

**REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA
MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF HIGHWAYS**


**THE FEASIBILITY STUDY
OF
THE LOCAL ROAD DEVELOPMENT
IN THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA**

KABUPATEN REPORT 4

KABUPATEN MUSI RAWAS

MARCH 1986

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY



JICA LIBRARY



1034233[5]

**REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA
MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF HIGHWAYS**

**THE FEASIBILITY STUDY
OF
THE LOCAL ROAD DEVELOPMENT
IN THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA**

KABUPATEN REPORT 4

KABUPATEN MUSI RAWAS

MARCH 1986

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

国際協力事業団		
受入 月日	'87.5.21	108
登録 No.	16427	61.4
		SDF

PREFACE

This is the Kabupaten Report of the Feasibility Study of the Local Road Development in the Republic of Indonesia for Kabupaten Musi Rawas in Sumatra Selatan Province. The report has been prepared by the Study Team of the Japan International Cooperation Agency (hereinafter called JICA).

Based upon a request from the Government of Indonesia, the Government of Japan arranged for JICA to conduct the Study and JICA accordingly organized a Study Team. The study was carried out using data which were generally prepared by the Kabupaten, routed through the province, under the instructions of Bina Marga of the Ministry of Public Works and Bangda of the Ministry of Home Affairs.


Since the study period was limited, without cooperation of Bina Marga, Bangda and local governments of both province and Kabupaten in collecting the data, the study would not have been completed within the period.

The report consists of the results of the feasibility study and proposed implementation programme of the local road development in the Kabupaten.


The simplified economic feasibility evaluation methodology utilized for the study was established by the Study Team in Phase I Study through a pilot study of seven (7) model Kabupatens, and is described in the Main Report.


The purpose of the study for the Kabupaten is mainly to estimate the total Project Cost for the local road development but only limited data is available for study base. Therefore a detailed survey and design for the improvement of the Kabupaten roads should be carried out before commencing the Project together with a review of this report.

LOCATION MAP OF THE PROJECT AREAS




- LEGEND:**
- CAPITAL CITY
 - PROVINCIAL CITY
 - ++++ NATIONAL BOUNDARY
 - PROVINCIAL BOUNDARY
 - ▨ LOCATION OF THE PROJECT AREA






SUMATERA
 PROV. SUMATERA SELATAN
 KAB. MUSI RAWAS



LEGEND :


- PROVINCIA BOUNDARY
- ◻ LOCATION OF THE PROPOSE AREA

SCALE:




KAB. MUSI RAWAS

L.M- 4/38




LOCATION MAP



LEGEND

- KABUPATEN CAPITAL
- KECAMATAN CAPITAL
- OTHER CITY
- LINK NUMBER
- PROVINCIAL BOUNDARY
- KABUPATEN BOUNDARY
- KECAMATAN BOUNDARY
- NATIONAL ROAD
- PROVINCIAL ROAD
- KABUPATEN ROAD
- VILLAGE ROAD
- RAILWAY
- RIVER



**THE FEASIBILITY STUDY OF
THE LOCAL ROAD DEVELOPMENT
IN THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA**

TITLE :

SOURCE DIREKTORAT JENDERAL AGRARIA	SCALE AS SHOWN	PROVINCE : SUMATERA SELATAN KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS
--	-------------------	---

C O N T E N T S

PREFACE

Chapter 1	BACKGROUND OF THE KABUPATEN	
1.1	Topographic and Meteorological Conditions	4-1
1.1.1	Location and Topography	4-1
1.1.2	Meteorological Conditions	4-2
1.2	Socio-Economic Conditions	4-4
1.2.1	Population	4-4
1.2.2	Land Use	4-6
1.2.3	Agriculture	4-8
1.2.4	Other Economic Activities	4-11
1.3	Present Status of Kabupaten Roads	4-12
1.3.1	Outline of Road Networks	4-12
1.3.2	Road Inventory	4-13
1.3.3	Bridge Inventory	4-17
1.3.4	Traffic	4-22
Chapter 2	ESTIMATIONS OF FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUME AND BENEFIT	
2.1	Future Traffic Volume	4-23
2.1.1	Traffic Growth Rate	4-23
2.1.2	Present and Future Traffic Volume	4-24
2.2	Benefit	4-26
2.2.1	Benefit Estimation Method	4-26
2.2.2	Benefit	4-28
Chapter 3	ENGINEERING	
3.1	Design Criteria and Specification	4-29
3.1.1	Geometric Design Criteria	4-29
3.1.2	Loading Specification	4-29
3.2	Pavement Design	4-32
3.2.1	Design Conditions	4-32
3.2.2	Pavement Structure	4-33
3.3	Design of Bridges and Other Structures	4-34
3.3.1	Standard Bridge	4-34

3.3.2	Other Structures	4-36
3.4	Selection of Equipment Types	4-39
3.4.1	Points to be Considered for the Selection	4-40
3.4.2	Combinations of Equipment for Major Works and Maintenance	4-40
3.5	Workshop and Laboratory	4-43
3.5.1	Policy of the Kabupaten Workshop	4-43
3.5.2	Workshop Equipment and Tools	4-43
3.5.3	Laboratory	4-44
Chapter 4	CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE COST ESTIMATIONS	
4.1	Unit Price	4-46
4.1.1	Unit Labour Price	4-46
4.1.2	Unit Price of Materials	4-47
4.1.3	Hourly Equipment Cost	4-48
4.2	Unit Construction Cost by Work Type	4-49
4.2.1	All Works Except Bridges	4-49
4.2.2	Bridges	4-50
Chapter 5	RESULTS OF ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY EVALUATION	
5.1	Preliminary Screening	4-51
5.2	Evaluation	4-52
5.2.1	Primary Analysis	4-52
5.2.2	Secondary Analysis	4-52
5.2.3	Ranking of Feasible Road Links	4-52
Chapter 6	IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME	
6.1	Implementation Schedule	4-55
6.1.1	Project Cost	4-55
6.1.2	Proposed Road Links	4-56
6.1.3	Annual Construction and Maintenance Cost	4-60
6.1.4	Construction and Maintenance Equipment Cost	4-63
6.1.5	Other Costs	4-66
6.1.6	Quantities by Work Type	4-66

6.2	Organization and Construction System	4-68
6.2.1	Organization	4-68
6.2.2	Construction System	4-68
Appendix	A-1 Input Data for Estimation of the Producer's Surplus Benefit	4-A-1
	A-2 Engineering Data	4-A-2
	A-3 Construction and Maintenance Cost for Proposed Road Links	4-A-16
	A-4 Construction and Maintenance Quantities for all Proposed Road Links	4-A-29
	A-5 Construction and Maintenance Costs for all Proposed Road Links	4-A-32
	A-6 Quantities of Bridges on Proposed Road Links	4-A-35
	A-7 Construction and Maintenance Cost of Bridges on Proposed Road Links	4-A-37

Chapter 1 BACKGROUND OF THE KABUPATEN

1.1 Topographic and Meteorological Conditions

1.1.1 Location and Topography

Kabuapten Musi Rawas is located inland in the western part of Sumatera Selatan Province. It is bordered on the north and northwest by Jambi Province and on the southwest by Bengkulu Province.

The Bukitbarisan mountain which run through Sumatera Island in a north south direction lie on the southwest boundary. From the east side of the mountains a flat area extends through to the east. In this flat area there are a number of large and small rivers which rise in the mountains. These rivers flow toward the east and meet in the north of Kecamatan Muara Lakitan and then flow into Kabupaten Musi Banyuasin. The rivers are divided into three main streams, namely the Rawas River in the north, the Rakitan River in the middle and the Musi River in the south. These are the tributaries of the Musi river, the biggest river in Sumatera Selatan Province. Therefore, excluding a small mountainous area the Kabupaten is almost entirely a flat flood area known as the Musi basin.

The Kabupaten has an area of 15,200 square kilometers, approximately 15 percent of the total of Sumatera Selatan Province. It administers 9 Kecamatans.

1.1.2 Meteorological Conditions

The average number of rainy days and the average amount of yearly rainfall in Kabupaten Musi Rawas are 146 days and 3,164 mm respectively.

The number of working days per year, which is necessary for planning the construction schedule in chapter 6, is estimated at 210 days using the following formula based upon the data shown in the table referred to above.

$$\text{Working Days} = 365 - \text{Holidays} - \text{Rainy Days} + (\text{Rainy Days} \times \frac{\text{Holiday}}{365}) + (0.10 \times \text{Rainy Days})$$

Where :

- Holidays consist of 52 Sundays and 13 national holidays; and
- 10% of rainy days are assumed to be workable days.

Table 1-1-1

METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS

PROVINCE : Sumatera Selatan
 KABUPATEN : Musi Rawas

STATION : --

	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
MONTH	RAINY DAYS (mm)	RAINFALL (mm)	RAINY DAYS (mm)	RAINFALL (mm)	RAINY DAYS (mm)
January					
February					
March					
April					
May					
June					
July					
August					
September					
October					
November					
December					
Total	157	3,899	164	3,434	122
				2,649	141
				2,672	-

1.2 Socio-Economic Conditions

1.2.1 Population

The population of Kabupaten Musi Rawas in 1982 was 397,143 which was approximately 8.0% of the 4,944,300 total population of Sumatera Selatan Province as shown in Table 1-2-1.

The population density was 0.26 persons per ha which was about a half of the provincial density of 0.49.

The recent annual average growth rate of population of the Kabupaten is 3.1% which is almost the same as the provincial rate of 3.3% and is higher than the national rate of 2.2%. This may be a result of the on-going transmigration programme in the Kabupaten and the province.

The population of each Kecamatan and its proportion to the Kabupaten population is shown in Table 1-2-2.

Table 1-2-1 POPULATION BY KABUPATEN

DESCRIPTION	POPULATION	AAGR (%)	AREA (ha)	POPULATION DENSITY (persons/ha)	SURVEY YEAR
KABUPATEN:					
MUSI RAWAS	397,143	3.1	1,520,000	0.26	1982
MUSI BANYUASIN	860,597	4.5	2,619,125	0.33	1984
BANGKA	436,687	2.7	1,159,184	0.38	1984
BELITUNG	173,379	1.8	462,305	0.38	1984
PROVINCE:					
SUMATRA SELATAN	4,944,300		10,368,800		1982
	5,099,700	3.3	10,368,800	0.49	1983
	5,259,200		10,368,800		1984
Jawa IS. (Excluding DKI JAKARTA)	91,126,900	1.7	13,159,700	6.92	-
INDONESIA	161,579,500	2.2	191,944,300	0.84	-

Notes :

1. Sources:

Kabupaten: Kabupaten concerned with the study.

Province : Jawa and Indonesia;

Statistical yearbook of Indonesia 1984, published by the Central Statistics Bureau.

2. AAGR : Average Annual Growth Rate.

Table 1-2-2

POPULATION BY KECAMATAN

Year : 1982

PROVINCE : SUMATERA SELATAN

KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

KECAMATAN	POPULATION	PROPORTION (%)
TUGU MULYO	45,182	11.4
MUARA BELITI	41,586	10.5
MUARA LAKITAN	29,878	7.5
MUARA KALINGI	41,107	10.3
KOTA LUBUK LINGAU	77,641	19.6
RAWAS ILIR	32,993	8.3
RAWAS ULU	25,739	6.5
MUARA RUPIT	37,646	9.5
JAYA LOKA	12,501	3.1
B.L.K ULU	52,870	13.3
TOTAL	397,143	100

1.2.2 Land Use

In Kabupaten Musi Rawas, 172,996 ha of the current available land use area, which is approximately 11.3% of the 1,520,000 ha total area of the Kabupaten, is used for living purposes and for industrial activity of the inhabitants of the Kabupaten. It is the total value of columns (1) through (6) in Table 1-2-3.

The current available land use area consists of 151,996 ha of agricultural harvest area and 22,000 ha of residential area which are 87,9% and 12,1% of the current available land use area respectively.

The agricultural harvest area consists of 32,554 ha of paddy field, 112,803 ha of plantation and 6,639 ha of other cultivated area which are 22.4%, 74.2% and 4.4% of the agricultural harvest area respectively.

It can be realized from the land use that the main industry in the Kabupaten is plantation.

Table 1-2-3

LAND USE

PROVINCE : SUMATRA SELATAN

KABUPATEN	(ha)											TOTAL AREA	SURVEY YEAR
	WET PADDY FIELD	UPLAND PADDY FIELD	PADDY FIELD TIVATED AREA	OTHER CUL- TIVATED AREA	PLANTATION AREA	RESIDENTIAL AREA	USABLE OPEN SPACE	RIVER & LAKE	FORESTRY AREA	OTHERS			
MUSI RAWAS	32,554 (2.1)	-	6,639 (0.4)	112,803 (7.4)	21,000 (1.4)	-	10,264 (0.7)	1,203,055 (79.1)	134,685 (8.9)	1,520,000 (100)	1982		
MUSI BANYUASIN	131,486 (5.0)	78,455 (3.0)	-	249,271 (9.5)	60,667 (2.3)	-	77,121 (2.9)	265,181 (10.1)	1,756,944 (67.1)	2,619,125 (100)	1983		
BANGKA	68 (0.01)	7,938 (0.7)	467,252 (40.3)	77,553 (6.7)	5,631 (0.5)	6,870 (0.6)	16,611 (1.4)	347,741 (30.0)	229,520 (19.8)	1,59,184 (100)	1984		
BELITUNG	488 (0.1)	1,889 (0.4)	-	20,142 (4.4)	5,336 (1.2)	-	-	404,352 (87.5)	30,098 (6.5)	462,305 (100)	1984		

Notes :

1. The value in () denotes the proportion
2. Source : Kabupaten concerned with the study

1.2.3 Agriculture

The cultivated area and food crop production in Kabupaten Musi Rawas in 1982 were 39,193 ha and 132,416 tons respectively as shown in Table 1-2-4. Of food crops, the area and production of paddy which consists of wet paddy and upland paddy was 32,554 ha and 115,422 tons respectively which are 83.1% and 87.2% of the total food crops. The yield rate of paddy production is 3.55 ton per ha. Thus, paddy is the most predominant agricultural crop of the Kabupaten.

As the table shows, average annual growth rates of area and production of paddy in 1979 through 1982 were -1.7% and 4.7% respectively which show a disappointing development in the paddy production. It is desirable that the productivity of paddy becomes higher and this depends upon the future development of irrigation and the expansion of the existing paddy fields together with the enforcement of transmigration programmes.

The commodity crops, of which rubber is major, are produced in the plantations. The area and production of plantation crops in 1982 were 112,803 ha and 35,421 tons respectively with current growth rates being 1.2% and 14.4% respectively. Thus the plantation crop which is an export product is important agriculturally. Some changes are expected considering the international balance of supply and demand.

The population of the agricultural sector which is assumed from the employment in the Kabupaten is 87% of the total population as shown in Table 1-2-6. Thus the Kabupaten is an agricultural Kabupaten.

Future agricultural development of the Kabupaten will be needed to enhance an increase of the food crop production. This would be part of a scheme to consolidate facilities relating to the agricultural development in order to promote more intensive productivity.

Table 1-2-4

AREA AND PRODUCTION OF FOOD CROPS

KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

CULTIVATED AREA

ITEM	YEAR						(ha)
	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	AAGR (%)
PADDY	34,324	34,958	35,825	32,554	-	-	1.7
OTHERS	4,332	4,258	6,479	6,639	-	-	15.3
TOTAL	38,656	39,216	42,304	39,193	-	-	0.5

PRODUCTION

ITEM	YEAR						(ton)
	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	AAGR (%)
PADDY	100,595	122,832	142,724	115,422	-	-	4.7
OTHERS	8,674	9,428	17,101	16,994	-	-	25.1
TOTAL	109,269	132,260	159,825	132,416	-	-	6.6

YIELD RATE

ITEM	YEAR						(ton/ha)
	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	AAGR (%)
PADDY	2.93	3.23	3.98	3.55	-	-	7.0

Notes :

1. AAGR : Average annual growth rate
2. Source : Kabupaten concerned with the study

Table 1-2-5 AREA AND PRODUCTION OF PLANTATION CROPS
Year : 1983

PROVINCE : SUMATRA SELATAN

KABUPATEN	AREA (ha)	PRODUCTION (ton)	AAGR (%)	
			AREA	PRODUCTION
MUSI RAWAS	112,803	35,421	1.2	14.4
MUSI BANYUASIN	140,989	40,076	5.1	3.5
BANGKA	77,636	28,227	3.4	5.7
BELITUNG	9,105	3,187	6.8	11.8

Table 1-2-6 POPULATION OF AGRICULTURAL SECTOR

PROVINCE : SUMATRA SELATAN

KABUPATEN	AGRICULTURAL SECTOR	TOTAL POPULATION	PROPORTION (%)	AAGR (%)	SURVEY YEAR
MUSI RAWAS	346,000	397,143	87.1	3.5	1982
MUSI BANYUASIN	466,000	860,597	54.2	4.6	1984
BANGKA	224,100	436,687	51.3	2.1	1984
BELITUNG	-	173,379	-	-	1984

Notes :

1. AAGR : Average annual growth rate
2. Source : Kabupaten concerned with the Study

1.2.4 Other Economic Activities

According to the ratio of employees by industry, the industrial structure of Kabupaten Musi Rawas is as shown in the table below. Agricultural and forestry sectors belong to the primary industry which employes 87.14% of the workforce. Of the other industries 0.99% of the workforce is in the secondary industry consisting of mining and manufacturing sectors and 11.87% in the tertiary industry consisting of commercial and services sectors.

<u>Item</u>	<u>Workforce</u>	<u>Share(%)</u>	(1982) <u>Sector Share(%)</u>
Agriculture	346,070	87.14	
Livestock	-	-	87.14
Fishery	-	-	
Industry	3,382	0.85	0.99
Mining	550	0.14	
Commerce	20,919	5.27	
Service	26,222	6.60	11.87
Total	397,143	100	

At present there are no notable industries besides the primary industry in Kabupaten Musi Rawas and this tendency is not expected to change in the near future.

1.3 Present Status of Kabupaten Roads

1.3.1 Outline of Road Networks

The Trans Sumatra Highway passes the center of the Kabupaten as the trunk road from the north to the south through Lubuk Linggau, the Kabupaten capital, and leads to the south of Sumatra and the provincial capital, Palembang.

From Singkit to Lubuk Linggau, the old national road runs almost parallel with the highway connecting many Desas. A new national road separates at Lubuk Linggau to Bengkulu province.

The provincial road leaving the national road at Desa Beliti Baru and running to Kabupaten Musi Banyuasin along Kelingi river and Musi river via Muara Kelingi is a regional trunk road for road networks in the south-east area of the Kabupaten. Since both the north and south areas of the road are high potential areas for agricultural development (mainly paddy development), the importance of the road as a trunk road will increase. The provincial road leaving the national road at Sp. Priuk leading towards the north is a regional trunk road for the road network of the developed paddy area in the southern part of the Kabupaten.

Since west of the national road is a mountainous area, no road networks are provided. Only road links which provide local services to Desas exist in the north and south areas of the Kabupaten.

The development of this area will not be advantageous.

In the northern part of the Kabupaten, east of the national road, there is a road network and this is important for development of the northern area.

There is a road network south of the national road near Lubuk Linggau. The road network located east of the provincial road and the national road in the south-east part of the Kabupaten connected to these roads has high priority for improvement considering development of this area.

1.3.2 Road Inventory

From the road inventory data prepared by the Kabupaten, the number and total length of Kabupaten roads to be studied in Kabupaten Musi Rawas are confirmed as 44 links and 436 Km respectively. These figures exclude Kabupaten roads with no data.

According to the data the present status of the Kabupaten roads is as follows:

(1) Density of Kabupaten Roads

The density of the Kabupaten roads is 0.29 m per ha. This is lower than the national density of 0.48 m per ha and distinctly lower than 2.11 m per ha which is the density in Jawa Island, excluding DKI Jakarta, as shown in the following table. Thus, the Kabupaten lags behind in density of Kabupaten roads.

	<u>Total Length</u> (km)	<u>Area</u> (ha)	<u>Density</u> (m/ha)
Kabupaten : Musi Rawas	436	1,520,000	0.27
Province : Sumatera Selatan	2,905	5,760,614	0.50
Jawa Is. (Excluding DKI Jakarta)	27,715	13,159,700	2.11
Indonesia	92,038	191,944,300	0.48

Notes : 1. The value for the province is the total value for the Kabupatens included in the study.

2. The sources of data are as follows:

Kabupaten and Province : Bina Marga Inventory

Jawa and Indonesia : Statistical Yearbook of:
Indonesia 1984, published
by the Central Statistics
Bureau

(2) Kabupaten Road Surface Type

The type of surface on the Kabupaten roads in the Kabupaten is shown in Table 1-3-1.

The legend used in the table is as follows:

ASP : Asphalt

Table 1-3-1 EXISTING ROAD LENGTH BY SURFACE TYPE

PROV : SUHATERA SELATAN KAB : HUSTI RAMAS

(Ka)							(Kb)						
LINK	102 (7)	KRK	TNI	L.L	BID	TOTAL	LINK	102 (7)	KRK	TNI	L.L	BID	TOTAL
LINK 1	1	31				31	LINK 23		6				6
LINK 2	1	35				35	LINK 24	10					10
LINK 3	1	17				17	LINK 25			3			3
LINK 4	1	10	2			12	LINK 26			10			10
LINK 5	1	24				24	LINK 27			4			4
LINK 6	1	14				14	LINK 28			5			5
LINK 7	1	21				21	LINK 29			6		6	12
LINK 8	1		15			15	LINK 30			3			3
LINK 9	1		9			9	LINK 31	7		2			9
LINK 10	1	6	64			70	LINK 32			6			6
LINK 11	1						LINK 33						
LINK 12	1		5			6	LINK 34						
LINK 13	1		7			8	LINK 35			5			5
LINK 14	1		21			21	LINK 36			24			24
LINK 15	1		11			11	LINK 37			28			28
LINK 16	1						LINK 38						
LINK 17	1						LINK 39	4					4
LINK 18	1						LINK 40						
LINK 19	1						LINK 41						
LINK 20	1						LINK 42	3					4
LINK 21	1						LINK 43						
LINK 22	1	3				4	LINK 44	5					5
TOTAL							TOTAL	198	230	2	6	436	
RATIO							RATIO	45	53	0		(2)	

KRK : Gravel/Stone/Telford/Water Bound Macadam

TNH : Earth

LL : Others

Comparison of the proportion of surface type in the Kabupaten with other regions is as follows:

	<u>ASP</u>	<u>KRK</u>	<u>TNH/LL</u>
Kabupaten : Musi Rawas	-	46.8	53.3
Province : Sumatera Selatan	13.7	10.7	75.6
Jawa Is. (Excluding DKI Jakarta)	56.2	25.0	18.8
Indonesia	26.0	26.6	47.4

Thus, there are no asphalt paved roads. The proportion of low grade roads such as earth roads and others remains high. This means that the road classification in the Kabupaten has not been much improved.

(3) Surface Condition of Kabupaten Roads

The surface condition of the Kabupaten roads classified as good, fair, poor and bad which are shown as BA, SD, RU and RB respectively, are summarized in Table 1-3-2.

Comparison of the proportions of the various surface conditions of the Kabupaten roads in the Kabupaten with other regions is as follows:

	<u>Good</u>	<u>Fair</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>Bad</u>
Kabupaten : Musi Rawas	27.9	34.6	24.3	13.0
Province : Sumatera Selatan	43.3	31.7	17.3	7.7
Jawa Is. (Excluding DKI Jakarta)	45.6	29.8	19.6	5.0
Indonesia	43.5	21.8	21.1	13.6

Table 1-3-2

EXISTING ROAD CONDITION BY SURFACE TYPE

PROVINCE : SUMATERA SELATAN

KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

121

NO	KPK				TMT				L.L				DID			
	BA	SD	RU	RB	BA	SD	RU	RB	BA	SD	RU	RB	BA	SD	RU	RB
LINK 1		52	16	2												
LINK 2		59	41													
LINK 3	62	31	8													
LINK 4	63	25	12		50	25	25									
LINK 5	75	25														
LINK 6	78	22														
LINK 7	40	28	10													
LINK 8					55	45										
LINK 9						26	55	19								
LINK 10	7	48	20	25		72	19	9								
LINK 11																
LINK 12	50	30	20		24	37	26	18								
LINK 13	20	30	50		26	34	39	1								
LINK 14					60	40										
LINK 15						69	31									
LINK 16																
LINK 17																
LINK 18																
LINK 19																
LINK 20																
LINK 21																
LINK 22	60		20						60		20					
LINK 23	10	57	33													
LINK 24		58	43													
LINK 25						57	43									
LINK 26						60	40									
LINK 27						40	40									
LINK 28						20	30	50								
LINK 29						48	43	8						62	30	
LINK 30						20	30	50								
LINK 31	89	7	4		90	16										
LINK 32						60	40									
LINK 33																
LINK 34																
LINK 35							5	95								
LINK 36							5	95								
LINK 37							11	89								
LINK 38																
LINK 39	25	25	50													
LINK 40																
LINK 41																
LINK 42	60	20							60	20						
LINK 43																
LINK 44	14	45	41													
AVERAGE	42	31	23	2	16	16	25	23	60	10	10	0	0	67	39	0
LENGTH	190 Km				230 Km				2 Km				6 Km			
(Km)	83	65	46	4	37	83	58	53	7	0	0	0	0	41	21	0

The surface condition level of the Kabupaten roads in the Kabupaten is not so poor compared with either that of Indonesia or of Jawa Island. However, the proportion in good condition is relatively low. Therefore, there is still scope for improvement of road maintenance.

(4) Terrain Conditions of Kabupaten Roads

The difficulty of road improvement is mainly dependent upon the terrain conditions.

The terrain conditions of the Kabupaten roads, classified as flat, hilly, mountainous and swampy which are shown as DT, BK, GN and RW, are summarized in Table 1-3-3.

The proportions of terrain conditions in the Kabupaten are 90.0% flat, and 10.0% hilly.

There are no swamp or mountainous areas in the Kabupaten and road construction is anticipated to be easy because of the large proportion of flat area.

1.3.3 Bridge Inventory

A bridge inventory showing the existing condition of bridges on the Kabupaten roads in Kabupaten Musi Rawas was prepared by the Kabupaten.

The bridge types are classified as timber, concrete, steel and others which are shown in the inventory as KY, BT, BJ and LL respectively.

The inventory shown in Table 1-3-4 and Table 1-3-5 indicates a total of 113 bridges with a total length of 1571 m of which 58 or 51.3% are timber, 9 or 8.1% are concrete and 34 or 30.1% are others. Steel bridges account for 12 or 10.6% of the total. On the other hand, 14 bridges with a total length of 969 m are required to be newly constructed.

Table 1-3-3 EXISTING ROAD LENGTH BY TERRAIN CONDITION

PRUV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

(Km)

NO	DI	BK	TOTAL
LINK 1	10	21	31
LINK 2	35		35
LINK 3	17		17
LINK 4	12		12
LINK 5	23	1	24
LINK 6	13	1	14
LINK 7	21		21
LINK 8	4	11	15
LINK 9	9		9
LINK 10	66	4	70
LINK 11			
LINK 12	5	1	6
LINK 13	5	3	8
LINK 14	20	1	21
LINK 15	11		11
LINK 16			
LINK 17			
LINK 18			
LINK 19			
LINK 20			
LINK 21			
LINK 22	4		4
LINK 23	6		6
LINK 24	10		10
LINK 25	3		3
LINK 26	10		10
LINK 27	4		4
LINK 28	5		5
LINK 29	12		12
LINK 30	3		3
LINK 31	9		9
LINK 32	6		6
LINK 33			
LINK 34			
LINK 35	4	1	5
LINK 36	24		24
LINK 37	28		28
LINK 38			
LINK 39	4		4
LINK 40			
LINK 41			
LINK 42	4		4
LINK 43			
LINK 44	5		5
TOTAL	392	44	436
RATIO	90	10	(%)

Table 1-3-4 NUMBER AND LENGTH OF BRIDGES

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

<<< BRIDGE >>>							(UNIT: m)
EXISTING		NOT EXIST		TOTAL			
LINK NO	NO.	LENGTH	NO.	LENGTH	NO.	LENGTH	
1	32	417.10	1	10.00	33	427.10	
2	12	167.60			12	167.60	
3	11	276.50			11	276.50	
4	4	53.00			4	53.00	
5	3	52.00			3	52.00	
6	7	97.50			7	97.50	
7	5	81.00			5	81.00	
8	6	99.00	1	10.00	7	109.00	
12	4	47.00	1	9.00	5	56.00	
13			2	26.00	2	26.00	
14	3	41.00			3	41.00	
15	1	5.00	1	800.00	2	805.00	
23	1	26.00			1	26.00	
24	2	13.00			2	13.00	
26	4	30.00	1	10.00	5	40.00	
27			1	6.00	1	6.00	
28	1	3.00	1	10.00	2	13.00	
29	2	16.00			2	16.00	
30			1	4.00	1	4.00	
31	3	11.00			3	11.00	
32	2	12.00			2	12.00	
35			3	74.00	3	74.00	
36	2	10.12			2	10.12	
37	8	113.00			8	113.00	
44			1	10.00	1	10.00	
TOTAL	113	1570.82	14	969.00	127	2539.82	

Table 1-3-5

NUMBER OF EXISTING BRIDGES BY BRIDGE TYPE

PROV : SUHATERA SELATAN

KAB : MUSI RAWAS

<<< BRIDGE >>>

(No)

LINK	103 (18)	KY	LL	BJ	BT	TOTAL
LINK 1	1	25	7	1	1	32
LINK 2	2	6	5	1	1	12
LINK 3	3	10	1	1	1	11
LINK 4	4	1	1	2	1	4
LINK 5	5	3	1	1	1	3
LINK 6	6	4	1	2	1	7
LINK 7	7	4	1	1	1	5
LINK 8	8	1	2	3	1	6
LINK 12	12	1	1	2	2	4
LINK 13	13	1	1	1	1	1
LINK 14	14	1	2	1	1	3
LINK 15	15	1	1	1	1	1
LINK 23	23	1	1	1	1	1
LINK 24	24	1	1	1	2	2
LINK 26	26	1	2	1	1	4
LINK 27	27	1	1	1	1	1
LINK 28	28	1	1	1	1	1
LINK 29	29	1	1	1	1	2
LINK 30	30	1	1	1	1	1
LINK 31	31	1	1	1	2	3
LINK 32	32	1	1	1	1	2
LINK 35	35	1	1	1	1	1
LINK 36	36	1	2	1	1	2
LINK 37	37	1	8	1	1	8
LINK 44	44	1	1	1	1	1
TOTAL	58	34	12	9	113	
RATIO	51	30	11	8	(%)	

The number of existing bridges by span length is as follows:

<u>Bridge Type</u>	<u>Span Length (m)</u>										<u>Total</u>
	<u><3</u>	<u><5</u>	<u><8</u>	<u><10</u>	<u><12</u>	<u><14</u>	<u><16</u>	<u><18</u>	<u><20</u>	<u><99</u>	
Timber	9	20	18	8	3	-	-	-	-	-	58
Concrete	2	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	9
Steel	2	4	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	12
Others	3	16	9	2	-	2	-	2	-	-	34
Total	16	43	31	11	3	3	1	3	1	1	113

There are many but timber bridge is prevailing. The majority of spanlengths is within the range of 3 m to 5 m.

1.3.4 Traffic

Inventories of the average daily traffic (ADT) on the Kabupaten roads in Kabupaten Musi Rawas were prepared by the Kabupaten and are shown in Chapter 2.

From the inventories, total value of average daily trips by vehicle type and their proportions in the Kabupaten in 1984 are summarized as follows:

	<u>SEDAN</u>	<u>BUS</u>	<u>TRUCK</u>	<u>MOTOR- CYCLE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Total Trips	277	2,348	899	15,055	11,052
Proportion (%)	1.49	12.64	4.84	81.03	100.00

Source : Bina Marga Inventory

The proportions of registered vehicles by vehicle type are as follows:

	<u>SEDAN</u>	<u>BUS</u>	<u>TRUCK</u>	<u>MOTOR- CYCLE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Proportion (%)	14.74	30.27	54.99	-	100.00

Source : Kabupaten.

Thus, the proportion of motorcycles in the Kabupaten is by far the highest.

From the above tables the following can be observed:

- Number of total trips might be underestimated
- Proportions are probably reasonable.

Essentially, for estimation of future traffic volumes past and present traffic data together with the trend in the number of registered vehicles are important basic data. However the data obtained for the study was traffic count data for each road link in 1985 and of low reliability.

Therefore the future traffic volumes are estimated by the calculation process recommended in chapter 3 of the Main Report.

Chapter 2 ESTIMATIONS OF FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUME AND BENEFIT

2.1 Future Traffic Volume

2.1.1 Traffic Growth Rate

The traffic growth rate used for estimation of the future traffic volume on the Kabupaten roads was estimated by the following calculation process.

Growth of Production Basis "A":

$$\sqrt{\frac{\text{Annual Population Growth of the Kabupaten}}{\text{Growth of the Total Cultivated Area}} \times \text{Growth of the Total Cultivated Area}}$$

Growth of Productivity "B" :

$$\sqrt{\frac{\text{Growth of the Total Paddy Field Area}}{\text{Growth of the Paddy Production per ha}} \times \text{Growth of the Paddy Production per ha}}$$

Traffic Growth Rate: Initial estimated figure:

$$GR' = \sqrt{A \times B}$$

Traffic Growth Rate GR = Final adjusted figure:

$$\sqrt{GR' \times \text{Trend of GDP/Capita of the Province Concerned}}$$

Results of the estimation are shown in Table 2-1-1.

Table 2-1-1 TRAFFIC GROWTH RATE ESTIMATION

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN		KAB : MUSI RAWAS	
A)	Growth Rate of Population	:	3.10 (%)
B)	Growth Rate of Cultivated Area	:	1.00 (%)
C)	Growth Rate of Rice field	:	1.00 (%)
D)	Growth Rate of Rice yield rate	:	7.00 (%)
E)	Growth Rate of GDP / capita	:	6.70 (%)
<hr/>			
a)	Geometrical Mean (A x E)	:	2.04 (%)
b)	Geometrical Mean (C x D)	:	3.96 (%)
c)	Geometrical Mean (a x b)	:	3.00 (%)
d)	Geometrical Mean (c x E)	:	4.83 (%)
<hr/>			
TRAFFIC GROWTH RATE		:	4.83 (%)

2.1.2 Present and Future Traffic Volume

The future traffic volumes on the Kabupaten roads in 1998 for the Project life time of ten years were estimated by the following formula :

$$T_n = T_e (1 + r)^n$$

Where :

T_n : Future traffic volume n years later

T_e : Traffic volume in 1984

r : Traffic growth rate

The results are shown in Table 2-1-2 together with the traffic volume in 1984.

Table 2-1-2

EXISTING AND FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUME

PROV : SUHATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

< SPD : 1/2 >

LINK NO	INVENTORY (1984)					RATE	AFTER 14 YEARS (1998)					CLASS
	MBL	BUS	TRUK	SPD	TOTAL		MBL	BUS	TRUK	SPD	TOTAL	
1	20	120	30	600	470	4.8%	39	232	58	1161	910	IIIA
2	8	70	7	180	175	4.8%	15	135	14	348	339	IIIB-1
3	8	45	3	40	76	4.8%	15	87	6	77	147	IIIB-2
4	12	15	10	60	67	4.8%	23	29	19	116	130	IIIB-2
5	8	40	8	40	76	4.8%	15	77	15	77	147	IIIB-2
6	10	55	10	50	100	4.8%	19	106	19	97	194	IIIB-2
7	6	30	15	70	86	4.8%	12	58	29	135	166	IIIB-2
8	6	35	15	80	96	4.8%	12	68	29	155	186	IIIB-2
9	0	0	12	100	62	4.8%	0	0	23	194	120	IIIB-2
10	0	0	0	25	13	4.8%	0	0	0	48	25	IIIC
11	0	50	15	280	205	4.8%	0	97	29	542	397	IIIB-1
12	15	100	45	450	385	4.8%	29	194	87	871	745	IIIA
13	15	100	30	420	355	4.8%	29	194	58	813	687	IIIA
14	15	70	60	500	395	4.8%	29	135	116	968	765	IIIA
15	3	50	15	240	188	4.8%	6	97	29	465	364	IIIB-1
16	3	45	15	210	168	4.8%	6	87	29	406	325	IIIB-1
17	3	55	15	230	188	4.8%	6	106	29	445	364	IIIB-1
18	3	40	15	200	158	4.8%	6	77	29	387	306	IIIB-1
19	3	35	15	200	153	4.8%	6	68	29	387	296	IIIB-1
20	3	50	15	230	183	4.8%	6	97	29	445	354	IIIB-1
21	3	40	15	250	183	4.8%	6	77	29	484	354	IIIB-1
22	10	100	30	1000	640	4.8%	19	194	58	1936	1239	IIIA
23	7	120	30	270	292	4.8%	14	232	58	523	565	IIIA
24	15	90	90	1000	695	4.8%	29	174	174	1936	1345	IIIA
25	8	45	15	750	443	4.8%	15	87	29	1452	857	IIIA
26	5	30	15	900	500	4.8%	10	58	29	1742	968	IIIA
27	3	40	15	260	188	4.8%	6	77	29	503	364	IIIB-1
28	3	35	6	210	149	4.8%	6	68	12	406	288	IIIB-1
29	13	120	30	700	513	4.8%	25	232	58	1355	993	IIIA
30	8	55	15	550	353	4.8%	15	106	29	1065	683	IIIA
31	13	120	60	900	643	4.8%	25	232	116	1742	1245	IIIA
32	5	60	30	450	320	4.8%	10	116	58	871	619	IIIA
33	5	55	30	400	290	4.8%	10	106	58	774	561	IIIA
34	4	24	5	110	88	4.8%	8	46	10	213	170	IIIB-2
35	0	0	0	320	160	4.8%	0	0	0	619	310	IIIB-1
36	8	60	15	80	123	4.8%	15	116	29	155	238	IIIB-1
37	5	70	30	550	380	4.8%	10	135	58	1065	735	IIIA
38	5	70	60	900	585	4.8%	10	135	116	1742	1132	IIIA
39	3	30	6	250	164	4.8%	6	58	12	484	317	IIIB-1
40	3	45	6	270	189	4.8%	6	87	12	523	366	IIIB-1
41	3	50	6	250	184	4.8%	6	97	12	484	356	IIIB-1
42	2	14	5	90	66	4.8%	4	27	10	174	128	IIIB-2
43	2	10	5	90	62	4.8%	4	19	10	174	120	IIIB-2
44	3	60	30	300	243	4.8%	6	116	58	581	470	IIIB-1
PERCENT	1.49	12.64	4.84	81.03			1.49	12.64	4.84	81.03		

2.2 Benefit

2.2.1 Benefit Estimation Method

Generally, estimation of the benefit on each Kabupaten road due to the Project was made by analyzing the direct benefit i.e. the VOC reduction benefit, which was estimated by comparing "with project" and "without project" based upon the future traffic volume on the road. However for the following road links it was decided to estimate the indirect benefit through the producer's surplus benefit.

- a) Road links with present traffic volume (ADT) less than 60 equivalent 4-wheel vehicles.
- b) Road links with no 4-wheel vehicle operation at present.

The indirect benefit was changed into the future traffic volume and the VOC reduction benefit was estimated.

The VOC adopted for the estimation is shown in Table 2-2-1.

Table 2-2-1 VEHICLE OPERATION COST ON KABUPATEN ROADS

SURFACE	CONDITION	(KM)			
		SEDAN	BUS	TRUCK	MOTORCYCLE
ASPHALT	GOOD	104.7	86.2	85.4	15.9
	Fair	125.5	101.0	98.0	18.2
	Poor	164.1	135.2	138.5	22.8
	Bad	222.1	202.0	205.0	29.1
GRAVEL	Good	125.7	101.4	102.5	18.5
	Fair	145.0	124.6	127.1	21.1
	Poor	198.6	172.6	178.4	27.1
	Bad	242.7	228.9	231.2	31.8
EARTH	Fair	201.8	180.0	185.1	28.0
	Poor	240.7	218.2	225.8	31.8
	Bad	264.9	278.0	281.7	35.5

Source : Bina Marga

Table 2-2-2

FUTURE TRAFFIC VOLUME ESTIMATED
BY THE PRODUCER'S SURPLUSPROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS
< 1998 >

LINK NO	CLASS	SURFACE	MOBIL	BUS	TRUCK	SEPEDA	TOTAL
10	IIIA	ASP	18	148	58	951	700
35	IIIB-1	ASP	10	80	31	516	379

2.2.2 Benefit

The benefit estimation was carried out for each Kabupaten road. Table 2-2-3 shows a sample of the result of benefit estimation. In the table "surplus" and "VOC" show the estimation method utilized and III A, III B-1, III B-2 and III C show the road classification.

Table 2-2-3

RESULTS OF BENEFIT ESTIMATION

KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

(1000Rupiah)

	LINK 1	LINK 2	LINK 3	LINK 4	LINK 5	LINK 6	LINK 7	LINK 8	LINK 9	LINK 10	
	31 Km	35 Km	17 Km	12 Km	24 Km	14 Km	21 Km	15 Km	9 Km	70 Km	
	IIIA	IIIB-1	IIIB-2	IIIB-2	IIIB-2	IIIB-2	IIIB-2	IIIB-2	IIIB-2	IIIB-2	IIIA
YEAR	VOC	VOC	VOC	VOC	VOC	VOC	VOC	VOC	VOC	VOC	Surplus
1988	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1989	226072	103394	5938	6551	3907	2669	9116	36114	11542	462122	
1990	237410	108228	6261	6835	4120	2756	9540	37687	12206	500245	
1991	248976	113068	6522	7229	4292	2923	9963	39690	12870	542295	
1992	260635	118839	6844	7650	4505	3060	10512	41732	13577	583159	
1993	273238	124518	7188	7822	4677	3174	10949	43735	13877	635186	
1994	286069	130289	7519	8229	4947	3340	11498	45776	14583	685536	
1995	300459	136904	7858	8650	5119	3507	11949	47832	15333	743515	
1996	314424	142871	8189	9071	5389	3699	12618	50356	16082	803687	
1997	329986	150379	8691	9486	5717	3842	13196	52915	16875	866745	
1998	345788	157190	9029	9907	5889	4012	13879	55439	17667	939837	
SUM	2823057	1285680	74039	81430	48562	32982	113220	451276	144612	6762327	
COST	1552640	626858	9508	24017	-19727	-8754	24638	236824	67516	3637360	
/Km	50085	17910	559	2001	-822	-625	1173	15788	7502	51962	

Chapter 3 ENGINEERING

3.1 Design Criteria and Specification

3.1.1 Geometric Design Criteria

Currently a technical standard for improvement of Kabupaten roads i.e. PETUNJUK TEKNIS INPRES PENUNJANGAN JALAN KABUPATEN, TAHUN 1984-1985 is established by Bina Marga.

The geometric design criteria in the above standard are recommended to be adopted in general for the Project. Following discussions with Bina Marga, exceptions to this are allowed for Pavement width and pavement type to minimize the construction cost of the Kabupaten road improvement, if necessary. The geometric design criteria adopted for the Project are shown in Table 3-1-1. The typical cross sections of Kabupaten roads are shown in Fig. 3-1-1.

3.1.2 Loading Specification

The LOADING SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY BRIDGES BY DIRECTORATE GENERAL BINA MARGA is used in principle as the basic specification of loading and the TECHNICAL STANDARD FOR KABUPATEN ROADS compiled by Bina Marga shows that the design live load for bridges on Kabupaten roads is 70% of the Bina Marga live road. However, after discussions with Bina Marga the following loads were decided as the design live loads for the standard bridges of Kabupaten roads:


- a. 50% of Bina Marga live load (hereinafter BM 50) is applied for concrete and timber bridges on roads of III A classification.
- b. 10-ton truck load is applied for timber bridges on roads of III B-1, III B-2 and III C classification.

Table 3-1-1 DESIGN CRITERIA FOR KABUPATEN ROADS

ROAD CLASSIFICATION	CLASS III A				CLASS III B-1				CLASS III B-2				CLASS III C		
	ASPHALT SEAL (DOUBLE)		ASPHALT SEAL (SINGLE)		GRAVEL		GRAVEL		GRAVEL		GRAVEL		GRAVEL		
SURFACE TYPE	3000 - 500				500 - 200				200 - 50				50		
TRAFFIC VOLUME : ADT (Forecast 10 th year average per day)	3000 - 500				500 - 200				200 - 50				50		
T E R R A I N	FLAT TO ROLLING	HILLY	MOUNT-AINOUS	FLAT TO ROLLING	HILLY	MOUNT-AINOUS	FLAT TO ROLLING	HILLY	MOUNT-AINOUS	FLAT TO ROLLING	HILLY	MOUNT-AINOUS	FLAT TO ROLLING	HILLY	MOUNT-AINOUS
TRAFFIC LANES	1+	1+	1+	1+	1+	1+	1+	1+	1+	1+	1+	1+	1	1	1
DESIGN (Km/hr)	70	60	40	70	40	30	60	40	30	60	40	30	50	30	AS PRACTICABLE
SPEED	30	30	30	30	30	AS PRACTICABLE	30	30	AS PRACTICABLE	30	30	AS PRACTICABLE	30	AS PRACTICABLE	AS PRACTICABLE
GRADIENT (LIMITING) (%)	4	5	8	4	6	8	4	7	8	4	7	8	5	8	12
PAVEMENT WIDTH (M)	7	7	10	7	8	10	7	9	12	7	9	12	7	12	16
SHOULDER WIDTH (M)	6.0	6.0	6.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
ROAD BED WIDTH (M)	4.5	4.5	4.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
RIGHT OF WAY	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.75
ROAD CAMBER (%)	1.5	1.0	0.75	1.0	1.0	0.75	1.0	0.75	0.75	1.0	0.75	0.5	0.75	0.5	0.5
ROAD BED WIDTH (M)	10.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	7.5	6.5	7.5	6.5	6.5	7.5	6.5	6.5	5.5	5.5	5.0
RIGHT OF WAY (M)	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.5	5.5	5.0	5.5	5.0	4.5	5.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0
ROAD CAMBER (%)	16	12	12	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	10	10	12	8	8
ROAD CAMBER (%)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
ROAD CAMBER (%)	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4

STANDARD ROAD CROSS SECTIONS

Fig. 3-1-1



3.2 Pavement Design

3.2.1 Design Conditions

From the engineering data prepared by the Kabupaten it is noted that the pavement structure of the Kabupaten roads seems to have been determined without adequate designs, therefore the Kabupaten roads generally have insufficient capacity. The standards generally used for highway pavement design such as Road Note 29, Road Note 31 and AASHTO are not suitable for Kabupaten roads with small traffic volumes and loads.

Therefore formulae suitable for the pavement design of Kabupaten roads are recommended as described in Chapter 5 of the Main Report.

The following are important factors for the design of pavement thickness.

1) Design Traffic Volume

As the pavement thickness is designed for each road classification the design traffic volume of which the target year is 1998, is adopted for each classification as follows:

<u>Road Classification</u>	<u>Design Traffic Volume (vpd)</u>
III A	1,000
III B-1	500
III B-2	200
III C	50

2) Strength of Roadbed

The CBR value of the existing roadbed is a very important factor for the pavement design but no results are available from CBR tests on the Kabupaten roads.


CBR of the laterite is generally in the range of CBR 4 to 10. However site CBR tests should be conducted before construction to finally decide the pavement thickness.

3.2.2 Pavement Structure

Fig. 3-2-1 shows the standard pavement structure adopted for the Kabupaten roads.

Fig. 3-2-1

PAVEMENT STRUCTURE



- = SURFACE DRESSING (ASPHALT)
- = BASE COURSE (CRUSHER - RUN)
- = SUBBASE COURSE (SANDY GRAVEL)

3.3 Design of Bridges and Other Structures

3.3.1 Standard Bridge

There are so many bridges to be improved or to be constructed on the Kabupaten roads in the Project Area that it is very difficult to prepare an individual design for each bridge. Therefore, standardization is recommended as being necessary for the bridge design with conclusions as described below.

(1) Bridge Type

1) Superstructure

The following two types have been finally selected with the agreement of Bina Marga after studying the actual rural conditions of bridge construction. Fig. 3-3-1 shows the cross sections of standard types.

- a. Timber beam bridge (hereinafter timber bridge) for roads class III B-1, III B-2 and III C.
- b. Reinforced concrete T-girder bridge (hereinafter RC-bridge) for roads class III A.

2) Substructure

Taking account of the actual combinations of super and substructure types noted from the field survey, the following two types are recommended as standard because of ease of construction and economy.

- a) Timber pile bents for timber bridge
- b) Rubble in Mortar masonry for RC bridge

3) Foundation


There is no information of subsoil conditions in the inventory data. However, timber piles of 20 cm diameter are generally recommended as piles of this type are in common use.

The pile length is suggested to be a minimum of 3 meters under the bottom of the foundation. The length and number of piles should be decided in order to be adequate for the condition of the foundation materials.


Fig. 3-3-1

CROSS SECTIONS OF STANDARD BRIDGES

TIMBER BRIDGE



REINFORCED CONCRETE BRIDGE



(2) Bridge Width

The effective bridge widths for the standard bridges have been decided as follows through discussions with Bina Marga considering the actual width of Kabupaten roads:

- a) Timber bridge: 4.0 m in general
- b) RC bridge : 4.5 m in general

(3) Span Length

The range of span lengths are determined as:

- a) Timber bridge: 3.0, 5.0 and 8.0 m
- b) RC bridge : 3.0, 5.0, 10.0 and 15.0 m

3.3.2 Other Structure

Culverts and retaining walls shown in Fig. 3-3-2 and Fig. 3-3-3 are recommended as standard structures.

(1) Culvert

The following two culvert types have been adopted for the transverse drainage.

- a) Reinforced concrete pipe culvert ϕ 80 cm
- b) Rubble in mortar box culvert with RC slab 80 cm X 80 cm

(2) Retaining Wall


The following two types of retaining walls have been adopted because of ease of construction, economy and familiarity in Indonesia.

- a) Rubble in mortar retaining wall
- b) Timber retaining wall

Fig. 3-3-2

STANDARD CULVERTS

80 x 80 RUBBLE IN MORTAR BOX CULVERTS



Ø 80 REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE CULVERT






Fig. 3-3-3

STANDARD RETAINING WALLS

TIMBER RETAINING WALL



RUBBLE IN MORTAR WALL



3.4 Selection of Equipment Types

From the results of comparison of two types of Kabupaten road construction methods, i.e. equipment intensive method and labour intensive method construction methods for major works were basically decided as shown in Table 3-4-1.

Table 3-4-1: CONSTRUCTION METHODS FOR MAJOR WORKS

METHOD	WORK TYPE
Equipment Intensive	Earthwork, Base Course and Subbase Course
Labour Intensive	Surface Dressing, Drainage, Bridge and Other Structures.

3.4.1 Points to be Considered for the Selection

Full consideration was given to the following points in studying the selection of equipment type.

- a. Most of the construction in the Project is pavement works for road improvement.
- b. The pavement width adopted is equal to or less than 4.5 m and therefore large sized equipment is omitted from the selection process.
- c. Equipment should be capable of with standing the heavy rainfall and poor soil quality. Equipment for construction in swampy areas is considered if necessary.
- d. Uniformity of equipment types with existing equipment is considered to facilitate repair of the equipment in the provincial work shop.
- e. Since the scale of the construction is small and transportation of equipment will frequently be necessary, wheel type equipment has been selected as much as possible as this can move by itself or by being towed.
- f. The road like to be improved are scattered all over the Kabupatens and therefore a low bed truck or equivalent is necessary for transportation of crawler type equipment. It is desirable to protect the existing pavement from damage caused by the movement of crawler type equipment on the existing roads.
- g. The capacity of the equipment has been decided taking into consideration the construction volume and the combination of equipment in the main work.

3.4.2 Combinations of Equipment for Major Works and Maintenance

The combinations of equipment for major works and maintenance are listed in Table 3-4-2 and 3-4-3 respectively.

Table 3-4-2

EQUIPMENT OF ONE WORK GANG FOR MAJOR
TYPES OF WORK

TYPE OF WORK	EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	
1. Site Clearing in Light Bush	1- Bulldozer 90 HP 2- Dump Truck 3.0 Ton	1- Wheel Loader 1.2 m ³
2. Excavation & Embankment		
i) Normal Fill	1- Bulldozer 90 HP 1- Vibratory Roller 4.0 Ton (D&T)	1- Water Tank Truck 4,000 Ltr
ii) Fill by Borrow Material	1- Bulldozer 90 HP 3- Dump Truck 3.0 Ton	1- Wheel Loader 1.2 m ³
iii) Fill in Swamp	1- Swamp Bulldozer 90 HP 1- Water Tank Truck 4,000 Ltr	1- Vibratory Roller 4.0 Ton (D&T)
iv) Excavation to Spoil	1- Bulldozer 90 HP 1- Wheel Loader 1.2 m ³	4- Dump Truck 3.0 Ton
3. Subgrade Preparation	1- Motor Grader 75 HP 1- Vibratory Roller 4.0 Ton (D&T)	1- Water Tank Truck 4,000 Ltr
4. Subbase Course	1- Motor Grader 75 HP 1- Vibratory Roller 4.0 Ton (D&T)	1- Water Tank Truck 4,000 Ltr
5. Base Course	1- Motor Grader 75 HP 1- Vibratory Roller 4.0 Ton 1- Portable Crusher/Screens 30-40 Ton/H	1- Water Tank Truck 4,000 Ltr
6. Cement Stabilizing	1- Motor Grader 70 HP 1- Bulldozer 90 HP 1- Wheel Loader 1.2 m ³ 1- Flat Bed Truck 3.0 Ton	1- Vibratory Roller 4.0 Ton (D&T) 1- Road Stabilizer 1- Water Tank Truck 4,000 Ltr
7. Surface Course	1- Asphalt Sprayer 850 Ltr 1- Tyre Roller 8-15 Ton 1- Portable Crusher/Screens 30-40 Ton/H	1- Flat Bed Truck 3.0 Ton
8. Concrete	1- Concrete Mixer 0.5 m ³ 1- Water Pump 200 Ltr/Min 1- Concrete Vibrator 3.3 HP	1- Flat Bed Truck 3.0 Ton 1- Hand-Guided Vibratory Roller 1000 Kg

Table 3-4-3

EQUIPMENT OF ONE WORK GANG FOR MAINTENANCE

TYPE OF WORK	EQUIPMENT REQUIRED
Road	1- Motor Grader 1- Tyre Roller 8-15 Ton 1- Hand-Guided Vibratory Roller 1000 Kg 1- Flat Bed Truck 3.0 Ton 1- Dump Truck 3.0 Ton
Bridge and Other Structure	1- Flat Bed Truck With Crane 3.0 Ton

3.5 Workshop and Laboratory

3.5.1 Policy of the Kabupaten Workshop

A workshop will be provided for each Kabupaten. The function of the workshop is to cope with requests from the construction site. The main service will be routine maintenance while the secondary service will be light repairs which can be carried out by changing parts. Dismantling and assembling of units which need setting or adjustment using special equipment or facilities will not be carried out in the Kabupaten workshop. Such repairs are planned to be carried out by the provincial workshop or the regional Workshop of Bina Marga.

Accordingly the main tasks of the Kabupaten workshop are as follows:

- 1) Administration for and storage of equipment
- 2) Routine maintenance and light repair of equipment
- 3) Storage and supply of spare parts
- 4) Operation of equipment including crushing plant.

3.5.2 Workshop Equipment and Tools

Equipment and tools for the workshop are recommended as shown in Table 3-5-1.

Table 3-5-1 WORKSHOP EQUIPMENT AND TOOLS

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY
Upright Drilling Machine	1 Set
Electric Hand Drill	1
Electric Portable Grinder	1
Disc Grinder	1
Bench Electric Grinder	1
Engineer's Vice	1
DC Electric Welder with Engine	1 Set
Portable Hydraulic Jack, Screw Head	1
Hydraulic Jack	1
Grease Gun	2
Suction Pump for Oil Recovery	2
High Pressure Grease Pump	1

continued

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY
Drum Opening Spanner	1
Silicon Normal Charger	1
Tyre Changer Air Operated	1
Tyre Service Tool Set	1
Tyre Pressure Gauge	1
Automatic Tyre Inflator	1
Plug Cleaner and Tester	1
Mechanics Tool Set, Heavy Equipment	1
Mechanics Tool Set, Large Vehicle	1
Portable Air Compressor	1
Electric Cord Reel, 15 A, 50 m	1
Oil Measure, Polyethylene	1
Funnel 200 mm, Steel	3
Hand Truck (Cart), 4-Wheel	1
Nylon Sling, 10 ton	2
Chain Block, 1 ton	2
Wire Rope (for sling), 1.8 ton	2
Wire Rope (for sling) 3.2 ton	2
Generator	1

3.5.3 Laboratory

For quality control of construction in the Project it is recommended that a laboratory is provided for each Kabupaten. For each laboratory, provision of laboratory test equipment for the following tests is recommended:

- Physical characteristic, compaction and strength tests for the road bed and pavement materials.
- Slump and strength tests for the bridge concrete.

In the laboratory a fixed water tank should be provided for CBR tests and curing of concrete specimens.

The proposed laboratory equipment is listed in Table 3-5-2.

Table 3-5-2 LABORATORY TEST EQUIPMENT

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY
Soil Moisture Test Set (JIS A1203)	1
Liquid Limit Set (JIS A1205)	1
Plastic Limit Set (JIS A1206)	1
Compaction Set (JIS A1210)	1
CBR Laboratory Set, Mechanical (JIS A1211)	1
Sand Density Apparatus (JIS A1214)	1
Aggregate Test Sieve Set	1
Portable Cone Penetrometer	1
Compression & Bending Test Machine	1
Cylinder Mould (JIS A1132, 1108)	9
Slump Test Apparatus (JIS A1101)	2

To conduct the surveys necessary for road and structure construction such as centering, profile leveling, cross section leveling etc., the surveying equipment listed in Table 3-5-3 recommended.

Table 3-5-3 SURVEYING EQUIPMENT

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY
Transit	1
Level	1
Staff	3

Chapter 4 CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE COST ESTIMATIONS

4.1 Unit Price

With regard to the unit prices of materials and labor, the data were collected from each Kabupaten through Bina Marga. The collected data were compared with those of Jakarta using BAHAN BANGUNAN DKI-JAKARTA MAY & JUNE 1985 compiled by PUSAT INFORMASI TEHNIK PEMBANGUNAN, and then finalized.

4.1.1 Unit Labour Price

The unit labour prices of Kabupaten Musi Rawas and other Kabupatens in Sumatera Selatan Province are shown in Table 4-1-1.

Table 4-1-1

UNIT LABOUR PRICE

KABUPATEN	MAN	SKL LAB	CAP	MAS	LAB	DRIV	(Rp)
							OPE
Musi Rawas	2,750	2,200	3,850	3,850	1,650	3,500	5,000
Musi Banyuasin	2,500	2,500	3,000	3,000	2,000	2,500	3,000
Bangka	3,000	2,750	3,500	3,500	2,250	3,000	3,500
Belitung	3,000	2,750	5,000	3,750	2,250	4,000	3,000
Average	2,813	2,250	3,838	3,525	2,025	3,250	3,625

Notes :

- MAN : Mandur
- SKL LAB : Skilled Labour
- CAP : Carpenter
- MAS : Mason
- LAB : Labourer
- DRIV : Driver
- OPE : Operater

4.1.2 Unit Price of Materials

Table 4-1-2 shows the unit price of materials for Kabupaten Musi Rawas together with for other Kabupatens in Sumatera Selatan Province.

Table 4-1-2 UNIT PRICE OF MATERIALS

MATERIAL	UNIT					(Rp)
		MUSI RAWAS	MUSI BANYUASIN	BANGKA	BELITUNG	AVERAGE
Bitumen	L	380	365	300	280	330
Asphalt oil	L	800	300	850	850	700
Gasoline	L	250	250	250	250	250
Sand	M ³	7,000	6,000	5,500	4,000	5,625
Cement	bag	4,000	4,000	4,800	4,000	4,200
River Stone	M ³	8,000	25,000	7,500	6,000	11,625
Steel moulds	Set	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000
Timber	M ³	90,000	120,000	155,000	150,000	128,750
Paint	L	3,500	2,500	3,500	3,000	3,125
Reinforcing Steel	Kg	800	1,000	800	900	875
Tying Wire	Kg	1,200	1,500	1,100	1,100	1,225
Equivalent Royalty	M ³	250	250	250	250	250

4.1.3 Hourly Equipment Cost

The hourly equipment cost for Kabupaten is shown in Table 4-1-3.

Table 4-1-3

HOURLY EQUIPMENT COST

PROVINCE : SUMATERA SELATAN
KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

(UNIT : Rp) ('85)

CODE NO	EQUIPMENT NAME	CLASS	LOCAL COST			FOREIGN COST			TOTAL COST
			OWERSHIP	OPERATION	SUB-TOTAL	OWERSHIP	OPERATION	SUB-TOTAL	
	Bulldozer	120 HP	156	12,715	12,871	7,769	1,014	8,783	21,654
	Bulldozer/Ripper	120 HP	170	13,716	13,886	8,500	1,560	10,060	23,946
	Swamp Bulldozer	120 HP	178	13,955	14,133	8,879	1,630	10,509	24,642
	Bulldozer	90 HP	99	8,604	8,703	4,914	641	5,555	14,258
	Bulldozer/Ripper	90 HP	106	9,188	9,294	5,300	973	6,273	15,567
	Bulldozer	65 HP	70	6,252	6,322	3,500	456	3,956	10,278
	Bulldozer/Ripper	65 HP	77	6,696	6,773	3,819	701	4,520	11,293
	Swamp Bulldozer	90 HP	106	9,178	9,284	5,284	970	6,254	15,538
	Swamp Bulldozer	65 HP	81	6,596	6,677	4,050	743	4,793	11,470
	Motor Grader	110 HP	139	11,002	11,141	6,919	1,270	8,189	19,330
	Motor Grader	75 HP	96	7,540	7,636	4,779	877	5,656	13,292
	Motor Grader	65 HP	86	6,635	6,721	4,300	789	5,089	11,810
	Road Stabilizer	W=1850 mm	172	3,348	3,520	8,594	420	9,014	12,534
	Vibratory Roller	4 ton	58	3,299	3,357	2,900	378	3,278	6,635
	Hand-guide Vib. Roller	1000 Kg	34	585	619	850	28	878	1,497
	Tire Roller	8-15 ton	63	7,316	7,379	3,106	101	3,207	10,586
	Vibratory Roller (D&T)	4 ton	58	3,299	3,357	2,900	378	3,278	6,635
	Hand-guide Vib. Roller	600 Kg	24	399	423	600	19	619	1,042
	Rough Terrain Crane	10 ton	201	12,828	13,029	10,039	737	10,776	23,805
	Hydraulic Excavator; Wheel	0.3 m ³	83	7,735	7,818	4,109	536	4,645	12,463
	Wheel Loader	1.2 m ³	141	8,349	8,490	7,019	916	7,935	16,425
	Wheel Loader	0.3 m ³	46	2,915	2,961	2,269	296	2,565	5,526
	Water Tank Truck	4000 ltr.	35	2,801	2,836	869	115	984	3,820
	Fuel Tank Truck	4000 ltr.	36	2,807	2,843	882	117	999	3,842
	Dump Truck	3.0 ton	59	3,493	3,552	1,469	195	1,664	5,216
	Flat Bed Truck with Crane	3.0 ton	35	3,055	3,090	1,716	126	1,842	4,932
	Dump Loader Truck	12 ton	77	18,798	18,875	3,838	125	3,963	22,838
	Dump Truck	5.0 ton	88	5,774	5,862	2,189	291	2,480	8,342
	Flat Bed Truck	3.0 ton	12	2,634	2,646	563	41	604	3,250
	Portable Crusher/Screening	30-40 t/h	376	21,464	21,840	18,800	2,454	21,254	43,094
	Concrete Mixer	0.5 m ³	216	2,318	2,534	5,400	404	5,804	8,338
	Water Pump	200 l/min	8	258	266	188	6	194	460
	Concrete Vibrator	3.3 HP	3	224	227	73	2	75	302
	Asphalt Sprayer	850 ltr.	41	745	786	1,019	135	1,154	1,940

4.2 Unit Construction Cost by Work Type

4.2.1 All Works Except Bridges

The unit construction costs by work type, excluding bridge construction costs, have been estimated using the combination of equipment described in Clause 3.4 and the unit prices already listed. The results are summarized in Table 4-2-1.

Table 4-2-1 UNIT COST BY WORK TYPE EXCEPT BRIDGE WORK

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

				(Rp)
ITEM	UNIT	LOCAL	FOREIGN	TOTAL
Site Clearance in Light Bush	m ²	161	91	252
Subgrade Preparation	m ²	21	11	32
Normal Fill	m ³	1,657	860	2,517
Fill in Swamp	m ³	2,463	1,049	3,512
Normal Excavation to Spoil	m ³	966	521	1,487
Sub Base Course	m ³	3,132	1,343	4,475
Base Course	m ³	4,292	2,293	6,585
Shoulder	m ²	291	145	436
Asphalt Patching	m ²	3,506	1,482	4,988
Surface Dressing (Single)	m ²	639	731	1,370
Surface Dressing (Double)	m ²	789	1,151	1,940
Earth Drain	m	814	118	932
Earth Drain in Swamp (by machine)	m ³	1,158	473	1,631
Pipe Culvert Ø80cm	m	42,996	42,490	85,486
Masonry Culvert (80x80cm)	m	61,277	36,035	97,312
Retaining Wall and Wing Wall (Timber)	m ²	10,762	245	11,007
Retaining Wall and Wing Wall (Masonry)	m ³	45,127	11,465	56,592
Gabion Protection	m ³	13,277	120	13,397
Manual routine maintenance of road	Km	132,252	7,248	139,500
Routine maintenance of earth road	Km	92,477	37,868	130,345
Routine maintenance of gravel road	Km	186,724	87,894	274,618
Routine maintenance of asphalt road	Km	350,600	148,200	498,800

4.2.2 Bridges

The unit construction costs by bridge type including the cost of demolition of existing bridges are shown in Table 4-2-2.

Table 4-2-2

BRIDGE COST

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

(Rp)

ITEM	UNIT	LOCAL	FOREIGN	TOTAL
Superstructure (Timber; Span 3m; IOT)	m2	40,449	4,625	45,074
Superstructure (Timber; Span 5m; IOT)	m2	44,803	5,106	49,909
Superstructure (Timber; Span 8m; IOT)	m2	59,342	6,704	66,046
Superstructure (Timber; Span 3m; BMSO)	m2	50,155	5,718	55,873
Superstructure (Timber; Span 5m; BMSO)	m2	54,754	6,193	60,947
Superstructure (Timber; Span 8m; BMSO)	m2	69,443	7,839	77,282
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 3m; BMSO)	m2	46,918	87,120	134,038
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 5m; BMSO)	m2	48,244	97,358	145,602
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 8m; BMSO)	m2	49,743	106,046	155,789
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 10m; BMSO)	m2	54,456	120,445	174,901
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 15m; BMSO)	m2	58,793	141,877	200,670
Substructure (Pier; for Timber; IOT)	NO	352,367	43,111	395,478
Substructure (Abut; for Timber; IOT)	NO	989,321	189,409	1,178,730
Substructure (Pier; for Timber; BMSO)	NO	518,232	63,825	582,057
Substructure (Abut; for Timber; BMSO)	NO	1,114,504	212,426	1,326,930
Substructure (Pier; for Concrete; BMSO)	NO	1,726,659	456,252	2,182,911
Substructure (Abut; for Concrete; BMSO)	NO	3,593,712	964,078	4,557,790
Demolition of Bridge (Timber->Timber)	m2	11,358	1,728	13,086
Demolition of Bridge (Timber->Concrete)	m2	11,358	1,728	13,086
Demolition of Bridge (Concrete)	m2	81,147	68,076	149,223
Maintenance of Timber Bridge (New)	m2	7,487	1,343	8,830
Maintenance of Concrete Bridge (New)	m2	1,818	2,591	4,409
Maintenance of Timber Bridge (Exist)	m2	7,533	2,513	10,046
Maintenance of Concrete Bridge (Exist)	m2	4,153	2,360	6,513

5.1 Preliminary Screening

The road links to be improved should be effective for development of the Project Area. The road links where improvements were assumed to be inefficient for development of the Project Area were generally screened out using the following cut-off criteria.

- (1) Very short roads, less than 2 Km long, which have no connection with the trunk road network.
- (2) Roads not connected to the network at any point
- (3) Unpreferred roads, due to poor suitability for transportation compared to other existing alternative roads serving the same purpose.
- (4) Road in good condition according to the Bina Marga road inventory which lists improvement projects carried out in the last two or three years
- (5) Roads with asphalt surface in good condition
- (6) Urban roads, except those forming part of a longer route
- (7) Roads serving single large organizations rather than the general public
- (8) Roads with no inventory data
- (9) Kabupaten roads also assigned as provincial roads

The road links to be screened out in Kabupaten Musi Rawas are shown in Table 5-1-1.

Table 5-1-1

ROAD LINKS TO BE SCREENED OUT

KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

CRITERIA NO	ROAD LINK NO
(8)	11,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,33,34,38,40,41,43

5.2 Evaluation

5.2.1 Primary Analysis

The Kabupaten roads were classified by using the future traffic volume on the road links in 1998. The primary analysis of the IRR was carried out using the construction and maintenance costs. Road links where IRRs were more than 10% were defined as feasible links.

Results of primary analysis are shown in Table 5-2-1.

5.2.2 Secondary Analysis

From the infeasible road links evaluated by the primary analysis, road links where the IRRs were between 1% and 10%, i.e. road links which could become feasible if down graded by one rank, in classification were down graded and the costs re-estimated. Using these costs, a secondary analysis of IRR was carried out. Road links where these IRRs were then more than 10% were also defined as feasible links. This reflected that even though the road classification was rather low the road link should be improved.

Results of secondary analysis are shown in Table 5-2-2.

5.2.3 Ranking of Feasible Road Links

From the results of the primary and secondary analysis, road links where the IRRs were more than 10% were selected and their NPVs and B/Cs were estimated. The ranking of feasible road links from the economic evaluation are decided in the order of the NPVs, i.e. the larger the NPV the higher the road link priority as shown in Table 5-2-3.

Table 5-2-1

RESULTS OF PRIMARY ANALYSIS

PROVINCE : SUMATERA SELATAN KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

LINK NO	LENGTH	CLASS	IRR (%)	REMARK
37	28 Km	IIIA	65.008	VOC
29	12 Km	IIIA	62.042	VOC
24	10 Km	IIIA	59.845	VOC
36	24 Km	IIIB-1	52.313	VOC
12	6 Km	IIIA	51.336	VOC
30	3 Km	IIIA	46.392	VOC
1	31 Km	IIIA	45.765	VOC
14	21 Km	IIIA	41.702	VOC
10	70 Km	IIIA	39.642	Surplus
25	3 Km	IIIA	38.191	VOC
31	9 Km	IIIA	37.633	VOC
23	6 Km	IIIA	32.398	VOC
32	6 Km	IIIA	31.830	VOC
13	8 Km	IIIA	30.263	VOC
26	10 Km	IIIA	30.170	VOC
28	5 Km	IIIB-1	28.798	VOC
35	5 Km	IIIB-1	28.133	Surplus
27	4 Km	IIIB-1	25.442	VOC
44	5 Km	IIIB-1	23.445	VOC
2	35 Km	IIIB-1	22.141	VOC
8	15 Km	IIIB-2	19.284	VOC
39	4 Km	IIIB-1	6.566	VOC
9	9 Km	IIIB-2	5.884	VOC
15	11 Km	IIIB-1	0.804	VOC
5	24 Km	IIIB-2	0.078	VOC
6	14 Km	IIIB-2	0.078	VOC
7	21 Km	IIIB-2	0.078	VOC
3	17 Km	IIIB-2	0.078	VOC
42	4 Km	IIIB-2	0.078	VOC
4	12 Km	IIIB-2	0.078	VOC

Table 5-2-2

RESULTS OF SECONDARY ANALYSIS

PROVINCE : SUMATERA SELATAN KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

LINK NO	LENGTH	CLASS	IRR (%)	REMARK
39	4 Km	IIIB-2	14.154	VOC
9	9 Km	IIIC	8.837	VOC

Table 5-2-3

RANKING OF FEASIBILITY ROAD LINKS

PROVINCE : SUMATERA SELATAN KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

LINK NO	LENGTH	CLASS	NPV (1000Rp)	B/C	IRR (%)	REMARK
10	70 Km	IIIA	2444720	2.600	39.642	Surplus
37	28 Km	IIIA	2165000	3.932	65.008	VOC
1	31 Km	IIIA	1024540	2.530	45.765	VOC
36	24 Km	IIIB-1	961770	3.105	52.313	VOC
14	21 Km	IIIA	904600	2.616	41.702	VOC
29	12 Km	IIIA	666496	3.673	62.042	VOC
24	10 Km	IIIA	484108	3.507	59.845	VOC
12	6 Km	IIIA	326758	3.079	51.336	VOC
26	10 Km	IIIA	318440	2.018	30.170	VOC
13	8 Km	IIIA	297804	2.041	30.263	VOC
2	35 Km	IIIB-1	241327	1.453	22.141	VOC
31	9 Km	IIIA	207153	2.272	37.633	VOC
32	6 Km	IIIA	183810	2.089	31.830	VOC
30	3 Km	IIIA	147147	2.914	46.392	VOC
35	5 Km	IIIB-1	120139	1.877	28.133	Surplus
25	3 Km	IIIA	110374	2.429	38.191	VOC
23	6 Km	IIIA	104300	1.970	32.398	VOC
28	5 Km	IIIB-1	83075	1.847	28.798	VOC
8	15 Km	IIIB-2	72951	1.362	19.284	VOC
27	4 Km	IIIB-1	57100	1.692	25.442	VOC
44	5 Km	IIIB-1	45832	1.552	23.445	VOC
39	4 Km	IIIB-2	5282	1.151	14.154	VOC
BUM	320 Km		10972726			

Chapter 6 IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME

6.1 Implementation Schedule

6.1.1 Project Cost

The total Project Cost for the Kabupaten is composed of the cost of construction and maintenance, supplementation as described later, and workshop, laboratory and survey equipment. The total Project Cost for the Kabupaten is summarized in Table 6-1-1.

Table 6-1-1 TOTAL PROJECT COST (1)

KABUPATEN: Musi Rawas

(Rp $\times 10^6$)

COST	FOREIGN CURRENCY	LOCAL CURRENCY	TOTAL
CONSTRUCTION	1,990	2,598	4,588
MAINTENANCE	142	444	586
SUPPLEMENTATION	498	-	498
WORKSHOP EQUIPMENT & TOOLS	28	-	28
LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	19	-	19
SURVEY EQUIPMENT	5	-	5
TOTAL	2,682	3,042	5,724

The total Project Cost can be divided into costs as shown in Table 6-1-2.

Table 6-1-2 TOTAL PROJECT COST (2)

(Rp $\times 10^6$)

COST	FOREIGN CURRENCY	LOCAL CURRENCY	TOTAL
CIVIL WORK	1,393	3,019	4,412
CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	1,146	-	1,146
SPARE PARTS	91	23	114
WORKSHOP/LABORATORY/SURVEY EQUIPMENT	52	-	52
TOTAL	2,682	3,042	5,724

The cost for civil work is composed of the cost of labour and materials, operation cost excluding spare parts, indirect cost and transportation cost of equipment, and ownership cost for existing equipment.

6.1.2 Proposed Road Links

(1) Road Link to be Improved

The road links to be improved were generally selected taking into consideration the following criteria:

- (1) Feasible road links
 - Feasible road links from the primary evaluation
 - Feasible road links from the secondary evaluation
- (2) Road links selected from the engineering points of view
- (3) Road links selected because of basic human needs.

The road links final proposal to be improved in the Kabupaten are the 14 links with the total length of 291 km which is 67% of the 436 km total length of Kabupaten roads studied. The proposed road links are shown in Table 6-1-3.

Table 6-1-3 ROAD LINKS TO BE IMPROVED

KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS

REASON FOR SELECTION	ROAD LINK NO
Feasible	
- Primary	1,2,8,10,12,13,14,24,26,29,31,37
- Secondary	-
Engineering Point of View	4,5,
Basic Human Needs	-

The following road links do not form the road networks, therefore these road links are not selected in spite of feasible road links:

- Road Link Nos 23,25,27,28,30,32,35,36,39, and 44

Since Road Links No 4 and No 5 are roads which connect the feasible roads with the Kabupaten capital or the national road these road links are selected from the engineering points of view.

The order of proceeding with the improvement of the proposed road links are decided as shown in Table 6-1-4.

Table 6-1-4 ROAD LINKS TO BE IMPROVED BY YEAR

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

YEAR	LINK NO	() : rate
1988	10 (20%), 12	
1989	1 (30%), 2 (50%), 10 (30%), 13	
1990	1 (40%), 2 (50%), 10 (30%), 14 (30%), 31	
1991	1 (30%), 4, 10 (20%), 14 (55%), 29, 37 (50%)	
1992	5, 8, 14 (15%), 24, 26, 37 (50%)	

KAB. MUSI RAWAS



CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME

ROAD LINK NUMBER	FISCAL YEAR				
	1988/89	1989/90	1990/91	1991/92	1992/93
10.12	276				
01.02					
10.13		948			
01.03					
10.14.31			1,009		
01.04.10					
14.09.37				1,201	
05.09.14					
24.08.37					1,048
TOTAL COST (10 ⁹ Rp.)	376	948	1,009	1,201	1,048

LOCATION MAP



LEGEND :

- KABUPATEN CAPITAL
- KECAMATAN CAPITAL
- OTHER CITY
- LINK NUMBER
- PROVINCIAL BOUNDARY
- KABUPATEN BOUNDARY
- KECAMATAN BOUNDARY
- NATIONAL ROAD
- PROVINCIAL ROAD
- KABUPATEN ROAD
- VILLAGE ROAD
- RAILWAY
- RIVER



THE FEASIBILITY STUDY OF THE LOCAL ROAD DEVELOPMENT IN THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA

TITLE : CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME

SOURCE : DIREKTORAT JENDERAL AGRARIA	SCALE : AS SHOWN	PROVINCE : SUMATERA SELATAN KABUPATEN : MUSI RAWAS
---	---------------------	---

(2) Road Links to Be Maintained

It is desirable that all Kabupaten roads are maintained. However, because of the limited budget it is inevitable that some road links in the Kabupatens will be left without maintenance for the time being. The budget should be used for those which are effective in producing more useful development of the Kabupaten through the road development project. The road links to be maintained are finally proposed as shown in Table 6-1-5.

Table 6-1-5

ROAD LINKS TO BE MAINTAINED

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

(1000Rp)

LINK NO	LENGTH (Km)	BA (%)	SD (%)	RU (%)	RD (%)	ASPHAL (Km)	GRAVEL (Km)	EARTH (Km)	TM NO	AREA (m ²)	RC NO	AREA (m ²)	BRIDGE COST	LOCAL COST	FOREIGN COST	TOTAL COST
2	35	0.0	59.1	40.9	0.0	0	35	0	10	434.35	2	152.25	5,355	15,068	4,781	19,849
3	17	61.8	30.6	7.6	0.0	0	17	0	10	764.75	1	174.00	8,816	11,906	3,950	15,856
4	12	60.8	25.0	14.2	0.0	0	10	2	0	0.00	4	238.50	1,553	4,630	1,605	6,235
5	24	74.6	25.4	0.0	0.0	0	24	0	3	208.00	0	0.00	2,090	9,222	2,806	12,028
6	14	77.9	22.1	0.0	0.0	0	14	0	5	239.25	2	132.00	3,263	6,816	2,245	9,061
7	21	60.5	27.6	10.5	1.4	0	21	0	4	236.00	1	88.00	2,944	8,842	2,799	11,641
8	15	54.7	45.3	0.0	0.0	0	0	15	1	52.00	5	344.00	2,763	5,191	1,619	6,810
14	21	60.5	39.5	0.0	0.0	0	0	21	1	45.50	2	98.00	1,093	5,469	1,293	6,762
23	6	10.0	56.7	33.3	0.0	0	6	0	0	0.00	1	91.00	593	2,292	786	3,078
24	10	0.0	57.5	42.5	0.0	0	10	0	0	0.00	2	45.50	296	3,379	1,059	4,438
25	3	0.0	56.7	43.3	0.0	0	0	3	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	674	135	809
29	12	0.0	55.0	40.8	4.2	0	6	6	2	56.00	0	0.00	563	3,684	982	4,666
31	9	88.9	7.8	3.3	0.0	0	7	2	1	10.50	2	26.50	278	2,871	845	3,716
42	4	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0	4	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	1,276	381	1,657
SUM	203					0	154	49	37	2046.35	22	1389.75	29,609	81,320	25,286	106,606

6.1.3 Annual Construction and Maintenance Cost

The annual allocation of the total construction and maintenance cost in the five years programme for Kabupaten Musi Rawas is finally recommended as shown in Tables 6-1-6 (1), (2) and (3) for the construction, maintenance and total respectively.

The proposed construction cost is Rp 4,588 x 10⁶ and maintenance cost is Rp 586 x 10⁶ which is approximately 11% of the total expenditure.

Table 6-1-6 (1) CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE COST
(CONSTRUCTION)

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

(UNIT : 1000Rp)

ITEM	< 1988 >	< 1989 >	< 1990 >	< 1991 >	< 1992 >	< TOTAL >	
LOCAL CURRENCY :	208,389	515,524	541,568	656,440	601,662	2,523,583	155.021
Ownership Cost	1,376	3,318	3,530	4,541	4,361	17,126	(0.7%)
Operation Cost	86,323	209,161	226,411	289,657	268,944	1,079,496	(42.8%)
Material Cost	46,975	132,416	140,902	149,125	119,181	588,599	(23.3%)
Labour Cost	46,534	104,387	100,086	127,494	130,698	509,199	(20.2%)
Contingency	27,181	67,242	70,639	85,623	78,478	329,163	(13.0%)
FOREIGN CURRENCY :	168,054	435,250	468,465	545,507	446,317	2,063,593	(45.0%)
Ownership Cost	48,456	118,335	127,634	163,174	154,696	612,295	(29.7%)
Operation Cost	6,287	15,148	16,509	21,460	20,316	79,720	(3.9%)
Material Cost	91,391	244,995	263,218	289,720	213,090	1,102,414	(53.4%)
Labour Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0.0%)
Contingency	21,920	56,772	61,104	71,153	58,215	269,164	(13.0%)
TOTAL COST :	376,443	950,774	1,010,034	1,201,947	1,047,979	4,587,177	
Ownership Cost	49,832	121,653	131,164	167,715	159,057	629,421	(13.7%)
Operation Cost	92,610	223,309	242,920	311,117	289,260	1,159,216	(25.3%)
Material Cost	138,366	377,411	404,120	438,845	332,271	1,691,013	(36.9%)
Labour Cost	46,534	104,387	100,086	127,494	130,698	509,199	(11.1%)
Contingency	49,101	124,014	131,744	156,776	136,693	598,328	(13.0%)

< Contingency : 15% >

Table 6-1-6 (2) CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE COST
(MAINTENANCE)

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

(UNIT : 1000Rp)

ITEM	< 1988 >	< 1989 >	< 1990 >	< 1991 >	< 1992 >	< TOTAL >	
LOCAL CURRENCY :	40,641	81,270	82,879	90,650	149,024	444,464	(75.9%)
Ownership Cost	241	487	498	560	943	2,729	(0.6%)
Operation Cost	21,676	43,124	43,505	44,658	67,817	220,780	(49.7%)
Material Cost	1,920	3,813	3,978	4,997	9,617	24,325	(5.5%)
Labour Cost	16,804	33,846	34,898	40,435	70,647	196,630	(44.2%)
FOREIGN CURRENCY :	12,638	25,499	26,128	29,043	48,194	141,502	(24.1%)
Ownership Cost	10,792	21,487	21,695	22,313	33,960	110,247	(77.9%)
Operation Cost	1,147	2,276	2,302	2,346	3,569	11,640	(8.2%)
Material Cost	699	1,736	2,131	4,384	10,665	19,615	(13.9%)
Labour Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0.0%)
TOTAL COST :	53,279	106,769	109,007	119,693	197,218	585,966	
Ownership Cost	11,033	21,974	22,193	22,873	34,903	112,976	(19.3%)
Operation Cost	22,823	45,400	45,807	47,004	71,386	232,420	(39.7%)
Material Cost	2,619	5,549	6,109	9,381	20,282	43,940	(7.5%)
Labour Cost	16,804	33,846	34,898	40,435	70,647	196,630	(33.6%)

Table 6-1-6 (3)

CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE COST

(TOTAL)

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

(UNIT : 1000Rp)

ITEM	< 1988 >	< 1989 >	< 1990 >	< 1991 >	< 1992 >	< TOTAL >	
LOCAL CURRENCY :	249,030	596,794	624,447	747,090	750,686	2,968,047	(57.4%)
Ownership Cost	1,617	3,805	4,028	5,101	5,304	19,855	(0.7%)
Operation Cost	107,999	251,285	269,916	334,315	336,761	1,300,276	(43.8%)
Material Cost	49,895	136,229	144,080	154,122	129,798	612,924	(20.7%)
Labour Cost	63,338	138,233	134,984	167,929	201,345	705,829	(23.8%)
Contingency	27,181	67,242	70,639	85,623	78,478	329,163	(11.1%)
FOREIGN CURRENCY :	180,692	460,749	494,593	574,550	494,511	2,205,095	(42.6%)
Ownership Cost	59,248	139,822	149,329	185,487	188,656	722,542	(32.8%)
Operation Cost	7,434	17,424	18,811	23,806	23,885	91,360	(4.1%)
Material Cost	92,090	246,731	265,349	294,104	223,755	1,122,029	(50.9%)
Labour Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0.0%)
Contingency	21,920	56,772	61,104	71,153	58,215	269,164	(12.2%)
TOTAL COST :	429,722	1,057,543	1,119,041	1,321,640	1,245,197	5,173,143	
Ownership Cost	60,865	143,627	153,357	190,588	193,960	742,397	(14.4%)
Operation Cost	115,433	268,709	288,727	358,121	360,646	1,391,636	(26.9%)
Material Cost	140,985	382,960	410,229	448,226	352,553	1,734,953	(33.5%)
Labour Cost	63,338	138,233	134,984	167,929	201,345	705,829	(13.6%)
Contingency	49,101	124,014	131,744	156,776	136,693	598,328	(11.6%)

< Contingency : 15% >

6.1.4 Construction and Maintenance Equipment Cost

(1) Required Number of Equipment

The required numbers of construction equipment for Kabupaten Musi Rawas are estimated from the annual proposed construction quantities as shown in Table 6-1-7.

The proposed numbers of equipment to be purchased are finally decided considering the following number of existing equipment in the Kabupaten which are available for the Project.

- 2-Steel Roller
- 2-Hand-guided vib. Roller
- 4-Dump Truck
- 1-Asphalt Sprayer

The proposed numbers of maintenance equipment have been decided as shown below from the proposed annual maintenance volume taking into account the capacity of the proposed maintenance gangs.

a. Equipment for Road Maintenance

- 1-Motor Grader 75 HP
- 1-Tire Roller 8-15 Ton
- 1-Dump Truck 3 Ton
- 1-Hand Guided Vibratory Roller 1000 Kg
- 1-Flat Bed Truck 3 Ton

b. Equipment for Bridge Maintenance

- 1-Flat Bed Truck with Crane 3 Ton

(2) Equipment Cost

The proposed construction and maintenance equipment and their purchase costs are shown in Table 6-1-8. In the Project the supplementation cost or equipment cost supplemented is the difference between the purchase cost for newly supplied equipment and the depreciated value.

This comes about because full depreciation of the supplied equipment would not be completed within the Project Period of 5 years.

Table 6-1-7

REQUIRED NUMBER OF EQUIPMENT

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

EQUIPMENT NAME	WORKABLE	EXISTING	< 1988 >	< 1989 >	< 1990 >	< 1991 >	< 1992 >
Bulldozer/Ripper	210	0	0.22	0.49	0.52	0.86	0.88
Swamp Bulldozer	210	0	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.03
Motor Grader	230	0	0.74	1.66	1.82	2.57	2.50
Hand-guide Vib. Roller	230	2	0.18	0.42	0.18	0.28	1.01
Tire Roller	210	0	0.71	1.96	2.24	2.38	1.55
Vibratory Roller (B&T)	230	0	0.59	1.26	1.37	1.99	1.90
Hydraulic Excavator; Wheel	210	0	0.01	0.03	0.19	0.24	0.24
Wheel Loader	230	0	0.96	2.38	2.63	3.41	3.19
Water Tank Truck	230	0	0.40	0.89	0.97	1.32	1.21
Dump Truck	230	0	8.64	19.73	21.17	27.89	26.34
Flat Bed Truck with Crane	230	0	0.22	0.58	0.23	0.31	0.96
Flat Bed Truck	230	0	0.85	2.31	2.52	2.70	2.04
Portable Crusher/Screening	230	0	0.26	0.71	0.81	0.91	0.79
Concrete Mixer	210	0	0.13	0.37	0.13	0.18	0.60
Water Pump	210	0	0.37	1.09	0.35	0.46	1.47
Concrete Vibrator	210	0	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.10
Asphalt Sprayer	210	1	0.71	1.96	2.24	2.38	1.55

NOTE WORKABLE : workable days in a year

EXISTING : number of existing equipment

Table 6-1-8

EQUIPMENT PURCHASE COST

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN

KAB : MUSI RAWAS

(1000 Rp)

EQUIPMENT NAME	CLASS	CIF (JAKARTA)	PURCHASE NO.	PURCHASE COST
Bulldozer	90 HP	49,150	-	-
Bulldozer/Ripper	90 HP	53,000	1	53,000
Swamp Bulldozer	90 HP	52,850	-	-
Swamp Bulldozer	65 HP	40,500	-	-
Motor Grader	75 HP	47,800	3	143,400
Road Stabilizer	W=1850 mm	85,950	-	-
Hand-guide Vib. Roller	1000 Kg	8,500	-	-
Tire Roller	8-15 ton	31,070	4	124,280
Vibratory Roller (D&T)	4 ton	29,000	-	-
Vibratory Roller	4 ton	29,000	-	-
Rough Terrain Crane	10 ton	100,400	-	-
Hydraulic Excavator; Wheel	0.3 m ³	41,100	-	-
Wheel Loader	1.2 m ³	70,200	3	210,600
Water Tank Truck	4000 ltr.	12,750	1	12,750
Dump Truck	3.0 ton	14,700	19	279,300
Dump Loader Truck	12 ton	56,300	-	-
Flat Bed Truck with Crane	3.0 ton	25,190	2	50,380
Flat Bed Truck	3.0 ton	11,275	2	22,550
Portable Crusher/Screening	30-40 t/h	188,000	1	188,000
Concrete Mixer	0.5 m ³	18,000	1	18,000
Water Pump	200 l/min	630	1	630
Concrete Vibrator	3.3 HP	740	1	740
Asphalt Sprayer	850 ltr.	10,200	1	10,200
Service Car	3 ton	11,600	1	11,600
4 Wheel Drive Vehicle	70 HP	17,500	1	17,500
Motorcycle	100 cc	1,100	3	3,300

PURCHASE COST	TOTAL	1,146,230
---------------	-------	-----------

OWNERSHIP COST (FOREIGN)	648,247
--------------------------	---------

EQUIPMENT COST SUPPLEMENTED	497,983
-----------------------------	---------

NOTE : OWNERSHIP COST (FOREIGN) for Existing Equipment

Hand-guide Vib. Roller	5,577
Vibratory Roller (D&T)	28,303
Dump Truck	34,754
Asphalt Sprayer	5,661

TOTAL	74,295
-------	--------

6.1.5 Other Costs

Cost other items includes the costs of workshop equipment and tools, laboratory test equipment and survey equipment which are recommended in Sub-Clause 3.5. These total costs are summarized in Table 6-1-1.

6.1.6 Quantities by Work Type

The annual construction and maintenance quantities for all proposed road links are shown in Table 6-1-9.

Table 6-1-9

CONSTRUCTION QUANTITIES FOR ALL
PROPOSED LINKS

PROV : SUMATERA SELATAN KAB : MUSI RAWAS

ITEM	UNIT	< 1988 >	< 1989 >	< 1990 >	< 1991 >	< 1992 >	< TOTAL >
Site Clearance in Light Bush	m ²	0.00	8000.00	3600.00	6600.00	1800.00	20000.00
Subgrade Preparation	m ²	116800.00	178362.00	179220.00	343200.00	323010.00	1140592.00
Normal Fill	m ³	125.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	125.00
Fill in Swamp	m ³	0.00	172.20	990.00	1421.25	1038.75	3622.20
Normal Excavation to Spoil	m ³	1878.00	2716.00	2308.00	6084.50	5481.50	18468.00
Sub Base Course	m ³	10338.40	22000.20	22930.40	32653.50	29444.50	117367.00
Base Course	m ³	6400.00	18436.00	20988.00	23616.00	22700.00	92140.00
Shoulder	m ²	52000.00	119600.00	138700.00	213250.00	230450.00	754000.00
Asphalt Patching	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Surface Dressing (Single)	m ²	0.00	70000.00	70000.00	0.00	0.00	140000.00
Surface Dressing (Double)	m ²	80000.00	169200.00	201100.00	268950.00	175750.00	895000.00
Earth Drain	m	29240.00	48800.00	45120.00	60360.00	47080.00	230600.00
Earth Drain in Swamp (by machine)	m ³	0.00	120.00	3000.00	3750.00	3450.00	10320.00
Pipe Culvert Ø80cm	m	115.20	197.80	131.80	177.20	372.00	994.00
Masonry Culvert (80x80cm)	m	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.00	24.00
Retaining Wall and Wing Wall (Timber)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Retaining Wall and Wing Wall (Masonry)	m ³	8.96	24.64	15.04	45.76	281.20	375.60
Gabion Protection	m ³	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Superstructure (Timber; Span 3m; 10T)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Superstructure (Timber; Span 5m; 10T)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	40.00
Superstructure (Timber; Span 8m; 10T)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Superstructure (Timber; Span 3m; BMS0)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Superstructure (Timber; Span 5m; BMS0)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Superstructure (Timber; Span 8m; BMS0)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 3m; BMS0)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 5m; BMS0)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.50	22.50
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 8m; BMS0)	m ²	0.00	27.00	0.00	18.00	90.00	135.00
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 10m; BMS0)	m ²	40.50	127.80	50.40	37.80	45.00	301.50
Superstructure (Concrete; Span 15m; BMS0)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Substructure (Pier; for Timber; 10T)	m ⁰	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Substructure (Abut; for Timber; 10T)	m ⁰	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00
Substructure (Pier; for Timber; BMS0)	m ⁰	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Substructure (Abut; for Timber; BMS0)	m ⁰	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Substructure (Pier; for Concrete; BMS0)	m ⁰	0.00	1.30	0.40	0.30	1.00	3.00
Substructure (Abut; for Concrete; BMS0)	m ⁰	2.00	5.20	1.60	2.20	7.00	18.00
Demolition of Bridge (Timber->Timber)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Demolition of Bridge (Timber->Concrete)	m ²	0.00	18.90	25.20	32.90	95.50	172.50
Demolition of Bridge (Concrete)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Manual routine maintenance of road	Km	101.50	200.25	200.60	199.23	291.93	993.50
Routine maintenance of earth road	Km	24.50	49.00	44.85	37.23	29.93	185.50
Routine maintenance of gravel road	Km	77.00	145.25	141.75	104.00	91.00	559.00
Routine maintenance of asphalt road	Km	0.00	6.00	14.00	58.00	171.00	249.00
Maintenance of Timber Bridge (New)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Maintenance of Concrete Bridge (New)	m ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Maintenance of Timber Bridge (Exist)	m ²	1023.18	1937.76	1925.69	2005.84	3309.79	10202.25
Maintenance of Concrete Bridge (Exist)	m ²	694.88	1554.89	1526.94	1446.75	1390.85	6614.30

6.2 Organization and Construction System

6.2.1 Organization

The Bupati as head of the Kabupaten has been authorized by Law No. 13, 1980 as an official responsible for the Local Road Development Project implementation. This means that the DPUK is considered as a responsible agency for the actual execution of the Project.

According to instruction letter dated June 24, 1982 Ref. No. 620/975-/BANGDA, the Project Manager appointed by the Bupati will be responsible for the operation and maintenance of the equipment. Accordingly the Equipment Coordinator appointed from the staff of the Regional Public Works (Kantor Wilayah) by Bina Marga as a coordinator between the Governor and the Bupati will be responsible for delivery, effectual utilization and maintenance of the equipment.

The standard organization of DPUK consists of a minimum of four sections, i.e. Road Section, Housing and City Planning Section, Irrigation Section and Administration Section. For execution of the Project it is strongly recommended that the structural organization of DPUK is established. It will be necessary not only to organize new sections but also to reorganize the current structure through a review of the roles and responsibilities of each inter-related section.

It is recommended that the workshop is newly organized to consist of three sub-sections, i.e. maintenance and repair of equipment, operation and materials, and administration to execute the main tasks described in Clause 3.5.


The sub-section of laboratory would be under the relevant Road Section. The proposed organization is shown in Fig. 6-2-1.

6.2.2 Construction System

For the construction of Kabupaten roads with a ten year effective design life, it has been recommended in Clause 3.4 that the equipment intensive method should be adopted for earth work and pavement work with the exception of surface dressing.

Fig. 6-2-1

PROPOSED ORGANIZATION



↓ : Equipment delivery flow
 □ : New position/subsection

Current road construction in the Kabupatens is obliged to rely upon the traditional labour intensive method. It is therefore assumed that both the DPUK and the local contractors in the Kabupatens do not have sufficient experience and technique for the equipment intensive method of road construction.

For realization of the Local Road Development Project the GOI has ensured availability of the required human resources of DPUK and intends to conduct training programmes for those human resources as described in Clause 8.3 of the Main Report. This means that the GOI intends the Kabupatens to have the ability to execute the Project by force account (Swakelola).

It should be recognized from the experiences in the first local road project, which was assisted by OECF, ADB and IBRD, that because of their poor construction management and traditional labour intensive methods most of the road construction by local contractors could not be completed within the contract periods. Therefore execution of the road improvement by force account is desirable as recommended from their experience by the consultants for the first local road project.

It is strongly recommended that except for labourers the staff of the force account team should not be hired by the day as it would then not be able to consolidate the foundations for development of self reliability.

However, it will be very difficult to execute all the Projects by force account because of the need for many Kabupaten staff. The GOI has emphasized the need to promote the employment of local weak contractors in order to up-grade their capability in the road project schemes within the Fourth Five-Year Plan (REPELITA)

Taking into consideration the conditions mentioned above it is strongly recommended that the DPUK is obliged to lend some equipment with skilled operators to the local contractors in the Kabupatens for the execution of a part of the road improvement works.

The types of work executed only by force account are recommended as follows:

- Routine maintenance work for the Kabupaten roads
- Laboratory tests
- Production of crushed stone
- Technical service for the equipment

