3.1.5 Other Effects

The main traffic means among Banding Agung, Kotabatu, Sukabanjar and other villages are boats, and there are wooden landing stages in every village. After start of the operation of the Ranau regulating dam, however, these landing stages are required to be extended or replaced with floating-type landing stages.

The lowering of the water level of the Lake Ranau will bring down the groundwater table in the surrounding area of the lake, where about 800 ha of paddy field exist. This phenomenon will increase the vertical percolation in the paddy fields, and some paddy fields may dry up in the dry season. In order to solve this problem, the construction of tirol-type weirs on the nearby streams is proposed to divert irrigation water to these paddy fields, wherever the perennial stream flow is available.

3.2 Impacts of Dam Construction

3.2.1 Inundated Area

The following table presents the number of house and areas to be inundated by three proposed reservoirs, i.e. the Komering No.1, the Komering No.2 and the Muaradua reservoirs, which are mainly obtained based on the aerial photo maps on a scale of 1:20,000 prepared by JICA in 1979.

Reservoir	Size by Dam Height	Houses	Cultivated Area	Non-Culti- vated Area	Proportion Cultivated
	(a)	(nos.)	(ha)	(ha)	(%)
Komering No.1	85	-10	80	920	8
Komering No.2	65	10	10	30	25
Muaradua	30	160	460	1,940	19
Total		210	550	2,890	(16)
1.1					

18-21

3.2.2 Flood Control

In the design of the dam spillways, any function of flood control is not given to the reservoir in all cases, but the flood peak would be cut to some extent due to raise of reservoir water level by the flow depth over the spillway crest.

3.2.3 Pishery

Although fish is an important source of protein in rural area, the marketing of fish is largely undeveloped; rather, fishing activity in the area is limited to self-sufficiency. After creation of the reservoir, however, the potential fish production in the area will be increased to great extent, and it would be possible for the settlers to manage fish culture. For their fish culture, the government fisheries offices concerned should be invited to provide their assistance to the settlers.

The most productive reservoir is likely to be that with a large surface area in relation to depth. In this view, the Muaradua reservoir will be the most productive one. This reservoir will have about 30 km², and the potential yield of fish from this reservoir is estimated to be 450-500 tons per annum. In addition, the increase of yield jutential of fish would be expected in the Komering No.1 and No.2 reservoirs.

3.2.4 Transportation and Tourism

Construction of the dam is expected to influence the local transportation. At present, there is no motorable road and bridge along the upper reaches of the Komering river. After completion of the dam, however, the access roads to be provided for the dam construction, particularly from Teluk Agung to the Komering No.1 dam and from Karang Pendeta to the Komering No.2 dam, which will pass through coffee plantation, will fully be used for the transportation of coffee to be harvested in the area and the local traffic.

The creation of reservoir will also induce a significant increase of recreational opportunities to the region. The recreational activities will include fishing, boating, swimping, camping, picnicking and just simply enjoying the outdoor experience of the reservoir setting. Hany of the reservoirs constructed in past years have become the recreation centers of the present, and this will undoubtedly be repeated in the future.

3.2.5 Biological Impact of the Reservoir

The area to be inundated by the reservoir mainly consists of the cultivated lands and the tropical rain forest, but this area is relatively small as compared with its total catchment area. Therefore, the creation of reservoir will not seriously affect the vegetation and the wildlife in the region.

After the creation of the reservoir, the river water system will change from fluvial system to stationary water system. This will make it impossible for fish to go up and come down the stream. The food-chain in the river will be changed subsequently from the chain of periphyton \Rightarrow aquatic insect \Rightarrow stream fishes, to the chain of plankton \Rightarrow fishes. And species of fish will also be changed. In other words, the aquatic life including periphyton, aquatic insect and fish is expected to adjust themselves to the new circumstances.

3.2.6 Vater Quality

One of the influential factors to vater quality after creation of the reservoirs is the inflow of organic matters to the reservoirs. Future increase in inflow of organic matters to the reservoirs will however be extreamly small because of less population and less agricultural activities in the catchment area. Another factor influencing the water quality in the reservoir is unlogged trees in the submerged area. The unlogged trees will change its material and leave organic matter in the vater which will affect water quality. Other conceivable affects of the reservoir will be prolongation of muddy water flow and lowering of water temperature in the downstream of the Komering river, if the water is taken from the deeper portion of the reservoir. Therefore, the position of the off-take structure for water release from the reservoir should carefully be studied considering these adverse affects of the reservoirs.

3.2.7 Bed Variation of the Komering Rivers

After completion of the dams in the upper reaches of the Komering river, most of the sediment discharge will be checked by the dams, and there will be tess supply of sediment loads to the downstream. This would cause the river bed variation from upstream to downward, and it may affect the free intake practices at Kurungan Nyawa and Muncak Kabau, though this problem will occur in remote future; in the order of 50 years, because of (1) some sediment discharge from the residual basin even after creation of the reservoirs, (2) a long distance between the dam sites and the intake sites and (3) a large amount of bed materials to be carried to the downstream.

In order to assess the bed variation along the lover reaches, particularly for the reaches between Muaradua to Muncak Kabau, the following study is made on preliminary basis.

- (1) Conditions and assumptions of study
 - (a) This study is made for 95 km from Muaradua to Muncak Kabau by dividing the reaches of river into 40 sections.
 - (b) The study is made for the case after completion of the Nuaradua dam.
 - (c) The assessment of the rate of bed variation is made using the annual mean discharge of 18 years from 1963 to 1980 at Martapura; 206 m³/sec.
 - (d) In the assessment, the sediment discharge from the residual basin is disregarded.
 - (e) The average grain size of river bed materials; 2 mm, is used in the assessment.
 - (f) The river bed rock is not taken into account in the assessment, though the rock portions are observed on the river bed in some reaches.

IX-24

(g) The deposition of sediment loads on the bed of high-vater channel of the river is not considered in the calculation, though a lot of deposition will occur particularly in the upstream of the Perjaya headworks.

(2) Calculation formulas

For the calculation of the degree of bed variation, the following formulas are used.

(a) River flow formula:

- Equation of motion

$$\frac{1}{g} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \left(\frac{v^2}{2g}\right) + \frac{n^2 v^2}{4} = 0$$

- Equation of continuity

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$$

(b) Sediment transport formula:

- Equation of continuity

 $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial qB}{\partial x} = 0$

- Equation of motion

$$qB = f(U_{1}, \Upsilon_{1})$$

where, Q: river discharge (in3/sec)

A: flow area (m2)

- v: velocity (m/sec)
- ht water depth (m)

n: Manning's roughness coefficient

g: acceleration of gravity (m2/sec)

z: bed variation height (m)

x: distance (m)

R: perimeter (m)

t: time (see) porosity of bed material **λ:** discharge of sediment loads (m3/sec) all: function composing of U_{x}, Υ_{x} f: Ux: friction velocity $(=\sqrt{T_x/P})$ tractive force (= $\rho \cdot g \cdot R \cdot Ie$) Tx: P: density of fluid Ie: energy gradient

For the estimation of discharge of sediment loads, the following Laursen's formula is employed:

$$\frac{\overline{c}}{\left(\frac{d}{h}\right)^{7/6} \cdot \left(\frac{\overline{10'}}{\overline{1c}} - 1\right)} = \Gamma \left(\frac{U_{h}}{v_{0}}\right)$$

$$\frac{\overline{10'}}{f} = \frac{v^{2}}{(7.66)^{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{d}{h}\right)^{1/3}$$

$$\frac{\overline{1c}}{f} = \psi_{c} \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma}{f} - 1\right) \cdot g \cdot d$$

$$\overline{c} = 265 \cdot qB/q$$

where,

To': effective tractive force Te: critical tractive force ψ_{c} : parameter (= 0.03-0.05) d: grain size

C: average density of sediment in weight

(3) <u>Results</u> of assessment

The rate of bed variation is calculated for 20 years continuously in the case after completion of the Muaradua dam, and the results are shown in Fig. IX-13. The following table shows the degraded depths at:

Degraded Depth for 20 Years

- Kurungan Nyawa	· -			1.7	m .	
- Nuncak Xabau			te to se	1.0	ta -	
		1				

IX-26

As mentioned in the above, since the calculated results are obtained on the assumptions of no sediment discharge from the residual basin and no existence of river bed rock, the calculated depths of degradation would be more than the actual. Further, it is noted that the abovecalculated results are obtained within a limit of accuracy of the formula so far developed, and it is impossible to assess the rate of local degradation and the depth of deposition on the bed of high-water channel of the river.

3.3 Impacts of Headvorks Construction

On the sandy river like the Komering, there are very limited instances for the construction of diversion weir and it is very difficult to make a quantitative assessment on the local degradation in the downstream of the vair and on the deposition of the bed loads in the upstream. Nowever, the past experiences show that the above phenomena are more likely to occur in the sandy rivers than the gravelly river. An elaborate study is required to be made before construction of the weir, but it will be made mainly based on the results of the qualitative assessment to be obtained through hydraulic model tests.

3.1 Inpacts of Irrigated Agricultural Development

1.4.1 Impacts of Pertilizer and Chemical Use

After completion of the irrigation project, the intensive farming will be practiced, and more fertilizer and agricultural chemicals will be used in the area. Sometimes, however, a farmer uses these agricultural inputs to the extent that his knowledge and judgement suggest that it is profitable for him to do so, without considering the environmental problems which give adverse effects on wildlife and human.

These problems are mainly associated with the persistence of pesticides. This persistence is, except some cases, undesirable in environment after their intended usefulness has been served, because they affect non-target organisms and result in biological magnification. This persistence of pesticides also gives rise to deleterious residues in food and feed. In selecting the suitable insecticides and fungicides, chemical toxicity which directly or indirectly affect the human being and animals should carefully be examined. In this context, phosphorothioale, i.e. Diazinon and Sumithion are recommended as the insecticide and antibiotic chemicals because of their low toxicity. The fertilizers to be used under the project, such as urea and TSP, are almost harmless to both human being and natural environment from the viewpoint of chemical toxicity.

In order to minimize the environmental problems, guidance to farmers for proper use of the fertilizer and chemicals is essential from the start of the project, even though the fertilizer and chemicals are of low chemical toxicity.

3.4.2 Impacts on Water-Borne Diseases

After completion of the project works, a plenty of water will be led from the Komering river to the project area and create water ponds over the project area, and the development of road network will increase a human exchange between the urban areas and the project area. This change of circumstances will create more chances for the propagation of water-borne diseases and the intrusion of new type of diseases and parasites to the area.

The first priority is given to the prevention of malaria infection by eliminating malaria mosquito. For this, there is a need for the detailed research into cost effective methods of eliminating known breeding sitesinfilling and draining, tree planting in the project area, and the use of larval and mosquito predators.

Schistosomiasis is a disease of considerable gravity when endemic, and is very difficult to eradicate once it is established. None of host snails has been found to be present. However, because of the seriousness of the disease, it is strongly recommended that a malacological survey should be carried out, and the host potential of indigenous snails to the disease be examined so that recommendations on possible preventive measures can be made.

IX-58

Dengue fever, cholera, typhoid fever, filariasis, etc. are at a low ebb in their epidemic cycles at present, but a long term improvement in hygiene and sanitation should be required for the prevention and control of these diseases.

3.4.3 Change of Soil Condition

The soil forming process for upland soils will be changed remarkably after start of irrigation. Gleization will predominate under irrigated paddy cultivation, instead of oxidation weathering. In gleization, metallic elements in soils such as iron, aluminium and manganese become soluble, and these compounds are translocated to and accumulated in subsoils. This phenomenon is observed when soil reaction shows strong acid and iron content is high particularly in Podzolic Soils. Excess iron frequently causes plant physiological problems, especially Akagare fype-I showing "bronzing" symptom. Besides, leaching of bases from rooting zones degrades soil fertility through continuous irrigation and drainage. In order to maintain a soil productivity high, appropriate farming practices such as fertilization, deep tillage in certain internal and liming, preferably calsium-silicate, are needed.

In addition, a well-established drainage is needed for the poorly drained soils such as Rumic Gley Soil and Organic Soil. By lowering the groundwater table in such areas, aeric condition in rooting zone will be improved.

3.4.4 <u>Increase of River Discharge in Other Basins</u> <u>due to Irrigation Loss</u>

In the project area, there flow four rivers to be used as the main drains of the project. These are the Macok, the Balitang, the Lempuing and the Tulangbawang rivers. Out of these, three rivers except the Tulangbawang river have less capacities and are giving flood problems to the area every year. For the use of these rivers as the main drains, it is necessary to expand their flow areas under the project.

IX-29

The monthly discharge of the Tulangbawang river varies from 81 m³/sec in the dry season to 260 m³/sec in the rainy season. Against this variation of discharge, the irrigation loss to be drained to the river is estimated to be 20 m³/sec in the dry season and 15 m³/sec in the rainy season at the most, and it is judged that the influence of this increase on the river discharge would be nominal.

3.4.5 Improvement of Environmental Sanitation

The construction of the project works would have a positive effect on the overall ecology of the project area. The health and sanitary conditions would become better with drainage improvement as well as supply of fresh water through the irrigation canals.

3.5 Socio-Economic Impacts

In addition to the direct benefits stipulated in the economic evaluation, favourable but intangible socio-economic impacts are expected from the implementation of the project.

3.5.1 Poreign Exchange Saving

Under the project implementation, rice production will increase to about 480,000 tons per annum from the present production of 60,000 tons. Out of this increased production, it is expected that the marketable rice would be about 420,000 tons after deducting the local consumption. This surplus would reduce the annual amount of imported rice, resulting in the saving of foreign exchange amounting to around US\$156 million equivalent.

3.5.2 Increase of Employment Opportunity to Local People

Employment opportunity to the local people will be increased by the project implementation, and a favourable impact will be given to the national economy. Furthermore, the employee will be able to gain more experience, technical know-how, skillfulness in the various working fields. These accumulations would be applied to the future development in the South Sumatra province.

3.5.3 Improvement of Local Transportation

The local transportation will be improved much by the construction of the operation and maintenance roads along the irrigation canals. The expanded road system will not only enhance the economic activity in and around the project area but also contribute to inter-regional accessibility and communication. 4. FUTURE SURVEYS AND STUDIES

For the further study on the watershed management in the upper Komering river basin, the following surveys and studies should be started inmediately:

- Aerial photo shooting over the total basin area on a scale of 1:20,000 (preferably color photos),
- (2) Preparation of topographic map on a scale of 1:20,000 with 5-m contour lines,
- (3) Establishment of experimental area with 1,000-2,000 ha for the observation of actual patterns of surface flow and soil erosion,
- (4) Detailed survey on the actual situation of the shifting culture in the basin,
- (5) Measurement of sediment loads and water quality analysis at the proposed dams and headworks sites,
- (6) Continuous observation on the change of the Komering river bed in its lower reaches,
- (7) Survey on species and population of fish and wildlife in the Komering river basin including the Lake Ranau, and
- (8) Survey on annual fish production and income in the Komering river basin.

Site	Observed Date	(t Discharge	Init: m ³ /sec) Sediment Discharge
Kurungan Nyava	Aug. 30, 1980	61.5	0.041
	Sep. 30, 1980	97.1	0.062
n an an Arrange ann an Arrange Ann an Arrange ann an Arrange Ann an Arrange an Arrange	Mar. 13, 1981	200.0	Ó, 192
Martapura	Jan. 28, 1980	146.0	0.071
	Aug. 30, 1980	85.1	0.047
	Sep. 30, 1980	86.2	0.047
	Mar. 13, 1980	173.0	0.133
	Sep. 30, 1981	184.0	0.106
	Oct. 14, 1981	339.0	0.260

SEDIMENT DISCHARGE OF SUSPENDED LOAD Table IX-1

















1X-41

4 K

Fig. IX-9 SEDIMENT DISCNARGE RATING CURVE



IX-42







12-15

Fig. IX-13 RESULT OF RIVERBED VARIATION



1X-46

ANNEX X COST ESTIMATE



ANNEX - X COST ESTIMATE

1. CONSTRUCTION COST

1.1 Conditions of Cost Estimate

The construction cost is estimated under the following conditions:

- (1) The exchange rate used in the estimate is US\$1.0 = Rp 625 = ¥220.
- (2) Construction works are to be executed on contract basis using contractor's own construction machinery and equipment.
- (3) Taxes on the construction materials, machinery and equipment to be imported from abroad are exempted from the estimate of construction cost.
- (4) The construction costs are estimated on the basis of the current prices in the South Sumatra province and the Lampung province in August 1981 and the data collected from the on-going projects in both provinces as well as the CIP prices at Palembang referring to the international prices of materials, machinery and equipment in August 1981.
- (5) For the construction of the quaternary network of the irrigation project, only the costs of materials for the construction of the division boxes and culverts are included in the estimate. The construction works of the quaternary network are to be carried out by local farmers themselves under the guidance of the Project Office.
- (6) Cost for jungle clearing is excluded in the construction cost, since this work is considered to be done by transmigrants who will settle before the commencement of the construction works.
- (7) The physical contingency related to the work quantities for the irrigation schemes is 15% of the direct construction cost except the cost of 0 & N equipment, land acquisition, engineering services and administration expenses, whereas the physical contingency for the dam and power schemes is 20% of the direct construction cost.

X~3

- (8) The engineering and administration cost for the irrigation schemes is assumed to be 10% of the direct construction costs, and for the dam and power schemes that is assumed to be 15%.
- (9) The associated costs to be financed by the Government such as the cost for strengthening the extension services, facilities of the water users' association, and improvement of the social infrastructures are not included in the estimate.

1.2 Estimate of Construction Cost

The construction costs for the respective project components comprise direct construction cost, compensation cost for land acquisition, cost for 0 & M equipment, engineering and administration costs and physical contingency.

The summary and breakdown of the cost estimate are shown in Table X-1 through Table X-17.

The price of local materials and labour wages used in the estimate and unit rates for major works are shown in Table X-18 and X-19.

X-2

2. ANNUAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS

The annual operation and maintenance costs include the salaries of project administrative and water control staffs, the materials and labour costs for repair and maintenance of project facilities, the costs for operation, repair and maintenance of 0 & M equipment, and running costs of project facilities.

Annual 0 & M costs for irrigation development area are estimated at 32 \$/ha referring to the "Peasibility Study on the Komering-I Irrigation Development Project" and similar irrigation projects in Indonesia. The 0 & M costs for the respective areas are summarized in Table X-20.

Annual O & M costs for dams and power schemes are estimated to be 0.5% and 2.5% of the total construction costs, respectively. The annual O & M costs thus estimated are summarized in Table X-21.

3. REPLACEMENT COST

Some of the project facilities, especially mechanical and electrical facilities, have shorter useful life than the civil works and are required replacement certain times within the project life.

The replacement costs and useful lives of these facilities are shown in Table X-22 and X-23.

Table X-1

SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION COST

-		· ·	(Unit: 10 ²)	US 8
	Itén Esterne autoria.		Construction Cost	
1.	DAMS			
	- Ranau regulating dam	:	3,280	
	- Komering No. 1 dam		83,760	
	- Komering No. 2 dam	•	51,840	
	- Muaradua dam		59,370	
·	Sub-total		198,250	
2.	IRRIGATION SCHEMES			
	Deminung und im		15 500	
	- rerjava vetr	-	30,000	÷ '
	- Kozering-i scheme	•	202,800	
	- Auntak habau Sthere		85 100	
	- Lempurng Schene	*	111.000	
	- turanguawang ocheae		515,000	
· · ·	<u> 500-10181</u>		000, 000	
3.	POVER SCHEMES			
	- Ranau power shceme		99,470	
	- Komering No. 1 power scheme	÷ .	93,910	
	- Komering No. 2 power scheme		44,690	
	- Muaradua power scheme		65,260	
	Sub-tota)		303,330	
				·
	TOTAL.		1,187,880	
	and the second state of th			

X-1

SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION COST

<u>64,610</u>

3,000

- DAMS -

	<u> </u>		<u>(Unit: 10²05\$)</u>			
ITEM	Ranau Pover Scheme	Komering No.1 Power Scheme	Komering No.2 Power Scheme	Muaradua Power Scheme		
l. Preparatory Works	750	12,700	6,350	5,510		
2. Diversion Tunnel		6,130	5,250	8,450		
3. Dam and Spillway	1,660	41,640	26,000	23,090		
1. Metal Norks	210	4,140	3,830	6,400		

6. Engincering Services and Administration 260 6,460 Expenses 390 9,690 7. Physical Contingency

3,280

2,620

10

Total

Sub-total

5. Land Aquisition

83,760

X-5

Table X-2

1.

2.

10³US\$}

43,450

5,000

4,350

6,570

<u>41,430</u>

70

4,140

6,200

51,840

11.

59,370

	Work Item		Unit	Q' ty	Amount
•		<u> </u>			(10 ³ US\$)
1.	Civil Works				2,410
	1.1 Preparatory works				750
	- Access road - Construction facilities		km	2.5 L.S.	375 375
	1.2 Expansion Works of River				
	- Earth works		_m 3	146,300	930
	1.3 Dam and Spillway				730
	– Excavation – Concrete works	•	ո3 ո3	2,900 1,600	21 327
	- Reinforcement Bar - Miscellaneous	: •	· · ·	230 L.S.	230 150
2.	Metal Works				н ^с
:	- Spillway gate		ton	17.5	<u>210</u>
	Sub-total (item 1 and 2)		:		(2,620)
3.	Land Acquisition	· · · · ·			10
4.:	Engineering Services and Administration Expenses		: :		260
5	Physical Contingonov			.:	300
<i>.</i>	ingsical convingency				
	Sub-total (item 3 to 5)		· .	· · ·	(<u>650</u>)
	Total		÷		3.280

Table X-3 CONSTRUCTION COST OF RANAU REGULATING DAM

Work Item	Unit	Q' ty	Amount
			(103US\$)
1. Civil Works		:	60.470
1.1 Preparatory Yorks			12,700
- Access road	km	19	2 700
- Construction facilities	,01	L.S.	10,000
1.2 Diversion Tunnel			6,130
- Tunnel excavation	- m3	29,000	2 030
- Lining concrete	_ <mark>щ</mark> 3	10.000	2,200
- Reinforcement bar	ton	500	500.
- Miscellaneous		L.S.	1,400
1.3 Dam and Spillway			41,640
- Excavation	. "3	300,000	2 100
- Coré embankment	3	560,000	2,100 5,600
- Filter embankment	m ³	190,000	2 850
- Random embankment	<u>m</u> 3	140,000	1,120
- Rock embankment	m3	1.110.000	18,870
– Concrete	6 m	20,000	4,000
- Poundation treatment		L.S.	2,500
- Reinforcement bar	ton	1,000	1,000
- Miscellaneous		L.S.	3,600
2. Metal Works			4 340
Divension			1110
Spillway gate	ton	70	700
- opilinay gate	ton	120	1,440
- which outlet gate	:	ь.э.	- 2,000
Sub-total (item 1 and 2)			64,610
3. Land Acquisition			3,000
4. Engineering Services and			6,460
Administration Expenses			
5. Physical Contingency			9,690
Sub-total (item 3 to 5)	·		(19,150)
 Total			83,760

Table X-4 CONSTRUCTION COST OF KOMERING NO.1 DAM

•

X-7

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 _	Work Item	Unit	Q'ty	Amount
				(103US\$)
1.	Civil Vorks			37,600
	1.1 Preparatory Works			6,350
	- Access road	km	9	1,350
	- Construction facilities	:	L.S.	5,000
	1.2 Diversion Tunnel			5,250
	- Tunnel excavation	m3	27,000	1,890
	- Lining concrete	B	8,000	1,760
	- Reinforcement bar	ton	400	400
	- Miscellaneous	. · · ·	L.S.	1,200
	1.3 Dam and Spillway		4 (26,000
	- Excavation	5 _{تا}	14,000	98
-	- Concrete	m3	200,000	16,000
	- Poundation treatment	a de la companya de	L.S.	1,500
:	- Reinforcement bar	ton		6,000
	- Miscellaneous		L.S.	2,402
2.	Metal Vorks			3,830
	- Diversion gate	ton	75	750
	- Spillvay gate	ton	90	1,080
	- River outlet gate	:	L.S.	2,000
	Sub-total (item 1 and 2)			(41,430)
		· · · · ·		
3.	Land Acquisition			70
4.	Engineering Services and			
-	Administration Expenses			4,140
5.	Physical Contingency			6,200
	Sub-total (item 3 to 5)			(10.410)
				1203110/
<u> </u>	· 			<u></u>
	Total			51,840

Table X-5 CONSTRUCTION COST OF KOMERING NO.2 DAM

X-8
******	Work Item	Uni t	Q' ty	Assount
				(10 ³ US\$)
1. Ci	vil Works			48,885
1.	1 Preparatory Works			5 010
	- Access road	tro		2,010
	- Construction facilities		11 L.S.	1,150 3,860
1,	2 Diversion Tunnel			7 470
	- Tunnel excernation	: 3		1,410
	- Lining concrete	四·2 3	42,360	2,960
	- Reinforcement har		10,350	2,280
	- Miscellaneous	COM	520 L.S.	1,710
1.	3 Dam and Spillway			22 090
	- Excevation	3	120 000	
-	- Core embankment	- <u>m</u> -3	130,890	920
	- Pilter enbankment	m3	72 300	12,400
	- Rock embankment	3	20,700	350
	- Concrete	<u>-</u> 3	21,400	4,300
	- Reinforcement bar	ton	1,030	1.030
	- Miscellaneous		L.S.	2,000
2. Me	tal Vorks			8,880
:	- Diversion gate	ton	400	4,000
	- Spillvay gate	ton	240	2,880
	- River outlet gate		L.S.	2,000
· .	Sub-total (item 1 and 2)			(43,450)
3. La	and Acquisition		L.S.	5,000
4. Es	igineering Services and			
Yg	lministration Expenses			4,350
5. P	ysical Contingency			6,570
. *	Sub-total (item 3 to 5)			(15,920)
	Total			59,370

Table X-6 CONSTRUCTION COST OF MUARADUA DAM

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Work Item	Uni t	Q' ty	Amount
			(10 ³ US\$)
1. Preparatory Works			3,520
2. Perjaya Veir			8,880
– Earth works	т <mark>т</mark> 3	59,400	132
- Concrete vorks	m ³	38,100	4,791
- Metal vorks	ton	382	1,900
- Electric facilities		L.S.	150
- Miscellaneous	-	U.S.	1,601
Sub-total (item 1 and 2)			(12,400)
	:		
4. Engineering Services and			
Administration Expenses	· · · ·		1,240
5. Physical Contingency			1,860
			: -
Sub-total (item 4 and 5)			(<u>3,100</u>)
TOTAL			15,500

Table X-7 CONSTRUCTION COST OF PERJAYA WEIR

		·		(U	nit: 10 ⁹ 088)
<u></u>	Work Item	Komering-I	Muncak Kabar	u Lempuing	Tulangbayang
		(36,700 ha)	(10,700 ha)	(13,100 ha)	(44,500 ha)
1.	Preparatory Works	7,401	3,896	3,138	11,531
2.	Intako Structure and Headreach	11,173	1,973	18,207	19,378
3.	Main Canal and Inspection Road	40,871	11,452	10,919	55,910
4.	Secondary Canal and Inspection Road	23,793	6,955	8,030	33,598
5.	Tertiary Development	27,218	8,335	9,720	39,605
6.	Drainage Canal	11,205	1,937	2,448	9,701
7.	Flood Protection Dike	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,760	1,354	
8,	Land Clearing and Levelling	31,784	11,776	10,790	70,191
9.	Office and Quarter	1,852	926	1,204	2,246
	<u>Sub-total</u> (item 1 to 9)	155,300	49,010	65,810	242,160
10.	Land Acquisition	3,730	1,030	1,050	4,260
11.	Q&M Equipment	4,990	1,620	1,780	6,050
12	Engineering Services an Administration Expenses	1d 5 15,530	4,900	6,580	24,210
13.	Physical Contingency	23,250	7,340	9,880	36,320
	<u>Sub-tolal</u> (item 10 to 1	13) <u>47,500</u>	14,890	19,290	70,840
	Total	202,800	63,900	85,100	313,000

Table X-8SUMMAY OF CONSTRUCTION COST(Irrigation Pacilities)

		York Item	Uni t	Q* ty	Amount
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(10 ³ US\$)
1.	Pref	varatory Works	•	L.S.	7,101
2,	Inta	ke Structure and Headreach			11,173
	2.1	Intake structure			3,884
		- Each vorks	₁₃ 3	23,600	132
		- Concrete works	្លា3	8.580	2.047
		- Metal works	ton	156	1.374
		- Miscellaneous		L.S.	427
	2.2	Driving channel			1,127
		– Earth works	_m 3	232.000	373
		- Concrete lining	۳ ۵	10,300	816
		- Miscellaneous		L.S.	238
	2.3	Settling basin			2,082
		– Earth vorks	: <u>3</u>	32,500	54
		 Concrete works 	£ [1]	6.580	1.209
		– Metal vorks	ton	33	543
		- Miscellaneous		L.S.	276
	2.4	Headreach and inspection road			3,775
		– Earth vorks	₆ 3	1.282.000	2.035
:		- Gravel pavement	₈ 2	74.690	-,
		- Related structure		L.S.	1,327
3.	Nort	lh Main Canal (50 km)			15.689
1	3.1	Main canal		·	11,168
		– Earth works	<u>ш</u> 3	3,425,000	6,084
		- Concrete lining	<u>п</u> 3	9,600	570
·		- Related structure	nos.	97	1,514
	3.2	Main inspection road	: : : ·		4,521
		– Earth works	<u>m</u> З	1,075,000	2,455
		- Gravel pavement	₆₆ 2	385,000	2,065

Table X-9 CONSTRUCTION COST OF KOMERING-I AREA

(to be continued)

	Work Item	Unit	Q' ty	Amount
:			······································	(10305\$)
4.	South Main Canal (85 km)			25.182
	4.1 Main canal			16 791
	– Earth vorks	-3	5 540 000	10,101
	- Concrete lining	ոշ ո3	JJ749,000	10,262
÷.,	- Related structure	nos.	125	074 5,645
. •	4.2 Main inspection road			8,401
	- Earth works	m3	2 239 000	4 804
	- Gravel pavement	m ²	653,000	4,898
-				-,,
2.	Secondary Canal and Inspection Road	ko	237	23,793
6.	Tertiary Development	ha	36,700	27,218
7.	Drainage Canal	kn	489	11,205
8.	Land Clearing	ha	16,330	10,422
9.	Land Levelling	ha	23,380	21,362
10.	Office and Quarter		L.S.	1,852
	Sub-total (item 1 to 10)			(155,300)
11.	Land Acquisition		L.S.	3,730
12.	O&M Equipment		L.S.	4,990
13.	Engineering Services and Administration Expenses	1 <u>.</u>	L.S.	15,530
14.	Physical Contingency		L.S.	23,250
	Sub-total (item 12 to 14)			(47, 500)
•	Tolal			202,800

X-13

1

	Work Item	Uni t	Q ¹ ty	Amount
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u>	(103US\$)
1.	Preparatory Works		L.S.	3,896
2.	Diversion Veir		· . · .	1,008
	- Earth works	т т	16,000	45
	– Concrete works	с ₀₁ 3	5,300	120
_ ,	- Miscellaneous		L.S.	543
3.	Intake Structure and Settling Basin			<u>965</u>
	- Earth works	<u>m</u> 3	20,000	40
	– Concrete works – Metal works	m⊅ ten	28	275
4.	Main Canal and Inspection Road (48 km)	:		11,452
	4.1 Main canal			7.825
	- Earth vorks	" 3	1.929.000	3.489
	- Concrete lining	2	8,900	539
	- Related structure	nos.	57	3,797
	4.2 Main inspection road			3,627
	- Earth works	Em	1,021,000	2,332
	- Gravel pavement	a,2	366,000	1,295
5.	Secondary Canal and Inspection Road	kø	90	6,955
6.	Tertiary Development	ha	10,700	8,335
7.	Drainage Caual	ka	91	1,937
8.	Flood Protection Dike	ka	13	1,760
97	Land Clearing	ha	6,440	4,110
10.	Land Levelling	ha	8,400	7,666
n.	Office and Quarter		L.S.	<u>926</u>
	Sub-total (item 1 to 11)	ан (б. С		(<u>49,010</u>
12.	Land Acquisition		L.S.	1,030
13.	O&M Equipment	· ·	L.S.	1,620
14.	Engineering Services and	· · · ·		
	Administration Expenses		L.S.	4,900
15.	Physical Contingency		L.S.	7,340
	Sub-total (item 12 to 15)			(14,890

TABLE X-10 CONSTRUCTION COST OF MUNCAK KABAU AREA

	Work Item	Unit	Q'ty	Amount
				(10305\$)
1. Y	reparatory Works			3,138
2. E	xpension of Joint Pacilities			18 207
2	.1 Intake ducture		• •	10,001
	- Earth vorks		5 000	1,024
	- Concret) works	m3	2,345	12
	- Metal works	ton	2,14)	562
	- Miscellaneous		μ.S.	103
2	.2 Driving channel			492
**	- Earth works	m 3	35,000	80
	- Concrete lining	m3	4,000	317
	- Miscellaneous		L.S.	95
2	.3 Settling basin		:	1,101
	- Earth works	- m3	17 100	
	- Concrete works	ຼິສິ3	3,630	20 666
	- Metal works	ton	17	272
	- Miscellaneous		L.S.	138
2	.4 Headreach and inspection road			891
	- Earth works	_ш 3	310,000	707
	- Related structure	·	L.S.	184
2	.5 North main canal and inspection	road		14,700
-	– Earth works	m3	2.044.000	 4.999
	- Concrete lining	<u>m</u> 3	14,500	1.299
	- Related structure		L.S.	8,402
3. M	ain Canal and Inspection Road (41 km)		10,919
3	1 Main canal			7,211
	- Earth works	m3	1 628 000	2 530
	- Concrete lining	E2	12,900	781
. *	~ Related structure	nos.	79	3,891
3	.2 Main inspection road		-	3,708
	- Earth vorks	m3	882-000	2 013
	- Gravel pavement	<u>5</u> 2	316,000	1,695
	n an		-	0.000
4. 8	econdary Canal and Inspection Road	km	110	8,030
;				

Table X-11 CONSTRUCTION OF LEMPUING AREA

(to be continued)

÷

1.1		· · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Work İtem	Unit	Q' ty	Amount
				(10 ³ US\$)
5.	Tertiary Development	ha	13,100	9,720
6.	Drainage Canal	km	106	2,418
7.	Flood Protection Dike	km	11	1,354
8.	Land Clearing	ha	4,380	2,795
⁹ .	Land Levelling	ha	8,600	7,995
10.	Office and Quarter	· · ·	L.\$.	1,201
	Sub-total (item 1 to 9)			(65,810)
11.	Land Acquisition		L.S.	1,050
12.	O&M Equipment		L.S.	1,780
13.	Engineering Services and Administration Expenses		L.S.	6,580
14.	Physical Contingency		L.S.	9,880
	Sub-total (item 10 to 13)			(19,290)
:				
	Total		· · · ·	85,100

	Work Item	Unit	Q'ty	Amount
: .			~~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(10305\$
1.	Preparatory Works	: :	L.S.	11,531
2.	Expension Works of Joint Paci	ilities		19.378
	2.1 Intake structure			2,396
	- Earth works	m3	11,800	-1-22
	- Concrete works	m ³	4,290	1.624
-	- Netal vorks	ton	117	1.031
•	- Miscellaneous		L.S.	320
	2.2 Driving channel			951
	- Earth works	"3	101 000	222
	- Concrete lining		7 000	· 231
	- Miscellaneous	EL C		
	2.2 Set 11:201	• •	<i>D.G</i> .	10)
	219 Setting Dasin			2,223
	- Earth works	m ³	35,800	60
	- Concrete works	m ³	7,240	1,330
	- Netal vorks	ton	33	543
	- Alscellaneous		L.S.	290
	2.4 Headreach and inspection	road		2,321
	- Earth works	m3	795.000	1.843
	- Related structure		L.S.	480
	2.5 South main canal and ins	spection road		11.487
	- Earth vorks		2.453	6.132
	- Concrete lining	m3	10,700	909
	- Related structure		L.S.	4,466
3.	Main Canal and Inspection Roa	nd (91 km)		55,910
	3.1 Main canal			47,682
	- Earth works	3	13.553.000	24.679
	- Concrete lining	m 3	81,300	4.921
	- Related structure	nos.	176	18,082
	3.2 Main inspection road			8,228
	- Earth works	3	1,957.000	4.467
: · ·	- Gravel pavement	₁₅ 2	701,000	3,761
<i>d</i> .	Secondary Canal and Inspection	Pood ko	\$ 47	33, 508

Table X-12 CONSTRUCTION COST OF TULANGBAWANG AREA

(to be continued)

·	Work Item	Unit	Q'ty	Amount
				(10308\$)
5.	Tertiary Development	ha	41,500	39,605
6.	Drainage Canal	ka	467	9,701
7.	Land Clearing	ha	39,300	25,081
8,	Land Levelling	ha	44,220	45,110
9.	Office and Quarter		L.S.	2,246
	Sub-total (item 1 to 8)			(242,160)
10.	Land Acquisition		L.S.	4,260
n.	O&M Equipment		L.S.	6,050
12.	Engineering Services and Administration Expenses		L.S.	24,210
13.	Physical Contingency		L.S.	36,320
·	Sub-total (item 9 to 12)			(70,840)

Total	· · · ·	· .	313,000

Table X-13

SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION COST

- POWER PACILITIES -

					(Unit: 10 ³ US\$)		
	ITEM	Ranau Pover Scheme	Komering No.l Power Scheme	Komering No.2 Power Scheme	Muaradua Pover Schee.e		
1.	Preparatory Works	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,450		
2.	Intake	1,750	1,940	1,610	5,000		
э.	Tunnel and penstock	52,530	43,100	9,620	19,670		
47	Pover House	7,470	8,090	7,550	5,850		
5.	Generating Equipment	15,550	19,800	14,870	20,140		
6.	Transmission Line	800	700	600	100		
	Sub-total	79,570	<u>75,130</u>	35,750	52,210		
7.	Engineering Services	· · ·					
	Expenses	7,960	7,510	3,580	5,220		
8.	Physical Contingency	19,900	11,270	5,360	7,830		
	Total	99,470	93,910	44,690	65,260		

•	Work Item		Unit	Q' ty	Amount
		- <u></u> .			(10305\$)
1.	Preparatory Works				1,500
	- Access road - Construction facilities		km	5 L.S.	750 750
2.	Intake		•		1,750
	~ Excavation		m3	2.500	18
	- Concrete		ы- БЭ	2,700	540
	- Intake gate		ton	100	1.000
	- Reinforcement bar		ton	125	125
	- Miscellaneous	·		L.S.	67
3.	Tunnel and Penstock		. .		52,500
	- Excavation		m3 :	274,700	19,210
	- Concrete lining		m3	88,600	19,490
	- Steel lining		ton	370	1,000
:	- Reinforcement bar		ton	7,090	7,090
	- Miscellaneous			L.S.	5,710
4.	Power House		•		7,470
	- Excavation	:	_{F3} 3	27,500	1,930
	- Concrete		E	15.300	3.370
	- Outlet gate		ton	30	300
	- Reinforcement bar		ton	1,220	1,220
	- Miscellaneous			L.S.	650
5.	Generating Equipment			One lot	15,550
6.	Transmission Line		km	40	800
	<u>Sub-total</u> (item 1 to	6)			(79,570)
7.	Engineering Services and		· ·	-	
	Administration Expenses			÷ .	7,950
8.	Physical Contingency				11,910
	Sub-total (item 7 and	8)			(19,900)
				·	
	Total	1. 1.	an an an an Arrange. An Arrange		99,470

Table X-14 CONSTRUCTION COST OF RANAU POWER STATION

	Work Item	Unit	Q' ty	Amount
		 		(103US8)
1.	Preparatory Works			1 500
	- Access road	Ìr		1,000
. :	- Construction facilities	5 39	5 L.S.	750
2.	Intake			<u>1,940</u>
	- Excavation		2.000	20
	- Concrete	m3	3,000	20
-	- Reinforcement bar	ton	50	200
	– Intake gate	ton	350	1 500
	- Miscellaneous		L.S.	1,000
3.	Tunnel and Penstock	:		43 100
	- Excavation	3	120.000	16 100
	- Concrete lining	3	72 000	10,100
	- Reinforcement bar	fòn	5.760	14,400
	- Steel lining	ton	410	1 100
-	- Miscellaneous		L.S.	5,740
4.	Pover House			8,090
	- Excavation	6 _m 3	32,000	2.240
	- Concrete	6	18,000	3,960
	- Outlet gate	ton	37	370
	- Reinforcement bar	ton	900	900
	- Niscellaneous		L.S.	620
5.	Generating Equipment		One lot	19,800
6.	Transmission Line	km	35	700
	Sub-total (item 1 to 6)			(75,130)
7.	Engineering Services and Administration Expenses			7.510
		1	•	
δ.	rnysical Contingency			11,270
	Sub-total (item 7 and 8)			(18,780)
	T-4-1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	02 010

Table X-15 CONSTRUCTION COST OF KOMERING NO.1 POWER STATION

	Work Item	Unit	Q'ty	Amount
			·····	(10308\$)
1.	Preparatory Works	·		1,500
	- Access road - Construction facilities	km	5 L.S.	750 750
2.	Intake		• •	1,610
	- Excavation - Concrete	<u>m</u> 3 m3	1,000	10 200
	- Reinforcement bar - Intake gate	ton ton	170 120	170 1,070
	- Miscellaneous	: :	L.S.	160
3.	Tunnel and Penstock			9,620
	- Excavation - Concrete - Reinforcement bar - Steel lining - Miscellaneous	m3 m3 ton ton	75,500 22,400 1,800 1,100 L.S.	2,400 3,110 1,230 2,000 830
4.	Power House		· .	7,550
	 Excavation Concrete Outlet gate Reinforcement bar Miscellaneous 	m3 m3 ton ton	29,800 14,300 48 1,140 L.S.	2,090 3,150 480 1,140 690
5.	Generating Equipment		One lot	14,870
6.	Transmission Line	km	30	600
	Sub-total (item 1 to 6)			<u>(35,750</u>)
7.	Engineering Services and Administration Expenses			3,580
8.	Physical Contingency	: .		5,360
	Sub-total (item 7 and 8)	:		(8,910)
-				
	Total			44,690

Table X-16 CONSTRUCTION COST OF KOMERING NO.2 POWER STATION

	Work Item	Vni t	Q' ty	Amount
				(10305\$)
1,	Preparatory Works			1 450
	- Access road	ka		
	- Construction facilities	K39	3	450
1			1.64N	1,000
2.	Intake			5.000
	- Excavation	m3	10.000	700
	- Concrete	<u>m</u> 3	5.000	1,000
	- Reinforcement bar	ton	250	250
	- Intake gate	ton	240	2 300
	- Miscellaneous		L.S.	2,090 660
3.	Tunnel and Penstock		1	19 670
	- Excavation	3		17,010
	- Concrete	3	39,200	2,740
	- Reinforcement bar	Ei-	13,070	2,880
	- Steel lining	ton	1,050	1,050
	- Miscellaneous	ton	4,150 L.S.	11,200
4.	Pover House	· ·		5,850
	- Excavation	<u>в</u> 3	11.689	810
	- Concrete	m3	11,600	2 550
	- Outlet gate	ton	100	1,000
	- Reinforcement bar	ton	930	930
	- Miscellneous		L.S.	560
5.	Generating Equipment		One lot	<u>20,140</u>
6.	Transmission Line	km	5	100
	Sub-total (item 1 to 6)			(<u>52,210</u>)
7.	Engineering Services and			
	Administration Expenses			5,220
8.	Physical Contingency	ı		7,830
	Sub-total (item 7 and 8)			13,050
	Tolal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	65,260

Table X-17 CONSTRUCTION COST OF MUARADUA POWER STATION

<u></u>	(1981	Current Price	s)
	Work Item	Uni t	Unit Rate
•			(US\$)
1.	Land clearing	ha	638
2.	Land levelling	6 m	1.94
3.	Land levelling (finishing)	т С т	0.84
4.	Stripping of topsoils	m3	0.77
5.	Excavation, rock, at dam site	63	4.57
6.	Excavation, rock, at structure site	٤m	3.05
7.	Excavation, rock, for canal	m3	2.47
8.	Excavation, common, at structure site	m3	2.04
9.	Excavation, common, for canal	m3	1,55
10.	Embankment with excavated materials	m3	1.06
11.	Embankment with borrowed materials	m3	2.48
12.	Bacifill for structure	m3	1.55
13.	Sod facing	<mark>15</mark> 2	0.17
14.	Concrete, Type-A (for reinforcement concrete)	m ³	76.5
15.	Concrete, Type-B (for plain concrete)	£ _m	61.9
16.	Concrete, Type-C (for lining)	m3	60.5
17.	Yortar	m3	79.8
18.	Reinforcement bar	ton	1.290
19.	Concrete form, wooden	m <mark>S</mark>	10.3
20.	Concrete form, metal	<mark>њ</mark> 2	4.33
21.	Net stone masonry (for canal)	m3	16.8
ż2.	Wet stone masonry (for structure)		50.4
23.	Dry stone masonry		8.58
			-

Table X-18 UNIT RATES FOR MAIN CIVIL WORK ITEMS

· · · · ·		(1981 Current	Prices)
	Item	Unit	Unit Price
1.	Naterials		(Rp)
	1. Gravel (sieved)	m3	13:000
	2. Gravel (not sieved)	3	11,000
	3. Sand	 m3	5.000
•	4. Crushed stone		20 000
:	5. Masonry stone	- m3	12 000
	6. Timber	3	150,000
	7. Nail	kg	750
II.	Fuel and Lubricant		
•	1. Gasoline	lit	175
	2. Kerosene	lit	75
	3. Light diesel oil	lit	45
•	4. Grease	galon	800
ш.	Labor Wages		
	1. Forcan	ean-day	3,250
:	2. Skilled labor	man-day	2,500
	3. Common labor	man-day	1,500
	1. Mason	çan-day	2,500
1. 1	5. Carpenter	man-day	2,500
	6. Blacksmith	nan-day	2,500
	7. Welder	Ean-day	2,000
	8. Driver	man-day	2,000
	9. Operator	can-day	2,500

Table X-19 IRICE LIST OF LOCAL MATERIALS AND LABOR WAGES

				nit: 10 ³ US\$)
Item	Komering-I Area	Muncak Kabai Area	i Lempuing Area	Tulangbawang Area
	(36,700 ha)	(10,700 ha)	(13,100 ha)	(44,500 ha)
1. Salaries and Wages of Project Administr tive and Water Contr	a- ol		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tin and the second s
Staff	242	71	87	294
2. Office Expenses	12	3.5	4.2	14
3. Operation Cost of Facilities and O&N				
Equipment	57	16.5	6.5	69
4. Maintenance Cost of Project Facilities	829	242	296	1,006
5. Niscellaneous	30	87 ¹ /	16.3	37
Total	1,170	420	410	1,420

ANNUAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COST Table X-20 (Irrigation Facilities)

Note: 1/ including annual dredging cost of main canal.

ANNUAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COST Table X-21

(Dam and Power Pacilities)

	<u>a i se a</u> se a se a se a se a se a se a se		(Uni t	10 ³ US\$)
Iten	Ranau	Komering- No.1	Komering- No.2	Muaradua
l. Dam	20	400	260	270
2, Power Station	2,490	2,350	1,120	1,630
3. Transmission Line	10	10	10	
Total	2,520	2,760	1,390	1,900

(Irrigation Facilities) Item Useful Komeriag-1 Muncak Kabau Lempuing Thlangbawang Area Item Useful Komeriag-1 Muncak Kabau Lempuing Thlangbawang Area Rates 25 10,220 2,980 3,650 12,400 Setex 26 4,990 1,620 1,780 6,050 Daw Equipment 10 4,990 1,620 1,780 6,050 Dam - Core 10 4,990 1,620 1,780 6,050 Dam - Core 10 4,990 1,620 1,780 6,050 Dam - Cores 50 1,0 3,810 1,910 8,880 Deres 50 1,00 3,810 1,950 8,880 Parte 1,10 4,140 3,830 8,880 Perces 50 1,900 1,950 3,350 Perces 50 1,900 1,950 3,350 Perces 50 1,900 1,950 3,350 Perces 50		Table N-22	REPLACEME	NT COST AND USE	FUL LIFE OF FACI	LITIES	
Item Usectul Komering-I Muncak Kabuu Lempuing Unitarglewrang Life Vecar) Vecar Area Area Area (year) (year) 10,220 2,980 3,650 12,400 Electrical Facilities 20 450 130 160 550 OaK Equipment 10 4,990 1,620 1,780 6,050 Dam = Vartu Useru Life Ranau Kontrifies (Enit: 10 ³ ESS) Itom Useru Vecar So 1,400 3,830 Dam = Cates (year) Coortifies) (Enit: 10 ³ ESS) Itom Useru Komering No.1 Komering No.2 Munsadus Prover Station 50 1,870 3,530 8,880 Prover Station 50 1,950 3,590 3,590 2. Concarting Equipment 40 15,550 3,590 3. Transmistion Line 35 800 100			I →	rrigation Facil	ities)	:	
Cates Unit Area Area <t< th=""><th></th><th>Item</th><th>Useful</th><th>Komering-I</th><th>Muncak Kabau</th><th>Lempuing</th><th>Tulangbawang</th></t<>		Item	Useful	Komering-I	Muncak Kabau	Lempuing	Tulangbawang
Gates 25 10,220 2,980 3,650 12,400 Electrical Facilities 20 450 130 160 550 OdM Equipment 10 4,990 1,620 1,730 6,050 Odm - Useful Ranau Rower Facilities) (Unit: 10 ² 038) (Unit: 10 ² 038) Itom Useful Ranau Komering No.1 Komering No.2 Muinredue Dam - Cates (year) 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 Itom Cates 50 1,870 1,4,60 3,530 3,390 1. Cates 50			(year)	Area	Arca	Arca	Arca
Electrical Facilities 20 450 130 160 550 06M Equipment 10 4,990 1,620 1,780 6,050 06M Equipment 10 4,990 1,620 1,780 6,050 Tuble X-23 <u>REPLACENENT COST AND USEPUL LIPE OF PACILITIES</u> (Unit: 10 ³ CBS) Teem Useful Ranau Komering No.1 Komering No.2 Muaradua Life Ranau Komering No.1 Komering No.2 Muaradua Dem - Cates 50 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 Pever Station 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 2. Cenorating Equipment 40 15,550 19,800 14,870 20,140 3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 600 100	Ga tes		5	10,220	2,980	3,650	12,400
OWM Equipment 10 4,990 1,620 1,730 6,050 Tuble X-23 REPLACENENT COST AND USEFUL LIFE OF FACILITIES Tuble X-23 REPLACENENT COST AND USEFUL LIFE OF FACILITIES Tuble X-23 REPLACENENT COST AND USEFUL LIFE OF FACILITIES Tuble X-23 REPLACENENT COST AND USEFUL LIFE OF FACILITIES Tuble X-23 REPLACENENT COST AND USEFUL LIFE OF FACILITIES Tuble X-23 Replacent Recilities) (Unit: 10 ³ CBSS) Item Useful Ranau Komering No.1 Namedua Dem - Cates 50 4,140 3,830 Pever Station 50 1,300 1,870 3,830 1. Gates 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 2. Concreting Equipment 40 15,550 19,500 1,550 20,140 3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 600 100	Electi	rical Facilities	50	450	130	160	550
Tuble X-23REFLACEMENT COST AND USSEPUL LIFE OF FACILITIESTuble X-23REFLACEMENT COST AND USSEPUL LIFE OF FACILITIES(Dam and Power Facilities)(Unit: 1030SS)ItemUseful RanauKomering No.1ItemUseful RanauKomering No.1Dam - Gates(Vear)2104,1403,8308,880Pewer Station501,3001,8701. Gates501,3001,8701,5502. Cenorating Equipment4015,55019,8001003. Transmission Line35800700600100)व ४.30	¢בסמק גטן	or	4,990	1,620	1,780	6,050
Tuble X-23REFLACENENT COST AND USERUL LIFE OF PACILITIES(Dam and Pover Facilities)(Unit: 103058)ItemUseful Ranau Komering No.1Komering No.2Dam - Gates502104,1403,830Pover Station501,3001,8701,5503,3901. Gates501,30019,8001,5503,3902. Generating Equipment4015,55019,80014,87020,1403. Transmission Line35800700600100			• • •				
(Unit: 103038) (Unit: 103038) Item Useful Ranau Komering No.2 Muaradua Dam - Gates 50 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 Pewer Station (Vear) 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 I. Gates 50 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 Pewer Station (Vear) 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 1. Gates 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 3,390 2. Generating Equipment 40 15,550 19,800 1,4,870 20,140 3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 600 100		Tuble X-23	REPLACEME	NT COST AND USE	FUL LIFE OF FAGII	ITTES	
Item Useful Ranau Komering No.1 (Unit: 1030SS) Dam - Gates Useful Ranau Komering No.2 Muaradua. Dam - Gates (year) 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 Power Station (50 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 Power Station 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 1. Gates 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 2. Generating Equipment 40 15,550 19,800 14,870 20,140 3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 600 100			(Dam	and Power Faci	lities)		•
Item Useful Life Ranau Komering No.1 Komering No.2 Nuaradua Dam - Gates (year) 50 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 Power Station 50 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 Power Station 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 1. Cates 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 2. Concrating Equipment 40 15,550 19,800 14,570 20,140 3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 600 100	·				•		(Unit: 10 ³ USS)
Dam - Gates (Vear) Dam - Gates 50 210 4,140 3,830 8,880 Power Station 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 1. Cates 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 2. Cenerating Equipment 40 15,550 19,800 14,870 20,140 3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 100 100		Itom	Useful Life	Ranau Ka	omering No.1	Komering No-	2 Muaradua
Power Station 1. Cates 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 2. Cenerating Equipment 40 15,550 19,800 14,870 20,140 3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 600 100	L EaO	Gates	(year) 50	510	4,140	3,830	8,880
1. Cates 50 1,300 1,870 1,550 3,390 2. Cenerating Equipment 40 15,550 19,800 14,870 20,140 3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 600 100	Power	Station					
2. Cenerating Equipment 40 15,550 19,800 14,870 20,140 3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 600 100	г. Св	, to s	50	1,300	1,870	1,550	3,390
3. Transmission Line 35 800 700 600 100	2. Ç	norating Equipment	40	15,550	19,800	14,870	20,140
	3. Tr	ansmission Line	35	800	200	009	100

: : ----

ANNEX XI PROJECT EVALUATION



ANNEX - XI

PROJECT EVALUATION

1. GENERAL

The economic feasibility for the respective irrigation development areas and the hydropower schemes is evaluated in terms of economic internal rate of return (BIRR), in order to assess the development plan in the upper Komering river basin. For the economic evaluation, the following basic assumptions are established:

(1) The construction periods for each development area and the hydropower scheme are as follows;

Dams	Construction Period
	(year)
- Ranau Regulating Dam	3
- Komering No.1 Dam	5
- Komering No.2 Dam	5
- Muaradua Dam	5
Irrigation Development Area	· · · ·
- Komering-I area	7
- Muncak Kabau area	5
- Lempuing area	5
- Tulangubawang area	7
<u>Hydro-pover Schemes</u>	
– Ranau Power Scheme	5
- Komering No.1 Power Scheme	5
- Komering No.2 Power Scheme	5
- Muaradua Power Scheme	5

- (2) Only direct benefit is counted in the evaluation and any indirect or intangible benefits are not taken into account;
- (3) The economic prices estimated on the basis of the international market prices projected by IBRD for the period of 1990 in 1981 constant dollars are used in the evaluation;

- (4) The exchange rate of Indonesian Rupiah to US Dollar is taken as Rp.625 equivalent to US\$1.0; and
- (5) The economic useful life of the project is taken as 50 years for the dams, irrigation facilities and other civil works and 35 years for the hydropower generating plants.

XI-2

2. PROJECT COSTS

2.1 Economic Costs

The economic investment costs are derived from the financial cost by deducting the transfer payment of land acquisition cost. Pollowing construction costs for the irrigation development areas are also counted as the economic costs:

- i) The construction costs for the on-farm development works to be done by farmers themselves are estimated to be 83 \$/ha using the opportunity cost for labour of US\$0.50/day/laborer, referring to the "Peasibility Study Report on the Komering-I Irrigation Development Project";
- ii) The cost for jungle clearing will be done by the transmigrants to be settled before the commencement of the construction works. The unit cost is estimated to be 360 \$/ha applying the above opportunity cost for labor;

Irrigation Area	On-farm <u>Development Cost</u> (10 ³ US \$)	Jungle <u>Clearing Cost</u> (10 ³ US \$)	<u>Total</u> (10 ³ US\$)
- Xomering-I area	3,050	2,950	6,000
- Muncak Kabau area	890	1,910	2,800
- Lempuing area	1,090	1,010	2,100
- Tulangbawang area	3,690	10,010	13,700

The total economic costs and annual disbursements for the respective development areas and hydropover schemes thus estimated are shown in Table XI-2.

2.2 Cost Allocation

The project involves construction of joint facilities to serve for respective irrigation development areas and power schemes. The estimated economic construction costs of the joint facilities are allocated to each development area and power scheme concerned in order to assess the economic feasibility of each area and scheme. The joint facilities are as follows:

i)	Ranau Regulating Dam	;	to all the irrigation development and the Ranau hydropower scheme,
ii)	Komering No.1 Dam	;	to all the irrigation development area and Komering No.1 hydropower scheme,
iii)	Komering No.2 Dam	;	to Komering No.2 hydropower scheme,
iv)	Muaradua Dam	;	to all the irrigation development area and Muaradua hydropower schemes
v)	Perjaya Headvorks	:	to Komering-I area, Lempuing area

and Tulangbayang area.

The allocation of the estimated joint costs is firstly made between the irrigation schemes and the hydropower schemes using the "separable costs - remaining benefits method" as shown in Table XI-3 to XI-5. Then, the total cost thus allocated is re-allocated to each irrigation development area using the ratio of the annual amount of the water demands in the respective irrigation development areas. The economic construction cost of the Perjaya headworks is also allocated to each development area using the ratio of the annual amount of the water demands in the respective development area. In this study, the economic construction costs of the dams are not allocated to the irrigation projects in the Lebak area, though these project areas will be benefited by the construction of the dams. Table XI-1 shows the economic cost for each irrigation scheme and hydropower scheme after allocation of the joint costs.

2.3 Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs

v) Perjaya Headworks

The annual 0 & M costs for agricultural development areas and hydropower schemes are estimated in ANNEX-X. The annual increase of the O & M costs of hydropower schemes are presented in Table XI-7 through XI-9.

Replacement Costs 2.1

The replacement costs estimated in ANNEX-X will be required at the interval of the economic useful life of each facilities.

3. PROJECT BENEPITS

The agricultural benefits arising from the agricultural development are estimated for both cases of "without project" and "with project" in the full operation stage of the project. The details are presented in ANNEX-V. The net incremental benefits of the project are summarized in Table X1-6.

For the economic evaluation, the benefits are assumed to be realized fully within 5 to 7 years delay from the start of irrigation water supply (vide ANNEX-V), even before the completion of total construction works. The benefits are expected to take liner increase within the above build-up period.

The annual equivalent benefit to unit value of power generation is estimated to be US\$124/kW for the capacity value and 64.4 mills/kWh for the energy value based on the estimate of an alternative thermal power plant described in ANNEX-VIII. The annual power benefits for respective power schemes are also shown in Table XI-6.

XI--5

4. ECONOMIC EVALUATION

The economic viabilities for the respective irrigation schemes and hydropower schemes are evaluated by calculating the economic internal rate of return (EIRR). Further, the net present value (NPV) which is the difference between the present values of benefit and cost discounted at the discount rate of 12% and 15% is also calculated for each scheme.

The cost-benefit stream tables for the respective irrigation schemes and power schemes are prepared as shown in Table XI-7 through XI-9, based on all the assumptions and results of the study described in the preceding chapters, and the values of EIRR are graphically obtained as shown in Fig. XI-1. The following table shows the values of EIRR and NPV obtained for the respective irrigation schemes and the hydropower schemes as well as the values of EIRR and NPV of the whole project case.

			<u>NPV (Unit: 10⁶US\$)</u>		
		<u>E1RR</u> (%)	12%	15%	
(i)	Agricultural Development Areas	: •	 . :		
	- Komering-I area	15.1	45.4	1.1	
	- Muncak Kabau area	14.3	12.6	-2.6	
	- Lempuing area	13.1	7.9	-9.5	
	~ Tulangbawang	11.9	-1.7	-50.4	
	- Overall	13.3	64.3	-61.4	
(ii)	Pover Development Schemes		1		
	- Ranau Pover Schemes	14.4	16.6	-2.9	
	- Komering No.1 Power Scheme	23.7	114.2	62.5	
	- Komering No.2 Power Scheme	13.4	9.2	-7.4	
	- Muaradua Pover Scheme	10.5	-6.6	-15.2	
	- Ovérall	16.8	133.4	36.9	
(iii)	Mole Project Case	14.6	197.7	-24.5	

X1-6

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Unit: 10 ³ US\$)
Proposed Works	Before Allocation	After Allocation
1. DAM	190,170	
- Ranau Regulating Dam	3,270	_ ·
- Komering No.1 Dam	80,760	
- Komering No.2 Dam	51,770	-
- Muaradua Dam	54,370	-
2. AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AREA	691,830	790,450
- Perjaya Neir	15,500	
- Komering-I Area	205,070	247,880
- Muncak Kabau Area	65,670	26,170
- Lempuing Area	86,150	100,880
- Tulangbawang Area	322,440	365,520
3. HYDROPOVER SCHEMES	303,330	397,880
- Ranau Power Scheme	99,470	101,230
- Komering No.1 Scheme	93,910	128,780
- Komering No.2 Scheme	44,690	96,460
- Muaradua Schene	65,260	71,410
TOTAL	1,188,330	1,188,330

Table XI-1 SUMMARY OF ALLOCATED ECONOMIC COSTS

Table XI-2 ANNUAL DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE OF ALLOCATED ECONOMIC CONSTRUCTION COST

				· · · · · ·		(Uni	t: 10 ³	US\$)
	Total				Year	÷ .		·
	10(11)	lst	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th
1. IRRIGATION AREAS	790,450		· . ·	· · ·			·	
- Komering-1 Area	247,880	14,900	24,800	37,200	54,500	54,500	37,200	24,780
- Muncak Kabau Area	76,170	7,600	11,400	22,900	22,900	11,370	-	. * -
- Lempuing Area	100,880	10,100	15,100	30,300	30,300	15,080	· <u>-</u> ·	-
- Tulangbawang Area	365,520	18,300	36,600	54,800	73,100	73,100	73,100	36,520
2. POVER SCHEMES	397,880	-	•	! !			4	
- Ranau P.S.	101,230	10,100	15,200	30,400	30,400	15,130		
- Komering No.1 P.S.	128,780	12,900	19,300	38,600	38,600	19,380	· . -	
- Komering No.2 P.S.	96,460	9,600	14,500	28,900	28,900	14,560	· –	-
- Muaradua P.S.	71,410	7,100	10,700	21,400	21,400	10,810		

TOTAL

1,188,330 90,600 147,600 264,500 300,100 213,930 110,300 61,300

				(Unit: 10 ⁶ 058)
	Item	Irrigation	Power	Tota)
1.	Cost to be allocated	-	-	468.47
2.	Benefits (capitalized)	910	214	_
3.	Alternative costs	368	102	470
4.	Justifiable expenditure	368	102	470
5.	Separable costs	366.47	100.47	466.94
6.	Remaining justifiable expenditure	1.53	1.53	3.06
7.	Percent distribution	50	50	100
8.	Remaining joint cost	0.77	0.76	1.53
9.	Total allocated costs	367.24	101.23	468.47
				· .

Table XI-3 COST ALLOCATION (RANAU)

-

Note:	Line 1;	Total cost to be allocated.
	Line 2;	The Benefits capitalized at 8% over 50 years.
	Line 3;	The costs of single purpose alternatives.
	Line 4;	The lesser of line 2 and line 3.
	Line 5;	The separable costs estimated as the total costs less the estimated cost with the function omitted.
	Line 6;	Recaining justifiable expenditure subtracted line 5 from line 4.
	Line 8;	Remaining joint cost distributed according to percentages.
	Line 9;	Total allocated cost is the sum of the separable costs and the allocated joint costs.

_		(Unit: 10 ⁶ US\$				
	Item	Irrigation	Power	Total		
۱.	Cost to be allocated	-		285.57		
2.	Benefits (capitalized)	235	497			
3.	Alternative costs	181	153	334		
4.	Justifiable expenditure	181	153	334		
5.	Separable costs	132.57	104.57	237.14		
6.	Remaining justifiable expenditure	48.43	48.43	96.86		
7.	Percent distribution	50	50	100		
8.	Remaining joint cost	24.22	24.21	48.43		
9.	Total allocated costs	156.79	128.78	285.57		
			· · · · · ·			

Table X1-4 COST ALLOCATION (KOMERING NO. 2)

Note: Line 1; Total cost to be allocated.

Line 2; The Benefits capitalized at 8% over 50 years.

Line 3; The costs of single purpose alternatives.

Line 4; The lesser of line 2 and line 3.

Line 5; The separable costs estimated as the total costs less the estimated cost with the function omitted.

Line 6; Remaining justifiable expenditure subtracted line 5 from line 4.

Line 8; Remaining joint cost distributed according to percentages.

Line 9; Total allocated cost is the sum of the separable costs and the allocated joint costs.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Unit: 10 ⁶ US3)		
	Item	Irrigation	Power	Total	
1.	Cost to be allocated	. –	· _	337.83	
2.	Benefits (capitalized)	462	103	442	
3.	Alternative costs	284	89	373	
4.	Justifiable expenditure	284	89	373	
5.	Separable costs	248.83	53.83	302,66	
6.	Remaining justifiable expenditure	35.17	35.17	70.34	
7.	Percent distribution	50	50	100	
8.	Remaining joint cost	17.59	17.58	35.17	
9.	Total allocated costs	266.42	71.41	337.83	
	· · · ·	·			

Table XI-5 COST ALLOCATION (MUARAINA)

Note: Line 1; Total cost to be allocated. The Benefits capitalized at 8% over 50 years. Line 2; Line 3; The costs of single purpose alternatives. Line 4; The lesser of line 2 and line 3. Line 5; The separable costs estimated as the total costs less the estimated cost with the function omitted. Line 6; Remaining justifiable expenditure subtracted line 5 from line 4. Line 8; Remaining joint cost distributed according to percentages. Total allocated cost is the sum of the separable costs Line 9; and the allocated joint costs.

	(Unit: 10 ³ US \$
Item	Annual Incremental Benefits
1. Agricultural Development	Areas
- Komering-1 area	45,300
- Nuncak Kabau area	14,600
- Lempuing area	17,300
- Tulangbawang area	59,000
<u>Sub-total</u>	136,200
2. Pover Schemes	
- Ranau power scheme	20,100
- Komering No.1 power sch	еле 43,500
- Komering No.2 power sch	eme 16,800
– Muaradua pover scheme	10,400
Sub-total	<u>90,800</u>

Table XI-6 ANNUAL INCREMENTAL AGRICULTURAL AND POWER BENEFITS

TOTAL

227,000

XI-12

XI-13

TABLE XI-8 COST-BENEFIT STREAM FOR IRRIGATION SCHEMES

PENGVIT CONT & USA TONI TIME CONTRACTOR ANNUAL DISSIFICATION SCHEDULE 22 22 1 4.0 20101 010 MENUN WAT CAPITAL REPLACE 133 PROJECT . TULANNEBANG ş 153 ************** INTEREN **251412 N S S BENET 1 (0001-950) TINE I ANNUAL DISDURSERANT SCHOOL 11411 PHONE FOR REPLACE COST 26.92 1:00 PROJECT - LEMMUTNE CAPTTAL A 00101 00100 190800 190800 190800 NTENES. * * * <u>\$ 6 * 6 * 6</u> VEAN BENGE I (род) вел - Дімл) «лителетителение сталителение собратителе RINGET 1/1/1/1 1/1/1/1 1/1/1/1 1/1/1/1 1/1/1/1 1/1/1/1 ANNUAL DISPURSEMENT SCHEDULE 888 28 PROVING NON MERLACK PROJECT I MUNCAN KABAU <u>191</u> 2 Ĕ 1970 CAPETAL A 7400 111400 22400 11170 IN TOTAL 4-961111 YEAR HENGET' **KNOT** ANNUAL DISTURBENEAT SCHEDULE CAPITAL REPLACE 0 4 H. <u>Colorice</u>eee PERSON TAXABLE 102201 ģ T ONINUCX - LUNDON 8888888 A TENES *29219 ŝ 法在在自己的名词复杂的复数形式 *********
LINCA 2222300 2222300 (UNIT + UNA 1000) DISMONAUTENT NUMEROUS fuir's L 4 0 30 1111 \$ 8 8 JAN NO PRESENT NORTH PRUMPLE & MARRIER 38 3 CATTA N ; (NYEKE ž ********* 2 ĩ 19991 DISTRET L -----00841 00841 00841 00841 00841 A"PLAKE NUMP" DISBURGENENT SCHEDULE ŝ 10417 - 064 FINEP I T 1999 £ 4 CAPITAL NUPLACK х HARDE LAIREA 2084 INTERNE YKAK ł TUNNE 400 - LENDE THE AREA I AND I AND A AND AND A DIRMUNICATING NOTED IN MENGE T ЕÌ 4 • MCHLACK X PAKOKAT WUNTH 3 N . 9 45472 85721 76402 76402 76427 76427 76427 76427 76427 000 CMP17AL NTERES ž 112222234323 ľ 11-12-12 1000 - 000 1000 MANUAL DISPUTING NON-DUALS 2012/2012 2012/2 IL ADNO WHIT AND I DAVE I COLONE * STATE TANG FREET. FORTH X L S 12550 200 1.2442 4.447 4.447 4.447 4.6476 4.6476 4.6476 4.6476 6076 60766 60766 60766 60766 60766 60766 60 0000000000000 1 -----יארגאבמו ŝ <u>245755</u> ł



