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Appendix 8.2
JUMSUT 64 Zoning System

| JUMSUTZone No. |  | MMUTIP JUMSUT |  | Zone Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MMUTIP } \\ & \text { Zone No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Zone No: | Zone No: |  |  |
| 1 | Divisoria | 1,9 | 37 | Roces/Roosevelt | 100; 102, 108 |
| 2 | Tondo | 2 | 38 | Pag-asa/Bago-bantay | 99, 103, 104, |
| 3. | Balut | 3, 4 |  | -g-asa/Bago-bantay | 131, 132 |
| 4 | Manugult | 5,6 | 39 | Phflcoa/QMC | 105, 106, 124 |
| 5 | Blumentritt | 7,16, 25 | 40 | Kamuning/Kamias | 107, 120, 121, |
| 6 | Sta. Cruz | 8, 15 |  | Kaning/Kamis | 123 , |
| 7 | Lerma/Recto | 14, 19, 23 | 41 | Cubao | 112, 113, 114, |
| 8 | Binondo | 10, 11, 12 |  |  | .115, 116, 117, |
| 9 | Quiapo | 13, 17, 18, |  |  | 118, 119 |
|  |  | 33 | 42 | Monumento | 136, 139 |
| 10 | Intramuros | 34, 35 | 43 | Balintawak | 98, 134, 141 |
| 11 | San Marcelino | 36,37 | 44 | Sangandaan | $137,138,140$ |
| 12 | Sampa loc | $22,24,26$ | 45 | Navotas . | 156, 157, 158, |
| 13 | Sta. Mesa | 20, 21, 27, |  |  | 159, 160, 161, |
|  |  | $28,31,32$ |  |  | 162, 163 |
| 14 | Pandacan | $49,50,51$ | 46 | Malabon | 148, 149, 150, |
| 15 | Paco | 40, 41, 47 |  |  | 151, 152, 153, |
| 16 | Leon Guinto | 39 |  |  | 154, 155 |
| 17 | Ermita | 38 | 47 | Valenzuela | 145, 146, 147 |
| 18 | Malate. | 42, 43 | 48 | Novaliches | 128, 129, 133, |
| 19 | Vito Cruz | 44 |  |  | 142, 143, 144 |
| 20 | Singalong | 45, 46 | 49 | Fairview | 125, 126, 127, |
| 22 | Buendia | 65, 68, 71 | 50 | Marikina | $122,164,165$, |
| 23 | Sta. Ana | $48,52,66$ |  |  | 166, 167, 168, |
| 24. | Punta | 30, 80, 81 |  |  | 169, 170, 171 |
| 25 | Libertad | $55,56,57$, | 51 | Ugong/Rosario | 173, 174, 175 |
|  |  | 58 50, | 52 | Pasig | 172, 176, 177, |
| 26 | Pasay Rotonda | 59, 60, 61 |  | Pasig | 178, 179 |
| 27 | Pasong Tamo | 64; 69, 78 | 53 | Taguig : | 76, 180, 182, |
| 28 | MIA | $62,63,188$ |  |  | 183. |
| 29. | Ayala | 70, 72, 77 | 54 | Bicutan | 181, 184, 185, |
| 30 | Guada 1 upe | 67, 73, 74, |  |  | 187, 192 , |
|  |  | 75 | 55 | Baclaran | 189 |
| 31 | Boni | 79, 84 | 56 | Zapote | 190, 191, 197, |
| 32 | JRC/Kalentong | 29, 82, 83, |  |  | 199, 200 |
|  |  | 90 | 57 | Alabang | 186, 193, 194. |
| 33 | Crossing | 85, 86 |  | , ... | 195, 196, 198 |
| 34 | San Juan | 87, 88, 89, | 58 | Reclamation | 201, 202 |
|  |  | 91, 92, 109, | 59 | External: Bulacan | 203, 204 |
|  |  | 110, 111 | 60 | External: Norzagaray/ |  |
| 35 | España Rotonda | 93, 94, 95, |  | S. Jose del Monte | 205 |
|  |  | 101 | 61 | External: San Mateo/ |  |
| 36 | La Loma/Retiro | 96, 97, 135 |  | Montalban . | 206 |
|  |  |  | 62 | External: Rizal | 207, 208 |
|  |  |  | 63 | External: Biñan/San Pedro | 209 |
|  |  |  | 64 | External: Bacoor/Imus/ Cavite | 210, 211 |

Appendix 8.3
EDP Road Network for 64 Zone System
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TRANSTEP Data and Planning Base for 74 Zoning System
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## List of Figures

A 74－Zoning System for TRANSTEP Application
B EPD Road Network for TRANSTEP Application
（1）はじめに
－LRTコリドーそおける提案された路線再編の効果および影響を詳細に分新するため に，次のデータが 74 ソーンシステムをベースとして作成された。
a）ゾーニング：LRTコリドーでのゾーニングの細分化（アペンディネク図A）
b）道路ネットワーク：新ゾーニンクに対応し，修正された。（アペンディクス図B）
c）公共輸送ラインデータ：新ゾーニンクに対応し，修正された。
d）公共輸送旅客 O D 表：新ゾーニンクに対応し，修正され灰。
（2）ゾーニング
－特徴は以下の通りである。
a）同じゾーンにLRT駅が2つ以上属さない。
b）L R I K平行する幹線道路につんてもLRTコリドーと同様に各道路／道路区間上の交通量が推計できるようにゾーニングを行った。
c）LRTから離れた地域ではゾーニンクの目はあらい。
d）マニラ首都圏外のゾーンは，域内ゾーンに統合する。
e）LRTコリドーでゾーンを細分化したため，ゾーン界はMMUTIP202ゾー ンシステムk一致しない。
－LRT周辺のゾーン数は54，その他20である（アペンディクス図A参照）
（3）道路網とリンクデータ
－新ゾーンに対応し，MMUTIP道路網をベースとしてEDP道路網が作成され立。 （アペンディクス図B）
－各道路リンクに対し，次の情報を作成した。：a）リンク長，b）車線数 c）リンク速度 d）QV条件（速度一容量の関係）
－とのEDP道路システムの特徴は次の通りである。
a）LRT周辺の幹線は，ノードリンクを明瞭につけ，充分検討がてきるようなネッ トワークを組んだ。LRT周辺の幹線道路の属するゾーン中心からの徒歩リンク

はLRTコリドーKも結びつけるとととしたが，徒歩距離は実態に合わせて変え た。
b）各 L R T 跃は平行する螒線道路につながるリンクを持つ。結果とLて，LRT周辺の道路結ははしで状となった。
c） $\mathrm{L} R \mathrm{R} \mathrm{P}$ から離れた地域の道路緺はできる限り単純化した。
（4）公共翰送ラインデータ
－現沉ジープニィ・バス路線はTRANSTEP用に各々99と42のEDP路線に統合 した。その方法は64ゾ～ンシステムの場合（本編参服）と同じであるが，新ゾーン に対応してその結果な買なる。
－䊺合路線の特徴は次の道りである。
a）Taft とHarrisonのよらな平行するLRT畄の幹線道路を通る路繌の明碓な区別。
b） L R T 沿の路線な路線長の短いものもゾーン間路線として対稼とした。
c）LRTコリドー外では相当数カゾーン内路線を対象外とし在。
（5）公共輸送旅客 OD裴
－第16章で詳述したよりK1980／1983年HISの結果に基づわてMMUTIP 202ゾーンベースでJUMSUTOD表が作成された。とれは，朝ピーク74ゾーン公共輸送旅客OD表作成のために用いられている。
－74ゾーンシステムのゾーン界はMMUTIPのゾーン界に一致しないため，74ゾー ンOD表は202ゾーンOD表をもとに関連ゾーンの面積按分により近似して推定し た。
(App. 8.4 cont'd.)
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Table A
EDP Route List Prepared for 64 Zone System


1/ Eop route numbers correspond to those shomin in $2 /$ Aboreviations are as follows:
A8-8
3f Intra : Intra-city service, Inter: Inter-city service

Figure A
(App. 8.5 cont'd.)
EDP Line Configuration: Existing
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## 目 次

1．はじめに
2．Mode Coefficient（モード係数）の決定
3． 64 ゾーンシステムでの検証
4．74ゾーンシステムでの検証

## List of Tables

A Alternative Sets of Mode Coefficients
B Relative Importance of Various Cost Items in Total Generalized Cost（\％）
C Initial Mode Coefficients Applied in JUMSUT
D Major Characteristics of the Calibrated Case（Do－Nothing Case Without LRT）
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H Comparison of TRANSTEP Assignment Results with Actual Survey Results（Bus）

1．はじめに
－TRANSTEP 適用に先立って十分る検討の必要な点がいくつかある。モデルの適用に際しては通常，結果をそのまま読みとるのではなく，前提条件・パラメータの検討によ り十分な解粎を必要とする。とわわけそのモデル自体の持つ限界を知るととが重要であ る。TRANSTEP 適用に際しては，a）容量の限界，b）一連の相互に関連するバラメー ター（Mode Coefficients）の決定，といら2つの問題がある。
－TRANSTEP の容量は路線（ライン）数約150（JUMSUT kより100から拡張 された）である。したがって，との限界内にライン数を減少しなければならず，とのた めに配分結果にどんな影響がでてくるかを予め充分に予測しでおかなければらなん。 JUMSUT では，とうし在作業を軽減するために上記のようにライン数の容量を $50 \%$増加させた。

2．Mode Coefficient（モード係数）の決定
－モード係数の決定はきわめて復雑である。TRANSTEPでは，旅客は所与のODペテで総コスト（Generalized Cost と呼ぶ）が最低となるようなパスを選択するというとと が仮定されている。コストは次の項目からなる。
1）WALK ：トリップ発生地点から公共輸送ノードまでの徒歩時間で時速 $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ として計算される。
2）WAIT ：公共輸送車両待ち時間で連行間搹の1／2とされる。
3）LOAD ：乗降時間。－－人当 50.1 分
4）FARE ：支払料金
5）TRAVEL ：乗本時開
6）TRANSFER：乗換のための待ち時間。運行間隔の $1 / 2$ とされる。
7）DISCOMFORT：交通量／容量比か $80 \%$ 以上となった場合に働く容量制限係数。
－モード係数は，も記の各コスト項目における時間俩値がとれぞれ異なるとんら意味から決定される係数である。（例えば，晴の日に外て 1 分待つのとエアコン付のラブバス内で 1分すどすのでは旅客にとっての評価値が異をる。）FFARE」なるモード係数は時間評価値を意味し，とれそよって時間を貨幣タームそ換算している。
－しかし，一組のモード係数を決定するに際してその評価をするのはきわめて困難である。 したかってアベンディクス表Aに示すようを様々なケースが検討された。
－アペンディクス表BはMMUTIP嘼宵およびLRTマスターブランて用いられたバス・シ ーブニィ運行の総コストのシェアを示したものである。時間価値0．6ペン侍（MMUTIP の値）の仮定では，料金が崔一最大の説明変数となるか，3ペン／時（LRTマスターブラ ンでの値）では料金の説明力は $45 \%$ 程度と方り，旅行時間わ同様に重要となる。また他の変数は左程重要でばない。
－上記のクースては徒歩•待合•乗换時間が過小評価されてんるのて，改良が必要と考え られる。とれらを理論的に適切に決めるととは非常に難しいので，問題はマニラの実状 にあった現実的かつ実際的度係数設定をするかにある。
－時間価値を幾らとみるかも常に問題となる。樣々な議論を検討した結果，現実的な值な 1．0～2．0ペン／時間程度にするととで関係者の合意を得た。
－上記より，当調査ではアベンディクス表CK示す 2 組のモード係数を当初採用した。
3． 64 ゾーンシステムでの検証
－適用に先立って，現況ベースでの检証が必要である。多くのファクターが関係きるなか て特《下記のファクターの影響か大きい。
1）モード別ライン数のバランス：例えばバスとジープニ1の間の分担をシミュレート する時に現実のバランスをとえてとららが偏っていたりすると他のインブット，係数指定が如何にらまくらっていても結果は歪む可能性が大きい。
2）リンク速度と路線樽定速度：リンク速度とは実際の道路上の歩行速度で路線標定速度とは通常異る。モデルでは何れかの低に方の速度を採用さる。
3）時間侕値：時間価値が高ければ，速度の速いモードか多くの乗客を引きつける。 ての調査ては 1.0 ペン／時間，1．7ベソ／時間，3．0ベッ／時間の3つの時間揀値 か検討された。
4）アクセシとリチィ：徒歩•待合•乗換時間を含み，とれらの係数は相当高い値でセ ットされない限り結果にをいてとない。
5）容量制限：「Discomfort Cost（不仭コスト）」と呼ばれる。ラインでとの乗客数を平均化するのに重要であるか，PTPATHKよりいくつかのバスが選ばれた後 にはじめて効果を持つ。
－3），4），5）K関しては，検証は，あらかしめ決定されたもード係数を修正するととに よってるされる。もード係数を決定するのは困難であるので，モデルの検証は上記の要因を総合的に考慮するととによりなされる。

- 検詆の際の基準は次の通りでする。
- 乘客数（人，人•km，人•時間）の点からみて機閣分担が現況とおおむね一致する。
- モード別の平均トリッブ長か現沇と加け離れていない。
- モード別乘車効率が旺況とかけ離れていない。
- 路線別の乗客数が現況と比較的等しい。
- TRANSTEPモデルな检証するために，小記の点を考虑しつつ，多くのケースが実行さ れた。その結果は，プペンディスク表Dに示す。

4．7．4ゾ－．．ンシステムでの检証
－前節で述べ左よらに，TRANSTPP適用と先立ち，Mode Coefficient（チード係数） を決定する必要がある。
時間偳徝を 1.70 ペソ 待間とした他は前節とほとんど同様である。（アペンディクス表 E 参照）

- との結果，コスト項目の比策はアペンディクス表Fに示すよらを様々そ変化した。
- TRANSTEPは実地潟相で得られた奏測値と比較，検証された。その要約をアペンディ クス表G，HK示ま。

Table A
Alternative Sets of Mode Coefficients
a. MMUTIP Coefficient:

| Mode |  | Walk | Wait | Load | Fare ${ }^{1 /}$ | Travel | Transfer | Discomfort |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Love Bus | : | 1.67 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Standard | Bus: | 1.67 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Jeepney | : | 1.67 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| LRT | : | 1.67 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |

b. LRT Master Plan Coefficient:

| Fare ${ }^{2 /}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Love Bus | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| Standard Bus: | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.9 |
| Jeepney | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| LRT | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 |

c. Modified Coefficient (1): used LRT Line No. 1 Study

|  |  | Fare $^{3 /}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Love Bus | : | 1.67 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.35 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Standard Bus: | 1.67 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 |  |
| Jeepney | $\vdots$ | 1.67 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| LRT | $:$ | 1.67 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 |

d. Modified Coefficient (2): used in LRT Line No. 1 Study


Note: Mode coefficient values for fare correspond as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1/ } 1.0=P 0.6 / \mathrm{hr} . & \text { 3/ } 0.35=\mathrm{P} 1.7 / \mathrm{hr} . \\
\text { 2/ } 0.2=P 3.0 / \mathrm{hr} . & \text { 4/ } 0.6=\mathrm{P} 1.0 / \mathrm{hr} .
\end{array}
$$

Table B
Relative Importance of Various Cost
Items in Total Generalized Cost (\%)

| Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coefficient | Walk | Wait | Load | Fare | Travel | Transfer | Total |
| MMUTIP | 3.5 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 77.3 | 15.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| LRT Master Plan 5.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 45.0 | 43.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 |  |

Table C
Initial Mode Coefficients Applied in JUMSUT

| Mode | Walk | Wait Load | Fare $^{2 /}$ | Travel | Transfer | Discomiort |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Love Bus | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 |
| Limited Bus | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 |
| Standard Bus | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Mini-bus | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 5.0 |
| Jeepney | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| LRT | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 10.0 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 |

1/ mode coefficient values of 10 (for wait and transfer) were set so that the access cost to LRT will share more or less $10^{\circ}$ of the total generalized cost of LRT passengers.
(App. 8.6 cont 'd.)
Table D
Major Characteristics of the Calibrated Case
(Do-Nothing Case Without LRT)

| Mode | Vehicle/Hour ${ }^{1 /}$ P |  |  | assenoer/Hourl/ |  | Average Trip Lenoth?/ (kms) | Averane Vol./Cap Ratio. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | kms | Hours | Number 3) | Kt75. | Hours |  |  |
| Jeepney | 301,130 | 16,342 | $\begin{array}{r} 715,019 \\ (73.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,230,707 \\ (63.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 218,463 \\ (64.8) \end{array}$ | 5.9 | 0.94 |
| Ordinary Bus | 45,091 | 2,023 | $\begin{array}{r} 210,149 \\ (21.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,913,765 \\ (28.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89,170 \\ & (2 \overline{20.4}) \end{aligned}$ | 9.1 | 0.71 |
| Limited Bus | 591 | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,014 \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,169 \\ (0.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,550 \\ & (0.5) \end{aligned}$ | 17.5 | 0.99 |
| Love Bus | 2,070 | 103 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,244 \\ & (0.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,913 \\ (0.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,980 \\ & (0.9) \end{aligned}$ | 13.6 | 0.47 |
| Mini Bus | 10,482 | 546 | $\begin{array}{r} 36,101 \\ (3.7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 470,409 \\ (7.0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,987 \\ (7.4) \end{array}$ | 13.0 | 1.28 |
| Total | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 967,527 \\ & \left(100.0_{0}^{\%}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,707,963 \\ \left(100.0_{\infty}^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 337,150 \\ & \left(100.0_{0}^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 6.9 | 0.87 |

1/ morning peak hour
2/ long trip length of each mode compared to those identified in $M$ MUTIP is due to that JUMSUT OD table includes external trips, while miutip od tible does not.
3/ this calibration was made on the Jumsur initial od table before the HIS results were finalized.

Table E
New Mode Coefficients Applied in JUMSUT

|  | WALK | WAIT | LOAD | FARE | TRAVEL | TRANSFER | DISCOMFORT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jeepney | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Ordinary Bus | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.35 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Premium Bus | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.35 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 |
| Mini-Bus | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.35 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 5.0 |
| LRT | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |

Table $F$
Relative Importance of Various Cost Items
in Total Generalized Cost (\%)

| Mode <br> Coefficient | WALK | WAIT | LOAD | FARE | TRAVEL | TRANSFER | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MMUTIP | 3.5 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 77.3 | 15.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| LRT Masterplan 5.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 45.0 | 43.7 | 1.5 | 100.0 |  |
| JUMSUT | 7.3 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 57.1 | 33.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 |

(App. 8.6 cont'd.)

| Table G <br> Comparison of TRANSTEP Asseignment Results With Actual Survey Results (Jeepney) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Route } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Corridor Used Other than LRT Corridor) | Route Length (Kms.) TROMSTEP surveyed |  | Vehicle - Kms. |  | Vehicle-Hrs. |  | No. of Passengers |  | Pass.-Kms. |  | Pass.- tirs. |  | Load Factor |  | Trip Lenath (Kms.) <br> TRANSTEP Surveved |  |
| I |  | 8.9 | $10 . ?$ | 17298:4 | 19317 | 1106.6 | 1640 | 46210 | 34091. | 130305 | 166487 | 11651 | 17645 | 0.69 | 0.57 | 4.1 | 4.9 |
| 11 | McArthur | 17.1 | 12.0 | 1368.0 | 16.35 | 213.7 | 312 | 7798 | 7239 | 68940 | 42080 | 3775 | 3193 | 0.97 | 0.57 | 2.8 | 5.8 |
|  | Harrison | 10.9 | 11.1 | 926.8 | 990 | 59.6 | 99 | 1208 | 2503 | 7306 | 12025 | 15 ? | 1027 | 0.49 | 0.76 | 6.0 | 4.8 |
|  | A. Bonifacio | 10.9 | 11.1 | 2540.4 | 2274 | 158.8 | 195 | 4728 | 5105 | 27136 | 21532 | 1669 | 1717 | 0.67 | 0.59 | 5.7 | 4.2 |
|  | J. A. Santos | 7.1 | 7.9 | 510.6 | 518 | 39.4 | 11 | 2008 | 1196 | 9651 | 4822. | 610 | 330 | 0.99 | 0.19 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
|  | Pier | 11.2 | 11.1 | 1797.? | 2195 | 106.1 | 190 | 3512 | 3354. | 16560 | 18745 | 1010 | 1797 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 4.7 | 5.6 |
|  | EDSA. ( N ) | 11.7 | 11.8 | 9009. 8 | 6827 | 519.9 | 550 | 28214 | 12723 | 96538. | 55061 | 5520 | 4636 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 3.4 | 4.3 |
| $\infty$ | España | 14.7 | 15.8 | 14372.0 | 12738 | 819.3 | 1025 | 28147 | 24187 | 187087. | 117139 | 10999 | 10666 | 0.81 | 0.57 | 6.6 | 4.8 |
| 1 | Jones Bridge | 9.1 | 10.6 | $3701 . ?$ | 352.3 | 263.9 | 333 | 9424 | 6972 | 33687 | 35118 | 2465 | 2861 | 0.64 | -0.62 | 4.1 | 5.0 |
| a | Vito Cruz | 2.5 | 3.7 | 1560.0 | 2206 | 94.5 | 188 | 1647 | 5764 | 1904. | 10856 | 107 | 909 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| Sub- | tota? | 95.0 | 95.4 | 389.33 .0 | 36007 | 23.35 .3 | 2933 | 86716 | 69053 | 453809 | 317378 | 26607 | 27136 | 0.73 | 0.55 | 5.2 | 4.6 |
| III | McArthur. | 17.9 | 18.4 | 9665.1 | 8676 | 547.1 | 696 | 17810 | 10917 | 129812 | 91934 | $722 ?$ | 7040 | 0.84 | 0.16 | 7.3 | 8.4 |
|  | A. Bonifacio | 10.7 | 10.8 | 3071.7 | 3328 | 200.8 | 28.3 | 5514 | 5955 | 19543 | 27214. | 1300 | 2331 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 3.5 | 4.5 |
|  | España | 13.3 | 12.5 | 46012.0 | 10403 | 2671.0 | 2874 | 57238 | 68959 | 360986 | 367949 | 19467 | 25189 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 6.3 | 5.3 |
|  | Jones Bridge | 8.7 | 8.4 | -967.6 | 810 | 73.6 | 78 | 609 | 1600 | 1904 | 8270 | 153 | 637 | 0.12 | 0.64 | 3.1 | 5.2 |
| Sub | total | 49.6 | 50.1 | 59716.2 | 53217 | 3492.5 | 3927 | 81171 | 87431 | 512245 | 495367 | 28142 | 35197 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 6.3 | 5.7 |
| IV |  | 6.4 | 8.7 | 74251.6 | 86992 | 3774 | 6237 | 110672 | 136700 | 697477 | 664690 | 34441 | 76472 | 0.59 | 0.48 | 6.3 | 4.9 |
| $V$ |  | ¢. 3 | 5.6 | 17498.2 | 13518 | 1158.1 | 1252 | 11900 | 39133 | 121914 | 102321 | 7738 | 9366 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| VI |  | 8.3 | 6.6 | 59927.4 | 48677 | 2986.6 | 3626 | 139067 | 118769 | 965289 | 418319 | 46664 | 28972 | 1.01 | 0.54 | 6.9 | 3.5 |
| TOTAL |  | 174.5 | 176.5 | 267614:8 | 256728 | 14853.1 | 19615 | 505736 | 485177 | 2941039 | 2161562 | 155243 | 194788 | 0.69 | 0.53 | 5.8 | 4.5 |

(App. 8.6 cont'd.)


# APPENDIX 8.7 LOADING/UNLOADING PATTERN OF LRT PASSENGERS FOR REROUTING PLANS <br> A, B, C, D, AND E 
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Table A
Summary of Assessment of Alternative Rerouting Plans (LRT Fare $\mathbf{P} 1.5$ flat, Time Value $\mathbf{P} 1.0 / \mathrm{hr})^{17}$

Base Case (W/out
Bus/Jpy. Rerouting) Alternative Bus/Jeepney Rerouting Plans
Item W/out LRT With LRT PLAN A PLAN B PLAN C PLAN D PLAN E

1. LRT Traffic
1) No. of Pass/hr. - $\quad 23,242 \quad 23,991 \quad 37,291 \quad 32,789 \quad 31,986 \quad 28,343$
2) Ave.Trip Length $\quad \begin{array}{lllllll} & 10.0 & 10.0 & 8.5 & 9.0 & 9.0 & 9.4\end{array}$ (kms.)
3) Ave. Load Factor (\%)
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { 4) } \begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Max. Volume } & - & 0.83 & 0.84 & 1.13 & 1.08 & 1.0\end{array} & 0.88 \\ \text { Capacity } & & & & & \end{array}$
2. Estimated LRT
 (Rmillion/year)
3. LRT Impact on BUS/JEEPNEY
1) No. of Pass. (000)/Hr.

| JPY. | 715.0 | 705.0 | 703.9 | 682.7 | 681.5 | 693.3 | 696.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BUS | $\underline{252.5}$ | $\underline{247.8}$ | $\frac{249.1}{25}$ | $\underline{265.7}$ | $\underline{265.9}$ | $\frac{228.5}{23.5}$ | $\frac{233.5}{}$ |
| TOTAL | 967.5 | 952.8 | 953.0 | 948.4 | 947.4 | 921.8 | 930.1 |

2) Pass. kms.
(000)/kms.

| JPY. | $4,230.7$ | $4,123.1$ | $4,107.7$ | $3,892.9$ | $3,884.7$ | $4,141.8$ | $4,133.8$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BUS | $\frac{2,447.7}{6,678.4}$ |  | $\underline{2,243.3}$ | $2,352.0$ | $2,492.2$ | $2,527.0$ | $2,217.4$ | $2,247.3$ |
| TOTAL | $6,366.4$ | $6,459.7$ | $6,385.1$ | $6,411.7$ | $6,359.26,381.1$ |  |  |  |

4. LRT Economic

Impact
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 1) Total Fare } \\ \text { Paid(F000/hr) } & 1,038.3 & 1,041.81,042.21,057.31,056.0 \quad 1,029.41,028.0\end{array}$
2) Total General$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { ized Cost ex- } \\ \text { cluding Fare } & 438.4 & 432.8 & 432.7 & 438.6 & 437.3 & 423.6 & 425.2\end{array}$ cluding Fare (R000/hr.)

1/ Based on the provisional 1980 HIS OD table.

Figure A
Loading/Unloading Pattern of LRT Passengers
(Rerouting Plan A)



Figure B
Loading/Unloading Pattern of LRT Passengers
(Rerouting Plan B)



Figure C
Loading/Unloading Pattern of LRT Passengers
(Rerouting Plan C)
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Figure D
Loading/Unloading Pattern of LRT Passengers
(Rerouting Plan D)



Figure E
Loading/Unloading Pattern of LRT Passengers
(Rerouting Plan E)


## APPENDIX 8.8 SUMMARY INFORMATION ON AVAILABLE SIDE STREETS

## List of Appendices

A North Blumentritt Area
B Between Blumentritt and Tayuman Area
C Between Tayuman and C. M. Recto Area
D Between Pedro Gil and San Andres Area
E Between Buendia and EDSA Area
F Between San Andres and Buendia Area
G Between C. M. Recto and C. Palanca St. Area

A NORTH OF BLUMENTRITT AREA:

| Road Name | Length (km) | Carriageway Width ( m ) | Sidewalk <br> Width (m) | Landuse Alongside | Roadside Parking | Road Surface Condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solis | 0.40 | 5.2-12.0 | $\begin{gathered} 0+0 \\ 2.5+2.8 \end{gathered}$ | residential <br> commercial | rare | good |
| Cavite | 0.52 | 11.8 | $3.1+1.3$ | commercial | partly <br> rampant | fair |
| New Antipolo | 0.30 | 10.0 | $2.0+2.5$ | comnercial | rare | good |
| 01d <br> Antipolo | 0.75 | 8.8 | $2.5+0$ | commercial <br> PNR station | partly rampant | poor |
| Tecson | 0.33 | 12.0 | $2.0+1.8$ | residential commercial | rampant | fair |
| T. Bugallon | 0.28 | 12.0 | $2.0+1.4$ | residentia) | rare | poor |
| Tindalo | 0.23 | 12.0 | $2.5+2.3$ | residential | rare | poor |
| Ipil | 0.20 | 12.0 | $2.6+1.8$ | residential | rampant | good |
| S. Reyes | 0.22 | 12.0 | $2.0+2.4$ | residential | rare | fair |
| T. Mapua | 0.23 | 12.0 | $2.0+2.3$ | commercial | rare | good |

B BETWEEN BLUMENTRITT AND TAYUMAN AREA:

| Road Name | Length (km) | Carriageway Width (m) | Sidewalk <br> Width (m) | Landuse <br> Alongside | Roadside Parking | Road Surface Condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laguna | 0.58 | 12.0 | $2.5+2.0$ | commercial | partly <br> rampant | poor |
| Batangas | 0.67 | 12.0 | $3.0 \div 2.0$ | commercial | rare | good |
| Tayabas | 0.68 | 12.0 | $2.5+2.0$ | commercial | partly. rampant | poor |
| Camarines | 0.60 | 12.0 | $2.5+2.0$ | residential commercial | partly rampant | fair |
| Ipil | 0.68 | 12.0 | $2.8+1.8$ | residential | rare | good |
| S. Reyes | 0.67 | 12.0 | $2.0+2.3$ | residential | rampant | fair |
| T. Mapua | 0.65 | $12.0 \%$ | $2.0+2.3$ | commercial | rampant | good |
| Oroauieta | 0.61 | 11.9 | $2.0+1.7$ | residential <br> (school) | partly rampant | fair |
| F. Huertas | 0.59 | 12.0 | $1.7+1.6$ | commercial <br> (San Lazaro <br> race track) | rampant | fair |

(App. 8.8 cont ${ }^{\prime}$ d.)
C Between Tayuman and C. M. Recto Area

| Road Name | Lengch (km) | Carriageway Width (m) | Sidewalk <br> Width (m) | Landuse Alongside | Roadside <br> parking | Road Surface Condrition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quiricada | 0.94 | 10.1-12:0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4+1.6 \\ & 1.6+3.4 \end{aligned}$ | residential <br> (San Lazaro Hospital, <br> Elem. sch.) | partiy rampant | good |
| Alvarez | 0.57 | 12.0 | $0+2.6$ | residential <br> (Elem. sch.) | rare | poor |
| Bambang | 0.97 | $8.0-12.0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1+1.1 \\ & 2.5+2.0 \end{aligned}$ | residential commercial | partly <br> rampant | fair |
| E. Remegio | 0.52 | 12.0 | $2.5+2.3$ | residential commencial | rare | fair |
| Mayhaligue | 0.41 | 12.0 | $2.1+3.3$ | residential <br> commercial | rare | fair |
| v. Fuguso | 0.57 | 14.4 | $1.2 \div 1.6$ | comnercial <br> (Central Mkt) | rampant | fair |
| Lope de Vega | 0.45 | 11.9 | $2.2+2.7$ | conmercial | rare | fair |
| Doroteo Jose | 0.36 | 12.5 | $2.0+2.0$ | comercial | rampant | poor |
| S. Reyes | 0.85 | 9.8 | $2.5+2.7$ | residential commencial | rare | poor ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| T. Mapua | 0.85 | 9.9 - 10.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1+0 \\ & 1.8+1.5 \end{aligned}$ | commercial | partly <br> rampant | poor |
| Oroquieta | 1.38 | 11.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3+1.3 \\ & 2.0+1.7 \end{aligned}$ | residential commercial (0id prison) | partly rampant | poor |
| F. Huertas | 1.11 | 11.9 | $1.7+2.0$ | residential commercial | partly <br> rampant | poor |
| P. Guevarra | 1.10 | 11.9 | $1.8+2.0$ | residential commercial (Elem. sch., Central Mkt.) | rare | good |
| T. Alonzo | 0.37 | 12.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1+2.5 \\ & 2.8+2.7 \end{aligned}$ | residential <br> (High Sch.) | rare | good |

D. Between Pedro Gil and San Andres Area

| Road Name | Length (km) | Carriageway Nidth (m) | Sidewalk <br> nidth (m) | Landuse Alonuside | Roadside <br> Parking | Road Surfaca Condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen. Malvar | 0.95 | $10.2-10.8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2+2.2 \\ & 2.4+2.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { commercial } \\ & (S P C, P C U, P W U) \end{aligned}$ | partly rampant | fair |
| Julio Nakpil | 0.94 | 10.2 | $2.5+2.2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { commercial } \\ & \text { (PWU) } \end{aligned}$ | rampant | fair |
| Remedios | 0.82 | 9.9 | $2.8+2.6$ | commercial | partly <br> rampant | fair |
| M. Adriatico | 0.65 | 11.0 | $2.0+2.15$ | commercial | partly rampant | good |
| J. C. Bocobo | 0.65 | 10.0 | $2.2+2.2$ | commercial <br> residential | rampant | good |


| M. Y. Orosa | 0.65 | 10.0 | $2.5+2.2$ | commercial <br> residential <br> (St.Paul Col | rampant | fair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. Ma. Guerrero | 0.65 | 10.8 | $2.8+2.1$ | commercial <br> residential <br> (SPC, PCU) | rampant | fair |
| Or. A.Varque | 20.65 | 10.0 | $1.5+1.5$ | residential (PCU) | rampant | fair |
| Indiana | 0.70 | 10.2 | $2.2+2.2$ | residential commercial | rare | fair |
| L. Guinto | 0.75 | 10.1 | $2.4+2.4$ | commercial (PCU, PVU) | rare | poor |
| T. Agoncillo | 0.75 | 9.0 | nothing | commercial | rare | good |
| San Pascual | 0.75 | 11.1 | nothing | commercial | rare | fair |
| Kansas | 0.75 | 10.2 | $1.6+0$ | residential commercial | rare | poor |
| Singalong | 0.77 | 7.8 - | $1.7+1.7$ | residential | rare | good |

Legend:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SPC - St. Paul's College } \\
& \text { PCU - Philippine Christian College } \\
& \text { PWU - Philippine Homen's University }
\end{aligned}
$$

E Between Buendia and EDSA Area

| Road <br> Name | Length (km) | Carriageway Fidth (m) | Sidewalk <br> Width (m) | Landuse Alongside | Roadside Parxing | Road Surrace Condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. Villanueva | 0.20 | 3.1-4.6 | nothing | residential | rare | good |
| Villareal | 0.22 | 5.0 | nothing | residential | rare | good |
| Vergel | 0.25 | 4.6 | nothing | commercial | partly rampant | good |
| Villar uel | 0.47 | 4.9-6.3 | nothing | comnercial | rare | good |
| A. Pablo | 0.20 | 3.10 | nothing | comercial <br> residential | rare | fair |
| Cartimar | 0.21 | 12.1 | $2.5+2.5$ | commercial | rampant | fair |
| Mabolo | 0.21 | 5.8 | nothing | commercial | rare | fair |
| Dancel | 0.23 | 4.2 | nothing | residential | rare | good |
| Lucban | 0.23 | 3.2 | nothing | residential | rare | good |
| P. Manahan | 0.25 | 3.0 | nothing | residential | rare | poor |
| Col. Doro | 0.24 | 3.0 | nothing | residential | rare | poor |
| Primero De Mayo | 0.24 | 3.0 | nothing | commercial | rampant | poor |
| J.S. Galvez | 0.40 | 4.0 | nothing | residential | rare | fair |
| Pasay Lions RD | 0.11 | 3.0 | nothing | commercial | rare | fair |


| Sanchez | 0.23 | 3.0 | nothing | commercial | rare | fair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R--Domingo | 0.23 | 5.1 | nothing | cormercial | rare | good |
| Ignacio | 0.29 | $6.0-10.0$ | nothing | commercial residential | rare | good |
| Leveriza | 0.67 | 6.1 | nothing | residential commercial | rampant | good |
| A. Luna | 0.62 | 5.1 | nothing | residential commercial | rare | good |
| P. Burgos | 0.70 | 6 | nothing | residential | rare | good |
| Park Ave. | 1.32 | 6.1 | nothing | residential | rare | good |
| L. Villanuev | a0.87 | 4.2 | nothing | commercial | rare | good |
| Marquita | 0.35 | 4.0 | nothing | commercial | rare | good |
| Zamora | 1.10 | 6.0 | nothing | residential | rare | good |
| Figueroa | 0.60 | $3.0-6.0$ | nothing | commercial | rara | ooor |

F Between San Andres and Buendia Area

| Road Name | Lengrth (km) | Carriageway Hidth (m) | Sidewalk <br> Width (m) | Landuse Alongside | Roadside Parting | Road Surface Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maligaya | 0.22 | 4.4 | nothing | residential | rare | fair |
| Oagonoy | 0.08 | 8.0 | $1.7+2.5$ | residential | rare | fair |
| Estrada | 0.28 | 10.5 | $2.0+2.2$ | commercial (SSC) | rare | fair |
| Inquimboy | 0.10 | 3.0 | nothing | residential | rare | good |
| Balagtas | 0.31 | 7:0 | $1.4+1.4$ | residential | rare | poor |
| Gotamco | 0.28 | 6.9 | $1.2+1.2$ | residential commercial | rare, | good |
| San Juan | 0.52 | $6.2-7.1$ | nothing $1.4+1.4$ | residential comenercial | rare | good |
| M. Adriatic | 1.07 | 10.5-19.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2+2.2 \\ & 3.5+3.5 \end{aligned}$ | commercial park <br> (Rizal Mem. <br> Stadium, Zoo, Century Park Sheraton H.) | partly <br> ramoant | fair |
| Leveriza | 0.55 | 8.5 | nothing | commercial | rampant | fair |
| L. Guinto | 0.87 | 12.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5+2.6 \\ & 3.1+3.1 \end{aligned}$ | compercial residential (SSC) | partly <br> rampant | fair |
| Singalong | 0.86 | 8.0 | $1.1+1.7$ | residential <br> (SSC) | rare | good |

(App. 8.8 cont $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{d}.\right)$

| Leveriza | 0.76 | 8.5 | nothing | residential | partly <br> rampant | fair |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Donada | 0.79 | $6.0-7.3$ | nothing | residential <br> comercial | rare | fair |
| San Juan | 0.83 | 4.6 | nothing | residential | partly <br> rampant | fair |
| Domingo | 0.83 | 6.0 | nothing | residential | rare | good |
| 8autista | 0.90 | 12.1 | $1.3+1.3$ | residential <br> commercial | rare | good |

Legend:
SSC - St. Scholastica College

G Between C.M. Recto and C. Palanca St. Area:

| Road <br> Name | Length (km) | Carriageway Midth (m) | Sidewalk <br> Hidth (m) | Landuse Alongside | Roadside <br> Parining | Road Surfiace Condítions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soler | 0.63 | 4.5-12.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5+1.2 \\ & 3.2+3.2 \end{aligned}$ | commercial <br> residential | rare | poor |
| Ongpin | 0.38 | 7.0-9.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 0+0.9 \\ 1.5+1.0 \end{array}$ | cormercial | rare | good |
| G. Puyat | 0.38 | $6.0-8.7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0+0.9 \\ & 1.7+1.7 \end{aligned}$ | commercial | rare | fair |
| Paterno | 0.20 | $4.0-4.1$ | $\begin{gathered} 0+0 \\ 0.7+0.7 \end{gathered}$ | commercial | rare | fair |
| Carriedo | 0.20 | 12.0 | $2.9+2.9$ | commercial | rampant | good |
| T. Alonzo | 0.30 | 12.0 | $2.8+2.7$ | commercial <br> (Ortañez Univ) | rare | good |
| T. Mapua | 0.30 | 7.0 | $1.6+1.6$ | commercial | rare | fair |
| Evangelista | 0.49 | 7.1-8.4 | $1.4+1.4$ | commercial | rare | good |
| Villalobos | 0.12 | 11.0 | $0.9+0.8$ | commercial | rare | good |
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REROUTING PLAN Alternative A (Banning of Jeepney for V. Fugoso - Plaza Sta. Cruz
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REROUTING PLAN Alternative B
(Banning of Jeepney for Solis-Plaza
Figure B
Sta. Cruz Section of Rizal Avenue)


REROUTING PLAN Alternative C
Figure C
(App. 8.10 cont'd.)
(Banning of Jeepney for Solis - McArthur Bridge Section of Rizal Avenue)


REROUTING PLAN Alternative C'
(Banning of Jeepney for Solis-McArthur Bridge Section of Rizal Avenue)


REROUTING PLAN Alternative I
Figure $E \quad$ (Banning of Jeepney for P. Quirino -
Vito Cruz Section of Taft Avenue)
(App. 8.10 cont'd.)


REROUTING PLAN Alternative II
Figure $F$
(Banning of Jeepney for P. Quirino Buendia Section of Taft Avenue)


REROUTING PLAN Alternative III
Figure $G$
(App. 8.10 cont'd.)


Figure H
OUTING PLAN Alternative IV
(Banning of Jeepney for V. Cruz -
Pasay Rtda. Section of Taft Avenue)


## Appendix 9． 1 道路容量算定方试

－道路容量算定の方式は種々あるか，JUMSUT調查ではマニラ首都圈の奏状を考慮して，
＂Highway Planning Manual＂（MPWH，1981年8月）の方式を探用した。
－第一段階として，アパンディクス表へに示すようにMPWHマニュアルにまいて，「乘用本換算での時間当り基本的断面容量」が決定されている。

## Appendix Table A

Basic Hourly Capacity in PCU（Passenger Car Unit）for Both Directions

| Road Type | Carriageway <br> Width $(M)$ | Roadside <br> Friction | Basic Hourly Capacity <br> in PCU |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| in Both Direction |  |  |  |

Source ：MPWH Highway Planning Manual
－第2段階として，上記の容皇を㐸のよられ調整する。
a）路㑡が 2.0 m 以下の場合： $10 \%$ 减少させる。
b）車道から 1.5 m 以内に障害物がある場合： $10 \%$ 减少（一方向のみにある場合）， $20 \%$娍少（二方向とわある場合）
－上記に加え，交差点の影㫫を表す変数が考慮された。
a） 2 車線の場合： 0.8 （ $20 \%$ 减少）
b）多車線の場合：0．6（40\％減少）
－最後に次のように道路容量が算出された。
a）2車線道路（雨方向）
A）車道稫員 6.0 m 以下
$1,200 \times 0.9 \times 0.8 \times 0.8=690 \quad \mathrm{pcu} /$ 㭙間
B）車道褔員 $6.1-6.5 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
1.600 \times 0.9 \times 0.8 \times 0.8=920 \quad \mathrm{pcu} / \text { 時閥 }
$$

C）車道幅員 6．6－7．3 m

$$
1,800 \times 0.9 \times 0.8 \times 0.8=1,040 \mathrm{pcu} / \text { 時間 }
$$

b）多車線道路（各車線）
A）中央分離帯のある場合
$1.675 \times 0.9 \times 1.0 \times 0.6=900 \mathrm{pcu} /$ 時間
B）中央分離带のない場合

$$
1.675 \times 0.9 \times 0.8 \times 0.6=720 \mathrm{pcu} \text { 侍間 }
$$

－PCU（乗用車換算台数）はシーブニィ1．5，バス 2.5 ，トラック 2.0 である。
Appendix 9.2
Traffic Conditions by Road Section
Along LRT Corridor (Before Rerouting)

(App.9.2 cont'd.)

| Road Name | Section |  | Width of Carriageway$\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Private } \\ & \text { Car, Van } \end{aligned}$Jeep | Peak Hour Iraffic Volume |  |  |  |  | Pr Total | Pirection <br> Percentage <br> (\%) | Hourly Capacity | $\begin{aligned} & V / C \\ & 0,2+4 \end{aligned}$Ratiol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lenght } \\ & (\mathrm{kms} .) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\frac{\text { Ju }}{\text { jeepney }}$ | lic Transp | Ort | Total (vehicie) | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Total } \\ \left(\begin{array}{l} \text { P.C. C. U. }) \end{array}\right. \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M. h. oel pylar | P. Quirino-T.M. Kalaw | 2.0 | 7.1 (2) | 504 | 519 | 31 | 550 | 1,054 | 1,361 | 52.2 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.95 |
| DONADA | vito Cruz - Buendia | 0.8 | 6.5 (2) | 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208. | 208 |  | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.14 |
| San juan | Leveriza-F.B.Harrison | 0.3 | 6.5 (2) | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 137 |  | one-way | 720/lane | 0.10 |
| EDSA | Taft Ave. - SSH | 1.6 | 36.0 (8) | 2,103 | 435 | 465 | 900 | 3,003 | 3;919 | 30.0 | 54.7 | 900/rane | 0.60 |
| Mexico road | Taft Ave.-Quirino Ave. | 0.8 | 13.0 (4) | 218 | 728 | 252 | 980 | 1,198 | 1,940 | 81.8 | 53.7 | 720/tane | 0.72 |
| Libertao | Roxas Blvd. -Taft Ave. | 0.8 | 7.0 (2) | 257 | 500 | 9 | 509 | 766 | 1,030 | 66.4 |  | 1,040 | 0.99 |
|  | Taft Ave. - SSH | 1.1 | 7.0 (2) | 252 | 592 | 9 | 601 | 853 | 1,163 | 70.5 |  | 1,040 | 1.12 |
| vito cruz | Roxas Blvd. - Taft Ave. | 0.8 | 10.0 (2) | 611 | 397 | 86 | 483 | 1,094 | 1,422 | 44.1 |  | 1,040 | 1.37 |
|  | Taft Ave. - SSH | 0.9 | 11.2 (2) | 1,039 |  | 163 | 163 | 1,202 | 1,447 | 13.6 | one-way | 720/lane | 1.00 |
| SAN ANORES | Roxas Bivd. - Taft Ave. | 0.9 | 10.6 (2) | 772 |  | 32 | 32 | 804 | 852 | 4.0 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.59 |
| U.N. Avene | Roxas Blud.-Taft Ave. | 0.8 | 13.1.(4) | 1,945 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 1,961 | 1,981 | 0.8 | 57.0 | 720/lane | 0.78 |
|  | Taft Ave.-P. Quirino | 1.0 | 13.1 (4) | 1,633 |  | 15 | 15 | 1,648 | 1,671 | 0.9 | 68.6 | 720/7ane | 0.80 |
| T.M. Kalan | Roxas 8lyd.-Taft Ave. | 0.8 | 25.0 (8) | 1,093 | 1,455 | 205 | 1,660 | 2,753 | 3,789 | 60.3 | 56.1 | 900/7ane | 0.59 |
| t. Claudio | Roxas sivd.-Quir ino Ave. | 0.3 | 7.0 (2) | 90 | 791 | 0 | 791 | 881 | 1,227 | 50 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.85 |
| Leveriza | Remedios-0izal Memorial | 0.3 | 5.0 (2) | 46 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 47 | 50 | 2.1 | 53.2 | 720/3ane | 0.03 |
|  | vito Cruz - Buendia | 0.8 | 8.5 (2) | 208 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 224 | 232 | 7.1 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.16 |
|  | Buendia - Libertad | 0.7 | 6.1 (2) | 208 | 208 | 0 | 208 | 416 | 520 | 50 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.36 |
| park avenue | Libertad - Mexico Rd. | 1.1 | 6.0 (2) | 394 | 394 | 0 | 394 | 788 | 985 | 50 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.68 |
| A. LUNA | Buendia - Libertad | 0.6 | 7.3 (2) | 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 208 | 0 | one-way | 720/7ane | 6.14 |
| Aoriatico | P. Faura - P. Gil | 0.4 | 9.0 (2) | 242. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 242 | 0 |  | 1,040 | 0.23 |
|  | P. Git - P. Qurino | 1.0 | 11.0 (2) | 242 | 242 | 0 | 242 | 484 | 605 | 50 |  | 1,040 | 0.58 |
|  | P. Quirino-vito Cruz | 0.7 | 10.5 (2) | 242 | 42 | 0 | 42 | 284 | 305 |  |  | 1,040 | 0.29 |
| LEON GUINTO | P. Faura - P. Quirino | 1.1 | 12.0 (2) | 476 | 476 | 0 | 476 | 952 | 1,190 | 50 |  | 1,040 | 1.14 |

(App.9.2 cont'd.)

| Road Name | Section |  | Width of Carriageway (Mo. of Lanes) | Private Car, Van jeep | Peak Hour Traffic Volune |  |  |  |  | PT Total Ratio(\%) | lleavy Direction Percentage (\%) | Hourly Capacity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { /C } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lenght } \\ & \text { (kms.) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | lic Transp | ort | Total | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Jeepney | Bus/Truck | Total | (Vehricle) | (P.C.U.) |  |  |  |  |
|  | P. Quirino-Vito Cruz | 0.9 | 12.0 (2) | 466 | 466 | 0 | 466 | 932 | 1,165 | 50 |  | 1,040 | 1.12 |
| DOMINGA | Vito Cruz - Buendia | 1.0 | 6.4 (2) | - 217 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 234 | 243 |  | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.17 |
| P. BJRGOS | Buendia - Libertad | 0.7 | 6.4 (2) | 217 | 17 | 0 | 17. | 234 | 243 |  | one-way | 720/lane | 0.17 |
| ZAMORA | Libertad - EDSA | 1.0 | 6.4 (2) | 217 | 217 | 0 | 217 | 434 | 543 | 50 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.38 |
| P. FAURA | Roxas Blvd. - Paco Park | 1.0 | 11.0 (2) | 622 | 24 | 41 | 65 | 687 | 761 | 9.5 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.53 |
| GEN. LUNA | Taft Ave. - P. Gil | 1.0 | 10.0 (2) | 490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 490 | 490 | 0 | one -way | 720/Tane | 0.34 |
| DEL PAN BRIOGE |  | 0.2 | (4) | 2,602 | 174 | 7 | 181 | 2,783 | 2,881 | 6.5 | 57.5 | 900/7 ane | 0.92 |
| JONES BRIDGE |  | 0.1 | (4) | 2,662 | 884 | 40 | 924 | 3,586 | 4,088 | 25.8 | 67.5 | 900/1ane | 1.53 |
| MCARTHUR BRIDGE |  | 0.1 | (4) | 3,736 | 1,802 | 107 | 1,909 | 3,645 | 4.707 | 52.4 | 50.3 | 900/lane | 1.32 |
| QUEZON BRIDGE |  | 0.1 | (4) | 1,656 | 2,207 | 218 | 2,425 | 4,081 | 5,512 | 59.4 | 51.2 | 900/1ane | 2.57 |
| AYALA BRIDGE |  | 0.1 | (6) | 2,832 | 16 | 156 | 172 | 3,004 | 3,246 | 5.7 | 54.3 | 900/lane | 0.65 |
| NAGTAHAN BRIDGE |  | 0.2 | (6) | 4,397 | 248 | 11 | 259 | 4,656 | 4,797 | 5.6 | 52.9 | 900/lane | 0.94 |
| C. M. RECTO | Der Pan - J.A. Santos | 1.3 | 36.6 (10) | 690 | 1,671 | - 255 | 1,926 | 2,616 | 3,833 | 73.6 | 52.6 | 900/1 ane | 0.45 |
|  | J.A. Santos-Quezon Bivd. | 1.0 | 23.0 (6) | 1,376 | 2,823 | 273 | 3,096 | 4,472 | 6,294 | 69.2 | 69.2 | 900/7ane | 1.61 |
|  | Quezon Blvd.-Legarda | 0.7 | 23.0 (6) | 900 | 1,292 | 77 | 1,269 | 2,269 | 3,031 | 60.3 | 50.1 | 900/lane | 0.56 |
| QUEZON BLVD. | Quezon Bridge - Lerma | 0.8 | 23.2 (6) | 2,590 | 1,688 | 495 | 2,183 | 4,773 | 6,359 | 45.7 | 61.4 | 900/lane | 1.45 |
| ANDALUCIA | l.erma - V. Fugoso | 0.3 | 23.2 (6) | 1,457 | 1,546 | 290. | 1,836 | 3,293 | 4,501 | 55.8 | 60.6 | 900/1 ane | 1.01 |
|  | V. Fugoso - Bambang | 0.3 | 23.2 (4) | 1,459 | 1,668 | 243 | 1,911 | 3,370 | 4,569 | 56.7 | 59.0 | 900/lane | 1.50 |
|  | Bambang - Laon Laan | 0.1 | 23.2 (4) | 1,153 | 1,035 | 391. | 1,426 | 2,579 | 3,682 | 55.3 | 53.4 | 900/lane | 1.09 |
| LERMA | Quezon Blvd. - N. Reyes | 0.2 | 24.8 (8) | 797 | 2,139 | 102 | 2,241 | 3,038 | 4,260 | 73.8 | 56.9 | 900/Tane | 0.67 |
| ESPANA | Lerma - A. Mendoza | 0.7 | 24.8 (8) | 1,762 | 2,392 | 108 | 2,500 | 4,262 | 5,620 | 58.7 | 62.3 | 900/tane | 0.97 |

(App.9.2 cont'd.)

| Road Name | Section |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width of } \\ & \text { Carriagevay } \\ & \text { (No. of Lanes) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { private } \\ \text { Car, van } \\ \text { Seep } \end{array}$ | Peak Hour Traffic Volume |  |  |  |  | PT TotalRation | HeavyDirection Percentage (g) | Hourly Capacity | V/c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lenght } \\ & (\text { knis. }) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Jeeprey | blic Trans | $\frac{\text { ort }}{\text { Totat }}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { rotal } \\ \text { (Vehicle) } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (P.C.U. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| LAONG-LaAN | Andalucia - A. Mendoza | 0.3 | 18.0 (4) | 376 | 57 | 184 | 241 | 617 | 921 | 39.1 | 78.3 | 720/1ane | 0.50 |
| dimasalang | A. Mendoza-Blumentritt | 1.3 | 14.6 (4) | 1,079, | 832 | 308 | 1,140 | 2,219 | 3,097 | 1.4 | 63.9 | 720/7ane | 1.37 |
| blumentritt | Rizal Ave.-A.Bonifacio | 0.7 | 14.0 (4) | 130 | 1,755 | 7 | 1,762 | 1,892 | 2,780 | 93. | 67.3 | 900/lane | 1.04 |
| V. fuguso | T. Mapua - Andilucia | 0.4 | 10.0 (2) | 171 | 74 | 8 | 82 | 253 | 302 | 32.4 | 63.2 | 1,040 | 0.2 |
| BAMBANG | Rizal Ave. - Andalucia | 0.4 | 12.0 (2) | 642 | 3 | 28 | 31 | 673 | 717 | 4.6 | 57.9 | 1,040 | 0.69 |
| A. Menooza | España - Laono-Laan | 0.6 | 18.0 (4) | 1,300 | 320 | 428 | 748 | 2,048 | 2,850 | 36.5 | 51.9 | ane | 0.82 |
|  | Laong-Laan - Rizal Ave | 0.8 | 18.0 (4) | 733 | 205 | 421 | 626 | 1,359 | 2,094 | 46.1 | 52.2 | 900/rane | 0.62 |
| TAYMAN | Rizal Ave. - J. Luna | 1.2 | 8.6 (2) | 651 | 308 | 145 | 454 | 1,105 | 1,478 | 41.1 | 50.4 | 1,040 | 1.42 |
| rizal avenue | McArthur Br.-C.M.Recto | 0.6 | 15.0 (4) | 400 | 2,125 | 80 | 2,205 | 2,605 | 3,787 | 84.6 | 62.6 | 720/7ane | 1.6 |
|  | C. M. Recto - Tayuman | 1.4 | 15.0 (4) | 483 | 1,891 | 114 | 2,005 | 2.488 | 3,605 | 80.6 | 57.7 | 720/lane | 1.4 |
|  | Tayuman - Solis | 1.3 | 15.0 (4) | 266 | 1,646 | 75 | 1,721 | 1.987 | 2,923 | 86.6 | 54.9 | 720/fane | 1.11 |
| Rizal avenue ext | Sol is - EDSA | 2.6 | 20.0 (6) | 1,147 | 2,156 | 182 | 2,338 | 3,485 | 4,836 | 67.0 | 51.7 | 900/1 | 0.9 |
| mcarthlur hivy. | EDSA-Mal-Val Bridge | 2.9 | 12.4 (4) | 1,032 | 1,417 | 358 | 1,775 | 2,834 | 4,052 | 62.6 | 67.9 | 720/7ane | 1.91 |
| Alvora | Oimasalang - Rizal Ave | 0.8 | 18.0 (6) | 1,857 | 718 | 61 | 779 | 2,636 | 3.086 | 29.5 | 56.1 | /ta | 0.80 |
| reina regente | Binondo - C.M. Recto | 0.5 | 16.5 (4) | 1,786 | 93 | 29 | 122 | 1,908 | 1,997 | 6.4 | 55.3 | 720/7a | 0.77 |
| J. A. SANTOS | C.M. Recto - Tayuman | 1.1 | 25.7 (6) | 2,225 | 116 | 89 | 205 | 2,430 | 2,621 | 8.4 | 59.8 | 720/7ane | 0.73 |
| U. LUNA | Tayuman - Rizat Ave. | 1.6 | 25.7 (6) | 2,355 | 423 | 142 | 56 | 2,920 | 3,344 | 19.3 | 59.5 | 720/lane | 0.92 |
|  | Jones Bridge - Binondo | 0.5 | 12.0 (2) | 1,421 | 561 | 16 | 577 | 1,998 | 2,302 | 23.9 |  | 720/7ane | 1.60 |
|  | Binondo - C.M. | 0.6 | 12.0 (2) | 38 | 403 | 8 | 411 | 449 | 662 | 91.5 |  | 720/lane | 0.92 |
|  | C.M. Recto - Tayuman | 1.4 | 12.0 (2) | 93 | 149 | 3 | 152 | 245 | 323 | 62.0 |  | 720/7ane | 0.45 |
|  | Tayuman - Hermosa | 1.6 | 16.2 (4) | 1,163 | 1,246 | 113 | 1,359 | 2,522 | 3,315 | 53.9 | 58.8 | 720/3ane | 1.35 |
| Hermosa | J.A. Santos - J. Luna | 0.6 | 7.9 (2) | 527 | 27 | 27 | 54 | 581 | 636 | 9.3 | 98.3 | 1,040 | 0.61 |
| 10th avenue | Rizal Ave. Ext.-A. Boni | 1.5 | 12.0 (2) | 485 | 342 | 64 | 406 | 891 | 1,158 | 45.6 | 51.6 | 1,040 | 1.11 |
|  | Rizal Ave. Ext.-J. Luna | 1.1 | 11.0 (2) | 498 | 41 | 70 | 481 | 979 | 1,289 | 49.1 | 63.5 | 1,040 | 1.24 |

(App.9.2 cont'd.)

| Road Name | Section |  | Width of Carriageway (No. of Lanes) | Private Car, Van deep | Peak Hour Traffic Volume |  |  |  |  | PT Tocal Racto (\%) | lieavy Direction Percentage (\%)$\qquad$ | Hourly Capacity | V/c Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lenght } \\ & \text { (kms.) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Jeepney | $\begin{aligned} & \text { blic Transe } \\ & \text { Bus/Truck } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $f \begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (Vehicie) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \hline \text { P.C.U. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| EDSA | Rizal Ave Ext. - A. Boni. | 1.5 | 36.0 (6) | 699 | 542 | 629 | 1,171 | 1,870 | 3,085 | 62.6 | 57.7 | 900/lane | 0.66 |
| SAMSON ROAD | Rizal Ave.Ext.Sangandaan | 1.3 | 15.0 (4) | 514 | 703 | 375 | 1,078 | 1,592 | 2,507 | 67.7 | 63.7 | 720/1ane | 1.11 |
| LOPE DE VEGA | P.Guevarra-Rizal Ave. | 1.5 | 12.0 (2) | 159 | 41 | 4 | 45 | 204 | 231 | 22.1 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.16 |
| P. Guevarra | Blumentritt - Antipolo | 0.3 | 8.0 (2) | 200 | 350 | 0 | 350 | 550 | 725 | 63.6 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.50 |
| ANTIPOLO | P.Guevarra-F. Huertas | 0.8 | 9.0 (2) | 35 | 157 | 0 | 157 | 192 | 271 | 81.8 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.19 |
| F. HUERTAS | Antipolo-Lope de Vega | 1.7 | 12.0 (2) | 365 | 365 | 0 | 365 | 730 | 913 | 50 |  | 720/lane | 0.63 |
| - OROQuIETA | Antipolo-C.M. Recto | 2.1 | 12.0 (2) | 378 | 378 | 0 | 378 | 756 | 945 | 50 |  | $720 / \mathrm{lane}$ | 0.66 |
| T. MAPUA | Cavite - Laguna | 0.3 | 12.0 (2) | 378 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 378 | 378 |  |  | 1,040 | 0.36 |
|  | V. Fuguso - C.M. Recto | 0.4 | 10.0 (2) | 378 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 378 | 378 |  |  | 1,040 | 0.36 |
|  | C.M. Recto-McArth | 0.7 | 7.0 (2) | 378 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 378 | 378 |  |  | 1,040 | 0.36 |
| CAVITE | Rizal Ave.-Dimasalang | 0.6 | 12.0 (2) | 378 | 378 | 0 | 378 | 756 | 945 |  |  | 1,040 | 0.91 |
|  | Rizal Ave.-J.A. Santos | 0.4 | 12.0 (2) | 378 | 378 | 0 | 378 | 756 | 945 |  |  | 1,040 | 0.91 |
| Laguna | Ipin - F. Huertas | 0.5 | 12.0 (2) | 107 | 276 | 9 | 285 | 392 | 544 | 72.7 | 84.2 | 1,040 | 0.52 |
| batangas | Ipil - F. Huertas | 0.5 | 12.0 (2) | 346 | 105 | 22 | 127 | 473 | 526 | 26.8 | 55.8 | 1,040 | 0.51 |
| Bugallon | Cavite - J.A. Santos | 0.3 | 11.0 (2) | 378 | 378 | 0 | 378 | 756 | 945 |  |  | 1,040 | 0.91 |
| 3RD AVENUE | Rizal Ave. Ext:- <br> D. Aquino | 0.5 | 6.0 (2) | 327 | 21 | $39:$ | 60 | 387 | 457 | 15.5 | 59.4 | 690 | 0.66 |
| 5TH AVENUE | Rizal Ave. Ext. D. Aquino | 0.5 | 7.0 (2) | 327 | 21. | 39 | 60 | 387 | 457 | 15.5 | 59.4 | 1,040 | 0.44 |
| 6Th Avenue | Rizal Ave. Ext. A. Bonifacio | 1.0 | 6:0 (2) | 451 | 204 | 55 | 259 | 710 | 895 | 36.5 | one-way | ,720/3ane | 0.62 |
| TTH AVENUE | Rizal Ave. - Ext. <br> A. Bonifacio | 1.0 | 7.0 (2) | 451 | 204 | 55 | 259 | 710 | 895 | 36.5 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.62 |
| A. DEL MUNDO | 3rd Ave. - - 10th Avenue | 1.0 | 6.0 (2) | 226 | 104 | 28 | 130 | 356 | 449 | 36.5 |  | 690 | 0.65 |
| P. SEVILLA | 3rd Ave.-10th Avenue | 1.0 | 6.0 (2) | 226 | 102 | 28 | 130 | 356 | (449) | 36.5 |  | 690 | 0.65 |
| 5TH STREET | 10th Ave. - EDSA | 0.6 | 10.0 (2) | 451 | 204 | 55 | 259 | 710 | (895) | 36.5 |  | 1,040 | 0.86 |
| HEROES DEL 96 | 10th Ave - Samson Rd. | 0.6 | 10.0 (2) | 451 | 204 | 55 | 259 | 710 | (895) | 36.5 |  | 1,040 | 0.86 |

Appendix 9.3
Traffic Conditions by Road Section Along LRT Corridor (After Rerourting)

| Road Name | Section |  | Width of Carriagevay (No. of tanes) | Private: Car, Van Jeep | Peak Hour Traffic Volune |  |  |  |  | PT TotalRatio $(\%)$ | Heavy Direction Percentage (\%) | Hourly Capacity | $v / c$ Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Lenght } \\ \text { (kins. }) \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Public Transport |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (vehicle) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { SB.C.U. }) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Jeepney | Bus/Truck | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROXAS BEVD. | MIA Rd - Libertad | 3.0 | 28.0 (6) | 2,753 | 550 | 696 | 1,246 | 3,999 | 5,318 | 31.2 | 55.0 | 900/hane | 1.08 |
|  | Libertad - Buendia | 0.7 | 28.0 (6) | 2,740 | 8 | 106 | 114 | 2,854 | 3,017 | 4.0 | 58.7 | 900\% ${ }^{\text {ane }}$ | 0.65 |
|  | Buendia - P. Qutrino | 1.3 | 28.0 (6) | 3,677 | 23 | 718 | 741 | 4,418 | 5,506 | 15.8 | 50.6 | 900/7ane | 1.03 |
|  | P. Quirino - P. Burgos | 2.3 | 28.0 (8) | 3,621 | 32 | 993 | 1,025 | 4,646 | 6,152 | 22.1 | 53.7 | 900/7ane | 0.92 |
| QUIRINO AVENUE | Redemptorist - MIA Rd. | 1.6 | 14.4 (4) | 108 | 1,410 | 640 | 2,050 | 2,158 | 3,823 | 95.0 | 64.2 | 720/rane | 1.33 |
|  | MIA Road - Real | 7.4 | 14.0 (4) | 1,471 | 860 | 128 | 988 | 2,459 | 3,081 | 40.2 | 60.0 | 720/1ane | 1.28 |
| TAFt AVENUE | City Hall-P. Gil | 1.2 | 26.3 (8) | 2,901 | 1,950 | 284 | 2,234 | 5,135 | 6,536 | 43.5 | 55.5 | 900/lane | 1.01 |
|  | P. Gil-PzQuirino | 0.7 | 26.3 (8) | 3,296 | 700 | 248 | 948 | 4,244 | 4,966 | 22.3 | 55.9 | 900/7ane | 0.77 |
|  | P. Quirino-Vito Cruz | 0.9 | 13.8 (4) | 3,465 | 0 | 160 | 160 | 3,625 | 3,865 | 4.4 | 65.1 | 720/lane | 1.75 |
|  | Vito Cruz - Buendia | 0.9 | 13.0 (4) | 2,312 | 0 | 166 | 166 | 2,478 | 2,727 | 6.7 | 53.3 | 720/lane | 1.01 |
|  | Buendia - EOSA | 4.6 | 13.0 (4) | 2,851 | 0 | 132 | 132 | 2,983 | 3,181 | 4.4 | 50.5 | 720/lane | 1.11 |
| BUENDIA | Roxas Blvd.-Taft Ave. | 0.8 | 14.4 (4) | 1,876 | 260 | 78 | 338 | 2,214 | 2,461 | 15.3 | 57.8 | 720/1ane | 0.99 |
|  | Taft Ave. - - SSH | 0.6 | 14.4 (4) | 3,191 | 260 | 310 | 570 | 3,761 | 4,356 | 15.2 | 52.9 | 720/lane | 1.60 |
| PRES. QUIRINO | Roxas 81vd.-Taft Ave. | 1.1 | 22.8 (6) | 1,900 | 50 | 381 | 431 | 2,331 | 2,928 | 18.5 | 63.8 | 900/7ane | 0.69 |
|  | Taft Ave. - 5 SH | 0.9 | 22.8 (6) | 12,988 | 8 | 288 | 296 | 3,284 | 3,720 | 9.0 | 63.8 | 900/labe | 0.88 |
| PEDRO GIL | Roxas Bivd.-Taft Ave. | 0.9 | 10.1 (2) | 311 | 360 | 0 | 360 | 671 | 851 | 53.7 |  | 1,040 | 0.82 |
|  | Taft Ave. - SSH | 1.1 | 10.5 (2) | 146 | 360 | 256 | 516 | 662 | 1,076 | 77.9 |  | 1,040 | 1.03 |
| MIA ROAD | Quirino Ave. - MIA Rd. | 2.5 | 14.8 (4) | 1,512 | 276 | 34 | 310 | 1,822 | 2,011 | 17.0 | 51.0 | 720/7ane | 0.71 |
| REOEMPTORIST | Roxas Bivd-Mexico Rd. | 0.3 | 14.0 (4) | 100 | 350 | 640 | 990 | 1,090 | 2,225 | 90.8 | 68.5 | 720/lane | 1.06 |
| F. B. HARRISON | Mexico Rd. - Libertad | 1.5 | 10.1 (2) | 6 | 660 | 0 | 660 | 666 | 996 | 99:1 |  | 1,940 | 0.96 |
|  | Libertad- Buendia | 0.7 | 10.1 (2) | 481 | 1,290 | 0 | 1,290 | 1,771 | 2,416 | 72.8 | 61.3 | 1,040 | 2.32 |
|  | Buendia - P. Quirino | 1.4 | 10.1 (2) | 210 | 810 | 34 | 844 | 1,054 | 1,510 | 80.1 | one-way | 120/7ane | 1.05 |
| A. MABINI | P. Quirino - P. G17 | 1.1 | 9.0 (2) | 431 | 430 |  | 464 | -895 | 1,161 | 51.8 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.81 |
|  | P. Gll - T.M. Kalaw | 0.9 | 9.0 (2) | 765 | 430 | 34 | 464 | 1,229 | 1,495 | 37.8 | one-way | 720/7ane | 1.04 |
| M.H. DEL PILAR | P. Quirino-p. Gil | 2.0 | 7.1 (2) | 504 | 550 | 0 | 550 | 1,054 | 1,329 | 52.2 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.92 |

(App. 9.3 cont'd.)

| Road Name | Section |  | Width of Carriageway (No. of Lanes) | Private Car, Van Jeep | Peak Hour Traffic Volume |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { PT Total } \\ & \text { Rat fo }(\%) \end{aligned}\right.$ | Theavy Direction Percentage (\%) | Hourly Capactity | V/C <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Public Transport |  |  | Total (Vehicle) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tota } 1 \\ \text { P.C.U. }) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lenght } \\ & \text { (kims.) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DONADA | Vito Cruz - Buendia | 0.8 | 6.5 (2) |  | 208 | 220 | 0 | 220 | 428 | 538 | 51.4 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.37 |
| SAN JUAN | Leveriza-F.R.Harrison | 0.3 | 6.5 (2) | 137 | 480 | 0 | 480 | 617 | 857 | 77.8 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.60 |
| EDSA | Taft Ave, - SSH | 1.6 | 36.0 (8) | 3,098 | 960 | 520 | 1,480 | 4,578 | 5,838 | 32.3 | 54.7 | 900/7ane | 0.89 |
| MEXICO ROAD | Taft Ave,-Quirino Ave. | 0.8 | 13.0 (4) | 770 | 500 | 560 | 1,060 | 1,830 | 2,920 | 57.9 | 53.7 | 720/1ane | 1.09 |
| LIBERTAD | Roxas Blvd.-Taft Ave. | 0.8 | 7.0 (2) | 250 | 330 | 0 | 330 | 580 | 745 | 56.7 |  | 1,040 | 0.72 |
|  | Taft Ave. - SSH | 1.1 | 7.0 (2) | 250 | 540 | 0 | 540 | 790 | 1,060 | 68.4 |  | 1,040 | 1.02 |
| VITO CRUZ | Roxas Blvd. - Taft Ave. | 0.8 | 10.0 (2) | 597 | 300 | 112 | 412 | 1,009 | 1,327 | 40.8 |  | 1,040 | 1.28 |
|  | Taft Ave. - SSH | 0.9 | 11.2 (2) | 789 | - | 163 | 163 | 952 | 1,197 | 17.1 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.83 |
| SAN ANDRES | Roxas Blvd.-Taft Ave. | 0.9 | 10.6 (2) | 522 | 1,130 | 0 | 1,130 | 1,652 | 2,217 | 68.4 | one-way | 720/1ane | 1.54 |
| U.N. AVENUE | Roxas Blvd.-Taft Ave. | 0.8 | 13.1 (4) | 2,000 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2,004 | 2,006 | 0.2 | 57.0 | 720/lane | 0.79 |
|  | Taft Ave. - P. Quirino | 1.0 | 13.1 (4) | 1,650 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 1,686 | 1,740 | 2.1 | 68.6 | 720/7ane | 0.83 |
| T.M. KALAW | Roxas Blvd.-Taft Ave. | 0.8 | 25.0 (8) | 820 | 430 | 0 | 430 | 1,250 | 1,465 | 34.4 | 56.1 | 900/rane | 0.23 |
| T. CLAUDIO | Roxas Blvdi-Qurino Ave. | 0.3 | 7.0 (2) | 90 | 550 | 0 | 550 | 640 | 915 | 85.9 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.64 |
| LEVERIZA | Remedios - Rizal Men. | 0.3 | 5.0 (2) | 46 | 580 | 0 | 580 | 626 | 916 | 92.7 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.64 |
|  | Vito Cruz - Buendia | 0.8 | 8.5 (2) | 208 | 220 | 0 | 220 | 428 | 538 | 51.4 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.37 |
|  | Buendia - Libertad | 0.7 | 6.1 (2) | 208 | 220 | 0 | 220 | 428 | 538 | 51.4 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.37 |
| PARK AVENUE | Libertad. Mexico Rd. | 11.1 | 6.0 (2) | 394 | 350 | 0 | 350 | 744 | 919 | 47.0 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.64 |
| A. LUNA | Buendia - Libertad | 0.6 | 7.3 (2) | 208 | 130 | 0 | 130 | 338 | 403 | 38.5 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.28 |
| ADRIATICO | P. Faura - P. Gil | 0.4 | 9.0 (2) | 242 | 360 | 0 | 360 | 602 | 782 | 59.8 |  | 1,040 | 0.75 |
|  | P. Gil - P. Quirino | 1.0 | 11.0 (2) | 120 | 700 | 0 | 700 | 820 | 1,170 | 85.4 |  | 1,040 | 1.13 |
|  | P. Quirino - Vito Cruz | 0.7 | 12.0 (4) | 120 | 1,280 | 0 | 1,280 | 1,400 | 2,040 | 91.4 | 60 | 900/1ane | 0.68 |
| LEON GUINTO | P.Faura - P, Quirino | 1.1 | 12.0 (2) | 0 | 820 | 0 | 820 | 820 | 1,230 |  | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.85 |
|  | P.Quirino-Vito Cruz | 0.9 | 12.0 (2) | 0 | 670 | 0 | 670 | 670 | 1,005 |  |  | 1,040 | 0.97 |
| DOMINGA | Vito Cruz - Buendia | 1.0 | 6.4 (2) | 217 | 550 | 0 | 550 | 767 | 1,042 | 71.7 | one-way | 720/tane | 0.72 |

(App.9.3 cont ${ }^{\top}$ d.)

| Road Name | Section |  | Width of Carriageway (No. of Lanes) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Private } \\ & \text { Car, Van } \\ & \text { Jeep } \end{aligned}$ | Peak Hour Traffic Volume |  |  |  |  | PT Tota? Ratto (\%) | HeavyOirectionPercentage$(\%)$ | Hourly Capacity | V/C Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lenght } \\ & \text { (kmis.) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Public Transport |  |  | Total (Vehicle) | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Total } \\ \text { (P.C.U.) } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Name |  |  |  | Jeepney | gus/Truck | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. Burgos | Buendia - Libertad | 0.7 | 6.4 (2) | 217 | 550 | 0 | 550 | 767 | 1,042 | 71.7 | one-way | 720/rane | 0.72 |
| ZAMORA | Libertad - EDSA | 1.0 | 6.4 (2) | 2,117 | 350 | 0 | 350 | 567 | 742 | 61.7 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.52 |
| D. FAURA | Roxas Bivd.-Paco Park | 1.0 | 11.0 (3) | 622 | 360 | 0 | 360 | 982 | 1,162 | 36.7 | one-way | 720/iane | 0.81 |
| GEN. LUNA | Taft Ave. - P. Gil | 1.0 | 10.0 (2) | 490 | 360 | 156 | 516 | 1,006 | 1,420 | 51.3 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.99 |
| DEL PAN BRIOGE |  | 0.2 | (6) | 2,373 | 139 | 0 | 139 | 2,512 | 2,582 | 5.5 | 57.5 | 900/7ane | 0.55 |
| JONES BRIOGE |  | 0.1 | (4) | 2,937 | 560 | 28 | 588 | 3,525 | 3,847 | 16.7 | 60.0 | 900/1ane | 1.28 |
| MCARTHUR BRIDGE |  | 0.1 | (4) | 3,959 | 1,280 | 22 | 1,302 | 5,261 | 5,934 | 24.7 | 50.3 | 900/7ane | 1.66 |
| QUEZON BRIOGE |  | 0.1 | (4) | 1,272 | 2,400 | 216 | 2,616 | 3,888 | 5,412 | 67.3 | 51.2 | 900/lane | 1.54 |
| AYALA BRIDGE |  | 0.1 | (6) | 1,408 | 16 | 188 | 204 | 1,612 | 1,902 | 12.7 | 54.3 | 900/? an 年 | 0.38 |
| NAGTAHAN BRIDGE |  | 0.2 | (6) | 2,973 | 248 | 130 | 378 | 3,351 | 3,670 | 11.3 | 52.9 | 647/lane | 0.72 |
| C.M. RECTO | Del Pan - J.A.Santos | 1.3 | 36.6 (10) | 592 | 1,150 | 100 | 1,250 | 1,842 | 2,567 | 67.9 | 52.6 | 900/7ane | 0.75 |
|  | J.A.Santos-Quezon Blvd | 1.0 | 23.0 (6) | 609 | 1,244 | 58 | 1,302 | 1,911 | 2,620 | 68.1 | 69.2 | 900/1ane | 0.67 |
|  | Quezon Blvd.-Legarda | 0.7 | 23.0. 6 ) | 567 | 1,006 | 160 | 1,166 | 1,733 | 2,476 | 67.3 | 50.1 | 900/1ane | 0.45 |
| QUEZON BLVD. | Quezon Bridge - Lerma | 0.8 | 23.2 (6) | 2,590 | 1,350 | 326 | 1,676 | 4,266 | 5,430 | 39.3 | 61.4 | 900/iane | 1.23 |
| ANDALUCIA | Lerma - V. Fugoso | 0.3 | 23.2 (6) | 939 | 1,237 | 234 | 1,471 | 2,410 | 3,380 | 81.0 | 60.6 | 900/lane | 0.76 |
|  | V. Fugoso - Bambang | 0.3 | 23.2 (4) | 941 | 1,334 | -178 | 1,512 | 2,453 | 3,387 | 61.6 | 59.0 | 900/ 1ane | 1.11 |
|  | Bambang - Laong Laan | 0.1 | 23.2 (4) | 635 | 932 | 78 | 1,010 | 1,645 | 2,228 | 61.4 | 53.4 | 900/lane | 0.66 |
| LERMA | Quezon Blvd:-N.Reyes | 0.2 | 24.8 (8) | 579 | 1,925 | 56 | 1,981 | 2,560 | 3,607 | 77.4 | 56.9 | 900/lane | 0.57 |
| ESPANA | Lerma-A. Mendoza | 0.7 | 24.8 (8) | 1,544 | 2,153 | 56 | 2,209 | 3,753 | 4,914 | 58.9 | 62.3 | 900/7ane | 0.85 |
| LAONG LAAN | Andalucia - A. Mendoza | 0.3 | 18.0. (4) | 188 | 51 | 262 | 313 | 501 | 920 | 62.5 | 78.3 | 720/1ane | 0.50 |
| DIMASALANG | A.Mendoza-Blumentritt | 1.3 | 14.6. (4) | 336 | 749 | 134 | 883 | 1,219 | 1,795 | 72.4 | 63.9 | 720/1ane | 0.80 |
| BLUMENTRITT | Rizal Ave.-A.Bonifacio | 0.7 | 14.0 (4) | 0 | 870 | 0 | 870 | 870 | 1,305 |  | 69.3 | 900/Tane | 0.36 |
| $v:$ FUGOSO | Rizal Ave.-Andalucia | 0.4 | 10.0 (2) | 171 | 750 | 8 | 750 | 921 | 1,296 | 81.4 |  | 720/Tane | 0.90 |

(App.9.3 cont'd.)

| Road Name | Section |  | Width of Carriageway (No. of Lanes) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { private } \\ \text { Car Van } \\ \text { jeep } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Peak Hour Traffic Volume |  |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { PT Total } \\ \text { Ratio } \% \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | HeavyOirection Percentage (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Hourly } \\ \text { Capacity } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $v / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text { Lenght } \\ \text { (knls. } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | Jeeprup | $\frac{1 i c}{}$ Transp | $\frac{\text { art }}{\text { Totai }}$ | Total (vehicle) | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Total } \\ \text { SP.C.U.) } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |
| bambang | Rizal Ave.-Andelucia | 0.4 | 12.0 (2) | 600 | 3 | 29 | 3 | 603 | 605 | 0.5 |  | 1,040 | 0.58 |
| A. menooza | España - Laong Laan | 0.6 | 18.0 (4) | 734 | 320 | 130 | 450 | 1,184 | 1,539 | 38.0 | 51.9 | 900/lane | 0.44 |
|  | Laong Laan-Rizal Ave. | 0.8 | 18.0 (4) | 392 | 226 | 130 | 356 | 748 | 1,056 | 47.6 | 52.2 | 900/rane | 0.31 |
| tayman | Rizal Ave.-J. Luna | 1.2 | 8.6 (2) | 326 | 339 | 48 | 387 | 713 | 955 | 54.3 | 50.4 | 1,040 | 0.92 |
| rizal avenue | McArthur Br.-C.M.Recto | 0.6 | 15.0 (4) | 2,500 | 750 | 22 | 772 | 3,272 | 3,580 | 23.6 | 62.6 | 720/7ane | 1.60 |
|  | C.M.Recto-Tayuman | 1.4 | 15.0 (4) | 2,383 | 750 | 160 | 910 | 3,293 | 3,908 | 27.6 | 60.0 | 720/7ane | 1.63 |
|  | Tayuman - Aurora | 1.3 | 15.0 (4) | 1,266 | 640 | 24 | 664 | 1,930 | 2,286 | 34.4 | 54.9 | 720/lane | 0.87 |
| rizal ave. Ext. | Aurora - EDSA | 2.6 | 20.0 (6) | 959 | 1,509 | 46 | 1,555 | 2,514 | 3,338 | 61.9 | 51.7 | 900/lane | 0.64 |
| mcarthur hiw. | EDSA - Mat-Val Bridge | 2.9 | 12.4 (4) | 1,071 | 1,417 | 54 | 1,471 | 2,542 | 3,332 | 57.9 | 60.0 | 720/7ane | 1.39 |
| AURORA | Oimasalang - Rizal Ave | 0.8 | 18.0 (6) | 2,360 | 359 | 24 | 383 | 2,743 | 3,959 | 14.0 | 56.1 | 720/lane | 0.77 |
| reina regente | Binondo - C.M. Recto | 0.5 | 16.5 (4) | 1,140 | 102 | 0 | 102 | 1,242 | 1,293 | 8.2 | 55.3 | 720/7ane | 0.50 |
| J.A. Santos | C.M.Recto-Tayuman | 1.1 | 25.7 (6) | 961 | 116 | 138 | 254 | 1,215 | 1,480 | 20.9 | 59.8 | 720/lane | 0.41 |
|  | Tayuman - Rizal Ave. | 1.6 | 25.7 (6) | 1,273 | 381 | 128 | 509 | 1,782 | 2,165 | 28.6 | 59.5 | 720/lane | 0.60 |
| J. LUNA | Jones Br. - Binondo | 0.5 | 12.0 (2) | 1,696 | 449 | 28 | 477 | 2,173 | 2,440 | 22.0 |  | 720/lane | 1.69 |
|  | Binondo - C.M. Recto | 0.6 | 12.0 (2) | 829 | 242 | 28 | 270 | 1,099 | 1,262 | 24.6 |  | 720/1ane | 0.88 |
|  | C.M. Recto-Tayuman | 1.4 | 12.0 (2) | 869 | 119 | 0 | 119 | 988 | 1,048 | 12.0 |  | 720/lane | 0.73 |
|  | Tayuman - Hermosa | 1.6 | 16.2 (4) | 1,173 | 1,121 | 102 | 1,223 | 2,396 | 3,110 | 51.0 | 58.8 | 720/1ane | 1.27 |
| HERMOSA | J.A. Santos - - Luna | 0.6 | 7.9 (2) | 527 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 551 | 563 | 4.4 |  | 1,040 | 0.54 |
| ioth avenue | Rizal Ave. Ext. A. Bonifacio | 1.5 | 12.0 (2) | 407 | 342 | 64 | 406 | 813 | 1,080 | 49.9 | 51.6 | 1,040 | 1.04 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rizal Ave. Ext. - } \\ & \text { J. Luna } \end{aligned}$ | 1.1 | 11.0 (2) | 460 | 411 | 70 | 481 | 941 | 1,252 | 51.1 | 63.5 | 1,040 | 1.20 |
| EDSA | Rizal Ave. Ext. <br> A. Bonifacio | 2.5 | 36.0 (6) | 790 | 596 | 746 | 1,342 | 2,132 | 3,549 | 62.9 | 57.7 | 900/lane | 0.76 |
| SAMSON ROAD | Rizal Ave. Ext. - | 1.3 | 15.0 (4) | 550 | 703 | 375 | 1,078 | 1,628 | 2,542 | 66.2 | 63.7 | 720/7ane | 1.12 |

(App.9.3 cont'd.)

| Road Name | Section |  | Width of Carriageway (No. of Lanes) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Private } \\ \text { Car, van } \\ \text { Jeep } \end{array}$ | Peak Hour Traffic Volume |  |  |  |  | PT Total Ratio(\%) | Heavy Direction Percentage (\%) | Hourly Capacity | $\begin{aligned} & V / C \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cenglit } \\ & \text { (kmis. } 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Public Transport |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (veliscle) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tota } 1 \\ \text { SP.C.U.) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Jeepney | Bus/Truck | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOPE DE VEGA | P. Guevarra Rizal Ave. | 1.5 | 12.0 (2) | 50 | 970 | 0 | 970 | 1,020 | 1,505 | 95.1 | one-way | 720/1ane | 1.05 |
| P. guevarra | Blumentritt-Antipolo | 0.3 | 8.0 (2) | 200 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 280 | 320 | 28.6 |  | 1,040 | 0.31 |
| ANTIPOLO | P. Guevarra-3.A.Santos | 0.8 | 9.0 (2) | 35 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 75 | 95 | 53.3 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.07 |
| F. huertas | Antipolo-Lope de Vega | 1.7 | 12.0 (2) | 200 | 970 | 0 | 970. | 1,170 | 1,655 | 82.9 | one-way | 720/7ane | 1.15 |
| OROQUIETA | Antipolo-C.M. Recto | 2.1 | 12.0 (2) | 200 | 970 | 0 | 970 | 1,170 | 1,655 | 82.9 | one-way | 720/rane | 1.15 |
| T.M. MAPUA | Cavite - Laguna | 0.3 | 12.0 (2) | 200 | 600 | 0. | 600 | 800 | 1,100 | 75.0 | one-way | 720/rane | 0.76 |
|  | V. Fugoso-C.M. Recto | 0.4 | 10.0 (2) | 200 | 750 | 0 | 750 | 950 | 1,325 | 78.9 | one-way | 720/Tane | 0.98 |
|  | C.M. Recto-McArthur Br | 0.7 | 7.0 (2) | 200 | 750 | 0 | 750 | 950 | 1,325 | 78.9 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.92 |
| cavite | Rizal Ave.-Dimasalang | 0.6 | 12.0 (2) | 200 | 860 | 0 | 860 | 1,060 | 1,490 | 81.1 | one-way | 720/lane | 1.03 |
|  | Rizal Ave,-3.A.Santos | 0.4 | 12.0 (2) | 200 | 1,060 | 0 | 1,060 | 1,260 | 1,790 | 84.1 |  | 1,040 | 1.72 |
| LAGUNA | [pil - F. Huertas | 0.5 | 12.0 (2) | 50 | 930 | 0 | 930 | 980 | 1,445 | 94.9 | one-way | 720/7ane | 1.00 |
| batangas | Iph - F. Huertas | 0.5 | 12.0 (2) | 200 | 970 | 22 | 992 | 1,192 | 1,710 | 83.2 | one-way | 720/lane | 1.19 |
| BUGALLON | Cavite - J.A. Santos | 0.3 | 11.0 (2) | 200 | 1,060 | 0 | 1,060 | 1,260 | 1,790 | 84.1 |  | 1,040 | 1.72 |
| 3RD AVENue | Rizal Ave. Ext. - <br> D. Aquino | 0.5 | 6.0 (2) | 150 | 680 | 39 | 719 | 869 | 1,268 | 82.7 | one-way | 720/1ane | 0.88 |
| 5th avenue | Rizal Ave. Ext. D. Aquino | 0.5 | 7.0 (2) | 150 | 140 | 39 | 179 | 329 | 458 | 54.4 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.32 |
| 6TH AVENUE | Rizal Ave. Ext. - <br> A. Bonifacio | 1.0 | 6.0 (2) | 451 | 50 | 55 | 105 | 556 | 654 | 18.9 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.46 |
| 7TH AVENUE | Rizai Ave. Ext. A. Bonifacio | 1.0 | 7.0 (2) | 451 | 50 | 55 | 105 | 556 | 664 | 18.9 | one-way | 720/lane | 0.46 |
| A. DEL MUNDO | 3rd Ave. - 10th Ave. | 1.0 | 6.0 (2) | 100 | 680 | 28 | 708 | 808 | 1,190 | 87.6 | one-way | 720/7ane | 0.83 |
| R. SEvilla | 3rd Ave. - 10th Ave. | 1.0 | 6.0 (2) | 100 | 680 | 28 | 708 | 808 | 1,190 | 87.6 | one-way | 720/way | 0.83 |
| 5TH STREET | 10th Ave. - EDSA | 0.6 | 10.0 (2) | 100 | 500 | 55 | 555 | 655 | 98.7 | 84.7 | one-way | 720/way | 0.69 |
| heroes del 96 | 10th Ave. - Samson Rd. | 0.6 | 10.0 (2) | 451 | 140 | 55 | 195 | 646 | 798 | 30.2 |  | 1,040 | 0.77 |

Appendix 9.4
Traffic Volume and Volume Capacity Ratio
by Road Section (South Corridor)


Appendix 9.4
Traffic Volume and Volume Capacity Ratio by Road Section (North Corridor)


Appendix 9.5
Studied Intersections Along LRT Corridor

| Intersection Name | Traffic Volume |  | Traffic Signal |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Rerouting | After Rerouting | Necessity | $\text { y } \begin{array}{r} \text { Present } \\ \text { Condition } \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{ptan}^{-1 /}$ |  |
| EDSA/ <br> RIZAL AVE. EXT |  |  | Yes | None | Phase II |  |
| EDSA/ <br> 5TH STREET |  |  | Yes | None |  |  |
| RIZAL AVE. EXT./ 10TH AVENUE |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| A. BONIFACIO/ 7TH AVENUE |  |  | Yes | None | Phase II |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RIZAL AVE. } \\ & \text { EXT./ } \\ & \text { J.A. SANTOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \prod_{2514}^{L_{150(4)}^{22.5(6)}} \\ & T_{10000_{23.7(6)}} \end{aligned}\right.$ | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| RIZAL AVE./ AURORA |  | $\frac{\frac{18.016)}{\leftarrow \overbrace{1060}^{2743}} \prod^{22.5661}}{\square T_{1}}$ | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| J.A. SANTOS/ SOLIS |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |

1/ Phase II; MMTEAM Project Phase II
(App.9.5 cont'd.)

| Intersection Name | Traffic Volume |  | Traffic. Signal |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Rerouting | After Rerouting | Necessity | Present Condition | Plan |  |
| J.A.SANTOS/ BUGALLON |  |  | Yes | None | Phase II |  |
| BLUMENTRITT/ DIMASALANG | $\xrightarrow{\substack{1273 \rightarrow \longrightarrow}}$ |  | Yes | None | Phase II |  |
| BLUMMENTRITT/ AURORA |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| DIMASALANG/ AURORA |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| RIZAL AVENUE/ CAVITE |  |  | Yes | None | Phase II |  |
| rizal Avenue/ <br> BLUMENTRITT |  |  | Yes | Existing | * |  |
| RIZAL AVENUE/ LAGUNA |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |

(App. 9.5 cont.d.)

| intersection Name | Traffic Volume |  | Traffic Signal |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Rerouting | After Rerouting | Necessity | Present Condition | Plan |  |
| RIZAL AVENUE/ BATANGAS |  |  | Yes | None | Phase II |  |
| V. FUGOSO/ <br> F. huertas |  |  | Yes | None |  |  |
| RIZAL AVENUE/ <br> C.M. RECTO |  |  | Yes | Existing. |  |  |
| MAPUA/ <br> C.M. RECTO |  | $\xrightarrow[\overbrace{7.0(2)}]{\substack{950 \\ \downarrow \\ \square}}$ | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| QUEZON BLVD./ <br> C.M. RECTO |  |  |  | None |  | Separate Grade Intersection |
| P. BURGOS/ <br> M.Y. OROSA |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| T.M. KALAW/ M.Y. OROSA |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |

(App.9.5 cont'd.)

| Intersection Name | Traffic Volume |  | Traffic Signal |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Rerouting | After Rerouting | Necessity | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Present } \\ \text { Condition }\end{array}\right\|$ | Plan |  |
| T.M. KALAW <br> A. MABINI |  |  | Yes | None |  |  |
| T.M. KALAW/ <br> M.H. DEL PILAR |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| TAFT AVENUE/ P. FAURA |  |  | Yes | Existing |  | : |
| TAFT AVENUE/ P. GIL |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| TAFT AVENUE/ P. QUIRINO |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| TAFT AVENUE/ REMEDIOS |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| P. QUIRINO/ LEVERIZA |  |  | Yes | None |  |  |

(App. 9.5 cont'd.)

| Intersection Name | Traffic Volume |  | Traffic Signal |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Rerouting | After Rerouting | Necessity | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Present } \\ \text { Condition }\end{array}\right\|$ | Plan |  |
| P. QUIRINO/ ADRIATICO |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| P. QUIRINO/ <br> A. MABINI |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| P. QUIRINO/ <br> M.H. DEL PILAR |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| VITO CRUZ/ <br> taft avenue |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| VITO CRUZ/ ADRIATICO |  |  | Yes | None |  |  |
| vito CRUZ/ <br> F.B. HARRISON |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| BUENDIA/ DOMINGA |  |  | Yes | None | Phase II |  |

(App. 9.5 cont'd.)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intersection } \\ & \text { Name } \end{aligned}$ | Traffic Volume |  | Traffic Signal |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Rerouting | After Rerouting | Necessity | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Present } \\ \text { Condition }\end{array}\right\|$ | Plan |  |
| BUENDIA/ TAFT AVENUE |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| BUENDIA/ DONADA |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{1}{2214}_{428}^{\substack{6.5(2)}} \\ & \prod_{7.3(2)} \end{aligned}$ | not | none |  | Right turn only (traffic flow from Donada) Median Island |
| BUENDIA/ <br> LEVERIZA |  | $\frac{\overbrace{4}^{L_{14.4(4)}^{8.5(2)}}}{\int_{428}^{2214}}$ | Yes | none |  |  |
| BUENDIA/ <br> F.B. HARRISON |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| LIBERTAD/ <br> P. BURGOS |  |  | Yes | None | Phase II |  |
| Libertad/ taft avenue |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| LIBERTAD/ LEVERIZA |  | $\frac{\int_{\downarrow}^{428} L_{7,021}}{\underbrace{580}{ }_{6.1(2)}}$ | Yes | none |  |  |

(App. 9.5 cont'd.)

| Intersection Name | Traffic Volume |  | Traffic Iignal |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Rerouting | After Rerouting | Necessity | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Present } \\ \text { Condition }\end{array}\right\|$ | Plan |  |
| Libertad/ <br> F.B. HARRISON |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| TAFT AVENUE/ EDSA |  |  | Yes | Existing <br> *Not in use during LRT Construction | Phase II |  |
| MEXICO ROAD/ REDEMPTORIST |  |  | Yes | Existing <br> *not in <br> use <br> during <br> LRT cons- <br> truction | Phase II |  |
| T. CLAUDIO/ QUIRINO AVE. |  |  | Yes | none |  |  |
| T. CLAUDIO/ ROXAS BLVD. |  |  | Not Necessary | none |  |  |
| MIA ROAD/ QUIRINO AVE. |  |  | Yes | Existing |  |  |
| RIZAL AVENUE/ <br> v. FUGOSO |  |  | Yes | none | Phase II |  |

(App.9.5 cont'd.)

Appendix 9.6
Intersections where Traffic Signal is Needed

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Name of } \\ & \text { Intersection } \end{aligned}$ | V/C Ratio by Traffic Signal |  | Status | Critical Traffic Flow by Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Before } \\ & \text { Rerouting } \end{aligned}$ | Rerouting |  |  |
| (1) EDSA/5th St. | $0.66 \sim 0.83$ | 0.81~0.95 |  |  |
| (2) Rizal Avenue Laguna | $0.48 \sim 0.74$ | $0.71 \sim 0.90$. | - Both way traffic at Rizal Ave. and Laguna before rerouting. <br> - oneway traffic at Laguna after rerouting. |  |

(App.9.6 cont'd.)

| Hane of Intersection | V/C Ratio by Traffic Signal |  | Status | Critical Traffic Flow by Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Refore | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & \text { Rerouting } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| (3) V. Fugoso/ <br> F. Huertas | Not necessary to install the traffic signal before rerouting | 0:63~0.69 | - Not necessary to install the traffic signal before rerouting. |  |
| (4) T.M. Kalaw/ A. Mabini | $0.44 \sim 0.82$ | $0.21 \sim 0.54$ | - However V/C ratio at T.M. Kalaw is small, it is necessary to install the traffic sional for control the inflow traffic from Mabini. |  |

(App. 9.6 cont'd.)

| Name of intersection | V/C Ratio by Traffic Signal |  | Status | Critical Traffic Flow by Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { berore } \\ & \text { Reouting } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & \text { Rerouting } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (5) L. Guinto/ } \\ & \text { P. Gij } \end{aligned}$ | $0.78 \sim 1.40$ | $0.75 \sim 0.99$ | - Both way traffic at L. Guinto and P. Gil before rerouting. <br> - Northbound oneway traffic at Leon Guinto after rerouting. |  |
| (6) Pres. Qui-rino/Leve- riza | Not necessary to install the traffic signal | $0.72 \sim 1.00$ | - Not necessary to install the traffic signa? before rerouting. |  |

(App. 9.6 cont'd.)

| Nane of Intersection | V/C Ratio by Traffic Signal |  | Status | Critical Traffic Flow by Direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before Rerouting | Rerouting |  |  |
| (7) Vito Cruz/ Adriatico | $0.52 \sim 0.64$ | 1.01 ~ 1.34 | Change the direction of oneway at Leveriza after rerouting. |  |
| (8) Buendia/ <br> Leveriza | $0.58 \sim 0.65$ | $0.46 \sim 0.82$ | - Southbound oneway at Leveriza <br> - No inflow of jeepney traffic to Buendia from Leveriza after rerouting. |  |

(App. 9.6 cont'd.)

| volzoasfo Kq mota plyterl Leotatus |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 咢 } \\ & \text { ت } \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sigma} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \text { ? } \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G} \\ & \dot{O} \\ & i \\ & i \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{o} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & i \\ & i \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |



## (App.12.1 cont $\left.{ }^{\mathbf{t}} \mathrm{d}.\right)$

| QUIAP0 | Appendix 12.1-A |  | Quiapo (Table) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Land Use/Facilities |  |  |  |
| Location/Major Roads Related | Center of the CBD along Quezon Blvd. |  |  |
| Land Use of the Adjacent Area | Commercial/Business/Institutional (Church) |  |  |
| No. of Queueing/ Waiting Jeepneys | Off-Road | - |  |
|  | On-Road | 100 |  |
| Terminal facilities | Roads | Service Ro Underpass | Quezon Blyd., E im), Hidalgo, Ar |
|  | Pedestrian Facilities | Sidewalk and underpa | trian overpass Quezon Blvd.) |
|  | Other Related facilities | Bus Termina <br> LRT Carried <br> Bus Bay | Jose) tion |


| Route Characteristics/Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I tems |  | Jeepney |  | Bus |  | Tricycle | PNR | LRT |
|  |  | Intracity | Intercity | Intracity | nterci |  |  |  |
| No. of Routes |  | 39 | - | 16 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Frequency (one-way) | 16 Hours | 78,400 | - | 2,407 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Peak Hour | 6,803 | - | 183 | - | - | - | 24 |
| No of Pass BoardingAliahtina 116 Hrs.) |  | 210,300 | - | 60,900 | 900 | - | - | 9,600 |
| Generating/Passing |  | G | - | G | G | - | - | - |
| Major Origins/Destinations of Routes Using the Terminals |  | Lealtad Balic-zalic Punta Divisoria Fairyiew |  | lePasig, <br> Ayala <br> CGudalupe <br> etc. | Taytay |  |  |  |


| Proolems Encountered |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trafíic Congestion | Road Section | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Quezon Blvd., Hidalgo, Arligue, Evangel ista } \\ \text { Quiapo underpass (Ilalim) }\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | Intersection | ${ }^{\circ}$ C.M. Recto/Evangelista |
| Pedestrian facilities |  | - Lack of passenger loading/unloading space along Quezon Blvd. ' Lack of sidewalk capacity along Evangelista |
| Deterioration of Road Facilities |  |  |
| Accessibility in the Terminal among Modes |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ Scattered jeepney turning points withina 650 -meter distance <br> ${ }^{\circ}$ Connection between LRT Carriedo Station and jeepney terminals |
| Roadside Usage |  |  |
| Other Probl |  |  |

## (App.12.1 cont'd.)


(App.12.1 cont'd.)

| DIVISORIA | Appendix 12.1-B |  | Divisoria (Table) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Land Use/Facilities |  |  |  |
| Location/Major Roads Related | East part of the CBD along C.M. Recto near North Harbor |  |  |
| Land Use of the Adjacent Area | Business/Commercial Area (Public Market) |  |  |
| No. of Queueing/ Waiting Jeepneys | Off-Road | - |  |
|  | On-Road | 140 |  |
| Terminal Facilities | Roads | C.M. Rec | Asuncion, J. Lu |
|  | Pedestrian Facilities | Sidewalk |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 0ther } \\ \text { Related } \\ \text { Facilities } \end{array}$ | Tutuban <br> Bus term <br> Disused | Station with along C.M. Rec track (C.M. Rec |


| Route Characteristics/Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items |  |  |  | Bu |  | Tricycle | PNR | LRT |
|  |  | Intracity Intercitylintracity\|Intercity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of Routes |  | 44 | 3 | 12 | 33 | - | - | - |
| Frequency (one-way) | 16 Yours | 38,426 | 74 | 605 | 710 | - | - | - |
|  | Oeak Hour | 2,772 | 7 | 49 | 107 | - | - | - |
| No of ? ${ }_{\text {ass }}$ Boarding |  | 215,900 | 700 | 21,400 | 13,800 | - | - | - |
| Generating/Passing Through |  | G | G | G | G | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| Major Origins/Destinations of Routes Using the Terminals |  | San duan Sta. Mesa Sangandaan Nayotas | Bulacan stc. | Merikina pasio etc. | Bataan Bulacan Cavite | - | - | - |


| Proolems Encountered |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Congestion | Road Section | - C.M. Recto, J. Luna, Asuncion |
|  | intersection | ${ }^{\circ}$ C.M. Recto/Asuncion, J. Luna/C.M. Recto |
| Pejestrian facilities |  | - Lack of sidewalk capacity along C.M. Recto |
| Deterioration of Road Facilities |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ C.M. Recto between Del Pan and Asuncion (very poor) |
| Accessibility in the Terminal among Modes |  | - Scattered jeepney and bus terminals within a 900 -meter distance |
| Roadside Usage |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ Street vendors and bus and jeepney queues along C.M. Recto |
| Other Prodlems |  | - Traffic congestion due to the mixture of calessa and other public transport traffic along C.M. Recto and Asuncion |

(App.12.1 cont'd.)

(App.12.1 cont ${ }^{\prime}$ d.)


| Route Characteristics/Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items |  | jeepney |  | Bus |  | Tricycle | PNR | LRT |
|  |  | Intracity | Intercity | Intracit | Intercity |  |  |  |
| No. of Routes |  | 12 | 4 | - | 7 | - | - | 1 |
| Frequency (one-way) | 16 Hours | 52,791 | 709 | - | 695 | - | - | - |
|  | Peak Hour | 5,462 | 62 | - | 41 | - | - | 24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No of pass poarding } \\ & \text { /Aliohting } 116 \mathrm{Hrs} \text {.) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 119,800 | 1,300 | 12,700 | 800 | - | - | 12,500 |
| Generating/Passing Through |  | G | G | P | G | - | - | - |
| Major Origins/Destinations of Routes Using the Terminals |  | Blum. Gasak Nayotas Malinta | Bulacan | - | Pampanga | - | - | - |


| Problems Encountered |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Congestion | Road Section | - Quezon Blyd. (Especially Service Road) |
|  | Intersection | - Rizal Avenue/C.M. Recto |
| Pedestrian facilities |  | - Lack of passenger loading/unloading space along Quezon Blvd. (Service Road) |
| Deterioration of Road racilities |  |  |
| Accessibility in the Terminal among Modes |  | - Connection between LRT D. Jose Station and jeepney terminal |
| Roadside IJsage |  |  |
| Other Probl |  |  |

(App.12.1 cont'd.)
CITY HALL


## (App.12.1 cont ${ }^{\text {d }}$ d.)

$$
\text { Appendix } 12.1-\mathrm{D} \quad \text { City Hall (Table) }
$$



| Route Characteristics/Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items | ] Jeepney |  | Bus |  | Tricycle | PAR | LRT |
|  | Intracity | Intercity | Intracity | Intercity |  |  |  |
| No. of Routes | 1 | - | - | 17 | - | - | 1 |
| Frequency 16 Hours | 57,600 | - | - | 1,096 | $\sim$ | - | - |
| (one-way) peak | 12,171 | - | - | 201 | - | - | 24 |
| No of Pass 3oarding <br> Aliahting (16 Hrs.) | \| 90,900 | - | 17,900 | 57,800 | - | - | 9,400 |
| Generating/Passing Tirrouón | P |  | P | G | - | - |  |
| Major Origins/Destinations of Routes Using the Terminals | Divisoria | $\stackrel{ }{ } \cdot$ | - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Laquna } \\ & \text { Batangas } \\ & \text { Cavite } \\ & \text { etc }\end{aligned}\right.$ | - | - | - |


| Proolems Encountered |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traf̃icRoad <br> Section |  |
| $\text { Congestion } \begin{array}{\|l} \text { inter- } \\ \text { section } \end{array}$ |  |
| $\text { Pedestrian Facilities } \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Lack of Passenger loading/unloading space infront of city Hall. } \\ & \text { Lack of waiting sheds in the Bus terminal } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |
| Deterioration of Road Facilities |  |
| Accessibility in the Terminal among Modes | - Scattered Bus/Jeepney Terminals within a 700 -meter distance ${ }^{\circ}$ Connection between LRT Station and Provincial Bus Terminal |
| Roadside Usage |  |
| 0ther Proolems |  |

T.M. KALAW

(App. 12.1 cont $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{d}.\right)$
Appendix 12.1-E T. M. Kalaw (Table)
T.M. KALAW

| Location/Land Use/Facilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Major Roads Related | Center of CBD infront of Rizal Park along T. M. Kalaw |  |
| Land Use of the Adjacent Area | Commercial/Institutional/Open spaces (Rizal Park, Hilton Hotel) |  |
| No. of Queveing/ Waiting Jeepneys | Ofi-Road | - |
|  | On-Road | - |
| Terminal Facilities | Roads | T.M. Kalaw |
|  | Pedestrian Facilities | Sidewalk |
|  | Other <br> Related <br> Facilities | LRT T.M. Kalaw station |


| Route Characteristics/Traficic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items | Jueppney |  | Bus |  | Tricycle | PNR | LRT |
|  | Intracity | Intercity | ntracit | rc |  |  |  |
| No. Of Routes | 20 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Frequency 16 Hours | 6,539 | 161 | - | - | - | - | - |
| (one-way) Poak | 533 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 31,700 | 600 | 1,400 | - | - | - | 4,600 |
| Generating/Passing Throuon | P | G | P | - | - | - | - |
| Major Origins/Destinations of Routes Using the Terminals | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Proi } 2 \& 31 \\ \text { Divisoria } \\ \text { Majanday } \end{array}\right\|$ | Bulacan | - | - | - | - | - |


| Problems Encountered |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trafíic Congestion | Road Section |  |
|  | Intersection |  |
| Peoestrian Facilities |  |  |
| Deterioration of Road Facilities |  |  |
| Accessibility in the Terminal among Modes |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ Connection between LRT station and Jeepney Terminal |
| Roadside Usage |  |  |
| Other Problems |  |  |

(App.12.1 cont'd.)
Appendix 12.1-F Pedro Gil (Figure)

(App.12.1 cont'd.)
P. GIL/L.GUINTO

Appendix $12.1-\mathrm{F} \quad$ Pedro Gil/Leon Guinto (Table)

| Location/Land Use/Facilities |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location/Major <br> Roads Related | Southern part of the CBD near Paco Park along L. Guinto |  |
| Land Use of the <br> Adjacent Area | Institutional/Residential (PCU, PWU, PGH) |  |
| No. of Queueing/ <br> Waiting Jeepneys | Ofi-Road | - |
| On-Road | 40 |  |
| Terminal Facilities | Roads | L. Guinto, Escoda, P. Gil |
|  | Pedestrian <br> Facilities | Sidewalk |


| Route Characteristics/Trafitic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iterns |  | Jeepney |  | Bus |  | Tricycle | PNR | LRT |
|  |  | Intracity | ter | ra | rc |  |  |  |
| No. of Routes |  | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Frequency (one-way) | 16 Hours | 15,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Peak Hour | 3,337 | - | - | - | - | - | 24 |
| No of Pass BoardingIAlionting ( 16 Hrs.) |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 4,000 |
| Generating/Passing Through |  | G | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Major Origins/Destinations of Routes Using the Terminals |  | Guadalupe Sta. Ana Pandacan etc. | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Problems Encountered |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Congestion | Road Section | OL. Guinto, P. Gil |
|  | Intersection | OL. Guinto/P. Gil |
| Pedestrian Facilities |  | - Lack of Passenger waiting space along L. Guinto |
| Deterioration of Road Facilities |  |  |
| Accessibility in the Terminal among :Modes |  | - Scattered jeepney turning point within a 400 -meter distance <br> - Connection between LRT stations and jeepney terminals. |
| Roadside Usage |  |  |
| Other Probl |  |  |

(App. 12.1 cont. ${ }^{\text {d. }}$ )
Monumento (Figure)

(App.12.1 cont'd.)

| MONUMENTO | Appendix 12.1--G |  | Monumento (Table) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location/Land Use/Facilities |  |  |  |
| Location/Major Roads Related | Center of Caloocan City along EDSA at the Bonifacio Monument |  |  |
| Land Use of the Adjacent Area | Commercial/Institutional (Public Market, MCU, Araneta University) |  |  |
| No. of Queueing/ Waiting Jeepneys | Off-Road | 70 |  |
|  | On-Road | 30 |  |
| Terminal Facilities | Roads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EDSA, Rizal } \\ & \text { Lapu-Lapu, D } \end{aligned}$ | Ext., Calle Uno, Bus oy, A. Asuncion, Franc |
|  | Pedestrian Facilities | Sidewalk |  |
|  | Other Related Facilities | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - Victory Lin } \\ & \text { - LRT North T } \\ & \text { - Gas Station } \end{aligned}$ | rovincial Bus terminal nal |


| Route Characteristics/Trarfic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items | Jeepney |  | Bus |  | Tricycle | PNR | LRT |
|  | Intracity | Intercity | Intracity | Intercity |  |  |  |
| No. of Routes | 30 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Frequency 16 Hours | 27,792 | 3,008 | 3,051 | 112 | 34,275 | - | - |
| (one-way) Peak | 5,211 | 313 | 215 | 8 | 3,428 | - | 24 |
| No of Pass Boarding /Aliantina ( 15 Hrs.) | 235,600 | 21,300 | 103,300 | 2,000 | 87,000 | - | 16,000 |
| Generating/Passing Ihrouah | G | G | G | P | G | - | G |
| Major Origins/Destinations of Routes Using the Terminals | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 3BB/Tulianan } \\ \text { Pasay Rtda. } \\ \text { Saclarad } \\ \text { Sibartad etc. } \\ \text { Liber } \end{array}$ | Bulacan etc. | MIA Ayala Baclaran | Zambales Arayat Bataan |  |  |  |


| Proolems Encountered |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iraĩic Congestion | Road Section | - Samson Road - McArthur Highway |
|  | Intersection | - Monumento Rotonda, EDSA/5th Avenue |
| Pedestrian Facilities |  | - Lack of pedestrian crossing on EDSA in front of MCU <br> - Lack of sidewalk capacity around the LRT North Terminal |
| Detarioration of Road Facilities |  |  |
| Accessibility in the Terminal, among Modes |  | - Scattered jeepney turning points within a 700 -meter distance <br> - Connection between jeepney terminal and LRT North Terminal |
| Roadside Usage |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ On-road market on the sidewalk a long EDSA |
| Other Proble |  |  |


(App.12.1 cont'd.)



| Prooiems Encountered |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trafific Congestion | Road Section | - F. Huertas, Antipolo, P. Guevarra, Cavite |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { inter- } \end{aligned}\right.$ | - Rizal Avenue/Blumentritt, Rizal Avenue/Cavjte, Rizal Ave./Laguna, P. Guevarra/Cavite |
| ?edostrian Factitities |  | - Lack of sidewalk capacity along Rizal Avenue/ Blumentritt/Cavite/Antipolo |
| Deterioration of ? |  | - Antipolo, (F. Huertas - L. Rivera ) (very poor) T. Bugallon (very poor) L. Rivera (poor) |
| iccessibility in the Terminai among :Modes |  | - Scattered jeepney turning points within a 650 meter distance |
| Roads ide tsage |  | - On-road market along Blumentritt and Antipolo |
| Other Prool |  | - Traffic congestion by mixture of tricycle, jeepney and bus <br> - Anticipated increase of traffic along T. Bugallon after rerouting |

(App.12.1 cont'd.) Appendix 12.1-1 Cubao (Figure)

(App.12.1 cont ${ }^{\prime}$ d.)


Appendix 12.1-I Cubao (Table)


| Route Characteristics/Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items |  | Jeepney |  | Bus |  | Tricycle | PNR | L.RT |
|  |  | Intracity | Intercity | Intracit | Intercity |  |  |  |
| No. of Routes |  | 47 | 7 | 12 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Frequency (one-way) | 16 Hours | 65,113 | 2,387 | 1,339 | 503 |  |  |  |
|  | Peak Hour | 4,237 | 172 | 93 | 44 |  |  |  |
| No of Pass BoardingAidiohting (16 Hrs.) |  | 207,400 | 31,300 | 24,800 | 3,700 |  |  |  |
| Generating/Passing Throuah |  | G | G | G | G |  |  |  |
| Major Origins/Destinations of Routes Using the Terminals |  | Baclaran San Juan Marikina QNC etc. | Montalban <br> Angono <br> Taytay etc. | Ayala Quiapo etc. | Cogeo |  |  |  |


| Problems Encountered |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Congestion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Road } \\ \text { Section } \end{gathered}$ | - EDSA (Service Road) |
|  | Intersection | - EDSA/Aurora |
| Pedestrian Facilities |  | - Lack of Sidewalk width along Aurora (Slightly) |
| Deterioration of Road Facilities |  | - Arayat (very poor) |
| Accessibility in the Terminal among Modes |  | - Scattered jeepney turning points within a 900 -meter distance |
| Roadside Usage |  |  |
| Other Probl |  |  |

