(9) post-harvest process and marketing of the farm produce.

The proposed organization set-up of the O&M office is shown in Fig. 7.2.1. The proposed O&M office will consist of a head office and 8 branch offices. The office will have two divisions; administrative division and technical division. The administrative division will have four (4) departments such as personnel, procurement and store keeping, account, and marketing. The technical division will have three (3) departments; namely, production, operation and maintenance, and machinery and equipment.

The branch offices will take responsibility for day-to-day operations in their responsible areas under the instructions given by the head office. The branch offices will be established at the Thiba dam and seven (7) reception centers including two new centers in the Mutithi area.

7.2.2 Water management

The success of irrigation project depends fully upon the proper water management which assures the distribution of the irrigation water in a timely and efficient manner as to meet the crop water requirements.

The MIS Scheme is however facing to the following constraints and problems in water management:

- (1) shortage of irrigation water and deterioration of the existing irrigation and drainage facilities,
- (2) insufficient budget,
- (3) insufficient number of qualified staff for proper operation and maintenance of the facilities,
- (4) lack of operation rule for irrigation facilities,
- (5) lack of water user's associations for effective water management at on-farm level and poor cooperation between farmers and MIS staff in charge of water management,
- (6) insufficient number of O&M equipment for repair and maintenance of the infrastructural facilities, and
- (7) lack of efficient communication system such as telephone, wireless system and walkie-talkie.

The proposed water management system mentioned in Section 5.2 of Chapter V is conceived particularly from the engineering point of view, with a view to solving these constraints and problems. The proposed system, furthermore, will have to be supported from institutional aspects.

The water management for the Project will broadly divided into two (2) aspects; one is the operation and maintenance of the major irrigation facilities including the Thiba dam, headworks and link canals and headraces, and the other is the water management in the irrigation service areas.

The proposed Project will intake the irrigation water from different sources; namely, the Nyamindi, Thiba and Ruamuthambi rivers and all these water sources will be fully utilized by diversion in combination with the operation of the Thiba dam. Integrated water intake control will be indispensable for overall water management. For this, a branch office will be newly required at the Thiba dam which is linked by telephone with the head office where precise instructions for gate operation will be given.

The head office will also be linked with other branch offices at the reception centers where rainfall gauges will be equipped. The instruction for gate operations will be prepared at the head office through calculation by computer on the basis of the reports on the river discharges and rainfall from the branch offices.

In the irrigation areas, supply of the right amount of irrigation water at the right time will have to be ensured. Accordingly, it is important for the farmers to keep the planting time schedule beforehand, because the delay in planting time will give the great influence on the irrigation schedule. Therefore, close communication and coordination between sections in charge of irrigation and farm operations will be indispensable for proper water management.

The major irrigation facilities such as the Thiba dam, headworks, link canals and headraces, main and secondary canals, and farm roads will be put under the control of the head office. The water management of on-farm facilities in each Irrigation Unit will be under the responsibility of the farmers. For effective water management at the Unit level, the farmers will be allowed to organize the water user's associations.

The proposed institutional set-up for water management is shown in Figure on page 95 in Section 5.2 of Chapter V.

7.3 Farmers Cooperatives

At present, NIB provides the tenant farmers obligatorily with all necessary support services such as irrigation water supplies, rotavation (land preparation), rice research, extension services, credit and farm input supplies.

The relationship between NIB and the tenants seems to be somewhat outdated, in relation to the modern development policy of the Kenyan Government towards the rural areas and it gives also little motivation to the development of independent and competent farmers. Although the tenants rarely are being dismissed, still the general fear for this seems to exist and the farmers do not consider the paddy fields as their land, with negative consequences for the operation and maintenance.

Although in the MIS Scheme area some cooperatives exist, most of the farming activities are executed by NIB at a high cost and high managerial requirement. It might be beneficial to NIB as well as the tenant farmers to look into the present regulations on the act of NIB and the cooperatives.

The present system could be gradually transferred to a system in which the farmers cooperatives would take part in decision-making and execution of farming activities, while NIB gets the role of an extension organization and/or responsible body for operation and maintenance of the Project facilities. The payment for the NIB services could be made by the farmers at cost basis, while the paddy-price to the farmers could be set in relation with their payments as well as the cost of inputs and reasonable profits.

As mentioned in Section 2.6 of Chapter II, most of the NIB Schemes are facing to the financial problems mainly due to higher production costs and lower productivity under the present system resulting in unfavourable imbalance in Scheme account.

Considering all these, it is recommended that NIB scrutinize, in close coordination with other agencies, the future institutional arrangement required for successful achievement of the development objectives. In particular, attention should be given to the future relationship between NIB

and the farmers' cooperatives. The farmers' involvement in collective farming operations as well as water management at the Unit level might be required for improvement of the current financial problems.

CHAPTER VIII PROJECT EVALUATION

8.1 General

The preliminary economic evaluations were carried out for six (6) alternative development options as a part of the Project optimization process discussed in Chapter IV. The height of the Thiba dam has been fixed at 35.0 m, corresponding to a reservoir capacity of 18 MCM, as the optimum size of the dam which also made optimum use of the available water resources.

The results from the initial evaluations which revealed several economically feasible options, led to selection of the proposed development for an area of 9,560 ha, enabling a cropping intensity of 200%. The development area comprises 6,660 ha of the existing MIS Scheme area and 2,900 ha of the Mutithi extension area. In this Chapter, overall Project evaluation is discussed in detail for this selected particular case.

The Project evaluation has been made through an assessment of Project feasibility in view of economic, financial and socio-economic aspects. The economic feasibility is evaluated by calculating the internal rate of return (IRR) and the net present value (NPV) at the discount rate of 10%. Sensitivity analyses have also been made in order to elucidate the economic viability of the Project against the changes in the benefits, build-up period, construction periods and the Project costs.

Financial evaluation has been carried out by analyzing the effect of the Project on a typical farm holding 1.6 ha of rice field and also by preparing the repayment schedule for the anticipated loan.

The socio-economic impacts from the implementation of the Project have also been briefly studied.

8.2 Economic Evaluation

8.2.1 Basic assumptions

The economic evaluation has been made on the following basic assumptions:

- (1) The construction period will be eight (8) years including the period of detailed design and preparatory works.
- (2) The economic useful life of the Project will be 50 years after implementation.
- (3) All prices are expressed in constant mid-1987 prices.
- (4) The exchange rate of US\$1.00 = KShs.16.5 = ¥150 as of mid-1987 is used throughout.
- (5) Only irrigation benefits are counted in the economic evaluation, and any benefits to be derived from the fishery, hydropower generation, water release for downstream areas, etc., are not taken into account.

8.2.2 Evaluation of economic factors

For evaluation of economic prices and costs, the following criteria have been used:

(1) Standard conversion factor (SCF)

In order to evaluate the Project costs and benefits with respect to world market prices, a SCF of 0.86 has been applied to the prices of non-traded goods and services. This figure is calculated on the basis of the export and import statistics for the years 1982 -1986 given in the "Annual Trade Yearbook" published by the Custom & Excise Department of the Custom House.

(2) Transfer payment

From the viewpoint of the international economy, the transfer payments such as contract tax, duty, subsidy and interest are considered as a domestic monetary movement without direct production. These transfer payments are, therefore, excluded from the Project costs as far as the economic analysis is concerned.

(3) Economic prices for agricultural outputs and inputs

The economic prices of farm products such as paddy and vegetables and of farm inputs such as fertilizers and agrochemicals have been estimated on the basis of the projected international market prices forecasted for the year of 1995 by

IBRD in the long term range in 1987 constant US dollar. The domestic components are adjusted by SCF of 0.86.

(4) Economic opportunity cost of farm labour

At present, most of the farm labour requirement are generally met by family labour. Seasonal labourers required for transplanting and harvesting are mainly hired from neighours at the rate of KShs.20 per man-day. In the MIS Scheme area, there is an abundant labour supply compared with its requirement. estimated labour force in the MIS Scheme area is 10,938 adultman equivalent. The present labour requirement for farm operations is, on the other, estimated to be 7,766 adult-man equivalent in total, which corresponds to about 70% of the total available labour force. Family labour is therefore shadowpriced at 70% of the present common labour rate as the surplus labour is considered underemployed. The adjusted conversion factor for family labour is therefore taken as 0.611 (0.71 x SCF), being wage rate of KShs.12.2/man-day.

(5) Economic opportunity cost of common construction labour

During the rainy season, which is the period of peak demand for farm labour, the construction activities would slow down and labour would be less required. During the dry season, the Project would require more construction labour and such non-farm employment would be an attractive alternative for most of the local labourers because of scarce farm work. This suggests that the economic opportunity cost of the construction labour may correspond to that of the hired farm labour.

The observations of the construction works in the vicinity of the Project area shows, however, local labourers require at least a 50% premium to be attracted to the construction work since it is harder than farming works. This has been reflected in the financial wage rate that is an average of KShs.50/manday, but should not in determination of the corresponding economic opportunity cost. The economic opportunity cost of the common construction labour may be assumed to equal that of the hired farm labour of KShs.20/man-day. Related to the average financial wage rate of KShs.50/man-day, it would give a conversion factor of (20/50) x SCF = 0.344.

(6) Construction conversion factor (CCF)

The individual financial costs for major Project components are split into four (4) categories of transfer payment, construction labour, non-traded costs and traded cost, for each

of which an economic/financial conversion factor is applied. The construction conversion factor (CCF) that is the weighted average of the above component, is calculated as 0.84 for the dam and 0.80 for the irrigation works, as shown in Table 8.2.1.

8.2.3 Economic benefits

The irrigation benefits are primarily derived from the increased crop production attributable to a stable irrigation water supplies. These benefits are estimated as the difference of the annual net crop production values under with and without Project conditions.

The net crop production value is defined as the difference between the gross production value and crop production cost. The net production values under future with and without Project conditions are summarized as follows (for details, see Section 5.1):

		(Unit: KS	Shs. million)
Description	Without Project	With Project	Increment
1. Gross production value			
(a) MIS	83.5	325.8	242.3
(b) Mutithi	1.7	144.2	142.5
(c) Total	85.2	470.0	384.8
2. Total production cost			
(a) MIS	29.0	79.7	50.7
(b) Mutithi	1.0	35.5	34.5
(c) Total	30.0	115.2	85.2
3. Net production value			
(a) MIS	54.5	246.1	191.6
(b) Mutithi	0.7	108.7	108.0
(c) Total	55.2	354.8	299.6

It is assumed that the irrigation benefit will initially accrue from up-grading of the existing MIS Scheme in 5th year by 50% of the incremental benefits under single cropping and it will increase up to 100% of the benefit in 10th year. After completion of the dam construction, the incremental benefits will accrue from double cropping. The double cropping benefits will gradually increase during the build-up period of 6 years after completion of the dam from 50% in 7th year to 100% in 12th year, as shown in Table 8.2.2.

8.2.4 Economic cost

(1) Capital cost

The Project cost broadly comprises (1) cost for preparatory works, (2) construction cost for Project facilities including contractor's overhead, profits and contract tax, (3) cost for land acquisition, compensation and resettlement, (4) administrative expenses, (5) procurement cost of agricultural machinery and O/M equipment, (6) expenses for engineering services, (7) physical contingencies and (8) price contingencies. All these costs are estimated on a financial basis as given in Table 6.6.1.

The financial costs are converted into the economic costs by applying the CCF for each of major components (see Table 8.2.1):

(Unit: KShs.x106)

	Components	Total	Foreign Currency	Local Currency
(1)	Dam & Reservoir	331.9	235.0	96.9
(2)	Irrigation Works	305.3	175.3	130.0
(3)	Farm Machinery	81.2	73.8	7.4
(4)	O&M Equipment	65.6	56.8	8.8
(5)	Farm Building	26.9	13.7	13.2
(6)	Land Acquisition	1.9	-	1.9
(7)	Administration	24.4	· <u> </u>	24.4
(8)	Engineering Services	81.1	48.6	32.5
(9)	Physical Contingencies	91.8	60.2	31.6
(10)	Price Contingencies	217.0	95.3	121.7
	Total	1,227.1	758.7	468.4

(2) Annual operation and maintenance costs

The annual O&M costs estimated in Section 6.6 of Chapter VI include the depreciation cost of O&M equipment and gates. In the economic evaluation, however, the depreciation is taken as the replacement cost. The depreciation cost of agricultural machinery estimated in the crop production costs is also taken as the replacement cost in the economic evaluation. The O&M cost after exclusion of the said depreciation cost is then converted into the economic cost using the respective CCF for each item:

(Unit: KShs.x106)

Cost Components	Financial Cost	CCF	Economic Cost
(1) Salaries & Wages	14.0	0.67	9.4
(2) Office Expenses	0.4	0.77	0.3
(3) OSM for Project Facilities			
(a) Dam and reservoir	2.5	0.84	2.1
(b) Irrigation works	19.5	0.80	15.6
Total	36.4		27.4

(3) Replacement cost

The replacement costs estimated in in Section 6.6 of Chapter VI comprise (1) O&M equipment in every 10 years, (2) agricultural machinery in every 5 years, (3) farm buildings in every 20 years and (4) gates and attachments in every 25 years after Project implementation. These costs are converted into the economic cost applying a CCF of 0.99 for imported goods and SCF of 0.86 for farm buildings.

(Unit: KShs. $\times 10^6$)

Description	Useful Life (year)	Financial Cost	CCF	Economic Cost
(1) Agricultural machinery	5	81.2	0.99	80.4
(2) O & M equipment	10	65.6	0.99	64.9
(3) Farm buildings	20	26.9	0.86	23.1
(4) Gates & others	25	27.2	0.86	23.3

8.2.5 Internal rate of return (IRR)

The economic rate of return is calculated on the basis of the flows of economic benefits and costs mentioned above (see Table 8.2.3). The calculated result is:

IRR = 18.4%

8.2.6 Net present value (NPV)

The net present value at the discount rate of 10% is also calculated on the same assumptions mentioned above:

NPV = KShs.682 million

8.2.7 Sensitivity analysis

In order to evaluate the soundness of the Project against the possible changes in future economic conditions, sensitivity analysis is made for the following cases:

- Case-1: 10% Project cost increase due to unforeseen geological and topographical conditions and unexpected increase of material cost
- Case-2: 10% Project benefit decrease due to unexpected decrease in forecasted price of farm product and crop yield
- Case-3: Two year overrun of the build-up period due to unexpected inefficiency in O&M management and agricultural extension services
- Case-4: Two year overrun of construction period due to unexpected and unforeseen reasons

The effects of these changes in IRR and NPV (discount rate: 10%) are summarized as shown below:

Case	IRR (%)	NPV (KShs. million)		
Case-1	17.0	598		
Case-2	16.8	530		
Case-3	17.6	626		
Case-4	16.8	530		

8.2.8 Result of economic evaluation

From the above results, the Project could be justified economically with IRR of 18.4% and NPV of KShs.682 million at the discount rate of 10%. The sensitivity analysis indicates that the economic feasibility of the Project is rather insensitive to the possible changes.

8.3 Financial Analysis

8.3.1 Financial cost

The financial cost estimated on the basis of the current prices as of mid 1987, is as follows:

	(Unit:KShs.x106		
Foreign Currency	Local Currency	Total	
758.7	468.4	1,227.1	

In this estimate, the price contingencies of 7% per annum for local currency portion and 3% for foreign currency portion are included.

8.3.2 Farm budget analysis and payment capacity

In order to evaluate the Project from the farmer's viewpoint, the farm budget analyses are made under future with and without the Project conditions as described in Section 5.1 of Chapter V.

The payment capacity is recognized as the ability of the Project-benefited farmers to bear the expenses required for operation and maintenance of the Project facilities as well as for repayment of capital cost. The payment capacity is defined to be the difference of net disposable reserves under future with and without the Project conditions, which the farmers can actually earn from the Project after all the farm expenses and living costs are deducted from the gross farm income.

The payment capacity under the Project at the full operation stage is estimated as follows:

		·		(Unit:	KShs.}
Description	Farm Size (ha)	Disposable With Project	Reserve Without Project	Payment C Per Farmer	apacity Per ha
(1) MIS	1.8	47,600	2,800	44,800	24,900
(2) Mutithi	3.2	76,200	500	75,700	23,700

The increased net disposable reserve would offer the better living conditions and welfare to the farmers and also the incentives for farm re-investment and further development, and the substantial payment capacity would make the farmers to make some payment for irrigation water.

8.3.3 Anticipated project revenue

The irrigation water charges which should cover the annual 0&M expenses for the Project facilities and a part of the capital cost, would be collected from the Project-benefited farmers. The amount of irrigation water charge should be decided by the Government of Kenya, considering the payment capacity of the farmers and annual costs for operation and maintenance as well as the repayment for the capital cost. It should be noted that the payment capacity of the farmers would largely depend upon the prices of rice which is politically determined by the Government. The payment capacity of the farmers mentioned above is estimated on the basis of the present prices as of mid 1987.

8.3.4 Repayment of project cost

It is assumed that the initial investment required for the Project implementation will be arranged under the following conditions:

- (1) For foreign currency portion, the capital is financed by bilateral or international organization with an interest of 3.0% per annum for a repayment period of 30 years including 10 year grace period.
- (2) For local currency portion, the capital is arranged by the Government budget allocation with no repayment.

Based on the above conditions, the repayment schedule for the foreign currency portion is prepared as shown in Table 8.3.1.

8.3.5 Result of financial evaluation

The Project will bring about a great improvement in farm budget, and give an incentives for further improvement of the irrigated land to the farmers. The farmers who will receive a large economic return, will spend their increased income for various purposes and the economic activities will thereby enhanced. Increased tax revenue will also be expected from such

future economic circumstances. The Project could be justified from the farmer's viewpoint.

During the repayment period of 30 years for foreign loan, the annual average amount of the Government budget requirement for covering the loan repayment, loan interest, replacement cost and O&M costs is about KShs.98.1 million. This amount corresponds to KShs.10,300 per ha per annum, or about 40% of the above-mentioned payment capacity per ha per annum. Most of the budget requirement for loan repayment and O&M expenses would be covered by the expected Project revenue in terms of water charge. The Project could be also justified from the financial viewpoint.

8.4 Socio-Economic Impacts

In addition to the direct benefits counted in the economic evaluation, various secondary and intangible benefits and/or favourable socio-economic impacts are expected from the implementation of the Project. Major socio-economic impacts are described hereunder:

(1) Increase of employment opportunity

Employment opportunity to the local people will be increased by the implementation of the Project, and a favourable impacts to the regional economy will be expected through the increased monetary movement. The employee will gain more experience, technical know-how, skillfulness in various working fields. These accumulations of working techniques would be applied to the future development in the region.

Irrigation will improve the present low land productivity and increase crop production in the Project area. The increased crop production will accelerate further development of agroindustries and marketing activities in the surrounding areas. It will also increase the employment opportunity.

(2) Foreign exchange saving

After completion of the Project, significant increase in rice production is expected. The marketable production would be about 100,000 tons of paddy. The increased production would largely reduce the import of rice and consequently contribute to the foreign exchange saving equivalent to around KShs.404 million per annum.

(3) Demonstration effects

The Mwea Irrigation Development Project has been given a leading role of pioneering the rice irrigation projects in Kenya. The successful implementation of the Project certainly leads to easier realization of other projects because of technical knowledge and skills to be accumulated through the Project implementation. With the completion of the Project, the farmers in the potential areas for irrigation development, as well as those in the Project area, will become familiar with double cropping of rice under modern irrigation practices and their incentives for irrigation practices will be much enhanced. In the succeeding projects, therefore, the build-up period will be possibly shortened.

(4) Increase of land value

The economic value of the land will surely increase with the Project implementation, particularly in the Mutithi extension area. It means that the value of land assets as a mortgage will become higher and the land owners will have the larger monetary power when they will expand their business. It will also accelerate the economic activities in the region. During a certain period after completion of the Project, land transactions in the Project area will have to be controlled by the Government in order to achieve the social justice in the present system of land transactions.

(5) Improvement of local transportation

The local transportation will be much improved by the construction of the operation and maintenance roads along the irrigation canals as well as the link canals and headrace. The expanded road system will not only enhance the economic activities but also contribute to inter-regional accessibility and communication.

(6) <u>Mitigation of flood damages</u>

The downstream area in the Thiba part is sometimes flooded in the rainy season. Although the proposed plan does not include the flood control in its purpose, operation of the reservoir will have incidental effects on flood control through reduction in flood frequency and peak discharge.

(7) Improvement of farm product

The quality of rice will be much improved through sufficient irrigation water supplies which enable the crop damages to minimize and assure the full and uniform maturing of rice. Such improved quality would increase the marketability of the product.

(8) Improvement of rural water supplies

The rehabilitation of the existing canal system will improve the situation of water shortage through year-round supply of the fresh water in sufficient quantity from the irrigation canals. New construction of irrigation canals in the Mutithi area will provide the farmers with easy access to domestic water.

(9) Potential uses of the reservoir

After creation of the reservoir, the fish production will become possible. It will provide the local people with new business opportunity. The rapid increase of rural population around the Project area will cause a significant increase in the use of reservoir for recreational purposes like fishing and boating. Provision should be made to obtain the maximum recreational benefits from the completed reservoir.

CHAPTER IX RECOMMENDATIONS

(1) Early Implementation of the Project

The Mwea Irrigation Development Project is verified herewith to be technically sound, and economically and financially feasible. It is highly recommended that the necessary arrangement for early implementation of the Project be taken as soon as possible.

The performance in the existing MIS Scheme has now begun to deteriorate with decreasing production level. The situation is likely to get worse with disastrous consequences unless corrective measures are urgently taken. With such pressing needs for rehabilitation works, the Project is rather urgently required.

(2) Establishment of Pilot Farm

Following the Government food policy, the Project is formulated under the basic concept that the Area be doubled cropped per year. The introduction of double cropping has necessitated the establishment of a pilot farm in the Project area to make demonstration and trials possible before implementation.

(3) Stagewise Implementation of the Project

It is recommended that the Project be implemented in two stage of:

Phase-I : Establishment of a Pilot Farm (50 ha) and Rehabilitation of existing MIS Scheme (6,660 ha), and

Phase-II : Dam construction and development of Mutithi extension area (2,900 ha),

because Phase-I should be urgently required for improvement of the decreasing rice production level in MIS; on the other, Phase-II would require, before its implementation, a lot of preparatory works such as environmental study for dam construction, compensation for prospective reservoir area and land tenure problem in the Mutithi area. The Project components to be implemented under Phase-I and Phase-II would be as follows:

Phase-I: Establishment of a Pilot Farm (50 ha) and Rehabilitation of existing MIS Scheme (6,660 ha)

- (1) Establishment of a Pilot farm (50 ha) and operation running-in,
- (2) Construction of a link canal system from new Nyamindi headworks to the existing Thiba headworks,
- (3) Rehabilitation of the existing irrigation and drainage system covering 5,860 ha as well as land reclamation and construction of a new irrigation and drainage system for uncultivated red soils area of 800 ha,
- (4) Provision of farm machinery and O&M equipment, and
- (5) Improvement of farm buildings and reception centres.

Phase-II: Dam construction and development of Mutithi extension area

- (1) Construction of new dam (storage capacity: 18 MCM) at upstream of the Thiba river,
- (2) Construction of link canal from the existing Thiba headworks to the Mutithi extension area.
- (3) Construction of new headworks on the Ruamuthambi river and headrace therefrom,
- (4) Land reclamation and construction of a new irrigation and drainage system covering 2,900 ha,
- (5) Provision of farm machinery and O&M equipment for Mutithi area, and
- (6) Construction of required farm buildings and reception centres.

It is strongly recommended, considering the pressing needs for rehabilitation of the existing MIS, that at least Phase-I of the Project be implemented as early as possible and also that necessary actions be taken for preparatory works required for continuous implementation of Phase-II.

(4) Further Study on Resettlement and Rural Community Development in the Mutithi Extension Area

The Mutithi extension area is remoted from the public services and infrastructures such as electricity and domestic supplies, roads and agricultural institutions and facilities. Villages are not well developed, particularly in the black cotton soils area, no single village is observed. Such present condition implies that irrigation development of the Area will require not only irrigation/drainage facilities but also other ancillary facilities which will help the farmers (land holders) to settle in the Area. These may include electricity and rural water supplies, health facilities for sanitary protection, and educational facilities.

In order to realize the Project smoothly, such rural community development will be required. The studies on these facilities are, however, not included in the "Scope of Work for the Feasibility Study". These will have to be made, in close coordination with other agencies concerned, during the detailed design stage of the Project.

(5) Environmental Study for Dam Construction

Dam construction coupled with irrigation development is generally considered influential in altering the environmental resources. Environmental study is, therefore, required before implementation will take place. Such study generally comprises manifold items of environmental impacts evaluation on physical resources, ecological resources, human use values, and quality of life values as mentioned in Section 5.3 of Chapter V. In particular, the compensation problem for prospective reservoir area covering about 140 ha will have to be urgently solved.

The impacts on environmental resources and compensation problem will have to be carefully studied during the stage of detailed design of the Project.

(6) Improvement of Rice Mill

The total annual processing capacity of the Mwea Rice Mill is around 30,000 tons under normal operation. It could be increased up to about 60,000 tons in 2-shift operation. However, it would not meet, even under 2-shift operation, the future rice production of about 100,000 tons. It is therefore

recommended that an additional rice mill be installed in future when the existing Mwea Rice Mill will have the difficulties to process the paddy harvested in the Project area.

(7) Encouragement of Cooperative Movement

At present, NIB provides the tenant farmers obligatorily with all necessary services. The relationship between NIB and the tenants seems to be somewhat outdated, in relation to recent government policy towards development of rural areas which intends to bring up the independent and competent farmers. The present system seems to give little motivation to development of such farmers, with negative consequences for the operation and maintenance.

The present system could be gradually transferred to a system in which the farmers cooperatives would take part in decision-making and execution of farming activities, while NIB gets the role of an extension organization and/or responsible body for operation and maintenance of the Project facilities.

As mentioned in Section 2.6 of Chapter II, most of the NIB Schemes are facing to the financial problems mainly due to higher production costs and lower productivity under the present system resulting in unfavourable imbalance in Scheme account. Such situation seems to be partly attributable to the present regulations that forces NIB to execute almost all activities for the tenants at a high cost and high managerial requirement.

Considering all these, it is recommended that NIB scrutinize, in close coordination with other agencies concerned, the future institutional arrangement required for successful achievement of the development objectives. In particular, attention should be paid to the future relationship between NIB and the farmers' cooperatives.

(8) Increase in Paddy Price

Higher rate of increase in farm input costs compared to the government price increase for paddy has worsen the profitability of rice cultivation. This tendency is clearly observed in Fig.3.9.5 in which yearly changes in income level are shown for the period from 1976/77 to 1985/86. The net income of the Mwea rice farmer at 1977 constant price has dropped from about KShs.9,600 to KShs.2,600 on an average during the said period.

The average net income in 1985/86 corresponds to only 30% of that in 1976/77. The drastic decrease in net farm income seems to affect the farmers' motivation to improve their rice production and might reflect the present situation that rice production is declining in the existing MIS.

It is desired that the NIB service charges be paid by the farmers at cost basis, while the paddy-price to the farmers be set in relation to their payments as well as the costs of inputs and reasonable profits.

(9) <u>Technical assistance</u>

It is recommended that the technical assistance be considered during the period of initial stage of the Project for (1) initial operation of the pilot farm and (2) introduction of the new water management system.

The proposed pilot farm will have the following objectives:

- selection of rice varieties suitable for long rains crop and demonstration of double cropping to the farmers,
- (2) field trials on farm machinery and equipment,
- (3) field trials and demonstration of irrigated horticultural crops on the red soils,
- (4) field trials on irrigation practices and water management at on-farm level both for rice and horticultural crops, and
- (5) seed multiplication

The technical assistance in the field of rice breeding and farm machinery will enable NIB to operate the pilot farm smoothly and effectively.

After completion of Phase-I of the Project, the Nyamindi river will be connected with the Thiba river through construction of new Nyamindi headworks and Link canal-I, and the Thiba part of MIS will benefit by the increased irrigation water from the Nyamindi river. Under such conditions, systematic operations of the irrigation facilities will be indispensable

for ensuring the expected benefits. Technical assistance for introduction of the new water management system is therefore needed.

The experts required for the technical assistance will be as follows:

- (a) Rice breeder
 - (b) Water management specialist
 - (c) Mechanical engineer

The experts will be based at the project site and carry out their duties in conjunction with the Kenya counterpart staff. During the period of the technical assistance programme both local and overseas training for the Kenyan project staff will be required.

TABLES

Table 2.1.1 Population and Population Growth Rate (1969-2000)

					Population			Populatí	Population Growth Rate (Z)	Rate (Z)
-10			1969	1979*	1986	1989	2000	1969 - 1979	1979'	1989 2000
Kenya	H	Declining Fertility Declining Mortality	10,942,705	15,327,061	21,021,253	23,513,198	34,792,475	4-6	3.2	3.6
		Constant Fertility Declining Mortality	10,942,705	15,327,061	21,211,976	24,008,756	38,409,072	6. 4.	4.6	7.7
	m [']	Constant Fertility Constant Mortality	10,942,705	15,327,061	21,163,249	23,882,549	37,504,536	3.¢	4.5	4.2
Central Province	Pro	vince	1,675,647	2,345,833	3,160,342	3,550,270	(5,582,211) *	3.4	4.2	4.2
Kirinya	183 T	Kirinyaga District	216,998	291,421	386,800	431,722	(664,616)*	3.0	0.4	0.7
Mwea Division	ivisi	uo	58,262	81,054	(101,736)*	(112,144)*	(160,281)*	3.3	3.3	
	:									

Sources (1) Kenya Population Census, 1969, Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning

Estimated by JICA Study Team because of no data available Note: *

⁽²⁾ Kenya Population Census, 1979, Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning

Population Projections for KENYA 1980 - 2000, Central Bureau of Statistics ල

Table 2.1.2 Population Census 1979

Province	District	Population	Land Area (km²)	Densit
NAIROBI:		827,775	684	1,210
CENTRAL:	Kiambu	606,290	2,448	280
	Kirinyaga	291,431	1,437	202
	Muranga	648,333	2,476	261
	Nyandarua	233, 302	3,528	66
	Nyeri	486,477	3,284	148
	Sub-Total/Ave.	2,345,833	13,173	178
EASTERN	Embu	263,173	2,714	96
	Ísiolo	43,478	25,605	1
	Kitui	464,283	29,388	15
	Machakos	1,022,522	14,178	7.2
	Marsabit	96,216	73,952	1
	Meru	830,179	9,922	83
	Sub-Total/Ave.	2,719,851	155,759	17
COAST:	Kilifi	430,986	12,414	34
	Kwale	288,363	8,257	34
	Lāmu Mombasa	42,299	6,506	6
	romoasa Taita Taveta	341,148	210	1,622
	Tana River	147,597 92,410	16,959 38,694	8 2
	Sub-Total/Ave.	1,342,803	83,040	16
NORTH EASTERN:	Garissa	120 072		
HORTER ENOTERS.	Mandera	128,867 105,601	43,931	2
	Wajir	139, 319	26,470 56,501	3 2
	Sub-Total/Ave.	373,787	126,902	2
NYANZA:	Kisli	869,512	2,196	395
	Kisumu	482,327	2,093	230
	Siaya	474,516	2,522	188
	South Nyanza	817,601	5,714	143
	Sub-Total/Ave.	2,643,956	12,525	211
RIFT VALLEY:	Baringo	203,792	9,885	20
	Elegeyo Markwet	148,868	2,279	65
	Ka ji ado	149,005	19,605	7
•	Kericho	633,348	3,931	161
	Laikipia	134,534	9,718	13
	Nakuru	522,709	5,769	90
	Nandi	299, 319	2,745	109
	Narok	210,306	16,115	13
	Samburu Panan Mania	76,908	17,521	4
	Trans-Nzoia	259,503	2,078	124
	Turkana Uasin Gishu	142,702	61,768	. 2
	West Pokot	300,766 158,652	3,378 9,090	89 1
	Sub-Total/Ave.	3,240,412	163,882	 19
VESTERN:				
	Bungoma Busia	503,935	3,074	163
	Kakamega	297,841	1,626	183
	Sub-Total/Ave.	1,030,887	3,495	294
		1,832,663	8,195	223
	TOTAL	15,327,080	564,160	27

Source: Statistical Abstract 1986, CBS

Table 2.1.3 Real Growth Rates of GDP

(Unit: % in real terms)

Period/Year	Growth ra	ite	.Year	Growth Rate
1964 - 69	Average 5.8)	1980	3,3
1970	6.8)	1981	5.3
71	7.0)	1982	3.4
72	6.8)	1983	4.2
73	4.3)	1984	2.9
74	1.1) Average 5.1	1985	4.5
75	4.1)		•
76	2.4)		
77	8.8)		
78	6.6)		
79	3,3)		

Source:

- (1) Economic Survey 1980 (1970-79)
- (2) Economic Survey 1983 (1980-82) (3) Economic Survey 1986 (1983-85)
- (4) Figure for 1964-69 calculated from the figure for 1964-72 in (2)

Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by Industrial Origin at Current Prices

					(Unit:	K£ million)
	Item	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percentage
A.	Traditional Economy	197	227	293	318	6.6
В.	Monetary Economy					
	Agriculture Manufacturing Electricity/water Construction Trade, etc. Finance & others Government	1,067 415 47 138 577 395 475	1,122 470 52 132 659 443 522	1,241 528 57 162 770 517 619	1,400 587 64 187 939 581 757	29.0 12.1 1.3 3.9 19.4 12.0 15.7
	Total	3,114	3,400	3,894	4,515	93.4
C.	Total GDP	3,311	3,627	4,187	4,833	100.0
Ð.	GDP per capita (K£)	176.6	186.2	206.9	229.9	-

Source: Economic Survey 1987, CBS

Table 2.1.5 Capital Formation (at Current Prices)

					(Unit:	K£ million)
	Item	1983	1984	1985	1986	Percentage
Α,	Traditional Economy	66	78	99	108	8.9
В.	Monetary Economy					
	Agriculture	54	59	76	93	7.7
	Manufacturing	117	32	107	174	14.4
	Electricity/water	57	37	29	36	3.0
	Construction	59	68	46	-65	5.4
	Trade, etc.	137	1 7 5	184	318	26.2
	Finance & others	125	135	177	184	15.1
	Government	102	153	192	234	19.3
с.	Total	717	737	910	1,212	100.0

Note:

Agriculture includes forestry and fishing.
Manufacturing includes mining and gunning.
Trade, etc. includes restaurants, hotels, transport, storage and

communications.

Source: Economic Survey 1987, CBS

Table 2.1.6 External Trade (1983-1986)

(1) Balance of Trade

	·		(Unit: K	£ million)
Item	1983	1984	1985	1986*
1. Export	652	777	811	987
2. Import	906	1,097	1,196	1,338
3. Balance of Trade	-254	-320	~385	-351

(2) Major Export Items

			· - ·	(Unit:	K£ million)
Item	1983	1984	1985	1986*	Share (%)
1. Coffee, Unroasted	160.1	203.7	230.7	388.5	40.6
2. Tea	123.4	189.5	191.7	172.8	18.0
3. Petroleum Products	123.8	131.6	116.5	99.0	10.3
4. Cement	21.8	17.5	15.4	13.4	1.4
5. Pinapples, canned	20.9	25.9	24.4	24.2	2.5
6. Beans	13.8	2.5	0.6	6.7	0.7
7. Sisal	12.1	12.6	14.4	10.9	1.1
8. Others	157.2	171.5	191.4	242.5	25.4
Total	633.1	754.8	785.1	958.0	100.0

(3) Major Import Items

				(Unit:	K£ million)
Item	1983	1984	1985	1986*	Share (%)
1. Crude Petroleum	275.2	292.4	344.1	207.8	15.5
Industrial Machinery	137.2	173.6	164.6	236.9	17.7
Iron and Steel	48.4	63.0	64.8	64.4	4.8
4. Motor Vehicles	32.3	55.1	63.8	88.0	6.6
5. Fertilizer	25.1	13.9	52.0	50.0	3.7
6. Pharmaceuticals	19.6	20.0	23.3	32.7	2.4
7. Paper and Paper Products	12.0	11.8	3.4	15.7	1.2
8 Others	355.8	467.4	474.0	642.6	48.1
Total	905.6	1,097.2	1,196.0	1,337.9	100.0

Note: * Provisional

Source: Economic Survey 1987, CBS

Table 2.1.7 Balance of Payments

		(Unit: K£	million)
Item	1983	1984	1985
A. Total Current Account a. Visible Trade Balance b. Invisible Trade Balance	-89.0	-146.6	-169.3
	-313.3	-373.4	-445.4
B. Capital Movements a. Private Long-term b. Government Long-term c. Government Corporations	224.3	227.0	276.1
	158.6	183.9	86.3
	62.0	77.0	79.9
	57.3	71.5	9.2
	14.7	-1.3	-7.9
d. Short-termC. Monetary Movementsa. Transactions with I.M.F.	24.6	36.7	5.1
	-70.6	-39.0	86.3
	63.9	16.6	100.0
b. Other Charges in Assets and LiabilitiesD. Errors and Omissions	-134.5	-55.6	-13.7
	-1.4	=1.7	-2.9

Source: Economic Survey 1987, CBS

Table 2.1.8 Central Government Revenue

			(Unit:	K£ million)
Item	1983/84	1984/85	1985/86	1986/87*
A. Direct Taxation B. Indirect Taxation	251.8	301.0	358.7	400.0
a. Sales Tax b. Import Duties c. Excise Duties d. Others Sub-total C. Other Revenue	253.7 183.5 79.4 44.0 560.6	273.6 165.1 78.8 67.5 585.0 133.6	302.2 210.4 89.0 103.5 705.1	419.2 240.5 100.9 134.0 894.6
Total	923.6	1,019.6	1,186.4	1,477.5

Note: * Provisional

Source: Economic Survey 1987, CBS

Table 2.1.9 Central Government Expenditure

			(Unit:	K£ million;
Item	1983/84	1984/85	1985/86	1986/87*
A. General Public Administration	161.4	212.7	227.5	341.6
B. Defence	140.0	112.2	127.3	168.4
C. Education	220.1	259.8	332.8	404.5
D. Health E. Economic Services	73.3	82.7	92.7	119.3
a. Agriculture b. Other Services	93.8 220.6	140.8	147.7	240.0
F. Others	345.5	263.4 463.1	217.7 506.1	285.5 574.9
Total	1,254.7	1,534.7	1,651.8	2,134.2

Note: * Provisional

Source: Economic Survey 1987, CBS

Table 2.2.1 Agricultural Population

Year		Population		Economi	cally Active	Population
	Total	Agriculture	Per Cent	Total	Agriculture	Per Cent
1970	11,290	9,577	84.8	4,950	4,199	84.8
1975	13,703	11,362	82.9	5,890	4,883	82.9
1980	16,766	13,582	81.0	7,072	5,729	81.0
1985	20,600	16,242	78.8	8,389	6,614	78.8

Source: Production Year Book 1985, FAO

Table 2.2.2 Land Use

Item	Area (1,000 ha)	Per Cent (%)
Land Area	56,925	100.0
Arable Land	1,850	3.2
Land under Permanent Crops	485	0.9
Land under Permanent Meadows and Pastur	e 3,750	6.6
Forest and Woodland	3,740	6.6
Other Land	47,100	82.7
Water Area	1,340	-
Total Area	58,265	.

Source: Production Year Book 1985, FAO

Categories of Agricultural Land Table 2.2.3

	11.5									1011 000 A
Province	nign Potential	Percent	Medium Potential	Percent &	Low Potential	Percent %	Total	Percent	All Other Land	Total Land Area
Coast	373	ហ	700		i i	•	•			
North-Eastern) (2		900°C	87.8	6,832	100.0	1,472	8,304
Baktern	603	! _S	i	3	12,690	0.001	12,690	0.001	l	12,690
	n (p .	Z + K & Z	ા. જે.	11,453	6.08 0.08	14,145	100.0	1,431	15.876
Central	60 60 60	94.2	ഗ പ	۲۱ و	41	4.2	965	100.0		0 6
Nairobi	16	29.6	ľ	ı	80	70.4) V) () ,	040
Rife Valley	3,025	19.7	123	80	10.00	1 0 0	# 6 7 C	0.00	5 7	3) 9
Nyanza	1,218	97.3	*E	, ,) (,	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.004	1,515	16,883
Western	727	000	•		t	į	7.232	0.00	1	1,252
	,	2	,	1			741	0.001	82	823
Total	6,785	13.0	3,157	r: 9	42,105	80.9	52,047	100.0	4.867	2.0.38
								•	, ,	44747

The three categories are defined as follows: Note:

Annual Rainfall of 857.5 mm or more (over 980 mm in Coast Province) High Potential

Medium Potential

Annual Rainfall of 735 mm - 857.5 mm (735 mm - 857.5 mm in Eastern Province)

Annual Rainfall of 735 mm or less (Under 612.5 mm in Eastern Province) Low Potential

Source: Statistical Abstruct 1986, C.B.S.

Table 2.2.4 Production of Main Crops

Crops	a proprieta de la companya de la co	1969-71	1974-76	1979-81	1983	1984	1985
Maize	Area Harvested (1,000 ha) Unit Yield (ton/ha) Production (1,000 ton)	1,38	1,51 1,62 2,450	1,27	1,236	1,200	1,400 1.89 2,650
Weat	Area Harvested (1,000 ha) Unit Yield (ton/ha) Production (1,000 ton)	1 1 2 2 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 . 62 1 . 62 177	106 2.01 212	120 2.09 2.13	1	14.4
Rice/Paddy	Area Harvested (1,000 ha) Unit Yield (ton/ha) Production (1,000 ton)	4.75	5.32	4 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	4, 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.		. E 98. E 33. S
Sugar Cane	Area Harvested (1,000 ha) Unit Yield (ton/ha) Production (1,000 ton)	26 62,88 1,645	33 63.23 2,097	38 111.69 4,211	40.4	40 105.43 4,171	101.54
Coffee Green	Coffee Green Area Harvested (1,000 ha) Unit Yield (ton/ha) Production (1,000 ton)	85 0.67 57	106 0.68 72	0.78	0 . 64.0	0 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	150
ਰ ਦ	Area Harvested (1,000 ha) Unit Yield (ton/ha) Production (1,000 ton)	1.086 385		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	j ' "' 🛱	1.45 11.45	1 . 63 1 . 63
१ वह	Area Harvested (1,000 ha) Unit Yield (ton/ha) Production (1,000 ton)	0 89 89 89	4 44.0 60.0	0 . 9 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4	6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1.32 3.32 50

Source: Production Year Book 1981, 1984, 1985, FAO

Table 2.5 Recorded Marketed Production at Current Prices

	···	·	·	(Unit:	KE milion)
Item	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986*
Cereals	59.7	81.4	71.4	91.0	107.2
Maize	30.8	49.0	49.1	54.6	66.5
Wheat	22.0	26.9	17.8	26.3	32.9
Others	6.9	5.5	4.5	10.1	7.8
Temporary Industrial Crops	53.9	51.2	58.5	65.9	92.7
Sugar Cane	29.4	34.3	41.0	46.8	52.8
Pyrethrum	14.8	5.0	1.9	2.9	4.5
Others	9.7	11.9	15.6	16.2	
Other Temporary Crops	10.7	12.0	9.5	17.3	27.8
Permanent Crops	232.9	316.6	551.8	459.4	550.8
Coffee	122.9	166.3	227.7	191.9	288,3
Tea	93.2	130.3	301.1	247.6	242.3
Sisal	12.6	15.5	17.3	15.0	15.4
Others	4.2	4.5	5.7	4.9	4.8
Total Crops	357.2	461.2	691.2	633.6	778.5
Livestock & Products	91.7	94.3	97.6	122.3	159.8
Cattle and Calves	52.3	51.8	59.0	70.4	84.3
Daily Products	28.5	32.8	25.8	36.3	56.5
Others	10.9	9.7	12.8	15.6	19.0
Total	448.9	555.5	788.8	755.9	938.3

Note : * Provisional

Source : Economic Survey 1987, CBS

Intensity of Agricultural Land Use by Province Table 2.2.6

Province	Land	Agricultural Land	Land Under Forest	Land Available For Agriculture	Land	Intensity of Agricultural Land Use (%)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) = (2) - (3)	(5)	$(6) = (5) / (4) \times 100$
	648	•	ı	1	(ŧ
2. Central	13,173	7,727	1,672	6,055	5,636	69
3. Bastern	155,760	11,535	1,892	6,643	6,586	# (P) 3) 00 1 00
4. Coast	83,040	18,198	1,226	16,972	7.540) C
	126,902	3	• •	1 1))	> 1 1
6. Nyanza	12,526	11,252	191	11,061	5.012	6. RA
	171,108	31,159	6,150	25,009	100 100 100 100	
8. Western	8,223	6, 636	428	6,208	3,645	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Total	571,308	86,507	11,559	74,948	28,763	3.8.5

Sessional Paper No. 4 of 1981, on National Food Policy. Source:

Consumption Projection Table 2.2.7

120.0 11.5 4.0.2 orghum 21.6 12.0	(in 1995 (1,000 ton)	(1,000 ton)
Potatoes 558. Sugar 569. 900 183. X:17.	y A GONDHI	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	6.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0.444840 0.46440 0.464040 0.4600446

Consumption = Consumption per Capita x Projected Population /2 = Estimated Data during the period from 1990-2000 by JICA Study Team Note

Population Projection for Kenya 1980-2000, CBS 1983. Development Plan 1979-1983.

Source

Table 2.6.1 Current Features of NIB Scheme Operations

Description Unit Name Abboro Namet Sunyala Parkerra Tana Total/Avo-						•			
Sugar cane Day S. 630 1.070 610 210 100 810 2.5	Description	Unit	Ммеа	Ahero	West Kano	Bunyala	Perkerra	Tana (Hola)	otal
a. Side b. Sugar cane b. Ana b. Sugar cane b. Cotton b. Sugar cane c. Cotton c. Cott	1. Gropped area under irri		5,830	1,070	670	210	00 -	0	
b. Sugar cane ha		! !	,	i		1		7 0	٠,
Gotton d. Vegetables ha - 226 d. Vegetables ha - 226 d. Vegetables b. Sugax cane c. Cotton c. Cotton d. Vegetables b. Sugax cane c. Cotton d. Vegetables	\$ 60 i.u	ರ .	ω M		450	210	I	ı	6
d. Vegetables Ass. of polity holders Nos. of polity of polity holders Nos.	447	명보		•	220	•	ı	i	0000
d. Vegetables Assa of plot holder Nos. 3.235		ह्य <u>प</u>	*	,)]	ļ	1		220
a. Rice c. Cutcon d. Vegetables ton/ha c. Cutcon d. Vegetables ton/ha d. Vegetables d. Vegetables ton/ha d. Vegetables ton/ha d. Vegetables d. Vegetables ton/ha d. Vegetables d.		ង់ជ	ı	,)	ı	?	(1)	830
Copperation ton ton 29,200 3,300 2,300 1,300 - 5,500 1	Nos of what	4)	ı	o -1	1	100
a. Rice b. Sugara cane ton	7777	Nos.	ų.	व द	553	131	342	6.08	
a. Signar cane ton ton 29,200 3,300 1,300 1,300 1,300 1,500 1,550	-						}		4
b. Sugar cane ton		4	•						:
d. Vegetables d. Vegetables ton/ha v. Vegetables v. Veg			27.60	8	ဗ္ဂ	8	ı	ť	ט
d. Vegetables ton d. Vegetables ton/ha a. Rice b. Sugar cane ton/ha ton		don	ŧ	•	8	1	1	•	, r.
Unit yields of crops per ha a. Risce b. Sugar cane c. Cotton d. Vegetables ton/ha c. Cotton d. Vegetables ton/ha d. Vegetables d. Vegetables ton/ha d. Vegetables d. Vege		qon	i	1	ı	ı		U) U
a. Rice b. Sugar cane ton/ha c. Cotton c. Cotton c. Cotton c. Sugar cane ton/ha c. Cotton c. Cot		ton	1	1	:	ı	6	2) r
a. Side b. Sugar cane ton/ha ton/ha c. Cotton d. Vegetables ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha d. Vegetables ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha ton/ha d. Vegetables ton/ha ton/h		eq =							1
b. Sugar cane ton/ha 5.0 3.1 5.1 6.1 - 25 d. Vegetables ton/ha									
c. Cotton d. Vegetables ton/ha ton/ha d. Vegetables ton/ha ton/ha d. Vegetables d. Vegetables ton/ha d. Vegetables d. Vegetables ton/ha d. Vegetables d. Veg		con/na	5.0	ч. п.	ተ · ያ		,	1	4
d. Vegetables ton/ha to		ton/na	1	•	S	1	*	. •	
Aross values of crops		ton/ha	1	1	ŧ	ı	ì		3 -
Gross values of crops KShs.1,000 84.270 8.970 7.610 3.490 3.740 6.680 114.70 a. per ha KShs./holder 26,040 17,280 11,360 16,620 37,400 8,050 13,18 NIB service charge KShs./holder 26,040 17,280 13,760 26,640 10,940 11,080 21,33 A Other deductions KShs.1,000 40,320 6,060 5,490 7,760 20,800 5,190 6,72 B Other deductions KShs./ha 12,460 12,490 6,650 12,440 6,080 5,190 6,750 Bayment to plot holder KShs./ha 12,460 12,490 6,650 12,440 6,080 7,150 10,87 A. Per ha KShs./ha 7,530 2,320 5,870 9,860 16,600 2,860 6,46 B. Per ha KShs./holder 13,580 4,790 7,110 14,200 4,860 3,930 10,45	š	ton/ha	1	1	ŧ	1	*-1	• •	h d
a. per ha KShs./ha 14,450 8,380 11,360 16,620 37,400 8,050 NIB service charge KShs./holder 26,040 17,280 13,760 26,640 10,940 11,080 11,080 A Cther deductions KShs.1,000 40.320 6,480 3,680 1,630 2,080 4,310 EASH CES. 1,400 6,080 7,760 20,800 5,190 EASH CES. 1,400 6,080 7,150 EASH CES. 1,400 6,080 7,150 EASH CES. 1,400 6,680 12,440 6,080 7,150 EASH CES. 1,400 6,680 1,400 6,080 7,150 EASH CES. 1,400 6,680 1,400 6,080 7,150 EASH CES. 1,400 6,680 1,400 6,080 7,150 EASH CES. 1,400 EASH EASH EASH EASH EASH EASH EASH EASH	Gross values of	_	0 7 0 7 0			•	l i		
L. Per nate	,	_	3777	4		3,490	3,740	5.680	114,750
NIB service charge NIB service charge KShs.l,000 40.320 6.480 3.680 1.630 2.080 4.310 3. per plot holder KShs.l,000 43.950 2.320 3.390 1.860 1.660 2.860		KShs./ha	4,45	88	1,36	G	4	C	
NIB service charge		KShs./holder	6,04	7,28	3,76	Ġ	. 0) C	•
a per ha KShs.1,000 40.320 6,060 5,490 7,760 20,800 5,190 b. per plot holder KShs./ha 12,460 12,490 6,650 12,440 6,080 7,150 2.370 2.370 a. per ha KShs.1,000 43.950 2,320 3.390 1.860 16,600 2,860 5,000 3,930 5,000 8,860 16,600 4,860 3,930						·	•	0 7	•
a. per ha KShs./ha 6,920 6,060 5,490 7,760 20,800 5,190 b. per plot holder KShs./ha 12,460 12,490 6,650 12,440 6,080 7,150 2.370 a. per ha KShs.l.000 43,950 2,320 3,390 1.860 16,600 2,860 5,860 5,000 7,110 14,200 4,860 3,930	& Other deductions		000			,	٠.		
a. per ha	1		275-775	*	4	1,630	2.080	4,310	- 4
Payment to plot holders (5-6) RShs.lha 12,460 12,490 6,650 12,440 6,080 7,150 RShs.l,000 43,950 3,390 1,860 1,660 2,860 a. per ha b. per plot holder KShs.lholder 13,580 4,790 7,110 14,200 4,860 3,930 Source: NIB Annual Report 1983/84	ብ መ ቢ	KShs./ha	92	90,	4	. 76	C	u U	(
Rayment to plot holders (5-6) a. per ha b. per plot holder KShs./ha 7,530 2,320 3,390 1,860 1,660 2,860 b. per plot holder KShs./holder 13,580 4,790 7,110 14,200 4,860 3,930 3,930	D C C	KShs./ha	2,46	4,	့ မှ	2.44	, v	0 C	Ď (
-61 per ha yet ha y						-		4	5
per ha KShs./ha 7,530 2,320 5,870 8,860 16,600 2,860 per plot holder 13,580 4,790 7,110 14,200 4,860 3,930	(5-6)	KShs.1,000	43,950		ď	α		i c	
Per plot holder KShs./holder 13,580 4,790 7,110 14,200 4,860 3,930	6					7	1	7777	26174
1rce: NIB Annual Report 1983/84	per plot	•	r (80	87	တ	6,60	2,860	6,460
NIB Annual Report 1983/84		υı	ກໍ[2	17	2,2	86	3,930	10,450
	NIB Annual								

T - 12

Income and Expenditure Account for NIB Schemes in the Year 1985/86 Table 2.6.2

1. INCOME (1) Service Charge (2) Government Grant & Others (2) Government Grant & Others (3) 927 (4) State Expenses (4) Interest on Loan & Others (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (6) 1,134 (7) 1,134 (8) 1,134 (9) 1,279 (1) 1,119 (1) 1,114 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,114 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,114 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,114 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,114 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,114 (1) 1,114 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,114 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,114 (1) 1,113 (1) 1,								
Description					ÌΙ			
Service Charge		Offi	Mwea	Ahero	West Kano	i	пакет	Tana (Hola)
(1) Service Charge 6,227 31,087 4,223 932 760 875 3, (2) Government Grant & Others 6,227 29,542 4,133 870 787 948 2, EXPENDITURE (1) Staff Cost (2) Office Expenses a. Buildings & Canals Maintenance 196 1,219 574 311 137 405 b. Diesel, Petrol & Oil c. Repair & Renewal Of: (1) Plant & Machinery - 812 1,679 1,165 353 182 2, (1i) Motor Vehicle (2) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (3) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (4) Interest on Loan & Others (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (6) EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE -4,907 1,413 -4,514 -7,100 -1,633 -4,401 -7,70	1. INCOME							
Total EXPENDICURE (1) Staff Cost (2) Office Expenses (3) O/M Expenses (3) O/M Expenses (3) O/M Expenses (4) Diesel, Petrol & Oil (4) Diesel, Petrol & Equipment (4) Interest on Loan & Others (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (4) Interest Over EXPENDICURE (4) Total (5) Depreciation of Fixed Assets (6) Diesel, Petrol (7) Staff Cost (8) Staff Cost (8) Staff Cost (9) Staff Cost (9) Staff Cost (1) Motor Vehicle (1) Motor Vehicle (2) Cost (1) Motor Vehicle (2) Cost (1) Motor Vehicle (3) Cost (1) Motor Vehicle (4) Interest on Loan & Others (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (6) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (7) Staff Cost (8) Staff Cost (9) Cost (9) Cost (1) Cost (Service Charge Government Grant &	,22	1,08	, 22 e	ကြယ	6 0		
(1) Staff Cost (2) Office Expenses (3) 925 1,219 574 311 137 405 (3) O/M Expenses (3) O/M Expenses (4) Diesel, Petrol & Oil (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (4) Interest on Loan & Others (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (6) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (1) Staff Cost (1) Staf	Total	,22	9,54	, 13	1	တ	4	83
(1) Staff Cost (2) Office Expenses (3) 925 1,219 574 311 137 405 (3) O/M Expenses a. Buildings & Canals Maintenance 196 1,994 298 114 199 1,374 b. Diesel, Petrol & Oil c. Repair & Renewal Of: (1) Plant & Machinery (2) 1,847 1,051 1,017 549 957 1, (3) Plant & Machinery (4) Plant & Machinery (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (4) Interest on Loan & Others (4) Interest on Loan & Others (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (6) Depreciation Office Over Expenditures (6) Depreciation on Fixed Assets (7) 1,134 28,069 8,647 7,970 2,420 5,349 10,77 1,014 1,115 1								
(2) Office Expenses (3) O/M Expenses (3) O/M Expenses a. Buildings & Canals Maintenance 196 1,994 298 114 199 1,374 b. Diesel, Petrol & Oil c. Repair & Renewal Of: (i) Plant & Machinery (ii) Plant & Machinery (iii) Motor Vehicle (iii) Tractor & Equipment (iii) Tractor & Equipment (iii) Tractor & Equipment (iii) Tractor & Squipment (iii) Tractor & Squipment (iii) Tractor & Squipment (iv) Motor Vehicle (iv) Mot		00	90	, 76	ω i	0	55,	56
a. Buildings & Canals Maintenance 196 1,994 298 114 199 1,374 1,000 b. Diesel, Petrol & Oil		, 92	,21	(~	-1	ന	0	750
b. Diesel, Petrol & Oil c. Repair & Renewal of: (i) Plant & Machinery (ii) Motor Vehicle (iii) Tractor & Equipment Sub-total (4) Interest on Loan & Others (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets Total EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE - 4,907 1,016 820 1,679 1,165 28 250 28 250 27 407 28 250 250 27 407 28 250 27 407 28 29 27 407 28 29 20 1,119 440 511 1,134 28,069 8,647 7,970 2,420 5,349 10, EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE -4,907 1,473 -4,514 -7,100 -1,633 -4,401 -7,	Buildings & Canals Mainte	Q	9	ത	۲-1	Q	37	C/I
(i) Plant & Machinery – 812 1,679 1,165 353 182 2,60 407 28 250 250 407 28 250 250 407 28 250 250 407 28 250 250 407 28 250 250 250 250 2011 1,119 2,119 2,110 2,1	Diesel, Petrol & Repair & Renewal	m	ω,	0.5	10	4	95	
(iii) Motor Vehicle - 7,843 1,215 1,119 440 511 1, Sub-total Sub-total (4) Interest on Loan & Others Total Total EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE -4,907 1,473 -4,514 -7,100 -1,633 -4,401 -7,	(i) Plant & Machinery	ı	⊣	67	7.16	ம	တ	90,
(iii) Tractor & Equipment - 7,843 1,215 1,119 440 511 1, Sub-total 820 16,836 4,763 3,822 1,569 3,274 6, (4) Interest on Loan & Others 13 375 1,579 537 645 108 108 Total 1,134 28,069 8,647 7,970 2,420 5,349 10, EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE -4,907 1,473 -4,514 -7,100 -1,633 -4,401 -7,		Q	34	52	40	\sim	ഗ	75
Sub-total 820 16,836 4,763 3,822 1,569 3,274 6, (4) Interest on Loan & Others 13 38 11 10 2 11 (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets 375 1,579 537 645 108 108 Total 1,134 28,069 8,647 7,970 2,420 5,349 10, EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE -4,907 1,473 -4,514 -7,100 -1,633 -4,401 -7,	Tractor &	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	80 i	,21	11,	ব	. ←1	
(4) Interest on Loan & Others 13 38 11 10 2 11 108 108 (5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets 375 1,579 537 645 108 108 108 Total 1,134 28,069 8,647 7,970 2,420 5,349 10, EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE -4,907 1,473 -4,514 -7,100 -1,633 -4,401 -7,	Sub-total	N	6,83	,76	,82	5.56	,27	, 42
(5) Depreciation on Fixed Assets 375 1,579 537 645 108 108 Total EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE -4,907 1,473 -4,514 -7,100 -1,633 -4,401 -7,	Interest on Loan &					7		e H
Total 1,134 28,069 8,647 7,970 2,420 5,349 10, EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE -4,907 1,473 -4,514 -7,100 -1,633 -4,401 -7,		~	,57	ന	বে	0	0	275
EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE -4,907 1,473 -4,514 -7,100 -1,633 -4,401 -7,	Total	133	8,06	64	76,	, 42	34	0
	EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE	4,90	147	4,51	7,10	1,63	4,40	1

Income and Expenditure Account of Mwea Irrigation Scheme (1976/77 - 1985/86) Table 2.6.3

		1976/	1977/	1978/	1979/	10007	1001	000	10		
	Description of the second	7		5	` ∞	81	130T) 82	1287	7887 84	1984/ 85	ည် တို့ လို့
1.	INCOME									į	
(g) E	 Service Charge Government Grant & Others 	6,655	6,349	5,508 4,905	6,622	7,496	24,489	29,396	38,426	32,651	31,087
	Jotal	10,939	10,938	10,413	13,223	8,460	H -4	96	2, 24	51 5	9,54
2. EXP	GRATICARE									•	
£ 6 £	Staff Cost Office Expenses O/M Expenses	3,865 389	4,412 343	4,715 1,010	5,023	6,064	6,950 1,131	5,215	6,160	6,666 1,536	8,397 1,219
	a. Buildings & Canals Maintenanceb. Diesel, Petrol & Oilc. Repair & Renewal of:	751	1,761	776 949	1,823	1,645	1,598	882	2,347	808 8,593	1,994
	(i) Plant & Machinery (ii) Motor Vehicle (iii) Tractor & Equipment	296 257 463	253 316 616	362 347 783	489 486 1,018		1,040 851 1,779	576 516 1,132	1,078 959 1,199	661 936 4,465	812 1,340 7,843
	Sub-total	2,664	3,860	3,214	5,420	6,790	7,402	5,767	6.583	10.464	16.836
(4)	(4) Interest on Loan & Others	3,502	1,081	485	704	1,544		1,726	7 6	32	•
3	Depreciation on Fixed Assets	519	702	989	1,426	1,532	1,910	1,596	1,207	1,066	1,579
	Total	10,939	10,398	10,413	13,223	16,997	20,517	15,111	15,145	9,0	90 (
3. EXC	EXCESS OF INCOME OVER EXPENDITURE	0	0	0	0	-8,537	4,400	9,858	27,100	18,459	1,473

Source: (1) NIB Annual Reports (1976/77 - 1983/84) (2) NIB Account Reports (1984/85 - 1895/86)

Table 3.3.1 Monthly Meteorological Record

'	Tem	Temperature	(၁၀)	Relative hu	humidity	(&)	Evaporation	lon(mm/dav)	Mean Wind	Sunshine	Radiation	Rair	Rainfall
Month	Max.	Min.	Mean	Max. M	Min.	Mean	Piche	Pan (class A)	Speed (km/day)	Hours (hrs/dav)	(cal/ cm2/day)	Depth (mm)	No. of Days(day)
dan.	29.4	14.9	22.2	99	0	53 83	ı	7.1	134	9.5	645	21	2
Feb.	31.0	15.6	23.2	ក	ဗ	47	ı	8.2	571	5.6	675	17	· r-t
Mar.	31.6	17.2	23.7	89	35	52	•	8	154	8	639	かの	ហ
Apr.	28.9	ლ დ ლ	23.4	76	4. 80	62	t	5.7	124	7.0	587	281	13
Kay	27.3	17.8	22.7	16	8	65	٠	5.2	ו דר דר	ь. Ф	561	137	7
Jun.	25.8	છ જ	21.2	76	51	79	ı	4.7	94	8. A.	491	12	н
Jul.	25.2	5 5 8	20.4	76	<u>4</u>	63	ı	4.5	112	4.3	441	v	r4
Aug.	26.1	16.0	20.9	74	4 R	9	•	r-1	139	4.6	472	7	7
Sep.	28.4	16.8	22.7	<u>ა</u>	36	53	1	7.0	177	មា ម	ი დ	17	਼ਰ
Oct.	29.4	17.5	23.4	17	40	5. 8	,	9.9	143	7.2	602	142	1
Nov.	27.6	17.0	22.4	77	ស	99	•	T · S	8 H	6.9	573	147	0 +t
Dec.	27.6	15.9	21.5	72	TS.	62	ı	5.6	126	7.3	909	50	m
Total	338.3	199°3	267.7	862	533	703	ı	73.0	1,577	82.7	6,874	926	53
Average	28.2	16.6	22.3	72	45	<u>எ</u>	ţ	ri, G	E.	ď	η 1,	,	•

Note: Number of rainy days is counted for daily rainfall more than 5mm.

Table 3.6.1 Soil Physical and Chemical Analysis (1/2)

No.	Horizon	Area (Section)	Mapping* Unit	pH (Water)	pH (KC1)	Conductivity (m_mho/cm)	Carbon	Available P (ppm)	Nitrogen (%)
ო	Þ	Mutithi	Pavel	7.65	у С	o o	!		,
4	Þ	Mutithi	רפעשמ			,	4		0.07
ď						ω Σ	4.51	ก	07.0
י כ	7	Mutitur	ANG.	6.30	4.25	0.52	2.56	0	30.0
; د	Э	Mutithi	SBNV	6.45	4.90	0.47	4.92	120	-
7	Þ	Mutithi	PBNV	6.45	5.20	1.13	4 64	-	l e-
ω	Þ	Mutithi	PBVPl	7.15	5.45	\$0°0	C		• <
12	þ	Wamumu	PBVP1	7.55	6.15	90	0	, -	, <
ტ ლ	b	Миеа	PBVPl	6.35	4.95	0.80		1 (*)	· ·
က •4	c	Tebere	SBNV	6,45	5.50	۲» ٥	5.06		•
16	Þ	Tebere	PBNV	6.45	5.05		0	o	•
7	Þ	Mwea	PBVP1	6.55	5.20	ហ	. 9) (•
න ස්	Þ	Мжеа	PBNV	6.50	4.80	7	• •		4 r
თ ქ	Þ	Mucichi	PBNV	7.20	5.50	~) U	<u> </u>
ნ ნ	H	Mutithi	PBNV	6.95	5.80	ന	2) a	. <
20	¢	Mutithi	SBNV	6,85	5.75	4	. 1		? ,
20	ьŦ	Mutithi	SBNV	~	٧		· ·		-1 (
24	Þ	Mutithi	10080g	-					٥.
25	b	Mutithi	Lavad	· α	} -	r \	J * (•
25	ثو	4 4 4 5 5	1 0 0	•	•	•	8.57	09	90.0
	1:	113	ተ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ ነ	4	6.50	≓ 80°, O	დ. ფ	∀	0.0 0
	Э	Mutichi	PBNV	7.00	5.45	0.23	3.10	7.3	C
N 89	æ	Mutithi	PBVP1	7.40	5.95	0,40	7.70	<u> </u>	
30	Ð	Mutithi	PBVPI	7.45	5.65	0.44	•	, 4 ; C	• •
31.	Þ	Mutithi	PBVP1	6.85	5 5	6	· ư) () ' \	> 0
37	¢	Tebere	PBNV	6.95	ന	-	, .	> e	00.0

Table 3.6.1 Soil Physical and Chemical Analysis (2/2)

				Exche	Exchangeable	Carions	s med/100)0 g		exnixer	A USDA	
Povat No.	Horizon	Area (Section)	Mapping* Unit	r.g	a a	ᄶ	စ္မ	೦೫೦	Sand 2-0.05mm	\$11± 0.05-0.002	Clay mm 0.002mm	Classifi cation
ന	Þ	Mucatha	PBVP1	32.6	2.0	0.1	53.2	78.5	년 ()	11.7	8 5.2	O
ঘ	Þ	Mutithi	PBVP1	ල. අ	0 8	0.1	37.5	65.4	7.8	16.9	75.3	υ
r)	¢	Mutithi	PBNV	ب د د د	0.8	0.1	9.0	25.2	11.6	54.0	34.4	Sici
w	Þ	Mutithi	SBNV	4.	O .	9.0	4.5	29.3	ა დ.	36.8	57.3	O
7	p	Mutithi	PBNV	8.	0.4	2.1	11.2	38.7	57.2	19.0	23.8	SCL
ထ	Ð	Mutithi	PBVP1	39.4	ა.	1.0	32.5	85.0	6.2	26.0	67.8	O
12	Þ	Wamumu	PBVP1	20.9	щ о,	1.3	48.7	73.9	8.2	27.1	64.7	O
m rt	Þ	Mwea	PBVP1	34.0	2.7	0.1	28.7	81.1	თ თ	39.7	52.0	υ
7	Þ	Tebere	SBNV	ୟ' ଓ	2.4	1.7	4.5	34.5	ਣ-01	47.7	42.1	Sic
φ r1	Þ	Tebere	PBNV	6.2	6.0	2.4	0.7	37.8	24.0	24.0	52.0	O
17	Þ	Mwea	PBVP1	13.4	0.7	0.6	 9 	81.5	7.5	37.2	55.3	O
ы С	Þ	Mwea	PBNV	တ တ	0.0	o 	0.01	65.4	11.4	62.7	25.9	Sil
on rd	р	Mutithi	PBNV	6.	e.0	დ 4	4.1	51.5	12.8	61.6	25.6	Sits
ط ص	ы	Mutithi	PBNV	6.7	0.4	1.0	3.2	48.7	13.1	73.9	13.0	Sil
20	Ç	Mutithi	SBNV	7.8	0.4	ъ. В	ა. ი	52.8	ю 6.	30.8	66.0	U
50	: H	Mutathi	SBNV	7.0	0.5	0.2	0.5	46.3	9.3	56.2	34.6	Sict
24	Þ	Mutithi	PBVP1	24.1	- የ - • በጋ	0.1	29.4	85.0	ω, Γ.	55.2	35.1	Sici
25	a	Mutithi	PBVP1	20.1	2.1	0.2	26.3	3.09.6	7.2	16.4	76.4	O
25	F4	Mutithi	PBVP1	25.6	3.4	e 0	20.9	85.9	6.7	29.3	64.0	ပ
56	₽	Muchtha	PENV	6.7	0.4	2.7	4.1	44.1	11.3	80.8	37.9	Sici
28	Þ	Mutithi	PBVP1	20.1	ນ. ພ	0.5	40.1	124.6	ω, σ,	47.4	42.8	Sic
0 0 8	Þ	Mutithi	PBVP1	22.6	4.4	0.5	25.7	132.0	7.4	40.5	52.1	Sic
31	Þ	Mutithi	PBVP1	22.3	မ.	ი.	23.3	109.6	5.2	21.3	73.5	υ
37	¢	Tebere	PBNV	6.0	0.4	٠,	9.1	57.0	5.8 13.3	63.9	22.8	Sp. i.
Remarks	*	Xapping Unit:	PBVP1:	pell Ve	rtisols vert-eut	(deep	phase),	PBNV: V	verto-eutri loured phas	c Nitosols	(brownish c	coloured
									•			

Table 3.8.1 Net Area under Rice Cultivation in Each Unit

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(Unit	: ha
Teb	ere	Mwe	a	Thi	ba	Warr	umu	Kar	aba
т2	22	Ml	80	н1	73	W1	130	к1	208
Т5	117	M2	41	Н2	92	W2	195	K2	165
Т6	57	м3	53	н3	108	w3	173	к3	132
Т7	110	M4	132	Н4	90	W4	132	K4	145
т8	159	М5	75	Н5	156	W 5	163	K5	148
T11	129	м6	64	Н6	111	W6	206	к6	111
113	71	м7	49	н7	83	W7	121	к7	131
T15	34	M8	25	н8	96			к8	30
T16	77	м9	51	н18	115				
T17	23	M10	33	Н19	111				
T18	59	M11	48	H20	115				
T19	105	M12 (A)	29						
T20	115	M12 (B)	48						
T21	79	M13	68						
T22	74	M14	106						
T23	46	M15	47						
T25	23	M16	132						
		M17	139					·	
Total:	1,300	1,	,220	. 1	,150	1	, 120	1,	 ,070

Source: NIB

Table 3.8.2 Existing Related Irrigation Structures

Name of Structure NAME Name of Structure NAME Name of Structure NAME NAM	or structure i flume t or offtake	i i i) }	H H 나	H H - H			E	11111	1	- 1 4 CE
1	ftake						T 7-7	#: - ₹ †	1 1 1	ļ	40.04
Table 3.8.3 Existing Related Drainage Structures (Unit: NMD-I NMD-II IMD-I IMD-II IMD-	e ng ng ng Y lining	तलपण्तत्यभूतश्राश्चा । ल	4 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	ிசிக்கிற்றிக்⊦டிர்க எ			14110110111111	स्ट्स्प्राह्मल्स्स्य । । । । । । ।	त्रात्वास्त्वास्य		प्रतार प्राप्त स
Table 3.8.3 Existing Related Drainage Structures (Unit: ture NMD-1 NMD-11 TMD-11 TMD-1	·	30							47		S
ture NMD-I NMD-II TMD-I TBD-I-1 TMD-II TMD-II TMD-IV KMD T 2	Table	ო დ ო	sxistin	l I	Ω	1	ructur	တ ၈		(Unit:	
23 3 11 3 11 1	Structure	I-CXX	H	NWD-111	T-CMT	H	H	TMD-III	VI-CMI		13 0 14 4
3 1 7 10 7 4 3 10	ų	ოფιιι	мт I I I	तः।।।	ળ કાલ∗ા	40171	छलन्।।	o ()) d	מוווו	प्राधाः	
		5.		਼ਜ	7		7	4	ო		50

Table 3.9.1 Number of Tenant Farmers in Mwea Irrigation Settlement Scheme (1975 - 1986)

Year	Tebere	Mwea	Thiba	Wamumu	Karaba	Scheme Total
1975	624	590	631	560	563	2,973
1976	624	589	631	560	568	2,972
1977	625	590	631	559	626	3,031
1,978	625	590	631	560	627	3,033
1979	635	591	631	665	627	3,149
1980	635	591	631	665	627	3,150
1981	635	591	631	666	627	3,150
1982	635	591	631	666	627	3,150
1983	636	591	631	666	627	3,151
1984	649	611	660	667	646	3,233
1985	653	612	660	666	645	3,236
1986	653	612	660	666	645	3,236

Source: NIB Mwea Irrigation Settlement Annual Reports (1974/75 - 1985/86)

Crop Budget Per Acre in Mwea Irrigation Settlement Scheme (1982/83-1985/86) Table 3.9.2

				ò	: Sindano			Variety :	: Basmari	
Į	Description	Unit	1982/83	1983/84	1984/85	1985/86	1982/83	1983/84	1984/85	1985/86
r. 1	Gross Return									
	Average Unit Yield	Bags/acre	30.8	31.1	30.2	26.3	20.3	22.4	18.2	21.7
	Unit Price of Rice	KShs./kg	2.35	2.70	2.70	2,90	2.80	3.25	3.25	3,75
		KShs./bag	176.25	202.50	202.50	217.50	210.00	243.75	243.75	281.25
	Gross Return	KShs/acre	5,428.50	6,297.75	6,115.50	5,720.25	4,263.00	5,460.00	4,436.25	6,103,13
63	. Deduction per Acre				÷					
	Service charge	KShs./acre	1,943.00	1,943.00	2,223.00	2,223.00	1,943.00	1,943.00	2,223.00	2,223.00
	Ferrilizers									
	Sulphate of Ammonia	KShs./acre	111.75	125.75	154,10	176.50	111.75	124.75	154.10	176.50
	H.S. P.	KShs./acre	182.50	182.50	182.90	227.95	182.50	179.60	182.90	227.95
	Urea	KShs/acre	r	1	102,60	ı	1	ı	102,60	a
	Field Boards	KShs./acre	2.80	2 80	3.50	8.40	2.80	2.80	3.50	07.8
	Gates	KShs./acre	41.00	41.00	50.00	58.00	71.00	41:00	50.00	58.00
	Seeds	XShs./acre	45.10	49.25	60.30	70.30	58.25	68.20	70.60	87.40
	Sprays	KShs./acre	21,85	53.25	62.95	186.95	21.85	53.25	62.95	186.95
	Handling Charges	(KShs./bag)	(4.65)	(4.65)	(5.80)	(7,00)	(4.65)	(4.65)	(5.80)	(7.00)
		KShs./acre	143.22	144.62	175.16	184.10	07.76	104.16	105.56	151,90
ĺ	K.W.F. Loan	KShs./acre	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
	Toral	KShs./acre	2,511.22	2,562.17	3,034,51	5,155.20	2,475.55	2,536.76	2,975.21	3,140,10
ω,	Net Return (1) - (2)	KShs./acre	2,917.28	3,735.58	3,080.99	2,565.05	1,787.45	2,923.23	1,461.04	2,963,03
. 4	Net Return per 4 Acres Holding Farmer	KShs./Farmer	11,669.00	14,942,00	12,324.00	10,260.00	7,150.00	11,693.00	5,844.00	11,852.12

Source : Unpublished Data from NIB

Relative Distribution of Various Income Classes 3.9.3 Table

income Classes	1976/77	1977/78	1978/79	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82	1982/83	1983/84	1984/85	1985/86
(KSh.1000)										
, , 0	ı	4.0	6.0	۲. د.	1.9	2.6	4.7	°	i-	<
1 - 2	ı	0.2	9.0	8.1	2.4	1.7	2 7	} <	i. e) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
2 - 3	7.0	0.8	1.6	3,1	2.5	2,0	. O	t C) v	0 4
3 - 4	т. О	0.8	3.4	5.3	7.4	ຸ ຕ ຕ	5.0	2.6) d	ט ע ט ע
4 - 5	1.0	2.1	5.5	დ ლ	6.3	4.7	8	, eq	. 7	, ,
5 - 6	2.6	3.6	10.2	12.3	7.8	5.4	6.5	2 7	· c	, u
2 - 9	7.5	6.9	15.0	14.9	<u>م</u> م	6.7	7.3	. 4	, v	i u
7 8	15.8	10.4	17.7	15.2	11.2	8,5	ယ လ	5.7) o	
8 1 8	20.5	16.2	17.6	13.6	13.0	6.6	0.	0.9	י ע) r
9 - 10	24.1	17.9	14.4	10.4	10.6	12.1	9.6		, r	
10 (+)	28.0	40.7	13.1	13.6	28.3	45.6	38.9	26.95	50.9	, w
Average Income (KSh.)	8,638	9,714	966*8	8,763	8,861	11,087	11,348	13,853	12.776	9 017

Farmers are classified according to the amounts of net payment against total number of paddy bags they delivered. Note:

Source: NIB Mwea Irrigation Settlement Annual Report 1985/86

Table 3.9.4 Farm Budget of Average Farmer under Present Condition

		MIS	Mutithi
Ave	rage Far Size (ha)		
	Rice field	1.6	-
	Upland field	0.2 /1	1.0
	Uncultivated land	_	2.2
	Total	1.8	3.2
			(Unit: KShs.1,000)
I.	Gross Income		
	i) Farm Income	•	
	Rice	25.2	_
	Maize	0.2	2.2
	Beans	1.9	_
	Sub-total	27.3	2.2
	ii) Non-Farm Income	1.5	6.6
	Total (I)	28.8	8.8
II.	Gross Out-go		
	i) Farming Expenses 12	•	
	Rice	4.6	
	Maize	0.1	1.1
	Beans	0.2	- ·
	Sub-total	4.9	1.1
	ii) Living Expenses	15.5	7.2
	Total (II)	20.4	8.3
III.	Net Reserve (I - II)	8.4	0.5

Note: Data was estimated from results of farm economic survey in MIS and socio-economic survey in Mutithi extension area.

- /1: Minimum size of rice field in each farmer is 1.6 ha while upland field of each farmer is estimated to around 0.2 ha considering the project area in where rice field is 5,860 ha and upland field is 800 ha.
- /2: Excluding family labour

Results of Double Cropping Trials in Mwea Irrigation Settlement Scheme 3. o. s Table

Description	3 5	1979/80		1980/81	, [1985/86
	4.0	K.	S. R.	L.R.	S.R.	1.8
1. Area planted	536 ha	536 ha	546 ha	546 ha	2,480 ha	2,480 hs
2. Locations planted	(1.326 acres) Tebere	es) (l,326 acres) Tebere Section	(1,359 acres) Mwew Section	(1,359 acres)	(6,026 acres)	(6,026 acres)
 Variety Used Cropping Calendar 	Sindano	Basmati	Basmati	Sindano	Sindano	whose seneme Basmati/EC90-2
Rocavation Transplanting Harvesting	Mar May July November	Nov./Dec. January Mav	February April	Sept./Oct. Nov./Dec.	Feb Apr. May/June	Oct Dec. Dec Feb.
5. Total Production	2,798 tons (37,301 bags)	1,373 tons (18,313 bags)	1,206 tons (16.083 bags)	1,804 cons	Sept./Oct. 6,773 tons	May - July 8,258 tons
6. Unit Yield	5.2 cons/ha (28.1 bess/acre)	2.6 tons/ha (13.8 bags/acre)	2.2 tons/ha (21.8 bags/acre)	3.3 tons/ha (17.7 bags/acre)	(90,306 bags) 2.7 tons/ha (15.0 bags/acre)	(110,105 bags) 2.3 tons/ha (18.1 hags/arts)
7. Observations : a.	Harvesting in- terrupted by short rains in November	a. Dogging down a. of tractors b. Scarce labour b. for transplanting c. Serious domages c. and delay in harvesting caused by wet weather in Apr./Nay d. Serious damages by quelea birds	for rotavation Scarce labour for transplanting and harvesting increase of pests (leaf-minor and army worm) but controlled by spraying DDT25M Gool weather in May/June resulting in high percentage of unripened grains Serious damages by quelea birds	a. Severe shortage of irrigation water in Sept./Occ. b. Break-down of tractors and shortage of spare parts resulting in delayed operations c. Serious damages of seedlings by pests (leaf minors and leaf rollers resulting in delayed transplanting and damages by rains in April c. Serious damages by rains wild ducks in Dec.	a. Adverse effects of cool weather on grain formation in August b. high incidence of peets c. Serious damages by quelea birds and wild duck	4 0 0 0 0
				wild ducks in Dec.	ļ	

Source : (1) NIB Mmea Itrigation Settlement Annual Reports 1979/80, 1980/81, 1985/86 (2) Report on 1985/86 Double Cropping in Mmea Itrigation Settlement, Oct. 1986

Table 3.9.6 Results of Double Cropping Trials at Mwea Irrigation Reseach Station (1975-1985)

(Unit : tons/ha)

Variety/	Sind	Sindano/Fallow	Si	Sindano		Basmati	TRS	IR579-48-1-3	78187	11-228-2-1
Year	L.R.	S. R.	1.8.	S.R.	L.R.	S. R.	L.R.	S.R.	L.R.	L.R. S.R.
1975	1	7.9	6.9	7.9	5.6	7.2	7.2	9.2	1	- 1
1976	1	6.9		6.7	i	5.3	,	7.5		1
1977	ı	5.9	6.0	4.9	5.3	6.4	6.9	7.0	•	ı
1978	ı	6.8	5.1	5.8	6.6	6.7	4.	6.2	ı	•
1979	ı	7.1	3.4	6.2	3.3	λ 1 30	4.2	6.5		ı
1980	ı	ı	5.0	ī	e. 8.	ı	٠. د.		ı	,
1981	ı	3.6		ਜ ਼	ı	3.7		5.4	1	ı
1982	ı	1	1	6.5	i	5.2		6.5	ı	1
1983	1	i	6.9	4.2	5.3	6.6	7.9	4.5	1	4.7
1984	1	ı	8. 2.	9.6	4:1	®. ₩	د. غ	લ	3.7	3.6
1985	•	1	e.	1	e. 8.	1	3.7		ა. ფ.	i.
Average	,	6.0	6.7	5.6	7.7	5.0	5.3	6.1	8.5	4.2

L.R. : Long Rains Period

S.R. : Shorr Rains Period

Source: NIE, Operational Research and Training Project Technical Report No.30, 1986

Calculation of Irrigable Areas by Drought Discharges in 1980 Table 4.3.1

Į.				4	•	y,	17	,	4	100		=	j,			•			H		ľ	1	R. R. Callette					İ
	₹	3.3		4		3.34	0.00	3.36	911		-			1				<u>.</u>	╁	ı	١	ė					i.	
	X - 1	ij	3,35			6.9	90.0									•	•		_								1	ľ
	34 %	8													7.		~		_									
ē	1	8	1					1	١		4	1	١	J			•										1	į
						;	5				: :					089	ľ	l	ŀ	l	l	ĺ	ĺ	l	Ì	ı		1
		;	er.	:	<u>.</u>		3.0	7.43	2,180 %	366 386	\$ X						•										27.4	?
		0.45	4.4	1,44		: :	2			516	35						-										377	ć
į	7 - 30	3.0	46.3	1	71.7	1.23	20.0	,	ľ	76	ļ.	1	I	ı	ĺ	١		ı	-	ı							4.845	
	8 - 3	7:43	Ĭ.,	3		18.4	0.00		_						7	044.	•		÷				١.		ı	l		*
	31 - 32	7	j		•	:	:																					:
ş	×							١	I		-	ı			i						٠							
	2		7			: :	3 3				_				ŀ	ļ	ľ		ł	l		l	١	ı	١	I		1
	*	1	1	; ;			2 1	_		5.70							74											1
1			;		1	:	2			ا:	•				0.4		•											-
į	• :	:	;	-	:	ij	-		1.14C 57	57.5 378	_			l		ļ	ľ	ı	╁	١	l	ı	ı	Ì	ı	1	2	ï
	4	3	! !	# ::	3	.;	-	. 44.51	(4) DEC	57. 5.75				•			•										×17.	
	я - :	46.9	C. 48	***	7				76 301.	570 2.98	_						•				٠		,				4.330	
Š	97 - 7	77.7	3	:	٤	\$6°.	30.0	.0,	ı	37.0		I	ı	1	ı		1	Į	-	1					7,		1.310	
	2 - 1	6.43		3.		10.0											"		_						Ì	ļ	9	٤
	*	9.70			*	3.											•										9	Š
ş	31 4 7	4.0	j	19.7		3	1	ı	ı		4		١	- 1		ł	~	İ										:
	*	6.11															7	ĺ	-		l	l	l	ı	l	Ī		
		:		į ;	:	3	8			570 50	_				;		~										9	
			,			2				57.0	-								_								9.5	ř
į		<u>,</u>	3	3	4	7:			5 0 1	\$7.4 012			l	ı	ļ	i	ٳؙ	1	Ⅎ	- [Ì	1		1			0,720	573
	2		j	16.37		1.43	8		004 504.4								•										046	ş
,	25 - 30		,	3.14	7	1.	5				_						~		_								0.7	2
9	2. 30	1.72	3	7	٦	17.7	30.0	ı	Į.	1		İ	١	ı	1	ļ	"	١	-								-	•
	2 - 3	7	6, 55	7.7	i i													Ť					08.0		ľ	۽	2	*
ļ	34 · 14	3.1	3.	?	-																						97.	0,1
ţ	3	3.34	10.4	8.	4	7,10	8	1	ı		┨		ı	1	Ì	ļ		ł		1			:				2	1
	2	1:34	?:	2		40,0																٠					9	ŝ
į	11 - 12	0.44	ř	5	I.	4					-																5.370	930
Š	07 - 1	8,3	3		1	8	l		١	l	1	١	1	1	1	١	~	·,	_								9	
	2 · 11	0.52	35.5	16.27	2	9						7.7	4.15	8	9.	4, 640	~	230 4,140	_	7,63	7. Y	9.40	3.7	2	3	2.80	2	
	24	****	;									•					••											
ų	97 - 7						1		1	•			1				1.		<u>.</u>									7,210
ŗ					7.				1,180 370		-							ĺ	-		Į	ľ	ı	l	Ł		הליץ. מ	
			1	3	ř.,	:	8	3.33	1,140 57		3.2								_									1,170
		1				1	8		346 346		_						•	-	_							Ī	Q	3
	POTAL.	35. 45	****	191.0	294.4	;					r	1	Ì	ļ	l			1	- -	ı	I	1.6	اة		-	•	000	
							11.0	137.76		•	25.0	336.45	11.0.31	4.4	334.40	٠	,		_	10 53.42		44 34.35						

Pennike

1. Unit: (P), (H) = (L/sec/As),
(A), (B), (C), (B), (Z) = (m//sec),
(T), (G), (H) = (As),

(F) = Mage, (S) = Mage

3. Figures through (A) to (E) are rounded off to two deplaced places. Figures of (F) and (G) are omitted below the farst dagate.

(Total Diversion Requirement for Water Balance Calculation (Alternative: I-1) Table 4, 5, 1 Water Balance Calculation (1/3)

		(UNIT IRRI.REQ)	RI.REQ)	3	(MIS (NYAMINDI))	((Id		(MIS (THIBA))			THE SELECTION !		
RENOW	DAY	-(A)	(B)	(A)	(8)	Subtotal	(¥)	<u>(a</u>	Contract	(4)	É		
				1,150-60			4,680-440				72	200000	TOTAL
				-1,120ha	570ha	.*	-4,240hA	2303a		2,470ha	430ha		
CAN	•	0.40	1.21	0.45	0.69	1.14	1.70	0.28	1.97	66.0	0.52	i.	
	02 - tt	0.13	1,30	0.15	0.74	0.89	0.55	0.30	0.85	0.32	98.0		
	d	0.00	1.33	00.0	0.76	0.76	0.00	0.31	0.31	00.0	0.57	, v	7.44
FEB	•	0.00	1.39	00.00	0.79	62.0	00.0	0.32	0.32	0.0	0.60	0.60	7.2
	•	0.22	1.13	0.25	0.64	0.89	0.93	0.26	61.1		0.49	0.1	4 6
	21 - 29	0.65	0.86	0.73	0.49	1.22	2.76	0.20	2,95		0.37	0	1
MAR	ŧ	0.90	0.39	το - τ	0.22	1.23	3.82	0.09	3.91	2.22	0.17	30,10	2 5 1
	•	1.62	0.56	88.4	0.32	16-1	6.02	0.13	6.15	3.52	0.24	7,7	11.81
	17 - 17	2,44	0.7	1.61	0.40	2.02	6.11	0.16	6.27	3.56	12.0	3.86	12.15
ž.	1	7.01	0.67	1.13	0.38	1451	4.28	0.15	4.44	2.49	62-0	2,78	8-73
	11 - 20	8	0.62	16.0	0.35	1.26	3.43	0.14	3.58	2.00	0.27	C4	7.10
	77	0.96	0.80	1.08	0.46	1.53	4.07	0.18	4.25	2.37	0.34	2 .72	8.50
X.	1	0.0	24.0 24.0	70.0	0.26	0.27	0.04	01.0	0.15	0.02	0.19	0.22	0.63
	2 / 1	9 F	2.0	0,67	0.40	1.08	2.54	0.16	2.71	1.48	0.31	1.79	5.57
1	۱:	2.5	0.48	0.64	0.27	0.91	2.42	0.11	2.53	1.41	0.21	1.61	5.05
200	•	0 (70.0	0.68	0.36	1.05	2.59	0.15	2.73	1-51	0.28	1.78	5.56
	t	0.45	0.72	0.50	0.41	16.0	1.91	0.17	2.07	77.7	0.31	1.42	4
,,,,,	27 = 30	0.28	0.78	0 33	0.44	0.76	1.19	0.18	1.37	0.69	9.3	7.03	51.6
i i	•	0	0.79	0.10	0.45	0.55	0.36	0.18	0.56	0.22	0,34	0.56	1.68
		0.15	0-81	0.17	0.46	0.63	0.64	0.19	0.82	0.37	0.35	0.73	2.17
0	15 - 17	0.45	0.82	0.52	0.47	0.98	1,95	0.19	2,14	1.14	0.38	0,1	4.63
,	1 1	0 0	\$. 0 (0.78	0.36	1.15	2.97	0.15	3,12	1.73	0.28	2.50	6.27
	2 - 1	, c	49.0	17.7	0.36	1.47	4.20	0.15	40.4	2.45	0.28	2.72	8.54
2.00	٠ ١	7	6.0	1.39	0.32	1.71	5.26	0.13	5.39	3.06	0.25	3.33	10.41
10		1.16	0 0	6.6	0.29	2,21	7.19	21.0	7.41	4.25	0.22	4.46	14.08
		* a	n a	G: :		911	7.38	0.13	7.50	65	0.2	4.53	14,30
401					00.0	,	6:70	0.20	6.90	3.90	0.29	4.28	13.45
;			0.0	7.	9.	2.10	80.	0.14	5.92	2.31	64.0	3,76	11.78
	ŧ	1 0	7 4	7 4	3 :	2.0	5.78	9:10	S.64	3.14	64.0	3.62	11.33
11.7	ŀ			0.55	0.43	0.98	2.08	0.17	2.25	1.31	0.33	1.54	4.77
	1 1	36	7 i	8	97.0	9:0	8.0	0.15	0.15	00.00	97.0	0.28	0.79
		70.0	90.0	0.58	0.32	0.00	2.20	0.13		1.38	0.24	1.53	4.76
(L	0	0 6	0.53	0.55	0.30	0.85	2.08	0.12	2.20	1.21	0.23	1.44	4.49
) - -		0 0	0,0	50.0	0.29	1.24	3.60	0.12	3.72	2.10	0.22	2.31	7.27
	24 1 16	, c	7 6	0,88	0.46	2,35	3.35	67.0	7.5	20.1	0.35	2,30	7.19
				20.00	0.52	97:1	20.1	0.21	2.63	1.41	o. 60	1.80	5.59
	TOTAL	25.45	27.85	28.50	15.87	44.38	107.91	6.41	114.32	52.86	11.98	74.84	233.53

UNIT IRRI. REQ. (1/sec/ha) OTHERS: (m³/sec) REMARKS 1. CNIT.

5

(A) =Rice (B) *Horticultural Grops

Above figures are rounded off to two decimal places.

(Water Balance Calculation of Headworks (Alternative: T-1) Table 4.5.1 Water Balance Calculation (2/3)

		ਉ	,		, Y	37.54	3.35	1,59	0.00	0.82	00.0	0.00	0.0	1,27	2.04	6.12	9 23	C	5.53	0.0		1:	1	4 (•	7	6	00.0	00.0	8	00.00	4	8.02	0		9) (G	87.7	117.93
(59		£	000	3 6		8	8	0	0	0.0	4.24	5.10	0.28	00.00	0.00	8	0.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	2 6	00.0	3 6	3 6		8 8	6	1	. 71	. 68	00.0	2.98	0	90.0	00.0		00.0	00	000	24.84
(UNIT: m/sec)	Ç	(3)	. 24	9			3	mo n	9	2.51	95.0	000	1.63	4.87	9.55	23.78	22.38	\$4.05	9.52	7.74	5.93	8.01	9	> 1	2,64	10.05	4.47	.904	26.0	1.82	5.61	1,52	8.91	17.5E	21.09	νο α.	8,55	(a)	7,25	255.79
S	É		0.0	00	6		3 6	2	77	1.70	63	2	7.93	1.19	. 6 0	000	8	000	0.53	0.45	0.17	800	00.0	6	0.61		1.75		5.65	5.50	4.91	05.5	3	8	8	~ 00 c	0.03	00.0	0.04	.6.53
	(3)		00.0	0.16	0.67	650			3	000	0	60	8	8	00.0	2.46	9.66	9.06	00.0	0.0	00.0	0.51	0.22	00.0	90.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.0	900	000	8	0.50	2,66	3-17	:	8.0	0.03	0.00	12.93
	HEADWORKS)	- 1	0.03	0	0.00	00-0	200) k) ;	e (7 .	6H.	9.35	00.0	0.0	00.0	0.53	0.45	77.0	0.0	0.0	0.63		0.45	1.75	3.19	3.62	5	7.97	7.30	00.0	8	0.00	000	0.03	8.0	0.04	34.15
	(RUAMOTHAMBI (B)					ŀ			ı			1			ı			1		-	ı									ı			ı	0.28		44	2	2.30	1.80	74.80
	(RUA)				:				1			1			1			1		٠.	-			J			- [•	1			-	5	4.70	7.75	2.29	2.33	1.76	20.65
-	3	-		1,32		_		_	ł-			H	_		-			╀	<u> </u>		-1				1.68		-1			1		· 	4	7 7			2.57	2.61	3,5	07:00
	(3)		Ň	6		7	1.59	0.39	0.91	0	00,0	0.18	1.27			,	7 6		7		7	5.37	4.46	2.P1	3.10	2,53	1	0.0	8 6]; 		9:		3 C	3 c	7		97.0	2 0	20.0
	(6) (9)	ı	00.0		Į						1.82	8	0.0	0,00	0.0	0.0		8		3 6		9 6	2	0	0	2		4 6	, ,	90	2 4		5 6	9 6	3 6		3 6	9 6	3	
	(B) (C)	:	7	2 6	5	0.32	1.19	2.95	3.91	6.15	6.27	4.44	3.58	4.25	0.15	2.71	2				7 6		70.0	2.14		7 (, -	4 4	9	5	2) <u>.</u>) c	10	1	4 -	3 5	317	
	(a)	200	, .	10		70.0	7.9	3.34	4.82	4.66	4.45	4.62	4,85	6,29	6-27	11.94	10.63	8.26	7.04	9) e		9 6	ç,	9 10		,		4	3.9	4			11.25		ļ a	1 6	3.5	57 0	
	(¥)	20.5	7	7		7 6	0	4.24	5.72	5.56	5:35	5.52	5.75	7.39	7.17	12.84	11.53	9.16	7.94	9	6.83	2	, d				\{\c_{\c}}	6.37	5,50	. 54	6.	6.62	9.07	12.15		6	7.00	0	52.89	
	(a)	1.15	-	. 52	2.13	1 7			00.2	÷.	8	37.1	8	63	15.20	12.48	5.89	3.99	2.78	2.21	2.14	200			5		1.06	46.0	1.82	6.61		56.	90.90	00.6	8.13	2.1.5	3.32	2.73	2.25	
ORKS)	6	00.0	0.0	0.0	00.0	00.0				3 .	7	00.0	0.0	000	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	00.0	00.0	0.00	1	
Y KENDA	<u>(</u>)	1.14	0.89	0.76	0.79	0.83				1 6		4,	9 4		0.27	1.08	- 1		0.93					1								96.0					1,35		1	
(NYAMINDI HEADWORKS	ê	2.49	2.13	2.28	2.92	. 33	1.66	E C				7		6	T .	000	6. BQ	v.0	3.69	2.97	2.69	2,65	2.51	2.68	9-90	4.83	3.27	3.20	4,09	8.77	. S.	5.93	7.26	9.90	8.09	5.39	4.67	3.93		•
	€	2.81	2.45	2. 50	3,24	2.65	1.98	, ,			30.	9 0) 0 4 ×	04.00		1		0	0	3.29	.0.0	2.97	2.83	3.00	10.22.	5.15	3,59	e e	4.41	6.6	S. S.	0.25	ξς: (~)	10.22	9	5.77	4.09		90.05	
	λγα	01 -	ဂ ဂ	~ ?	01 -	- 20 1	- 29	0,	50,		Ç.		2 2	9	3 8								6		2	31	음.	음 :			2 :		3 :	2 2	<u>ور</u> :	ន	ខ្ល	T.		
			;; .	ļ		17	21	l		2	-	\ <u></u>	1 6		4 -		! -				-1 -1	⊒ :	:		1 # 1	7	1	4		4 ;	1 1 6	d.	• → ;	1	1 7 7			77	TOLY	
	HENON	NEL			r. r)			Š			APP			ķ	•		2	5			105			250			SEZ		Ę	ţ		1	1	٠	4 5 6	1				-

ä

(A) *Drought Discharge at the Headworks.

(B) *Available Drought Discharge at the Headworks = (A) = Total Water Rights = Naintenance Flow,

(C) *Diversion Requirement to the Readworks,

(D) *Deficit of Irrigation water = (C) = (B) > 0,

(C) *Surplus of Available Drought Discharge = (B) = (C) > 0,

(C) *Total Deficit of THES And RUNNUTHANBI Headworks = THIBA H. (C) *RUNNUTHANBI H. (C),

(F) *Total Deficit of THES And RUNNUTHANBI Headworks = THIBA H. (C) *RUNNUTHANBI H. (C),

(H) *Irrigation Water Requirement to THIBA Dam = (F) = (C) > 0,

(H) *From for Available Discharge at THIBA Headworks = (F) = (B) = (

Above fagures are rounded off to two decimal places. ز،

T - 28

Table 4.5.1 Water Balance Calculation (3/3) (Water Balance Calculation for Estimation of Storage Capacity (Alternative: T-1)

NONTH	DAY	(A)	(B)	(c)	(0)	(E)	(F)	(6)	(6)
Jan	1 - 10	1.89	1.41	0.00	2,09	0.00	0	1.41	1.89
	11 - 20	1.63	1.15	0.00	2.69	0.00	O	1.15	1.63
	21 - 31	1.78	1.39	0.00	3.54	0.00	0	1.30	1.78
FEB	1 - 10	1.70	1.22	0.00	3.35	0.00	0	1.22	1.70
	11 - 20	1.26	0.76	0.00	1.59	0.00	0	0.78	1.26
	21 - 29	1.54	0.00	0.43	: 0.00	0.00	-135,077	0.00	1.97
MAR	1 - 10	2.26	1.78	0.00	0.82	0.39	0	0.43	1.87
	11 - 20	2.18	0.00	4.24	0.00	0.00	-3,665,809	0.00	6.42
	21 - 31	2.08	0.00	5.10	0.00	0.00	-8,511,654	0.00	7.10
APR	1 - 10	2.16	0.00	0.28	บ.ยง	0.00	-8,758,082	0.00	2.45
	11 - 20	2.27	1.79	0.00	1.27	1.27	-7,658,210	0.00	1.00
	21 - 30	2.98	2.50	0.00	2.04	2.04	-5,899,452	0.00	0.91
KAY	1 - 10	2.97	2.49	0.00	6.12	2.49	-3,749,917	0.00	0.48
	11 - 20	5.74	5.26	0.00	9.23	4.34	0	0.92	1.40
	21 - 31	5.10	4.62	0.00	8.10	0.00	0	4.62	5.10
JÚN	1 - 10	3.94	3.46	0.00	5.53	0.00	0	3.46	3.91
	11 - 20	3.34	2.86	0.00	4.97	0.00	0	2.86	3.34
	21 + 30	2.87	2.39	0.00	4.71	0.00	0	2.39	2.87
JUL	1 - 10	2.60	2.32	0.00	5.37	0.00	0	2.32	5.80
	11 - 20	2.48	2.00	0.00	4.46	0.00	0	2.00	2.48
	21 - 31	2.32	1.84	0.00	2.81	0.00	0	1.84	2.32
AUG	1 - 10	2.94	2.46	0.00	3.10	0.00	0	2.46	2.94
	11 - 20	3.26	2.78	0.00	2.53	0.00	0	2.53	3.26
	21 - 31	3.20	2.72	0.00	1.35	0.00	0	1.35	3.20
SEP	1 - 10	2.90	0.00	3.41	0.00	0.00	-2,945,395	0.00	5.31
	11 - 20	2.58	0.00	4.71	0.00	0.00	-7,017,007	0.00	7.29
	21 - 30	2.20	0.00	3.68	0.00	0.00	-10,200,450	0.00	5.88
OCT	1 - 10	1.8)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-10,200,450	0.00	1.83
	11 - 20	1.88	0.00	2.98	0.00	0.00	-12,774,080	0.00	4.86
	21 - 31	2.70	2.22	0.00	3.47	2.22	-10,665,180	0.00	0.48
NOV	1 - 10	3.90	3.42	0.00	8.02	3.42	-7,712,981	0.00	0.48
	11 - 20	5.40	4.92	0.00	8.92	4.92	-3,459,624	9.00	0.49
	21 - 30	5.63	5.15	0.00	9.51	4.00	0	1.14	1.62
DEC	1 - 10	3.87	3.39	0.00	4.40	0.00	0	3.39	3.87
	11 – 20	3.32	2.84	0.00	3.46	0.00	0	2.81	3.32
	21 - 31	3.38	2.90	0.00	4.48	0.00	. 0	2.90	3.39
	TOTAL	104.29	71.97	24.84	117.93	25.09	MAX12,774,080	43.31	104.02

REQUIRED HET STUPAGE CAPACITY

REMARKS

1. UNIT: $\{E\}$ (m^3) Others (m³/sec)

(A)=Drought Discharge at THIBA Dam Site,
 (B)=Available Drought Discharge at THIBA Dam Site =(A)-Total Water Rights-Haintenance Flow,

(C)=Irrigation Water Requirement to THIRA DAM,

(D)=Room for Available Discharge at THIBA Readworks,

(E)=Actual Impounding Discharge of THIBA Dum = HINGH), (D), (V), where, (V)=Previous |(F)| + 86,400 (see) € Number of days, (F)=Required Net Storage Capacity of THIBA Dam = Previous (F)-(C)-(E) x 86,400 (see)

(H)=THIBA River Discharge Just under the THIBA Dam = (A)-(E), when (C) = 0, (A)+(C), when (C) >0.

3. Above figures without (F) are rounded off to two decimal places.

Table 4.7.1 Irrigation Benefit Estimates (1/2)

					the second			
Airezoutive Plans T-1 Crops	Cultivated Area	Unit Field	Total Production	Unit Palce (Kaha./ton)	Gross Pro- duction Value (Raba.)	Unit Pro- dection Cost (Fshs./ha)	Total Pro- dection Cost (%shs.)	. Net Pro- duction Value [PERsha.]
fithout Froject	1741	[ton/ha]	(101)	18333.16082	1535314	123.00.00.00		<u> </u>
1. MIS							•	
1. Rice, Short Rains Rice	5, 860	3.5	20,510	3, 650	73.0	4, 123	27.1	45.7
2. Ecsticultural Crops	•			1		2,776	1.1	0.6
Maire Semna	500 200	1.3 6.6	703 1,293	2,900 3,200	2.3 3.3	3, 531	0.7	3.1
II. Mutithi	10-	*	1,272	2,2-4	• • •			
1. Merkicultural Crops			555	2.900	1.6	2, 116	1.2	0.4
Maire Total	435	1.3	333	2,900	11.5	٠, ٠,•	30.7	59.3
lith Project		•					4.5	
I. His 1. Rice				7			*	
Long Rains Rice	5,860	6.0	35,163	3, 600	126.6	5, 338	32,1	93. E
Short Rains Rice	5,460	1.4	35,162	3,600	126.6	5, 511	32.4	13.1
 Borticultural Crops Franch Bushs 	920	10,0	\$ _000	3, 200	25.6	g, 144	6.5	19.1
Colon	420	10.3	4,000	3, 920	15.6	13,464	5.4	10.2
Total ces	4 ₽ 0	15.0	€,000	3, 200	19.2	13, 371	3.4	13.0
II. Mutithi I. Rice								
Long Raits Rica	2,412	4.4	14,322	3,620	57.4	5,598	13.9	39,6
Short Rains Rice 2. Bortiguiteral Crops	2,470	6.Q	14,323	3,600	53.4	5,598	13.9	39,6
fresch Seata	430	10.0	4,300	3,200	19.4	9,144	3.5	10.3
Onton	215	10.0	2,150	3, 933	7.4	13,464	2.5	3.5
Torations Total	215	15.0	3,725	3, 200	10.3 457.9	13,317	2.9 119.8	7.4 333,1
MIL. Incremental Benefit		-	-	-		-		
(With Project - Without P.	zaject)							282.3
					•			
Alternative Plant T-2				<u></u>				
Frace	Cultivated Ages	Unit Tield	Total Production	Unit Price	Green Pro-	tait Pro- duction Cost	Total Fro- duction Cost	Net Pro- duction Value
Crops	(ta)	(tor/ta)_	(ten)	(Raha (ton)	(8934.1	(6sts./ha)	Okens.)	iMRsha.t
dithout Project								
C. MIS 1. Pice								
Stort Rains Rice	5, 860	3.5	20,320	3, E00	79.1	4,623	27.1	45.7
2. Setticultural Crops						2, 276	1.2	0.4
Paite Reas	43) 142	1.3	559 983	2,900 3,200	1.1	2,716 3,531	9.5	2.2
II. Mitithi				-,,,		••		
1. Eorticaltural Crops						4 ***		
Maize Total	-	1.3	-	2,920	79.3	2.176	21.1	49,3
fith Project					,,,,			
I. HIS								
1. Rice Long Raine Rice	5, 863	6.0	35,160	3,600	126.6	5,558	32.6	93.3
. Short Asias Rice	5,860	6.0	35,160	3, 600	126.6	5,398	32.1	93.3
 forticultred Crops freech Boars 			- 345				4.1	13.6
Grion	570 285	10.6 10.6	5,700	3,200 3,900	19 2 11 1	\$,144 13,464	3.6	7.3
Tomaticas	285	15.0	4,275	3,200	19.3	13,377	3.8	5.9
II. Mutith! I. Pice								
Long Reles Rice	3,650	6.0	9,600	3,400	35.5	5,599	5.3	26.6
Short Rains Rice	1.660	6.D	9,600	3,600	35.5	5, 590	9.3	26.6
 porticultural Crops french Seans 	_	10.0		3,200		0,144	_	_
Onica	_	10.0		3, 500	-	13,464	_	_
Totalces	-	15.9	-	3,220	-	33,377	•	-
Total III. Incremental Benefit	-	-	-	-	369.0		96.4	273.6
(With Project - Without Pr	roject)							222.3
Uternative Plan: 1-3					•			
	Cultivated	Cait	Total	Unit	Gross Pro-	Colt Pro-	Total Fro-	Net Pro-
Cregs	Ares	Tield	Production	Price [Rahs./ton]	duction Value	duction Cost [Rahs./ka]	duction Cost	duction Value
fithout Project		100117127	109.1	183/18.765/1	(85/15/1			
1. 113								
1. Hice Short Ralna Dice	5,460	3.5	20,510	3,600	73.8	4,623	27-1	46,7
2. Borticultural Crops	3,400	3.3	10,313	3, 400	72.4	*, *, *,	27.1	40,7
Haize	349	1.3	442	2,900	1.3	2.776	0.9	0.4
Bears II. Kutithi	110	6.0	663	3, 290	2.1	3, 531	9.4	1.7
1. Scriicoltural Crops								
Maire	-	1.3	-	2,920	-	2,776	-	•
Total ith Project	-	-	-	-	77.2	-	21.4	cs.9
1. MIS								
1. Rice								
Long Rains Rice Short Rains Rice	5, #63 5, #60	6 0 8.Ω	35,160 35,160	3,601 3,601	126-6 126-6	5, 598 5, 598	32.8 32.6	93, E 93, B
2. Sorticultural Crops	-, • eu	U. E	23,143	2,000	124.9	2, 276	32. •	73.8
Franch Sears	450	10.0	4,500	3,200	14.4	E, 144	3.7	10.7
Onica Tomatoms		10.0	2,150	1,999 3,269	1.6	13,464	3.0	5.1
II. Estithi	225 225			1.250	10.	13, 313	3.0	7,1
1. Rice	225 225	35.0	3, 375	-,				
	223	15.0	-					
Long Rotes Pice	2,419	35.0 6.¢	14,460	3,600	52.1	5,598	13.5	39.8
Long Rains Pice Short Rains Dice	223	15.0	-		52.1 52.1	5,598 5,558	13.5 13.5	39. 1 31. 6
Long Roins Pice Short Rains Pice 2. Borticultural Crops French Reans	2,419	35.0 6.¢	14,460	3,600				
Long Roins Pice Short Rains Pice 2. Borticeltural Crops French Beans Onion	2,410	6.0 6.0 6.0 10.6 10.8	14,469 14,469	1,600 3,600 1,200 3,900	52 · 1 - -	5,559 4,144 23,666	13.5	31.6 - -
Long Rates Pice Short Rains Pice 2. Borticeltural Crops French Beans Onion Torators	2,410 2,410	45.0 6.0 6.0	14,469 14,469	3,600 3,600 3,200	52.1 - -	5,559 4,144	13.5 - - -	31.6 - -
Long Roins Pice Short Rains Pice 2. Borticeltural Crops French Beans Onion	2,410 2,410	6.0 6.0 6.0 10.6 10.8	14,469 14,469	1,600 3,600 1,200 3,900	52 · 1 - -	5,559 4,144 23,666	13.5	31.6 - -

Table 4.7.1 Irrigation Benefit Estimates (2/2)

Alternative Plant T-4	Cultivated	Unit						
Ctoff	Area	Field	Total Production	Unit ≱rice	Gross Pro- duction Value	Unit Pro-	Total Pro- duction Cost	Net Pro- duction Value
Without Project	();a}	(ton/ha)	(ton)	[Kih./ten]	[Kaha.]	(Psh./ha)	(25.12s.)	[HKsha.]
I. His 1. Rice								
Short Rains Rice	5,850	3.5	20,510	3,600	73.1	4, 623	27.1	46.7
2: Sorticultural Crops Maixe	432	1.3						
Зеллэ	149	6.0	559 840	2,900 3,200	1.6 2.7	2,77 <u>6</u> 3,531	1.2 0.5	9.4 2.2
<pre>## If. Mutithi</pre>						-,	213	• • •
Halre	-	1.)	_	2,900	-	2,716	-	_
Total With Project	-	-	-	-	76.1	-	28.8	49.3
1. 215								
1. Rice Tong Rains Rice	5, 860	6.0	35,167	3,600	126.6			
Shork Rains Blow	5, 860	6.0	35,160	3,600	126.6	5,598 5,598	32.8 32.8	93.4 93.8
2. Bortfeelteral Crops French Beans	570	10.0	5,700	3, 200	11.2	8,166		
Onion Tomatoes	205	10.0	2,850	3,900	11.1	13, 666	4.6 3.8	13.6 7.3
II. Mutithi	265	15.0	4,275	3, 200	15.7	13, 377	3.8	5.5
1. Rice								
Long Amins Bice Short Pains Rice	3,060 2,060	6.0 6.0	6,360 6,360	3,600 3,600	22.9 22.9	5, 598 5, 598	5.9 5.9	17.0
2. Borticalteral Crops			-1,000		**.>	5, 334	3.9	17.0
freach Beans Onion	-	19.6 19.0	-	3,200 3,900	-	8,144	-	-
Toratees	-	15.0	-	3,203	-	13,464 13,317	_	-
Total III. Incremental Sebesit	-	-	-	-	342.0	*	19.6	252.4
With Project - Nithout	Project)	·						203.1
Alternative Plans N-1								
Crops	Eesavitiu2 Eesa	Unit Tield	Total Production	Unit Price	Stoss Pro- duction Velue	Unit Pro- duction Cost	fotal Fro-	Ket Pro-
Without Project	(ha)	(ton/2a)	{ten}	(Rah./ton)	(Kaha.)	(Fsh./ha)	duction Cost (88shs.)	duction Value [SXaha.]
I HIS								
1. Pice Short Pains Rice								
2. Borticulturel Crops	5, 860	3.5	23,510	3,600	73.8	6, F23	27.1	46.7
Maire	-	1.3	-	2,900	=	2,716	_	-
Beans II. Mutithi	•	€.4	~	3, 200	-	3, 531	~	-
1. Sorticultural Crops								
Maire Total	-	1.3	_	2,900	73.1	2,776		=
With Project		_	-	-	77.1	-	27.1	¢5.7
I. HIS 1. Rice								
Long Pairs Rica	5, 860	6.0	35,163	3,600	176.6	5,598	32.6	93.4
Short Rains Rice 2. Borticultural Crops	5, 665	6.0	35,160	3,600	176.6	5,572	32.8	93.9
French Peans	_	10.0	_	3,209	_	8,144	_	
Onion Tomatoes	_	10.0		3, 900	-	13,454	-	_
II. Mutithi	-	15.0	-	3, 209	-	19,377	-	
1- Rice Long Rains Rice	2,070							
Short Raics Rice	2,010	6.0	12,420	3,690 3,600	44.7 44.7	5,559 5,598	31.5 31.6	33.1
 Earticoltural Crops French Beans 					****		11.8	33.1
Onica	-	10.0	-	3,200 3,900	-	9,144 13,464		
Tonatoes Total	-	15.0		3, 295	-	13, 177	-	-
Total III. Incremental Becefit	-	-			342.6	-	00.5	253.€
(With Project - Without	Project)							207.1
	•							
Alternative Plans N-2					·			
Crops	Cultivated Area	Unit Yield	Total Freduction	Unit Price	Gress Pro- duction Value	Unit Pro-	Total Pro- duction Cost	Not Pro- duction Value
Without Project		(ton/ha)	(ton)	(Feh./ton)	(Fata.)	[Feh./ha]	(Mans.)	(MKshs.)
I. MIS								-
 Rice Short Rains Rice 								
7. Sorticultural Crops	5, 860	3.5	20,510	9,600	73.1	●,673	27.1	45.7
Holze Penos	-	1.3	-	2,900	÷	2,716	₹.	_
II. Mutithi	-	6.3	-	3,200	-	3,531		-
 Berticultural Crops Maire 								
Maire Total	-	1.3	-	2,500	73.E	2,776	<u> </u>	
Wath Project				-	13.0	-	27.1	45,3
I. MIS 1. Dice								
Long Rains Rice	5, 160	6.C	35,160	3,602	176.6	5,598	32.E	93.1
Short Rains Rice 2. Sorticultural Crops	5. 8 60	5.0	35,163	3,600	176.6	5,598	32.6	93.8
French Beans	•	10.0	-	3, 200	=	8,144	-	-
Onson Tomatoes	-	10.0 15.0	•	3,900	-	13,464	-	-
II. Mutithi	-	13.0	-	3,200	•	13, 373	-	-
1. Rice Total Raine Rice								
Long Rains Rice Short Pains Rice	1,460 1.460	6.0 5.0	8,760 8,760	3,600 3,600	31.5 31.5	5,598 5,598	9,2 8.2	23.3 23.3
2. Borticultors! Crops			-				B. 4	27.3
french Beans Onion	· -	10.0 10.0	-	3,203 3,500	-	8,144 13,464	-	-
CHICA								-
Torotors	-	15.0	-	3,200	-	13, 37?	-	-
	=	15.0	-	3,200	316.2	13, 377	02.0	234.2

Table 5.1.1 Profitability per Ha of Major Horticultural Crops

(Unit: KShs.1,000)

Crops	G.P.V.*	P.C.**	N.P.V.***
Tomatoes	51	14	37
Egg Plant	17	11	6
Cucumber	12	. 10	Ž
Chillies	12	11	1
Capsicum	12	10	2
Cabbage	11	9	2
Lettuce	11	9	2
Carrot	10	8	2
Onions	45	17	28
Welsh Onion	10	6	4
Potato	14	13	1
Sweet Potato	18	11	7
Cauliflower	11	9	2
French Beans	37	11	26
Rice	18	6	12

Remarks:

*: G.P.V. = Gross Production Value

**: P.C. = Production Cost including Labour Cost

***: Net Production Value

Note:

All figures are estimated by JICA Study Team on the basis of following sources:

- 1) Farm economic survey
- 2) Data on wholesale price of agro-products in municipal wholesale market, MOA (1981-1986)
- 3) Farm budget of rice and onion in 1985/86, NIB
- 4) Agricultural costs and prices 1985, Farm Management Handbook Voll.111B, MOALD
- 5) Natural condition and farm management information
- 6) Supplemental survey during 2nd stage.

Table 5.1.2 Economic Price Structure of Rice

	Items	Unit	Constant 1987 Price
1.	World Price (F.O.B. Bangkok) in 1995△	US\$/ton	265.8
2.	Freight and insurance (Bangkok to Mombasa)	US\$/ton	+80
3.	Value C.I.F. Mombasa	US\$/ton	345.8
	(US\$1 = KShs.16.5)	KShs./ton	5,706
4.	Port handling charge, bagging and weighing, and transport	KShs./ton	+958
5.	Value at Mwea warehouse	KShs./ton	6,664
6.	Transport (warehouse to mill gate) including handling charge	KShs./ton	4
7.	Value in term of husked paddy/2	KShs./ton	4,329
8.	Value of bran	KShs./ton	+144
9.	Milling charge	KShs./ton	-210
0.	NCPB charge/3	KShs./ton	-420
1.	Transport (NCPB buying centre to farm gate)	KShs./ton	-58
2.	Farm gate price of paddy	KShs./ton	3,785

Note: 1987 Based on the IBRD Commodity Price Projection, September, 1987. The IBRD estimated price given in 1985 constant US\$ has been adjusted by a factor of 1.254 (MUV) to allow for price escalation between 1985 and 1987.

^{12:} Milling rate: 65%.

^{23:} Consisting of insecticide cost, overhead cost of NCPB and loss at depot.

Table 5.1.3 Farm Budget Analysis

		Without	S With	Without	
		WICHOUG	MICH	MICHOUC	With
Aver	age Far Size				
	Rice field	1.6	1.6	· 	2.7
	Upland field	0.2	0.2	1.0	0.5
	Potential arable land	<u>.</u>	-	2.2	_
_ _	Total	1.8	1.8	3.2	3.2
			(U	nit: KShs	.1,000)
1.	Gross Income				
	i) Farm Income	1.00			
	Rice	19.6	67.2	· <u>.</u>	113.4
	Maize	0.3		2.6	-
	Beans	1.9	-	-	
	Tomato	~	5.1	· <u>-</u>	15.3
	Onion	-	4.5	_	13.5
	French bean	-	7.4	_	18.5
	Sub-total	21.8	84.2	2.6	160.7
	ii) Non-Farm Income	1.5	_	6.2	-
	Total (I)	23.3	84.2	8.8	160.7
IJ.	Gross Out-go	20.4	37.1	_	50.4
	i) Farming Expenses/1				
	Rice	4.6	11.8		20.0
	Maize	0.1	-	1.1	-
	Beans	0.2	-	_	-
	Tomato	-	0.6	-	1.9
	Onion	-	0.9	-	2.8
	French bean	· -	1.3	_	3.2
	Sub-total	4.2	14.6	1.1	29.9
	ii) Living Expenses	15.5	22.5/2	7.2	22.5 ^[]
	Total (II)	20.4	37.1	8.3	50.4
II.	Net Reserve (I - II) (KShs./ha)	2.9 (1.6)	47.1 (26.2)	0.5 (0.2)	110.3 (34.5)

Note: Data was estimated from results of farm economic survey in MIS and socio-economic survey in Mutithi extension area.

11: Excluding family labour

/2: Including the expenses for home consumption of food

Irrigation Benefit Estimate at the Full Development Stage Table 5.1.4

					Gross	Cost		1017	İ
Crop	Cultivated Area O (ha)	ated Area Unit Yield P (ha) (ton/ha)	Total Production (ton)	Unit Price (KShs./ton)	Production Value (KShs. Willion)	Produ (KShs	Production Cost	Production Value (KSha Million)	
I Without Project									1
short	5,860	ທ ຕ	20,510	3,800	77.9	4,600	27.0	6.08	
2 Upland field Maize	009	1.3	780	3,100	2.4	2,400	4		•
Beans 2) Mutithi	200	o. v	, ogo	3,200	3.2		o.	2.6	
Upland field	< c		ų u	6		•	,		
Total	2	?	ń n n	001.5	85.2	2,400	30.0	55.2	
II With Project 1) MiS									•
1 Rice field									
Long Rains Rice	5,860	6.0	35,160	3,800	ന		32.2	101.4	
Short Rains Rice	2,860	0,0	35,160	3,800	133.6	5,500	32.2	10T	
French Beans	800	0 0	8,000	3,200	25.6	7,800	6.2	19.4	
Onton	400	10.0	4,000	3,900	15.6		0,8	10.6	
Tomatoes	400	15.0	000'9	2, 900	17.4		4.1	13.3	
Z) Mutithi Dice #ich#									
Long Rains Rice	2,470	6.0	14.820	3,800	T.	n	4		
Short Rains Rice	2,470	6.0	14,820	3,800	E '95	5, 500		7 27	
2 Upland field			ı		,) 	·	
French Beans	430	0.01	4,300	3,200	13,8	7,800	3.4		
Onton	215	10.0	2,150	3,900	8.4	12,600	2.7		
Tomatoes	215	15.0	3,225	2,900	4.0	10,200	2.2	7.2	
Total					470.0		215.2	m	
III Incremental Benefit	1 1							299.6	
With Froject - Without	. without Froject)								

Table 5.2.1 Effective Rainfall (ER) and Farm Water Requirement (FWR)

								. :	: .			(Uni	t: mm)
	Crops		e(L)	Ri	ce (\$)	Bean	s S(L)	Bear	s S(S)	Qai	ons (L)	Toma	toes(S)
Nonti	h Day	ER	FNR	ER	FNR	ER		ER	FWR	ER	FWR	ER	
Jan.	1-10	· -		0	19	_	_	0	53	_			
	11-20	-	-	0	6	_	_	0	53 57	_	~	0	
•	21-31	-	_	_		_		0	65		-	0	
					•			•	63	-	~	0	74
Feb.	1~10	_	-	-		-	•-	0	63		-	0	69
	11-20	0	10	_	-	_	-	0	52	_	_	0	56
	21-28	0	25	-		-		0	32	-	- .	0	34
Mar.	1-10	21	43	_	_	_	_	- 11	10				
	11-20	Ô	67	_	_	0		21	18	~	-	21	19
	21-31	15	75	_	-	_	12	0	16	0	10	0	15
		10	• • •		_	15	36	15	4	15	31	15	3
Apr.	1-10	29	48	_	-	14	36	_	~	19	27	_	~
	11-20	38	39	-	-	20	33	_	_	27	25	_	_
	21-30	25	45	-	-	10	41	-	-	18	35	-	_
May	1-10	90	1	_	_	20	2.5						
•	11-20	25	28	_	_	30	28	-	-	53	14	-	-
	21-31	23	30		-	15	30	-	-	15	37	· -	-
		2.5	20		-	23	13	-	-	12	37	~	-
Jun.	1-10	0	29	-	_	0	24	_	<u>-</u>	0	37	_	
	11-20	0	21		_	ō	28	_	_	0	40	_	~
	21-30	o	13	_		ō	32	-	_	0	42	_	_
Jul.	1-10		_							ŭ	3.6		_
001,	11-20	0 -	4	_	-	0	34	-	-	0	40	_	-
	21-31		-	Ö	7	0	37	-	-	0	40	_	-
	21-31	-	-	0	24	0	42	-	-	0	43	-	-
Aug.	1-10	-	_	14	3 3	14	30	_	_		2.0		
	11-20	-	_	6	47	6	31		_	14	30	-	-
	21-31	-	~	0	65	ŏ	30	-	- -	6	30 29	-	-
						•	30			v	29	-	· -
Scep.	1-10	_	-	. 0	82	0	24	_	_	0	23	_	
	11-20	-	-	0	83	0	14	0	11	0	13	0	14
	21-30	-	-	0	75	0	4	0	33	0	4	ō	41
Oct,	1-10	_	_	0	63	_	_	^					
	11-20	_	_	ō	60	_	_	0	44	~	-	Ó	56
	21-31	-	-	43	26	_	_	0	48		-	0	60
								41	34	-	-	43	45
Nov.	1-10	-	-	70	0	~	-	25	30	*	-	54	31
	11-20	~	-	38	25		_	26	22	_	-	27	31
	21-30	_	~	39	23	-	-	14	20	_	_	12	29
Dec.	1-10	_	_	9	40	•							
-	11-20	_	-	0	40 33		-	9	21	-	-	9	26
	21~31	_	_	0	37 30	_	-	0	35	-	-	Ò	41
	•			U	30	-	-	0	44	-	-	0	52

Unit Diversion Water Requirement for Respective Crops Table 5,2,2

						(U	nit: 1/s/ha)
Month	Day	Rice(L)	Rice(S)	Beans (L)	Beans (S)	Onions(L)	Tomatoes(S)
Jan.	1-10		0.40	_	1.12	<u> </u>	1.30
•	11-20	_	0.13	_	1.20	_	1.39
	21-31	_	-	-	1.24	-	1.41
Feb.	1-10	••	-	-	1,32	_	1.45
	11-20	0.22	-	_	1.09	_	1.17
	21-28	0.65	-	_	0.84	-	0.88
Mar.	1-10	0.90	-	-	0.38	_	0.39
	11-20	1.42	-	0.25	0.33	0.22	0.06
	21-31	1.44	-	0.69	0.08	0.58	-
Apr.	1-10	1.01	_	0.75	_	0.58	_
	11-20	0.81	-	0.70	-	0.53	_
	21-30	0.96	-	0.86		0.73	-
May	1-10	0.01	_	0.60	_	0.29	-
	11-20	0.60	_	0.64	_	0.78	•••
	21-31	0.57	-	0.24		0.71	~
Jun.	1-10	0.61		0.51	_	0.77	. <u>-</u>
	11-20	0.45	_	0.60	_	0.84	_
	21-30	0.28	_	0.68	-	0.88	~
Jul.	1-10	0.09	· _	0.72	_	0.85	_
	11-20	-	0.15	0.77	-	0.85	_
	21-31	-	0.46	0.80		0.83	
Aug.	1-10	-	0.70	0.64	_	0.64	-
•	11-20		0.99	0.65	-	0.63	
	21-31	-	1.24	0.58	. .	0.55	-
Sep.	1-10	-	1.72	0.51	-	0.48	_
	11-20	-	1.74	0.30	0.23	0.27	0.29
	21-30	_	1.58	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.87
Oct.	1-10	***	1.34	_	0.93	_	1.17
	11-20	_	1.27	-	1.00	~	1.26
	21-31	-	0.49	_	0.65	-	0.86
Nov.	1-10	**	0.00	_	0.62	_	0.65
	11-20	• -	0.52	_	0.46	_	0.65
	21-30	-	0.49		0.43	-	0.62
Dec.	1-10	_	0.85	_	0.44	_	0.55
	11-20	- .	0.79	_	0.74	· -	0.87
	21-31	-	0.57	-	0.84		0.99

Note: L: Long rains S: Short rains

Diversion Water Requirement (DWR) Table 5.2.3

41 14 40 40 40 40 H	44444444444444444444444444444444444444	1185(60) RDWR 0.45	571 571 HDWR	1756(60)	4560 (444)	7015a	4750 (444)	- 1	Murithi	2900		7-20	
सं तं तं सं तं तं तं तं तं तं	(A) 부터부 부탁분 1111	RDWR 0.45	HDWD	~	560 (44	200	750 / 44		430	2900			
המ המ המ המ המ המ		4.4 5.5	A A TANK THE A	DWR	RDWR	HDWR	D.W.	2470 RDWR	HDWR	D%C	1770 1770 1770	29	144
4 44 44 44 44 H			0.69	• •		1 .	, ,		:I •	- 1	X C	₹	ΣΙ`
40 40 40 40 H		00					•	•		٠.) H	٠-,	•
מת אמ אמ אמ אני	1 1 1 1 1	00.					٠		•	•	80	. ⊤.	
, 40 40 40 40 H		1. 10.								•	8	٠.	\sim
ਜਕ ਜਨ ਜਨ ਜਨ ਜੋ ਂ		? ·							•) ()	~	~·
40 40 40 H	t	d (٠.	٠.	٠.		•	• 1	> :	_	$\overline{}$
ਜਕ ਜਕ ਜਕ ਜਰ		200			-						O 4 ⊢ F	٠, ١	٠,٠
ਜਕ ਜਕ ਜਕ ਜਿੰ	1	4					-	-:			2 [\sim	
V НИ НИ НО		П					•		•	٠,	17		
न्य नय निर्		80				٠.	•	٠.	• • • •	•	60	~	
40 HO H	4	ਰ ਹ	- :			: `	: :	: `	1.	•	믑	٧.	
. 40 H		۵ ا ا					: -		• • • •	• • • •	90	٠.	٠.
	٠.	# C	•	-	٠.	٠.	т.	٠.	•) c	-	٧,
·		on V⊏			.,,	٠.	-	. "	•	: •	> c	٠,	٠,
H		101	•	•	~.	• • •	٠.,		• •	٠.	> C		٦٠
	٠.٠	0	. ~			., .		Ψ.	٠.,	\sim			, 0
	٠.•	17	٠,	_	, 4	7 6	• • •			٠,	60	_	. ~
V		52	~	٠.	···	15	٠.	.,,	.,,,		02	·	2
• • •	• • •	79	.,			, ,	, ,	7		٧.	S0	U	0
ric		겉	4. 11	-	, 0	-	, , ,	•	14.	v,	0	0	-
,	,	7	.,		~		11/	۲,	ΑĊ	٠,	rd rd	0	٦,
	г, ,	94	1.4	. 4	C	•		, (V (••	4	O	ᅼ
Hr Hr		90 61		1.4	,	1 ~	10	110		A 1	20	O	N
3,	., .	20	n 1	1.3	ഗ	-	ļ) 0	46	,,(00	O	ď
	-,,	1	w	-	S)	•	. Ł) t	7	ν,	00 ~⊀	O	U.
10		ញ ទៅព	w	c,	N	N	· 🗸	n,	₹ 4	ء سا	Š	0	H
7	',	ກຸ	₹.	O3	0	Н		+ C	rα	D (L)	0	н
	-10	0	ന	(,)	0	•		1 <	2	n	90	0	0
10	٧ď	OT LI	നം	ന	•	~	ı	> (/	40	Λ. Λ.	000	0	0
• •) (ဂ (\mathbf{x}	\circ	-1		N	IN) d	р ц Э С	> <	9
•	-10		N .	C) (U)		Ø	-	ľ	,	D (> (Э,
21.	1.0	ክ ኒሞ o ኒo	។ ហ	ი გ.ქ.	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	9 g	es e	٦. اور اور	10.0	10 20 30 40	10 00	00 00 00	11 11 00
Notes and				ł		ł I) I	, i	*	œ	03	Ó	н

NDWR: Diversion water requirement for horticultural crops

Table 5.2.4 Irrigable Area by Re-use Structure

No.	Catchme Unit No.	ent Area	Commande		Irrigable Area
	OHIE NO.	Area (ha)	Unit No.	Area (ha)	(ha)
Nyamindi	i <u>Part</u>				
1	Т2	22	T5	85	6
2	Т5	7	T13	71	2
3	Т6	57	T 7	15	14
4	Т7	54	т8	28	14
5	T8	28	т8	9	7
6	Т8	7	T11	20	2
7	T13	38	T13	19	10
8	T19	18	(To NBI-II)	78	5
(Sub-tot	al)	(231)		(325)	(60)
Thiba Pa	irt				
1	м3	7	м3	2	2
2	M4	74	M4	10	10
3	M4	15	M4	18	4
4	M7	14	(To TMC)	3,943	4
5	M9,M10	27	M11	16	7
6	M12 (B)	12	M12 (B)	3	3
7	M15	32	(To TMC)	3,215	8
8	м9-14, н18, н1	9 534	(To TBI-IV)	1,688	134
9	M15,M16,H20	150	(To TBI-II)	290	38
10	н1,н3	181	(To TBI-II)	179	45
11	н2,н4	182	(To TBI-111)	497	46
12	Н5	156	H8 (To TBI-III)	5 172	5 34
13	W6, K1	414	(To TBI-IV)	564	104
(Sub-tot	al)	(1,789)		(10,602)	(444)
Total		(2,029)		(10,932)	(504)

Table 5.2.5 Net Irrigation Area in Each Unit

No. Mark M	7	~	ı	j.	į		7.	2	15		2	ori Go	6 0	Ġ	. .	, (Y 0	0 (: Y Y	9 6	4 0	.	,	۰.	4.6		4 (9 (DÇ	98	75	00	
The control of the			10, 10							:			•					:					:				1	:.	:	α. •	•	-1	
Name of Section Name of Se	· · ·				ı		n (N			·	ż,		Q			10	v i v									N <		٠,٠	9		•	
Name of Section Name of Se		E 6	Sukan			`	; · .						:														1 -	- 4		:			
Third System				۶								., .	حد	-		. 0	, c) r-	1 11	13	17	51	9	, ,	× ×	4 -	16	3 6	77	22	53	24	
Third System		Mutit	1,05	Ē.		7.		4	22	ď		9 .	/4	163	8	5.7		128	8 2	124	114	0	137	6									
This System			Sec			1		4 7 4	22	ŀ	r	9	•	163	•	Þ	•	1	ı	1	1	ı	•	•									
This System			birir	s.		7.7	, 1	ı	ŧ	9	•	1	.	•	8	57	47	128	83	124	777	9	137	63									
Table System			Κi	No.		H	•	4	ო	V	u.) U		^	හ	o,	9	덤	12	<u>ლ</u>	4	S	16	17									
Tobage Section			c			208	9) :	132	145	148	, ,		13.	30																		
Tobage Section			ectio	Œ		i	,			•	ŀ	,			B																		
Topege Section	ļ			- 1		208	165		132	545	148	e-	ć		90																		
Number Section Numes Section Thibs System Tobers Section Numes Section			Kar	No.		Ϋ́	N	•	7)	₹	υŋ	v	r	٠,	ဆ																		
Toberge Section				⊦		130	20 Ed	0	2 1	132	163	206	6)																			
Toberge Section			ect 10			1	t	,			ı	4	7																				
Topographic System		1:		۵.	•	2	195	47.2		,	163	206	121	j i																			
Table System		200		.00	5	-i (7	67	> <	7 (റ	9	r~																				
Teberge Section Name Section Thiba Section P H T No. P H			F	1	7	2 6	J)	800	, ,	D (90.	111	ထ	ď		1 6	-4 v -1 v	CTT															
Teberge Section Names Section Thiba P H T No.		Πä	ÍГа			١ ٩	ø	ŧ	1,6) (>	1	1	,				١.															
Tebere Section No. P H T No. Th P H T No. Th P H T No. P H T T No.		ba Se	۵		۲.	2 5	7 .	108	ç) \{\frac{1}{2}}) i	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	(X)	G	, 4- , 10,) r	4 V 4 F	3															
Tebere Section																																	
Tebere Section News Section Tebere Section News Section To Section News News Section To Section News News News News News News News News			ı																n on	8	0	7	C										
Tebere Section No. P 7 132 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	ļ	tion																															
Tebere Section No. 7 12 1 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ļ				000	::																											
Tebere Section - 52 52 22 32 54 117 21 138 119 119 119 119 129 - 159 129 - 159 129 - 159 129 - 159 129 - 159 129 - 159 129 - 159 129 - 174 17 20 91 18 20 80 34 77 18 20 80 35 77 18 20 80 36 77 18 20 80 37 - 17 18 60 80 37 - 17 18 77		Mwe																															
72 Section 13 System Section 13 S	ļ		No																														
129 113 129 129 134 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 146 14	E o		E٠		522	54	7	r	4	138	5		1 .	ο. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	611	(H	129	1	16	80	34	8	23	85	105	144	7.0	7.5		1. D (- :	2 :	57
No. Teberse No. Teberse 11 12 22 22 33 117 6 6 57 117 6 117 6 117 71 1129 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 11	Sys	Sect	Ή		52	9	4		ტ ლ	7	١	•		,	119	113	ı	۲-1 ۲-1	20	08	•	Σ	1	23	:	6	•	1		1 1		-1	•
8	amind	epere	n.		;	22	1		•	1117	53	,	1 4	3 (1)	1.	ı	129	•	7	1.	34	11	23	5. Q	105	9	96	74	9.	0	1	, t	3
	NV	Ĕ	No.		 E+	C	'n	,	7	S	•	,		ю	ው	9	ដ	75	9	 	5	9		φ r 1	on rt	50	2;	22	23) r	, ,	0 4	> v

Note: P - Paddy area H - Rorlicultural crops area T - Total area m P + H

Table 5.2.6 Drainage Area of Each Drainage Block

187 2 104 25 135 136 26 1 136 26 27 130 27 20 27 20 20 20 20 2	No. P S T No. P S 1 2 104 22 126 13 4 25 1 15 4 25 1 15 5 1 15 5 182 162 162 162 162 162	7 No. P 249 1 316 2 2 2	- 1	€*	٥	E V	
1	104 22 126 1 93 1 1 93 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	249 1 316 2 3 124			2	30.	ı
1	11822	124		11	2 5	20 20 40 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	121
\$ 182 = 182	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			•	33	34 - 34 3	4 0 70 70
System	162 -	192		1,354 1.	רב הפני המו	122 - 122 4	1 2
System		153) ; ;	001	95
Single S		297	663			•	
System	63 34 497 91 1	58 249 1,082 289	1,371	1,362	229	347 404	429
No. P S T T T T T T T T T							
NOW	System		2	Surfer Cueron	*Same		(Uniter ha)
1105 22 127 1 96 - 96 1 - 3 3 1 - 23 23 1 87 - 87 1 - 4 4 1 79 - 5 1 1 1 3 109 - 109	XDM	+ 3		1	KBD-1		
1110 24 134 1105 22 127 1 96 - 96 1 - 3 3 1 - 23 23 1 87 - 87 1 - 4 4 1 79 - 5 13 1 87 - 87 1 - 4 4 1 79 - 5 13 125 1 87 - 87 1 - 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No. P S T No. P	CN F:	į.	T 8	s d	P S m No.	e .ov
2 81 11 92 2 101 - 101 2 72 25 97 2 - 16 16 2 - 156 156 2 - 6 6 2 151 - 103 3 - 97 3 - 1 1 3.109 - 109 3 - 161 161 3 - 211 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 71 9 80 5 5 5 8 63 4 17 177 194 4 6 15 - 15 6 - 33 33 4 4 9 5 5 122 8 63 6 15 - 15 6 - 33 33 8 - 161 101 2 112 112 112 112 112 112 112 112	1 110 24 134 1 105	127 1		m	- 23	87 - 87 1	1 20 2
2 - 143 143 3 97 - 97 3 - 11 1 3 109 - 109 3 - 161 162 3 - 212 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 5 5 8 8 63 4 17 177 194 4 - 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	131 6 136 2 81	92 2 101		25	9 -1	- 156 156 2	2 767
5 - 44 44 5 71 9 80 5 55 8 63 7 1/ 1/ 124 5 - 18 8 8 63 7 1/ 1/ 124 12 112 112 112 112 112 112 112 112	1 5 5 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	269 8 97		- <u>`</u>	109		161 3 - 211
6 15 - 15 6 - 33 33 7 44 - 44 7 69 53 122 8 23 60 83 8 - 9 9 10 48 11 10 10 9 15 - 15 11 - 7 7 11 113 13 126 12 - 74 74 12 84 - 84 13 - 292 292 13 - 308 309 14 - 308 308 186 489 675 588 721 1,359 567 484 991 126 216 347 87 156 243 - 311 317 746 711 TWD - Nyanindi Main Drain TAWD - Tana Main Drain TWD - Thiba Main Drain KWD - Kirvara Main Drain	12 63 75 5	44 5 71		r od	,,,,		
7 44 - 44 7 69 53 122 8 23 60 83 8 - 9 9 9 - 10 10 9 15 - 15 10 48 11 59 10 - 7 7 11 - 7 7 11 13 13 126 12 - 7 7 11 13 13 126 13 - 292 292 13 - 84 13 - 292 292 13 - 308 309 14 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 15 548 771 1,359 507 484 991 176 216 342 87 156 263 - 311 317 240 211 TWD - Nyamindi Main Drain TAMD - Tana Main Drain TWD - Thiba Main Drain KMD - Kiruara Main Drain	31 31	9		. E.			Z
8 23 60 83 8 - 9 9 9 - 10 10 9 15 - 15 10 48 11 59 10 - 7 7 11 113 13 126 12 - 7 7 11 113 13 126 13 - 292 292 13 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 186 489 675 588 771 1,359 507 484 991 176 216 362 87 156 263 - 311 311 740 711 TWD - Nyamindi Main Drain TAWD - Tana Main Drain TWD - Thiba Main Drain KWD - Kiruara Main Drain	38	**) (r)			
9 - 10 10 9 15 - 15 10 48 11 59 10 - 7 7 11 - 7 7 11 113 13 126 12 - 74 74 12 84 - 84 13 - 292 292 13 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 186 489 675 588 771 1,359 507 484 991 176 216 342 87 156 263 - 311 311 240 711 TWD - Nyamindi Main Drain TAWD - Tana Main Drain TWD - Thiba Main Drain KWD - Kiruara Main Drain	34 -	23		i ch			
10 48 11 59 16 - 7 7 11 113 12 126 11 - 7 7 11 113 13 126 12 - 7 7 11 113 13 126 13 - 292 292 13 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 186 489 675 588 771 1,359 507 484 991 126 216 342 87 156 243 - 311 317 240 711 TWD - Nyamindi Main Drain TAND - Tana Main Drain TWD - Thiba Main Drain KMD - Kiruara Main Drain	88 52	1		. 1			
11 - 7 7 11 113 13 126 12 - 74 74 12 84 - 84 13 - 292 292 13 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 186 489 675 588 771 1,359 507 484 99; 126 216 342 87 156 263 - 31; 31; 240 21; NMD - Nyamindi Main Drain TAND - Tana Main Drain TMD - Thiba Main Drain KMD - Kiruara Main Drain	- 89 0	87 0		١			
12 - 74 74 12 84 - 84 13 - 292 292 13 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 186 489 675 588 721 1,359 507 484 99: 126 216 342 87 156 243 - 31: 31; 740 71: TAMD - Tana Main Drain TMD - Nyamindi Main Drain	, ,	1	r4 r3	£4.			
13 - 292 292 13 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 14 - 308 308 186 489 675 588 771 1,359 507 484 991 126 216 362 87 156 243 - 31: 31) 240 21; NND - Nyamindi Main Drain TAND - Tana Main Drain TND - Thiba Main Drain KND - Kiruara Main Drain	2 - 3	2 -	않	1			
14 - 308 308 186 489 675 588 771 1,359 507 484 991 126 216 342 87 156 243 - 311 317 248 211 NND - Nyamindi Main Drain TAND - Tana Main Drain TMD - Thiba Main Drain KND - Kiruara Main Drain	3 - 393		13	308			
186 489 675 588 771 1,359 507 484 991 176 216 342 87 156 243 - 311 311 240 213 NND - Nyanindi Main Drain TAMD - Tana Main Drain TMD - Thiba Main Drain KMD - Kiruara Main Drain	4 - 131	₽: -	308				
NMD - Nyamindi Main Drain TMD - Thiba Main Drain KMD - Kiruara Main Drain	637 741 1,378 186	675 588	0	484	216	2 156 243	11 313 246 213
NNO - Nyamindi Main Drain TAWD -							
		E 1		1 1	ain Drain		

Table 6.1.1 Summary of Reservoir and Dam

···-	Item		Thiba Dam	
1.	Reservoir			:
	Catchment area		172.6	km ²
	Reservoir capacity Effective storage capaci Dead storage capacity Total storage capacity	ŧу	15.0	MCM MCM
	Water level Normal water level High water level Dead water level Reservoir area Total storage area High water level area		EL. 1,380.0 EL. 1,382.5 EL. 1,363.0	m m
2.	Dam			****
	Type Height Crest elevation Crest length Crest width		Inclined - core zoned fi 35.0 El. 1,385.0 1,350 8	m m m
	Slopes Upstream Downstream Embankment volume		1:3.0 1:2.3	m
_			1,200,000	m ³
3.	Spillway			
	Main spillway Design discharge Crest length			l type m³/sec m
4.	River diversion			
	Diversion requirement Diversion tunnel Diameter Coffer dam height		280 550 2R Horse shoe 6.3 7	
5.	Intake and outlet works			
	Intake capacity (draw down) Intake structure Outlet pipe diameter		25 Drop 2.0	

Table 6.2.1 General Feature of Irrigation Canals

Canal Name	Canal Length (m)	Design Discharge (m³/sec)	Base Width (m)	Water Depth (m)	Canal Height (m)
Nyamindi System					
NMI	4,480	2.28-1.95	5.50-2.00	0.69-0.56	0.80-0.90
N81-1	6,400	0.99-0.04	2.00-0.30	0.72-0.14	0.90-0.30
NBI-II	5,600	1.01-0.05	1.00-0.30	0.81-0.12	1.00-0.30
NBI-III	3,550	0.24-0.04	0.60-0.30	0.32-0.15	0.50-0.30
Thiba System					
IMI	8,850	6.35-4.06	6.50-4.00	1.31-1.10	1.50-1.40
TBI-I	3,460	0.92-0.33	2.00-1.50	0.70-0.50	0.90-0.70
TBI-II	4,900	0.48-0.16	1.50-1.00	0.69-0.30	0.90-0.50
TBI-III	5,800	1.56-0.30	2.00-1.50	1.08-0.36	1.30-0.60
VI-IGT	15,870	2.73-0.28	3.50-1.50	1.23-0.40	1.40-0.60
Mutithi System					
MMI	1,100	4.46-1.53	2.00-1.10	1.53-0.91	1.70-1.10
MBI-I	4,110	0.44-0.13	0.70-0.30	0.53-0.17	0.70-0.40
MBI-11	7,460	0.77-0.09	0.90-0.70	0.90-0.23	0.90-0.40
MBI-III	9,110	1.72-0.17	1.20-0.40	1.19-0.34	1.40-0.50
MBI-IV	8,130	1.53-0.25	1.10-0.40	1.10-0.38	1.30-0.60
M81-V	3,110	0.35-0.12	0.70-0.40	0.67-0.33	0.90-0.40

Table 6.2.2 Related Structures in Irrigation Canals (1/2)

- Nyamindí and Thíba System -

	닖	•	σάι	10701	ø	មា ១០	р н н
FAL	11	۵. د	യ∂te d	1 ኒ ላ ላ ጣ	ਾ ਜ	120 431 131	Concrete lining Cross drain Horse shoe weir Stone masonry Cipolletti weir
TOTAL		. 4.15	et m et		O -1 -1		Concrete lini Cross drain Horse shoe we Stone masonry Cipolletti we
	ă	63	त क	Ø 11	r-l	226	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2	딯		H	0 %	H	S T	
TBI-IV	띮	vî	ed	4 4 4		83 8	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	ద	12	63 00	જ ન	νн	36	
H	肓		ંત	നന	н	∞ .	Concrete flume Drop Check Washing step Spillway
TEI-III	떮	4	. "	ທ d	F4	8 8 8	2 0 8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Н	ă	લળ	v	W rt	Ŋ	22	Concrete flu Drop Check Washing step Spillway
H	E		Н	400	Ħ	7	S W C D C
rei-ii	E	Ħ		844		7 7 7 7	CF CK SWS
£	ដ	нφ,	F1 19	त्त न		18	2
	E		H	ਅ ਕ	H	∞	.ume ofstake
TBI-I	8	Ħ		ব		23	ount;
	ă	ო	ω	H		0 1	
	图			9 4 4 4		9 t	Parshall Culvert Bridge Turnout o
, I	RE			w)		75	
. !	Ä	H 61 4	4 2	7 2	r1	ဗ	F S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
III			ਜ	ਜਜ .	ਜ	4	
ш		₽				e 42	7
ı	Ä		64	ਜ਼ਿਲਦ ਜ		71	٦ ٢ ٢
	E S		C)	0.10		4	y Y Stid
NBI-II	윘	73	φ	H		6 97	n as a r
Z	ă	. ~	e> ←1	रू० न	ч	22	hece be onst
	띭		m H	m ev	rd	9	o to to
NBILI	2		84	તનન		ω ფ	ы дар но дар е с о д г о д
	ă	ო	w	ង ស្ខែក		ස ස	ruct itat ruct res
1	E		e-t	wн		7	Existing structures unnecessary for rehabilitation Existing structures to be rehabilitated New structures to be constructed
- 1	<u> </u>		инч	ਾ ਜ ਜ		3 50	stin reh stin str
~[X	ннч	* V*			0	
- Ł							X SS SS
Structure	Name	E 2 0	2556	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	S SM CS M	Sub-total: Total:	
Str	Z			•		Sub-to Total:	Note:

Table 6.2.2 Related Structures in Irrigation Canals (2/2)

- Mutithi System -

Structure Name	IWK	I-IEW	MBI-II	MBI-III	MBI-IV	MBI-V	Total
89898888	w 0140	ਆ ७७ ਜ ਜ ਜ	ө чөөч40	й <u>т</u> ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч ч	ом о м ч и и и и	त ष्प्तात्त	
Total:	11	8	ል ወ	0,	64	ఐ	225
Note:	DR - Drop CV - Culvert CK - Check TO - Turnout or offtake	or offtake	8 % C &	CW - Cipolletti weir WS - Washing step GD - Cross drain CR - Chute	tti ster ster ster ster		

Table 6.2.3 General Feature of Drainage Canals

•					
Canal Name	Canal Length (m)	Design Discharge (m³/sec)	Base Width (m)	Water Depth (m)	Canal Height (m)
Nyamindi System	3				
NMD-I	1,230	1.92	1.5	0.85	1.10
NMD-II	4,020	6.07-2.01	2.5-1.5	1.38-0.76	1.5-1.00
NMD-III	600	3.01	2.0	0.96	1.20
Thiba System					
TMD-I	5,580	40.90-3.45	10.00-1.50	2.83-1.33	3.20-1.60
TBD-I-1	2,300	24.77-5.65	15.00-3.00	1.41-1.36	1.70-1.60
TMD-II	2,100	5.99-2.12	2.50-1.50	1.50-0.84	1.80-1.00
III-CMT	2,970	4.80-2.13	2.00-1.50	1.00-0.82	1.30-1.10
TMD-IV	4,560	11.18-4.15	3.00-1.50	1.35-0.69	1.60-1.20
TMD-IV-1	1,140	1.0	1.0	0.42	0.70
KWD	8,300	16.58-1.62	10.00-2.00	1.48-0.60	1.70-0.80
Mutithi System					
TAMD-I	6,390	8.22-0.55	2.40-0.60	2.36-0.45	2.60-0.70
TAMD-II	7,800	16.38-8.36	2.60~2.00	2.56-1.82	2.90-2.00
KMD-I	4,320	11.68-3.82	8.00-4.00	1.21-0.75	1.50-1.00
KMD-II	4,200	7.08-2.39	6.50-2.00	0.93-0.78	1.10-1.00
KBD-11-1	1,800	2.94-1.93	3.00-2.50	0.52-0.41	0.70-0.60
KMD-111	3,400	25.59-16.58	3.30-2.60	3.23-2.59	3.60-2.90
KBD-111-1	3,600	5.40-2.62	1.50-1.20	1.49-1.02	1.80-1.30

Table 6.2.4 Related Structures in Drainage Canals (1/2)

- Nyamindi and Thiba System -

Structure		I-CMN	Ŀ⊀	MN	II-OWN		NMD	NMD-III		T-OMT		TBI	TBD-I-1		TME	IMD-II		TXD-TYT			VITTONE			5			100	Ì.
Name	ដ	교	RE NE	ដ	SN SN	ļ į	EX.	RE NE	ă	EE	RE NE	X	33	層	X	RE N	NE	EX RE NE	Z G		EX RE	S	ă	2	RE NE	EX XE	A SE	, E
8 8 8 8 8 8		. m	ии	N	н	21	н	ന	4	ന്ദ	ଷଷ ମ	্ব	ਜਜਥਾ	д ,		थन स	4	0 н		0; m -1	; H	иини	0 0 2	0 M	ਜਲਲ	940 4	19r 40	L 4 L W
Sub-total:	73	ന	ব	63	rt	22	ed	ന	Q.	ო	12	4	Ψ	٦		7	4	m	다 -			٢	W	, LO	ထ	23	23 27 101	8
Total:		Q			24	:		4		6 1			.		f.	r H		φ •	10		0			80			151	
No to 	XXXX	E E E	sting	g str g str gctur	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ures ures cobe	to be	EX - Existing structures unnecessary for rehabilitation RE - Existing structures to be rehabilitated NE - New structures to be constructed	/ for bilit	reh ated	abil 1	itat	ion			VO U	1	CV - Culvert DR - Drop DI - Drainage inlet	7. 19.00	nlet			DG CK	DJ - Drain CK - Check AD - Aqued	DJ - Drainage CK - Check AD - Aqueduct	e ju	DJ - Drainage junction CK - Check AD - Aqueduct	g .

Table 6.2.4 Related Structures in Drainage Canals (2/2)

1
E
- 5
Ψ
ų,
50
~
Š
乒
급
끍
てされわど
for ith
Mutith

Total	20 116 26	691	
Kab III-1	22 13	16	
KBD II-1 KBD III-1	844	L	
XMD-III	H 9 67	19	junction
KMD-II	404	24	SY - Syphon DJ - Drainage junction
KMD-I	ণ 4 ৫ ০	29	D &
TAMD⊸II	w ch ch ch ch	48	in let
TAMD-I	. wr44	26	DR - Drop CV - Culvert DI - Drainage inlet
Structure Name	OV DI DZ	Total:	Note:

Table 6.2.5 Farm Roads

Nyamin	di Part	Thiba	Part	Mutith	i Part
Road Name	Length (m)	Road Name	Length (m)	Road Name	Length (m
FR-N-1	11,420	FR-T-1	21,840	FR-M-1	10,800
FR-N-2	15,440	FR-T-2	8,400	FR-M-2	1,000
FR-N-3	2,160	FR-T-3	38,780	FR-M-3	7,400
FR-N-4	1,660	FR-T-4	17,000	FR-M-4	400
FR-N-5	2,040	FR-T-5	3,640	FR-M-5	950
FR-N-6	3,000	FR-T-6	1,000	FR-M-6	950
FR-N-7	780	FR-T-7	1,32	FR-M-7	1,350
FR-N-8	460	FR-T-8	2,840	FR-M-8	22,700
FR-N-9	200	FR-T-9	3,040	FR-M-9	1,720
FR-N-10	2,900	FR-T-10	1,460	FR-M-10	620
FR-N-11	640	FR-T-11	2,600	FR-M-11	2,770
FR-N-12	300	FR-T-12	4,460	FR-M-12	3,180
		FR-T-13	2,060	FR-M-13	1,300
		FR-T-14	2,600	FR-M-14	300
		FR-T-15	1,840	FR-M-15	15,670
		FR-T-16	2,400	FR-M-16	2,300
		FR-T-17	1,580	FR-M-17	1,740
		FR-T-18	3,060	FR-M-18	2,120
		FR-T-19	2,800	FR-M-19	2,630
	. *			FR-M-20	720
Total	41,000		122,720		80,620

Table 6.4.1 Extension of Existing Reception Center in MIS and Construction of New Reception Center in Mutithi Extension Area

			(Unit: m ²)
	Item		Required Area
			:
I.	The transmitted of the Mila		
	a. Drying floor		9,800
	b. Warehouse Δ		5,500
	c. Collection/shipping space	ce	4,000
	d. Office		1,000
	(Sub-Total)		(20, 300)
II.	Machine Centre	1000	
	a. Garage		9,600
	b. Workshop		*
	c. Parking area 12		3,200 19,800
	d. Stores for spair parts	•	
	e. Office		600
	(Sub-total)		200 (33, 400)
III.	Reception Centre in Mutithi	Extension Aven	
	a. Drying floor	pyceusion Wiea	3.4.004
	b. Warehouse /1		14,800
	c. Collection/shipping space	e 13	2,400
	d. Storing space 4	,	2,200
	e. Office		4,400
	(Sub-total)		900
	3		(24,700)
IV.	Total (I + II + III)		78,400

Note: /1: Warehouse for storing of fertilizer and agro-chemicals

12: Including the space for washing the car

13: For horticultural crops

14: Space for storing of small farming implements

Table 6.4.2 Proposed Numbers of Agricultural Machinery Introduced to the Project Area

	Item	Required Number
1.		· /)
1.	4-wheel drive tractor (60p:	
	Mutithi	53
	Total	33
	IVEAL	<u>86</u>
2.	Crawler tractor (60ps)	
	MIS	10
	Mutithi	4
	Total	14
	-	11
3.	Rotavator	
	MIS	59
	Mutithi	35
	Total	94
4.	Chisel plow	
	MIS	4
	Mutithi	2 ·
	Total	_6
5.	Sprayer	
	MIS	19
	Mutithi	8
	Total	<u>27</u>
6.	Trailer	
	MIS	32
	Mutithi	13
	<u>Total</u>	45

Note: 11: Excluding existing 26 tractors

Table 6.4.3 Required Numbers for Operation & Maintenance Equipment, Instrument & Tools

Item	Specifi- cation	Unit		Required		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			MIS	Motithi	Dam	Total
Backhoe shovel	0.3 m^3	nos.	3	2		5
Backhoe shovel	0.6 m^3	tl ,	1	1	1	3
Bulldozer	11 t		1	1	1	3
Wheel loader	1.0m^3	11	1	1	:	2
Motor grader	3.7 m	. 11	2	, 1	1	4
Road roller	5 t	"	1	1	1	3
Vibrating plate	3 ps	75	2	1		3
Concrete mixer	0.12 m^3	**	2	1		3
Submargible pump	50 mm	44	2	1	2	5
Portable generator	c 3 kVA	1r		1	1	2
Long wheel base						
lorry	12 t	ii .	2			2
Fuel tanker	8 t	71	1			1
Truck	5 t	FI	1	1		2
Dump truck	8 t	41	2	1	1	4
Dump truck	3 t	ŧ:	1	1		2
Cargo truck	6 t	11	5	2		7
Cargo truck with						
3t crane	3 t	; #1	5	2		7
Pick up truck	1 t	17	5	2		7
Workshop service		n				
vehicle	1.5 t		1		1	2
Jeep		"	6	2		8
Station wagon	1500 cc	31	5	2	4	11
Motor cycle	100 cc	19	15	6	4	25
Spare parts & tool	S	L.S	1	1	1	3
relecommunication	system					
Base station		nos.	1		•	1
Field station		27	5	2	4	11
Personal computer		41	1			1
Meteorological equ	ipment	L.S	1	1	1	3
Motor boat	50 cc	nos.		·····	1	1
Boom		fT.			1	1
Survey Instruments		L.S			1	1
Control panel for monitoring equipme						
		nos.			1	1
'lood alarm system		L.S			. 1	1

Table 6.6.1 Summary of the Project Cost

		Phase I			Phase II			L K & C E	
Description	Local Currency	Foreign Currency	Total	Local	Foreign	HOT #10	Local	Foreign	100
							In the second		1000
1. Construction Cost	70.5	87.9	158.4	ó	22.	20			1
Dam Works	1		ı	y) r		٠.,	٠,
	0			ς,	,	3	٥	3	31
271021	9 9	į.	•	٠	•	2.7			H
Link Canals	13.3	-1	4		e)	С	C	i. Lef	Lu
Irrigation Facilities	25.1	ທ	Ö	£~	~	• 0	•	; .	, , ,
on-Farm	28.3	26.2	54.5		40.2	64.	52.2	66.4	9.9.
2. Initial Farm Investment	17.3	ဖ်	. ო	12.1	0)	C		1 44 2	C
O & M Equipment	8.8	-	Ġ	7	ı,	σ			
Agricultural Machinery	4.7				•	. a	1 0	, ,	'n.
Farm Buildings	7.8	8.0	15.8	4.	7.0) e-i		10,00	2.10
						•	;		;
3. Administration Cost	7.9	•	7.9	16.5	ı	16.5	24.4	i	24.4
4. Engineering Cost	10.5	15.7	26.2	22.0	32.9	54.9	32.5	48.6	8 1.
5. Land Acquisition	e. 0	ı	e. o	1.6	,	1.6	ч о	i	ч 6.
Sub-total:	106.5	189.9	296.4	208.6	413.3	621.9	315.1	603.2	918.3
Physical Contingency	10.7	0.61	29.7	20.9	41.2	62.1	31,6	60.2	91.8
rotal:	117.2	208.9	326.1	229.5	454.5	684.0	346.7	663.4	1,010,1
Price Contingency	26.8	13 8.03	45.6	94.9	76.5	171.4	121.7	95.3	217.0
Grand Total:	144.0	227.7	371.7	324.4	531.0	855.4	468.4	758.7	1,227.1
				٠					

Table 6.6.2 Annual Disbersement Schedule of the Project

Description	1 9	9.88		989	-	006		991	756	1	003		205	
	T/C	F/C	1/2	F/C	:/c	F/C	1/0	17/2	L/C F/C		1/C F/C	1/0	P/C	Cotal
1. Shase-I	•											:		
													•	
1 - 1 Construction Cost			24.4	41.3	2.1	6.4	44.0	42.3				70.5	87.9	158,4
Mesoworks			3-1	3,9	.,	6.0			٠			3.8	60	60
			6.1	28.0	4	٠°						13.3		77
Irrigation facilities			6.9	7.1			18.2	80				25.1		, C
			2.3	2,3			25.8	23.9				() () () () () () () () () ()		, 4
1 - 2 Initial Farm Investment			7.1	37.2	7.0	17.2	2.5	31.9						200
O & M equipment			ю О	6	82	11.9	:			:		4		2 6
Agricultural machinery			5	15.1	•		6	5					3 6	3 4
Farm buildings			2 6	2.7	5.3	,C.,	:	•						1
1 - 3 Administration Cost	o.		2	;		2	c							i r
1 ~ 4 Engineering Cost	5	7.9	2			0						, ,		- 2
			0	•	:	•	2	•				2 6		ę (
Sub-total:	7.2	7.9	35	8		500							•	2
1 - 6 Physical Contingency	7	α (C		1 6	• •	, ,	1	4 0				0.00	X	250
	7.9		0	. c	4 6	4.46	4 6	o 4				2 .	N 6	5 5
1 - 7 Price Contingency	9			, w	4 0	; ;) i	1				7.7	7007	976
	•	•	,	,	, , ,	5.4		0				26.8	80	3.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		7.0	7	2.	0 0	74.7	7.96				144.0	227.7	371
2. Phase-II														
2 - 1 Construction Cost							19.4	47.0	66.6 134.	_	4 140.7	7 156.4	322.4	47.R
Dam. works							9	0	18 7 04 C		78 9 94	9	0 512	
Head works							}				•		•	,
Link canals											1	•		× 8
Hariaghton Carles									-					2
				-					13.8 16.0			27.6		dh VA
												1		\$
1783 1861111 V										12	12.1 58.0		58.0	5
										4				29
Agricultural machinery										7	2.7 26.8	3 2.7		53
•							•	÷ .		S.			5.7	-1
- 3 Administrati			6.4 80		2.8	٠	2.9		0.4	*				16
2 - 4 Engineering Cost			6.3	4.	6.4	9.4	3.1	4.7	3.1	ς.	3.1 4.7	7 22.0	32.9	85
- 5 Land Acquisition							7.6				٠			
				4.0	6	4	27.0	51.7	73.7 139.4		89 6 203 4	Š	5.5	423
2 - 6 Physical Contingency				6.0	6,0	0	2.7	2	7 4 13 9		9.0 20.3			69
Sub-total:				10.3	10.1	10.3	29.7	9	. •		•			7 67
2 - 7 Price Contingency				9	2	0	¢		20 6 20	-	A CA - A VA			
Total:			11,4	10.9	7.7	E H	38.9	0.4	113-7-17-7	148.0	•			1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		,					:						1)

Table 6.6.3 Annual Operation & Maintenance Cost

	Item	Amount (KShs.x10 ³)
1.	Salaries & Wages	
	1-1 Staff Salaries 1-2 Labour Wages (200 M/M @1600 Kshs.)	13,700 320
2.	Office Expenses	411
3.	Operation & Maintenance Cost	
	3-1 Depreciation of O & M Equipment 3-2 Dam 3-3 Irrigation	16,400 2,468 19,466
	Total:	52,765

Table 6.6.4 O & M Staff Salary

Item	Required Number	Annual Rate	Annual Amount (KShs.x10 ³)
Managing staff	32	70	2,240
Technical & Administrative staff	174	40	6,960
Workers & Labours	225	20	4,500
Total:			13,700

Table 6.6.5 Replacement Cost

	Item	Useful Life (year)	Replacement Cost (KShs.x10 ³)
1.	O & M Equipment	10	65,583
2.	Project Fcilities		27,841
	(1) Dam	25	24,433
	(2) Headworks	25	1,992
	(3) Irrigation facilities	25	708
	(4) On-Farm (stop log)	5	90

Structure of Financial and Economic Cost (in percent) Table 8.2.1

		Financial Cos	pst			Economic Cost	Cost		Weighted
Cost Component		Local Cost			+	Tocal Cost			3031010
	Transfer Payment	Unskilled Labour	Others	Foreign Cost	Transfer Payment	Unskilled Labour	Others	Foreign	sion
						750000			Factor
Capital Cost					٠				
1. Dam & Reservoir	10	ø	13	71	ŧ	^	ŗ	į	
2. Irrigation Works	O	21	21	60	,	1 <	-1 C -1 F		7 (S
3. Office & Quarters	σ	ر د	16) I		ž" (o O	0.80
こうでは、10では、10では、10では、10では、10では、10では、10では、10	`) -t	0 4		ı	ις.	6 S	ŧ	0.70
	1.		001	ı	1	;	80	ı	90
5. Agricultural Machinery and	ឯದ								•
O&M Equipment	1	•	ы О	0	ı	ì	đ	ć	•
6. Administration	ı	ı	100		1	:) h	η Ο
7. Engineering Services	7	1) to	ć	ļ	ı	o o	1	98.0
	•	1	n	9	ı	ı	30	9	06.0
o. On-rarm Development	თ	7.5	70	56	ì	ທ	17	56	0.78
O&M COST					4				
1. Salaries & Wages	10	20	70	,	,	٢	Ç.		(
2. Office Expenses	10	ı	06		1	۰ ۱	3 6	i	79.0
3. O&M Expenses						ı		1	0.77
a. Dam	თ	ιςs	86	1	,	0	,	,	•
b. Trrigation	ത	10	ά	ı		• •	۲ :	İ	0/.
	•	•	•	l	1	')	70	ı	0.73

The conversion factor for the transfer payments like taxes and duties is 0, compared to 0.344 for unskilled construction labour, 0.86 for other local costs and 1.00 for foreign costs. Last column indicates the specific economic conversion factor for each cost component. Note:

Table 8.2.2 Cost and Benefit Stream (Original Case)

(Unit: KShs.million) Economic Cost Year O&M In Construction Replacement Agricultural Year. Order (Tentative) Cost Cost Cost Total Benefit 14.9 1988 14.9 2. 130.8 130.8 1989 51.9 3. 1990 51.9 195.9 4. 1991 195.9 10.6 5. 1992 194.4 205.0 25.3 277.9 6. 1993 12.4 290.3 30.3 7. 1994 20.0 20.0 159.9 8. 1995 22.7 22.7 189.9 9. 1996 25.5 25.5 219.9 10. 1997 26.4 77.5 51.1 249.8 11. 1998 27.4 27.4 274.7 299.6 12. 1999 29.2 27.4 56.6 13. 2000 27.4 27.4 299.6 14. 2001 27.4 27.4 299.6 114.2 15. 27.4 299.6 2002 86.8 16. 27.4 2003 27.4 299.6 17. 2004 58.4 27.4 85.8 299.6 18. 2005 27.4 27.4 299.6 19. 2006 27.4 27.4 299.6 20. 2007 51.1 27.4 78.5 299.6 21. 2008 27.4 27.4 299.6 22. 2009 27.4 56.6 29.2 299.6 23. 2010 27.4 27.4 299.6 24. 2011 27.4 27.4 299.6 25. 2012 100.4 27.4 127.8 299.6 27.4 26. 2013 27.4 299.6 27. 2014 67.9 27.4 95.3 299.6 28. 2015 27.4 27.4 299.6 29. 2016 27.4 27.4 299.6 52.7 30. 2017 27.4 80.1 299.6 31. 2018 27.4 27.4 299.6 32. 2019 50.9 27.4 78.3 299.6 33. 2020 27.4 27.4 299.6 34. 2021 27.4 27.4 299.6 35. 86.8 2022 27.4 114.2 299.6 36. 2023 27.4 27.4 299.6 37. 2024 27.4 85.8 299.6 58.4 38. 2025 299.6 27.4 27.4 39. 2026 27.4 299.6 27.4 40. 2027 78.5 299.6 51.1 27.4 41. 2028 27.4 27.4 299.6 42. 2029 299.6 29.2 27.4 56.6 43. 27.4 2030 27.4 299.6 44. 2031 27.4 27.4 299.6 45. 2032 299.6 100.4 27.4 127.8 46. 2033 299.6 27.4 27.4 47. 2034 67.9 27.4 95.3 299.6 48. 2035 27.4 27.4 299.6 49. 2036 299.6 27.4 27.4 50. 2037 51.1 27.4 78.5 299.6

I.R.R= 18.4%

Table 8.3.1 Financial Cash Flow Statement

				Section Reserved	101								(Unit : : x	: kshs. m13310m)
Y 607	1 4 0	Drotort	2	1200 1100	ŀ				J	Cash Inflow				
	in Order		Cost	replacement Cost	Loan Interest R	Toam Repayment	Total Outflow(a)	Foreign G	Foreign Government Government	OVERNMENT	Water	Total	Balance A	Balance Accumulated
							(m) 100 (m)	noan	padder	Subsidy	Charge	Inflow(B)	(B) = (A)	neo.
1987	e1	17.3		0.0	0.3	0.0	17.6	0	0	•		•		
80 80 61 61	~	162.8		0.0	۲. د		2 99			2	0	9 /	0	ଫ ଫ
6861	67	56.2) (•	71001	7 901	9.90	3.5	0	166.3	0	115.1
1000				> 0))	20.	38.1	28.1	9.4	0	70.8	0	53.5
100.				3	2	0	283.8	160.7	113.6	4	ò	283. B	0.0	
1000	יי			0	œ. 7.	0.0	326.8	177.7	113.7	3.5.6	C	300		
7667	Ð	7		0	22.8	0-0	461.0	267 -	0 0	•	•	0,010	>	O
1993	7	0	38.5	0.0	22.8	- C			0 6	2	2	461	0	7.88.7
1994	œ			C	22.0		4 0		9 (61.3	0.0	61.3	0.0	7.88.7
2001	o	c				•	0.0	9	0.0	66.7	0	66.7	0	758.7
9661	O			2 5	0 7 7 6)))	6.1	0	0.0	71.9	0.0	71.9	0.0	758.7
1007) -	•		7	27.2	0	125.5	0.0	0.0	125.5	0	125.5	0.0	758.7
000	4 C	> <		9 (21.7	37.9	112.4	0.0	0.0	112.4	0.0	1.2.4	O,	2000
0 6 6 6	71	•		29.5	20.5	37.9	140,8	0.0	0.0	3.021	c	0 0 0		
555	m)		52.8	0.0	7.6.	37.9	110.1	• •	0 0) (0 ·	3 6	97789
2000	14			0.0	00 00 00	27.0		•	•	1 1)))	1-011	0,0	6.4.9
2001	5			, c		, ,) i	3	0	109.0	0	109.0	0.0	607.0
2002	\ G				40	7. i	2	0,0	0.0	195.7	0.0	195.7	0,0	569.0
2003	1				9 0	× / ×	106.7	0	0.0	106.7	0.0	106.7	0.0	531.1
2002	a.	0	9 0) (5.70	164.6	0.0	0.0	164.6	0.0	164.6	0.0	493.2
2005) d			3 0	/ · · · · · ·	37.9	104.4	0.0	0.0	104.4	0.0	104.4	0,0	455.2
2006	1 6	> <		5 (5)	12.6	37.9	103.3	0.0	0.0	103.3	0-0	103.3	0-0	4.7.2
9 6	3 6	•		51.7	11.4	37.9	153.9	0,0	0.0	0,60	0	0		100
2000	+ C	9 6	80 4	0,0	10.3	37.9	101.0	0,0	0.0	101.0	0	0) C	
	77	٠	יי פ	29.5	9.5	37.9	129.4	0.0	0.0	120.4	c	. 00.		* W
6007	3	9	52.8	0.0	8	37.9	98.7	0.0	0.0	7 90		10	•	2000
0107	24	0,0	52.8	0	9	37.9	97.6				•		5 6	6.007
2011	25	0.0	52.8	103.7	r,	23.0	6 006	•	> •	0.00) ·	D .	3	547.6
2015	26	0.0	S . S				7.00	o.	0	2007	0	2007	0.0	189.7
2013	2	•	, c	2 6	,	N	7	0.0	0.0	95.3	0	95,3	0.0	151.7
200	Š		9 6	10	7	y	164.3	0	0,0	164.3	0	164.3	0.0	60
1 6 6	3 6	•	200	0 (37.9	93.1	0.0	0	93,1	0.0	03	C	4
) (Α,	3	8.70	53.5		37.9	145.4	0.0	0	2.00		4 4 4		
9102	0°	0.0	52.8	0.0	r! 0	37.9	90.8	0	0	8006	0	8.00	90) (
•											•		:	>
fr	Total	1,227.1	1,283.1	536.5	363.9	758.7	4,169.3	758.7	468.4	2,942.2	0.0	4,169.3	0.0	

Foreign Loan: Annual interest of 3.0% for repayment period of 30 years including 10-year grace period.