Table 3 EXISTING AND PROPOSED ABSTRACTION AND TREATMENT CAPACITY OF PWD AND PWA PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY FACILITIES IN 1982 AND 1985 (1/3)

						ing Capacity		l Capacity 1985
State	Wa	ter Supply Region		Intake	(mgd)	$(10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{y})$	(mgd)	$(10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{y})$
Perlis	1.	Kangar		Arau Canal Kaki Bukit	2.50 0.05	4.15 0.08	2.50 0.05	4.15 0.08
				Padang Besar		0.42 0.66	0.14 N.A.	0.42 N.A.
			1003	Arau Well Arau Canal	1.30	2.16	N.A. 6.50	N.A. 10.79
		·		Sub-total	4.50	7.47	$9.19^{\frac{1}{1}}$	$15.44^{/1}$
			5001	Bt. Way	0.06	0.10	-	<u> </u>
		a.		Sub-total	0.06	0.10		
				Total	4.56	7.57	$9.30^{\frac{1}{1}}$	15.44/1
	2.	Cuping	1004	Cuping	0.24	0.40	0.24	0.40
				Total	0.24	0.40	0.24	0.40
Perlis To	otal		· 	:	4.80	7.97	9.54/1	15.84/1
Kedah	3.	Changlun	2	·.	1.00	1.66	1.00 1.00	1.66 1.66
		·	:	Total	1.00	1.66	2.00	3.32
	4.	Alor Setar	3 103		20.00	33.20	20.00 10.00	33.20 16.60
				Sub-total	20.00	33.20	30.00	49.80
		: · · ·	5002		0.60	1.00	-	-
	٠.		5003		0.60	1.99 1.00	. . 	
			5005 5006		5.00 0.40	8.30 0.66		_
				Sub-total	7.80	12.95		
				Total	27.80	46.15	30.00	49.80

Remarks; (1): N.A. signifies "data are not available"

- (2): Existing river intake number: 1 100 Existing well as spring intake number: 1000 - 5000 Proposed intake number: 101 - 200 Number of intakes for temporary water supply systems: 5001 -
- (3): Temporary public water supply systems are assumed to stop operation before 5MP.
- /1: Excluding these of intakes 1002 and 1003

Table 4 EXISTING AND PROPOSED ABSTRACTION AND TREATMENT CAPACITY OF PWD AND PWA PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY FACILITIES IN 1982 AND 1985 (2/3)

	Water Supply	.,			ng Capacity n 1982	Proposed Capacity in 1985		
State	Region	Y 	Intake	(mgd)	$(10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{y})$	(mgd)	10 ⁶ m ³ /y)	
and the	5. Kuala	4		1.00	1.66	1.00	1.66	
	Nerang	104	1	_		0.30	0.50	
	:	105		 .		4.00	6.64	
			Total	1.00	1.66	5.30	8,80	
a ta	6. Pendang	5	MADA Canal	4.00	6.64	4.00	6.64	
•			Sub-total	4.00	6.64	4.00	6,64	
100		5007	Yan	1.20	1.99			
	. "		Sub-total	1.20	1.99	<u></u>	-	
			Total	5.20	8.63	4.00	6.64	
	7. Jeneri	6	Sg. Pamoai	0.20	0.33	0.20	0.33	
			Sg. Potat	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.08	
		106	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	_ /	4.00	6.64	
			Total	0.25	0.41	4.25	7.05	
٠.	8. Jeniang	8	Jeniang	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.10	
	1	107		<u></u>	· -	4.00	6.64	
			Total	0.06	0.10	4.06	6.74	
	9. Sik	9	Sik	0,10	0.17	0.10	0.17	
		108	* .	-	-	4.00	6.64	
			Total	0.10	0.17	4.10	6.81	
	10. Sq. Petar	ni 10	Teroi Intake	0.10	0.17	0.10	0.17	
			Perigi Intake	0.25	0.42	0.25	0.42	
			Yen Intake	0.25	0.42	0,25	0.42	
			Guron Intake	0.20	0.33	0.20	0.33	
		14	Merbok Intake	0.25	0.42	0.25	0.42	
		15	Tutah Intake	1.20	1.99	1.20	1.99	
		16		7.20	11.95	7.20	11.95	
		109			·	15.00	24.90	
*.			Total	9.45	15.70	24.45	40.60	
	ll. Kuala					·		
	Ketil	110		-		4.00	6.64	
			Total	0.00	0.00	4.00	6.64	

Remarks; (1): Existing river intake number: 1 - 100

Existing well as spring intake number: 1000 - 5000

Proposed intake number: 101 - 200

Number of intakes for temporary water supply systems: 5001 -

^{(2):} Temporary public water supply systems are assumed to stop operation before 5MP

Table 5 EXISTING AND PROPOSED ABSTRACTION AND TREATMENT CAPACITY OF PWD AND PWA PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY FACILITIES IN 1982 AND 1985 (3/3)

						*.
				Capacity		Capacity 1985
Ctato	Water Supply Region	Intake	(mgd)	$(10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{y})$		(10 ⁶ m ³ /y)
State						
•	12. Baling	17 Sg. Chrok	0.15	0.25	0.15	0.25
		18 Sg. Baling	1.05	1.74	1.05	1.74
		111	· -		6.00	9.96
		112 Sg. Baling			0.60	1.00
		Total	1.20	1.99	7.80	12.95
	13. Kulim <u>/l</u>	113	_	ege Talong an region of	3.00	4.98
	er e	Total	0.00	0.00	3.00	4.98
	14. Karangan	19 Karangan	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.10
		Total	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.10
			46.12	76.57	93.02/2	154.43 ^{/2}
	15. Perai	20 Lahar Tiang	32.00	53.12	32.00	53.12
		21 Bt. Toh	11.50	19.09	11.50	19.09
•		Allang				
*		22 Berapit	0.20	0.33	0.20	0.33
		23 Sq. Cherok	0.15	0.25	0.15	0.25
		Tokan	0.23	0.23		
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		24 Pt. Seraya	0.005	0.008	0.005	0.008
		Total	43.86	72.80	43.86	72.80
	16. P. Pinano	g 25-28	3.70	6.14	3.70	6.14
	io. r. rinang	29-39	8.30	13.78	8.30	13.78
		40-41	3.00	4.98	3.00	4.98
	•	42	0.08	0.13	0.08	0.13
		43-44	2.80	4.65	2.80	4.65
		45-46	1.70	2.82	1.70	2.82
		47 Ayer Itam	4.00	6.64	4.00	6.64
	•	Dam	4.00	0.04	4.00	0.04
		48	0.30	0.50	0.30	0.50
		Total	23.88	39.64	23.88	39.64
			67.74	112.44	67.74	112.44
			118.66	196.98	170.30 ^{/2}	282.71/2

Remarks;

- (1): Existing river intake number: 1-100
 Existing well as spring intake number: 1000-5000
 Proposed intake number: 101-200
 Number of intakes for temporary water supply systems: 5001-
- (2): Temporary public water supply systems are assumed to stop operation before 5MP
- $\frac{1}{2}$: Water Supply Region 13 is provided with 3.0 x 10⁶ m³/y (1.8 mgd) of treated water by PWA.
- /2 : Excluding these of intake 1002 and 1003

Table 6 PROJECTED SERVICES FACTOR FOR URBAN AREA

Unit: %

Population Size			
(10^3)	1983	1990	2000
More than 100	100	100	100
100 - 10	85	90	100

Table 7 PROJECTED SERVICE FACTOR FOR RURAL AREA FOR PWD AND PWA WATER SUPPLY AND MOH SUPPLY THROUGH RESP

Unit: %

		Estima	ted	÷					
		1983			1990		A	2000	
	PWD	MOH	Total	PWD	MOH	Total	PWD	МОН	Total
Perlis	75.0	8.0	83.0	75.0	18.0	93.0	75.0	25.0	100.0
Kedah	41.4	23.6	65.0	60.8	34.2	95.0	64.4	35.6	100.0
P. Pinang	81.0	1.0	82.0	89.4	2.6	92.0	95.2	4.8	100.0

Table 8 PROJECTED PER CAPITA DAILY USE

Unit: lpcd

Population Size (103)	Estimated 1983	1990	2000
(100)	1303	1990	2000
City/Town			
More than 1,000	220	240	270
1,000 - 500	200	220	250
500 - 100	180	200	230
100 - 10	170	190	220
Rural		I e	
PWD	90	125	175
МОН	40	55	70
Private	40	40	40

Table 9 PROJECTED POPULATION SERVED BY PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS BY WATER SUPPLY REGION (1/2)

Unit: 10³

					, ,	011111 10	
		er Supply			Estimated	Projec	ted .
State	Re	gion	City	/ & Rural	1983	1990	2000
Derlis	1	Kangar	1	Kangar	12	· ·- 16	28
	-	runguz.	_	Rural	106	130	149
1	1			Total	118	146	177
			1.	10041	*10	140	111
11. 11.	2.	Cuping		Rural	12	1.4	17
products				Total	12	14	17
Perl	is To	tal			130	160	194
Kodah		Changlun		Rural	15	21	
Kedan		Changran		Total	15	21 21	22
				10002	13	~ ⊥	
	4.	Alor Setar	. 2	Alor Setar	60	68	87
				Jitra	14	24	49
				Rural	215	339	369
10 m 12	, i	to a kind of the contract of the	:	Total	289	431	505
	5.	Kuala Nerang		Rural	30	50	57
	ar j			Total	30	50	. 57
		_	100				
4	6.	Pendang	102	•	8	10	14
			103	Yan	5	8	14
	- 1			Rural	50	83 101	97 125
				Total	63	101	125
	7.	Jeneri		Rural	21	35	38
	• •	0011022	-	Total	21	35	38
				+	*		
	8.	Jeniang		Rural	33	52	57
				Total	33	52	57
				10 C			
	9	Sik		Rural	1.7	27	28
		The second second		Total	1.7	27	28
	10	Sq. Petani	. 3	Sg. Petani	41	51	79
	TU.	og, recana	104		4	8	17
			104	Rural	87	141	164
				Total	132	200	260
				tocar	4.32	200	200

Table 10 PROJECTED POPULATION SERVED BY PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS BY WATER SUPPLY REGION (2/2)

Unit: 10

Water Supply Region Estimated 1983 1990 11. Kuala Ketil 203 Kuala Ketil 4 6 Rural 39 57 Total 43 63 12. Baling Rural 42 59 Total 42 59	2000 12 63
11. Kuala Ketil 203 Kuala Ketil 4 6 Ruxal 39 57 Total 43 63 12. Baling Rural 42 59	
Ruxal 39 57 Total 43 63 12. Baling Rural 42 59	
Rural 39 57 Total 43 63 12- Baling Rural 42 59	63
12- Baling Rural 42 59	
	75
Total 42 59	67
	67
13. Kulim 4 Kulim 25 34	56:
Rural 32 47	46
Total 57 81	102
14. Karangan Rural 9 13	19
	12
Total 9	14
Kedah Total 751 1,133	1,348
P. Pinang 15, Perai 5 Butterworth 65 69	77
6 Bt. Mertajam 25 26	29
109 Kg. Pmtg Kuching 9 9	10
110 Perai 9 9	10
201 Bandar Seberang Jaya 26 76	250
Rural 267 300	326
Total 401 489	702
(1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987) (1987	
16. P. Pinang 8 Georgetown 253 253	253
105 Air Itam 31 33	37
106 Tg. Tokong 18 14	15
107 Gelugor 12 13	14
108 Tg. Bunga 9 10	11
202 Bandar Bayan Baru 34 80	250
Rural 124 139 Total 481 542	151 731
10(a) 401 942	/31.
P. Pinang Total 882 1,031	1,433
P.K.P. Total 1,763 2,324	2,975

Table 11 ESTIMATED DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WATER DEMAND BY WATER SUPPLY REGION AND BY PURPOSE BY TYPE OF SUPPLY FOR 1983 (1/2)

Unit: $10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{y}$

					Dom	estic			÷	4		
		1000			Public				r	ndustr	ial	
	Water				Un-		Pri-			Pri-		
State	Supply Region	City	& Rural	Treated	Treated	Total	vate	Total	Public	Vate	Total	Total
Perlis	l. Kangar	City										
	A. //a	_	Kangar	1.1	_	1 1 2	0.0	1.1	1.4	0.1	1.5	2.6
					tion to		0.0	*• 1	1.4	0.1	1.5	2.0
		Rura	Perlis	4.8			نہ			12		
			Total	5.9	0.2	5.0 6.1	0.4	5.4 6.5	0.3	0.0	0.3	5.7
		1.1	1002	, ,,,	0.2	0.1	Ų. ų	0,3	1.7	0.1	1.8	8.3
	2. Cuping	Rura	1		100						- P.	
		. 1	Perlis	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Perlis	Total			6.4	0.2	6.6	0.4	7.0	1.7	0.1	1.8	8.8
4.50 (4.1)												
Kedah	Changlun	Rura	The second secon					٠,				
		3	Kubang Pasu	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.1	8.0
	4 32am Catau			1.				1.			uri -	
	4. Mor Setar	City	Alor Setar	5.6	-	5.6		F 0				
•	William Fig.		Jitra	1.3		1.3	0.2	5.8 1.3	4.3 0.1	0.5	4.8 0.1	10.6 1.4
			City Total	6.9		6.9	0.2	7.1	4.4	0.5	4.9	12.0
2.2		Rura					15.	· .				
			Kubang Pasu	2.0	0.3			2.8		0.0	0.3	3.1
1.	•		Kota Setar	4.5	0.8	5.3	1.1	6.4	0.3	0.0	0.3	6.7
	•		Pendang Rural Total	6.8	0.0	7.9	0.1	9.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	10.2
	And the second		Kutar Total	0.0	1-1	7.5	1.7	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	10.2
5 3			Total	13.7	1.1	14.8	1.9	16.7	5.0	0.5	5.5	22.2
100												
	Kuala Nerang			1.4							٠.	
		4	Padang Terap	0.9	0.2	1.1	0.2	1.3	0.5	0.0	0.5	1.8
	e milianis	A1 E	*									
$(x_1,\dots,x_n) + (1-\varepsilon)$	6. Pendang	City	Guar Chempeda	k 0.4		0.4	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.9
			Yan Chembera	0.2		0.2	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.6
			City Total	0.6		0.6		0.6	0.9	0.0	0.9	1.5
and the second of the		167						:				
** .		Rura			*						1.0	
	and the second		Pendang	0.7	0.2			1.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	1.4
			Yan	0.6	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.9
	A STATE OF THE STATE OF THE	1.1	Rural Total	1.3	0.3	1.6	0.4	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	2.3
	The second second		Total	1,9	0.3	2.2	0.4	2.6	1.2	0.0	1.2	3.8
					7.7					• •	. :	
	7. Jeneri	Rura	1									
ta di Para		5	Kota Setar	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
		6	Pendang	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.7
			Rural Total	0.8	0.1	0.9	0.2	1.1	6.1	0.0	0.1	1.2
	8. Jeniang	Rura.	,						:			
	o. ventally		Sik	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.9
			Kuala Muda	0.6	0.1	0.7	0,2	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.0
			Rural Total	1.0	0,2	1.2	0,3	1.5	0.4	0.0	0.4	1.9
1	9. Sik	Rura										
to a time disease of		8	Sik	0.5	0.1	ή.b	0.1	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.4	1.1
أأداد والوفاعق	10. Sq. Petani	City										
	To od Lerent		Sq. Petani	3.8	٠.	3.8	0.1	3.9	2.7	0.3	3.0	6.9
			Tikan Batu	0.2	-	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.5
-11	4. 1. 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		City Total	4.0		4.0	0.1	4.1	3.0	0.3	3.3	7.4
				. ,	a a							* *
		Rural										
			Yan	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
	4.		Kuala Muda Rural Total	2.3	0.4	3.2	0.6	3.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	4.2
1			waret fores			ع.د	v. /	. 3.7	0.3		0.3	3.4
-			Total	6.7	0.5	7.2	8.0	8.0	3.3	0.3	3.6	11.6
	and the second of the second									* *		

Table 12 ESTIMATED DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WATER DEMAND
BY WATER SUPPLY REGION AND BY PURPOSE BY TYPE
OF SUPPLY FOR 1983 (2/2)

					stic	<u> </u>		Tn	dustri	al	
Water	•	**	Pu	blic Un-		Pri-			Pri-		
State Supply Region	City	6 Rural	Treated	Treated			Total	Public		Total	Total
											•
11. Kuala Ketil	City		0.2		0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
	203	Kuala Ketil		-	V. 2		0.2	0.0	***		***
	Rura	1 1 1					100			4 E	
	. 9	Kuala Muda	0.3	0.0		0.1	0.4	0.0		0.0	0.4
		Baling	0.8	0.1	0.9	0.2	1.1 0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.2 0.1
	11	Kulim Rural Total	1.2	0.0	1.3	0.3	1.6		0.0	0.1	1.7
		Kurur Totar	***							<u> 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</u>	
		Total	1.4	0.1	1.5	0.3	1.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.9
	- 1										
12. Baling	Ruza	l Baling	1.3	0.2	1.5	0.3	1.8	0.4	0.0	0.4	2.2
	10	barring	1.7		1.10			- T-			
13. Kulim	City						1,01				
at in the second	4	Kulim	2.3		2-3	0.1	2.4	0.4	0.0	0.4	2.8
	Rura	•							•		
		Kulim	1.0	0.2	1:2	0.3	1.5	0.2	0.0	0.2	1.7
	: _===	Total	3.3	0.2	3.5		3.9	0.6	0.0	0.6	4.5
	:	4									
14. Karangan	Rura			0.0	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.5
	11	Kulim	0.3	0.0	0.3		0.4	0.1	0.0	. 0.1	
Kedah Total	·		32.3	3.1	35.4	5.1	40.5	12.2	0.8	13.0	53.5
						٠					* -
P. Pinang 15. Perai	City		17								
	5	Butterworth	5.3	_	5.3	0.2	5.5	15.1	1.7	16.8	22.3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Bt. Mertajam	2.0		2.0	0.1	2.1	5.8	0.6	6.4	8.5
	109	Kg. Pmtg Kuching	0.7	.	0.7	0.0	0.7	1.3	0.1	1.4	2.1
	110	Perai	Ö.7	-	0.7	0.0	0.7	12.3	1.4	13.7	14.4
		Bandar Sebera			2.1	0.1	2.2	1.4	0.1	1.5	3.7
		Jaya			·					<u></u>	
		City Total	10.8	-	10.8	0.4	11.2	35.9	3.9	39.8	51.0
and the second	Rura	1		·		A . 1	1.7	.**			4.5
		Utara	4.3	0.0	4.3	0.3	4.6	4.8	0.5	5.3	9.9
		Tengah	4.4	0.0	4.4		4.7	4.3	0.4	4.7	9.4
$-1 - 2 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{$	15	Selatan	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.2	2,9	4.4	0.5	4.9	7.8
		Rural Total	11.4	0.0	11.4	8.0	12.2	13.5	1.4	14.9	27.1
en e		Total	22.2	0.0	22.2	1.2	23.4	49 4	5.3	54.7	₹ 78.1
			+		:	•					
16. P. Pinang	City				00.5	^	20. 5	0.1		0.0	20.6
		Georgetown Air Itam	20.6		20.6	0.0	20.6	8.1 4.1	0.9	9.0 4.5	29.6 7.1
		Tg. Tokong	1.5		1.5		1.5	1.6	0.2		3.3
		Gelugor	1.0	· · · · · · ·	1.0	0.0		0.7	0.1	0.8	1.8
•		Tq. Bunga	0.7	_	0.7	0.0	0.7	1.2	0.1	1.3	2.0
		Bandar Bayan			1.1						100
•		Baru	2.8		2.8		2.9	1.6	0.2	1.8	4.7
•		City Total	29.1	-	29.1	0.2	29.3	17.3	1.9	19.2	48.5
	Rura		* *							1 1 14	
		Timur Laut	3.0	0.0		0.2			0.2		5.6
•	17		2.3	0.0	2.3	0.2	2.5	3.1 5.3	0.3	3.4 5.8	11.5
		Rural Total	5.3	0.0	5.3	0.4	5.7	5.3	U.3	3.8	31.0
		Total	34.4	0.0	34.4	0.6	35.0	22.6	2.4	25.0	60.0
			·								<u> </u>
P. Pinang Total			56.6	0.0	56.6	1.8	58.4	72.0	7.7	79.7	138.1
P.K.P. Total			95.3	3.3	98.6	7 3	105.9	85.9	p .c	94.5	200.4
			,,,		U = U	,	200	93.9			

Table 13 PROJECTED DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WATER DEMAND BY WATER SUPPLY REGION AND BY PURPOSE BY TYPE OF SUPPLY FOR 1990 (1/2)

				De	Dome	stic			T.	idustr	dal	
	Water			F1	Un-		Pri-			Pri-	JGL	
State	Supply Region	City	& Rural	Treated	Treated	Total		Total			Total	Total
Perlis	1. Xangar	City									-	
relits	1. Kanyat		Kangar	1.5	_	1.5	0.0	1.5	2 1	0.3	3.4	4.9
*			_	1.5	_	1.0	. 0.0	1.5	3.1	. 0.5	2.4	4.5
47-11		Rura										
		1_	Perlis	6.3	0.5	6.8		7.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	7.2
1.			Total	7.8	0.5	8.3	0.2	8.5	3.3	0.3	3.6	12.1
	2. Cuping	Rura	1									
	z. Capzing		Perlis	0.7	0.1	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
							•••	787			7.7	A 515
Perli	s Total			8.5	0.6	9.1	0.2	9.3	3.3	0.3	3.6	12.9
										1.5		
Kedah	3. Changlun	Rura	1									1
			Kubang Pasu	0.8	0.2	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
	4. Alor Setar	City	and the second s								1.	
41.00			Alor Setar	6.2	-	6.2	0.1	6.3	9.5		10.6	16.9
			Jitra	2.2	 -	2-2	0.0	2.2	9.9	1.1	11.0	2.6 19.5
• • •		ja Na	City Total	8.4		8.4	0.1	8.5	9.9	1.1	11.0	17.3
: .		Rura			. :	•				weight.		
		3		3.5	0.7	4.2	0.1	4.3	0.2	0.0	0.2	4.5
,			Kota Setar	9.0	1.7	10.7	0.2	10.9	0.2	0.0	0.2	11.1
	fee e	6_	Pendang	0.6	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.7 15.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.3
			Rural Total	13.1	2.5	15.6	0.3	13.9	0.4	0.0	0.4	10.3
			Total	21.5	2.5	24.0	0.4	24.4	10.3	1.1	11.4	35.8
			10001				• • • • •					
	5. Kuala Nerang	Rural	L .									
			Padang Terap	1.9	0.4	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	2.6
	9	· .						:			-	
	6. Pendang											
			Guan Chempeda			0.9	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.1	1.0	$\frac{1.9}{1.4}$
		103	Yan	0.5		$\frac{0.5}{1.4}$	0.0	0.5	0.8	$\frac{0.1}{0.2}$	0.9	3.3
	en e	-	City Total	1 4		1.4	0.0	Y3	7.1	. 0.2	1.5	3.3
* *		Rura	1		7.1		٠.					
4			Pendang	2.0	0.4	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	2.5
	And the second second	7	<u>Yan</u>	1.2	0.2	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9
			Rural Total	3.2	0.6	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.1	. 0.0	0.1	1.
1.			Total	4.6	0.6	5.2	0.0	5.2	1.8	0.2	2.0	7.2
			IUCAI .	74.0	0.0		***					
	7. Jeneri	Rura	1				4,					
	,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Kota Setar	0.6	0.1	0.7	0.0	. 0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
97 4			Pendang	0.8	0.1	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
			Rural Total	1.4	0.2	1.6	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 1.6
	and the party of the	1 2	 1 		1							
	8. Jeniang	Ruza			0.1	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.2	1.1
			Sik	0.8		1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
		- 9	Kuala Muda Rural Total	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.2	0.0		2.5
100			Witar Intal	2,0		~3	J. J					
100	9. Sik	Rura	1		•		•					
	J. JEK		Sik	1.0	0.2	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	1.4
		100		·								
	10. Sg. Petani	City							٠.		11 _	
10.00		3	Sg. Petani	4.7	::	4.7		4.8		0.7		11.5
			Tikan Batu	0.5		0.5	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.1	0.9	12.0
1.50			City Total	5.2	-	5.2	0.1	5.3	6.8	0.8	7.6	12.9
		Rura	1			· .			100	٠.		
100			Yan	0.8			.0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
3.1			Kuala Muda	4.6	0.9	5.5	0.1	5.6	0.2	0.0		5.8
			Rural Total	5.4	1.1	6.5	0.1	6.6	0.2	0.0	0.2	6.8
								13.0			7 0	10 7
•			Total	10.6	1.1	11.7	0.2	11.9	7.0	0.8	7.8	19.7

Table 14 PROJECTED DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WATER DEMAND
BY WATER SUPPLY REGION AND BY PURPOSE BY TYPE
OF SUPPLY FOR 1990 (2/2)

				Div	Domes blic	tic			: In	dustri	al	
	Water				Un-		Pri-			Pri-		
State	water Supply Region	City	& Rural	Treated	Treated	Total		Total	Public		Total	Total
state	Supply Region		A MULUI						,,_,,_			
	11. Kuala Ketil	City										
		203	Kuala Ketil	0.4	· -	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
												100
		Rura			· :	^ 7	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
			Kuala Muda	0.6 1.3	0.1	0.7 1.6	0.0	1.6	0.1	0.0		1.7
			Baling Kulim	0.2	0.1		0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
	**		Rural Total	2.1	0.5	2.6	0.0	2.6	0.1	0.0	0.1	2.7
							<u>.</u>					
	1		Total	2.5	0.5	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	3.1
			*						100	100		
	12. Baling	Rura				. 0. 7		2 7		0.0	0.2	2.9
	•	10	Baling	2.3	0.4	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.2	0.0	0.2	2.9
	13. Kulim	City										
	13. Katim	-	Kulim	3.1	· -	3.1	0.1	3.2	0.7	0.1	0.8	4.0
					1.0	· · .					19.	1
		Rura	and the second second	1.7	0-4	2.1	0.0	2.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	2.2
	•	11	Kulim Total	4.8	0.4	5.2	0.1	5.3	0.8	0.1	0.9	6.2
							- 1.5.			:		
	14. Karangan	Rura	1		· ; .	4.4				4		Table 1
		11	Kulim	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
	:					<u> </u>						~~~
Kedah T	otal			53.9	6.9	60.8	0.7	61.5	20.9	2.2	23.1	84.6
P. Pinang	15. Perai	City							1.		e Kanada da	
	•		Butterworth	6.3	-	6.3	0.1		22.2		24.7	31.1
	•		Bt. Mertajam	2.4		2.4	0.0	2.4	8.∤5	0.9	9.4	11.8
		109	Kg. Pmtg	0.8		0.8	0.0	0.8	1.5	0.2	1.7	2.5
		110	Kuching Perai	0.8	_	0.8	0.0	0.8	18.1	2.0	20.1	20.9
	:		Bandar Sebera	n .		100	100	1.14	1.74			*
			Jaya	6.9	-	6.9	0.1	7.0	9.4	1.0	10.4	17.4
			City Total	17.2	-	17.2	0.2	17.4	59.7	6.6	66.3	83.7
		11						100		-		
		Rura								A 4 .		11.0
	•	13	Otara	6.7	0.1	6.8	0.1	6.9 7.0	3.7	0.4	3.6	11.0 10.6
		14 15	Tengah Selatan	6.8 4.1	0.1	4.1	0.1	4.2	3.3	0.4	3.7	7.9
	4		Rural Total	17.6	0.2	17.8	0.3	18.1	10.2	1.2	11.4	29.5
						774						<u> </u>
100			Total	34.8	0.2	35.0	0.5	(35.5)	69.9	7.8	77.7	113.2
							11					200
	16. P. Pinang	City		20.0	1,1			20.0	12.0	1.4	17.2	26.2
		105	Georgetown Air Itam	22.9	·	22.9	0.0	22.9	11.9 5.9	0.6	13.3	36.2 (9.6
		105	Tg. Tokong	3.0 1.3		3.0 1.3	0.0	1.3	2.3	0.0	2.5	3.8
			Gelugor	1.3	_	1.2	0.0	1.2	2.0	0.2	2.2	3,4
			Tg. Bunga	0.9	. .	0.9	0.0	0.9	1.8	1.1	2.0	(2.9)
		202	Bandar Bayan	7.3	· .	7.3	0.1	7.4	13.1			22.0
			Baru			···				·		
			City Total	36.6	- :	36.6	0.2	36.8	37.0	4.1	41.1	77.9
						*** .*			1, 1, 1, 1, 1	e e	1 + 1 - 4 1	
			l Timur Laut	4.6	n.n	4.6	0.1	4.7	1.6	0.2	1.8	6.5
			Barat Daya	3.5	0.0	3.5	0.1	3.6	2.4	0.3	2.7	6.3
		_ 	Rural Total	8.1	0.0	8.1	0.2	8.3			4.5	12.8
		-~-								<u> </u>		
•			Total	44.7	0.0	44.7	0.4	(45.1)	41.0	4.6.	45.6	90.7
					بينيسب				<i></i>			702
P. Pina	ng Total			79.5	0.2	79.7	0.9	80.6	96.3		107.0	203
P.K.P.	Total			141.9	7 7	149.6	1 0	151.4			133.7	
E NAFT.	ar			* 14 . 7		743.0	1.0	A-12.4	120.0	12.2	133.1	

Table 15 PROJECTED DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WATER DEMAND
BY WATER SUPPLY REGION AND BY PURPOSE BY TYPE
OF SUPPLY FOR 2000 (1/2)

				Pı	Domest ublic	ic		.1	In	dustri	al	
State	Water Supply Region	City	& Rural	Treated	Un- Treated	Total	Pri~ vate	Total	Public	Pri- vate	Total	Total
Perlis	l. Kangar	City	Vanany	3.0	_	3.0	0.0	3.0	13.8	1.5	15.3	18.3
		Rural	Kangar	3.0	-	3.0	0.0	3.0	13.0	1,5	13.3	10.0
	and the second second	1	Perlis	9.5	1.0	10.5	0.0	10.5	0.2	0.0	0.2	10.7
1, 1			Total	12.5	1.0	13.5	0.0	13.5	14.0	1.5	15.5	29.0
											:	
	2. Cuping	Rura										1 1
	4.4	1	Perlis	1.0	0.1	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
Perlis	Total			13.5	1.1	14.6	0.0	14.6	14.0	1.5	15.5	30.1
Kedah	3. Changlun	Rura			^ 1		0.0	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.5
. .			Kubang Pasu	1.2	0.2	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	1.5
	4. Alor Setar	City										ra c
Projection of the control of the con			Alor Setar	9.2	-	9.2	0.0	9.2	43.5			57.5
:		101	Jitra	5.2		5.2	0.0	5.2	1.3	5.0	49.8	$\frac{6.7}{64.2}$
			City Total	14.4	-	14.4	0.0	14.4	44.8	٠.٠	47.0	04.5
		Rura	1	1,77								
		3	Kubang Pasu	5.0	0.8	5.8	0.0	5.8	0.2	0.0	0.2	6.0
	,	- 5	Kota Setar	13.9	2.4	16.3	0.0	16.3	0.2	0.0	0.2	16.5
		- 6	Pendang	0.9	0.2	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.6
			Rural Total	19.8	3.4	23.2	0.0	23.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	23.0
			Total	34.2	3.4	37.6	0.0	37.6	45.2	5.0	50.2	87.8
	5. Kuala Nerang	Rura	1									
	J. Rudiu Horally		Padang Terap	3.1	0.5	3.6	0.0	3.6	0.4	0.0	0.4	4.0 /
*		ر و فد	4.3			÷						
	6. Pendang	City	Guan Chempeda	k 1.5		1.5	0.0	1.5	4.5	0.5	5.0	6.5
In the second	* 1		Yan	1.5		1.5	0.0	1.5	3.8	0.4	4.2	5.7_
		103	City Total	3.0		3.0	0.0	3.0	8.3	0.9	9.2	12.2
		Rura		2.1	0.5	3.6	0.0	3.6	0.1	0.0	0.1	3.7
			Pendang	3.1 2.1	0.4	2.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5
			Yan Rural Total	5.2	0.9	6.1	0.0	6.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	6.2
•							0.0	9 1	8.4	0.9	9.3	18.4
			Total	8.2	0.9	9.1	0.0	9.1		0.5		10.1
4.	7. Jeneri	Rura			1.5							1.1
			Kota Setar	0.9	0.2	1.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0		1.1
		6	Pendang	1.2	0.2	1.4 2.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0		2.5
			Rural Total	2.1	0.4	2.3	0.0		0.0			
: " .	8. Jeniang	Rura	1									
100			Sik	1.2	0.2	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.2	0.0		1.6 2.3
*		9	Kuala Muda	2.0	0.3	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0		3.9
			Rural Total	3.2	0.5	3.7	0.0	27.			-	
-	9. Sik	Rura	1									
٠.,	3. 52	8	Sik .	1.5	0.2	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.3	0.0	0.3	2.0
			and the second second second		1							
	10. Sg. Petani		ga notani	8.3		8.3	0.0	8.3	27.4	3.0	30.4	38.7
	and the second		Sg. Petani Tikan Batu	1.8	_	1.8						6.4
			City Total	10.1	-	10.1						45.1
		5	1									
4		kura 7	Yan	1.4	0.2	1.6	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0		1.6
			Kuala Muda	7.5	1.3	8.8	0.0	8.8		0.0		9.0
			Rural Total	8.9	1.5	10.4	0.0	10.4	0.2	0.0	0.2	10.6
		. 	Total	19.0	1.5	20.5	0.0	20.5	31.7	3.5	35.2	55.7

Table 16 PROJECTED DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WATER DEMAND BY WATER SUPPLY REGION AND BY PURPOSE BY TYPE OF SUPPLY FOR 2000 (2/2)

Unit: $10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{y}$

							omestic						
						Public Un-		Pri-	•	In	dustri Pri~	.a1	
State		ter Region	City	& Rural	Treated	Treated	l Total		Total	Public		Total	Total
	11. Ku	ala Ketil	City 203	Kuala Ketil	1.3		1.3	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
			Rura			0.3	3' 1'	υ υ.	1.1	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	1.1
				Kuala Muda Baling	0.9 2.1	0.2		0.0	2.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	2.7
				Kulim	D. 4	0.1		0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
				Rural Total	3.4	0.7	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	4.3
				Total	4.7	0.7	5.4	0.0	5.4	0.2	0.0	0.2	5.6
	12. Ba	ling	Rura	l Baling	3.6	0.6	4.2	0.0	4.2	0.3	0.0	0.3	4.5
	13 Ku	lim	City		•								
	13. Ku	T.T.M	_	Kulim	5.9	-	5.9	0.0	5.9	3.4	0.4	3.8	9.7
			Rura					٠					
				Kulim	2.5	0.4	2.9	0.0	2.9	0.2	0.0	0.2	3.1
				Total	8.4	0.4	8.8	0.0	8.8	3.6	0.4	4.0	12.8
	14. Ka	rangan	Rura	Í							No. 1		
				Kulim	0.7	0.1	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
Kedah To	tal	•			89.9	9.4	99.3	0.0	99.3	90.4	9.8	100.2	199.5
P. Pinang						•			2				
1	15. Pe	rai	City		_ :							22.	
			5 6	Butterworth Bt. Mertajam	8.1 3.1	-	3.1	0.0	8.1 3.1	33.9 13.0	1.4	37.7 14.4	45.8 17.9
			109	Kg. Pmtq	2.1		.3.1	0.0	3.1				1,
				Kuching	1.1	~-		0.0	1.1	2.4		2.7	3.8
			110 210	Perai	1.1	-	1.1	0.0	1.1	27.8	3.1	30.9	32.0
		,	210	Bandar Seberang Jaya	27.6		27.6	0.0	27.6	56.4	6.3	62.7	90.3
				City Total	41.0		41.0	0.0	41.0	133.5	14.9	148.4	189.4
			Rura	-			100	111	75.25				
			13		9.9	0.2	10.1	0.0	10.1	4.3	0.5	4.8	14.9
				Tengah	10.1	0.2	10.3	0.0	10.3	3.8	0.4		14.5
		:	15	Selatan	6.0	0.1	6.1	0.0	6.1	4.0	0.4		10.
	. 1			Rural Total	26.0	0.5	26.5	0.0	26.5	12.1	1.3	13.4	39.9
	*			Total	67.0	0.5	67.5	0.0	67.5	145.6	16.2	161.8	229.3
	16. P.	Pinang	City										There
			8	Georgetown	26.3	-	26.3	0.0	26.3	18.2	2.0		46.5
			105	Air Itam	3.9		3.9	0.0	3.9	8.8	1.0		
			106	Tg. Tokong	1.6	<u>.</u>		0.0	1.6	3.4	0.4		5.4
			107	Gelugor Tg. Bunga	1.5	_		0.0	1.5	2.7	0.3	3.5	4.2
			202	Bandar Bayan			4.		1	$s = 1 + \frac{s}{2} \cdot \frac{s}{2}$	jan i	•	٠.
		.*		Baru	27.6		27.6	777	27.6	56.4	6.3	62.7	
				City Total	62.1	-	62.1	0.0	62.1	92.7	10.3	103.0	165.1
			Rura					0.0	6.0	2.0	0.2	2.2	
			16 17		6.8 5.3	0.1 0.1	5.4	0.0	6.9 5.4	2.0	0.2	2.2 3.1	9.1 8.5
•			<u></u> -	Rural Total	12.1	0.2		0.0	12.3		0.5		17.6
				Total	74.2	0.2	74.4		74.4		10.8	108.3	182.7
. Pinang	Total				141.2	0.7	141.9		141.9			188.1	
										_25.5	2/1.0	- / -	412.1
P.K.P. Tot	al		+ .		244.6	11.2	255.8	0.0	255.8	273.7	30.1	303.8	559.6

TAble 17 PROJECTED NET UNIT INDUSTRIAL WATER USE PER GROSS VALUE OF MANUFACTURING OUTPUT BY COMMODITY GROUP

Unit: $m^3/d/M$106/y$

			4	Mala	ysian Data
	Commodity Group	Estimated 1983	Projected 1990 & 2000	Sampling Survey 1	Kedah & Perlis Report/2
1.	Food	74.0	71.0	_	97
2.	Textile	76.0	73.0	27	
3.	Wood Product	12.7	13.0	 ,	24
4.	Paper Product	552.5	520.0		-
5.	Publishing	10.0	10.0		
6.	Chemicals	135.3	130.0	392	65
7.	Rubber Manufacturing	97.5	65.0	-	28
8.	Nonmetal	79.7	68.0	108	
9.	Basic Metal	51.1	49.0	, 0	~~
10.	Machinery	18.7	20.0	22	96
11.	Miscellaneous	48.7	49.0	12	- 10

Remarks; (1) The values indicated are net manufacturing freshwater use excluding seawater and water used cyclically per M\$106 of the gross value of manufacturing output at 1970 price.

/1 : Sampling survey carries out by the Study Team in 1980

 $\frac{1}{2}$: Ref. B 20

Table 18 GROSS OUTPUT VALUE RATIOS OF CITIES/TOWNS AND RURAL AREA TO STATE

				Unit: %
State	City/Rural	1983	1990	2000
Kedah & Perlis				
1.	Kangar	10.2	12.4	13.2
2.	Alor Setar	32.0	39.3	41.7
3.	Sg. Petani	20.1	24.6	26.2
4.	Kulim	2.5	3.1	3.3
101.	Jitra	1.0	1.3	1.3
102.	Guan Chempedak	3.2	3.8	4.3
103.	Yan	2.7	3.4	3.6
104.	Tikan Batu	1.8	3.2	4.0
203.	Kuala Ketil	0.0	0.0	0.0
	City Total	73.5	91.1	97.6
	Rural	26.5	8.9	2.4
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Pulau Pinang				
5.	Butterworth	21.0	19.9	13.9
6.	Bukit Mertajam	8.0	7.6	5.3
8.	Georgetown	11.9	11.3	7.9
105.	Air Itam	5.6	5.2	3.6
106.	Tg. Tokong	2.2	2.0	1.4
107.	Takek Gelugor	1.0	1.8	1.3
108.	Tg. Bunga	1.6	1.6	1.1
109.	Kg. Pmtg Kuching	1.7	1.4	1.0
110.	Perai	17.1	16.2	11.4
201.	Bandar Seberang Jaya	1.9	8.4	23.1
202.	Bandar Bayan Baru	2.2	11.8	23.1
	City Total	74.2	87.2	93.1
	Rural	25.8	12.8	6.9
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0

Table 19 PROJECTED WATER DEMAND FOR PALM OIL MILLS AND RUBBER FACTORIES BY STATE

Unit: $10^3 \text{ m}^3/\text{y}$ 1983 1990 2000 Palm Rubber Palm Rubber Palm Rubber Pelis 29 Kedah 3,974 48 4,477 60 6,284 P. Pinang 1,806 61 2,197 160 4,285 P.K.P. Total 38 5,780 109 10,569 6,674 220

Table 20 COMPARISON OF D&M WATER DEMAND PROJECTION FOR THE REGION IN 2000 BETWEEN PART 1 AND PART 2 STUDIES

Unit: 106 m³/y

		D			M		÷	D&M	
Case	Public F	rivate	Total	Public	Private	Total	Public	Private	Total
Part 2	255.8	0.0	255.8	273.7	30.1	303.8	529.5	30.1	559.6
Part l					re,				
Case 1	257.2	0.0	257.2	338.2	113.7	451.9	595.4	113.7	709.1
Case 2	195.0	1.7	196.7	187.4	40.0	227.4	382.4	41.7	424.1

Table 21 PROJECTED D & I WATER ABSTRACTION AT THE RIVER INTAKES BY PURPOSE

Unit: 106 m³/y

		Water Supply			1983)		1990		.t	2000	
State	No.	Region	Intake No.	D	М	T	D	M	T	D	M	Ť
perlis	1.	Kangar	1/101	3.2	1.7	4.9	5.7	3.5	9.2	10.6	15.5	26.1
			1001	0.1	0.0	0.1			-	, - .	-	·
			1002	0.4	0.0	0.4	-	_	-	- · ·	-	
÷			1003	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.7
•		· ·	1004	2.1	0.1	2.2	2.1	0.1	2.2	2.2	0.0	2.2
	2.	Cuping	1005	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.8	1.1	0.0	1.1
		Sub-total		7.0	1.8	8.8	9.3	3.6	12.9	14.6	15.5	30.1
				1								
Kedah	3.	Changlun	2/102	0.7	0.1	0.8	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.4	0.1	1.5
	4.	Alor Setar	3/103	7.1	4.9	12.0		11.0	19.5	14.4	49.8	64.2
	-		10001	6.7	0.4	7.1	11.1	0.3	11.4	16.2	0.3	16.5
			10002	2.9	0.2	3.1	4.8	0.1	4.9	7.0	0.1	7.1
	5.	Kuala Nerang	4/105	1.2	0.5	1.7	2.2	0.3	2.5	3.4	0.4	3.8
11			104	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2
i i	6.	Pendang	5	2.6	1.2	3.8	5,2	2.0	7.2	9.1	9.3	18.4
	7.	Jeneri	106	0.6	0.1	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.8	1.3	0.0	1.3
			10003	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.8	1.2	0.0	1.2
	8.	Jeniang <u>/l</u>	8/107	1.5	0.4	1.9	2.3	0.2	2.5	3.7	0.2	3.9
	9.	sik <u>/1</u>	9/108	0.7	0.4	1.1	1.2	0.2	1.4	1.7	0.3	2.0
	10.	Sg. Petani	15	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
			16/109	6.0	3.6	9.6	9.9	7.8	17.7	18.5	35.2	53.7
	11.	Kuala Ketil	110/10004	1.8	0.1	1.9	3.0	0.1	3.1	5.4	0.2	5.6
4.1	12.	Baling	18/112	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.9	0.1	1.0
			111	1.4	0.3	1.7	2.1	0.2	2.3	3.3	0.2	3.5
	13.	Kulim /2	113	0.7	0.1	0.8	1.2	0.9	2.1	4.4	3.9	8.3
			114/10005	0.6	0.1	0.7	1.1	0.0	1.1	1.4	0.1	1.5
	14.	Karagan	19	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.8
		Sub-total		37.9	12.6	50.5	58.5	23.1	81.6	96.3	100.2	196.5
						- 1 1		. :				
P.Pinang	15. 16.	Perai & /3 P.Pinang/3	·	61.0	80.1	141.1	83.6	123.3	206.9	144.9	270.1	415.0
P.K.P To	otal			105.9	94.5	200.4	151.4	150.0	301.4	255.8	385.8	641.6

Remarks; 1: The figures are assumed ones for the purpose of the water balance study.

^{/2:} Water Supply Region 13 is provided with 3.0 x 10⁶ m³/y (1.8 mgd) of treated water by PWA.

^{/3:} Since P. Pinang State can eventually be considered as one water supply area, no figure is given for each river intake in the Water Supply Regions 15 and 16.

Table 22 PROJECTED D & I WATER ABSTRACTION AT THE RIVER INTAKES BY TYPE

14.00					1983			1990	-		2000	
State	No.	Water Supply Region	Intake No.	Pub∽ lic	Pri-	Total	Pub-	Pri- vate	Total	Pub- lic	Pri-	Total
DCGC6	NO.	Region	Tittake No.	110	vate	TOTAL	110	vale	10141	110	vate	iotai
Perlis	1.	Kangar	1/10	4.6	0.3	4.9	8.8	0.4	9.2	24.6	1.5	26.1
			1001	0.1	0.0	0.1	-	- '				
			1002	0.4	0.0	0.4	-		· · -		. ·	-
		÷	1003	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.7
			1004	2.0	0.2	2.2	2.1	0.1	2.2	2.2	0.0	2.2
	2.	Cuping	1005	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.8	1.1	0.0	1.1
		Sub-total	4.00	8.3	0.5	8.8	12.4	0.5	12.9	28.5	1.5	30.1
	- £.,			1		1.5				1.		
Kedah	3.	Changlun	2/102	0.7	0.1	0.8	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.5	0.0	1.5
	4.	Alor Setar	3/103	11.3	0.7	12.0	18.3	1.2	19.5	59.2	5.0	64.2
·			10001	5.9	1.2	7.1	11.2	0.2	11.4	16.5	0.0	16.5
· .			10002	2.6	0.5	. 3.1	4,8	0.1	4.9	7.1	0.0	7.1
	5.	Kuala Nerang	4/105	1.5	0.2	1.7	2.5	0.0	2.5	3.8	0.0	3.8
	1		104	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2
•	6.	Pendang	5	3.4	0.4	. 3.8	7.0	0.2	7.2	17.5	0.9	18.4
*.	7.	Jeneri	106	0.6	0.1	0.7	0.8	0.0	8.0	1.3	0.0	1.3
	- 1		10003	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.8	1,2	0.0	1,2
	8.	Jeniang/1	8/107	1.6	0.3	1.9	2.5	0.0	2.5	3.9	0.0	3.9
	9.	sik/1	9/108	1.0	0.1	1.1	1.4	0.0	1.4	2.0	0.0	2.0
	10.	Sq. Petani	15	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2,0	2.0	0.0	2.0
11 1 1			16/109	8.5	1.1	9.6	16.7	1.0	17.7	50.2	3.5	53.7
100	11.	Kuala Ketil	110/1000	4 1.6	0.3	1.9	3.1	0.0	3.1	5.6	0.0	5.6
	12.	Baling	18/112	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.6	1.0	0.0	. 1.0
			111	1.5	0.2	1.7	2.3	0.0	2.3	3.5	0.0	3.5
	13.	Kulim/2	113	0.6	0.2	0.8	1.9	0.2	2.1	7.9	0.4	8.3
			114/1000	5 0.5	0.2	0.7	1.1	0.0	1.1	1.5	0.0	1.5
	14.	Karagan	19	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.8	0.0	0.8
		Sub-total		44.7	5.8	50.5	78.7	2.9	81.6	186.7	9.8	196.5
terar i							-		٠		1	
P.Pinang	15. 16.	Perai & P. Pinang/3		131.6	9.5	141.1	193.6	13.3	206.9	388.0	27.0	415.0
P.K.P T	ota)			184.6	15.8	200.4	284.7	16.7	301.4	603.3	38.3	641.6

Remarks; $\underline{/1}$; The figures are assumed ones for the purpose of the water balance study.

^{√2:} Water Supply Region 13 is provided with 3.0 x 10⁶ m³/y (1.8 mgd) of treated water by PWA.

Since P.Pinang State can eventually be considered as one water supply area, no figure is given for each river intake in the Water Supply Regions 15 and 16.

Table 23 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY

		4.0				
State	Water Supply Region	4MP	5MP	6МР	7MP	Total
Perlis	1. Kangar	13.7	43.8	47.3		124.0
	2. Cuping	0.7	1.3	1.0	0.5	3.5
	Perlis Total	14.4	45.1	48.3	19.7	127.5
**			tan Salaman jan	anderson i Service La Service de Ariente		Sales (Alle
Kedah	3. Changlun	1.2	2.9	2.3	0.9	7.3
	 Alor-Setar 	38.4	144.9	168.8	68.3	420.4
	Kuala Nerang	4.3	9.3	7.1	2.9	23.6
N	6. Pendang	11.6	34.6	35.5	14.4	96.1
e .	7. Jeneri	3.1	6.3	5.1	2.2	16.7
	8. Jeniang	3.1	6.8	5.9	2.5	18.3
	9. Sik	1.8	4.2	3.4		10.8
	10. Sq. Petani	18.7	82.0	101.6	41.0	243.3
	ll. Kuala Ketil	3.6	10.5	10.9	e de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	29.6
	12. Baling	4.8	11.2	9.7		29.7
	13. Kulim	6.4	22.3	25.1	28 FE 184	63.9
	14. Karangan	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.2	2.0
	Kedah Total	97.4	335.8	376.0	152.5	961.7
D. Dinner	16	70.0	000 5	725	307.0	705.0
P. Pinang	15. Perai	70.9	The second second	317.6	127.2	785.2
•	16. P. Pinang	45.4	182.7	220.6	88.4	537.1
	P. Pinang Total	116.3	452.2	538.2	215.6	1,322.3
P.K.P. Tota	1	228.1	833.1	962.5	387.8	2,411.5

Remarks; (1): In 1983 constant price

(2): Public water supply by PWD, PWA and RESP (MOH)

Table 24 ESTIMATED OWN COST FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY

		4 J				
State	Water Supply Regi	on 4MP	5MP	6МР	7MP	Total
Perlis	1. Kangar	0	2.3	6.7	11.4	20.4
	2. Cuping	0	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.8
	Perlis Total	0	2.4	7.0	11.8	21.2
Kedah	3. Changlun	0	0.2	0.5	0.7	1.4
	4. Alor-Setar	. 0	6.5	20.9	37.8	65.2
	5. Kuala Neran	g 0	0.7	1.6	2.4	4.7
	6. Pendang	0	2.0	5.4	8.9	16.3
to the second	7. Jeneri	. 0	0.5	1.2	1.7	3.4
	8. Jeniang	0	0.5	-	1.8	
	9. Sik	0	0.3	0.7	1.1	2.1
	10. Sg. Petani	0	3.2	11.3	21.5	36.0
	ll. Kuala Ketil	0	0.6	e de la companya de l	2.8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
specific and the	12. Baling	. 0	0.8	1.9	2.9	5.6
	13. Kulim	0	1.1	3.3	5.8	10.2
	14. Karangan	. 0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.5
	Kedah Total	. 0	16.5	49.9	87.6	154.0
P. Pinang	15. Perai	0	11.8	38.8	70.5	121.1
	16. P. Pinang	0	7.6	25.9	47.9	81.4
	P. Pinang Total	0	19.4	64.7	118.4	202.5
P.K.P. Tota		0	38.3	121.6	217.8	377.7

Remarks;

(1): In 1983 constant price(2): Public water supply by PWD, PWS and RESP (MOH)

Table 25 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION COST FOR PRIVATE WATER SUPPLY

*2.4							grant of the second
State	Water	Supply Region	4MP	5MP	6MP	7MP	Total
:							
Perlis	1.	Kangar	5.5	31.0	and the second second	16.6	94.7
* .	2.	Cuping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Perl	is Total	5.5	31.0	41.6	16.6	94.7
				1.	100		
Kedah	3.	Changlun	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4.	Alor-Setar	14.9	96.6	133.4	53.4	298.3
	5.	Kuala Nerang	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	6.	Pendang	2.9	18.0	24.7	9.9	55.5
	7.	Jeneri	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	8.	Jeniang	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	9.	Sik	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	10.	Sg. Petani	9.7	66.0	92.0	36.8	204.5
•	11.	Kuala Ketil	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	12.	Baling	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	13.	Kulim	1.1	7.4	10.3	4.1	22.9
	14.	Karangan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Keda	h Total	28.6	188.0	260.4	104.2	581.2
P. Pinang	. 15	Perai	50.4	208.8	254.7	101.9	615.8
1. 11		P. Pinang	37.1	152.8	185.9	74.4	450.2
		inang Total	87.5	361.6			1,066.0
P.K.P. Tota	11		121.6	580.6	742.6	297.1	1,741.9

Remark; (1): In 1983 constant price

Table 26 ESTIMATED O&M COST FOR PRIVATE WATER SUPPLY

						Unit:	м\$10 ⁶
State	Water	Supply Region	4мР	5MP	6МР	7MP	Total
Perlis	<u>1</u> .	Kangar	.0	0.9	4.0	8.2	13.1
	_2.	Cuping	0	0	0	0	0
	Perl	is Total	0	0.9	4.0	8,2	13.1
Kedah	3.	Changlun	0	O	0	0	0
	4.	Alor-Setar	Ö	2.5	12.1	25.5	40.1
	5	Kuala Nerang	0	0 .	0	0	0
	6.	Pendang	0	0.5	2.3	4.8	7.6
and the	7.	Jeneri	0	0	0	0	0
	8.	Jeniang	0	. 0	0	0	0
	9.	Sik	0	0	0	0	0
	10.	Sg. Petani	0	1.6	8.2	17.4	27.2
4 - 1 · 1	11.	Kuala Ketil	0	0	0	'O	0
	12.	Baling	0	O	0	. 0	0
	13.	Kulim	0.	0.2	0.9	2.0	3.1
	14.	Karangan	0 .	0	0	0	0
	Keda	in Total	. 0	4.8	23.5	49.7	78.0
P. Pinang	15.	Perai	0	8.4	29.3	54.8	92.5
1 1	16.	P. Pinang	0	6.2	21.5	40.1	67.8
	Р. Р	inang Total	0	14.6	50.8	94.9	160.3
P.K.P. Tota	1		0	20.3	78.3	152.8	251.4

Remark; (1): In 1983 constant price

Table 27 ESTIMATED OVERALL ECONOMIC CONSTRUCTION COST FOR WATER SUPPLY

State	Water	Supply Region	4MP	5MP	6мР	7MP	Total
						00.	a mm. e
Perlis	1.	Kangar	15.5	60.7	72.0	29.4	177.6
	2.	Cuping	0.3	0.8	1.0	0.5	2.6
	Per1	is Total	15.8	61.5	73.0	29.9	180.2
Kedah	3.	Changlun	1.1	2.7	1.9	0.8	6.5
	4.	Alor-Setar	43.4	196.5	and the second s	99.1	583.0
	5.	Kuala Nerang	3.6	8.2		2.5	20.2
	6.	Pendang	11.8	43.3	48.8	20.0	123.9
	7.	Jeneri	2.5	5.1	4.5	2.1	14.2
		Jeniang	2.5	5.5	5.2	2.4	15.6
	9.	Sik	1.5	3.7			9.5
	10.	Sg. Petani	23.0	119.7	155.9	63.1	361.7
	11.	Kuala Ketil	3.0	8.9	9.4	4.2	25.5
	12.	Baling	4.0	9.4	Harris Harris		25.2
	13.	Kulim	6.1	24.1	28.5	11.5	70.2
	14.	Karangan	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.2	1.7
	Keda	h Total	102.8	427.8	515.8	210.8	1,257.2
P. Pinang	15.	Perai	97.2	383.4	458.4	183.8	1,122.8
_	16.	P. Pinang	66.0	268.4	and the second second	130.5	790.6
	P. P	inang Total	163.2	651.8	784.1	314.3	1,913.4
P.K.P. Tot	al		281.8	1,141.1	1,372.9	555.0	3,350.8

Remark: Overall cost comprises public water supply cost and private water supply cost

Table 28 ESTIMATED OVERALL ECONOMIC
O&M COST FOR WATER SUPPLY

Unit: M\$10⁶

		4		and the second second		•
State	Water Supply Region	4MP	5MP	6мР	7мР	Total
Perlis	1. Kangar	0.0	2.6	8.7	15.9	27, 2
	2. Cuping	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3
	Perlis Total	0.0	2.6	8.8	16.1	27.5
Kedah	3. Changlun	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	1.2
	4. Alor-Setar	0.0	7.4	26.9	51.3	85.6
	5. Kuala Nerang	0.0	0.6	1.4	2.0	4.0
e de la electrica. La filología (1944)	6. Pendang	0.0	2.0	6.3	11.2	19.5
	7. Jeneri	0.0	0.4	1.0	1.4	2.8
	8. Jeniang	0.0	0.4	1.0	1.5	2.9
	9. Sik	0.0	0.3	0.6	0.9	1.8
	10. Sg. Petani	0.0	3.9	15.8	31.4	51.1
	ll. Kuala Ketil	0.0	0.5	1.4	2.4	4.3
	12. Baling	0.0	0.7	1.6	2.4	4.7
	13. Kulim	0.0	1.0	3.4	6.3	10.7
	14. Karangan	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4
	Kedah Total	0.0	17.5	59.9	111.6	189.0
P. Pinang	15. Perai	0.0	16.2	54.6	100.4	171.2
	16. P. Pinang	0.0	11.0	37.9	70.4	119.3
	P. Pinang Total	0.0	27.2	92.5	170.8	290.5
P.K.P. Total		0.0	47.3	161.2	298.5	507.0

Remark: Overall cost comprises public water supply cost and private water supply cost.

REVISED D&I WATER DEMAND Table 29

	4.4	Estimates in the Previous Section			Unit: $10^6 \mathrm{m}^3$ Revised Estimate		
		Industria			Industria	***************************************	
1990							
Perlis	9.3	3.6	12.9	9.3	3.6	12.9	
Kedah	61.5	23.1	84.6	61.5	23.1	84.6	
Pulau Pinang	80.6	123.3	203.9	80.6	107.0	187.6	
Region Total	151.4	150.0	301.4	151.4	133.7	285.1	
2000				er i de la companya d			
Perlis	14.6	15.5	30.1	14.6	15.5	12.9	
Kedah	99.3	100.2	199.5	99.3	100.2	84.6	
Pulau Pinang	141.9	270.1	412.0	141.9	188.1	330.0	
Region Total	255.8	385.8	641.6	255.8	303.8	559.6	

FIGURES



