Appendix 7

地山等級分類図 Ground Classification



		3km/s		<u>. 0.</u> 65ki	ñ/s													.xokm/s			
0,-65km/	\$																				
	\sim									/		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
								× × ×	dt			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
																		////// ////// ////// ////// ////// /////			
		~~~1.6k i	n/s						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									////// ////// ////// ////// ////// /////			
	GG		2.8km/s		k/1km/s//			2, 8 km/	Ś									////// ////// ////// ////// ////// /////			
		 			<u></u>		<u></u>				<u></u>	<u></u>		·····	<u></u>	<u></u>		<u></u>			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	; , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										
									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Po	ssible pro	esence o	f a fault/f	racture zo	ne		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
				0%																	
				ŝtī5																	
2235. 938	2236. 252	2236. 566	2236.880	2237. 194	2237.508	2237.822	2238. 136	2238. 450	2238. 764	2239. 078	2239. 392	2239. 706	2239.860 2240.020	2240. 334	2240. 648	2240. 962	2241.276	2241. 590			
7. 690 -	7. 988 –	8. 575 -	0. 977	0. 811 -	9. 458 -	3. 458 -	3. 707 -	6. 924	0. 356 -	0.606	9. 584 -	3. 663 -	1. 622 <mark>-</mark> 0. 362 -	8. 595	2. 763	7.411	9.539	0. 193 -			
- 235	- 235	- 237	- 238	- 238	- 236	- 235	- 233	- 232	- 231	- 230	- 233	- 238	240	- 242	- 242	- 240	- 238	238			
NO. 12	NO. 13	NO. 14	NO. 15	NO. 16	NO. 17	NO. 18	NO. 19	NO. 20	NO. 21	NO. 22	NO. 23	NO. 24	EC 1–0 NO. 25	NO. 26	NO. 27	NO. 28	NO. 29	NO. 30			
	CI								CII							CI					
	_							100n	n (PUIF)							_					
	215m								100m							300m					
		Higher S	Structural	Level Grea	ater Himala	yan Zone G	iranitic Gn	eiss													
	CI								CII							CI					
	≧2.8km/s <4.1km/s				≧4.1km/s	3					No Dat	a					≧4.1km/s				
						P	ossible pro	esence of a	a fault/fra	acture zone											
								PROJEC	OT NAME							TITLE					
NTS	GLOB		0., LT	ΓD.					THE O	PROJE N ROAD	CT FO SLOI	R MA PE MA	STER F	PLAN S MENT	TUDY IN		ROUTE A P	GEOLOGICAL ROFILE AND DE	LONGITUDINAL ESIGN (1)		
UUR	PUKA	IION									BHU	TAN,	JICA			DRAWING No.			SCALE 1:1000) —(A1)	

0.65km/

ORIENTAL CONSULTA **OYO INTERNATIONAL**

KOKUSAI KOGYO CO., LTD. EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE CO., LTD.



2245. 182
- 2244. 863 - 2245. 044 - 2245. 182 - 2245. 358 -
2251.684
EC 2-0 N0.41 +8.815 N0.42
chist
→<0.65km/s
bserved 5-6m below the surface at BH-6 Geepage in the tunnel is estimated to be small

ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD	PROJECT NAME THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN	TITLE	ROUTE A GEOLOGICAL LONGITUDINAL PROFILE AND DESIGN (2)	
_ CORPORATION	BHUTAN, JICA	DRAWING No.	SCALE 1:1000 —(A1)	



ORIENTAL CONSULTA **OYO INTERNATIONAL**

ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN BHUTAN, JICA

d e of Boring Elevation(m) ength(m)	B CI CII D E	

1	TITLE	ROUTE B GEOLOGICAL LONG PROFILE AND DESIGN	ITUDIN (1)	AL	
	DRAWING No.		SCALE	1:1000	—(A1)

Appendix 8

トンネル・斜面対策工等設計図面類 Outcome of Design Activities

BASIC PLAN (DRAFT) ROUTE COMPARISON (FIRST SELECTION: Based on the result of the field survey)

Item \ Route	Route A (Tunnel plan through entire target section	on)	Route B (Integration plan: tunnel and existing road wi	dening	Route C (By-pass road plan)			
Basic concept of the route selection	• To avoid the loose area of Thomang Cliff and the valley a south of Thomang Cliff through tunnel	at the	• To avoid the loose area of Thomang Cliff through tunnel at utilize the current road route to minimize the tunnel construct length	ind to tion	• To avoid the loose area of Thomang Cliff by making a pass road at the upper side of the Cliff			
Length of road work	Total LengthL= 0.8 km (Current road - 0.4 Length of roads excluding a tunnel 0.1 km Length of a tunnel 0.7 km	km)	Total LengthL= 1.1 km (Current road - 0.1 kLength of roads excluding tunnel = 0.8 km Length of tunnel= 0.3 km	cm)	Total LengthL= 3.4 km (Current road +Length of roads= 2.0 km Road Gradient = 1.4 km i = less th			
(Design Specification) O Road Standard: Standard of the Prima National Highway O Design Speed : V=30km/h O Road Width: W=7.5m of 2 lanes Schematic map Schematic map © : Very good O : Good	ry	tegratic	000000000000000000000000000000000000		C-To Trongsa Thoman Description of the second of the sec			
 △ : Conditionally applicable × : Not recommended Geological and geographical features from the result of the reconnaissance 	 The North side of tunnel portal (Trongsa side) is located in natural slope entirely covered with trees and shrubs. The slopes are rather gentle compathe surrounding slopes, which are about 30 to 40 degrees. The bedrocks of the area are composed of hard Granite Gneiss rocks and the slope is covered with talus deposits including boulders 1 to 3 m in dia On the slopes of the south wellhead side, the depths of the talus deposits rather thin and the bedrock underneath are composed of hard Granite Gneise for the slope is covered with the slope is covered with talus deposite including boulders 1 to 3 m in dia 	es and ared to d most of ameter. s are eiss rocks	 The north side of the tunnel portal of Route B is the same location as Ro The south side is located in the north-side slope of a valley where the str water flows constantly. The bedrocks of the slopes around the south side are composed of hard Granite Gneiss rocks. The lower side slopes of the current road are very steep at 50 to 80 degree it is difficult to widen the road toward the lower slope side. The upper slopes of the road show both gentle slopes at 25 to 30 degree 	oute A. ream re ees, and es, and	 The range of the loose area of the Thomang Cliff is assumed to the slope to an elevation of 2,320 m. The south-side slope of the Thomang Cliff is extremely steep and shallow surface soil. Also the slope is unstable. A steep slope with 60-70 degrees is extended by the more than a width to the valley south of the cliff. 			
Drivability of the road alignment	as well as the north side. • The smoothest road alignment can be achieved among four route selections.	Ø	 steep slopes with more than 70 degrees alternatively. At the south side of the tunnel portal site, a loose curve of the tunnel is connected to a sharp curve of the road outside the tunnel. Therefore, it is an undesirable combination as the road alignment. 	Δ	• The road is required to be a winding road to climb up by 80 m in height within a short distance. Accordingly, many sharp curves of the minimum radi (R-30 m) continue. Also, the road is required to take the maximum gradient of for almost all sections of the winding road. It will be particularly difficul for heavy vehicles to be driven on the road.			
Workability and safety for the construction	 Route A' is a plan to take a closer route toward the current road in order to shorten the length of the tunnel construction by 20 m. However, the route is assumed to get into the influential ranges of the loose area of the Thomang Cliff and so the idea of the route was discarded. The construction of the tunnel work is normally started from the lower position of the elevation, which is the north tunnel portal side. The terrain of the north side is relatively gentle and a construction yard can be made near the area. There are hillsides with unconsolidated geological structure near the north tunnel portal area. Accordingly, auxiliary construction methods to stabilize the hillsides will be required during the construction period. Hillsides near the south tunnel portal seem geologically consolidated and have better conditions for the construction work compared to the north tunnel portal side. 	Ο	 The north side of the tunnel portal is has the same location and construction conditions as Route A. The south side has similar construction conditions to Route A. It is extremely difficult to widen the road toward the lower side from the current road. It will be required to cut the hillside beyond the ridge if the road is widened toward the upper side of the road, even if a concrete structure is constructed to reduce the volume of the cutting work. Speed control will be required for vehicles coming and running from north to south on the road. 	Δ	 Regarding the cutting work to widen the current road in the South-side of the valley south of the Thomang Cliff, the cutting height would be 18 with a cutting slope gradient of 1:0.3, which its implementation is not refeven if constructing a vertical concrete retaining wall is considered, the would be 35 m which its implementation is not realistic. Accordingly, designning the road construction is extremely difficult. Road construction at route C, if implemented, will be operated in a sl m higher from the current road site. In case of the construction of the constructure, it will be also higher. Accordingly, designning the road construction at route troad traffic will be extremely difficult. I required to consider enough temporal support work such as rockfalls provide and other protection methods. 			
Environment and landscape	 Noise and exhaust gasses are concentrated in the tunnel. The tunnel passes through the underground and road traffic and the above noise and gasses are assumed not to disturb the natural environment and landscape. 	0	 The volume of the cutting work is assumed to be on a large scale. Accordingly, it is required to consider how to deal with the excavated soil from the cutting work. Vegetation work and cover work at the cut faces is to be considered to maintain the natural environment and landscape. 	Δ	 Cutting work should be operated for a long distance along the road except at section of the winding road. Accordingly, it is required to consider how to de with the excavated soil from the cutting work. Vegetation work and cover work at the cut faces need to be considered to maintain the natural environment and landscape. 			
Evaluation from the first selection	This is applying the tunnel construction suitable for severe terrain features and Route A is adopted in the First Selection.	0	This plan route can avoid the range of the loose area of the Thomang Cliff although many issues are to be resolved. Route B is adopted in the First Selection.	Δ	It is difficult to design the road while securing the safety the section of the valley south of the Thomang Cliff. Accordingly, this route cannot be adopted in the First Selection.			



ROUTE COMPARISON (SECOND SELECTION)

Item \ Route	Route A (Tunnel work through all section)		Route B (Integration of Tunnel and Existing Road Widening)					
Basic Concept of the route selection	•A route to avoid the loose area of Thomang Cliff and a valley south of Thomang Cliff by tunnel structure. •At the north side, the tunnel begins from a smooth connection from the direction of the current road under widening construction to the masonry retaining wall at the valley side of the road. From there, it takes a curve with a curvature of 300 m to avoid Thomang Cliff and reaches a valley south of the cliff. The tunnel will have 50 m (5D) depth from the sur for securing sufficient overburden for the tunnel. From there, the tunnel goes straight for 200 m and then takes a curve the west side with a curvature of 300 m to connect to the current road under widening construction at the exit on the solution of the surface of the solution of the curvature of 300 m to connect to the current road under widening construction at the exit on the solution of the solution of the solution of the curvature of 300 m to connect to the current road under widening construction at the exit on the solution of the solution o	of rface e to outh	 A route to avoid the loose area of Thomang Cliff by tunnel and to minimize the tunnel length. At the north side, the tunnel begins from a smooth connection from the direction of the current road under widening construction to the masonry retaining wall at the valley side of the road. From there, it takes a curve with a curvature of 200 m to avoid Thomang Cliff and reaches a valley south of the cliff. The tunnel comes to the exit there and connects with the current road and then takes a route along the road alignment of the current road. 					
	Total length $L=840m (No.0+0 \sim No.42+0)$		Total length $L=1,090 \text{ m} (\text{No.0+0} \sim \text{No.54+10})$					
Length of road work	Length of Road excluding a tunnel $L=95m(11.3\%)$ Length of a tunnel $L=745m(88.7\%)$		Length of road excluding a tunnel $L=759 \text{ m}(69.6\%)$ Length of a tunnel $L=731 \text{ m}(30.4\%)$					
	Length of a tunnel $L=/45 \text{ m} (88.7 \%)$		Length of a tunnel L=331 m(30.4%)					
 (Design Specification) (O) Road Standard : Standard of the Primary National Highway (O) Design Speed : V=30km/h (O) Road Width : W=7.5m of 2 lanes 	y y							
Schematic map	Route A (Tunnel work through all section) Route A (Tunnel work through all section) Yalley Yalley							
	Pood length (m) Pood width (m) Pouts conditions	eserveda	Maximum road gradient (%) Mini, radius of aurysture (m) Traffic volume (cars/ds					
Present conditions	Road length (III)Road width (III)Route conditions1,210 m4.0 mSteep terrain		Maximum road gradient (%)Mini. radius of curvature (m)Traffic volume (cars/da $i=8.7\%$ at 2 locations $R=12$ m at 1 location400 cars/day (in 2014)	(y))				
Road alignment	Minimum radius of curvature (m): $R = 300 \text{ m}$ Maximum limit to the state of t		Minimum Radius of curvature (m) $R=20 \text{ m}$ (in the widening section)Maximum Line Line Line Line Line Line Line Line					
Geological and geographical features from the results of: • Site Reconnaissance • Boring Survey • Elastic wave exploration	 (Terrain situation) The target area is located on a mountain slope between the peak of the mountain at an almost at the middle of the mountain slope at an altitude of 2,250 m. Large and small valleys are develop crosses those valleys. (Geology) Granitic Gneisses are distributed in the vicinity of the target section. Biotite Gneisses are partly maps, it was confirmed in the field that geological structures of schistosity and bedding are observed at the A section of the tunnel is located on the mountain side from the current road and crosses two ridges and a valley. The maximum thickness of the expected overburdens is about 190 m at the southern ripoint and about 55 m at the valley site. Regarding the geological conditions, Granite Gneisses are mainly distributed in the area. On the south side, pelitic schists containing garnets are distributed, which is in contact through uncomformity over the Granite Gneisses. Since it was observed on the ground that hard vein-like pegmatites were distributed in the Granite Gneisses, there is a possibility encounter the hard pegmatites in some places of the tunnel drilling route. • The Northern tunnel portal side (Trongsa side) is located in a natural slope and it is rather gentle, about 30 to 40 degrees, compared to the surrounding slopes. • The Northern tunnel portal side, the depths of the talus deposits are rather thin and the bedrocks underneath are composed of hard Granite Gneiss rocks as well as the North side. • At the connecting sections between the tunnel, tunnel portals and current roads, road alignments and concrete structures can be designed to connect smoothly. • A longitudinal slope in the tunnel can be designed with a satisfactory gradient at 1.57 %. (i=1.57%). Desirable gradients in a tunnel for ventilation purposes is 3 % and less. • The redius of curvature of the road in the tunnel section is much higher than the road alignment which meets a design speed of 80 km/h. (Required design speed is 30 km	o elev bed to ly inte he str idge y to , at	 ation of 2,900 m and the river bed at 1,870 m. The target road is the Primary National Highway that crop the East direction from a ridgeline stretching between the the North and South direction, and the High erstratified though it is mostly uniform rock types. As expected in the results of topographic interpretative of approximately N60 - 80 ° W and 30 ° inclination to the south. The North side of the tunnel portal is the same location as Route A and the South side is a valley. The maximum thickness of overburden is about 115 m at the ridge point. Regarding geology, Granite Gneisses are mainly distributed the same as Route A. The North side of the tunnel of Route B is the same location as Route A. The North side of the tunnel of Route B is the same location as Route A. The South portal site is located in the North-side slope of a valley where water flows constantly. The bedrocks of the slope are composed of hard Granite Gneisses rocks. The slopes on the lower side of the current roads are very steep, at 50 to 80 degrees, and i difficult to widen the road toward the lower slope side. Slopes on the upper side are rather gentle at 25 to 30 degrees at the southern part of the ta section and steep slopes of more than 70 degrees continue at the Northern part. At the South tunnel portal side, a loose curve in the tunnel (R=200 m) is expected to connect with a sharp curve (R=40 m) of the road outside the tunnel. It is an underslable combination of road alignment. It is difficult to drive vehicles from the tunnel side to the outside. The North part of the target section, basic consideration was given to widen the current roads while introducing structure measures. However, it did not satisfy the design standards of the national highway which the design speed is 30km/h; the minimum radius is 30 m (R=30m); the minimum curve length is 50 m; and the minimum transition curve length is 25 m (L = 25 m). It was accordingly concluded to adopt a larg	rosses hway tion by at the g the lt is aget				
Workability and safety for the construction	 North side of the tunnel. The terrain of the North portal side is relatively loose and a construction yard can be made near the area. There are hillside slopes with unconsolidated geological structure near the North tunnel portal site. Accordingly, auxiliary construction methods to stabilize the slopes will be required during the construction period. Hillsides near the South side of the tunnel portal seem geologically consolidated and have better conditions for the construction work compared to the North side. A construction period can be expected to last roughly two years. From considerations about the tunnel length and current traffic volumes, ventilation facilities are not required to be equipped. However, it may be necessary to confirm the necessity of the facilities based on the estimatation of future traffic volumes. Emergency equipment for tunnel Grade C, which include emergency telephones, push-button reporting devices and emergency alarm devices, shall be equipped since the tunnel of this plan is regarded as C grade. In Japan, the information system of the emergency equipment has the mechanism to notify the police, fire departments and road administrators, etc. Although there are few pedestrians passing through, it is necessary to consider safety measures to maintain and protect passages of cow herders and light weight vehicles. (This is related with the consideration of emergency facilities.) 	ο	 The South portal side has similar construction conditions to Route A. Regarding the ventilation system, it has the same situation as Route A. Emergency facilities are not particularly required as this tunnel is classified as D Grade. It seems extremely difficult to widen the road toward the lower side (valley side). It is also assumed to be difficult to operate road widening work with structures like rock-shed methods at the upper slope side of the road. Maintaining the current traffic is also difficult during the construction periods. In case of cutting method, it will be required to cut the hillside beyond the ridge behind the slopes at a maximum height of 200 m. Temporal operational roads for cutting work are required to construct from the North side at the South-faced slope, which is rather gentle, in order to take out excavated soil. The volume of the soil is expected to be 1,120,000 m3 (earth soil) and it accordingly will require a much longer period for construction operation compared with Route A. Speed control will be required for vehicles coming from the North and current road widening section. It is necessary to consider some safety and protection measures if emergency facilities are not equipped. 					
Environment and landscape	 exhaust gases are concentrated at the tunnel portal sites. It is required to conduct Environment Impact Assessment at each stage of Survey and Planning, Designing, Constructions and Maintenance. Waste soil treatment (60,000 m3, Earth soil) from the tunnel construction shall be considered. Maintenance costs for lights and emergency facilities is required to be considered. The road will be paved with concrete and have a longer operation period compared with Rough estimation of total construction cost : 3,262 million Japanese Yen (3,880 mil. Japanese Yen / km) 	0	 The volume of the cutting work will be on a large scale. Accordingly it is required to consider how to deal with the surplus soil from the cutting work. Periodical checking and maintenance and management shall be considered for the surface of the long slope of the cutting work. Safety measures will be required as there is no plan to equip emergency facilities. Rough estimation of total construction cost : 5,255 million Japanese Yen (4,824 mil. Japanese Yen / km) 					
Economic efficiency	 Cost for construction : 2,871 Cost for designing/construction management : 237 Cost for reservation : 155 	0	 Cost for the construction Cost for designing/ construction management Cost for reservation 250 					
Remark	• It is necessary to consider a plan of effective usage for the waste soil from the construction (e.g. effecti	ive u	use for land development)					

	• Tangsibji power generation is being constructed near the North entrance side of the tunnel (by HCC). It will therefore be required to adjust the area and road to enter the generation construction site.
	• The road widening construction work of the National Highway No.1 is currently being carried out, excluding this target road section. The designed road width of the work is 7.5 m (W=7.5m), the same as this target work design. The construction work is expected to be completed in December, 2017. It will be required to include the construction completion of the road in the tunnel construction plan.
Comprehensive evaluation	Route A is better in All evaluation items

Outline Project Cost for the Route A Construction

		Price	
Item Classification		Unit: 1000JPY	Remarks
[1]Construct	ion Expense	2,870,823	
(1)	Civil Construction Cost	2,734,118	
	1) Construction Cost	2,523,156	
	① Direct Construction		
	Cost	1,914,727	Tunnel section + earthwork section
			Kr=
	② Common Temporary		A×P
	Work	108,492	①× 5.67 % b
	③Field Expense (Ratio)	499,937	(①+②)×24.71%
	④Field Expense		Detailed statement of field expense
	(Build-up)	113,869	(Build-up)
	2) General and		
	Administrative Expense	210,962	((1)+(2)+(3)+(4))×8%
			5% of Civil construction cost (DOR's
(2)	Environmental Expense	136,706	adjustment)
[2]Design an	d Construction Management	231,969	
(1)	Detailed Design	71,409	Detailed statement of detailed design
			Detailed statement of construction
(2)	Construction Management	138,578	management
(3)	Soft Component	21,982	Detailed statement of soft component
Preliminary			
expenses		155,395	([1]+[2])×5%
Operation			
Cost		3,263,292	
		3,260,000	Rounded to the nearest ten million

_		Price	
Item	Classification	(Upit:1000 IPV)	Remarks
			Kemarks
5 4 3 6 4 4 4			
	on Expense		
	I	4,824,218	
(1)	Civil Construction Cost	4,594,494	
	1) Construction Cost	4,248,552	
	①Direct Construction		Tunnel section+ Earthwork
	Cost	3,250,341	section
	②Common Temporary		
	Work	156,405	①× 4.81 % Kr=A×Pb
	③Field Expense (Ratio)	841,807	(①+②)×24.71%
	④Field Expense		Detailed statement of Field
	(Build-up)	75,717	Expense (Build-up)
	2) General and		
	Administrative Expenses	345,942	((1e2)+(3)+(4)) ×8%
			5% of Civil construction cost (DoR's
(2)	Environmental Expense	229,725	adjustment)
[2]Design an	d Construction Management	180,461	
			Detailed statement of Detailed
(1)	Detailed Design	66,409	Design t
			Detailed statement of
(2)	Construction Management	92,070	construction management
			Detailed statement of soft
(3)	Soft Component	21,982	component t
Preliminary			
expenses		250,234	([1]+[2])×5%
Operation			Rounded to the nearest
Cost		5,254,913	ten million
		E 250 000	
		5,250,000	

Outline Project Cost for the Route B Construction



EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE CO., LTD.

OYO INTERNATIONAL

	PROJECT NAME
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUD
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
	BHUTAN, JICA



ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUD
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
	BHUTAN, JICA



ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	PROJECT NAME THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUD
L CORPORATION	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
	BIIOTAN, SICA

Route A Longitudinal Profile (1) V=1:1000 H=1:1000



EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE CO., LTD.

ORIENTAL CONSULTA **OYO INTERNATIONAL**



			Τι	innel L	=745.0	m															
			i=1.51 L=828.	0% 875																	
235.938 -	2236. 252	2236. 566	2236. 880	237. 194	2237. 508	237.822	2238. 136 -	2238. 450 -	2238. 764 -	2239. 078	239. 392	239. 706	2239. 860 -	240. 334	2240. 648	2240.962	2241. 276	2241. 590 -			
57. 690 - 2	57. 988	78.575	80.977	80.811 - 2	69.458	53. 458	33. 707 - 2	26.924	10. 356	00.606	39. 584	83.663 - 2	101. 622 5 20. 362 5	28. 595	22. 763 - 2	07. 411 - 2	89. 539	80. 193			
	23	1 23	23)	23	3)				t	1-0 2 ⁴)	7 24	}) 23) 23			
NO. 1.	NO. 1.	NO. 1	NO. 1	NO. 16	NO. 1	N0. 1	N0. 1	NO. 2	N0. 2	NO. 2:	N0. 2;	N0. 2	EC NO. 2	N0. 21	NO. 2 [°]	NO. 2	N0. 2	NO. 3			
ANTS C _ CORP	GLOB PORA ⁻	AL CO	0., L7	ΓD.				PROJECT	THE P ON	ROJE ROAI	CT FOF D SLOP <u>B</u> HUT	R MAS E MA AN, J	TER P NAGEN ICA	LAN ST MENT IN	UDY I	TITLE DRAWING No.			 SCALE 1:100	00 — (A1) 00 (A3)	

Route A Longitudinal Profile (2) V=1:1000 H=1:1000



KOKUSAI KOGYO CO., LTD. EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE CO., LTD.

ORIENTAL CONSULTA **OYO INTERNATIONAL**



ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	PROJECT NAME THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN BHUTAN, JICA

	TITLE				 	
Y	DRAWING No.	SCALE	1:1000 1:2000	—(A1) —(A3)		



ORIENTAL CONSULTA OYO INTERNATIONAL

Route B Longitudinal Profile H=1:1000 H=1:1000

	PROJECT NAME	TITLE	
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY		
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN		-
	BHUTAN, JICA	DRAWING NO. SCALE 1:1000 — (A1) 1:2000 — (A3)	

End <u>ing</u>	Point	(Route	B)	
				000
				54+10. (
				No.

Current Road

ORIENTAL CONSULTA

Standard Transverse Profile Scale 1:50

Design Speed V = 30 km/h



	PROJECT NAME		
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY		
CORPORATION	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN BHUTAN, JICA	DRAWING NO.	SCALE 1:50 — (A1) 1:100 — (A3)



ORIENTAL CONSULTA

Standard Cross Section (1) S=1:30

Cross Section CI, CII

PROJE		TITLE	
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY		
CORPORATION	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN BHUTAN, JICA	DRAWING No.	SCALE 1:30 —(A1) 1:60 —(A3)

SL



OYO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Standard Cross Section (2) S=1:30

Cross Section DI

PROJECT NAME ORIENTAL CONSULTANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD. THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN **BHUTAN, JICA**

TITLE	
,	
DRAWING No.	SCALE 1:30 —(A1) 1:60 —(A3)
	· · ·

SL



OYO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Standard Cross Section (3) S=1:30

Cross Section DⅢ-1, DⅢ-2

PROJECT NAME ORIENTAL CONSULTANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD. THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN **BHUTAN, JICA**

TITLE	
,	
DRAWING No.	SCALE 1:30 —(A1) 1:60 —(A3)
	· · ·

SL



Support Pattern (1) S=1:60

(Cross Section CI)

a Parts Details s=1:10 Rock Bolt Head Finishing

Rock Bolt			Steel Arch Support		Shotcrete	Lining T	hickness (cm)	Wire Not	Deformation Margin(cm)			
Length (m)	Circumferential Direction (m)	Extention Direction (m)	Upper Half	Lower Half	Thickness (cm)	Arch	Invert	WITE NEL	Upper Half	Lower Half	Invert	
3.0	1.5	1.5	_	_	10	30	_	_	0	0	0	

	Shotcret	e & Rock Bolt Materia	S		(P= around 1.500m)
Name	Geometries	Standard	Unit	Quantity	Application
Rock Bolt	L=3000	Yield Strength over 176.5kN(18t)	no.	11	Full Face Cementing Mortar
Washer	150 × 150 × 9	SS400	no.	11	
Nut		M24	no.	11	
Shotcrete	t=100	Design Standard Strength σ ck=18N/mm 2	m ²	31.589	21.059 m ² /m
Protection Mat	300 × 300 × 10		no.	11	

	PROJECT NAME
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
	BHUTAN, JICA

Specifications

	TITLE			
Υ				
•				
	DRAWING No.	SCALE	Illustration —(A1)	
			Illustration —(A3)	
	•			



EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE CO., LTD.

OYO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION



Name	Geometries	Standard	Unit	Quantity	Application
Rock Bolt	L=3000	Yield Strength over 176.5kN(18t)	no.	15	Full Face Cementing Mortar
Washer	150 × 150 × 9	SS400	no.	15	
Nut		M24	no.	15	
Shotcrete	t=100	Design Standard Strength σ ck=18N/mm 2	m 2	25. 271	21.059 m ² /m
Protection Mat	300 × 300 × 10		no.	15	

ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN **BHUTAN, JICA**

Shotcrete	torete Lining Thickness (cm)	Deformation Margin(cm)				
Thickness (cm)	Arch	Invert	WITE NEL	Upper Half	Lower Half	Invert
10	30	_	_	0	0	0

	. - -				(Per Unit)						
	Unit	Quantity	Unit quantity	Quantity	Application						
9	kg	2	197.272	394. 5	23.600 kg/m						
	kg	2	1.971	3.9	70.650 kg/m ²						
	no.	2	_								
	kg	2	5.200	10.4	125.600 kg/m ²						
	kg	12	0.074	0.9	0.928 kg/m						
	kg	6	2.133	12.8	1.580 kg/m						
	442.5 kg										

	TITLE		
UDY			
	DRAWING No.	SCALE	Illustration —(A1) Illustration —(A3)
	•		· · ·





(Cross Section DI)

S=1:60

Steel Arch Support

0 9

180

1 30 120 30

6

 \underline{O}

125 Base Plate (PL-230 × 230 × 16) 6 230

 $\frac{\text{Bolt \& Nut}}{(\phi 20 \times 70)}$

Splice Plate (PL-155 × 180 × 9)

Aperture (φ22.0)



PROJECT NAME





Rock Bolt		Steel Arch Support Shotcrete		Lining Thickness (cm)		Wire Not	Deformation Margin(cm)				
Length (m)	Circumferential Direction (m)	Extention Direction (m)	Upper Half	Lower Half	Thickness (cm)	Arch	Invert	WIRE NET	Upper Half	Lower Half	Invert
4.0	1. 2	1. 0	H-125	H-125	15	30	45	Upper Half	0	0	0

Shotcrete & Rock Bolt Materials

Name	Geometries	Standard U		Quantity	Application
Rock Bolt	L=4000	Yield Strength over 176.5kN(18t)	no.	18	Full Face Cementing Mortar
Washer	150 × 150 × 9	SS400	no.	18	
Nut		M24	no.	18	
Shotcrete	t=150	Design Standard Strength σ ck=18N/mm²	m ²	21.060	
Wire Net	ϕ 5 × 150 × 150	JIS G 3551	m 2	17.122	Welded Wire Net for Structural Use
Protection Mat	300 × 300 × 10		no.	18	

	SLEET ATCH SU	lhh	100		eriais	(Per Unit)
Name	Geometries	Unit	Quantity	Unit quantity	Quantity	Application
H Form Steel	H-125×125×6.5×9 L=8445	kg	2	199.302	398.6	23.600 kg/m
H Form Steel	H-125×125×6.5×9 L=2100	kg	2	49.560	99. 1	23.600 kg/m
Splice Plate	PL−155 × 180 × 9	kg	2	1.971	3.9	70.650 kg/m ²
Bolt & Nut	ϕ 20 × 70	no.	2	_	_	
Upper/Lower Half Splice Plate	PL-155 × 180 × 9	kg	4	1.971	7.9	70.650 kg/m²
Bolt & Nut	ϕ 20 × 70	no.	4	—	_	
Base Plate	PL-230 × 230 × 16	kg	2	6.644	13.3	125.600 kg/m ²
Sheath Pipe	φ21.7×1.9×80	kg	20	0.074	1.5	0.928 kg/m
Collar Brace	φ16×1150	kg	10	1.817	18.2	1.580 kg/m
-				542.5 k	g	

THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN **BHUTAN, JICA**

Specifications

Steel Arch Support Materials

	TITLE
(

DRAWING No.

SCALE Illustration —(A1) Illustration —(A3)

(P= around 1.000m)



BHUTAN, JICA

Shotcrete	Lining T	hickness (cm)	Wire Not	Deformation Margin(cm)						
nickness (cm)	Arch	Invert	WITE NEL	Upper Half	Lower Half	Invert				
25	35	50	Upper & Lower Half	0	0	0				
X()refer to Injection Type Forenoling										

Standard	Unit	Quantity	Application
Driving Hollow Pipe Type	no.	19.5	Silica Resin
Yield Strength over 176.5KN(18t)	no.	8	Full Face Cementing Mortar
SS400	no.	8	
M24	no.	8	
	m ²	21. 215	
JIS G 3551	m ²	21.841	Welded Wire Net for Structural Use
	no.	8	

	Unit	Quantity	Unit quantity	Quantity	Application
7	kg	2	429. 489	859.0	49.900 kg/m
9	kg	2	104. 241	208.5	49.900 kg/m
	kg	2	6.644	13.3	125.600 kg/m²
	no.	2	_	_	
	kg	4	6.644	26.6	125.600 kg/m ²
	no.	4	_	-	
	kg	2	13. 424	26.8	149.150 kg/m²
	kg	10	2. 565	25.7	2.230 kg/m
	no.	20	_	_	
	kg	10	3. 247	32.7	3.300 kg/m
				1192.6 k	g

,	



Specifications

Rock Bolt St		Steel Arch Support Shotcrete		Lining Thickness (cm)		Wire Not	Deformation Margin(cm)				
Length (m)	Circumferential Direction (m)	Extention Direction (m)	Upper Half	Lower Half	Thickness (cm)	Arch	Invert	WIRE NET	Upper Half	Lower Half	Invert
4.0 {12.5}	1.2 {0.45}	1.0 {9.0}	H–200	H–200	25	35	50	Upper & Lower Half	_	_	_

Shotcrete & Rock Bolt Materials

	(P= around 1.000m)				
Name	Geometries	Standard	Unit	Quantity	Application
Rock Bolt	L=4000	Torsion Steel Bar and Equal over(Yield Strength176.5KN)	no.	8	Full Face Cementing Mortar
Washer	$150 \times 150 \times 9$	SS400	no.	8	
Nut		M24	no.	8	
Shotcrete	t=250	Design Standard Strength σ ck=18N/mm 2	m²	18.094	
Wire Net	ϕ 5 × 150 × 150	JIS G 3551	m²	18. 720	Welded Wire Net for Structural Use
Protection Mat	$300 \times 300 \times 10$		no.	8	

Steel Pipe Forepoling & Facing Shotcrete Materials (P= around 9.000m)

Name	Geometries	Standard	Unit	Quantity	Application
Steel Pipe Forepoling	L=12500	φ114.3	no.	25	Silica Resin Type Injection
Facing Shotcrete	t = 100		m ²	45.804	

KOKUSAI KOGYO CO., LTD. EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE CO., LTD.

ORIENTAL CONSULT **OYO INTERNATIONAL**

Support Pattern (5)

(Cross Section DⅢ-2)





a Parts Details _{S=1:10} Rock Bolt Head Finishing



	PROJECT NAME
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUD
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
	BHUTAN, JICA

※ {} Refer to Steel Pipe Forepoling



	TITLE		
DY			
	DRAWING No.	SCALE	Illustration —(A1) Illustration —(A3)
	1		• • •





Quantity Table

Name		Excavation (m³/m)		Shotcrete	Concrete (m³/m)		
		Design	Payment	(m ² /m)	Design	Payment	
\bigcirc	Full Face	69. 156	73. 933				
2	Full Face Shotcrete			21.059			
3	Lining Concrete				6. 177	9.802	
	Total	69.156	73.933	21.059	6.177	9.802	

ORIENTAL CONSULT OYO INTERNATIONAL

Cross Section of Tunnel Face (1)

S=1:60

Cross Section CI



SL

Quantity Table

Name		Excavation(m ³ /m)		Shotcrete	Concrete (m³/m)	
		Design	Payment	(m ² /m)	Design	Payment
\bigcirc	Full Face	69. 156	73. 493			
2	Full Face Shotcrete			21.059		
3	Lining Concrete				6.177	8. 941
	Total	69.156	73.493	21.059	6.177	8. 941

	PROJECT NAME	TITLE		
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY			
L CORPORATION	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN BHUTAN, JICA	DRAWING No.	SCALE 1:60 —(A1) 1:120 —(A3)	

SL





Quantity Table

Nome	Excavatio	Excavation (m³/m)		Concrete (m³/m)		
Name	Design	Payment	(m ² /m)	Design	Payment	
1 Upper Half Face	47.517	47.000				
② Upper Half Shotcrete			16. 808			
③ Lower Half Face	11.356	11. 717				
(4) Lower Half Shotcrete			2. 126			
5 Lower Half Face	11.356	11. 717				
6 Lower Half Shotcrete			2. 126			
(7) Lowering of Roadbed	10. 375	10. 930				
Invert Concrete				4. 764	5.320	
① Lining Concrete				6. 181	8.303	
Total	80.604	84. 863	21.060	10.945	13.623	

KOKUSAI KOGYO CO., LTD. EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE CO., LTD.

ORIENTAL CONSULT OYO INTERNATIONAL

Cross Section of Tunnel Face (2)

S=1:60

Cross Section DⅢ-1、DⅢ-2



Quantity Table

Nama	Excavation (m³/m)		Shotcrete	Concrete (m/m)		
Name	Design	Payment	(m ² /m)	Design	Payment	
① Upper Half Face	50. 144	53. 207				
② Upper Half Shotcrete			16.965			
③ Lower Half Face	11. 674	12.035				
(4) Lower Half Shotcrete			2. 125			
5 Lower Half Face	11. 674	12.035				
6 Lower Half Shotcrete			2. 125			
(7) Lowering of Roadbed	10. 992	11.563				
Invert Concrete				5.406	5.977	
① Lining Concrete				7.213	9.350	
Total	84. 484	88.840	21.215	12.619	15.327	

	PROJECT NAME	TITLE	
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY		
L CORPORATION	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN BHUTAN, JICA	DRAWING No.	SCALE 1:60 —(A1) 1:120 —(A3)



Route B Construction Schedule s=1:1000



· Planned Daily Advance

Tanned Darry Advance				
Type of Construction			Planned Dally Advance	
Preparation		Preparation (3 months)	3 months	
Excavatio	on,	Support, etc.		
CI		Full Face	4.69m/day	
DI	Upper Half		3.62m/day	
		Upper Half	× 2.46m/day	
		Lower Half	6.80m/day	
DⅢ-1		Upper Half	2.23m/day	
		Lower Half	6.13m/day	
DⅢ-2	Upper Half		1.57m/day	
	Lower Half		6.13m/day	
Portal			1month/unlt	
Lining Co	oncr	ete	107.10m/month	
Drainage an	d Wi	scellaneous Works	400m/month	
Construction Site Clean Up		Site Clean Up	1 month	

*Auxiliary Method Section in the Ending Point Side (L=26.0m)



	PREJECT NAME
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUD
CORPORATION	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
	BHUTAN, JICA



	PROJECT NAME
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY
CORPORATION	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
	BHUTAN, JICA

ORIENTAL CONSULTA OYO INTERNATIONAL

AREA ESTIMATION DIAGRAM OF SLOPE PROTECTION WORK S=1:1500 (A3)

			-
	REINFORCING	AREA OF SLOPE	PLANE AREA
i L=3.0m	4320 NOS	17,280m2	7, 730m2
i L=5.0m	465 NOS	1, 860m2	830m2
RCING	_	36,740m2	16,430m2
DT CRETE		55,880m2	

	PROJECT NAME
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STU
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
	BHUTAN, JICA

LEGEND FACILITY OF DRAINAGE QUANTITY REMARKS DITCH ON BENCH W=1500 4, 630 m 7037m2 /1.5m -0.8m × 72 NOS CATCH BASIN 77 NOS BENCH 72NOS, TOE OF SLOPE 5NOS VERTICAL DRAINAGE 720 m 12.0m × 60 NOS ROAD SIDE DITCH 700 m NO. 20~NO. 55					
DITCH ON BENCH W=1500 4, 630 m 7037m2 /1.5m -0.8m × 72 NOS CATCH BASIN 77 NOS BENCH 72NOS, TOE OF SLOPE 5NOS VERTICAL DRAINAGE 720 m 12.0m × 60 NOS ROAD SIDE DITCH 700 m NO. 20~NO. 55	LEGEND	FACILITY OF DRAINAGE	QUANTITY	REMARKS	
CATCH BASIN 77 NOS BENCH 72NOS, TOE OF SLOPE 5NOS I VERTICAL DRAINAGE 720 m 12.0m × 60 NOS ROAD SIDE DITCH 700 m NO. 20~NO. 55		DITCH ON BENCH W=1500	4,630 m	7037m2 /1.5m -0.8m × 72 NOS	3
VERTICAL DRAINAGE 720 m 12.0m × 60 NOS ROAD SIDE DITCH 700 m NO. 20~NO. 55		CATCH BASIN	77 NOS	BENCH 72NOS, TOE OF SLOPE	5NOS
ROAD SIDE DITCH 700 m NO. 20~NO. 55		VERTICAL DRAINAGE	720 m	12.0m× 60 NOS	
		ROAD SIDE DITCH	700 m	NO. 20~NO. 55	

ORIENTAL CONSULTA OYO INTERNATIONAL

PLAN MAP OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM S=1:1500 (A3)

ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	PROJECT NAME THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY
L CORPORATION	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN BHUTAN, JICA

ORIENTAL CONSULTA

STANDARD CROSS SECTION S=1:50

ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	PROJECT NAME THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUD
L CORPORATION	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN BHUTAN, JICA

DY	TITLE STANDAI CUT SLO	RD CROSS OPE	SECTION	FOR	ROAD	AND	
	DRAWING No.				SCALE	1:50	— (A 1

THOMANG ROAD SECTION - TS-01

THOMANG ROAD SECTION - TS-2

KOKUSAI KOGYO CO., LTD. EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE CO., LTD.

ORIENTAL CONSULT **OYO INTERNATIONAL**

THOMANG ROAD SECTION - TS-02-1

ANTS GLOBAL CO., L	ΓD.
L CORPORATION	

THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN **BHUTAN, JICA**

PROJECT NAME

ONGITUDINAL JOINT BOUT 1~2M INTERVAL	- 2300
SHOT CRETE t=10cm	- 2290
	- 2280
Granitic Gneiss	- 2270
BIOTITE GREISS ROCK BOLTING D19, L=3. Om, 2. Om interval	- 2260 -
atite (Vein)	- 2250 -
	- 2240
	- 2230
will be difficult to extend the road width to ley side due to the topographical feature. us, the road shall be extended toward	- - 2220
nce upper part of the slope is loosened ndition due to opened joints developed in 0.5 1m interval, those areas will become more	- 2210
sened by blasting for slope excavation. me countermeasure against rock fall disaster all be considered.	- 2200
	- 2190
80 90 100 110 120 130	
TITLE CROSS SECTION FOR SLOPE PROTECTION WORK (TS-02, TS-02-1) DRAWING No. SCALE 1:400 - (A1)	

2360
2350
2340
2330
2320
2310
2300
2290
2280
2270
2260
2250
2240
2230
2220
2210
2200

ORIENTAL CONSULTANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD. OYO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION OYO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

KOKUSAI KOGYO CO., LTD. EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCE CO., LTD.

,	TITLE CROSS SECTION FOR SLOPE	
2200		
2210		
2220		
2230		
2240		
2250		
2260		
2270		
2280		
2290		
2310		
2320		
2330		
2340		
2350		
2360		

SCALE 1:400 - (A1)

DRAWING No.

2360 - 2350 - 2330 - 2330 - 2330 - 2330 - 2330 - 2230 - 2290 - 2200 - 20	
2350 2340 2330 2330 2330 2330 2330 2230 2290 229	2360 -
2340 - 2330 - 2320 - 2310 - 2300 - 2290 - 2200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200	2350 -
2330 - 2320 - 2310 - 2300 - 2290 - 2280 - 2280 - 2270 - 2260 - 2250 - 22	2340 -
2320 - 2310 - 2300 - 2290 - 2280 - 2280 - 2270 - 2260 - 2250 - 2250 - 2220 - 2220 - 2220 - 2220 - 2220 - 2220 -	2330 -
2310 - 2300 - 2290 - 2280 - 2270 - 2260 - 2250 - 2250 - 2220 - 2220 - 2220 -	2320 -
2300 - 2290 - 2280 - 2280 - 2270 - 2270 - 2260 - 2260 - 22250 - 2250	2310 -
2290 - - 2280 - - 2270 - - 2260 - - 2250 - - 2240 - - 2240 - - 2220 - - 2220 - -	2300 -
2280 - 2270 - 2260 - 2250 - 2240 - 2230 - 2220 - 2220 -	2290 -
2270 - 	2280 -
2260 - 2250 - 2240 - 2230 - 2220 - 2220 - 2220 -	2270 - -
2250 - 2240 - 2230 - 2220 - 2220 - 2210 -	2260 -
2240 - 2230 - 2220 - 2210 -	2250 -
2230 - 2220 - 2210 -	2240 - -
2220 - - 2210 -	2230 - -
2210 - (2220 -
	2210 - (

ORIENTAL CONSULTA

THOMANG ROAD SECTION - TS-04

	PROJECT NAME
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
LOORFORATION	BHUTAN, JICA

,	TITLE	CROSS SECTION FOR SLOPE PROTECTION WORK (TS-04)	
210			
220			
230			
240			
250			
260			
270			
280			
290			
300			
310			
320			
330			
340			
350			
360			

SCALE 1:400 - (A1)

DRAWING No.

ORIENTAL CONSULTA

	PROJECT NAME
ANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD.	THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUD
	ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN
LOURFORATION	BHUTAN, JICA

	- 2390
	- 2380
	- 2370
	- 2360
	- 2350
	- 2340
terval	- 2330
	- 2320
	- 2310
eiss (Medium to eiss (Medium to ower part of the	- - 2300
schistosity as 20 n dip angle joints e are developed. g two systems of	- 2290 -
lapse is opened, ck failure or rock diacent outcrops	- 2280
he rock slope is	-
d width to valley e. Thus. the road	- 2260
/ excavation. lope show more gainst the slope,	- 2250
ommended).	- 2240
or road widening, occurred on the osened condition. sidered including	- - 2230 -
200 210 22	- 2220 20
Y TITLE CROSS SE PROTECTI	ON WORK (TS-05)

SCALE 1:400 - (A1)

DRAWING No.

OYO INTERNATIONA

CORPORATION	

THE PROJECT FOR MASTER PLAN STUDY ON ROAD SLOPE MANAGEMENT IN **BHUTAN, JICA**

DRAWING No.

- 239	10	
- 238	30	
- 237	0	
- 236	60	
- 235	50	
-		
- 234	10	
- 233	30	
- 232	20	
- - 23 1	0	
- 230	0	
-	0	
- 229	00	
- 228	30	
- 227	0	
- 226	60	
-		
	DU	
- 224	10	
- 223	30	
L 222	20	
r	CROSS SECTION FOR SLOPE PROTECTION WORK (TS-06)	

SCALE 1:400 - (A1)

OYO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

THOMANG ROAD SECTION - TS-07

	2390					
	- 2380					
	- 2370					
	- 2360					
	- 2350 -					
	- 2340					
	/ - 2330 -					
	- 2320 -					
	- 2310 -					
	- 2300					
	- 2290					
	- 2280					
	- 2270					
	- 2260					
	- 2250 -					
	- 2240 -					
	- 2230					
170	2220					
	TITLE CRC PRC)SS SECTIO)TECTION W	N FOR SL ORK (TS-	.0PE ·07)		

SCALE 1:400 - (A1)

DRAWING No.

BHUTAN, JICA