#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR PENINSULAR MALAYASIA

Item		Amount
National Economic Development		
1.1 Economic Benefit	4 M	
Power generation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	344
1.2 Economic Cost		
Dam & power facilities	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	107
1.3 EIRR	(%)	22
. Environmental Quality		
2.1 Beneficial Effect		
Surface area of reservoir created	(km <sup>2</sup> )	1,170
2.2 Adverse Effect		
Number of sites where kind of fish might be reduced being located immediately downstream of dam	(nos. of site)	13
. Social Well-being		
3.1 Adverse Effect		
Number of people to be removed for , construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	23
Remarks; (1): Figures in this table cov Trengganu and Kelantan.	ver 3 States, i.	e. Pah <i>a</i> ng,
(2): Economic benefit other the is not shown here, but in and supply account.	ncluded in the w	ater demand
	atti yana sa para sa Ta	
and a second second Second second second Second second		
		and the second

BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN PERLIS/KEDAH/P. PINANG UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
1.		onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Irrigation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	62
		D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	83
		Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	6
		Reservoir recreation Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	<u>4</u> 155
	1.2	Economic Cost	(	
			(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	30
		Irrigation D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	73
		Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	6
		Dams, barrages & diversion facilities	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	27
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	136
	1.3	EIRR	(%)	11
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Safe maintenance flow period (2000)		See Table
		Surface area of lake created	(km <sup>2</sup> )	49
	2.2	Adverse Effect		
		Possible reduction in kind of fish immediately downstream of dams and		
		barrages	(nos. of site)	7.
3.	Soci	al Well-being		
	3.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Number of farm households benefited by proposed irrigation in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	75
	÷.	Number of people served by proposed public water supply in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	2,747
		Safe supply period (2000)		See Table
	3.2	Adverse Effect		· · ·
		Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>2</sup> )	4

benefit.

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN PERLIS/KEDAH/P, PINANG UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
1.	Nati	ional Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Sewerage Saving in pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	9
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	24
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Sewerage Private purification facilities <u>/</u> 2 Pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	20 1 1
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	22
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effects		
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 10 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition (Study length = 314 km)	(km)	314/272 <mark>/1</mark>
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 5 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition (Study length = 314 km)	(km)	293/242 <u>/1</u>
	2.2	Adverse Effect		
3.	Soci	al Well-Being		
	3.1	Beneficial Effects	ante. A constante de la constante de	
		Number of people served by proposed sewerage system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	404
	3.2	Adverse Effect	et de la constante de la const La constante de la constante de La constante de la constante de	•
	Rem	arks; <u>/1</u> : (Length of river stretch with Pro (Length of river stretch without ) and including the river stretch in	Project)	of Perak
		/2: Including the rubber factories and in such part of the State of Peral in Basin 8 and 9.		

BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN PERLIS/KEDAH/ P. PINANG UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amoun
1. N	lati	onal Economic Development		
1	1.1	Economic Benefit Damage reduction	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3.9
1	L.2	Economic Cost Flood mitigation work	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	4.0
]	1.3	EIRR	(%)	8
2. E	Envi	ronmental Quality		
2	2.1	Beneficial Effect Length of improved stretch	(km)	116
2	2.2	Adverse Effect		-
3. 5	Soci	al Well-Being		
3	3.1	Beneficial Effect		
·		Number of protected people by proposed facilities in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	92
		Population served by proposed flood warning system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	24
		Area relieved from flood hazards	(km <sup>2</sup> )	16
3	3.2	Adverse Effect		
· .		Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	6

P--230

Table 245BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED<br/>WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN<br/>PERAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER<br/>ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
. •	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Irrigation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	16
		D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	62
		Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
		Reservoir recreation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
		Total	(M\$106)	82
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Irrigation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	5
		D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	57
		Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
		Dams, barrages & diversion facilities	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	5
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	69
	1.3	EIRR	(%)	12
			· · · ·	
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Safe maintenance flow period (2000)		See Table
		Surface area of lake created	(km <sup>2</sup> )	7
	2.2	Adverse Effect		
		Possible reduction in kind of fish		
		immediately downstream of dams and	(man of oft all	2
		barrages	(nos. of site)	3
3.	Soci	al Well-being		
	3.1	Beneficial Effect	•	
		Number of farm households benefited		
		by proposed irrigation in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	29
		Number of people served by proposed	t statistics se	4 F
		public water supply in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	2,089
		Safe supply period (2000)		See Table
	3.2	Adverse Effect		
		Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>2</sup> )	1
		arks; All effects by proposed hydropow except irrigation, D&I water sup benefit.	er project are ply and reserve	not shown pir recreati

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN PERAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
1.	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Sewerage Saving in pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$106) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	5
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	6
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Sewerage Private purification facilities <u>/</u> 2 Pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	22 1 0
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	23
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effects		
·		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 10 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition (Study length = 510 km)	(km)	510/472 <u>/1</u>
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 5 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition (Study length = 510 km)	(km)	510/385 <u>/1</u>
	2.2	Adverse Effect		-
3.	Soci	al Well-Being	·	
	3.1	Beneficial Effects		
		Number of people served by proposed sewerage system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	446
	3.2	Adverse Effect	•	-
	Rem	<ul> <li>arks; <u>/1</u>: (Length of river stretch with Project (Length of river stretch without Project and including the river stretch in of P.Pinang and Selangor.</li> <li><u>/2</u>: Including the rubber factories and in such part of the State of P.Pinang located in Basin 8, 9 and 11.</li> </ul>	roject) the State palm oil	mills

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN PERAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
1.	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Damage reduction	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	7.6
	1.2	Economic Cost		
	•	Flood mitigation work	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	8.9
	1.3	EIRR	(%)	6.6
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Length of improved stretch	(km)	13
	2.2	Adverse Effect		. –
3.	Soci	al Well-Being		
	3.1	Beneficial Effect		· .
		Number of protected people by proposed facilities in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	268
		Population served by proposed flood warning system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	168
		Area relieved from flood hazards	(km <sup>2</sup> )	95
	3.2	Adverse Effect		
-		Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	4

P-233

Table 248BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED<br/>WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN<br/>SELANGOR UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER<br/>ECONOMIC GROWTH

ational Economic Development		
.1 Economic Benefit		
Irrigation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	0
D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	257
Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	4
Reservoir recreation		6
Total	(M\$106)	269
.2 Economic Cost		
Irrigation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	. 0
	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	223
Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	4
Dams, barrages & diversion facilities	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	31
Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	258
.3 EIRR	(%)	11
nvironmental Quality		
		See Table
Surface area of lake created	(km <sup>2</sup> )	10
.2 Adverse Effect		
Possible reduction in kind of fish immediately downstream of dams and barrages	(nos. of site)	3
ocial Well-being		
.l Beneficial Effect		
Number of farm households benefited		
by proposed irrigation in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	12
Number of people served by proposed	(103)	
	(10~)	4,775
		See Table
.2 Adverse Effect		
Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>2</sup> )	4
	<ul> <li>Reservoir recreation Total</li> <li>2 Economic Cost Irrigation D&amp;I water supply Fish culture Dams, barrages &amp; diversion facilities Total</li> <li>3 EIRR</li> <li>a EIRR</li> <li>a Beneficial Effect</li> <li>Safe maintenance flow period (2000) Surface area of lake created</li> <li>2 Adverse Effect</li> <li>Possible reduction in kind of fish immediately downstream of dams and barrages</li> <li>becial Well-being</li> <li>Beneficial Effect</li> <li>Number of farm households benefited by proposed irrigation in 2000</li> <li>Number of people served by proposed public water supply in 2000</li> <li>Safe supply period (2000)</li> <li>Adverse Effect</li> <li>Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities</li> </ul>	Reservoir recreation       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         Total       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         .2 Economic Cost       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         Irrigation       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         D&I water supply       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         Fish culture       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         Dams, barrages & diversion facilities       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         Dams, barrages & diversion facilities       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         Total       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         Total       (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )         .3 EIRR       (%)         .1 Beneficial Effect       (%)         Safe maintenance flow period (2000)       Surface area of lake created         .1 Beneficial Effect       (mos. of site)         .2 Adverse Effect       Possible reduction in kind of fish immediately downstream of dams and barrages         .1 Beneficial Effect       (mos. of site)         .2 Mumber of farm households benefited by proposed irrigation in 2000       (10 <sup>3</sup> )         Number of people served by proposed public water supply in 2000       (10 <sup>3</sup> )         Safe supply period (2000)       .2         .2 Adverse Effect       Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities

recreation benefit,

BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF Table 249 RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN SELANGOR UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH Item Amount National Economic Development 1. Economic Benefit 1.1 43 (M\$106) Sewerage 0 Saving in pre-treatment for D&I water supply (M\$10<sup>6</sup>) (M\$10<sup>6</sup>) 43 Total 1.2 Economic Cost  $(M$10^{6})$ 105 Sewerage (M\$10<sup>6</sup>) Private purification facilities/2 2 0 (M\$10<sup>6</sup>) Pre-treatment for D&I water supply 107 (M\$10<sup>6</sup>) Total 2. Environmental Quality 2.1 Beneficial Effects Length of river stretch where BOD concentration is not more than 10 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition 448/372/1 (Study length = 497 km) (km) Length of river stretch where BOD concentration is not more than 5 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition 378/232<u>/1</u> (Study length = 497 km) (km) 2.2 Adverse Effect 3. Social Well-Being 3.1 Beneficial Effects Number of people served by proposed  $(10^3)$ sewerage system in 2000 2,873 3.2 Adverse Effect (Length of river stretch with Project)/ Remarks; /1: (Length of river stretch without Project) and including the river stretch in the State of Perak and N.Sembilan Including the rubber factories and palm oil mills /2: in such part of the State of Perak and N.Sembilan as located in Basin 11, 16 and 17

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN SELANGOR UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

·	Item	·····	Amount
l. Na	tional Economic Development		
1.	l Economic Benefit		
	Damage reduction	(m\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2.2
1.	2 Economic Cost		
	Flood mitigation work	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	5.3
1.	3 EIRR	(%)	2.6
2. En	vironmental Quality		
2.	1 Beneficial Effect		
	Length of improved stretch	(km)	36
2.	2 Adverse Effect		~
3. So	cial Well-Being		
3.	l Beneficial Effect		
	Number of protected people by proposed facilities in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	204
	Population served by proposed flood warning system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	131
	Area relieved from flood hazards	(km <sup>2</sup> )	3
3.	2 Adverse Effect		
	Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	1

P-236

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN N. SEMBILAN/MELAKA/NORTHWEST JOHOR UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
,	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Irrigation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	5
		D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	67
		Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3
		Reservoir recreation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	1
		Total	(M\$106)	76
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Irrigation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
		D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	38
		Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3
		Dams, barrages & diversion facilities	<u>(M\$10<sup>6</sup>)</u>	29
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	72
	1.3	EIRR	(%)	, 9
	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effect	· · ·	
		Safe maintenance flow period (2000)		See Table
	:	Surface area of lake created	(km <sup>2</sup> )	13
	2.2	Adverse Effect		
		Possible reduction in kind of fish		
		immediately downstream of dams and		
		barrages	(nos. of site)	3
	Soci	al Well-being		н Н
	3.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Number of farm households benefited		
		by proposed irrigation in 2000	$(10^{3})$	9
		Number of people served by proposed public water supply in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	1,734
		Safe supply period (2000)		See Table
	3.2	Adverse Effect		
		Number of people to be removed for		
		construction of facilities	(10 <sup>2</sup> )	2
	Rem	arks; All effects by proposed hydropo except irrigation, D&I water su recreation benefit,		

Table 252BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF<br/>RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN<br/>IN N. SEMBILAN/MELAKA/NORTHWEST JOHOR<br/>UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
1.	Natio	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Sewerage Saving in pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	5 28
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	33
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Sewerage Private purification facilities/2 Pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	18 2 15
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	35
2.	Envir	onmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effects		
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 10 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition		
		(Study length = 853 km)	(km)	795/573 <u>/</u>
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 5 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition	an an trug An	
• •		(Study length = 853 km)	(km)	736/4444
	2.2	Adverse Effect		-
3.	Socia	1 Well-Being		
	3.1	Beneficial Effects		
	· .	Number of people served by proposed sewerage system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	423
	3.2	Adverse Effect		-
	Rema	arks; <u>/1</u> : (Length of river stretch with Proj (Length of river stretch without P and including the river stretch in State of Selangor and Pahang <u>/2</u> : Including the rubber factories and in such part of the State of Selan Pahang as located in Basin 16, 17,	roject) the palm oil gor, Joho	r and

	Tab	1e 253 BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFE FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN N. NORTHWEST JOHOR UNDER THE CO ECONOMIC GROWTH	SEMBILAN/MELAKA/	
		Item		Amount
	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Damage reduction	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2.6
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Flood mitigation work	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2.0
	1.3	EIRR	(%)	10
•	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Length of improved stretch	(km)	113
	2.2	Adverse Effect		
•	Soci	al Well-Being		. •
	3.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Number of protected people by proposed facilities in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	161
		Population served by proposed flood warning system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	42
		Area relieved from flood hazards	(km <sup>2</sup> )	19
	3.2	Adverse Effect		· · ·
		Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	2
			na an ann an Stàiteann. Taiteann	•
	. • .			

P-239

~ **L**J,

# Table 254BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED<br/>WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN<br/>JOHOR UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER<br/>ECONOMIC GROWTH

1.	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Irrigation	(m\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	10
		D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	82
		Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	. 4
		Reservoir recreation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	103
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Irrigation	(m\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	4
		D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	70
		Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	4
		Dams, barrages & diversion facilities	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	. 9
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	87
	1.3	EIRR	(%)	11
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effect		• •
		Safe maintenance flow period (2000)		See Table
		Surface area of lake created	(km <sup>2</sup> )	85
	2.2	Adverse Effect		
	-	Possible reduction in kind of fish immediately downstream of dams and barrages	(nos. of site)	6
3.	Soci	al Well-being		
	3.1	Beneficial Effect		·
		Number of farm households benefited by proposed irrigation in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	4
		Number of people served by proposed public water supply in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	2,423
		Safe supply period (2000)		See Table
	3.2	Adverse Effect		
		Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>2</sup> )	3

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN JOHOR UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
1.	Nati	onal Economic Development	Ф.,	÷
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Sewerage Saving in pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$106) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	7
		Total	(m\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	28
1	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Sewerage Private purification facilities <u>/</u> 2 Pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	21 3 8
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	32
2. E	Envi	ronmental Quality		
2	2.1	Beneficial Effects		
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 10 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition (Study length = 846 km) Length of river stretch where BOD concen-	(km)	832/4464
	·	tration is not more than 5 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition (Study length = 846 km)	(km)	753/232L
- 2	.2	Adverse Effect		-
• S	ocia	l Well-Being		•
3	•1	Beneficial Effects	e gan E e e	
		Number of people served by proposed sewerage system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	570
3	• 2	Adverse Effect		· <b>-</b>
	· · · ·			
	Rema	rks; <u>/1</u> : (Length of river stretch with Projec (length of river stretch without Pro and including the river stretch in t of N.Sembilan, Malaka and Pahang	ject)	
		<u>/</u> 2: Including the rubber factories and p in such part of the State of N.Sembi and Pahang as located in Basin 20, 2	lan, Mal	aka

.

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN JOHOR UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

. .

and the second s

	·	Item		Amount
1.	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit Damage reduction	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3.6
. 3	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Flood mitigation work	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	7.6
	1.3	EIRR	(%)	3.5
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Length of improved stretch	(km)	154
	2.2	Adverse Effect		-
3.	Soci	al Well-Being		
	3.1	Beneficial Effect		÷
		Number of protected people by proposed facilities in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	102
		Population served by proposed flood warning system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	31
		Area relieved from flood hazards	(km <sup>2</sup> )	31
	3.2	Adverse Effect		
		Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	3
		en e		

P-242

	Tab	1e 257	BENEFICIAL AND ADV WATER DEMAND AND S PAHANG UNDER THE C ECONOMIC GROWTH	UPPLY BALAN	CE PLAN IN	NDE D
			Item			Amount
				······································		
1.			omic Development			· ·
	1.1	Economic	Benefit		<b>6</b>	
		Irrigati			(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	38
		D&l wate Fish cul	r supply		(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	69
			r recreation		(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	7
		Total			(M\$106)	119
	1.2	Economic	Cost			
		1. A. A.			(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	18
		Irrigati D&T_wate	r supply		(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	68
		Fish cul			(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	7
			rrages & diversion fa	acílities	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3
		Total			(M\$106)	96
	1.3	EIRR			(%)	11
2.	Enví	ronmental	Quality			
	2.1	2	al Effect		· .	
		1. Sec. 1. Sec	ntenance flow period	(2000)		See Table
•			area of lake created	1. Sec. 1. Sec	(km <sup>2</sup> )	7
	2.2	Adverse		- · ·		, г.
	2.12	· · · ·	reduction in kind o	ffich		· · ·
	·		ately downstream of a	dams and	nos. of site)	2
3.	Soci	al Well-b	eing			•
	3.1		al Effect			
			f farm households ber	nefited		
			posed irrigation in 2		(10 <sup>3</sup> )	15
			f people served by p water supply in 2000		(10 <sup>3</sup> )	1,589
		Safe sup	ply period (2000)			See Table
	3.2	Adverse	Effect	•		art
			f people to be remove uction of facilities	ed for	(10 <sup>2</sup> )	1
	Rem	ex	l effects by proposed cept irrigation, D&I nefit.			

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN PAHANG UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
1.	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Sewerage Saving in pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$106) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3 17
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	20
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Sewerage Private purification facilities <u>/</u> 2 Pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	7 2 20
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	29
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effects		
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 10 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition	4. <sup>- 1</sup>	
		(Study length = 974 km)	(km)	974/759
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 5 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition (Study length = 974 km)	(km)	971/585 <sup>_</sup>
	2.2	Adverse Effect		. –
3.	Soci	al Well-Being		
		Beneficial Effects		
	<b>ـد • د</b> ـ	Number of people served by proposed	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	352
	÷.	sewerage system in 2000	(10-)	, <b>200</b>
·	3.2	Adverse Effect		
			an a	
	Rem	arks; <u>/1</u> : (Length of river stretch with Proj (Length of river stretch without P and including the river stretch in N. Sembilan and Johor	roject)	2 of
		/2: Including the rubber factories and in such part of the State of N.Sem as located in Basin 21, 27 and 28		
		D 0//		

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN PAHANG UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item	<u> </u>	Amoun
- •	Nati	onal Economic Development	· .	
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Damage reduction	(N\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3.6
÷	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Flood mitigation work	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	13.3
	1.3	EIRR	(%)	0.6
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Length of improved stretch	(km)	6
	2.2	Adverse Effect		-
3.	Soci	al Well-Being	$(1,1) \in [1,1] \times [1,1]$	
	3.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Number of protected people by proposed facilities in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	89
		Population served by proposed flood warning system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	107
		Area relieved from flood hazards	(km <sup>2</sup> )	5
	3.2	Adverse Effect		
		Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	. 3
			and a second	
	· .		1997 - Alexandri Santari, ang santari Santari santari santari santari santari	

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN TRENGGANU UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

nomic Development : Benefit ion er supply lture ir recreation : Cost ion er supply lture arrages & diversion facilities I Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (X\$)	6 27 1 0 34 4 27 1 0 32 10 See Table
ion er supply Lture ir recreation c Cost ion er supply Lture arrages & diversion facilities l Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	27 1 0 34 4 27 1 0 32 10
ion er supply Lture ir recreation c Cost ion er supply Lture arrages & diversion facilities l Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	27 1 0 34 4 27 1 0 32 10
er supply Lture ir recreation c Cost ion er supply Lture arrages & diversion facilities l Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	27 1 0 34 4 27 1 0 32 10
lture ir recreation c Cost ion er supply lture arrages & diversion facilities l Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	1 0 34 4 27 1 0 32 10
ir recreation c Cost ion er supply lture arrages & diversion facilities l Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	0 34 4 27 1 0 32 10
e Cost ion er supply lture arrages & diversion facilities l Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	4 27 1 0 32 10
ion er supply lture arrages & diversion facilities l Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	27 1 0 32 10
er supply lture arrages & diversion facilities l Quality Lal Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	27 1 0 32 10
er supply lture arrages & diversion facilities l Quality Lal Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	1 0 32 10
arrages & diversion facilities l Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	0 32 10
l Quality ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(M\$106)	32 10
ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)		10
ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	(%)	
ial Effect intenance flow period (2000)	ari Ang Ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	See Table
intenance flow period (2000)		See Table
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		See Table
area of lake created	(km <sup>2</sup> )	<u> </u>
Effect	· ·	
e reduction in kind of fish		
iately downstream of dams and		
ges	(nos. of site)	
peing		
ial Effect		
of farm households benefited	1	
	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	9
of people served by proposed	•	2
c water supply in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	938
oply period (2000)		See Table
Effect		
	(10 <sup>2</sup> )	-
	being ial Effect of farm households benefited oposed irrigation in 2000 of people served by proposed c water supply in 2000 oply period (2000) Effect of people to be removed for ruction of facilities	being ial Effect of farm households benefited oposed irrigation in 2000 (10 <sup>3</sup> ) of people served by proposed c water supply in 2000 (10 <sup>3</sup> ) oply period (2000) Effect of people to be removed for

Table 261BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF<br/>RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT<br/>PLAN IN TRENGGANU UNDER THE CONDITION<br/>OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item		Amount
1.	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Sewerage Saving in pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$106) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
	1.2	Economic Cost	:	
		Sewerage Private purification facilities Pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> ) (M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	7 9 0
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	7
	÷			
•	Envi	ronmental Quality		2004 1
	2.1	Beneficial Effects		
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 10 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition (Study length = 267 km)	(km)	267/267 <u>/1</u>
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen- tration is not more than 5 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition (Study length = 267 km)	(km)	267/250 <u>/1</u>
	2.2	Adverse Effect		
•	Soci	al Well-Being		· ·
	3.1	Beneficial Effects		
		Number of people served by proposed sewerage system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	329
	3.2	Adverse Effect		
	Rem	arks; <u>/1</u> : (Length of river stretch with Proje (Length of river stretch without Pr		
		(Bength of fiver Stretch without fi		

Table 262	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN TRENGGANU UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

	Item		Amount
l. Na	tional Economic Development		
1.1	Economic Benefit		
	Damage reduction	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3.6
1.2	2 Economic Cost		
	Flood mitigation work	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	4.5
1.1	3 EIRR	(%)	6.1
2. Env	vironmental Quality		
2.]	Beneficial Effect		
	Length of improved stretch	(km)	71
2.2	2 Adverse Effect		
3. Soc	cial Well-Being		
3.1	Beneficial Effect		
	Number of protected people by proposed facilities in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	144
	Population served by proposed flood warning system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	44
	Area relieved from flood hazards	(km <sup>2</sup> )	31
3.2	2 Adverse Effect	•	
	Number of people to be removed		
	for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	. 6
		· · · ·	

P-248

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN KELANTAN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

	Item		Amount
Nati	onal Economic Development		· · ·
1.1	Economic Benefit		
	Irrigation	(m\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	55
	D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	34
	Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3
	Reservoir recreation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
	Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	94
1.2	Economic Cost		
	Irrigation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	31
	D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	34
	Fish culture	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	3
	Dams, barrages & diversion facilities	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
	Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	70
1.3	EIRR	(%)	12
Envi	ronmental Quality		
2.1	Beneficial Effect		•
	Safe maintenance flow period (2000)		See Table
	Surface area of lake created	(km <sup>2</sup> )	200
2.2	Adverse Effect		
	Possible reduction in kind of fish immediately downstream of dams and barrages (	nos. of site)	2
Soci	al Well-being		
3.1	Beneficial Effect		
	Number of farm households benefited by proposed irrigation in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	73
•	Number of people served by proposed public water supply in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	1,339
	Safe supply period (2000)		See Table
3.2	Adverse Effect		
•••	Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>2</sup> )	1

benefit.

#### BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN KELANTAN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

	Noti	onal Economic Development		
•	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit	te e	
		Sewerage	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
		Saving in pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	0
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	2
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Sewerage	(m\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	8
		Private purification facilities	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	0
		Pre-treatment for D&I water supply	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	0
		Total	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	8
•	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effects		
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen-		
		tration is not more than 10 mg/lit in 2000 compared with without project condition		
		(Study length = 205 km)	(km)	205/204
		Length of river stretch where BOD concen-		
		tration is not more than 5 mg/lit in 2000		
		compared with without project condition (Study length = 205 km)	(km)	205/182
		(otady rengen - 205 king	(may)	203,102
	2.2	Adverse Effect		
	•			
•	Socia	al Well-Being	· .	
	3.1	Beneficial Effects		
		Number of people served by proposed		
	•	sewerage system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	352
	3,2	Adverse Effect	ана се	·
		arks; /1: (Length of river stretch with Proj		

## Table 265BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDEDFLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN KELANTAN UNDER THE<br/>CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

		Item	<u> </u>	Amount
1.	Nati	onal Economic Development		
	1.1	Economic Benefit		
		Damage reduction	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	14.0
	1.2	Economic Cost		
		Flood mitigation work	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	9.3
	1.3	EIRR	(%)	11,5
2.	Envi	ronmental Quality		
	2.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Length of improved stretch	(km)	65
	2.2	Adverse Effect		-
3.	Soci	al Well-Being		
	3.1	Beneficial Effect		
		Number of protected people by proposed facilities in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	517
		Population served by proposed flood warning system in 2000	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	231
		Area relieved from flood hazards	(km <sup>2</sup> )	92
-	3.2	Adverse Effect		
		Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	25

₽-251

BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR PENINSULAR MALAYSIA UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH

	Item		Amount
1.	National Economic Development		
	1.1 Economic Benefit Power generation	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	270
	1.2 Economic Cost Dam & power facilities	(M\$10 <sup>6</sup> )	81
	1.3 EIRR	(%)	23
2.	Environmental Quality		
	2.1 Beneficial Effect Surface area of reservoir created	(km <sup>2</sup> )	1,064
	2.2 Adverse Effect Number of sites where kind of fish might be reduced being located immediately downstream of dam	(nos. of site)	11
3.	Social Well-being		
	3.1 Adverse Effect	<sup>1</sup>	
	Number of people to be removed for construction of facilities	(10 <sup>3</sup> )	23
	Remarks; (1): Figures in this table co Trengganu and Kelantan.	over 3 States, i.e.	Pahang,
	<ul><li>(2): Economic benefit other t</li><li>is not shown here, but i</li><li>and supply account.</li></ul>		
			. *
			· .

## PART 2 SABAH AND SARAWAK

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.	INTRODUCTION	S1
2.	INVESTMENT AND O&M COSTS	S-2
	2.1 Basic Assumptions	S-2
	2.2 Estimated Costs	S-3
3.	MANPOWER REQUIREMENT	S5
	3.1 Basic Assumptions	S-5
	3.2 Estimated Manpower Requirement	<b>S-</b> 5
4.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS	S-6
	4.1 General	S6
	4.2 National Economic Development Account	S-6
	4.2.1 Basic assumptions	S-6
	4.2.2 Estimated effects in NED account	S-8
	4.3 Environmental Quality Account	S-8
	4.3.1 Basic assumptions	S8
	4.3.2 Estimated effects in EQ account	s-9
	4.4 Social Well-being Account	S-9
	4.4.1 Basic assumptions	S-9
	4.4.2 Estimated effects in SW account	S-10
	4.5 Effects Matrices	S-10

Ŧ

#### LIST OF TABLES

		Page
1.	PRODUCTION OF FOREIGN AND LOCAL CURRENCY PORTIONS	S-11
2.	ASSUMED UNIT CONSTRUCTION COST (1/2)	<b>S-1</b> 2
3.	ASSUMED UNIT CONSTRUCTION COST (2/2)	S-13
4.	O&M COSTS	S-14
5.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DAMS AND BARRAGES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR RECOMMENDED PLANS	<b>S-1</b> 5
6.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DAMS AND BARRAGES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE B1	<b>S-1</b> 5
7.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DAMS AND BARRAGES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE B2	S-16
8.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DAMS AND BARRAGES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE B3	S-16
9.		S-17
10.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DIVERSION FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE B1	S-18
11.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DIVERSION FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE B2	S-19
12.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DIVERSION FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE B3	S-20
13.	CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN URBAN AREA BY CITY BY MP	S21
14.	CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP (1/2)	s-22
15.	CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP (2/2)	S-23

		rage
16.	CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR UNTREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP $(1/2)$	S-24
17.	CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR UNTREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP (2/2)	S~25
18.	TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PRE-TREATMENT FACILITIES BY BASIN BY MP	s25
19.	TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PRE-TREATMENT FACILITIES BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE P1	S-26
20.	TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PRE-TREATMENT FACILITIES BY BASIN BY MP UNDER WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION	S-26
21.	AREA AND INVESTMENT COST FOR MAJOR IRRIGATION SCHEMES BY SCHEME BY BASIN BY MP	S-27
22.	AREA AND INVESTMENT COST FOR MINOR IRRIGATION SCHEMES BY BASIN BY MP	S-28
23.	AREA AND INVESTMENT COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN CONSTRUCTED PONDS BY STATE BY MP	S-29
24.	AREA AND INVESTMENT COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN BY MP	S29
25.	AREA AND INVESTMENT COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE B1	s-30
26.	AREA AND INVESTMENT COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE B2	s-30
27.	AREA AND INVESTMENT COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE B3	S-31
	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR HYDROPOWER PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP	s-31
29.	TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PUBLIC SEWERAGE SYSTEMS NOT AFFECTING RIVER WATER QUALITY BY CITY/TOWN BY BASIN BY MP	S-32
30.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP	S-33
31.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE F1 (1/2)	S-34

- iii -

## Page

<ul> <li>33. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE F2</li> <li>34. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE F3</li> <li>35. SERVED POPULATION AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD FORECASTING AND WARNING SYSTEMS BY BASIN BY MP</li> <li>36. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN</li> <li>37. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE ALTERNATIVES</li> <li>38. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR FLOOD MITIGATION ALTERNATIVES</li> <li>39. ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT EXPENDITURE FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN</li> <li>39. ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT EXPENDITURE FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN</li> <li>39. ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT EXPENDITURE FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN</li> <li>39. ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT COST FOR DAMS AND BARAGES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li> <li>34. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DIVERSION FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li> <li>34. SALACTY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DIVERSION FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li> <li>34. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN URBAN AREA BY CITY BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li> <li>34. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li> <li>34. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li> <li>34. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li> <li>34. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li> </ul>	32.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE F1 (2/2)	<b>S-3</b> 5
MITIGATION PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP         FOR ALTERNATIVE F3       S-37         35. SERVED POPULATION AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD         FORECASTING AND WARNING SYSTEMS BY BASIN BY MP       S-38         36. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR         RECOMMENDED PLAN       S-39         37. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR         WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE ALTERNATIVES       S-40         38. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR         FLOOD MITIGATION ALTERNATIVES       S-41         39. ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT EXPENDITURE FOR         RECOMMENDED PLAN       S-42         40. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DAMS         AND BARRACES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE         CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-43         41. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DIVERSION         FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE         CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-44         42. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY         PROJECTS IN URBAN AREA BY CITY BY MP UNDER THE         CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-45         43. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER         SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE         CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH         CONDIT	33.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP	
FORECASTING AND WARNING SYSTEMS BY BASIN BY MP       S-38         36. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN       S-39         37. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE ALTERNATIVES       S-40         38. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR FLOOD MITICATION ALTERNATIVES       S-41         39. ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT EXPENDITURE FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN       S-41         39. ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT EXPENDITURE FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN       S-42         40. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DAMS AND BARRAGES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-43         41. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DIVERSION FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-44         42. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN URBAN AREA BY CITY BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-45         43. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-46         44. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR UNTREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-46         45. TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR UNTREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE       S-47         45. TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PUBLE THE       S-47         45. TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PRE-TR	34.	MITIGATION PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP	S-37
RECOMMENDED PLAN       S-39         37. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE ALTERNATIVES       S-40         38. ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE FOR FLOOD MITIGATION ALTERNATIVES       S-41         39. ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT EXPENDITURE FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN       S-42         40. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DAMS AND BARRACES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-43         41. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DIVERSION FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-43         42. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN URBAN AREA BY CITY BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-45         43. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-45         44. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR UNTREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-46         44. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR UNTREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-47         45. TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PRE-TREATMENT FACILITIES BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE       S-47	35.		S-38
<ul> <li>WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE ALTERNATIVES</li></ul>	36.		S39
FLOOD MITIGATION ALTERNATIVES       S-41         39. ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT EXPENDITURE FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN       S-42         40. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DAMS AND BARRACES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-43         41. PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR DIVERSION FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-43         42. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN URBAN AREA BY CITY BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-44         43. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR TREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-45         44. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR UNTREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-46         44. CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR UNTREATED WATER SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH       S-47         45. TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PRE-TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PRE-TREATMENT FACILITIES BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE	37.		S-40
<ul> <li>RECOMMENDED PLAN</li></ul>	38.		S-41
<ul> <li>AND BARRAGES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li></ul>	39.		S-42
<ul> <li>FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li></ul>	40.	AND BARRAGES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE	
<ul> <li>PROJECTS IN URBAN AREA BY CITY BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li></ul>	41.	FACILITIES BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE	S-44
<ul> <li>SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH</li></ul>	42.	PROJECTS IN URBAN AREA BY CITY BY MP UNDER THE	S-45
SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	43.	SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE	S-46
PRE-TREATMENT FACILITIES BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE	44.	SUPPLY IN RURAL AREA BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE	S-47
	45.	PRE-TREATMENT FACILITIES BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE	S-48

- iv -

Page

46.	TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST OF PRE-TREATMENT FACILITIES BY BASIN BY MP UNDER WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-48
47.	AREA AND INVESTMENT COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-49
48.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR HYDROPOWER PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	s-50
49.	TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PUBLIC SEWERAGE SYSTEMS NOT AFFECTING RIVER WATER QUALITY BY CITY/TOWN BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-51
50.	PRINCIPAL FEATURES AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY PROJECT BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	s~52
51.	SERVED POPULATION AND INVESTMENT COST FOR FLOOD FORECASTING AND WARNING SYSTEMS BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	s-53
52.	ESTIMATED PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-54
53.	ESTIMATED PUBLIC RECURRENT EXPENDITURE UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-55
54.	INVESTMENT COST FOR PRIVATE WATER SUPPLY BY BASIN BY MP	S-56
55.	PRIVATE INVESTMENT COST FOR SEWERAGE SYSTEMS NOT AFFECTING RIVER WATER QUALITY BY BASIN BY MP	S-57
56.	TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST OF PRIVATE PURIFICATION FACILITIES (PALM AND RUBBER) BY BASIN BY MP	S~58
57.	TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST FOR PRIVATE PURIFICATION FACILITIES (PALM AND RUBBER) BY BASIN BY MP FOR ALTERNATIVE P1	S-58
58.	ESTIMATED PRIVATE INVESTMENT COST FOR RECOMMENDED PLAN	S59
59.	INVESTMENT COST FOR PRIVATE WATER SUPPLY BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-60
60,	PRIVATE INVESTMENT COST FOR SEWERAGE SYSTEMS NOT AFFECTING RIVER WATER QUALITY BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-61

 $\sim$ 

- v -

4

61.	TREATMENT CAPACITY AND INVESTMENT COST OF PRIVATE PURIFICATION FACILITIES (PALM AND RUBBER) BY BASIN BY MP UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-62
62.	ESTIMATED PRIVATE INVESTMENT COST UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-63
63.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR RECOMMENDED WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN	S~64
64.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE ALTERNATIVES	<b>S-6</b> 5
65.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR RECOMMENDED HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT PLAN	S-66
66.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN	S-67
67.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN	S68
68.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR FLOOD MITIGATION ALTERNATIVES	S-69
69.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-70
70.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR RECOMMENDED HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT PLAN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	s-70
71.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	<b>S-7</b> 1
72.	ESTIMATED MANPOWER REQUIREMENT FOR FLOOD MITIGATION UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	
73.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC COST FOR WATER SOURCE DEVELOPMENT BY BASIN	s-72
74.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR D&I WATER SUPPLY BY BASIN (1/2)	s-73
75.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR D&I WATER SUPPLY BY BASIN (2/2)	S-74
76.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR MAJOR IRRIGATION SCHEMES BY BASIN	S-74

			Page
	77.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR MINOR IRRIGATION SCHEMES BY BASIN	S75
	78.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN CONSTRUCTED PONDS BY STATE	S-75
	79.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN	S-76
	80.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE B1	S-76
	81.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE B2	S-77
	82.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE B3	S-77
	83.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT FOR RESERVOIR RECREATION BY BASIN	S-78
	84.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR HYDROPOWER PROJECTS BY BASIN	S-79
	85.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR PUBLIC SEWERAGE SYSTEMS NOT AFFECTING RIVER WATER QUALITY BY BASIN	S-80
	86.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE P1	S~80
	87.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE P2	S-81
	88.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST AND EIRR FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY BASIN	S-81
-	89.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST AND EIRR FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE F1	S-82
	90.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST AND EIRR FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE F2	S-83
	91.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST AND EIRR FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE F3	S-83

92.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENT OF ECONOMIC COST FOR WATER SOURCE DEVELOPMENT BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-84
93.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR D&I WATER SUPPLY BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH (1/2)	<b>S-8</b> 5
94.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR D&I WATER SUPPLY BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH (2/2)	S86
95.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENT OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR INLAND FISHERY IN RESERVOIRS BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-87
96.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENT OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR HYDROPOWER PROJECTS BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-88
97.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST FOR PUBLIC SEWERAGE SYSTEMS NOT AFFECTING RIVER WATER QUALITY BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-88
98.	ESTIMATED ANNUAL EQUIVALENTS OF ECONOMIC BENEFIT AND COST AND EIRR FOR FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-89
99.	SAFE SUPPLY PERIOD AND SAFE RIVER MAINTENANCE FLOW PERIOD WITH RECOMMENDED STRUCTURAL MEASURES AND WITHOUT STRUCTURAL MEASURES IN 1990	Ś-89
100.	SAFE SUPPLY PERIOD AND SAFE RIVER MAINTENANCE FLOW PERIOD WITH RECOMMENDED STRUCTURAL MEASURES AND WITHOUT STRUCTURAL MEASURES IN 2000	S-90
101.	SAFE SUPPLY PERIOD AND SAFE RIVER MAINTENANCE FLOW PERIOD WITH ALTERNATIVE STRUCTURAL MEASURES AND WITHOUT STRUCTURAL MEASURES IN 1990	s-90
102.	SAFE SUPPLY PERIOD AND SAFE RIVER MAINTENANCE FLOW PERIOD WITH ALTERNATIVE STRUCTURAL MEASURES AND WITHOUT STRUCTURAL MEASURES IN 2000	S-91
103.	SURFACE AREA OF RESERVOIR CREATED IN 1990 AND 2000 BY SOURCE FACILITIES BY BASIN FOR THE STATES OF SABAH AND SARAWAK	S91
104.	SURFACE AREA OF RESERVOIR CREATED IN 1990 AND 2000	S-92

#### SURFACE AREA OF RESERVOIR CREATED IN 1990 AND 2000 105. 5-92 BY SOURCE FACILITIES BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE B2 ..... SURFACE AREA OF RESERVOIR CREATED IN 1990 AND 2000 106. BY SOURCE FACILITIES BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE B3 ..... 5-93 SURFACE AREA OF RESERVOIR CREATED IN 1990 AND 2000 107. BY HYDROPOWER FACILITIES BY BASIN ..... 5-94 LENGTH OF RIVER STRETCH WHERE BOD CONCENTRATION IS 108. NOT MORE THAN 5 PPM IN 2000 COMPARED WITH WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION BY BASIN (1/2) ..... S-95 LENGTH OF RIVER STRETCH WHERE BOD CONCENTRATION IS 109. NOT MORE THAN 5 PPM IN 2000 COMPARED WITH WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION BY BASIN (2/2) ..... 5-96 LENGTH OF RIVER STRETCH WHERE BOD CONCENTRATION IS 110. NOT MORE THAN 5 PPM IN 2000 COMPARED WITH WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE P1 (1/2) ..... S-97 LENGTH OF RIVER STRETCH WHERE BOD CONCENTRATION IS 111. NOT MORE THAN 5 PPM IN 2000 COMPARED WITH WITHOUT S-98 PROJECT CONDITION BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE P1 (2/2) . . . . . . . . LENGTH OF RIVER STRETCH WHERE BOD CONCENTRATION IS 112. NOT MORE THAN 5 PPM IN 2000 COMPARED WITH WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE P2 (1/2) ..... S-99 LENGTH OF RIVER STRETCH WHERE BOD CONCENTRATION IS 113. NOT MORE THAN 5 PPM IN 2000 COMPARED WITH WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE P2 (2/2) ..... S-100 BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION 114. S-101 PROJECTS IN 1990 BY BASIN ..... BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS 115. BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS 116. IN 1990 BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE F2 .... S-102 BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS 117. BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION 118. PROJECTS IN 2000 BY BASIN ..... S-103 BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS 119. BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS 120. IN 2000 BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE F2 ..... S-105

1 A A		
121.	BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS IN 2000 BY BASIN FOR ALTERNATIVE F3	S~105
122.	SAFE SUPPLY PERIOD AND SAFE RIVER MAINTENANCE FLOW WITH/WITHOUT RECOMMENDED STRUCTURAL MEASURES UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH IN 1990	S-106
123.	SAFE SUPPLY PERIOD AND SAFE RIVER MAINTENANCE FLOW WITH/WITHOUT RECOMMENDED STRUCTURAL MEASURES UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH IN 2000	S-106
124.	SURFACE AREA OF RESERVOIR CREATED IN 1990 AND 2000 BY SOURCE FACILITIES BY BASIN FOR THE STATES OF SABAH AND SARAWAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-107
125.	SURFACE AREA OF RESERVOIR CREATED IN 1990 AND 2000 BY HYDROPOWER FACILITIES BY BASIN FOR THE STATES OF SABAH AND SARAWAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-108
126.	LENGTH OF RIVER STRETCH WHERE BOD CONCENTRATION IS NOT MORE THAN 5 PPM IN 2000 COMPARED WITH WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH (1/2)	S-109
127.	LENGTH OF RIVER STRETCH WHERE BOD CONCENTRATION IS NOT MORE THAN 5 PPM IN 2000 COMPARED WITH WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH (2/2)	S-110
128.	BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS IN 1990 BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	<b>S-11</b> 1
129.	BENEFICIAL EFFECTS OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS IN 2000 BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-111
130.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE SERVED BY PROPOSED PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN 1990 AND 2000 BY CITY/RURAL BY BASIN (1/3)	S-112
131.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE SERVED BY PROPOSED PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN 1990 AND 2000 BY CITY/RURAL BY BASIN (2/3)	S-113
132.		S-114

Page

- x -

133.	NUMBER OF FARM HOUSEHOLDS BENEFITED BY PROPOSED MAJOR IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT IN 1990 AND 2000	-114
134.	NUMBER OF FARM HOUSEHOLDS BENEFITED BY PROPOSED MINOR IRRIGATION DEVELOPMENT IN 1990 AND 2000	·115
135.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE SERVED BY PROPOSED PUBLIC SEWERAGE SYSTEMS NOT AFFECTING RIVER WATER QUALITY IN 1990 AND 2000 BY SYSTEM BY BASIN	-116
136.	POPULATION SERVED BY PROPOSED FLOOD FORECASTING AND WARNING SYSTEMS IN 1990 AND 2000 BY BASIN	-116
137.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO BE REMOVED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF RECOMMENDED SOURCE FACILITIES IN 2000 BY FACILITIES BY BASIN	-117
138.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO BE REMOVED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF SOURCE FACILITIES IN 2000 BY FACILITIES BY BASIN BY ALTERNATIVE	.117
139.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO BE REMOVED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF HYDROPOWER FACILITIES IN 2000 BY BASIN	118
140.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO BE REMOVED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY 2000 BY BASIN	118
141.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO BE REMOVED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY 2000 BY BASIN BY ALTERNATIVE	119
142.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE SERVED BY PROPOSED PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN 1990 AND 2000 BY CITY/RURAL BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH (1/3) S-	120
143.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE SERVED BY PROPOSED PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN 1990 AND 2000 BY CITY/RURAL BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH (2/3) S-	121
144.	WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS IN 1990 AND 2000 BY CITY/RURAL BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH (3/3)	122
145.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE SERVED BY PROPOSED PUBLIC SEWERAGE SYSTEMS NOT AFFECTING RIVER WATER QUALITY IN 1990 AND 2000 BY SYSTEM BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	123

Page

Page	
------	--

			Page
	146.	POPULATION SERVED BY PROPOSED FLOOD FORECASTING	* uge
		SYSTEMS AND WARNING IN 1990 AND 2000 BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-123 .
	147.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO BE REMOVED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF SOURCE FACILITIES IN 2000 BY FACILITIES BY BASIN FOR THE STATES OF SABAH AND SARAWAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-124
	148.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO BE REMOVED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF HYDROPOWER FACILITIES IN 2000 BY BASIN FOR THE STATES OF SABAH AND SARAWAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-124
	149.	NUMBER OF PEOPLE TO BE REMOVED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD MITIGATION PROJECTS BY 2000 BY BASIN UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-125
	150.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN SABAH	S126
	151.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT PLAN IN SABAH	S-127
	152.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN SABAH	S-128
	153.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN SABAH	S-129
	154.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN SARAWAK	S-130
	155.	HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT PLAN IN SARAWAK	S-131
	156.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN SARAWAK	S-132
-	157.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN SARAWAK	S-133
·	158.	WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN SABAH UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	s-134
	159.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT PLAN IN SABAH UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	s-135
	160.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN SABAH UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	s-136
		- x11 -	

Page

161.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN SABAH UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-137
162.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY BALANCE PLAN IN SARAWAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S~138
163.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT PLAN IN SARAWAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-139
164.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT PLAN IN SARAWAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	s-140
165.	BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF RECOMMENDED FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN IN SARAWAK UNDER THE CONDITION OF LOWER ECONOMIC GROWTH	S-141

# SPECIAL ABBREVIATIONS

0&M	:	Operation and maintenance
ETRR		Economic internal rate of

Economic internal rate of return

# INTRODUCTION

1.

The Water Resources Development and Use Plan has been prepared to give a general direction of water resources development in Malaysia, identifying future problems and needs and availability of water and other resources, based on analysis and interpretation of readily available data and information, rather than dealing with specific projects.

The main objective of this Report, Public Expenditure and Beneficial and Adverse Effects, is to describe the frameworks for estimating effects, both beneficial and adverse, to be derived from the proposed projects and estimating public expenditure comprising development (investment) and recurrent (O&M), and manpower requirement and to illustrate the estimated effects and requirements with fuller details. The estimates were given not only for the recommended plans but for the alternative plans in order to give the decision makers the idea of relative magnitude of effects and requirements, resulting from various choices. The methodologies for deriving effects and requirements are explained in more detail in the respective Sectoral Reports.

The effects and requirements are also given for the Water Resources Development and Use Plan under the condition of lower economic growth; another possibility for the future water resources development.

The estimated figures in this Report were given only to show the order of magnitude. The effects and requirements should be estimated in more detail with additional data and information before judging the viability of specific projects.

S-1

In this Report, private development costs were also given for reference.

# 2. INVESTMENT AND O&M COSTS

#### 2.1 Basic Assumptions

The investment costs comprise i) direct investment cost, ii) engineering and administration cost, iii) land acquisition cost, and iv) physical contingency. It is noted that the costs at this stage are only notional just to indicate the order of magnitude.

Costs of equipment, materials and labor locally available were estimated at 1980 end price level based on the actual costs (contract amount) and previous estimates for similar projects in Malaysia. For updating the costs, the following escalation rates were assumed:

1976 through	1978	0%	p.a.
1979 through	1980	27%	p.a.

The prices of internationally traded goods and services were estimated based on the international market price at 1980 end or the IBRD projection up to 1990 where applicable. The exchange rates of US\$1 = M\$2.22 and US\$1 = ¥216, which were the average from January through December, 1980, were assumed for currency conversion.

The approximate foreign-local currency proportion by type of projects is given in Table 1 for reference.

Major unit investment costs assumed are listed in Tables 2 and 3. They are given with fuller detail in the relevant Sectoral Reports. The engineering and administration cost was assumed at 10% of the direct investment cost uniformly regardless the type of the works. Physical contingency was assumed at 30% of the sum of direct investment cost, engineering and administration cost and land acquisition cost. The investment cost was assumed to be disbursed in five years antecedent to the year of commission of the proposed facilities except that the investment costs for rural untreated water supply and inland fishery were assumed to be disbursed in one year.

The construction costs were estimated for all the facilities proposed by the Study and those of which construction was started after 1980, except that the existing budget for water supply and irrigation system in 4MP was assumed to be capable of constructing public water supply systems and irrigation facilities to the extent required up to 1985 and was not included in the expenditure for 4MP.

It was assumed that investment and O&M costs for irrigation, source development, hydropower development and flood mitigation works should sole be borne by the public sector.

Public water supply systems administered by State PWDs, Waterworks Departments and Water Authorities and these under RESP will be rapidly expanded to cover the entire population in 2000. It was assumed that all the investment and O&M costs for these systems should be borne by public agencies. Under RESP, O&M should be conducted by rural communities at their own expenses.

Considering the current practice, it was assumed for public sewerage projects that the house connection expenses should be borne by private sector in the existing town area and branch sewers and house connections expenses in the new town area.

O&M costs (recurrent expenditure) were assumed as the percentage of investment cost as shown in Table 4.

# 2.2 Estimated Costs

Estimated public investment costs by MP for the recommended plans and alternatives and for those under the condition of lower economic growth are given in the following tables with fuller detail:

(1) Dams, barrages and diversion facilities:

Tables 5 to 12

(2) Public water supply in urban area:

Table 13

(3) Treated water supply in rural area:

Tables 14 to 15

(4) Untreated water supply in rural area:

Tables 16 to 17

(5) Pre-treatment facilities:

Tables 18 to 20

(6) Irrigation:

24 J.

Tables 21 to 22

(7) Constructed pond:

Table 23

(8) Inland fishery in reservoirs:

Tables 24 to 27

Lower Economic Growth

Tables 40 to 41

Lower Economic Growth Table 42

Lower Economic Growth

Table 43

Lower Economic Growth Table 44

Lower Economic Growth Tables 45 to 46

Lower Economic Growth

Table 47

<u>s-3</u>

(9) Hydropower:

Table 28

(10) Public sewerage:

Table 29

(11) Flood mitigation:

Tables 30 to 35

They are summarized in Tables 36 to 38 and 52. Public recurrent expenditure (O&M cost) is summarized for the recommended plans in Tables 39 and 53.

Private investment costs for water supply, sewerage and palm and rubber plants purification facilities and for those under the condition of lower economic growth are given in the following tables with fuller detail:

(1) Water supply:

Table 54

(2) Sewerage:

Table 55

(3) Palm and rubber:

Tables 56 to 57

They are summarized in Tables 58 and 62.

Lower Economic Growth Table 48

Lower Economic Growth Table 49

Lower Economic Growth Tables 50 to 51

Lower Economic Growth Table 59

Lower Economic Growth Table 60

Lower Economic Growth Table 61

### 3. MANPOWER REQUIREMENT

#### 3.1 Basic Assumptions

Requirement for the government staff for construction and O&M of the relevant public facilities was estimated, assuming that consultants should be fully utilized for design and construction supervision and that construction works should be carried out by contractors. O&M was assumed to be conducted by the respective public agencies. For estimating the requirement, present level of staffing in the public sector of Malaysia and these of the advanced countries as well as the prospective automation of the facilities were taken into consideration.

Only the manpower for the management offices and construction offices required for the construction and O&M of water supply facilities proposed in this Study was considered, excluding the staffs at the headquarters.

The government staffs requirement was estimated according to the classification currently adopted in Malaysia as given hereunder:

Grade	Category		
A (Engineer):	Engineer, Superscale F Engineer, Superscale G Engineer, Senior Timescale Engineer, Timescale Quantity Surveyor		
B (Technical Assistant):	Technical Assistant, Special Grade Technical Assistant, Timescale		
C (Technician):	Special Grade Technician Timescale Technician Draftsman Grade I Draftsman Grade II		
	Stenographer Clerk Storekeeper		
D (Others):	Typist Junior Clerk Junior Storekeeper Office Boy Drivers		
	I.M.G.		

3.2 Estimated Manpower Requirement

Estimated manpower requirement by sector is given for the recommended plans and alternatives for the States of Sabah and Sarawak in Tables 63 to 68.

The figures under the condition of lower economic growth are given in Tables 69 to 72.

## 4. BENEFICIAL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS

#### 4.1 General

The beneficial and adverse effects of the recommended and alternative plans were evaluated in the following three independent accounts:

- (1) National economic development (NED),
- (2) Environmental quality (EQ), and
- (3) Social well-being (SW).

NED was estimated in terms of annual equivalents of economic benefit and cost and economic internal rate of return (EIRR), attributable to the plans.

Since EQ and SW have strong impacts on the affected population though they cannot be quantified in monetary terms, an attempt was made to quantify them, choosing appropriate indices.

4.2 National Economic Development Account

#### 4.2.1 Basic assumptions

The beneficial and adverse effects of proposed plans in the national economic development account were calculated as the annual equivalents of economic benefit and cost, assuming an evaluation period of 50 years from 1981 through 2030 and a discount rate of 8% which is considered as the minimum acceptable rate of return on the water resources development projects in Malaysia.

The prices of internationally traded goods and services were estimated based on the World Bank projection up to 1990, or the international market prices in December, 1980. The prices of locally traded goods and services were the normalized price in December, 1980. The transfer payments such as tax and local contractors' profit were deducted from financial cost. The ratio of transfer payment to the financial cost was assumed at 20% considering the ratio of tax revenue to GDP at purchasers' price in 1980.

The domestic and industrial water supply benefit was estimated based on the least-costly alternative facilities cost criteria. The water deficit was preliminarily allocated to domestic and industrial water supply and irrigation water supply in proportion to the demand. A construction schedule of dams to meet the deficit allocated to domestic and industrial water supply was thus prepared, choosing the least-costly dams among those which are not included in the recommended plan. The cost of the least-costly dams and the recommended intake, conveyance, treatment and distribution systems was regarded as the benefit derived from domestic and industrial water supply. The economic farmgate price of paddy during the evaluation period was estimated at M\$640/ton based on the projected price of 5% broken rice, FOB Bangkok. Paddy yield, gross value, production cost and net value were estimated for 1990 and 2000 by type of irrigation. The hectarage of newly reclaimed land and upgraded lands from rainfed paddy to irrigated or control drainaged paddy, single crop to double crop and minor scheme to major scheme were estimated for the future. Then the net incremental production value was obtained for without drought condition.

It was preliminarily assumed that water withdrawal for irrigation would be allowed until the river flow reduces to the level equal to the essential minimum flow during drought periods and that no further abstraction would be permitted. With this assumption, the reduction in supply of irrigation water demand was calculated for the period for which runoff record is available. The irrigation benefit was obtained by deducting the benefit reduction due to the droughts which was assumed to be proportional to the reduction in the irrigation water supply from the net incremental production value.

The fish culture benefit was estimated based on the productivity and price of fish. It was estimated at M\$2,200/ha/y for the fish pond and M\$1.9 million/reservoir/y for the cage culture in the created dam reservoir.

The benefit of reservoir recreation in the created dam reservoir was estimated as the willingness-to-pay of the visitors to the reservoir. The willingness-to-pay was measured by the travelling cost or fuel cost of the vehicles to visit the recreation area, M\$0.1/km.

Hydropower benefit was estimated by the equivalent cost of the least-costly alternative power plant. In the States of Sabah and Sarawak, gas turbine plant of 22 MW unit capacity was selected as the least-costly alternative plant considering total power demand, type and unit capacity of the power plants planned by SEB and SESCO and other relevant matters. The unit capacity value thus estimated is M\$76/kW and unit energy value is M\$0.149/kWh.

The sewerage benefit was estimated by the willingness-to-pay of the served population and saving in the cost of purification of industrial wastes. The benefit was tentatively assumed to be 0.6% of real income of served people and gross value of manufacturing production of served industries.

Pretreatment is needed if BOD concentration in raw water is more than 2 mg/lit in the case of domestic water supply and 5 mg/lit in the case of industrial water supply. The costs could be saved, if the proposed water pollution abatement measures reduce the concentration below these limits. This saving in cost was assumed to constitute a part of water pollution abatement benefit.

Under the flood mitigation benefit, only average value of reduction in annual damage by the proposed measures was counted, while land enhancement benefit was included in the irrigation benefit. It was assumed that the damageable value in the flood prone area will increase at a certain moderate rate, assuming lower growth rates for population and per capita GDP.

The economic cost was calculated as the annual equivalent of the construction cost and OMR cost. It was noted that the private sector cost of water supply facilities, purification facilities in palm oil mills and rubber factories and sewerage facilities are included in the economic cost.

The economic internal rate of return (EIRR) was obtained as the discount rate with which the present worth of benefit equals to that of cost.

4.2.2 Estimated effects in NED account

Estimated effects in NED account for the recommended plan and alternatives and those under the condition of lower economic growth are given in the following tables:

Water Demand and Supply Balance:

Tables 73 to 83

Hydropower Generation:

Table 84

Water Pollution Abatement:

Tables 85 to 87

Flood Mitigation:

Tables 88 to 91

4.3 Environmental Quality Account

4.3.1 Basic assumptions

The beneficial and adverse effects of the proposed plans in the environmental quality account are represented by the quantities of appropriate indices.

The river maintenance flow is the requisite for the conservation of river environment and adequate water use. The effect on the river maintenance flow was evaluated as the number of days when the river maintenance flow could be sustained under the condition identical with that in the driest year ever recorded.

Lower Economic Growth Tables 92 to 95

Lower Economic Growth Table 96

Lower Economic Growth Table 97

Lower Economic Growth

Table 98

The water surface of created reservoir provides favorable scenery, place of recreation and enhancement of wildlife. The beneficial effect of created reservoir was counted by the water surface area.

The clean water provides favorable scenery, place of recreation and enhancement of wildlife. The reduction in length of river stretches in which BOD concentration will be more than 5 mg/lit is regarded as the beneficial effect of water pollution abatement.

The channel improvement contributes to the stabilization of the river channel and provides favorable condition for navigation and other instream water uses. The length of improved river stretches was counted as an index showing the beneficial effect on environmental quality.

If a dam is constructed, some species of fish might disappear in certain length of river stretch immediately downstream of the dam, causing an adverse effect to ecological system, though such adverse effect could be compensated by possible cage culture in the created reservoir.

#### 4.3.2 Estimated effects in EQ account

Estimated effects in EQ for the recommended plan and alternatives and those under the condition of lower economic growth are given in the following tables:

Water Demand and Supply Balance:

Tables 99 to 106

Hydropower Generation:

Table 107

Water Pollution Abatement:

Tables 108 to 113

Flood Mitigation:

Tables 114 to 121

4.4 Social Well-being Account

4.4.1 Basic assumptions

The increased and more stable income, improved public health, saving of lives and emergency preparedness, better access to safe water, increased utility and reduced risk in water supply were counted as the beneficial

Lower Economic Growth

Tables 122 to 124

Lower Economic Growth

Table 125

Lower Economic Growth

Tables 126 to 127

Lower Economic Growth

Tables 128 to 129

S-9

effects in social well-being account. The adverse effect is the inevitable removal of people for the purpose of construction of proposed facilities.

4.4.2 Estimated effects in SW account

Estimated effects in SW account are given in the following tables:

Water Demand and Supply Balance:

Tables 99 to 102, 130 to 134, 137 to 138

Hydropower Generation:

Table 139

Water Pollution Abatement:

Table 135

Flood Mitigation:

Tables 114 to 121, 136, 140 and 141 Lower Economic Growth

Lower Economic Growth

142 to 144 and 147

Tables 122 to 123,

Table 148

Lower Economic Growth Table 145

Lower Economic Growth Tables 128 to 129, 146 and 149

#### 4.5 Effects Matrices

In order to illustrate the overall effects and impacts in the three independent accounts of the proposed plans, effects matrices were prepared for the recommended plans for the States of Sabah and Sarawak as shown in Tables 150 to 157. Those under the condition of lower economic growth are given in Tables 158 to 165.