## 3. LOGGING AND SHIFTING CULTIVATION ACTIVITIES IN FOREST LAND

## 3.1 Logging Activities

## 3.1.1 Logging activities in Sabah

During the past 25 years from 1956 to 1980,  $5,700~\rm{km^2}$  in total were logged among the Commercial Forest Reserves of 33,400 km² in Sabah. The historical record on area logged within five years by the respective Forest Reserves is as shown in Table 3.

At present, there exists 27,700 km² of unlogged area in the Commercial Forest Reserves. The Forest Department will request to formulate a land use plan providing directive for optimum land use, taking into consideration the increasing competition for land use between agriculture and forestry sectors. Under the prospective plan, delineation of forest zones is expected for the principal basis for the future forest management. According to a preliminary plan made by the Forest Department, 11 zones are designated as permanent forest reserves; nine for commercial land use forest producing timber for export and local industries: two for multiple land use forest purposing (1) environmental protection reserves for research, education, amenity aesthetic including mangrove forest, (2) national parks and virgin jungle reserves, and (3) the marginal commercial forest reserves, as shown in Tables 4 to 6.

According to the projection of wood supply made by the Sabah Forestry Department, the annual exploited area is projected to be 605 km² in 1981, 549 km² in 1982, 486 km² in 1983, 475 km² in 1984, 471 km² a year from 1985 to 1989, and 316 km² a year from 1990 to 2020. From this projection, around 8,000 km² or 30% of unlogged forest will newly be developed for exploitation purpose during the next two decades up to the year of 2000.

## 3.1.2 Logging activities in Sarawak

Up to the end of 1979, 3,560 km² or 15% of forests were exploited in the Permanent Forested Area of 23,480 km², and 3,880 km² or 25% of forests in the Licenced Area of 15,270 km² as shown in Table 7. The exploitable forested area in Sarawak totals 31,310 km². Taking into consideration the Government's policy, annual exploited area in Sarawak is assumed to be kept with the latest forest exploitation level of 450 km². Based on this assumption, approximately 9,000 km² or 30% of exploitable forest will be developed during 20 years from 1981 to 2000.

## 3.2 Shifting Cultivation

## 3.2.1 Shifting cultivation in Sabah

According to the "Land Resource Study" (Ref. 8), 834 km<sup>2</sup> or 1.2% of the total State area was recognized as shifting cultivation area as of 1973. The areal distribution of shifting cultivation by District is as shown in Table 8. About 60% of these shifting cultivation area concentrates in the Residencies of Kudat and West Coast.

Usually, hill or dry paddy is grown under shifting cultivation system. The record of hill paddy area in 1973 as shown in Table 8 indicates that approximately 140  $\rm km^2$  or 17% of shifting cultivation area is grown with hill paddy every year.

## 3.2.2 Shifting cultivation in Sarawak

The Land and Survey Department of Sarawak compiled a report of "Phanimetric Measurement of Land Use Map, Sarawak" in 1976. In this report, shifting cultivation area totals 28,530 km² and shares 23% of the whole land in Sarawak. Table 9 shows the distribution of shifting cultivation area by Division and District. In the Second and Sixth Divisions, the shifting cultivation area shares about half of its whole area. The Districts where shifting cultivation area occupies more than 50% of the District area are Bau and Serian in the First Division, Lubok Antu, Saribas and Kalaka in the Second Division, Kanowit in the Third Division and Julau in the Sixth Division.

In Sarawak, a practice of hill paddy growing is broadly prevailing in the above shifting cultivation area where at least 40,000 households or 250,000 persons of all ages of the Iban, Land Dayaks and other indigenous races are engaged in shifting culture to a large extent. As shown in Table 9, these local people annually plant an area of approximately 740 km $^2$  with hill paddy by shifting cultivation method.

#### 3.2.3 Effect of shifting cultivation

A practice of shifting cultivation is to cut, clear and burn forest land followed by growing of hill paddy for one year or continuously two years as usual. There have been serious problems caused by shifting cultivation in preserving forest resources, but a few problems have been recognized in the field of soil erosion control because the bush/fallow period is sufficiently maintained at effective levels to reduce soil erosion potentials after hill paddy planting period (Refs. 12 & 13).

As shown in Table 9, however, shifting cultivation area has already been over half of the total area in several Districts of Sarawak. Recently, due to less virgin and secondary forest areas in these Districts, the bush/fallow land has repeatedly been grown with hill paddy at short intervals. Thus, hill paddy areas where the bush/fallow period has significantly been shortened have suffered from sheet and gully erosions or from serious landslip and slumping on steeply dissected land.

#### 4. EVALUATION OF SOIL EROSION POTENTIAL

#### 4.1 General

As described in the previous Chapters, logging activities in Sabah and shifting cultivation in Sarawak have formed a contributory cause of surface soil loss in watershed regions. Aiming at quantitative evaluation of the impact of such development activities on watershed regions, various factors concerned are analyzed by using a computer model for evaluation of soil erosion potential. A flow chart of this model is illustrated in Fig. 1. Basic input factors for the above model comprise land use, elevation, slope degree, soil, surface geology, river basin division based on major river systems, river basin sub-division based on tributary system and hydrological observation records. Each input information is assessed and classified into several categories and ranges. Representative input information is schematized on a map with a square or mesh divided by grid system of 2'30" x 2'30".

As a result of application of grid system, the whole area of Sabah is divided into 3,568 meshes for Sabah including the Labuan island and 758 meshes for Banggi and other small islands. The total area is 72,850  $\rm km^2$  for the former and 850  $\rm km^2$  for the latter.

The entire area of Sarawak is divided into 5,898 meshes. The total area is  $124,400~\mathrm{km}^2$ .

#### 4.2 Outline of Input Data

#### 4.2.1 Land use classification

In Sabah, 16 land use categories are identified as a category with one mesh or more. These land use categories are combined into a more simplified system consisting of 10 categories, i.e. settlements and associated non-agricultural land, horticultural land, rubber land, other tree crop land, paddy land, shifting cultural land, improved permanent pasture and grass land, forest land, swamp and wet forest land, and unused land. Distribution of simplified land use categories by Basin is as shown in Table 10 and illustrated in Fig. 2.

In Sarawak, 16 land use categories are also identified and simplified to the above-mentioned system. The distribution by Basin is as presented in Table 10 and Fig. 2.

### 4.2.2 Ground elevation and slope degree classifications

Ground elevation is classified into every 100 m intervals and, above E1. 801 m, into 801 to 1,000 m, 1,001 to 1,500 m, 1,501 to 2,000 m and over 2,001 m as shown in Table 11 and Fig. 3. Slope degree is divided into five ranges; i.e., 0° to 2°, 3° to 6°, 7° to 12°, 13° to 20° and more than 21° as shown in Table 12 and Fig. 4.

Out of the total area in Sabah, about 40% is classified into a range with the elevation below E1, 100 m and 55% is almost flat land with the slope degree of 0° to 2°.

In Sarawak, around 45% of the whole area extends below E1. 100 m and 65% has the slope degree of 0° to 2°.

The correlation between the land use category and the ground elevation and that between the land use category and slope degree ranges are as shown in Table 13 to 16.

In Sabah, dry forest land distributes with wide range of elevation. Tree crop development activities are undertaken in areas with the elevation below El. 100 m and the slope degree of less than 2°.

In Sarawak, the existing forests extend over swamp in low-lying area below E1. 100 m and mountain with the elevation above E1. 300 m. The shifting cultivation areas concentrate into land with the elevation below E1. 200 m.

#### 4.2.3 Soil classification

Soils are divided into eight units, i.e. alluvial soils on coastal plains; alluvial soils on coastal plains and/or riverine; alluvial soils on riverine, flood plains and/or low riverine terrace; alluvial soils on intermediate and high terrace; sedentary soils on undulating plains to rolling land; sedentary soils on rolling and low hilly land; sedentary soils on hills and mountains; and urban and mined land as shown in Table 17 and Fig. 5.

In Sabah, a unit of sedentary soils on hills and mountains shares 76% of the total followed by a unit of alluvial soils on coastal plains with a share of 7% and alluvial soils on coastal plains and/or riverine with a share of 6%.

In Sarawak, a unit of sedentary soils on hills and mountains shares 60% of the total followed by a unit of sedentary soils on rolling and low hilly land having a share of 18% and alluvial soils on coastal plains and/or riverine having a share of 13%.

The correlation between the land use category and the soil unit is as shown in Tables 18 and 19.

## 4.2.4 Surface geology classification

Surface geology was classified into 17 categories. For simplification, these 17 categories were combined into 10 groups. Those distribution by Basin is as shown in Table 20 and Fig. 6.

In Sabah, a category of Tertiary occupies 70% of the whole area. Other prevailing categories are Quaternary with a share of 15% and Intermediate with a share of 6%,

In Sarawak, a category of Tertiary also shares 68% followed by Quaternary and Cretaceous with a share of 18% and 9%, respectively.

#### 4.2.5 Basin division, catchment area and hydrological records

By referring to the Basin division established for water resources engineering studies, schematic Basin division map is reproduced as illustrated in Fig. 7. This Basin indicates a watershed of main stream of each river. The catchment area, Basin rainfall and surface runoff records are given by the hydrological assessment in the Study as shown in Table 21.

Total catchment area covered by a randomized mesh selected on a main river stream and its tributaries is portrayed with some ranges in Fig. 8. Combined with data on Basin rainfall and runoff ratio, stream flow discharge at the randomized mesh mentioned in the above was obtained as schematically pictured in Fig. 9.

#### 4.3 Evaluation

#### 4.3.1 Soil loss under different land use pattern

Some soil loss monitoring works have been undertaking in recent years in Malaysia, but there has been no establishment of systematic monitoring network under the national level coordination. In the "Soil Conservation, A Training Handbook, Volume 1", prepared under the National Extension Project financed by IBRD, experimental data previously made on soil loss under different land use patterns are compiled as shown in Table 22. In these data, however, soil loss born in regenerating forests after exploitation and in rubber areas extending over lands with non-erodable soils are not included. With some modifications and amendments, therefore, the unit soil loss is set up for soil erosion potential study as shown in Table 23.

#### 4.3.2 Soil erosion potential under past and present conditions

Annual volume of soil loss by Basin can be obtained by multiplying the unit soil loss by hectareage of the respective land use patterns.

The result of computation for the present land use pattern is as shown in Table 24. As it means the total annual soil loss in each Basin under the present land use pattern, the average annual soil loss is assumed to indicate a soil erosion potential. In Table 24, this potential is also tabulated by Basin.

For clarifying effect of the past forest exploitation activities on the present soil erosion potential, the average annual soil loss was estimated under the following assumption of land use pattern:
(1) urban, (2) mixed horticulture, (3) paddy, (4) forest and (5) swamp. All the present diversified crop field, shifting cultivation area, grassland, scrub forest and newly cleared land are included into natural forest area. Among perennial crop areas, coconut and sago areas are included into swamp, while all the other tree crops are considered as natural forest area. The result of estimate and the increase in soil erosion potential are as shown in Table 24.

## 4.3.3 Soil erosion potential under future condition

As described in Section 3.1, the existing forest unexploited will be opened up to large extent for the purposes of logging and agricultural land development scheme. Thus, the increase in soil erosion potential caused by the future exploitation of forest is estimated on the basis of the following cases including the case of reforestation which can be greatly expected to reduce the existing soil erosion potential;

- Case 1: Reforestation is carried out in the presently shifting cultivation area and artificial regeneration is conducted in the presently scrub forest.
- Case 2: Forest exploitation for logging purpose is conducted in the presently unploited forest extending over lands with a slope degree of  $0^{\circ}$  to  $2^{\circ}$ .
- Case 3: Forest exploitation for logging purpose is conducted in the presently unexploited forest extending over lands with a slope degree of 3° to 6°.
- Case 4: Agricultural land development scheme for rubber planting purpose is conducted in the presently unexploited forest extending over lands with a slope degree of 0° to 2°.

The results of estimate for the increase in total annual soil loss by Case by Basin are as shown in Table 25. The increase in soil erosion potential by Case by Basin is as shown in Table 26.

To identify problem areas with high soil erosion potential, the following four alternatives are reviewed by estimating the annual average surface soil loss; Alternative 1: same as Case 1, Alternative 2: Case 2 plus Case 3, Alternative 3: same as Case 3, and Alternative 4: same as Case 4 and Alternative 5: Case 3 plus Case 4. The results of estimate are as shown in Table 27.

## 4,3.4 Suspended solid concentration

The average suspended solid concentration at each estuary of 47 Basins was estimated by dividing the total annual soil loss by the total surface runoff. For this estimate, the following assumptions were made: (1) the whole volume of soil loss occurred in a Basin was delivered into a stream by surface runoff, (2) the soils delivered were thoroughly drifted down to the estuary and (3) specific gravity of soil is 1.00. In another word, both the delivery rations on a slope and in a river were assumed to be 1.0 and also no attention was paid to sediment load and bed load due to lack of useful and accurate hydrological observation data. The results of estimates for the five Alternatives and for the present land use pattern are as shown in Table 28.

The simulation analysis is carried out to visualize the change of suspended solid concentration in the respective river stretches. The results are schematically illustrated in Fig. 10 for the present land use pattern and Fig. 11 for the future land use pattern under the Alternative 5.

# 4.3.5 Effect of forest exploitation on low flow

It is well known that a part of rainfall is restored to the atomosphere through evaporation and transpiration and the remainder becomes surface runoff and groundwater. In the field of forest hydrology, the correlation between low flow and change in vegetation has not been quantitatively grasped in all over the world.

In Peninsular Malaysia, some experimental works on forest hydrology have been undertaking in two study areas being located in the Gunong Jerai Forest Reserve in Kedah and the Ulu Gombak Forest Reserve in Selangor (Refs. 17 & 18). The main objective of these experimental works is to study the effect of logging on sediment yield. According to the experimental results up to date, the study shows considerably good progress in grasping the difference of sediment yield under logging and undisturbed conditions of forest in both catchments.

The difference of low flows from natural forest and tree crop plantations has, however, not been studied yet under the above experiments. It has been believed that forest clearing results in reduction of low river flow and increase of flood discharge. Experimental records in this respect in other countries are inadequate to draw conclusions applicable to Malaysia. This aspect accordingly has not been analysed, but this does not mean that the importance of forest conservation in water resources conservation can be neglected. In this Study, therefore, discussions are concentrated into the identification of problem areas having high potential of soil erosion.

#### 5. PROBLEM AREAS AND NEEDS

## 5.1 Identification of Problem Areas

#### 5.1.1 Problem areas in Sabah

Throughout the soil erosion potential evaluation under present land use pattern, the following Basins are recognized as the Basin having the annual average surface soil loss of more than 500 tons/km²/y and the suspended solid concentration of more than 700 mg/lit at estuary; Merutai Basar, Bengkoka, Bongan, Kadamaian, Tuaran and Labuan. In addition to the above, the Basins with the annual average surface soil loss of more than 500 tons/km²/y are Brantian, Umas Umas, Silabukan, Segalid, Labuk, Paitan and Putatan.

Reforestation in the disturbed forest can reduce erosion in a long run. The annual average surface soil loss in Sabah will decrease to 80 tons/km $^2$ /y compared with the value of 510 tons/km $^2$ /y under the present land use pattern. The suspended solid concentration at estuary will be below 100 mg/lit in all the Basins except for the Bengkoka, Bongan, Kadamaian, Tuaran, Putatan and Labuan of which values range between 100 and 600 mg/lit.

If all natural forest including unexploited and regenerated ones on slope of less than 6° is disturbed, the annual average surface soil loss will increase to 500 to 3,200 tons/km²/y in all the Basins. The suspended solid concentration at estuary will be over 700 mg/lit in all the Basins except for Putatan, Papar, Kimanis and Membakut.

If natural forest exploitation activities are restricted to a land on slope of less than 2°, the annual average surface soil loss in Sabah, will reduce from 2,200 tons/km²/y in the above case to 1,600 tons/km²/y. The Basins of which annual average surface soil loss will be above 1,600 tons/km²/y are Brantian, Umas Umas, Tawau, Kalumpang, Silabukan, Segama, Kinabatangan, Segalid, Paitan, Bengkoka, Bongan, Kadamaian and Tuaran. The suspended solid concentration at estuary will be over 700 mg/lit in 18 Basins in the State. The remaining eight Basins, i.e. Labuk, Sugut, Putatan, Papar, Kimanis, Membakut, Padas and Lakutan, will have the concentration level ranging from 150 to 650 mg/lit.

If all natural forest on slope of less than 2° is cleared and then converted to rubber farm, the annual average surface soil loss will further decrease to 700 tons/km²/y in Sabah. The Brantian, Umas Umas, Merutai Besar, Kalumpang, Silabukan, Segama, Segalid, Paitan, Bengkoka, Bongan, Kadamaian, Tuaran, Putatan and Labuan Basins have still the annual average surface soil loss of higher than 700 tons/km²/y. The suspended solid concentration at estuary will be over 700 mg/lit in the Brantian, Umas Umas, Merutai Besar, Silabukan, Bengkoka, Bongan, Kadamaian, Tuaran and Labuan Basins.

As a result, the following Basins are identified as problem area, because these Basins are presently subject to soil erosion in those catchments; Merutai Basar, Bengkoka, Bongan, Kadamaian, Tuaran and Labuan. In addition, the future forest development will increase soil erosion potential in the Brantian, Umas Umas and Silabukan Basins.

## 5.1.2 Problem areas in Sarawak

Throughout the soil erosion potential evaluation under present land use pattern, the following Basins are recognized as the Basin having the annual average surface soil loss of more than 500 tons/km²/y and the suspended solid concentration of more than 700 mg/lit at estuary; Miri, Sibuti, Niah, Oya, Kerian, Saribas, Lupar, Sadong and Sarawak. In addition to the above, the Basins with the annual average surface soil loss of more than 500 tons/km²/y are Lawas, Trusan, Limbang, Baram, Kemana, Tatau, Balingian, Mukah, Rajang and Kayan.

Reforestation in the disturbed forest can reduce erosion in a long run. The annual average surface soil loss in Sarawak will decrease to 60 tons/km²/y compared with the value of 1,500 tons/km²/y under the present land use pattern. The suspended solid concentration at estuary will be below 100 mg/lit in all the Basins except for the Kerian Basin of which value is about 150 mg/lit.

If all natural forest including unexploited and regenerated ones on slope of less than  $6^{\circ}$  is disturbed, the annual average surface soil loss will increase to 1,700 to 4,500 tons/km²/y in all the Basins. The suspended solid concentration at estuary will be over 700 mg/lit in all the Basins.

If natural forest exploitation activities are restricted to a land on slope of less than 2°, the annual average surface soil loss in Sarawak will reduce from 2,900 tons/km²/y in the above case to 2,400 tons/km²/y. The Basins of which annual average surface soil loss will be above 2,400 tons/km²/y are Miri, Sibuti, Niah, Suai, Similajau, Kemana, Tatau, Mukah, Oya, Kerian, Saribas, Lupar, Sadong, Sarawak and Kayan. The suspended solid concentration at estuary will be over 700 mg/lit in 19 Basins in the State. The remaining two Basins, i.e. Lawas and Baram, will have the concentration level of around 650 mg/lit.

If all natural forest on slope of less than 2° is cleared and then converted to rubber farm, the annual average surface soil loss will further decrease to 1,600 tons/km²/y in Sarawak. The Limbang, Miri, Sibuti, Niah, Kemana, Mukah, Oya, Kerian, Saribas, Lupar, Sadong, Sarawak and Kayan Basins have still the annual average surface soil loss of higher than 1,600 tons/km²/y. The suspended solid concentration at estuary will be over 700 mg/lit in the Miri, Sibuti, Niah, Kemana, Mukah, Oya, Rajang, Kerian, Saribas, Lupar, Sadong, Sarawak and Kayan Basins.

As a result, the following Basins are identified as problem area, because these Basins are presently subject to soil erosion in those catchments; Miri, Sibuti, Niah, Oya, Kerian, Saribas, Lupar, Sadong and Sarawak. In addition, the future forest development will increase soil erosion potential in the Limbang, Suai, Kemana, Balingian, Mukah, Rajang and Kayan Basins.

# 5.2 Needs and Provisional Countermeasures

Based on these considerations, the following conclusions are preliminarily drawn:

- Forest clearing should be limited within the land of 2° in slope.
- (2) After clearing forest, such land use as appropriately protecting soils against erosion should be undertaken.
- (3) As a long-term program for preservation of productive forest and soil conservation, reforestation should be undertaken in the disturbed forest.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 BASIS FOR FUTURE FOREST MANAGEMENT IN SABAH, I.H. Saris and L.T. Chim, 1980, Forest Department, Sabah
- 2 FOREST RESOURCES BASE, POLICY AND LEGISLATION OF SABAH, M. Mumang, 1979, Forest Department, Sabah
- 3 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE FOREST DEPARTMENT FOR THE YEAR 1977, Forest Department, Sabah
- 4 SABAH REGIONAL PLANNING STUDY, Final Report, 1980, Hunting Technical Services Ltd.
- 5 FOCUS ON SABAH 1981/82, 1981, FOCUSASIA (M) Sdn. Bhd.
- 6 LEMBAGA KEMAJUAN PERHUTANAN SABAH (SAFODA), 1981, Sabah Forestry Development Authority
- 7 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE FOREST DEPARTMENT SARAWAK, 1977, 1978, 1979, Forest Department, Sarawak
- 8 LAND RESOURCE STUDY, 25 THE LAND CAPABILITY CLASSIFICATION OF SABAH, Vol. 1 to 4, 1976, Land Resources Division, Ministry of Overseas Development, England
- 9 AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS OF SABAH, 1975, Department of Agriculture, Sabah
- 10 PLANIMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF LAND USE MAP-SARAWAK, 1976, Land and Survey Department, Sarawak
- 11 AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS OF SARAWAK, 1980, Department of Agriculture, Sarawak
- 12 SHIFTING CULTIVATION IN SARAWAK, 1978, T. Hatch and C.P. Lim
- WORKING PAPERS FOR UNESCO WORKSHOP ON HYDROLOGICAL IMPACTS ON FORESTRY PRACTICES AND RE-AFFORESTATION, 1981, Universiti Pertanian Malaysia
- 14 LAND RESOURCE STUDY, 20 THE SOILS OF SABAH Vol. 1 to 5, 1975, Land Resources Division, Ministry of Overseas Development, England
- 15 SOIL MAP OF SARAWAK, 1968, Department of Agriculture, Sarawak
- 16 ANNUAL REPORT 1978, Geological Survey Department of Malaysia
- 17 NATIONAL EXTENSION PROJECT SOIL CONSERVATION A TRAINING HANDBOOK Volume 1, 1981, Soil Conservation Unit, Government of Malaysia
- 18 SOIL EROSION AND CONSERVATION IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA, 1980, Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia

en de la companya de la co

# TABLES

Table 1 SUMMARY OF FOREST RESERVE IN SABAH AS OF 1980

					Uni	Unit: km <sup>2</sup>	
		Forest Reserve	r.ve		Proportion	t to (%)	
Class & Category	Gazetted	Preliminary Notified	Proposed Notified	Total	Forest	State	
Inland Forest Reserves							
Class I Protection forest	1,885	360	ì	2,245	5.8	3.1	-
Class II Commercial forest	19,699	6,642	4,080	33,421	86.2	45.2	
Class III Domestic forest	92	•		92	0.2	0.1	
ΔΙ	150	1	t	150	7.0	0.5	
110	21,826	10,002	4,080	35,908	92.6	48.6	
Mondatorio Correct Documentos						· · ·	
Hallgrove rotest weserves							
Class V Commercial/Domestic	727	1	1	727	1.9	1.0	
Virgin Jungle Reserves	a						
Inland forest	362	Į	244	909	1.6	8.0	
Mangrove forest	TI	1		12	0.0	0.0	
Sub-total	373	1	245	618	1.6	0.8	
National Parks							
Inland forest	1,115	1	i	1,115	2.9	7.5	
Mangrove forest	361		1	361	0.9	0.5	
Sub-total	1,476	•	1	1,476	3.8	2.0	
				•		(	
Water Catchment	26	3	l	56	0.1	0	
Total Forest Reserve	24,428	10,002	4,325	38,755	100.0	52.4	

Source; Ref. 1

Table 2 AREAL DISTRIBUTION OF GAZETTED FOREST BY DIVISION IN SARAWAK

					0112	,
	Forest	Forest	Protected	Sub-	Communa1	
Division	Туре	Reserve	Forest	total	Forest	Total
			14,1			
First	Hi11	723	245	968		<del>-</del>
	Swamp	457	36	493	·	
	Mangrove	140	. 0	140	***	
	Sub-total	1,320	281	1,601	13	1,614
						4.7
Second	Hill	0	492	492		
•	Swamp	300	492	792	-	
	Mangrove	0	0		***	
	Sub-total	300	984	1,284	10	1,294
Third	Hill	13	1,221	1,234	<del>-</del>	-
	Swamp	923	1,843	2,766	· <del>-</del>	, · · <del>-</del>
	Mangrove	<sup>17</sup> , 0	0	0		
	Sub-total	936	3,064	4,000	7	4,007
a.						
Fourth	Hi11	2,009	5,900	7,909		
	Swamp	1,387	886	2,273	` <u>-</u>	
	Mangrove	12	0	12		_
	Sub-total	3,408	6,786	10,194	3	10,197
Fifth	Hill	541	165	706	_	_
	Swamp	125	0	125	<u>-</u>	
	Mangrove	27	0	27		
	Sub-total	693	165	858	4	862
Sixth	Hill	0	289	289		***
	Swamp	196	761	957		-
	Mangrove	94	130	224		***
	Sub-total	290	1,180	1,470	9	1,479
d +1	11.11	622	11 071	11 604	•	
Seventh	Hill	533	11,071	11,604 390		· -
	Swamp	0	390			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Mangrove	633	13 /61	11 00%	 259	13 252
	Sub-total	533	11,461	11,994	739	12,253
State	Hi11	3,819	19,383	23,202	_	_
	Swamp	3,388	4,408	7,796		
	Mangrove	273	130	403	· ·	<u> </u>
	Total	7,480	23,921	31,401	305	31,706
	· ·		•			

Table 3 HISTORICAL RECORD ON LOGGED AREA WITHIN COMMERCIAL FOREST RESERVE FROM 1956 TO 1980 IN SABAH

			Preliminary			
		Gazetted	Notlfied	Proposed	**	Accumulated
	Period	Reserve	Reserve	Reserve	Total	Total
(1)	Logged Area				<i>:</i>	
	1956/60	49	-	. <u>.</u> .	49	49
· ·	1961/65	236	. <del>-</del>		236	285
	1966/70	709	113	15	837	1,112
	1971/75	1,986	101	117	2,207	3,326
	1976/80	1,930	270	159	2,359	5,685
(2)	Exploitable Area					
	Total Area	19,699	9,642	4,080	33,421	
	Logged Area	4,910	483	292	5,685	
	Unlogged Area	14,789	9,159	3,788	27,736	

Table 4 LIST OF FOREST RESERVES WITH EXPLOITABLE FOREST AREA IN SABAH (1/3)

		*		** * 1	
	•			Total	
Zone	Forest Reserve	Status	Tota1	Logged	Unlogged
1	1. Deramakot	G	512.6	478.5	34.1
•	2. Tangkulap	G	259.0	93.0	166.0
	3. Malua	G	344.5	111.5	233.0
	4. Kuamut	G	1,096.2	147.7	948.5
	5. Segaliud-Lokan	G	634.3	384.8	249.5
	6. Segaliud-Lokan Ext. III	PN	22.7		22.7
-	7. Lamag	G	168.4	101.6	66.8
	Sub-total		3,037.7	1,317.1	1,720.6
				•	
2	1. Ulu Segama	G	2,562.3	695.8	1,866.5
	2. Ulu Segama Ext. I to III	G	102.1	_ ^	102.1
	3. Binuang Tinkayu	G	102.0	90.9	11.1
	4. Ulu Kalumpang	G	484.6	484.6	-
	5. Madai Baturong	G.	19.4	,	19.4
	6. Madai Baturong Ext. I	PN	112.5	109.3	3.2
	7. Ulu Tengkayu-Sabahan	P	170.0	155.1	14.9
	8. Mt. Wullersdorf	G	91.3	5.8	85.5
	9. Mt. Andrassy	· · G	29.9	_	29.9
	10. Mt. Andrassy Ext. I to III	G	4.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.6
	Sub-total		3,678.7	1,541.5	2,137.2
			•	-	
3	1. Silabukan	G	1,119.0	960.5	158.5
	2. Bonggaya	G	621.6	159.5	462.1
	3. Sugut	G	379.9	48.0	331.9
	4. Paitan	G	703.2	188.5	514.7
	5. Bengkoka	G	64.8	43.1	21.7
	6. Lumerau	G	377.4	94.1	283.3
	7. Banggi	G	119.4	_	119.4
	Sub-total		3,385.3	1,493.7	1,891.6
		•		•	
4	1. Ulu Tungud	PN	1,313.1	84.5	1,228.6
	2. Trus Madi	G	740.3	· <u> </u>	740.3
	3. Trus Madi Ext. I to III	PN	1,082.6	68.1	1,014.5
	4. Sg. Tongod	PN	168.4	43.6	124.8
	5. Sg. Mangkuwagu	P	82.9	13.4	69.5
	6. Pinangah (North)	Ğ	479.2	51.7	427.5
	7. Bangkulat	PN	6.5		6.5
	Sub-total		3,873.0	261.3	3,611.7
			•		-

Remarks; G: Gazetted, PN: Preliminary notified, and

P: Proposed

Table 5 LIST OF FOREST RESERVES WITH EXPLOITABLE FOREST AREA IN SABAH (2/3)

				Total	
Zone	Forest Reserve	Status	Total	Logged	Unlogged
5	1. Gunong Rara	G	2,697.5	274.6	2,422.9
,	2. Kalabakan	G	829.8	337.6	492.2
	3. Kalabakan Ext. I to IV	Ğ	2,067.3	55,.0	2,067.3
	Sub-total		5,594.6	612.2	4,982.4
,	1.0	G	834.8	158.3	676.5
6	1. Gunong Lumak			130.3	258.0
	2. Gunong Lumak Ext. I & II	PN	258.0	_	and the second s
	3. Ulu Sg. Padas	PN	1,605.8		1,605.8
	4. Klias	PN	36.3		36.3
	Sub-total		2,734.9	158.3	2,576.6
7	1. Ulu Sg. Milian	PN	972.4	161.1	811.3
	2. Mt. Mandalom	G	186.3	_	186.3
	3. Mt. Mandalom Ext. I	PN	165.8	6.3	159.5
	4. Pensiangan	PN	1,126.6	10.6	1,116.0
	Sub-total		2,451.1	178.0	2,273.1
_			0 /11 0		0 /11 0
8	1. Sapulut	PN	2,411.3	_	2,411.3
٠	2. Sapulut Ext. I & II	PN	38.9	.'	38.9
	3. Temalasak	PN	102.3		102.3
	4. Kabu	PN	141.4	· : -	141.4
	5. Salibir	PN	77.7	-	77.7
	6. Pinangah (South)	G	2,067.0		2,067.0
÷	Sub-total	11.11	4,838.6	.=	4,838.6
9	1. Timimbang	P	366.4	85.9	280.5
· .	2. Ulu Tomani	P	354.1	_	354.1
	3. Kuala Tomani	P ,	246.9	_	246.9
	4. Labau	P	216.7	_	216.7
	5. Sg. Tagul	P	1,066.8		1,066.8
	6. Sg. Mengalong & Sg. Muaya	P	76.3	ned on a silver Later of the silver	76.3
	7. Telekosang	P	366.2		366.2
	8. Lingkabau	P	977.7	37.4	940.3
	9. Binsuluk	P	156.2		156.2
	Sub-total		3,827.3	123.3	3,704.0

Remarks; G: Gazetted, PN: Preliminary notified, and P: Proposed

Table 6 LIST OF FOREST RESERVES WITH EXPLOITABLE FOREST AREA IN SABAH (3/3)

Unit: km²

				Total	
Zone	Forest Reserve	Status	Total	Logged	Unlogged
					. 1
10	1. Protection Forest Reserve	G	1,885.0		1,885.0
		N	359.6	:	359.6
•	2. Virgin Jungle Reserve	G	361.7		361.7
	Proposed	d G	208.3	***	208.3
	Proposed	d PN	26.8	-	26.8
	Proposed		9.3		9.3
	3. National Park		1,115.1		1,115.1
	4. Domestic Forest Reserve		91.6		91.6
	5. Amenity Forest Reserve		150.0		150.0
	6. Water Catchment		25.9		25.9
	Sub-total	<del></del>	4,233.3	-	4,233.3
		•			
11	1. National Park (Mangrove)		360.6	· · · · · · · -	360.0
	2. Mangrove		737.3	_	727.3
	3. Virgin Jungle Reserve (Mangro	ove)	12.4		12.4
	Sub-total		1,100.3	-	1,100.3
Summa	ry				
	Commercial Forest Reserves		33,421.2	5,685.4	27,735.8
	Protection Forest Reserves		2,244.6		2,244.6
	Virgin Jungle Reserves		606.1	· _	606.1
	National Parks		1,115.1		1,115.1
	Domestic Forest Reserves		91.6		91.6
	Amenity Forest Reserves		150.0		150.0
,	Water Catchment		25.9		25.9
	Sub-total		37,654.5	5,685.4	31,969.1
	National Parks (Mangrove)		360.6	-	360.6
	Virgin Jungle Reserves (Mangrove	e)	727.3	· · · · · <del>-</del>	727.3
	Mangrove Reserves		12.4		12.4
	Sub-total		1,100.3	· <del>-</del>	-1,100.3
	Total		38,754.8	5,685.4	33,069.4

Remarks; G: Gazetted, PN: Preliminary notified, and

P: Proposed

Table 7 EXPLOITED AND EXPLOITABLE FOREST AREA IN SARAWAK

Unit: km<sup>2</sup> Permanent No. of Exploited Exploitable Forested Licenced Section/Plan P1an Area Area Area Area Kuching Section 1,620 Working plan 14 2,373 753 380 357 737 Felling plan 8 Sub-total 22 2,373 737 1,133 1,977 Sibu Section 7,507 17 8,677 1,170 Working plan 2,962 430 2,532 Felling plan 10 10,039 Sub-total 27 2,962 1,600 8,677 Bintulu Section 729 6,663 16 7,392 Working plan 2,951 20 3,569 618 Felling plan 9,614 Sub-total 36 7,392 3,569 1,347 Miri Section 5,039 907 4,132 Working plan 16 8,006 37 2,454 5,552 Felling plan Sub-total 53 5,039 8,006 3,361 9,684 State 19,922 3,559 Working plan 63 23,481 3,882 75 15,274 11,392 Felling plan Total 138 7,441 31,314 23,481 15,274

Table 8 SHIFTING CULTIVATION AND HILL PADDY GROWING AREAS BY DISTRICT IN SABAH AS OF 1973

		Shifting	Cultivation	
	Tot al		Proportion	Hill Paddy
Residency/District	Area	Area	(%)	Growth Area
Tawau Residency				
Tawau	5,675	. 1	0.02	0.2
Semporna	1,043	2	0.19	0.5
Lahad Datu	7,247	. 5	0.07	0.2
Total	13,965	8	0.06	0.9
Sandakan Residency				
Sandakan	2,183	4	0.18	0.8
Kinabatangan	17,472	24	0.14	13.3
Labuk/Sugut	8,367	50	0.60	20.2
Total	28,022	78	0.28	34.3
	-			
Kudat Residency				
Kudat & others	2,841	126	4.43	21.8
Total	2,841	126	4.43	21.8
West Coast Residency				
Ranau	2,945	90	3.06	12.1
Kota Belud	1,395	93	6.67	5.8
Tuaran	1,154	76	6.59	6.1
Kota Kinabalu	812	45	5.54	1.0
Penampang	1,755	43	2.45	2.9
Papar	1,238	51	4.12	0.3
Total	9,299	398	4.28	50.2
Interior Residency		to the		
Beaufort & Kuala Penyu	2,131	44	2.06	4.1
Sipitang	2,757	22	0.80	6.4
Tenom	2,368	27	1.14	2.4
Pensiangan	6,033	31	0.51	7.3
Keningau	3,321	53	1.60	6.9
Tambunan	1,380	41	2.97	6.3
Total	17,990	218	1.21	33.4
Labuan	97	6	6.19	0.2
Sabah State Total	72,214	834	1.15	140.8

Source; Refs. 8 & 9

Table 9 SHIFTING CULTIVATION AND HILL FADDY GROWING AREAS BY DISTRICT IN SARAWAK

	and the second second second	A Company of the Comp	1 F 8	and the second second second			
		•	Shifting	Cultivation			
		Total		Proportion	Hill Paddy		
Division	District	Area	Area	(%)	Growth Area		
First	Kuching	2,323	822	35.4	23.4		
	Bau	881	535	60.7	15.5		
	Serian	2,043	1,115	54.6	12.8		
	Lundu	1,783	531	29.8	8.1		
	Simunjan	1,602	353	22.0	1.7		
	Total	8,632	3,357	38.9	62.0		
Second	Batang Lupar	4,203	1,736	41.3	48.1		
	Lubok Antu	2,372	1,555	65.6	39.0		
	Saribas	1,803	994	55.1	38.0		
	Kalaka	1,598	988	61.8	25.2		
	Total	9,976	5,273	52.9	150.3		
Third	Sibu	3,400	1,190	35.0	29.5		
	Mukah	5,017	1,337	26.6	9.7		
	Dalat -	2,200	908	41.3	4.5		
	Kanowit	2,231	1,367	61.3	28.3		
	Total	12,848	4,802	37.4	72.0		
Fourth	Miri	5,025	1,011	20.1	32.4		
	Baram	21,628	2,921	13.5	60.7		
	Bintulu	11,839	2,134	18.0	55.3		
	Total	38,492	6,066	15.8	148.4		
Fifth	Limbang	3,934	736	18.7	18.8		
	Lawas	3,799	450	11.8	26.7		
	Total	7,733	1,186	15.3	45.5		
Sixth	Sarikei	1,690	776	45.9	28.3		
	Binatang	1,067	444	41.6	6.1		
	Daro	1,261	300	23.8	_		
	Julau	2,625	1,762	67.1	32.7		
	Total	6,643	3,282	49.4	67.1		
Seventh	Kapit	15,594	2,236	14.3	104.9		
	Belaga	19,401	1,092	5.6	32.4		
	Song	3,934	1,235	31.4	59.5		
	Total	38,929	4,563	11.7	196.8		
Sarawak S	tate Total	123,253	28,529	23.1	742.1		

Source; Refs. 10 & 11

Table 10 DISTRIBUTION OF LAND USE CATEGORY BY BASIN IN SABAH AND SARAWAK

Basin						Land	Use Cate	aoru				
No.	Name of Basin	SA	HL	RC	TC	PL	SC SC	GL	FL	SW	UL	Total
(1) <u>S</u> al	s ah											
									292			292
201 202	Pensiangan		•	~	-	-	· ·	_	292 56	17	-	73
202	Serudong		-	-	<del>-</del>		_	_	65	4	. [7	69
203.	Kalabakan Brantian	-	-	-		_	<u>.</u> .	-	38	2		40
205	Umas Umas		_		_	_	_		25	- 3	_	28
206	Merutai Besar	_	-	_	í	-			16	í		18
207	Tawau	-		6	7	_	_		34	2	_	49
208	Kalumpang	_	_	-	12		-	-	122	21	_	155
209	Sil abukan	. 1	_	_	. 4		_	_	101	22	_	128
210	Segama	_		1	1	-	<b></b> .	_	227	41	-	270
211	Kinabatangan	_	_	_	-	-	34.		686	82	_	. 768
212	Segalid	2		. 5	2	-			74	37	_	120
213	Labuk	· <del>-</del> -	-	-	6	1	4	1	243	62	1	318
214	Sugut	-	-	_		2	-	-	134	10	-	146
215	Paitan	-	~	_	-	-	-		58	22	-	80
216	Bengkoka	-			-	-	-	2	62	26	-	90
217	Bongan	1	1	-	10	-		5	87	11	1	116
218	Kadamaian	-	2	1	-	4	-	9	48	3	-	67
219	Tuaran	-	-	13		-	~.	4	39	5	-	61
220	Putatan	2	1	12		·	<b>-</b> .	1	10	4	-	. 30
221	Papar	-	2	3	-	2	-	-	29	1	-	37
222	Kimanis		-	7	-		. ~	· -	13	7	-	27
223	Membakut			6	-	_	_	-	. 9	12	-	. 27
224	Padas			21	- 2	4	• -	5	389	30	-	451
225	Labuan	-	. 2	2	-	-	· -	-	1		-	. 5
226	Lakutan		<u> </u>	5				1	53	8		67
44	State Total	. 6	8	82	45	13	4	28	2,911	433	2	3,532
(2) <u>Sa</u> 1	rawak			1.5								
227	Lawas	_	_	_	_	_	10	_	33	. 5	_	. 48
228	Trusan	-	-	-	-	_	15	_	104	9	_	128
229	Limbang	_	_	_	_	_	46		136	2	_	184
230	Baram	_	-	_	_	_	113	3	848	88	_	1,052
231	Miri	-	-	_	_	-	12	_	9.	18	-	39
232	Sibuti	-	-	-	4		22	-	6	2	_	34
233	Niah	-	_	-	7	-	17	· -	32	7	_	63
234	Suai	-	-	-	-	•	6	-	52	11	· -	69
235	Similajau	-	-	-	-	-	1	· -	60 -	8		. 69
236	Kemana	-	. ~	. 2	_	_	65	·	191	24	-	282
237	Tatau	_	-	-	-		33	-	180	25	-	238
238	Balingian	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	35	68	-	131
239	Mukah	-	. <b>-</b>	-	- 3.		37	-	35	38	-	113
240	Oya	-	_	-	-	-	50	-	23	32	-	105
241	Rajang	· -		27	_ :	-	476	1	1,704	206	-	2,414
242	Kerian	-	·			: 1	46	4	-	29	-	80
243	Saribas	-	-	2	-	1	42	-		44		89
244	Lupar		-	1	_	2	169	3	42	103	-	320
245	Sadong	-	-		4		78	1	32	56	-	171
246	Sarawak	-	-	2	10	• -	101	. 2	21	26	-	162
247	Kayan		-				23		66	16_		105
	State Total		-	34	28	4	1,390	14	3,609	817	-	5,896
Sabah Is	lands	_	_	-	<del>-</del> .	_		1	23	12		36
Total		6	8	116	73	1.7	1,394	43	6,543	1,262	2	9,464
TOTAL.	and the second second second second	. 0	. 0	710	13		1,374	7.7	V 9 J 4 J	-, - 0 -	-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Remarks; SA: Settlements and associated non-agricultural land, HL: Horticultural land, RC: Rubber land, TC: Other tree crop land, PL: Paddy land, SC: Shifting cultural land, GL: Improved permanent pasture and grass land, FL: Forest land, SW: Swamp and wet forest land, and UL: Unused land

Table 11 DISTRIBUTION OF ELEVATION RANGE BY BASIN IN SABAH AND SARAWAK

Basin					Range	of Bleva	tion_				
No.	Name of Basin	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	Tota
(1) Sa	bah										
				-	•	82	147	26	•	_	29
201	Pensiangan	33	11	- 5 2	29 5	82 5	147	3	3		23
202 203	Serudong Kalabakan	33 13	17	5	20	7	6	1	-		É
203	Kaladakan Brantian	6	9	<i>3</i> 4	6	ź	8	~ T	_	-	4
205	Umas Umas	7	7	2	10	í	í		_		2
206	Merutai Besar	7	5	2	2	i	1	_	_	_	i
207	Tawau	30	3	3	6	3	3	1	_	_	4
208	Kalumpang	82	30	11	18	12	2	_	-	_	15
209	Silabukan	92	19	6	6	3	2		• -		î
210	Segama	128	52	12	29	29	20	_	-		2
211	Kinabatangan	340	114	21	73	101	106	10	3		76
212	Segalid	120	_			· -		- '	· _		13
213	Labuk	128	32	17	21	29	52	28	9	2	3
214	Sugut	37	26	8	28	17	17	7	3	3	1
215	Paitan	61	12	1	5	-	1		_	_	
216.	Bengkoka	53	15	1	9	3	9	-	"	-	- 1
217	Bongan	58	10	3	11	. 12	19	. 2	1	· 🗕	1
218	Kadamaian	31	7		. 9	4	9	. 3	3 -	1	1.3
219	Tuaran	21	7	3	2	7	15	5	1		
220	Putatan	19	6"	-	1	1	3	-	_	-	
221	Papar	9	1	1	5	7	8	6		-	
222	Kimanis	17	1	1	2	1.1	2 .	3	<u></u>	· -	
223	Membakut	20	2	2		-	3	_	_	· _	
224	Padas	47	5	16	59	53	131	101	37	2	4
225	Labuan	<b>5</b> 1	_	-		· · · -	_	_	<b>-</b> _	-	
226	Lakutan	24	6	. 7	11	8	6	4	1	-	
	State Total	1,388	397	133	367	393	585	200	61	8	3,5
01 C-											
	ravak				_					1.0	
227	Lawas	15	1	4	3	9	6	. 8	2	-	
228	Trusan	17	8	. 7	5	4	39	34	13	1	1;
229	Limbang	52	21	11	9	15	33	30	11	2	1
2 30	Baram	267	103	48	145	101	252	92	44	-	1,0
231	Mri	37	-	2	: -	-	-	-	-		
232	Sibuti	33	-		-	1	-		~		
233	Miah	61	2	-	-	-	-	-	-		
234	Sual	65	. 3	1	-	-	-	-	_	-	
235	Similajau	69	-	_	-	-	-	-		_	
236	Kemana	241	26	5	3	4	3	<del>-</del> .	-	-	2
237	Tatau	157	46	10	19	4	2	-			2
238	Balingian	106	19	. 2	3	1		-	-		1
239	Mukah	80	27		4	<b>,</b> 1	1	<del>-</del> .	-	-	1
240	0ya _	77	19	3	5		-1		18		1
241	Rajang	700	421	172	257	194	528	124	18		2,4
242	Kerian	73	7		_	_	-	-		-	1
243	Saribas	67	16	3	3	-	_		·	-	1.7
244	Lupar	204	34	21	35	20	6	- 1 <del>-</del>		. <del>-</del> .	3
245	Sadong	158	6	2	2	. 3	-		· · ·		1
246	Sarawak	139	14	2	4 .	1	2	_	-	·	1
247	Kayan	93	9	<del></del> _			3				<del></del>
	State Total	2,711	782	293	497	358	876	288	88	3	5,8
abah I	slands	30	5	. 1							

(1): 1 to 100 m, (2): 101 to 200 m, (3): 201 to 300 m, (4): 301 to 400 m, (5): 401 to 500 m, (6): 501 to 1,000 m, (7): 1,001 to 1,500 m, (8): 1,501 to 2,000 m, and (9): above 2,001 m above sea level

Table 12 DISTRIBUTION OF SLOPE DEGREE RANGE
BY BASIN IN SABAH AND SARAWAK

						Unit:	No. of mesh
Basin No.	Name of Basin	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Total
(1) <u>S</u> a	ibah						
201	Penslangan	100	73	81	25	13	292
202	Serudong	43	12	7	. 7	4	73
203	Kalabakan	. 28	28	9	3	1	69
204	Brantian	18	9	12	1	-	40
205	Umas Umas	16	9	3	~	-	28
206	Merutai Besar	10	6	1	_	1	18
207	Tavau	31	6	10	2	-	49
208	Kalumpang	106	25	20 · 5	4 2	1	155 128
209	Silabukan	102	18 63	29	- 5	1	270
210 211	Segama	172 480	128	. 95	5 45	20	768
211	Kinabatangan Segalid	120		. 93	. 45	20	120
213	Labuk	167	65	42	31	13	318
214	Sugut	70	27	25	. 13	11	146
215	Paltan	66	11	. 2	1		80
216	Bengkoka	63	ii	14	2	_	90
217	Bongan	65	9	25	9	. 8	116
218	Kadamaian	34	7	14	3	9	67
219	Tuaran	24	11	. 14	9	3	61
220	Putatan	21	5	_	3	1	30
221	Papar	11	9	7	7	3	37
222	Kimanis	17	2	2	2	. 4	27
223	Membakut	21	2	1	3		27
224	Padas	169	91	96	52	43	451
225	Labuan	5		-	-	· -	5
226	Lakutan	29	13	.14	7	4	67
	State Total	1,988	640	528	236	140	3,532
(2) <u>S</u> a	rawak						
227	Lawas	21	3	8	5	11	48
228	Trusan	46	16	24	12	30	128
229	Limbang	85	26	23	19	31	184
230	Baram	487	131	189	84	91	1,052
231	Miri	37	. 1	1	-		39
2 32	Sibuti	33	-	-	1	_	34
233	N1 ah	62	1	-	-	-	63
234	Suai	65	4	-	_	-	69
235	Similajau	69	-	-		-	69
236	Keman a	246	27	. 3	6	-	282
237	Tatau	190	. 25	19	4		238
238	Balingian	- 118	9	'4	-	-	131
239	Mukah	99	11	3	-	-	113
240	Oya	89	14	1	1	07	105
241	Rajang	1,261	472	381	203	97	2,414 80
242	Kerian	77 83	3 5	1	_		89
243 244	Saribas	253	49	15	2		320
245	Lupar	161	49		3	1	171
246	Sadong Sarawak	143	16	· 2	3 1		162
247	Kayan	92	10		÷	3	105
	State Total	3,717	887	677	351	264	5,896
Sabah I	slands	30	5	1		₹.	: <u> </u>
Total	•	5,735	1,532	1,206	590	404	9,464

Remarks; (1):  $0^{\circ}$  to  $2^{\circ}$ , (2):  $3^{\circ}$  to  $6^{\circ}$ , (3):  $7^{\circ}$  to  $12^{\circ}$ , (4):  $13^{\circ}$  to  $20^{\circ}$ , and (5): over  $21^{\circ}$ 

Table 13 CORRELATION BETWEEN LAND USE CATEGORY AND GROUND ELEVATION IN SABAH

									Unit:	No.	of mesh
Range of				Lar	id Us	e Ca	atego	ory			
Elevation	SA	HL	RC	TC	PL	SC	GL	FL	SW	UL	Total
1 - 100 m	6	8	57	41	7		17	824	428	airs.	1,388
101 - 200 m	40-4		8	4	3	-		378	4	-	397
201 - 300 m		•	8	***	_	1	-	124			133
301 - 400 m	-	-	. 7	***	3	3	4	350			367
401 - 500 m	_	_	. 2	-			4	387			393
501 - 600 m	<b>**</b>	_	-	_	•	•••		58		_	58
.601 - 700 m	***	_				•	1	245			246
701 - 800 m			_	_			. 1	164	1		166
801 - 900 m	_		_	-	-	-	_	-	_		-
901 - 1,000 m	-	_	-	-	_	-	1	114	_	-	115
1,001 - 1,500  m	-	_		_	_	-	-	200	-	-	200
1,501 - 2,000  m	-	_ '	-	٠	_	_		60	•••	1	61
Above 2,000 m		. – _			_	_		7		1	8
Total	6	8	82	45	13	4	28	2,911	433	2	3,532

Remarks; SA, HL, RC, TC, PL, SC, GL, FL, SW & CL: See remarks in Table 11.

Table 14 CORRELATION BETWEEN LAND USE CATEGORY AND SLOPE DEGREE IN SABAH

									Unit:	No.	of mesh
Range of				Lan	d Us	e Ça	itego	ry			
Slope Degree	SA	HL	RC	TC	PL	SC	GL	FL	SW	UL	Total
											175
0° - 2°	6	8	76	40	12	1	21	1,396	428	~	1,988
3° - 6°		_	5	5	. 1	1	2	622	4	***	640
7° - 12°			-	***		1	. 5	522	_	· ·	528
13° - 20°	<b>-</b>	<u> </u>	1		_	1		234	-		236
21° -						-		137	1	2	140
Total	6	8	82	45	13	4	28	2,911	433	2	3,532

Remarks; SA, HL, RC, TC, PL, SC, GL, FL, SW & UL: See remarks in Table 11.

Table 15 CORRELATION BETWEEN LAND USE CATEGORY AND GROUND ELEVATION IN SARAWAK

Unit: No. of mesh Land Use Category Range of HL TC FL UL Elevation SA RC PLSC SW Total 1 -100 m 28 1,046 768 817 2,711 101 --200 m 211 571 782 201 -300 m 36 257 293 301 -400 m 48 449 497 401 -500 m 348 358 10 96 100 501 -600 m 4 9 323 332 601 -700 m 701 -800 m 138 1 137 801 -900 m 55 55 901 - 1,000 m 9 242 251 14 1,001 - 1,500 m274 288 88 1,501 - 2,000 m2 86 3 \_\_3 Above 2,000 m 28 3,609 817 5,896 1,390 34 4 14 Total

Remarks; SA, HL, RC, TC, PL, SC, GL, FL, SW & CL: See remarks in Table 11.

Table 16 CORRELATION BETWEEN LAND USE CATEGORY AND SLOPE DEGREE IN SARAWAK

Unit: No. of mesh Land Use Category Range of UL Slope Degree  $\overline{\text{HL}}$ RC TC PLSC FL Total GL3,717 28 1,216 1,604 817 34 14 3° - 6° 131 756 887 7° - 12° 652 25 677 13° - 20° 340 351 11 21° -257 264 1,390 14 3,609 817 5,896 Total 34 28

Remarks; SA, HL, RC, TC, PL, SC, GL, FL, SW & UL: See remarks in Table 11.

Table 17 DISTRIBUTION OF SOIL UNIT BY BASIN IN SABAH AND SARAWAK

asin						11 Unit		<del></del>		<b>.</b>
No.	Name of Basin	AC	AR	AF	AT	SR	SH	SM	UM	Tota
i) Sa	bah									
	Cartas							202		29
201	Pensiangan		-	2	3	-		287	-	
202	Serudong	17	~	5		~	-	51 64	_	
203	Kalabakan	4		1	-	-	-		_	
204	Brantian	2	-	5		-		33	-	* 21
205	Umas Umas	3	-	5	-	~	-	20	.=	
206	Merutal Besar	2	-	3	-	2	-	11	-	
207	Towau	6	1	16	-	4	-	22	-	
208	Kalumpang	11	6	17	-	. 5	31	85	••	1
209	S11abuk an	10	11	3	5	13	1	85	-	1
210	Segama	19	26	1		10	9	205	-	2
211	Kinabatangan	35	56	. 8	14	14	40	601	-	70
212	Segalid	28	2	2	-	16	36	36		17
213	Labuk	36	14	14	-	9	14	231	-	3
214	Sugut	2	9	6	-	~	-	129	-	14
215	Paitan	16		20	-	-	-	44	-	+
216	Bengkoka	19	2	15	-	+-	-	54		!
217	Bongan	6	7	4	-	-	2	97	-	1.
218	Kadamalan	5.	7	- 1	-	~	-	54	-	
219	Tuaran	. 5	1	-	-		-	55	-	
220	Putatan		4		-	-	-	26	_	
221	Papar	1	5	_	-	-	_	31	·	
222	Kimanis	2	5	_	_	42	-	20		
223	Membakut	3	11	2	-	~	_	11	· <del></del>	
224	Padas	13	30	28	10	_	_	370		4
225	Labuan		~		_	~		Š	-	-
226	Lakutan	3	. 6	2		~	-	56	_	
•••					32	73	••••			3,5
	State Total	248	203	160	34	73	133	2,683		3,3
2) <u>Sa</u>	rawak		•							
227	Lawas	3	3	3	· · ·	-	9	30	-	
228	Trusan	3	. 6	5	_		25	89.	_	13
229	Limbang	,	6	10		_	21	143		î.
230	Baram	i	90	68	1	_	111	781	_	1,0
231	Miri	2	18	4	4	_	9	2	_	1,0
232	Sibuti	. 3	5	6	, -		19	1	_	
33	Niah	1	7	5	_		37	13		
			ý		1	-	40	12	_	
234	Suai	1 2	_	6	. 1	••	45	12	-	
35	Similajau		6	1 21	4	_	133	82	-	2
36	Kemana	4-	42		4	-				
37	Tatau	-	21	12	7		93	112	-	2
238	Balingian	' <del>-</del>	61	5	· <del>-</del>	-	23	42	<del>-</del> -	1
39	Mukah	1	38	5	7	, 2	25	35	-	1
240	Oya	1	39	. 5	. 1	ι	26	32	-	1
41	Rajang	74	203	35	1	-	174	1,927	-	2,4
42	Kerian	8 -	15	13	-	-	30	14	-	
	Saribas	2	31	15 -			12	29	-	
	Lupar	3	.99	. 13	4	<b>7</b>	71	123		. 3
43 44	Sadong	6	65	14	1	2	57	26	· <del>-</del>	1
44 45		30	17	11	6	<b>-</b> , .	70	28		. 1
	Sarawak			æ	7	24	26	16		1
44 45 46		25	2	5						
44 45	Sarawak	25 170	2 783	262	42	36	1,056	3,547	-	5,8
44 45 46 47	Sarawak Kayan				42	36	1,056 12	3,547 15	-	5,8

Remarks; AC: Alluvial soils on coastal plains, AR: Aliuvial soils on coastal plains and/or riverine, AF: Alluvial soils on riverine, flood plains and/or low riverine terrace, AT: Alluvial soils on intermediate and high terraces, SR: Sedentary soils on undulating plains to rolling land, SH: Sedentary soils on rolling and low hilly land, SM: Sedentary soils on hills and mountains, and UM: Urban and mined land

Source; Refs. 14 & 15

Table 18 CORRELATION BETWEEN LAND USE CATEGORY AND SOIL UNIT IN SABAH

			 I o	nd Us	o Cat	AGORY		Uni	t: No	o of	Mesh
Soil Unit	SA	HL	RC	TC	PL	SC	GL	FL	SW	UL	Total
Alluvial Soils on Coastal Plains	1		2	3	1	. <u>-</u>	3	14	228		252
Alluvial Soils on Coastal Plains and/or Riverine	_	1	11	3	3		1	6	88		113
Alluvial Soils on Riverine, Flood Plains and/or Low Riverine Terrace	2	4	4	5	6	~.		45	39	_	105
Alluvial Soils on Intermediate and High Terrace			8	 5		: 1.	. 8	109	25	-	155
Sedentary Soils on Undulating Plains to Rolling Land			10	1	- -		. 1	162	23		197
Sedentary Soils on Rolling and Low Hilly Land		2	15	16	_	-	6	347	15	_	401
Sedentary Soils on Hills and Mountains	3	1	32	12	3	4	10	2251	27	2	2345
Urban and Mined Land	-	 -		· •			-	_		· _	. <u>-</u>
Total Brunei Grand Total	6	8	82	45	13	4	29	2934	445	2	3568

Remarks; SA, HL, RC, TC, PL, SC, GL, FL, SW & UL: See remarks in Table 20.

Table 19 CORRELATION BETWEEN LAND USE CATEGORY AND SOIL UNIT IN SARAWAK

•				Land	IIo o	Catego	0 <del>22</del> 11		·		
Soil Unit	AL	HL	RC	TC	PL	SC	GL	FL	SW	UL.	Tota1
Alluvial Soils on Coastal Plains Alluvial Soils on	r ne		•	8		36	1	7	103	<u>-</u>	155
Coastal Plains and/or Riverine	_	-	16	5	2	109	2	39	609.		.783
Alluvial Soils on Riverine, Flood Plains and/or Low Riverine Terrace	<i>i</i>	_	7	4	2	135	7	41	66	. <u>.</u>	262
Alluvial Soils on Intermediate and High Terrace	· · · · · ·	·		1		10	-	23	8		42
Sedentary Soils on Undulating Plains to Rolling Land	š 	_	1	<del></del>		24		35	10	<u>-</u>	70
Sedentary Soils on Rolling and Low Hilly Land	-		9	10	-	520	3	497	17		1056
Sedentary Soils on Hills and Mountains	<b></b>	-	_	_	-	556	1	2967	4	·	3528
Urban and Mined Land	<del></del>		<del>-</del>	: <del>-</del> :			. <del></del>	· <u>-</u>		: 	<del>_</del>
Total Brunei Grand Total		-	34	28	4	1390	14	3609	717		5896

Remarks: SA, HL, RC, TC, PL, SC, GL, FL, SW & UL: See remarks in Table .

DISTRIBUTION OF SURFACE GEOLOGICAL CATEGORY Table 20 BY BASIN IN SABAH AND SARAWAK

				C	face G	nolood.	ool Ča	toparu				
asin No	Name of Basin	Qr	TT	CR	CJ	TR	PC	AC	IN	BA	ÛŤ	Tota
1) 61												
	<u>bah</u>							-				
201	Pensiangan	12	280	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
202	Serudong	20	53	-	-	-	-	-		_	-	
203	Kalabakan	5	62	2	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	6
204	Brantian	5	15	20	-			_	-	-	-	4
205	Umas Umas	6	12	.8	_	-	-			2	-	2
206	Merutai Besar	6	8	_	44			1	1	. 2 .	-	
207	Tawau	22		-	-		-	1	19	7	-	4
208	Kalumpang	22	33	34	-	-	-	5	43	17	1	1
209	Silabukan	42	37-	5	-		-	-	43	-	. 1	12
019	Segama	47	91	47	-	-	-		73	2	10	2
211	Kinabatangan	49	622	32	-	-		-	21	. 17	27	7
212	Segalid	36	83	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
213	Labuk	57	199	3	-	-	-	2	-	26	31	3
214	Sugut	7	132	-	-	-		5	-	-	2	1
215	Paitan	25	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
216	Bengkoka	16	74	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
217	Bongan	14	95	2	_	-		-	-		5	-1
218	Kadama1an	11	50	3	-	_	-	2 -	-		1	
219	Tuaran	10	51	-	-	-	-			·	_	
20	Putatan	13	17	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
21	Papar	5	32	_	-	-	-	-	- '	-	-	
22	Kimanis	9	18		-		· -	-		_	-	
23	Membakut	13	14	-	_	-	-	-	-	-		
24	Padas	81	370	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	4
225	Labuan	•	5	_	· +	-		_	-		-	
26	Lakutan	11	56						<del></del> -			
	State Total	544	2,464	157	-	~	- '	16	200	73	78	- 3,5
) San	rawak											
27	Lawas	9	39		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
28	Trusan	16	112	_		_	_	_			_	1
29	Limbang	18	166	_	_	_	**	_	_	_	_	1
30	Beram	107	910	1	-		_	33	.=	1	_	1,0
31	Miri	18	21	_	_	_	_	-	-	_		1,0
32	Sibuti	3	31	_	_	_		_		_	_	
33	Niah	7	56	_		_			_	_	_	
34	Suai	10	- 59	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	
35	Similajau	7	62	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	
36	Kemana	21	261		Ξ.		_	_	_		-	2
37		21	214		_	_	_			3		- 2
38	Tatau	66	65	-	_	_	_	-	· <del>-</del>	-		1
39	Balingian Mukah	51	62	-	_	_	_	-	_		_	1
40		47	58	_	Ē		_		_	- : <u>-</u> : <u>-</u> : -	-	i
41	0ya	287	-	285	-		-		21	24	-	
	Rajang		1.756		-	-	_	41	- 21	24	-	2,4
42 43	Kerian	34	2	44		_		_		-	-	
-	Saribas	45		127		4	_					
44 . c	Lupar	111	60	137	1.2	. 44	- 2	8 4	13	-	-	1
45	Sadong	76	.19	÷.	13						_	
46	Sarawak Kayan	53 39	24 37	-	58 ~	10	3 20	5 9	9	-		1
67 .	Nayan				71	.58	25			**		,_,_
47	State Total	1.046	4.014	511		วก		100	4.1	28	-	3.7
	State Total	1,046	4,014 6	511 17	-	.00		100	43	28	4	5,8

Remarks; QT: Quaternary, TT: Tertiary, CR: Cretaceous, CJ: Cretaceous & Upper Jurassic, TR: Treassic, PC: Permian Carboniferous, AC: Acid, IN: Intermediate, BA: Basic and UT: Ultrabasic

Table 21 SURFACE RUNOFF IN SABAH AND SARAWAK

		15 17 Page 10 10 10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•			Total	Unit
		Catchment		Surface	Surface
Bäsin		Area	Rainfall	Runoff	Runoff
No.	Name of Basin	(km <sup>2</sup> )	$(10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{y})$	$(10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{y})$	$(10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{y/km}^2)$
****		(KIII )	(103 115 / У)	(10 III., 1 A)	(IO III / y/KIII )
(1)	Sabah	r 071	17.07	8.91	1.49
201	Pensiangan	5,971	14.87		1.06
202	Serudong	1,308	2.74	1.38	i de la companya de
203	Kalaban	1,371	2.97	1.56	1.14
204	Brantian	741	1.53	0.76	1.03
205	Umas Umas	553	1.11	0.53	0.96
206	Merutai Besar	558	1.16	0.58	1.04
207	Tawau	888	2.01	1.10	1.24
208	Kalumpang	2.792	6.23	3.37	1.21
209	Silibukan	2,714	7.16	4.49	1.65
210	Segama	5,558	14.17	8.65	1.56
211	Kinabatangan	16,755	44.57	22.32	1.33
212	Segaliud	2,335	7.38	5.31	2.27
213	Labuk	6,829	22.34	16.31	2.39
		3,094	10.07	7.34	2.37
214	Sugut		5.17	3.87	2.63
215	Paitan	1,474	· ·		1.27
216	Bengkoka	1,866	5.04	2.37	
217	Bongan	2,126	5.49	2.45	1.15
218	Kadamajan	1,336	4.18	2.27	1.70
219	Tuaran	1,247	3.77	2.43	1.95
220	Putatan	629	1.97	1.15	1.83
221	Papar	805	2.57	1.53	1 90
222	Kimanis	607	1.89	1.10	1.81
223	Membakut	736	2.26	1.30	1.77
224	Padas	9,180	19.37	9.43	1.03
225	Labuan	86	0.29	0.18	2.09
226	Lakutan	1,291	3.74	2.07	1.60
220	State Total	72,850	194.05	112.76	1.55
	Deace Total	72,030			
(2)	Sarawak				
227		1,080	4.06	2.68	2.48
228	Lawas		8.30	4.71	1.70
	Trusan	2,768			2.70
229	Limbang	3,920	15.23	10.58	
230	Baram	22,325	84.70	58.21	2.61
231	Miri	788	2.35	1.30	1.65
232	Sibuti	935	2.57	1.31	1.40
233	Niah	1,345	3.61	1.79	133
234	Buai	1,440	4.31	2.39	1.66
235	Similajau	1,268	4.28	2.62	2.07
236	Kemena	6,000	23.51	15.83	2.64
237	Tatau	5,150	20.15	13.56	2.63
238	Balingian	2,518	9.64	6.41	2.55
239	Mukah	2,625	10.27	6.92	2.64
240	Oya	2,005	6.75	4.13	2.06
241	Rajang	51,053	203.75	127.20	2.49
242	Kerian	1,675	6.09	3.80	2.27
242		1,900	6.74	4.14	2.18
	Saribas		and the second s	15.27	2.24
244	Lupar	6,813	24.61		
245	Sadong	3,645	13.54	8.55	2.35
246	Sarawak	3,358	14.08	9.17	2.73
247	Kayan	1,838	8.81	6.13	3.34
	State Total	124,449	477.35	306.70	2.46

Table 22 ANNUAL SOIL LOSS UNDER DIFFERENT LAND USE IN MALAYSIA

Unit:

Dept. of Agri. Sarawak (1980)

RRIM Experiments Handbook of

Soil Erosion and Conservation

in Peninsular Malaysia (1980)

ton/ha/y

Annua1 Soil Loss Land Use Remarks 1. Natural Forest 0.33 P.G.D. Shallow, Tech. Comm. 3 Central Elec. Board (1956) Cameron Highlands 2. Tea 6.73 - do -3. Vegetables 10.09 – do – 4. Rubber (a) Mature rubber under soil Rubber Research Institute: series Rengam on slopes Soil Erosion & Conservation of 7 to 9% and rainfall in Peninsular Malaysia (1980) of 2,920 mm Bare 103 Grass 44 Nephrolepis Negligible RRIM (b) The same conditions as above but under soil series Serdang on slopes of 5 to 7% and rainfall of 3,250 mm 132 Bare Grass 117 Neophrolepis 59 5. 011 Palm Harvesting Path 14.9 L.M. Maene, K.C. Thong, T.S. Ong and A.M. Mokhtaruddin-Tree Row 7.5 Surface Wash Under Natural 1.1 Frond Row Oil Palm -4.2 Mixed Row Dept. of Agriculture, Kuala Lumpur (1979) 7.7 Average for the whole area 6. Pepper

Source; Refs. 17 & 18

Rubber formulation on the

Bench Terraced
7. Grass with spray of Natural

soil

Up and down cultivation

64

0.9

35 to 62

Table 23 ASSUMED SOIL LOSS FOR EROSION POTENTIAL EVALUATION IN MALAYSIA

•			Ur	it: ton/ha/y
Land Use		So	il Erodabilit	. y
Pattern	Slope	None	Light	Heavy
Forest				
- Natural hill	0°-	0.33	0.33	0.33
<ul> <li>Natural wet</li> </ul>	0 °	0	0	0
- Scrub	0°-	35	35	35
- Newly cleared	0 ° ~	62	62	62
Tree Crops				
- Rubber	0°-2°	2	6	36
	3°-6°	2	12	72
	7°-12°	6	18	108
	13°-20°	12	24	144
- Oil palm & others	0°-2°	2	2	6
our pour a orner	3°-6°	2	2 6	12
	7°-12°	2	12	18
	13°-20°	6	18	24
- Coconut & Sago	0°-20°	0	0	0
Annual Crops				
- Paddy	0°-	0	0	0
- Upland crops	0°-	10.09	10.09	10.09
- Shifting area	0°-	62	62	62
Grass Land				
- Improved	0°-	35	35	35
- Wild	0°-	62	62	62

Table 24 ANNUAL SURFACE SOIL LOSS BY BASIN UNDER PRESENT AND PAST LAND USE PATTERNS

D = 4	Name of	0.4	Present Land			nd Use Patter
	Name of	CA (km²)	TASSL (10 <sup>3</sup> ton/y)	AASSL	TASSL	AASSL y)(ton/km²/ý)
No.	Basin	(Km )	(10-con/y)	(CON/Km-/y)	(TO2COU)	y)(ton/km~/y)
(1)	Sabah					_1
201	Pensiangan	5,971	550	92	185	31
202	Serudong	1,308	35	27	35	27
203	Kalabakan	1,371	553	403	41	30
204	Brantian	741	389	525	24	32
205	Umas Umas	553	308	557	16	29
206	Merutai Besar	558	526	943	11	20
207	Tawau	888	442	498	28	32
208	Kalumpang	2,792	1,203	431	82	29
209	Silabukan	2,714	2,329	858	64	24
210	Segama	5,558	1,840	331	145	26
211	Kinabatangan	16,755	6,718	401	433	26
212	Segalid	2,335	1,425	610	51	22
213	Labuk	6,829	3,525	516	158	23
214					85	27
	Sugut	3,094	1,254	405		the state of the s
15	Paitan	1,474	1,279	868	37	25
16	Bengkoka	1,866	1,981	1,062	40	21
17	Bongan	2,126	3,777	1,777	-58	27
18	Kadamaian	1,336	3,183	2,382	37	28
119	Tuaran	1,247	2,742	2,199	.35	28
20	Putatan	629	553	879	15	24
21	Papar	805	31	39	20	25
22	Kimanis	607	38	63	13	21
23	Membakut	736	31	42	10	14
24	Padas	9,180	2,010	219	262	29
25	Labuan	86	82	953	2	23
26	Lakutan	1,291	331	256	37	29
	State Total	72,850	37,135	510	1,924	26
2)	Sarawak					
27	Lawas	1,080	1,327	1,229	27	25
28	Trusan	2,768	2,024	731	75	27
29 .				1,554		29
30	Limbang Baram /	3,920	6,092		115	
		22,325	15,681	702	609	27
31	Miri	788	1,573	1,996	13	16
32	Sibuti	935	2,893	3,094	20	21
33	Niah	1,345	2,269	1,687	35	26
34	Suai	1,440	816	567	37	26
35	Similajau	1,268	169	133	39	31
:36	Kemana	6,000	8,633	1,439	163	27
37	Tatau	5,150	4,423	859	135	26
38	Balingian	2,518	3,678	1,461	40	16
39	Mukah	2,625	4,853	1,849	46	18
40	0ya	2,005	6,543	3,263	46	23
41	Rajang	51,053	63,516	1,244	1.395	27
42	Kerian	1,675	6,529	3,898	32	19
43	Saribas	1,900	5,501.	2,895	28	15
44	Lupar	6,813	22,489	3,301	136	20
45	Sadong	3,645	10,335		70	19
46				2,835	70 80	24
	Sarawak	3,358	13,542	4,033		
47	Kayan	1,838	3,045	1,657	56	30
	State Total	124,449	185,931	1,494	3,197	26

Remarks; CA : Total catchment area

TASSL : Total annual surface soil loss
AASSL : Average annual surface soil loss

Table 25 INCREASE IN TOTAL SURFACE SOIL LOSS BY BASIN UNDER FUTURE LAND USE PATTERN

	Name of	Present		Future Land	Use Patte	rn
No.	Basin	Land Us	e Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
(1)	Sabah					
201	Pensiangan	550	-365	7,162	5,252	368
202	Serudong	35	•	1,900	877	102
203	Kalabakan	553	~512	1,608	1,681	87
204	Brantian	389	-365	804	658	397
205	Umas Umas	308	-292	950	512	405
206	Merutai Besar	526	-512	292	219	158
207	Tawau	442	-365	1,169	146	99
208	Kalumpang	1,203	-1.096	4,531	1,535	1,048
209	Silabukan	2,329	-2,265	4,092	658	1,304
210	Segama	1,840	-1,608	8,258	4,238	3,787
211	Kinabatangan	6,718	-6,284	24,261	8,477	3,202
212	Segalid	1,425	-1,315	4,092		580
213	Labuk	3,525	-3,215	6,139	3,727	1,257
214	Sugut	1,254	~1,169	3,362	1,900	165
215	Paitan	1,279	-1,242	2,119	658	103
216	Bengkoka	1,981	-1,681	1,242	512	61
217	Bongan	3,777	~3,069	585	365	29
218	Kadamaian	3,183	-1,973	292	303 73	
219	Tuaran	2,742	-2,119	219	. 73	14
220	Putatan	553	-365		7.0	11
21	Papar	31	-303	250	73	
22	Kimanis	_	-	219	658	11
23	Membakut	38	-	219	146	11
24		31		219	146	11
25	Padas	2,010	-1,023	7,235	6,358	354
226	Labuan Lakutan	82 331	-73	1 022	027	
-20		.331	-146	1,023	877	50
	State Total	37,135	-31,054	81,992	39,746	13,615
(2)	Sarawak	4 - F				
227	Lawas	1,327	-1,271	438	219	21
228	Trusan	2,024	-1,928	1,754	1,096	136
229	Limbang	6,092	-5,863	3,142	1,681	213
230	Baram	15,681	-14,471	22,798	12,642	1,563
231	Miri	1,573	-1,523	462	73	76
232	Sibuti	2,893	-2,816	365	_	60
233	Niah	2,269	-2,191,	2,265	73	271
234	Sua <u>i</u>	816	-769	3,508	292	484
235	Similajau	169	-146	4,385	. Pi <u>T</u>	585
236	Kemana	8,633	-8,339	11,400	1,900	1,308
237	Tatau	4,423	-4,220	9,719	1,754	1,041
238	Balingian	3,678	-3,592	1,681	585	124
239	Mukah	4,853	-4,750	1,608	731	112
240	0ya	6,543	-6,418	658	877	32
241	Rajang	63,516	-60,753	45,232	30,253	
242	Kerian	6,529	-5,927	73,234	دريم ۽ باد	2,571
243	Saribas	5,501	-5,368			
44	Lupar	22,489		1: 140	1 760	
45	Sadong	10,335	-21,705 -10,035	1,169	1,462	74
246	Sarawak	13,542		1,973	73	358
247	Kayan	3,045	-13,031 -2,964	731	731	1 902
				3,873	731	1,803
	State Total	185,931	-178,080	117,161	55,173	10,876

Remarks; Case I : Reforestation of all existing forests disturbed.
Case 2 : All natural forests on slope of less than 2°

are disturbed.

Case 3 : All natural forests on slope of 3°-6° are disturbed.

Case 4: All natural forests on slope of less than 2° are distrubed and then converted to rubber firm.

INCREASE IN ANNUAL AVERAGE SURFACE SOIL LOSS Table 26 BY BASIN UNDER FUTURE LAND USE PATTERN

					Unit:	ton/km <sup>2</sup> /y
Basi	n Name of	Present		Future Land	Use Pat	tern
No.	Basin	Land Use	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
(1)	Sabah	•				
201	Pensiangan	92	-61	1,199	880	62
202	Serudong	27		1,453	670	78
203	Kalabakan	403	-373	1,173	1,226	63
204	Brantian	525	-493	1,085	888	536
205	Umas Umas	557	-528	1,718	926	732
206	Merutai Besar	943	-918	523	392	283
207	Tawau	498	-411	1,316	164	111
208	Kalumpang	431	-393	1,623	550	375
209	Silabukan	858	-835	1,508	242	480
210	Segama	331	-289	1,486	763	681
211	Kinabatangan	401	-375	1,448	506	191
212	Segalid	610	~563	1,752		248
213	Labuk	516	-471	899	546	184
214	Sugut	405	378	1,087	614	53
215	Paitan	868	842	1,438	446	71
216	Bengkoka	1,062	-901	666	274	33
217	Bongan	1,777	-1,444	275	172	14
218	Kadamaian	2,382	-1.477	219	55	10
219	Tuaran	2,199	-1,699	176	<del>-</del>	. 9
220	Putatan	879	-580	· <b>_</b>	116	-
221	Papar	39	-	272	817	14
222	Kimanis	63	-	361	241	18
223	Membakut	42		298	198	15
224	Padas	219	-111	788	693	39
225	Labuan	953	-849	· •	-	· ·
226	Lakutan	256	-113	792	6.79	39
1.5	State	510	-426	1,125	546	187
(2)	Sarawak					
227	Lawas	1,229	-1,177	406	202	19
228	Trusan	731	-697	634	396	49
229	Limbang	1,554	-1,496	802	429	54
230	Baram	702	-648	1,021	566	. 70
231	Miri	1,996	-1,933	586	93	96
232	Sibuti	3,094	-3,012	390	· -	64
233	Niah	1,687	-1,629	1,684	54	201
234	Suai	567	-534	2,436	203	336
235	Similajau	133	-115	3,458	_	461
236	Kemana	1,439	-1,390	1,900	317	218
237	Tatau	859	-819	1,887	341	202
238	Balingian	1,461	-1,427	668	2 3 2	. 49
239	Mukah	1,849	-1,810	613	278	43
240	0ya	3,263	-3,201	328	437	16
241	Rajang	1,244	-1,190	886	593	50
242	Kerian	3,898	-3,538	· <b>-</b>	-	
243	Saribas	2,895	-2,825	- · ·	-	-
244	Lupar	3,301	-3,186	172	215	11
245 246	Sadong	2,835	-2,753	541	20	98
440	Sarawak	4.033	-3.880	218	218	12

: Reforestation of all existing forest disturbed.
: All natural forests on along fire. Remarks; Case 1 All natural forests on slope of less than 6° Case 2

-3,880

-1,613

-1,431

218

941

2,107

218

398

13

981

are disturbed.

247

Sarawak

Kayan

State

Case 3 : All natural forests on slope of  $2^{\circ}$  are

disturbed.

2,835 4,033

1,657

1,494

Case 4 All natural forests on slope of less than 2° are disturbed and then converted to rubber firm.

Table 27 ANNUAL AVERAGE SURFACE SOIL LOSS BY BASIN UNDER ALTERNATIVES OF FUTURE LAND USE PATTERN

			. :			Unit:	ton/km <sup>2</sup> /y
	n Name of	Catchment	*******		Alternative		
No.	Basin	Area	1	2	3	4	5
(1)	Sabah			100	9		4.12
201	Pensiangan	5,971	31	2,171	1,291	154	1,034
202	Serudong	1,308	27	2,150	1,480	105	775
203	Kalabakan	1,371	30	2,802	1,576	466	1,692
204	Brantian	741	32	2,498	1,610	1,061	1,949
205	Umas Umas	553	29	3,201	2,275	1,289	2,215
206	Merutai Besar	558	25	1 858	1,466	1,226	1,618
207	Tawau	888	87	1,978	1,814	609	773
208	Kalumpang	2,792	38	2,604		806	1,356
209	Silabukan	2,714	23	2.608		1.338	1,580
210	Segama	5,558	42	2,580		1.012	1,775
211	Kinabatangan	16,755	26	2,355		592	1,098
212	Segalid	2,335	47	2,362		858	858
213	Labuk	6.829	45	1,961	7 ( )	700	1,246
214	Sugut	3.094	27	2,106		458	1,072
215	Paitan	1.474	26	2,752		939	1,385
216		1,866	161	2,002		1,095	1,369
	Bengkoka						1,963
217	Bongan	2,126	333	2,224		1,791	•
218	Kadamaian	1,336	. 905	2,656		2,392	2,447
219	Tuaran	1,247	500	2,375		2,208	2,208
220	Putatan	629	299	995		879	995
21	Papar	805	. 39	1,128	4 44	53	870
222	Kimanis	607	63	665		81	322
.23	Membakut	736	. 42	538		57	255
224	Padas	9,180	108	1,700		258	951
225	Labuan	86	104	953		953	and the second second
226	Lakutan	1,291	143	1,727	1,048	295	974
	State Total	72,850	84	2,181	1,635	697	1,243
(2)	Sarawak				4.30	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
227	Lawas	1,080	52	1,837	1,635	. 1,248	1,450
228	Trusan	2,768	34	1,761	1,365	780	1,176
229	Limbang	3,920	58	2,785	2,356	1,608	2,037
230	Baram	22,325	54	2,289		772	1,338
231	Miri	788	63	2,675	2,582	2,092	2,185
232	Sibuti	935	82	3,484	3,484	3,158	3,158
233	Niah	1.345	58	3,425	3,371	1,888	
234	Suai	1,440	33	3,206	3,003	903	1,106
235	the state of the s	1,268	18	3,591	3,591	594	594
236	Kemana	6,000	49	3.656		1,657	1,974
2:37	Tatau	5,150	40	3,087		1,061	1,402
238	Balingian	2,518	34	2.361		1,510	1,742
239	Mukah	2,625	39	2,740		1.892	2,170
240	Oya	2,005	62	4,028		3,279	3,716
241	Rajang	51,053	54	2,723		1,294	1,887
242	Kerian	1,675	360	3,898		3,898	3,898
242 243 .			70	2,895		2,895	2,895
244 244		1,900 6,813	115	3,688		3.312	3,527
	Lupar		82	3,396		2,933	2,953
245	Sadong	3,645		3,370	7,370 7,361	4,046	4,264
246	Sarawak	3,358	153	4,469			
247	Kayan	1,838	44	4,162		2,638	3,036
	State Total	124,449	63	2,878	2,435	1,581	2,024

Remarks; Alternative 1: Reforestation of all existing forest disturbed.

Alternative 2: All natural forests on slope of less than 6° are disturbed.

Alternative 3: All natural forests on slope of less than 2° are disturbed.

Alternative 4: All natural forests on slope of less than 2° are disturbed and then converted to rubber firm.

Alternative 5: All natural forest on slope of less than 6° are disturbed and then converted to

rubber farm on slope 1f oss than 2°.

Table 28 ESTIMATE OF SUSPENDED SOLID CONCENTRATION AT ESTUARY BY BASIN

Unit: mg/lit

(1) 201 202 203 204	n Name of Basin Sabah	Land Use Pattern	1	2	ternative 3	4	5
(1) 201 202 203	Sabah						
201 202 203	and the second of the second o					100	
202 203 :	Pensiangan	62	21	1,457	866	103	. 69
203 :	Serudong	25	25	2,028	1,396	99	7.
	Kalabakan	354	26	2,458	1,382	409	1,48
	Brantian	510	31	2,425	1,563	1.030	1.89
05	Umas Umas	580	30	3,334	2,370	1.343	2,3
06	Merutai Besar	907	24	1.787	1,410	1,179	1,5
00 07	Tawau	402	70	1,595	1,463	491	6
08	4 4	356	31	2,152	1,698	666	1,1
	Kalumpang	520	14	1.581	1,434	811	. 9
09	Silabukan	212	27	1,654	1,165	649	1,1
10	Segama		20	1,034	1,390	445	. 8
11	Kinabatangan	302	21	•	1,041	378	3
12	Segalid	269		1,041 820	592	293	5
13	Labuk	216	19		630	193	4
14	Sugut	171	11	889			5
15	Paitan	330	10	1,046	877	357	1.0
16	Bengkoka	836	127	1,576	1,361	862	,
17.	Bongan	1,545	290	1,934	1,784	1,557	1,7
18	Kadamaian	1,401	532	1,562	1,530	1,407	1,4
19	Tuaran	1,128	256	1,218	1,218	1,132	1,1
20	Putatan	480	163	544	480	480	5
21	Papar	21	21	594	164	28	4
22	Kimanis	33	33	350	223	43	1
23	Membakut	23	23	297	188	31	· 1
24	Padas	124	61	960	569	146	. 5
25	Labuan	925	101	925	925	925	. 9
26	Lakutan	122	68	826	501	141	4
	State	329	54	1,407	1,055	450	8
2).	Sarawak	•					
2 <b>.</b> 7	Lawas	496	21	741	659	503.	5
28	Trusan	430	20	1,036	803	459	6
29	Limbang	576	21	1,031	873	596	-7.
30	Baram	269	21	877	660	296	- 5
31	Miri	1,210	38	1,621	1,565	1,268	1.3
32	Sibuti	2,210	59	2,489	2,489	2,256	2,2
33	Niah	1,268	44	2,575	2,535	1,420	1,4
34	Suai	342	20	1,931	1,809	544	6
35	Similajau	64	9	1,735	1,735	287	2
36	Kemana	545	19	1,385	1,265	628	7
	and the second s	327	. 15	1,174	1.044	403	5
37	Tatau	573	13	926	835	592	. 6
38	Balingian	e de la companya de	15	1,038	933	71.7	. 8
39	Mukah	700			1,743	1.592	1,8
40	0ya	1,584	30	1,955			7,7
41	Rajang	500	22	1,094	855	520	1.7
42	Kerian	1,717	159	1,717	1,717	1,717	
43	Saribas	1,328	32	1,328	1,328	1,328	1,3
44	Lupar	1,474	51	1,646	1,550	1,479	1,5
45	Sadong	1,206	35	1,445	1,437	1,248	1,2
46	Sarawak	1,477	56	1,637	1,557	1,482	1,5
47	Kayan	496	13	1,246	1,127	790	9
	•	607	26	1,170	990	643	8

Remarks; Alternative 1 : Reforestation of all existing forest

disturbed.

Alternative 2: All natural forests on slope of less than 6° are disturbed.

Alternative 3: All natural forests on slope of less than 2° are disturbed.

Alternative 4: All natural forests on slope of less than 2° are disturbed and then converted to rubber farm.

Alternative 5: All natural forest on slope of less than 6° are disturbed and then converted to rubber farm on slope of less than 2°.

## **FIGURES**





























