port annually }\end{array} & 240 \text { ship/year } & 240 \text { ship/year } & \text { Result at Karachi Port } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Daily cargo handling } \\ \text { capacity }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}5,400 \text { ton/day } \\ \text { (Same as cement })\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}1,200 \text { ton/day } \\ \text { ton/hook hour hatch } \\ (2.8 \times 3.5 \times 12)\end{array} & \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Num ber of cargo handling } \\ \text { days per ship }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}2.5 \text { day/ship } \\ (8,000 / 5,400+1)\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}7.6 \text { day/ship } \\ (8,000 / 200 \pm 1)\end{array} & \\ \hline \text { Ship days/year } & \begin{array}{c}606 \text { ship days/year } \\ (240 \times 2.5)\end{array} & 1,824 \text { ship days/year } \\ (240 \times 7.6)\end{array}\right]$
$6,000 \mathrm{Rs} \times 1,224=7,344000$
$7,344,000-1,925=3.8 \mathrm{Rs} /$ ton
1.9 Rs/ton

## APPENDIX: <br> REVIEW OF ESTIMATE OF CARGO TRAFFIC PREPARED BY EIU

## 1. General

The estimate of cargo traffic contained in this report is team's own estimate. It was decided, however, that various calculations be made with the use of EIU's estimate on cement and wheat, which is understandable as a difference in the basic concept between the two parties, among the estimates on cement, wheat and steel related cargoes (steel, iron ore and coal) for the year 1984/85, which show drastic changes in the final stage in the EIU report.

Supplementary Table 1. Wheat and Cement Cargoes

|  | EIU | Estimate in this <br> report |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974/75 |  |  |
| Wheat | 440 | 373 |
| Cement | 1,100 | 2,000 |
| Others | 14,783 | 14,783 |
| Total | 16,323 | 17,156 |
| Wheat |  |  |
| Cement | 1,200 | 1,650 |
| Others | 29,360 | 3,000 |
| Total | 30,560 | 29,360 |

Note: For other cargoes, the estimate given by the draft report was adopted.

## 2. Calculation of the Number of Berths

The number of berths obtained from calculation on EIU's estimate of cargo traffic (wheat and cement) is as shown in Table 2. The number of berths required in the year $1974 / 75$ is five, which agrees the number of berths given in the report.

|  | Volume of cargo V | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cargo load } \\ \text { per ahtp } \\ v \end{gathered}$ | Whart occupancy rate a | Number of daya for preparation for artival and depar ture | Capacity of cargo handling equipment A | Number of cargo handling equipment a | Average cargo handling time per day T | Operating rate of cargo handling equip. P | Number of berths B | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974/75 <br> Cement <br> CHinker <br> la bag <br> mosphate rock <br> Grains <br> Total | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \mathrm{t} \\ 1,100 \\ (550) \\ (55 C) \\ 152 \\ 1,940 \\ 3,192 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,000 \mathrm{t} \\ 10,000 \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{B00} \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.6 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 500 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{hr} \\ 200 \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $2$ <br> 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 12 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \\ & 0.9 \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wheat- }-440 \\ & \text { Rlce }-1,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1984/85 <br> Cement Clinker In bag Grains Wheat Rise Others Total | $\begin{array}{r} 600 \\ 600 \\ 0 \\ 17,200 \\ 19,350 \\ 22,270 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,000 \mathrm{t} \\ & 10,000 \mathrm{t} \\ & \\ & 8,000 \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.6 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 200 \\ & \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 12 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \\ & 0.9 \\ & \\ & 0.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 10 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | See <br> Appendix |

Note: Two clinker handling equipment are to be provided in case one fails to operate.
In the year 1984/85, there will be a reduction of 2 cement berths and 3 grain berths as compared with the draft report, thus leaving a total of 15 berths.

## 3. Calculation of Cost of Construction

As the number of facilities in the year $1974 / 75$ is the same as that estimated in the draft report, the cost of construction is to be the same as calculated in the draft report.

As there will be a reduction of 5 berths in the year 1984/85 as compared with the draft report, the cost of construction given in the draft report minus the cost of cargo handling equipment and silos, the number of which will also be reduced as a result of reduction in the number of berths, is to be used for this report.

Although the decrease in the volume of cargo handled at port will affect the cost of related land, roads and railways to some extent, such a factor was ignored in the economic analysis, which will be discussed in the following section, to be on the safe side in the calculation.

## Supplementary Table 3. Calculation of Cost of Construction

|  |  | Local currency | Foreign currency | Total | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) | Cost of construction in the draft redport | 29.28 | 38.46 | 67.74 |  |
| b) | Reduction in cost of construction as compared with draft report | 3.90 | 8 | 11.90 |  |
|  | Berth | 2.79 | 1.87 | 4.66 | A reduction of 2 cement berths and 3 grain berths |
|  | Cargo handling equipment | 0.28 | 1.57 | 1.85 | 2 for cement \& 3 for grains |
|  | Silo \& shed | 0.83 | 4.56 | 5.39 | 5 silos (2 for cement, 3 for grains), one silo ( 2 for cement) |
| c) | Cost of construction <br> a) - b) | 25.38 | 30.46 | 55.84 |  |

## 4. An Economic Analysis

An economic analysis was attempted to determine the feasibility of Phitti Creek Port as in the case of draft report. The method used and the facilities covered were the same as those in the draft report. This analysis was made only for the long-range plan.

The discount rate used was $6 \%$ as in the case of draft report and a study period of 30 years was considered. The benefit/cost ratio obtained was 1.59 and internal rate of return was $10.7 \%$. (The table of business analysis is omitted.)

If the project with internal rate of return of more than $12 \%$ is considered as a sound project, the internal rate of return in this case falls short of the goal by a small margin.

However, because of the fact that the cost of construction except for the cost of mooring facilities and cargo handling equipment used in the current plan is the same as that used in the draft report in addition to the cost of reclamation mentioned in Section 1). An Economic Analysis, Chapter 3, Economic Appriasal of the Project, Part III of this report, for the convenience of calculation, a higher internal rate of return was sought under the current plan.

If computation of the cost of construction is made on the more detailed requirement for facilities according to the volume of cargo traffic, a higher internal rate of return can be expected and the requirement as a sound project will be fulfilled.

## 10. Numerical Calculation of Tidal Current at Harbour Entrance

## 10-1 Introduction

When the water surface of a certain area joins the open sea through a narrow channel as in the case of Karachi Port and Miani Hor, the tide of the open sea flows in and out of the basin according to the difference of water level in and out of the channel. Where there is a sufficient cross sectional area of the channel against the surface area of the basin, the tide amplitude within the basin is almost the same as that in the open sea. When the cross section of the channel is small, however, the volume of water flows in and out of the basin is restricted accordingly and the tide amplitude within the basin becomes smaller than that in the open sea.

In order to clarity these tidal phenomena within the basin, such methods as tide observations, hydraulic model test and numerical calculation by electronic computers must be used.

Here, a calculation will be attempted for the case in which the distance between the harbour entrance to the innermost section of the basin is not too great and the change of water level is uniform throughout the basin and the results of calculation for Karachi Port and Miani Hor will be mentioned.

## 10-2 Method of Calculation

If the area of water surface of the basin is expressed by $S$, cross section of the channel by A, water level (above detum level) by n, water level in the basin by $y$, and mean velocity of tidal current flowing in and out of the channel by V , the velocity, V , may be obtained from the following formula.

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =C \sqrt{2 g(n-y)} & & (n>y) \\
& =c \sqrt{2 g(y-n)} & & (n<y) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, C is a coefficient of velocity, which may be obtained from the following expression (2) when the cross section of the channel is almost constant.

$$
\mathrm{C}=1 / \sqrt{\mathrm{fe}+\mathrm{fo}_{0}+\begin{array}{c}
2 \mathrm{gn}^{2}  \tag{2}\\
\mathrm{R}^{1 / 3}
\end{array} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}}
$$

where: $\quad \mathrm{fe}=$ Coefficient of flow-in loss at the entrance of channel $=0.5$
$\mathrm{fo}=$ Coefficient of flow-out loss at the entrance of channel $=1.0$
$\mathrm{g}=$ Acceleration of gravity $=9.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}{ }^{2}$
$\mathrm{n}=$ The manning roughness coefficfent
$\mathrm{R}=$ Hydraulic mean depth of channel
$\ell=$ Total length of channel
The change in water level within the basin may be obtained by distributing the volume of water flowing in from the channel of sectional area of $A$ at the speed of $V$ to the area of water surface; $S$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d t}=\frac{A V}{S} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the hourly fluctuation of $y$ may be obtained with use of expression (a) and formula (3) and calculating $y$ with the progressive difference equation while providing hourly change of n . In this case, the fact that the change in water level results in changes of sectional are of channel, A , and the area of water surface, S , must also be taken into consideration.

## 10-3 Results of Calculation

Results of calculation for three different cases, namely, the present Karachi Port, reclamation of 100 ha . of the Western Backwater of Karachi and the present Miani Hor, are shown in Table 64. Changes of sea level in the open sea were shown by a sine curve. For tidal range, three figures, namely, the theoretical maximum range of 3.66 m , spring range of 3.08 m and $1.54 \mathrm{~m}, 1 / 2$ of the spring range, were adopted. For coefficient of velocity for the channel, calculation of the Manning roughness coefficient was made by expression (2) with $\mathrm{n}=0.03$ (m-sec. unit group) and $\mathrm{C}=0.45$ was used for Karachi Port and $\mathrm{C}=0.25$ was used for Miani Hor.

A curve of hourly changes in sea level and tidal current at spring tide in Miani Hor obtained from the calculation is shown in Fig. 17.

Table 64. Calculation of Tidal Current at Harbour Entrance

| Name of Port | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)}{\mathrm{S}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A^{1)} \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | C | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{\mathrm{N}_{\max }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Y}_{\text {max }} \\ & (\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v_{\text {max }} \\ & (\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{min}} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present <br> Karachi <br> Port | $\begin{aligned} & 4.27 \times 10^{7} \\ & \text { (High tide) } \end{aligned}$ | 4,850 | 0.45 | 1.83 | 1.82 | 1.11 | -11.7 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \times 10^{7} \\ & \text { (Low tide) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.54 \\ & 0.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.53 \\ & 0.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.93 \\ & 0.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.97 \\ & -4.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reclama- <br> tion of Karachi | $\begin{aligned} & 3.27 \times 10^{7} \\ & \text { (High ttde) } \end{aligned}$ | 4,850 | 0.45 |  | 1.83 | 0.89 | - 0.92 |
|  |  |  |  | 1.54 | 1.54 | 0.74 | - 0.76 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \times 10^{7} \\ & \text { (Low flde) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Sommiani | $\begin{aligned} & 4.73 \times 10^{8} \\ & \text { (High tide) } \end{aligned}$ | 12,000 | 0.25 | 1.83 | 0.62 | 1.40 | - 1.52 |
|  | $1.13 \times 10^{8}$ <br> (Low tlde) |  |  | 1.54 0.77 | 0.57 0.40 | 1.28 0.89 | -1.38 -0.93 |

Note: 1) shows cross sectional area of channel below datum level.
Results of calculation show that there is little change in tide range between the basin of Karachi Port and the open sea because of the sufficient cross sectional area of the harbour entrance against the area of water surface in the basin. Consequently, the maximum velocity of tidal current at harbour entrance is in direction proportion to tide range and the area of water surface. The maximum velocity of tidal current at spring tide is 1.7 knots under the present condition and 1.4 knots when the basin is reclaimed. The slightly higher current
velocity at ebb current than at flood current is believed to be attributed to the change in the area of water surface of the basin according to sea level. In the case of Sommiani Port, meanwhile, tide range of Miani Hor is far smaller than that of the open sea due to the small coefficient of velocity as a result of a greater area of surface water and a greater length of channel at the inlet of Miani Hor, and it is influenced very little by the change of tide range in the open sea. The maximum current velocity at the inlet is about 2.5 knots at Spring tide. The current velocity is slightly higher at ebb current than at flood current as in the case of Karachi Port.

The above results show mean current velocity and therefore do not include local changes in current velocity or current velocity within the basin. Besides, there is a possibility that the estimate value of coefficient of current velocity, C, differs slightly from the actual value. However, the above calculation clearly shows changes of sea level within the basin, characteristics of tidal current at the inlet and provides approximate figures.


Fig. 17. Sketch of Tidal Current at Basin Inlet



Fig. 18. Tidal Current at the Entrance of Miani Hor
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[^0]:    a 1965/66
    b Including about 70,000 tons of raw and manufactured tobacoo

