Appendix 7 Procedure of Projections of Population and Water Demand 1/

A. Population Projection

General

To estimate the study area population which is one of the basic factors of water requirement, the past census made by the National Census and Statistics Office (NCSO) is used as the most reliable demographic data.

The total study area population is projected on the basis of separate projections for the city core or poblacion and for the rural barangays within the study area. The method of past trend extrapolation is applied for population projection of such "micro-economic" areas of barangays in this study.

To determine future growth rates for each barangay the following factors are considered:

- Existing and proposed land use plans (residential, commercial, industrial, institutional and agricultural zones)
- 2. Physical limits (barriers) to the geographical development of the area.
- 3. Population density. (persons per ha)
- Housing patterns.
- Existing and proposed transportation and communication facilities. (road network, etc)
- 6. Possible migrations within the municipality and the region.
- 7. Family planning program of the Government.

Remarks: 1/ In the course of the work of preparing the master plan for water supply of the four WDs in the three provincial areas (Ilocos Norte WD in Ilocos Norte Province, Legaspi City WD and Daraga WD in Albay Province, and Tagbilaran WD in Bohol Province), this procedure of projections of population and water demand is established as a general concept to be applied to the four WDs.

Total Municipal Population

In projecting the municipal population, the following steps are observed:

- Using available past census data, a trend analysis on past growth rates and the factors which might have influenced them is performed. Past population trend of the municipality is shown in Table 1.2.2 thru Table 1.2.6. (See 2.2 Population, Part One: General)
- 2. Future growth rates up to the design year are projected based on the field conditions and future development as well as data obtained in step 1 above.
- 3. The population for each design year is obtained using the projected average annual growth rates in step 2 above. The population in each design year is tabulated as shown in Table 2.3.1 The past and future trends are graphically shown in Fig. 2.3.1. (See 3.1 Population Projection, Part Two: Master Plan)

Barangay Population

- Using the same method outlined for municipal population projections, the population for each barangay covered by the municipality is projected.
- 2. Since the total annual population of all the barangays should equal to the total annual municipal population, barangay population is revised where applicable and necessary. Population projection for each barangay is shown in Table 2.3.2 thru Table 2.3.6. (See 3.1 Population Projection, Part Two: Master Plan)
- 3. Population density of each barangay is checked.

As an example of the high growth of population in the study area, the high series of NEDA-POPCOM projection is introduced herein, which is considered to be a useful data for a sensitivity analysis of the population projection. While the low growth of population in the study area is projected with an assumption that the average growth rates from one design year to another design year may differ by 10 to 20 per cent from the medium growth of projection made in this study. The high and low growth of populations are shown in Table 2.3.7 and Table 2.3.8. (See 3.1 Population Projection, Part Two:

Served Population

At present, the served area of the city/municipality is mostly concentrated on the poblacion or the central urban area, where the middle-high income groups are usually found.

A percentage of population served is estimated in each design year based on the present population served, data gathered in the field, cost and availability of the water from sources. The served population as well as the served area \frac{1}{for Phase I (1987)} is decided based on a concept that the purpose of this Phase project is to satisfy the present water requirement which has not been met in recent years due to deterioration of the water supply facilities, by rehabilitation, improvement and some additional works, within as short a period as possible. It is the goal of this study, however, to be able to extend improved water services (Level III system services to no less than 70 percent and 80 percent of the population in the served area by Phase II (1993) and the master plan period (2010) respectively.

Remarks: 1/ Served area for this study is discussed in 2.2 Served Area, Part Two: Master Plan.

B. Water Demand Projection

General

And area of water demand. The categories adopted are 1) domestic,
2) commercial and industrial and 3) institutional water demands.
Unaccounted-for-water is also estimated and totalled to the above demands. The water demand areas adopted for projection are poblacion or urban area and rural barangays. The urban area includes the neighboring barangays of the poblacion where applicable.

Historical consumption data are not available because the current supply does not cover all the consumers with service connections and no records of meter reading are obtained. Therefore, potential/theoretical demand for the study area is considered as for the present consumption.

The potential demand as an average per capita demand for the study area is estimated based on the similar WDs records \frac{1}{2} \text{ of consumption and the classification of WDs stipulated in the Design Manual of LWUA. The result of classification of WDs for the present study is shown in Table A.7.3. The average per capita water demands for the urban and rural areas are estimated respectively and shown in Table A.7.4 and Table A.7.5.

Domestic Demand

The projected demands for domestic water are based on the average per capita consumption and the projected served population in the study area. As mentioned in the preceding paragraph, data on present average domestic unit consumption for the study area are not available, then the consumption records of different WDs are referred

Remarks: 1/ Ref. Table A.7.1 Per Capita Consumption in Existing Water District (1978) and Table A.7.2 Average Unit Consumption by WD classification in 1978.

so that present unit consumption in the similar city/municipality is to be applied for the potential unit consumption for the study area. The future unit consumption which will represent an average consumption in the urban area are projected based on the said potential consumption as shown below:

City/Municipality	1978 (1pcd)	1987 (1pcd)	1993 (lpcd)	2010 (1pcd)
Ilocos Norte			-	
Laoag	128	128	135	155
Pasuquin	100	105	115	140
Bacarra	100	105	115	140
Vintar	100	105	115	140
Paoay	100	105	115	140
Legaspi	135	135	148	175
Daraga	135	135	148	175
Tagbilaran	128	128	135	155

Domestic consumption projections for the rural area are projected using the same method for the urban area projections, however, only a single series unit domestic consumption is estimated to adopt for all the study areas. The domestic unit consumptions are projected as follows:

	1980	1987	1993	2010
	(lpcd)	(lpcd)	(lpcd)	(lpcd)
All rural area	60	69	78	100

The potential unit consumption in 1980 is estimated based on the experiences in the rural water supply programs in the Southeast Asian countries. Future unit consumptions are projected on the basis that the unit consumptions will increase at a growth rate of 2.0% per annum in the period 1980-1993 and 1.5% per annum in the period 1993 -2010, respectively.

Conmercial and Industrial Demand

Reliable data on present commercial and industrial water consumption of the study area are not available. Therefore, the following assumptions are employed for the future demand projections. According to the experience in the Philippines, there is a relation between the level of commercial and industrial activities and the service area population. These ratios vary from a minimum level of 0.3 commercial and industrial connections per 100 inhabitants to a maximum level of 1.2 connections per 100 inhabitants.

To estimate future commercial and industrial demands in the study area the following connection densities and unit consumptions are assumed:

Connection Density Ratio

			Group II	Group III	Group IV
(a)	1980 Density Ratio		_	-	
(b)	Density Increase Coefficient for year	ar			
	19	87	1.4	1.2	1.0
	199	93	1.6	1.4	1.0
	20	10	2.5	2.0	1.2

Group II : Legaspi and Daraga

Group III : Laoag and Tagbilaran

Group IV : Bacarra, Pasuquin, Vintar and Paoay

Unit Consumption per Connection

Years	Unit Water Consumption (m ³ /day)
1987	1,2
1993	1.5
2010	2.0

Based on the above assumptions, unit commercial and industrial consumptions as per capita consumptions for the future design years are obtained and shown below:

Commercial and Industrial Consumptions (lpcd)

Years	Group II	Group III	Group IV
1987	17 (13)	14 (11)	12 (11)
1993	24 (16)	21 (16)	14 (12)
2010	50 (29)	41 (26)	24 (17)

() Percentage to the per capita domestic consumption

Institutional Water Demand

Institutional water consumers include schools, churches, public administration buildings and hospitals. It can be assumed that all institutional establishments within the future service area will be connected. Based on this consideration and referring to the socioeconomic data, one institutional connection per 2000 inhabitants is employed to be served in the study area. Unit consumption for the institutional connection will be as follows:

Year	1987	1993	2010
Unit Institutional consumption (m ³ /day)	4.0	6.0	8.0
Coverted to per capita consumption (lpcd)	2.0	3.0	4.0

Unaccounted-for-Water

Unaccounted-for-water including wastage, leakage and water losses are estimated as follows. During the field investigation the unaccounted-for-water measurement in the study area was not able to undertake because the supply capacity had not fully met with the requirement and no water condition in the distribution network was chronically observed.

Based on the experience, the following values for unaccounted-forwater (percentage of the total water production) may be assumed for the future design years:

Year	1987	1993	2010
System with old and new pipelines in 1987	34	25	20
System with new pipe- lines in 1987	22	20	20

Total Water Demand

The projected unit consumption figures for domestic, commercial and industrial, institutional, and unaccounted-for-water have been presented in the preceding sections. The compiled projected unit consumption and supply requirements are listed in Table A.7.4 and Table A.7.5.

The average day demand and supply requirements for the study area are projected based on the above unit consumption and supply requirements and the projected served population. The consolidated projection of average day water demands for the study area are shown in 3.2 Water Demand, Part Two: Master Plan.

Table A.7.1 Per Capita Consumption in Existing Water District (1978)

1. Bacolod 222,740 47,410 4,375 10.8 46.8 144 1 2. Bayao 482,230 33,672 5,466 6.2 37.6 202 1 4. Cabusoanga 261,980 37,846 9,818 3.9 50.0 427 11 5. Liba 105,940 9,066 1,273 7.1 30.1 141 11 6. Tarlac 158,340 9,066 1,273 7.1 30.1 141 11 7. Gabanatuan 113,810 21,327 2,848 7.5 42.2 188 11 8. Gapan 53,840 4,750 2,89 8.0 13.5 18 11 9. Bislig 56,840 4,750 2,89 8.0 23.3 15 11 10. Urdameta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 18 11 11. Sillay 104,550 6,142 984 6.2 30.8 114 12. Sabato 66,59		Water District	Total Population (1978)	Served Population (1978)	Number of Service Connection	Average Consumer per Connection	Average Metered Use per Connection (m3/month)	Per Capita Consumption (lpcd)	Water District Group
Bayao 482,230 33,672 5,466 6.2 37.6 202 Zamboenga 261,980 37,846 9,818 3.9 50.0 427 Cebu 625,350 85,358 12,496 6.8 42.9 210 Lipa 105,940 9,066 1,273 7.1 30.1 141 Tarlac 158,340 5,615 942 6.0 26.7 148 Gabanatuan 113,810 21,327 2,848 7.5 42.2 148 Gabanatuan 113,810 21,327 2,848 7.5 42.2 188 Gabanatuan 13,840 4,750 589 8.0 13.5 188 Gapan 56,840 4,784 865 5.0 23.3 188 Uxdaneta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 26.3 18 Calamba 96,310 6,174 1,158 5.4 26.3 16.2 Catamba 66,56 14,586	႕	Bacolod	222,740	47,410	4,375	10.8	46.8	144	н
Zamboanga 261,980 37,846 9,818 3.9 50.0 427 Cebu 625,350 85,358 12,496 6.8 42.9 210 Lipa 105,940 9,066 1,273 7.1 30.1 141 Taxlac 158,340 5,615 942 6.0 26.7 148 Cabanatuan 113,810 21,327 2,848 7.5 42.2 188 Gapan 53,840 4,750 589 8.0 13.5 188 Gapan 55,840 4,284 865 5.0 23.3 188 Urdaneta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 118 Calamba 56,840 4,284 865 5.0 23.3 214 Calamba 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 118 Calamba 66,756 6,174 1,135 5.4 26.3 124 Catabato 66,756 14,586 <td< td=""><td>5.</td><td>Вауас</td><td>482,230</td><td>33,672</td><td>5,466</td><td>6.2</td><td>37.6</td><td>202</td><td>н</td></td<>	5.	Вауас	482,230	33,672	5,466	6.2	37.6	202	н
Cebu 625,356 85,358 12,496 6.8 42.9 210 Lipa 105,940 9,066 1,273 7.1 30.1 141 Taxlac 158,340 5,615 942 6.0 26.7 148 Cabanatuan 113,810 21,327 2,848 7.5 42.2 148 Gapan 55,840 4,750 589 8.0 13.5 188 Gapan 56,840 4,784 865 5.0 23.3 188 Urdaneta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 115 Silay 104,550 6,142 984 6.2 39.8 214 Calamba 66,756 14,586 1,090 7.7 28.4 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,090 7.7 28.4 123 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 6.9 4.5 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,209 <td< td=""><td>m</td><td>Zamboanga</td><td>261,980</td><td>37,846</td><td>9,818</td><td>o.e</td><td>50.0</td><td>427</td><td>Ħ</td></td<>	m	Zamboanga	261,980	37,846	9,818	o.e	50.0	427	Ħ
Lipa 105,940 9,066 1,273 7.1 30.1 141 Taxlac 158,340 5,615 942 6.0 26.7 148 Cabanatuan 113,810 21,327 2,848 7.5 42.2 188 Gapan 53,840 4,750 589 8.0 13.5 56 Bislig 56,840 4,284 865 5.0 23.3 188 Urdaneta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 115 Silay 104,550 6,142 984 6.2 39.8 214 Catamba 96,310 6,174 1,135 5.4 26.3 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 22.8 134 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,153 4.5 26.4 119 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 204 Olongapo 143,279 43,806	4	Cebu	625,350	85,358	12,496	8.0	42.9	210	н
Taxlac 158,340 5,615 942 6.0 26.7 148 Cabanatuan 113,810 21,327 2,848 7.5 42.2 188 Gapan 53,840 4,750 589 8.0 13.5 155 Urdaneta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 115 Silay 104,550 6,142 984 6.2 39.8 214 Calamba 96,310 6,174 1,135 5.4 26.3 16.2 Cotabato 66,756 14,586 1,900 7.7 28.4 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 22.8 134 Baybay 66,596 5,138 1,153 4.5 16.2 204 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 23.4 16.2 204 Olongapo 143,20	ທ່	Lipa	105,940	990'6	1,273	7.1	30.1	141	Ħ
Cabanatuan 113,810 21,327 2,848 7.5 42.2 188 Gapan 53,840 4,750 589 8.0 13.5 56 Bislig 56,840 4,284 865 5.0 23.3 155 Urdaneta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 115 Silay 104,550 6,142 984 6.2 39.8 214 Calamba 96,310 6,174 1,135 5.4 26.3 162 Cotabato 66,756 14,586 1,900 7.7 28.4 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 32.8 134 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 132.4 7.4 25.4 168.4 168.4	6	Tarlac	158,340		942	0.9	26.7	148	H
Gapan 53,840 4,750 589 8.0 13.5 56 Bislig 56,840 4,284 865 5.0 23.3 155 Urdaneta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 115 Silay 104,550 6,142 984 6.2 39.8 214 Calamba 96,310 6,174 1,135 5.4 26.3 162 Cotabato 66,756 14,586 1,900 7.7 28.4 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 32.8 134 Baybay 66,596 5,138 1,153 4.5 16.2 120 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204	7.	Cabanatuan	113,810	21,327	2,848	7.5	42.2	188	H
Bislig 56,840 4,284 865 5.0 23.3 155 Urdaneta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 115 Silay 104,550 6,142 984 6.2 39.8 214 Calamba 96,310 6,174 1,135 5.4 26.3 162 Cotabato 66,756 14,586 1,900 7.7 28.4 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 32.8 134 Baybay 66,596 5,138 1,153 4.5 16.2 120 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 77 22,4 168.4 168.4 168.4	ω .	Gapan	53,840	4,750	589	0.0	13.5	95	ΣΛ
Urdaneta 64,880 3,203 441 7.3 25.1 115 Silay 104,550 6,142 984 6.2 39.8 114 Calamba 96,310 6,174 1,135 5.4 26.3 162 Cotabato 66,756 14,586 1,900 7.7 28.4 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 32.8 134 Baybay 66,596 5,138 1,153 4.5 16.2 120 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 77 32.4 168.4	٠ •	Bislig	56,840	4,284	865	5.0	23.3	155	III
Silay 104,550 6,142 984 6.2 39.8 214 Calamba 96,310 6,174 1,135 5.4 26.3 162 Cotabato 66,756 14,586 1,900 7.7 28.4 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 32.8 134 Baybay 66,596 5,138 1,153 4.5 16.2 120 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 67 67 32.4 168.4	9	Urdaneta	64,880	3,203	441	7.3	25.1	115	HHH
Calamba 96,310 6,174 1,135 5.4 26.3 162 Cotabato 66,756 14,586 1,900 7.7 28.4 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 32.8 134 Baybay 66,596 5,138 1,153 4.5 16.2 120 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 6.7 7.4 32.4 168.4	11.	Silay	104,550	6,142	984	6.2	39.8	214	III
Octabato 66,756 14,586 1,900 7.7 28.4 123 Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 32.8 134 Baybay 66,596 5,138 1,153 4.5 16.2 120 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 6.7 6.7 32.4 168.4	12.	Calamba	96,310	6,174	1,135	5.4	26.3	162	Ħ
Roxas 71,049 8,240 1,028 8.0 32.8 134 Baybay 66,596 5,138 1,153 4.5 16.2 120 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 6.7 32.4 168.4	13.	Cotabato	66,756	14,586	7,900 1,900	7.7	28.4	123	HHH
Baybay 66,596 5,138 1,153 4.5 16.2 120 1 San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 6.7 32.4 168.4	4.	Roxas	71,049	8,240	1,028	0.0	32.8	134	III
San Fernando 97,800 10,632 1,445 7.4 26.4 119 Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 6.7 32.4 168.4	15.	Baybay	965,99	5,138	1,153	4.5	16.2	120	HH
Olongapo 143,279 43,806 6,375 6.9 42.2 204 Average 6.7 32.4 168.4	16.	San Fernando	97,800	10,632	1,445	7.4	26.4	611	H
6.7 32.4	17.	Olongapo	143,279	43,806	6,375	6.9	42.2	204	H
		Average				6.7	32.4	168,4	

Table A.7.2 Average Unit Consumption by WD Classification in 1978

WD Group 1/	Accounted- for-water ² / (lpcd)	Unaccounted- for-water3/ (lpcd)	Total
ŗ	190	127	317
rr	152	101	253
ııı	144	96	240
IV	1124/	75	187

^{1/} Refer to Design Manual of LWUA

^{2/} Based on records of different WDs

^{3/ 40%} of the total is applied

^{4/} No data but estimated

Table A.7.3 Classification of Water Districts According to Future Requirements

City/Wunicipality	1975 Urban Income	Urban Households with Refri- gerators	Urban Households with Flush Toilets	1975 Business Index	1980 Cost of Water Source of Supply	1980 Served Population	Total Points	dnoag
ilocos Norte Lacag	10	ω	ω	ŢŢ	\$1	ω	57	H
Pasuguin	' 		ဖ	4	70	ហ	48	ΣΔ
Bacarra	ω	7	ဖ	ধ	17	ហ	47	ΔΙ
Vintar	v	છ	ហ	<7	7.4	ហ	0	ΙΔ
Расау	ø	v	ın	73	년 년	w	មា មា	> ,
Legaspi	10	0 0	v	91	20	თ	69	Ħ
Darage	.	œ	v	16	50	ω	64	H
Tagbilaran	01	ω	Φ	16	г г	ω	6 1	H
Note: The grouping of WDs, based on the	of WDs, base	range	of total points under the	s under the S	criteria, is	a follows:		·
	anous		C1	Total Points				
	нн	·		70 and above 60 - 69				Ta
	H Þ H H			50 T 59 40 T 49				igbi 1
	>		.,	39 and below				ara

Table A.7.4 <u>Tagbilaran (Group III)</u>

Average Unit Consumption and Supply Requirement

Category/Year	1978	1987	1993	2010
Domestic, lpcd	128	128	135	155
Commercial/Industrial, lpcd	14	14	21	41
(% of domestic)	(11)	(11)	(16)	(26)
Institutional, lpcd	2	2	3	. 4
Accounted-for-water,	1441/	144	159	200
Unaccounted-for-water, lpcd	96	74	53	50
(% of production)	(40)	(34)	(25)	(20)
Total unit demand require- ment, lpcd	2402/	218	212	250

^{1/} Based on records of different WDs.

^{2/} Estimated as potential/theoretical requirement.

Table A.7.5 Rural Barangays

Average Unit Consumption and Supply Requirement

Category/Year	1980	1987	1993	2010
Domestic, lpcd	601/	69	78	100
Institutional, lpcd	2	2	3	4
Accounted-for-water, lpcd	62	71	81	104
Unaccounted-for-water, lpcd	21	20	20	26
% of Production	(25)	(22)	(20)	(20)
Total unit demand requirement, lpcd	83	91	101	130

^{1/} Potential/theoretical requirement

