Annex IV-6

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL STRUCTURE BY CHANGES IN EQUITY/DEBT RATIO AND INTEREST RATES ON LOAN

Annex IV-6

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL STRUCTURE BY CHANGES IN EQUITY/DEBT RATIO AND INTEREST RATES ON LOAN

1. Introduction

This Annex IV-6 presents the result of sensitivity analysis which has been made on financial structure of the project affected by changes in equity/debt ratio and interest rates on long-term loan. Assumptions taken for financial projections of the base case are:

- Equity/debt ratio: 30:70

- Interest rate on long-term loan: 5% p.a.

Alternative cases set for the sensitivity analysis are as follows:

		and the second
Alternative Cases	Equity/Debt Ratio	Interest Rate
A - 1	30:70	7% p.a.
A - 2	30:70	9% p.a.
A - 3	30 : 70	ll% p.a.
B - 1	20:80	5% p.a.
B - 2	20:80	7% p.a.
B - 3	20:80	9% p.a.
B - 4	20:80	11% p.a.

For all cases the financial projections are based on the assumption that electricity price be 40% of the present tariff rate.

2. Project cost

Changes in equity/debt ratio and interest rate would affect the project cost itemized as "interest during construction". Table IV-5(1) tabulates the project costs estimated for alternative cases. The sensitivity analysis is basd on these estimated project costs.

3. Affects on Production Cost and Profitability

Adoption of higher interest rates as well as a greater debt ratio, would cause increases in the production cost due to increases in annual interest payments and increases in amortization of the interest-during-construction portion. Table IV-6(3) tabulates the production cost estimated for each case. Increases of the aforesaid costs would not affect the internal rate of return of the project, because annual interest payments and amortization are accounted as a portion of returns on investment.

4. Affects on Financial Structure

The above-mentioned factors would not affect financial returns of the project, but they would adversely affect financial structure, particularly cash-flow and debt service capacity of the project.

Table IV-6(4) summarized the fianacial projections and analysis made on each case. If higher rates of interest are applied, the cost of sales would increase due to increases in the amortization of "interest during construction", which interest on loans would increase. In addition, if a greater ratio of debt is applied, these increases would be greater, because of increases in loan amounts. These factors would adversely affect cash-flow, since payments of greater interest cause deficits in net profit and eventually shortage of operating cash, requiring the borrowing of short-term loans which results in the payments of additional interest. Assuming an equity/debt ratio of 30:70 and if a 7% p.a. interest rate is applied, the net profit after tax would be in deficit for the initial five years and the accumulated retained-earnings therefore would be in deficit for the initial nine years. If a higher interest rate is applied, these structure would become worse. If a higher ratio of debt is applied, as shown in Table IV-6(4), a similar position would accrue.

Application of a longer grace period would improve the cash-flow during the initial few years, because cash requirements for the repayment of loan are reduced during such a grace period.

Assuming the repayment of long-term loan by 15 years equal installment of the loan principal, annual repayment is as follows:

	(Uni	t: US\$, Million)
	Equity/Debt Ratio	Equity/Debt Ratio
Interest Rate	of 30:70	of 20:80
58	6.76	7.80
78	6.96	8.07
98	7.18	8.37
118	7.42	8.71
		and the second

Nevertheless such measures could not contribute to inherent improvement of the financial structure, since the production cost is not decreased by gracing the repayment of loan.

The debt service coverage ratio, as shown in Table IV-6(4), implies that the project would encounter difficulty in debt service during the initial three to four years if higher interest rates are applied. If the interest rate is higher than 7% p.a., the project would not be capable to cover debt service during the initial two to three years even with the application of a longer grace period, because deficits in operation income and interest payments exceed the loan repayment amount.

5. Conclusion general dependence enclosed of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the

Summing up the discussions as stated above, the sensitivity analysis of interest rates and equity/debt ratio leads to the following conclusion:

- 1) If an applicable interest rate is higher than 5% p.a., the project would encounter difficulties in cash-flow and debt service.
- 2) As far as higher interest rates are applied, the financial structure could not be improved even with the application of longer grace period, since the deficits in operating income and interest payment exceed the loan repayment amount.

3) In view of these positions, the application of interest rate not higher than 5% p.a. would be a prerequisite for making the project financially viable.

4) If a greater ratio of debt is applied, a similar situation would accrue. In this context an equity/debt ratio of 30:70 can sustain a sound structure. If a 20:80 ratio is applied, it may be necessary to consider the application of lower interest rate or lower electricity price than those assumed for the base estimate.

ATV-127

	·			
ion)	118 118 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119	132.83 132.83 2.68 2.58 159.03	132.83 2.68 27.85 163.36	
275 TPD US\$ Million)	и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и и	110.19 0.40 23.52 134.11	110.19 0.40 27.85 138.44	
Capacity: (Unit	Interest LLC. Portion	22.5 22.64 24.92	22.64 2.28 2.28 24.92	
C	98 p.a.	132.83 132.683 153.83 153.82	132.83 2.68 21.49 157.00	
L FLANT INTEREST	t Rate: Rate: Prt: Portion	140.19 140.19 18.31 128.90	110.19 0.40 21.49 132.08	
FERTILIZER PLANT AND LOAN INTERE	Tuterest Luce Portion	2.28	22.64 2.28 2.28 24.92	
UREA RATIO	(† 1.01 H 1.96 (H 1.96 (H 1.01		132.83 2.68 15.82 151.33	
PROJECT COST OF IN EQUITY/DEBT	t Rate: r Rate: Portion	110.19 13.59 124.18	110.19 0.40 15.82 126.41	
PROJECT	Interest 	22 1 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	22.64 2.28 2.28 2.28 24.92	I
ESTIMATED FR	5% p.a.	132 - 132 -	132.83 2.68 10.72 146.23	
1	t Rate: F.E.	9.28	110.19 0.40 10.72 10.72	
Table IV-6(ноти н•те ирти	5 70 I	22.64 2.28 2.28 2.92	
F		I	 B. Equity/Debt Ratio: 20/80 1) Base Project Cost 2) Initial Working 2) Capital 3) Interest During 3) Interest During Required 	
tar anti-a antara anti-arte a		AIV	7-128	

Table IV-6(2) SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS AND ANALYSIS (Electric Power Price : 60% lower)

Plant Capacity: 275 TPD-Bagged Urea

(In million of current US dollars)

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	1991/92	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1996/97	1998/59	10/0002	2002/03	2004/05
Capacity Utilization (8)	60.1	61.0	. 65.4	68.8	72.4	74.8	76.9	78.4	79-6
Urea Sales (1,000 t.p.a.)	51.5	55.3	59.1	62.3	65.6	67.8	69.7	71.1	72.2
Sales Revenue	25.0	28.8	32.7	36.9	44.2	51.9	60.7	70.2	80.8
Cost of Sales (bef. Admn. Exp. & Interest)	27.1	29.4	30.9	32.4	34.8	37.6	40.8	32.0	36.3
General admn. expenses	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4.
Interest on long-term loans	5.1	4.7	4.4	4.1	3.4	2.7	2.0	1-4	0.7
Net profit after tax	-1-3	6,31	-0°0'	0 °	ۍ ۳	6.8	IO.5	21.9	26.0
Current assets	4.2	4.5	4.9	5.3	6.1	6.9	7.8	8.1	9.2
Net fixed assets	128.7	115.2	101.8	88.3	61.7	35.2	8.8	7.6	6.3
Current liabilities	6 8 8	8-4	7.2	7.3	7.4	7.5	7.6	7-7	7.9
Long term-debt	87.8	81.1	74.3	67.6	54.1	40.5	27.0	13.5	1
Retained earnings (Accumulated)	-7.3	-13.2	-16.2	-15.9	-9.4	2.5	21.6	62.9	112.8
Shareholders equity	36.1	30.2	27.2	27.5	34.0	45.9	65.0	106.4	156.3
	•		•	•	••				
Xatio	•		•	1				•	
Net profit after tax/sales (%)	-29.3	-20.5	1.61	0.8	7.8	13.1	L7 3 :	31.2	32.2
Net profit after tax/shareholders equity (8)	-20.3	-19.5	-10.9	г . 0	I0.2	L4.8	16.2	20.6	16.7
Net profit after tax/share capital (8)	6*9T1	-13.6	-6.8	0.6	8.0	15.6	24.2	50.4	. 60.0
Current ratio	0.47	0.53	0.68	0.73	0.82	16.0	1.02	1.04	1.17
Quick ratio	0.26	0.2.0	. 62.0	0.43	0.49	0.56	0.64	0.72	0.81
Debt service coverage ratio	0.95	1.07	1.33	1.64	1.98	2.40	2.93	2.94	3.68
Long term debt/shareholders equity	71/29	73/27	73/27	71/29	61/39	47/53	29/71	11/89	0/100
		•		. :					
		-	-	•				-	

Ì

.

ESTIMATED PRODUCTION COST PER TON OF UREA FERTILIZER (Current Prices) Table IV-6(3)

Electricity Price: 40% of Present Tariff Rate

		*.			 	(Uni	t: US	\$/ton)
4 1	Base Estimate	A-1-			I I I ← I ∩ I I	B-2	і Г П П П П П	1 1 2
1991/92	101	72.	25-1	1	101	1 . 1 00 1 00	1 7 1	
992:/	24.	80.	36.	.10	48.	10	78.	52.
993/94	01.	57.	4.	76.	27.	91.	ີ. ດີເດ	34.
994/	86.	38.	98 .	62 .	17	76.	47.	25.
995/96	83. 83.	23.	86	54.	96.	99	41.	23
996/	86.	16.	73.	46.	90.	54.	36.	25
1:1:66	92.	19.	58.	38.	01.	41.	29.	26.
998/	бб	23.	49	23.	08	36.	17.	23.
6666	608.7	630.6	653.7	704.7	616.5	641.8	701.7	815.1
000/	19.	98	ემ	82.	26.	49.	83.	01.
001:/	9 20	67.	79.	91.	60.	73.	86.	82.
002/	75.	84.	93.	03.	79.	89.	99.	.78.
003:/	، م م	03.	. 60	16.	66	06.	4	70
0.04:7	0.	23.	27.	32.	20.	25.	30.	- 28 -
0.05:/	43.	4.5.	47.	50.	44	46.	49.	52.

Table IV-6(4) 1/3

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\$ 222225.9 255.05.79 255.05.79 255.05.79 2004/05 777766 - 0 m 21.5 21.1 21.1 21.8 21.8 21.3 221.3 221.3 221.3 221.3 221.3 221.3 221.3 221.3 221.5 2002/03 2000/01 000m400 0 m 4 0 m 4 n 1998/99 10.5 - 10.5 C 9 1 1 1 8 6 4 9 1 1 9 2 5 9 9 5 1 9 6 7 9 2 4 m 0 4 9 w 0 8 4 8 4 9 865549955 8655495 8655495 86554 865554 865554 8655566 865556 865556 865556 865556 865556 86555656 8655566 865556 865556 1996/97 79649789 1966498788 1994/95 1993/94 11111 80000048 80040048 128.7 126.6 125.5 30.1 1992/93 332.5 3341.5 45.6 45.4 75.4 7 0 1 0 1 4 0 1 0 0 1 4 0 1 0 0 1 4 0 1 0 0 1 4 111 111 000000400 0000400 991/92 Cost of Sales (bef. Admin. Exp. & Interest) Alt. A-1 Alt. A-3 Alt. B-1 Alt. B-2 Alt. B-2 Alt. B-2 Alt. B-3 Alt. B-4 Alt. A-2 Alt. A-2 Alt. A-2 Alt. A-2 Alt. A-2 Alt. A-2 Alt. A-3 Alt. A-2 Alt. A-3 Alt. B-3 Alt. A-3 Alt. & Interest) 30 FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE **.** m. \sim

•	2/3	
	4)	
:	TV-6 (
	Table	

CAL Y	1991/92	1992/93	1993/94	1994/95	1996/97	1998/99	2000/01	2002/03	2004/05
Current Liabilities	F 	E 			E E E L L	1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Alt. A-1	•	с. М	m	თ	~	÷ 1	•	•	
	4	പ	N	2	2	•	ં ક		
ţ,	1.	س	5	ເກ ເກ	•			•	÷ •
	2		2.	ω	8	8	•	•	•
Alt. B-2	ۍ	.	2	~	N	ω	•		٠
	ω	-	m	-	ю	4		· •	- 4
Alt. B-4	22.5	34.6	45.1	53.4	63.5	62.6	47.7	32.4	°.6
Long-Term Debt				1					
Alt.	0	m	.0	5	س	. -	2.	m.	•
Alt. A-2	ч с	ف	6	Ļ	5	ŝ		4	1
· .	9	თ	• 	4	്റ	4	5	4.	•
1.	,	с. гл	ري	8	2	9		ري	
ب	4	ь С	8	0	4	8	2	G	
Alt. B-3	108.9	100.5	92.1		67.0	50.2	33.55	16.7	
	÷ m	4	່. ທ	1	თ	3	4	-	
н									
t. A-1	0	-	25.	27	25.	5		2.	2
نډ	2	24.	34.	41.	44.	37.	21	80	5
Ļ.	ŝ	31.	44.	55.	6.7.5	1	4	ം	2
نب	8	ີ ມີ	20.	21.	. • • •	- 4	ŝ	ណ	4
Alt B-2	-11.6		E 0E1	-35.6	-37.0	-28.6	-12:0	28.1	77
<u>د.</u>	ກ	29.	41.	51.	62.		48.	5	ი თ
بر	ŝ	37.	ເມີ	68.	90.	01.	98.	.0	ę
lder	÷					•		•	
Ļ.	34.7	ം ഗ	<u>,</u>	6	<u>و</u>	<i>e</i> .	~	2.	37.
ب	'n	_	•	თ	•	ന	4	4.	т т
	-	6	, m	5	0	<i>و</i> .		• •••	0
Alt. B-1	់	13.7	9.3	8.1	13.5	24.6	43.2	84.3	13.
Alt. B-2	.	ω.		س	ч С	-	ω.	ŵ	5
<u>د</u>	\$	•	-10-1	•	\dot{o}	<u>б</u>	°.	N	0
.4	•			,					

Table IV-6(4) 3/3

22-99 20-99 20-99 20-99 20-99 20-99 20-99 20-99 557.8 553.6 888.8 851.4 74.5 74.5 0.79 2000/01 2002/03 2004/05 644488 6604488 6604458 7074458 0.70 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-14 259-16 25 0.62 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.56 0.56 0.56 - 22 23 46 - 22 23 46 - 28 6 -1.00 0.97 0.95 0.87 0.87 221-7 251-8 251-8 251-8 251-10 1991/92 1992/93 1993/94 1994/95 1996/97 1998/99 0.89 0.34 0.28 11 19 11 19 11 0.55 0.53 0.53 0.49 0.48 0.48 0.48 0.48 0.11 0.120 0.129 0.00 0.00 1.76 1.51 1.51 1.74 1.74 1.13 0.80 0.80 0 80 0 72 0 72 0 72 0 17 0 17 0.54 0.24 0.15 0.63 0.15 0.10 0.32 0.09 0.09 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.08 1.32 0.82 1.37 0.80 0.80 0.80 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 6 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 8 0 4 0 0 8 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 9 0 4 0 9 0 4 0 9 0 4 0 9 0 4 0 9 0 4 0 9 0.85 0.85 0.68 0.68 0.67 0.52 0.37 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.15 0.22 0,33 0,18 0,18 0,17 0,13 0,13 0,13 0.86 0.58 0.58 0.72 0.72 0.47 0.78 0.56 0.56 0.57 0.57 0.57 Alt. A-1 Alt. A-2 Alt. A-2 Alt. B-1 Alt. B-1 Alt. B-3 Alt. B-3 Alt. B-3 Alt. A-1 Alt. A-2 Alt. B-4 Alt. B-3 Alt. Bt Profit after Tax/Sales (%) Alt. A-1 Alt. A-2 Alt. A-3 Alt. B-1 Alt. B-1 Alt. B-2 Alt. B-3 Alt. B-3 Alt. B-4 FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30 Net Profit after Tax/Share Capital 9. Net RATIO 0

Annex IV-7

ECONOMIC POWER COST

Annex IV-7

ECONOMIC POWER COST

(Marginal Cost Calculated on the Basis of Sapta Gandaki Project -225MW, at Mid. 1984 Cost)

1. Capital Cost for Hydropower Plant

1.1 Financial Cost at Market Price

	Cost at Mid. 1983 (US\$ million)	Revised Cost at Mid. 1984 (US\$ million)
Foreign	289.6	299.7
Local	59.6	63.2
In-Service Cost:	349.21/	362.9

1.2 Disbursement Profile and Financial Cost (with Interest) of Capital Cost (US\$ million)

Years	<u> </u>	Financial Cost	(A) With 6% Interest	(B) With 12% Interest
4	10	36.3	45.8	57.1
3	20	72.5	86.3	101.9
2	30	108.9	122.4	136.6
1	30	108.9	115.4	122.0
U	10	36.3	36.3	36.3
	100	362.9	406.2	453.9

1.3 Economic Cost at Mid. 1984 Price

(A)	406.2	X	0.973 <u>2</u> /	, 22	US\$395.2	million	
(B)	453.9	X	0.973		US\$441.6	million	

2. Capital Cost (Based on Economic Cost and Mid-1984 Price)

2.1 Annual Capital Cost

and the second state of the second state of the	6% Interest	12% Interest
Civil Work (60% - 50yrs)	4.74	5.30
Electro-mechanical Work (40% - 30yrs)	5.27	5.89
Ann. Capital Cost		
(US\$million/yr)	10.01	11.19

2.2 Capacity Cost-Capital Related Cost (93.5% of Ann. Capital Cost) (US\$ million/yr)

at 6% Interest: 9.36 at 12% Interest: 10.46

2.3 Operation and Maintenance Cost

2.4 Total Capacity Cost

(A) at 6% Interest: 9.36 + 4.62 = US\$13.98 million/yr(13.98 x 106) / 225MW = US\$62.13/yr/KW(B) at 12% Discount: 10.46 + 4.62 = US\\$15.08 million/yr (15.08 x 106) / 225MW = US\$67.02/yr/KW

3. Energy Cost (Based on Economic Cost at Mid-1984 Price)
3.1 Capital Related Cost (6.5% of Economic Capital Cost)
at 6% Interest: 395.2 x 0.065 = US\$25.69 million
at 12% Interest: 441.6 x 0.065 = US\$28.70 million

3.2 Operation and Maintenance Cost

 @0.5% for 50 years to 20%

 of Financial Capital Cost:
 362.9 x 0.065 x 0.005 x 50

 = US\$5.90 million

 Economic Cost:
 5.90 x 0.973 = US\$5.74 million

- 3.3 PW of Energy for 50 years:
 - (1) Firm energy

at 6% Discount: 768 GWh/yr $\frac{3}{}$ x 16,764 $\frac{5}{}$ = 12,875 GWh at 12% Discount: 768 GWh/yr x 12,621 $\frac{6}{}$ = 9,693 GWh

(2) Total available energy (including secondary energy)

at 6% Discount: 1,609 GWh/yr $\frac{4}{x}$ x 16,764 = 26,973 GWh at 12% Discount: 1,609 GWn/yr x 12,621 = 20,307 GWh

3.4 Energy Cost (Based on Economic Cost)

- (A) at 6% Discount Factor:
 - a. Capital Related Cost 25.69
 - b. Operation and Maintenance Cost 5.94

Total 31.63

US\$31.63 million / 26,973GWH = US¢1.17/kWh

(B) at 12% Discount Factor

a.	Capital Reated Cost	28.70
	Operation and Maintenance Cost	5.74
	Total	34.44

US\$34.44 million / 20,307 GWh = US¢1.70/kWh

· .		
4.	Transmission Cost	
4.1	Capital Related Cost	
1		(US\$ million)
	Market Price:	11.00
	(2) Economic Cost: (11.00 x 0.973)	10.70
	(3) At 6% Interest:	11.98
	(4) At 12% Interest:	13.39
4.2	Operation and Maintenance Cost (3% of Financial Capital Cost): 11.00 x 0.03 x 50	yrs = US\$16.5 million
4.3	Total Transmission Cost	
	(A) at 6% Discount Factor:	11.98 + 16.50 = 28.45
	US\$28.48 million / 12	,875 GWh = US¢0.22/kWh
	(B) at 12% Discount Factor:	13.39 + 16.50 = 29.89
	US\$29.89 million / 9	,693 GWh = US¢0.31∕kWh
5.	Economic Power Cost	andra an Angrega se andra
	(A) at 6% Discount Factor:	
	Firm Energy:	
	-Capacity Cost (US\$62.13/yr/KW x 225 MW	
	x 50 yrs / 12,875 GWh	US¢5.43/kWh
	-Energy Cost	1.17
	-Transmission Cost	0.22
		<u>6.82</u>
· .	Coopedant Deserve - Deserve - C	n an
	Secondary Energy: Energy Cost	<u>US¢1.17</u>

(B) at 12% Discount Factor:

Firm Energy: -Capital Cost (US\$67.02/yr/KW x 225 MW x 50 yrs / 9,693 GWh)	US¢7.78/kWh
-Energy Cost	1.70
-Transmission Cost	0.31
	9.79
Secondary Energy:	US¢ <u>1.70</u> ∕kWh

(NOTES)

 $\underline{1}/$

4/

Figures used in UNDP/World Bank Report No. 4474-NEP "Nepal: Issues and Options in the Energy Sector", Aug. 1983 (Energy Study).

Standard Correction Factor used to estimate economic costs based on financial costs, NEC Tariff Study - Report No. 4/2/310882/1/1, Aug. 1983. 2/

See ATTACHMENT (Ann. Energy Value)

3/, $\sum_{n=1 \simeq 51}$ 1.06n 5/ $\sum_{n=1 \le 51}$ 6/ 1.12n

ATTACHMENT

Annual Energy Value

			(Unit:	GWh)
·	Energy Pot	ential	Demand	Surplus
· ·				
JUL/AUG	167.	40		103.4
AUG/SEP	167.	40		103.4
SEP/OCT	162.	00	.:	98.0
OCT/NOV	167.	40		103.4
NOV/DEC	162.	00		98.0
DEC/JAN	128.	79		64.79
JAN/FEB	98.	73		34.73
FEB/MAR	66.	52		2.52
MAR/APR	70.	31		6.31
APR/MAY	89.	14		25.14
MAY/JUN	167.	40		103.40
JUN/JUL	162.	00		98.00
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ann. Tota	al 1,609.	$09^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 64 x	$12 = 768^{2/2}$	841.09

(NOTES)

Estimated by JICA Study Team 1/

2/ UNDP/World Bank Energy Study

Annex IV-8

ECONOMIC RATE OF RETURN

Annex IV-8(1)

ECONOMIC RATE OF RETURN

NEPAL UREA PROJECT (275 TPD)

(Benefit: -10%)

7PD)

(OOD, \$SO :LINO)

ENEFIT) T VALUE	BENEFIT	Ē	٢C	0.00	9	٩.	0	ç	73:8	46.7	60.4	83.0	49:7	205,6	5605	905.8	747.0	58252	38219	198.7	008.4	0.00	660.4		55501.00	L
COST/8 PRESEN	COSTS	<u>د</u>) C	0.00	310.0	7803.3	364.2	6181.3	2	2	0	°,	۰.	ő	0	С,	c	0	Ċ,	0	0	0	Ŷ,		66336.30	
TNU00SIG	2.2		រជ) ()) ប	0.8573	262.	. 735	.680	029.	10 10 10	040.	500	694	. 428	797	.367	340	μ Γ	.291	.270	. 250	. NU .	.214	.198			
ENEFIT) T'VALUE		5) (C	00.0	0	0	9	0	0.444	234.3	083.0	332.8	357 2	5321.85	234 7	140.1	028 8	902.9	721.9	553 2	367.2	02.9	016.5		72482.10	
COST/B PRESEN	COSTS	Ċ		00.00	450.0	0072.6	493.2	953.3	0	Ċ,	0	0	0	Ο.	<u> </u>	ç		ς,		9	0	0	e,		72482.20	
L	FACTOR	000		8	.841	795	750	:708	669.	. 632	596	563	.502	. 502	474	. 448	MR 7 .	, 399	.377	.356	336	317	66N.	•		
L	RENEFIT	Ē		0.00	0	Р.	0	0	639.1	490.3	516.7	462.6	42.1	590.6	03212	472.2	886 1	72 5	517.1	782:3	983.7	232.9	0.07 U		****	
	COSTS	_ C) C		Ö	ο	0	0	732.0	166.0	39.610	594.0	702,0	Ō	738.0	766.0	794:0	818.0	892.0	01676	0.05,0	051.0	036.0		****	
C C	PENEFICO BENEFICO	_́ ⊏) C	0,00	0	Ċ,	٩.	Ľ,	5371.1	6656.3	7912 7	9056.6	9844 1	9	0770.2	1238.2	1680.1	2090.5	2409.1	2731.3	2988.7	3283.9	3478.3		*****	
	COSTS	. Ç		00.0	910.0	Ο	4615.0	809.0	0	Þ.	0	0	Ö	00.00	Ċ,	D	0	5	°.	C	C	D.	-1624,00	-	93536.00	
·	YEAR	a D) a(- D	1986	00 0-	9 8	98	50	99	ው የ	0÷	ው የ	0- 0-	0^	с С	ŝ	60	B	00	C	00	0	00		TOTAL	

** ERR ≈ 5.90%

Annex IV-8(2)

ECONOMIC RATE OF RETURN

NEPAL UREA PROJECT (275 TPD)

(Benefit: -5%)

(CODD, \$SD :LINN)

(COST/BENEFIT) PRESENT-VALUE		.00 0.0	0.0 00.0	0.0 0.0	310.05 0.0	7803.30 0.	0364.20 0.0	181.34 0.0	.00 4372.1	4546.7	.00 4758 3	.00 4873 4	.00 4822.6	.00 4653.7	8 0374 00	.00 4307.5	.00 4126.7	0 3940 4	.DO 3719.4	.00 3514.8	.00 3304.4	.00 3115.6	2919.5		6336.30 61457.30	I B B C L B C C C B B B B B B B B B B B B
DISCOUNT	FACTOR -	000	50.0	857	262.	0.7350 2	.680	.630	5 8 9 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.540	.500	463	428	797	367	340	50	0 0 0	270	250	231	214	. 198	- *	\$	•
ENEFIT) T VALUE		្ព		ó	<u>-</u>	00.0	0	9	1. 1	8.67.7	137.9	307.3	296.9	155.2	ন ন	85318	689.9	514.6	299 8	0	885.7	696.3	492.2	-	68945.30	
COST/8 PRESEN	COBTS	0	0	Ċ,	369.9	8768.	687. Z	505.9		0	0	С	Ċ	0	0	С	ç	Ċ	0	O	-	0	0		689.45,30	
	DISCOUNT FACTOR	000	555	872	814	0,7605	710	.663	619	578	540	.504	471	524	410	1981	35B	334	С Т Г Г Г Г	1.6 GI	111	.254	237		•	
1	RENEFT	0	2	С,	Ċ,	0.00	ņ	0	þ	15.6	51.1.8	52104	244.6	718.8	186.1	652.1	0.000	13499.80	762.1	045 3	60.9	526.5	9.9		*****	
	ANNUAL COSTS	0.	ο,	C:	0	00 0	0	°,	732 0	1.66.0	396.0	594 0	702.0	717 0	738.0	766 0	794.0	С.	892.0	0,676	0.1200	051.0	0085.		*****	
1	GROSS BENEFIT	•	0	ç	٩,	0.0.0	°,	Q,	6225.1	7581.7	8907.9	0115.3	0.446	1435.8	64 6-	2448.1	2884.6	23317.80	3654.4	1001	426524	4577:5	4782.7		******	
	COSTS	. C	9	9	91010	37826.00	4.615:0	809.0	0		е.	9	9	۵,	9	0	Р,	9	9	e,	ę	Р,	-1624.00	•	93536.00	
	YEAR	0 0	60	60	5	1988	60	0- 0-	99	6.6	66	0	0` D`	0.	Û D	0	Ŭ D	0	0	00	8	00	8	·	TOTAL	

** ERR = 7,08%

** NPV = -4879.00

Annex IV-8(3)

ECONOMIC RATE OF RETURN

NEPAL UREA PROJECT (275 TPD)

(UNIT: US\$ '000)

(Benefit: +5%)

			۵.	°.	С	00 0	C	Ċ,	368 6	-0	753.9	354 1	768.2	549.7	329 3	110 9	886 0	656.9	392.4	146.8	896.2	671.6	437.8	73369.80	
(COST/I PRESE	COSTS	0	Ċ,	0	340.0	27803.30	0364.2	181.3	ဂ	°.	0	2	0	°,	ç	С.	9	0	Ō,	9	2	o,	-0	66336.30	
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	ເພ ເພ	000	206.	851	.793	0,7350	.680	. 630	10 10 10	0+2:	. 500	.463	428	397	.367	040	315	291	270	.250	201	.214	.198		•
BENEFIT) NT VALUE		C	0		Ċ,	00.00	Ċ,	<u>_</u>	951.7	0	185.8	215.6	0.080	831.4	586.4	347.8	108.8	871.1	609.3	368.4	1 0 1	5.419	4.77.4	62954.00	
COST/ PRESE	00818		0	0	31.3	26548,00	990.4	767 4	С	C	0	0	0	0	0	D	Р.	0	Ξ,	ē	Ö	0	-	62954.10	
((LACTOR	000.	, ч С	837	-766	0.7018	249	553.5	0 10 10	4.00	450	412	377	948 J	316	0.02	292	1.242	222	.203	.186	.170	155		
ં દે		Ō,	-	9	<u>,</u>	00.00	•	O	200.9	4	1502.2	638.7	3449.5	975 2	6.592.9	011.9	499.5	5954.3	252.0	6570.9	6815.2	113.8	7305.4	******	
	A22040 00010	Q.	0	0	0,	0.00	C)	<u> </u>	732,0	C	396.0	594.0	702.0	717.0	738.0	766:0	794.0	818.0	892.0	0.949	0005.0	0051.0	0.980	*****	
((GENEFIT	. 0	Ο	0	0	00 0	C	0	0 2262	4	0898.2	2232.7	3151.5	3692.2	4231.9	4777.9	5293 5	5772.3	6144.0	6510.9	6820.2	7164.6	7391.4	*****	
ء	COSTS	_	0	ņ,	9.10.0	37826.00	4615.0	809.0	2	Ę	9	-	0	0	<u></u>	0	2	0	-	2	9	9	ς,	93536.00	
	YEAR	00 01	ίΩ Ω	6	с С	1988	α) Ω	ርጉ በጉ	U Ur	0	D- D-	0 0	0	С~ С~	0	0	50	0	00	0	0	0	00	TOTAL	

** NPV = 7033.50

** ERR = 9.25%

ATV-142

Annex IV-8(4)

ECONOMIC RATE OF RETURN

MUNTER IN STAN OTHONO

(Benefit: +10%)

NEPAL UREA PROJECT (275 TPD)

(DOD, \$SN :LINN)

1	T VALUE		9		00.0	ų	P,	<u>.</u>	Ċ,	866.9	046.5	251.7	344.5	<u>_</u>	2.7.72	753:6	512.6	265.7	015.4	728.8	462.8	192.2	49.2	696.9		79326.00	1.
. (.)		COSTS	<u> </u>	Cì,	00.00	310-0	5	0364.2	61.3	2	0	<u>.</u>	0	00 0	ς.	0	٩.	0	ņ	°,	°.	ę	0.	-322.62		66336.30	
	DISCOUNT	2 () 2 () 2 ()	.000	925	0.8573	262	735	,680	.630	, 5 a d	540	.500	594.	.428	.397	367	340	10	162.	.270	.250	231	.214	.198			
	<u>~</u> ម	н Н Ц	0	0	00.0	D	0	Ľ,	0.0	074.2	122.2	187 4	156 3		676.5	394.1	123:6	858 1	599.0	324,0	072.6	827.0	608.5	391.8		60384 20	
	$\sim m$	00	0	0	0.00	170.7	5590.2	373.8	458.2	9	Ċ,	<u>о</u> ,	°.	ç	9	0	2	0	0	0		0	0	-208.72		60384.10	
	C L	FACTOR	000	906.	0.8225	.746	.676	1643	ំ ហ ហ	100	.457	.415	1376	141	30.9	1280	254	1021	209	190	.172	.156	141	.128			
	ł		2	0		Ċ	000	0	0	054.9	7 10	2497.3	4 2 99	501.0	103.4	647.8	6191.8	703.9	U I	496.9	7.12	09223	1	18609.70		******	
		ANNUAL COSTS		0	00.0		<u>с</u>	Ū,	0	732.0	66.00	396.00	594:0	702.0	717.0	738.0	766.0	794:0	18.0	ឧ ୨.2, ០	949.0	002:00	051.0	080		******	
	(((0.1-1-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2-1-2	0	0	00.0	iC)	0	C	0	8786.9	0357.7	1893.3	3291.4	5.0	4820.4	5185.8	5957.8	674549	6999.5	7388.9	7782:7	8097.3	8458.1	8695.7	•	****	
		COSTS	. 0	0	0.00	910.0	7826.0	615.0	309.0	с С	0	0	0	Ű	0	0	0	2	0	2	0,	Ξ.	0			93536.00	
		YEAR	1984	Û.	1985	U.	0	۰ũ	U-	- D -	0	- C-	τ.	U:	0	۰O	D-	С.,	D		С		0	a	2	TOTAL	

** NPV = 12989.80

** ERR = 10.26%

Annex IV-8(5)

ECONOMIC RATE OF RETURN

NEPAL UREA PROJECT (275 TPD)

(Capital Cost: -10%)

(DDD, \$SN :LINN)

BENEF17	00	20	0.00	Ċ,	94	· -0		Ň.	+	~	1	<u>ب</u>	'n.	Ū.	ዮ	œ		÷		ហ	i
Z I			•		1	940	ŝ	о ,	295. 295	101.	00 00	2	С И	30	цл С	80	60	5	.	67413.	1 1 1 1 1
	Ō) ()) ()	2079,05 25022,90	327 8	0 0 0 9 0 0	90	°,	Ģ	Ċ,	0	ő	ņ	Ö.	0	Ģ	C,	Р,	0	-290.36	59702.60	
DISCOUNT FACTOR (2 X)	00 00 00	150	0 7350	.680	0 1 10 1 10 1 10 1	, iu 7.4 7.4	.500	.463	.428	162	.367	040	.315	194	. 270	020.	152.	.214	40 40		
T VALUE BENEFIT				ο.	0.0	544 8	637.2	666.8	543.6	316.9	1.500	875.4	657 R	40.4	201.2	981.8	763 6	569 3	173 D	56053 10	
PRESEN COSTS			1994.02	490.5	4 17 . 4 10	0	Ο	0	0	9	<u>.</u>	ę	ő	0	٩.	Ċ,	0	<u>о</u>	-216.76		
DISCOUNT Factor		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	0.7614 0.6752	429.	0/0 0/0		144.	.403	.368	:336	.306	.280	507	n n n	513	194	.177	.1.62	148	· ·	
NET NEFIT	00	20	0000	0		341.0	5,07.0	580.0	2347.0	2847 D	340.0	3832.0	295 O	4727.0	0.7.00	5308,0	538.0	820.0	01.0	****	
ANNUAL COSTS	0.0	<u> </u>	00.00		0.0 4 4 7	4 0 1 0	396.0	594.0	702.0	717.0	738.0	766.0	794.0	G 18.0	892.0	9.49.0	0.2000	0.190	0086.0	*****	•
GROSS BENEFIT	00		000		0.0	0	9503.0	1174:0	2049.0	25.64.0	3078.0	35,98.0	4089.0	4545.0	4.899.0	5257 0	5543.0	5871 0	6087.0	****	
005TS	0.0		2619.00 34043.40	150.5	C 	1, C	0	Ċ	2	Ģ	Ċ,	0	0		9	D	0	-	-1461 60	84182.40	
YEAR	0 0 0	20 10. 27 10.	1987 1988	с0 0-	ው ወ ው ወ	0	60	99.	55	5.5	0- 0-	66	Сr Бr	00	0	00	0	00	8	TOTAL	

** ERR = 9.51%

** NPV = 7710.88

Annex IV-8(6)

ECONOMIC RATE OF RETURN

NEPAL UREA PROJECT (275 TPD)

(Capital Cost: -5%)

////TT: 119≴ /000)

~	
000	
\Box	
•	
ĥÐ,	
4 S ∩	
\supset	
••	
;	
Z	
TND	
5	

																							•		
ENEFI T VAL	ែច មេញ	0	Ő	0	°,	00 0	с,	°,	70.4	046.6	56,1	363.7	295.4	101.7	905.1	709.2	ņ	298.6	055.9	830.8	600.3	394 1	178.7	67413.50	
COST/ PRESE	300 1	0	0	0	194.5	26413.10	846.0	872.2	-	Ģ	9	ę	0	O	ġ	0	°,	°,	0	9	q	°,	-306.49	63019,40	
DISCOUNT	19-70 (3-70)	000.	500	.857	262	0.7350	680.	.630	10	040.	.500	.463	428	.397	367	040.	. 315	294	270	250	2017	214	198		
ENEFIT) F VALUE		<u> </u>	0	0	•	00.00	0	°.	15.6	10.1	5.50	57.5	56.7	50-0	39 . 3	29.5	Ľ,	91.9	59.8	36.5	11.8	11.2	5.0	60866.50	
COST/I PRESE			0	00.0	44.6	5614 .	60.1	0.80	0	0		0	0	ç	<u>о</u>	۰.	۰.	۰,		0	D	0	-260.87	60866.40	
(,	PACTOR	000	918	844	775	0.7128	. 655	.601	ມີ ເບ	508	460	424	394	362	.332	902 ·	281	258	.237	.218	-200	184	.169		
ι	RENEFIT	<u> </u>	0	0	9	00.0	9	0	347.0	341.0	507.0	580.0	347.0	2847.0	340.0	832 0	0	4727-0	5007.0	308.0	553800	5820.0	0.1.0	****	
	COSTS	0	0	C	С,	00	c,	0	732.0	166:0	396.0	5.94 . 0	702.0	717.0	738.0	766.0	о +	818.0	89200	949.0	005,0	051.0	0.980	****	
		Q.	0	0	O	00.0		ς,	0.79.0	850710	9903 0	1.1.7.4 . 0	2049.0	2564:0	3078.0	3598:0	2	4545.0	489.9 .0	5257.0	5543-0	5871.0	6087.0	******	
	COSTS	Ċ,	0	2	764.5	35934.70	238412	318.5	0	0	9	0	-	ę	0	0	٩,	C)	۵.	ä	-	Ģ	αĵ	88859.20	
	YEAR	ି ପ ପ	с0 С~	0	50	1988	ю 6-	66	0	5	0- 0-	00	60	5	66	6	5	8		8	8	00		TOTAL	• •

** ERR = 8.83%

** NPV = 4394.08

Annex IV-8(7)

ECONOMIC RATE OF RETURN

NEPAL UREA PROJECT (275 TPD)

(Capital Cost: +5%)

(OOO, \$SN :LINN)

(COST/BENEFIT) PRESENT VALUE	COSTS BENEFIT	0.0	.00	0.00 0.00	425.56 0.0	.40 0.0	1882.40 0.0	490.40 0.0	.00 4870.4	.00 5046.6	.00 5256.1	.00 5343.7	.00 5295.4	.00 5101.7	.00 4905.1	2.9074 00.2	.00 4506.3	.00 4278.6	.00 4055.9	.00 3830.8	.00 3600.3	.00 3394.1	.75 3178.7	69653.10 67413.50	
PISCOUNT	5 	00.	6 (1	0.8573	79	7.4	69	6	90 50	5	ۍ ۵	4 4	4	50.	-0 113	4 17	ņ,	64	0	ហ ក្ម	101	2	÷.		
ENEFIT) 17 VALUE	RENER	0	0	0,00	C	٥.	9	0	997.4	197.3	433.0	564.7	514-0	331.9	5.04	958.1	761.9	559.2	317.6	4093.02	860:9	653 2	433.9	70822.00	
COST/I PRESE	00875	0	0	00.0	452.4	9626.1	474.2	635.2	9	Þ.	0.	0		0		0	ó	0	9	0	°.	0	-365 95	70822.00	
	FACTOR	00.	0	0.8637	.80	.74	,69	- 54	ŝ,	ς Ν	بہ 11	4.	44	.41	8 1 1 2	មា ហ	m m	ы М	80	2.6	17 17	(N (N	Ň	. •	
L	RENEFLT	0	9		°,	o.	0	ç	347.0	341.0	0507.0	580.0	2347.0	847.0	340.0	832.0	295.0	727.0	0.7.00	15308-00	538.0	820.0	001.0	*****	
	COSTS	0	0	0.00	°.	<u>с</u>	Ċ.	0	732.0	166.0	39.6.10	594.0	702:0	717.0	738.0	766.0	794.0	818.0	892.0		0.200	051.0	0086.0	*****	
((BENERIA U	•	0	00.00	e,	0	2	0	7079.0	8507.0	0.508.8	1174.0	2049.0	2564.0	3078.0	3598.0	4089.0	4545.0	4899.0	0	5543.0	5871.0	6087.	*****	
	COSTS	0	C	00 0	055.5	9717.3	845.7	0299.5	°,	0	0	Ċ,	0	с ,	9	Ċ,	Ċ	0	9	•	0	0	сч ,	98212.80	
	YEAR	98	0	1986	80	60	989	66	99	266	Сr D	6	99	00	66	6.6	0- 0-	0	00	0		Ö	0	TOTAL	

7.60% ** ECC 1

** NPV = -2239.56

Annex IV-8(8)

ECONOMIC RATE OF RETURN

(Capital Cost: +10%)

NEPAL UREA PROJECT (275 TPD)

-	(000,	
1	_ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ()	
	(UNIT:	
•		
	• •	
		•

(000)	-
C ភូមិ ភូមិ	
:LINU)	

ENEFIT) IT VALUE		BENEFIT	O	0.00	0	e,	с,	0	Ċ,	70.4	046 6	256.1	343.7	295:4	101.7	4905,10	709.2	506.3	298.6	055.9	8.00.8	600.3	14	178.7	67413,50	
- (603778 Presen	1	0.0515		0.00	Р,	54100	3.0	3400 7	4. 664	Ċ,	°,	ę	Ċ,	°,	0	ç	0	<u></u>	ç	°.	ċ	°,			72969.90	
DISCOUNT	FACTOR	(2%)	. 000	0.9259	50	793	735	. 6.8.0	630	5991	049.1	. 500	4.63	. 428	397	367	040.	G 15	с- 14	270	500	ы 10 10	. 244	8 6 7 8	•••	
ENEFIT> T VALUE	1	BENEFIT		0.00						182	417	692	861	837	574		1111	147	ហ ហ	715	104	60	ရို	829	75955.20	1
(COST/B) PRESEN		C057S	0	000	0	609.5		4914.6	170.9	2	C	D	0	0	P	9		0		-		-	C	-427.50	75955.30	
	NN:0	<:	0	14 N C . D	а 1	a)	9	7.1	66		ເບັ ເຊິ່	5	5	2.7	5.5	24.7	10 10 10 10	.360	j) Li	314	5	.27.4	. 250	23.9		•
	NET	1-4		0.0	•	0	Ó,	0		347.0	41.0	507.0	5.80 .0	0.740	647.0		83210	295.0	727.0	007 0	008; 0	538, 0	20.0	001.0	****	•
	ANNUAL	C0515	. 0	00.0	D	O	, Ç	0	Ο	732.0	166.0	396.0	5,94,0	702.0	7.17.10	9738 00	766.0	794°. D	818 0	892.0	0.676	002:00	054.0	ព ទទ	*******	
	GROSS	н ц ш	<u> </u>	00.0		Ċ.	\square	C	0	70.75.0	8507.0	9.903 0	1.1.7.4.0	2049 0	25.୫.କ. ପ	- CJ	3598.0	4089.0	4545% 0	4899.0	5257-0) ខេតុជា	58710	6087.C	*****	
		COSTS	6	0.0	Ö	2 D 1: D	1608.6	9076.5	7.89.9	С.	0	О.	Ξ.	0	0		0	CJ.	<u> </u>	0	C.	с,		-1786.40	******	
• • • •		YEAR	1984	1985	1986	1987	1983	1989	1390	1991	1992	10 0- 0-	1954	1975	9651	1997	1998	515	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	TOTAL	

** ERR = 7.05%

** NPV = 15556.36

-147 ΑÌ

