

(5)

because sales natural gas is decreased according to LPG production increase.

I appreciate if my report is useful for you.

Sincerely yours,

Y. Kawase

LPG PRODUCTION FROM NATURAL GAS

CONTENT

| | page |
|--|------|
| I FOREWORD | 1 |
| II DEFINITION OF LPG | 1 |
| III PHYSICAL PROPERTY OF LPG | 1 |
| IV LPG SPECIFICATION | 2 |
| V LPG DEMAND FORECAST | 3 |
| VI LPG USAGE | 3 |
| VI.1 Household and Commerce | 3 |
| VI.2 Industry | 3 |
| VI.3 Agriculture Industry | 4 |
| VI.4 Town Gas | 4 |
| VI.5 Petrochemical | 4 |
| VII MARKETING RESEARCH IN JAPAN | 5 |
| VII.1 Japanese LPG Import by Supply Source | 5 |
| VII.2 LPG Import Quantity of Each Company in Japan | 6 |
| VII.3 Import Terminal Capacity in Japan | 6 |
| VII.4 LPG Sales Quantity of Each Company in Japan | 6 |
| VII.5 LPG Supply and Demand Forecast in Japan | 6 |
| VII.6 Japan Petroleum Development Corporation | 7 |
| VIII MATERIAL BALANCE OF C ₃ AND C ₄ LPG (FROM NATURAL GAS) ... | 7 |
| IX LPG FOB BANGKOK PRICE (EXPORT LPG) | 8 |
| X CASE-1 EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE 1.50 \$/MMBTU, C ₃ LPG PRICE 160 \$/T AND C ₄ LPG PRICE 180 \$/T (500 MMSCF/D) | 10 |
| X.1 Operation Cost | 10 |
| X.2 Cost of Natural Gas Charge | 14 |
| X.3 Expenditure | 14 |
| X.4 Revenue | 14 |
| X.5 Profit and Loss | 14 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| X' | CASE-1' EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE 1.70 \$/MMBTU, C ₃ LPG PRICE 160 \$/T AND C ₄ LPG 180 \$/T (500 MMSCF/D) | 15 |
| X'.1 | Utility | 15 |
| X'.2 | Operation Cost | 15 |
| X'.3 | Cost of Natural Gas Charge | 15 |
| X'.4 | Expenditure | 15 |
| XI | CASE-2 EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE EQUIVALENT TO FUEL OIL PRICE (2.063 \$/MMBTU) (500 MMSCF/D) | 16 |
| XI.1 | Natural Gas Price Equivalent to Fuel Oil 1,200" | 16 |
| XI.2 | Utility | 16 |
| XI.3 | Operation Cost | 16 |
| XI.4 | Cost of Natural Gas Charge | 17 |
| XI.5 | Expenditure | 17 |
| XI.6 | Revenue | 17 |
| XI.7 | Profit and Loss | 17 |
| XII | CASE-3 EXPENDITURE AND IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE IS MIDDLE OF CASE-1 AND CASE-2 (1.78 \$/BTU) (500 MMSCF/D).. | 17 |
| XII.1 | Natural Gas Price | 17 |
| XII.2 | Utility | 18 |
| XII.3 | Operation Cost | 18 |
| XII.4 | Cost of Natural Gas Charge | 18 |
| XIII | RESULT OF CASE-1, CASE-1', CASE-2, CASE-3 (500 MMSCF/D) | 19 |
| XIV | CASE-4 EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE 1.50 \$/MMBTU, C ₃ LPG PRICE 160 \$/T, C ₄ LPG PRICE 180 \$/T (700 MMSCF/D) | 20 |
| XIV.1 | Construction Cost | 21 |
| XIV.2 | Operation Cost | 21 |
| XIV.3 | Utility | 21 |

TABLE AND FIG. LIST

| | | ATTACH. |
|------------|--|---------|
| TABLE-1 | PHYSICAL PROPERTY OF LPG | 1 |
| FIG.1 | VAPOR PRESSURE OF PROPANE AND PROPYLENE | 2 |
| FIG.2 | VAPOR PRESSURE OF BUTANES AND BUTENES | 3 |
| TABLE-2 | THAI INDUSTRIAL SPECIFICATION OF LPG | 4 |
| TABLE-3 | LIQUEFIED PETROLEUM GAS JIS K 2240-1972 | 5 |
| TABLE-4 | STANDARD OF SPECIFICATION OF LPG IMPORTED TO JAPAN | 6 |
| TABLE-5 | LPG DEMAND FORECAST IN THAILAND AND JAPAN (MITI ESTIMATION) | 7 |
| TABLE-6 | LPG SUPPLY/DEMAND PLAN (1979-1983) | 8 |
| TABLE-7 | JAPANESE LPG BY SUPPLY SOURCE | 9 |
| FIG.3 | SHARE OF JAPANESE LPG IMPORT BY SUPPLY SOURCE (AS OF 1977) | 10 |
| TABLE-8 | LPG IMPORT QUANTITY OF EACH COMPANY IN JAPAN | 11 |
| FIG.4 | SHARE OF LPG IMPORT IN JAPAN (AS OF 1977) | 12 |
| FIG.5 | LPG IMPORT TERMINALS IN JAPAN | 13 |
| TABLE-9 | LPG IMPORT TERMINAL CAPACITY BY AREA | 14 |
| TABLE-10 | LPG IMPORT TERMINAL CAPACITY BY COMPANY | 15 |
| TABLE-11 | LPG SALES QUANTITY OF EACH COMPANY IN JAPAN | 16 |
| FIG.6 | SHARE OF LPG SALES IN JAPAN (AS OF 1977) | 17 |
| TABLE-12 | C ₃ AND C ₄ IN LPG | 18 |
| TABLE-13-1 | MATERIAL AND HEAT BALANCE OF C ₃ AND C ₄ LPG (FROM NATURAL GAS) | 19-1 |
| TABLE-13-1 | MATERIAL AND HEAT BALANCE OF C ₃ AND C ₄ LPG (FROM NATURAL GAS) | 19-2 |

| | | ATTACH. |
|------------|--|---------|
| TABLE-14-1 | PHYSICAL CONSTANT OF HYDROCARBON | 20-1 |
| TABLE-14-2 | PHYSICAL CONSTANT OF HYDROCARBON | 20-2 |
| TABLE-15 | C ₃ AND C ₄ LPG PRODUCTION (LB/H) OF EACH YEAR ... | 21 |
| TABLE-16 | C ₃ AND C ₄ LPG PRODUCTION (T/H, T/D, T/Y) OF EACH YEAR | 22 |
| FIG.7 | FOB PRICE OF KUWAIT D/D LPG | 23 |
| FIG.8 | CHANGE OF CRUDE OIL STANDARD PRICE (MARKET PRICE, ARABIAN LIGHT) | 24 |
| TABLE-17 | AVERAGE PRICE OF C ₃ AND C ₄ LPG | 25 |
| TABLE-18 | C ₃ LPG HEATING VALUE | 26 |
| TABLE-19 | C ₄ LPG HEATING VALUE | 27 |
| TABLE-20 | AVERAGE C ₃ AND C ₄ LPG HEATING VALUE | 28 |
| TABLE-21 | COST SUMMATION | 29 |
| FIG.9 | PROFIT OR LOSS VS NATURAL GAS PRICE FOR C ₃ AND C ₄ | 30 |

LPG PRODUCTION FROM NATURAL GAS

I FOREWORD

I study LPG production from natural gas. Flour Ocean Services International Inc. reported LPG production from natural gas but not 100% of the gas from pipeline, only 61% in 1990. LPG production from refineries which will be included LPG from the expansion and new refinery is guessed larger than domestic requirement, but nobody can estimate because plants of the expansion and new refinery are not decided. It is evident that the expansion and new refinery should have much cracking units, so LPG production should be large.

Accordingly, whole or mostly of LPG which will be produced from natural gas should be exported, to get foreign currency, but LPG production should make much profit.

Another way to utilize LPG must be developed to increase domestic consumption, such as (1) motor car fuel (2) industrial use (3) small gas turbine of EGAT in province.

II DEFINITION OF LPG

C_3 LPG is liquefied propane and propene, and C_4 LPG is liquefied butanes and butenes, and ordinary LPG is mixture of C_{3s} and C_{4s} which is propene, propane, butanes and butenes. Actually, C_{3s} and C_{4s} cuts can not be perfectly separated to pure C_{3s} and C_{4s} , always mixed a small quantity of before and after cuts. For instance, C_3 LPG is mainly C_{3s} and a small quantity of C_2 and C_4 cut are mixed.

III PHYSICAL PROPERTY OF LPG

Physical property of LPG must be very important, when composition of LPG is changed. TABLE-1 (ATTACH.1) shown the physical property of LPG for recalculation.

Vapor pressure of LPG is very important, because pressure test of LPG vessel is very important when components are changed. Vapor pressure of C_2 , C_3 , C_4 , C_5 mixture is calculated by vapor-liquid

equivalent calculation. This calculation takes long time without computer, but from Fig.1 VAPOR PRESSURE OF PROPANE AND PROPYLENE (ATTACH.2) and Fig.2 VAPOR PRESSURE OF BUTANES AND BUTENES (ATTACH.3), we can know outline.

When highest temperature of Thailand is 44.5 °C at Uttradit province on April 27, 1960, C_{3s} and C_{4s} vapor pressure at 44.5 °C is as follows:

| | | |
|----------|------|---------------------------|
| 44.5 °C | = | 112.1 °F |
| | | Vapor Pressure at 44.5 °C |
| Propene | 17.8 | atm. |
| Propane | 14.5 | atm. |
| i-Butane | 5.6 | atm. |
| c-Butane | 3.5 | atm. |

When C₃ percentage of C₃ and C₄ mixed LPG is high, LPG vapor pressure is closed to 17.8 atm., and if C₄ percentage of C₃ and C₄ mixed LPG is high, LPG vapor pressure is closed to 3.5 atm. Maximum vapor pressure difference which is according to composition of C₃ and C₄ is nearly 17.8 - 3.5 atm. And when C₄ LPG is used, heating for vaporisation is needed, so vapor pressure of C₄ vapor pressure is higher than ordinal temperature.

The LPG specification of Thailand is 30% propane and 70% butanes, but LPG composition from natural gas is about 35.5% butanes and about 64.5% propane, thus vapor pressure of natural gas LPG is very higher than it of refinery LPG, but difference of vapor pressure is less than 14.3 atm. the pressure test of LPG vessel must be checked.

IV. LPG SPECIFICATION

Thai industrial specification of LPG is shown in TABLE-2 (ATTACH.4). And Japanese industrial specification is shown in TABLE-3 (ATTACH.5).

Difference of LPG (C₃ and C₄ mixed LPG for household) between Thailand and Japan is big. Rate of C₃ and C₄ component in Thailand is 30 : 70, in Japan 80 : 20 in winter and 70 : 30 in summer.

Standard specification of LPG imported in Japan is shown in TABLE-4 (ATTACH.6).

V LPG DEMAND FORECAST

LPG demand forecast in Thailand (NEA), JAPAN (MITI) are shown in TABLE-5 (ATTACH.7). And it of Mitsui's estimation is shown in TABLE-6 (ATTACH.8).

In Japanese statistics (TABLE-5 and 6. ATTACH.7 and 8), LPG demand forecast and each service as break-down are shown. As TABLE-5 (ATTACH.7) LPG demand in Thailand is very small compared with Japan. Moreover in Japan, town gas which is very similar to LPG consumption is very big, but Thailand has no town gas. So, Thailand must be developed the utilization of LPG for household, commerce and industry.

VI LPG USAGE

LPG usage in Japan is shown in Japanese specification of TABLE-3 (ATTACH.5) and LPG demand forecast of TABLE-5 and 6 (ATTACH.7 and 8). I explain the use of LPG more detail as follows :

VI.1 Household and Commerce

LPG is used as room heating, cooking, hot water and refrigerator for household and commerce.

VI.2 Industry

Butane utilization for industry has been increased recently.

Fuel of coal, fuel oil (kerosene, gas oil, fuel oil) have been replaced by LPG because of an economical point, preventing air pollution (low sulfur content) and no ash and soot (products are not contaminated by ash and soot), moreover, heating value is high, temperature control and handling are very easy.

- Metal industry (melting decarbonizing, gas reforming, hardening, quenching, annealing, cutting and scarfing forging)

- Ceramic industry (pottery, tile, whetstone, lime calcining, glass melting and molding work)

- Textile industry (gassed thread, plastic thread, plastic treating and dyeing)

- Foodstuffs (cake, ham, bread).

- Printing and Painting

VI.3 Agriculture Industry (drying of tobacco leaf, grain, pasture, and ageing of fluts, and green house, and poultry farming and pig raising)

VI.4 Town Gas

C_3 and C_4 are mixed with coal gas to increase calorific value.

VI.5 Internal Combusion Engine

- Taxis in big city are using LPG, octane number of C_3 is 96, and it of C_4 is 90.

- Tractor

- Forklift

VI.6 Petrochemical

Raw material of ethylene, ammonia, hydrogen and synthetic gas.

polyethylene (resin)

styrene butadiene (rubber)

polystyrene (resin)

Tetoror (polyester fiber)

polyester (resin)

surface active gent (detergent)

Ethand -----

ethanol (solvent, raw material)

polyvinyl chloride (resin)

polyvinylidene resin & fiber

| | | |
|---------------------|-----------|--|
| | | 4-ethyl lead (additive) |
| | | dioctyl phthalate (plasticizer) |
| | | buthyl acetate (solvent) |
| | | acetic acid (raw material) |
| | | ethyl acetate (solvent, raw material) |
| | | pentacrythritol (resin) |
| | | higher alcolols (detergent, plasticizer) |
| | | iso propyl alcohol (solvent) |
| | | acetone (solvent) |
| | | methacrylic resin |
| | | epoxy resin |
| Propylene | ----- | urethane foam |
| | | acrylic fiber |
| | | detergent |
| | | butyl rubber |
| | | polypropylene glutamic acid (ajinomoto) |
| | | methylethylketon (solvent) |
| | | polyester resin |
| | | polybutene (rubber) |
| | | styrene butadiene rubber |
| C ₄ cuts | n-butene | nitrile butadiene rubber |
| | butadiene | polybutene 1 (rubber) |
| | isobutene | polybutadiene (rubber) |
| | | Nylon 66 (fiber) |
| | | butyl gum |
| | | polyisoprene rubber |

VII MARKETING RESEARCH IN JAPAN

VII.1 Japanese LPG Import by Supply Sources

Japan imported LPG from Middle East (Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Iran), Australia, Canada and Venezuela as TABLE-7 (ATTACH.9) and about a half of total import is from Saudi Arabia which is shown in FIG.3 (ATTACH.10).

VII.2 LPG Import Quantity of Each Company in Japan

LPG import quantity of each company in Japan is shown in TABLE-8 (ATTACH.11), and share of LPG import in Japan is shown in FIG.4 (ATTACH.12).

VII.3 Import Terminal Capacity in Japan

LPG import terminal in Japan is spreaded in mainland and Kyushu Island which is shown in FIG.5 (ATTACH.13).

LPG import terminal capacity by area is shown in TABLE-9 (ATTACH.14) and by company in TABLE-10 (ATTACH.15).

VII.4 LPG Sales Quantity of Each Company in Japan

LPG sales quantity of each company in Japan is shown in TABLE-11 (ATTACH.16), and share of LPG sales in Japan is shown in FIG.6 (ATTACH.17).

VII.5 LPG Supply and Demand Forecast in Japan

LPG supply and demand forecast was shown in TABLE-6 (ATTACH.8). In Japan, LPG demand is very big but domestic production is small.

| | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Supply: | | | | | | |
| Domestic % | 36.2 | 34.7 | 35.8 | 34.3 | 34.4 | 33.8 |
| Import % | 63.8 | 65.3 | 64.2 | 65.7 | 65.6 | 66.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Japan is big LPG importing country, and LPG domestic production is growing up corresponding to crude oil throughput but LPG demand is growing up year by year more than LPG domestic production. Thus, domestic production percentage was 36.2% on demand in 1978 and will be 33.8% in 1983.

VII.6 Japan Petroleum Development Corporation (JPDC)

Japanese Government has 100% share of JPDC, he assists a half of investment for exploratory drilling in country and foreign countries. And when crude oil and natural gas is discovered and commercial production is commenced, the fund is returned to JPDC, and if well is dry, the fund is not necessary returned to JPDC. But, the fund is tax from nation thus if Thailand excess product from natural gas and wants to export, Japanese Government expects that Thailand export them to Japan in proportion to share hold.

VIII MATERIAL BALANCE OF C₃ AND C₄ LPG (FROM NATURAL GAS)

C₃ and C₄ cuts in the gas from pipeline is shown in TABLE-12 (ATTACH.18). As was noted previously, C₃ and C₄ cuts separation (yield) must be estimated by computer, and 100% of them can not be recovered, so, I assume that C₃ yield is 90% on total C₃ in natural gas, and C₄ yield is 98% on total C₄ in natural gas (see TABLE-12, ATTACH.18).. These yields are based on Chiyoda's information.

I calculate material balance and heat balance of C₃ and C₄ LPG which are met the standard of specification of LPG imported in Japan (see TABLE-4, ATTACH.6), are shown in TABLE-13-1 and -2, (ATTACH.19-1 and -2). (13)-(19) of TABLE-13-2 shows calculation of heating value of C₃ LPG and C₄ LPG. These physical data are applied from TABLE-14-1 and -2 (ATTACH.20-1 and -2). SOURCE: DATA BOOK ON HYDROCARBONS), afterward I will use data from same tables.

Then, I calculate production of C₃ and C₄ LPG, and show in TABLE-15 (ATTACH.21) as Lb/H.

C₃ and C₄ LPG production is shown in TABLE-16 (ATTACH.22) as ton.

C₃ and C₄ LPG value and their average value are shown in TABLE-17 (ATTACH.25).

Note: When I calculated heating value, it is gross heating value not net heating value, because natural gas price is based on gross heating value. And T means MT and \$ means US\$.

IX: LPG FOB BANGKOK PRICE (EXPORT LPG)

LPG price in Thailand (domestic LPG price) is very high, so LPG from natural gas is to make much profit according to Mr. Shishido's report last year.

LPG (CIF) price which was imported to Japan about 2 months ago was \$143/T, if freight rate between Thailand and Japan is assumed as about \$15/T, FOB Thailand might be \$128/T.

FOB price of Kuwait D/D LPG is shown in FIG.7 (ATTACH.23) C₃ price was higher than C₄ price, but recently both prices have been closed, because C₄ LPG demand has been grown up.

- o The Nippon Economic Newspaper reported as following :

Dated on June 24, 1979

Kuwait FOB Price (price in April - June in 1979)

| | | |
|--------------------|------------|---|
| C ₃ LPG | 126.5 \$/T | Note: See the above mentioned \$128/T. |
| C ₄ LPG | 127.5 \$/T | |

Price on spot at Houston, USA

| | |
|--------------------|----------|
| C ₃ LPG | 150 \$/T |
| C ₄ LPG | 300 \$/T |

- o The same newspaper

Dated on July 5, 1979

Kuwait FOB Price (in July 1979)

| | |
|--------------------|----------|
| C ₃ LPG | 160 \$/T |
| C ₄ LPG | 180 \$/T |

Price on spot

| | |
|--------------------|----------|
| C ₃ LPG | 200 \$/T |
| C ₄ LPG | 300 \$/T |

o. FOB Persian Gulf (Mitsui & Co.estimated)

| April - June in 1979 | | July - Sept. in 1979 | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| C ₃ LPG | C ₄ LPG | C ₃ LPG | C ₄ LPG |
| 125 - 126.5 | 123 - 127.5 | 159 - 160 | 177 - 180 |

Freight of Persian Gulf to Japan may be 22 - 25 \$/T.
Freight of Siam Gulf to Japan may be 15 \$/T.

All over the world, LPG is very tight, because C₄ is mixed in gasoline and used as petrochemical raw material (substituted naphtha).

The LPG price is risen in July 1979, being caused by LPG shortage not by crude oil price up. Change of crude oil standard price is shown in FIG.8 (ATTACH.24).

I calculate average price of C₃ and C₄ LPG produced from Siam Gulf natural gas (the gas from pipeline), and show it in TABLE-17 (ATTACH.25).

Ratio of C₃ LPG and C₄ LPG of produced from the gas from pipeline is 64.5 : 35.5 (wt), and average price is 167.1 \$/T when C₃ LPG price is 160 \$/T and C₄ LPG price is 180 \$/T.

According to Mr. Shishido's report (in 1978), exrefinery price of LPG in Thailand is as follows : (For your reference)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exrefinery Price} &= 3.1397 \text{ B/Kg}^* \\ &= 154.096 \text{ $/T} \end{aligned}$$

Note: * Exrefinery price of LPG was not changed before July 1979.

The price of LPG in Thailand (in June 1979) was higher than the LPG of FOB Kuwait price (April and June 1977).

X CASE-1 EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE 1.50\$/MMBTU, C₃ LPG PRICE 160\$/T AND C₄ LPG PRICE 180 \$/T (500 MMscf/D)

X.1 Operation Cost

X.1.1 Production

In Mr. Shishido's report "THE PRELIMINARY ECONOMIC STUDY OF LPG RECOVERY FROM NATURAL GAS", LPG production was estimated as follows :

| | | |
|----------------|---------|-----|
| C ₃ | 220,000 | T/Y |
| C ₄ | 160,000 | T/Y |
| Total | 380,000 | T/Y |

My calculation of LPG production (in case of 500 MMscf/D natural gas production schedule) is as follows: (in 1987)

| | | |
|--------------------|--------|--------------------------------|
| C ₃ LPG | 272.52 | MT/Y |
| C ₄ LPG | 149.88 | MT/Y |
| Total | 422.40 | MT/Y (see TABLE-16, ATTACH.22) |

X.1.2 Construction Cost of LPG Production

Construction cost was estimated by Chiyoda Chemical Engineering and Construction Company based on 380,000 T/Y LPG production.

Designed recovery ratio is as follows:

| | | | |
|----------------|---|-----------|-----|
| C ₃ | : | more than | 90% |
| C ₄ | : | more than | 98% |

CO₂ removal unit is necessary when natural gas charge to LPG plant, CO₂ content must be less than 1%, because turboexpand is applied :

| | Chiyoda Estimate | Correction of Production rate | Correction 1979 Plant Cost | Plant Cost per Ton |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | MM \$ | MM \$ | MM \$ | \$/T |
| LPG Unit | 70 | | | |
| CO ₂ Removal Unit | 16 | | | |
| Total | 86 | 92.610^{*1} | 98.112 | 232.27^{*3} |

Note: *1 $86.000 \text{ MM\$} \times \left(\frac{422,400 \text{ T/Y}}{380,000 \text{ T/Y}} \right)^{0.7} = 92.610 \text{ MM\$}$

*2 $92.610 \text{ MM\$} \times 1.07\% = 98.112 \text{ MM\$}$
 7% up/year of construction cost

*3 $98.112 \text{ MM\$} \div 422.40 \text{ MT} = 232.27 \text{ \$/T}$

Fluor's estimation of gas plant (the end of 1979)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &200 \text{ MMscf/D} && 68,301 \text{ MM\$} \\
 &500 \text{ MMscf/D} && \times \\
 &x = 68,301 \text{ M\$} \times \left(\frac{500}{200} \right)^{0.7} && = 129,714 \\
 &129,714 \text{ M\$} \times \left(1 + \frac{0.07}{2} \right) && = 134,254 \\
 &\frac{134,254 \text{ M\$}}{98,112 \text{ M\$}} && = 1.37 \quad (37\% \text{ higher than Japan})
 \end{aligned}$$

The difference could not be clarify, it might be caused by different process and price of machine and equipment. And it is contained the cost of the dew point control unit.

X.1.3 Dew Point Control Unit

When LPG recovery is from 100% natural gas (the gas from pipeline), the dew point control unit is unnecessary. Thus, LPG recovery acts as dew point control duty, the duty is not for LPG production. Thus, the operation cost of dew point control must be eliminated from the cost of LPG production.

The cost of dew point control can not calculate at this stage. I assumed for 15% of total operation cost of LPG production.

X.1.4 Operation Cost \$/T of LPG Production
Expense

A. Natural gas price is 1.5 \$/MMBTU

| | % on Construction Cost | \$/T |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| (1) Depreciation (20 years) | 5 | 11.61 |
| (2) Interest for Construction Cost | 5 | 11.61 |
| (3) Tax and Insurance | 2 | 4.65 |
| (4) Maintenance | 3 | 6.97 |
| (5) Administration | 2 | 4.65 |
| (6) Overhead | 2 | 4.65 |
| Total | 19 | 44.14 |

Note: Construction cost is 232.27 \$/T

B. Interest of working capital

C_3 and C_4 LPG average price is 167.1 \$/T (from TABLE-17, ATTACH.25)

$$167.1 \text{ $/T} \times 422,400 \text{ T/Y} = 70.58 \text{ MM $/Y}$$

$$70.58 \text{ MM $/Y} \times \frac{1.5 \text{ Mon.}}{12 \text{ Mon.}} = 8.82 \text{ MM $/Y}$$

$$8.82 \text{ MM $/Y} \times 8\% = 0.71 \text{ MM $/Y}$$

. 8% is interest.

$$0.71 \text{ MM $/Y} \div 422,400 \text{ T/Y} = 1.68 \text{ $/T}$$

C. Utility

Natural gas consumption is :

For LPG plant 9 MMscf/D

Cor CO_2 plant 18 MMscf/D

Total 27 MMscf/D

(Heating value of the natural gas was assumed as 1,050 BTU/scf by Mr. Shishido).

Natural Gas Price

| | |
|--|--|
| Mr. Shishido's Estimation | 1.555 \$/MMBTU (compressor station at off-shore) |
| | 1.544 \$/MMBTU (compressor station at on-shore) |
| Fluor's Report | 1.50 \$/MMBTU |
| In the report, 2 natural gas prices were applied | 1.75 \$/MMBTU |
| My Calculation | 1.50 \$/MMBTU |

The price is not included the transportation charge from the natural gas processing unit to end user. So, I apply for 1.50 \$/MMBTU of natural gas charge.

$$27 \text{ MMscf/D} \times 1,050 \text{ BTU/scf} \times \frac{1.50 \text{ \$}}{1 \text{ MMBTU}} = 42.525 \text{ \$/D}$$

$$= 15.52 \text{ MM\$/Y}$$

$$15.52 \text{ MM\$/Y} \times \frac{422,400 \text{ T/Y}}{380,000 \text{ T/Y}} \div 422,400 = 40.84 \text{ \$/T}$$

D. Labor cost

$$15 \text{ persons} \times 4 \text{ shifts} = 60 \text{ persons}$$

Salary and other expense is assumed as 200 \$/Mon. Month

$$200 \text{ \$/Mon month} \times 60 \text{ persons} \times 12 \text{ months} = 0.144 \text{ MM\$/Y}$$

$$0.144 \text{ MM\$/Y} \div 422,400 \text{ T/Y} = 0.34 \text{ \$/T}$$

E. Operation cost

| | \$/T |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| (1) Expense | 44.14 |
| (2) Interest for Working Capital | 1.68 |
| (3) Utility | 40.84 |
| (4) Labor Cost | 0.34 |
| <u>Total</u> | <u>87.00</u> |

F. Operation cost minus the cost of dew point control unit

$$87.00 \text{ \$/T} \times (100 - 15)\% = 73.95 \text{ \$/T}$$

X.2 Cost of Natural Gas Charge

$$50.92 \text{ MMBTU/T}^* \times \frac{1.5 \text{ \$/MMBTU}}{\text{MMBTU}} = 76.38 \text{ \$/T}$$

Note: * is come from TABLE-20 (ATTACH.28).

TABLE-20 (ATTACH.28) is calculated from TABLE-18 and -19 (ATTACH.26 and 27).

X.3 Expenditure

| | \$/T |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Cost Natural Gas Charge | 76.38 |
| Operation Cost | 73.95 |
| <hr/> | |
| Total | 150.33 |

Selling charge (including shipping) is assumed as 3% on total expenditure.

Expenditure is as follows :-

$$150.33 \text{ \$/T} \times (1 + 0.03) = 154.84 \text{ \$/T}$$

X.4 Revenue

C₃ and C₄ LPG average FOB Bangkok price is 167.1 \\$/T which is from TABLE-17-7 (ATTACH.25).

X.5 Profit or Loss

$$167.1 \text{ \$/T} - 154.84 \text{ \$/T} = +12.26 \text{ \$/T}$$

$$12.26 \text{ \$/T} - 154.84 \text{ \$/T} \times 100 = + 7.9\%$$

In this case, profit is 12.26 \\$/T.

(see TABLE-21, ATTACH.29)

X' CASE-1' EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE 1.70 \$/MMBTU, C₃ LPG PRICE 160 \$/T AND C₄ LPG PRICE 180 \$/T (500 MMSCF/D)

In X, I applied 1.50 \$/MMBTU of natural gas, but actual natural gas price in 1979 may be 1.70 \$/MMBTU, so I calculate in case of 1.70 \$/MMBTU as follows :

X'.1 Utility

$$27 \text{ MMscf/H} \times 1,050 \text{ BTU/scf} \times \frac{1.70 \text{ \$}}{1\text{MM}} = 48,195 \text{ \$/D}$$
$$= 17.59 \text{ MM\$/Y}$$

$$17.59 \text{ MM \$/Y} \times \frac{422,400 \text{ T/Y}}{380,000 \text{ T/Y}} \div 422,400 \text{ T/Y} = 46.29 \text{ \$/T}$$

X'.2 Operation Cost

| | \$/T | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| (1) Expense | 44.14 | no change |
| (2) Interest for Working Capital | 1.68 | no change |
| (3) Utility | 46.29 | |
| (4) Labor Cost | 0.34 | no change |
| Total | 92.45 | |

$$92.45 \text{ \$/T} \times (100 - 15)\% = 78.58 \text{ \$/T}$$

X'.3 Cost of Natural Gas Charge

$$50.92 \text{ MMBTU/T} \times \frac{1.7 \text{ \$}}{\text{MMBTU}} = 86.56 \text{ \$/T}$$

X'.4 Expenditure

| | \$/T |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Cost of natural gas charge | 86.56 |
| Operation cost | 78.58 |
| Total | 165.14 |

XI.5 Profit and Loss

$$167.1 \text{ ¢/T} - 165.14 \text{ ¢/T} = + 1.96 \text{ ¢/T}$$

$$1.96 \text{ ¢/T} \div 165.14 \text{ ¢/T} = + 1.2\%$$

In this case, profit is 1.96 ¢/T.

XI CASE-2 EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE EQUIVALENT TO FUEL OIL PRICE (2.063 ¢/MMBTU) (500 MMSCF/D)

XI.1 Natural Gas Price Equivalent to Fuel Oil 1,200"

$$\text{Fuel Oil 1,200"} \quad 1.6157 \text{ ¢/lit} \quad (\text{May 1, 1978})$$

$$1.6157 \text{ ¢/lit} = 0.0792 \text{ ¢/lit}$$

$$1\text{ ¢} = 20.4 \text{ ¢}$$

Heating value (Gross)

| | | | |
|--------|----------------|--------|--------------------------|
| 400" | 9,371 Kcal/lit | | |
| 1,500" | 9,826 Kcal/lit | 1,200" | 9,675 Kcal/lit (assumed) |

$$9,675 \text{ Kcal/lit} = 38,392.86 \text{ BTU/lit}$$

$$1 \text{ Kcal} = 3.96825 \text{ BTU}$$

$$0.0792 \text{ ¢/lit} - 38,392.86 \text{ BTU/lit} \times \text{MMBTU} = 2.063 \text{ ¢/MMBTU}$$

XI.2 Utility

$$27 \text{ MMscf/D} \times 1,050 \text{ BTU/scf} \times \frac{2.063 \text{ ¢}}{\text{MMBTU}} = 58,486 \text{ ¢/D}$$

$$= 21.35 \text{ MM/Y}$$

$$21.35 \text{ MM/Y} \times \frac{422,400 \text{ T/Y}}{380,000} \div 422,400 \text{ T/Y} = 56.18 \text{ ¢/T}$$

XI.3 Operation Cost

| | /T | |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| (1) Expense | 44.14 | no change |
| (2) Interest for Working Capital | 1.68 | no change |
| (3) Utility | 56.18 | |
| (4) Labor Cost | 0.34 | no change |
| <hr/> | | |
| Total | 102.34 | |

Total operation cost minus the cost of dew point control unit.

$$102.34 \text{ \$/T} \times (100 - 15)\% = 86.99 \text{ \$/T}$$

XI.4 Cost of Natural Gas Charge

$$50.92 \text{ MMBTU/T} \times \frac{2.063 \text{ \$}}{\text{MMBTU}} = 105.05 \text{ \$/T}$$

XI.5 Expenditure

| | \$/T |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Cost Natural Gas Charge | 105.05 |
| Operation Cost | 86.99 |
| <hr/> | |
| Total | 192.04 |

Selling charge (including shipping) is assumed as 3% on total expenditure.

$$192.04 \text{ \$/T} \times (1 + 0.03)\% = 197.80 \text{ \$/T}$$

XI.6 Revenue

C₃ and C₄ LPG average FOB Bangkok price is 167.1 \$/T which is come from TABLE-17 (?) (ATTACH.25).

XI.7 Profit and Loss

$$167.1 \text{ \$/T} - 197.80 \text{ \$/T} = -30.7 \text{ \$/T}$$
$$-30.7 \text{ \$/T} \div 197.80 \text{ \$/T} = -15.5\%$$

In this case, loss is 30.7 \$/T.

XII CASE-3 EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE IS MIDDLE OF CASE-1 AND CASE-2 (1.78 \$/MMBTU) (500 MMscf/D)

XII.1 Natural gas price

| | | |
|--------|-------|----------|
| CASE-1 | 1.50 | \$/MMBTU |
| CASE-2 | 2.063 | \$/MMBTU |
| CASE-3 | 1.78 | \$/MMBTU |

Average of CASE-1 and CASE-2 is 1.78 \$/MMBTU.

XII.2 Utility

$$27 \text{ MMscf/D} \times 1,050 \text{ BTU/scf} \times \frac{1.78 \text{ \$}}{\text{MMBTU}} = 50,463 \text{ \$/D}$$
$$= 18.42 \text{ MM \$/Y}$$

$$18.42 \text{ MM\$/Y} \times \frac{422,400 \text{ T/Y}}{380,000 \text{ T/Y}} \div 422,400 \text{ T/Y} = 48.47$$

XII.3 Operation Cost

| | \$/T | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| (1) Expense | 44.14 | no change |
| (2) Interest for Working Capital | 1.68 | no change |
| (3) Utility | 48.47 | |
| (4) Labor Cost | 0.34 | no change |
| <hr/> | | |
| Total | 94.63 | |

Total operation cost minus the cost of dew point control unit

$$94.63 \text{ \$/T} \times (100 - 15)\% = 80.44 \text{ \$/T}$$

XII.4 Cost of Natural Gas Charge

$$50.92 \text{ MMBTU/T} \times \frac{1.78 \text{ \$}}{\text{MMBTU}} = 90.64 \text{ \$/T}$$

XII.5 Expenditure

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Cost Natural Gas Charge | 90.64 \\$/T |
| Operation Cost | 80.44 \\$/T |
| <hr/> | |
| Total | 171.08 \\$/T |

Selling charge (including shipping) is assumed as 3% on total expenditure.

$$171.08 \times (1 + 0.03)\% = 176.21 \text{ \$/T}$$

XIII.6 Revenue

C₃ and C₄ LPG average FOB Bangkok price is 167.1 \$/T which is come from TABLE-17 (7) (ATTACH.25).

XIII.7 Profit and Loss

$$167.1 \text{ \$/T} - 176.21 \text{ \$/T} = -9.11 \text{ \$/T}$$

$$-9.11 \text{ \$/T} \div 176.21 \text{ \$/T} = -5.2 \%$$

In this case, loss is 9.11 \$/T.

XIII RESULT OF CASE-1, CASE-1', CASE-2 AND CASE-3 (500 MMSCF/D)

When C₃ LPG price is 160 \$/T and C₄ LPG price is 180 \$/T, average 167.1 \$/T, natural gas price must be 1.66 \$/T at profit zero point which is shown in FIG.9 (ATTACH.30). These calculations are based on 272.52 x 10³ T/Y of C₃ LPG production, and 149.88 x 10³ T/Y of C₄ LPG production in 1984 (see TABLE-16, ATTACH.22).

In FIG.9 (ATTACH.30) another 4 lines of 175 \$/T, 185 \$/T, 195 \$/T, 200 \$/T for C₃ and C₄ LPG average price are as following :

Natural gas price vs C₃ and C₄ LPG average price is as under :

| Natural gas production 500 MMscf/D | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| NATURAL GAS PRICE \$/MMBTU | | C ₃ and C ₄ LPG AVERAGE PRICE (\$/T)* | | | | |
| | | 167.1 | 175 | 185 | 195 | 200 |
| | | PROFIT OR LOSS (\$/T) | | | | |
| CASE-1 | 1.50 | +12.26 | +20.16 | +30.16 | +49.16 | +45.16 |
| CASE-1' | 1.70 | + 1.96 | + 9.86 | +19.86 | +29.86 | +34.86 |
| CASE-2 | 2.063 | -30.7 | -22.80 | -10.80 | - 0.80 | + 4.2 |
| CASE-3 | 1.78 | - 9.11 | - 1.21 | + 8.79 | +18.79 | +23.79 |

Note: * FOB Bangkok price

When C_3 and C_4 LPG price is 167.1 $\$/T$ and natural gas price is 1.50 $\$/MMBTU$ (CASE-1), profit is 12.26 $\$/T$, but when these are 1.78 $\$/T$ (CASE-3) and 2.063 $\$/MMBTU$ (CASE-2) of natural gas price, all are loss. Namely, even if fuel oil equivalent 2,063 $\$/MMBTU$ and C_3 and C_4 LPG 1.95 $\$/T$, profit is still not so big (see FIG.9, ATTACH.30).

In the above table, C_3 and C_4 average price is indicated, their average prices are breakdown as follows but approximately.

| | | | C_3 LPG | C_4 LPG |
|------|-------|--------|--------------|--------------|
| Line | 165.9 | $\$/T$ | 160 $\$/T$ | 180 $\$/T$ |
| Line | 175 | $\$/T$ | 168 $\$/T$ | 189 $\$/T$ |
| Line | 185 | $\$/T$ | 177 $\$/T$ | 199 $\$/T$ |
| Line | 195 | $\$/T$ | 187 $\$/T$ | 210 $\$/T$ |
| Line | 200 | $\$/T$ | 192 $\$/T$ | 216 $\$/T$ |

Note: Data of calculated. above number are approximately.

Natural gas price is 2.063 $\$/MMBTU$ which is equivalent to fuel oil 1,200", when C_3 LPG and C_4 LPG prices are going up to 192 $\$/T$ and 216 $\$/T$ individually (price is going up about 40 $\$/T$ higher than the present price of C_3 LPG and C_4 LPG), but it is almost no profit and loss.

As a consequence, NGOT will not able to produce C_3 and C_4 LPG at present price, but C_3 and C_4 LPG price will be going up rapidly in near future according to C_3 and C_4 LPG market is becoming tight.

XIV CASE-4 EXPENDITURE AND REVENUE IN CASE OF NATURAL GAS PRICE 1.50 $\$/MMBTU$, C_3 LPG PRICE 160 $\$/T$ C_4 LPG PRICE 180 $\$/T$ (700 MMSCF/D)

LPG production which was noted above is from 500 MMscf/D, LPG production from bigger size is cheaper than from smaller size.

XIV.1 Construction Cost

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 380,000 \text{ T/Y} && 86 \text{ MM\$} \\
 & 86 \text{ MM\$} \times \left(\frac{559.15^* \text{ T/Y}}{380,000 \text{ T/Y}} \right)^{0.7} = 112.70 \text{ MM\$} \\
 & && = 120.59 \text{ MM\$} \quad 7\% \text{ up} \\
 & 120.59 \text{ MM\$} - 559.15 \text{ T} && = 215.67 \text{ \$/T}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note: * come from TABLE-15 (ATTACH.21)

$$63.83 \text{ Kg/H} \times 24 \text{ h} \times 365 \text{ days} = 559.15 \text{ T/Y}$$

XIV.2 Operation Cost

XIV.2.1 Natural gas price 1.5 \\$/MMBTU

| | % on Construction Cost | \\$/T |
|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| 1) Depreciation (20 years) | 5 | 10.78 |
| 2) Interest for Construction | 5 | 10.78 |
| 3) Tax and Insurance | 2 | 4.31 |
| 4) Maintenance | 3 | 6.47 |
| 5) Administration | 2 | 4.31 |
| 6) Overhead | 2 | 4.31 |
| Total | 19 | 40.96 |

Note: Construction cost is 215.67 \\$/T

XIV.2.2 Interest of working capital

Same as X.1.4, B
Namely, it is 1.68 T/Y.

XIV.2.3 Utility

Same as X.1.4, C
Namely, it is 40.84 \\$/T.

XIV.2.4 Labor cost

$$16 \text{ persons} \times 4 \text{ shifts} = 64 \text{ persons}$$

Salary and other expense is assumed as 200 \$/Man.Month.

$$200 \text{ \$/Man. Month} \times 64 \text{ persons} \times 12 \text{ months} \div 559.150 \text{ T/Y} = 0.27 \text{ \$/T}$$

XIV.2.5 Operation cost

| | \$/T | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|----------|
| (1) Expense | 40.96 | (change) |
| (2) Interest for Working Capital | 1.68 | |
| (3) Utility | 40.84 | |
| (4) Labor Cost | 0.27 | (change) |
| <hr/> | | |
| Total | 83.75 | |

XIV.2.6 Total operation cost minus the cost of the dew point control unit

$$83.75 \text{ \$/T} \times (100 - 15)\% = 71.19 \text{ \$/T}$$

XIV.3 Cost of Natural Gas Charge

$$50.94^* \text{ MMBTU/T} \times \frac{1.5 \text{ \$}}{\text{MMBTU}} = 76.41$$

Note: * come from TABLE-20 (ATT.CH.28)

XIV.3 Expenditure

| | \$/T |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Cost of Natural Gas Charge | 76.41 |
| Operation Cost | 71.19 |
| <hr/> | |
| Total | 147.6 |

Selling charge (including shipping) is assumed as 3% on total expenditure.

Expenditure is as follows :

$$147.6 \text{ \$/T} \times (1 + 0.03)\% = 152.03 \text{ \$/T}$$

XIV.5 Revenue

Same as X.5

Namely, it is 167.1 \\$/T

XIV.6 Profit and Loss

$$167.1 \text{ \$/T} - 152.03 \text{ \$/T} = 15.07 \text{ \$/T}$$

$$15.07 \text{ \$/T} \div 152.03 \text{ \$/T} = 9.0\%$$

In this case, profit is 15.07 \\$/T.

XV COMPARISON OF LPG PRODUCTION FROM 500 MMSCF/D AND 700 MMSCF/D (NATURAL GAS PRICE 1.50 \\$/MMBTU)

| | Expenditure \\$/T | Revenue \\$/T | Profit \\$/T | Profit % |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| From 500 MM/D Natural Gas | 155.59 | 167.1 | +10.31 | 6.6 |
| From 700 MM/D Natural Gas | 152.20 | 167.1 | +15.07 | 9.9 |

LPG from 700 MMscf/D natural gas is 4.59 \\$/T more profit, but LPG production unit can not be bigger than 700 MMscf/D unit.

XVI CONCLUSION

(1) Export LPG State

When LPG is exported, LPG must be separate C₃ LPG and C₄ LPG. And LPG state is not high pressure and atmospheric temperature must be low.

(2) Expected LPG Production and LPG to Export to Japan

When Thailand intends to export the products and by products (energy) from Siam Gulf natural gas, Japan expects to import the products and by products on proportion to the share hold.

Thai natural gas production from 700 MMscf/D natural gas from pipeline and quantity of imported LPG in Japan are as follows :

Thai LPG Production from Natural Gas (from pipeline)

| | |
|--------------|---------------------------|
| in 1983 | 3,040 x 10 ³ T |
| (after 1988) | 5,590 x 10 ³ T |

Japanese LPG Domestic Production and Import

| | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Domestic in 1983 | 5,917 x 10 ³ T | 33.8 % |
| Import in 1983 (forecast) | 11,589 x 10 ³ T | 66.2 % |
| Total | 17,506 x 10 ³ T | 100.0 % |

Japanese import LPG will be grown up every year.

Japanese LPG market is good for Thailand.

(3) LPG price

I estimate the Thai LPG cost from natural gas as of 1979 before the 2nd oil crisis.

Calculation conditions of Thai LPG cost from natural gas CASE-2 are as follows :

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Natural gas production | 500 MMscf/D |
| Natural gas price | 2.063 \$/MMBTU |
| C ₃ LPG price | 160 \$/T |
| C ₄ LPG price | 180 \$/T |
| C ₃ LPG : C ₄ LPG | 64.5 : 35.5 |

Results are as follows :

| | |
|---|------------|
| C ₃ LPG and C ₄ LPG average FOB price | 167.1 \$/T |
| Thai LPG selling price (cost) from natural gas | 197.8 \$/T |
| Loss | 30.7 \$/T |

Note: *1 come from XI.7

*2 come from TABLE-17 (7) (ATTACH.25)

According to the above table, the price of natural gas from pipeline is very high compared with other natural gas produced country, so Thai LPG cost from natural gas is very high.

In accordance with circumstances of Thai economics, the export LPG price must be higher than fuel oil price based on calorific power. If export LPG price is lower than fuel oil price, LPG can not export, because of big loss money.

As the above table, at present status, Thailand can not produce and export LPG from natural gas.

(4) Possibility of LPG export

LPG FOB Kuwait price has been going up rapidly.

| | | in 1979 | | |
|----------------|-----|--------------|----------|-----------|
| | | April - June | July | on Spot |
| C ₃ | LPG | 126.5 \$/T | 160 \$/T | 150 - 200 |
| C ₄ | LPG | 127.5 \$/T | 180 \$/T | 300 |

The above mentioned price up is not according to crude oil price up, to tight of LPG market.

Therefore, it seems that LPG price will be going up more than 200 \$/T in very near future.

(5) Export port condition

In case of Japan, the port condition and vessel are as follows :

1. Port condition

75,000 M³ cargo is acceptable.

2. Tanker size

50,000 DWT

LOA (length over all) 225 M

Draft 12 M

3. Cargo lot : about 43,000 T

43,000 T of one LPG lot is following days production.

in 1982 62 days

in 1984 37 days

in 1990 28 days

4. Flight from Bangkok

Bangkok to Japan 15 \$/T

Comments

(1) If NGOT exports LPG to Japan, he negotiates export port conditions with Japanese importer to fit production scale and port condition of Thailand.

(2) According to NGOT plan, the gas processing unit is about 20 Km far from sea-shore. It is too far for low temperature and very low pressure LPG transportation by pipeline. It is better that the gas processing unit is very close to sea-shore.

Even if, LPG is not exported, LPG must be transported by tanker in inland, tanker transportation fee is cheaper than other way.

(3) C₄ LPG export price is higher than C₃ LPG export price, so C₄ LPG from the refineries is exported and C₃ LPG from natural gas is back to the refineries on same heating value. Therefore, Thai LPG specification is needed to change when more C₄ LPG is exported.

(4) I assumed that the cost of dew point control is 15% on LPG production expense, it has big influence on LPG cost, so the cost of dew point control must be calculated exactly.

Summary

(1) Present LPG FOB price is not feasible for Thai LPG production from natural gas, but in near future LPG FOB price would be going

up and it will become feasible. NGOT should be watched a movement of LPG FOB price.

(2) Natural gas processing unit should be moved to sea-shore.


end.

TABLE-1

PHYSICAL PROPERTY OF LPG

ATTACH.1

| | Propane | (Propylene) Propene | n-Butane | i-Butane | (i-Butylene) i-Butene | l-Butene | t-Butene | c-Butene |
|--|-----------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Molecular Formular | C_3H_8 | C_3H_6 | C_4H_{10} | C_4H_8 | C_4H_8 | C_4H_8 | C_4H_8 | C_4H_8 |
| Molecular Weight | 44.1 | 42.1 | 58.1 | 56.1 | 56.1 | 56.1 | 56.1 | 56.1 |
| Boiling Point (1 atm) ($^{\circ}C$) | -42.1 | -47.1 | -0.5 | -11.7 | -6.3 | -6.9 | 0.9 | 3.7 |
| Melting Point (1 atm) ($^{\circ}C$) | -187.7 | -185.3 | -138.4 | -159.6 | -185.4 | -140.4 | -105.6 | -138.9 |
| Specific Gravity Liquid (15 $^{\circ}C$) (g/ml) | 0.508 | 0.523 | 0.585 | 0.563 | 0.601 | 0.601 | 0.610 | 0.627 |
| Gas (15 $^{\circ}C$) (Kg/m^3) | 1.895 | 1.805 | 2.538 | 2.529 | 2.443 | 2.442 | - | 2.442 |
| Vapor Pressure (37.8 $^{\circ}C$) (Kg/cm^2A) | 13.4 | 15.9 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
| Gross Heating Value (25 $^{\circ}C$) (Kcal/Kg) | 12,020 | 11,690 | 11,830 | 11,800 | 11,580 | 11,510 | 11,530 | 11,550 |
| (15.6 $^{\circ}C$) ($Kcal/m^3$) | 22,830 | 21,120 | 30,050 | 29,850 | 28,300 | 28,110 | 28,170 | 28,210 |
| (60 $^{\circ}F$) (BTU/lb) | 21,650 | 21,040 | 21,290 | 21,240 | 20,840 | 20,720 | 20,750 | 20,780 |
| Net Heating Value (25 $^{\circ}C$) (Kcal/Kg) | 10,930 | 10,940 | 10,890 | 10,840 | 10,830 | 10,760 | 10,780 | 10,800 |
| (15.6 $^{\circ}C$) ($Kcal/m^3$) | 21,000 | 19,750 | 27,730 | 27,540 | 26,450 | 26,260 | 26,330 | 26,360 |
| (60 $^{\circ}F$) (BTU/Kg) | 19,930 | 19,690 | 19,670 | 19,610 | 19,490 | 19,370 | 19,400 | 19,430 |
| Latent Heat (B.P. 1 atm) (Kcal/Kg) | 101.8 | 104.6 | 92.1 | 87.6 | 93.4 | 94.2 | 96.9 | 99.5 |
| Sensible Heat Gas (25 $^{\circ}C$) (Kcal/Kg $^{\circ}C$) | 0.399 | 0.368 | 0.401 | 0.398 | 0.365 | 0.380 | 0.374 | 0.336 |
| Sensible Heat Liquid (25 $^{\circ}C$) (Kcal/Kg $^{\circ}C$) | 0.602 | 0.611 | 0.575 | 0.582 | 0.549 | 0.558 | 0.544 | 0.537 |
| Explosion Limit (in air) (vol %) | 2.1 - 9.5 | 2.0 - 10.0 | 1.8 - 8.4 | 1.8 - 8.4 | 1.6 - 9.3 | - | - | - |
| Ignition Temperature (in air) ($^{\circ}C$) | 481 | 548 | 441 | 544 | 443 | 443 | - | - |
| Gas Specific Gravity (15.6 $^{\circ}C$, 1 atm) (air = 1) | 1.550 | 1.477 | 2.076 | 2.068 | 1.998 | 1.997 | - | 1.997 |






TABLE-2 THAI INDUSTRIAL SPECIFICATION OF LPG

| LIQUEFIED PETROLEUM GAS | | | LIMITS | TEST METHODS |
|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| VAPOR PRESSURE @ 37.8°C | MIN | 4.22 | ASTM-D-1267 | |
| 95% BOILING POINT °C | MAX | 2.2 | ASTM-D-1837 | |
| PENTANE AND HEAVIERS VOL % (VAPOR) | MAX | 2 | ASTM-D-2163 | |
| COPPER STRIP CORROSION | MAX | COPPER NO.1 | ASTM-D-1838 | |
| TOTAL SULPHUR GRAINS/m ³ | MAX | 0.05 | ASTM-D-1266 | |
| RESIDUE AFTER EVAPORATION 100 ml | MAX | 0.05 | ASTM-D-2158 | |
| NO WATER | | | | |
| ODOR | | MARKETABLE | | |

$$C_{36} : C_{46} = 3 : 7 \text{ (by volume)}$$

LIQUEFIED PETROLEUM GAS

JIS K2240 - 1972

TABLE-3

| Item No. | Vapor Pressure (40 °C) (kg/cm ²) | Sulfur wt. % | Component (Mol %) | | | | Usage |
|-------------|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|---|
| | | | Ethane + Ethylene | Propane + Propylene | Butanes + Butenes | Butadienes | |
| 1 | 15.8 Max. | 0.02 Max. | - | 90 Min. | - | - | Industry, Motor car, and Others. |
| 2 | 15.8 Max. | 0.02 Max. | - | 50 Min. 90 Max. | - | - | |
| 3 | 12.7 Max. | 0.02 Max. | - | - | 40 Min. 90 Max. | - | Industry, Motor car, and Others. |
| 4 | 5.3 Max. | 0.02 Max. | - | - | 90 Min. | - | |
| R | 15.6 Max. | 0.015 Max. | 8 Max. | 60 Min. 80 Max. | - | 2 Max. | Household (general use) |
| C | 15.6 Max. | 0.015 Max. | 8 Max. | 80 Min. | - | 2 Max. | Household (for very cold weather area in winter) |

ATTACH.5

TABLE-4 STANDARD OF SPECIFICATION OF LPG
IMPORTED TO JAPAN

| | C ₃ LPG Mol% | C ₄ LPG Mol% |
|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| C ₂ | 2.0 Max. | - |
| C ₃ | 96.0 Min. | - |
| C ₄ | 2.5 Max. | 95.0 Min. |
| C ₅ | | 2.0 Max. |

TABLE-5 LPG DEMAND FORECAST IN THAILAND AND JAPAN (MPTI ESTIMATION)

| | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| IN THAILAND | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 ³ KL | 147 | 195 | 188 | 197 | 223 | 240 | 292 | 317 | 356 | 396 | 444 |
| 10 ³ T | 84* | 112 | 108 | 123 | 128 | 138 | 167 | 182 | 204 | 227 | 254 |
| IN JAPAN | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 ³ T | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Household | 4,208 | 4,616 | 4,833 | 4,990 | 5,265 | 5,275 | 5,453 | 5,690 | 5,937 | 6,195 | 6,461 |
| Industry | 1,586 | 2,009 | 2,131 | 2,438 | 2,750 | 3,067 | 3,627 | 4,093 | 4,328 | 4,592 | 4,853 |
| Town gas | 407 | 401 | 493 | 563 | 692 | 674 | 777 | 981 | 1,070 | 1,163 | 1,345 |
| Motor Car | 1,506 | 1,495 | 1,448 | 1,558 | 1,655 | 1,677 | 1,707 | 1,736 | 1,753 | 1,769 | 1,786 |
| Petrochemical Raw Material | 1,087 | 1,194 | 1,069 | 866 | 806 | 932 | 977 | 1,030 | 1,034 | 1,038 | 1,041 |
| Export | 30 | 50 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Total | 8,824 | 9,765 | 9,990 | 10,423 | 11,173 | 11,626 | 12,549 | 13,538 | 14,130 | 14,765 | 15,494 |

Note: * Specific gravity C_{3s} = 0.5155 x 30% = 0.15465
 C_{4s} = 0.5978 x 70% = 0.41846
 147 x 0.573 = 84

(UNIT: 1,000 M/T)

| SUPPLY/DEMAND | YEAR | 1978 | | | 1979 | | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 |
|--------------------|------|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| | | 1st half | 2nd half | Total | 1st half | 2nd half | | | | |
| SUPPLY | | | | | | | | | | |
| DOMESTIC | | 4,668 | 2,320 | 2,610 | 4,930 | 5,244 | 5,483 | 5,735 | 5,917 | |
| IMPORT | | 8,232 | 4,545 | 4,714 | 9,259 | 9,413 | 10,466 | 10,922 | 11,589 | |
| TOTAL | | 12,900 | 6,865 | 7,324 | 14,189 | 14,657 | 15,969 | 16,657 | 17,506 | |
| DEMAND | | | | | | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD USE | | 5,340 | 2,404 | 3,128 | 5,532 | 5,712 | 5,898 | 6,090 | 6,288 | |
| INDUSTRIAL FUEL | | 3,316 | 1,765 | 1,853 | 3,618 | 3,798 | 4,010 | 4,218 | 4,439 | |
| ELECTRIC POWER | | 344 | 330 | 135 | 465 | 509 | 966 | 1,243 | 1,486 | |
| TOWN GAS | | 942 | 451 | 726 | 1,177 | 1,344 | 1,438 | 1,597 | 1,623 | |
| AUTOMOBILE FUEL | | 1,721 | 849 | 876 | 1,725 | 1,748 | 1,776 | 1,796 | 1,814 | |
| CHEMICAL FEEDSTOCK | | 1,271 | 614 | 750 | 1,364 | 1,471 | 1,522 | 1,553 | 1,576 | |
| EXPORT | | 41 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | |
| TOTAL | | 12,975 | 6,433 | 7,488 | 13,921 | 14,621 | 15,650 | 16,537 | 17,265 | |
| INVENTORY | | 970 | 1,402 | 1,238 | 1,238 | 1,274 | 1,593 | 1,713 | 1,954 | |

TABLE-7 JAPANESE LPG IMPORT BY SUPPLY SOURCES

(UNIT: 1,000K/T)

| COUNTRY \ YEAR | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| SAUDI ARABIA | 1,003 | 1,101 | 1,750 | 2,654 | 2,799 | 3,464 | 3,911 |
| AUSTRALIA | 553 | 741 | 1,029 | 1,008 | 1,097 | 1,084 | 1,255 |
| KUWAIT | 1,158 | 1,249 | 1,303 | 929 | 823 | 853 | 997 |
| IRAN | 575 | 678 | 771 | 767 | 705 | 708 | 704 |
| CANADA | 250 | 267 | 224 | 223 | 232 | 249 | 241 |
| VENEZUELA | 71 | 259 | 103 | 27 | 25 | - | 26 |
| OTHERS | 11 | 130 | 34 | 70 | 232 | 212 | 180 |
| TOTAL | 3,621 | 4,425 | 5,214 | 5,678 | 5,911 | 6,570 | 7,314 |

FIG.3 SHARE OF JAPANESE LPG IMPORT BY SUPPLY SOURCES
(AS OF 1977)




TABLE-8 LPG IMPORT QUANTITY OF EACH COMPANY IN JAPAN

UNIT: 1,000M/T

| COMPANY | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| NIPPON PET. GAS | 681 | 771 | 1,135 | 1,332 | 1,385 | 1,563 |
| mitsui & BRIDGESTONE LIQ. GAS GROUP | 1,326 | 1,464 | 1,473 | 1,146 | 1,297 | 1,338 |
| IDEMITSU SEKIYU | 293 | 372 | 652 | 638 | 673 | 919 |
| MITSUBISHI LIQ. GAS | 511 | 598 | 494 | 432 | 572 | 859 |
| GENERAL SEKIYU | 191 | 227 | 335 | 348 | 530 | 565 |
| MARUZEN SEKIYU | 217 | 181 | 278 | 468 | 501 | 495 |
| KYODO SEKIYU | 455 | 616 | 543 | 474 | 411 | 429 |
| ESSO | 150 | 201 | 147 | 286 | 364 | 397 |
| SHELL | 119 | 225 | 200 | 227 | 307 | 379 |
| NIKKO LIQ. GAS | 283 | 311 | 286 | 232 | 250 | 242 |
| OTHERS | 195 | 212 | 237 | 328 | 398 | 274 |
| TOTAL | 4,421 | 5,178 | 5,780 | 5,911 | 6,688 | 7,260 |

FIG. 4 SHARE OF LEG IMPORT IN JAPAN (AS OF 1977)





FIG. 5. L.P.G. IMPORT TERMINALS IN JAPAN

CUNIT : 11/73
H : UNDER CONSTRUCTION/
BEING PLANNED



| | |
|--------------------|-----------|
| WATSON GROUP | 359,000 |
| DAI NIPPON | 250,000 |
| DAI NIPPON OIL GAS | 212,000 |
| DAI NIPPON | 165,000 |
| KYODO | 147,000 |
| ESSO | 131,000 |
| GENERAL | 124,000 |
| TEPCO | 119,000 |
| NIKKO LIC. GAS | 94,500 |
| KOBE STEEL | 60,000 |
| MARUZEN | 53,000 |
| TEPCO GAS | 52,000 |
| SWARTZING METAL | 43,000 |
| TOTAL | 1,833,000 |
| DAI NIPPON GROUP | 12,000 |
| DAI NIPPON OIL GAS | 150,000 |
| DAI NIPPON | 89,500 |
| DAI NIPPON | 80,000 |
| DAI NIPPON | 78,000 |
| DAI NIPPON | 74,000 |
| DAI NIPPON ETC. | 32,000 |
| DAI NIPPON | 30,000 |
| DAI NIPPON | 25,000 |
| DAI NIPPON | 591,000 |

| | | |
|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| YAMAGUCHI | MITSUI | 30,000 |
| | | £ 12,000 |
| SENDAI | MITSUBISHI | 105,000 |
| KASHIWA | KYODO | 107,000 |
| CHIBA | MITSUI (HARUBEN) | 80,000 |
| | CHIBA | 69,000 |
| | CHIBA | 69,000 |
| ANEGASAKI | TEPCO | 118,000 |
| | | £ 74,500 |
| TOYOSU | TOKYO GAS | 22,000 |
| SOEGALRA | ESSO | 131,000 |
| | | £ 160,000 |

| | | |
|----------|------------|--------|
| MANAO | MITSUI | 60,000 |
| OSAKA | MITSUI | 24,000 |
| KAKOGAWA | KOBE STEEL | 60,000 |
| NEGISHI | TOKYO GAS | 50,000 |

| | | |
|-------|-----------|----------|
| SAKAI | MITSUI | 80,000 |
| SAKAI | NIHON OIL | 152,000 |
| SAKAI | MARUZEN | 53,000 |
| | | £ 30,000 |
| SAKAI | GENERAL | 90,500 |
| | | £ 25,000 |
| SAKAI | IMATANI | £ 80,000 |

| | | |
|----------|-----------|----------|
| WAKAYAMA | SUMITOMO | 48,000 |
| CHITA | IDEMITSU | 180,000 |
| HEKINAN | SHELL | £ 78,000 |
| KAMASAKI | NIHON OIL | 64,000 |
| | | 43,000 |
| KAMASAKI | KYODO | 43,000 |
| KAMASAKI | GENERAL | 35,000 |
| | | 36,000 |

| | | | |
|----------|------------|----------|----------|
| KARATSU | MITSUI | 75,000 | |
| TOKUYAMA | IDEMITSU | £ 20,000 | |
| KOBE | MITSUBISHI | 60,000 | |
| WAKAYAMA | FUKUSHIMA | £ 32,000 | |
| | DAI NIPPON | ETC. | |
| | | | £ 32,000 |

* TEPCO : TOKYO ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
** IDEMITSU ETC. IDEMITSU/NIHON OIL GAS/DAIKYO ETC.

TABLE-9 LPG IMPORT TERMINAL CAPACITY BY AREA

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Tokyo Area (Kei-Yo Area) | | |
| Chiba (Mitsui + Marubeni) | 80,000 | |
| Chiba (Idemitsu) | 60,000 | |
| Anegasaki (Tokyo Electric Power Co.) | 74,000 (under construction) | |
| Toyosu (Tokyo Electric Power Co.) | 22,000 | |
| Ichihara (Mitsui/Mobil) | 180,000 (under construction) | |
| Sodegaura (ESSO) | 131,000 | |
| Negishi (Tokyo Gas) | 30,000 | |
| Kawasaki (Mitsui) | 36,000 | |
| " (General) | 36,000 | |
| " (Kyodo) | 40,000 | |
| " (Nihon Oil) | 64,000 | |
| Total | 753,000 | |
| Nagoya Area (Chukyo Area) | | |
| Hekinan (Shell) | 78,000 (under construction) | |
| Chita (Idemitsu) | 180,000 | |
| Total | 258,000 | |
| Osaka Area (Ken-Hanshin Area) | | |
| Osaka (Mitsui) | 24,000 | |
| Kakegawa (Kobe Steel) | 60,000 | |
| Sakai (Mitsui) | 80,000 | |
| " (Nihon Oil) | 152,000 | |
| " (Maruzen) | 53,000 | |
| " (General) | 30,000 (under construction) | |
| " (General) | 90,000 | |
| " (Iwatani) | 25,000 (under construction) | |
| Wakayama (Sumitomo Metal) | 80,000 (under construction) | |
| Kobe (Mitaubishi) | 48,000 | |
| | 60,000 | |
| Total | 702,000 | |
| Others | 716,000 | |
| Grand Total | 2,429,000 | |

ATTACH.14

TABLE-10 LPG IMPORT TERMINAL CAPACITY BY COMPANY

| | T | |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| o Mitsui Group | 388,000 | |
| | 12,000 | (under construction) |
| Mitsui/Mobil | 180,000 | (" ") |
| o Idemitsu | 240,000 | |
| | 80,000 | (under construction) |
| Idemitsu etc. | 32,000 | (" ") |
| Nohon Pet Gas | 216,000 | |
| Mitsubishi | 165,000 | |
| Kyodo | 147,000 | |
| ESSO | 131,000 | |
| General | 126,000 | |
| | 25,000 | (under construction) |
| Tokyo Electric Power Co. | 118,000 | |
| | 74,000 | (under construction) |
| Nikko Liq. Gas | 94,000 | |
| Kobe Steel | 60,000 | |
| Maruzen | 53,000 | |
| | 30,000 | (under construction) |
| Tokyo Gas | 52,000 | |
| Sumitomo Metal | 48,000 | |
| Iwatani | 80,000 | (under construction) |
| Shell | 78,000 | (" ") |
| Total | 2,429,000 | |

TABLE-11 OILPS SALES QUANTITY OF EACH COMPANY IN JAPAN
 UNIT: 1,000M/T

| COMPANY | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| NEPPON PET GAS | 1,031 | 1,178 | 1,416 | 1,612 | 1,790 | 1,783 |
| IDEMITSU | 1,193 | 1,209 | 1,219 | 1,214 | 1,345 | 1,521 |
| mitsui BRIDGESTONE GROUP | 1,298 | 1,437 | 1,326 | 1,174 | 1,157 | 1,348 |
| KYODO | 815 | 999 | 1,092 | 1,092 | 1,317 | 1,273 |
| MITSUBISHI | 767 | 775 | 793 | 832 | 912 | 1,012 |
| MARUZEN | 740 | 761 | 788 | 921 | 975 | 966 |
| ESSO | 761 | 851 | 814 | 785 | 712 | 794 |
| SHELL | 360 | 422 | 463 | 561 | 602 | 631 |
| GENERAL | 422 | 400 | 422 | 488 | 490 | 523 |
| SHOWA | 205 | 205 | 200 | 250 | 303 | 365 |
| OTHERS | 1,794 | 1,940 | 1,978 | 1,842 | 1,851 | 1,829 |
| TOTAL | 9,386 | 10,177 | 10,511 | 10,769 | 11,454 | 12,065 |

FIG.6 SHARE OF LPG SALES IN JAPAN (AS OF 1977)




TABLE-12 C₃ AND C₄ IN LPG

| | C ₃ | | | | | C ₄ | | | | |
|------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1') | (2') | (3') | (4') | (5') |
| | Gas From Pipeline Lb-Mol/H | (1)×379÷10 ³ 10 ³ scf/H | (2)×90% ³ 10 ³ scf/H | (3)÷379×4.094 ² 10 ³ Lb/H | (4)÷2.2 T/H | Gas From Pipeline Lb-Mol/H | (1')×379÷10 ³ 10 ³ scf/H | (2')×98% 10 ³ scf/H | (3')÷379×58.12 ² 10 ³ Lb/H | (4')÷2.2 T/H |
| 1981 | 595.7 | 225.8 | 203.2 | 23.6 | 10.7 | 239.3 | 90.7 | 88.9 | 13.6 | 6.2 |
| 1982 | 993.4 | 376.5 | 338.9 | 39.4 | 17.9 | 404.9 | 153.5 | 150.4 | 23.1 | 10.5 |
| 1983 | 1,191.6 | 451.6 | 406.4 | 47.3 | 21.5 | 484.8 | 183.5 | 179.8 | 27.6 | 12.5 |
| 1984 | 1,655.7 | 627.5 | 564.8 | 65.7 | 29.9 | 674.8 | 255.7 | 250.6 | 38.4 | 12.9 |
| 1985 | 1,655.7 | 627.5 | 564.8 | 65.7 | 29.9 | 674.8 | 255.7 | 250.6 | 38.4 | 12.9 |
| 1986 | 1,920.6 | 727.9 | 655.1 | 76.2 | 34.6 | 785.0 | 297.5 | 291.6 | 44.7 | 20.3 |
| 1987 | 1,920.6 | 727.9 | 655.1 | 76.2 | 34.6 | 785.0 | 297.5 | 291.6 | 44.7 | 20.3 |
| 1988 | 2,186.2 | 828.6 | 745.7 | 86.8 | 39.5 | 895.4 | 339.4 | 332.6 | 51.0 | 23.2 |
| 1989 | 2,186.2 | 828.6 | 745.7 | 86.8 | 39.5 | 895.4 | 339.4 | 332.6 | 51.0 | 23.2 |
| 1990 | 2,186.2 | 828.6 | 745.7 | 86.8 | 39.5 | 895.4 | 339.4 | 332.6 | 51.0 | 23.2 |

Note: *1 Fluor's report

*2 Molecular Weight

*3 Yield of C₃ and C₄ recovery

TABLE-13-1 MATERIAL AND HEAT BALANCE OF C₃ AND C₄ LPG (FROM NATURAL GAS)

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------|---|
| Specification | Adjusting Mol % | Molecular Weight lb | (2) x (3) lb | (4) x 0.4536 ^{*1} Kg | (2) x 379 ^{*2} scf | (6) x 0.0267 ^{*3} NM ³ | BTU/lb (Gross) | (4) x (8) MM BTU | (9) ÷ (6) x 10 ⁶ BTU/scf (Gross) |
| C ₃ LPG C ₂ 2.0 Max. | 1.8 | 30.068 | 54.12 | 24.55 | 682.2 | 18.2 | 22,300 | 1.21 | |
| C ₃ 96.0 Min | 96.0 | 44.094 | 4,233.02 | 1,920.10 | 36,384.0 | 971.4 | 21,650 | 91.64 | |
| C ₄ 2.5 Max. | 2.2 | 58.120 | 127.86 | 58.00 | 833.8 | 22.3 | 21,265 ^{*4} | 2.72 | |
| Total | 100.0 | | 4,415.00 | 2,002.65 | 37,900.0 | 1,011.9 | | 95.57 | 2,522 |
| C ₄ LPG C ₄ 95.0 Min. | 98.0 | 58.120 | 5,695.76 | 2,583.60 | 37,142.0 | 991.7 | 21,265 ^{*4} | 121.12 | |
| C ₅ 2.0 Max. | 2.0 | 72.146 | 144.29 | 65.45 | 758.0 | 20.2 | 21,020 ^{*5} | 3.03 | |
| Total | 100.0 | | 5,840.05 | 2,649.05 | 37,900.0 | 1,011.9 | | 151.15 | 3,988 |

Note: data from TABLE-14-1 and-2 (ATTACH.20-1 and-2).

*1 1 lb = 2.2046

*2 1 lb mal = 379 ft³

*3 1 scf = 0.0283 x $\frac{460}{460+(60-32)}$ = 0.0267

*4 Butanes (21,290 + 21,240) ÷ 2 = 21,265

*5 Pentanes (21,070 + 21,030 + 20,960) ÷ 3 = 21,020

TABLE-13-2 MATERIAL AND HEAT BALANCE OF C₃ AND C₄ LPG (FROM NATURAL GAS)

| (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| BTU/Lb (Net) | (4) x (11) MMBTU | (12) ÷ (6) x 10 ⁹ BTU/scf (Net) | (9) ÷ (4) BTU/lb (Gross) | (12) ÷ (4) BTU/lb (Net) | (14) x 0.5556 ^{*8} Kcal/kg (Gross) | (15) x 0.5556 ^{*8} Kcal/kg (Net) | (16) x 0.5083 ^{*9} Kcal/lit (Gross) | (17) x 0.1746 ^{*9} Kcal/lit (Net) |
| C ₂ 20,420 | 1.11 | | | | | | | |
| C ₃ 19,930 | 84.36 | | | | | | | |
| C ₄ 19,640 ^{*6} | 2.51 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 87.98 | 2.321 | 21,647 | 19,928 | 12,027 | 11,072 | 6,113 | 6,362 |
| C ₄ 19,640 ^{*6} | 111.86 | | | | | | | |
| C ₅ 19,429 ^{*7} | 2.80 | | | | | | | |
| Total | 114.66 | 3.025 | 25,882 | 19,634 | 14,308 | 10,909 | 7,309 | 5,545 |

Note: *6 Butanes (19,670 + 19,610) = 19,640 BTU/lb *7 Pentanes (19,500 + 19,450 + 19,330) ÷ 3 = 19,427 BTU/lb

*8 1 BTU/lb = 0.5556 Kcal/kg

*9 Specific Gravity

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| Ethylene | 0.374 | Butanes | 0.584 | Pentanes | 0.631 |
| Propane | 0.508 | | <u>0.563</u> | | 0.625 |
| C ₂ .24.55 x 0.374 = 9.182 | | | 0.5735 | | <u>0.577</u> |
| C ₃ 1,920.10 x 0.508 = 975.441 | | | | | <u>0.6177</u> |
| C ₄ -58.00 x 0.5735 = 33.263 | | C ₄ | 2,583.60 x 0.5735 = 1,481.695 | | |
| 2,002.65 mean | | C ₅ | 65.45 x 0.6177 = 40.428 | | |
| | | | 2,649.05 mean | | 0.5746 |

TABLE-14-1 PHYSICAL CONSTANTS OF HYDROCARBONS

| FORMULA | MOLEC. WT. | BOILING POINT °F | MELTING POINT °F | DENSITY | | CRITICAL CONSTANTS | | | HEAT OF COMBUSTION @ 60°F--BTU/lb | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------|--------|-------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | °API | Sp Gr 60°/60° | Lb/gal | t °F | P Atm | D G/ml | Gross | Net |
| NORMAL PARAFFINS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CH ₄ | 16.0 | -258.9 | -298.5 | 340 | 0.30 | 2.50 | -110.3 | 45.8 | 0.102 | 23,800* | 21,500* |
| C ₂ H ₆ | 30.1 | -128.0 | -297.8 | 247 | .374 | 3.11 | + 90.1 | 48.2 | .203 | 22,300* | 20,430* |
| C ₃ H ₈ | 44.1 | -43.8 | -305.7 | 147 | .508 | 4.23 | 206.3 | 42.0 | .226 | 21,650* | 19,930* |
| C ₄ H ₁₀ | 58.1 | + 31.1 | -216.9 | 111 | .584 | 4.86 | 306 | 37.4 | .225 | 21,290* | 19,670* |
| C ₅ H ₁₂ | 72.1 | 96.9 | -201.5 | 92.7 | .631 | 5.25 | 386.5 | 32.6 | .232 | 21,070* | 19,500* |
| C ₆ H ₁₄ | 86.2 | 155.7 | -139.5 | 81.0 | .604 | 5.53 | 455.0 | 29.4 | .234 | 20,780 | 19,240 |
| C ₇ H ₁₆ | 100.2 | 209.2 | -131.1 | 74.2 | .688 | 5.73 | 512.5 | 20.8 | .234 | 20,670 | 19,160 |
| C ₈ H ₁₈ | 114.2 | 258.2 | - 70.3 | 68.6 | .707 | 5.89 | 565 | 24.6 | .233 | 20,690 | 19,100 |
| C ₉ H ₂₀ | 128.2 | 303.4 | - 64.5 | 64.5 | .722 | 6.01 | 612* | 23* | — | 20,530 | 19,050 |
| C ₁₀ H ₂₂ | 142.3 | 345.2 | - 21.5 | 61.3 | .734 | 6.11 | 651* | 22* | — | 20,450 | 19,020 |
| C ₁₁ H ₂₄ | 156.3 | 384.4 | - 14.1 | 58.7 | .744 | 6.19 | 695* | 20* | — | 20,430 | 19,000 |
| C ₁₂ H ₂₆ | 170.3 | 421.3 | + 14.7 | 56.4 | .753 | 6.27 | 731* | 18* | — | 20,420 | 18,980 |
| ISO-PARAFFINS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Isobutane..... | 58.1 | 10.9 | -255.0 | 129 | .503 | 4.69 | 275 | 30 | .234 | 21,240* | 19,610* |
| 2-Methylbutane (Isopentane). | 72.1 | 82.2 | -255.5 | 94.0 | .625 | 5.20 | 369.5 | 32.4 | .234 | 21,030* | 19,450* |
| 2,2-Dimethylpropane (Neopentane)..... | 72.1 | 49.0 | + 2.1 | 105 | .597 | 4.97 | 329* | 35* | — | 20,960* | 19,330* |
| 2-Methylpentane (Isohexane). | 86.2 | 140.5 | -245 | 83.5 | .658 | 5.48 | 437* | 31* | — | 20,750 | 19,210 |
| 3-Methylpentane..... | 86.2 | 145.9 | -180 | 80.0 | .609 | 5.57 | 443* | 30* | — | 20,760 | 19,220 |
| 2,2-Dimethylbutane (Neohexane)..... | 86.2 | 121.5 | -147.0 | 84.9 | .651 | 5.44 | 415* | 31* | — | 20,700 | 19,160 |
| 2,3-Dimethylbutane (Diisopropyl)..... | 86.2 | 136.4 | -198.8 | 81.0 | .660 | 5.51 | 441 | 31 | .241 | 20,740 | 19,200 |
| 2-Methylhexane (Isoheptane). | 100.2 | 194.1 | -160.8 | 75.7 | .683 | 5.68 | 490 | 28* | — | 20,650* | 19,140 |
| 3-Methylhexane..... | 100.2 | 197.5 | -182.9 | 73.0 | .692 | 5.76 | 504 | 28.5* | — | 20,660* | 19,150 |
| 3-Ethylpentane..... | 100.2 | 200.2 | -181.5 | 69.8 | .703 | 5.85 | 568* | 28.5* | — | 20,670 | 19,160 |
| 2,2-Dimethylpentane..... | 100.2 | 174.0 | -190.8 | 77.2 | .678 | 5.64 | 475* | 28.5* | — | 20,600 | 19,090 |
| 2,3-Dimethylpentane..... | 100.2 | 163.6 | — | 70.6 | .700 | 5.83 | 495* | 29 | — | 20,640 | 19,130 |
| 2,4-Dimethylpentane..... | 100.2 | 176.9 | -183.1 | 77.2 | .678 | 5.64 | 477 | 28.5* | — | 20,620 | 19,110 |
| 3,3-Dimethylpentane..... | 100.2 | 186.9 | -211.0 | 71.2 | .698 | 5.81 | 487* | 28* | — | 20,620 | 19,110 |

TABLE-14-2 PHYSICAL CONSTANTS OF HYDROCARBONS

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|---------|---------|
| 2,2,3-Trimethylbutane (Triptane) | C ₇ H ₁₆ | 100.2 | 177.6 | -13.0 | 72.1 | 0.695 | 5.78 | 480* | 29.5 | — | 20,620 | 19,110 |
| 2-Methylheptane (Isooctane) | C ₈ H ₁₈ | 114.2 | 243.8 | -165.1 | 70.1 | .702 | 5.84 | 549* | 26* | — | 20,570 | 19,080 |
| 3-Ethylhexane | C ₈ H ₁₈ | 114.2 | 245.4 | — | 65.6 | .718 | 5.98 | 531* | 25* | — | 20,570 | 19,080 |
| 2,5-Dimethylhexane (Diisobutyl) | C ₈ H ₁₈ | 114.2 | 228.4 | -130 | 71.2 | .688 | 5.81 | 530 | 25 | 0.237 | 20,550 | 19,060 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane ("Isooctane") | C ₈ H ₁₈ | 114.2 | 210.6 | -101.2 | 71.8 | .696 | 5.79 | 515* | 27* | — | 20,540 | 19,050 |
| OLEFINS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylene | C ₂ H ₄ | 28.0 | -154.7 | -272.5 | 273 | .35 | 2.91 | 50 | 51 | .22 | 21,640* | 20,290* |
| Propylene | C ₃ H ₆ | 42.1 | -53.9 | -301.4 | 140 | .522 | 4.35 | 196.5 | 45.4 | .233 | 21,040* | 19,690* |
| Butene-1 | C ₄ H ₈ | 56.1 | 20.7 | — | 104 | .601 | 5.00 | 203* | 39* | — | 20,840* | 19,400* |
| Cis-Butene-2 | C ₄ H ₈ | 56.1 | 38.0 | -218.0 | 94.2 | .627 | 5.22 | 310* | 37* | — | 20,780* | 19,430* |
| Trans-Butene-2 | C ₄ H ₈ | 56.1 | 33.0 | -157.7 | 100 | .610 | 5.08 | 310* | 37* | — | 20,750* | 19,400* |
| Isobutene | C ₄ H ₈ | 60.1 | 19.6 | -220.6 | 104 | .600 | 4.99 | 292.5 | 39.5 | .234 | 20,720* | 19,370* |
| Pentene-1 (Amylene) | C ₅ H ₁₀ | 70.1 | 86.2 | -216.4 | 87.2 | .647 | 5.38 | 385* | 36* | — | 20,710* | 19,360* |
| Cis-Pentene-2 | C ₅ H ₁₀ | 70.1 | 98.0 | -290.2 | 82.6 | .661 | 5.30 | 398* | 35* | — | 20,660* | 19,310* |
| Trans-Pentene-2 | C ₅ H ₁₀ | 70.1 | 90.8 | -211.0 | 84.9 | .654 | 5.44 | 396* | 35* | — | 20,640* | 19,290* |
| 2-Methylbutene-1 | C ₅ H ₁₀ | 70.1 | 88.0 | — | 84.5 | .655 | 5.45 | 387* | 36* | — | 20,610* | 19,260* |
| 3-Methylbutene-1 (Isoamylyene) | C ₅ H ₁₀ | 70.1 | 68.4 | -292.0 | 92.0 | .633 | 5.27 | 363* | 37* | — | 20,660* | 19,310* |
| 2-Methylbutene-2 | C ₅ H ₁₀ | 70.1 | 101.2 | -207.0 | 80.6 | .667 | 5.55 | 401* | 35* | — | 20,570* | 19,220* |
| Hexene-1 | C ₆ H ₁₂ | 84.2 | 146.4 | -218.0 | 77.2 | .678 | 5.64 | 403* | 31* | — | 20,450 | 19,100 |
| Cis-Hexene-2 | C ₆ H ₁₂ | 84.2 | 155.4 | -231.0 | 73.9 | .689 | 5.73 | 473* | 34* | — | 20,420 | 19,070 |
| Trans-Hexene-2 | C ₆ H ₁₂ | 84.2 | 151.2 | -207.0 | 75.7 | .683 | 5.68 | 472* | 34* | — | 20,400 | 19,050 |
| Cis-Hexene-3 | C ₆ H ₁₂ | 84.2 | 153.7 | -211.0 | 75.4 | .664 | 5.69 | 472* | 31* | — | 20,420 | 19,070 |
| Trans-Hexene-3 | C ₆ H ₁₂ | 84.2 | 154.6 | -171 | 75.0 | .682 | 5.68 | 473* | 34* | — | 20,400 | 19,050 |
| DIOLEFINS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Propadiene | C ₃ H ₂ | 40.1 | -30.1 | -213.0 | 106 | .595 | 4.95 | 249 | 70 | — | 20,880* | 19,930* |
| Butadiene-1,2 | C ₄ H ₂ | 51.1 | +50.5 | — | 83.5 | .658 | 5.48 | 343* | 45 | — | — | — |
| Butadiene-1,3 | C ₄ H ₂ | 51.1 | 24.1 | -101.0 | 94.2 | .627 | 5.22 | 308 | 45 | — | 20,230* | 19,180* |
| Pentadiene-1,2 | C ₅ H ₂ | 68.1 | 112.8 | -82.0 | 71.5 | .697 | 5.80 | 420* | — | — | — | — |
| Cis-Pentadiene-1,3 | C ₅ H ₂ | 68.1 | 111.0 | — | 71.8 | .690 | 5.79 | 420* | — | — | 20,150* | 19,040* |
| Trans-Pentadiene-1,3 | C ₅ H ₂ | 68.1 | 108.1 | — | 70.0 | .662 | 5.68 | 415* | — | — | 20,150* | 19,040* |
| Pentadiene-1,4 | C ₅ H ₂ | 66.1 | 78.9 | -234.0 | 81.3 | .665 | 5.53 | 350* | — | — | 20,320* | 19,210* |
| 3-Methylbutadiene-1,2 | C ₅ H ₂ | 68.1 | 104 | -184.0 | 82.9 | .685 | 5.70 | 410* | — | — | — | — |
| 2-Methylbutadiene-1,3 (Isoprene) | C ₅ H ₂ | 68.1 | 93.3 | -231.0 | 74.8 | .660 | 5.71 | 395* | — | — | 20,060* | 18,950* |

† Heat of combustion as a gas—otherwise as a liquid. * Critical temperature-boiling point correlation. ** Mixture of cis- and trans-isomers.
 ‡ Estimated. †† Vapor pressure curve or correlation. ††† Sublimes.

TABLE-15 C₃ AND C₄ LPG PRODUCTION (LB/H) OF EACH YEAR

| | C ₃ LPG ¹ | | | | C ₄ LPG | | | Total | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | C ₂ 10 ³ Lb/H | C ₃ 10 ³ Lb/H | C ₄ 10 ³ Lb/H | Total 10 ³ Lb/H | C ₄ 10 ³ Lb/H | C ₅ 10 ³ Lb/H | Total 10 ³ Lb/H | C ₂ 10 ³ Lb/H | C ₃ 10 ³ Lb/H | C ₄ 10 ³ Lb/H | C ₅ 10 ³ Lb/H | Total 10 ³ Lb/H |
| 1981 ^{*1} (Kg/H) | 0.44 | 23.6 ^{*1} | 0.54 | 24.58 ^{*3} (11.17) | 13.06 ^{*2} | 0.27 | 13.33 ^{*4} (6.06) | 0.44 | 23.6 | 13.6 | 0.27 | 37.91 (17.23) |
| 1982 (Kg/H) | 0.74 | 39.4 | 0.90 | 41.04 (18.65) | 22.20 | 0.45 | 22.65 (10.30) | 0.74 | 39.4 | 23.1 | 0.45 | 63.69 (28.95) |
| 1983 (Kg/H) | 0.89 | 47.3 | 1.08 | 49.27 (22.40) | 26.52 | 0.54 | 27.06 (12.30) | 0.89 | 47.3 | 27.6 | 0.54 | 76.33 (34.70) |
| 1984 (Kg/H) | 1.23 | 65.7 | 1.51 | 68.44 (31.11) | 36.89 | 0.75 | 37.64 (17.11) | 1.23 | 65.7 | 38.4 | 0.75 | 106.08 (48.22) |
| 1985 (Kg/H) | 1.23 | 65.7 | 1.51 | 68.44 (31.11) | 36.89 | 0.75 | 37.64 (17.11) | 1.23 | 65.7 | 38.4 | 0.75 | 106.08 (48.22) |
| 1986 (Kg/H) | 1.43 | 76.2 | 1.75 | 79.38 (36.08) | 42.95 | 0.88 | 43.83 (19.92) | 1.43 | 76.2 | 44.7 | 0.88 | 123.21 (56.00) |
| 1987 (Kg/H) | 1.43 | 76.2 | 1.75 | 79.38 (36.08) | 42.95 | 0.88 | 43.83 (19.92) | 1.43 | 76.2 | 44.7 | 0.88 | 123.21 (56.00) |
| 1988 (Kg/H) | 1.63 | 86.8 | 1.99 | 90.42 (41.10) | 49.01 | 1.00 | 50.01 (22.73) | 1.63 | 86.8 | 51.0 | 1.00 | 140.43 (63.83) |
| 1989 (Kg/H) | 1.63 | 86.8 | 1.99 | 90.42 (41.10) | 49.01 | 1.00 | 50.01 (22.73) | 1.63 | 86.8 | 51.0 | 1.00 | 140.43 (63.83) |
| 1990 (Kg/H) | 1.63 | 86.8 | 1.99 | 90.42 (41.10) | 49.01 | 1.00 | 50.01 (22.73) | 1.63 | 86.8 | 51.0 | 1.00 | 140.83 (63.83) |

Calculation way

Note: *1 come from TABLE-12 (4) (ATTACH.18)

*2 TABLE-12 (4) (ATTACH.18) minus C₄
in C₃ LPG of this Table
13.6 - 0.54 = 13.06

| | *3 C ₃ LPG | *4 C ₄ LPG |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | 23.6 Lb/H ÷ 0.96 | 13.06 Lb/H ÷ 0.98 |
| | % | % |
| C ₂ | 1.8 | 98 |
| C ₃ | 96.0 | 2 |
| C ₄ | 2.2 | |
| | 100.0 | 100 |
| | 24.58 | 13.33 |

TABLE-16 C₃ AND C₄ LPG (T/H, T/D, T/Y) PRODUCTION OF EACH YEAR

| | C ₃ LPG | | | C ₄ LPG | | | Total | | | REMARK NATURAL GAS PRODUCTION MM. scf/D |
|------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|-------|----------|---------------------|--|
| | T/H* | T/D | 10 ³ T/Y | T/H* | T/D | 10 ³ T/Y | T/H* | T/D | 10 ³ T/Y | |
| | 1981 | 11.17 | 268.08 | 97.85 | 6.06 | 145.44 | 53.08 | 17.23 | 413.52 | |
| 1982 | 18.65 | 447.6 | 163.39 | 10.30 | 247.20 | 90.23 | 28.95 | 694.80 | 253.60 | 300 |
| 1983 | 22.40 | 537.6 | 196.22 | 12.30 | 295.20 | 107.75 | 34.70 | 883.80 | 303.97 | 350 |
| 1984 | 31.11 | 746.64 | 272.52 | 17.11 | 410.64 | 149.88 | 48.22 | 1,157.28 | 422.40 | 500 |
| 1985 | 31.11 | 746.64 | 272.52 | 17.11 | 410.64 | 149.88 | 48.22 | 1,157.28 | 422.40 | 500 |
| 1986 | 36.08 | 865.92 | 316.06 | 19.92 | 478.08 | 174.50 | 56.00 | 1,344.00 | 490.56 | 600 |
| 1987 | 36.08 | 865.92 | 316.06 | 19.92 | 478.08 | 174.50 | 56.00 | 1,344.00 | 490.56 | 600 |
| 1988 | 41.10 | 986.40 | 360.04 | 22.73 | 545.52 | 199.11 | 63.83 | 1,531.92 | 559.15 | 700 |
| 1989 | 41.10 | 986.40 | 360.04 | 22.73 | 545.52 | 199.11 | 63.83 | 1,531.92 | 559.15 | 700 |
| 1990 | 41.10 | 986.40 | 360.04 | 22.73 | 545.52 | 199.11 | 63.83 | 1,531.92 | 559.15 | 700 |

Note: * from TABLE-15 (ATTACH.21)

FIG. 7. F.O.B. PRICES OF KUWAIT-DOMESTIC

APR. 1979

(UNIT: US\$/MT)

NOTE: 1) +---+; PROPANE
 *****; BUTANE

2) THE 1979 2ND QUARTER PRICES BELOW,
 OFFERED BY THE KUWAIT GOVERNMENT,
 ARE STILL UNDER NEGOTIATION.




FIG-8 CHARGE OF CRUDE OIL STANDARD PRICE
(MARKET PRICE, ARABIAN LIGHT, PER BBL)




TABLE-17 AVERAGE VALUE OF C₃ AND C₄ LPG

| | C ₃ LPG | | C ₄ LPG | | C ₃ and C ₄ LPG (5) | C ₃ and C ₄ LPG (6) | C ₃ and C ₄ LPG (7) | C ₃ LPG:% on Total (8) |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | | | | |
| | C ₃ LPG *1 10 ³ T/Y | (1)x160 \$/T 10 ³ \$/Y*2 | C ₄ LPG *1 10 ³ T/Y | (3)x180 \$/T 10 ³ \$/Y*2 | (2) + (4) 10 ³ \$/Y | (1) + (3) 10 ³ T/Y | (5) ÷ (6) 10 ³ \$/T | (1) + (6) % |
| 1981 | 97.85 | 15,656 | 53.06 | 9,551 | 25,207 | 150.91 | 167.1 | 64.8 |
| 1982 | 163.37 | 26,139 | 90.23 | 16,241 | 42,380 | 253.60 | 167.1 | 64.4 |
| 1983 | 196.22 | 31,395 | 107.75 | 19,395 | 50,790 | 303.97 | 167.1 | 64.5 |
| 1984 | 272.52 | 43,603 | 149.88 | 26,978 | 70,581 | 422.41 | 167.1 | 64.5 |
| 1985 | 272.52 | 43,603 | 149.88 | 26,978 | 70,581 | 422.40 | 167.1 | 64.5 |
| 1986 | 316.06 | 50,570 | 174.50 | 31,410 | 81,980 | 490.56 | 167.1 | 64.4 |
| 1987 | 316.06 | 50,570 | 174.50 | 31,410 | 81,980 | 490.56 | 167.1 | 64.4 |
| 1988 | 360.04 | 57,664 | 199.11 | 35,840 | 93,504 | 559.15 | 167.2 | 64.4 |
| 1989 | 360.04 | 57,664 | 199.11 | 35,840 | 93,504 | 559.15 | 167.2 | 64.4 |
| 1990 | 360.04 | 57,664 | 199.11 | 35,840 | 93,504 | 559.15 | Average 167.1 | 64.5 |

Note: *1 are come from TABLE-16 (ATTACH.22).

*2 C₃ LPG 160 \$/T, C₄ LPG 180 \$/T

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | C ₃ LPG 10 ³ lb/H*1 | (1)x24 10 ³ lb/D | (2)x3.65*2 10 ⁶ lb/Y | (3)x21,647*3 BTU/lb Gross 10 ⁶ BTU/H | (2)x21,647 BTU/lb Gross 10 ⁶ BTU/D | (3)x21,647 BTU/lb 10 ⁶ BTU/Y |
| 1981 | 24.58 | 589.92 | 215.32 | 532.08 | 12,770.00 | 4,661.03 |
| 1982 | 41.04 | 984.96 | 359.51 | 888.39 | 21,321.43 | 7,782.31 |
| 1983 | 49.27 | 1,182.48 | 431.61 | 1,066.55 | 25,597.14 | 9,343.06 |
| 1984 | 68.44 | 1,642.56 | 599.53 | 1,481.52 | 35,556.50 | 12,978.03 |
| 1985 | 68.44 | 1,642.56 | 599.53 | 1,481.52 | 35,556.50 | 12,978.03 |
| 1986 | 79.38 | 1,905.12 | 695.37 | 1,718.34 | 41,240.13 | 15,052.67 |
| 1987 | 79.38 | 1,905.12 | 695.37 | 1,718.34 | 41,240.13 | 15,052.67 |
| 1988 | 90.42 | 2,170.08 | 792.08 | 1,957.32 | 46,975.72 | 17,146.16 |
| 1989 | 90.42 | 2,170.08 | 792.08 | 1,957.32 | 46,975.72 | 17,146.16 |
| 1990 | 90.42 | 2,170.08 | 792.08 | 1,957.32 | 46,975.72 | 17,146.16 |

Note: *1 come from TABLE-15 (ATTACH.21).

*2 LPG production can not be changed because heating value of sales natural gas should be maintained constant.

*3 come from TABLE-13-2 (14) (ATTACH.19-2).

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | 10^3 Lb/H^{*1} | (1) x 24 H 10^3 Lb/D | (2) x 365^{*2} 10^6 Lb/Y | (1) x 25,882 ^{*3} BTU/Lb Gross 10^6 BTU/H | (2) x 25,882 BTU/Lb Gross 10^6 BTU/D | (3) x 25,882 BTU/Lb Gross 10^9 BTU/Y |
| 1981 | 13.33 | 319.92 | 116.77 | 345.01 | 8,280.17 | 3,022.24 |
| 1982 | 22.65 | 543.60 | 198.41 | 586.83 | 14,069.46 | 5,115.25 |
| 1983 | 27.06 | 649.44 | 237.05 | 700.37 | 16,808.81 | 6,135.33 |
| 1984 | 37.64 | 903.36 | 329.73 | 974.20 | 23,380.76 | 8,534.07 |
| 1985 | 37.64 | 903.36 | 329.73 | 974.20 | 23,380.76 | 8,534.07 |
| 1986 | 43.83 | 1,051.92 | 383.95 | 1,134.41 | 27,225.79 | 9,937.39 |
| 1987 | 43.83 | 1,051.92 | 383.95 | 1,134.41 | 27,225.79 | 9,937.39 |
| 1988 | 50.01 | 1,200.24 | 438.09 | 1,294.36 | 31,064.61 | 11,338.65 |
| 1989 | 50.01 | 1,200.24 | 438.09 | 1,294.36 | 31,064.61 | 11,338.65 |
| 1990 | 50.01 | 1,200.24 | 438.09 | 1,294.36 | 31,064.61 | 11,338.65 |

Note: *1 come from TABLE-15 (ATTACH.21).

*2 LPG production can not be changed because heating value of sales natural gas should be maintained constant.

*3 come from TABLE-13-2 (14) (ATTACH.19-2)

TABLE-20 AVERAGE C₃ AND C₄ LPG HEATING VALUE

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|------|--|--|--|---|---|
| | C ₃ LPG Gross 10 ⁹ BTU/Y | C ₄ LPG Gross 10 ⁹ BTU/Y | C ₃ +C ₄ LPG Gross 10 ⁹ BTU/Y | C ₃ +C ₄ LPG Production 10 ³ T/Y | (3) ÷ (4) Gross 10 ⁶ BTU/T |
| 1981 | 4,661.03 | 3,022.24 | 7,682.27 | 150.93 | 50.91 |
| 1982 | 7,782.31 | 5,115.06 | 12,897.37 | 253.60 | 50.86 |
| 1983 | 9,343.06 | 6,135.33 | 15,478.39 | 303.97 | 50.92 |
| 1984 | 12,978.03 | 8,534.07 | 21,512.10 | 422.40 | 50.92 |
| 1985 | 12,978.03 | 8,534.07 | 21,512.10 | 422.40 | 50.92 |
| 1986 | 15,052.67 | 9,937.39 | 24,990.06 | 490.56 | 50.94 |
| 1987 | 15,052.67 | 9,937.39 | 24,990.06 | 490.56 | 50.94 |
| 1988 | 17,146.16 | 11,338.65 | 28,484.81 | 559.15 | 50.94 |
| 1989 | 17,146.16 | 11,338.65 | 28,484.81 | 559.15 | 50.94 |
| 1990 | 17,146.16 | 11,338.65 | 28,484.81 | 559.15 | 50.94 |

Note: (1) TABLE-18 (6) (ATTACH.26)

(2) TABLE-19 (6) (ATTACH.27)

(4) TABLE-16 (ATTACH.22)

TABLE-21


COST SUMMATION

Production 385,010 T/Y

| | | | \$/T |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------|
| Charge Natural Gas | 50.92 MMBTU/T | 1.5 \$/10 ⁶ BTU | 76.38 |
| Operation Cost | (Investment 93.253 MM\$ - 385,090 T = 242,158 \$/T) | | |
| Depreciation | 5% on Investment | | 11.61 |
| Interest for Investment | 5% on Investment | | 11.61 |
| Tax and Insurance | 2% on Investment | | 4.65 |
| Maintenance | 3% on Investment | | 6.97 |
| Administration | 2% on Investment | | 4.65 |
| Over-head | 2% on Investment | | 4.65 |
| Interest of Working Capital | | | 1.68 |
| Sub-Total | | | 45.82 |
| Utility | | | 40.82 |
| Labor Cost | 15x4 = 60 persons 200 \$/mon x 60 persons x 12 mon ÷ 385,090 T/Y | | 0.34 |
| Sub-Total | | | 86.98 |
| | Minus 15% for dew point control | | 73.93 |
| Total | | | 150.31 |
| | plus 3% for selling charge | | 154.82 |
| OUTLET (INCOME) | | | |
| C ₃ and C ₄ LPG | | | 167.1 |

FIG.9 PROFIT OR LOSS VS. NATURAL GAS PRICE
FOR C₃ AND C₄ LPG

PROFIT
OR LOSS
\$/T



G
H
I


E

F

G

H

I



PETROLEUM STATISTICS IN 1978

June 11, 1979.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONTENT

| | page |
|--|----------------|
| CRUDE OIL IMPORTED BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN 1978 | 1 |
| PRODUCTION OF TOTAL FOUR REFINERIES IN 1978 | 2 |
| FANG REFINERY PRODUCTION (1960-1969) | 2 ¹ |
| PETROLEUM PRODUCTS IMPORTS IN 1978 | 3 |
| PETROLEUM PRODUCT CONSUMPTION 1978 | 4 |
| WHOLESALE PRICE OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS AT REFINERIES AS CONTROLLED BY GOVERNMENT (INCLUDING TAX) (EXREFINERY PRICE) | 5 |
| RETAIL PRICE OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS IN THE MUNICIPALITY OF BANGKOK | 5 |
| EXREFINERY PRICE WAS CHANGED ON MAY 1ST 1979 | 5 ¹ |
| PETROLEUM IMPORT PRICE (CIF PRICE) | 6 |
| PETROLEUM PRODUCT IMPORTS BY COUNTRY | 7 |
| PETROLEUM STOCK PILING BY LEGAS | 8 |
| SUBSIDY FOR SALES COMPANY | 8 |
| TANK CAPACITY | 9 |
| TANK INSTALLATION PLANNING | 10 |
| CRUDE OIL IMPORT VALUE | 11 |
| PETROLEUM PRODUCT IMPORT VALUE | 11 |

CRUDE OIL IMPORTED BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN 1978

Unit : 10³ Kl

| | 1978 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1979 | | |
|--------------|------|------|------|-------|-----|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
| | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. |
| Qatar | 160 | 165 | 158 | 186 | 305 | 276 | - | 339 | 322 | 242 | 306 | 157 | 2,616 | 386 | 92 | 257 |
| Kuwait | 59 | 26 | 125 | - | 186 | - | 71 | 47 | 66 | 54 | 61 | 165 | 860 | 55 | 111 | 183 |
| Abu Dhabi | - | 81 | - | 117 | - | 157 | 105 | 234 | 84 | 88 | 81 | - | 713 | 31 | 144 | - |
| Saudi Arabia | 550 | 472 | 499 | 246 | 203 | 81 | 223 | 83 | 169 | 361 | 437 | 70 | 3,545 | 229 | 299 | 381 |
| China | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 76 | - | 93 | 71 | 104 | 87 | 531 | 83 | 55 | 94 |
| Iran | - | 37 | - | - | - | 148 | 46 | - | - | - | - | - | 231 | - | - | - |
| Iraq | - | - | - | - | - | 105 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 105 | - | - | - |
| Brunie | 58 | - | 64 | 61 | 145 | - | 161 | - | 176 | 59 | 60 | 90 | 874 | 66 | 65 | 64 |
| Darius | - | - | - | 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 44 | - | - | - |
| Singapore | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 11 | - | - | - | 19 | - | - | 18 |
| Indonesia | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |
| Total | 827 | 781 | 846 | 654 | 839 | 784 | 682 | 711 | 921 | 875 | 1,049 | 569 | 9,538 | 850 | 766 | 1,011 |

data of 1979 are preliminary,
subject to change.

PRODUCTION OF TOTAL FOUR REFINERY IN 1978

UNIT : KL

| | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| LPG | 21,981 | 16,610 | 20,049 | 15,627 | 19,516 | 21,452 | 18,771 | 11,069 | 18,549 | 22,052 | 15,799 | 19,586 | 221,106 |
| Gasoline Premium | 116,313 | 97,194 | 93,036 | 79,324 | 110,896 | 101,071 | 100,552 | 92,497 | 107,845 | 103,741 | 90,370 | 87,168 | 1,180,007 |
| Regular | 80,289 | 72,369 | 77,079 | 79,186 | 69,468 | 71,593 | 74,766 | 58,371 | 75,060 | 64,932 | 83,073 | 81,924 | 888,110 |
| Jet Fuel JP-1 | 50,806 | 50,580 | 57,749 | 63,181 | 65,818 | 67,967 | 63,493 | 43,231 | 69,776 | 77,216 | 49,528 | 61,483 | 720,828 |
| JP-4 | 2,252 | 4,206 | 2,353 | 4,339 | 2,191 | 2,098 | 2,148 | 1,890 | 2,180 | 2,156 | 4,214 | 4,341 | 34,368 |
| Kerosene | 24,688 | 17,579 | 14,979 | 22,753 | 21,518 | 19,069 | 29,460 | 19,569 | 16,929 | 20,798 | 17,748 | 33,389 | 258,479 |
| Diesel Oil HSD | 246,734 | 229,567 | 167,883 | 138,315 | 229,933 | 215,446 | 188,486 | 166,889 | 201,999 | 207,166 | 182,692 | 207,057 | 2,382,167 |
| LSD | 10,291 | 9,523 | 11,898 | 14,919 | 9,114 | 10,191 | 12,241 | 6,546 | 15,990 | 10,950 | 12,801 | 5,526 | 129,990 |
| Fuel Oil | 198,619 | 222,733 | 261,208 | 259,745 | 252,254 | 165,596 | 194,504 | 187,436 | 239,158 | 227,908 | 244,710 | 283,104 | 2,743,025 |
| Bitumen | 12,706 | 13,315 | 13,534 | 12,251 | 14,942 | 2,389 | 2,881 | 2,306 | 2,116 | 2,885 | 706 | 1,267 | 81,301 |
| Total | 764,679 | 739,726 | 719,813 | 689,640 | 795,650 | 676,872 | 687,302 | 589,804 | 749,602 | 739,807 | 701,641 | 784,845 | 8,639,381 |

FANG REFINERY PRODUCTION (1960-1969)

Unit : 10³Kl

| Year | Benzine | Kerosene | Diesel | JP-4 | JP-1 | Fuel Oil | | LrG | Total |
|------|---------|----------|---------|------|-------|----------|-------|------|---------|
| | | | | | | Heavy | Light | | |
| 1960 | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 4.1 | - | 5.9 |
| 1961 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | - | - | 2.7 | - | - | 3.0 |
| 1962 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 1.1 | - | 1.6 |
| 1963 | - | - | 0.2 | - | - | 1.4 | 0.2 | - | 1.8 |
| 1964 | 18.1 | 19.4 | 138.1 | 7.3 | 74.2 | 121.9 | 0.001 | 1.5 | 380.5 |
| 1965 | 202.9 | 22.0 | 534.3 | 35.4 | 182.5 | 308.7 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 1,293.6 |
| 1966 | 327.2 | 33.2 | 733.9 | 64.1 | 163.4 | 591.3 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 1,932.2 |
| 1967 | 468.8 | 91.3 | 796.1 | 47.6 | 156.2 | 618.9 | - | 17.2 | 2,196.1 |
| 1968 | 480.9 | 152.7 | 888.8 | 4.9 | 185.4 | 866.7 | - | 34.6 | 2,614.0 |
| 1969 | 547.6 | 159.2 | 1,027.1 | 18.6 | 232.3 | 1,005.6 | - | 49.7 | 3,040.1 |

Note: In this period, Thailand had only one refinery.

PETROLEUM PRODUCTS IMPORTS IN 1978

| | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| LPG | 9,442 | 2,052 | 1,552 | 1,053 | - | 2,173 | 6,419 | 4,954 | 7,639 | 8,759 | 9,351 | 18,069 | 71,463 |
| Gasoline Premium | 1,761 | 11,007 | 18,721 | 18,185 | 9,719 | 8,536 | 3,353 | 15,460 | - | 6,436 | 17,606 | 25,951 | 136,845 |
| Regular | 9,364 | 429 | 337 | 20,117 | 6,041 | 13,669 | 6,688 | 5,398 | 3,754 | 23,773 | 12,630 | 9,130 | 111,330 |
| Jet Fuel JP-1 | 2,127 | 3,810 | 6,352 | 2,512 | - | 810 | - | 300 | - | 3,234 | 3,720 | 9,198 | 32,063 |
| JP-4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kerosene | 253 | 206 | 236 | 236 | 201 | 280 | - | 227 | 478 | 469 | 268 | - | 2,854 |
| Diesel Oil HSD | 66,134 | 87,079 | 125,313 | 44,560 | 167,574 | 100,100 | 41,774 | 214,437 | 95,087 | 131,438 | 120,196 | 145,012 | 1,338,701 |
| LSD | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,790 | 4,790 |
| Fuel Oil | 63,514 | 57,646 | 84,709 | 79,255 | 125,483 | 184,866 | 67,314 | 55,007 | 70,590 | 14,870 | 31,442 | 18,069 | 852,765 |
| Bitumen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 152,595 | 162,229 | 237,280 | 165,918 | 309,018 | 310,434 | 125,545 | 295,783 | 177,548 | 189,029 | 195,213 | 230,219 | 2,550,811 |

Unit : Kl

PETROLEUM PRODUCT CONSUMPTION IN 1978
(Page 3 import plus page 2 production)

Unit : Kl

| | | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total |
|------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|------------|
| LPG | Imp. | 9,442 | 2,052 | 1,552 | 1,053 | - | 2,173 | 6,419 | 4,954 | 7,639 | 8,759 | 9,351 | 18,069 | 71,463 |
| | Prod. | 21,981 | 16,610 | 20,094 | 15,627 | 19,516 | 21,452 | 18,771 | 11,069 | 18,549 | 22,052 | 15,799 | 19,586 | 221,106 |
| | Total | 31,428 | 18,662 | 21,646 | 16,680 | 19,516 | 23,625 | 25,190 | 16,023 | 26,188 | 30,811 | 25,150 | 37,655 | 292,569 |
| Gasoline Premium | Imp. | 1,761 | 11,007 | 18,781 | 18,185 | 9,719 | 8,536 | 3,353 | 15,460 | - | 6,486 | 17,606 | 25,951 | 136,845 |
| | Prod. | 116,313 | 97,194 | 93,036 | 79,324 | 110,896 | 101,071 | 100,552 | 92,497 | 107,845 | 103,741 | 90,370 | 87,168 | 1,180,007 |
| | Total | 118,074 | 108,201 | 111,817 | 97,509 | 120,615 | 109,607 | 103,905 | 107,957 | 107,845 | 110,227 | 107,976 | 113,119 | 1,316,852 |
| Regular | Imp. | 9,364 | 429 | 337 | 20,117 | 6,041 | 13,669 | 6,688 | 5,398 | 3,754 | 23,773 | 12,630 | 9,130 | 111,330 |
| | Prod. | 80,289 | 72,369 | 77,079 | 79,186 | 69,468 | 71,593 | 74,766 | 58,371 | 75,060 | 64,932 | 83,073 | 81,924 | 888,110 |
| | Total | 89,653 | 72,798 | 77,416 | 99,303 | 75,509 | 85,262 | 81,454 | 63,769 | 78,814 | 88,705 | 95,703 | 91,054 | 999,440 |
| Jet Fuel JP-1 | Imp. | 2,127 | 3,810 | 6,352 | 2,512 | - | 810 | - | 300 | - | 3,234 | 3,720 | 9,198 | 32,063 |
| | Prod. | 50,866 | 50,580 | 57,749 | 63,181 | 65,818 | 67,967 | 63,493 | 43,231 | 69,776 | 77,216 | 49,528 | 61,483 | 720,828 |
| | Total | 52,993 | 54,390 | 64,101 | 65,693 | 65,818 | 68,777 | 63,493 | 43,531 | 69,776 | 80,450 | 53,248 | 70,681 | 752,891 |
| JP-4 | Imp. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Prod. | 2,252 | 4,206 | 2,353 | 4,339 | 2,191 | 2,098 | 2,148 | 1,890 | 2,180 | 2,156 | 4,214 | 4,341 | 34,368 |
| | Total | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " |
| Kerosene | Imp. | 253 | 206 | 236 | 236 | 201 | 280 | - | 227 | 478 | 469 | 268 | - | 2,854 |
| | Prod. | 24,688 | 17,579 | 14,979 | 22,753 | 21,518 | 19,069 | 29,460 | 19,569 | 16,929 | 20,798 | 17,748 | 33,389 | 258,479 |
| | Total | 24,941 | 17,785 | 15,215 | 22,989 | 21,719 | 19,349 | 29,460 | 19,796 | 17,407 | 21,267 | 18,016 | 33,389 | 261,333 |
| Diesel Oil HSD | Imp. | 66,134 | 87,079 | 125,313 | 44,560 | 167,574 | 100,100 | 41,771 | 214,437 | 95,087 | 131,438 | 120,196 | 145,012 | 1,338,701 |
| | Prod. | 246,734 | 229,567 | 167,883 | 138,315 | 229,933 | 215,446 | 188,486 | 166,889 | 201,999 | 207,166 | 182,692 | 207,057 | 2,382,167 |
| | Total | 312,868 | 316,646 | 293,196 | 182,875 | 397,507 | 315,546 | 230,257 | 381,326 | 297,086 | 338,604 | 302,888 | 352,069 | 3,720,868 |
| LSD | Imp. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4,790 | 4,790 |
| | Prod. | 10,291 | 9,523 | 11,898 | 14,919 | 9,114 | 10,191 | 12,241 | 6,546 | 15,990 | 10,950 | 12,801 | 5,526 | 129,990 |
| | Total | 10,291 | 9,523 | 11,898 | 14,919 | 9,114 | 10,191 | 12,241 | 6,546 | 15,990 | 10,950 | 12,801 | 10,316 | 134,780 |
| Fuel Oil | Imp. | 63,514 | 57,646 | 84,709 | 79,255 | 125,483 | 184,865 | 67,314 | 55,007 | 70,590 | 14,870 | 31,442 | 18,069 | 852,765 |
| | Prod. | 198,619 | 228,733 | 261,208 | 259,745 | 252,254 | 165,596 | 194,504 | 187,436 | 239,158 | 227,308 | 244,710 | 283,104 | 2,743,025 |
| | Total | 262,133 | 286,429 | 345,917 | 339,000 | 377,737 | 350,462 | 261,818 | 242,443 | 309,748 | 242,778 | 276,152 | 301,173 | 3,595,790 |
| Bitumen | Imp. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Prod. | 12,706 | 13,315 | 13,534 | 12,251 | 14,942 | 2,389 | 2,881 | 2,306 | 2,116 | 2,898 | 706 | 1,267 | 81,301 |
| | Total | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | " | 81,301 |
| Total | Imp. | 152,595 | 162,229 | 237,220 | 165,918 | 309,018 | 310,434 | 125,545 | 295,783 | 177,548 | 189,029 | 195,213 | 230,219 | 2,550,811 |
| | Prod. | 764,679 | 739,726 | 719,813 | 689,640 | 795,650 | 676,872 | 687,302 | 589,804 | 749,602 | 739,807 | 701,641 | 748,845 | 8,639,381 |
| | Total | 917,274 | 901,955 | 957,093 | 855,558 | 1,104,668 | 987,306 | 812,847 | 885,587 | 927,150 | 928,836 | 896,854 | 1,015,064 | 11,190,192 |

WHOLESALE PRICE OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS AT REFINERIES AS CONTROLLED BY GOVERNMENT (INCLUDING TAXES) -
(EXREFINERY PRICE)

5

Unit : ฿/lit

| | 1978 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1979 | | |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. |
| LPG (฿/kg) | 3.3235 | 3.3235 | 3.3235 | 3.3235 | 3.3255 | 3.3255 | 3.3255 | 3.3255 | 3.3255 | 3.3255 | 3.3255 | 3.3255 | 3.3255 | 3.4018 | 3.4018 |
| Gasoline Premium | 3.6898 | 3.6898 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.4533 | 4.9599 | 4.9599 |
| Regular | 3.4389 | 3.4389 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.2024 | 4.5179 | 4.5179 |
| Jet Fuel JP-1 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4557 | 2.4733 | 2.4733 |
| JP-4 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.2892 | 2.3160 | 2.3160 |
| Kerosene | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.3971 | 2.5900 | 2.5900 |
| Diesel Oil HSD | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.3520 | 2.5018 | 2.5018 |
| LSD | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.3097 | 2.4737 | 2.4737 |
| Fuel Oil 450" | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 600" | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6722 | 1.6966 | 1.6966 |
| 1,200" | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6314 | 1.6356 | 1.6356 |
| 1,500" | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 | 1.6157 |
| Bitumen (฿/kg) | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2266 | 1.2724 | 1.2724 |

data of 1979 are preliminary,
subject to change.

RETAIL PRICE OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS IN THE MUNICIPALITY OF BANGKOK Unit : ฿/lit.

| | Mar. 10, 1978 | Jan. 31, 1979 |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Gasoline Premium | 4.98 | 5.60 |
| Regular | 4.69 | 5.12 |
| Kerosene | 2.68 | 3.06 |
| Diesel Oil HSD | 2.64 | 3.03 |
| : LSD | 2.50 | 2.93 |
| Fuel Oil : | | |
| 400" | - | - |
| ,600" | 1.66 | 1.86 |
| 1,200" | 1.62 | 1.79 |
| 1,500" | 1.61 | 1.77 |

June 1, 1979.

EXREFINERY PRICE WAS CHANGED ON MAY 1ST 1979

| | No Tax | Excise Tax | Municipal Tax % on Excise Tax | ฿/L Exrefinery Price |
|------------------|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Gasoline Premium | 3.1528 | 2.2960 | 1 | 5.7418 |
| Regular | 2.9047 | 2.0992 | 1 | 5.0249 |
| Jet Fuel JP-1 | no price control | | | |
| JP-2 | no price control | | | |
| Kerosene | 2.9284 | 0.2754 | 1 | 3.2066 |
| Diesel Oil HSD | 2.7845 | 0.2727 | 1 | 3.0599 |
| LSD | 2.7547 | 0.2637 | 1 | 3.0210 |
| Fuel Oil 600" | 2.0798 | 0.001 | - | 2.0808 |
| 1,200" | 1.9975 | 0.001 | - | 1.9985 |
| 1,500" | 1.9707 | 0.001 | - | 1.9717 |
| LPG ฿/L | 2.717 | 0.001 | - | |
| ฿/Kg | 4.6828 | $\frac{(2.717 + 0.001) \times 4.9628}{2.719}$ | | 4.9646 |
| Bitumen ฿/Kg | 1.74850 | - | - | 1.7485 |

RETAIL PRICE

The retail price was changed on January 31, 1979 (effective on February 1, 1979). Exrefinery price went up on May 1, 1979, but retail price did not change, so retail price is lower than exrefinery price. So, Thai Government decided to subsidize retail price since May 1, 1979, but now not yet enforced.

PETROLEUM PRODUCT IMPORTS BY COUNTRIES

Unit : Kl

| Countries Petroleum Prod. | Singapore | Taiwan | China | Indonesia | Srilanka | Hongkong | Japan | Korea | Australia | Bahrain | Kuwait | Iran | Yemen | South AFC | Total |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|-------|-----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| LPG | 46.306 | | | | | | | 3.394 | 2.893 | | | | | 1.829 | 54.422 |
| Gasoline Premium | 72.325 | 33.940 | | | | | 5.402 | | | | | | | | 111.667 |
| Regular | 9.986 | 56.645 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 66.631 |
| Jet Fuel JP-1 | 24.260 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 24.260 |
| Diesel Oil HSD | 547.485 | 284.593 | 3.808 | | | 3.150 | 7.180 | | 23.253 | 119.510 | 72.984 | | | | 1,061.963 |
| Fuel Oil | 474.313 | | | 108.327 | 27.118 | | | | | | 19.825 | 83.949 | 54.666 | 19.936 | 788.134 |
| Total | 1,174.675 | 375.178 | 3.808 | 108.327 | 27.118 | 3.150 | 12.582 | 3.394 | 26.146 | 119.510 | 92.809 | 83.949 | 54.666 | 21.765 | 2,107.077 |
| | 55.7% | 17.8% | 0.2% | 5.1% | 1.3% | 0.2% | 0.6% | 0.2% | 1.2% | 5.7% | 4.4% | 4.0% | 2.6% | 1.0% | 100% |

June 8, 1979.

I PETROLEUM STOCK PILING BY LEGAL.


Buying : Crude Oil and Product 5% - 20%

Refining Products : 5% - 20%

Petroleum products for ~~Crude Oil and Product~~ are 20%, other are 5%.

The refinery submit the document for petroleum stock piling to the Ministry of Commerce and have to get approval from him.

II SUBSIDY FOR SALES COMPANY (see page 5 and 5¹)



TANK CAPACITY (As May 1979)

| Refinery | Crude Oil 10 ³ Kl | Gasoline 10 ³ Kl | Jet Fuel 10 ³ Kl | Kerosene 10 ³ Kl | Diesel Oil 10 ³ Kl | Fuel Oil 10 ³ Kl | Total 10 ³ Kl | % |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| TORC | 530 | 103 | 55 | 11 | 134 | 67 | 900 | 34.1 |
| SUMMIT | 675 | 78 | 1 | 49 | 178 | 255 | 1,249 | 47.3 |
| ESSO | 361 | - | 15 | 10 | 60 | 44 | 490 | 18.6 |
| 10 ³ Kl | 1,566 | 181 | 84 | 70 | 372 | 366 | 2,639 | |
| % | 59.3 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 14.1 | 13.9 | | 100% |

TANK INSTALLATION PLANNING

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6)** | (7) | (8) | (9) | Remark |
|------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| | Crude Thruput Kl/SD | Import Products Kl/CD | Total (1)+(2) Kl/D | Total Tank Capacity Kl | Holding Days (4) ÷ 3 days | Petroleum Stock Piling (5) ÷ 2 days | Petroleum Stock Piling By Law days | Deficit Stock Piling days | New Instration Tank Kl | |
| 1977 | 29,269 | 4,456 | 33,725 | 2,639,000 | 78 | 39 | 60 | 21 | - | |
| 1978 | 29,545 | 6,989 | 36,534 | " | 72 | 36 | 60 | 24 | - | |
| 1979 | 29,269 | 13,896 | 43,165 | " | 61 | 30 | 60 | 30 | - | |
| 1980 | 29,269 | 18,877 | 48,146 | 3,852,000 | 80 | 40 | 60 | 20 | 1,213,000 | |
| 1981 | 29,269 | 20,693 | 49,962 | 4,996,000 | 100 | 50 | 60 | 10 | 1,144,000 | |
| 1982 | 29,269 | 24,082 | 53,351 | 6,402,000 | 120 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 1,406,000 | |
| 1983 | 58,548 | - | 58,548 | 7,611,000 | 130 | 65 | 65 | 0 | 1,209,000 | |
| 1984 | 57,491 | - | 57,491 | 8,049,000 | 140 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 438,000 | |
| 1985 | 64,127 | - | 64,127 | 9,619,000 | 150 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 1,570,000 | |
| 1986 | 69,881 | - | 69,881 | 10,482,000 | 150 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 860,000 | |

New Tank Installation Expected Schedule

| 100,000 Kl or Tank | 50,000 Kl or Tank | 30,000 Kl or Tank | 10,000 Kl or Tank |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 12 | 24 | 40 | 121 |
| 11 | 23 | 38 | 114 |
| 15 | 28 | 47 | 140 |
| 12 | 24 | 40 | 121 |
| 4 | 9 | 15 | 44 |
| 16 | 31 | 52 | 157 |
| 9 | 17 | 29 | 86 |

Note : * Thailand can install tanks from 1980, in 1979 can not.

** Petroleum stock piling is average inventory, so (5) devided 2.

June 7, 1979.

CRUDE OIL IMPORT VALUE

| | 1978 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1979 | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. |
| Value | 1,422 | 1,351 | 1,456 | 1,127 | 1,452 | 1,333 | 1,136 | 1,194 | 1,555 | 1,474 | 1,770 | 953 | 16,222 | 1,524 | 1,402 | 1,820 |

Unit: 10⁶ \$

PETROLEUM PRODUCT IMPORT VALUE

| | 1978 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1979 | | | |
|-------|------|------|------|-------|-----|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Total | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. |
| Value | 252 | 316 | 470 | 314 | 590 | 551 | 211 | 580 | 329 | 195 | 380 | 439 | 4,627 | | | |

Unit: 10⁶ \$